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Summary

The central part of this thesis (chapter 3) consists of a classification of 9071 lexical items comprising the semantic field Good and Evil. This classified semantic field, with minor alterations, will form part of the Historical Thesaurus of English currently being compiled in the English Language Department of Glasgow University. Some significant features of the Good and Evil classification system, devised and explained in this thesis, have also been adopted by the Historical Thesaurus.

Chapter 1 places the thesis in a wider academic context. It explains briefly the Historical Thesaurus project, and describes how the classification of Good and Evil contributes to this. It also relates the thesis to linguistics, semantics, and especially to semantic theory, lexicography, and semantic classification.

Chapter 2 defines the semantic field Good and Evil and discusses how the field was assembled. It provides details of those areas which were either rejected or extended in order to form the semantic field. It then describes in some detail the classification system devised for Good and Evil. The structure of the classification is explained, the use of the parts of speech as a valuable classificatory device is justified, and the contribution of other classificatory work is acknowledged. The chapter also discusses some particular problems and features of the Old English corpus. It ends with lists of stylistic and other conventions.

Chapter 3 contains the Good and Evil classification, and chapter 4 consists of detailed notes on the classification. These notes discuss points relating to dating, Old English material, classificatory devices, closely connected categories, and some problems of dictionary definitions, among other things.

Chapter 5 conducts a number of studies based on historical and etymological information drawn from the classification. The relative numbers of accessions and losses in different centuries in the categories are presented and discussed. The range of sources of origin of a limited number of categories are detailed. The patterns of change, and the extent and rate of influence of different languages in different centuries, are then commented on and compared.

Chapter 6 selects one area of vocabulary from Good and Evil - animal names used as names for people - and subjects this area to a detailed examination. The variety of animal names, and the range of people to whom they are applied, are discussed, and various statistics and comparisons are drawn up. Also considered is the time gap between the first literal use of an animal name and the first figurative or metaphorical application of the same term to a person. In the process some interesting and, on occasion, unproven points about animal metaphor are brought to light.

The thesis ends with three appendices. The first contains extra Good and Evil material not in the main classification, the second details 19th century obsolescences, and the third gives a numerical distribution of items in each category by part of speech.
## Abbreviations and Conventions

In the course of this thesis the following abbreviations and conventions are used. Further abbreviations and conventions not listed here occur in chapter 2, and in the classification in chapter 3. These are explained in chapter 2, especially in 2.3.5 and 2.5. Those given below are used throughout the thesis. Full details of the books referred to can be found in the Bibliography.

(1) **Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Componential Analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Hall</td>
<td><em>A Concise Anglo-Saxon Dictionary</em> by John R. Clark Hall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Middle English.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODEE</td>
<td><em>The Oxford Dictionary of English Etymology</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE</td>
<td>Old English.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OED</td>
<td><em>The Oxford English Dictionary</em> (and, in many references, its Supplement).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English dictionaries</td>
<td>Bosworth Toller and Clark Hall (see above).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following terms and conventions are used in the following ways:

- **field**
  - A large area of meaning containing many inter-related lexical items, for example Good and Evil. As Good and Evil has two distinct parts, each on its own is also regarded as a semantic field.

- **semantic field**
  - many inter-related lexical items, for example Good and Evil. As Good and Evil has two distinct parts, each on its own is also regarded as a semantic field.

- **concept**
  - A unit of meaning, often capable of being expressed by different lexical items. Each category in the classification covers a concept. Some items in a category also relate to other concepts.

- **item**
  - A unit of vocabulary, either a word or a phrase. Every entry in the classification includes a (lexical) item. In the text (lexical) items are referred to in inverted commas (see below).

- **entry**
  - A lexical item along with its dates of currency, as presented in the classification in chapter 3.

- **component**
  - A unit of meaning in a definition, covering a single concept.

- **element**
  - A component (see preceding).

- **Evil**
  - An underlined word or phrase with an initial capital is used to refer to the semantic field of that name. Further examples are Religion, Good and Evil.

- **'evil'**
  - A word (or phrase) in inverted commas, with no underlining, is used to denote either a lexical item (often accompanied by its dates) or a concept. Similarly, 'religion', 'kindness'.

- **evil**
  - A word (or phrase) with no typographical marking sometimes denotes a concept, occasionally denotes a lexical item, or is being used in context in a normal sense.

- **R616 Evil**
  - R stands for Roget. R followed by a number and underlined heading is used to refer to a Roget head.
Chapter 1

Introduction

The principal aim of this thesis is to present a detailed classification of the semantic field Good and Evil. The thesis also aims to explain fully the composition of the semantic field and the structure of the classification system. In addition, it uses the classified material to conduct a number of studies of a linguistic and statistical nature.

Prior to embarking on the thesis proper, it is important to place it in the context of the larger work and various disciplines to which it contributes and relates, and to acknowledge the extent and value of its contribution.

The central part of the thesis is the classification of Good and Evil which is presented in chapter 3. This classification also forms part of the Historical Thesaurus of English currently in preparation in the English Language Department of Glasgow University. The Historical Thesaurus of English (generally referred to in this thesis as the Historical Thesaurus) is a work which will resemble Roget's Thesaurus and other similar works in that words and phrases will be arranged conceptually, or on the basis of their meanings, rather than alphabetically, but it will differ from these works by including not only current words but also obsolete words and obsolete senses of current words. One other important extra feature it will have is that all its entries will be dated, with a first date and, if obsolete, a
closing date. Within sections (and subsections) the entries will therefore be arranged chronologically on the basis of their earliest recorded date in English.

The inception of the Historical Thesaurus project was announced in 1964 by Professor M.L. Samuels in an address to the Philological Society. He said that

'Such a work would tell us how many and which words were available, to each writer in the past periods, for the expression of a given notion...and it would provide the basic material necessary for detecting and solving all the problems of 'semantic fields' in English, notably the connections, in each field, between semantic shift, verbal obsolescence and innovation.'

In 1972 he emphasized again the need for such a Thesaurus, and indeed by that time the work was underway.

Even before 1964 though, others had seen the wider need for a good semantic classification. In the 1950s Stephen Ullmann commented that 'Practically all monographs on semantics have expatiated at length on the problems of classification. It is almost invariably the cornerstone of their structure' and that 'the urge for classification remains unaltered.'

The Historical Thesaurus aims to answer these needs.

The principal source of material for the Historical Thesaurus is the Oxford English Dictionary and its Supplement. At the time of writing this thesis compilation from the OED and from Volumes I to III of the Supplement was complete, and compilation from Volume IV was still in progress. The specific details of the methods used to transcribe the information from the OED and Supplement on to 6x4 slips for the Historical Thesaurus archive are not pursued further here, but more details about them, and about Historical Thesaurus policy, can be found in L.W. Collier and C.J. Kay 'The Historical Thesaurus of
English' and C. J. Kay 'The Historical Thesaurus of English.' The Oxford dictionaries are complemented with material drawn from Bosworth and Toller's and Clark Hall's Old English Dictionaries. The reason for this is that the OED 'aims at exhibiting the history and signification of the English words now in use or known to have been in use since the middle of the 12th century... Hence we exclude all words that had become obsolete by 1150.' If a word dates from Old English, and lasts beyond 1150, the OED includes it, but not otherwise. The missing language of the Old English period is consequently supplied for the Historical Thesaurus from Old English dictionaries. The compilation of the Old English material was completed several years ago.

The Oxford English Dictionary is a work of unparalleled quality in lexicography worldwide. A. C. Baugh refers to it as 'this great publication - the greatest dictionary of any language in the world.' and adds that 'it has provided a wealth of exact data on which many questions relating to the history of the language have been resolved.' In spite of this, and in spite of its comprehensive and excellent Supplement, the OED is not now without its weaknesses. The publication this century of editions of many early and medieval texts, and the gradual publication of the Middle English Dictionary and the Dictionary of the Older Scottish Tongue, both of which include dated quotations from early texts, are bringing to light a significant number of antedatings of OED senses. Studies such as that conducted by Jürgen Schäfer on a selected area of the OED also reveal the potential for antedating OED senses. However, beyond recognizing and acknowledging this fact, this thesis does not propose to consider it further. The material for the Historical Thesaurus - and hence for the Good and Evil
classification in this thesis - is based on the OED as it stands. It is consequently inevitable that in addition to reflecting the greatness of that work, it should also reflect its weaknesses.

So far as the Historical Thesaurus is concerned, a more immediate problem than that of dates in the OED, is that of circularity of definition. This feature of OED is particularly prevalent in areas of abstract vocabulary, and it is in these areas that it causes the greatest difficulties for the Historical Thesaurus classifier. The problem is illustrated in several places in this thesis (see especially p. 68 and p. 257). It should be stated here, however, that the OED was not written in order that its definitions might be used as the basis for fine semantic classification. The function of its definitions is to explain and clarify the meanings of words, and although circularity of definition is indeed a problem for Historical Thesaurus classifiers (and hence in this classification of Good and Evil) it ought not to be regarded as a weakness of the OED in terms of that dictionary's stated aims, which are 'exhibiting the history and signification of English words.' As Kay and Samuels comment, 'A difficulty...is that the editors of large dictionaries do not claim any special merits for their definitions, which they regard merely as convenient labels for the series of quotations which they have grouped together.'

The Historical Thesaurus archive has been assembled using as a preliminary classification the system followed by the 1962 edition of Roget's Thesaurus. Each sense of every item carded from the OED has been assigned a number, corresponding to its meaning, based on one of the 990 numbered heads of Roget's Thesaurus. These senses have all been filed in numerical order according to Roget numbers.
Roget's system, however, was initially devised for a limited vocabulary and although undoubtedly a brilliant achievement (see further on p. 18) it cannot adequately contain the breadth of vocabulary covered in the Historical Thesaurus.\(^{18}\) As Peter Mark Roget himself stated in his introduction to the original Thesaurus in 1852 'There are a multitude of words of a specific character which, although they properly occupy places in the columns of a dictionary..do not come within the scope of this compilation, and are consequently omitted.'\(^{19}\)

Therefore, although it proved a valuable preliminary storage system for the Historical Thesaurus material, a primary task of the Historical Thesaurus compilers has always been the development and application of a new and comprehensive classification system. The task of developing this classification system - 'a modified folk taxonomy\(^{20}\) - has been carried out chiefly by Miss C.J. Kay, with help from other members of the project in Glasgow and elsewhere. A brief outline of the provisional classification system is presented in chapter 2 (see p. 38).

This thesis contains a detailed and exact classification of 9071 lexical items, which collectively form the semantic field Good and Evil. These items were initially drawn from the material contained under the appropriate Roget numbers in the Historical Thesaurus archive (a list of the numbers selected can be found in chapter 2, p. 34 and p. 35). A precise classification system - drawing partly on that devised by Chase for Religion\(^{21}\) - was developed for the Good and Evil corpus, and the classified semantic field is presented in chapter 3. That chapter - with some minor alterations to enable it to conform to the Historical Thesaurus classification style - will slot into the Historical Thesaurus, and form an integral part of
the parent work. The Good and Evil classification system has also contributed to the development of the Historical Thesaurus system, as is explained in chapter 2 (p. 75).

However the thesis as a whole extends beyond a classification of lexis and relates in the elements it covers to other disciplines and subjects, in addition to the Historical Thesaurus. It is consequently useful at this stage to place the thesis in a broader academic context. For some subjects though, it is more valuable to leave references to their literature to the part of the thesis in which they are mentioned, as these references have more relevance at that point. These include the nature and division of Good and Evil, the Old English corpus, and other subjects discussed in chapter 2, and metaphor, which is discussed in chapter 6.

The thesis—and especially the classification in chapter 3—touches on several different areas. As a work which is concerned with language and meaning it arguably belongs in the field of linguistics and, within linguistics, it relates especially closely to semantics. The classification system—explained in chapter 2, applied in chapter 3—is of relevance both to semantic theory and, in a broader context, to taxonomy in general. The material which forms the classification is drawn from several dictionaries, and contributes to another form of dictionary, and in these respects it is relevant to lexicography.

Modern linguistics is generally held to date from early this century, and specifically from the time of the publication of Ferdinand de Saussure's Cours de linguistique générale in 1916. Saussure's seminal thoughts have led him to be regarded as 'the father of modern linguistics' and the influence of his Cours has
been 'unparalleled in European linguistics since.'

Unlike many of his predecessors, Saussure was particularly concerned with the nature of language, rather than simply with the features of language. Saussure himself — according to Culler — comments that the 19th century grammarians 'never succeeded in founding a true linguistics because they did not try to determine the nature of the object they were studying and did not ask what was the significance of the relationships they discovered.' In a letter written in 1894, he also comments that he was 'above all preoccupied with the logical classification of linguistic facts and with the classification of the points of view from which we treat them.'

It would not be appropriate to discuss the full range of Saussure's theories here, but one line of approach which he took is worth mentioning. Saussure made a clear distinction between the synchronic and the diachronic study of language (i.e. the study of language in one state, without taking time into account, and the study of the evolution of language through time). He 'urges the necessity of distinguishing the synchronic and diachronic perspectives.' The classification of Good and Evil in this thesis — and indeed the entire Historical Thesaurus — is diachronic in its presentation, in that it covers the currency and existence of items from Old English up to the present day — a period of more than 1000 years. Yet the information it contains can be used synchronically. By providing the dates of currency of every item, it enables us to pick out which words are or were available to express a given concept at a given time and to study the language as captured in single periods of time, in a synchronic way. The Good and Evil classification reflects this double perspective of Saussure's approach to linguistics.
Saussure's way of thinking is to a large extent the basis of linguistic study today. The analysis and description of language is now a central purpose of linguistic investigation.

Since the time of Saussure linguistics has progressed considerably. It is now generally regarded as having several branches or subdivisions, including phonetics, morphology, and semantics. The branch which is most relevant to this thesis is semantics. Semantics - 'a major branch of linguistics devoted to the study of meaning in language'- is, according to Ullmann, writing in the 1950s, 'the youngest branch of modern linguistics.' Having made that statement, Ullmann then goes on to date semantics from 1839, but does acknowledge that it was not until this century, especially in the twenties and thirties, that semantics really began to develop. In fact in the years since Ullmann's book was published the interest in and rate of development of semantics has increased even more widely and rapidly. Eleven years after The Principles of Semantics was first published, Ullmann writes, in 1962, 'During the last decade there has been a considerably quickening of interest in semantics.' And in 1981 Leech writes 'the last fifteen years have seen an explosive growth of mental activity in the field of semantics.'

One problem with a science or discipline which is relatively new is that the terminology tends not to have settled down or, even if it has settled down, to have a recent history of inconsistency and variation. This problem occurs not just in semantics but in linguistics generally. Ullmann (in the 1950s) quotes another scholar (Schuchardt) when he says 'Terminological uncertainties have the same effect on research as fog has on shipping,' and he then goes on to add that, where semantics is concerned, 'The very name of the science is largely
controversial.'\textsuperscript{36} With the passage of time, terminology does settle down, but even in 1971 Crystal writes of linguistics (which has been established for longer than semantics) that 'The lack of standardization is certainly a problem—and it is a clear sign of the immaturity of linguistics that an agreed terminology has not yet developed.'\textsuperscript{37} He further adds 'The problem of terminology and the need for criteria perhaps affects linguistics more than other subjects.'\textsuperscript{38} Lyons, in 1977, expresses the same sentiment, but of semantics: 'One of the biggest problems...has been terminological. It is frequently the case in...semantics and semiotics that the same terms are employed in quite different senses by different authors or that there are several alternatives for...the same phenomenon.'\textsuperscript{39}

The following discussion, which attempts to place semantics in a linguistic context, illustrates this problem with terminology. Semantics is the subject we are most concerned with here, but as a branch of linguistics it is naturally related to other branches of the same discipline. The gist of the statements below, made by various scholars, is that semantics is most closely related to syntax and phonology (although these two branches appear under a variety of other branches and names) and that each branch complements the others in the formation of an effective study of linguistics.

The branches and their relationships have been presented over the years as follows. Ullmann, in 1962, refers to the earliest branches of linguistic study, in the first century B.C., as 'etymology...morphology and syntax.'\textsuperscript{40} He also refers to semantics as a more recent branch of linguistics, dating from the 19th century.\textsuperscript{41} Katz and Fodor, in 1963, state that language description should consist of 'a grammatical and semantic characterization of that language (where...'gramm-
atical' is construed broadly to include phonology, phonemics, morphology, and syntax).\textsuperscript{42} Lyons (1968) describes 'the terms generally used by linguists' as phonology, grammar, and semantics.\textsuperscript{43} J.M.Y. Simpson (1979) also talks of three areas (or four, if the first two are taken separately): 'phonetics and phonology, grammar, and semantics.'\textsuperscript{44} R.H. Robins (1980) specifies these same areas ('phonetics, phonology, grammar, semantics'), and mentions one further study - that of written forms - 'graphics'.\textsuperscript{45} Crystal (1980) takes a wider view. He divides linguistics into various branches including 'structural linguistics' within which come syntax and phonology,\textsuperscript{46} and 'structural semantics' which 'displays the application of the principles of structural linguistics to the study of meaning through the notion of semantic relations.'\textsuperscript{47}

From the above (with the exception of Ullmann in 1962) we can extrapolate that linguistics has at least three major branches (the question of additional branches does not concern us here) which are syntax (also known as grammar), phonology (also known as phonetics and phonology), and semantics. Crystal (1980) states that these branches come under structural linguistics,\textsuperscript{48} but most other writers treat them as simply belonging to linguistics, although what these branches and their terminology are varies slightly from scholar to scholar. It seems likely - though not certain - that the term syntax is replacing grammar in this context.

Crystal (1980) describes grammar as 'a central term in linguistics, but one which covers a wide range of phenomena.'\textsuperscript{49} Within this he relates grammar to syntax, in so far as grammar can be either a description of, or a level of organization of, syntax, morphology, and on occasion, other areas. This thesis takes the view that linguistics
- or perhaps (in Crystal's terminology) structural linguistics - has three main branches: phonology (the study of sound in language), semantics (the study of meaning in language), and syntax (the structure of language).

As was mentioned above, the purpose of establishing these branches is to place semantics (the subject most relevant in this thesis) in a broader linguistic context. Phonology is of no further relevance here, as the study of sound is not touched upon in this thesis, but syntax and semantics, and how they relate to one another, are important. The central part of this thesis deals with the development and application of a system of semantic classification. Any classification requires a structure, and if the classification is semantic it is likely that the structure will be to some degree syntactic, as the two areas of study are closely linked.

In fact, it is generally acknowledged by scholars that any semantic theory or description cannot be effected without the use of syntax in some form or other. Which form is a matter of some discussion and contention. Kempson comments that 'the exact nature of the relation between syntactic structure and semantics is one of the still hotly debated issues in linguistic theory.'50 Leech emphasizes the importance, in semantic theory, of 'being able to account for the relation of the semantic representation of an utterance to its representations at other levels, and particularly at the level of syntax.'51 Cruse reinforces this relationship, with his comment that 'Drawing a clear-cut distinction between meaning and grammar is not an easy task, because the two are so intimately interwoven.'52 Kempson, again, states that the prediction of meaning '(a matter of semantics) depends on grammatical labels such as adverb,
subject and object (a matter for syntax).⁵³

Kempson also acknowledges that no ideal semantic theory has yet been formulated: 'there is still no one semantic theory which enjoys widespread acceptance, even in bare outlines.'⁵⁴

It is not the express purpose of this thesis to offer semantic theories as such, but it is important to recognize current attitudes to these, and to the relationship between semantic description and syntax. The semantic classification which forms chapter 3 of this thesis makes much use of the parts of speech (a syntactic or grammatical feature) as a classificatory device. In this respect it links semantic description and syntax and conforms to some of the views expressed by the writers above. See further on p. 74 ff.

There is one particular semantic approach which, although it is not fully applied in this thesis, is potentially of great value in the classification of abstract vocabulary, and is drawn on and referred to on a number of occasions in the course of the thesis. This approach is known as componential analysis (or CA), and as it is considered later in the thesis, it deserves some general discussion at this point.

Componential analysis is used to pinpoint the difference in meaning between closely related words. It 'assumes that words do not have unitary meanings but are complexes of components.'⁵⁵ If the components of related lexical items are established and set out, their separate meanings and semantic distinctions can be clearly and logically shown. This is the aim of CA. It achieves its results by 'breaking down the sense of a word into its minimal components.'⁵⁶

The term 'minimal components' is one of a number of terms used by different writers to denote the fundamental semantic units which together form the meanings of words. Other terms include universal
components, semantic components, semantic universals, and semantic primitives. Kay and Samuels\textsuperscript{57} use the term 'primitives', and this is also the term used here. According to Kay and Samuels, lexical items must be reduced to primitives, or to paraphrases which derive explicitly from primitives.\textsuperscript{58} Distinctions in meaning can then be seen in terms of the set of primitives for each item, and how these sets differ from one another. Although this method can in theory be applied to any lexical items, it is most effective when the items being distinguished are closely related (see the 'man, woman, boy, girl' example as set out in Leech (1981) p.90 ff. and Lyons (1981) p.76 ff.,\textsuperscript{59} among other writers).

If the items are fairly straightforward concrete terms there is often no particular problem in distinguishing their meanings, and componential analysis has little to contribute. If the items are abstract though, and difficult to distinguish semantically from one another, componential analysis can play an important role in clarifying their distinctions by means of differences in their actual semantic components. Kay and Samuels comment that '..it is in this area [the second half of Roget's Thesaurus] that abstract concepts most notoriously 'fade into each other' and that CA should have most to contribute.'\textsuperscript{60}

Componential analysis is a technique which was originally applied to the study of kinship terms in anthropology, and later transferred to the study of meaning distinction in semantics. Tribal kinship terms are often more complex than those in English, and various analyses of these, using componential analysis, were conducted in the 1950s and 1960s. Most prominent among them were those by Lounsbury\textsuperscript{61} and Goodenough.\textsuperscript{62} A simplification of the Lounsbury
analysis can be found in Leech (1981) p. 237 ff. Further work with componential analysis of a non-kinship nature was conducted by Bendix. 63

One important paper in the development of CA as a semantic tool (and indeed in the development of semantic theory in general) was that by Katz and Fodor, published in 1963. Although Katz and Fodor do not explicitly state that they are using a method called 'componential analysis', the structural breakdown of the dictionary entry for 'bachelor' which they provide is clearly componential. 64 As Lyons states 'The Katz-Fodor theory of sentence-meaning is formulated within the framework of componential analysis.' 65

CA has, however, been criticized as a linguistic tool. A central criticism concerns the nature of semantic primitives. Lyons (1968) refers to 'the alleged universality of semantic components' 66 and adds that 'many have tried, and failed, to find a set of universal components.' 67 Nida notes that 'a number of fundamental difficulties are involved in determining the diagnostic components of the meanings of semantic units...Perhaps the most obvious difficulty involves the lack of an adequate metalanguage.' 68 Kempson discusses what she describes as 'one of the most notorious aspects of lexical componential analysis - the implicit universal status of the components themselves.' 69 Lyons (1977) reiterates these points, 70 and also comments generally that 'the analyses that have been published are incomplete and, for the most part, unconvincing...one should be cautious about accepting as valid the claims that are made on behalf of componential analysis.' 71

A much more positive view, and an outline of, and answers to, some of the major criticisms of CA can be found in Kay and Samuels (1975). 72 Leech (1981) also regards CA as 'a useful and revealing
technique for demonstrating relations of meanings between words',73 and again outlines and answers some of its criticisms.74 Nida is also positive in his outlook, although in the course of his experiments some of the weaknesses of CA are brought to light and commented on.75

Of the work conducted using CA, that which deals with abstract concepts is of most relevance here. It includes Fillmore's article on 'verbs of judging',76 and especially Kay and Samuels's article - stimulated by Historical Thesaurus research - on the classification and analysis of abstract concepts.77 Both these articles cover the problems of components for, and the distinction of meaning of, abstract concepts.

Kay and Samuels propose a range of 36 free-standing primitives, supplemented by 44 secondary terms derived from the first 36, on the basis of which to provide componential definitions of the (largely abstract) ideas covered in the second half of Roget's Thesaurus. These componential definitions are in turn based on the OED definitions of the items concerned. (Katz and Fodor (1963) also use dictionary definitions as a basis for their semantic theory.)

It would be possible to conduct a similar exercise on some of the material - or, maybe more usefully, on some of the category headings and key items - from the Good and Evil classification in chapter 3. This has not been done, but a less scientific exercise, resembling this in a number of respects, is applied later in the thesis (see below).

The classification system devised for Good and Evil does not attempt to reduce category headings to semantic primitives, but it does wherever possible reduce the heading to one single word which
aims to sum up the concept covered by the category. It also reduces the eight section headings to basic elements - each consisting of Good or Evil and, where applicable, one other idea expressed by an additional single word or short phrase. (See chapter 2 p.64 ff. and p.67 ff.) Thus although CA is not applied there is, to that extent, a reduction of meaning from the dictionary definitions of the items in the category to a single-concept (or occasionally double-concept) heading.

In fact, on a number of occasions, the distinction between category headings - or the reason for choosing a particular category heading - is not immediately clear. In two places where this happens these headings - supplemented by several items from the category itself - are picked out, and they appear, along with their OED definitions, in chapter 4. The provision of the definitions of the items enable the key elements of each - on the basis of which they form or belong in a particular category - to be revealed and specified. This is the first stage in the development of a componential analysis, and also indicates the componential nature of the Good and Evil classification system. See (in chapter 4) the notes for ED1 to ED6 (p.306 ff.) and for EE5 to EE8 (p.316 ff.).

Componential analysis is basically a device for semantic classification. As Kay and Samuels comment, in their paper on CA, 'This paper arises from..the problem of deciding what system of classification would be most suitable for a large thesaurus.' The paper examines 'how far componential analysis..might be used as the basis for a new classification.'

One other area to which the Good and Evil classification is related is lexicography. A dictionary is effectively a presentation of words
arranged or classified in a way which either conveys their meanings, or conveys something about their meanings. Most dictionaries are alphabetic, but some – like Roget's Thesaurus – are conceptual. This next part of the chapter aims to provide some brief background information about alphabetic and thematic lexicography, especially in so far as the Good and Evil classification and the Historical Thesaurus contribute to or belong in these areas. The chapter concludes by returning to the related area of semantic classification.

As a subject of discussion and academic study, lexicography is fairly new. As a craft, it goes back many centuries. The earliest forms of dictionaries in Britain were Latin glosses dating from the 7th and 8th centuries. The first Latin-English dictionary appeared in the 14th century, and the first English dictionaries in the 16th century. In the 18th century Johnson's Dictionary was published, and in the 19th and 20th centuries the OED (and latterly, its Supplement, and forthcoming (in 1989) the second edition) was published. A full history of English lexicography up to 1900 is provided in James Murray's The Evolution of English Lexicography. 80

This thesis relates especially to two kinds of lexicography. One is historical lexicography, in which words are traditionally arranged alphabetically and their past and present meanings displayed. The cornerstone of this kind of lexicography is the OED. The other is thesaurus-making, in which words are arranged conceptually. The Historical Thesaurus, and hence the Good and Evil classification here, embraces and draws together these two kinds of lexicography. It is both historical and conceptual.

One account of historical lexicography, and of large dictionary projects both underway and completed, can be found in R. Merkin's
'The historical/academic dictionary.' Merkin dates historical lexicography from 1808 when John Jamieson published *An Etymological Dictionary of the Scottish Language.* Merkin also comments that 'The classic example of a complete historical dictionary is the *Oxford English Dictionary.*' Thematic lexicography is discussed by T. McArthur in *Worlds of Reference.* He begins by outlining Francis Bacon's taxonomy of knowledge, and then refers to several 16th and 17th century thematic arrangements of English-Latin words and phrases. These include William Bathe's *Ianua linguarum* or 'The Gate of Tongues', of 1615, which 'consisted of some 5000 items arranged in 12 themes.' He also mentions John Wilkins's *An Essay towards a Real Character and a Philosophical Language* of 1668, which includes a universal thematic taxonomy similar to Bacon's and Bathe's. Today, as McArthur acknowledges, 'for most people...the only serious example of a thematic wordbook' is *Roget's Thesaurus.* McArthur outlines Roget's debt to various predecessors, especially Bacon and Wilkins, and comments that 'Roget achieved a masterpiece of specialized cataloguing' and produced 'a pioneering attempt at semantic ordering.' Landau compares the thematic approach of Roget and his successors with the perhaps simpler alphabetic approach of dictionaries of synonyms. He observes that 'The conceptual arrangement is associated with extreme inclusiveness' while 'The alphabetic arrangement is usually associated with more selectivity.' This viewpoint supports the conceptual approach as adopted for the *Historical Thesaurus.* That work, which is attempting to cover more than 1000 years of English vocabulary, is of unparalleled breadth in thematic lexicography. Closely related to thematic lexicography is the wider question
of semantic taxonomy. Componential analysis, covered earlier in this chapter, establishes the meanings of words by breaking down and classifying their semantic components. The use of folk taxonomies in lexicography is discussed by H. Conklin in 'Lexicographical Treatment of Folk Taxonomies.' He concludes that 'most dictionaries will continue to be organized primarily as alphabetic indices' but he also outlines a number of ways in which 'structural semantic information...' might be more adequately covered in such dictionaries.

The Historical Thesaurus, with its 'modified folk taxonomy', of which the Good and Evil classification in chapter 3 forms a part, supports his general view, although it is not a work of traditional alphabetic lexicography.

Paul Kay, taking a wider approach in 'Taxonomy and Semantic Contrast', comments that 'the concept of taxonomy is becoming one of increasing importance in the fields of ethnography and semantics.' He then goes on to discuss taxonomy from a mathematical and theoretical viewpoint. Of relevance to Good and Evil is his comment that 'the organization of meaning relations necessarily entails the notion of (semantic) contrast.' The Good and Evil classification takes the approach that Good and Evil are two contrasting notions (see p. 59 ff.), and further that the understanding of an abstract concept partially depends on its being contrasted with its opposite, or with that which it is not (see p. 86 ff.).

One other article which discusses semantic classification is Bierwisch's 'On Classifying Semantic Features.' Bierwisch's approach is syntactic and is conducted in 'the general framework of transformational generative grammar.' As such it is concerned with deep structure and is very different from the approach taken in
this thesis. One point of agreement though, is the belief that semantic classification is necessarily linked with syntax (already discussed in this chapter, and exemplified by the use of the parts of speech in the classification in chapter 3). As Bierwisch says 'semantic representations must be connected to syntactic surface representations.'

There are various other works relating to lexicography and semantic classification which could be mentioned, but as their approach is often very different from that of Good and Evil, any reference to them here would arguably not contribute greatly to this thesis. Furthermore, the subject of semantic classification is, to some extent, also covered elsewhere in the thesis. Semantic theory, which was discussed earlier in this chapter, is closely related to semantic classification, and in chapter 2, the subject of semantic classification, especially of other fields assembled as the Good and Evil field was, is discussed (see especially 2.3.4, p. 73 ff.).

Summarizing Remarks

In the course of this introductory chapter a variety of subjects, all of them relevant to the topic of this thesis, have been raised and discussed. In the process the thesis has been placed in the context of several related areas.

The chapter opened with an account of the Historical Thesaurus project and described how both the Good and Evil classification, and the classification system devised for it, contribute to this project. The value and the weaknesses of the OED and Roget's Thesaurus were also mentioned.

It was then pointed out that in a wider context the thesis
belongs in linguistics, and especially semantics. After a brief
discussion on modern linguistics, it was noted that the Good and Evil
classification covers both the synchronic and diachronic linguistic
approaches as distinguished by Saussure. This was followed by some
commentary on the problems of terminology in linguistics and semantics,
after which an outline of semantics and other major branches of
linguistics was presented. By this means the relationship of semantics
to these branches, and the place of semantics in linguistics, was
specified. The use of syntax in semantic description or theory was
then considered, and it was observed that the Good and Evil classifi-
cation, in keeping with the views of various linguists cited, is
semantic and yet uses syntactic features.

One semantic approach of special importance in the classification
of abstract vocabulary - componential analysis - was then discussed
in some detail, and reference was made to the related semantic
analysis which is applied in chapter 4.

The discussion then turned to lexicography, and after some back-
ground lexicographical information, the contribution of the Good and
Evil classification to both historical and thematic lexicography was
outlined. The chapter ended by looking again at the wider area of
semantic classification. The views of several writers on various
aspects of this subject were expressed, and the extent to which the
Good and Evil classification reflects their views was outlined.
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Chapter 2

Background to the Classification

2.1 Introduction

This chapter consists of four sections, all of which relate directly to the classification in chapter 3. The first two sections are very closely connected and consist respectively of a delimitation of the semantic field and an explanation of the classification system. Of necessity, as will be seen, they inter-relate and overlap. The third section discusses some specific features and problems of the Old English corpus in the classification. The fourth section consists of information on stylistic points, labels, and other general features of the classification.

2.2 The Semantic Field

The semantic field Good and Evil consists of 9071 lexical items, of which 3373 belong in Good and 5698 in Evil (detailed tables of these numbers can be found in chapter 5, p. 326 ff.). This semantic field, as it appears in this thesis, can only be described and understood in terms of the classification system by means of which it is presented in chapter 3. However, the classification system cannot be properly explained without a prior understanding of the semantic field for which it was devised. This problem of mutual interdependence has been tackled as follows. In this section of the chapter, the basic structure of the classification system is explained.
in so far as is necessary for an understanding of the semantic field. The section then concentrates on an explanation of the semantic field. In the next section there is a detailed explanation of the classification system, covering both its development and the reasons why it developed as it did.

Firstly, however, it is important to state why the composition of the semantic field needs to be explained and justified. The basic reason is that the field Good and Evil is not clear cut, neither in its internal distinctions nor its external boundaries. It contains material some of which overlaps within the field, and some of which overlaps with other fields. This is due to the abstract nature of Good and Evil, and is compounded by the consequent circularity of OED definitions of many items in the field.

2.2.1 The Breadth of Good and Evil

The very title of the semantic field - Good and Evil - contains two key words which are both names for abstract concepts of the most wide-ranging kind. The OED defines its entry for 'good' adjective, prior to any sense divisions, as 'The most general adj. of commendation, implying the existence in a high, or at least satisfactory, degree of characteristic qualities which are either admirable in themselves or useful for some purpose.' The first major semantic division in the entry is further defined 'In the widest sense, without other specialization than such as is implied by the nature of the object which the adj. is used to describe.' The OED entry for 'evil' adjective is defined, prior to sense divisions, as 'The antithesis of GOOD in all its principal senses.' The OED also comments for 'evil' that 'In OE..this word is the most comprehensive adjectival
expression of disapproval, dislike, or disparagement', although it adds that in later English the word is much less used, being commonly replaced by 'bad'. (The semantic relationship between 'evil', 'wicked', and 'bad' is considered in chapter 4, p.280.)

The conceptual breadth of Good and Evil, and the difficulty of stating precisely what they are, is further borne out by the attitudes of philosophers, as illustrated both in entries in philosophical dictionaries, and in other writings. According to A.R. Lacey 'good' is 'Very roughly, the property or characterization of a thing giving rise to commendation. Ever since Aristotle, 'good' has caused bewilderment by its many uses...the noun as well as the adjective raises problems. Some help can be got from studying the most natural opposites.' Peter E. Angeles quotes other philosophers when writing about 'good'. These include G.E. Moore, who states, 'Good is like yellow: a simple indefinable property.' For 'evil' Angeles provides a dictionary type of definition: 'That which is injurious, painful, hurtful,...Morally bad...which impedes the achievement of goals, happiness...'. P.T. Geach discusses the attitudes of different schools of philosophy to the philosophical problems inherent in the nature of 'good' and 'evil'. The difficulty of this subject matter is reflected in his concluding comments: 'I am well aware that much of this discussion is unsatisfying..on many points..I certainly do not see clear.' R.M. Hare's reply to Geach's paper picks up many weaknesses but provides no further real clarification. Other philosophers, including G.H. Von Wright, have also discussed these problems, but as it is not the aim of this thesis to engage in discussion on the philosophical nature of Good and Evil, the views of these writers are not reflected here.
The comments above indicate the breadth and difficulty—in philosophy as well as semantics—of the concepts of 'good' and 'evil'.

The semantic breadth of the title words in the field Good and Evil might suggest that the field ought to include a wide range of concepts and disciplines. Many semantic areas, including Law, Religion, and Philosophy—involve the concepts of 'good' and 'evil', but clearly an analysis of all these areas would be well beyond the scope of this thesis. It is essential to draw firm boundaries for the Good and Evil field, and to make clear decisions about where these boundaries should occur. At an early stage the decision was taken that the Good and Evil field should only cover areas in which either 'good' or 'evil' is a central concept. There is no value in drawing in areas, like philosophy, in which 'good' and 'evil' are secondary rather than primary concepts, as these areas would simply detract from the clarity and the delimitation of the Good and Evil field, and from the effectiveness of any analysis of, or extrapolations from, this field. It is inevitable that there is overlap between fields, particularly between fields dealing with abstract concepts. Clear delimitations must be specified.

It should be reiterated here that the selection and classification of the Good and Evil field is based on the definitions of the lexical items concerned as given in the OED, its Supplement, and the Old English dictionaries. The reason for adhering closely to dictionary definitions is that the meanings of words denoting abstract concepts are often broad and vague (many examples in chapter 4 and elsewhere in the thesis bear this out), and in order to lend credibility to a classification of such concepts, it is essential to base that classification on a set of criteria which are firm and unwavering.
These criteria are the definitions in the OED and other dictionaries, as mentioned above.

However dictionary definitions, especially of abstract concepts, often overlap one another. Related words tend to be defined in terms of each other, and definitions also often include elements which relate the item being defined to a different semantic field. So, although the dictionary definitions provide a firm foundation for the classification, they also generate many of the overlaps both within and beyond the classification. The central concepts in the Good and Evil field are those which form the principal part of the dictionary definition, or which ideally occur in few other definitions. Secondary concepts tend to occur in the lists of synonyms which form the later part of a definition. The problem of overlapping definitions of items within Good and Evil is demonstrated by the following definitions (from the Historical Thesaurus slips) of key items from GB7 Trustworthy:

'trusty 1310--' is 'characterized by faithfulness or reliability; that may be trusted or relied upon; trustworthy.'

'faithful 1340/70--' is 'of persons and their actions; that may be believed or relied upon; trustworthy, veracious.'

'reliable 1569--' is 'that may be relied upon; in which reliance or confidence may be put; trustworthy, sure, safe.'

'trustworthy 1829--' is 'worthy of trust or confidence; reliable.'

The general problem of overlap among concepts, especially abstract concepts, has been pinpointed by various scholars. E.A. Nida comments that 'certain sets of related meanings appear to be so close to one another that one cannot determine whether or not they are complete synonyms...Even the dictionary definitions of these terms
are largely overlapping and indistinct." Kay and Samuels observe that 'abstract concepts most notoriously 'fade into each other.' Overlaps, within and beyond the classification, are discussed further in the course of this chapter, and also in chapter 4 (see especially the notes for ED1 to ED6, and EE5 to EE8).

2.2.2 The Assembling of the Field

The Good and Evil semantic field was assembled in several stages. Briefly, these were as follows. The first stage was the removal, from the Historical Thesaurus archive, of the lexical items stored under a carefully selected range of Roget numbers. The second stage was the arrangement of this material into a preliminary Good and Evil classification. From this, two other stages emerged. The third stage was the removal from this classification of any irrelevant or inappropriate material, and the fourth stage was the incorporation into the classification of additional relevant material, chiefly drawn from other Roget numbers. In the process of implementing these two stages the material was reclassified in the form in which it appears in chapter 3.

For stage one - the removal of the main body of Good and Evil material from the Historical Thesaurus archive - the Roget numbers selected were obviously those which cover areas in which 'good' and 'evil' are central concepts.

The Roget classification system, whenever possible, places opposing notions side by side under adjacent numbers. As Peter Mark Roget, in his introduction to the original 1852 Thesaurus, says,

For the purpose of exhibiting with greater distinctness the relations between words expressing opposite and correlative ideas, I have, whenever
the subject admitted of such an arrangement, placed them in two parallel columns in the same page, so that each group of expressions may be readily contrasted with those which occupy the adjacent column, and constitute their antithesis. 10

This policy is convenient when selecting material for a dichotomous field such as Good and Evil, as it enables the material to be drawn from adjacent and corresponding Good and Evil numbers. The principal Roget numbers from which the bulk of the Good and Evil classification was drawn are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good</th>
<th>615 Good</th>
<th>644 Goodness</th>
<th>897 Benevolence</th>
<th>903 Benefactor</th>
<th>913 Right</th>
<th>917 Duty</th>
<th>929 Probity</th>
<th>933 Virtue</th>
<th>937 Good Man</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>616 Evil</td>
<td>645 Badness</td>
<td>898 Malevolence</td>
<td>904 Evildoer</td>
<td>914 Wrong</td>
<td>918 Dutillessness</td>
<td>930 Improbity</td>
<td>934 Wickedness</td>
<td>938 Bad Man</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In stage two, the material from these numbers was arranged into a first draft of the classification (Good and Evil were always treated as two separate entities - the reasons for this are explained in 2.3.1.1, p. 59 ff.) and from this stages three and four emerged. The classification revealed that many areas of vocabulary were only partially covered. For example, in Good, there were incomplete ranges of slips relating to nobility, truth, mercy, and duty, among other concepts, and in Evil, there were similar incomplete sections for vagabonds, evil-speaking, guilt, and business corruption, among others. Stage three involved the selection of the areas of vocabulary in which 'good' and 'evil' were not central concepts and the removal of these areas from the classification. This was facilitated by the fact that there was often another fairly obvious semantic area to which the items could be transferred. Stage four consisted of the selection of the areas in which 'good' and 'evil' were central concepts, but which
had only been partially assembled from the contents of the Roget numbers listed above, and the expansion of these areas, preferably by the location of the missing material in other Roget numbers, but if necessary by the extraction of obviously missing items from the OED or Old English dictionaries.

Those secondary Roget numbers from which extra material for the classification was provided are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>for Good</th>
<th>34 Superiority</th>
<th>for Evil</th>
<th>25 Disagreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>137 Occasion</td>
<td>176 Violence</td>
<td>35 Inferiority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>485 Belief</td>
<td>495 Error</td>
<td>137 Occasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>638 Importance</td>
<td>620 Avoidance</td>
<td>645 Error</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>646 Perfection</td>
<td>643 Inexpedience</td>
<td>646 Perfection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730 Prosperity</td>
<td>663 Pitfall</td>
<td>638 Importance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>781 Giving</td>
<td>731 Adversity</td>
<td>813 Liberality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>813 Liberality</td>
<td>824 Joy</td>
<td>730 Prosperity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>824 Joy</td>
<td>825 Suffering</td>
<td>905 Pity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>905 Pity</td>
<td>888 Hatred</td>
<td>869 Commonalty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>923 Approbation</td>
<td>889 Malediction</td>
<td>905 Pity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>979 Piety</td>
<td>906 Pitilessness</td>
<td>936 Guilt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>923 Approbation</td>
<td>952 Libertine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amount of material taken from each of these numbers varied considerably. From some only a handful of slips were removed, while others were depleted considerably to contribute to Good and Evil. Among those which were depleted were 905 Pity and 936 Guilt. A substantial amount of material was also removed from 899 Malediction.

Some further items have also been added from other unspecified Roget numbers, chiefly as a result of items being reallocated to the Good and Evil field, in the process of reclassification of the Historical Thesaurus archive.

The material for this classification was finally assembled in April 1984. At that stage the Historical Thesaurus compilers had covered all of the OED, and all of Volume I, most of Volume II, and
the beginning of Volume III of the Supplement. The compilation of the Old English corpus was complete. The remainder of the Supplement has since been virtually completed, and a listing of the additional Good and Evil material (including further items moved from other Roget numbers in the reclassification process) can be found in Appendix I.

2.2.3 The Historical Thesaurus Classification

Several references have been made above to the reclassification of the Historical Thesaurus archive. The classification system of Roget's Thesaurus has never been regarded as more than a temporary means of storage for the Historical Thesaurus. As was mentioned in chapter 1, the Roget system is unable to cope with the breadth of vocabulary being covered for the Historical Thesaurus. Some of Roget's weaknesses have already been mentioned or hinted at. The general problem with the Roget classification is that it is 'a classification of 'ideas,' not of lexis.' As a result some categories are so general as to be impractical (for example R194 Receptacle, which contains items as diverse as 'stomach', 'choir-stall', 'gravy-boat', and 'billiard room') while other categories, which are sometimes hundreds of pages, and Roget numbers, apart, contain closely related items (for example 'urchin' and 'brat' appear in R192 Young Person, while 'scalawag' and 'scamp' - similar concepts, but not exclusively applied to young people - appear in R938 Bad Man).

As was also mentioned in chapter 1, the development of a new classification system has always been regarded by the Historical Thesaurus compilers as a task of primary importance. This new system - a 'more intuitively natural classification' than Roget's - is now being brought into use and the material in the Historical Thesaurus
archive is gradually being transferred from Roget's system to the new system. At the time of writing this thesis approximately 60% of the 700,000 or so slips in the archive have been reclassified according to the new system.

As a result, any attempt to define this semantic field and to place it in relation to other fields cannot avoid reflecting to some extent the state of flux of the classification of the Historical Thesaurus archive. Whenever possible in this thesis, the Good and Evil field is related to other fields in terms of the new classification, but as the field was actually drawn from Roget numbers it is also on occasion related to other Roget numbers. Furthermore, material which was rejected from Good and Evil, but nonetheless does contain either 'good' or 'evil' as a secondary component (and is therefore worthy of mention in a discussion of the Good and Evil field) is generally referred to in terms of its new placing in the Historical Thesaurus classification, but is sometimes still referred to in terms of an alternative Roget number.

In spite of this though, it must be emphasized that the Roget classification is temporary and being replaced, and the new Historical Thesaurus system should be regarded as being of major significance.

At this stage therefore, prior to specific details on the delimitation of Good and Evil, it is important to explain, albeit briefly, several things. One is the new Historical Thesaurus classification, a second is the Good and Evil classification, and a third (in 2.2.4) is a listing of the external cross-references (to other parts of the Historical Thesaurus) which are placed at the end of appropriate categories in Good and Evil. These explanations are followed by a diagrammatic representation of Good and Evil and the other areas to
which each is related.

The following information about the Historical Thesaurus classification is taken from a synopsis of a provisional classification drawn up in 1984 (the external cross-references in the Good and Evil classification are also based on this 1984 version). The classification consists of three large sections as follows.

Section I The External World
Section II The Mind
Section III Society

Each of these sections is subdivided into smaller numbered and headed sections, each of which is in turn further subdivided, often several more times. For example, under section II we can have subdivision '2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality', and this title can have a variety of further qualifications (see the external cross-reference list on p. 41-3 for examples). To list the entire classification here would obviously be impractical, but a listing of the basic section numbers and headings contained in each large section is useful and provides a structure to which the external cross-references in Good and Evil can be related. Sections I and II each contain eight smaller sections, and section III has ten, as follows:

Section I 1 The Earth
2 Life
3 Sensation and Perception
4 Matter
5 Existence
6 Relative Properties
7 The Supernatural
8 Material Needs and Desiderata

Section II 1 Mental Processes
2 Expectation
3 Good or Bad Opinion
4 Aesthetic Opinion: Aesthetics
5 Statement
6 Possession: Have
7 Having what one wants: Satisfaction
8 Endeavour: Try, Succeed
Section III  1 Social Groups
          2 Social Relationships
          3 The State
          4 The Law
          5 The Church
          6 Communication
          7 Education
          8 Travel and Transport
          9 Work
         10 Leisure

The Historical Thesaurus classification is noun based and all its headings are presented in noun form. The Good and Evil classification is adjective based (the reasons for this are discussed on p. 82 ff.) and all its headings are adjectival. However, as the external cross-references are to the Historical Thesaurus classification, they conform to its rules and are presented in noun form.

2.2.4 Good and Evil: External Cross-References

The Good and Evil classification system is fully discussed later in this chapter. It basically consists of two large areas: Good and Evil. Each of these is divided into several large sections. Good has three sections (A-C) and Evil has five sections (A-E). These sections are as follows (G stands for Good and E for Evil):

GA Good  EA Evil
GB Good & Right  EB Evil & Inferior
GC Good to Self/Other  EC Evil & Wrong
          ED Evil & Treacherous
          EE Evil to Self/Other

Each of these sections is further divided into numbered headed categories (as GA5 Excellent, or ED7 Dishonest) ranging in number from eight (in GB) to 14 (in GC, EC, and EE). The classification has 93 categories in all - 34 in Good and 59 in Evil. A complete list of these categories can be found at the beginning of chapter 3.

Many of these categories border on or overlap with material in
other parts of the Historical Thesaurus, and when this happens there is a cross-reference at the end of the category to the part of the Historical Thesaurus where further relevant material may be found.

It should be pointed out, however, that the abstract nature of Good and Evil and the breadth of many of the dictionary definitions of items it includes is such that if a cross-reference were made on the basis of every component of a definition not covered by Good and Evil, the quantity and complexity of the cross-references would be so great as to be unmanageable, and their usefulness would be reduced. As a result, only major cross-references — where a category relates closely to another area, or where a large number of items in a category contain elements from another semantic field in their definitions — are made. It should not be assumed that those categories with no cross-references do not relate to other parts of the Historical Thesaurus. It is simply that the relationship is not so firm or quantifiable as that of the cross-referred categories.

A full list of the categories which have external cross-references, and what these cross-references are, is presented below. It is helpful to provide these at this stage, as they can be related to the sections of the Historical Thesaurus classification presented on previous pages. They also show the major areas to which the Good and Evil semantic field is related and can be usefully consulted in the discussion on boundaries to the field in 2.2.5.

These cross-references are:

**Good**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA2 Satisfactory</td>
<td>I 6.4</td>
<td>Quantification: sufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA4 Better</td>
<td>I 6.4</td>
<td>Quantification: improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II 3.1.1</td>
<td>Prefer: improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA5 Excellent</td>
<td>III 2.1.2</td>
<td>Nobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA6 Surpassingly Ex.</td>
<td>I 6.4</td>
<td>Quantification: perfection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GA7  
Best  
I  6.4  Quantification: perfection

GA8  
Matchless  
I  6.4  Quantification: perfection

GA11  
Virtuous  
III  2.2.2  Behaviour based on morality: good behaviour

GA12  
Honourable  
III  2.2.2  Behaviour based on morality: good behaviour

GA1  Harmless, GA3 Good, GA9 Worthy, and GA10 Meritorious have no external cross-references.

GB1  
Moral  
III  2.2.2  Behaviour based on morality
II  1.4  Thought: philosophy, ethics

GB2  
Conscientious  
III  2.2.2  Behaviour based on morality: duty

GB3  
Impartial  
II  3  Judgement

GB5  
Righteous, Just  
III  4  The Law

GB6  
Honest  
III  2.2.2  Behaviour based on morality: sincerity
II  1.5.5  Result of knowledge: truth, fact

GB7  
Trustworthy  
III  2.2.2  Behaviour based on morality: sincerity

GB8  
Proper, Fitting  
I  6.1  Comparison: fitness
GB4 Fair has no external cross-references.

GC1  
Kind  
III  2.2.2  Behaviour based on morality: charity

GC2  
Beneficial  
II  6.1  Acquisition

GC3  
Wholesome  
I  4.10  Condition of matter: good

GC4  
Well Disposed  
II  7.2  Good intentions towards others

GC5  
Well-Meaning  
II  7.2  Good intentions towards others

GC6  
Generous  
II  6.3.1  Giving much

GC7  
Magnanimous  
II  6.3.1  Giving much

GC8  
Gracious  
III  2.2.3  Behaviour based on custom: courtesy

GC9  
Considerate  
II  7.2.3  Good intentions towards others: helpfulness

CG11  Tender  
II  7.2.1  Good feeling towards others: love

CG12  Merciful  
III  5  Religion: grace

CG13  Blessed  
II  7.1  Feeling caused by satisfaction: happiness

CG14  Fortunate, Lucky  
II  7.1  Feeling caused by satisfaction: happiness
II  8  Success

GC10 Humane has no external cross-references.

Evil

EA4  
Hellish  
III  5  Religion: hell

EA5  
Diabolical  
III  5  Religion: devil

EA7  
Worse  
I  6.4  Quantification: deterioration
EA1-EA3, EA6, and EA8-EA10 (category headings can be found on p. 124) have no external cross-references.
EB1 Inferior III 2.1.1 Social class: commonalty
EB2 Worthless I 5.5 Action: uselessness
EB6 Wretched II 7.5 Unhappiness
EB9 Foul, Filthy I 4.10 Condition of matter: decay
EB10 Accursed III 5 Religion: curse
EB3 Roguish, EB4 Ruffianly, EB5 Dissolute, EB7 Base, and EB8 Villainous have no external cross-references.

EC3 Sinful and Wrong III 5 Religion: sin
EC4 Guilty III 4.2 Behaviour towards the law: crime
EC5 Criminal III 4.2 Behaviour towards the law: crime
EC6 Immoral II 1.4 Logical thought: morality, ethics
EC9 Ill-Behaved III 2.2.3 Behaviour based on morality: discourtesy
EC10 Undutiful III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality: irresponsibility

EC12 Avoiding Duty I 5.5 Avoidance
EC13 Unfair, Unjust II 3 Misjudgement
EC14 Improper I 6.1 Comparison: unfitness

ED1 Treacherous II 1.5.5 Result of knowledge: falsehood, deception
ED2 Treasonous ) as ED1
ED3 Betraying ) as ED1
ED4 Perfidious ) as ED1
ED5 Unfaithful II 1.5.5 Result of knowledge: falsehood, deception
II 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality: insincerity, infidelity

ED6 Disloyal )
ED7 Dishonest )
ED8 Crooked ) as ED1
ED9 Sneaky )
ED10 Disingenuous )
ED11 Unscrupulous )

EE1 Harmful II 7.6 Ill intentions towards others
EE2-EE14 (category headings can be found on p.125) have no external cross-references.

A few of the cross-references to complete sections in the Historical Thesaurus (these sections are listed on pp.38 and 39) have altered the heading slightly. References to III 5 are to 'Religion' rather than 'The Church'. This is to emphasize the link between Good and Evil and Religion as classified by Chase. References to II 3
(under GB3 and EC13) are more precise than the 'Good or Bad Opinion' given on the list, and the reference under GC14 to II 8 shortens that heading simply to 'Success'.

One of the most striking features of these cross-references is the relatively large number that there are for Good as compared with Evil. Of the 34 categories in Good, 28 have external cross-references, while of the 59 categories in Evil, just under half, 29, have external cross-references. This is indicative of the very wide and pervasive nature of the Good part of the semantic field, previously hinted at in the _OED_ definition of 'good' given on p.29.

These cross-references, and the structure of the Good and Evil parts of the semantic field, can be effectively expressed by means of a diagrammatic representation. In fig.1 and fig.2 on the following pages, Good and Evil are each contained within a circle. In the central part of the circle are the large sections and, radiating from these, the categories. Beyond the circle, extending from the appropriate categories, are simplified forms of the external cross-references, and these indicate the principal external areas to which the Good and Evil field is related.

2.2.5 _Delimitation of the Field by Category Comment_

The following discussion of specific categories in the classification draws together several threads. It covers categories from which items were removed after the preliminary classification, and also some of the categories to which extra material was added (some categories underwent both these procedures). In the process, most of the categories which have external cross-references are mentioned, and also one or two which have no cross-references. It provides a
Fig. 1  Good
Fig. 2 Evil
detailed delimitation of the Good and Evil field.

Category GA2 Satisfactory contains some extra material drawn from one of the secondary Roget numbers - 923 Approbation - but is also a part of the classification from which material was rejected. 'Satisfactoriness' borders on the notion of 'sufficiency' and items for this latter concept were transferred primarily to R635 Sufficiency and latterly to the relevant part of the Historical Thesaurus classification, to which an external cross-reference is appended.

Extra material for GA5 Excellent (most of whose original material came from R644 Goodness) was drawn from several other Roget numbers, including 34 Superiority. The category also initially contained some items whose primary sense was clearly 'nobility' rather than 'excellence.' These items were removed, but as other items not removed also include nobility as a secondary part of their sense (especially those in AjG and AvM under the subordinate headings and splendid, noble) there is an external cross-reference to 'nobility' at the end of the category.15

GA6 Surpassingly Excellent, GA7 Best, and GA8 Matchless are all closely related to the notion of 'perfection.' 'Perfection' however, is not included in this field, although it is closely related to some parts of the field, as the definition of sense 3 of 'perfection' in the OED indicates: 'The condition, state, or quality of being perfect or free from all defect; supreme excellence; flawlessness, faultlessness.' In the process of assembling Good and Evil material was both transferred to and drawn from R646 Perfection for the three categories mentioned above. Each of these categories has an external cross-reference to 'perfection'.

GA11 Virtuous and GA12 Honourable both border on the concept of
'purity' and GA11 also borders on the concept of 'innocence.' There are several items in each category whose definitions contain one of these concepts as a component. These include, in GA11, 'sinlessness 1661--' defined in OED as 'The quality or state of being sinless; freedom from sin, innocence.' Most items with these components, however, were transferred during the preliminary classification of the field to either R935 Innocence or R950 Purity (prior to the reclassification of these numbers for the Historical Thesaurus). In the Good and Evil field these items and numbers relate most closely to GA11 and GA12, and there is consequently a general cross-reference at the end of these categories to 'good behaviour' (under which, in the Historical Thesaurus classification, 'virtue' and 'purity' are placed). In the circular diagram (fig. 1) this cross-reference is expressed more specifically.

GB1 Moral includes items concerned with morality in which 'good' is a primary component, as 'moral 1638--', defined in OED (sense 10) as '...Morally good; conforming to the rules of morality'. It does not extend to the notion of morality in a fundamentally ethical or philosophical sense, as 'morality c1449--', defined in OED (sense 5) as 'The doctrine and system concerned with conduct and duty; moral science'. The cross-references at the end of the category acknowledge the connection with philosophy and with this broader sense of morality. In fact these concepts belong in a classification (presently being conducted) of the semantic field Philosophy.

GB2 Conscientious is the category in the Good part of the field which comes closest to the concept of 'duty'. 'Duty' - used here as a general heading to cover the notions of obligation, responsibility, and duty - was not included in Good, although initially many items
for the concept turned up (from R917 Duty) in the first draft of the Good classification. A duty or an obligation may be a commendable thing to have or fulfil but this is not enough to make it inherently a good thing. The following definitions (taken from the Historical Thesaurus slips) of key items from Duty indicate that 'obligation' rather than 'good' is the primary component of the concept.

'obligation 1605--' is 'action or an act to which one is morally or legally obliged; that which one is bound to do; one's bounden duty, or a particular duty. Sometimes with the further notion of coercion: an enforced or burdensome task or charge',

'duty c1385--' is '..that which one ought or is bound to do; an obligation', and

'obligation 1602--' is 'moral or legal constraint..the condition of being morally or legally obliged or bound..a moral or legal tie..'.

GB5 Righteous, Just includes items which cover the notion of 'right' as opposed to 'wrong.' It is not concerned with 'right' meaning 'entitlement' (a notion which does not come within the provenance of Good) and as a result many items concerned with entitlements, privileges, legal rights, and so on, which appeared from R913 Right in the first draft of the classification, were not retained. As an acknowledgement of the legal aspect of many of these rejected items, and also of the fact that some of the items in the category relate to justice and the law (as 'rihtwis/righteous OE--' OED sense 1, defined 'Of persons: Just, upright, virtuous; guiltless, sinless; conforming to the standard of the divine or the moral law; acting rightly or justly.'), there is a cross-reference to 'Law' at the end of the category.
GB6 Honest and GB7 Trustworthy are both closely related to — but do not include — 'sincerity', and GB6 is also related to 'truth'. These notions appear in the definitions of some of the items in the categories. For example, in GB6, 'candid 1675—' is defined 'Frank, open, ingenuous, straightforward, sincere', and 'integrity 1548—' is defined '..the character of uncorrupted virtue, esp. in relation to truth and fair dealing; uprightness, honesty, sincerity', and in GB7, 'true-hearted 1471—' is defined 'Having a true heart; faithful, loyal; honest, sincere.' However, 'sincerity' and 'truth' (or related parts of speech) are not primary components in these definitions, and in most definitions of items in GB6 and GB7 they do not occur at all. Any items in which they are primary components were not retained in this classification, but were transferred to the field Truth and Falsehood (along with corresponding Evil items for Falsehood, which were rejected from the ED categories of the Evil classification). The classification of Truth and Falsehood was the subject of study for some time by the late Dr. Perryman. There are therefore cross-references to appropriate sections of the Historical Thesaurus classification at the end of GB6 and GB7.

GB8 Proper, Fitting is related to the notion of 'fitness' or 'aptness' in a broader sense than the 'becoming' or 'proper' notion covered here. See further comments in chapter 4, p.269. There is a cross-reference to 'fitness' at the end of the category.

GC1 Kind is a fairly large general category — drawn chiefly from R897 Benevolence — but it does not include the specific type of kindness inherent in 'charity' and related items. These items are more concerned with giving to the poor or helpless (see OED 'charity' senses 4 and 5) and those that did initially appear in this category
were more suitably reallocated to R781 Giving or R703 Aid. GC1 was also supplemented with material drawn from R781. It ends with an external cross-reference to 'charity.'

GC2 Beneficial is another general category. It does not cover the more specific notion of 'public benefit or welfare.' Nor does it extend to the notions of 'gain' or 'acquisition.' Of the items in the category, 'benefit 1393-' is defined 'Advantage, profit, good,' and 'advantage 1340-' is defined 'benefit; enhancement, improvement; increased well-being or convenience; resulting benefit.' (These definitions also illustrate the tendency to circularity of many OED definitions.) Some items for 'public benefit' were rejected in the preliminary stages of classification. The category has cross-references both to 'acquisition' and to 'charity' ('public benefit' is assumed to come under 'charity'). In the circular diagram (p. 44) the latter cross-reference is more precise.

Categories GC4 to GC7 all have external cross-references to 'Good intentions towards others.' GC6 and GC7 have an additional reference to 'Giving much.' GC6 Generous also draws substantially from two of the range of secondary Roget numbers consulted for Good and Evil - 781 Giving and 813 Liberality.

GC8 Gracious and GC9 Considerate have cross-references to 'courtesy' and GC9 also has a cross-reference to 'helpfulness.' These cross-references are, to some extent, less indicative of overlapping material, and more of concepts to which those in the categories are closely related, but which do not have 'good' as a primary component. GC11 Tender has a cross-reference of a similar nature to 'love.'

GC12 Merciful has material from R897 Benevolence but also contains many items drawn from secondary Roget numbers - chiefly from R905 Pity,
but also from R979 Piety. This latter number belongs in the 'Religious' section of the Roget classification, and GC12 ends with an external cross-reference to 'grace' within 'Religion.'

GC13 Blessed has external cross-references to 'happiness' and 'Religion.' It draws material from R615 Good and also from R824 Joy. 'Blessed c1175--' is defined in OED as 'Enjoying supreme felicity; happy, fortunate' — definition which includes 'happy' and confirms this link. The notion of 'blessed' as a religious concept is not covered here, but the cross-reference acknowledges that it and this category are closely connected.

The final category in Good, CG14 Fortunate, Lucky, also has a cross-reference to 'happiness' and one to 'success.' Some additional material for the category was drawn from R730 Prosperity, and some other material, whose primary components of meaning are more concerned with prosperity and success than with luck, was transferred from GC14 to R730. The items in GC14 do not cover 'success' or 'happiness', and at this point the final boundary for the Good part of the Good and Evil field was drawn.

The Evil part of the field is more self-contained, as can be seen from the relatively fewer external cross-references which it has.

The first section of Evil — EA Evil — follows more closely than most sections a pattern (discussed more fully on p.69 ff.) whereby the first category of the section is a large general category, and the following categories are all smaller and in some cases subsidiary to the larger one.

EA4 Hellish and EA5 Diabolical both have external cross-references to, respectively, 'hell' and 'devil' within 'Religion.' In this classification these words, and other related items, are included on
the basis of figurative senses. In EA4, 'hellish 1569-1826' is defined 'Of the nature and character of hell and infernal things; befitting or worthy of hell..', and in EA5, 'diabolic 1546--' is defined 'Characteristic of or befitting the devil; devilish, fiendish, atrociously wicked or malevolent'. See further discussion on this in chapter 4, p.282. The external cross-reference acknowledges not so much an overlap as a close connection between these categories and 'hell' and 'devil' in 'Religion.'

In EA7 Worse, the notions of 'making or becoming worse' are covered, but they are also closely related to 'deterioration', which is not included here. Some items in the category have elements in their definitions which link them to 'deterioration', and the external cross-reference draws attention to this overlap.

EB1 Inferior, and many of the other EB categories, contain items which are either insulting or degrading names for people, or are names for people who are inferior. By far the greatest number of these is in EB1. Most of them were originally drawn from R938 Bad Man, and supplemented from R869 Commonalty. However, among the original items were a number for various kinds of tramps and vagabonds - lowly people, but not necessarily people with any intrinsic element of evil in them - and these items were reallocated to R869 Commonalty. EB1 also drew additional material from R35 Inferiority. The category ends with an external cross-reference to 'commonalty' in the Historical Thesaurus classification.

EB2 Worthless is another category which contains items drawn from R869. However, in terms of the Historical Thesaurus classification, this category is more closely connected with the notion of 'uselessness' than with 'commonalty.' In AjG are 'good-for-nothing
'worthless 1591--' defined as '..Lacking worth or merit;.. contemptible, despicable'. There is a cross-reference to 'uselessness' at the end of the category.

EB6 Wretched was drawn primarily from R930 Improbity, but it both took material from and transferred material to several of the secondary Roget numbers. Some items whose central component was 'misfortune' were moved to R731 Adversity, while other items were taken into EB6 from 731. A number of items primarily concerned with 'shame' and 'misery' were moved to R825 Suffering, and other items more suited to 'wretched' were moved from R825. In terms of the Historical Thesaurus classification, this shifting and bringing together of items is summed up in an external cross-reference to 'Unhappiness' at the end of the category.

EB9 Foul, Filthy is concerned with figurative senses of 'foul', 'filthy', and other items. 'Filthy 1535--' is defined in OED 'Morally foul or polluted; obscene' and 'miry 1532--' as 'Dirty, defiled; despicable.' The category has a close connection with its literal counterpart, and there is an external cross-reference to 'decay'.

EB10 Accursed was formed primarily from material in R898 Malevolence, but also draws substantially on R899 Malediction. Many of its items are related to, or even consist of, spoken curses or imprecations. One item under NX, 'anathema 1691--' is defined 'A curse or imprecation generally', and is an extension of religious senses of 'anathema' including 'The curse of God' (OED 2a) and 'The great curse of the church..' (OED 2b). A number of other items, including 'cursed c1386--' in AjQ, are developments from religious senses, and the category is therefore related to a corresponding
religious concept. The category ends with a cross-reference to 'curse' in 'Religion'.

EC1 Wrong-doing draws most of its material from R914 Wrong. It - and some of the other EC categories - cover the notion of moral wrong-doing (in NA 'wrong-doing 1480--' is defined 'Transgression of or offence against the moral or established law; reprehensible action or behaviour; misconduct') but they are not concerned with the notion of wrong meaning incorrect (the opposite of the notion of 'right' which was rejected from GB5 Righteous, Just). Many items for this sense of wrong turned up from R914 but were not retained in this classification. Nor is the sense sufficiently closely related to this category to warrant a cross-reference (the corresponding sense of 'right' was also not sufficiently closely related to GB5).

EC3 Sinful and Wrong is closely related to the notion of sin in 'Religion'. Some items, which can be used in both religious and secular contexts (as offence against either God's law or man's law) appear both in this category and in the appropriate part of Chase's classification of Religion. This category covers the notion of sin as a kind of wrong-doing or wickedness (i.e. items in which, generally, 'evil' rather than 'religion' is the primary component). Many OED definitions of items in the category are not clear in this respect, which is often why an item appears both here and in Religion. One example, in AjG, is 'sinning 1609--', which is defined 'That sins or commits transgressions'. There is, predictably, a cross-reference to 'sin' in 'Religion' at the end of the category. (See also chapter 4, p.298 ff.)

EC6 Immoral is (in some respects) a corresponding category in Evil to GB1 Moral in Good. Several items - marked by an asterisk -
occur in both categories, and to some extent the notes on GB1 on p.47 also apply here - but for Evil rather than Good, and 'immorality' rather than 'morality'. GB1 Moral is the more primary category of the two - immorality is understood in terms of morality (OED defines 'immoral 1660--' as 'Not consistent with, or not conforming to, moral law or requirement; opposed to or violating morality..'). Both categories, however, carry the same external cross-references, as both are related to moral behaviour, and to philosophy.

EC8 Corrupt has no external cross-references but, in the first draft of the classification, did contain many items which were subsequently rejected. These were items for specific kinds of professional and political corruption, as bribery, graft, and jobbery, and names for professionally or politically corrupt people. They were transferred, prior to reclassification, to the Roget number most fitting for the type of corruption they covered. Many went to R622 Business, but others went elsewhere, and as they were scattered, and contained in their definitions various primary components other than 'evil', there is no external cross-reference at the end of EC8.

EC10 Undutiful covers a concept which belongs in Evil, although its direct opposite, 'dutiful', was rejected from Good (see comments on GB2 on p.47-8). 'Dutiful', and related concepts, were rejected on the grounds of not having 'good' as a primary element of meaning, but 'undutiful' has been retained because it does embody evil. This 'evil' or, to be more precise (in accordance with its placing in the Evil classification) this 'wrong' exists in the very lack of duty which is the fundamental meaning of 'undutiful' (the OED's definition is 'lacking in the observance of duty'). To be undutiful is to be wrong, and on that basis the category belongs in EC.
However, the Evil part of the classification does not extend to cover the notion of 'irresponsibility', although irresponsible and undutiful are closely related. The OED defines 'irresponsible' as 'not answerable for conduct or actions; not liable to be called to account; exempt from or incapable of legal responsibility.' This is not a definition in which 'evil' is an obvious primary component. ED10 does end, though, with a cross-reference to 'irresponsibility'.

Categories EC11 Failing in Duty and EC12 Avoiding Duty are both related to EC10 Undutiful and to one another. EC12 drew additional material from R620 Avoidance and also has an external cross-reference to 'Avoidance'.

The categories in section ED Evil & Treacherous are all closely related. They correspond collectively to GB6 Honest and GB7 Trustworthy in Good and, along with these two categories, are the closest link which Good and Evil has with the Truth and Falsehood field drawn up by Dr. Perryman. Because of the boundary established with Truth and Falsehood, Evil does not include any items whose primary components are deceit, trickery, or falsehood. As the connection is so close though, each ED category ends with external cross-references to falsehood, deception, and insincerity. ED5 Unfaithful has an additional cross-reference to 'infidelity'.

Section EE Evil to Self/Other is similar in structure to EA, in that it consists of one large general category followed by a number of smaller categories, all of which are to varying extents subordinates of the first category (see p.69 for further details of this structure). In the first category - EA1 Harmful - the most fundamental item, which embodies the primary sense of both the category and the section, is 'hearm/harm OE—' under NX. This
item is defined in OED as 'Evil...as done to or suffered by some person or thing; hurt, injury, damage, mischief.' EE1 is the only category in the section with an external cross-reference, and it is to a general section 'Ill intentions towards others' in the Historical Thesaurus classification.

2.2.6 Summarizing Remarks

The purpose of this section of chapter 2 has been to define the semantic field Good and Evil, to point out its central concepts and its boundaries, and to place it in the context of a semantic classification of virtually the entire English vocabulary. This was achieved firstly by defining the problems of the Good and Evil field, by specifying the sources from which the field was drawn, and by describing briefly the semantic classifications devised on the one hand for the Historical Thesaurus, and on the other hand for Good and Evil. It was further defined by a description of the relationship of the smaller Good and Evil field to the larger Historical Thesaurus classification. This involved the specification of the boundaries and delimitations of Good and Evil in terms of the larger classification, through a listing of external cross-references in Good and Evil, a presentation of the same information in diagrammatic form, and finally a detailed commentary on those categories which come closest to the principal boundaries.

2.3 The Classification System

The purpose of this section is to describe the development of the Good and Evil classification system, and to explain and justify
its structure.

The dictionaries which formed the sources for the material in this classification were specified in chapter 1 (pp. 2-3). A brief outline of the broad structure of the classification system was given in section 2.2 of this chapter. Section 2.3 concentrates on the classification system in much more detail but obviously, since the classification was devised in order to contain the semantic field, it has a strong connection with 2.2. Each section is closely related to the other.

The Good and Evil classification has six levels, as follows:

1. Field (Good and Evil)
2. Section (A Good, B Good & Moral, etc.)
3. Category (1 Harmless, 2 Satisfactory, etc.)
4. Part of Speech (Adjective, Noun, etc.)
5. Subdivision (Action, Thing, Person, etc.)
6. Subordination (.female, ..young, etc.)

The classification gives semantic primacy to the adjective and every section and category heading is adjectival. Other headings, in lower levels, if not adjectival themselves, are adjective-related. The reasons for giving primacy to the adjective (a potentially controversial step) are discussed in 2.3.4.4 below.

In the course of this section each of the six levels listed above is treated separately and in detail. The part of speech level generates the greatest discussion, into which is also drawn the subordination level. The treatment of these six levels is followed by a discussion of the internal cross-references which occur at the end of (and occasionally within) many of the categories. These cross-references are indicative of the large extent to which Good and
Evil has internal overlaps. External overlaps, and the external cross-references which also occur at the end of many categories, were discussed in the previous section of this chapter.

2.3.1 Field

2.3.1.1 Dichotomy versus Cline

The semantic field Good and Evil consists of two large distinct parts, respectively Good and Evil. The decision to keep Good and Evil separate was made at an early stage in the classificatory procedure, and both parts follow, in parallel, the same classification system, although the Evil part is considerably larger and more extensive.

This approach was actually one of two options. The alternative approach would have been to have viewed Good and Evil as one progressive cline, or scale, rather than as two distinct units, and to have presented a classification which progressed in degrees of intensity, from the most innocuous form of Good at one end (probably GA1 Harmless) to the most extreme form of Evil at the other end (perhaps EA8 Heinous or EA10 Worst). In practice, however, it would have been very difficult to place all the categories consecutively on a scale, as it is frequently not possible to determine which categories are more or less good or evil than which others. Any attempt to do this may well have resulted in ad hoc decisions and, more importantly, the resultant presentation may have detracted from the main purpose of the classification: to present in a clear form, together and in chronological order, the available lexical items throughout the history of English for those concepts which belong under Good and Evil.

It is also the case that much of the vocabulary selected for
Good and Evil falls naturally into one camp or the other. Most items are either clearly Good or clearly Evil. As a result any scaled presentation would have a great concentration of material at either end and very little in the area in the middle. It therefore seemed more sensible to keep the material in its two natural divisions (as the Roget classification does) and to accommodate within each such material as there was which could be said to belong in the middle area.

It is true that a cline or scale would have provided a proper place for this central material—it is possibly the one advantage that that approach would have had. However, this material only forms a small proportion of the Good and Evil whole, and all of it tends obviously towards one side or the other. It chiefly consists of lexical items which have a negative affix—frequently the prefix 'un-'—and which therefore do not so much embody a good (or evil) concept as not embody an evil (or good) concept. Although the categories to which these items belong are negatives of one concept (say an Evil concept) they are treated in the classification as being positives of the opposite concept (as Good).

For example, GAL Harmless (which chiefly contains items with either the prefix un- or in-, or with the suffix -less) covers the idea of being 'without harm', that is, without something bad, as opposed to being with something good. The OED defines 'harmless' as 'Doing or causing no harm; not injurious or hurtful; inoffensive, innocuous.' The definition is negative, as is the concept, and were there a grey area between Good and Evil, Harmless would belong in it. As there is not, it is in Good, where, in spite of its being a negative of an Evil concept, it does, by embodying by implication a
Good concept, actually belong.

There are several categories like this in the classification, most of which are commented on in the category notes in chapter 4. They include GB3 Impartial and EA9 Unpardonable. The question of when a negative affix causes a word simply to negate the opposite concept, and when the whole word shifts to being a positive concept in its own right, is an interesting one. E.A. Nida comments that 'One of the problems involved in the treatment of opposites is the peculiar manner in which negation may affect the extent of contrast ...not good is not simply the negation of good, but suggests some degree of badness, though it is not equivalent to bad...not bad with emphatic intonational contrast is a more positive quality than good with normal, noncontrastive intonation.'20 His viewpoints are often borne out in the Good and Evil classification, and this tendency is mentioned where appropriate in chapter 4. There is no general attempt to justify the placing of a 'negative affix' category in either Good or Evil, since the adoption of a classification system consisting of two opposite parts renders this treatment of such categories necessary and unavoidable.

2.3.1.2 Other Approaches

There are very few other studies which have dealt with the vocabulary of Good and Evil or related areas in a manner even similar to that of this thesis.

Obviously, had Dr. Perryman lived, her work in Truth and Falsehood21 would have provided a comparable study of a closely related field, based on data gathered from the same source as the Good and Evil data. One work which deals with both good and evil concepts is
Rudskoger's *Fair, Foul, Nice and Proper*. Rudskoger, however, concentrates on a limited number of words (the four (excluding and) of his title) and discusses the range of meanings of each of these words. This is the opposite of the *Good and Evil* approach, which deals with many words, all of which (within either *Good* or *Evil*) are similar in meaning.

Other works tend to deal with either *Good* or *Evil* concepts, but not both. They also often have a specific approach of a kind which clearly differs from the approach of this thesis.

Among those which cover *Good* concepts is K. Reumig's *Joy and Freude: A Comparative Study of the Linguistic Fields of Pleasurable Emotions in English and German*. Reumig's approach is to look at the same concept in two different languages, and 'joy', although related to *Good*, is not a concept covered in this classification. One other study, whose approach is philosophical, and whose main semantic interest is in the generalities of theory, is by Katz: 'Semantic Theory and the Meaning of Good.' The first part of Katz's paper concentrates on the nature of semantic theory.

Of those works which deal with *Evil* concepts, several take a very general approach and tackle the subject of pejorative sense in English, rather than concentrating on any one specific semantic area. These include H. Schreuder's *Pejorative Sense Development in English*, and (of comparatively recent publication and not readily available, so not actually consulted for this thesis, although mentioned here as a work of obvious relevance) G. Kleparski's *Semantic Change and Componential Analysis: an enquiry into pejorative developments in English*. One other work, which does concentrate on a specific *Evil* concept, but which confines itself to the Middle
English period is Hans Peters' Das mittelenglische Wortfeld schlecht/böse: Synchronisch-diachronische Darstellung seiner semantischen Struktur (or 'The Middle English word field bad/angry: a synchronic-diachronic description of its semantic structure'). Peters covers the period from approximately 1200 to 1400, and is particularly concerned with dialectal distribution, but in the process he deals with many items which also appear in the Evil part of this classification (see especially his lists in chapter 5, pp.42-82).

As the above selection confirms, few if any of the works which deal with or touch upon the semantics of Good or Evil vocabulary have a comparable approach to that taken here.

2.3.2 Sections

There are eight large sections in the classification - three in Good and five in Evil. These numbers reflect the relative sizes of Good and Evil - there are 3373 lexical items in Good and 5698 in Evil. As Good and Evil are treated in parallel, the terminology for the Good sections and the Evil sections (and, in the next level of classification, for the Good and Evil categories) is the same. In Good the three large sections are initialled A to C, and in Evil they are initialled A to E. For clarity, throughout the thesis, whenever a section (and category) is mentioned, its initial is preceded by either G or E, depending on whether it belongs in the Good or Evil part of the classification. The sections are headed as follows:

- GA Good
- GB Good & Right
- GC Good to Self/Other
- EA Evil
EB Evil & Inferior  
EC Evil & Wrong  
ED Evil & Treacherous  
EE Evil to Self/Other

These eight sections are presented in sequence, but there are parallels between the Good and Evil sections. The general correspondence is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Evil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA Good</td>
<td>( EA Evil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( EB Evil &amp; Inferior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Good &amp; Right</td>
<td>( EC Evil &amp; Wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( ED Evil &amp; Treacherous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC Good to Self/Other</td>
<td>( EE Evil to Self/Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The heading of each section reflects very broadly the semantic range of the material which it contains. Every Good section heading contains the word 'good' and every Evil heading has the word 'evil'. Definitions of these two words - they denote the broadest concepts in the classification - can be found on p.29. Both the Good and Evil parts of the classification have endeavoured to start with their most general section simply entitled, respectively, Good and Evil. In both cases these sections are followed by other sections whose character is more specific. This is indicated by means of an extra word or phrase in the heading. The extra element expresses a particular feature regarded as inherent in all the categories in that section. With the exception of GC and EE it also appears in at least one category in the section. Obviously the section headings are being used in their broadest sense - each section covers a considerable range of material.

GB Good & Right uses 'right' adjective partly in OED's sense 5: 'Of persons or disposition: Disposed to do what is just or good;
 upright, righteous', and partly in OED's sense 6: 'Of actions, conduct, etc.: in accordance with what is just or good; equitable; morally fitting.'

EB Evil & Inferior uses 'inferior' chiefly in OED's sense 4: '"... of low degree, rank, etc.; in mod. use esp. in reference to quality: Of no great value or excellence; comparatively bad, poor, mean', but also partially in OED's sense 3: 'Lower in degree, rank, importance...; of less value or consideration; lesser; subordinate.'

EC Evil & Wrong uses 'wrong' chiefly in OED's sense 3 but also to an extent in sense 5 (and for EC14, sense 7). Sense 3 is defined 'Of actions, etc.: Deviating from equity, justice, or goodness; not morally right or equitable; unjust, perverse.' Sense 5 is defined 'Not in conformity with some standard, rule, or principle; deviating from that which is correct or proper; contrary to, at variance with, what one approves or regards as right.' Sense 7 is '"... not proper, fitting, or appropriate.'

ED Evil & Treacherous uses 'treacherous'in OED's sense 1: 'Of persons, their attributes, or actions: Characterized by treachery; deceiving, perfidious, false; disloyal, traitorous.' 'Treachery,' which is used in this definition, is defined in OED as 'Deceit, cheating, perfidy; violation of faith or betrayal of trust; perfidious conduct.' These definitions contain components which are themselves headings of several of the categories in the section. This indicates both the suitability of 'treacherous' as the section heading, and the extent of internal overlap which there is in this section. See further discussion of this in chapter 4, p.306ff.

GC and EE do not have one additional word in their heading, but instead share the same additional phrase: 'to Self/Other.' This
phrase indicates that the essential character of these sections, and of the categories each contains, involves the notion of 'something (either 'good' or 'evil') performed, that affects either oneself or another (person or thing)', to use an informal paraphrase. The supplementary parts of these headings do not appear as items in any of the categories, but among the categories in these sections are, in GC, Kind, Generous, and Merciful, and in EE, Harmful, Spiteful, and Hard-hearted - clearly all notions which involve 'good' or 'evil' to oneself or another.

Every section heading is a semantic simplification of the contents of the section, and although no conscious attempt is made to reduce the headings to semantic universals of any kind, they do go part of the way towards the kind of headings which would result from the application of componential analysis as discussed in chapter 1.

2.3.3 Categories

The categories are probably the most important discrete semantic units in the classification. Each section contains at least eight and at most 14 numbered and headed categories, each of which covers a particular concept which belongs in that section. There are 93 categories in the classification - 34 in Good and 59 in Evil.

2.3.3.1 Why Categories were Adopted

The categories were adopted at an early stage in the development of the classification. When the material was initially assembled from the Historical Thesaurus archive, it soon became apparent that much of it was falling naturally into general sense units - as, in Good, excellent, fair, honest, kind, generous, etc. - and that an
obvious structure for the classification was going to develop around these units. Not all the material fell easily into categories—especially awkward were the first six categories of ED Evil & Treacherous—but enough did for the remaining material to be persevered with and classified in the same way. It was only once the categories themselves had been roughly put together that connections and relationships could be drawn between them, and that, from this, the categories could be formed into large sections.

Every category has both a number and a heading. Within each section the categories are numbered from one onwards. This provides a convenient structure and reference system for the categories. In general, every category can be referred to by means of a three- or fourfold description. Each reference begins with either G or E (standing for Good or Evil), then has one initial from A up to E (denoting the section in which the category occurs), then the category number, and finally (although not always) the category heading. This means that the standard category reference is as, for example, GA5 Excellent or ED6 Disloyal—a reference which is both compact and informative.

2.3.3.2 Category Headings and Relative Sizes

Wherever possible the category headings consist of a single word, but sometimes they are extended to two words, or to a short phrase. The aim of the heading is to express as briefly as possible, in well-known and current words, the key concept conveyed by the items in the category. Obviously such short headings cannot hope to cover the full breadth of any of the categories—as is already plain from the OED definitions quoted in this chapter, they, and
by extension, the lexical items which they define, are multi-componen-
ted. If category headings were also multi-componented, this would
lead to components being repeated in different headings and would
ultimately obscure rather than clarify category distinctions.
Examples of multi-componented definitions appear in references
throughout the thesis. The point can be exemplified further here,
by presenting a list of words which appear in the definitions of
items all having the fundamental meaning 'evil' and all occurring
in the first adjective subdivision (AjG) of EAl Evil. These words
are: baleful, base, criminal, cruel, depraved, diseased, false,
faulty, grievous, harmful, heavy, ill, infamous, malicious, malignant,
mean, mischievous, nefarious, pernicious, perverse, rascally, shameful,
sinful, unjust, unpleasant, unprincipled, vicious, vile, villainous,
wrong. It would clearly be quite impractical to include even a few
of these in the heading of EAl. Some of them form the headings for
other categories in the classification, and many of them occur in
the definitions of items in other categories. This breadth of defi-
nition is also connected with the existence of internal cross-refer-
ences (which are explained in 2.3.7). (See also chapter 4, p.257
for a similar list of definition words from GA5 AjG.)

In order to keep complexity to a minimum each category heading
is therefore as short as possible, and although many elements of
meaning are present in categories, these cannot all be taken into
account. Many one or two word headings are shortened forms of
headings which could consist of four or five words, all denoting
prominent concepts in the category. For example EE12 Savage could
be called Savage, Fierce, Cruel, Inhumane, as these words are all
present in the category and also appear in the definitions of many
items in the category. By the same token GCl Kind could be Kind, Benevolent, Beneficent, and EE1 Harmful could be Harmful, Hurtful, Injurious.

As has been mentioned, the number of categories in each section varies. In addition to this, the number of items in each category varies considerably. The smallest category, EA3 Evil & Graceless, has only 10 items, while the largest, EAl Evil, has 559 items. A full listing of sections, and the categories in each, is at the beginning of chapter 3 (p.123 ff.). A very brief listing of the number of items in each category appears overleaf (a much more detailed numerical breakdown of items can be found in the tables of accessions and losses in chapter 5, p.326 ff.).

It is to some extent the case that the size of a category relates to the nature of the concept which it covers. A broad general concept, like 'evil'(EAl) or 'excellent' (GA5) will form a very large category, while a narrower, more specific concept, like 'well-meaning' (GC5) or 'disloyal' (ED6) forms a much smaller category.

2.3.3.3 The Order of Categories

The order in which the categories are presented in the sections attempts as far as possible to follow some kind of logical sequence. There are two basic sets of criteria on which the sequence can be based, and in some sections both sets are combined. One is a progression of meaning which begins with the most general concept in a section and proceeds to several more specific concepts - which often also means a progression from one large general category to a number of smaller more specific categories. The other is a progression which moves from the least intense concept in a section to the
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 strongest or most intense. In both sequences it has been the general practice wherever possible to place categories which are semantically closely related next, or as near as possible, to one another. Two groups of these category headings have been subjected to further semantic analysis in chapter 4 (see p. 306 ff. and p. 316 ff.) in order to determine both their precise meanings and the way in which they differ from one another. In this part of the chapter, the sequence of presentation of the categories in each section, and the criteria which they follow, are described below.

It may be helpful in the course of this discussion to refer to the category headings at the beginning of chapter 3 and to the brief numerical table above. The categories in section GA mainly conform to the second set of criteria but also, to a lesser degree, draw on
the first set. GA begins with the least intense category, GA1 Harmless, and proceeds, up to GA7, with categories which increase in intensity and in the extent to which they embody 'good.' GA3 is Good, GA5 Excellent, and the culmination is GA7 Best. The remaining five categories all cover concepts which could be regarded as specific kinds of excellence, while GA3 Good and GA5 Excellent are general categories, and in that respect the categories conform to the first set of criteria. Also, the related notions of GA9 Worthy and GA10 Meritorious are placed side by side, as are GA11 Virtuous and GA12 Honourable. The largest category in the section is GA5 Excellent, chiefly on account of its many adjectives. GA3 Good is the second largest category.

Section GB aims to progress from a general first category, GB1 Moral, to a sequence of more specific categories (GB2 to GB7), and ends with GB8 Proper, Fitting. However, although GB1 is probably the most general category it is also, as can be seen from the table on p.70, by no means the largest category in the section.

Section GC also follows the first set of criteria. GC1 Kind is the largest and most general category in the section. It is followed by a series of smaller categories, each covering specific concepts which could be described as types of kindness, or specific types of the section heading: 'good to self/other'. Where possible those which are semantically closest are adjacent to one another. For example, GC4 Well Disposed and GC5 Well-Meaning are closely related, as are GC6 Generous and GC7 Magnanimous.

In the sections in Evil the sequence of categories adheres much more closely to the first set of criteria. In every section except EC the largest category occurs first. Section EA, like GA, combines
both sets of criteria. EA1 Evil embodies both the most general and
the least intense concept of the section. The remaining categories,
proceeding through EA4 Hellish and EA5 Diabolical to EA7 Worse, and
ultimately to EA10 Worst, are all more specific than EA1, and are
progressively more intensely 'evil' in meaning.

Section EB conforms largely to the first set of criteria. It
begins with the largest most general category, EB1 Inferior. The
remaining categories cover specific types of inferiority. Only EB10
is slightly different, in so far as it covers the notion of 'spoken
evil', and includes many items relating to the pronunciation of
curses and imprecations. In size it is the second largest category
in the section.

Section EC is different from the other sections in Evil. It
has two central categories - EC1 Wrong-doing, Transgressive and EC8
Corrupt. Category EC6 Immoral is also important, but less so than
EC8. The presentation of the categories attempts to follow a
sequence of development among the concepts covered by the categories
themselves. The development from EC1 to EC8 is outlined in chapter
4 (p.304). In simple terms the section begins with one general
concept, followed by a number of more specific concepts which lead
up to and culminate in EC8 Corrupt. EC8 is followed by several
categories covering various specific kinds of wrong behaviour,
including three categories which relate to a want of duty. The
section ends with a category which is parallel to the final category
of section GB: in GC14 Improper, Unfitting the inherent sense of
'wrong' is slightly different from that of the other categories in
the section (see p.65).

Section ED begins with the numerically largest and semantically
most general category, ED1 Treacherous. All the categories in ED are very closely related, probably to a greater extent than the categories in other sections. They fall into three groups, categories ED1 to ED6, which are analysed semantically in chapter 4, ED7 to ED10, and ED11. Generally speaking, in the first group the notion of 'treachery' dominates and in the second group the notion of 'dishonesty' is almost equally prominent.

Section EE conforms to the first set of criteria, with one large general category, followed by a number of smaller more specific categories. It also, especially in its latter categories, follows the second set of criteria, with a progression ending in the most intense concept in the section. EE1 Harmful is general, while EE2 Disadvantageous and EE3 Playfully Mischievous are both categories covering mild concepts. EE4 Harmfully Mischievous is a stronger concept, and EE5 Spiteful up to EE11 Merciless are all categories of moderate intensity. The three final categories though, EE12 to EE14, are by far the most intense in the section, progressing from Savage through Barbarous to Bloodthirsty. Categories EE5 to EE8 are analysed semantically in chapter 4.

2.3.4 The Parts of Speech

This level of the classification is without doubt the one most liable to generate controversy. The analysis of language into parts of speech goes back to the stoic philosophers, around 300 B.C., whose studies of the patterns of Greek led them to establish five parts of speech (noun, proper noun, verb, conjunction, definite article). This was followed some two centuries later by the Grammar of Dionysius Thrax, written in Alexandria, in which eight parts of speech were
recognized (noun, verb, conjunction, article, adverb, participle, pronoun, and preposition). The parts of speech have always been a fundamental feature of traditional grammar and as such their presence in a semantic classification could be held to be inappropriate.

2.3.4.1 Why the Parts of Speech were Used

It is generally acknowledged that any kind of semantic formulation necessitates the use of some kind of syntactic description (see comments in chapter 1, p.11). Syntax is, according to Crystal, 'the study of the rules governing the way words are combined to form sentences in a language.' A very similar definition is also given by Crystal for one of the senses of grammar: 'the study of the way words, and their component parts, combine to form sentences.' Traditional grammar uses the breakdown of words into parts of speech as a means of analysing and quantifying the character of different kinds of words and hence of establishing how they relate to one another. To that extent the parts of speech are syntactic, and they are used here as a syntactic level in a semantic classification. They provide a clear and convenient means of classifying the Good and Evil vocabulary.

In this particular classification there are also a number of simple practical reasons for using the parts of speech as a classificatory device. One is that all the lexical items in the classification are drawn from dictionaries and each has already been given a part of speech, initially by the lexicographers, but reproduced by the Historical Thesaurus compilers. As the parts of speech are already there, it is practical to make use of them, unless there is some good
reason not to. It should be noted though, that this is not the only way to classify vocabulary. An alternative method, without parts of speech, is discussed in 2.3.4.2.

Another reason for using the parts of speech is that the nature of the material in this classification is very comprehensive, and there are often several different kinds of nouns (actions, persons, things, etc.) or verbs (do, make, become) or even adjectives (on the basis of referent) within the one basic concept or category. This breadth within parts of speech is another feature which emerges from the OED definitions, and is most effectively expressed within the framework in which it originally appears - that is, within the parts of speech. It is represented by subdivisions within each part of speech, and they actually form the next level of the Good and Evil classification, and are discussed in more detail in 2.3.5. They are mentioned here simply because they are one of the reasons for maintaining the part of speech distinction.

It is worth pointing out here that the classification system adopted for the Historical Thesaurus, although heavily dependent on Chase's system of subordination (see 2.3.4.2 and 2.3.5 for details) now also, as a result of the classificatory work involving the parts of speech in this thesis, makes use of the parts of speech. As Kay and Chase comment 'Chase's system differs from the one now in use for the Historical Thesaurus in that semantic features in his classification take precedence over division into parts of speech.'

Now in the Historical Thesaurus 'parts of speech have a classifying function.'

Five parts of speech - and a general sixth appellation: 'phrase' - are recognized in the Good and Evil classification. They are
adjective, noun, adverb, verb, and interjection. They are abbreviated in the classification and often in the text of the thesis to Aj, N, Av, V, and Int. J.M.Y. Simpson states that 'For certain traditional grammarians only the 'major' parts of speech (nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs) had full meaning, since they were held to signify 'concepts' — this is 'lexical' meaning.' To some extent this classification confirms that view in so far as, within its semantic field, it endeavours to cover the breadth of the English language, and yet only requires these four parts of speech, plus interjection and phrase.

The meanings of the five parts of speech (the above four plus interjection) are taken as understood in this thesis. The term phrase is used rather more broadly. As a general term a phrase is a 'small group of words usu. without predicate, esp. preposition with the word(s) it governs, equivalent to adjective, adverb, or noun.' In the *Good and Evil* classification it occurs as a sequence of words which often form a complete clause or sentence, and which require a fuller definition than the rules of the classification permit. Each phrase or group of phrases in a category is preceded by a brief explanation of the meaning of the item(s) which follow (as in GA5 *Excellent*, GB4 *Fair*, GC14 *Fortunate*, *Lucky*, and EB10 *Accursed*). Often simpler phrases, usually belonging to the noun, adverb, or verb, are placed under the appropriate part of speech, and are not actually specified as phrases.

The order adopted for the parts of speech in *Good and Evil* — adjective, noun, adverb, verb, interjection — and the accompanying system, which gives semantic primacy to the adjective, form another area of potential controversy which is discussed shortly. First
however, it should be recognized that it is quite possible to devise an effective system of semantic classification in which the parts of speech are not used.

2.3.4.2 An Alternative Approach

The clearest way to illustrate an approach which does not use the parts of speech is to look at one of the semantic fields previously classified as a part of postgraduate research from the Historical Thesaurus archive, that is, at Chase's classification of Religion. This is the single largest piece of classification from the Thesaurus which has been conducted as a part of a postgraduate thesis. Both Chase's classification and that of Wotherspoon, in her thesis on Parts of the Body and Mental Pain, accord semantic primacy to the noun, but do not specify the parts of speech as such in the classification.

The discussion here centres on Chase's classification of Religion for two reasons: firstly because Religion is a large field, and secondly because some elements of Chase's classification system also appear in one level of the Good and Evil classification.

There is one fundamental difference between Religion and Good and Evil which should be stated here. This is that the vocabulary in Religion is primarily concrete, while the vocabulary of Good and Evil is primarily abstract.

The classification system devised for Religion has (like Good and Evil) several levels which become progressively more specific, as far down as 'category', which is a semantic unit covering a basic concept in that classification. Religion, where appropriate, follows the category with a subcategory or similar grouping and, within that,
employs the subordination system of indented full stops which is such a distinctive feature of that classification. Each category (or subcategory) has a heading, which takes the form of the noun, and all the items in that category are semantically subordinate to this nominal heading. The subordination is expressed by means of indented full stops followed by underlined headings or category tags, which relate back to the category heading. With each additional full stop there is further indentation, and further subordination. This is illustrated by the following category headings from Chase's thesis.

R1.7.3. Impiety
   .instance of
   .p char by
   ..pl/coll

Each tag is understood in terms of the category heading, and tags with two or more full stops are understood in terms also of the preceding superior tag. Thus the item(s) under pl/coll are interpreted as meaning 'collectively, persons characterized by impiety.' This system is semantically very effective. It shows the relationship between different items and also (by placing related parts of speech nest to one another) between different parts of speech. The following headings demonstrate this.

R4.2.2.0. Confession
   (...)
   .the hearing of
   ..to perform
   .the experience of
   ..to undergo

These four headings form two pairs, each of which consists of a noun and, immediately following it, a related subordinate verb.
2.3.4.3 Why this Approach was not Used for Good and Evil

It is worth taking a little time here to justify the fact that this system has not been wholeheartedly adopted for Good and Evil. It is a system which is most effective when applied to a primarily concrete semantic field — often one whose lexical items are predominantly nouns or noun based. In such a field the adjective, verb, etc. can normally be subordinated to the noun with little difficulty, by means of category tags such as .char of, .possessing, .to perform, and so on.

Good and Evil, however, is a primarily abstract field, with very little concrete vocabulary, and as such the adjective is arguably its semantically prime part of speech (see discussion of this in 2.3.4.4). As a result Chase's system is not so effective. The OED, and hence this classification, frequently creates separate adjective senses on the basis of referent (i.e. the adjective as applied to a person, thing, action, etc.) and these adjectives form a central part of an abstract field. If there were a corresponding noun in Good and Evil for each of these kinds of adjectives, to which the adjective could be made subordinate, then Chase's system might work. Often however, there is not, and even where there is the result tends to be clumsy. For example, EA3 Evil and Graceless would present the adjective, of a person, as follows.

Evil and Gracelessness (the heading would have to be nominal)
.p char by: graceless c1386-1675+1858--; etc.
..char of: graceless 1399--; etc.

The double suffix in the heading is unpleasing, as is the way in which the headings have to be read back in order to find the meaning of 'graceless 1399--': 'characteristic of a person characterized by evil and gracelessness.'
Perhaps, it might be argued, if the adjective has semantic primacy the system could be adapted so that the heading is adjectival, and the items are derived from the adjective. One result of this would be as follows.

**Evil and Graceless**

- **p who is:** graceless c1386-1675+1858--; etc.
- **char of:** graceless 1399--; etc.

This is more economical, but still gives the noun primacy within the category. More useful for an adjective-based classification might be a reversal of the category tags, so that the adjective comes first.

- **Evil and Graceless**
  - **of a person:** graceless 1399--; etc.
  - **p who is:** graceless c1386-1675+1855--; etc.

These headings are not satisfactory though - 'person' occurs in both (as p in the second heading). They are repetitive and hence inefficient. As a means of covering these items in EA3, and similar items in other categories, Chase's system is simply not very effective.

It would be wrong to dismiss it totally for **Good and Evil** though, as for some semantically straightforward categories, and when it is applied with primacy given to the adjective, it can be effective.

The following headings cover everything under GC10 **Humane**.

**Humane**

- **making**
- **made**
- **quality of being**
- **action of imbuing with**
- **in manner which is**
- **to make**
- **to become**

Categories like this are the exception rather than the rule in **Good and Evil**. The headings for the noun and adverb seem less concise than they might be, but otherwise the system works. The category set out this way would present the items which are currently under
AjG immediately after the category heading with no further qualification. All other items would be semantically subordinate to them. This becomes a problem where AjG already has subordinate items in its own right. The remaining items assume the same subordinate status as these items, which seems to accord them an equality they do not have. For example, the headings in EA1 Evil would appear as follows.

**Evil**
- inherently etc.
- following ways which are etc.
- of an action etc.
- of a person...
- who is female
- quality of being...
- an instance of etc.

The first two headings, which are very specific, are accorded equal status with 'evil, of an action' and 'evil, of a person' and so on. This does not represent the true semantic balance of the category. Although the adjectival form of the concept has primacy within that structure, the parts of speech ought to be treated equally, with subordinate items within each part of speech having the same status. It does not seem justifiable to equate subordinate adjectives with basic nouns, adverbs, etc.

This observation points the way to a weakness of the Chase system. It means that one group of lexical items - nouns in the case of Religion - has primacy over the others. The system used for Good and Evil gives primacy to the adjective as a part of speech, and uses it as a basic structural element, but within that structure it accords equal status to all parts of speech. It further accords equal subordinate status to the subordinate items under each part of speech. It does this by using the parts of speech as a classificatory device
and in the process it provides in some respects a more precise semantic classification.

The value of the parts of speech is confirmed. Two reasons for using them as a classificatory device were mentioned above (p. 74-5). A third reason has now emerged - they enable semantic equality of all items in a category to be maintained, at the same time as placing them in a system in which one part of speech - the adjective - assumes primacy. We must now attempt to explain why the adjective is the part of speech which has been accorded primacy in *Good and Evil*.

### 2.3.4.4 The Semantic Primacy of the Adjective

To accord primacy to any part of speech in language is arguably controversial. Chase, in giving primacy to the noun, comments that this may be 'somewhat contentious from the standpoint of semantic theory.' Prior to any discussion on why the adjective has primacy here, the more general question of the dominance of any part of speech ought to be placed in a broader linguistic context.

A basic problem in any discussion of this nature is that the distinction between the parts of speech (and especially between the noun, adjective, adverb, and verb) is not always clear. As Bloomfield states, 'it is impossible to set up a fully consistent scheme of parts of speech, because the word-classes overlap and cross each other.'

Over the years, however, various viewpoints have emerged. As was mentioned on p. 73, the breakdown of language into parts of speech dates back to the ancient Greeks. Plato established two distinct parts of speech - noun and verb - and included the adjective with the
verb. Aristotle maintained that distinction, but included the adjective with the noun. The Stoics (see p. 73 above) recognized five parts of speech, and Dionysius Thrax recognized eight. Thrax's Grammar was apparently the first of its kind to be published in the Western world. His basic division of the parts of speech has remained.

By and large discussion on the primacy of any part of speech has concentrated on the noun and the verb. Sapir, although dismissive of the parts of speech distinctions ('Our conventional classification of words into parts of speech is only a vague, wavering approximation to a consistently worked out inventory of experience.') does comment nonetheless that 'No language wholly fails to distinguish noun and verb.' Jespersen divides the parts of speech into three hierarchical ranks: Primary (nouns), Secondary (verbs and adjectives), and Tertiary (adverbs). He bases his distinction on the theory that secondary words can modify primary, and tertiary can modify secondary. He also, in his three ranks, specifies four parts of speech.

De Angulo and Freeland believe that, for the purposes of semantic classification, the noun should have priority: 'the basis for classification should give preference to nouns over verbs.' Chafe, on the other hand, considers that the verb is semantically the most important part of speech: 'I have tried to establish a picture of semantic structure in which the typical configuration is that of a central verb accompanied by one or more nouns.'

John Lyons treats the whole question on the level of deep structure, which is not strictly relevant here (see comment at foot of p. 19). It is nonetheless worthy of some comment. Lyons states that 'any general theory of the parts of speech...must define the parts of speech
..as deep-structure constituents of sentences. In an earlier article, 'Towards a 'Notional' Theory of the 'Parts of Speech', which again takes a deep structure approach, he says, 'in many languages at least, the noun or nominal is the primary element of 'deep' constituent-structure.' In Semantics Lyons echoes Sapir with the comment that 'it is generally accepted by linguists that the distinction between nouns and verbs at least is universal.'

There appears to be little argument in favour of according semantic primacy to the adjective. One of the few people to write in its favour is Rudskoger, who comments that 'the adjective is and must be..the primary thing.'

It is recognized in the classification of material from the Historical Thesaurus archive that, in different semantic fields, priority has to be given to different parts of speech. Kay acknowledges that 'the attempt to impose a fixed order of parts of speech within categories: noun, verb, adjective, adverb worked for concrete categories (like Religion) but that 'work on abstract categories has shown that some categories are predominantly verbal or adjectival, and that greater flexibility is needed.' In the case of a classification of the chiefly abstract field Good and Evil, the adjective is primary.

In the majority of categories in Good and Evil the basic concept covered by the category is expressed by the adjective. The other parts of speech in the category can all be derived from the adjective. The noun is often formed by the addition of the suffix -ness or -ity, as kindness from kind, morality from moral. The adverb is often formed by means of the suffix -ly, as kindly, morally, and the verb is sometimes phrasal, with the same root as the adjective, as to do
worse, or - if not formed that way - has a meaning which can be related back to the adjective by that means. Not all categories are adjective based, but 50 out of the total 93 indisputably are. For more details, and a short discussion on primacy in the parts of speech in *Good and Evil*, see p. 89 ff. (2.3.4.5). Also presented there are tables indicating which part of speech is primary in each category.

Those 50 categories in which the adjective is primary include GB4 *Fair*, EB7 *Base*, and EE11 *Merciless*. In 16 categories the primary part of speech is either the adjective or the noun. And in 27 categories the noun or other part of speech is primary. However, in every category, the presentation of the material accords primacy to the adjective and the system works effectively. Up to 66 of the 93 categories are potentially adjective-based, a large enough majority to enable an adjectival system to be applied throughout.

It might be thought that if the adjective is conceptually prime, that it should also be the part of speech for which there are most items in *Good and Evil*. Perhaps surprisingly, this is not the case. Of the 93 categories, 42 have more adjectives than any other part of speech. In *Good* the adjective is dominant, but in *Evil*, and overall, there are more nouns than any other part of speech. The table overleaf gives a breakdown of the parts of speech in sections and overall. A full breakdown by category forms Appendix III.

A more detailed analysis of the basic essential features of each of the main parts of speech in the classification (adjective, noun, adverb, verb) may help to clarify the position of the adjective and confirm its superiority. Although, in *Good and Evil*, the adjective
A Distribution of Items in each Section according to Part of Speech

generally embodies the most basic form of each concept, the actual nature of the adjective is more complex. As adjectives, 'good' and 'evil' are essentially attributes, and they require two basic features to give them meaning. One is a referent. Abstract adjectives can only be perceived in the light of that to which they are applied. Indeed the OED definition of 'good', already quoted at the beginning of this chapter, describes it as a quality 'implied by the nature of the object which the adj. is used to describe.' The meaning is partially carried in the object which embodies it, be that a person, thing, action, or whatever. The other feature for the understanding
of an adjective is a knowledge of that which the adjective is not. An abstract quality such as 'good' is relative, and is perceived as much in terms of the related qualities which it does not possess, as in terms of those which it does have. As R.H. Robins says, 'The meaning of a word depends, not just on its reference...In part the meaning and use of most words are governed by the presence of other words whose semantic functions are related.' Robins takes this question of a scale of related meanings further with particular reference to colour terms, but the basic principle also holds for Good and Evil.

An abstract quality is therefore inherent both in that to which it is applied, and in the context of that to which it is related, and (as an attribute) is expressed most fundamentally in the adjectival form. As Hardi Fischer says, '..we have chosen to study the meanings of nouns in terms of adjectives...it seems natural to think of 'things' in terms of 'attributes.'

In the Good and Evil classification the adjective is the part of speech which forms the heading to which all elements in the category are subordinate. Each part of speech is divided into different 'types' of that part of speech, and all these types are subordinate to the adjectival heading. As the adjective is primary, and hence the most important part of speech, all adjective types come first, followed by noun, adverb, verb, phrase, and interjection.

The noun, or nominal form of an abstract concept, is often a term for the quality ('quality' in OED's sense 2: 'a mental or moral attribute, trait, or characteristic') which is that concept (as 'goodness' is 'the quality...of being good' and 'kindness' is 'the quality...of being kind'). The noun can also be a person, thing, etc.
which embodies the attribute in question.

The adverb basically describes anything which is, or is done, 'in the manner of the adjective.'

The verb often has the meaning 'to be or make the adjective' (as 'to be wicked', 'to make good'). In *Good and Evil* it is always possible to derive the verb from the adjective, usually by means of a description consisting of 'auxiliary verb plus adjective', as in EB3 'rogue (vi)' can be described as 'to be roguish.' Sometimes the derivation is made through the noun or the adverb, as in the headings 'to do evil' (in EA1) or 'to treat disadvantageously' (in EA2). Each of these headings could be construed more fully in an adjectival form as 'to do that which is evil' and 'to treat in a disadvantageous manner'.

These different types within the parts of speech are explained in 2.3.5 (p. 92 ff.).

One other part of speech which occurs occasionally in the classification (it appears in four categories) is interjection. It can be seen in adjectival terms in so far as it performs the function of an adjective, by describing something, but it does so in an interjectory ('characterized by a natural ejaculation expressive of some feeling or emotion' -OED) fashion.

There are also phrases in the classification, but for the purposes of this explanation these are not treated as parts of speech but as larger grammatical units. They are dealt with in 2.3.5.

This section ends with a short commentary on and a list of the parts of speech which are conceptually prime in each category.
2.3.4.5 Semantic Primacy as a Part of Speech

As has been mentioned, in the majority of categories (50 out of 93) the fundamental meaning of the category exists in the adjective. On the following pages is a list of the Good and Evil categories and, beside each, the part of speech in which its basic sense resides. This is — it should be emphasized — a generalization, based as far as possible not just on category headings, but also on items within categories. Twenty categories are noun based, including ED2 Treasonous, where the adjective derives from, and is several centuries later than, the noun 'treason'. In 18 categories (including GA3 Good and EA1 Evil, among others) two parts of speech, usually the adjective and noun, are primary.

In a number of categories the dominant part of speech is unclear. In GC6, for example, which is more primary between 'generous' and 'generosity' (both date from the 17th century)? In GB1 is 'moral' more primary than 'morality' (although 'morality'is recorded earlier in OED)? If the fundamental idea is not covered in the classification (as in EC3 'sin' is not covered, because it is placed in Religion) is the category based on a derived part of speech (in this case 'sinful')? In the tables below, categories like GC6 Generous are listed as being either adjective or noun based, those like GB1 Moral are, in the main, listed as adjective based, and those like EC3 Sinful are listed on the basis of the items they contain (Sinful is therefore adjective based). Other categories like GA1 Harmless are adjective based as the adjectival suffix -less embodies a fundamental part of the meaning, while those like EE1 Harmful are noun based, as the essential idea of the category exists in 'harm' and the suffix -ful merely forms the adjective without altering the idea.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>P.of Sp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA1</td>
<td>Harmless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA2</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA3</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA4</td>
<td>Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA5</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA6</td>
<td>Surpassingly Ex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA7</td>
<td>Best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA8</td>
<td>Matchless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA9</td>
<td>Worthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA10</td>
<td>Meritorious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA11</td>
<td>Virtuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA12</td>
<td>Honourable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB1</td>
<td>Moral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB2</td>
<td>Conscientious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB3</td>
<td>Impartial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB4</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB5</td>
<td>Righteous, Just</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB6</td>
<td>Honest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB7</td>
<td>Trustworthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB8</td>
<td>Proper, Fitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC1</td>
<td>Kind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC2</td>
<td>Beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC3</td>
<td>Wholesome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC4</td>
<td>Well Disposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>Well-Meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC6</td>
<td>Generous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA1</td>
<td>Virtuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA2</td>
<td>Honourable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB1</td>
<td>Moral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB2</td>
<td>Conscientious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB3</td>
<td>Impartial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB4</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB5</td>
<td>Righteous, Just</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB6</td>
<td>Honest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB7</td>
<td>Trustworthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB8</td>
<td>Proper, Fitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC1</td>
<td>Kind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC2</td>
<td>Beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC3</td>
<td>Wholesome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC4</td>
<td>Well Disposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>Well-Meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC6</td>
<td>Generous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>P.of Sp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC7</td>
<td>Magnanimous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC8</td>
<td>Gracious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC9</td>
<td>Considerate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC10</td>
<td>Humane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC11</td>
<td>Tender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC12</td>
<td>Merciful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC13</td>
<td>Blessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC14</td>
<td>Fortunate, Lucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA1</td>
<td>Evil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA2</td>
<td>Evil &amp; Dark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA3</td>
<td>Evil &amp; Graceless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA4</td>
<td>Hellish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA5</td>
<td>Diabolical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA6</td>
<td>Offensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA7</td>
<td>Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA8</td>
<td>Heinous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA9</td>
<td>Unpardonable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA10</td>
<td>Worst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB1</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB2</td>
<td>Worthless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB3</td>
<td>Roguish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB4</td>
<td>Ruffianly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB5</td>
<td>Dissolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB6</td>
<td>Wretched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB7</td>
<td>Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB8</td>
<td>Villainous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB9 Fouls, Filthy</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB10 Accursed</td>
<td>N/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC1 Wrong-Doing</td>
<td>Aj/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC2 Straying</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC3 Sinful</td>
<td>Aj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC4 Guilty</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC5 Criminal</td>
<td>Aj/N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC6 Immoral</td>
<td>Aj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC7 Leading Astray</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC8 Corrupt</td>
<td>Aj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC9 Ill-Behaved</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC10 Undutiful</td>
<td>Aj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC11 Failing in Duty</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC12 Avoiding Duty</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC13 Unfair, Unjust</td>
<td>Aj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC14 Improper,</td>
<td>Aj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED1 Treacherous</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED2 Treasonous</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED3 Betraying</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Aj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA Good</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB Good &amp; Moral</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC Good to Self/Other</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA Evil</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB Evil &amp; Inferior</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC Evil &amp; Wrong</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED Evil &amp; Treacherous</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE' Evil to Self/Other</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOOD TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EVIL TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOOD &amp; EVIL TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.5 Subdivisions

This level of the classification has already been referred to on several occasions, especially in the preceding discussion on the parts of speech.

Within the parts of speech, the next classificatory level consists of the divisions which occur in each part of speech. As mentioned above (p. 87) all parts of speech are divided into types. Each type is semantically subordinate to the category heading, and for convenience is referred to as a subdivision.

These subdivisions inevitably reflect the treatment and the
breakdown of the lexical items in the OED, which in turn reflect the uses of the items in context. Each part of speech has its own particular subdivisions, and these are dealt with separately on the following pages.

The parts of speech and their subdivisions are presented in the classification by means of headings consisting of initials which appear on the left hand side of the page. Each heading comprises the standard abbreviation for the part of speech (Aj, N, Av, V, Int) followed by a single initial (occasionally accompanied by a lower case letter) denoting the subdivision. The headings are followed by the lexical items themselves.

The subdivisions have a fixed order - basically a progression from general to particular and abstract to concrete - which is adhered to in each category. Obviously the range of subdivisions which appears in each category varies considerably, but this does not affect the order of presentation. Nor does the size of the subdivision. It is often, but by no means always, the case that the largest subdivision comes first.

Each subdivision is read and understood in terms of the category heading, which appears in the top right hand corner of every page. For example, under ED7 Dishonest we have AjA (standing for 'adjective, of actions') followed by the items, and AjP (standing for 'adjective, of people') followed by the items. These are respectively construed, with the help of the category heading, as containing items which mean 'dishonest, of actions' and 'dishonest, of people'. Under GA8 Matchless we have NQ (noun, the quality), NT (noun, the thing), NTP (noun, thing or person), and NP (noun, the person). Again, each of these is understood with the help of the category heading, which is
used to qualify the noun subdivision. Hence, NQ is 'matchless quality', NT is 'matchless thing', NTP 'matchless thing or person', and NP 'matchless person'. In many cases the subdivisions contain further subordinations accompanied by explanatory headings, which are also understood in terms of the category headings. These subordinations are discussed in 2.3.6.

The subdivisions within the adjective are as follows:

- **AjE** as an exclamatory epithet (only in GA3)
- **AjG** general - of actions, things, persons, etc.
- **AjA** of actions
- **AjC** of conduct
- **AjW** of way of life (only in Evil)
- **AjT** of things
- **AjP** of people

In addition to progressing from general to particular and abstract to concrete, the adjective subdivisions also run from inanimate to animate. Between AjG and AjT the order is alphabetic.

AjE only occurs in one category (see note on p.254), and in most categories AjG comes first. The adjectives in this subdivision are, or can be, applied to many different things, as actions, things, people, etc., when these referents have not been split up or specified by the OED. In all cases where the referents of an adjective are not made clear, the adjective is placed under AjG. Where the referents are specified the adjectives are classified accordingly, and appear under one of the more specific adjective subdivisions, frequently AjA, AjT, or AjP. This distinction is commented on on a number of occasions in chapter 4.

The subdivisions within the noun are as follows:

- **NQ** quality
- **NX** abstract thing
- **NF** feeling
- **NA** action
- **NAc** act
NQ and NX are the most general noun subdivisions; the others are all more specific. The subdivisions also, as under the adjective, progress from abstract to concrete and inanimate to animate. Of the abstract subdivisions the first seven occur frequently and the following ten (arranged alphabetically) occur only one, two, or three times apiece. The final three concrete subdivisions all occur frequently. Although the ten less common subdivisions take up a lot of space in the listing above, this is not the case in practice as they are rare, and it is seldom that more than one occurs in the same category. (The exception is EA1, where three occur.)

NQ always comes first in the noun, and is often the largest subdivision. It is followed by NX. This subdivision contains items which are abstract and which are neither qualities nor things. They are 'abstract things', and the initial X has been chosen to denote them. It does not stand for anything specific, but instead is being used to indicate something hard to quantify. A quality, as goodness or baseness (covered by NQ) is not a count noun (it does not, in general, take an article, and is not pluralized) and it is abstract. A thing, as a device or an object (covered by NT), is a count noun (it takes an article and can be pluralized) and is concrete.
The items in NX are between these two, and are count nouns at the same time as being abstract, as a virtue, a vice, a fault, and so on. This subdivision occurs quite frequently in the classification and is necessary to cover fully this abstract semantic field. The other subdivisions are all self evident.

There are several corresponding pairs of noun and adjective subdivisions which share the same initials in their heading. For example, AjA and NA are both 'of actions', AjT and NT are both 'of things', and AjP and NP and both 'of people'. Some categories contain pairs of these subdivisions, and although the subdivisions are not usually adjacent to one another, they can be easily recognized by their common initials. For example, under EA1 Evil, there are AjA, AjT, and AjP, followed on later pages by NA, NT, and NP. EA1 is a large category and the subdivisions are not close to one another, but under EA8 Heinous AjT and AjP occur on the same page as NT and NP.

The subdivisions within the adverb are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AvM</th>
<th>adverb of manner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AvH</td>
<td>adverb 'having'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AvW</td>
<td>adverb with (+ noun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AvB</td>
<td>by means of (+ noun)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most usual adverbial subdivision in AvM. The other three only occur in a total of five categories, and as such pose no problem with ordering. They are presented above in the order of the categories in which they appear. Two of them are interpreted along with a noun, rather than with the adjectival category heading. When this happens the noun should be understood as either NQ or NA (whichever is more appropriate in each category) and is in turn derived from the adjective. For example, in EB10, AvW is 'with
accursedness' or, adjectivally, 'with accursed quality', while in EC8, AvB is 'by means of corruption' or, adjectivally, 'by means of corrupt quality or action'.

The following list of verbal headings also includes interpretations, in brackets, of the part of speech with which the verb should be construed. Again, where this is not adjectival, it can be reduced to the adjective by interpreting any noun as NQ or NX or NA, and interpreting any adverb as AvM ('in an 'adjective' manner').

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb (Sig)</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VG</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>(act + adv/be + adj)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM</td>
<td>make</td>
<td>(+ adj)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VD</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>(+ noun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>address</td>
<td>(as + noun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB</td>
<td>become</td>
<td>(+ adj)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>consider</td>
<td>(+ adj)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VF</td>
<td>feel</td>
<td>(+ adj/noun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VH</td>
<td>have</td>
<td>(+ noun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>inspire</td>
<td>(with + noun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VJ</td>
<td>judge</td>
<td>(+ adv)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>look on</td>
<td>(+ adv)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td>passive verb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS</td>
<td>seem</td>
<td>(+ adj)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>treat</td>
<td>(+ adv)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VU</td>
<td>utter</td>
<td>(+ noun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW</td>
<td>have a (adj) way of life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first three subdivisions appear in order of frequency. VG occurs most often and is also, perhaps obviously, the most general subdivision. The other 13 subdivisions are presented in alphabetical order. The verbs are more complex than the other parts of speech in the classification. The interpretation of their headings often involves nouns or adverbs, and in addition every verb in the classification (with the exception of those only current in OE) is followed, in brackets, by an indication of its transitivity, or of whether or not it is a phrasal verb. This indication takes the form of (vt) or (vi) or (vR) (a full list, and some further comments on verbs, can be found on p.113).
Two other units of meaning occur in the classification. Neither occurs very often and each is treated according to its own merits. The first is phrase. This is used for phrases in a category which do not clearly or easily belong under one of the other parts of speech. As a result it varies greatly from one category to another. The heading for phrase is PhX, and this is always followed by an explanatory written heading, after which come the phrases proper. The written heading begins with a capital letter and is underlined. As in NX, the initial X has been used as an indication that what follows is hard to quantify (and in the case of phrases widely varied) although the explanatory heading for phrases does then attempt to do this. For categories with more than one kind of phrase, and therefore more than one heading, the second heading is PhXX (plus explanation) and the third is PhXXX (plus explanation). Three is the maximum number in the main classification and that occurs only in GC14 (but in Appendix I GC14 has another phrase, with four X's). The written headings for phrases range widely, from (in EA1) There is no fault to be found with (in concessive use) to (in EB10) A curse upon...

The final unit - or part of speech - is interjection. It has the heading Int, and covers items which describe something in an interjectory way. It occurs in four categories in the classification: GA3 Good, GA5 Excellent, EB10 Accursed, and ED2 Treasonous.
2.3.6 Subordinations

This is the final level in the Good and Evil classification, and the one which owes the greatest debt to the classification system devised by Chase for the semantic field Religion. 60

Many of the subdivisions discussed above contain items which require further qualification and are semantically dependent on the subdivision heading. For example, under NP there can often be items which are used only of a male, female, or young person, in addition to the general items. When this happens, these items are placed within an underlined written heading, which is preceded by a full stop. The full stop causes the heading to be indented - a visual indication of its subordination - and marks it out. Further subordinations are indicated by additional full stops (and hence additional indentation). All headings which share the same number of full stops are semantically equal (i.e. all headings with one full stop are derived equally from the subdivision heading). As with the other levels in the classification, the subordinate headings are ultimately interpreted in terms of the category heading, although within that they are also dependent on the subdivision under which they come.

For example, in EC5 Criminal under NP we have 'female'. The one item under this heading ('malefactress') is interpreted as meaning 'a female criminal person.' Further down the same subdivision is 'a band of (plural) which denotes 'a band of criminal people' and is the heading for the item 'gang.' Within this we have 'a member of, which is the heading for 'gangster' and within that 'who intimidates his victims,' where we find 'frightener.' Each successive subordination is dependent on the subordination with one full stop
less which immediately precedes it. The subordinations in *Good and Evil* do not go beyond a maximum of three full stops.

This system of subordination was devised by Chase and is used much more extensively in *Religion* than it is here. Chase does not include the parts of speech in his classification but derives everything in a category from a nominal heading by means of the subordinate headings and full stops. In *Religion* the number of full stops can be as high as five. Chase also indents the full stops, a feature which was not retained for *Good and Evil* as the very presence of the full stops indents the heading, and further indentation is not necessary. Chase's system was also discussed earlier in this chapter (see pp.77-81).

In both *Religion* and *Good and Evil* there are standard subordinate headings (Chase's term is 'category tags') and although some are common to both fields, many are common to only one field or the other. One of the great advantages of this system is its flexibility. Subordinations and their headings can be created where appropriate, and the heading itself (although always as short as possible) can be worded to suit the context. In subdivisions with several subordinations their order aims to follow a general pattern (see below) but can also be altered if necessary. Many subordinate headings are coined to cover specific items and occur only once. These obviously cannot appear in a fixed order.

Those which do recur and have a fixed order include the following.

In AjP and NP

- *male*
- *female*
- *plural*

are always the first subordinations, in that order, while under NP
always come last. Under all subdivisions except NP, the subordinations which come last are, in order

- **somewhat**
- **very**
- **more**
- **most**
- **excessively/.extremely**.

Under most subdivisions

- **in**
- **and**
- **of**

follow that order, although they can have other subordinations before and after them.

Many subordinations consist of **.and** or **.of** followed by a qualifying word or phrase.

It is also possible, and often useful, to use a subordination to place an item under one subdivision which grammatically belongs under another subdivision. In GA9 **Worthy** under **NT** we have **.person who esteems something as**, followed by the item 'prizer' - a person under 'noun, the thing.' The reason, in this instance, is that NP in this category would mean 'a worthy person,' but in fact a 'prizer' is not necessarily so. The word is in GA9 because it is used of someone who regards a thing as worthy. Therefore the concept covered by the category heading is inherent in the thing, not the person, so the word semantically belongs under NT, with an explanatory heading. Further examples of this are mentioned in chapter 4 under the appropriate category notes.

Some subdivisions have no main items but consist only of one or more subordinations. Among them are the items in GA12 **Honourable**
under NP. There are no items simply for 'an honourable person', but the subdivision goes straight into male and lists three items for 'an honourable male person', followed by on account of being not negro under which is one item with this meaning. Similarly, in EB10 Accursed, there are no items under VU which mean simply 'to utter that which is accursed' but every item is qualified either by .and invoke evil (and further qualified within that) or by .as an everyday imprecation. Again, further examples of this are mentioned in chapter 4.

2.3.7 Internal Cross-References

There are two kinds of internal cross-references in the classification. One is a reference at the end of various categories to other categories which contain relevant or overlapping material. The other is the straightforward duplication of the same item in different categories in the classification. There are also external cross-references at the end of some categories, and these were discussed in 2.2.4. The two kinds of internal cross-references are discussed below.

2.3.7.1 End of Category Cross-References

Duplication of lexical items in the Good and Evil classification has been avoided as much as possible (but see 2.3.7.2 below for exceptions). The reason for this is that many lexical items in the classification have definitions which contain several elements, and often these elements occur in definitions of items in a range of categories. Sometimes an element of a definition in one category will form the heading for another category. The scale of this is
illustrated more than once in the thesis, but especially on p.68, by
the list of elements present in definitions of items in EA1 AjG.
As a result, if duplication of lexical items were to be started —
on the basis of broad definitions — the operation would very
quickly get completely out of hand, with many items appearing in
many categories.

A specific example can be drawn from EA1 AjG. Three related
items are defined as follows. 'Felonous' is 'wicked, evil, mischie-
vous', 'felon' is 'wicked, base', and 'felly' is 'evil, cruel'.
(These definitions are taken from the Historical Thesaurus slips,
not the OED.) If each of these items were cross-referred on the
basis of the components in its definition, 'felonous' would be
cross-referred to EA4, 'felon' to EB7, and 'felly' to EE12.

Cross-referencing of this nature is clearly impractical. The
distinction between categories would blur and the value of the
classification in distinguishing abstract ideas would be considerably
reduced, if not altogether destroyed.

So, for the same reason that category headings are as short as
possible, a policy was adopted that items should not be duplicated,
other than in exceptional circumstances. However, it would be wrong
not to acknowledge by some means the connections and overlaps which
exist between many categories. To this effect there is a system of
'internal cross-references' whereby at the end of each category
a reference is made to those other principal categories which are
closely related to the category in question, and contain similar
items. This reference is preceded by 'See also:' and comes before
any external cross-references. The internal cross-references are
by no means exhaustive, but they do aim to mention the other main
categories to which any given category is related.

For example, in ED Evil & Treacherous, all the categories are closely related, but they can be split into three groups – ED1 to ED6, ED7 to ED10, and ED11. As a result the internal cross-references do not relate every category to all the others, but instead ED1 to ED6 are referred to one another, as are ED7 to ED10, while ED11 has no internal cross-references. By means of this system an extensive, complex, and unhelpful network of duplicated items is avoided.

2.3.7:2 Duplicated Items

As has just been mentioned, this type of cross-reference has been avoided as far as possible. There are only two places, very different from one another, where cross-referencing of actual lexical items does occur. When this happens, each cross-referred item is indicated by means of an asterisk which precedes the item on each of its occurrences. An asterisk before an item therefore means that the same item appears elsewhere in the classification.

The first place where this happens is in GB1 Moral and EC6 Immoral. Five items appear in both these categories. They are 'amoral' and related items, and they are all concerned with the notion of an innate inability to conceive of what is either moral or immoral. They are therefore, in effect, neither moral nor immoral, but because they can only be understood in terms of morality, or of a scale extending from moral to immoral, they belong, and are placed, in both the Moral and Immoral categories. The OED defines 'amoral' as 'Not within the sphere of moral sense; not to be characterized as either good or bad; non-moral.' This definition certainly suggests that 'amoral' etc do not belong in Good and Evil, but even
if they are arguably outside Good and Evil, they are understood so much in terms of these concepts, that they must be placed here. It is an indication of their unusual nature that they occur in both the Good and Evil parts of the classification.

The second place where cross-referred items occur is among the Old English items in the classification. This is because the Old English material differs in several respects from the rest of the classification. In fact, the reasons for these cross-references cannot be fully explained without some general discussion on the nature and associated problems of the Old English corpus in Good and Evil. It is therefore simplest at this point to conclude this section of the chapter and to discuss the Old English material in a short separate section, which will end with a piece on Old English internal cross-references.

2.4 Old English Items

There are 1643 Old English items out of a total of 9071 items in the Good and Evil classification. The Old English material therefore constitutes 18.1% of the complete corpus. Unlike the post-OE material in Good and Evil (and in the Historical Thesaurus) it was not drawn from the OED and its Supplement (because the OED does not cover items which were obsolete by 1150). Instead it was taken from the Old English dictionaries of Bosworth and Toller and Clark Hall. Because these dictionaries 'lack the authority of the OED', the Old English material was carded on yellow slips, as opposed to the usual white Thesaurus slips, so that it could be easily distinguished. Further details about this procedure can be found especially in Roberts (1978) and Roberts (1982). James Bosworth's lexicography
'precedes the development of historical lexicography initiated by Sir James Murray and the Oxford English Dictionary,\textsuperscript{64} and 'Inconsistency mars the arrangement of the entries.'\textsuperscript{65} Consequently any classification of material from the \textit{Historical Thesaurus} archive also entails the matching up of purely Old English yellow slips, and OED white slips which have an Old English starting date.

OED dates almost always specify a particular year.\textsuperscript{66} If an exact date is not known, an approximate date, as c1000, is used. However, the Old English dictionaries - and the corresponding yellow slips - are undated, and are regarded simply as 'OE'. In line with this, all items dating from Old English in the classification, even if they are only from OED and have no yellow slip, and do have a specific OED date, have been dated 'OE', and the Old English form has been provided. This applies to all items in OED with a date prior to 1100. Also, for such items, the Old English form has been drawn from the OED's Old English quotation(s), and has been converted to the infinitive or nominative singular, and so on, as appropriate. The Old English form is always underlined in the classification.

The matching up of Old English and OED items is by no means a straightforward procedure. The respective dictionary entries for large abstract words (like 'good' and 'evil') are often quite different from one another in their sense divisions, and on some occasions it is impossible to tally the yellow and white slips. On other (all too rare) occasions, however, the yellow and white slips tally exactly.

Logically, every item drawn from the OED with an OE starting date should have a corresponding yellow slip drawn from the OE dictionaries. On many occasions this is not the case. Throughout the classification there are 117 occasions when an item with an Old
English starting date in OED does not have a corresponding yellow slip. These include in GA3 Good AE, 'snel' and 'wynlice', in GB6 Honest AjG, 'anfeald' and 'recen', in EB6 Wretched AvM, 'lypre' and 'lyberlice', and in EC13 Unfair, Unjust NAc, 'unriht' and 'wrang'. Sometimes the 'corresponding' OE slip has a definition with a different emphasis, and for that reason has been placed elsewhere in the classification, or even elsewhere in the Historical Thesaurus. On other occasions a phrase which has been treated in OED as an item dating from OE, has been treated only under its separate words in the Old English Dictionaries, and when this happens there is obviously no yellow slip. These cases, and other similar ones, are discussed in many places in the notes in chapter 4.

There are also a number of cases where the yellow and white slips do match up, but where there is a gap between the OE date and the first date on the OED slip. If the OED date is 1250 or earlier then the OED entry and the OE entry have been combined, and dated from OE without a gap. Although 1250 is 100 years later than the supposed end of the Old English period, the assumption is that it is sufficiently close for unbroken currency to be taken for granted. This includes, in GA3 AjT, 'sele', where the first OED date is c1205, and in EB10 VU, 'acursian' and 'cursian', where the first OED dates are respectively 1175 and c1200. There are a total of 24 entries where the date gaps are sufficiently large for the items not to be conflated. For convenience they are listed below.

GA3 AjP has 'wellibende OE' and 'well-living 1377--'
NX has 'godnes OE' and 'the goodness 1577--'
ND has 'godnes OE' and 'goodness 1297-1568'
ND has 'god OE' and 'good 1606+1700'
NT has 'god OE' and 'good c1300--'
One other feature of the Old English material is its polysemous nature. Old English lexis, both in usage and in its dictionary definitions, frequently has many and more general senses than corresponding Middle and Modern English lexis. A.C. Baugh comments that 'Old English...lacked the large number of words...which now form so important a part of our vocabulary' and that 'resourcefulness is characteristic of Old English. The language shows great flexibility, a capacity for bending old words to new uses.'

Roberts also comments on the fact that in the Old English corpus for the Historical Thesaurus duplicated entries can occur because of 'one occurrence of the form with two explanations in the dictionaries.' On many occasions though, several occurrences (based on several definitions, or on the breadth of one definition)
are quite acceptable.

Consequently, although the policy for the Good and Evil classification is to avoid duplicating items in different categories, an exception was made for a limited number of Old English items. Prior to deciding which items should be duplicated, a thorough examination was made of the Old English corpus.

This study had two aims. One was to fill some gaps in the Old English part of the classification by adding items to certain categories. The other was to ensure that some items of broad meaning, and presently only in one category, also appeared in any such other category or categories as was appropriate. The first aim sometimes resulted in words being suggested for one category which were already in another category, thus also realizing the second aim.

A number of Old English items which seemed to belong in various Good or Evil categories, but which were not there, were checked as follows.

1. Their Modern English equivalent (or similar) was consulted in Skeat's An English-Anglo Saxon Vocabulary71 and Jember et al's English-Old English, Old English-English Dictionary72 to see if they or other Old English words were given.

2. A search was made on Roberts' microfiche of the Old English corpus for the Historical Thesaurus to see if related parts of speech for various items were covered.

3. Items suggested by steps 1 and 2 were checked in the Good and Evil classification, in the actual classification slips, and in Bosworth and Toller's and Clark Hall's Old English Dictionaries.

The end result of this study was that 16 Old English items were added to the classification from elsewhere in the Historical Thesaurus.
archive and 14 items already in the classification were cross-referred and appeared in two different categories (preceded by an asterisk on each occasion). The 14 items, and the categories each is in, are:

\begin{itemize}
    \item 'liss' in GC1 Kind NAc and GC8 Gracious NAc
    \item 'lissum' in GC1 Kind AvM and GC8 Gracious AvM
    \item 'mæplice' in GC1 Kind AvM and GC9 Considerate AvM
    \item 'bliss' in GC4 Well Disposed NQ and GC11 Tender NQ
    \item 'bweora' in EA1 Evil NQ and EC8 Corrupt NQ
    \item 'gæweornes' in EA1 Evil NQ and EC8 Corrupt NQ
    \item 'bweorcœnce' in EA1 Evil NQ and EC8 Corrupt NQ
    \item 'earg' in EB2 Worthless AjG and EB7 Base AjG
    \item 'weargberende' in EB3 Roguish AjG and EB8 Villainous AjG
    \item 'scandlic' in EB7 Base AjG and EB9 Foul, Filthy AjG
    \item 'wearg' in EB8 Villainous NP and EC5 Criminal NP
    \item 'sceapa' in EC5 Criminal NP and EE1 Harmful NP
    \item 'forreah' in EC7 Leading A stray AjG and EC8 Corrupt AjG
    \item 'arleas' in EE10 Hard-Hearted AjG and EE11 Merciless AjG
\end{itemize}

Further comments about the Old English material are included along with those already referred to in chapter 4 and (with reference to the relative proportions of Old English material in categories, and its rate of survival) in chapter 5.

### 2.5 Conventions used in the Classification

This final section of chapter 2 provides factual information about punctuation, stylistic features, labels, and other conventions used in the classification. The features covered here occur only in the classification. Other features and abbreviations which are used more widely in the thesis are explained in the preliminaries on p. vii or, if they relate closely to the classification, earlier in this chapter, as in 2.3.5.
2.5.1 **Punctuation**

The kinds of punctuation which appear in the classification, and their functions, are as follows:

;  separates each entry in a list

,  separates different labels in an entry

.  comes after the final, or only, entry in a list

--  comes after the final or only date in an entry and indicates continued currency

-  comes between two dates and indicates assumed currency between the dates

+  comes between two dates and indicates a possible gap in currency between them, or that there only are two (occasionally three) quotations for the item in question

?  before a date or a label, indicates uncertainty

..  in place of the final two (or one dot in place of one) numbers of a date, indicates that the precise date is unknown

/ (a) between OE and post-OE forms, indicates that these are different forms of the same item, and that the item began in OE and continued into ME or later (e.g. god/good)

(b) between two similar headwords in an item, indicates that they are alternative forms (e.g. price/prise)

(c) between two words forming part of an entry, indicates that the words are alternatives (e.g. all/everything)

*  before an item, indicates that the item also appears elsewhere in the classification

a  before a date, = ante

C  before a date, = circa
2.5.2 Entries

A. Arrangement of Entries

Entries are arranged in chronological order. Old English entries come first, in alphabetical order as they all share the same date (OE). These are followed by entries beginning in Old English but running into Middle English or later, again arranged alphabetically. Next come entries beginning in Middle English or later. They are arranged chronologically and take their dates from the OED.

B. Some Stylistic Features of Entries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(the) good</td>
<td>the bracketed part of the entry is optional (from GA3 Good).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in good (better/best) part</td>
<td>the bracketed part of the entry is optional and consists of more than one alternative (from GC4 Well Disposed).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(at)/with unskill</td>
<td>the bracketed part of the entry is an optional alternative (from EC14 Improper, Unfitting).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arch-X</td>
<td>X stands for a variety of nouns which can follow 'arch-' (from EA8 Heinous).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>best-(+adj)</td>
<td>one of a variety of adjectives can be inserted where the brackets are (from GA7 Best).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to do (...) wrong</td>
<td>an optional and variable word or phrase can be inserted where the brackets are (from EC13 Unfair, Unjust).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to play the...varlet</td>
<td>one of a variety of adjectives can be</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
inserted where the dots are (from EB3 Roguish).

-- conscienced this term is preceded by a variety of adjectives (from GB2 Conscientious).

C. Old English Entries

Items starting in Old English and continuing into Middle English or later include both the Old English and Modern English forms as alternatives.

If an Old English item begins 'ge-' this means that 'ge-' is always present in the item. If it begins (ge) then 'ge-' is optional. 'Ge-' does not affect alphabetization in either form.

Two Old English letters - ß and æ - are used.

2.5.3 Verbs

Old English verbs are listed in their infinitive form, without specification of transitivity.

All other verbs are followed by a bracketed specification of their transitivity or occasionally usage, except phrasal verbs, which are followed by (vp). Single word verbs are not preceded by 'to' but phrasal verbs are. The following abbreviations are used to designate verbs (sometimes two are used together) as (vt/i):

( vt) verb transitive
(vi) verb intransitive
(vr) verb reflexive
(va) verb absolute
(vp) verb phrase
(vim) verb impersonal

2.5.4 Dates

Some features of dating in the classification are:
If (1) follows a date there is only one OED quotation for the item concerned.

If (2) follows a date there are two OED quotations for the item, both from the same source (this can also be (3) etc., up to (6)).

If there is a closing date after '-' (as c1250-1603) the item is obsolete (unless the closing date is between 1800 and 1899, in which case it is only obsolete if it is on the list of items in Appendix II).

If the last date follows a '+' sign (as 1556+1845) it is simply the date of the last recorded usage. Currency or otherwise is not being specified (unless the last date is between 1800 and 1899 and the item is included in Appendix II, in which case it is obsolete).

If there is a bracketed closing date after '-' (normally one before 1835) the item is possibly obsolete (if the date is between 1800 and 1899 see Appendix II for confirmation).

Other features are most clearly illustrated by examples:

a1300+a1300 in this example there are two quotations, with the same date, but from different sources.

1812(ls)+1824(ls) in this example there are two quotations, with different dates, but from the same source.

1594(Nashe)+1594(Shksp) in this example there are two quotations with the same date but from different named authors.

1297(2)+1303(2) in this example there are two dates, the first having two quotations both from one source, and the second having two quotations
both from another source.

2.5.5 **Labels**

**A. Some Stylistic Features of Labelling**

Labels follow the items and dates which they qualify. An underlined label at the end of the date(s) applies to the entire date range of the item. A bracketed label, not underlined, only applies to the date (or date-date or date--) which it immediately follows. Some labels occur in two forms in the classification. They are Cant/cant, Hist/hist, Ir/Irish, N.Amer/Nth Amer, Naut/naut. These double forms reflect inconsistencies in the OED which are reproduced on the Historical Thesaurus slips.

Some examples of complex labels and dates are:

1887(dial)+1903 (2) (slang) *(from GA5 Excellent)*

1934-- colloq, orig US, freq ironic *(from GC6 Generous)*

1500/20-1562 (Sc)+1637 (Jonson, dial)+a1785 (dial) *(from EB3 Roguish)*

a1300-1586+1691-1721 (dial)+1808-1865 (arch) *(from EB10 Accursed)*

**B. Geographical and Subject Labels: A List**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical Label</th>
<th>Abbreviation for</th>
<th>In Context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anglo-Irish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austral</td>
<td>Australian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonies</td>
<td></td>
<td>in phr 'US &amp; Colonies'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>in phr 'Sc &amp; Eng dial'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebraism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I of Man</td>
<td>Isle of Man</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir/Irish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaican</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Geographical Label

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical Label</th>
<th>Abbreviation for</th>
<th>In Context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. Amer/Nth Amer</td>
<td>North American</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nth</td>
<td>Northern dialect</td>
<td>often in 'Sc &amp; Nth'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Afr</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>in 'now arch exc in S.Afr'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW dial</td>
<td>South West dialect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>Scots, Scottish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Subject Label

- Buddhism
- Law

### C. Status Labels and Associated Wording: A List

Self-evident unabbreviated words, like 'both', 'in', 'or', etc. have not been listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status Label</th>
<th>Abbreviation for</th>
<th>In Context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>affected</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'colloq &amp; affected'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arch</td>
<td>archaic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cant/cant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cent</td>
<td>Century</td>
<td>in 'rare before 19th Cent'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chiefly (slang etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children's</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'children's slang'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coarse</td>
<td></td>
<td>on 'coarse slang'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colloq</td>
<td>colloquial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contempt</td>
<td>contemptuous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dial</td>
<td>dialect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dicts</td>
<td>dictionaries</td>
<td>in 'in dicts' etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Label</td>
<td>Abbreviation for</td>
<td>In Context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erron</td>
<td>erroneous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esp</td>
<td>especially (in)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>euphem</td>
<td>euphemistically</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exc</td>
<td>except</td>
<td>in 'obs exc dial' etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fig</td>
<td>figurative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freq</td>
<td>frequently</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glosses</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'dial glosses'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hist/hist</td>
<td>historical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ironic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jazz musicians</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'orig US jazz musicians'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>joc</td>
<td>jocular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'low slang'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naut/naut</td>
<td>nautical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obs</td>
<td>obsolete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>orig</td>
<td>originally</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poet</td>
<td>poetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polite</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'not polite'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudo</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'pseudo arch'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhet</td>
<td>rhetorical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sarcastic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>schoolboy</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'schoolboy slang'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slang</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>somewhat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thieves'</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'thieves' cant'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tramps'</td>
<td></td>
<td>in 'tramps' slang'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Status Label | Abbreviation for | In Context
--- | --- | ---
transf | transferred |
vulgar | | 

### 2.5.6 Authors

Where an author who is well-known or of literary significance provides the only or the first example of an item, or revives an item, the name of this author is often mentioned in brackets after the appropriate date. This has been done regularly but not consistently by the Historical Thesaurus compilers. The authors concerned, and the number of times each author's name is mentioned, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No. of Mentions</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No. of Mentions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beckett</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browning</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nashe</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunyan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shakespeare</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caxton</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shelley</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaucer</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Spender</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stevenson, R.L.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampole</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonson</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tennyson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langland</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Thackeray</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wyclif</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perhaps predictably Shakespeare's name occurs most often. Second is Scott, who is noted for reviving or popularizing existing words rather than for coining new words. They are followed by Chaucer and Spenser.
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Chapter 3

A Classification of Good and Evil

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sections

GOOD  A. GOOD
GOOD  B. GOOD & RIGHT
GOOD  C. GOOD TO SELF/OTHER
EVIL  A. EVIL
EVIL  B. EVIL & INFERIOR
EVIL  C. EVIL & WRONG
EVIL  D. EVIL & TREACHEROUS
EVIL  E. EVIL TO SELF/OTHER

Categories

GOOD  A. GOOD

A1  Harmless
A2  Satisfactory
A3  Good
A4  Better
A5  Excellent
A6  Surpassingly Excellent
A7  Best
A8  Matchless
A9  Worthy
A10  Meritorious
A11  Virtuous
A12  Honourable

GOOD  B. GOOD & RIGHT

B1  Moral
B2  Conscientious
B3  Impartial
B4  Fair
B5  Righteous, Just
B6  Honest
B7  Trustworthy
B8  Proper, Fitting
### GOOD C. GOOD TO SELF/OTHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Kind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Wholesome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Well Disposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Well-Meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Generous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Magnanimous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>Gracious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>Considerate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>Humane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>Tender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>Merciful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>Blessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>Fortunate, Lucky</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EVIL A. EVIL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Evil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Evil and Dark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>Evil and Graceless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Hellish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Diabolical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>Offensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>Worse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>Heinious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>Unpardonable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>Worst</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EVIL B. EVIL & INFERIOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Worthless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>Roguish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>Ruffianly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>Dissolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>Wretched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>Villainous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>Foul, Filthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>Accursed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EVIL C. EVIL & WRONG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Wrong-doing, Transgressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Straying, Aberrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Sinful and Wrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Guilty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Criminal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Immoral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C7 Leading Astray
C8 Corrupt
C9 Ill-Behaved
C10 Undutiful
C11 Failing in Duty
C12 Avoiding Duty
C13 Unfair, Unjust
C14 Improper, Unfitting

**EVIL  D. EVIL & TREACHEROUS**

D1 Treacherous
D2 Treasonous
D3 Betraying
D4 Perfidious
D5 Unfaithful
D6 Disloyal
D7 Dishonest
D8 Crooked
D9 Sneaky
D10 Disingenuous
D11 Unscrupulous

**EVIL  E. EVIL TO SELF/OTHER**

E1 Harmful
E2 Disadvantageous
E3 Playfully Mischievous
E4 Harmfully Mischievous
E5 Spiteful
E6 Ill-Willing
E7 Bitter
E8 Ill-Natured
E9 Harsh, Unkind
E10 Hard-Hearted
E11 Merciless
E12 Savage
E13 Barbarous
E14 Bloodthirsty
GOOD

SECTION A: GOOD
A1 HARMLESS

AJG bealuleas/baleless OE-c1325+1869 (arch); unscathely 13.. (1); unnoyand/-ing a1400+c1475; unscathing 1437 (1); unsheding c1450 (1); unnymous 1483 (1); harmless 1533--; unharmful 1538--; unhurtful 1549-a1806; unhurting 1613-1822; inoffensive 1622--; inobnoxious 1682+1818; unafflicting a171 (2); unharming 1795--; unmalignant 1841 (1); inoffending 1853 (1).

NQ unnoyandness a1340 (2); unhurtfulness 1549 (1); harmless 1596--; inoffensiveness 1641--; unobjectionableness 1878 (1).

AvM harmlessly 1561--; inoffensively 1598--; unafflictingly a171 (1); unhurtfully 1725 (1); unharfully 1888 (1).

PhX There is no fault to be found with (in concessive use): he/it etc. is well enough 1798--; he/it etc. is all very well 1835--.

A2 SATISFACTORY

AJG sufferable a1340--; well 1381--; sufficient 1473-c1800; competent 1535-1780; sweet 1577--; fairish 1611+1660+1847--; adequate a1617--; satisfactory 1640--; comfortable 1658-1728; right 1662--; something like 1702-1798; decent 1711--; clever 1757-1811; respectable 1775--; fair 1795--; decentish a1814--; tidy 1844--; none/(not) so dusty 1856-- slang; hunky-dory 1866-- US slang; okay 1919-- colloq, orig US; amusing 1925--; hotsy-totsy 1926-- slang, orig US; oke 1929-- colloq, orig US; patsy 1930-- US slang; okey-doke 1932-- colloq, orig US; all right 1953-- colloq.

NQ acceptance 1593-1666+1868; acceptableness 1648--; satisfactoriness 1649--; acceptability 1660--; well-being 1702--; sanity 1760/72 (1); adequacy 1808--; bearableness 1850 (1); hotsy-totsiness 1935 (1) slang, orig US; bearability 1958 (1) rare.

AvM eallteala OE; tela OE; well c1250--; sufficiently c1375--; as well as a1400/50--; competently 1540/1-1651; somewhat like 1611--; adequately 1690--; with the best (of them) 1748--; satisfactorily 1748--; not (so/half) bad 1771-- colloq; fairly 1805--; decently 1846--; well 1886-- colloq, orig US; okay 1972-- colloq, orig US.

.remark of workmanship: sufficiently 1387/8-1699.
VG dugan OE; genugan OE; suffice (vi) c1340--; serve (vi) a1467--; do (vi) 1596--; to pass (muster) in a crowd (vp) 1711+1846-- colloq.

See Also: GOOD A3.

External X-R: I 6.4 Quantification: sufficiency.

A3 GOOD

AjE snel/snell OE-cl450+1720--(Sc); wynlice/winly OE-a1400; win c1205-c1400; comely c1300-1671+1883 (R.L. Stevenson) obs or arch; boon c1325-1686; clean c1340-1581; tidy c1350-a1625; good c1410--; wal(1)y 1500/20-- Sc; bonne a1529 (1); bonny a1548-- Sc & Eng dial; honest 1551--; bein 1567-1652+1834 thieves' cant; good as touch 1620 (1); clever 1738-1833 dial; respectable 1755-1815; plummy 1812-- slang; O.K. 1839-- colloq, orig US; bone 1851 (1) thieves' cant; brickish 1856 (1) slang; greatish 1866 (1); spandy 1868 (1) US; popular 1884 (1) US slang; (all) Sir Garnet 1894-- slang; beefy 1903+1905 schoolboy slang.

AjG freme OE; gleaw OE; gleawe OE; kind 1393-1756+1890-- (dial) now only dial; kindly c1400-1772/84+1887 (dial) arch or dial; tear c1400-1541/2 esp Nth & Sc; delicate a1533--; sappy 1563-1601+1948; rum 1567-- Cant; goodlike 1572-- obs exc dial; warrantable 1581-1821; healthy 1597--; well-qualified 1600-1790; good 1600--; goodisome a1603+1719; unquestionable 1603--; unabusing a1628 (1); well-formed 1643-1787; well 1661-1798/1803; boniform 1677-1830; creditable 1688-1779; unexceptionable 1702 (1); goodish 1756--; respectable 1775-1800; undeniable 1793--; ryebuck 1859-- slang, chiefly Austral; wellish 1875 (1) dial; extra-special 1896--; good quality 1897--; high-powered 1903--.
.and pleasing: god/good OE--; sweet 13..-1594+1824; gracious 1303-- now somewhat arch or poet; well-graced 1593-1605; sublimish 1865 (1).
.to a certain standard: up to the mark 1821--.
.but of inferior quality: good-bad 1899--.
.of something bad: splendid 1667--.
.of amount: fæger OE.
.of condition: in heart 1626+1703; on-form 1965--.
equally: efengod OE.

AjT god/good OE--; sel/sele OE-cl230; pretty 1566--;
unexceptionable 1681-- rare before 19th Cent.; averruncal 1705 (1).
riht OE; tilfremmende OE poet; welfremmende OE poet; welgedon OE; wellibbende OE; god/good OE--; sel/sele OE-13..; well-living 1377--; due 1399+1450; pretty c1400-- now arch; of sort 1603-1624; tight 1606--; well-deeded 1612-1650; well-turned 1798 (1); unmischievous 1821+1848; clean-living 1920--.

ge-corennes OE; modstápolnes OE; godnes/goodness OE--; god willa/goodwill OE-1602; goodhead al250-c1440; goodlihead 1390-1503; bonity 1585-1790; proof 1616-1862+1893 (dial) obs exc dial; goodness 1297-1568; benefit 1377-1480+1811; alms 1430-1623; alms-deed c1432-1828; almose 1513-1532; desert 1563-1657; good 1606+1700; a good thing 1897--; plural: well-doings 1552 (1). 

the highest: god/good OE-1738; summum bonum 1563--; chiefest good 1663-1869; chief good 1667-1806; kalon 1749-1827.

and pleasing: grace 1340--; sweetness cl400--; ornament 1560-1611.

do well 1362-1377(Langland)+1628; well-working 1611 (1); eupraxy 1675 (1); samaritanism 1843+1863.

ellenwoerc OE; god OE; godnes OE; mægen OE; weldaæ OE; goddaæ/good-deed OE-a1350; goodness 1297-1568; benefit 1377-1480+1811; alms 1430-1623; alms-deed c1432-1828; almose 1513-1532; desert 1563-1657; good 1606+1700; a good thing 1897--; plural: well-doings 1552 (1). 

plentiful: unrimgod OE.

do: manners 1579-1802.

god OE; good c1300--; cheese 1818-- slang.

honey 1888-- slang, orig US; (little) bit of all right 1898-- slang.

esp. with regard to speed: rattler 1853--.

gast OE; god OE; goddond OE; godfremmend OE poet; sergeant (of God) c1290-1483; well-doer c1450-1684; heart of gold a1553--; well-worker c1586 (1); samaritan 1644--; toff 1898--; one of the best 1917+1959.

male: nib 1812+1834+1936 slang; regular fellow/guy 1920-- colloq, chiefly US.

young: broth of a boy 1822+1843 colloq Irish.

plurals: (the) good c1300--.
who is self sacrificing: self-sacrificer 1668+1903.
who is long suffering: saint 1852--.
who is socially acceptable: sahib 1919--.
when losing: a good loser 1917--.
with unpolished manners: rough diamond 1700--.
personality of: goodlihead 1579+1590 both Spenser.

AvM faele OE; forplice OE; ge-sæliglice OE; wel/well OE--;
well-a-fine cl330-1573+1746-- obs exc dial; well and fine
c1400-c1450; sweetly 1594--; rumly 1673+al700 slang; well and
good/good and well 1699--; respectably 1775--; bobbishly 1813+
1819; nicely 1829--; sweet 1846--.
.and fine, pleasing: taeslice OE; cymlice/comely OE-1651;
wynlice/winly OE-c1450; trimly 1503/13(Dunbar)+1556-1679;
trim c1540-c1613; cleverly 1697-1791; tidy 1824 dial or
vulgar; wally 1847 (1) Sc.
.and carefree: high, wide and handsome 1907-- orig US.
.and in the right direction: on the right side of the post
1803--.
excessively: over-well c1375--.

VM godian OE.

VD to gode (ge)don OE; to do note (vp) 13..-c1440.
to the credit of a name: to brook a name (well) (vp) 1587-
1655.
in return for evil and hence to produce remorse: to heap/
cast/gather coals of fire on the head (vp) 1377-1589.

VB .by yielding a good result: to come to good (vp) 1623+1850--.

Int têla OE:

PhX Everything is fine: all/everything is gas and gaiters 1839--
colloq; everything in the garden is lovely 1910-- colloq.

See Also: GOOD A2, GOOD A4, GOOD A5, GOOD B5.
A4 BETTER

AjG furpra OE; selra OE; betera/better OE--; greater al225--.
.making: ennobling 1790--.

AjT better cl230--; better-class 1890--; better-quality 1908 (1);
better-than-average 1922--; better-type 1958 (1).

NQ betterness al300--.
.the side of anything which embodies: right side 1713+1855.

NT better 1535--.

NTP a greater 1845 (1).

AvM sel OE; selor OE; bet/bet OE-1586; better cl240-1797.
.making: ennoblingly 1823 (1).

VG to be bet (vp) cl175-a1643; better (va) 1592 (1).
.than one has promised: to be better than one's word (vp) 1684--.

See Also: GOOD A3, GOOD A5.

External X-R: I 6.4 Quantification: improvement & II 3.1.1 Prefer: improvement.
AjG acoren OE; acorenlic OE; æltaewe OE; æmyrce OE; ænlíc OE; afandod OE; ænlíc OE; betelic OE; cænnlic OE; (ge)cub OE; deore OE; dryhtlic OE; eacen OE; eadiglic OE; eallgod OE; forgare OE; forworþfulic OE; freolic OE; fulgod OE; fullfremed OE; fúlic OE; fyrmest OE; leofstæl OE; mær OE; mærlíc OE; micel OE; miccellic OE; sealdlic OE; syndorlic OE; tīl OE; umforcub OE; wihtlic OE; special a1225--; wenlic a1250 (1); breme a1300-1377; grately a1300-c1400+1863-- now only dial; thriven 13..-a1400/50; thriving 13..-c1470; price/prise 13..-1480+1615; noble c1305--; thiven and thro a1310-1450; burly c1325-1535+1873 poet; singular a1340-- now rare; dainty c1340-1712+1816--(dial); gentle c1375-1556 rare; proper c1375-1826 now arch or vulgar; before-passing 1382 (1); goody c1385--; dauntful 1393 (1); vounde c1400 (1); virtuous c1400-1430; curious c1420-1742+1816 (US dial) obs or dial; principal c1480-1609; fine c1440--; gay c1470-1593; exemplar c1475-1739; rare 1483--; singular c1500-1542; egregious c1534--; gallant 1539-- rare; eximious 1547--; jolly 1548--; jelly c1560/73-- Sc; braw c1565-- Sc; brave 1577--; stamming 1578+ a1825-- dial; surprising 1580-1831; phoenix 1583--; royal 1583(Sc)+1853--; of worth c1586--; exemplary 1589--; admirable 1598--; holy 1599-1634+1862; sublimated 1599--; paregal/peregal 1600-1612; valiant 1604 (1); excellent 1604--; twanging 1609 (1) Jonson, collog; grand 1611--; untriable 1612 (1); topgallant 1613--; classic 1613--; lovely 1614-- collog; glorious 1623--; prime 1628--; prestantious 1638 (1) rare; valuable 1638--; splendid 1644--; sterling c1645--; solary 1651 (1); seraphic 1659--; pure 1675-1884 now rare or obs; licking 1680--; tearing 1693-1850+1897(US) collog or slang, now rare; soaring 1695--; famous a1700--; magnificent a1704--; tip-top 1722--; showy 1728--; superb a1729--; tip-top-gallant 1730 (1) Swift; yrare 1742 (1) pseudo-arch; pure and -- 1742-1769+1865 (dial) now dial; dazzling 1749--; daisy 1757-- slang, chiefly US; immense 1762-- slang; capital 1762--; elegant 1764-- vulgar or humorous; trimming 1778-1828 slang; gallows 1789-- dial & slang; budgeree 1793-- Austral collog; crack 1793-- collog or slang; dandy 1794-- collog, orig US; smick-smack 1802 (1) rare; super-extra 1807--; great 1809--; first-rate 1812+1879--; swell 1812-- collog; divine 1818--; topping 1822-- slang & collog; slapping 1825--; ripping 1826-- slang; pure merino 1827-- Austral slang; slap-up 1827-- slang & collog; jam(-up) 1832-- collog; of the first water 1835 (1); brag 1836-- US; A1 1837--; lummy 1838--; number one 1839-- collog; splendidious 1843-- collog; star 1849--; stunning 1849/50-- collog; shrewd 1851 (1); slap 1851 (1) slang; jammy 1853-- collog; slashing 1854 (1); bully 1855-- US & Colonies; cheesy 1858 (1) slang; clipping 1861 (Thackeray)+1873 (slang dict); screaming 1864-- slang; rorty c1864-- low slang; slap-bang 1866 (1); slick 1866--; clinking 1868-- slang; nifty 1868-- slang; fair 1871 (1) fig; up to dick 1871-- slang; spiffing 1872-- collog & dial; heavenly 1874-- collog; first-class 1879-- collog; high-grade 1880--;
top-shelf 1882--; nailing 1883+1884 slang; boss 1884 (1);
gaudy 1884-- slang; fizzing 1885-- slang; champion 1886 (1);
raving 1886-- US slang; tipping 1887(dial)+1903 (2) (slang);
jam dandy 1888-- US collog; colossal 1892-- collog; purple
1894-- collog; corking 1895-- chiefly US; hot 1895--; hot dog
1896-- N.Amer slang; ducky 1897-- collog or affected; fids
1898 (1); top-hole 1899-- slang; deevy 1900-- collog; fruity
1900-- collog; V.G. 1901 (1); V.H.C. 1901 (1); divvy 1903--
slang; bonzer 1906-- Austral & NZ slang; bosker 1906-- now
obs, Austral & NZ slang; copy-book 1908--; top-notch 1910 (1);
crackerjack 1910-- collog, orig US; jake 1914-- slang, orig
US; keen 1914-- collog, orig US; bobby-dazzling 1915 (1);
game ball 1916+1922 Anglo-Irish slang; juicy 1916--; jakeloo/
jakerloo 1919-- Austral & NZ slang; four star/four starred
1921--; marvellous 1924--; mustard 1925--; crazy 1927-- slang,
orig US; fabulous 1929--; ready c1938-- US slang; grade A
1942-- collog; (real) gone 1946-- slang, orig US jazz
musicians; cool 1948-- orig US; groovy 1948-- slang, orig US;
gear 1951-- slang; fantabulous 1959-- slang; fab 1961--;
diggish 1963 (1) children's slang.

and splendid, noble: ðepelic OE; ge-coren OE; cymlic OE;
cynerof OE poet; deorwierpe OE; ealdoric OE; hlisful OE;
hlutor OE; hrepeadig OE poet; leohthbare OE; lixende OE;
maere OE; regallic OE; rot OE; scir OE; toht OE poet;
prymfaest OE poet; prymful OE poet; prymlíc OE; prybful OE;
ge-hunen OE; unwaclic OE poet; upheah OE; weorpfull OE;
weorbfullíc OE; weorpcilic OE; wraest OE; wuldormicel OE poet;
ðepela/athel OE-c1340; freolic/freely OE-c1475; healic/
highly OE-13...; wlanc/wlonk OE-15...; ethel c1200-a1225;
noble 1297-c1500; worshipful a1300-1687+1826-- arch; clear
c1340-c1410; wlonkful c1400 (1); splendidious 1432/50-1653;
triumpphant 1494-1696; royal 1583-- collog; exalted 1601--;
ingenuous 1607-1664; aureate 1625 (1); valuable 1647-1730;
candid 1648-1715 fig; splendid 1653--; imperial 1731--;
(like) a million dollars 1925-- chiefly US; million-dollar
1932-- transf & fig.

..displaying features which are: noble 1503--.
..and old: ærgod OE.
..and delicious: scrumptious 1865--; scrummy 1915-- collog.
..of food and clothing: curious c1325-1865 obs or arch.
..of manufactured goods: superfine 1682--; super 1842--.
..more: furbra OE.

AjP sovereign c1330-1688; great 1340--; singular 1485-1738;
supreme c1611--; bully 1681+1852.
.with respect to intellect or morals: til OE; scynende/
shining OE--.
NQ æþelnes OE; æþelu OE; (ge)cornerescope OE; cræft OE; cyst OE; micelnes OE; ge-miclung OE; mod OE; synderlicnes OE; þrymm OE; (ge)bungennes OE; weorþfulnes OE; weorþscipe OE; price cl250-1573; dainty al300-c1440; bounty cl300-1592; virtue c1325--; dainteth al340 (1); excellence 1382--; goodness 1387/8-- now somewhat rare; nobility 1398-1678; excellency al400-1783 obs or arch; fineness cl400--; merit cl420--; frelyhede cl440 (1); singularity cl450-1632; admiration 1534-1642; excellencyness 1569-1775; rareness 1577--; height 1601-1823; rarity 1601--; splendour 1604--; quality 1606--; admirableness 1607--; specialness 1611+1828--(in dicts.); primeness 1611--; exemplarity 1619--; rarity 1636 (1); gallantry 1650+1657; exemplariness 1650--; optimity 1656+1866; exaltedness 1659--; unexceptionableness 1699-1823; excellingness 1701 (1); sterlingness 1816--; goodliness 1832 (1) rare; unexceptionability 1837+1849; ethn 1875+1881; first-rateness 1882 (1); rortiness 1885 (1) low slang; superbness 1898 (1).

and delicious: scrumptiousness 1881 (1).

of character: honestete c1315-c1386; honesty 1340-1611; virtuousness 1525 (1); gracefulness 1611 (1); saintship 1613-1871; graciousness al691-al711; saintliness 1837+1880.

.high degree of: ge-byncho OE.

degree of to be aimed at: scope 1674 (1).

NX (a) virtue al225--; moral virtue cl386-1711 now rare; excellence cl391--; excellency 1601--; eminency 1602-a1677; eminence 1609-1659; transcendiary 1654 (1); transcendent 1657/83 (1); merit 1700--.

.plural: excellents 1502 (1).

.the part of anything which embodies: fine 1696+1886; high spot 1926-- slang.

NA bobby dazzling 1944 (1) chiefly dial.

.in rising to a point of excellence: soaring c1630--.

..action of causing: exaltation 1656--; exaltment a1677 (1).

NT cyst OE; sealt OE; weorþscipe OE; star cl230--; dainty 1340-1798; daisy cl485-al605; bravery 1583-1657; paragon 1601--; daint 1633 (1); raper 1653-1672; top-piece 1682+1682 Bunyan; superaculum 1704-- slang; the dandy 1784-- slang or colloc; smoker 1794--; slang; ideal 1796--; dinger 1809-- slang, chiefly US; beau-ideal 1820--; a dandy 1822-- slang or colloc; sneez 1823--; plum 1825--; trimmer 1827--; rasper 1844--; ripper 1851-- slang; roaring 1852-- US slang; fizzes 1866-- slang; beauty 1882-- colloc; champagne 1891-- fig; crackerjack 1895-- colloc, orig US; hun 1896 (1); collector's/-s' item/ piece 1910--; job 1928-- colloc; pearler 1941-- Austral & NZ slang; ruby-dazzler 1941-- Austral & NZ slang; rumpty 1941-1946 Austral & NZ slang; rumptydooler 1941-1945 Austral & NZ slang.

.of which a million have been sold: million-seller 1969--.
NTP

swan al300--; phoenix 13.--; carbuncle c1430+1513 (Sc); diamond c1440--; brooch 1460-1625 fig; surmounter c1500-1589; sovereign 1500/20-1695; transcendent 1593-1679; superlative 1600--; crack 1637--; first-rate al1638-1828 transfi; topper 1709--; phoenicle 1710 (1); admiration 1716--; spanker 1751-- dial & colloq; first-rater 1806+1837; nailer al1818-- slang; clinker 1836-- slang; screamer 1837-- slang; keener 1839+1872 US; bird 1839-- fig, US slang, freq ironic; rouser 1839--; beater 1845+1886 US colloq; clipper 1848+1854(both Thackeray)+1873-- slang; stunner 1848-- colloq; beau 1866-- chiefly Austral, NZ & US slang; bobble dazzler 1866-- orig & chiefly dial; corkscrew 1882-- colloq & dial; daisy 1886-- slang, chiefly US; jim dandy 1887-- US colloq; bottle 1890-- Austral & NZ slang; stem-winder 1892 (1) slang; jim hickey 1895+1907; pippin 1897-- slang, orig US; hot stuff 1900-- colloq; top-notch 1902 (1); bute 1903 (1) US; bonzer 1904-1922 Austral & NZ slang; humdinger 1905-- slang, orig US; boxer 1906-1952 Austral & NZ slang; hummer 1907-- colloq; good egg 1914--; the berries 1920-- slang; the bee's knees 1923-- slang, orig US; the cat's whiskers 1931+1949 Austral & NZ colloq; dilly 1935-- slang, orig US; killer 1937-- slang, orig US.

NP

eorcanstan OE; tirwinde OE poet; woruldfruma OE poet; gem c1275--; mirror c1369-1785; excellence 1447-1790; shining light 1526--; treasure c1530-- colloq; paragon c1548--; man/lad of wax 1592-- now arch & dial; man of men 1594--; rara avis 1607--; prodigy 1658--; excellency 1668 (1); inestimable 1727 (1); inimitable 1748 (1); surpasser 1805--; shiner 1810--; swell 1816--; trump 1819--; cock of the walk 1823--; topsawyer 1823--; pure merino 1827-- Austral slang, fig; tip-topper 1837--; star 1850--; seraph 1853 (1); desirable 1853--; outshiner 1864 (1); talent 1883--; rare bird 1890--; thoroughbred 1894--; soarer 1895 (1); flyer/flier 1930-- fig. female: ruby c1310-1500/20. infant: infant prodigy 1831--. plural: eorbes sealt/the salt of the earth OE+c1386--; tip-top 1753 (1); tip-tops 1797+1849.

Avm

anliche OE; anliche OE; borlice OE; ealdorlice OE; sedllice OE; unfor eclice OE; thrivingly 13..-c1470; goody c1320-1680+1865--; properly al375-1740/87 now arch or vulgar; daintily ?al400-1640; excellent 1483--; goodlily al500 (1); excellently 1527--; excellently well 1529-1712 arch; curiously 1548-1725; jollily c1563-1668+al822-- slang or colloq; singularly 1576-1617; admirably 1593--; bravely c1600-- chiefly dial; manly 1605 (1); famously al607-- colloq; exemplarily 1611--; exemplary 1626-1772; prime 1648-- colloq; eximiously 1650-1681; topping 1683-1706; egregiously al693 (1); purely 1695-al845 now rare or obs, slang or colloq; toppling a1736 (1); primely c1746-- colloq; capacitally 1750--; surprisingly 1756 (1); trimmingly a1789 (1) slang; jollily 18.. (1) Sc; divinely 1822--; gallows a1823-- dial & slang; twangingly 1825 (1) fig, arch, Scott; jam up 1835--; first-rately 1843 (1); first-rate 1844-- colloq; like a charm/to a charm 1845--; stunning 1851+
1888; stunningly 1854 (1); splendidly 1883 (1); tip-top 1888 (1) collog; rippingly 1892 (1) slang; deevily 1905 (1) collog; dandy 1908-- US collog; juicily 1916+1927 slang; corkingly 1917+1945; champion 1923-- collog or dial.

...and splendid, noble: æpellice OE; cynelice OE; dugupa OE; ge-dyhtedum OE; fægerere OE; fægerlice OE; hlisfullice OE; lixende OE; mærllice OE; manlice OE; micellice OE; unwaclice OE poet; weorþlice OE; highly 1154-1662; fraely cl205-cl350; gloriously 1393--; gallantly 1552--; rarely 1590--; sightly 1591-1784; goldenly 1600+1840--; finely 1690--; splendidly 1774--; exaltedly 1790 (1); superbly 1828--.

...and delicious: scrumptiously 1844--.

VG to have everything (vp) 1845-- now collog; to be a box of birds (vp) 1943+1947 Austral & NZ collog.

Int gear 1925-- slang.

See Also: GOOD A3, GOOD A4, GOOD A6, GOOD A7, GOOD A8.

External X-R: III 2.1.2 Nobility.

A6 SURPASSINGLY EXCELLENT

AjG noble al300--; passing cl375-1632; precellent 1382-1660; passant cl386-cl485; especial cl386--; surmounting cl407-1752; superlative cl410--; pre-eminent 1432/50--; divine cl470--; outrepassed cl477 (1); superexcelling 1530-1613; exceeding 1552-1599; superexcellent 1561--; exquisite 1579--; surpassing cl580--; summary 1587-1733; paramount 1596--; termless 1597 (1); transcendent 1598--; transcending 1598--; paragon 1601-1825; over-matchful 1607 (1); pre-excellent 1611+1826 rare; overtowering 1639--; surpassant 1654 (1); overtopping 1675 (1); out-doing 1679 (1); transcendental 1701--; tovery 1738 (1); superior 1777--; pre-ordinate 1801+1863; prize 1803--; supernal 1818--; tiptopsomest 1819 (1); tip-topping 1827 (1); superfine 1850--; towering 1894 (1).

...and superior in quality: healic OE; heah/high OE--; fine al300--; upper al586--; nature-graced al618 (1); supremer 1683-1748; de luxe 1819--; nature-favoured 1885 (1).

NQ fullfremednes OE; masthede al300 (1); primate al340-1432/50; sovereignty cl340-1610; primacy 1382--; pre-eminence 1427--; vassalage cl430 (1); precellence 1432/50-1737; pre-excellence 1459 (1) rare; chief 1519+1602 (Shksp); sublimity 1526--; precellency 1557-1658; pre-eminency 1560-- now rare; superancy 1578 (1); divineness 1580+1611; superfelloncellency 1587--;
transcendence 1601--; pre-excellency 1603 (2); greaterness 1625 (1); transcendentness 1625--; top 1627--; superexcellence 1652--; antecellency 1657 (1) rare; transcendency 1662--; transcendingness 1730--; transcendentalism 1840 (1) Carlyle; quality 1874--; surpassingness 1879 (1).

NA exceeding 1480-1636; out-doing 1727--; surpassing 1736+a1774.

NT exsuperance 1635 (1); beat cl827-- US, chiefly dial.

NTP whipper c1520-1540.

NP stain a1586-1605; exceeder 1669 (1); eclipser 1748 (1).

AvM sovereignly cl340--; excellently cl375 (1); before 1377+1382; transcendingly a1529-1817; exquisitely a1535--; precellently c1557 (1); divinely 1582--; superlatively 1596--; surpassing 1598-1653+a1808-- obs excýoet; par excellence 1598--; by way of excellency 1621/31-1711; by (way of) eminence 1621/31-- now rare; by (way of) eminency 1622/62-1703; transcendingly 1623--; surpassingly 1658--; exquisitively 1662-- rare; superexcellently 1683--; pre-eminently 1747--; transcendently 1870 (1) erron.

VG forswiban OE; oferhlifan OE; oferstigan OE; oferbeon OE; overcome (vt) c1220--; pass (vt/i) c1230-1704+1850 arch; forpass/forepass (vt) c1374-1579; pass over (vp) 1390 (1); precel (vt/i) c1400-1756; excel (vi) 14--.; exceed (vt) c1425--; transcend (vt) c1430--; surmount (vi/a) 1447-1687; superexcel (vt/i) c1450--; come over (vp) 1476-1599; vanquish (vt) 1533 (1); better (vt) 1548--; surpass (vt) 1555--; exsuperate (vt) 1559-1721/1800; oversile (vt) 1584 (1); overshine (vt) 1588-1827; soar (vt) 1593--; subtraverse (vt) c1600 (1); paragon (vt) 1604 (1); over-height (vt) 1611 (1); pre-excel (vi) 1611-1624 rare; overdo (vt) a1625-1859 arch; antecell (vt) 1635-1642 rare; surmatch (vt) 1636 (1); prepoll (vi) 1657 (1) rare; over-merit (vt) 1658 (1); to beat (all) to nothing (vp) 1760/72-1819; to take the rag off (the bush/hedge) (vp) 1810-- chiefly US; to (beat/bang) banagher (vp) 1830--; flog (vt) a1841-- slang; overtower (vt) 1850 (1); pretergress (vt) 1851 (1) rare; to beat the band (vp) 1897-- colloq.

.to have the pre-eminence; to bear the price (vp) c1250-1753; to have the price (vp) c1450 (1).

See Also: GOOD A4, GOOD A5, GOOD A7, GOOD A8.

External X-R: I 6.4 Quantification: perfection.
AjG fyrmest OE; fyrst OE; medemest OE; betst/best OE--; hiehst/
highest OE--; greatest a1225--; of the best 1338-1828;
higher 1340 (1); first 1382--; premier c1470--; paramount
1531--; primer 1589-1747; supreme 1593--; cock 1628-1865;
supremest 1631--; top 1647--; first(-)rate 1671--; of (the)
first rate 1697-1810 now rare; reproachless 1826+1892; beatemest
1831-- US dial; first-class 1858--; beatenest 1860-- US dial;
bestest 1868+1922 dial & joc; nulli secundus 1869--; optimum
1885--; optimal 1890--; grade A 1911--; nulli secundum 1935
(1); most 1953--.

relatively: bettermost 1762-- colloq.
worthy of being selected as: smealic OE; acoren/ycore OE-
c1420; wale c1250-c1400; try a1300-1596; tried 13..-1581;
rich c1315--; chis/chise c1320-c1425; choice 1340/70-1826;
chief 1519-1660; select 1590--; selected 1598-1655; recherché
1722--; pick 1819-- colloq.
of a person: wale c1250-1790; select 1602--.
most: coronest a1400/50 (2).
in a state or condition which is: at one's best 1571--.
(of clubs etc.) only selecting those who/which are: select
1842--.
compared with anything previous in the same field: record-
breaking 1886--; record 1893--.

NQ bestness 1557-1820; preseance 1581-1602; presidency 1608+
1647; primitivity 1659+1660; superlativeness 1727--; optimism
1796 (1); reproachlessness 1856 (1); best-quality 1906+1960.
for an end result: optimism 1795+1821; optimality 1944--.
The fact of being: optimity 1885 (1).
The part of anything which embodies: faetnes OE; yolk 1387/8+
1614-c1730;chief 1509-1607 obs or arch; prime 1635--.
worthiness of being selected as: choiceness 1636--; selectness
1727--.
and highest: hiehbo/height OE--; highest a1225-1668; high
1c1450-1557; superlative 1583--; optimacy 1651 (1); high water
mark 1814--.

NA in a bad situation, trying to make: optimization 1857--;
optimization 1965--.
compared with all previous action in the same field: record
1883--; high 1926--.

NS optimum 1955--.

NTP wynn OE; the best c1175-a1693; flower c1200--; crest c1325+
1838+1873 rare, fig; price/prise c1330-c1400; flower of
chivalry c1370-1800/24; richesse c1392 (1); primrose c1425-
1664; pride c1425-1770; a per se 1475-1602; outrepasse c1477
(1); choice 1494--; wale 1513-- Sc & Nth; prime 1579--;
cream 1581--; pink 1592--; (a) best c1600--; surquidry 1607
(1); excellency 1611-1667; nonsuch c1613-1670; nonsuch
1635-1673; analect a1650 (1) rare; top 1663--; patriarch
1700--; pick 1760--; piece of resistance 1797--; pièce de
resistance 1839--; number one 1843-- colloq; highest 1861--.
pick of the basket 1874--; koh-i-noor 1892-- *fig*; resistance-piece 1895 (1); a/the grandaddy of 1956-- *colloq*; best-ever 1959--.

NP  
periwinkle 13..-a1440; bellman 1617 (1); optimate 1635 (1); prior 1644 (1); champion 1820--; top-sawyer 1826+1869; beyond-man 1896--.

*plural*: dugub OE; weolme OE; se betst/the best OE--; choice 1595 (1); élite 1823--; crème de la crème 1848--; corps d’élite 1884--; sacred circle 1939--.

AvM  
betst/best OE--; at (the) best cl325-1604+1812; best- (+adj) 1588--; in the best 1602 (1); bestest 1905 (1) dial & joc.

*and selected*: pricely 1340/70 (1) rare; tryly 1350-1377; choicely c1350--; triedly c1400-14.. (Langland); selectedly 1637 (1).

*for an end result*: optimally 1933--.

AvH  
*of its kind*: best- (+adj) 1580--.

VG  
to beat the bell (vp) c1374-1817; to have/bear/carry the stroke (vp) 1538-1731; to ring the bell (vp) 1900+1928 *colloq*.

*in behaviour*: to be/stand on/upon one’s (good/best) behaviour (vp) 1538--.

VM  
optimize (vt) 1946--.

VB  
optimize (vi) 1971--.

See Also: GOOD A5, GOOD A6, GOOD A8.

External X-R: I 6.4 Quantification: perfection.

A8 MATCHLESS

AjG  
ungelic/uniliche OE-cl1400; unevenly a1225 (1); makeless a1225-cl1615+1674/91-1829 (dia) obs exc dia; peerless cl1320--; unoverpassable 1382 (1); incomparable 1382-1634; sole 1398--; incomparable 1412/20--; sans peer/saunce pero 1426-1576; nonpareil 1477--; invincible 1509+1617; matchless 1530--; unmatchable 1544--; mateless 1570-a1644; unpassable 1570-1683; unimitable 1581-1773; unmatched 1581--; incomparable 1590 (1); unrivalled 1591--; immatchless 1595+1609; unequalized 1596 (1); immatchable 1596+1630; paragonless 1599 (1); uncompeered 1602 (1); unpeered 1602--; unparagonized 1603 (1); unpeerable 1604 (1); uncompanioned 1608 (1);
parallelless 1611 (1); unsurmountable 1611-1745 rare;
unparagoned 1611--; unsurpassable 1611--; patternless 1613 (1);
unique 1618--; unequaled 1622--; unparalable a1639 (1) rare;
unparallelable 1640--; nonsuch 1641-1715;
unequalable 1648--; unaccessional 1655 (1); unmatchless 1657 (1);
nonsuch 1667-1728; uncommanded 1693-1829; untouched 1736+1878;
unsurpassed 1775--; unsurpassed 1813 (1); unapproachable 1831--;
unrivalable 1834 (1); untranscended 1846+1852; insurpassable 1849--;
unapproached 1856 (1); unsurpassable 1859 (1); hors concours 1884--;
of a lifetime 1929--.
.and unable to be replaced, if lost: unreplaceable 1801--;
irreplaceable 1842--.

NQ peerlessness 1611--; unmatchedness c1611 (1); unmatchableness 1627+1676.
NT nonsuch 1590-1745; nonsuch 1657-1820; non-pareillo 1672-1687.
NTP nonpareil 1593--; incomparable 1704+1807; non-parella 1899 (1).
.esp. in negative contexts: the only pebble on the beach 1896-- colloc.
NP sans peer/saunce pere c1460-1600; non-parallel 1641 (1);
nonsuch 1647-1821+1927; nonsuch 1655--; ne plus ultra 1672 (1).
.usually female: rose a1400--.

AvM sans peer/saunce pere a1400 (1); unlike 14..+c1425;
incomparably c1422--; incomparable 1482-1664; incomparably 1548 (1);
peerless 1596 (1); peerlessly 1599--; unimitably 1622+1670; matchlessly 1763--;
unsurpassably 1859+1872; unapproachably 1863+1890; matchless 1871 (1).

See Also: GOOD A5, GOOD A6, GOOD A7.

External X-R: I 6.4 Quantification: perfection.
proveable 1382-1483; prowous c1400-1422 rare; prow c1400--; singular c1400--; condign c1470-1582+1854; golden 1498--; worth its/his etc. weight in gold a1500--; prized 1538--; of great/highest etc. worth 1590--; valorous 1592-1609; estimable 1596-1803; well(-)found 1601-1607+1887; prizeable/ prizeable 1603-1862; treasurable 1607--; treasurous c1611-1616; cabinet 1711--; treasured 1715/20--; bribe-worthy 1731+1788; pearly 1760/72+1893; undepreciated 1818+1845; at a premium 1828--; keep-worthy 1830 (1); above suspicion 1850--. enough to be imitated: suit-worth 1594 (1). in other than material respects: worth 1297--. to a degree beyond valuation: unandergilde OE; unvaluable 1569-1712; invaluable 1576--; inestimable 1579--; unvalued 1586-1820 now rare; priceless 1593--; invalued 1603-1806; unprizable 1604-1634; unprized 1604 (1); unratable 1629 (1); unpriced 1857+1858 (2). to a superior degree: unworthy 1746 (1). AjP thrifty c1374-1596; bricky 1863 (1) slang.

NQ weorhnes OE; aughtship 1205 (1) rare; savour a1225-1483; price a1225-1703+1872 arch; worthiness 13.. --; dearworthiness c1325 (2); preciosity c1380-1681; preciousness c1386--; vailance 1387/8 (1); value 1422-1592; valour 1432/50-1616; valeur 1433/4-1456; precioushead c1440 (1) rare; vail 1471-1567 Sc; parage 1513 (1) Douglas; avail 1513-1631+1846 obs or arch; riches c1374-1596; validity 1593--; carat 1597-a1680; esteeming c1600-1633; condignity 1605-1668; valiant 1606 (1) Sc; worth 1617-1678; telling 1636 (1); valuableness 1683--; laudableness 1695-1768/74; laudability 1715-1829; worthship 1843+1851; worthfulness 1894 (1); treasurousness 1898 (1). of personal qualities: valour 13..-1508; value c1330-a1639; brickishness 1906+1924. beyond valuation: invaluableness a1656 (1); pricelessness 1883 (1). of persons: weorp OE. of things: worship c1200-1398; worth 1340--; value c1380--; valor 1580-1655. that is very great, of persons or things: its/his etc. weight in/of gold/silver etc. c1205--.

NT deorwyrbnes OE; eorcnanstan OE; hord OE; mapmæht OE; maphumfæt OE; mapbumweiseord OE; mapum OE; ofermapum OE; sincmapum OE poet; treasure c1200--; relic c1385-c1470; store 1410-c1426; margarite a1450-1635; gem c1560--; worthy 1588 (1); jew's eye 1592--; wealth 1596--. plural: estimables a1682 (1). non-material: hord OE; warison a1300-1303. which is twice the usual value: twicer 1857 (1) slang. person who esteems something as: prizer c1611-1691.

NTP jewel 13..--
NP. brick 1840— fig, slang or colloq.

AvM deorlice OE poet; deorwyrblice OE; weorbfullice OE; aughtly al121-1205; valuably 1865—.

VG dow (vi) c1200-1530+1788 (dial); avail (vi) 1375-1583+1844; value (vt) 1544-1799.

VC prize (vt) 1375--; price (vt) 1561-1643.

See Also: GOOD A10.

A10 MERITORIOUS

AjG ge-risenlic OE; meedful a1340-1573; meritory 1390-1485; meritable 1415--; premiable a1450 (1) rare; meritorious 1494--; meriting 1605-1732; meritful 1660 (1) rare.

AjA meritory 13.1-1485+15. (Sc); meritorious 1432/50--; well-acted 1792 (1).

NQ forgeearnung OE; earning/earning OE-c1200; merit 1362--; desert c1374--; meed a1375-1623+1714 (arch); meedfulness 1530 (1); meriting 1549+1671; deservedness a1628--; deservingness 1631+1865; meritoriousness 1639--; premiability 1675 (1) rare.

. excessive: over-merit 1622 (1).

NAC demerit 1548-1655.

NT merit c1380--.

AvM meedfully c1340-c1440; meritorily c1400-c1449; meritoriously 1502--.

VB merit (vt/j) 1526--.

See Also: GOOD A9.
All VIRTUOUS

AjG cystig OE; dugende OE; rihtful OE; sideful OE; ge-byde OE; god/good OE--; synleas/sinless OE--; well wyrcende/well-working OE-c1460; dowing c1175-c1205; well-thewed c1200-1642/7; thewful c1205-13..; thewed a1300-13..; fine 13..--c1450; vertuous c1340-1706; flourished c1470-1508; virtuous 1487--; angelic c1510--; angelical 1577--; graceful 1605+a1715; unsinful 1681+1767.

by being free from moral taint: undistained 1565-1625; unstained 1573--; untouhted a1586--; untainted c1590--; unsoiled 1603-- fig; uninfect 1628-1795; unstained 1573--; untainted 1627 (1) rare.

by being free from dishonour: dishonourless 1595 (1); untainted 1627 (1) rare.

by being beyond temptation: untemptable 1819+1837 (2); untemptible 1828+1858; unseducible 1869 (1).

by being not drawn aside: unseduced 1565-1830.

by being all-holy: eallhalig OE.

of disposition: virtuous 1584--.

AjC virtuous c1375--.

NQ god OE; guncyst OE poet; mancyst OE; ge-pyncbo OE; dugup/douth OE-a1250; thewmess c1200 (1); thew c1205-1575; virtue a1225--; grace a1300-1604; bounty a1300-1623; prowess c1374+c1386 both Chaucer; governance c1392 (1) Chaucer; virtuousness c1449--; virtuehead c1450 (1); weal c1500 (2)+c1500; force 1590-1709; good nature 1627-a1677 rare; sinlessness 1661--; unstainedness 1685+1727.

NX god OE; miht/might OE-c1230; good c1380-1563; grace 1530--.

//a record of: blazon 1577--.

.and divine: grace 1303--.

.and cardinal: heafodmægen OE poet; moral virtue 1598-1791.

//plural: cardinal virtues 1300--; cardinals 1768 (1).

.and theological: heahmægen OE.

//plural: theologicals 1600 (1).

NW godlif OE; well-living c1400-1656; well doing 1414--.

NP juno's swan 1592 (1); gracer 1592-1635.

//plural: (the) virtuous 1390--.

//who does not tempt: untempter 1382 (1).

//very: paranet 1609 (1).

AvM rihtlice OE; sidefullice OE; peawum OE; ge-pungenlice OE; dungeplice c1205 (1); thewedly 13.. (1); virtuously c1380--; sinlessly 1696--.

by being beyond temptation: untemptibly 1858 (1).
VG dugan OE.
  .to try: seek (vt) 1340 (1).
  .in one's way of life: to live well (vp) 1620 (1).
  ..to excel at: outlive (vt) 1883 (1).

VM angelicize (vt) 1825--; virtuefy (vt) 1834 (1) rare.

PhX The more virtuous the better: by sel OE.

See Also: GOOD A12.

External X-R: III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality: good behaviour.

A12 HONOURABLE

AjG arwaorful OE; ge-coren OE; deorlic OE; hlisful OE; rihtwyrpe
OE; sel OE; deore/dear OE-1606; dearworth c1175-c1420;
dearworthy a1300 (1); fair c1380-1650; famous 1555-1683;
honourable 1592--; just 1601-1809; white 1877-- slang or
collog; sportsmanlike 1899 (1). collog.
  .on account of being not dishonoured: undishonoured 1590--;
undishonested 1631 (1).
  .over fine details: punctilious 1634--.

NQ honourableness 1553--; ingenuity 1598-a1716.

NX weorpscipe OE; honour 1548--.
  .That which affects: point of honour 1612--; pique of honour
1678-1687.
  ..and is a fine detail: punto 1591-1766; punctilio 1599--;
punketto 1608 (1) rare; punctille 1610 (1) rare; punctuality
1641-1751; pundonor a1648-1829.
  .in a person, an expression of: scout's honour 1908-- transf.

NC ge-ris(e)ne OE.

NP .male: man of honour 1577-1711; chevalier 1630-1843; perfect
gentleman 1856--.
  ..on account of being not negro: white man 1883-- orig US
slang.

AvM bilewitlice OE; ge-risencle OE; unfracodlice OE; arwurblce/
arworthly OE-c1175; deorlce/dearly OE-1606; dearworthly c1205
(1); dearworthly a1300-al400; honourably/honorably 1303--;
truly 1362-1558; true a1425-1633; manfully 1591 (1);
honourable 1593-1654.
  .over fine details: punctiliously 1770-1849.
VG to play fair (vp) c1440--; to play the game (vp) 1889--.

See Also: GOOD All.

External X-R: III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality: good behaviour.
GOOD

SECTION B: GOOD & RIGHT
B1 MORAL

AkJ rebehydigi OE; beawfaest OE; beawful OE; beawlic OE; safe 1390-1601; well-mannered 1393-1597; convenient 1400-1727; mannerly 1400-1549; virtual 1425-1607; healthful 1601--; strict 1614--; moral 1638--; morate 1652-1677; well-moralized 1652-1727/46; well-principled 1691--; sound 1822--.
rendered: moralized 1647--.
able to be: moralizable 1916+1961.
indulging in reflections which are: moralizing 1796--.
preventing decay which is: antiseptic 1820-- fig.
profitable in a way which is: available 1456-1500 Sc.
not as a saint: civil 1619-1676; moral a1686+1824.
not consciously: *amoral 1882--.
highly: saintlike c1580-1830; noble 1590--; sainted 1605--;
noble-tempered 1654 (1); worthy 1753/4--; high-toned 1807--;
noble natured 1872 (1); high-tone 1898--.

AjA worthy 1563--.

NQ virtue 1402--; droiture 1483 (1); strictness 1578--; morality 1592-1772 now rare or obs; stricture 1603 (1) Shksp; moralness 1642+1889; fitness 1647--; perpendicular 1859--.
plural: moralities c1386-1819.
.of a person: morals 1613-1663.
that is a principle: modstapol OE.
that is one's well-being: soul-heal a1300-1560; soul-health 1390-1618.
that improves one: ge-rene OE.
as a good influence: virtue c1300-1567+1841.
not conscious: *non-morality 1902 (1); *amorality 1923 (1).

NC sidu OE; morality 1609--; morale 1812(ls)+1824(ls).
.on account of being a preventative of moral decay: antiseptic 1825-- fig.

NP moralist 1621--.
.unconsciously: *amoralist 1915--.

AvM well/well OE--; virtually 1539+1812; morally a1540-1685; mannerly 1566 (1) Sc; conveniently 1568 (1); moralistically 1890--.
of indulgence in reflections: moralizingly 1782--.
not as a saint: civilly 1592-1608; civil 1642 (1).

VM civilize (vt) a1640 (1).
.and to elevate: ge-uferian OE.
.by permeating with moral influence: permoralize (vt) 1888 (1).
to live up (vp) 1682 (1) fig.
.with a separate immoral way of life: *to live/lead a double
life (vp) 1888--.

See Also: GOOD B8.

External X-R: III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality &
II 1.4 Thought: philosophy, ethics.

B2 CONSCIENTIOUS

AjC faithful 1377+1851--; conscionable 1582-1702; conscientious
a1631--; consciential 1633 (1) rare; scrupulous 1756--.
.minutely: scrupulous 1450/1530-1593; punctual 1735+1879 now
rare or arch.
.on account of something being a matter of conscience:
conscientious 1636 (1) rare.

AjP faithful cl350+1529; scrupulous 1545+1849--; conscionable
1549-1708; conscientious 1611--; tender-conscienced a1617--;
conscious 1654 (1) rare.
.minutely: scrupulous 1594--; punctual 1625+1668 now rare or
arch.
.in a particular respect: -- conscienced 1530--; -- conscioned
1541-1627; -- conscionable 1670 (1).
.capable of showing that which is: moral 1736--.
.when the duty is unwelcome: faithful 1655 (1) chiefly colloq.

NQ ingehygd OE; ingebanc OE; ingeboht OE; ingewitnes OE; ge-witt
OE; inwit a1225-1393; conscience a1225--; heart 1382-a1699;
moral sense 1699--; moral faculty 1754-1827.
.tenderness of: conscience c1400-1608.
.a matter of: conscience 1557 (2).
.loyality to: conscience 1393-1638+1869 obs or arch;
consccionableness 1614-1641; conscientiousness a1631--.
..minute: scrupleness 1489-1647; scrupulosity 1526--;
punctualness 1620-1690 now rare; punctuality 1640-- now
rare or arch; scrupulousness 1689--.
..an instance of: punctuality 1639-- now rare or obs.
..observance of: conscience 1382-1671.
.as a guide for conduct: synderesis c1400-1651; synteresis
1594-a1718+1911 Hist; synteresy 1616 (1).
.as a judgement on conduct: syndeidesis 1620-1679.
.that approves: good conscience a1340-1744+1827 (Sc).
GOOD B2 Conscientious

AvM conscientely 1476 (1); conscionably 1552-1685; conscientiously a1660--.

VG to do one's conscience (vp) 1691 (1).

VM synderize (vt) 1600 (1).

PhX For the sake of conscience; for conscience sake 1526--.

External X-R: III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality: duty.

B3 IMPARTIAL

AjG indifferent 1387/8--; inaffectionate 1558+1563; unpartial 1579-a1662; unpossessed a1586-1685; unaffectionate 1588 (1); impartial 1593--; affectionless 1598 (1); unappassionate 1598 (1); unprejudicating 1602 (1); unpassionate 1602+a1648; unprejudicate 1609-1679; disinterested 1610-1700; unprejudicated 1633-1660; unprejudiced 1637/50--; imprejudicate 1640-a1677; unpropense 1640/41 (1); unprejudicial 1641+1657; uninterested a1646+1660; unforestalled 1657+1658; disinterested 1659--; unpassionate 1661 (1); unpreoccupied a1666 (1); equanimous 1670 (1); unprejudiciable 1673 (1); unpassioned 1678 (1); uninterested 1688+1702; prejudiceless 1830 (1).

NQ indifference 1533-a1754; indifferency 1534--; unpartiality 1579+1635; impartiality 1611--; unpartialness a1639+1661; unprejudicialness 1642 (1); impartialness 1643+1675; disinterest 1658-1805; disinterestment 1662-1718; unprejudicateness 1668+1683; unprejudicedness 1672+1704; unpassionateness 1673 (1); disinterestedness a1682--; unprejudice c1800+1871; imprejudice 1806 (1); unpossessedness 1819 (1).

NP indifferent c1570+1602.

AvM indifferently 1430/40--; unpartiality 1576-a1662; impartiality 1611--; unpassionately 1648-1707; imprejudicately 1654 (1); unprejudicately a1662 (1); unprejudicedly 1674+1685+1889; disinterestedly 1711--; without fear or favour 1906--.
by own efforts: to disinterest oneself (vr) 1681 (1).

to hold the scales even/equally (vp) 1648+1692.

See Also: GOOD B4, GOOD B5.

External X-R: II 3 Judgement

FAIR

AjG efne OE; ferhtlic OE; sobfæst OE; welwillende OE; efen/even OE--; rightful 1297--; skillwise a1300 (1); skilful 1340-c1460; fair c1340--; just 1382--; evenly c1425-1567; very c1460-1483; universal c1450 (1); equal 1535-1769; egall 1588-1594; well-thinking 1593 (1); square 1606--; bribeless 1608-1640; mensurable 1633 (1); candid 1635--; equable 1643 (1); equitable 1646--; unbiased 1647-- fig; free 1653+1686; conscionable 1661 (1); dexter a1734 (1); sportsmanly 1778--; both-sided 1879 (1); sporty 1889-- colloq or slang.

and unable to be biassed: unbiassable 1714 (1).

of judgement: evened 1847 (1).

by belonging to principles of moral right: conscionable 1672 (1); just c1400--.

efennes/evenness OE--; equity c1315--; evenhead c1330-1496; charity c1430-1647; fairness c1460--; epiky 1508-1549; equalness 1548+1556; equality 1556+1845; justness 1559--; justice 1586--; equanimity 1607-1752; candidness 1628 (1); chancery 1628-1668; candour a1637--; equitableness 1643--; rightfulness 1676--; rightship 1873+1899 dial; both-sidedness 1874 (1).

.in the judgement of others: charity 1483--.

between negroes and whites: negro equality 1856+1905 US.

right/right OE--; conscience 1538-1772; square play 1591--; fair play 1595--; square dealing 1633--; sportsmanship 1745--; sportsmanliness 1778 (1); fair do's 1859-- colloq; square thing c1860--; fairation 1861 (1) dial; square deal 1876-- orig US; cricket 1900-- fig; fair deal 1928--.


skill c1175-c1550; equity c1374-1483+1875.
AvM domlice OE; fægere OE; mid rihtan bingum OE; mid rihte/with right OE-a1400; rihtlice/rightly OE--; evenly a1250--; with/by/of/in skill c1250-c1470; rightfully 1297--; fair c1300--; by right c1315-1600; justly 1382--; of right 1413-1681/6; equally c1450 (1); equally 1526--; conscionably 1604-1677; candidly 1646-1817; equitably 1663--; fairly 1676--; fair and square 1712--; by rights 1818--; equally 1839 (1).

VG justify (vt) 1390 (1); to give (a man) his due (vp) 1589--; to give the devil his due (vp) 1596--; to lie fair (vp) 1672 (1).

PhX (Reciprocal) fairness is called for: fair's fair 1898--.

PhXX By all that is fair and reasonable: of (all) conscience 1568-1797; in (all) conscience 1592--.

See Also: GOOD B3.

B5 RIGHTEOUS, JUST

AjG arfæst OE; domgeorn OE poet; repe OE; rihtlic OE; rihtwislic OE; sob OE; sobfæst OE; riht/right OE--; rihtwis/righteous OE--; untrespassing 1642 (1).

AjP ge-defe OE; rihtdonde OE; rihtlic OE; sobfæst OE; weldonde OE; welyrcrende OE; rihtwis/righteous OE--; rightful a1122-1611+1819; just 1382--; honest 1390-1702; upright 1530--; fig; justful 1534-a1634+1882 (Sc); orthoprax 1852 (1); unwrongful 1876 (1).

.in disposition: well-conditioned c1482--; right-minded 1585/6--; well-minded 1824--.

.in inclination: rihtwillende OE; eupratic 1833 (1) Carlyle.

.in judgement: domfæst OE poet; righteous-doymous ?a1400 (1).

.in one's own esteem: self-righteous a1680--.

NQ rihtlagu/rihtlaga OE; sob OE; sobfæstnes OE; dom/doom OE-1563/87; riht/right OE--; rihtnes/rightness OE--; rihtwisnes/righteousness OE--; righteouslak c1200 (1); righteoushead/-hood c1250-c1470; rightfulness a1303-1594; judgement a1325-1611; righthead a1340 (1); justness c1430-1726; right wiseness 1447-1638; justry c1470 (1); rightfulhood c1470 (1); justice 1534-1622; erectness 1647--; principle(s) 1653--; orthopraxy 1852--.

.of the mind: right-mindedness a1817--.

.path of: nearo seat/narrow gate/way OE-1780; rihtweg/right way OE--.

.in one's own esteem: self-righteousness 1656--.
se riht/the right OE--.
the fact of having on one's side: right c1369-1604+1822--.

plural: rihtwisnessa/righteousnesses OE-1611; rightfulnesses a1325+1388.
as expected by/of a person: reason c1400-1651.

domfæstnes OE.

rihtgefremed OE; rihtfremmende OE; welwyrconde OE; rihtwis/righteous OE-1667; rightful a1325-al425; just 1382-1611; rectitudinarian 1671.
plural: be rihtwis/the righteous OE--.

rihtlice OE; rihte/right OE-a1200+1611-1746; rihtwislice/rightously OE--; righteous a1300-c1470; justly 1382-1611; upright 1601.
in one's own esteem: self-righteously 1901.

right (vi) 1390.
.on one's side: to have the right (vp) c1430-al585+1828; to be in the right (vp) c1489--.
bithynch/bethunch (vim) a1225.

See Also: GOOD A3, GOOD B4, GOOD B6, GOOD B7.

External X-R: III 4 The Law
B7 TRUSTWORTHY

AjG false OE; god OE; inhold OE; ge-treowful OE; ge-treowlic OE; unswic(impan)ende OE; unswicol OE; welhold OE; ge-wis OE; hold/hold OE-cl475; (ge)treowe/true OE--; (ge)treowo/fast/ true-fast OE-1532; ge-true/fast/i-treowe OE-cl205; trig cl200+ 1818--(Nth dial); strusty a1225 (1); true as steel a1300--; tristy 13..-1483; whole 13..-1553; trusty a1310--; trust c1330-cl425; trist c1330-cl400+1540 (Sc); traist c1330-1620 Sc & Nth; proved al340--; faithful 1340/70--; sure 1340/70-1667+1837-- arch or dial; sad c1375-1665; trothful a1380 (1); very c1385-1676; trueful 1435 (1); tristful c1440 (1); well-proved c1449-1717; true-hearted 1471--; tentik 1534 (1); faithworthy al535--; fidele 1539-1677; cock-sure c1555-1637; trest c1560-1584; seal 1568-1827 arch; reliable 1569 (Sc)+1624--; truefastness 1580-1674; sound 1581--; steel to the (very) back 1588-1635; true as touch 1590 (1); probable 1597-1682; loyal-hearted 1599+1850; whole-chested 1603 (1); accountable 1603-1709; loyal 1604--; confident 1605-1714; trustable 1606--; axiopistical 1611 (1); reposeful 1627-1644; confiding 1645-1692; fiducial 1647 (1); fidelious 1650-1656; laudable 1664 (1); safe 1667--; true blue 1672-1783 fig; serious 1693 (1); secure al729+1823; dependable 1735--; unturned 1760 (1); truthy c1800+1851; trustworthy 1829--; as true as flint 1847 (1); falsehood-free 1850 (1); proven 1870-- pseudo arch; well-proven 1877 (1); fiduciary 1882 (1) rare. to a lord: hlafordhold OE. to one's word: faithful (to) c1400--. by being of unbroken faith: inviolate 1593 (1).

NQ hlafordhyldo OE; sobfæstnes OE; ge-treownes OE; treowræden OE poet; treow/truth OE-- now rare or arch; truefastness c1175 (1); true-ship c1175-al250; troth c1175--; trueness c1290--; truehead 1297-cl375; truehead al300-14..; constance cl386-1613/6; faithfulness 1388--; tristness 1408 (1); soothness 1440 (1); surety 1470/85-1591; trust 1470/85-1821 now rare; fidelity 1508--; confidence c1510 (1) rare; trustiness 1530--; constancy 1548--; troth-keeping 1605 (1); true-heartedness 1608+1858; loyalty 1642+1727; confidence 1642-1800; dependence 1752-1790/1811; trustworthiness 1808--; reliability 1816--; trustihood 1823 (1); faithworthiness 1846 (1); reliableness 1847--; dependableness 1860--; dependability 1901--. conjugal: fidelity 1694-1825. through being entrusted with (something): trust a1548-1818. to an oath: sob OE; loyalty cl400--. an instance of affectionate: piety 1895 (1). and discretion: prudhomme c1477+1490 both Caxton, rare.

NTP suresby/sureby 1553-1675.

NP true 13..-c1470; trusty 1573-1756. male: waergenga OE poet; trueman 1297-1647; prudhomme 1701--Hist.
AvM  
**ge-treowlice/truly OE-1611+1852 arch; traistly al300 (1);**  
truefully l3.. (1); true 1303-cl375; faithly cl325-1440;  
tristily cl330-cl1400; faithfully 1362--; trothly cl425 (1);  
trustily cl425-1823; fayfully 1426 (1); loyallment 1548 (1);  
loyally 1572--; trustworthily 1851/9--; dependable 1862--;  
reliably 1864--.

VG  
**ge-treowfæstnian OE poet.**  
.by keeping one's promise: to hold touch (vp) l3.. (1);  
to keep one's tongue (vp) 1390 (1); to keep touch (vp)  
a1529-1825.

See Also: GOOD B5, GOOD B6.

External X-R: III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality: sincerity.

B8  
**PROPER, FITTING**

AjG  
**ge-cop OE; ge-coplic OE; ge-cyndelic OE; ge-dafen OE;**  
ge-dafenlic OE; ge-dafenigendlic OE; ge-dafniendlic OE;  
ge-defe OE; ge-defe(d)lic OE; domfæst OE poet; ge-lic OE;  
(ge)liclic OE; mamplie OE; ge-mede OE; medeme OE; ge-metlic  
OE; riht OE; rihtlic OE; ge-risenlic OE; wel OE; weorp OE;  
weorful OE; weorplie OE; god/good OE--; fele a1250-al400;  
seem l3..-cl400; feat cl325-cl1575; true 1340/70--; thrifty  
c1386-cl1449; tall cl400-cl440; fit cl440--; likely cl470 (Sc)+  
a1674-1742; proper 1477--; well 1534-1713; fitting 1535--;  
befalling 1542 (1); should-be 1887 (1).  
.so much as to seem as if designed by heaven: heaven-born  
1789-- now often sarcastic.

NQ  
fo3 a1250 (1); conveniency 1583-1656; properness a1603-1710  
now rare; propriety 1615--; fittingness 1653--; convenience  
1677 (1).

NX  
**ge-dafen OE; ge-defe OE; gerisene OE; lagu/law OE-cl440;**  
seemliness (to) 1577/87 (1); fitment 1608 (1) Shksp; should-be  
1790 (1); the game 1889--.  
.for a person: ge-met OE.  
.a sense of: skill 1338-a1536.
AVM agendlice OE; ge-limplice OE; gerisenlice OE; weorpfullice OE; weorplice OE; ariht/aright OE--; onriht/on right OE-cl420; on rihte/right OE--; onrihtlice/rightly OE-1382+a1586-al653; properly a1225--; of right c1250 (1); fealty 13..-1671; good 13..-cl380+1834-- now chiefly US; to right c1330--; gradely 1340-- now only dial; to rights 1340/70--; tally c1350-1450; featorously cl350-1611; of very (due) right ?a1366-1526; at right(s) 1375-1535 Sc; by right c1400 (1); truly 1417--; fit cl440-1657; arightly 1588-1622+1844; legitimately 1593--; at rights al641 (1); fittingly 1641--; right-handed 1656+1822; legitimously 1657 (1); on the right hand 1693+1785; all right 1844 (1) colloq; right enough 1885 (1) colloq.

VG sit (vi) cl330-1579; to sit well (vp) ?a1366-1426+1846 dial; fit (vi) 1574-1725 obs or arch. for a person: to agree with (vp) 1525-1697.

VC to think fit (vp) 1611--; to see fit (vp) 1711+1815.

VT to serve (person) right (vp) 1587--.

PhX It is proper: (it) falls (to) one 1297-1563. in concessionary use: it is all very well 1560--.

External X-R: I 6.1 Comparison: fitness.
GOOD

SECTION C: GOOD TO SELF/OTHER
AjG arful OE; blibemod OE; blitheord OE; fremful OE; fremsum OE; fremsumlic OE; glædlic OE; glædnod OE; ge-nehtfast OE; til OE; heautfast OE; wepe OE; wynsum OE; god/good OE--; blipblithe OE-1570; mapful/meteful OE-cl425; milde/ mild OE-(1832) now rare or obs; swete/sweet OE--; welwillende/well-willing OE--; bein a1200-1513; goodful cl205 (2); swote a1225-cl425; blitheful a300+a300; friendsome a300+1375; kind a300--; gracious a310-1598+ 1859-- now rare, chiefly poet; benign c1320--; couth c1350-c1460+1728 (Sc) obs exc Sc; sote c1374-1558; well-willy c1374-c1449+1808-- dial; homely c1375-c1470+1867; charitable c1386-1634; well-willing to/towards 1390--; benigned 1470 (1) rare; benevolent 1482--; beneficial 1526-1658; benigneate 1533 (1); beneficial 1535+1610; kind-hearted 1535--; gentle 1552--; kindly 1570--; placable 1586--; well-wishing 1597--; unbase 1601/3 (1); fair 1603 (1); aspectful 1611 (1); spleenless 1615 (1); benefic 1641+1873+1876; unmalicious 1649--; unpersecutive 1664 (1); unstraitened 1665 (1); social 1726-1745; benignant a1782--; innerly 1824+1825/80 Sc; agathopoietic 1838 (1) rare; beneficent 1869 (1); agathodemonic 1879 (1); caritative 1884 (1); decent 1902-- colloq.
.to all: omnibenevolent 1679 (1).
.to mankind: philanthropal 1648-1656.
.to brother(s): philadelphian 1615+1688.
.to a person or animal: kind c1315-- also fig.
.to animals: philozoic 1688--.
.to lower animals: phlobritish 1826 (1).
..foolishly: soft 1890 (2) colloq.
..excessively: over-kind 1611--.

NQ bliss OE; fremu OE; (ge)glædnes OE; medenmes OE; soblufu OE; til OE poet; weldonnes OE; welville(n)des OE; godnes/goodness OE--; godscipe/goodship(s) OE-cl430; manscipe/manship OE-1393; goodlaik c1200-a1400/50; courtesy 1297-1579; friendlessness c100 (2); homeliness a1340-cl380; kindness c1350--; benignity c1374--; gentleness c1374--; humanity 1382-1794; benevolence c1384--; kindship c1390-a1641; kindlaik a1400/50 (1) rare; courteousness c1430-1530; goodliness 1434-1555; kindness c1440-1791; beneficialness 1528-1691; beneficence 1531--; benevolency 1540 (1); beneficency 1576-1682; obligingness 1638--; benefacture a1656+1777; affectionateness 1740/87--; warm-heartedness 1808--; benignancy 1876+1881.
..befitting a mistress: ladyship 1390 (2).
..in speech: benedicence 1881 (1) rare.
..to dogs: caninity 1864--.
..the conferring or deriving of: benefiting 1594 (1).
..to all: omnibenevolence 1834--.
..excessive: over-kindness 1476--.
159 GOOD Cl Kind

NAc ambihtsunnes OE; ben OE; ge-earnung OE; fremfulnes OE; *liss OE; OE; hanc OE; god weorc/good work OE--; lufu/love OE-1632; boon c1200-c1650+1862 arch, transf; estede c1250 (1); kindness c1290--; grace 1297-1659+1859--; bounty a1300-1651 sometimes ironic; benefice 1340-1549; benefit 1377-1628 arch; excellence c1385 (1) Chaucer; benevolence c1425--; benignity c1534--; friendship 1535-1613; favour 1590--; obligation 1618--; benevolent a1639 (1); beneficence 1654+1851--; benefaction a1662--; obligement 1664 (1); bon-accord a1670 (3) Sc; kindliness 1883 (1).
.plural: humanities 1827--.
.brotherly: brother deed a1300 (1).
.performed, among poorer classes, for one in distress: friendly lead 1886-1895.
done by one to another: benung OE; weldæd OE; while 1382 (1) Wyclif; whileness 1382 (1) Wyclif; office 1382--; service a1533--; knight('s) service 1675-- fig; good deed 1928--.

NP benefactor 1603+1870; (good) samaritan 1640--; benevolist 1825+1863.
.female: aunt 1861 (1).
.to lower animals: philobrutist 1826 (1).
.who loves hospitality to strangers: philoxenist 1822 (1).
.the office of: benefactorship 1652+1691.

AvM arfullice OE; arwearallice OE; fremsume OE; fremsunlice OE; *lissum OE; *maeblice OE; medemlice OE; welwillendlice OE; blibe/blithe OE+a1300; blipelice/blithely OE+c1400; softe/soft OE-14...; wel/well OE--; goodfully c1275 (1); milthlich c1300 (1); homely c1375-1596; bliethely c1380+c1430; benignly c1380+1528+1862; bein c1400+1513; humanly c1485--; prettily c1500-1533+1674--(dial) now dial; benevolently 1532--; benign 1535+1725; gently 1548--; kind 1607-- now colloq or vulgar; candidly 1650--; kindly-like 1716 (1); beneficently a1717--; benignantly 1790--; kindly 1792 (1) Burns, fig; kindlily 1826--; placidly 1839--.
or friendly: well 1659--.
.and Christian: out of charity 1393-1633.
.without legal obligation: ex gratia 1769--.
excessively: over kindly 1601 (1).

VG lipian OE; to gode don/to do good (vp) OE--.
.to a person: favour (vt) c1374--; treat (vt) c1440+1500/20-1596 (Sc); grace (vt) c1440-1626; kind (vt) a1450 (1) rare; to do (one)(a) pleasure (vp) c1460--; to show (one)(a) pleasure (vp) 1526-1560; aggrace (vt) 1596 (1) Spenser; accommodate (vt) 1663--.
..by conferring a favour: to oblige (va) 1735--.
..by being so obliging as: to be pleased to (vp) 1595--.

VM sweeten (vt) 1561--; philanthropize (vt) 1891 (1).

VB warm (vi) c1400--.

VI warm (vt) 1526--.
VL hawian OE; to laugh on (vp) 1340–c1400; to laugh up (vp) 1377 (1); to laugh to (vp) c1380 (1); to smile upon (vp) 1390--; to laugh upon (vp) c1430–1535; to smile at (vp) c1440–1794; to smile to (vp) 1558–1749; to smile on (vp) 1676--.

See Also: GOOD C2--GOOD C13 inclusive.

External X-R: III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality: charity.

C2 BENEFICIAL

AjG fremfullic OE; fremlic OE; hyblíc OE; nytlíc OE; nytt OE; nyttierbe OE; ge-streñful OE; (ge)tæstic OE; bearflic OE; fremful/freñful OE-1340; neotsum c1205 (1); profitable c1325--; fructuous c1386–1578+1879--; availant c1420 (1); availing c1420+1850--; available 1474–1614+1836 arch; beneficial 1494--; advantageous 1548–1657; availful 1598–1650; advantageous 1598--; beneficent 1677--; benefactory 1744+1884; beneficiary 1836 (1) rare; cocum 1839-- slang; all to the good 1943--.

.psychologically: moral 1835--.

.affected by that which is: favoured 1758--.

NQ ar OE; feorm OE; forþhead OE; framung OE; freñfulnes OE; freñsumnes OE; fremung OE; god OE; hybegung OE; ge–hybelinques OE poet; ge–hybynnes OE; hybbo OE; nytlincnes OE; nytnes OE; ongehybynnes OE; ræd OE; ge–tæñnes OE; bear OE; fremu/freme OE-1340; notu/note OE-1340; frame c1200–c1330; gain c1200–c1475; win c1200–1535; behoof cl205--; biheve a1225+c1320; fruit c1230--; prow c1290–1570; goodness a1300–1583; vantage a1300–1645 now arch; wain c1315–1340; profit c1315--; prew c1330 (1); advantage 1340--; winning c1375–a1578; benefit 1393--; profitableness 1398–1651+1824; avail c1420–1694+1871 arch or obs; benefice 1424-- obs exc Hist; vail c1430–1550; beneficie c1449 (1); interest 1452–1678; fordeal 1470/85–1637; prevail c1475 (1) rare; encherishing c1480 (1); fardredeal 1521 (1) rare; usury 1576–1599 transf; particular 1597–1653; account 1611--; emolument 1633–1756; advantageousness 1659--; availment 1699+1865.

.of something: profit a1340–1628; usefulness 1483 (1).

NX god OE; hrobor OE poet; ge–tæsæ OE; ge–tæsø OE; västsm OE; welfremmes OE; purchase c1450–1698; commodity 1526–1670+1823 obs or arch; windfall 1542--; interest 1579--; prize 1593–1638; benefit 1600–1613; profit 1603+1604 both Shksp, transf; convenience 1606--; conveniency 1638–1798; pudding 1728–1843 fig; what the doctor ordered 1914-- colloq.

.plural: usefulnesses 1664+1668 both More, rare.

.in something (for someone): what is in it 1963--.

.as a result of something: good 1701--.

NA availing 1562 (1).
GOOD C2 Beneficial

feorhraed OE poet; welfremming OE; dugub/douth OE-c1205; goddæd/good-deed OE-c1275; weldæd/wel-dee OE-1362; fordeed a1225-c1460; friend's turn c1386 (1) Chaucer; good turn c1440--; vouchsafement 1628--; kindness 1727 (1) rare.

service 14...-1768/74; interest a1533--.

profit 1502-1543.

godnes OE; tæse OE; commodity c1400-1820; profitable 1681 (1); use-value 1887 (2).

ari(g)end OE; bigenga OE; goddond OE; weldond OE; benefactor 1532--; good fairy 1807--.

female: benefactrix 1615--; benefactrice 1711 (1) rare; benefactress 1711--; fairy godmother 1883-- transf.

furporlice OE; bearflce OE; fremfullice/framefully OE-c1200; fructuously 1382-1530; profitably 1382--; fruituously c1450 (1); beneficially 1531--; advantageously 1602--; availfully 1603 (2); to advantage 1709--; availingly 1853--.

(g)ebletsian OE; spowan OE; swi rian OE; frem(m)an/freme (vi) OE-a1300; helpan/help (vt/i) OE-1648; prow (vi) c1330+13.. rare; profit (vi) 1340/70--; avail (vi) 1375-1583+1844; advance (vt/i) 1386-1440; prevail (vi) c1500-1584.

.of a person: profit (vi) 1533 (1).
.to (someone): (ge)digan OE; good (vt) a1225-1620; visit (vt) a1300-1645; avail (vt) a1300-1816; profit (vt) 1303--; prevail (vt) 1442-1593; vantage (vt) c1460-a1816+1825-- arch; advantage (vt) 1526--; benefit (vt) 1549--; bonify (vt) 1603 (1); boot (vt) 1606 (1) Shksp.
.of a person: profit (vt) a1425-1581.
.to the advantage of a thing: avail (vt) c1374+1756.
.to oneself: profit (vi) c1400-1509; vantage (vt) 1581-1598; to avail (oneself) of (vp) 1603--; benefit (vi) 1613-1644+1844; profit (vt) a1648 (1); avail (vt) 1787 (1).

.by deriving benefit from: to profit with (vp) c1400-1578; to profit by (vp) 1526--; to profit of (vp) 1676--; to find one's account in (something) (vp) 1737 (1); to profit from (vp) 1796 (1); to make a good thing of (vp) c1819--.
.for (someone) by giving them the advantage of: prevail (vt) 1617 (1); avail (vt) 1785-- only in US.
.by turning something favourably towards a person: advert (vt) 1423-1430.

For the benefit of: in favour of 1556--; to the behalf of 1562f1576; for the behalf of 1566 (1); in the interest(s) of 1716--; for the benefit of 1752+1789.
.to the greatest degree; for (one's) best c1386 (1); for the best c1386-1794; to the best 1531 (1).
.the company or community: for the good of the loo 1774+1875.
What is most beneficial for one: one's best a1300-c1620.

See Also: GOOD Cl.

External X-R: II 6.1 Acquisition.

WHOLESOME

AjG god OE; halbære OE; wholesome c1200--; whole a1225-1502; hale c1300-1563 fiq; hail 13..-1674; healthful 1382--; salutaire c1450-1600; salutary 1490--; healthsome 1538-1707+ 1891 now rare; salutiferous c1540-1760; salutifere 1549 (1); healthy 1552--; salubrious 1659--.

.of a condition, place etc.: wholesome 1604--.

NQ wholesomeness c1200--; halesomeness 1483 (1); salubrity c1643 (1); salutariness 1727--.

NT treacle a1310-1641.

.plural: wholesomes 1731/8--.

AvM wholesomely c1200--; halesomely a1340 (1); salutarily 1532--; salutiferously 1678 (1).

See Also: GOOD Cl.

External X-R: I 4.10 Condition of matter: good.

WELL DISPOSED

AjG giød OE; welwillende/well-willing (to) OE-1611+1888 now rare or dial; gain a1310-1508; propice a1325-1656; well-willed 1398-1598+1891-- Sc; willy c1403-1483; well-willed to/unto/that 1417-1523+1871 (Sc); propitious 1447--; well(-)disposed 1455--; affectuous 1460 (1); well-meant c1470 (1) Sc; benevolent 1470-al670; well-meant ?1476--; benevolent 1502-1667; well-minded 1522-1651; well(-)given 1535-c1611; affected 1535-1690; affectionated 1539-1640; affectionate 1543-1671; well-affected 1553--; propitiable 1557 (1); well-natured 1561-al721+1759-- Sc & dial; good-natured 1577--; affectionated 1578-1722; partial c1585--; well-inclined a1586--; well-wished 1603 (1) Shksp; graceful 1606 (1) Shksp; charitable a1626--; candid 1633-1800; kind 1664-1680/90; well-hearted 1766--; sweet-hearted 1850--.
GOOD C4 Well Disposed

NQ *bliss OE; welwilnes OE; (ge)webnes OE; willa OE; god willa/goodwill OE--; welwille(n)dnes/well-willingness OE-a1390; well-willing a1340-1597; favour 1340--; voilance 1422 (1); benevolence 1423-1817; good nature a1450--; yonste 1481 (1); partiality 1581--; kindheartedness 1583--; well-disposedness 1621 (1); candidness 1643-1688; candour 1653-1802; heart a1656+1827; well-naturedness 1679 (1); geniality 1831--; sweet-heartedness 1865 (1).

from a superior: rummodnes OE; est/este OE-a1440; hyldo/held(e) OE-a1310; anc/thank OE-1340+1609; grace c1275-- somewhat arch; favour 13..-1818; gratitude 1500/20-c1557 chiefly Sc; gratuity 1523-1646+1818; aggrace 1596 (1) Spenser.

a matter of: veniality 1654 (1).

NA well-willing a1340-a1708; good willing 1556 (1); well-wishing 1569--.

NWi wish 1593--; well-wish 1621--; well fare 1642 (1).

NP well-willing c1330-1463; benevoler 1486 (1) rare; goodwiller a1541 (1); well-wisher 1590--; well-woulde 1643 (1).

very: best-wisher 1876 (1).

AvM in gree ?a1366-1600+1894 now arch; engree 14..+c1475; with/in good gree 1542-1609+1885 now arch; in good (better/best) part 1559--; propitiously 1681 (1); good-naturedly 1765--.

VG .by wishing well: wiellan/will (vi) OE-a1592; wyscan/wish (vt) OE--; to cast/fling an old shoe after (a person) (vp) 1546--fig; well-wish (vi) 1586 (1); well-will (vt) a1618-1639.

See Also: GOOD C1, GOOD C5.

External X-R: II 7.2 Good intentions towards others.

C5 WELL-MEANING

AjG well-meaning 1387/8--; well-intended a1586--; well-meane a1711-1761.

AjA well-intentioned 1848--.

AjP well-intentioned 1598--.

NQ well-intentionedness 1799 (1); well-meaningness 1900 (1).

NF well-meaning 1569--.
NP  well meaner 1654--.

AvM  well-meaningly 1680 (1).

PhX  One means well: one's heart is/lies in the right place 1809--.

See Also: GOOD C1, GOOD C4.

External X-R: II 7.2 Good intentions towards others.

C6  GENEROUS

AjG  este OE; estig OE; freolic OE; gifol OE; god OE; rop OE; (ge)rum OE; rúngiful OE; rúngheort OE; rumlic OE; rummod OE; rummodlic OE; ungmybe OE; unhneaw OE poet; cystig/custi OE-c1275; large c1175-1688; room-handed/hende c1200+c1205; ungnede al300+al400; free al300--; unspared 13..+1535+1851; bounteous c1374--; large of c1375 (1) Sc; plenteous 1377-al700; liberal 1387--; unright c1400+al400/50; free-hearted c1440-1728; almifluent 1477 (1) rare; frank 1484--; bountiful 1508--; lavish 1565--; plentiful 1568-1625; large in 1574--; magnificent 1579-- now rare; largeous 1583 (1); munificent 1583--; magnífical 1586-1623; unnigard 1591 (1); frolic 1593 (1); open 1597--; open-handed 1601--; real 1602 (1); munifical 1603+1656; fluent 1603-1639+1887 (dial) obs exc dial; magnífic 1611-1655; unthrifty 1620-1713; communicative 1622-1784; large-hearted 1645--; numerous 1655 (1); free-handed al656+1832--; largifical 1656+1708(1s)+1709(1s); insordid 1660 (1); unsparing 1667--; expensive 1678 (1); generous 1696--; unbounded a1704+1825; large-souled 1715--; broad-hearted 1719 (1); large-minded 1725--; munific 1754 (1); magnifique 1759-1823; unniggardly 1768/74 (1); ungrudging 1768/74--; unstinting 1845+1883; big-hearted 1868+1914; big 1934-- colloq, orig US, freq ironic.

.of the heart/intellect: large 1535--.
.of things bestowed: unhneaw OE; bounteous 1542--; unpinched 1648+1854.

.of more: greater c1400 (1).

AjC  free al300-1604.

NQ  bradnes OE; cyst OE; dugugifu OE; est OE; estines OE; fresummnes OE; freedom OE; giefu OE; gifolnes OE; glāedmōdnes OE; lacdæd OE; ropnes OE; rúngifulnes OE; rúngheortnes OE; rúngmodnes OE; selen OE; cystignes/custinesse OE+c1175; freeship al225 (1); largess(e) al225-1623; large al300-1537;
largeness a1300-1626; bounty al300--; freeness c1300+1377+1611-1709; liberality 13--; freedom c1320-c1530; franchise c1375-c1489+1658; liberalism 1387-1595; honesty c1400-1607; magnificence 14-1647; plenty c1410 (1); bounteousness c1440--; bountifulness 1489+1558+1862; bountines/bountenes 1512+1650 rare, doubtful; magnificency 1538-1668; munificency c1540-1651; munificence 1555--; frankness 1591-1762/71; bountihead 1596+1621+1864 obs exc arch; fruitfulness 1604 (1); Shksp; free-heartedness 1607+1686; ingenuousness 1611+1687; open-handedness 1628+1844; ambry al638 (1); large-heartedness 1640--; communicativeness 1659 (1); generosity 1677--; unsparingness 1818 (1); big-heartedness 1872--; ungrudgingness 1885 (1); freehandedness 1888--.

NA largess(e) al340--; enlarging 1494 (1); largition 1533--.

NAC dispense 1590+1596 both Spenser.
\[\text{that which is given in a: largess(e) a1533--.}\]
\[\text{..plural: est OE.}\]

NP giefend OE; lacgeofa OE; rumgifa OE; enricher c1610-1738.
\[\text{.a king or prince, who gives treasure: mappumgyfa OE poet.}\]

AvM cystiglice OE; rume OE; rumheortlice OE; rummodlice OE; swide OE; ungnipelice OE; ungrædiglice OE; rumlice/roomly OE-c1425; largely c1230-1568+1827--; freely a1300--; unsparely c1375+?a1400; liberally 1387--; well 1445--; large 1477+1596 (Sc)+1667; unsparingly a1500+1805; beneficially 1530/1-1611; bounteously 1531--; plenteously 1535 (1); frankly 1546--; well-favouredly 1563/87 (1); bountifully 1580--; munificently 1594--; ungrudgingly a1631--; amply 1632--; generously 1634/5--; lavishly 1769--; unstintingly 1857+1885; spaciously 1865 (1).

VG ontynan hand OE; wenian mid wynnum OE; enlargisse (vt) c1430 (1); enlarge (vt) 1491+1607; alarge (vt) a1560 (1); to enlarge the hand (vp) 1651 (1).
\[\text{and hence to enrich: enlarge (vt) 1513+1657.}\]

See Also: GOOD C1, GOOD C7, GOOD C8.

External X-R: II 6.3.1 Giving much &
II 7.2 Good intentions towards others.
C7 MAGNANIMOUS

AjG micelmod OE; high-minded 1556--; noble-minded 1586--; ingenious 1597-1738; magnanimous 1598--; ingenuous 1599-1788; nobly-minded 1620 (1); great-hearted 1647--; great 1726--; generous 1781--.

NQ highmindedness 1571--; noblemindedness 1583--; magnanimousness 1606+1862 rare; magnanimity 1771--; generosity 1786--; greatheartedness 1813--; kalokagathia 1921--.

AvM magnanimously 1611--; noblywise a1618 (1); highmindedly 1824 (1).

See Also: GOOD Cl, GOOD C6.

External X-R: II 6.3.1 Giving much & II 7.2 Good intentions towards others.

C8 GRACIOUS

AjG bentigbe OE; eapmod OE; estig OE; est(e)lic OE; ge-hlystful OE poet; lipe OE; rumlic OE; tilmodig OE poet; welrummmod OE poet; est(e)/este OE=a1300; hol(e)/hold OE=c1475; wynsum/winsome OE=a1300; courteous c1290-1609+1813(Scott); nighsome a1300 (2); gainly 13.. (1); gracious a1310-- now rare; goodly c1350-c1440; buxom 1362-1536; quemeful 1388 (1); suave 1501-c1560 Sc; favo(u)rable 1502+a1822(Shelley) obs exc arch; boon a1612-- poet; handsome 1621--.

NQ eapmodnes OE; est OE; swetnes/sweetness OE--; mensk a1240-a1440; nighsomeness a1300 (1); buxomness 14..-1577; suavity 1508-a1649; propitiousness 1593 (1); handsomeness a1616 (1); graciousness 1638--; gracefulness 1640 (1); sweetness and light 1869--.

NAc *liss OE.

NP debonair c1366-1393.

AvM arfæstlice OE; bilewitlice OE; cwemlice OE; eahmod OE; estelice OE; *lissum OE; lipelice OE; milde OE; rumlince OE; rummodlince OE; tillice OE poet; on pance OE; pancefullic OE; welrummlice OE; wynsumlince OE; holde/hold(e) OE=c1250; holdlice/holdely OE=c1250; to pance/to thank OE=c1430; sweetly a1225--; courteously 1340-1382; in thank c1375-1513; graciously c1380--; favo(u)rably 1388--; handsomely 1548--.
See Also: GOOD C1, GOOD C6.

External X-R: III 2.2.3 Behaviour based on custom: courtesy.

C9 CONSIDERATE

AjG mændful OE; tender cl305--; civil 1613-1684; considerative 1641-al652; considerate 1700--; thoughtful 1851--.

NQ mænd/methe OE-13.+a1400/50; consideration 1415-1815; considerateness 1748--; accommodativeness 1868 (1); thoughtfulness 1880--.

AvM *mæplice OE; considerately 1871--.

VT to make most of (vp) 1526 (1); to make the most of (vp) 1660 (1).

in order to save a person's self respect: to let (someone) down eas(il)y (vp) 1754--; to let (someone) down gently (vp) 1834 (1); to let (someone) down softly (vp) 1843 (1).

See Also: GOOD C1, GOOD C10.

External X-R: II 7.2.3 Good intentions towards others: helpfulness & III 2.2.3 Behaviour based on custom: courtesy.

C10 HUMANE

AjG mennisclic OE; unfelon a1300 (1); manly 1377 (1); gall-less 1398--; humane 1603--; uncruel 1611+1720+1863; maliceless a1618-al684.

making: humanizing 1816--.

made: humanized 1771+1851.

NQ mennisclicnes OE; manliness 1382 (1); manhead 1382-c1450; humanity cl386--; manhood 1432/50-1571; mankind 1603 (1) rare; humaneness 1809+1878.

action of imbuing with: humanization 1783--; humanizing 1850 (1).
AvM manly 1377–1382; humanely 1607--.

VM humanize (vt) 1647--.

VB humanize (vi) a1790+1862.

See Also: GOOD Cl, GOOD C9, GOOD C11, GOOD C12.

C11 TENDER

AjP rumheort OE; hnesce/nesh OE-c1530; luflic/lovely OE-1602; soft a1122--; tender 1300--; warm-hearted 1500/20--; tender-hearted 1539--; nice-hearted 1571–1583; soft-hearted 1593--; effeminate 1594(Nashe)+1594(Shksp); open-hearted a1617--; warm 1765--; heart-warm 1787+1834; sunbeamy 1890 (1); tenderful 1901 (1) ?dial.

.of disposition or look: soft c1200--.
.of qualities or feelings: soft c1200--.
.of the eyes: soft-eyed 1735--.
.of the heart (opposed to stony): fleshly 1382--.
.of the emotions: edulcorate 1810 (1).
.making: melting 1656–1826.
.becoming: melting 1593--.

NQ *bliss OE; charity a1225--; softness a1300--; tenderness a1300--; speciality c1330–c1450/60; softhead c1340+1340; douceur c1375–1793; conscience c1385–1393; tendresse 1390+1399; tendance 1454–c1500; suavitude 1512–c1550; loving-kindness 1535--; soft-heartedness 1580--; tender-heartedness 1607--; meltingness 1622--; tender 1668+1742.
.a display or instance of: softness 1382--.
.in forgiveness: placability 1531--; placableness 1647--.
.among brothers: philadelphy a1667 (1).
.excessively: over-tenderness a1631–1795.

NF pity c1290--; tender 1596–1605.
.plural: charities 1667–1818.
.action of becoming softened by: melting 1526–1740.

NP soot c1430 (1).
.who is a child: Fauntleroy 1913+1923 often ironic; Little Lord Fauntleroy 1942 (1) often ironic.
AvM  hnesclice/neshly OE-1422; kindly cl250--; nesh 1297+13...;  
paramour 13..-1611; tenderly 13...--; partially 1633-1800;  
tenderfully 1640 (1); meltingly 1680--; warmly 1719--;  
kindheartedly 1900--; warm-heartedly 1911 (1).

VM  melt (vt) 1377--.

VB  melt (vi) cl200--.

.of the heart: to-melt (vi) a1240 (1); unfreeze (vi) 1746 (1)  
fig.

See Also: GOOD C1, GOOD C10, GOOD C12.

External X-R: II 7.2.1 Good feelings towards others: love.

C12  MERCIFUL

AjG  arðæde OE; arfaest OE; milde OE; mildelic OE; arful/oreful  
OE-cl200; mildheort/mild-heart OE-cl205; mildhearted c1175-  
a1300+1843; mildheartful a1225 (1); milceful a1225-c1320;  
mildful a1225-1489; merciable a1225-1579; merciful a1300--;  
piteous c1350-c1750; sparing c1375-1786; pietous 1390-1489;  
merisicord 1456-1583 Sc; misericordious 1483-1648; pitiful  
1491--; undispiteous a1500 (1); pitiable 1503 (1); misericors  
1535 (1) Sc rare; eleemosynous c1590 (1).

NQ  arfaestnes OE; liss OE; lib OE; (ge)milsung OE; ar/ore OE-  
a1500; mildheortnes/mildheartness OE-a1300; milts/milce OE-  
c1330; mildheartlaik c1200 (1); pity a1225-1613; mercy  
a1225--; miltness a1300 (1); milth a1300 (2); oil of mercy  
a1300-1657; piety a1310-1606; merisicord a1315-1705; blithe  
c1325+cl400; milcefulness c1330 (1); oil of charity a1340 (1);  
mereration 1382-1638; piteousness 1390-1608; merisicordy a14..-  
1491; mild c1430-1576 rare; milfulness 1489 (1); milhede  
1489 (1); mercifulness 1526--; pitifulness 1557--;  
mildheartedness 1867 (1).

.where which is characteristic of humane persons: milk of human  
kindness 1605 (Shksp)+1775--.

.as sought by a Bodhisattva: karuna 1850-- Buddhism.
NA mercying a1340 (2) Hampole; sparing 1375-c1611+1901; pardon 1555 (1).
   .a cry for: miserere a1616--.
NAc mercy a1300--; work of mercy 1824 (1) Scott.
   .plural: works of mercy 1340-1647; deeds of mercy c1340-1390+
   1533 (Sc); mercies c1380 (1).
NC mercy a1225--.
NP (ge)miltisi(g)end OE; milcer a1300 (1) rare; merciere a1340
   (2) Hampole; tenderer 1584 (1); pitier 1601--; bleeding heart
AvM arlice OE; mildelice OE; mildheortlice OE; without spare
   a1300-1609; pitifully 1303--; mercifully a1340--; piteously
   c1368-1556+1855 arch; mercially 1387/8-1535; pietously
   1474 (1); misericordially 1659 (1) rare; in mercy (to) 1769--.
VG arfaest(i)an OE; (ge)arian OE; lissian OE; midbolian OE;
onemprobwigan OE; ge-browian OE; (ge)milsian/milce (vt) OE--
a1300; mebian/methe (vt) OE-c1250; sparian/spare (va) OE--;
milth (vi) a1300 (2); tender (vt) 1442-1649; to make no spare
   (vp) 1591-1633; mercify (vt) 1596+1733 rare; bemercy (vt)
   1640+1660.
   .in remembrance: ge-mynd(i)gian OE.
   .and be drawn or go forth to, in feeling: to go out (vp)
   1842--.
   .and be reasonable: to have a heart (vp) 1917-- colloq.
   .to ask someone: to cry mercy (vp) a1225-1795; to cry (one)
   mercy (vp) a1225-1795.

See Also: GOOD C1, GOOD C10, GOOD C11, GOOD C13.

External X-R: III 5 Religion: grace.

C13 BLESSED

AjG ead OE poet; eadiglic OE; ge-sælig OE; blessed c1175--;
yblessed/yblest 1297-1340; blessedful a1300-a1618; happen
13...c1375; happenly c1375 (1); benedict 1657 (1).
   .making: blessed making 1657 (1); blessing 1659+1870.
   .tending to make: benedictive 1660+c1746.
   .supremely: superbenedict 1683 (1).
GOOD C13 Blessed

NX
bletsung OE; wela OE; benedicite a1300-c1460; wealth a1300-a1652; blessing l340--; welfare c1369-c1374; felicity c1385--; bless 1526+1725; boon 1767--.
.and given by God: benison a1300-1642.
.an apparent misfortune which is: blessing in disguise 1746--.
disposition to confer: benison cl450 (1).
a day full of: wildweg OE poet.
a time of: sun-time 1844 (1).
one who confers: blesser 1577+1651.

NS
blessedhede a1300-1340; blessedness a1300--; benediction 1483--.

AvM
blessedly 1388--; blessedfully a1500 (1); blessed cl600 (1);
blessingly 1836 (1).
.more: eadiglicur OE.

VM
ge-blissian OE; welcweban OE; bletsian/bless (vt) OE--;
to bless to (vp) a1300-1382; sain (vt) a1300--.
.by invocation from God: bless (vt) 1330--.

See Also: GOOD C1, GOOD C12, GOOD C14.

External X-R: II 7.1 Feeling caused by satisfaction: happiness & III 5 Religion.

GOOD C14 Fortunate, Lucky

AjG
ediglic OE; ge-sæligic OE; ge-sælig/i-seli OE-a1225;
well/well OE-1825; 1-sele c1205 (1); wealful c1230-1609;
well begone cl374-1530; well-fortuned cl374-1556; happy 1375--; wealsome 1382-cl425; fortunate cl386--; fortuned c1470+1484; fortunable cl470-1556; well-fortunate 1523-a1533;
lucky 1552--; luckily a1568-1612; auspicate 1603-1657;
auspicious 1616-1804; white 1629-(1855) now rare; in luck 1857--; well-starred 1867--.
as if sent from heaven: heaven-sent a1649--.
bringing that which is; fortunate cl391--; fortunable c1465+1513; sonsy 1533-- orig Sc, Ir & Nth.
characterized by that which is; happy 1340--.

AjA
lucky 1548--.

NQ
wealfulness cl374-1412/20; fortunateness 1530--; luckiness 1561--; fortunacy 1580+1624.
NX godnes OE; ge-limp OE; sæl OE; ge-sælignes OE; ge-sundfulnes OE; (ge)sælp/i-selth OE-al225; slap/sithe OE-c1250; goder-heal c1175-c1460; hap al225-1813; boot a1300+c1430; whate c1330 (1); fortune 1390--; wealth c1400-1553; luck l4.--; goodness 1422+ 1550 rare; fortunation c1470+1727; good luck 1481--; happiness 1530--; goodhap 1557-1603 arch; lady-luck 1932--.  
.a (chance) piece of: hit l666--; felicity 1761 (1); heaven-send 1811+1887; godsend 1820--; stroke of luck 1853--; 
good job 1876--; God's (own) gift 1938--.  
a temporary run of: streak 1882--.  
an event comprising: godsend 1831--.  
and which attends a novice: beginner's luck 1897--.

NTP fortunate 1655-1776.

NP fortunateling 1605 (1); fortunate 1655+1894; lucky dog 1844 (1); Sunday('s) child 1888--.  
.female: Sunday's daughter ?a1150/1259 (1).

AvM (to) godere heal c1175-1297; graciously 1330-c1400; happily c1350--; wealfully c1375-1388; wealsomely 1382 (1); in a good/happy hour c1450-1634; luckily 1530-1766 now rare; 
luckily 1582 (1); arse upwards c1600 (1); well-favouredly a1774 (1) Sc.

VG full-thrive (vi) c1200 (1); ure (vi) c1440 (1); to light on one's legs (vp) 1642--; to fall on one's legs (vp) 1841 (1); 
to fall on one's feet (vp) 1886--; to hit the jack-pot (vp) 1944--.  
.from birth: to be born with a silver spoon in one's mouth (vp) 1801-.  
.through birth: to be wrapped up in one's mother's smock (vp) 1677 (1).

VM to set high on the wheel (vp) 1375 (1); fortune (vt) l4.. (1); 
fortunate (vt) c1420-1792; luck (vt) 1530 (1); fortunize (vt) 1596 (1).

VC to mark with a white stone (vp) c1645--.

PhX May good befall: well worth c1205-c1480; fair fall al225--; 
have thou win c1400-a1500; God give thee win c1425-1640; 
welfare/well fare 1534-1672; wally fall 1535 (1) Sc; God yield 1579 (1); God save 1599 (1); God bless 1840--.

PhXX In order to bring good luck: for luck 1894 (1).

PhXXX It is fortunate that: (it is) well (that/to) 1665--; as well (that/if) 1753/4--.

See Also: GOOD C13.

External X-R: II 7.1 Feeling caused by satisfaction: happiness & II 8 Success.
EVIL

SECTION A: EVIL
A1 EVIL

AjG abroben OE; adlig OE; ge-ærwe OE; arleas OE; awyrge OE; awyrge/dic OE; fæcene OE; fah OE; forcup OE; fordon OE; forsyldig(od) OE; fraete OE; fratig OE; fæcene OE; gal OE; hinder OE; incup OE; inwit OE; inwitful OE; lapwende OE; mæne OE; ge-mah OE; mandlede OE; mandoerf OE; manful OE; manfullic OE; manfremmende OE poet; manlic OE; manwore OE poet; manwäæce OE; ge-mearr OE; min OE poet; sceþwære OE poet; scylđwærcende OE poet; trag OE poet; uncoren OE; ungecoren OE; ungecost OE; ungesund OE; unriht OE; unrihtful OE; unrihtelic OE; unsælig OE; wac OE; wamm OE; wibcoren OE; wibercoren OE; wohful OE; wohlic OE; wohsun OE; wyrsllic OE; yfelound OE; yfeldæde OE; yfeldonde OE; yfeliful OE poet; yfelwyrscende OE; bealu/bale OE-cl400; fraco /fraked OE+cl200; 1 re/lither OE-1546; man/man OE-a1300; unfa? le/unfele OE- c1400+1825-1894 (dial); ungod/ungood OE-c1485+1904 now rare; unrihtwig/unrighteous OE--; woh/wough OE-a1400; wrage/wroth OE-cl400; yfel/evil OE--; umwrast al122-cl425; wick cl200- al500; hinderful cl200-1569; 111 cl200--; univele cl205 (1); wondlich cl205 (1); qued(e) cl250-1669; wicked cl275--; unkind 1297-1602; bad al300--; unfair 13++.1375 (2); unquert 13++.1470; wrakeful cl310 (1); quedful 1340-1340/70; divers 1340-1581; mis cl350-1556; felonous cl374-1594; felon 1375-- now poet; warlock cl375-1724; imperfect 1377-1630; lewd cl386-1709; nought 1387-1728; unblessed 1388--; ungodly 1390-1553; diverse 1393-1483; felly 1401+1749; unvirtuous 1432--; meschant al450- 1649; ill-deedy cl460+1535-- Sc; sinister 1474--; sinny cl475 (1); paid 1513 (1) Sc; sclerous 1534-1660; naught 1536-1740; naught 1536--; noughty 1541-1657; flagitious 1550--; goodly 1553-1680+1828 (Scott); pernicious 1555-1791; iron al592-1697+ 1805; improbate 1596 (1); nefarious 1604--; villain 1607+1851; scelosious 1608 (1); infand 1608-1678+1889; paganish 1613--; scellestic 1628 (1); inimicitious 1641-1761; infandous 1644- 1708; feculent 1653-- fig; iniquous 1654-1724; improbus 1657 (1); malefactious 1660 (1); pert al704-1752; iniquitous 1726--; unfine 1762+1793; fetid/fodetid 1805-- fig; unredeemed 1805--; malefeasant 1809 (1); demoralized 1817 (1); scarlet 1820 (1); gammy 1839-- Tramps' slang; nefast 1849 (1); unracy a1859 (1); malefactory 1871 (1) rare; bloody 1934--; disvaluable 1942 (1).

.inherently: unkind cl425-- now dial; naught 1554-1658.
.dairingly: derf al300-1570.
.in repute: tilhtbysig OE; unhlise OE.
.in intention: wiperhycgende OE.
.in heart: bad-hearted 1827 (1).
.inclined to be: wohgeorn OE.
.fraught with that which is: wanlich cl205 (1).
.following ways which are: wicked-walking 1608 (1).
.dwelling on that which is: morous 1447-1594 rare; morosous 1616 (1) rare; morose 1644-1661 rare.
.having a distinctive characteristic which is: pitch-branded 1593 (1).
.of birth or origin which is: ill-born 1640/4+1701.
.for (something): naughty for 1573 (1); unimproving 1747--.
so much so as to be worthy of death: deathworthy a1300-1593+ 1882.
and foolish: yfeldysig OE poet.
and deadly: deapberende OE.
and hurtful: yfel OE.
of an unfortunate year: godleas OE.
somewhat: wickedish 1853 (1).
extremely: flagitious 1382--.

AjA noughty 13..-1603; nought a1425-1607.
unnaturally: unkindly a1225-1614; unkind c1250-1656.

AjW unbeawfæst OE; yfellibbende OE poet; mislived c1374-1566; ill-faring a1400-1589; ill-vivand c1460 (1); misliving 1519-1624; evil-belived 1557 (1) rare.

AjT ungesælig OE; widmære OE; yfel OE; shrewd 1382-1678.

AjP lyberful OE; lybre OE; wamful OE poet; ungesælig/unseely OE-1412/20; ill a1200-1737+1813-- Sc; unwraast a1225-c1535; wrong al300--; wrack c1375 (1); shrewd c1384-1664; vicious c1386--; vitious c1400-1755; naught 1529-1699.
.female: virago 1598--; viragoish 1887--.
a convict: black dress 1899 (1).
unnaturally: unnatural 1552--.
filthiness 1526--; noisomeness 1530--; noughtihood 1536 (1);
nauttiness 1541-1577; noughtiness 1551-1577;
inexcellence/inexcellency 1590 (1); improbity 1594--;
flagition 1598+1600; scelerateness 1613+1632; pravity 1620-
1822/34; meschantness 1644 (1); negative 1647+1770;
flagitiousness 1692+1750+1855; villainy 1702 (1); flagitiosity
1727+1775; bale-fire 1855+1872; ill-conditionedness 1866--.
iniquitousness 1870 (1).
.in the widest sense: ill al300--; evil cl340--.
..great: peodenbealu OE; cursedhede a1300-1382; cursedness
a1300-a1639.
..deadly: feorhbealu OE.
.of sins: damningness 1645 (1).
..hand that does: manfolm OE.
..tendency to: lesion 1835-- fig.
..incitement to: unlar OE.
..an instance of: nip OE.
..a place or state of: hell cl374--.
..a gulf of: swallow c1380-a1624.
..a centre of: pandemonium 1800-1816.
..the source of (in Japanese tradition): kimon 1871--.
..a time of: dismal cl300-c1400.
..plural: dismal days cl400-1618; dog-days a1555-(1835).
..prolonged: iron age a1592--.
..the side of a person which embodies: hyde 1887--.
..speech which embodies: mis-speech c1350-1496.
..the condition of: naughtiness 1550-1709/29.

NA (ge)fleard OE; unrihtdaed OE; wonder 1154-a1300; wickedness
a1300--; perpetration cl700--; wicked-doing 1535 (1);
maleficence 1598--; malefaction 1602--; malefacture 1635-1652;
perpetrating 1643 (1).
..person who is martyred through: martyr c1380-- sarcastic.
..spec. that of being an accomplice in evil: complicity 1656+
1818--.

NAc ungood a1250+a1568+a1885; villainy 13...--; wickedness a1325--;
turpitude 1597--.

ND bealudæd OE; 'bismer OE; facendæd OE; firen OE; firendæd OE
poet; fireneorc OE poet; forscæwp OE; fracoðæd OE; gynyr
OE poet; involuorc OE poet; lapwerpæc OE poet; manforwyrht OE;
morb OE; mortdæd OE; undæd OE; wamðæd OE poet; wamm OE;
wealdæd OE poet; yfelneorc OE poet; yfelweorc OE poet; mandæd/
man deed OE-cl175; unwrenc/unwrench OE-c1250; unwrench
cl1250 (1); trippet cl1330-a1400; check cl1330-cl1430;
wretchedness cl1380-cl1386; feat 1481+1559; dog-trick cl1540-
1803; malefice 1591-- obs or arch; mistreading 1596-1760/72;
meschantery 1665 (1) rare; naughtiness 1882 (1).
..plural: iniquities 1477-1804.
..a bag hanging at one's back/front, containing one's own/
everyone else's: wallet 1528-1638 fig.
NC  fracobsceipe OE; fiend-thews c1205 (1); sluttery a1656 (1);
malversation 1752 (1) rare; doggery 1844--; schweinerei 1906--.
.unnaturally: unkindhead 1297 (2)+1303 (2); unkindness a1300-1570;
disnaturalness 1430 (1); unnaturalness 1537--; unnaturalness a1548+1691.
.the art of: syncraft OE poet; uncraeft OE.

NH  ungewuna OE; unwrenc OE; miswune c1200 (1); unlaw a1225 (1);
    misuse 1509-1604.

NN  inwitgecynd OE poet.

NTh  bealupanc OE; misgehygd OE poet; ungebanc OE.
    habit of having plural: morose delectation 1651 (1).
    volitional: unrihtgewilnung OE; unrihtgewill OE; miscovetise
    1496 (1).

NW  misliving c1325-1558+1906 rare or obs.

NT  naht OE; unnyt OE; unwood OE; sur/sour OE-- fig; shrew c1315-1620;
bad 1586+1592+1689; malum in se 1623--; naught a1639 (1).
.mark(characteristic which is a): pitch-brand a1656 (1).
.personification of: hag a1225+1557+1830.
.secret and: mystery of wickedness 1382 (1); mystery of
    iniquity 1526--.
    collective term for: jazz 1936--.

NTP  bad news 1926-- colloq.

NP  deofol OE; firenwrhta OE; fieardere OE; framhycgend OE;
grynsnip OE; invidda OE; mandæda OE; manfordæda OE;
mangenga OE; nahtfremmend OE; teonsnip OE poet; unmann OE;
unrihtdæde OE; unrihtdoend OE; unrihtwillend OE; unrihtwyrcend
OE; unrihtwyrhta OE; vypere OE; wihermede OE; wohfremmend OE;
wrohtsmip OE poet; wyrcend OE; yfeldæda OE; yfeldond OE;
fiend c1220-- transf.; qued(e) c1250-c1460; shrew c1250-a1650;
wick 1297-1390; felon a1300-1814; sherer l3.-c1386; malfeasor
cl300-1424; shrow c1375-a1650; evil-doer 1398--; puck/pook
cl412 (1); forfeiter 1413-1611; wicked-doer c1450 (1);
malfeactor 1483--; improbe 1484 (1); wicked 1484-1560+1853;
gomorr(h)ean 1522-1613; dunghill 1553-1665; felonian 1594 (1)
rare; naught 1657--; pimp a1704--; cacodemon/-daemon 1711-1854;
black sheep 1792--; hellicat 1816+1893 Sc; a bad lot 1862--;
malfeasant 1882 (1); bloody 1960+1960.
    male: naughty pack 1549-1667.
    plural: (the) lither a1225-1393.
    female: virago c1386--; meschyne 1490 (1) rare; naughty pack
    1530-1743; beldam(e) a1586--; hell-cat a1605--; hell-hag
    1665-1817; feloness 1845 (1) Browning, rare;
    character of: viragoship 1666 (1).
    state of being: beldamship 1636 (1).
    plural: (the) wicked 13.--.
    a race of: mancynn OE.
    opposite a hero (in a play etc.): anti-hero 1714--; (the)
    villain 1822--.
    female: anti-heroine 1907--.
when losing: a bad loser 1892--.
who is a guest: inwitgæst OE poet.
who is a companion: weagesle OE.
who is a persecutor: mængenæla OE poet.
who is damned: lost soul 1818--.
in intention: mal-intentionee a1734 (1).
in way of life: misliver 1436-1604+1873 rare or obs.
or animal: shaitan 1834--.
unnaturally: unnaturalist 1835 (1).

AvM arleaslice OE; earge OE; earme OE; fæcne OE; firenum OE;
forcube OE; fracomlice OE; manfullice OE; manlice OE;
neardlice OE; on þweorh OE; þweores OE; þweorlice OE;
ungesæl(ig)lice OE; unnytlice OE; unrihtlice OE; unтелa OE;
wohlice OE; yfle OE; nahtlice/noughtly OE-1594 rare;
unrihtwislice/unrighteously OE--; wræpe/wrothe OE-c1400;
woorly c1200-c1230; unwraste c1205 (4)+a1225; ill c1205-1793;
litherly al225-?a1400; foul al225--; quedly c1300-1340;
shrewdly 13...-1532; felonly al303-1533; unwrastly al320 (1);
foully c1330-1655+1881; wickly 1338 (1); wickedfully c1375 (1);
lewdly 1382-1667; felonously 1436-1532/3; badly c1440--;
fellowment c1470 (1); mischievously 1470/85 (1); meschantyly 1491-1661; diversely 1523 (1); sinistery 1532-1625; illy 1549-- now chiefly US; noughtily c1550-1597; naughtily 1552-1632; perniciously c1599--; naughtily 1575-1609; evilly 1580--; nefariously 1599--; bad 1611-- chiefly US; scelerately 1632 (1); viciously 1635/56-1790; improbously 1657 (1);
piggishly 1792--; iniquitously 1796-1829; vilely 1815--; pervertedly 1816--.
of a bias towards: sinistery 1529-1653; ill-favouredly 1545-1724.
to an unnatural degree: unnaturally c1485-1719.

VG .in contriving something: yfelmynnnan OE.
in one's desire: yfelwilnian OE.
.by ministering to anything evil: pimp (vi) 1681--.
.by using (something) for an evil purpose: suborn (vt) a1619-1677.

VM forscyldigan OE; unrighteous (vt) 1593 (1); unregenerate (vt) 1861 (1).

VD wiergan OE; lither (vi) a1300 (3); perpetret (vt) 1490-1491;
preprate (vt) 1547--.
to: misfease (vt) 1571 (1).
to try/plan: seek (on/to) (vt) c1250-1390.

VB aheardian OE.

MW mislybban/mislive (vi) OE-1579.

PhX It is easy to slip into evil ways: facilis descensus averni 1618+1885--.

See Also: EVIL A2--EVIL A10 inclusive, EVIL C8, EVIL C13, EVIL E1, EVIL E4.
A2 EVIL AND DARK

AjG deorcful OE fig; dierne OE; dimm OE; mirce OE; deorc/dark OE--; sweart/swart OE-1594; flaky 1575 (1) rare; black 1583-1821 fig; sable 1726+1749; darksome 1880 (1).
.in heart: black-hearted 1849--.
.in soul: black-souled 1840--.

NQ dimnes OE.
.of the heart: black-heartedness 1871 (1).
.the side (of a thing/person) which embodies: night-side 1848--.

NP darkling 1773 (2).

AvM swearte OE poet.

See Also: EVIL Al.

A3 EVIL AND GRACELESS

AjG graceless 1399--; ungracious 1415-1683.

AvP ungracious a1225-1793.

NQ ungraciousness 1509-1742; gracelessness 1588--.

NP graceless cl386-1675+1858--; want-grace 1603-1621; slack-grace 1623 (1).

AvM ungraciously 1377-1645; gracelessly cl440--.

See Also: EVIL Al.

A4 HELLISH

AjG hellic OE; hellish 1569-1826; infernal 1603--; tophetical 1684+1859.
.and terrible: tartarean 1806/7--.
.hastening to a place which is: grundfus OE.
.bound for a place which is: hellfus OE poet.
hellishness 1608--; infernality 1805+1862; tophetism 1859 (1).
hell c1374--; tophet 1618--; infernalism 1864+1888.
infernality 1805+1862; infernalism 1864+1888.
which is a decoction: hell-broth 1605--.
hell on wheels 1843--.

hell-hound cl420--; hell-kite 1605--.
who deserves to burn in hell: fire-brand 1340+1551+1560 transf.

hellishly c1580--; infernally 1638--.
infernalize (vt) 1817+c1875.
See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL A5.

DIABOLICAL

deofollic/devilly OE-a1628; fienden cl315+cl375 rare;
serpentine 1387/8--; diabolic 1483--; devilish 1494--; feding
1506-1551 rare; diabolical 1546--; satanical 1547/64--;
serpent-like a1586--; demoniacal 1614--; serpentine 1635-1649;
devilized 1701--; satanic 1793--; fiendlike 1804--.
in appearance etc.: fiendlike 1605--.

devility 1589-1609; devilry 1637--; devilship 1644+1871;
devilism 1652--; diabolism 1681--; diabolicalness 1727-a1800;
devilry a1825--; demonry a1851 (1); diabolicity 1865 (1);
demonishness a1930 (1).

personification of: devil 1604--.

devilry 1533-1581+a1876; devilment 1771--.
deofolæd OE poet.
satanity 1864+1903.
devilishness 1530--; diabolism 1754--; diabolicality 1839 (1);
fiendism 1852 (1) rare.
NP deofolcunda OE poet; feond/fiend OE--; dragon 1508+1715; fiend of hell 1509--; satan 1596--; infernal 1748+1788. .female: hag 1587--; haggard 1658-1715. .who supposedly rides the air by night: night-hag 1666--. .who is an evil spirit: hag 1552-1810. .little: fiendkin 1377 (1) Langland, obs. .who is an agent of satan: lim OE; deofles lim/devil's limb OE-1660+1833 (dial); fiend's limb al340 (1); limb of satan cl350-1607; limb of the fiend 1434 (1); thieves' limb cl450 (1); sergeant (of satan) 1513+1570; imp of the devil/satan/etc. 1526--; limb of the devil al540 (1); limb of hell 1645 (1). .personality of: darkship 1707 (1). .domain of: devilhead al350+1870 (Morris); devilhood 1618--; devildom 1825--.

AvM deofollice OE; devilly a1300-c1400; serpentinously 1502 (1); devilishly 1531--; diabolically 1599--; serpent-like 1605+ al699; satanically 1606+1824--; diabolicly 1683 (1).

VG to devil it (vp) 1593 (1); devilize (vi) 1647+1720. .by wishing someone at the devil: to wish (one) at vinegar (vp) 1774 (1). .by subjecting to diabolical influence: diabolize (vt) 1823--.

VM .by possessing with satan: persatanize (vt) 1857 (1).

VS .by betraying something diabolical in one's character/motives: to show the cloven foot/hoof 1841--.

VT bedevil (vt) 1768+1809.

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL A4.

External X-R: III 5 Religion: devil.

A6 OFFENSIVE

AjG whelpish 1586--; viperous 1593--; offensive 1594--; vermin 1602 (1); verminian 1640 (1); spider-like al653--; verminly 1653 (2); nasty 1705--; rancid 1833--; skunky 1897 (1). .of the mind: nasty-minded 1921--.

AjT verminous 1621/3--; viperous 1805--.

AjP spiderous 1533+1648; viperous 1538-- now rare or arch; spidered 1659 (1); spiderly 1891 (1).
NQ  offensiveness 1618--; skunkdom 1839 (1).
     of the mind: nasty-mindedness 1940--.

NTP  objectionable 1884--; man-killer 1929-- transf, fig; nasty 1935--.

NP   vermin 1581--; crab-staff a1603 (1); crab 1825--; crab-stick 1841+1877 (dial); rotter 1894-- slang; undesirable 1900 (1); greaser 1900--; four-letter man 1923--.
     plural: vermin 1562--; verminaille 1600 (1).

AvM  spider-like 1604--; offensively 1660--; verminously 1860--.

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL B7, EVIL B9.

A7  WORSE

AjG  særma OE; wiersa/worse OE--; war/waur c1250-- Sc & Nth; werrar c1400 (1); worser 1495--; worserer 1752+1842.
     progressively: worse and worse 1535-1596.
     making: deformative 1641 (1); deteriorative 1800--; deteriorating 1836+1883.

NT   wiers/worse OE--.

NP   worse c1175--.

AvM  wiers/worse OE-1781; war/waur c1200-- Sc; worser 1560-(1835).

VG   to do worse (vp) 1154-1605.

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL A10.

External X-R: I 6.4 Quantification: deterioration & II 3.1.5 dislike.
A8 HEINOUS

AjG deoplic OE; firenlic OE; grimm OE; healic OE; hefig OE; hefiglic/hefelic OE; hefigtyme OE; mirce OE; ondrysne OE; slielic OE; swer OE; deep/deep OE--; egeful/awful OE--; awly c1200+c1375; grill a1300-1570; cursed/curst a1300-1765; strong a1300-1593+1818; grievous a1300-1683+1860 now only arch; ugly a1300--; heinous c1374--; mis-shapen c1375-1509; excessive 1393-1656; fell c1440-- now poet & rhet; outrageous 1456--; enorm 1481-a1639; nefand 1490-1616; scelerate a1513-a1734; rank 1515 (Sc)+1528--; villainous 1526-1616; enormous 1545-1665; facinorous 1548-1721+1871 obs exc arch; flagitious 1550--; funestal 1555 (1); monstrous 1560--; monstrous 1562 (Sc)+1724; prodigious 1568-1652; bonable 1575 (1) rare; felonious 1575-1827 now chiefly poet; bonation 1589+1599; unvenial 1589+1644; enormous 1593-1827; villainous 1596--; nameless 1611--; pitchy 1612+1810; round 1638 (1); nefandous 1640-1827; scarlet 1641-1656; funestous 1647-1689; funest 1654-- now rare; aversable 1663 (1) rare; atrocious 1669--; frightful 1700--; flagrant 1706--; heathenish 1718--; atroce a1733 (2); unspeakable 1831--; purple 1905 (1).

acting in a way which is: outraging 1895 (1).
and absurd: monstrous 1573/80--.
and flaming (of shame etc.): burning 1605-1817 fig.
most: nipherest OE.

AjT arrant 1639-- transf; errant a1720--.

AjP flagitious 1382--; arrant 1393--; errant 1393--; scarlet 1709 (1); atrocious 1772--.
spec. of their attitude to crime: heinous 1548--.

NQ lab OE; slihnes OE; grievousty c1410-c1425; atrocity 1534--; malignity 1534-- arch; monstruousness 1561 (1); enormity 1563--; heinousness 1563--; monstrousness 1574-1818; ugliness 1601--; monstrosity 1606-1724; enormousness a1631-1667; monstrousity 1651--; enormance 1682 (1); flagrancy 1714-1810; atrociousness 1731-1793; outrageousness 1869 (1).
combined with awe: awfulness a1300+1651--.

NA excess c1386-1791.

ND firenleahhter OE; excess 14..-- chiefly pl; atrocity 1793--.

NC guilt 1729-1819.

NT beast (of a) 1862-- fig.

NTP arch-X 1551--.

NP monster 1556--; prodigy 1594-1656; scelerate 1715-1790+1880 obs exc arch; humgruffian 1825+1842.
A9 UNPARDONABLE

AvM deope OE; firenlice OE; helelice OE; to wundre/to wonder OE-a1300; willy c1230+c1375; fouly c1230--; wick c1330-1393; outragely a1340 (1); deeply 1382--; cursedly c1386-1679; outrageously 1387--; wicked a1425-1663+1829--; heinously c1440--; enormly 1538 (1); rankly 1549-1824; arrantly a1600-c1660+c1834; flagrantly 1612/15--; enormously a1619-1689; enormously a1641 (2); flagrantly 1756--; atrociously 1765--.

VG outrage (vt) 1590--; to burn it blue (vp) 1731 (1) fig.

VM enorm (vt) 1602-1612.

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL B9.

A9 UNPARDONABLE

AjG botleas OE; unfeormigende OE; unmitlsigendlic OE; unonlysendlic OE; untolysendlic OE; irremissible 1413--; uncleansable 1483 (1) rare; impardonable 1523-1797; unpardonable 1525--; inexcusable 1526-1775; unforgivable 1548--; unexcusable 1550-1685; pardonless 1567--; inexpiable 1570--; unreclaimable 1577-1652; irremittable 1587+1635; unremissible 1593+1603; unsatisfiable 1593+1648; inexpiable 1606+1657; excuseless 1611-1667+1889; pretenceless 1641-1818; unpalliable 1673 (1); unatonable 1689+1881; irredeemable a1834+1892; redemptionless 1866 (1); unsalvable 1895 (1).

and hence unpardoned

.of people, from hell: unsalved a1240 (1); unredeemed 1548--.

.of crimes: unpalliated 1827 (1).

AjP unreclaimable a1656-1717.

NQ irremissibleness 1612/15+1710; inexcusableness 1612/15-a1716; unpardonableness 1646--; inexpiable 1650 (1);

inexcusableness a1660 (1); irremissibility 1847+1895;

inexcusability 1888 (1).

.of people, from hell: unsalvableness 1684 (1); unsalvability 1891 (1).

AvM irremissibly 1491--; inexcusably 1587--; unexcusably 1611+1647; unpardonably 1645+1811+1856; unpardonable 1662 (1);

inexpiably 1684--; unforgivable a1890--.

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL E1.
A10 WORST

AJG sæmest OE; wierrest/worst OE--; worstest 1838 (1).

NQ worstness a1665 (1).
    the part of anything which embodies: worst 1615--.

NS pessimism 1794--; pessimum 1931--.

NT worst 1390--.
    plural: worsts 1609-1624 poet.
    which one can do: one's worst 1599-1611; one's damnedest 1830--.
    incomparably: nonsuch 1705 (1); nonsuch 1836 (1).

NP the worst 1606--.

AvM wierst/worst OE--; at the worst 1532-1771; at worst 1605-1639;
    at one's worst 1845--.

VD to do the/one's worst (vp) 1390--.

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL A7.
EVIL

SECTION B: EVIL & INFERIOR
BL INFERIOR

AjG nibera OE; niberlic OE; feeble c1275-c1470; poor a1300--; vile a1300--; horrible 1460-- now colloq; naughty 1526-1683+1799 (Sc); inferior 1531--; reprobate 1545-1827; lousy 1568--fig; wooden 1592-1719; puny 1593--; hedge 1594-1816; rubbish 1594-1722+1979; sordid 1596-1751; puisne 1635-1782; turn-coated c1645 (1); horrid 1666-- colloq; farandical 1675 (1) rare; topping 1693--ironic; dreadful 1700--colloq; frightful 1700--; baddish 1755--; tinnified 1794 (1); shocking 1798--; awful 1809--slang; shabby 1820--; third rate 1838--; deterior 1839 (1); ghastly 1861--colloq; tin-pot 1865--; moulidy 1876 (1) transf & fig; low-grade 1878--; rotten 1880--slang; rocky 1883+1890 slang; cheesy 1896--slang; punk 1896--colloq; appalling 1919--; crumby/crummy 1931--slang; under the arm 1937--slang; roty 1942--slang & colloq; manky 1958 local; grotty 1964--slang; schlocky 1968--.
very: tenth-rate 1834--; tenth-remove 1905 (1).
more: wiers OE.
most: niberlicest OE; wierst OE; worst c1325--.

AjP . in character: faulty 1574--; faulted 1608 (1).
 . in ability: mean 1387-1738.
 . according to another's insult/abuse: shake rotten 1595 (1); strummell-patch 1599 (1); thornbackly 1605 (1); toad-spotted 1605 (1) Shksp; mongrel 1605-1720; shit-breeched 1664 (1); mole-catchinng a1693 (1); nine-eyed 1694-1703; rigwiddy 1790--Sc; cock-suckinng 1923--coarse slang; mother-fucking 1959--coarse slang, orig & chiefly US; mother-raping 1966--US slang; mothering 1970 (1) US slang.

NQ worseness c1380--; poverty 1397--; netherty c1449 (2); evilness 1548-1621; meanness 1556--; bad 1591-1816; inferiority 1599--; worseness 1602 (1); inferiorness 1674+1727; deterioration 1692+1719; baddishness 1824 (1); shoddiness 1886 (1).
 .action of lowering thing/person to: villainizing 1678-1693.

NT arse-gut 1668 (1)fig; wasteling 1750 (1); rep 1786 (1); wastrel 1790--; waster a1800--; arse hole 1948--fig.
 .plural: fifth 1881--; schlock 1915--colloq, chiefly Nth Amer.
 .most: worst ?a1400-1637.

NP geongra OE; lower c1200-c1450; netherer c1449 (1); inferior 1500--; puny 1579-1711; puisne 1601-1663; wretch 1688--;
third-rater 1816 (1); third-rater 1820 (1).
 .male: gent 1842--.
 .plural: worsers 1581 (1); (one's) worses 1873 (2).
...according to another's term of abuse: deofol OE; wærolga/warlock OE-1500/20; lurdan al1300-- obs exc arch; avetrol cl1300-cl1320; congeon/conjon c1300-7c1400; dirt c1300--; wirling 13.-- now Sc & dial; wretch 13.--; slime c1315-1652; frog c1330-1626; shrew 1362-1508+1888 (pseudo arch); jordan 1377+1500/20; swine c1380-1594+1842--; wariangle a1400/50 (1); sorrow a1400/50+1816-- chiefly Nth & Sc; quengeoun c1430 (1) rare; hor(ec)op c1430-1578; lotterel c1440 (2); paddock a1450-1605+1893 transf; chuff c1450 (Sc)+1564-1848+1881 (dial); turd c1450--; ram-skyt c1460 (1) rare; spart c1460 (1); souter 1478-al585; cut c1490-1725+1820 (Scott) obs or dial; baboon c1500-1678 fig; streaker 1500/20 (1) Sc; cockatrice 1500/20 (Sc)+1542-1794; spink 1508 (1) Sc; mandrake 1508-a1585 (Sc)+1597-1604; rook 1508-1784; sow 1508--; dirt-dauber c1515-1647; waterlag a1529 (1); pole-hatchet a1529+1826; bum 1540+1572+1825 not polite; bear-wolf 1545 (1); pig 1546-1586+1885--; excrement 1561-al688; manzer 1562--; varlet 1566--; toad a1568--; spider 1568--; bull-beef 1572+1618; curtal 1578-1612; spider-catcher 1579-1711; stickdirt al585 (1); mongrel a1585 (Sc)+1601-1764; roit al585-- Sc; dogfish 1589-1731; whor(ec)op a1590-1599; tartar 1590 (1) Shksp; tar-box a1592+1687; pot-hunter 1592+1592+1592; venom 1592-1601 rare; porcupine 1596-1606; lick-fingers 1595 (1); mouldy-chaps/ -chops 1595-1634; trip 1595-1785; conundrum 1596 (1); land-rat 1596-1632; fat-guts 1596--; nag 1598-1606; thornback 1599 (1); stinkard c1600-1700; tumbril 1601 (1); pilcher 1601-al640; lobster 1602-1609; windfucker 1602-al616; mole-catcher 1603-1629; wolf's fist 1606 (1); stinker 1607-- vulgar; shad 1610 (1); whit 1610 (1); tim 1610+1673; renegado a1611 (1); splay-foot 1612 (1); hog-rubber 1614-1621; runt 1614--; verdugo a1616-a1625; flea-trap a1616-a1681; babion 1624 (1); fish-face a1625--; rat 1629--; hound's head 1633 (1); nightmare 1633+1824; toad's guts 1634 (1); shagmuffin 1642-(1); shit-breech 1648-1680; chuff-cat 1653 (1); dog's face 1676 (1); shit-abed 1690 (1); tar-barrel 1695 (1); swab 1710--; fat-face 1741-- now slang; shit-sack 1769-1785; beast 1772--; bag of tripe 1822 (1); son of a sea cook c1825+1865; bastard 1830--; vagabond 1842--; B 1851--; shick-shack 1855-- dial; wind-sucker 1880 (1); cock-sucker 1891-- coarse slang;ucker 1893--; scunge 1900-- coloq, orig Sc; S.O.B./s.o.b. 1918-- chiefly US; mucker 1929--; basket 1936+1958 euphem slang; cowson 1936--; meatball 1941-- fig; schwein(e)hund 1941--; mugger 1945-- slang; mother-feryer 1946 (1) US slang; morpion 1954 (1) Beckett, transf, rare; mother 1955-- US slang; mother-fucker 1956-- coarse slang, orig & chiefly US; louser 1960--; salaud 1962--; mother-raper 1966 (1) US slang; louser-up 1967 (1); effer 1967--; chicken-shit 1968--; hoover 1969-- Austral & NZ.

..male: whoreson 13.--1712+1821-- arch; bitch-son cl330 (1); bitch-clout al400 (1); yaldson al400 (1) Sc & Nth; bitch al500--; where's son c1500 (1); hag a1529-1676; conger 1597 (1); scarab c1600-1676; brach c1610+a1652 fig; scarabee 1615-1677; conger-head 1630 (1); where's bird 1673--; son of a whore 1675-1700; where's kitting al700 (1); bugger 1719--.
...female: rebeck c1386 (1) Chaucer; visenage 14. (1); harlot c1485+1823; tarleather 1575 (1) rare; faggot 1591--; whipperginnie 1593-1599; proud peat 1599-al623+1828--; city wire 1609-1632; she-dog 1821 (1); cunt 1929--. 
...old: viritrarate c1386 (1) Chaucer; ribibe c1386 (Chaucer)-1616. 
...child: congeon/conjon c1330-?a1400. 
...personality of: verdugoship 1610 (1). 
...according to another's term of contempt: cat a1225-- fig; gegge a1300-1387; fox-whelp c1320 (1); whelp 1338-1634; scout c1380-c1485+1822-1869 (Sc); creature a1400/50-1813; turnbroach c1430--; strummel 1500/20 (2) Sc; fowment 1508-1633+1892; get 1508-- now dial & slang; shit 1508--; scofting 1514 (1); rag 1566--; c1inchpoo/clenchpoo 1568-1589; pismire 1569-1818; huddle (and twang) 1579-1600; truss 1585 (1); whipster 1589--; a parcel of (man) 1598-1609; slop 1599 (1); shulloch a1603+1841 dial; fitchew 1604 (1) Shksp; thing 1610--; trundle-tail 1614-1706; bulchin 1617+1638; mullipuff 1629 (1); tick 1631 (1); louse 1633--; turnspit 1683-1809; insect 1684--; foute/router 1780- (1833); blister 1806-- slang; grub-worm 1807/8--; skin 1825+1889; scurf 1854 (1) slang; scurf 1873-- dial or slang; bleeder 1887-- low slang, also transf; blighter 1896-- slang, also transf; rass c1918-- Jamaican coarse slang; fart 1937--. 
...male: ceorl OE; hund/hound OE--; churl cl300--; page 1300-a1529; call 1529; cullion 15--al652+1843; jockey a1529--; sinciper c1540-a1640; singcantor 1581-1672; cur 1590-- fig; duck's meat 1599 (1); swabber 1609--; bob-tail 1619 (1) transf; pompillion a1625 (1); cad-worm 1630 (1); swab 1681+1840-- now SW dial; person 1782 (2); hallion/hallyon 1786--Sc & Nth dial; peat 1818+1866; jackeen 1840-- Anglo-Irish. 
...dutch: flounderkin a1668 (1). 
...female: teg a1529 (2); puss 1608-1732. 
...poor and/or white, on the pacific coast: pike 1854--; piker 1859--. 
...black and seen (by blacks) as part of the white establishment: oreo 1968-- US slang. 
...title for: snakeship 1839--. 
...according to another's term of reproach: glutton c1300-1523; jaudewin 1340/70-1401; pilate c1400--; swart c1425 (1); hoberd c1450 (2); clapperdudgeon 1567-- arch; jail/gaol-bird 1603--; rascal c1610--; cockloche c1611-1641+1863 ?slang; incube 1614 (1); swappes 1626 (1); stitchel 1659-1866; dog's head 1676 (1); potwalloper 1820+1905. 
...male: havel c1460+1522; coystrel 1570 (1); coistrel 1581-1601+1783; custrel 1608 (1). 
...female: casbald cl440-c1450; gib a1529-a1687; cosbaude 1570 (1); rascal 1624+1899 rare; scolopendra 1633+a1688; fleak 1636 (1).
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EVIL B1 Inferior

VG .to: degenerate (from) (vi) 1548-1739; to be a fool to (vp) 1596+1791+1885; follow (vt) 1632 (1) fig.

VT .by making an insulting gesture: to make horns at (vp) 1607-1652.

See Also: EVIL B2--EVIL B10 inclusive.

External X-R: III 2.1.1 social class: commonalty.

B2 WORTHLESS

AjG cystleas OE poet; *earg OE; nahtlic OE; orfeorme OE; forcub/forcouth OE-c1230; godles/goodless OE-1562; undoughty a1225-1570; void cl1380-1728; bare 1399-1596; worthless a1542-1590; stark naught a1543--; queer 1561-1812 thieves' cant; baggage 1580-1670; arrant 1581-1761; hilding 1582-1613+1820; lorel 1590-1614; ragamuff 1591 (1) rare; worthless 1591--; valueless 1595--; of little/no etc. worth 1597--; losel 1601--; ragamuffin 1602--; offal cl1605-- now esp dial; loselled 1606 (1) rare; loselly 1611-1694 rare; vagabond 1630--; good-for-nothingness 1711--; ne'er-do-well 1725 (1); sord 1825--; neat 1827+1828 slang; scamping 1832+1839; scampish 1847--; rotten 1881-- slang; ne'er-do-wellish 1890 (1).

NQ nahtnes OE; nahtscipe OE; worthlessness 1611--; vileness 1723--; good-for-nothingness 1741--.

NX unnyt/unnut/unnet OE-c1230.

NC nought cl1400-1651.

NP bretheling cl1275-?15.; file cl1300-cl1450; javel cl1301-cl1641; waymoune a1310 (1); dog cl1325--; waster cl1352-- now chiefly dial; lorel 1362-1647; losel 1362--; left cl1377-cl1425; tandleaper 1377-cl1670; brothel 1393-1594; lorer cl1400 (1); shackerell cl1420-1610; ne'er-thrift cl1440 (1); breel cl1440+cl1485; neverthrift cl1440-1520; brethel cl1440-cl1547; bordel 1474 (1); never-thriving 1486 (1); landlover 15--; naughty pack 1526-1855; brothel 1542 (1) rare; unsel 155-1691+1825+1894 Sc & Nth; pelf 1551-1781+1876 (dial); wandrel cl1557 (1); scald cl1575+1909+1919; nebulon 1578 (1); baggage 1594+1601; hilding 1601-1679+1843; arrant 1605 (1); vauneant 1621 (1); thimble-maker 1654 (1); ne'er-be-good 1675-a1814; shack 1682-- dial & US; vagabond 1686--; shag-bag a1700+1865 colleg; houndsfoot 1710+1712+1814; idle pack 1725 (1); blackguard 1736--; ne'er-do-well 1737--; trumpery 1738--;
rap 1771--; mauvais sujet 1793--; rip 1797--; good-for-naught 1804--; scamp 1808--; waffie 1808-- Sc; ne'er-do-good 1814 (1); vau-t-rien 1825/9--; loose fish 1827 (1) colloq; sculpin 1833-1877; good-for-nothing 1847--; wastrel 1847--; scallywag/scallawag 1848--; shack-bag 1855-- dial; never-do-well 1856 (1); shicer 1859-- slang; beat 1865-- US; hard bargain 1867+1893; vaurien 1868--; rodney 1892 (1); git 1946-- slang; no-hoper 1953-- Austral slang; piss artist 1975--.

*female*; rip 1791--.

*who begs or lives nomadically*: vagrant 1444-1598; vagrant 1452--.

*in ragged clothing*: raggmull 1581 (1) rare; ragamuffin 1581--; patch(c)ock 1596 (1); bash-rag c1600 (1) rare; tatter c1600-1637; tatterdemal(1)ion 1608--; ragabash 1609+1781-- (dial glosses) Sc & Nth; flabergudgion 1611 (1); flabergullion 1611+1677; shab(a)roon a1700-1847 slang; sansculotte 1812+1815. 

*body of (plural)*: tatterdemalionry 1840 (1).

*quality of being*: scamphood 1845+1866 rare; scampishness 1858 (1).

*filth or rubbish applied to (plural)*: offscouring(s) 1526--; waste 1592 (1); offscum 1605--.

*collectively*: vagabondage 1855--.

AvM loreelly c1450 (1); scampishly 1880 (1).

See Also: EVIL B1, EVIL B3, EVIL B7.

External X-R: I 5.5 Action: uselessness.

B3 ROGUISH

AjG *weargberende OE; pautener c1330-c1375; palliard 1484-1638; limmerful 1500/20 (1) Sc; limmer 1500/20-1562 (Sc)+1637 (Jonson, dial)+a1785 (dial); slovenly a1515-1579; knaifatic c1550 (1) Sc; rascal 1566--; knavish 1570--; landloping 1577+1816-1828 (Scott); cullion-like 1591-1601; patching 1591-1647; roguish 1592-1667/8; scanderbegging 1593 (1); rascally 1596--; scanderbeg 1598 (1); roguing 1598--; cullionly 1605-1645+1822 (Scott); rogorous 1609 (1); roguy c1610-1712/3; loseling 1624 (1) rare; slip-string 1629-16..+1824; schelmish a1634 (1); pickled 1691-1804; scoundrel a1700--; scoundrelish 1705 (1); rapscallion 1711--; scoundrelly 1790--; pickle 1797 (1); picaresque 1810--; furciferous 1823+1835 rare, somewhat joc; scapegrace 1830--; rapscallionly 1832+1899; nut-cut 1874 (1) slang.
EVIL B3 Roguish

...and ragged: shag-rag c1590-1693.
...and (jocularly) deserving to be hanged: rodegwyre OE;
gallows c1425-1820+1882--(dial); widdiful 1535-- Sc;
rope-ripe 1552-1597+1892-- arch; hangworthy 1580-c1670+1888
(Scott) rare; hempy 1816-- Sc & Nth.
...and thievish: briberous c1550+a1614.
...of a company, composed of people who are: scoundrel 1643+
1727/46.

AjC roguish 1596--.

NA roguing 1619-1719.

NAc foist 1605-1677; roguery c1620--; vagabundulo 1631 (1);
rascality 1691--; scoundrelism 1773--; vagabondism 1840 (1);
rascalism 1862 (1).

NC truandise a1225-1547; lorelship c1380 (1); truanting c1400 (1);
truantry 1426-c1430; coquiny c1430 (1) rare; foul play
c1440--; loselry 1480-1594+1894 (arch); loonery 1508-1606 (Sc)
+1686; palliardry 1513-1570; knavery 1528--; patching a1550-
1562; slavery 1553-1581; bribe a1560 (1); palliardy c1560 (1);
smaikry 1573+1583 Sc; patchry 1582-1607; ropery 1592-1618+1871
(arch); rascality 1592-1825; scucherty 1594-1595; roguery 1596--;
cullionry 1611-1648; scoundrelism 1611--; fripon(n)erie 1708-
1818; rascality 1837--; scoundrelship 1856--; rascalry 1868 (1);
rascaldom 1876 (1); rascaldom 1879 (1); scallywagism 1897
(1); scallywaggery 1897--; scallywagging 1915 (1).

NS roguishness 1578-1755.

NP harlot a1225-1659; truant a1290-1599; sheward 1297-1338;
boy c1300-1588 contempt; custron c1300-a1529+1530-a1605 (Sc);
lideron 13..--1553; pautener c1330 (1); filth c1350-1709 obs exc dial; briber 1387-c1550; brcoun
a1400 (1) rare; titivil c1420-a1553; knape a1450-a1553+1885
obs exc dial; sloven c1450-c1680; smaik c1450-1548 (Sc)+1815--
(arch); limmer 1456-1607 (Sc)+1637 (Jonson, dial)+1828 (Scott);
oken 1500/20 (1) Sc, rare; titivillser 1500/20 (1) Sc; swinger
1500/20 (Sc)-1739; smy 1501-a1585 Sc; cock lorel c1575-1621;
foiterer 1528 (1); knavate a1529 (1); losthope a1540 (1);
verlet a1550-a1604; peasant c1550-1601; knave a1553-- now rare;
varlet 1555--; wild rogue 1561-1673; makeshift 1565-1608;
crack-halter 1566-1607; rogue 1578--; kern(e) 1582 (1);
schelm 1584-a1634+1823 (Scott)+1889 arch; rascal 1586--;
scoundrel 1589--; scab c1590--; slave 1592-1607; rampallion
1593-1639+1822 (Scott); crack-hemp 1596 (1) Shksp; catalian
1598-1649; roly-poly 1601-1609; canter 1609-1719+1865 arch;
cantler 1611 (1); bezonian 1611+1632+1843 contempt; skellum
1611-- now arch exc in S.Afr; gue 1612-1658; fraudsman
1615+1958--; shellam c1619 (1); tilt 1620 (1) slang;
picaro 1623-1749+1966-- now arch; picaroon 1629-1821+1904--;
scoberbeg a1635-1684; sheepman 1640 (1); rascalitton 1649--
now rare; scaramouch 1676--; fripon 1691+1724; rapscallion
1699--; trickster 1711--; shake-bag 1794+1796; sinner 1809--; cad 1838-- colloq; badmash/budmash 1843--; gun 1890 (1) slang; scattermouch 1892+1894 slang; nut-cut 1901 (1) slang; jazzbo 1919-- US slang.

.female: rampallion 1602 (1) rare; scab 1700 (1); varletess 1748 (2); caddess 1870+1884.

.arrant: unconscionable 1825 (1).

cunning: gipsy 1627-a1635 transf; jip 1728 (1).

.fellow: comrogue 1621-- arch.

.inferior: under-rogue 1706 (1).

.smart, and frequenting cities: city-slicker 1924-- orig US.

.minor:rogueling 1790 (1).

.who wears a short cloak: curtal 1561-1567+a1700-1725 (Cant dicts).

.who deserves hanging: wickhals 1338-c1400; crack-robe c1450 (Sc)+1571-1708+1818 (Scott); widdy-neck c1480-a1583 Sc; widdiful 1508-- Sc; stretch-hemp 1532-1566; slip-string 1546-1624+1828; hang-up 1562/3 (1); hempstring 1566-1606; crack-halter 1566-1607; ropefull 1567+1583 Sc; hang-robe 1570 (1); waghelter 1570-1638; gallow(s)-clapper 1570-1708; rope-ripe 1573-1632; wag-string 1578-1633; stretch-halter 1583-1606; gallows 1588--; halter-sack/-sick 1598-a1616; wag-with 1611 (1); roper 1615 (1); gallows-climber 1668 (1); hang-string 1675 (1); hempie 1718-- Sc & Nth; hang-gallows 1785-1828; gallows-bird 1785--.

.plural: gallows-brood 1831 (1).

.who has escaped it: scape-tyburn 1602 (1); rope-runner 1612 (1); slip-halter 1659 (1); slip-gibbet 1785 (1); scape-gallows 1799+1838.

.who has the mark of the gallows in his face: gallows-face 1724 (1).

.who is worthy to be put to death: man of death 1535-1642 Hebraism.

.the world of (plural, collectively): loselism 1831 (1) rare; rascaldom 1837--; scoundrelism 1837--; scoundrelry 1859 (1); roguedom 1889 (1); roguery 1898 (1).

.the state of being a: rogueship ?c1600-1797.

AvM knavishly 1481-1825; rogously 1611--; scoundrelously 1681 (1); rascally 1749-1824.

VG truant (vi) c1400-c1440; to play the... varlet (vp) 1579-1651; to play the jack (vp) 1610-1668; toogue it (vp) 1615+1632; rogue (vi) 1702+1755; scaramouch (vi) 1864 (1).

VS by having the look of the gallows: to have the gallows in one's face (vp) 1610--.

See Also: EVIL B1, EVIL B2, EVIL B4, EVIL C5.
Ruffianly

**AjG**
- ruffian 1553--; ruffianous 1555+1611; ruffianly 1579--;
- ruffian-like 1580--; ruffianish 1593--; rowdy 1852--;
- rowdy-dowdy 1854-- slang; rough(-)neck 1916--.

**AjP**
- ruffianly 1570--; ruffian 1597--; tory-rory 1682+1822; rowdy 1819--; tear-brass 1880 (1).
- of a history, relating to people who are: ruffian 1842 (1).
- somewhat: rowdyish 1851+1874.

**NC**
- thuggery 1839--; rowdyism 1842--.
- and character: ruffianry 1583 (1); ruffianism 1593-1656+1839--; ruffianage 1852 (1); ruffianhood 1856 (1).
- and domain: ruffiandom 1886 (1).

**NP**
- tyrant c1375-a1578; router a1400-1536; ruffy 1500/20-1572; kempy 1525+1801-- Sc & Nth dial; ruffian 1531--; cut(-)throat 1535 (Sc)+1583--; slasher 1559--; cutter 1568-a1734; hacker 1581-1649; hackster 1581--; ruffiano 1618-1819; bully-rock/-rook 1653-1827; thug 1839--; apache 1920--; goonda(h) 1926--; hoon 1938-- Austral slang; ned 1959-- Sc slang.
- who frequents the streets: scamperer 1712-1804; keelie a1825-- Sc; corner-cove 1851 (1) slang; corner-boy 1855--; plug-ugly 1860-- US slang; tough 1866--; larrikin 1870--; corner-man 1885--.
- in Sweden: raggare 1964--.
- at night: scourer 1672-1716+1849.
- aristocratic, in the 17th/18th cents.: tityre-tu 1623-1693+1849 (Hist); moock 1711/12-- Hist; tumbler 1712 (1); scarlet 1755 (1).
- hired: myrmidon 1649--; bully 1730-(1848) arch; striker 1859--; goon 1938-- slang, orig US.
- nocturnal: night-runner 14.. (1); night-walker 1467-1820; nightcap 1623 (1).
- Parisian: apache 1902--.
- in the reign of Edward I: trailbaston cl330+1853-- (Hist).
- who breaks windows: nicker 1716--.
- armed with a razor: razor-slasher 1951--; razor-man 1958--.
- plural: razor-gang 1957--.
- who bullies: bully-ruffian 1653-1809.
- young: bully-boy 1932--.
- who raises an outcry: barrator cl440-1714; brawl cl440-1725; outrier 1535-1584; breacher 1697 (1); rowdy 1808--;
- rough(-)neck 1836-- colloq, orig US; rough 1837--; blood-tub 1861 (1) slang, US; roughie 1905-- dial & slang.
- and is characteristic of the Bowery, in New York: bowery boy 1840+1882.
- plural: roaring boys cl590+1659; oatmeals 1624 (1) slang.
- collectively: ruffianage 1852-1874; ruffiandom 1882 (1);
- ruffianhood 1884 (1); ruffianism 1890 (1); ruffianry 1891 (1).
- a club of: sweating club 1825 (1).
**AvM** ruffianly 1570--; ruffian-like 1600+1657.

**VG** rowdy *(vi)* 1896 (1).

**VM** ruffianize *(vt)* 1833+1872.

**VT** rowdy *(vt)* 1825 (1).

See Also: EVIL B1, EVIL B3, EVIL C5, EVIL E12.

**B5** DISSOLUTE

**AjG** aworpenlic OE; steorleas OE; earn OE; unkind 1340-1483; desolate c1386-1782; unthrifty 1388-a1571; virtueless 1402--; project 1432/50 (1); unvirtuous 1432--; dissolve 1513--; bastardly 1587+1669; regenerate 1596+1607 rare; perdit(e) a1632-1645; deperdit 1641-1642; profligate 1647--; profligated 1652-1716; abandoned 1692--; castaway 1818 (1) Scott. of youthful conduct: wild oats 1881 (1).

**NC** recolace a1300-c1375; reverie c1386+1535 (Sc) rare; unthriftiness c1430-a1548; dissoluteness 1549--; profligateness 1668-1786 now rare; profligacy 1738--; wantonness 1775--; unvirtuousness 1865 (1); virtuelessness 1891 (1). especially in youth: uncorn 1513 (Sc)+1710.

**NP** unthrift c1330--; castaway 1526-1611+a1829--; rake-hell 1554-1766+1870; degenerate 1555--; ruffian 1560-1675; reprobate 1592--; rakeshame 1599-(c1840) now rare, ?US; wag-wanton 1622-1670+a1886 (dia) obs exc dial; prostitute 1647 (1); wantoner 1812--. female: jezebel 1558--. youthful: wild oats a1564-1605.

**AvM** unthriftily 13.+.1571; unvirtuously a1500--; dissolutely 1500--; deperditely 1608 (1); desolately 1608 (1); perditly 1637 (1); abandonedly 1714+1788; profligately 1741-1791.

**VG** in youth: to sow one's wild oats *(vp)* 1576--.

**VM** wantonize *(vt)* 1598-1652+1908 (arch) rare.

See Also: EVIL B1, EVIL C8.
AjG bleat OE; dimm OE; earmful OE; earringscopen OE; mete OE; unlæd(e) OE; wrecful OE; waæelic OE; yfel/evil OE-1699; usell cl200 (2); wanliche l205 (2) unlede a1250-a1400; sorry cl250--; bad 1297--; caitiff a1300-1583; simple 13.--c1477; unse1 cl375-1614 Sc & Nth; lodder a1400 (1); shrewedly cl430-1541; meschant 1471-1530; wretched cl482--; deplorable cl530-1533; foul 1535+1606; miser 1542-1612; scurry 1579--now somewhat arch; villainous 1582 (1); forlorn 1582--; meased 1596 (1) fig; thrallful 1615 (1); woeful 1619--; despicable 1635-a1704; ruedal 1642-1682; so-and-so 1655/6-1756+1883 dial; squallid a1660--; lamented 1667--; lamentable a1699+1876; mesquin 1706--; shan 1714-- Sc & Nth; execrable 1738--; poorish 1801--; mean 1817-- mostly US; bum 1859--slang, orig US; measly 1864-- slang; hummelcorn 1870 (1); shag-bag 1888-- colloq; pathetic 1937--.

AjT lybre/lither OE-1622; unwrast a1122-cl300; unorn c1175-1398; lewd 1362-1692; rascal 1585-1748; rascally 1606--; ratty 1876-- slang.

AjP wansælig OE poet; unhappy a1300-1828; miserable 1526-1711 now rare; single-soled 1588-1640; ingenerous 1635-1684.

NQ broc OE; heandom OE; iermb OE; wrecsib OE; uselldom cl200 (1); yomerneas cl250 (1); caitify/caitivetie a1300-1393; caitihead/caitivehead cl340 (1); misery cl374--; caitifness/caitiveness 1393-1481; caitifdom cl460 (1); deplorarion 1490 (1); villainy 1570 (1); deplorableness 1648+1679; sorrowness 1668--; squalor 1860 (1).

NP earning OE; ierming OE; niping OE; bearfend OE; wrecmæcg OE poet; wyrm OE; wyrmlic OE; waæcca/wretch OE--; argh a1275 (1); mix cl275-7a1400 trans: crachoun a1300-c1340; caitiff a1300--; crathon/craton cl340-a1400/50; wretched 1388--; fouling cl450 (1); meschant cl489-1664; ketterel a1572+a1585 Sc; miscredent 1577+1847 (dial); miscreant 1590--; scroyle 1595-1821; frummer 1659 (1) rare; (poor) devil 1698--; fusty a1732 (1); ramscaillion 1733+1855/56 (dial) chiefly Nth dial. from necessity (plural): the fallen 1878 (1).

AvM bleate OE; coplice OE poet; matte OE; unlædlice OE; wearglice OE; lybre/lither OE-cl300; lyberlice/litherly OE-1550+a1583 (Sc); unisellec cl205 (1); evil a1300-1599; simply 1375-1753/4; unhappily cl375-1781; ledly cl386-1678; lodderly cl425 (1); noughtly 1502-1551 rare; naughtly 1530-1563; wretchedly 1546--; singly 1548 (1); naughtly 1574-a1693 silliily 1581-1611; sorrowly a1586--; evilly 1587--; scurvily 1599--; woefully 1648--; lamentably 1671--; execrably 1693--; miserably 1715--; miscreantly a1734 (1) rare; grievously 1742--; fecklessly 1862--.

See Also: EVIL B1, EVIL B7.

External X-R: II 7.5 Unhappiness.
AjG *earg OE; lysu OE; lytel OE; *scandlic OE; ungepungen OE; wretch a1200-1387; theowlike/theowlike cl200 (2); wick cl200-al500; feeble cl1250-cl1440; lecher cl250-1603; vile cl1290—; caiffif al300—; wicked 13..-1764; roinish 13..-1629+1814; brothely cl325 (1); roinous ?a1366-1691; filthy cl400-1828; base al535—; brockish 1546-1553 rare; scabbed 1579-1786; harlotry 1578/80-1663; tinkerly 1592-1647; miscreant 1593—; slavish 1593—; roguy 1598-1680; rascally 1598—; facinorious 1601+1636; sordidous 1602-1610; unnoble 1566--; project 1607-1616; roguish al625 (1); vild 1650-1805; dirty 1670—; shabbed 1674 (1); shabby 1679—; scoundrel 1681—; scabby 1712+1861; small 1824—; verminating 1856 (1); low down 1865— chiefly US; verminiferous 1895—; rattime 1919— slang; raunchy 1939— collog, orig US; scungy 1966— chiefly Austral.

AjT lybre OE; unaaDele OE; scald 1542-a1774.

*NQ abrobennes OE; fracobnes OE; unæbelnes OE; shendfulness a1225 (1); vilty a1225-1598; caiftifly/caivtetie al300 (1); vilety al300-1602; wretchedness 13..-1755; vilehead 1340 (1); caiftifhed/eaiivythehed c1340 (1); vility 1388-1599+1888 arch; unnobility a400 (1); unnobley a400 (1); unnobleness c1400-1618; infamy 1513—; vileness 1526—; baseness 1577—; vildness 1597-1607; lousiness 1608-1682; caiftiness/caitiveness 1649 (1); shabbiness 1827—; piggery 1867—.

NX sordid 1863—.

NAc infamy 1819 (1).

NC brokery 1597/8-a1654; basery 1637 (1) rare; piggism 1852+1979.

NTF unlede c1315-1829; vild 1597+1607 both Shksp; vile 1817 (1).

NP wræcca/wretch OE--; hinderling cl200-1387; whelp c1330—; vile cl400-1530; beast cl400— fig; dogbolt 1465-1690+1823 (Scott, arch); poid 1501 (1) Sc; shake-rag 1571-1815; skybald a1572-1825 Sc & Nth dial; vassal 1589-1820; brock al600— chiefly dial; shack-rag 1611 (1); shag-rag 1611-1719+1829— dial; slubberdegullion a1616--; baseling 1618 (1) rare; shag 1620-1801; shab 1637— slang; slubberdegullion 1653+1694 rare; whiffler 1659—; hang-dog 1687—; reptile 1749—; spalpeen 1815— Irish; skunk 1841— collog; soap-lock 1848 (1);
tiger 1849 (1) Thackeray; white mouse 1850--; sweep 1853-- slang & dial; shake 1859 (1); shuck 1862-- chiefly dial & US; whiffmaggig 1871 (1); ullage 1901+1904 Naut slang; heel 1914-- slang, orig US; jelly bean 1919-- slang; a nasty piece/bit of work/goods 1923--; dirty dog 1928--; crut 1937 (1) US slang; crud 1940-- slang, orig US; scrubber 1941-- fig; scumbag 1971-- coarse slang, chiefly US.

who ministers to another's baseness: pander 1603--.
on account of being no longer held in esteem: nobodaddy 1922-- transf.
most: infima species 1645 (1).
title for: scabship 1589 (1).

AvM avorpenlice OE; earglice OE; lyperlice OE; unæbelice OE; ungedafenlice OE; vile al300--; villiche c1300 (1); brothely c1325 (2); caitifly/caitively 1393+c1425-1513 (Sc); vily c1400-1677; scabbedly a1548 (1); basely ?c1550+1656+1872; vilyly 1555--; wildly 1575-1748; unsel a1583 (1) Sc & Nth; unnobly 1618-1748; mean a1626--; dirtily a1631--; shabbily 1755--.

VM avile (vt) 1297-a1670.

VB deturpate (vi) 1691+1833.

See Also: EVIL A6, EVIL B2, EVIL B6, EVIL B8, EVIL B9.

B8 VILLAINOUS

AjG aewarberende OE; villain 1340-- now rare.

AjA villains 1303-1474; villans c1340-1523; villainous 14..--.

AjP villain 1340-- now rare; glutton 1387/8-1725; villains 1390-1556; villainous c1550--; miscreant 1793-179.. spec. of facial expression: villainous 1828--.

NQ villainy a1225--; felon c1325+c1340.

NSc scrap 1679/80-1809 slang.
NP feondulf OE; folcscæpha OE; *wearg/wary OE-a1225; gering c1290 (1); thief 1297-1653+a1800--; gerard a1300-c1350; villain 1303--; rubiator 1500/20-1583 Sc; villiago 1593-1651; villainist 1596 (1); villiaco 1599-1630; villagio 1820 (1).

.male: bad man 1855--; chiefly US.

.female: villainess 1586--.

.roistering: tear-rogue 1685 (1).

.hired and reckless: brav0 1597--; brave 1598-1693+1865 obs or arch; bully 1688--; bully-rake 1711 (1).

..who supports another person: bully-back 1726 (1).

..who is a swordsman: bully-swordsman 1837 (1).

..who is cowardly and terrorizes the weak: bully 1863--.

.chief: arch-villain 1603-1814.

.esp. in a play or film: baddy 1937-- colloq, orig US.

.class of (plural): villaindom 1880 (1).

AvM villainly a1325-c1560; villainously ?a1366--; villainous 1610 (1); stigmatically 1622-1636.

VG villainize (vi) 1882 (1).

..surpassingly: out-villain (vt) 1601+a1814.

VA villain (vt) 1609 (1).

See Also: EVIL B7.

B9 FOUL, FILTHY

AjG onscuniendlic OE; *scandlic OE; wamm OE; ge-wlæt OE poet; wlætlic OE; rank 13--; fulsome c1375-1826; rote c1386 (1); lousy c1386-- fig; dunghill c1430-1633; miry 1532-- fig; filthy 1535--; murrain 1575-1591; black 1581-- fig; obscene 1593-- now somewhat arch; vicious 1597-(1831); leprous 1598-- fig; unwashed 1607+1611+1849 fig; ketty 1607+1674/91 (dial)-- now dial; dunghilly 1632-1662; putridinous 1641-1711 fig; putrid 1649-- fig; foede 1657 (1); fulsamic 1694 (1) rare; carrion 1826-- fig; scabrous 1881--; foul 1911-- slang; scummy 1932-- transf & fig, orig & chiefly US colloq.

to the senses: fulsome ?1507-1720.

delighting in that which is: filthy 1526-1778.
Foul, Filthy

**NQ**
- **fynes OE; onscunung OE; fylp/filth OE--; foulhead a1300-1340; fen 1387 (1) fig; leproy 1526-1654; filthiness 1526--; foulness c1532--; fedity 1542-1657; fulsomeness 1563-1610; disdain 1590 (1) Spenser; leprosy 1598-- fig; obscenity a1618+1807+1940--; fetidity 1704--; putridity 1823-- fig; fetidity 1831 (1); disgustingness 1851--; feculence 1860 (1) fig.
- .and sickly: fulsomeness 1481-1876.

**NT**
- ful/foul OE+c1400-1768; dung a1225--; carrion 1524-1597+1845-- fig; feculence 1662--; nastiness 1859--; muck 1899 (2) colloq.
- .which is a moral canker: rust OE.

**NTP**
- vomit 1610--.

**AvM**
- lablic/loathly OE-1600; fouully a1300-1697; loathsomely a1425--; fulsomely 1536-1708; obscenely 1588-1740+1922--; nastily 1611--; vowly 1633 (1) Jonson.

See Also: EVIL A6, EVIL A8, EVIL B7.

External X-R: I 4.10 Condition of matter: decay.

**B10** ACCURSED

**AjG**
- execrable 1382 (1); imprecatory 1587--; execratory 1611+1851; execrations 1748 (1); maledictory 1822--; execrative 1830--.
- .and consigned to evil: awyrigende OE; unlaed(e) OE; wearg OE; awyrgyde/awaried(e) OE-1340; waerged/waried OE-a1585; execrable 1557+1597; devoted 1611--; execrated 1660-1772/84.
- .spoken of as: maledicted 1.727--; maledict 1867 (1).
- uttering that which is: banning 1586+1591; maledicent 1599--; maledictive 1865--; execrating 1829+1878.
- invoking that which is: detesting a1622+1711; imprecating 1686 (1).
- .and consigning to evil: devotory 1652 (1).
- as an everyday imprecation: stinking a1225-1710+1898 vulgar; maledight a1300 (6); misbeget c1330 (1); banned 1340-1596; damnable c1380--; variablc 1382 (1); curseful 1382+1832--; cursed/curst c1386--; biccched a1400-1533; vile a1400/50--; whoreson c1440--; unbiched c1460 (1); remauldit 1471 (1) rare; infamous c1489--; execrable 1490--; jolly 1534--; manic 1538-1694; pretty 1538--; pagan 1550-a1704; damned 1563--; misbegotten 1571--; putid 1580-1818 now rare; sacred 1588-- now rare; excremental 1591+1593; accursed/accurst 1591--; inexecrable 1594+1596 rare; eternal 1601-- now vulgar or dial; desperate 1604--; blasted 1682--; plaguy 1694--;
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1694</td>
<td>sad</td>
<td>1728 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1757</td>
<td>damned</td>
<td>1728 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1764</td>
<td>accursed</td>
<td>1801 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1785</td>
<td>bloody</td>
<td>1803 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1815</td>
<td>blamed</td>
<td>1804 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840</td>
<td>dial</td>
<td>1806 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1845</td>
<td>aloud</td>
<td>1807 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td>bleeding</td>
<td>1808 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>damn</td>
<td>1809 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>dejected</td>
<td>1810 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>durned</td>
<td>1811 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>dashed</td>
<td>1812 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>effing</td>
<td>1813 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NQ** wariedhed 1382 (1); accursedness 1583+1674.

**NX** spec. an utterance: execration 1563/87--; imprecation 1603--; anathema 1691--.

**NS** malison c1375 (1) Sc.

**NT** exclamation 1611+1871.

**NP** maledict a1550 (1) arch.
AvW fordemedlice OE; imprecatingly 1652 (1); execratively 1837 (1);
imprecatorially 1874 (1).

.of everyday imprecations: cursedly 1570--; plaguy 1584--;
plagually a1586--; damnedly 1596--; cursefully 1606 (1);
damnedly 1607-1675; lously 1611 (1); damnable 1611-1712/35;
mangily c1620 (1); execrably 1633-1671; infernally 1638--;
excrementitiously 1660 (1); wickedly 1662--; infamously 1695--;
consumed 1707-1779; consumedly 1707--; cursed 1719--;
dammed 1757--; damnationly 1762 (1); deuced 1779-- colloq or
slang; damn 1787--; deucedly 1819-- slang or colloq;
shockingly 1881 (1); dashedly 1888 (1); dashed 1893--;
putidly 1897 (1).

AvW with a vengeance 1525-1673+1836.

VU and invoke evil: andustrian OE; amansumian OE; halsian OE;
healsian OE; miscweDan OE; weargcwedolian OE; wyrgcwedolian
OE; wary (va/i) a1225-c1746; ban (vi) a1300-1820 arch;
condemn (va) c1460 (1); imprecate (va/i) 1647-1673; execrate
(vi) 1786--.

.. upon a person/thing: acursian/accurse (vt) OE-- now arch;
atiwerg(e)an/awarie (vt/i) OE-c1394; cursian/curse (vt) OE--;
wiergan/wary (vt) OE-c1746; forwary vt c1200+c1340; ashend
(vt) 1250-c1300; ban (vt) a1275-- arch; maledight (vt)
a1300 (1); by bid (a thing) misadventure (vp) c1330 (1);
show (vt) 1338-1668; beshrew (vt) 1377-1682; shrew (vt)
c1386-1611; defy (vt) c1430-1548; destiny (vt) a1450 (1);
detect (vt) 1533/4-a1745; beshromp (vt) 1547 (1); widdle
(vt) 1552-a1585 Sc; becurse (vt) 1553/87+1860; malison (vt)
c1588-1675 Sc; exeerate (vt) 1602 (1); imprecate (vt) 1613--;
dam (vt) 1624--; devote (vt) 1647--; maledict (vt) 1780--;
peste (vt) 1815+1835.

..as an everyday imprecation: adjure (vt) 1539-1611; blast
(vt) a1634--; to ram oneself (vt) 1667 (1); to swear at (vp)
1660--; blow (vt) 1781--; bugger (vt/a) 1794-- coarse slang;
bless (vt) 1812-- ironic, euphem; peste (vi) 1824 (1);
cuss (vt) 1848 (1) US slang or colloq; bedamm (vt) 1863 (1);
to cuss out (vp) 1881-1901 US; strafe (vt) 1916 (2) slang;
to curse for (vp) 1922 (1).

PhX A curse upon...: wa/woe OE-- arch; woe worth c1205-- now arch;
dahet have a1250-c1330; dahet c1290-c1330; maldathait a1300
(1); hang l3.--; sorrow take/betide/seize etc.. l3.--;
sorrow on (a person/thing) 1325--; may wildfire burn c1350-
1520; woe betide you etc. 1362--; evil theedom c1386+c1450;
God give you mischance c1386-1526; a pestilence on/c1386-
1602; may wildfire fall on/upon c1386 (Chaucer)+c1705 (Pope);
(a) vengeance on/upon a1500-1604+1814 arch; evil thee 1509 (1)
rare; perish (something) 1526--; pest on 1553+1843; a murrain
light c1560 (1); plague take a1566 (1); wild wengand on 1587
(1); plague on 1592--; cancro c1600-1612; perdition catch/take
it 1604--; a vild upon thee 1605 (1); pize on/upon/of 1605-
1754+1826-- dial; a murrain on 1606 (1) Shkap; a peasecod on
it 1606-1652; a murrain meet 1607 (1); a murrain of 1611 (1);
may wildfire eat c1622 (1); pax on 1641-1716; pize take/light upon a1643-1688; foul fall a1775--; weary fa'/weary on/weary set 1788-- Sc; woe on/for 1823--; bad cess to 1859-1860 Anglo-Irish; hanged 1887--.

Int deuce 1651--; the deuce 1694--; nick me 1760 (1).

See Also: EVIL B1.

External X-R: III 5 Religion: curse.
Cl WRONG-DOING, TRANSGRESSIVE

AjC misfaring c1290-1413; misdeedy c13.. (1); wrong a1310-1753/4;
fayllard c1310 (1); wrongful 1382-1614; wrong-doing c1400-1718—
rare; offending 1552--; misdoing 1554 (1); exorbitant 1556-al716;
offensive c1592 (1); digressing 1593 (1); offensive 1607-1649;
transgressive 1646-1797; maleficent 1760-1829; transgressing
a1812--; transgressient 1837 (1).
.of the nature of that which is: faulty 1548--; slanderous
1553 (1); offensible 1574 (1); scandalizing 1594+1661;
offenculous 1603 (1).
.that commits that which is: committing a1643-1660.

NA agylting OE; forægung OE; forleornes OE; misgewield OE poet;
ofterleornes OE; swic OE; swicung OE; synn OE; unmae OE;
unriht OE; wroht OE; unriht/unright OE—1610+1876 obs exc arch;
swice OE; swicung OE; syn OE; unmae OE; unriht/unright OE—
1610+1876 obs exc arch; wite/wyte c1175-c1412; misbode c1200—
c1205+1616—(in dicts); miss a1225-1616; misguilt al300-cl430;
misdoing 1340--; misdealing 1361-1587; transgress 1578 (Sc)+1624--; misfaring 1595 (1);
offending 1500/20--; digression 1509-1593; swerving 1513-1545;
transgressing 1535-1579; digressing 1541 (1); misdealing
1561-1587; transgress 1578 (Sc)+1624--; misfaring 1595 (1);
malpractice 1772/84--; malfeasance 1856+1860 rare.

ND aegylt OE; firenbealu OE; folcwoh OE; forægænedes OE; misfeng
OE; misweorc OE; ge-swic OE; swicedom OE; unriht OE; wohdæd OE;
ge-vyrht(u) OE; gyilt/guilt OE—1401; misdæd/misdeed OE—;
yfel/evil OE—1614; folly c1250-1535; trespass c1290--; forfeit
a1300-1668; ill 13..—1741; fault 13..--; error c1330—;
untetch c1350 (1); offence 1382--; forfaute 14..—1572 Sc;
demerit 1485-a1637; crime 1514--; disorder 1581-1772;
malfeasance 1596--; mischief 1693 (1); trespassage 1874 (1).
.one's own: self-offence 1603 (1).
.action of performing: committing 1586-1651; commission 1597—;
commitment 1611-1738; committal 1625—.
.delight in: misdelight ?13.. (1).
.very: enormity 1475--; enormand 1719 (1).

NTh .expressing a particular purpose: misintention 1626 (1).
.expressing a particular tendency: misinclination 1652-1673;
wrongness 1736-1799.
.volitional: misyearning c1375 (1) Sc; miswill 1496 (1);
miswishing 1571 (1); mis-wish 1865 (1).

NP forægænd OE; oferægænd OE; guilter 12..+1382; misdoer
1320— now rare; trespasser 1362-1742; transgressor 1377—;
wrong-doer 1387/8--; wronger c1449--; offended 1464—;
misrueler 1479 (1) rare; delinquent 1484--; violater 1523—;
faultier 1535-1840; transporter 1535 (Sc)+1545--; offendant 1597—;
exceeder 1625 (1); misfeasor 1631+1884; violator 1642—;
misactor 1659 (1); tortfeasor 1659--; commissioner a1677 (1);
disorderly person 1744--; culprit 1769--; disorderly 1852—.
EVIL C1 Wrong-doing, Transgressive

-female: offendress 1601 (1) Shksp; faultress 1838 (1).
-plural: gyltend/guiling OE-1382.
-self-willed: self-offender c1661 (1).
-young: juvenile delinquent 1817--; juvenile offender 1847--; juvenile 1941-- US slang.
-of Mexican-American descent: pachuco 1943--.
-under probation: probationer 1907 (1).

AvM gyltlice OE; untela OE; mid woh/ with wough OE-13--; wickedly a1300--; westernais 13.. (1); sinistrally 1548-1560; injuriously 1561--; sinistruously 1582-1615; crossways 1594 (1); crosswise 1594 (1).

VG forwyrcan OE; ofergægan OE; oferleornan OE; (ge)syngian OE; woh drifan OE; (ge)gyltan/guilt (vi) OE-1530; misdon/misdo (vi) OE-1676-1875/86 (dia) now rare or obs; miseran/misfere (vi) OE-1390; misnim (vi) a1225-1340; trespass (vi) l1303--; forfeit (vi) l1325-c1530; to mistake oneself (vr) c1330-al425; mistake (vi) c1330-c1500+1822 (Scott); miswork (vi) c1350-c1450; transverse (vi) l1377+1393 both Langland; offend (vi) l1382--; fault (vi) c1400--; misprize (vi) l1485-al500; transgress (vi) l1526--; to do naught (vp) l1538 (1); to overgo the balance (vp) l1539 (1); digress (vi) l1541/93-1640; commit (va) 1560-1621.

by committing some kind of offence: ofergan OE; agyltan (wib)/ aguilt (vt) OE-a1420; (ge)don/do (vt) OE-a1745 obs or arch; misdon/misdo (vt) OE-1671+1837; wyrkan/work (vt) OE-1613+1829 arch; offend (vt) c1320-1651; err (vt) a1340-1644; trespass (vt) l1375-1631; commise (vt) l1475-1538; commit (vt) l1490--; offence (vt) l1512-1570; to commit an offence (vp) a1841 (1).

in thought: misbethink (vr) 13.. (1).
volitionally: mis-wish (vt) 1831 (1).

in intention: misintend (vt) l1592-1598.
in inclination: misincline (vt) 1652 (1).

See Also: EVIL C3.

C2 STRAYING, ABERRANT

AjP woriende OE; scrithing 1435 (1); straying 1553-- fig; tripping 1577--; devious 1633--; aberrant 1848--; sinuous 1850--.
inclined to be: bealufus OE; spreth c1315 (1); frail a1340--; labile l1447-l1740; fragile l1513-1548; lapping l1667-1667.
-very: frailful a1541 (1).
overgoing 1382-1634; by-walking 1549 (1) fig; straying 1583--.

slip 1601--; stray 1614+1615.

due to thoughtlessness: scape 1440-1681.

involving a falling into sin: bryre OE; fiell/fall OE-- fig; surreption 1536-1649; lapse 1582--; stumble 1702--.

(g)dwola OE; hwyrlung OE; ge-mearr OE; prevarication 1382-1701; obliquity 1422--; deviation 1625-(a1831); aberrancy 1646+1863; obliqueness 1877 (1).

a falling into: prolapsion 1601-1647.

an attack of: surreption 1502-a1711; subreption 1632-a1658.

the path into: primrose path 1602-- fig; primrose way 1605 (Sshkp)+1817 (Scott) fig.

inclination towards: frailness a1300-- now rare; frailty a1340--; infirmity 1382--; fragility 1398+a1533-a1624.

an occasion of: stumbling-block 1526--; stumble 1651 (1).

a cause of: slander c1340-1586.

prevaricator 1542-1755; by-walker 1549+1575/85 fig; swerver 1598 (1); stray 1605-- fig; tripper 1806+1856.

female: prevaricatrice c1450 (1) rare.

on won OE; on crook a1425 (1); astray 1535--; deviously 1842--.

inclined to be: frailly a1300+1630+1860.

dwelian OE; (ge)dweilian OE; ge-dwellan OE; ge-dwolian OE; fleardian OE; healtian OE; losian OE; mearran OE; mierran OE; worian OE; misfaran/misfare (vi) OE-1487; fail (vi) c1290-1538; err (vi) c1315--; miscarry (vi/r) c1325-1732; stray (vi) c1325-- fig; crook (vi) c1380-1551 fig; pervert (vi) 1387/9-14..+1890; misguide (vr) 1390-1483; astray (vi) 1393-1584; delire (vi) a1400-1633; to go wrong (vp) 1500/20--; swerve (vi) 1576-1611; prevaricate (vi) 1582-1681.

and fall (into sin): abugan OE; afeallan (on) OE; aslidan OE; awacian OE; forweorpan OE; to fall into (vp) c1175-- fig; fall (vi) a1200--; befall (vi) c1200 (1) fig; scrithe (vi) 1434-1483; decline (vi) c1440--; to tread the shoe awry (vp) 1520/41-1662.

to cause: slander (vt) a1300-1563; offend (vt) 1526-1658; lapse (vt) 1664-1681.

to try: fend (vt) c1175-1393.

to make: err (vt) 1621 (1).

to be made: offend (vi) 1382-1611.

almost: stumble (vi) 1303--.

of things: to walk/run/go awry (vp) 1524--.

See Also: EVIL C3.
SINFUL AND WRONG

AjG firenful OE; firenwyrccende OE; gyiltlic OE; synscyldig OE poet; synwyrccende OE poet; wamsyldig OE poet; wamwyrcende OE poet; synful/sinful OE--; plightful 13.. (1); peccant 1604--; sinning 1609--; piacular 1633 (1); piacable 1646-1668 rare; piacularly a1670 (1). and weak: laene OE. sick from being: sin-sick 1609--. fallen into that which is: forbasliden OE; lapsed 1638-1754.

AjA sinful cl200-..

NQ sinfulness 14..--; peccancy 1656--. state of having fallen into: fallenness 1871--. state of being sick with: sin-sickness 1633 (1). a period of: unrihttid OE.

NA gylting OE; synyiende OE; synfung/sinning OE--; peccation 1862 (1). impulse to perform: synras OE.

ND folcfiren OE; manscild OE poet; synleaher OE; felony a1300-1523; picle 1644-1676+1880; peccancy 1648--. first: frumdyseg OE. venial: venial c1380-1671; peccadillian 1529-1569; escape 1576-1678; peccadillo 1591--; peccadillie 1660 (1). plural: venialia 1654 (1). deadly: deadfiren OE; heafodgilt OE; heafodleahter OE; heafodsynn OE; heahsynn OE; morbor OE. grievous: scape c1590-1671. favourite: bosom-sin 1620+1740.

NS plight c1200-c1375; culp(c) 1377-1601.

NP agyltend OE; mangewyrhta OE; manscada OE poet; manwyrhta OE; wipercura OE; peccant 1621+1803; evil-liver 1846+1887. female: synnegge OE poet.

AvM synlice OE; sinfullice/sinfullike c1200-c1450; sinfully al300--. like that of a fallen man: manly 1547 (1).

VG firentacnian OE; scyldigian OE; ge-synjang OE; sin (vt) c1315+1682--. against: (ge)synjang (wip) OE; sin (against/in/with, etc.) (vi) al300--.

See Also: EVIL C1, EVIL C2, EVIL C4, EVIL C5.

External X-R: III 5 Religion: sin.
E4 Guilty

AJA guilty 1591--.

AJP fah OE; forworht/forwyrht OE; ful OE; manscylldig OE poet; 
sec OE; scylldig OE poet; synbysig OE poet; synnig OE; synscylldig 
OE poet; guylty/guilty OE--; scylldig/shildy OE-a1225; sakful 
a1300 (1); sakd a1300 (2); foul a1300+1575-1621; plighty 
13.. (1); faulty 13..-1481; culpable 1303-1844; defective 
1401-1677; criminal c1489-(1851); wity 1530 (1); criminous 
1535 (Sc)+1583--; nocent a1566--; faultful 1591--; delinquent 
1603--; obnoxious 1604-a1774; nocious 1623-1656; guiltful 
1655-1791.

..and caught in the act: red(-)hand 1432-1768 (Sc Law)+1881 (Sc 
dial) Sc, orig Law; deprehended 1655-1660; red-handed 1819--; 
dead to rights 1859-- slang, orig US.

..and not acquitted: ungeladod OE.

..and not excused: unexcused c1650 (1).

..and not expiable: unfeormigende OE; inexpiate 1819+1876; 
inexpiated 1836 (1).

..and not reprievable: unreprievable 1593-1625.

..and not cleared: unclear a1400-1607; unpurged 1530-1738; 
unjustified 1678 (1); uncleared 1724+1903.

..of (something): guilty of a1225-al1715.

..of shameless sin: aewiscfiren OE.

..of bloodshed: blood-guilty 1597+1795+1858.

..of murder: morborcsylldig OE poet.

..as applied to the instrument/scene of a crime: guilty 1588-- transf.

..with no excuse: excuseless 1548-1711.

..laden with feelings which are: guilty 1593--.

..and sunk in: begriwen OE.

..partially: healfscylldig OE poet.

..equally: efenscylldig OE.

..very: felasynnig OE; purhscylldig OE.

..flagrantly: strong c1290-1593.

NQ agyltnes OE; gylt OE; scyl OE; scylldignes OE; sacu/sake OE- 
a1400/50; wite/wyte a1225-- now Sc & Nth; blame 1297-1611+ 
1859 arch; guilt c1330--; guiltiness c1375--; culpe 1377- 
1601; fault 1377--; culpableness c1380-1648; guiltyship 
1557 (1); faultiness 1571--; blameworthiness 1580--; 
delinquishment 1593 (1); obnoxiousness 1610-1704; nocency 
1611-1736; piacle 1619-1657; nocence c1620 (1); fact 1632 (1); 
delinquency 1648--; delinquency 1682-1832; deliquity 1692 (1).

..mortal: damnable 1532--; damnableness 1638 (1).

..stain of: manwamm OE poet.

..of bloodshed: blood-guilty 1535+1649+ 
1884; blood-guiltiness 1535+1649+ 
1884; blood-guilt 1882 (1).

..obsession with: guilt-complex 1927--.

..instance/kind/degree of: guilt 1500/20-- rare.

NS gylt OE; blame 1393--; guilt c1510--; blam(e)ableness 1654--.
NP
gyltend OE; nocent 1447-c1685; culpable 1480-al734; wite/wyte 1513-1725 Sc; misdeemoun 1533-1533/4; guilty 1550-1700; misdemeanant 1886--.

over bloodshed: man of blood 1382-1648 Hebraism.

AvM
guiltfully cl375 (1); blamefully c1400+1642; guiltily 1594--; nocently 1646 (1); blam(a)ably 1726--; faultfully 1859 (1).

and in the very act: with the mainour/manner 1530-1611 obs exc hist or arch; with red hand 1577/8-1609 (Sc Law)+1878 (hist); in the mainour/manner 1597-1866 obs exc hist or arch; in flagrante 1612-- collog; in flagrante delicto 1772--; in flagrant delict 1820--.

VG
forwyrkan OE; ge-gyltan/aguilt (vi) OE-c1450; to be to blame (vp) a1225--; delinquish (vi) 1606 (1).

possibly, on account of being involved in something: to be concerned (vp) 1686--.

and be caught in the act: to be taken with the mainour/manner (vp) a1472-1832/42 obs exc arch & hist; to be taken red(-) hand (vp) 1535-1700 Sc Law; to be taken red-handed (vp) 1819 (1); to be caught red-handed (vp) 1857--.

VM
forguilt (vt) a175-al225; guilt (vt) 1553 (1); beguilty (vt) a1653 (1) rare.

See Also: EVIL C3, EVIL C5.

External X-R: III 4.2 Behaviour towards the law: crime.

C5 CRIMINAL

AjG
criminal 1430--; criminous 1483-1674+1858; erroneous 1593-1819; crimeful 1593+1602 (both Shksp)+1877.

and vicious: tough 1884--.

and resembling a gangster: gangsterish 1945--.

and on behalf of a gang or mob: mob 1930--.

and characterized by a particular type of organized crime: racketeering 1931+1967.

NQ
criminality 1611--; criminalness a1660-1775; piacularness 1702 (1); piacularity 1864 (1).

proclivity to: toughness 1895 (1).

NA
among gangsters: gangsterism 1927--.

a scheme of: racket 1928--.

a system of organized: racketeering 1928-- US.

which is also illicit: dirty work at the crossroads 1914--.

habit of relapsing into: recidivism 1886--.
NAc  
(ge)eofot OE; facen OE; man OE; manweorc OE; reof OE; 
wrōhtscipe OE; crime 1514--; fact 1539--.
.hellish; hellfiren OE poet.
.worthy of death; deabsycld OE.
.attempt at; attentat(e) 1622-1721.
.series of (plural); wrohtgetema OE poet.
.assistance in (plural); accomplishe 1834 (1).
.the location of; the scene of the crime 1923--.

NP  
repling OE; beodsceapa OE; *sceaha/scaethe OE-c1205; *wearg/
.wary OE-a1225; felon 1297--; wandelard 1338 (1); malefactor
c1440--; stigmatic 1597-1642+1856 arch; stigmatist 1607 (1);
criminal a1626--; crook 1879-- orig US colloq; mug 1890--
.slang; heavy man 1926+1963 US slang.
.female; malefactress 1647--.
.who actually performs a crime; rihthanddæda OE; principal
1594--.
.hardened; hard case 1836--.
.habitual; rounder 1854-- N.Amer.
.who operates alone; lone wolf 1909-- fig.
.who frequents racecourses (plural); the boys 1834--.
.who uses violence; mugger 1865-- orig US; muscle man 1929--
.slang; orig US; muscle 1942--.
..and carries or uses a cosh; cosh-man 1869 (1) slang;
.who is not habitually so; first offender 1849+1935;
criminaloid 1895+1909.
.who habitually relapses into crime; recidive 1854 (1) rare;
recidivist 1880--.
.a band of (plural); gang 1632--.
..a member of; gangster 1896-- orig US; gangsman 1923 (1).
..who intimidates his victims; frightener 1962-- slang.
..involved in violent organized crime; (the) mob 1927--.
...Which is the US mafia; cosa nostra 1963--.
..the head of; Mr. Big 1940--.
..the domain of; gangland 1912-- orig US; gangsterdom 1923--;
gangdom 1926--.
.who directs an enterprise; master-mind 1872--.

AvM  
criminally 16..--; criminally 1640-1654.

VG  
.by committing crime against; forwyrcan (wik) OE.
.by engaging in fraudulent business; racketeer (vi) 1933+1934
.US.

VM  
.by tainting with crime; infect (vt) 1580-1651+1828.
.by covering (self) with crime; becrime (vr) 1844 (1).
.by subjecting to organized crime; racketeer (vt) 1928 (1) US.

See Also: EVIL B3, EVIL B4, EVIL C3, EVIL C4.

External X-R: III 4.2 Behaviour towards the law: crime.
C6 IMMORAL

AjG unlawful ?c1475--; immoral 1660--; non-moral a1866--; unethic 1871 (1); unethical 1871--; messy 1924-- colloq.
.possibly: questionable 1806--; doubtful 1838--; dubious 1860--.
.making: blemishing 1603+1868.
.made: unmoralized 1668--.
.showing, based on that which is: unprincipled 1782--.
to a low degree: depressed 1647+1661; debased 1863 (1).
.not consciously: *amoral 1882--.

AjP unhonest c1422-1640/1; uncharactered 1841 (1).
on account of having been abandoned by morality: forlorn/forlorn OE-1683; forsaken 1572+1597; unprincipled 1644--; reprobate 1660--.
..through one's own action: self-lost 1667--.

NQ unsidefullnes OE; immorality c1566--; unethicalness 1886 (1); non-morality+1902 (1).
to a low degree: degradation 1697--; debasedness a1720--.
.not conscious: *non-morality 1902 (1); *amorality 1923 (1).

NAc untight a1300 (2); (an) immorality a1631--.

NS anarchy 1656-- transf.

NP laxist 1865--.
.unconsciously: *amoralist 1915--.

AvM immorally 1727--.
.possibly: questionably 1885 (1).

VM unmoralize (vt) 1640+1693; immoralize (vt) a1754+1898.
.and ruin: perish (vt) c1440-1750.

VW with a separate virtuous way of life: *to live/lead a double
life (vp) 1888--.

See Also: EVIL C8.

External X-R: III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality &
II 1.4 Logical thought: philosophy, ethics.
C7 LEADING ASTRAY

AjG seducing 1575--; debauching 1645 (1); lenocinant 1664+1884--
(in dcts); perverting 1665 (1); denaturalizing 1820 (1).
- affected by the action of: forcierrd OE; *forteaeh OE;
  leahtorful OE; forraught c1200 (1); perverse c1369--; pervers-
  sionate c1450 (1); pervert c1470-c1550; perversed 1508-1632
  chiefly Sc; seduced 1584-1785; wronged 1619 (1); perverted 1667--.
- capable of being: pervertible 1611--.

NA perversion 1388--; overthwarting 1552 (1); seducing 1561-1721;
  seducement 1586-1785.
- by bribery: subornation a1548--; subordination 1640-1643.
- the result of: pervertness 1581 (1); pervertedness 1828--.
- capability of undergoing: pervertibility 1850 (1).

NS seducement 1605-1690.

NP perverser 1482-a1564; seducer 1545--; perverter 1546--;
  debaucher 1614-1828.

AvM seducingly 1592-1602+1866; pervertedly 1816+1860.

AvB seducedly 1642+1642.

VG adwellan OE; amierran OE; ascrcan OE; awendan OE; (ge)dwellan
OE; (ge)dwellan OE; forcierran OE; forpaeran OE; forteon/
fortee (vt) OE-a1250; fortyhtan/fortiht (vt) OE+c1200;
bicharrre/bicherre (vt) a100-c1305; pervert (vt) c1375--;
fait (vt) c1430 (1); sedue (vt) c1485 (1); seduct (vt) 1490+
1773; seduce (vt) 1519/20--; perverse (vt) 1574-1653; traverse
(vt) 1689 (1).
- from justice: pervert (vt) 1382--.
- habitually: seduce (vi) 1597 (1).

See Also: EVIL C8.
AjG 
awemmednes OE; forhwierfende OE; forhwierfendnes OE; *pweora OE; 
forwordennes OE; ge-brosnung OE; forhwierfendnes OE; *puerora OE; 
púerora OE; púerora OE; puortiemen OE; wipermede OE; 
wiperweard OE; yfel(l)ic OE; ful/foul OE--; seoc/sick OE-1738; 
unhal/unwhole OE-c1325; yfel/evil OE--; unsound 13..-1811; 
corrupt c1325--; thewless a1327+1513 (Sc); vicious c1340--; 
rusty 1362-c1586; unwholesome c1374+1602; rotten c1380--; 
rotten-hearted c1386--; infect c1400-1607; cankered c1440--fig; 
wasted 1483 (1); against nature 1500/20-1662; deprave 152..-a1555; 
vituous 1535-1817; reprobate 1550-1760; deformed 1555--; 
corrupted 1563--; prave 1566-1689; poisoned 1578 (1); 
gomorr(h)ean 1581-1593; abusive 1589--; depraved 1594--; 
debauched 1598--; deboshed 1599-1637/50+1826 (Scott)--; tarish 1601-1610 rare; diseased 1608--; ulcerous 1611--fig; debolist 1612-1722; vitial 1614 (1); debauch 1616 (1); deboise 1632-1667/9; pravitious 1648/9 (1) rare; pravous 1653-1657; sunk 1680--; deprave al711 (1); vice-bitten 1754 (1); scrofulous 1842--fig; mean 1848--.
.of the mind: base-minded 1586-1792.
.making: forworpbende OE; poisonous 1520-1679; infectious 1547/64-1742; infective 1576--; poisonous a1586--; corruptful 1596+al851 rare; corruptive 1609--; leavenous 1649-1677; 
poisonal al660 (1); depravative 1682 (1); depraving 1686--; 
seducing 1780 (1); corrupting 1792--; demoralizing 1808 (1); 
blothing 1865 (1). 
capable of becoming: corruptible 1677+1863--; debauchable 1865 (1). 
.partaking of that which is: vice-like 1590 (1). 
.contaminated by that which is: poisoned 1567-1741; infected 1570-1638. 
.full of blemishes and defects which are: speckled 1603-1664.

NQ 
awemmednes OE; ge-brosnung OE; forhwierfendnes OE; *pweora OE; 
*(ge)pweornes OE; *pweorscipe OE; wipermedes OE; wipermedo 
OE; wiperweardnes OE; rust/rust OE-1746; vice 1297--; 
corrumpcion al340 (1); corruption c1340--; viciousness 1440--; 
.turpitude 1490--; intoxication 1494-1728; infection al529-1828; 
bracity 1540+1886 rare; insincerity 1548 (1); pravity 1550-1847; 
corruptness 1561--; perverseness 1561--; faultiness 1571--; 
.uninnocence 1593 (1); reprobacy 1594 (1); baseness 1598--; 
base-mindedness 1599+1627; vitiosity 1603--; reprobance 1604 (1) Shksp; 
depravedness 1612/15--; debauchedness 1618--; 
deboistness 1628-1671; debauchness 1640-1659; depravity 1646--; 
corruptedness 1648 (1); deprivation 1728+1682; 
based-spiritedness 1748 (1); miscreancy 1804--; demoralization 1809 (1); 
unprincipledness a1812+1865; base-heartedness 1843 (1); 
moral turpitude 1879--; unwholesomeness 1881+1897; 
ne'er-do-wellism 1891 (1). 
.personified: vice cl420--. 
.self-produced: auto-intoxication 1893--fig. 
an instance of: vileness 1863--.
.the stain of: wam OE; ge-wemmednes OE; wemming OE; wem 1303–1519; tache cl330–1602; ordure cl374--; tack cl425–a1603 obs or dial.
.an influence which causes: corruption al340–c1386+1813--; corrumpcion 1360 (1); ulcer 1592-- fig; taunt 1613--; corrusptive 1641 (1); depravation 1711 (1); virus 1778--.
a disfigurement caused by: deformity 1571--.
.seen as a festering sore: impost(h)ume 1565--.

NX culps OE; uncyst OE; unrihtcyst OE; unsidu OE; ge-wemmednes OE; wierdnes OE; læst/last OE–cl380; leahter/lahter OE–a1225; unbeaw/untheW OE–a1400; vice a1300--; misthew cl325 (1); fault cl377--; mistetch c1450+1827–1847 (dial) Nth dial; depravity 1641--; vitiosti 1643–1657; cachexy 1652--; misteach 1842 (1); unvirtue 1869–1869.
.plural: filths c1200–1583.
grievously: unscyld OE.
.and most favoured: bosom–vice 1705 (1).
.and worst: worst of 1865--.
.action of making into: corrupting a1626–1677.
.capability of being affected by: corruptibility 1751--.

NP scabby sheep 1728--; brib(e)able 1867 (1).
.plural: the vicious 1390--.
.who makes another (thing/person) corrupt: defouler 14--c1440; abuser cl450--; defiler 1546--; ulcer 1602+1615 rare, fig; blotter a1631 (1).

AvM yfelo/evil OE–1611; forwruppenlice cl200 (1); viciously a1325–1780; corruptly 1537--; evilly 1580--; pravely 1598 (1); deboistly 1604 (1); corruptedly 1610 (1); deformedly 1610 (1); debauchedly 1644–1663; depravedly 1652--; diseasedly 1672 (1); demoralizingly 1926 (1).

AvB corruptly 1596--.

VM afylan OE; awierdan OE; befylan OE; besylian OE; fordon OE; forhwierfan OE; forlæran OE; fyrentacnian OE; ge-hwierfan OE; (ge)leahtrian OE; ge-nætan OE; (ge)scandan OE; widlian OE; forbrægandan/orforbraid (vt) OE–a1250; awem (vt) cl175 (1); file (vt) cl175-- obs exc dial; soil (vt) al1225--; spill (vt) cl1290–1623; smit (vt) al300–1562; corrupt (vt) a1300--; venom (vt) 13--1681+1906; beshrew (vt) cl325–1556; corrump (vt) a1340–c1532; envenom (vt) cl374--; infect (vt) cl374--; subvert (vt) cl375–1715–1914 now rare; tache (vt) 1390–1827 obs or Sc dial; poison (vt) 1395--; shrew (vt) cl440 (1); stain (vt) 1446--; deprave (vt) 1482--; intoxicate (vt) a1529–1680+1860; vitiate (vt) 1534--; taunt (vt) 1573--; invitate (vt) 1598+1856; tack (vt) 1601–1643+1868 obs exc dial; debauch (vt) 1603--; perjure (vt) 1606 (1) Shksp; vilify (vt) 1619–1718; deturpate (vt) 1623–1657; ulcer (vt) 1642+1829 rare, fig; tan (vt) cl645 (1); dross (vt) 1668 (1); deboise (vt) 1650–1662; rust (vt) 1697--; unprinciple (vt) 1713+1760/72; demoralize (vt) c1793--; ruin (vt) 1832 (1); depravate (vt) 1847 (1).
on the model of Nero: neronize (vt) 1675 (1).
by admixture: leaven (vt) 1550-- rare, fig.

VB (ge)brosnian OE; forbraid (vi) cl220 (1); corrupt (vi) 1598--;
depriave (vi) 1655 (1).

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL B5, EVIL C6, EVIL C7.

C9 ILL-BEHAVED

AjG ungebeawfmst OE; misled al300-1614; misbearing al400 (1);
misgoverned cl440-1611; misguided cl490+1500/20-1523 (Sc);
misordered 1529-1605; misdemeaned 1586 (1); misbehaved 1592
(1); ill-behaved 1611 (1).
being: misbehaving c1610--.

NC heardsælb OE; misfadung OE; misred OE; unwyrht OE;
misleading al300 (1); misgovernment cl384-1665; misgovernment
cl386-1627; misusing 1395-1540; misorder cl400-1586 now rare;
misdraught cl440 (1) rare; misbearing cl449 (1); misbehaving
1451-1529; misguiding ta1470-1530; misbehaviour 1486--;
misgoverning 1487-1593; misdemeanning 1487-1706; misdemeanauer
1494-1775 now rare; miscraft 1496 (1); misordinance 1509 (1);
demerit 1509--; misusage 1532-1579; misguide 1596-1602; misuse
1596+1881 (poet); misdemean 1602 (1); miscarriage 1618-1682;
misordering 1625 (1); demeanour 1681 (1); misconduct al729--.
instance of: ful OE; misbreyde 1303-c1380; unthrift 1303+
c1430; misfait 1377-1481; misdemeanauer 1494-1716 now rare;
misdoing(s) 1543-- chiefly pl; delinquishment 1633 (1);
miscarriage 1635-1760/72+1829 (Scott); delinquency 1636--;
misconduct 1711--.

NP rappock cl350+1878--(dial) Nth dial.

AvM disorderly 1592 (1).

VG misfadian OE; misbear (vr) cl300-1502; mislead (vr) cl374-
1390; misguy (vr) cl386 (1); misbehave (vr/i) 1475--; misorder
(vr) 1505-1740; misgover (vr) 1513-1621; misuse (vr) 1532-
1581; misdemean (vi/r) 1577/87--; misdemeanour (vr) 1620 (1)
rare; malconduct (vi) 1801 (1) rare; misconduct (vr) 1883 (1).
by going too far: overact (vi) 1611--.

External X-R: III 2.2.3 behaviour based on custom: discourtesy.
C10 UNDUTIFUL

AjG soft c1386 (1); dutiless 1592--; obs or arch; undutiful 1593--; unduteous 1594--; misbehelden 1600+1828-1869 (dial); inofficious 1603-1706.

... and disrespectful (to parents): unkind a1300-1595; unchildly 1597 (1); unfilial 1611--; impious 1613+1783.

... of a female: mutine 1870--; mutinous 1882 (2).

... in attitude: undutiful 1582--.

NQ undutifulness 1549--; unduty 1594 (2) rare.

... and disrespectful (to parents): impiety 1588--.

NC ... on account of divided duty: halve 1566-1680; halving 1613-a1680.

NP ... on account of coming short of duty: short-comer 1865--.

AvM undutifully 1583--; unduteous 1745 (1).

VG ... by disregarding a duty: to dispense with (vp) 1559-1748.

... by neglecting a duty: forlætan OE; desert (vt) 1647--; desert (vi) 1689--.

... through inadvertance: forgietan/forget (vt) OE--.

... by falling away from it: shrink (vi) 1553-1594; defect (vi) 1596--.

See Also: EVIL C11, EVIL C12.

External X-R: III 2.2.2 Behaviour based on morality: irresponsibility.
Failing in Duty

AjP transfugious 1611 (1); defective 1630 (1); defaulting 1828--; derelict 1864-- US; defaultant 1884 (1).

NA default a1225-1719; fail 1297-1847; default a1300-1742; declining 1526-1650; declination 1533-1814; defect 1540+1790; defection 1546--; delinquishment 1593 (1); declension 1594--; secession 1601 (1); delinquency 1648--; delinquence 1682-1832; deliquity 1692 (1); dereliction 1778--; derelictness 1888 (1) US.

NP transfuge 1548-1639+1855; transfuger 1611 (1); infidel 1655 (1); defector 1662--; defaulter 1666/7--; turn-away 1688 (1) Bunyan; defectant 1883 (1); derelict 1888 (1) US.

VG fail (vi) 1340--; default (vi) 1596--; to fall short of (vp) 1890 (1).

by not perceiving the duty: overlook (vr) 1723/4 (1).

See Also: EVIL C10, EVIL C12.

Avoiding Duty

AjP shirking 1883 (1); shirky 1897 (2); clock-watching 1945--.

by pretending illness: malingering 1865--.

NA evasion 1603--; funkling a1845+1857; shirking 1899 (1).

by feigning illness: skulking 1805 (1); malingering 1861--.

NAC shirk 1877--.

NP shrinker 1554-1719; shirker 1799--; shirk 1818--; funker 1864+1875.

by feigning illness: skulker 1785--; malingerer 1785--; sconcer 1843 (1).

by passing the duty to someone else: buck-pass 1933-- fig, colloq, orig US.
to shift off (vp) 1577-1774; flinch (vi) 1579--; shift (vt) c1611 (1); balk (vt) 1631-1785; evade (vt) 1722--; to go off (vp) 1749 (1); skulk (vi) 1781 (1); shirk (vt) 1785--; to dodge the column (vp) 1919- -- colloq; bludge (vi) 1941-- Austral & NZ slang.

habitually: shirk (va) 1853--.
through feigned illness: malinger (vi) 1820--; skulk (vi) 1826--.
by false pretences: feign (vi/r) c1300-1535.
to wish or try: to funk (it) (vt) 1857--.

See Also: EVIL C10, EVIL C11.

External X-R: I 5.5 Avoidance.

C13 UNFAIR, UNJUST

AjG unrihtlic OE; unrihtwis OE; unsopfaest OE; woh OE; wohlic OE; wrencwis OE; unriht/unright OE-1627+1856+1880 now Sc or arch; wrongous a1200-1535+c1550--(Sc); unmethe c1250-c1325; wrong a1275--; wrongful c1311--; unrightful a1325-1664+1880 now rare; uneven c1380-1613; untrue 1393-1622+1865; undue a1400 (1); unreasonable ?a1400-1651; unjust c1400--; unjust c1430-1711; unequal 1508 (1); inique 1521-1730; unequal 1535-1761; wry 1561-1593+1851; undeserved ?c1570 (1); justless 1578 (1); false a1631-1812; unequitable 1647--; inequitable 1667--; unfair 1713--; iniquitable a1734 (2); unsportsmanlike 1754--; unrighted 1775+1883; unsportsmanly 1778 (1); unsporting 1859+1894; red-hot 1896-- Austral slang; below-the-belt 1941 (1).
and without good reason: unjustifiable 1641--.
of an election: undue 1687-1764.
of a statement: ex parte 1812--.

AjA wrongous a1300-c1625+1907.

AjP wrongous a1200-c1625; unjust 1382--; uneven c1400-1641; unequal 1588-1725.
belgenes OE poet; undom OE; unrihtnes OE; unrihtwis(u) OE; unrihtwisnes OE; unsobfa3stnes OE; woh OE; unriht/unright OE-1599; wrang/wrong OE--; unriht c1205 (1); unrightfulness a1250-a1470+1821 now rare; wrongousness a1300-c1325; unreason a1300-1597; unjustice 1390--; unskilfulness c1410 (1); wrongfulness a1425--; unrightness c1445 (1); unreasonness a1470 (1); disreason 1480 (1); unjustice 1532-- obs exc Sc; unreasonable a1533 (2); inequity 1556--; iniquity 1587-1748; unequity 1598 (1); unequalness 1628+1695; unfairness 1713--; wrongness 1833--.

NX the wrong a1300--.

NAc unriht/unright OE-1528; wran/wrong OE--; injury 1382--; wrongness 1856 (1); inequity 1857+1884; raw deal 1912-- orig US; rough deal 1931--.

AvM awoh OE; undomlice OE; wohlice OE; unrihte/unright OE-1603; unrihtlice/unrightly OE-1643+1878 now rare; mid unrihte/with/on unright OE-1563; with/mid wrong 1124-1598; wrong a1250--; uneven c1275+a1300; unskilwisely a1300+1340; falsely 1303-1711; unrightfully a1325-- now rare; wrongously c1325-- chiefly Sc; unskillfully 1338-a1470; wrongly 1340--; wrongfully c1374--; unevenly 1382+c1400; unjustly 1382--; in/by wrong a1400-1611+1855; slanderously 1429--; unreasonably 1461 (1); unjustly 1502-a1715; undeservedly 1549--; slanderfully 1550/1 (1); unequally 1596 (1); unequitably 1649+1750; unduly 1660 (1); unfairly 1713--; iniquitously a1734 (1); unsportsmanly 1778 (1); unsportsmanlike 1789 (1); inequitably 1842+1880.

AvB unfairly 1791 (1).

VG to do (...) wrong (vp) c1220--; wrong (vi) 1390-a1676; trespass (vt) 1427-1556; to hit/strike below the belt (vp) 1890-- fig.

VT wrong (vt) c1330--; injury (vt) c1484-1651; injure (vt) 1592--. and disrespectfully; wrong (vt) c1449--.

See Also: EVIL Al.

External X-R: II 3 Misjudgement.
C14 IMPROPER, UNFITTING

AjG uncynlic OE; uncynn OE; ungedafenlie OE; ungedaefniendlic OE; ungefæge OE; ungelilic OE; unbecomely/-lichee) c1200-c1315; uncomely c1230-1759; ungainly c1230-1562 Nth & Sc; unseetle a1310 (2)+c1325; unseemly a1310--; uncomable a1340 (2)+c1440; mis-seeming a1340-1603; unconvenable c1374 (Chaucer)-1542; unlikely c1386-1588; unsitting c1390-1585; disconvenient 1398-1660; undue a1398--; unhonest a1400/50-1568; unsoundable c1440 (1); unconvenient 1450/80-1590; unorderly 1483-1800 now rare; inconvenient 1494-a1694; graceless 1508--; unjust a1533-1713; undecent 1546-1823 obs exc dial; ungreeing 1567+a1598; unhovable 1570 (1) rare; ugly 1584--; ill 1586--; unbefitting 1588--; uncouth 1589-1659; unfitting 1590--; unbecoming 1594--; seemless 1596-1655+1855 (arch); becoming 1598--; unbecomely 1648+1801 rare; ungainly a1660 (1); indecorous 1680+1692; improper 1739--; ungainlike 1796 (1); paw-paw 1796-1830 slang or colloq; jive 1971-- US slang. for a boy: unboyish 1864+1881.

NQ unsidu OE; unconableness a1340 (1); unconablety a1340 (1); unseemliness c1380--; disconvenience 14..+1598; ungrace 1430/40+1871; unlikeliness 1456+1560; wngrace 1513 (1) Sc; uncomeliness c1542-1670; impropriety 1555+1663; unproperness 1561-1692; unmeetness 1573--; unhandsomeness 1598--; unbecomingness 1623-1723; unbecomingness 1652--; impropriety 1697--; indenience 1714-a1797; paw-pawness 1828+1829 slang or colloq; unadaptedness 1846+1871; unfittingness 1865 (1).

NAc inconvenient 1460-1538; misbehaviour 1486-1674; indecency 1639-1675.

NC unrihthad OE; unskill c1175-a1500; uncumne c1200 (1); unthrift 13..-1483; inconvenience c1460-1560; indecency 1589--; unworthiness 1608 (1); inconveniency 1610+1747; mal-behaviour 1721-1736 rare; malconduct 1741-1804; misdealing 1863--.

NW1 unrihtwilla OE.

NT unseemly 1654 (1).

AvM ungeliliclice OE; unsidelice OE; yfle/evil OE-1629; (at)/with unskill c1200-c1330; unworthy c1200-a1400/50; wrong c1200--; unkindly a1225-1602; ungraithly a1300-c1460; wrongly 1303--; out of course c1330-1553; unconably a1340 (1); uncomely c1375-1619; unseemly c1375--; unworthily 1377--; unkindly 1380-1588; ungoodly c1380-1545; unconvenably 1382+1387; falsely 1393-1594; ungainly c1400-1548; unsittingly c1412 (2)+1476;
uncomely c1420+1561; unorderly 1471-a1653; unconveniency 1538-1561/6; ill 1540--; improperly 1561+1683; undecently 1563-1716; unmeetly 1596--; unbecomingly 1617-a1677; viciously 1617--; unbeseeming 1645+1655; unbecomingly 1653--; abusively 1656+1672 rare; unbefittingly 1871 (1).
and naughty: naughty 1898--.

VG .for: misit (vi) a1366-c1430; mis-seem (vt) c1400-a1641+ 1819-(1836) now rare; unbefit (vt) 1621+1624; unbecome (vt) a1657+1678.

See Also: EVIL E2.

External X-R: I 6.1 Comparison: unfitness.
EVIL

SECTION D: EVIL & TREACHEROUS
D1. TREACHEROUS

AjG flah OE; searwigende OE; swice OE; læwa/lewe OE-c1175; false c1205--; culvert al1225-c1325; fokel al1275 (2) rare; traitor al1300--; collard c1325-c1330; treacherous c1330--; traitorous c1380--; unfaithful c1400--; traitorful c1440 (1); trustless c1530--; traitorly a1586-1668; traitorlike 1594 (1); traitorwise 1598 (1); faiterous 1600 (2) rare; punic 1600--; proditory 1615-1649; infide 1663 (1); truce-breaking 1719 (1); traditorian a1736 (1).

.wickedly, like Judas Iscariot: iscariotical a1625+1641; iscariotic 1879 (1).

.NX bealuinwit OE; biswaec OE; facen OE; facensearu OE; facenstafas OE poet; searo OE; swicdom OE; ungetreowp OE; unræd OE; untrewop OE; wedbryce OE; lewness c1175 (1); culvertship al1225 (1); treachery a1225--; falsedom 1297 (1); felony 1297-a1533; traitorhead 1303 (1); traitory 1303-1609; falsity c1330-c1430; falseness c1330--; covin 1375 (Sc)+1494-1710+1877; train c1400-a1600; traitorhood c1470+1871; traitorousness 1571--; traitorism 1591--; truth-breach 1597 (1); treacherousness 1610 (1); punic/carthaginian faith 1631-1768/74; (bad) faith 1631--; traitorship 1645--.

...like an animal: serpent c1386-1647; snake 1593--.

.NA false play 1567 (1); foul play 1610--; treacherizing 1656 (1); not cricket 1955 (1) fig.

...which is a quarrel: searonip OE.

.NAc treachery a1300--; troth-breaking 1464 (1); truce-breaking 1592 (1); double-cross 1834--.

.NP swica OE; wedloga OE; serpent 1382--.

...to one's father: fæderswica OE.

...wickedly, like Judas Iscariot: iscariot 1647--.

.AvM hinderfullice c1200 (1); falsely al1225-1742; traitorously c1330--; treacherously a1340--; traitorly ?a1349-1535; treacherly c1394 (1); traitously c1450-1559; traditorously 1536 (1); traitorlike 1721 (1).

...and dishonourable: unmanly c1400-1626.

.VG betraise/-traish (vt) c1374-1501; treacherize (vi) 1656 (1); knee (vt) 1953 (1) fig.

.VM traitor (vt) 16...-a1649.

See Also: EVIL D2, EVIL D3, EVIL D4, EVIL D5, EVIL D6.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.
D2 TREASONOUS

AjG treasonful 13..+1650; treasonable 1375-1596 (Sc)+1634--; treasonous 1593--; prodigious 1635 (1) rare.
  somewhat: treasonish 1672-1681.
  to one's fatherland: patricidal 1821-1827; patricide 1901 (1).

NQ treasonableness 1679+1727.

NA treason a1225-1611+1825 (Scott); treachery a1300--; prodition 1412/20-- now rare; tradiment 1535-1561; treasy 1611 (1); trahison 1858+1859.
  high: hlafordsweor OE; hlafordswice OE.
  against a subject: petty treason 1496-1828 now only hist.

NAc treason c1330-1708.
  petty: treasonette 1824 (1).

AvM treasonably c1375-1549 (Sc)+1660--; treasonously c1450+1821.

VG treason (vt) 13..-c1374+1890.

Int tray c1440+1660/1.

See Also: EVIL D1, EVIL D3, EVIL D4, EVIL D5, EVIL D6.

External X-R: II l.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.

D3 BETRAYING

AjG betraying 1628+1814.
  affected by the result of: betrayed 1597+1660.

NA læwung OE; trechetting c1330 (1); traisement c1380 (1); betraying 1382-1647; betraising c1385 (1); trayment 1468 (1);
  tradition 1482-1653; betrayment 1548+1863 rare; betray 1600 (1); betrayal 1816--; double-crossing 1910--.
  of principles: betraying 1678 (1).
  of one's lord: hlafordsweor OE; hlafordsyryng OE.
  of trust or confidence: betrayal 1826--; sell 1838 (1).
NP  lawa OE; lawend OE; sellend OE; traditor c1375-1819; proditor 1436-1678; betrayer 1526+1552+1828; prevaricator c1555-1637; double-croser 1927--.

VG  lawan OE; sellan/sell (vt) OE--; treche/trich (vt) c1230-c1425; tray (vt) c1275-1568; betray (vt) c1275--; traise (vt) a1300-c1489+1513 (Sc); betraise/-traish (vt) a1300-1558; deceive (vt) a1300-1658; trechet (vt) c1330 (1); betraide/bytrade (vt) c1375+c1400 Nth; betrout (vt) c1400 (2) Nth; bewray (vt) 1535-1628; to play false (vp) 1596-- fig; boil (vt) 1602+1611 slang; reveal (vt) 1640+1657; double-cross (vt) 1903--.

See Also: EVIL D1, EVIL D2, EVIL D4, EVIL D5, EVIL D6.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.

D4  PERFIDIOUS

AjG  ortriewe OE; (ge)treowleas OE; wætreles OE poet; trothless c1200-1647+1887; proditorious c1475-1641; truthless 1567-al600; false-heart 1593 (1) Shksp; perfidious 1598--; fedifragous 1600-1651; fidious 1640 (1).

AjA  perfidious 1603--; perfidiate 1632 (1).

NQ  (ge)treowleasnes OE; perfidiousness 1597--.

NA  perfidy 1592--; perfidity 1607+1692+1903; distrust 1667 (1) Milton; sinonism 1864 (1).

NP  sinon 1581--.

AvM  untreowlice OE; proditoriously c1475-1619; perfidiously 1589--; false 1590+1593 both Shksp; infideliously 1614 (1).

VG  to do the dirty (vp) 1914--.
   to a trust of any kind: to play the bankrupt (vp) 1614+1623 fig.

See Also: EVIL D1, EVIL D2, EVIL D3, EVIL D5, EVIL D6.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.
D5 UNFAITHFUL

AOG ungetreowe OE; unhold/unhold OE+c1395; untreowe/untrue OE--; untrowing c1320 (1); untrusty 1553-1612; unfaithful 1565--; infideliuous 1581 (1); fausen 1591+1654; inconfident 1603 (1); recreant 1643--; infidious 1656+1657.

in love or wedlock: unconstant 1561-1757.

by breaking a vow/vows: vow-breaking 1533-1599.

NQ ungetrawnnes OE; untreowyb/untruth OE-1593+1859 now arch & rare; untrowness a1200 (1); untrueness a1200 (1); falsehood 1297-1534; mistruth al300-c1400 Nth; uthroth c1374-1606; unfaithfulness c1480--; disloyalty 1481--; untrustiness 1526-1685; infidelity 1529--; disloyalness 1586+1727; recreancy 1602--; faithlessness 1605--; infidelity 1688 (1); trustlessness 1825--; disfaith 1881 (1).

relapse into: recidivation c1420-1693; resitution 1534 (1) erron; resiliation 1577/87 erron.

a letter telling of the writer's: Dear John 1945-- chiefly US.

NAc infidelity 1714--.

spec. the breaking of an oath: oath-breaking 1596 (1);

fedifraction 1650 (1) rare.

NP treowloga OE poet; wærloga OE poet; scand/shond OE-c1205;

recreant 1570--.

who breaks a promise: break-vow 1583+1596; break-promise 1600 (1); oath-breaker 1601 (1).

who breaks a truce: truce-breaker 1534-1625.

AvM untreowllice/untruly OE-a1548; untrue a1310 (1); unhend 1338 (1); unfaithfully 1340/70-1722.

VG aleogan OE.

by breaking a promise; aleogan OE; false (vt) 1303-1624+1923 (arch); to break touch (vp) 1594 (1); unpromise (vt/a) 1598-1672.

See Also: EVIL D1, EVIL D2, EVIL D3, EVIL D4, EVIL D6.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity, infidelity.
D6 DISLOYAL

AjG leas OE; unleal a1300-c1560+c1848 now arch; faithless 1362--; disloyal c1477--; disleal 1590 (1) Spenser; unloyal 1594-1741; illoyal 1626-c1694; disaffectionate 1636 (1).

NQ unlewty a1300-a1470; unlawty 1456+a1568 Sc; unloyalty 1560 (1); disloyalty 1600--; faithlessness 1605--; disallegiance 1641 (1).

in Russia, to things Russian: cosmopolitanism 1950+1963.

AvM disloyally 1552--.

VG betray (vt) a1300--.

VM disalliege (vt) 1648 (1).

See Also: EVIL D1, EVIL D2, EVIL D3, EVIL D4, EVIL D5.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.

D7 DISHONEST

AjA unhonest 1583-1730+a1901 obs exc dial or arch.

spec. of how something is contrived: rigged 1879+a1901.

AjP unjust c1500-- now rare; bribing 1542+1567; unhonest 1545-1825; subornate a1548 (1); sinistrous 1582/8-1687 Sc; sinistrous 1600-1717; horse-fair 1606 (1); dishonest 1611--; unparliamentary 1626--; leer 1629+1680+a1830 (dial); left-handed 1694-1707; one-eyed 1833 (1) US slang; cross 1882-- slang; crook 1911--.

in proceedings: on the dodge 1904--.

in dealings with others: unhonest 1545-1645+1825--(dial) obs exc dial or arch.

by being insufficiently honest: under-honest 1606 (1) Shksp.

NQ falseship l4.. (1); foulness 1573-1654; dishonesty 1599--; falsity 1603--; unparliamentariness 1647 (1); sinisterity 1647-1758; adultery 1753 (1); deviousness 1791--.
NA  trinketing 1646-1716+1827  (Scott) chiefly Sc; hanky-panky 1841--.
   .plural: undue means 1477-1676.
   .as a means of obtaining something: nobbling 1865--.

NAc  hooky-crooky 1830 (1).

NP  .who is a cheat: leasbreda OE; faitour a1340-1828 obs exc arch; fob 1393 (1) rare; feature c1460-14..; foolmonger 1592+1681; napper 1653-1790 slang; tiddlywinker 1893 (1) slang.
   .who contrives something: rigger c1830--.

AvM  falsely 1303-c1430; dishonestly 1590--; naughtily 1622 (1); crookedly 1655--; unparliamentarily 1727+1797; sinistruously 1817 (1); on the crook 1879 (1) slang.

VG  cross (vt/i) 1823-- slang; smouch (vi) 1848 (1).
   .by falsifying (accounts etc.): fluff (vt) 1957 (1).
   .in contriving something: rig (vt) 1851+1885 slang or colloq.

See Also: EVIL D8, EVIL D9, EVIL D10.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.

D8  CROOKED

AjG  crooked a1225-- fig; sinister 1455-1829; indirect 1570-1727; undirect a1592-1652; involved 1607/12-1713; unstraight 1650+1671; oblique 1757 (1); back-handed 1800-- fig; twistical 1815-- colloq; louche 1819--; tortuous 1823--; hooky-crooky 1833 (1); underhand 1842+1858; underhanded 1865+1899; twisty 1905 (1); bent 1914-- fig, slang orig US.
   .and dubious: queer 1508-1513 (Sc)+1550--; fishy 1840--.
   .and harmful: back-wounding 1603 (1) Shksp.
   .spec. of the means of obtaining something: crooked 1864--; cross 1892 (1) slang, Austral.

NQ  pweornes OE; crookedness c1380--; deformity c1400--; turningness a1586 (1); indirection 1595--; curvity 1616-1678 fig; obliquity a1619-1818; tortuosity 1621--; indirectness 1628-1821/30; unsingleness a1658 (1); unstraightness a1693 (1); tortuousness 1824 (1); underhandedness 1884--.
   .and dubiousness: fishiness 1919 (1) fig, colloq.
NAc crookedness 1869 (1) fig.

NP crook 1886-- US collog; bent 1958 (1) fig, slang.

AvM undirectly 1535+1550; indirectly 1580-1603; obliquely 1646 (1); back-handedly 1889+1957.

and dubious: fishily 1851-- fig.

See Also: EVIL D7, EVIL D9, EVIL D10.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.

D9 SNEAKY

AjG sneaky 1860--; shady 1862--.

somewhat: sneakish 1864--.

AjA surreptitious 1443--; surreptital 1600 (2); surreptious 1630 (1); surreptive 1633 (1).

AjP swipor OE; sneaking 1582--; makeshift 1592 (1) glibbery 1602-a1634 fig; meeching 1610-- now mainly US; sneaky 1833--.

NQ sneakiness c1647-1727; sneakiness 1859--; sneakishness 1895 (1); shadiness 1914--.

NA stealth 1297-1797; surreption c1400-1720.

NP scua OE; snuch 1579/80 (1); sneaker 1598--; sheep-biter 1601-1778; roundabout 1605 (1); sneak a1643-1677+1840--; sneaks 1653-a1700; creep a1876+a1886 dial.


AvM by stealth 1390-1775; surreptiously 1573-1642; surreptitiously 1587/8--; on the cross 1802-- slang.

VG sneak (vi) 1633-- fig.

in one's method of attacking (someone): back-stab (vi) 1925 (1).

See Also: EVIL D7, EVIL D8, EVIL D10.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.
D10 DISINGENUOUS

AjG unwhole a1352 (1); unsincere 1577-c1742; insincere 1634--; uningenious 1638+1656; uningenious 1638+1670; disingenuous 1655-1707; disingenuously 1657--; uncandid 1771--.

NQ uningeniousness 1644 (1); unsincerity 1646-1707; disingenuity 1647--; uningenuity 1650+1672; disingenuousness 1674--; uncandidness 1681+1754; insincereness 1683 (1); insincerity a1699--; uncandour 1879+1892.

AvM unsincerely c1555+1684; insincerely 1625--; uningenuously 1656+1796; disingenuously 1661--.

See Also: EVIL D7, EVIL D8, EVIL D9.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.

D11 UNSCRUPULOUS

AjG unconscionable 1565--; conscienceless 1588--; conscionless 1607(1s)+1617(1s); unconscientious 1775--; unconscienced 1888 (1).

AjA contra-conscient c1625 (1); unscrupulous 1829 (1) Scott.

AjP conscienceless a1420--; unconscionable 1570--; inconscionable 1596+1672; disconscient 1640 (1); unscrupulous 1803+1875; unscrupled 1813 (1) Scott; scrupleless 1823 (1) Scott; unconscientious 1827 (Scott)--; unconscienced 1833 (1).

NQ unconscionableness 1607-1670; inconscionableness 1800 (1); unscrupulousness 1808+1879; unscrupulosity 1847+1879; unconscientiousness 1860+1879; consciencelessness 1882 (1).

NP Ned Kelly 1941-- Austral colloq.

AvM unconscienously 1450+1485; unconscionably 1583-1705; consciencelessly a1603 (1); inconscionably 1634 (1); contraconscientiously 1648-1649; unconscientiously 1649--; unscrupulously 1833+1884.
VG to think it no conscience (vp) 1579 (1); to stick at nothing (vp) 1615--.

External X-R: II 1.5.5 result of knowledge: falsehood, deception & III 2.2.2 behaviour based on morality: insincerity.
EVIL

SECTION E: EVIL TO SELF/OTHER
EVIL El Harmful

El: HARMFUL

AJG bealublonden OE; deriendlic OE; hearm OE; hearmful OE; pleolic OE; sceabig OE; ge-scepbendlic OE; ungifre OE; unhiere OE; wolberende OE; bealuf/baleful OE--; lybre/lither OE-al300; sceabful/scathel OE-1601+1855 arch; evil cl175--; wick al1225-al1450+a1756; venomous cl1290-1610; scathel al1300-1515; harmful al1340--; grievous 1340--; wicked 1340--; fell cl1340-1821; teenful 1340/70+a1400/50; ill 1330/70--; contagious cl1374--; venomed cl1375--; mischievous cl1380--; noyful 1382-a1618; noisome 1382--; dangerous 1348-1813; dangerous 1548-1576; dispendious 1557 (1); injurious 1559--; plaguy 1574-1763+1888 (arch); ominous 1582 (1); prejudicial 1579-1731 now rare; baneful 1579--; incommodious 1579/80+1655; prejudicial 1594-1791 rare; naught 1596-1658; dannifiable 1604 (1); taking 1605-1636; offensable 1611 (1); obnoxious 1612-1683; noxious 1612--; nocivous 1616-1651; mischieving 1621 (1); nocuous 1635--; inimical 1643--; nocumentous 1644 (1); disserviceable 1644--; detrimental 1648 (2); vicious 1656 (1); detrimental 1656--; nocumental 1657 (1); inimicous 1657-1727; incommodus 1677 (1); fatal 1681--; offending 1694 (1); inimic 1696 (1); nociferous 1702 (1); damnific 1727 (1); inimicable 1805+1833; violational 1810+1876; insalutary 1836 (1); detrimentary 1841 (1); wronging 1845 (1); unsalvatory 1850 (1); damaging 1856--; vitiating 1858--; damnable 1870 (1); injuring 1877 (1); damnifying 1893 (1).

Morally: baleful cl175--; contagious cl1440-1651; deleterious 1823--.

And destructive: succorrosive 1541 (1) rare; blasting 1591--; eating cl1602--.

And sinister: ominous 1634-1671; grim 1873--.

To a thing/person: grievous 1398-cl1400; injurious 1494--; nought 1532-1690; venomous 1607-1691; sinister 1725--.

To oneself: self-ill 1633 (1).

Spec. causing wounds: wundlic OE; wounding al1225--; vulnerable 1609 (1); vulnerary 1615-1810; vulnerative 1818 (1); woundy 1826 (1).

Subject to that which is: wrackful 1581-1612+1811.

Affected by that which is: wronged al1547--.

The colour for that which is: blue 1742-- fig.

An intensifying qualifier for something which itself is: shrewd 1387-1713+1819; shrode 1482-1623.

More: wiers/worse OE--.

Progressively more: worse and worse 1154--.

Excessively: outrageous 1456--.
EVIL El Harmful

AjA wrongous a1300-c1625+1907; violent 13..--; wrongful c1325--.

AjT malign c1315--; malignant 1591--.

AjP teonful OE; mischievous 1473-- now rare.

NQ grievousness 1303-1682; noyfulness 1398 (1); fatality 1490--; harmfulness 1580--; illness 1595-1718; offensiveness 1618--; disserviceableness 1635+1678; injuriousness 1648--; fatalness 1652 (1); noxiousness 1654--; prejudicialness 1655-1727 rare; deleteriousness 1812 (1); vice 1837--; balefulness 1866 (1); disutility 1879+1886; noxiousness 1894 (1); disvalue 1925--.

NX æfwrandlesa OE; æfyrldla OE; bealu OE; ciacu OE; demm OE; pleoh OE; pliht OE; sceabblenes OE; scendung OE; teaonræden OE; teosu OE; unheaf OE; wæl OE; yfel OE; daru/dere OE-c1485+ c1570-1674 (Nth); hearm/harm OE--; lab/loath OE-c1460; sceapa/-u/-e/scaeth OE--; teena/teen OE-1609; wemen 1100-c1375; waning c1175 (1); ungrith c1200 (1); wrong c1275--; prejudice c1290--; torfer 13..c1470; evility c1330 (2); grief c1330-1584; wem 1338 (1); pestilence a1340-1634+1875; injure c1374-1596 chiefly Sc; ill c1375--; tort 1387/8-1748; truit a1400 (2); danger c1460-1601; inconvenience 14..-1695; surprise c1425-1500/20 chiefly Sc; injury c1430--; incommmodity 1430/50-1579; mischieving c1447+1737 now arch or dial; noying 1474-1548/77; outray c1475-1610; interest 1489 (1); grudge 1491+1641 rare; wreak 15..c1600; impeachment 1548-1648; nocument 1550-1657; incommencency 1553-1706; indemnity 1556+ c1629; interest c1575+1603; abuse 1593-1682; mischievanve 1600-1628; oblesion 1656-1721/1800+1857; nuisance 1820--; grit 1876-- fig.

A cause of: foe c1200-1807 transf & fig; wathe a1300-c1470; grievance a1300-1768; woth the 13..-c1460; resentment 1683 (1); wound 1715+1844.

to the body politic: poison c1470--.

For which a legal remedy may be found: nuisance 1464--.

to someone in holy orders: hadbryce OE.

Caused by war: wigbealu OE poet.
supposedly proceeding from witchcraft: scaeth 1795+1899.
affecting others: outrage c1290--.

In effect: malice c1380-1685; ill(-)effect 1675--;

In influence: shirt of Nessus/Nessus shirt/robe 1606 (Shksp)+1835--; tares a1711--.

And comes from wrongful action: teona OE.

And damaging to someone/thing: shake 1565--; blow 1605-- fig.

Quite a lot of: a bit of no good 1958--.

NA derung OE; illing c1220+c1575; harming a1300-1719; wrake c1300-a1578; spite 13..-1633; damaging 13..--; offending 1388 (1); dering c1400-c1440; disservice 1599--; damage 1603-- rare; violating 1612-a1615; damnification 1628-- chiefly legal; injuring 1651 (1)
NAc  *yfeldæd* OE; *scebdæd/scathe-deed* OE+c1205; *scathe-work* c1205 (1); *inconveniency* a1450—a1722; *inconvenience* c1489—1796.

.. done to another: *bad/ill/evil turn* l3..—; *shrewd turn* 1526--; *office* 1575--; *diservice* 1611--; *disoffice* 1624 (1); *dishkindness* 1678—1768/74; *a shot in the eye* 1897 (1) *colloq.*

.. plural: *filths* 1481 (1).

.. *affecting society at large: inconvenience* 1622+a1709.

NP  *hearmscaba* OE poet; *mansceaja* OE poet; *beodsceaba* OE; *sceaba/scathe* OE-c1205; *wounder* 1483--; *plague* 1551--; *scathe-causer* 1559 (1); *pestilent* 1567—1583; *harmer* 1583--; *wronger* 1591—1727; *injur'er* 1598 (2); *griever* 1598—1660; *nusancer* 1679 (1); *vitriolizer* 1882 (1); *menace* 1926-- *colloq.*

.. of one in holy orders: *hadbreca* OE.

.. of the people (*=antichrist*): *beodsceaba* OE.

.. who gives ground for grievance: *grievancer* 1655 (1).

.. excessively: *batterer* 1611—1823; *outrager* 1873--.

.. a band of (plural): *ploddeill* c1425 (1) *rare*.

AvM  *leähtorlice* OE; *evil* c1205—1749; *unsoundly* 13.+.c1375;

.. *teenfully* l3..—c1660; *wickedly* 13.--; *balefully* c1350+c1400+1842; *harmfully* c1374--; *prejudicially* 1467/8--; *ill* 1483—1642; *mischievously* 1500/20 (Sc)+1512--; *pestilently* 1528—1563; *nolisomely* 1589 (1); *infectiously* 1606--; *evilly* 1631--; *damageably* 1660 (1); *offensively* 1660 (1); *diserviceably* a1670 (1); *necessarily* 1809/10--; *nocuously* 1847 (1); *damagingly* 1854--; *banefully* 1865 (1); *detrimentally* 1879--; *damnously* 1884 (1) *law*; *deleteriously* 1892 (1); *prejudiciously* 1899 (1) *rare*.

.. and destructive: *corroosively* a1691+1831.

.. *more and more: worse and worse* 1487—1639.

VG  *derian* OE; *scebrian* OE; *nuise (vi)* c1315 (2); *harm (va)* t362—1633; *grieve (vi)* 1398—1577; *unprofit (vi)* 14.+.1541; *ennoy (va)* c1420 (1); *disavail (vi)* 1430+1549; *scathe (vi)* 1470 (1); *prejudicate (vi)* 1565 (1); *damnify (va)* 1621 (1); *endamage (va)* 1635 (1).

.. to a person/thing: *derian* OE; *brys(i)an* OE; *hrinan* OE; *myscan* OE; *scendan* OE; *sceban* OE; *scyrdan* OE; *teoswian* OE; *prebreagan* OE; *prebrawian* OE; *wrohtian* OE; *harmian/harm (vt)* OE--; *scebian/scathe (vt) OE--; *wemman/wem (vt)* OE-c1375; *werdan/werde (vt) OE-c1200; *yfelian/evil (vt) OE-c1435; *forwork (vt) c1205 (1); to make scathe (vp) c1205—1489; to do/work wough (vp) c1205—c1550; to do scathe (vp) c1205--; *ill (vt)* c1220—1614; *misd (vt) a1225—1597; to work scathe (vp) c1250--; *teen (vt) c1275—1607; *grieve (vt) a1300—1574; to do one (a) spite (vp) a1300—1658; to do (a) villainy (vp) a1300—1683; to do violence to/unto (vp) a1300--; to make violence (vp) 13. (1); *wrath (vt) 13. 14. 1.; to wait (one) scathe (vp) 1303—c1350; *shond (vt) c1388—c1450; *endamage (vt) c1374—1828/40; *unright (vt) 1390 (2)+1647 rare*; to do disease to (vp) c1400—1493;
outray (vt) c1400-1530; disvail (vt) 14.. (2); tame (vt) c1430-c1480; wreak (vt) c1440-1683; disavail (vt) 1471-1754; prejudice (vt) 1472/3-- now rare; mischieve (vt) 1475-1707 now dial or arch; damage (vt) 1477--; mischief (vt) 1483-1682+1855 arch; dammify (vt) 1512--; danger (vt) 1538-1614; prejudicate (vt) 1553-1670; abuse (vt) 1556-1756; inviolate (vt) 1569-1681; mislest (vt) c1573+1847-1863 (dial); injury (vt) 1579+1630; indemnify (vt) 1583+1593; qualify (vt) 1584-1644; injure (vt) 1586--; buse (vt) 1589 (1); interest (vt) 1598-1607; bane (vt) 1601+1643; violence (vt) 1612-a1677; envy (vt) 1621--.

.. spec. of people: grief (vt) 1297-1651.

.. spec. to an outsider who meddles: to burn (another's) fingers (vp) 1865 (1) fig.

.. spec. to a person's interests: to stand in a person's light (vp) 1528-- fig.

... for the sake of a desired object: sacrifice (vt) 1751--.

.. spec. to one's own interests: to sit in one's own light (vp) 1535-1637 Sc; to stand in one's own light (vp) 1546--.

.. by doing an ill turn: disserve (vt) 1618/29--; disservice (vt) 1837 (1).

.. maliciously: to do dirt to (vp) 1893-- slang, orig US.

.. excessively: to do outrage (vp) 1297-c1430.

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL E2--EVIL E14 inclusive.

External X-R: II 7.6 Ill intentions towards others.

E2 DISADVANTAGEOUS

AjG unbiheve cl200+al240; disadvenconable 1474+1484; discommodious 1540--; disprofitable 1548+1572; disadvantageable 1597/8-1631; disadvantageous 1603--; inexpedient 1608--; disvantageous 1622 (1); unbeneficial 1626--; inconvenient 1651--; unserviceable 1698 (1).

.. to health of mind: unwholesome cl200--.

AjP by acting to one's detriment: sinister c1500-c1548.

NQ discommodity 1513-1829; inconveniency 1552--; discommodiousness 1580-1675; discommodious 1583 (1); inexpedience 1608-1653+1831; inexpediency 1641--; inconvenience 1653--; disadvantageousness 1727+1782.
NX unfrome a1200 (1); unbiheve c1200 (1); unfrome c1200 (1);
unbihoof/-hoft the c1205 (1); unneed c1205 (2); unframe c1250 (2);
disadvantage c1380--; unprofit 1382-1598+1840; afterdeal 1481-1634;
inconvenience 1578--; where the shoe pinches a1580--; inconveniency 1640-1818; disinterest 1662—now rare;
inadvantage 1689 (1); let-down 1840 (1).
the side of something which embodies; the wrong side 1719—.

NAc superchery 1598-1656.

AvM to the worse 1549 (1); in (the) disfavour of 1590—;
to anyone’s cost 1597--; disadvantageously 1611--; disadvantageably 1627 (1); discommodiously 1633-1638; for the worse 1835 (1); to the disfavour of 1858—.

VT disadvantage (vt) c1534--; disvantage(vt) 1567 (1).

See Also: EVIL C17, EVIL El.

E3 PLAYFULLY MISCHIEVOUS

AjG knavish 1552-1603; spritish 1569-1600; wicked 1600--; monkeyish 1621--; impish 1652--; mischievous 1676--; sly 1764--; wansonsy 1819 (1) Sc; pucklike 1845--; puckish 1874--; picklesome 1885 (1).

NQ monkeyishness 1824--; monkeyism 1845--; impishness 1876 (1); puckishness 1900 (1); sassiness 1976 (1) colloq.
tendency to; unluckiness 1762/72 (1).

NA miching malicho 1602 (Shksp)+1836--; mischief 1784—.
plural: carryings-on 1663-18...

NP wait-scathe 1481-a1500; wag-pasty a1553-1622; villain 1590--; rogue 1597--; devil 1601--; fiend 1621+1807/8—transf; imp 1633--; torment 1784--; scapegrace 1809--; bad hat 1884—.
female: scapegrace a1847 (1).
young: crack 1597-1673; monkey 1604—transf; irchin 1625 (1); limb 1625--; imp 1642—; varment/varmint 1773--; hurcheon 1785 (1); hellion/hellyon 1846—US colloq; puck 1852--; Peck’s bad boy 1883—US slang; (little) bleeder 1952 (1) loy slang.
and boisterous: rigsby 1546-1607+1691—dial; cow-boy/cowboy 1942—slang, orig US.
AvM spritishly 1592 (1); mischievously 1730-1803; slyly 1837---; impishly 1864--; sassily 1976 (1) colloq, orig & chiefly US.

See Also: EVIL E1, EVIL E4.

E4 HARMFULLY MISCHIEVOUS

AjG mischievous c1380--; unhappy 1474-1678; sinistral 1534-1561.

AjA mischievable 1680 (1).

AjT yelewyrcende OE; shrewd c1380-1621.

AjP frec/freck OE-c1275; vicious c1386--; mischieful 1541+1896 (dial) now dial.
  .in look: sinister 1797--.
  .in thought: bealuhycgende OE; bealuhydig OE.

NQ mischievousness 1567--; mischief 1646-1822 now rare or obs.

NX færnip OE; qued(e) a1225-1387+a1529; folly 1303-1535; unhend 1377 (1); envy a1400-c1460; sorrow c1430-1599; untroth 1470/85+1484 rare; diversity 1483-1523; unhappiness c1485-a1625; wriguldwy-wrag 1519 (1); jeel 1887+1890 I of Man.
  .due to a particular cause: mischief 1480--.
  .a cause of: mischief 1586--.
  .the root of: bosom-mischief a1662 (1).

NP disturber cl290--; troubler 1382--; disturbler c1440+15..; breed-bate 1593+1598+1852; boutefeu a1598-1754; trouble-rest 1598 (1); trouble-feast 1603-1691; trouble-state 1604 (1); flight-head 1605 (1); trouble-house 1608--; trouble-cup a1610 (1); seek-trouble 1611 (1);
fling-brand 1616 (1); trouble town 1619-1690; blow-coal 1622 (1) fig; trouble-world 1663-1691; mischief-maker 1710--;
fire-sprit 1848 (1) dial; fire-bug 1872 (1) fig, US;
disturbant 1894 (1); ratbag 1937-- Austral & NZ slang; mixer 1938-- slang.

AvM wickedly 1848--.

VG to play hob (vp) 1838--; to raise hob (vp) 1911-- chiefly US.

See Also: EVIL A1, EVIL E1, EVIL E3.
E5 SPITEFUL

AjG nibful/nithful OE-al300; lab/loath OE+cl400; ondful c1175- c1230; attery/attry c1200-1535+1868 (dial) obs or dial; teenful c1205-1572; malicious a1225--; spitous a1300-1481; spetous 13..-c1450; dogged a1307-1684; envious c1330-a1713; venous a1340--; despitous c1340-1578; venom a1350-1660+ 1892 (dial); doggy 1388-1583; heinous a1400-1580; doggish c1400-1672; venomy c1400-1594+a1849; sinister 1411-1601; spitous c1420 (1); envyful 1450/1530-a1651 chiefly Sc; despitful c1470-1748+1852; spity 1481 (1); spiteful 1490--; peevish ?a1500-1601; despiteous c1510-1600; canked 1513-1535 (Sc)+1555--; viperous c1520-a1670; viperous 1535-1824; viperine a1550--; vpered 1560 (1); unkindly 1591 (1) Spenser; viper 1591--; sinistruous 1593-1751; uncanny. 1596 (1) Sc & Nth; dogged-sprighted 1600 (1); maliced 1601- 1643; ill-minded 1611+1726; virulent 1613--; ill-hearted a1617-1786; doeful 1617+1880; spitish 1627--; splenial 1641 (1); litherly a1643+1805 (Scott); venomose 1660+1876-- dial; slim 1668-1681; vipereal 1748 (1); viperish 1755--; vicious 1825--; maliceful 1839-- chiefly dial; viperez 1866 (1); waspish 1870--; viperan 1877 (1); cattish 1883+1893; catty 1886--; bitchy 1928--. in aim (of a dart): misintended 1594 (1) Spenser. .spec. in intent: ill-meaning 1633+1681. .spec. in words: word-spite 1857 (1).

AjA virulent 1607--.

AjT malicious a1340--.

NQ maliciousness a1450-1791/1823; peevishness 1468-1664; spitefulness 1530--; sinisterness 1659 (1); viciousness 1879 (1); cattishness 1894--; cattiness 1934--.

.spec. in words: personification of: fiend 1590+1784.

NF nefest OE; bealu OE; hete OE; hetenip OE; hol OE; niphete OE; anda/onde OE-a1366; hatung/hating OE--; teen c1200-1690+1719-1819 (Sc) obs exc Sc; malice 1297--; envy a1300-1707; maligne cl320-1542; eft cl325 (2); spite cl330--; maligne a1460-cl485; wroke a1500-1513 Sc; doggedness 1530-1647; spitefulness 1535-1633; cankedness 1538-1660; stomach c1540-1643+a1825 (dial); venomy 1548 (1); spight 1559-1774; doggishness 1592 (1); livor 1607-1675; viperousness 1651-1727; bitchery 1936--.

.spec. enjoyment of the misfortunes of others: schadenfreude 1895--.
and premeditated: purpensed malice 1477-1548; pretended/pretensed malice 1483-1579; malice prepensed 1530/1-1704; malice purpensed 1538 (1); prepensed malice 1603-1659; propense malice 1650-1752; malice prepense 1702--; malice prepensive 1752 (1) rare; prepense malice 1752 (1).
action of expressing: spiting c1460-1573/80.
action of regarding with: malicely 1604-1640.

NAc nipgevore OE poet; thucke a1225 (1); malice 1390-1669; shrewd turn 1464-1724; serpentine c1510 (1); prank a1529-1737.


female: bitch al400--; wild cat 1573/80--.

AvM andiendlice OE; nipfullice OE; yflle OE; spitously 13...-a1450; despitously c1340-a1500; doggedly c1380-1655; maliciously 1382--; venomly 1387-1556; evilfully c1400 (1); spitous c1400 (1); spiteously c1400-c1460; venomously c1400--; spetuously c1440-1495; sputously c1450 (1); despiteously c1450-1641; despitefully c1470-1678; unhappily 1509-1660; enviously 1509-1664; peevishly 1530-1601; spitefully 1532--; cankeredly 1535 (Sc)+1559; viperously 1538 (1); malignly 1543--; sinisterly 1549-a1691; doggishly 1576 (1); cankerly 1580 (1); sinistruously 1582-a1615; viperously 1587--; despitely 1619 (1); viciously 1841--; dolefully 1880 (1); cattishly 1923 (1); cattily 1924--; bitchily 1961--.

VG bitch (vi) 1963 (1).
.to a person: to get/have one's knife into (vp) 1890--; bitch (vt) 1934--.
.in order to vex or annoy one: to spite (one) (vp) c1555--.

VF habi(g)an OE; malign (vi) 1494-1652; to bear malice (vp) 1530--; spite (vi) c1560-1579/80; to spite at (vp) 1567/8-1641.
.and give vent to these feelings: bewreak (vt) c1325-1586; wrack (vt) 1635-1720.

VL spite (vt) a1400/50-1690; malice (vt/i) a1547-1694.

VT spite (vt) 1592--.

See Also: EVIL E1, EVIL E6.
E6 ILL-WILLING

NF æfbanc OE; bealusearu OE; labwendnes OE poet; yfelines OE; laeph(o)/leth OE-c1425; yfel willa/evil will OE-1598; loath c1175-1728; attereness c1230 (1) rare; ill(-)will a1300--; ill 1303-1500/20; maltalent c1320-a1648+a1649-1828 (Sc); ill-willingness a1340-1580; talent a1380-1695; hard grace c1384+c1386 both Chaucer; malignity c1386--; malignation c1470-1485; malevolence c1489--; hatefulness 1548--; malignancy 1640--; malignance 1641--; malevolency a1662-1714; fellness 1678--; maleficence 1796--; reptilism 1821+1843; fiendism 1852 (1) rare; unbenignity 1867 (1). An instance of: malignancy 1652--; virulence a1744 (1). Superhumanly: fiendishness 1613--.

NA unkindness 1505--; disfavour 1556-1647.

NTh expressing a particular purpose: malengin 1390-1726; malentente c1450 (1).

NTP feond OE; demon 1614-1829.

NP deofol/devil OE--; atterling c1430 (1); basilisk 1475-(1831) fig: ill-willer c1500--; attercop c1505+1881 fig; viper 1591--; malevolent 1595-1670; foe 1607-- transf & fig; ill-wisher 1607--; malevolent 1648 (1) rare; evil wisher 1656 (1). Female: viperess 1647 (1) rare. Who is a guest: nbgest OE poet. And petty: wasp 1508--. And sin-stained: synsceapa OE poet.
AvM hetelice OE; ill cl1300--; hatefully 1412/20--; unlovingly 1512--; malignantly 1606--; manlessly 1607 (1); malevolently 1615--; accursedly 1630 (1); malefically 1652--; unbenignly a1892 (1) Tennyson.

VG (ge)ehatan OE; will (vi) 1414 (1); wish (vt) 1577 (1); ill-wish (vt) 1865--.

See Also: EVIL E1, EVIL E5.

E7 BITTER

AjG biterwyrd OE; broh OE; bweorc OE; biter/bitter OE--; sour cl1440--; festered a1533-1602; infestered 1563/87-1609 rare; amarulent 1583-1742; stomachous 1590-1638; rancorous 1590--; enfested 1591 (1) Spenser; vitriolic 1841--.

.of the heart: bitter-hearted 1775 (1).

.making: festering 1596-1704; rancouring a1814 (1).

.made: rancoured 1600-1728.

AjP rancorous 1592--.
..and their feelings: rancorous 1590--.

NF roh OE; biternes/bitterness OE--; rancour 13..--; sour cheer cl400-cl440; amaritude 1490-1666; rust 1508-1533 Sc; stomach grief 1533 (1); fell 1590 (1) Spenser, rare; virulence a1617--; nitrosity 1634+a1693 fig; embitteredness 1643 (1); embitterment 1645--; virulence 1663--; sharpness 1673-1733; virulentness a1676-1727; rankle 1795 (1) rare.

.of the heart: bitter-heartedness 1775 (1).

.cherishing of: stomaching 1549-1671.

.excessive: oferbiternes/over-bitterness OE-1626.

AvM wealgrimlice OE; biterlice/bitterly OE--; mortally cl386--; rancorously 1767--.
.of speech: sare OE.

.excessively: over-bitterly 1340-a1586.

VG rankle (vi) 1508 (Sc)+1590--; rancour (vi) 1530-1640; fester (vi) a1639-- fig.

VM outsharpen (vt) 1382 (1); fester (vt) 1579--; rankle (vt) 1606--; infester (vt) 1611 (1) rare; rancour (vt) 1654-a1711; verjuice (vt) 1848--.

.to continue: rankle (vi) 1735--.

VB sour (vi) 1748--.

See Also: EVIL E1.
E8 ILL-NATURED

AjG yfelwillende OE; shrew 1297-1638; shrewed 13..-14..;
malicious 13..-cl477; shrewd 1303-1634+1879 (dial); shrewish
cl375-1481; ill-disposed 1432/50--; indisposed 1481+1597;
misaffectionate 1533 (1); ill aposit 1535 (1) Sc; shrod 1547-
1606; unsavoury 1568 (1); ill-conditioned 1614--; ill-natured
1635/56--; unamiable 1774--; malignant 1784 (1); nasty 1825--;
ill-thriven 1843 (1).

NF uncost cl220 (1); spleen 1594--; ill(-)nature 1691--.

NP malicious 1535-1814.

AvM maliciously cl440-1555; ill-naturedly 1683+1865.

VL spleen (vt) al629-1675.

See Also: EVIL El.

E9 HARSH, UNKIND

AjG styrnlic OE; uncub OE; unlike OE; heard/hard OE--; unmilde/
ummild OE-1558; unmeek cl200-1819; unkind 1362--; foul cl440+
1608; ungentle 1509--; rough 1530--; dure 1567--; arch; harsh
1579/80--; untender 1605--; unskeleton 1645-1802; unkindhearted
1759 (1); uncanny 1773+1814 Nth & Sc; unkindly 1805/6--;
unbeneficent 1822+1864; kindless 1847-- rare; half-hearted
1864 (1); brash 1872-- US; bad-blooded 1928 (1).
.treated in a way which is: forhealden OE; midst 1596 (1);
mishandled cl610+1896; mistreated 1799--; misused 1823+1837;
maltreated 1829--.
.and unnatural: unkindly 1456/70-1647.
excessively: over-harsh al639--.

AjA rough al300--; unkind cl400--.

NF heardlicnes OE; harshness cl375--; unkindship 1390 (1);
unkindness cl400-1825 now rare or obs; unmeekness cl440+1509+
1828; ingratitude cl447-cl566; ingratitude 1528+1603; ungentleness
1548--; unmildness 1570-1644; unbenevolence 1688+1720;
untenderness 1724+1883; bad blood 1825 (1).
.treatment which embodies: lembe(0) OE; unkindness cl374--;
mishandling 1390--; mistreating 1453-1496; misusage 1554/5--;
now rare; misuse 1596 (1); ill(-)treatment 1667--;
mistreatment 1716--; maltreatment 1721--.
excessively: over-harshness al639 (1).
NP maltreater 1902--.

AvM uncublice OE; unfreondlice OE; roidly 1375-1480; unmeekly
c1380+al400; unkindly c1384--; untenderly ?al400--; durely
c1477 (1); brash 1868+1880 US; kindlessly 1883 (1).
.unnaturally: unkindly c1300-1605.
excessively: over-harshly 1668 (1).

VT (yfle) habban OE; mistucian OE; tawian OE; (ge)tucian OE;
wiordan OE; misbeodan/misbede (vt) OE-1496; wait (vt) a1300-
1601; demean (vt) 1375-1651; harry (vt) a1400/50--; beshrew
(vt) c1430 (1); mistreat (vt) c1465--; mishandle (vt) 1530--;
misuse (vt) 1540--; misorder (vt) 1550-1575; mumble (vt) 1628-
1753; ill-treat (vt) a1704--; maltreat (vt) 1708--.
in the manner of mohocks: mohock (vt) 1718 (1).

See Also: EVIL E1, EVIL E10, EVIL E11.

E10 HARD-HEARTED

AjG cealdheort OE poet; granlic OE; heardheort OE; stämen OE;
*arleas/orless/orless OE-c1200; hatol/hatel OE-c1386; heard/
hard OE--; hard-hearted c1205--; cruel 1297--; dure c1375 (1);
hard-heart 1475--; flinty 1536-- fig; flint-hearted 1560-1632;
stone-hearted 1569--; stone-hearted 1569--; steel-hearted
1571--; flintful 1576 (1); blunt 1592/1593; cold-blooded
1595--; brassy 1596-- fig; flint-heart 1596 (1); unfeeling
1596--; heartless 1599--; cold-hearted 1606--; iron-hearted
a1618--; flinty-hearted 1626--; unbowelled 1656 (2);
callous 1679--; unsympathizing 1735/6--; pebble-hearted
1816--; unsympathetic 1823--; cold 1849--; hard as nails
1889--.
.becoming: ahierding OE.
having thoughts which are: stibygcende OE poet.
treating in a way which is: incaresting 1608 (1).
of the mind: reblem OE; bearlmod OE poet; stercedferhp OE
poet; calloused 1834 (1).

AjP stib OE; stiblic OE; strang OE.
spec. their souls: stearc OE; stearcferp OE.

NQ heardheortnes OE; unmiltsung OE; cruelty a1225--; cruelty
a1300-1596; cruel c1440 (1) rare; crudelity 1483-1707;
hardheartedness 1583--; callum a1640-1646 fig; callosity
1658-- fig; stony-heartedness 1673--; callousness 1692-- fig;
callus 1692-- fig; unfeelingness 1780--; cold-heartedness
1850 (1); unsympathy 1856+1871; cold-bloodedness 1878--;
heartlessness 1891--; inhumanism 1907--.
excessively: over-hardness 1582 (1).
NP knark 1851 (1) slang.

AvM stibe OE; cruelly al340--; hardheartedly 1583+1810; unfeelingly 1768+1902; cold-bloodyedly 1838--; unsympathizingly 1856 (1); unsympathetically 1861 (1); callously 1870--; heartlessly 1886--.

VM ahierdan OE; onstikian OE; hard-heart (vt) 1581 (2); roborate (vt) 1652 (1).

VB harden (vi) 1667--.

See Also: EVIL El, EVIL E9, EVIL El1.

E11 MERCILESS

AjG unmildheort OE; arleas/oreless/areless OE-cl200; stern cl205-1600; fell al300-- now poet & rhet; merciless 13.--; ruthless cl327--; unmerciable 1382-1509; unpituous 1390-1725; pitiless al412--; unpitiful cl449-1658/9; unmerciful 1481--; wanton 1513-1764; unmerciless 1545-1614; unprayed 1567 (1); graceless 1588-a1658; spareless 1589--; unrelentings 1590--; uncompassionate 1591--; relentless 1592--; remorseless 1593--; unmercied c1600 (1); un pitying 1605--; incompassionate 1611-1679; heart-bound 1616 (1); irrelenting 1616+1636; pitiless a1618 (1); inclement 1621+1725+1861; compassionless 1625 (1) rare; unremorseless 1634 (1); bowless 1649--; uncompassionating al711 (1); dispiteous 1803--; inhumane 1822+1851; impiteous 1877--.

and unappeasable/implacable: unprayable 1382 (1); mortal cl386--; unqueemable cl440 (1); implacable 1522--; unplacable 1553-1676; inexorable 1553--; unentreatable 1561-1611; inexorable 1577-a1641.

..by means of compensation: botleas/bootless OE (2)+1714+1839.

NQ ummercy cl380-cl407; unpituousness cl380+1447; unmercifulhead cl440 (1); unruth cl440+1888+1899 now arch; unpity 1447-1653; inhumanity cl477--; unpitifulness 1526-1679; unmercifulness 1545--; merciless 1584 (1) rare; mercilessness 1591--; inclemency 1598-1659; incompassateness 1621 (1); inc compassion 1625-1675; unrelentance 1637 (1); unrelentingness 1727--; pitilessness 1755--; ruthlessness 1777--; relentlessness 1808+1883; dispiteousness 1861 (1); uncompassateness 1862 (1).

..and unappeasable/implacable: unexorableness 1611 (1); inexorableness 1622--; implacableness 1631--.
AvM unmurnlice OE poet; unruefully a1300 (2); without(eny) grith
al300-cl410; untruthfully cl375 (2); unpiteously 1390-1513+
al856; without mercy 1470/85-1796; unmercifully 1548--;-
rulessly 1586--; remorseless 1593+1742; inhumanely 1598 (1);
unpitifully 1598+1709; uncompassionately 1608+1612;
mercilessly 1609--; pitilessly 1611--; remorselessly 1612--;-
incompassionately 1638 (1); unpityingly 1741--; inclemently
1789 (1); relentlessly 1815+1870; impietably 1835 (1).
..and unappeasable/implacable: unquemably c1440 (1);
..inexorably 1610--; implacably 1631--.

VT to handle without mittens (vp) 1678-1699.

See Also: EVIL A9, EVIL E1, EVIL E9, EVIL E10.

E12 SAVAGE

AjG deaprow OE poet; ferhpgrim OE poet; frecne OE; heapugrim OE;
heorugrim OE poet; hetegrim OE poet; hwreow OE; nipeg OE
poet; reoc OE; rebig OE; rebel OE; singrim OE poet; slipheard
OE; unatemedic OE; unhiere OE; unheloric OE; unmennisclic OE;
wælhtreowlic OE; wildeorlic OE; wraæ OE; wulf OE; wulfheort
OE poet; wylfen OE; bitre/bitter OE-1635; grimm/grim OE-
1726/46; grimful/grimful OE-13..+1715; grimlic/grimly OE--
obs or arch; rebe/rethe OE-al300+c1425 (Sc); grill cl200-
al529; breme cl200-al500; sturdy 1297-1589; fade al300+al400;
cruel al300-1674; fell al300-- now poet & rhet; felon al300--
now poet; fierce al300--; bremely cl300+al500; heter/hetter
13..-1400/50; renish 13..-1691+1866-- now dial; wicked 13..--;
boistous c1325-1539; unkind 1340/70-1659; keen c1375+c1398;
felonous c1386-1596; cursed/curst cl400-1727; bestial cl400-
1816 transf; vengeable cl430-1627; wolvish cl430--; fervent
1465-1814 now rare; inhuman 1481--; brutish 1494-1773; cruent
1524 (1) rare; unnatural 1529--; felonish 1530 (1) rare;
mannish 1530 (1) rare; tigerous 1532 (1); truculent cl540--;-
lionish 1549--; inhuman 1549--; boarish 1550--; lion-like
1556--; beastly 1558+1587; orped 1567-1594; tigerish 1573--;
raw 1577+1847+1865 rare; tiger-like 1577/87+1828; umnlike
1579-1633; savage 1579/80--; boisterous 1581-1791; yond 1590-
1600; savage-wild 1592 (1); truculental 1593 (1) rare; rhenish
1596 (1); tiger-minded 1597 (1); neronian 1598--; inhuman
1599-1777; pharactical1600 (1); immene 1602+1644+c1860; ungentle
1603--; feral 1604--; fierceful 1607 (1) rare; Dionysian
1607+1879; wolvy 1611 (1); tiger-hearted 1616 (1); liounly
1631--; tigerly 1633--; ferous 1641 (1) rare; brutal 1641--;
savage 1642 (1); ferocious 1646--; asperous 1650 (1);
savageous 1650 (1) rare; ferous 1653 (1) rare; wolfish 1674--;
savage-fierce 1784 (1); tartarly 1809+1880; savage-hearted
1819 (1); tiger-passioned 1820 (1); tartarly 1821+1894;
tiger 1827/39--; tigery 1859 (1); neroic 1887 (1); unnmannish 1894 (1); neronic 1901 (1); brutalitarian 1909+1960; hunnish 1918 (1); inhumanitarian 1947 (1).

in a sexually perverted way: sadistic 1892--; sadic 1919--;
Sadean/-eian/-ian 1960--; neanderthal 1967 (1) fig.
in appearance: grim 1340--.

in flight: flygereow OE.

and destructive: hunnian 1607 (1); hunnican 1607 (1); hunnish 1820--; hunnic 1882 (1).

and ignorant: beastly c1230-a1703.

and petulent (of boys): wanton 1605--.
of the mind: grammar OE; hreohmod OE poet; hygegrim OE poet;
repemod OE poet; rebigmod OE; stibmod OE poet.

indicating or characterized by that which is: ferocious 1728+1826.

becoming: fiercening 1881 (1).
making: brutalizing 1800+1844; brutifying 1817+1831;
bestializing 1866 (1).

made: brutified 1594+1683+1863; brutalized a1711 (1);
unhumanized c1780-1815; brutalized 1803 (1).
equally: efenre be OE.
somewhat: fellish c1650 (1) rare; grimmish 1864--.

AjT slipheard OE

NQ grimmnes OE; manbealu OE; poet; rifnes OE; wælgrimnes OE;
wælbreownes OE; wræp OE; repnes/retheness OE-a1300; grimpundlæc
c1200 (1) rare; savageness 13--; fellhead 1340 (2); bestiality
c1374-1714+1874; fertee c1380 (1); fellness c1380-- now poet &
rhet; fierceness 1382--; bremeness c1400 (2)+1540; grimmness
14--1580+1898; cruelness 1432/50-1631; fiercehead c1440 (1);
inhumanity c1477--; fury 1534--; ferity c1534--; tigerness
1535 (1); wolvishness 1538 (1); bruteness 1538+1577+1883;
brutishness 1547/64+1683+1850; immaturity 1557-1699; truculency
1569--; phalarism 1581-1699; savagery 1955--; ferocity 1606--;
brutality 1633--; inhumanity 1649+1895 rare; wolfishness
1676--; boarishness 1682 (1); brutishness 1687+1691+1845;
truculence 1727--; ferociousness 1766--; the tiger 1825--;
bestialism 1871 (1); tigerhood 1871 (1); inhumanity 1885 (1);
inhumanity 1907--; hunnishness 1924+1928.
as a sexual perversion: sadism 1888--.

and degraded and bestial: calibanism 1859 (1).

NA hyenaism 1833+1884; savagery 1883--.

which affects the mind of another: mental cruelty 1928--.

ND (an) inhumanity 1647--.

NP doega OE; wolf c1205--; beast c1210-1723; lion a1225--;
wild man c1290-a1639; fell a1300+c1340; tiger 1500/20-- fig;
turk 1536--; club-fist 1575 (1); scourgemutton 1581 (2);
wolver 1593-1604+1883; vulture 1603--; savage 1606--;
bandog 1610-1829 fig; inhuman 1653-1755; brutal 1655-1676;
tartar 1663--; hyena 1671+1888; dragoon 1712+1856; hun
1784/5--; panther 1868 (1).
female: she-dragon 1838 (1). who enjoys cruelty, often as a sexual perversion: sadist 1897--.
who preys ruthlessly on others: vampire 1741--.
who advocates savage behaviour: brutalitarian 1904+1910; inhumanitarian 1936 (1).
and ignorant: brute 1670--.
and degraded and bestial: caliban 1610 (Shksp)+1678--.
collectively: savagery 1896 (1).
the personality of: boarship 1796 (1) humorous.

AvM bitre OE; deore OE; unhiere OE; unsofte OE; waelhreowlice OE; wildeorlice OE; wrape OE; wrable OE poet; grimme/grim OE-1675; grimlice/grimly OE--; eteliche c1175 (1); het(t)erly a1225-a1461; fell a1300 (1); felonly a1300-1581; felly a1300--; cruelly 1375-c1470 (Sc)+a1533-1598; asperly c1375+1490; cruently c1380 (1); breme c1386 (1); sternly 1398-1615; felonment c1470 (1) rare; unmanly c1475-1824; inhumanly c1489--; unkindfully c1500 (1); boarishly a1563 (1); savagely 1563--; butcherly 1563/87-1678; tiger-like 1576--; unhumanly 1586--; inhumanlike 1595 (1); bitterly 1611 (1); immanely 1612+1670; wolvislishly 1628 (1); savagiously 1632 (1); brutish 1647 (1); beastly 1652 (1); truculently 1654--; inhumanely 1684 (1); tigerously 1698 (1); brutally 1749+1847; ferociously 1775--; tigerishly 1879 (1).
and sexually perverted: sadistically 1922--.

VG grimman OE; savage (vi) 1563+1646; to wolve (it) (vi) 1702+1909; to wolf it (vi) 1865 (1).
by exercising one's rage upon: rage (vi) a1540-1603.

VM savage (vt) 1611--; unmanner (vt) 1613/18 (1) rare; unhuman (vt) 1648 (1); unhumanize (vt) 1752--; savagize (vt) 1848+1864; inhumanize (vt) 1871 (1).

VT abrutalize (vt) 1795 (1) rare; savage (vt) 1796 (1); brutalize (vt) 1879+1885; to kick around (vp) 1938--.

See Also: EVIL El, EVIL E13, EVIL E14.

E13 BARBAROUS

AjG elreord OE; elreordig OE; haeben OE; unatemed OE; barbarous 1538--; bauger 1544 (1) rare; scythical 1559+1602; incivil 1586 (1); turkish 1600-a1700; negerous 1609 (1); moorish 1795 (1); turk-like 1857 (1).
making: barbarizing 1809--.
EVIL E13 Barbarous

NQ elreordinges OE; turkishness 1545 (1); barbarousness 1548-1680; incivility 1584-1811; barbarism 1603-1665; ferity 1646--; barbarity 1685--.

NA barbarity 1718--.

NC ferity 1614-1718; moorism 1681 (1).

NP half-man 1610 (1); barbarian 1613--.

AvM barbarously 1611-1800; turkishly 1611-1828.

See Also: EVIL E1, EVIL E12, EVIL E14.

E14 BLOODTHIRSTY

AjG blodhreow OE; blodig OE; blodigtop OE poet; wælgifre OE poet; wælgrim OE; wælhræw OE; bloodthirsty 1535--; bloody 1563--; bloodyly 1575-1591 rare; sanguinolent 1577/87--; bloody-minded 1584--; cannibal 1596--; cannibalean 1602+1845 rare; sword-minded 1603 (1); sanguisugous 1615 (2); blood-thirsting 1617-1763; sanguinary 1623--; sanguinarian 1637 (1); sanguinarius 1654 (1) rare; sanguine 1705--; sanguinous 1755 (1); cannibalish 1837-1863; cannibalic 1837--; cannibalistic 1851--.

and drinking blood: blood-drinking 1588+1591+1903.

and greedy for corpses: hragifre OE; wælfel OE poet; wælgifre OE; wælgrædig OE.

spec. of a feast or banquet: Thyestean 1667--.

c oncerned with that which is: bloody al225-1766.

NQ blood-thirst 1587-1610+1882; bloodthirstiness 1649+1862; sanguinolency 1664 (1); sanguinariness 1689+1881; bloody-mindedness 1789--; cannibality 1796 (1) fig; cannibalism 1796-- fig; blood-lust 1848--; sanguinolence 1891 (1).

leading to bloodshed: bloodiness 1610-1685.

eagerness for: acharnement 1816--.

NP cannibal 1563/87-1604 fig; lestrigon 1591-1693; fee-faw-fum 1678+1824; lestrigonian a1887 (1); blood-drinker 1898 (1) fig.

AvM bloodily 1594--; cannibally 1607-1702; sanguinarily 1850--; cannibalistically 1851 (1); bloodthirstily 1880 (1).

more: bloodierly 1602 (1) rare.
VG  blodgian OE; acharne (vi) 1400 (1) rare.

See Also: EVIL E1, EVIL E12, EVIL E13.
Chapter 4

Notes to the Classification

4.1 Introduction

This chapter consists of detailed commentary on specific features occurring in individual categories (or sections) in the classification. It follows the order of the classification and comments on each category (or section) in turn, as appropriate. Not every category is mentioned — only those which contain specific points of interest not dealt with elsewhere in the thesis. In fact this includes most categories and sometimes if the comments apply to adjacent and closely related categories (as EE4 and EE5) the categories are commented on together.

In many categories the comments consist of a paragraph or more of general commentary concerning the whole category, followed by one or more usually shorter notes relating to particular subdivisions. Sometimes if a category or section exemplifies a broader general point or principle, there is some discussion of this in addition to an explanation of how it relates to that category.

Among the general points discussed are the distinction of categories by grammar rather than semantics (see notes to GA4), the defining of adjectives by referent (GB2), and the problems of closely related and overlapping categories (ED). The specific notes are wide-ranging, and deal with dating, conflation of OED senses, the matching of OED and Old English senses, and subdivision
and subordinate headings, among other things.

For many categories there are points of interest or lines of pursuit which could have been followed up or explored further. However, restrictions of time and space have prevented this, and it must be stated here that the purpose of this commentary is to draw attention to and discuss a wide range of points and features, but not to explore every line of possible interest fully and thoroughly.

Indeed, in chapter 5, in two places, detailed commentary of a statistical and etymological nature which supplements the information contained in this chapter is made on a selected range of Good and Evil categories. Many of these comments relate to the Old English material. See chapter 5, especially pp.338 to 348, and pp.384 to 392.

Any references in this chapter to the number of items in a category - whether current, obsolete, or by part of speech - are drawn either from the statistical tables in chapter 5 (pp.326 to 334) or from the part of speech tables in Appendix III.

4.2 Individual Category Comment

GA1 Harmless

This category consists almost entirely of items which have a negative affix. For this reason one could argue that the extent to which it conveys the notion of 'good' is questionable. It covers the idea of 'that which is not evil' or specifically 'that which is without harm'. Its expression of Good is by no means as strong as that of other categories, although it is also certainly not an Evil concept. The existence of categories like this was discussed -
with particular reference to GA1—in chapter 2, p. 60. There are several categories in the classification chiefly made up of items with negative affixes (as GA8, GB3, EA9) but the extent to which each negates an opposing idea varies considerably.

GA2 Satisfactory

AjG 'well 1381—'. Two OED senses have been conflated in this entry. They are (under 'well' adj.) sense 7 'Of a state of things, work, an undertaking, etc.: Satisfactory...', and sense 7b 'of material things'. The OED often distinguishes adjective senses on the basis of referent, and where these are simple, and fit into the adjective subdivisions of the classification, it is sensible to keep them. Where they are not so simple though, and one appears dominant, as here, it is more satisfactory to conflate them.

GA3 Good

AjE This subdivision (E = exclamatory epithet) only occurs in GA3. It consists of items which are adjectival but are also potentially interjectional or exclamatory in usage or definition. For example 'good c1410—' is defined 'absol. as an exclamation, expressing satisfaction' (sense 4c of 'good' adj.). The items in this subdivision are also placed here to distinguish them from the more exclusively adjectival items of AjG. Similar items do occur in other categories, but they are either so similar to the AjG uses that all are put together (as in GA5) or they are placed in AjG, but within a subordinated heading (as in EB10).
'god willa/goodwill OE-1602'. There is no Old English slip for this item, although in the OED it is dated from c893. However, as the OED itself states in its etymology, 'goodwill' was 'Orig. two words' and is 'still often so written'. There is therefore no corresponding entry for it in the Old English dictionaries, and no corresponding slip.

This subdivision has no items purely in its own sense (which is 'a good way of life') but goes straight into a subordinated heading plural. The only item in the subdivision therefore means 'good ways of life'. The practice of having a subdivision consisting only of items in subordinations is a perfectly acceptable classificatory device which is used on a number of occasions.

This is one of four categories which could arguably be described as covering a grammatical rather than a semantic unit. The other three are GA7 Best, EA7 Worst, and EA10 Worst. GA4 and GA7 are, respectively, the comparative and superlative forms of GA3 Good, while EA7 Worse and EA10 Worst are the comparative and superlative forms of EA1 Evil (or perhaps, more usually, 'bad').

Apart from GA7, they are small categories and, because they are grammatical, they could be subsumed (under several subordinations) in GA3 and EA1 respectively. However all four have been kept separate for the following reasons. The first and main reason is that this is how the OED treats them. As has been mentioned in chapter 1 and elsewhere, this classification is a reflection of the OED - both its strengths and its weaknesses. Most comparatives and superlatives of
adjectives are regular and are covered without comment under the positive form of the word. In the cases of 'good' and 'bad' though, the forms are so irregular, and so widely used, that the *OED* treats them as separate lexical items and gives them entries in their own right. All four have fairly large entries: the *OED* entries for 'better' and 'best' each run to more than one page, and those for 'worse' and 'worst' to more than two pages each. Hence, the concepts are also treated separately in this classification. A second reason is simply that these four concepts can be distinguished from GA3 and EA1, and can stand on their own. In addition, if they had been subsumed within GA3 or EA1 by means of subordinate headings, the related items and parts of speech contained within the four categories would have become separated, and the connections between them, the unity of the categories, would have been lost. They would also have expanded the size and complexity of GA3 and EA1, quite possibly to the detriment of their clarity. Finally, all four categories (and especially GA7) contain items which are not derived from the comparative or superlative of 'good' or 'bad', but are quite distinct and belong in these categories in their own right. This means that the senses covered in these categories extend beyond the purely grammatical, and that the categories justifiably stand as semantic units.

VG The phrase 'to be better than one's word' is only illustrated in *OED* by one 1684 and one Mod.¹ quotation. In spite of the date gap continued currency has been assumed, and the item is dated '1684--'.

GA5 Excellent

This is the largest category in the *Good* part of the classification,
containing 549 items (only surpassed by EA1 Evil, with 559 items).

AjG This large subdivision contains items with wide definitions which cover many elements of meaning. All the items have the basic sense 'excellent', but beyond that the breadth of meaning in the words which form their definitions is so great, that no semantic classification could hope to cover every item under every word of its definition. This point is also mentioned in chapter 2 (p. 68) where a list of words in the definitions of items in EA1 AjG is given. Among the words in the definitions of items in this subdivision - GA5 AjG - are: admirable, capital, charming, delightful, distinguished, eminent, estimable, excellent, extraordinary, fine, first-class, first-rate, good, grand, immense, leading, magnificent, remarkable, renowned, pleasing, splendid, and worthy.

Another striking feature illustrated by this subdivision is the increased use of labels like slang and colloq from the 18th and especially the 19th centuries onwards. Before the 18th century, it is often not possible to tell from the written evidence if a word was ever used colloquially, and such labels are only rarely applied. In later English, and with the increase in records which include informal language, and especially - from the 19th century - with the additional evidence of oral usage as known to the lexicographers, these labels come to be applied much more widely and accurately.

One item missing from this AjG list, a popular word in the last 20 years or so to express the idea of 'excellent', is 'fantastic'. The simple reason for this is that the OED and Supplement do not cover 'fantastic' other than in its literal 'pertaining to fantasy
or fancy' senses, and as this classification is dependent on the OED the word cannot appear in it.

...and splendid, noble. This subordination — and the corresponding subordination in AvM — contains a large number of Old English items. As the concepts of splendour and nobility are central to Old English heroic literature, and OE verse especially uses many different words to express the same idea, the number of these words is not surprising, but it is interesting to see them so clearly displayed.

NQ 'virtue c1325--'. Two OED senses are conflated in this entry. They are senses 9d 'Without article...Superiority or excellence...' and 10d 'In similar use of immaterial things'. In sense 10d the article is used, but otherwise the senses are effectively the same, and can be conflated (in the structure of the classification) without any loss of information. 'quality 1606--'. Again, two OED senses are conflated. They are senses lc 'Without article or poss. pron.: excellence...' and 9c 'Without article...Peculiar excellence...'. They are both very similar, and the definition of each ends with a cross-reference (Cf. 9c/lc) to the other. They are therefore conflated here.

NT 'rumpty 1941-1946', 'rumptydooler 1941-1945' and NTP 'bonzer 1904-1922', 'bosker 1906-1922'. These four items are all labelled Austral & NZ slang, and are all marked 'obs' or 'now obs' in OED. In keeping with the normal practice for obsolete words, a closing date has been provided, but the label obs is not used. However as all these words do have evidence which extends well into the 20th century,
it is perhaps presumptuous to treat them as obsolete. As long as there are people living who may use these words, they are not obsolete (the OED defines obsolete as 'that is no longer practised or used'). Therefore, although the closing date has been retained, these words have not been counted among the obsolete figures in the tables in chapter 5.

NTP The majority of items in this subdivision are 19th and 20th century – very different from the Old English bias of those in the '.and splendid, noble' subordination (see note under AjG above). Between them they provide an interesting example of how different semantic emphases within the same category can fall into widely different historical periods.

NP plural 'eorpes sealt/the salt of the earth OE+c1386--'. There is no Old English slip for this phrase. In the OED it is dated from c950. In Bosworth Toller there is only an entry for sealt, with literal and figurative senses. The figurative sense is covered under NT in this category (where sealt is one of the items). Among the quotations in Bosworth Toller however, is one for eorbes sealt. So in the Old English dictionary this phrase is only represented in a quotation illustrating its second element. As a phrase it therefore has no Old English slip and is an example of one way in which the Old English dictionaries and the OED simply do not match in their treatment of words.

AvM .and splendid, noble. See comment under AjG above.

GA6 Surpassingly Excellent

NQ 'chief 1519+1602'. The 1602 quotation here is a disputed
Shakespeare use (see 'chief' n.10, in OED) but has been counted nonetheless.

VG The verbs in this subdivision are both transitive and intransitive ('to be surpassingly excellent' or 'to surpass or excel in some quality') and as a result several verbs which have separate senses on the basis of transitivity in OED, are presented here with these senses combined. They are 'pass c1230-1704+1850' (OED 19 and 37 combined), 'precel c1400-1756' (OED 1 and 2 combined) and 'supérexcel c1450--' (combined in OED).

'surpass 1555--'. Two OED senses - 3 and 3b - are combined here. The first is a general sense, '..to exceed;..to excel', while the second is a rare specific application of the same sense but with 'a specified measure' as the direct object. The two senses are therefore identical apart from their direct object.

GA7  Best

See note under GA4 for a discussion on the reasons why this category - like GA4 - is separate from GA3, although its distinction from GA3 is arguably grammatical rather than semantic. Of the four categories like this in Good and Evil (the other two being EA7 and EA10) GA7 is the largest (145 items). It contains many items which are by no means simply superlatives of 'good', but which are distinct and independent words that are or have been used to mean 'best'.

AjG  'betst/best OE--'. Two OED senses are combined here. They are 1a 'Said of persons..' and 1b 'Said of things..', both of which share the same general definition.

'top 1647--'. This item is sense 29 of the OED entry for 'top' noun. According to the OED senses 27-30 are 'attrib. uses passing
into adjective'. The definitions of the senses are adjectival, and this sense (and others like it in the classification) has therefore been treated as an adjective in Good and Evil.

NTP 'price/prise c1330-c1400'. This item is in OED under the entry for 'price/prise' adjective. Sense b. is 'absol. The most excellent...', and this absolute adjective (like others elsewhere) has been treated in Good and Evil as a noun - the reverse treatment of that for 'top' adj. (see preceding comment).

AvH This subdivision (adverb 'having') only occurs here, and contains only one item: 'best(+adj.)'. 'Best' is used adverbially (OED adv. 2c) to qualify an adjective, and both words together form an adjectival phrase with the sense 'having the best of whatever the second word is' as (in an 1863 quotation in OED) 'the best-natured fellow alive'.

GA8 Matchless

This category has no Old English items. This may seem surprising, but it is likely that items of or close to this sense in Old English are those meaning 'excellent' or 'worthy', and are in GA5 or GA9. The category also consists almost entirely of items which have a negative affix, usually the prefix 'un-'. However, unlike the categories mentioned in chapter 2, p.60-1, the general sense of these items is not negative. The idea of being 'without match or equal' is used to mean (in full) 'so excellent as to be without match or equal', and the 'un-' prefix (and the other affixes) therefore intensify the basic concept of 'good' rather than negating it.
GA9 Worthy
AjG 'cabinet 1711--'. In OED this item (sense 11 of 'cabinet' noun - an attrib. use: see note under GA7 AjG for treatment of attrib. uses as adjs.) dates from 1696, and its last quotation is Mod. 4 The first quotations however are for 'cabinet organ' which the Historical Thesaurus compiler has treated separately, so the first date here is 1711. The Mod. quotation is late 19th century and indicates that the word was still current at that time. As there is no evidence in OED or Supplement to suggest that its currency is not continued, the word has been given an open-ended date.

NT 'mappumsweord OE'. This word literally means 'treasure sword' and is thus a very specific item to appear here. However it does by definition qualify to be under the general sense of 'a worthy thing', and as it also appears in the Historical Thesaurus archive under (provisionally) R723 Arms, its specific 'sword' sense is also covered.

GA10 Meritorious
VB 'merit 1526--'. Two OED senses - one transitive and the other intransitive - are combined here. They are senses 4 'To earn by meritorious action..' and 5 'To acquire merit..'.

GA12 Honourable
AjG 'deorlic OE'. This item has no OE slip, although it is only current in OE. In OED it is described (in the etymology) as being 'illustrious, splendid, brave' in OE, while the later examples are from 'dear + -ly'. The OE sense has therefore,
quite justifiably, been treated separately by the Historical Thesaurus compiler, with the definition as above. However in Bosworth Toller the same word is defined 'brave, bold', and as such does not belong in Good and Evil at all. It is presumably under R855 Courage. We have here an illustration of the extent to which the Historical Thesaurus, and this classification, are bound by dictionary definitions. Logically, these two items should be together.

NP on account of being not negro. The one item in this subordination, 'white man', is one of several items in Good and Evil which are products of the social problems of black equality, originally in the US and now also elsewhere. See also notes under GB4.

GB2 Conscientious

The adjectives in this category reflect the tendency of the OED to divide adjectives into different senses on the basis of referent. As was hinted at in chapter 2 (p. 94) this tendency can lead to some inconsistencies, as more common adjectives are divided according to referent while less common ones are not. When both kinds of adjectives occur in the same category it could in theory result in some adjectives appearing only in AjG, while others appear in AjT, AjP, etc. but not in AjG. The implication would be that the AjG items had a different meaning from the other items when in fact the difference would not be in the items but in OED's treatment of them. Obviously the solution is either to split up the senses of the AjG items according to referent (on the basis of OED's quotations) or to conflate the referent-based senses of the other adjectives. Either way the adjectives ought to
appear under the same set of subdivisions.

In this category it was possible to divide three less common adjectives into AjC and AjP (thus matching the other adjectives), according to referent. They are 'faithful' (OED's sense 4) and, in the minutely subordination, 'scrupulous' (OED's sense 1) and 'punctual' (OED's sense 7b). Doing this eliminates the need for AjG in GB2.

NQ There are close links in form here between two Old English items and the first Middle English items, but the forms are not close enough for the words to be treated as the same item extending from OE into ME. They are 'ingewitnes', 'ge-witt', and 'inwit'.

GB3 Impartial

Like GA8, this category has no Old English items, and consists almost entirely of items which have negative prefixes. However, unlike GA8, the negative prefixes do actually negate - items here mean 'not partial or prejudiced'. As such they - and the central concept of this category - arguably express an idea which is 'not bad' as opposed to one which is 'actively good', or even somewhere in-between. They exemplify the problem with un- words and the like in a classification where the fundamental division is into good and bad. There is no proper place for any concept which is not clearly either 'good' or 'evil' - no central grey area. See further comments on this in chapter 2, p. 60.

AjG Many of the items in this subdivision are applied chiefly to people, but unless their use is only of people they are not placed in an AjP subdivision. If they can take any other referent then they are in AjG. In this instance we have, among other
items, 'unprejudiced 1637/50--' which can be used of people, opinions, inquiries, etc., and 'impartial 1593--', which is used of people and conduct.

In 'unprejudiced 1637/50--' two OED senses have been conflated. they are 2a and 2b, and their distinction is purely one of referent. 2a is 'Of persons, the mind, eye, etc.' while 2b is 'Of opinions, inquiries, etc.'

GB4 Fair

AjG 'soofast OE'. This item, although only current in Old English, is also in OED and has an OED slip. In OED the word is under 'soothfast' adj. 2. The Historical Thesaurus compiler has separated the main part of the definition from the final obsolete part, and as this obsolete part is only illustrated by Old English quotations, it only has an Old English date.

NQ between negroes and whites. The one item in this subordination - like that in GA12 under NP - relates to the problem of black equality in the US. In both, the subordinate heading is based on the wording of the Supplement and uses 'negro'. Today the word 'black' is preferable, but as both items are 19th century in origin, and likely to be little used today, the wording with 'negro' is retained.

NC in the treatment of Natives and Europeans in S.Africa. The one item here is also concerned with the problem of black equality, but this time in S.Africa rather than the US. The item is undefined in Supplement and the heading here is based on the wording of the 1924 quotation, in which the word 'native' is used, as opposed to 'negro' or 'black'. Even in the headings of
words such as these, the attitudes of the times the words first appeared are reflected.

NT 'skill c1175-c1550'. Two OED senses (2 and 2b) are combined here. Sense 2 is 'That which is reasonable, proper, right, or just' and 2b is a 'predicative use' of the same.

AvM 'mid riht/with right OE-a1400'. There is no Old English slip for this item, but the preceding item in the subdivision 'mid rihtan pingum' is very similar, and is from the Old English dictionaries. The implication is that both items are the same. It appears that the same phrase has been treated differently in the Old English dictionaries and the OED and that because this classification reflects that the item appears twice - once in each form.

GB5 Righteous, Just

AjG Of the ten items here, seven are recorded only in Old English. Other subdivisions also have a number of Old English items. The concept is clearly one which is well documented in Old English.

GB6 Honest

This is one of the many categories on the classification where several closely related ideas are placed under a single word heading and any one or all could have formed the category heading. In addition to honesty, the ideas of decency, integrity, uprightness, and respectability also feature strongly in both items and definitions. However, for the reasons given in chapter 2 (p.68) only one word - in this case 'honest' - has been chosen as the heading.

There are a number of items in this category with negative prefixes
which are included in Good partly on the basis of what they are not, rather than what they are, for example (in AjG) 'uncorruptible 1843+1897' and 'unthievish 1858 (1)'. Because of what they negate (in these cases corruptible and thievish) these items come under Honest, although they are arguably not so much 'positively honest' as 'not positively dishonest'. It would be too complex within the structure of this classification to treat them separately, but it is worth drawing attention to them here, as they are perhaps slightly different from the items in the category which have the straightforward sense 'honest, decent'.

AjG Many of the items in this subdivision are applied chiefly to people but also, by extension, to conduct, character, etc. This is why they are under AjG. For example 'respectable 1879--' is, according to the OED definition, applied to 'persons..character, conduct' (sense 4) and 'appearance..institutions, etc.' (sense 4b).

NQ 'clæne flæsc OE' and 'clean hands 1382--' are almost certainly different forms of the same basic phrase but the date gap and the different second element means that they are treated separately. In spite of the date gap it is not unlikely that the phrase survived in the intervening years, but that no recorded evidence for it was found for the OED

GB7 Trustworthy

AjG Like GB6, all the adjective items are in AjG, as their referents are many and varied. Again the chief referent is 'of people', but always in combination with at least one other referent. For example 'faithful 1340/70--' is applied to people, actions, and
(formerly) things, while 'trustworthy 1829--' is applied to people and comments.

NQ The majority of the nouns in this category appear in this subdivision. They include several key items — as faithfulness, fidelity, trustworthiness, and reliability — which on superficial consideration may not seem sufficiently synonymous to appear in the same category, but whose OED definitions suggest otherwise:

'faithfulness 1388--' is defined '..fidelity, loyalty..trustworthiness, conscientiousness..honesty, sincerity..',

'fidelity 1508--' is defined '..faithfulness, loyalty..',

'trustworthiness 1808--' is a derivative under 'trusty' and means 'the quality of being worthy of trust or confidence; reliable' ('trust' in this classification (1470/85--, OED 4) means '..fidelity, reliability; loyalty, trustiness'), and

'reliability 1816--' is 'the quality of being reliable' (which in turn is 'that may be relied upon;..trustworthy..').

On the basis of their OED definitions it is clear that these four items have closely related and overlapping definitions — each has one or more of the other items as a component or components of its meaning — and as such it is sensible to keep them together.

However, one word which occurs in several definitions but which is not present in the main part of the subdivision is 'loyalty' This is because the OED defines 'loyalty' in a more precise way than it defines these other items. The relevant sense (OED 1) is defined 'faithful adherence to one's promise, oath, etc.' , and on the basis of 'to one's promise, oath', 'loyalty' is in an NQ subordination headed .to an oath. However, the definitions of the
related 'loyal', 'loyally', and 'loyalness' are not bound by the same precision of definition. 'Loyal' is specified as relating to 'duty, love, etc.' but not to the extent that it does not appear in the main part of the AjG subdivision. The treatment of 'loyalty' again reflects the OED, and its treatment of one particular item.

GB8 Proper, Fitting

This category (along with EC14 Improper, Unfitting) is slightly different from the other GB (and EC) categories. Its 'rightness' consists in the idea of rightness or appropriateness in a given situation, rather than (as in the other GB categories) in moral rightness of conduct etc. It is more concerned with the idea of suitability than with morality. 'Proper 1477--' (OED 9) is defined '...fit, apt, suitable; fitting..appropriate to the circumstances or conditions...', while 'fitting 1535--' is 'Becoming, appropriate, proper, suitable'. Although it is different from the other GB categories it does still cover the idea of 'Good and Right' so it does belong here.

The category consists mainly of adjectives and adverbs. There are a total of 70 adjectives and adverbs, and 25 other items.

AjG All the adjectives in the category are in this subdivision. Many are Old English – 24 out of 37, and of these 24, 13 have the prefix 'ge-'. 'well 1534-1713'. Two OED senses have been combined here. They are OED 8 'In conformity with approved standards of action or conduct; right, proper' and 8b 'qualifying a noun of action'.

AvM One of the problems of matching up Old English items with OED items which date from Old English – that of matching actual forms
is particularly well-illustrated here.

'ariht/aright OE--': these forms are correctly matched.

'onriht/on right OE-c1420': in Bosworth Toller there is 'on-riht' adverb, which is all one word on the Historical Thesaurus slip, and has been matched with 'on right' (two words) from OED.

'on rihte/right OE--': the Old English slip here contains two separate words, and has been matched with the white slip which has 'right' without 'on'.

'onrihtlice/rightly OE-a1653': in Bosworth Toller there is both 'rihtlice' and 'on-rihtlice' which appear to have been combined on the slip as 'onrihtlice'; as 'rihtlice' is apparently covered here, the Old English has been matched with 'rightly'.

(Also in AvM) 'at right(s) 1375-1535' and 'at rights a1641 (1)' are so similar as to make their treatment as two separate items questionable. In OED 'at right(s)' is part of 'right' n.1-12b. It is only Scots, and of the four examples, three are in the singular 'right'. 'At rights' is part of 'right' n.1 14a, and is an obsolete variant of 'to rights', only occurring in the plural 'rights'. The OED has separated them on this basis, and that is reflected in this classification.

GCl Kind

AjG All the adjectives in GCl are in this subdivision. Most are applied to people and actions. In some cases the OED does not specify the referent, but the illustrative quotations indicate that it is variable, which is why the item is in AjG. Occasionally the OED has divided an item into different senses on the basis of referent, but it would appear inconsistent to have these
few items in several Aj subdivisions, and the rest under AjG (see further discussion on this in the notes for GB2). As a result these senses have been conflated. For example 'kind al300--' is a conflation of OED 5 'of persons', 5c 'of conduct', and 5d 'of action, language, etc.'.

'mæpful/metheful OE-c1425'. There is no Old English slip for this item, but in GC9 Considerate there is an entry for 'mæpful OE', which is the same item, but placed in a different category on the basis of its Bosworth Toller definition. Here in GC1 'mæpful/metheful' from OED is defined 'moderate, gentle'. This may not immediately seem appropriate for GC1, but 'gentle 1552--' (also in GC1) is defined '..kind, tender', so it does belong. OE mæpful on the other hand (in GC9) is defined 'moderate..having regard to others' (the missing part of this definition, from Bosworth Toller, is not appropriate for Good). The definition is clearly one which covers the more specific notion of considerate, rather than the more general notion of kind. Hence the purely Old English item is placed under GC9 Considerate. This suggests that the meaning of the word has shifted in emphasis in the course of the word's survival from Old English to Middle English - a shift which is reflected by the respective dictionary definitions.

NQ the conferring or deriving of. The one item in this subordination ('benefiting') means 'the conferring or deriving of kindness' and therefore is an action, although it appears under NQ (noun, quality). However the part of its definition on the basis of which it belongs in this classification is 'kindness' (or kind quality) and that is the part which has been used to classify the item. That is why a noun of action appears in an NQ subdivision.
Nac 'god weorc/good work OE--'. This item has no Old English slip and it is likely that because it is a phrase each element has been treated separately in the Old English dictionaries, and it is only in the OED that it appears as one item.

GC2 Beneficial

This category has comparatively few adjectives and adverbs (27 and 11), and a predominance of nouns and verbs (102 and 39). In its structure it is one of the most complex categories in the classification - it has eight noun subdivisions and two fairly complicated verb subdivisions (see below).

NQ, NX, NT The items in these three subdivisions are very closely related. Many of the items in NX are similar to those in NQ, but in context they are preceded by an article (i.e. they are count rather than mass nouns - see chapter 2 p. 95 for a fuller explanation of the distinction between NQ and NX). For example, in NQ is 'profit 1315--' meaning '...advantage or benefit...' and 'profitableness 1398--' meaning 'advantageousness...beneficial quality', while in NX is 'profit 1603+1604' meaning 'that which is to the advantage or benefit of...'. In NT on the other hand, are items very similar to those in NX, but concrete rather than abstract. They include 'profitable 1681 (1)' meaning 'a thing that is profitable'. The examples quoted here illustrate well the distinctions between the three noun subdivisions of NQ, NX, and NT.

VG, VM The verb entries in this category are the most complex in the classification, and are therefore worth discussing in some detail. The lexical items 'profit', 'avail', and 'prevail' are
divided by the OED into various senses and contextual uses all of which belong in this category. Some of these senses could have been conflated, and the verbs simplified, but when the distinctions have been made, and made fairly consistently over a number of different items (unlike adjective distinctions on the basis of referent which are frequently inconsistent) it is more valuable to reflect them. 'Profit' occurs five times in VG and four times in VM. 'Avail' also occurs five times in VG, and once in VM, while 'prevail' appears in VG twice and VM once.

VG is understood to mean (in this category) either 'to be beneficial' or 'to act beneficially'. For most of the items here 'to be beneficial' is more appropriate.

In VG the distinctions between the subordinations are chiefly based on transitivity, while in VM the distinctions are constructional. The main part of VG is intransitive, the second, third, and fourth subordinations (.to (someone), ..of a person, .to..a thing) are transitive, and the last subordination (.to oneself) is reflexive in meaning, and contains verbs which are either intransitive or reflexive. VM has no main part, but consists only of subordinations which specify particular uses of the verbs.

The fine distinctions, made in the OED and represented here, can also be illustrated by presenting the OED senses of 'profit' which appear in VG and VM, as follows:

In the main part of VG ('to be beneficial') is sense 2b (vi).
In the first subordination (.of a person) is sense 3a (vi).
In the second subordination (.to (someone)) is sense 2 (vt).
In the subordination within this (.of a person) is sense 3a (vt).
In the last subordination (.to oneself) is sense 4 (vi) and and sense 3c (vr).

In VM .by deriving benefit from is sense 4b, divided into four according to whether 'profit' takes the preposition with, by, of, or from.

PhX The first subordination here is .to the greatest degree. The sense of the phrase is a simple superlative of the main Phrase heading For the benefit of, but the wording of the heading is such that a simple subordinate heading .most would not properly express the semantic relationship between the subordination and the heading. Therefore the subordinate heading is more detailed.

GC4 Well Disposed, GC5 Well-Meaning

The headings of these two categories are very similar, and as the latter contains only 11 items, one may ask why there are two separate categories here.

In GC4 the item which forms the heading, 'well disposed 1455--' is defined in the OED as 'Of a good disposition; esp. disposed to be friendly or favourable...'. In GC5 the corresponding item 'well-meaning 1387/8--' is defined 'Having, or actuated by, good intentions...'.

The distinction is that GC4 expresses certainty, and denotes a person etc, who is of a good disposition, while GC5 expresses intention and denotes a person etc. who means or intends to be of a good disposition etc., but who may not actually be such.

The OED's illustrative quotations for these items bear this out. Under Well Disposed the quotations express certainty, as one from Adam Smith in 1776, which runs 'The charity of well-disposed people...supplies him with the whole fund of his subsistence'. On the other hand those
for Well-Meaning express intention but not necessarily the realisation of this intention, as one from Mrs. Matthews in 1857: 'The well-intentioned but injudicious actions of what are called well-meaning people'.

NQ (in GC4)

'god willa/good will OE--'. There is no Old English slip for this entry, probably because 'god willa' is not treated as a compound in the Old English dictionaries.

'welwille(n)dnes/well-willingness OE-al390'. This entry also has no Old English slip. But in GCI Kind under NQ is 'welwille(n)dnes OE'. In OED 'well-willingness' is undefined, but is a derivative under 'well-willing' which is in GC4. In Bosworth Toller however 'welwille(n)dnes' is defined 'benevolence, kindness' and clearly belongs in GCI. Again, the differences between the Old English definition and the OED definition have kept two matching items, which have different emphases in meaning, apart.

AvM (in GC4) None of the main items included in the Aj and N subdivisions have corresponding adverbial entries in the OED. There is no OED entry, and hence none here, for 'well-willingly', 'well-wishedly', or 'well-disposedly'. As a result the AvM subdivision chiefly contains items with no counterparts elsewhere in GC4.

GC6 Generous

Many of the Old English items in the category begin 'rum-' which means 'generous'. The cognate form for this in the post-OE period is 'room', but the only post-OE evidence of it in this sense is in the item 'room-handed c1200+c1205' in AjG. However, although 'rum-' occurs widely in Old English there is no Old English evidence of a
phrase corresponding to "room-handed" so the OE and post-OE forms cannot be linked up.

AjG 'este OE'. On the Old English slip this item is defined 'generous, liberal (often of God's generosity)'. In GC8 Gracious, under AjG, there is an entry 'este/este OE-al300'. This consists of an Old English slip defined simply 'gracious' and an OED slip for OED's sense a. defined 'gracious, kind, bountiful'. If this latter item were classified on the basis of each element in its definition, it would be placed in GC1, GC6, and GC8. The illustrative quotations for the word are not helpful in narrowing down its sense, so for the sake of simplicity, it has been placed in GC8, the category of the first of its components, where it is linked up to a corresponding OE slip. A separate more specific sense of the word — in OE only — appears here in GC6.

NQ 'bountines/bounenyes 1512+1560'. This item has the label doubtful, and is the only entry in the classification where the label is used. According to the OED the very existence of the item is doubtful. The 1512 quotation may be a form of the adjective 'bounteous' and the 1560 quotation may be a printing error for 'bountifulness', which is the word that is used in the first edition of the same work.

GC7 Magnanimous

This is a small category (20 items) conveying the notion of generosity but combining with it the ideas of superiority, loftiness, and nobility. 'magnanimous 1598--', from which the category heading comes, is defined in OED '..lofty of purpose; noble in feeling or conduct...loftily generous in disregard of injuries'.
GC8  Gracious

AjG  'este/este OE-a1300': see note under GC6.

'wynsum/winsome OE-a1300'. There is no Old English slip for this entry. In OED 'winsome' in this sense is defined 'Kindly, gracious, merciful'. However in GC1 Kind there is an entry 'wynsum OE'.

This comes from Clark Hall, which has an entry for 'wynsum' simply defined 'kindly'. What is essentially the same word appears in two different categories on the basis of different dictionary definitions, which almost certainly reflect a shift in emphasis over the period of currency of the word.

'quemeful 1388 (1)'. The first element of this word is the same as the first element of 'cwemlice OE' under AvM. 'cwem-' in Old English became 'quem-' in Middle English as a result of French influence. D.G. Scragg, in a discussion on the influence of French on English orthography, comments that 'Old English <c> before <w> has become <q> before <u>'. Other examples of this include 'cwic' becoming 'quick' and 'cweap' becoming 'quoth'.

GC9  Considerate

'mæpful OE': see note under GC1.

GC10  Humane

Of the 22 items here, 18 begin with either 'man-' or 'human-'. The remaining four are negative forms of items conveying the notions of cruelty or bitterness.

NQ  .action of imbuing with. The two items here both denote an action, although they are under the noun for quality. This is because, as in other similar cases (like GC1 NQ) the part of the definition
on the basis of which the items are included here is 'the quality' with which these actions imbue (someone/-thing). They therefore only belong in this classification in so far as they express 'humane quality', and must be classified under NQ.

GC11 Tender

AjP This is the only adjective subdivision in this category. Where the application of the adjective is not always clear, or where it varies at all, the subdivision AjG is used, but in this case all the adjectives are clearly used only of people (although those under the subordinate headings are more specific in the ways mentioned), so the subdivision is AjP.

NF action of becoming softened by. As in GC10 NQ, the one item here is an action, but the part of its meaning which involves tenderness is 'tender feeling' so it appears under NF.

AvM 'tenderly 13...'. Two OED senses are combined here. They are 2a 'With affection or compassion; lovingly, dearly, kindly..' and 2b (which is obsolete) 'With kind or friendly consideration ..'. Both senses are covered by a general sense 2 definition: 'With tender feeling'.

GC12 Merciful

VG All verbs in this category are under VG, which is interpreted as either 'to be merciful' or 'to act mercifully'. In fact verbs for mercy can sometimes be understood as meaning 'to show mercy'. However one feature of this classification is only to have such subdivisions as are semantically necessary, and if an idea can be covered by the general subdivision, as this one can (and if
its initial has already been used - VS stands for 'verb, to seem'), then it is placed here.

**GC13 Blessed**

NX. *one who confers.* This subordination contains one item for a person, under NX. However, as in other cases where one kind of noun appears under another, the idea of blessedness consists in 'that which is blessed' rather than in the person, and as it is on the basis of the 'blessed' part of its meaning that the item is in GC13, it is also on that basis that it is classified.

**EA1 Evil**

This category is the largest in the classification - 559 items - and it covers the notion of 'evil' in a very broad general way. A list of some of the words which appear in the definitions of items here - and which illustrate the breadth of the category - is given on p.68. EA1 dominates the EA section. The other nine categories are all comparatively small (although EA8 does run to 122 items) and collectively they contain fewer items than EA1 does on its own. Several of them are simply 'evil' plus one or more other components, and on the basis of these other components they have been pulled out of EA1 Evil and made into separate categories (as especially Evil and Dark and Evil and Graceless). Their semantic dependence on EA1 is very evident.

In spite of the breadth of EA1, it is worth noting that 'evil', 'wicked', and 'bad' are all together here, without qualification. 'Evil' and 'wicked' are generally regarded as very similar, but 'bad' in usage today seems a milder word, of less intensity than the other two. However, this classification is based on OED definitions and, as
the following definitions of these key items demonstrate, they are quite properly treated together.

'yfel/evil OE' is defined in OED as 'The antithesis of Good...Bad in a positive sense...Morally depraved, bad, wicked, vicious.'

'wicked c1275' is defined 'Bad in moral character, disposition, or conduct; practising or disposed to practise evil; morally depraved'.

'bad al300' is defined 'Evil, ill...morally depraved;...wicked, vicious'.

Each is defined in terms of the other two, and all three are defined as 'morally depraved'. They have to go together.

AjG 'lybre/lither OE-1546'. This item has no Old English slip. It is defined 'Of persons, their actions, dispositions, etc.: Bad, wicked, base, rascally, unjust'. However in AjP there is an entry for 'lybre OE', the corresponding entry from the Old English dictionaries. It is defined 'Vile, sordid, wicked, evil, of persons.' The referent for the item has broadened as it has moved beyond Old English - and as a result the same item occurs in two different places. See EB6 AjT for a similar comment on a different sense of the same item.

.dwelling on that which is. The label Casuistry has been omitted from the items here.

NQ 'wipercorenes OE' and 'witherfulness cl200 (1)' are similar items and it is possible that the latter is a later version of the former. However, because the forms do not match exactly the items cannot be put together.

NA .person who is martyred through. This subordination contains a word for a person, under the noun 'an action'. This is because the action is the part if the item's meaning which embodies the
evil component.

ND ..a bag..everyone else's. In this subordination a thing appears under the noun 'a deed'. The thing itself does not embody evil at all. It is merely a receptacle for 'evil deeds' and as these are why the word is in EA1, they are also the part of its meaning under which the word is classified.

EA2 Evil and Dark, EA3 Evil and Graceless

Both GA2 and GA3 are very small (18 and 10 items respectively), and semantically both are specific types of EA1 Evil. As such it may seem that the items in them should have been presented under various subordinations within EA1. However, as it is possible for the items in each—which in both cases are distributed over three parts of speech—to be separated from Evil and set out as discrete units, it is more valuable, in order to illustrate the different aspects and types of evil which are covered in this classification, to do this and to present them as separate categories.

The heading of each category begins 'Evil and', and in each case the following word conveys the distinguishing element of the category, and is also the key item in the category. Both headings retain the word 'Evil' because their key word (respectively 'dark' and 'graceless') is not used in a literal sense. Were each word to stand on its own as a heading, it could be taken in a literal sense, but by linking each with 'Evil', both are connected with the notion of evil, and some indication is given of the way in which they are used.

'deorc/dark OE--' (EA2 AjG) is defined in OED (sense 4) '...evil, wicked; also, in a stronger sense, characterized by a turpitude or wickedness of sombre or unrelieved nature; foul, iniquitous, atrocious.' The word
is sometimes used where the idea of evil relates to the powers of hell or the devil, and from the definition, is clearly evil of a serious and prolonged nature. These features - its seriousness and 'hell and devil' connections - are the ones which distinguish this concept from the general category EA1 Evil.

'graceless 1399—' (EA3 AjG) is defined in OED (sense 1a) 'Not in a state of grace, unregenerate; hence, depraved, wicked, ungodly, impious'. The sense of 'grace' to which this refers is OED 1la: 'The free and unmerited favour of God as manifested in the salvation of sinners and the bestowal of blessings'. 'Graceless' therefore denotes evil of a theological nature - evil that causes one to lose the favour of God and be without salvation in His eyes. It is on this basis that EA3 is distinguished from EA1.

EA4 Hellish, EA5 Diabolical

EA4 and EA5 are closely related to each other and, like EA2 and EA3, both are semantically dependent on EA1, but each has an additional specific component (respectively 'hellish' and 'diabolical') by which it is distinguished from EA1, and which also forms the category heading. The word 'evil' is not included in these headings as, although the categories' key items (and headings) are not used in a literal sense (see below for their literal senses), their meanings in this context are evident. The notion of evil is inherent in 'hellish' and 'diabolical' and does not require to be specified, but in 'dark' and 'graceless', evil is not an apparent component of either item when the item stands alone, and it therefore does require to be specified in these headings.

Many of the items in EA4 and EA5 are related to one another.
The key items and headings are used in a transferred sense here, but their closeness can clearly be seen in their literal sense. 'Hell' in its literal sense (OED 2) is 'The infernal regions...the abode of devils' while 'diabolism' (OED 1) is 'Action in which the devil has a share'. (The devil, according to OED sense 1 is '..the supreme spirit of evil..').

In the categories, 'hell c1374--' (OED 4) is defined 'Something regarded as resembling hell..A place or state of wickedness, suffering, or misery' while 'diabolism 1681--' (OED 2) is 'Action or conduct worthy of the devil;..devilry'. EA4 Hellish therefore covers items which express evil as derived from or related to hell, the abode of evil creatures, while EA5 Diabolical covers items which express evil as related to the evil creatures which inhabit hell. Generally the items fall clearly into one category or the other, and the categories are therefore usefully distinguished from one another.

The only items which could belong to either category are 'infernality' and related words. The definitions of these items in the OED connect them to both 'hellish' and 'diabolical'. 'Infernality 1805+1862' is defined as 'The quality of being infernal..hellishness, diabolicalness...'. They have however been placed under EA4 Hellish, because by its derivation 'infernal' is more closely related to 'hell'. It comes from Latin infernalis from infernus meaning 'subterranean, of the lower regions'. Infernus is also used in later Christian contexts as a noun meaning 'hell' and - although latterly capable of being used of either 'hell' or 'diabolism' - has been placed in EA4 Hellish.

EA5 Diabolical

NP who is an agent of satan. The first two items in this subordi-
nation are actually - in Old English - the same item. 'lim OE' is in Clark Hall and its definition includes 'agent, offspring?' and a reference to 'B1.33'. In OED under 'limb n. 3b is the phrase 'devil's limb' defined 'Agent..of the evil one'. Its first quotation is dated 971 and is from 'Blickl. Hom. 33' - clearly the same source as Clark Hall's 'B1.33'. The actual quotation uses the phrase 'deofles leoma', but in Clark Hall this has been treated simply as a use of 'lim' n., while in OED it has been treated as a phrase. There may have been a case for conflating the two entries under the phrase, but as the Old English one allows for the use of 'lim' on its own in this sense in Old English, and the OED one indicates that only the phrase has survived beyond Old English, it is worth keeping them separate to maintain this distinction.

EA6 Offensive

Of the 36 items here 20 are derived from the names of animals. The animal names involved are whelp, viper, vermin, spider, skunk, and crab. In chapter 6 the role of animal names in NP is discussed and analysed, and all the animal names mentioned here are covered. However many of the animal names in this category do not belong in NP. Their distribution is as follows. AjG: 7, AjT: 2, AjP: 4, NQ: 1, NP: 4, AvM: 2. Each animal name is the basis of the following numbers of items: vermin: 8 items, spider: 5, crab: 1,7 viper: 3, skunk: 2, whelp: 1. All these animals are generally regarded by man as unpleasant and inferior. The most productive, vermin, is defined in OED (sense 1) as 'animals of a noxious or objectionable kind'. Its transferred senses, which draw on this definition, and the
transferred senses of the other animal names, are therefore placed here.

EA7 Worse

This category is the equivalent in Evil to GA4 Better in Good, and is arguably grammatically rather than semantically distinct from EA1 Evil. The reasons why it, and others like it, have been kept separate, are fully explained in the note under GA4.

VG The single item here is the verbal phrase 'to do worse'. This might suggest that it should be under the subdivision VD ('to do'), and not under VG ('to be/act'). However the OED definition of 'to do worse' (under 'worse' n. 2b) is much broader than simply 'to do'. It is defined 'to behave more wickedly, badly, foolishly, etc.; also...to deal with or treat (a person) more harshly or unkindly'. That is why it is under VG.

EA8 Heinous

NQ combined with awe. The one item here is 'awfulness' and the logical heading would have been and awful. 'Awful' however no longer means 'filled with awe'. It is – and has been, according to the OED, since the 1830s – a simple intensifier for anything bad, similar to frightful, dreadful, terrible, and so on. As a result it cannot be used in this heading, as it would be taken in its present-day sense and misunderstood. The longer 'combined with awe' must be used to make the sense of the subordination clear.
EA9. Unpardonable

There are 46 items in this category and every one has a negative affix (often un-, in-, or -less). To some extent therefore the category is included in Evil on the basis of what it is not - 'not pardonable, excusable, atonable, etc.' - rather than what it is. In spite of being negative in formation though, many of the items have a positive meaning in their own right. When they are applied to something they imply that the referent is beyond pardon, or is too wicked or evil to be pardoned, and is 'unpardonable' for that reason. This element of meaning is, to a much greater extent, the reason why the category belongs in Evil. It is similar in this respect to GA8 Matchless. 'Unpardonable' itself is undefined in the OED, but in the illustrative quotations it is used of, among other things, 'an unpardonable crime' and 'the most unpardonable malefactor'. Both these quotations seem to convey the ideas of wrongness and wickedness in their use of 'unpardonable'. And 'irredeemable a1834+1892' conveys a positive notion of evil in its definition: 'beyond redemption; irreclaimable; thoroughly depraved'.

EA10 Worst

See general discussion of this and the three other similar categories under GA4 Better. EA10 is the equivalent in Evil of GA7 Best and, like EA7, is arguably grammatically rather than semantically distinct from EA1 Evil.

EB Evil and Inferior

This entire section is dominated by nouns for people. It has more nouns than any other section in the classification (see table on p. 86) and more nouns than any other part of speech in the section,
or than all other parts of speech in the section put together (866 nouns, 667 all other parts of speech). A breakdown of the number of nouns in each category can be found in Appendix III.

The majority of these nouns occur in the NP subdivision. **Evil** and **Inferior** seems to be an area in which names for people abound. These names are of two main types. Either they are insults, i.e. inferior names for people, and occur mainly in EBl, or they are words for rogues and base worthless people, i.e. names for inferior people, and occur mainly in the other categories, especially EB3.

Many of these NP items are also animal names, and are discussed fully in chapter 6. In fact the majority of the items discussed in chapter 6 are from EB. Of 164 such items 109 are from EB, and 84 of these are from EBl (see p.410 for fuller details).

**EB1 Inferior**

NP *according to abuse*: 'pot-hunter 1592+1592+1592'. This item is unique in the classification in having three quotations all of the same date and all from different sources. One is from Nashe, one from Greene, and one from an Admonition Book of Emmanuel College Cambridge.

'shick-shack 1855-- dial'. This item has a very specific definition which could only have been covered by means of a long subordinate heading, but as the item is dialectal, and can be generally regarded as a term of abuse, it has been placed here without reference to its specific sense. **OED** defines it as '..a term of abuse for persons who were found not wearing the customary oak-apple or sprig of oak on the morning of Royal-oak day (29 May)..'.
**EB2 Worthless**

This category consists almost entirely of items in AjG and NP (122 out of 131 items). There is only one adverb and no verbs.

NP 'waster 1352--'. The label qualifying this item 'now chiefly dial' is taken from OED, but nowadays the word is slang rather than dialectal. In the 7th edition of the Concise Oxford Dictionary it is defined 'good-for-nothing' and labelled slang. The OED definition is considerably longer: '...An extravagant spender, a squanderer, spendthrift. Now chiefly dial...a worthless person, 'ne'er-do-well''. There are really two senses here, but OED has kept them both together, and as it is not easy to tell from the quotations when the 'worthless person' sense appeared, the earliest date has been retained and used here. In the Historical Thesaurus the item has also been provisionally placed in R815 Prodigality, so its earlier sense is covered as well.

**EB2 Worthless, EB3 Roguish**

These two categories are closely related and items which are structurally and semantically related or derived from one another are spread across both categories on the basis of their OED definitions and the way they are used. Two different examples can be used to illustrate this.

In EB2 NP is 'vagabond 1686--' defined 'A disreputable or worthless person', while in EB3 NAc is 'vagabondism 1840 (1)' defined 'A rascally or knavish act'. Each clearly belongs in its respective category, yet the latter is a derivative of the former.

The second example involves a set of 'losel' words which, for the sake of clarity, are presented overleaf in a column.
In EB2 AjG are lorel 1590-1614 defined 'good-for-nothing'
losel 1601-- defined 'good-for-nothing, worthless'
loselled 1606 (1) defined '=losel'
loselly 1611-1694 defined '..good-for-nothing'

In NP are losard 13.. (2) defined '=losel'
lorel 1362-1647 defined 'worthless person, rogue, blackguard'
losel 1362-- defined 'a worthless person'
lorer c1400 (1) defined '=lorel'

In AvM is lorelly c1450 (1) defined 'like a lorel'

These definitions cause the items to be placed in EB2, although 'lorel' and, by equation, 'lorer' and 'lorelly' have a second part to their definitions by which they might be placed in EB3. The first part, however, is clearly EB2, and it is on that basis that they have been classified.

In EB3 AjG is loselling 1624 (1) defined '..rascally'

In NC are lorelship c1380 (1) defined 'rascality, lewdness'
loselry 1480-1594+1894 defined 'profligacy, debauchery, rascality'

In NP is cock lorel c1515-1621 defined 'rogue, reprobate'

By their definitions these items certainly belong in EB3, and not, like the items to which they are plainly related, in EB2. Apart from the three EB2 items mentioned above, which could also be in EB3 (the connection with which is made explicit by an internal cross-reference at the end of each category) these items are all correctly classified over two categories.

EB3 Roguish

AjG 'slip-string 1629-16..+1824'. This is the order of dates for this item as given in the OED, but as 16.. could in theory be any
date from 1600 to 1699, it could be earlier than the 1629 quotation. The OED source here is James O. Halliwell's Contributions to Early English Literature...from the 15th to the 17th century, a 19th century work which is here clearly quoting a 17th century source which the OED has been unable to date more precisely.

NC There is no NQ in this category, but there is a large entry under NC (noun, conduct). This is because the notion of 'roguish' (on the basis of OED definitions has generated many items meaning 'roguish conduct' but none meaning 'roguish quality'. Even the item 'roguishness 1578-1755' is under NS (noun, state). Among those under NC are 'scoundrelship 1856--' defined as 'the behaviour of a scoundrel, scoundrelism' and 'rascality 1592-1825' defined as 'rascally character or conduct'. Neither would fit in an NQ subdivision.

EB5 Dissolute

This category is the closest in Evil to a semantic opposite of GA11 Virtuous. It includes 'virtueless' and 'unvirtuous' and related parts of speech. 'virtueless 1402--' is defined 'destitute of virtue or moral goodness; immoral, vicious.'

NC of youth: 'uncorn 1513+1710'. Although this item appears - from its dates - to have a currency of almost 200 years, the second quotation actually comes from a glossary of the work cited in the first quotation (Ruddiman's Glossary of Virgil's Aeneid). In effect, this means that the only evidence of the word in use is 16th century. However because it is in this Glossary, it is also recorded in the 18th century, and both the OED and this classification reflect that written record.
EB6  Wretched

This category covers 'wretched' in the sense of poor or degraded or inferior, as opposed to miserable or unhappy. Some items embody both notions in their definitions, but those that are placed here are primarily 'inferior'. In AjG 'wretched 1482--' (OED sense 5) is defined 'Of persons or animals: Poor in ability, capacity, character, etc.' The 'miserable' sense (covered by OED senses 1 and 2) is defined, in sense 2, as 'Of conditions etc.: Marked or distinguished by misery or unhappiness; attended by stress, discomfort, or sorrow.' This is not in Good and Evil, and it and other related items will have been placed, in initial Historical Thesaurus classification procedures, in R825 Suffering or R834 Dejection. A number of the items here have also been cross-referred to these categories, and at the end of EB6 there is an external cross-reference to Unhappiness in the Historical Thesaurus classification scheme. Among the other items in AjG which have overlapping definitions are 'bad 1297--' which is defined 'Of defective quality or worth...poor, worthless, 'wretched', 'miserable' ..' and 'squalid 1660--' defined 'Wretched, miserable, morally repulsive or degraded'.

This category also has no verbs at all, and in this respect illustrates a tendency of many of the categories in EA and EB. Apart from EAl Evil and EB10 Accursed, all these categories have very few verbs, and four categories in EA and three in EB have no verbs at all. This is probably because most of the items which appear in these categories have never been used as verbs, and any verbal expressions for the concepts they cover are periphrastic or phrasal, and are not treated as verbs in the OED. For example in this category the verb could be expressed as 'to be wretched', 'to act meanly or pathetically',
and so on. These verbal phrases are not covered by the OED and hence are not in this classification. A full list of categories and the number of verbs (and other parts of speech) in each can be found in Appendix III.

AJT 'lybre/lither OE-1622'. There is no Old English slip for this item. OED defines it 'Of things: Bad...; poor, sorry, ill-conditioned, ill-looking, worthless...' and dates it c1000, on the basis of which it has been placed here, dated from Old English. However in EB7 Base AJT is 'lybre OE' and this item—in the Old English dictionaries—is defined 'bad, sordid, vile (of things, bribes, etc.)'. These two entries are almost certainly for the same item but (as reflected by the definitions) its sense purely in Old English differs from its sense as it moves from Old English into Middle English and later. As a result the same item appears in two different categories. See EAI AJG for a similar comment on a different sense of the same item.

AvM 'lyberlice/litherly OE-1550+a1583'. As with 'lybre/lither' above this item is only from OED, where it is defined 'Badly, meanly, miserably, wretchedly.' But in EB7 AvM is 'lyberlice OE' defined 'wickedly, vilely'. Again the definitions support the placing of what is in effect the same item in two different categories.

EB7 Base

AjG 'vile c1290--'. Two OED senses are combined here. They are (sense 1) 'Of actions, conduct, character, etc.: Despicable on moral grounds...characterized by baseness or depravity', and (Sense 1b) 'Used to qualify nouns denoting faults of mind or character'. The second sense is simply a specific application
of the first.

AjT, AvM See EB6.

EB8 Villainous

More than half this category - 25 out of 46 items - is NP. There are three adjective subdivisions, all very small. Unlike other categories (as GC1) items have been neither split up nor combined on the basis of referent. As a result 'villainous' does not appear under AjG, but instead appears under both AjA and AjP.

NQ 'villainy al225--'. In OED this item has five spelling variants (treated as a-e under the sense) and on the original Historical Thesaurus slip the item had been treated as five separate words on the basis of these variants. However, as the distinction was one of form, they have been conflated here under the now standard form 'villainy', and given the date of the earliest. In fact 'villainy' itself does not appear until 1605 - the earliest form is 'vileinie'.

NP 'villain 1303--'. It is likely - and past usage indicates - that this item is applied chiefly to men, and the OED defines it in terms of 'a man'. However, the OED's standard policy is to define many agent nouns as 'a man who...' even when the item can be applied to a woman or child, and 'a person who...' would be more appropriate.10 In this classification, unless a word is clearly only of a man (or woman) as borne out by definition and quotations, in which case it goes under a .male (or .female) subordination, it is treated as 'a person', and put under the general NP heading. This is especially so when, as here, the item is the agent noun of the category heading. It is often the case though
that nouns which appear under NP are applied chiefly to men. There are many such nouns in the EB categories.

EB10 Accursed

The majority of the items in this category are concerned with utterances. The idea of 'accursed' which is covered here is that of 'uttering curses or deserving of uttered curses'. The item 'accursed' itself appears in AjG under the subordinate heading as an everyday imprecation. In most categories the item which forms the heading is under a straightforward adjective subdivision - it is an indication of how dominant the 'utterance' idea is in this category that the heading is under an 'imprecation' subordination. The category has also drawn supplementary material from R899 Malediction.

The OED has two main senses of 'accursed'. The one which predominates (and occurs) here is 'accursed 1591--' (OED sense 2) which is defined 'Worthy of the curse.; execrable, damnable; detestable, hateful.' Also influential however is 'accursed c1220--' (OED sense 1), defined 'Lying under a curse or anathema' ('anathema' in OED is defined in this sense as a religious 'act, or formula; consigning to damnation'). So 'accursed' here is a general sense which developed from, and is related to, a stronger religious sense. Some items have elements of 'accursed' sense 1 in their meaning, notably those in AjG under the subordinations .and consigned (or consigning) to evil, and those in VU which are under the subordination .and invoke evil. In acknowledgement of this the category ends with an external cross-reference to 'Religion: curse'.

AjG .and consigned to evil. There are two items here which date from Old English and continue into Middle English or later. Neither
has an Old English slip and for both the Old English forms are based on the evidence on OED. One is 'awyrnge/awaried(e) OE-1340' and the other is 'wargd/waried OE-a1585'. The post-OE forms of both are very similar, yet their OE forms are quite different. Perhaps one could have been 'normalized' to match the other, but as the policy here for words with no Old English slip is to take the form of the Old English quotation provided in OED this has been adhered to.

- as an everyday imprecation. 'damned 1563--'. Two OED senses have been combined here. They are senses 3 '..accursed, damnable, execrable. Obs. exc. as in 4..' and 4 'Used profanely as a strong expression of reprehension or dislike, or as a mere intensive'.

NX The heading NX is normally construed as 'that which is (in this case, accursed)', but in this particular subdivision every item is subordinated under the heading .spec. an utterance, and most are subordinated even further. So many of the nouns in EB10 are - or are related to - utterances, that the abstract NX subdivision has been used, along with a subordinate heading, purely to cover nouns meaning 'accursed utterances'. An alternative would have been to have created a subdivision NU (noun, an utterance), but as NX is capable of covering the items, it was decided to use NX. Among the further subordinations in NX are two which entail, respectively, the placing of an action (.action of saying) and a person (.person who performs) under NX. This is because in both cases the notion of 'accursedness' (which is why the items are in EB10) exists in the 'utterance' and not the action or person. Therefore both items go under NX .spec. an utterance.

VU All of the verbs here are under subordinations- either .and
invoke evil (in which case they relate to both senses of 'accursed' as mentioned above) or as an everyday imprecation.

..upon a person/thing. 'devote 1647--'. This entry combines two OED senses. They are sense 3 'invoke or pronounce a curse upon' where the object is the person being cursed, and 3b (obsolete and only recorded once, in 1749) 'invoke or pronounce (a curse)' where the object is the curse.

EC Evil and Wrong

Of the 14 categories in this section, nine have headings of more than one word. Wherever possible category headings are limited to one word (see discussion of this on p. 68), but many of the categories here require either two words or a short phrase. Such headings are commented on below under the categories concerned.

EC1 Wrong-doing, Transgressive

This category has a double heading. 'Wrong-doing' on its own could be understood as a kind of wrong relating to either 'erroneous' or 'transgressive', and in order to make it clear that it relates to the latter 'transgressive' has been added to the heading. Similarly 'transgressive' on its own could be associated with 'sin' rather the general 'wrong-doing', and its sense is clarified by its being linked with 'wrong-doing'.

The category consists chiefly of nouns (116 nouns and 71 other items), but there is no NQ subdivision. This is because (as '-doing' in the heading suggests) the idea which the category covers is much more one of action than quality. Its principal noun subdivisions are therefore NA (noun, action), ND (noun, deed), and – as the agent
of the action or deed - NP (noun, person).

In ECl are many items which might appear, from our general knowledge of them, to be quite different from one another, and not to share the common idea of 'wrong-doing'. They include 'trespass', 'error', 'fault', and 'crime' (all in ND), among others. However, the noun definitions of both the key items, and of a selection of other items, indicate that they are all properly placed together.

In NA the two key items are defined as follows:
'transgression 1426--' is 'The action of transgressing...; a violation of law, duty, or command; disobedience, trespass, sin.'
'wrongdoing 1480--' is 'Transgression of or offence against the moral or established law; reprehensible action or behaviour; evil-doing, misdoing; misconduct.'

In ND several other items which may seem different from one another are defined as follows:
'trespass cl290--' is 'A transgression; a breach of law or duty; an offence, sin, wrong; a fault.'
'fault 13..--' is 'Something wrongly done...In moral sense: A dereliction of duty; a misdeed, transgression, offence.'
'error cl330--' is 'A departure from moral rectitude; a transgression, wrong-doing.'
'offence 1382--' is 'A breach of law, duty, propriety, or etiquette; a transgression, sin, wrong, misdemeanour, or misdeed; a fault.'
'crime 1514--' is '..An evil or injurious act; an offence, a sin..' 

From the above definitions it is evident that these items are legitimately in ECl.

All the items in this subdivision are under subordinate headings as none means simply 'a transgressive thought', but each is a
particular kind of transgressive thought.

**EC2 Straying, Aberrant**

This category also has a double heading. 'Straying'on its own would tend to be taken literally, while 'aberrant' is a less widely used word, and would therefore be less effective as a heading on its own. The OED does not define 'straying' but includes it as a derivative of 'stray c1325--' meaning 'To wander from the path of rectitude, to err'. 'Aberrant 1848--' is defined '...fig. diverging or deviating from any moral standard'. The general idea is that of 'going wrong' (unlike EC1, where it is 'doing wrong').

Like EC1, this category is more one of action, or behaviour, than quality. Again there is no NQ and this time the main noun subdivision is NC (noun, conduct).

**VB** All the verbs in this category come under the heading 'to become aberrant'. They could arguably have been put under VG 'to act aberrantly/be aberrant', but as the fundamental notion of this category is 'going wrong', it is more appropriate to place the verbs in a context in which they can be interpreted as such.

Among the verbs here are 'stray' (defined above), 'err c1315--' which is defined 'To go astray morally; to sin', and 'to go wrong 1500/20--' which is defined '..to fall into error; to err'. All these verbs involve the notion of becoming or going wrong, and are best covered by the heading 'Become'.

**EC3 Sinful and Wrong**

There is a close connection between this category and 'sin' as dealt with under Religion. In order to ensure that 'sinful' in the
heading is not understood in its purely religious sense, it is
coupled with 'and wrong' (much as 'Evil and' accompanies 'dark' and
'graceless' in EA2 and EA3). As there is a close connection with
religious sin though, the category ends with an external cross-refer-
ence to 'Religion: sin'. EC3 is concerned with items which mean
'sinful' but which are used in non-religious contexts. It covers the
ideas of transgression and wrong-doing according to the law of man
rather than the law of God. Many of the items it contains can,
however, be used in both a religious and a secular sense and often,
in their secular sense, have religious overtones. This is why the
category is distinct, and why its items are not simply included
among the 'wrong-doing' of EC1. There are many items where the
distinction between religious and secular is not clear, and these
appear both here and in Religion. 11

AjG 'synful/sinful OE--'. This item has no Old English slip, because
the appropriate Old English slip has a purely religious definition
and is under Religion. 'synful/sinful' in EC3 is OED's sense 1,
and is defined 'Of persons etc.: Full of sin; wicked, corrupt'.
This definition is both religious and secular. The first part,
'full of sin', relates to the sense of 'sin' quoted below, while
the second part, 'wicked, corrupt', relates to the notion of
secular wrong-doing covered by this category.

NA, ND The item 'sin' does not appear at all here. It is defined in
OED (sense 1) as 'An act which is regarded as a transgression
against the divine law and an offence against God...', and there-
fore only appears in Religion. There is no corresponding non-
religious sense.

NP There is no item 'sinner' here as, like 'sin' above, it is treated
as a purely religious concept by OED. Sense 1 is defined 'One who sins; a transgressor against the divine law.' Sense 2 is much less serious '... a rogue...' and appears under EB3 Roguish. There is no sense that belongs here.

**EC4 Guilty**

AjP Every adjective in this category - apart from one - is in this subdivision. Guilt is something which seems to be only experienced by people (or at least is generally conceived of as being only experienced by people).

The one non-AjP item is in AjA ('guilty 1591--': 'Of actions... involving guilt').

There are many subordinations in AjP. They include as applied to the instrument/scene of a crime, by means of which an adjective, of a thing, is subsumed under the heading of an adjective, of a person. The item in this subordination, 'guilty 1588--' (OED 1b) is a transferred use of 'guilty' (of a person) (OED 1).

**EC5 Criminal**

This category is closely connected with the notion of 'crime' as covered under Law. Indeed many items which were initially classified here, drawn from material under R914 Wrong, were removed to R954 Illegality (ultimately Law in the Historical Thesaurus). It is a more general notion of 'criminal', as a kind of wrong-doing, which is covered here.

Among the items here are, in AjG, 'criminal 1430--' (OED 1) defined as 'Of the nature of or involving a crime; more generally, of the nature of a grave offence, wicked', and in NAc, 'crime 1514--'
(OED 2) defined 'More generally: An evil or injurious act; an offence, a sin, esp. of a grave character.' Not included though is OED's sense 1 of 'crime': 'An act punishable by law, as being forbidden by statute, or injurious to the public welfare.'

Some of the items under the subordinate headings here are similar in sense to items under NP subordinations in EB3 and EB4. For example in EB3 there is (on interpretation of the headings) an item for 'a cunning rogue', in GB4 there is 'a ruffian who breaks windows' and 'a ruffian armed with a razor', while in EC5 there is 'a criminal who uses violence'. Many other headings in these categories suggest similar kinds of people. The difference between those in EB3 and EB4, and those in EC5 is one of emphasis. In EB3 and EB4 the emphasis is on the inferior status of the people – if they have criminal proclivities these are covered in the subordinations as additional features. In EC5 however, the fact that these people are criminal is the most important feature. If they also appear to be rogues or ruffians these features are additional.

EC6 Immoral

See note under EC8 below.

EC7 Leading Astray

The heading of this category consists of a short phrase which does not itself appear in the category. The reason for this is that there is no obvious current adjective in the category which, were it used as a heading, would not be misunderstood. In AjG, and not in a subordination, the only current items are 'seducing' (which could be
understood sexually) and 'lenocinant' (which is not common and would not be enlightening as a heading). As a result a 'paraphrase' heading has been used. This heading 'Leading Astray' also links the category with EC2 Straying, Aberrant, as 'stray' occurs in both headings. The categories are related in so far as EC2 covers the idea of 'going astray' (the implication being that it is on one's own initiative) and EC7 covers the idea of 'causing another to go astray'.

As there is no obvious item in this category which can be used as a heading, one might question the legitimacy of having the category. However it does have 54 items, many of which are derived from either 'pervert' or 'seduce'. Both these words (and their derivatives) mean simply 'lead astray' and although both have now acquired sexual overtones, it is their non-sexual senses which are covered here. For example, in VG 'pervert c1375-' is defined 'To turn..away from right opinion or action; to lead astray; to corrupt', and in NP 'seducer 1545-' is 'One who..entices (a person) into error or wrong-doing'. Of the 54 items here, 36 are no longer current (a fairly large proportion), and those which are still current are often used now in a specific (usually sexual) way (not covered in this classification).

NA .the result of. There are two items within this subordination which are 'qualities' ('pervertness' and 'pervertedness') although they are under NA (noun, action). Had they been placed in an NQ subdivision their meaning would be interpreted as 'the quality of leading astray', when in fact they are 'the quality of being led astray', i.e. the resultant quality from the action of leading astray. To fit in this category they have to be placed under the noun of action.
EC8  **Corrupt**  

This category is to some extent a 'completion' or 'result' of the idea covered in the previous category. The process of leading astray (as covered by EC7) is corruptive. It implies that corruption is in progress. The end result for any 'victim' is that they are corrupt, or have come to embody corruption (as covered by EC8). Each category ends with a cross-reference to the other.

EC8 is also related — and cross-referred — to EC6 **Immoral**. EC6 covers the basic idea of someone/—thing that is immoral, where the immorality is by implication inherent rather than imposed. It is a direct opposite of GB1 **Moral**. In EC6 'immoral 1660—' is defined 'Not consistent with, or not conforming to, moral law or requirement; opposed to or violating morality; morally evil or impure..' (the definitions of 'moral' and related words (in GB1) are concerned with '...the distinction between right and wrong, or good and evil..'). EC8 is related to EC6 in so far as 'corrupt' is in effect 'made immoral', and it entails imposed rather than inherent immorality. The definitions of some of the items in EC8 reflect this. In AjG 'rotten cl380—' is defined 'Morally, socially, or politically corrupt' and 'debauched 1598—' is 'Seduced or corrupted from duty or virtue; depraved or corrupt in morals'. In NQ 'depravity 1646—' is 'Perversion of the moral faculties..'.

AjG  'corrupt cl325—'. Two OED senses (or parts of speech) have been conflated here. One is sense 3 of 'corrupt' adj.: 'Debased in character, infected with evil; depraved, perverted; evil, wicked'. The other is 'corrupt' pa. pple., obsolete since cl600, and defined 'corrupt, depraved, spoiled'.
There is a particular semantic link between categories EC1 to EC8 (especially EC1, EC2, and EC6-EC8). This can perhaps be most clearly illustrated by expressing the idea covered in each category in terms of 'wrong' as defined adjectivally under the note for EC above:

EC1 is doing wrong
EC2 is going wrong
EC3-5 are kinds of wrong-doing
EC6 is being wrong
EC7 is causing to go wrong or making wrong
EC8 is gone or made wrong

EC10 Undutiful

This category, and EC11 and EC12, are borderline categories in Evil (their equivalents in Good were rejected from the classification). They are discussed, in this respect, in chapter 2 p. 55.

EC11 Failing in Duty

As in EC7 this category heading is a phrase which is not present in the category. None of the items in the category could have presented the idea covered here as effectively or obviously as this short phrase. For example, 'derelict 1864--' is defined 'Guilty of dereliction of duty; unfaithful, delinquent' but it is not an obvious word to convey this idea. In addition the choice of a heading containing the word 'duty' draws a direct and important link between this category and those on either side of it. EC10 Undutiful is the largest and most important of the three - EC11 and EC12 are specific kinds of undutifulness (either through failure or avoidance).
EC12 Avoiding Duty

Like EC11, the category heading here is not an item in the category. The word 'shirking' could have been used as a heading instead (undefined under 'shirk' verb, which is defined (sense 4) 'To evade (one's duty, work, obligations, etc.)'), but if it had been, the link between this category and the preceding two, made explicit by the use of the word 'duty' in their headings, would have been obscured.

EC13 Unfair, Unjust

Most of the adjectives here are in AjG, but there are several which the OED has split up on the basis of referent, and although these too are in AjG, they also appear in AjA and/or AjP.

EC14 Improper, Unfitting

This category is the equivalent in Evil of GB8 Proper, Fitting, and part of the commentary on GB8 is an explanation of why it differs from the other categories in its section. The same - but in terms of 'wrong' rather than 'right' - is true of this category. EC14 is more concerned with wrong meaning 'inappropriate' rather than 'immoral'. Chapter 2 (p.65) gives the specific senses of 'wrong' to which EC1-13, on the one hand, and this category, on the other hand, relate.

EC14 is therefore, to some extent, the negative of GB8, and the majority of the items it contains begin with a negative prefix (usually un-). However, in addition to negating GB8, the items in EC14 also express a positive idea - 'improper' or 'unfitting' - in their own right.
ED Evil and Treacherous

Many of the categories in this section are fairly small and perhaps the most significant point about them is the way in which they relate to one another. As a result most of the commentary concerning them appears here, under the section, rather than under individual categories. The categories cover a series of closely related and finely distinguished ideas. Each is connected to the others, but in particular ED1 to ED6 form one very close group, and ED7 to ED10 form another. The section finishes with ED11. The internal cross-references at the end of each category reflect these connections.

As the categories are so closely related, there are overlaps of meaning among the items contained in each, to the extent that the distinctions between some categories may be arguable or difficult to discern, and it is worth spending some time here digressing from the usual style of the notes in this chapter, and conducting an informal but quite detailed experiment, in order to justify these distinctions. As was mentioned in chapter 1, there are some parts of this classification where componential analysis could justly be applied as a means of establishing the fine semantic distinctions between categories, and this section especially categories ED1 to ED6 - is one such part. However a full componential analysis would be inappropriate in a notes chapter. Instead, an informal sampling method based on principles similar to those of CA has been applied. By this method, the items which form the headings of ED1 to ED6, plus several other items from each of these categories, are listed overleaf along with their OED definitions. This listing is followed by a brief non-componential summary of the essence of each category as extrapolated from the dictionary definitions, and by this means the distinctions
between the categories are clearly stated.

ED1 Treacherous

'treacherous c1330--': 'Of persons, their attributes, or actions: Characterized by treachery; deceiving, perfidious, false; disloyal, traitorous.'

'traitorous c1380--': 'Having the character of, or characteristic of, a traitor; treacherous, perfidious.'

treachery a1225--': 'Deceit, cheating, perfidy; violation of faith or betrayal of trust; perfidious conduct.'

'false a1225--': 'Of persons, their attributes, or actions: Deceitful, treacherous, faithless.'

ED2 Treasonous

'treasonous 1593--': 'Full of or abounding in treason; characterized by treason or treachery; treasonable.'

treason a1225-1611+1825': 'The action of betraying; betrayal of the trust undertaken by or reposed in anyone; breach of faith, treacherous action, treachery.'

treachery a1300--': '.esp. The deception or perfidy of a traitor; treason against a sovereign, lord, or master.'

ED3 Betraying

'betraying 1628+1814': 'That betrays.'

'betrayal 1816--': 'A treacherous giving up to the enemy.'

'sellan/sell OE--': 'To give up (a person) treacherously to his enemies; to betray (a person, a cause, country, etc.).'

'betray c1275--': 'To give up to, or place in the power of, an enemy, by treachery or disloyalty.'

ED4 Perfidious

'perfidious 1598--': 'Characterized by perfidy; guilty of breaking
faith or violating confidence; deliberately faithless; basely treacherous.'

'perfidy 1592--': 'The deceitful violation of faith or promise; base breach of faith or betrayal of the trust reposed in one; treachery; often, the profession of faith or friendship in order to deceive or betray.'

ED5 Unfaithful

'unfaithful 1565--': 'Of conduct: Characterized by want of good faith; not honest or upright.'

'disloyalty 1481--': 'The quality of being disloyal; unfaithfulness, falseness.'

'faithlessness 1605--': 'The quality or fact of being faithless...b. Want of good faith, insincerity.'

ED6 Disloyal

'faithless 1362--': 'Destitute of good faith, unfaithful, insincere; false to vows etc., perfidious, disloyal.'

'disloyal c1477--': 'Unfaithful to the obligations of friendship or honour, to the marriage tie, etc. ...b. Untrue to one's allegiance.'

'disloyalty 1600--': '..Now esp. Violation of allegiance or duty to one's sovereign, state, or government.'

'faithlessness 1605--': 'The quality or fact of being faithless. a. Want of fidelity, disloyalty, perfidy.'

The above definitions both emphasize the similarities of and provide the means of establishing the differences between categories ED1 to ED6.

The next step is to look in turn at the set of definitions drawn from each category, and to pick out from them the elements which are
central to these definitions and peculiar to that category only.

From these, the essence of the category can be established. Often the definitions of quite a number of the items will refer to one or two other items in the category, and it is these items which contain in their definitions the key features of the category.

In ED1, 'traitorous' and 'false' mention 'treacherous' in their definitions. 'Treacherous' in turn mentions 'treachery' ('treacherous' though, has a fairly long general definition, which also includes as definition elements the category headings of ED4 and ED6). 'Treachery' has as the central part of its definition 'violation of faith or betrayal of trust'. 'Betrayal' is used here not in its ED3 sense, but in a wider 'violation of trust' (OED 2) sense. We can substitute 'break' for 'betrayal' (to avoid ambiguity) and paraphrase this in more simple terms as 'the breaking of another's trust or faith'.

In ED2, 'treasonous' refers to both 'treason' and 'treachery' (a different sense from that in ED1) and both these items have elements in their definitions which occur only in this category. Under 'treason' is 'betrayal of the trust undertaken by or reposed in anyone', a more specific form of 'treachery' in ED1, and under 'treachery' is 'treason against a sovereign, lord, or master'. These two elements can be combined and paraphrased as 'the breaking of trust accepted or granted, esp. by a superior'.

In ED3, all three definitions quoted include the idea of 'giving up to an enemy by treachery', and in fact that phrase can stand as a general paraphrase for the category. 'Treachery' is used as in ED1 and hence its paraphrase is not repeated.

The items in ED4 are similar to those in ED1, and also ED2, but in both quoted definitions the basic qualities are intensified, and are
more extreme. The treachery here is 'base' and 'deliberate'. A paraphrase might be 'intense and deliberate treachery' (again the paraphrase of 'treachery' is not repeated).

ED5 and ED6 are particularly similar. The heading of each occurs in the definitions of items in the other. The item 'faithlessness' occurs in both categories (in senses a. and b. of the one sense in OED). In ED5 it means 'want of good faith' and in ED6 'disloyalty'. The definition of 'unfaithful' in ED5 also mentions 'want of good faith'. In OED 'faith a1300--' is defined 'Confidence, reliance, trust (in the ability, goodness, etc...in the efficacy or worth of...in the truth of..)'. The quality covered by ED5 is the negative of this, and can be summarized as 'without trust or faith, esp. that another might expect'.

In ED6 all the quoted definitions (except that for 'faithlessness', which refers to 'faithless') mention obligations or duty or vows, and the essence of this category seems to be contained in the idea of lacking in loyalty, or of not being true, specifically to ties or obligations. A paraphrase based on the definitions is 'not true, esp. to one's ties or obligations'.

If these six paraphrases are now listed consecutively (and converted where necessary to adjectival form, in keeping with the category heading) the basic idea covered in each category, and the fact that they are all different, can be clearly seen.

ED1 Treacherous breaking trust or faith
ED2 Treasonous breaking trust accepted or granted, esp. by a superior
ED3 Betraying giving up to an enemy by treacherous means
ED4 Perfidious intensely and deliberately treacherous
ED5 Unfaithful without trust or faith, esp. that another might expect
ED6 Disloyal not true, esp. to one's obligations
ED2, ED3, and ED4 are all extensions, in different specific ways, of ED1. They confirm the fact, mentioned in chapter 2 (p. 73), that ED1 is the semantically most general category of ED. ED5 and ED6 are slightly different, expressing straightforward negativity ('without' and 'not') rather than active 'breaking'. However, 'without trust' and 'not true' in these contexts are semantically very close, and the distinction between the two categories lies more in the 'especially' parts of the paraphrases rather than the main parts.

Obviously this analysis of the distinctions between ED1 to ED6 is informal and unscientific. There is no metalanguage applied or defined, and no attempt made to reduce the paraphrases to semantic universals, but even this informal approach does bring out the key features of each category and indicate the potential value and findings that a full componential analysis could have.

**ED2 Treasonous, ED3 Betraying, ED4 Perfidious**

The principal noun subdivision in these three categories is NA (noun, action). Also, in ED2 and ED3, the noun is the part of speech for which there are most items. The ideas covered by these categories - treason, betrayal, and perfidy - generally manifest themselves in actions, so it is not surprising that NA should be a dominant subdivision.

**ED8 Crooked**

This category covers the idea of 'crooked' in its figurative sense (OED 3): 'The reverse of straight in figurative senses..deviating from rectitude or uprightness..dishonest, wrong, perverse.' AjG...and harmful. The one item here 'back-wounding 1603 (1)' is
undefined in OED and is recorded only once, in Shakespeare. It appears in the phrase 'back-wounding calumnie', as uttered by the duke in Measure for Measure on discovering that his own men have been talking ill of him behind his back. It therefore qualifies a calumny which is both underhand (or crooked) and personally harmful to the duke. It has consequently been placed here, despite its lack of a definition.

ED9 Sneaky
This category covers the notion of 'sneaky' in a figurative sense. 'Sneaky' itself is defined 'Characterized by..sneaking', which is not informative, but 'sneak a1643--' (in NP) is defined '..one who acts in a shifty, shabby, or underhand manner.'
NQ 'shadiness 1914--'. This figurative sense of 'shadiness' appears in OED under the literal sense of 'shadiness'. The literal sense dates from 1611 but the only figurative evidence is one Mod.12 quotation. 'Shadiness' here has therefore been dated from this Mod. quotation by using the date of publication of the OED fascicle in which it appears, which in this case is 1914.

ED10 Disingenuous
Every item in this category begins with a negative prefix: 'in-', 'un-', or 'dis-'.

ED11 Unscrupulous
If a prominent author coins or revives an item, his name is often mentioned in the classification after the item concerned (a full list of authors who are mentioned is on p.118). In this category four of
the 32 items are followed by Scott (short for Sir Walter Scott) indicating that he was the first, or only, person to use them. One other item ('unconscientious 1775--' in AjG) is also used by Scott, among others. This is a significantly high proportion of the total category to be initiated, or used, by one author.

This category, like ED10 and several others in the classification, consists almost entirely of items with a negative affix. However, although it does literally negate a positive Good concept ('scrupulous'), the category also expresses a positively Evil concept, as 'unscrupulous' and related words are used in their own right and not simply as negatives of their opposite.

**EE1 Harmful**

This category begins the final section of the classification - EE Evil to Self/Other - and is a large general category. It is the largest category in EE and elements of definitions of some of the items it contains form headings of other EE categories (and indeed other categories elsewhere in Evil).

It covers the notion of 'harmful' both literally and figuratively (that is, on the one hand physical and mental harm, and on the other hand amoral and deliberate moral harm), although in this classification the figurative sense is more important. OED however often does not distinguish between literal and figurative in its definitions, and really by default both ideas are covered here. For example 'harmful a1340--' has only one sense in OED and is defined 'fraught with harm or injury; injurious, hurtful', and 'injurious 1559--' is 'Tending to hurt or damage; hurtful, harmful, detrimental, deleterious.' In addition 'harm' itself - sense 1 in OED (under NX in EE1)
- is defined 'Evil (physical or otherwise) as done to or suffered by some person or thing; hurt, injury, damage, mischief.' The definition of 'harm' verb also includes this 'physical or otherwise' qualification.

AjG and sinister. This subordination has the word sinister in its heading, yet the following subordination actually contains the item 'sinister'. The sense of 'sinister' which occurs in the heading and meaning of the items here is adj. 6 in OED 'Of omens etc.: Portending or indicating misfortune or disaster..'.

to a thing/person. This subordination includes 'sinister 1725--'. This 'sinister' is adj. 8 in OED and is defined 'Unfavourable, harmful, or prejudicial to a person, his interests, etc.'.

spec. causing wounds. Most of the items here are used of both literal and figurative wounds, and the OED definitions do not make the distinction. They are of the formula 'Causing a wound or wounds' and the illustrative quotations are both literal and figurative. 'Wound' itself is literal (OED 1) and figurative (OED ld) but both date from OE, and either could be the 'wounds' being caused here.

more, progressively more. These headings cover the comparative forms of the adjective, and may be thought to contain items more fitted to EB7 Worse (especially as the items themselves are 'worse' and similar). However they are actually comparatives of 'harm' rather than of the more fundamental notion of 'evil' and are thus properly placed here under EEl rather than in EA.

NX 'wrong cl275--'. Two OED senses have been conflated here. They are (of 'wrong' noun) sense 5: 'Injustice, harm, or evil inflicted upon another or others; wrong-doing', and 5b: 'Injury, hurt, harm, or prejudice received or sustained by a person or
persons.' One is injury given and the other is injury received, but as that is a distinction not made by the subdivision headings (and not regarded as a distinction which needs to be made) the senses can be conflated with no classificatory loss.

VG This is one of the more complex verbal subdivisions in the classification. The main part of it covers intransitive verbs and absolute uses of transitive verbs, while the first subordination (. to a person/thing) covers transitive and phrasal verbs. Transitivity is not always a basis for distinction in verbal subdivisions - often VG will have both transitive and intransitive verbs - but in this case, in order to reflect the way in which OED has divided up the verbs, it is necessary and is effective. Of the 12 verbs which occur in the main part of the subdivision, as vi or va, nine are repeated in the subordination as vt.

EE2 Disadvantageous

The concept covered by this category is to some extent a milder version of that covered by EE1. In EE1 there is a strong element of 'Evil to Self/Other' (the section heading), but in EE2, where many of the items begin or end with a negative affix, there are distinct associations with the idea of 'not advantageous'. The category is therefore partly 'not a good concept' as opposed to (like EE1) an actively evil concept. It is by no means entirely such though. Not all of its items are defined in purely negative terms. 'Disadvantageous 1603--' is defined 'Attended with or occasioning disadvantage; unfavourable, prejudicial' and 'disadvantage c1380--' (in NX) is defined 'Detriment, loss, or injury to interest; diminution of or
prejudice to credit or reputation.'

**EE3 Playfully Mischievous, EE4 Harmfully Mischievous**

The milder quality of EE2 is maintained by EE3, but EE3 is also very closely related to EE4, which is stronger again. EE3 and EE4 both take their headings from the same word, but in different senses, and the difference in the senses is indicated by the qualifying word for each: 'playfully' and 'harmfully'. The full headings of these categories do not appear as items in the categories, but 'mischievous', and 'mischief', occur in both categories.

In EE3 is 'mischievous 1676--' (OED 4) defined as 'Of persons, their conduct, etc.: Disposed to or characterized by acts of playful malice or petty annoyance', and 'mischief 1784--' (OED 8) defined as 'Vexatious or annoying action or conduct; chiefly, conduct causing petty injury or trouble to others by way of sport, without any ill-will.'

In EE4 is 'mischievous c1380--' (OED 3) defined as 'Of things, events, actions: Fraught with or entailing mischief or harm; having harmful effects or results', and 'mischief 1646-1822' (OED 5) defined as 'Hurtful character or influence; mischievousness.'

The EE3 definitions include 'playful', 'petty', and 'without..ill-will', while the EE4 definitions include 'harmful' and 'hurtful'.

**EE5 Spiteful, EE6 Ill-Willing, EE7 Bitter, EE8 Ill-Natured**

As with categories ED1 to ED6, these four categories are all very closely related. Simply from the category headings and the items each contains, unsupported by definitions, it is not easy to tell why the category divisions have been made in this way, and what the precise
differences are. It is therefore once again useful to digress from
the normal style of these notes and to provide an informal analysis,
-based on definitions of items from each category, of the essence of
each, and the distinctions between them.

The definitions of selected items are as follows.

EE5 Spiteful
'malicious al225--': 'Of persons, their dispositions, etc.: Given to
malice, addicted to sentiments or acts of ill-will...'
'venomous a1340--': 'Having the virulence of venom; rancorous, spite-
ful, malignant, virulent; embittered, envenomed.'
'spiteful 1490--': 'Full of, possessed or animated by, spite;
malicious, malevolent.'
'malice 1297--': 'The desire to injure another person; active ill-will
or hatred...'

EE6 Ill-Willing
'ill-willing a1300-1741': 'Wishing evil to another; cherishing ill-
will; malevolent.'
'malevolent 1509--': 'Of persons, their feelings and actions: Desirous
of evil to others; entertaining, actuated by, or indicative of
ill-will; disposed or addicted to ill-will.'
'malignant 1592--': 'Characterized by malignity or intense ill-will;
keenly desirous of the suffering or misfortune of another, or of
others generally.'
'ill(-)will al300--': 'Evil or hostile feeling or intention towards
another; malevolence, malice, enmity, dislike.'

EE7 Bitter
'biter/bitter OE--': 'Characterized by intense animosity or virulence
of feeling or action; virulent.'
'rancour 13..--': 'Inveterate or bitter ill-feeling, grudge, or animosity; malignant hatred or spitefulness.'

'virulence 1663--': 'Extreme acrimony or bitterness of temper or speech; violent malignity or rancour.'

EE8 Ill-Natured

'ill-natured 1635/56--': 'Of evil disposition; having or showing malevolent character or feeling; unkindly, churlish, spiteful.'

'nasty 1825--': 'Ill-natured, ill-tempered, disagreeable.'

From each set of definitions we can now pick out key elements which occur only or predominantly in that set, and which distinguish it from the others.

The EE5 definitions include the phrases 'animated by spite', 'active ill-will', and '..acts of ill-will'. We can summarize these as 'harm or ill-will in action'.

The EE6 definitions include 'wishing', 'desirous of', 'intention', and 'feeling', and the category seems to cover the idea of 'desiring' as opposed to, in EE5, 'doing'. It can be paraphrased as 'harm or ill-will in desire'.

EE7 is more intense than either EE5 or EE6. Its definitions include 'intense', 'inveterate', 'extreme', and 'violent'. It covers both acting and feeling, but to a greater degree. It can be paraphrased as 'intense harm or ill-will in action or desire'.

Finally, EE8 is more concerned with disposition and temperament, rather than feelings or action, and covers a notion slightly separate from, but still related to, the preceding three, which is 'having a disposition which means one is spiteful, ill-willing, or bitter.' This can be shortened to 'disposed to be spiteful, ill-willing, or
bitter.' As the preceding three categories have already been summarized in simplified terms, their headings can be used here without repetition of the summary.

If the four paraphrases are listed consecutively below, expressed in adjectival form in keeping with the category headings, the semantic distinctions between the categories can be seen.

EE5 Spiteful displaying harm or ill-will in action
EE6 Ill-Willing displaying harm or ill-will in desire
EE7 Bitter displaying intense harm or ill-will in action or desire
EE8 Ill-Natured disposed to displaying (intense) harm or ill-will in action or desire

EE5 and EE6 are very similar and complement one another, EE7 embodies both of them and intensifies them, while EE8 embodies all three preceding categories, but shifts the focus from 'displaying' to 'disposed to'.

As in ED1 to ED6 this analysis is not scientific and does not break down the language of the definitions into any kind of meta-language, but in an informal way it does enable the semantic distinctions between each category to be located and specified.

EE5 Spiteful
AjG 'viperous 1535--'. Two OED senses have been conflated here. The first is sense 1: 'Of or pertaining to a viper or vipers. Rarely in literal use.' This definition is illustrated by figurative quotations from 1535 to 1886, in which viperous is chiefly applied to speech, though indirectly, as the actual referent ranges from the tongue to the jaws or the spirit. The second sense is sense 3: 'Of actions, qualities, etc.: Worthy of or befitting a viper; malignant, treacherous, venomous.'
In the quotations for this sense viperous is applied to thoughts, behaviour, villainy, etc. The senses are very close in meaning and use, hence their conflation.

The definition of sense 3 also contains elements which appear, and are key items, in other categories ('malignant' in EE6, and 'treacherous' in ED1). This repetition and overlapping of elements of definitions across categories is – as has been mentioned elsewhere – an almost inevitable by-product of any attempt to classify abstract vocabulary in this way.

NF This subdivision (noun, feeling) occurs in five consecutive EE categories, EE5 to EE9. The implication is that such notions as 'spite', 'ill-willing', etc. are expressed and embodied in people's feelings to a much greater degree than are the notions covered by other categories in the classification.

EE8 Ill-Natured

This category is fairly small (the smallest EE category) and consists almost entirely of adjectives. It forms a distinct category because – as explained above – it embodies the notions covered in EE5 to EE7 inclusive.

AjG 'yfelwillende OE'. This item translates into Modern English as 'evil-willing' which might lead one to wonder why it is not in EE6 Ill-Willing. The reason is that its definition is 'ill-disposed, wicked', and as 'ill-disposed' itself is in EE8, yfel willende also belongs here.

EE9 Harsh, Unkind

VT .in the manner of mohocks. There is just one item here 'mohock
1718 (1)', but in EB4 NP aristocratic etc., there is an entry 'mohock 1711/12--'. These two items are related, yet they are in different categories. 'Mohock' noun, in EB4, is defined 'One of a class of aristocratic ruffians who infested the streets of London at night in the early years of the 18th century.' It is a word for a type of ruffian, and is therefore properly in EB4 Ruffianly. 'Mohock' verb, in EE9, is defined 'To assail or maltreat in the manner of mohocks.' This word therefore basically means 'maltreat', and as 'maltreat' is already in EE9, it is fitting that 'mohock' verb should also be. The part of its meaning which is related to 'mohock' noun is expressed by means of the subordinate heading, and the specific nature of 'mohock' noun in EB4 is similarly expressed by subordinate headings. Thus, although the words are related, each is classified according to its basic sense, and they are in different categories.

EE12 Savage

AjG 'inhuman 1481--'. Two OED senses are conflated here. They are sense la 'Of persons: Not having the qualities proper or natural to a human being; esp. destitute of natural kindness or pity; brutal, unfeeling, cruel. Also fig. of things' and sense lb 'Of actions, conduct, etc.: Brutal, savage, barbarous, cruel'. The principal distinction is one of referent, and as AjG covers a wide range of possible referents, the senses are easily conflated. Furthermore, each sense separately would be in AjG, as its specified referents cover more than one other Aj subdivision, so it is essential to combine them.
Notes to Chapter 4

1. A 'Mod.' quotation is one made up by the editors of the OED to indicate that a sense is still current, although no modern published quotation was available at the time the entry in question was being written. All Mod. quotations are late 19th or early 20th century - their date can be regarded as the same as the date of publication of the OED fascicle in which they appear.

2. An essential feature of Old English verse was the kenning - the single word or phrasal synonym. J.E. Cross in Vol.1 of the Sphere History of Literature in the English Language (London: Sphere, 1970) talks of, in OE poetry, 'the prevalence of poetic alternatives' which include 'one-word synonyms...compound words or phrases' (p.17). G.W. Turner in Stylistics (London: Penguin, 1973) comments that 'Similarly in Old English poetry the sea was called 'the gannet's bath' or the 'whale road'...called 'kennings' in Old English' (p.131).

3. Current practice among the lexicographers at the Oxford Dictionaries is not to label 20th century words obsolete, except in special circumstances, and where the last recorded evidence of the word is no later than the early part of the century.

4. See note 1 above.


6. These senses are not in this classification.

7. See chapter 6 p.423 for an explanation of why 'crab-staff' and 'crab-stick' are not counted.

8. See OED's Bibliography for a fuller reference.

9. The Scottish National Dictionary records no further evidence of the word.

10. The current policy in the Oxford Dictionaries is to define such nouns 'A person...' or 'One...'.


12. See note 1 above.
Chapter 5

Two Statistical Studies

5.1 Introduction

There are two sections to this chapter. The first considers all the items in the classification from a purely historical point of view and examines, by century, the pattern of accessions and losses in the semantic field Good and Evil. The second deals with a sample of some 1200 items chosen from the classification, and discusses this sample from both a historical and an etymological viewpoint. The selected items are examined on the basis of their century of entry into (and exit from) English and their source of origin.

5.2 A Historical Study

5.2.1 Explanation and Presentation of the Tables of Accessions and Losses

The tables on pp. 326 to 334 detail the number of items which are first recorded in each category, in each century (the upper number in every box, preceded by 'I', for 'in'), and the number of items which are designated obsolete in each category, in each century (the lower number in every box, preceded by '0', for 'out').

For this purpose, the span of English from Old English to the 20th century has been divided into ten chronological periods. The first period is Old English (or OE), which is regarded as lasting until 1150. The second period covers the 12th century, but so much of the written evidence surviving from this period is in Old English
that the items counted as belonging to this period chiefly date from the second half of the century. The other eight periods cover a century apiece, from the 13th to the 20th centuries inclusive. The last of these is also very poorly represented. There is an eleventh column in the tables, headed 'T' (for 'total'), which gives the total number of items in each category which have come in and gone out. By subtracting the lower figure from the upper in this column, the number of items which are still current can be ascertained. For example, the 'T' column for GA5 indicates that, over the centuries, a total of 549 items made an appearance in the language, of which 205 became obsolete. Therefore there are 344 items which are still current to express the idea of 'excellent' (including its related parts of speech).

It must be stated that the century of entry and exit for each item refers to the particular sense of that item which is covered in the classification, and not necessarily to the very first or last date of the item as a whole, in all its senses. For example, in GA3 Good NQ, 'proof' is counted as dating from the 17th century. This is because in the sense in which it belongs in this classification '...good condition...goodness...' it dates from 1616. In its earliest sense of 'evidence sufficient...to establish a fact' 'proof' is first recorded in English in a1225, but as that is not a sense which belongs here it is not the date which is used.

Every category in the classification has been treated separately in the count and there are also general totals for each section. In addition, there are separate totals for Good and Evil, and final totals for both together.
The tables are presented on the following pages, and they precede a discussion which examines the figures revealed by the tables, especially by both the final totals and the totals for various individual categories. A number of individual categories — which differ from the final totals in specific ways — are commented on separately. This commentary is followed by a second set of tables, giving numbers of items which are recorded only once in the OED, and the figures revealed by these tables are compared with those of the first tables.

Some OED dates are ambiguous, principally because they occur around the beginning or end of a century. They have been treated as follows:

1299, a1300, and c1300 (for example) are counted as 13th century.
1300 and 13.. (for example) are counted as 14th century.
a1400/50 is counted as 14th century (based on the earliest possible date of this span, which could be before 1400).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>OE 12th</th>
<th>13th</th>
<th>14th</th>
<th>15th</th>
<th>16th</th>
<th>17th</th>
<th>18th</th>
<th>19th</th>
<th>20th</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmless</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A5</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A6</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surpassingly Excellent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A7</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A8</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matchless</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A9</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A10</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meritorious</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A11</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtuous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A12</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honourable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A: TOTALS</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>OE 12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B1 Moral</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B2 Conscientious</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B3 Impartial</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B4 Fair</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B5 Righteous, Just</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B6 Honest</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B7 Trustworthy</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B8 Proper, Fitting</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B: TOTALS</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C1 Kind</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C2 Beneficial</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C3 Wholesome</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C4 Well Disposed</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>OE 12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-Meaning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnanimous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gracious</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considerate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merciful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortunate, Lucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C: TOTALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD TOTALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evil &amp; Dark</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evil &amp; Graceless</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hellish</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabolical</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offensive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heinous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpardonable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EVIL A: TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthless</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roguish</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruffianly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B5</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolute</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B6</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wretched</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B7</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B8</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villainous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B9</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foul, Filthy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B10</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accursed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B: TOTALS</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>OE 12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong-doing, Transgressive</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straying, Aberrant</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinful &amp; Wrong</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilty</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immoral</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading Astray</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrupt</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-Behaved</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undutiful</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failing in Duty</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding Duty</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfair, Unjust</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper, Unfitting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C: TOTALS</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE 12th</th>
<th>13th</th>
<th>14th</th>
<th>15th</th>
<th>16th</th>
<th>17th</th>
<th>18th</th>
<th>19th</th>
<th>20th</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>227</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>OE12th</th>
<th>13th</th>
<th>14th</th>
<th>15th</th>
<th>16th</th>
<th>17th</th>
<th>18th</th>
<th>19th</th>
<th>20th</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treacherous</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasonous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betraying</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfidious</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfaithful</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disloyal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishonest</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crooked</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sneaky</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disingenuous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unscrupulous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D: TOTALS</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>OE 12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantageous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playfully</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmfully</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiteful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-Willing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-Natured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harsh, Unkind</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard-Hearted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merciless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbarous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodthirsty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E: TOTALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5.2.2 Some Extrapolations from the Final Totals

It is most useful to consider these tables very generally to begin with. The last part, at the top of this page, gives the overall totals for each century, and for the whole classification. Of the 9071 items in the classification, 4917 (or 54.21%) are now obsolete. The relative proportions of items which have come in and gone out in each century varies considerably.

The figures reflect some predictable general trends which can be attributed to various influences on the data and some features of their sources.

The majority of the Old English items do not survive beyond the end of the Old English period. 1326 (or 80.71%) of the 1643 items recorded in the OE period are obsolete by 1150. In addition, the number of items first recorded in the 12th century is extremely low. 13th century accessions are also fairly low. These figures can be largely accounted for by historical events. After the Norman Conquest English fell out of favour and, for several centuries, French became the language of the upper classes and of written documents. When
English re-emerged, partly in the 13th and substantially in the 14th centuries, many Anglo-Saxon words had been lost altogether and huge numbers of French and Latin words had been borrowed. As A.C. Baugh comments, the changes in the vocabulary concerned 'the loss of a large part of the Old English word-stock and the addition of thousands of words from French and Latin.' The tables reflect this, with the loss of much of the OE vocabulary, low 12th and 13th century accessions, and a much greater number of accessions in the 14th century. The second section of this chapter (5.3) includes tables which indicate that, from the sample examined, French based incomings in the 14th century were high (for further details see p.369 ff.).

The overall totals also include a very low figure for incomings in the 18th century. This is largely attributable to the low number of illustrative quotations for this century in the OED. However, the number of items designated obsolete in the 18th century is not particularly low and indeed often exceeds the number of accessions, a feature which emphasizes how unusual the incoming figures are. In the 19th century the number of items designated obsolete is very low. This is because the OED (without the Supplement) is a substantially 19th century work, and it was obviously safer for the editors not to mark as obsolete any items which had - for them - comparatively recent quotations. All items with a 19th century final date have been checked in the OED, and those marked and counted as obsolete are listed in Appendix III. The same problem is now true of 20th century words from the Supplement. Only two items are designated obsolete in the 20th century. The number of 20th century accessions is also low. The OED was too early to have many 20th century quotations, and much of the Supplement was not used for the classifi-
These tendencies can be observed throughout the tables. Some further observations can be made from the overall totals. As was mentioned above, during the Old English period 1643 items are recorded, and of these 1326 became obsolete in the same period. The figures for the 17th century are surprisingly similar: 1618 items appear and 1395 go out (although obviously these are not 1395 of the 1618 - many would have first appeared in earlier centuries). No other period has such a large number of obsolete items. One might speculate whether the 17th century was not also - like Old English - a period of great change. This is followed up on p. 356-7 when the figures are considered in the light of a second set of tables (which detail the number of items which have only one quotation in the OED). The 16th century sees change too - more than 1600 items first appear, but only 674 become obsolete - a much lower figure. Obsolete figures are generally low after the OE period until the 17th century. Figures for accessions are generally high in the 14th, 16th, 17th, and 19th centuries.

5.2.3 The Final Totals as Percentages

In order to illustrate more clearly the significance of the comments on particular categories which appear on the following pages, the figures in the final totals are converted overleaf into percentages. In this table the 'in' (or 'I') figures are given as percentages of the total of 9071 items, and the 'out' (or 'O') figures as percentages of 4917 (the total number of obsolete items).
Some other percentage figures, which are referred to for purposes of comparison of the following pages, are:

the percentage of the OE corpus which
(a) does not survive beyond the OE period: 80.71%
(b) survives into ME or later: 19.29%

the percentage of the 9071 items in the classification which are
(a) obsolete: 54.21%
(b) still current: 45.79%.

References to these percentages are not always made explicit in succeeding pages, but they should be consulted if necessary, as the significance of the comments made about other percentages can only be fully appreciated when considered in relation to these percentages.

5.2.4 Good and Evil: the Separate Totals

The separate totals for Good and Evil generally reflect the tendencies shown in the final totals.

The Good part of the classification contains 3373 items. Of these 1743 (or 51.68%) are now obsolete. The greatest number of accessions (675) is recorded in the Old English period. The 16th and 17th centuries contain the next highest numbers - over 500 accessions each. They are followed by the 19th century and then the 14th century, with over 400 accessions each. The number of outgoings is highest in the OE period, and next highest in the 17th century (the reverse of the final totals).
The **Evil** part of the classification contains 5698 items of which 3174 (or 55.7%) are now obsolete. This is a slightly higher percentage of the whole than is the percentage in the corresponding **Good** figures above. The greatest number of accessions is in the 16th century (over 1100) followed by the 17th century (over 1000). These are followed by an Old English figure of 968. The order is different from that of **Good**, but it is these same three periods which have the highest numbers. They are followed – some way behind – by the 19th and 14th centuries with over 600 accessions each. As regards outgoings, the 17th century (as in the final totals) has the greatest number, followed by the OE period.

5.2.5 Some Differences (by Category) from the Final Totals

Obviously, as the final totals reflect the averages for the whole classification, there are ways in which individual categories deviate from these averages.

In **Good** there are three ways in which the Old English material deviates from the averages of the final totals. They are:

1. Seven categories have a higher than average proportion of OE items, most of which do not survive beyond the OE period. They are GA11, GA12, GB8, GC6, GC8, GC12, and GC13.
2. Three categories (GA3, GB5, GC1) have a high proportion of OE items, a relatively large number of which do survive beyond the OE period.
3. Two categories (GA7 and GC4) have a high OE survival rate.

In **Evil** there is more variety in the ways in which categories deviate from the average. They include the above three ways, but each affects fewer categories than in **Good**. The first only affects
EA1 and EC3, the second EC1, and the third ED5.

A number of categories deviate from the averages in their own particular ways. The more significant of these are discussed under individual category comment on the following pages. Many comments relate to Old English material in the categories (in particular to its extent and duration) and should perhaps be considered along with the Old English discussion in chapter 2 (p. 105 ff.) and the notes in chapter 4 which deal with Old English items.

Other comments refer to the origins of the words in some categories, especially those words which form or are derived from the category headings. In this respect these comments anticipate the etymological discussion which forms the second section of this chapter.

GA3 Good

This category has a high proportion of Old English items. 47 items, or 23.38% of the GA3 total, are first recorded in the OE period. 15 of these 47 - or 32% (which is a high proportion) - survive beyond the end of the OE period. Among these is the lexical item 'good', which dates from OE and is still current. Many of the surviving items are different senses of OE 'god' and its derivatives, and the fundamental notion of 'good' is one which is still chiefly expressed in words of OE origin.

GA5 Excellent

Most notable in this category is the high number of accessions which are recorded in the 19th century: 123 out of a total of 549, or 24.04% - about double the percentage which the final totals reflect. If we look at this category in the classification (p. 132-6) we can see that under AjG especially, but also under several of the
N subdivisions and AvM, there are very many slang or colloquial terms for excellent which first appear in the 19th century. It is likely that the OED specifies slang terms from the 19th century onwards with more ease and accuracy than in earlier centuries. Slang is often by nature restricted to informal contexts, and is easier to recognize with certainty if the items are still used or at least still within living memory. See the note on this in chapter 4, p.257.

GA6 Surpassingly Excellent

The OE figure here is very low - only 7 out of 140 items, or 5%. This is probably because most of the OE items with the sense 'excellent' are fairly general in definition and application or, if specific at all, mean 'excellent and noble' rather than 'surpassingly excellent'. As a result they are all covered under GA5. It is quite possible that some of these OE items could have meant, or may have been used to mean, 'surpassingly excellent', but that their application is, in keeping with many OE items, so wide that they are under Excellent. See also the comment on the breadth of OE lexis on p.108 and the comment on GA5 and splendid, noble on p.258.

GA7 Best

Like GA3, a high proportion of the OE items here (almost half) survive beyond the end of the OE period. Most of those which survive into Modern English are formed from the roots OE 'betst' or 'hiehst' (i.e. 'best' or 'highest'). Like 'good', of which 'best' is the superlative, it is an idea which is still generally expressed in words of Old English origin.

GAl1 Virtuous, GA12 Honourable

Both these categories have a high proportion of OE items - 26.88%
of the GA11 total. This is perhaps predictable in that both 'virtue' and 'honour' (particularly 'honour') are concepts which are held in high esteem in much of the corpus of Old English verse which has survived. They are essential to the heroic ideal with which much of OE verse is concerned. As E.V. Gordon says, in his introduction to the Battle of Maldon, 'The source of heroism was the instinctive sense of honour: to live without honour was universally agreed among the heroes of old to be worse than death.' Because these ideas occur often in OE verse there are many different OE synonyms by which they can be expressed. This is borne out by the high proportion of OE items in these two categories.

It is also interesting that 'virtue' and 'honour' - words which we use today - are both of French origin. It appears that the strength of French influence in the post-OE period was such that the OE words by which these concepts were originally expressed are now largely obsolete, and the concepts are better known now by French words. (See also the comments on GA12 on p.385).

GB2 - Conscientious

Like a number of other categories in the classification, it might appear from the distribution of the figures in the tables, that the notion of 'conscientiousness' dies out almost entirely between the OE period and the 14th century - apart from two accessions in the 13th century. In fact it is most unlikely that this was the case, but rather that the figures merely reflect the lack of English sources in the 12th and 13th centuries. This gap in the sources is also evident in the figures for GB6, GC7, GC9, ED4, and ED13. In some of the very small categories, such as EA2, the fact that the category is so small may partly be the reason for the gaps.
GB5 Righteous, Just

The proportion of Old English items in this category is extremely high: 38 out of 81, or almost 47%. Also very high is the number of Old English items which survive beyond the OE period: 15, or almost 40% of the OE total. These proportions are considerably above the averages of the final totals. 'Right' and related words are all OE in origin, and many words which are first recorded in OE in this category are still in use. Unlike the items in GA11 and GA12 they have not been replaced by French words. The extent of OE related words can be seen in the category itself on p. 151. 44 of the 81 items contain the element 'right-'. The concept of 'righteousness' is, like 'virtue' and 'honour', appropriate to the heroic ideals (and also perhaps the religious nature) of much OE literature and it is not surprising that there are many words to express the concept in Old English. 13

GB8 Proper, Fitting

This category also has a high number of OE items, but unlike those in GB5, most have not survived beyond the OE period. 40% (or 38) of the items in GB8 are OE, but of these only 15.79% (or 6) survive, and half of these in turn do not last beyond the 15th century. The word 'proper' comes from French and is first recorded (in this sense) in the 15th century. 'Fitting' dates from the 16th century, and comes from the word 'fit', which is Middle English and of unknown origin.

GC1 Kind

Again, OE figures are high: 55, or 25.94% of the total. Quite a number survive - 16 out of 55, or 29.09%. Many of those which survive include the elements 'good' or 'well'. 'Kind' itself is
an OE word, but only in the sense (of the adjective) 'Natural, native' (branch I in OED). In the sense which it has here ('...benevolent...') it dates from the 13th century.

**GC4 Well Disposed**

A very high proportion of the OE items here last beyond the OE period - eight out of 14, or 57.14%. Five of these eight become obsolete at later stages. Many of the items in the category contain the element 'well' (from OE wel). They are often post-OE items and many of them are still current. 'Well disposed' first appears in 1455. The 'disposed' part is from Old French.

**GC5 Well-Meaning**

If the figures in this category are taken at face value it would appear that there were no Old English words at all to express the idea of 'well-meaning'. It is unlikely that this is the case, but rather that the category is so small that similar or closely related notions are covered elsewhere. The cross-references at the end of the category point to other places, both within and beyond the Good and Evil classification, where items closely related to the concept may also occur. Other categories which also appear to have no Old English are GB3, EA3, EA6, EB4, EC11, EC12, ED10, ED11, EE2, and EE3.

**GC6 Generous, GC8 Gracious, GC12 Merciful, GC13 Blessed**

All of these categories have a high proportion of OE items: 47 or 28.48% in GC6, 34 or 55.74% in GC8, 27 or 27.84% in GC12, and 10 or 23.81% in GC13. In each case most of the items become obsolete within the OE period. Like 'virtue' and 'honour' (GA11, GA12) the four qualities covered here are all worthy of admiration and respect and are therefore appropriate to the sentiment of much OE verse.
Apart from 'blessed', they are, again like 'virtue' and 'honour', ideas now expressed by French words: 'generous' is first recorded here in 1696, 'gracious' in a1310, and 'merciful' in a1300. 'Blessed' is OE in origin, but it is interesting to note that in the category only the verb 'to bless' (OE 'blesian') has an OE starting date. 'Blessed' and other derivatives are not recorded until early Middle English or later.

EA1 Evil

Of the 559 items in this category 234 are Old English. This is 41.86%, a high proportion. Most of these items do not survive beyond Old English. Only 29 of the 234, or 12.39%, survive. This is possibly a reflection of the fact that many OE items are used in a very general way and have very broad definitions.

Another feature of this category is that 19th century accessions are low. Only 34 items - or 6.08% of the total - are first recorded in the 19th century. This is perhaps because our knowledge of 19th century usage is so much more accurate than our knowledge of Old English usage, that the OED can define 19th century words with much more precision. 19th century English was current English when the OED was being written. As a result, it is likely that 19th century items have been placed in categories which reflect their respective meanings much more accurately. Old English was up to and over 1000 years old when the Old English dictionaries were being compiled. Their definitions are often very wide, and hence many items appear in wide general categories.

EB2 Worthless

The proportion of 18th century items here is surprisingly high, given that this century is normally very poorly represented.
There are 13 18th century accessions, out of 131 items, which is very nearly 10%. The average for this century is 5.42%.

EB5 Dissolute

After the 18th century no items here are designated obsolete, and only five accessions are recorded in the 19th century. The 16th and 17th centuries are, by a long way, the periods during which the greatest number of items come in and go out.

EC1 Wrong-doing, Transgressive

The number of OE items in this category is fairly high — 46 out of 187, or 24.59% — and the proportion of those which survive beyond Old English is also high. 13 of the 46 — or 28.26% — survive. Most of these 13 are no longer current — only 'misdeed' is obviously still current. 'Wrong-doing' itself dates from c1400 in this sense, although the word 'wrong' is late Old English (dating from all100 in OED). 'Transgressive' is not recorded until 1646 and is from Latin.

EC3 Sinful and Wrong

There are many OE items here — 38 out of a total of 74, which is just over 51%. All but one are only OE. The one survivor is 'sinful'. There is a close overlap between this category and the section on 'sin' in Chase's classification of Religion. See chapter 2, p.54 and chapter 4, p.298 ff., for further information.

EC5 Criminal

There are noticeably high figures for accessions in the 19th and 20th centuries in this category. These accessions seem chiefly to consist of items designated either slang or colloq (among criminals and in the criminal world there is a huge slang vocabulary, most of which is not covered here), and items which are probably informal in use, although not designated as such. Examples include those items
under the subordinations in NA and NP.

**EC6 Immoral**

The highest number of incomings here is recorded in the 19th century: 14 out of 41, or 34.51%, some 22% above the average for this century.

**EC9 Ill-Behaved**

In both the 16th and 17th centuries a high proportion of items are designated obsolete: 27 out of the 49 obsolete items in the category. Many are only recorded once (see 5.2.6 (p.348 ff.) for more about the effect of such items on the figures for accessions and losses).

**EC13 Unfair, Unjust**

The figure for accessions in the 17th century here is low. Only eight out of a total of 119 - 6.72% are recorded. This is about 11% below average. The highest figures are in the 14th and 16th centuries.

**ED Evil & Treacherous**

Many of the categories in ED are fairly small. Apart from ED1 and ED5 the number of OE items is low. These two categories (Treacherous and Unfaithful) are the most general in the section and it is appropriate - in view of the broad definitions and applications of many OE items - that the majority of the OE items in this section should be under these categories. A similar tendency can be observed in GC1 and EA1.

**EDI Treacherous**

A high proportion of the items here are designated obsolete in the 16th or 17th centuries.

**ED5 Unfaithful**

Of the eleven OE items in this category, five survive beyond the
end of the OE period - a surprisingly high proportion. Two of
the five are obsolete before 1400, and of the other three (all
'untrue' and derivatives) only one ('untrue' itself) is still
current. The word 'unfaithful' dates from 1565 - the root 'faith'
is from Old French and it first appears in English in the 12th
century.

ED10 Disingenuous

Every item in this category begins with a negative prefix.
Of the 21 items it contains, 16 are first recorded in the 17th
century, and seven of these are still current. In fact, all the
accessions in this category are first recorded between the 14th and
the 19th centuries. This narrowness is probably partly due to the
small size of the category. There are related items, whose dates
cover a wider period of currency, in other places both within the
classification and elsewhere in the Historical Thesaurus (the cross-
references (internal and external) at the end of the category point
to where these might be).

ED11 Unscrupulous

The currency of this category extends from the 15th to the 20th
centuries. Of the 32 items it contains, 21 have a negative prefix
and six have a negative suffix.

It might appear from the figures in EC6, EC9, ED10, and ED11,
that formations with negative affixes are more likely to occur from
the 16th and 17th centuries onwards. This is countered, however,
by the distributions in categories EC13, EC14, and ED5. These three
categories also contain many items with negative affixes, but the
items concerned date from Old English.
EE2 Disadvantageous, EE3 Playfully Mischievous

Neither of these categories has any OE items. They are both small and semantically specific, which probably accounts for this: see the comment on GC5 above (p.343). EE2 also has a noticeably high rate of accessions in the 16th and 17th centuries. As in ED10 most of these are items which begin with negative prefixes. EE3 has no items at all before the 15th century. The small size of the category makes it probable that closely related items, which may fill this earlier period, are covered elsewhere: see comment above on ED10. The number of items which are designated obsolete in this category is very low; only nine out of 49, or just over 18%.

5.2.6 Items Recorded Only Once - (1) Items - in OED

A number of items in the OED are supported by only one illustrative quotation. These are noted in the classification by the addition of the number '(1)' after the date of the items concerned. In any category or century where there are many such items, they could affect the validity of the tables of accessions and losses already presented. To assess this a parallel set of tables, using exactly the same structure as the first set, but giving only the numbers of items that are recorded once in OED (the (1) items), has been drawn up. This set of tables does not include figures for Old English, as the Old English material was not compiled from the OED, and there is no explicit record on the slips or in the classification of those that are only supported by one quotation.

The tables are presented on the following pages, and precede a discussion on how they relate to and affect the first set of tables.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>12th</th>
<th>13th</th>
<th>14th</th>
<th>15th</th>
<th>16th</th>
<th>17th</th>
<th>18th</th>
<th>19th</th>
<th>20th</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surpassingly Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matchless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meritorious</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtuous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A12</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honourable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD A: TOTALS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| GOOD B1  |      |      |      | 1    | 2    | 5    |      |      |      | 12 |
| Moral    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |   |
| GOOD B2  |      |      |      | 1    | 1    | 8    |      |      |      | 10 |
| Conscientious | | | | | | | | | | |
| GOOD B3  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 18 |
| Impartial | | | | | | | | | | |
| GOOD B4  |      | 1    | 1    | 2    | 1    | 6    | 3    | 5    |      | 19 |
| Fair     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |   |
| GOOD B5  | 1    | 1    | 3    | 2    |      |      | 3    |      |      | 14 |
| Righteous, Just | | | | | | | | | | |
| GOOD B6  |      |      |      | 1    | 3    | 12   | 3    | 6    |      | 27 |
| Honest   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>12th</th>
<th>13th</th>
<th>14th</th>
<th>15th</th>
<th>16th</th>
<th>17th</th>
<th>18th</th>
<th>19th</th>
<th>20th</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B7 Trustworthy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B8 Proper, Fitting</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD B: TOTALS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C1 Kind</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C2 Beneficial</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C3 Wholesome</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C4 Well Disposed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C5 Well Meaning</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C6 Generous</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C7 Magnanimous</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C8 Gracious</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C9 Considerate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C10 Humane</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C11 Tender</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C12 Merciful</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C13 Blessed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C14 Fortunate, Lucky</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD C: TOTALS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD TOTALS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A1 Evil</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A2 Evil &amp; Dark</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A3 Evil &amp; Graceless</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A4 Hellish</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A5 Diabolical</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A6 Offensive</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A7 Worse</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A8 Heinous</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A9 Unpardonable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A10 Worst</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL A: TOTALS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B1 Inferior</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B2 Worthless</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B3 Roguish</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B4 Ruffianly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B5 Dissolute</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B6 Wretched</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B7 Base</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B8 Villainous</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B9 Foul, Filthy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B10 Accursed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL B: TOTALS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrongdoing, Transgressive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straying, Aberrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinful &amp; Wrong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading Astray</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrupt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-Behaved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undutiful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failing in Duty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding Duty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfair, Unjust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper, Unfitting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL C: TOTALS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treacherous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasonous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betraying</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfidious</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfaithful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disloyal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishonest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crooked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sneaky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disingenuous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unscrupulous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL D: TOTALS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantageous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playfully</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mischievous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmfully</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mischievous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiteful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-Willing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-Natured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harsh, Unkind</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard-Hearted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merciless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>12th</td>
<td>13th</td>
<td>14th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17th</td>
<td>18th</td>
<td>19th</td>
<td>20th T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbarous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodthirsty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL E: TOTALS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVIL TOTALS</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINAL TOTALS</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD &amp; EVIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As with the first set of tables it is most interesting initially to look at and comment on the final totals of the (1) tables. There are 1839 items in the Good and Evil classification which are recorded only once. This is out of the total of 9071 items, excluding the Old English material (1643 items) which is not counted in the (1) tables. Therefore 1839 out of 7428 items - or 24.76% of the total post-Old English OED derived corpus - consists of items recorded only once. This is a high proportion.

The numbers of (1) items across the centuries partly parallels the total incomings and outgoings for each century, and major deviations can generally be explained. This is hardly surprising, given that each (1) item is counted in both the incomings and outgoings for the century in which it occurs. The exception is the 19th century (see below). The largest number of (1) items occurs in the 17th century and, excluding for the moment the 19th century figure, the second largest occurs in the 16th century, followed by the 14th century. The incomings in the full tables (excluding, of course, the
the Old English figures) put the 16th century just ahead of the 17th, and follow up with the 14th century.

The 19th and also the 20th centuries are different from the others. Logically, if each (1) item is counted in both the incomings and the outgoings then the outgoing figures for every category should be at least as large if not larger than the (1) figures (since they must include all the (1) figures plus any genuine outgoings). This is true for every century except the 19th and 20th. In the 19th century there are 417 (1) items, but only 94 outgoings. In the 20th century there are 72 (1) items and only two outgoings.

These exceptions can be explained by the fact that the OED and Supplement were compiled during the 19th and 20th centuries and many items which were of recent innovation at the time of compilation are only illustrated by one quotation, but are not by any means obsolete. For recent current words the OED editors clearly either did not have more than one quotation, or considered that the provision of one quotation was adequate. (The 19th century has a higher than average proportion of (1) items. Of 114 incomings, 417 - or 37.43% - are (1) items.) 19th century items like this have been checked in the OED and only those officially designated obsolete in OED (listed in Appendix II) are counted as such in the figures. Therefore there are many more (1) items in the 19th century than there are obsolete items.

In the 20th century, the comparative recentness of most items means that they are likely to be in the living memory of some (or many) people, and not obsolete, and therefore as a matter of policy in this classification practically no 20th century items are counted as obsolete. Also, a lower than average proportion of the total 20th century incomings are (1) items (72 out of 352, or 20.45%). This
can be attributed to the fact that the Supplement tends to illustrate new words and senses with several quotations wherever possible.\textsuperscript{17} However, the numbers of 20th century items in the tables - and in the classification in general - are disproportionately low (because much 20th century material was not covered for the classification\textsuperscript{18}) and it would therefore be misleading to extrapolate from them.

As was mentioned above, the largest number of (1) items occurs in the 17th century. Of 1618 items, 522 are recorded only once - which is 32.26\%, or almost a third. It may be interesting to try and assess from the two sets of tables (full tables and (1) tables) the extent to which the 17th century is a period of lexical change and innovation, and then to compare this with the 16th century, as both have a similarly large number of incomings. Of the 1618 items first recorded in the 17th century, if 512 appear only once, 1096 must have had some currency (however long or short). Also in the 17th century 1395 items became obsolete. Of these, 522 must be the (1) items, and if we subtract this 522, we are left with 873 outgoings which must have had some currency. These 873 must include those of the 1096 whose currency, although of some length, was still confined to the 17th century (a quick glance through some of the 17th century items in the classification, e.g. in EBl, confirms that there are indeed items with more than one quotation whose currency is only 17th century).

From these various figures we can build (as was hypothesized on p.336) a picture of the 17th century as a period of great lexical fluctuation. 1618 new items for various Good or Evil senses are first recorded, 1096 of which have some currency, and are not simply one-off coinages or uses. 1395 Good or Evil items disappear from
the language, consisting of 522 once-used items and 873 items of longer duration. If we subtract the genuine outgoings (873) from the items of some duration (1096) we are left with 223. These 223 items are the minimum number of 17th century incomings (1096-873) which definitely, on the basis of these figures, have a currency extending beyond the 17th century. There may well be more (this is only a minimum) but they are not explicit in the figures.

We therefore have, as represented by the Good and Evil vocabulary, a picture of 17th century change - many words coming in, very many words going out, and one-off uses in large numbers.

The 16th century also sees many new words, but not nearly as much overall change. There are 1644 new items recorded, of which 292 are recorded only once. This means there are 1352 new items of some duration. Only 674 items are designated obsolete and again 292 of these must be the (1) items. Discounting them leaves 382 genuine outgoings - less than half the number in the 17th century. If we subtract these outgoings from the items of some duration we are left with 970. Therefore 970 items - at least - appear in the 16th century and last beyond it. The century is clearly one of great innovation in Good and Evil, but not one of such great overall change as the 17th century.

Some further brief comparisons could perhaps be drawn here between the full tables and the (1) tables, but in general the distribution of (1) items reflects the numerical distribution of incomings and (prior to the 19th century) outgoings. The amount of detailed commentary which would be required at this stage to make full comparisons explicit is not justifiable in the light of the results which it would produce. A simple visual comparison of one
set of tables with the other (supported when necessary by the items themselves as set out in the classification) is adequate to convey many of their similarities and differences.

Some particular points are as follows. As a rule there are more (1) items in the 16th, 17th, and 19th centuries than in other periods. This is usually followed by the 14th century, although in some categories the 14th century can have quite a few more (1) items than the 16th century.

The Good part of the classification has 618 (1) items and the Evil part has 1221. Evil therefore has nearly twice as many, although it does not have as much as twice as many items in total (5698 Evil items to 3373 Good). The section with the most (1) items is EB, which has 366 (followed by EE, with 342). Both EB and EE have over 1500 items altogether (1533 and 1514 respectively) and are two of only three sections of this size in the classification. It is therefore fitting that they should also have the largest number of (1) items. It is interesting however, that the third large section, GA, which is the largest in the classification with 1538 items, should have significantly fewer (1) items. It has only 262 (1) items (the third largest number, but still many fewer than EB and EE).

Every category in GB has (1) items in double figures, but the categories in other sections are much more erratic. The largest number of (1) items in a single category - 100 - occurs in EB Inferior. Of these 100, 39 are 17th century, and in fact EB as a section has 100 17th century (1) items - the largest number of any section. The categories in EB also have a significantly high number of NP (noun, person) items (see the table of these in Appendix III) and it is likely that many terms of abuse etc. for people, or names
for inferior people are recorded only once and often in the 17th century, thus swelling these figures in the tables. Some consultation of the NP subdivision of EB1 and other EB categories appears to bear out this proposal (although 19th century (1) items are also high in EB - higher than in any other section, the next highest being (predictably) EE and GA).

There are no categories which do not have any (1) items, although there are several centuries - notably the early centuries and the 20th century - which have no (1) items in many categories. The lowest number of (1) items is found in EA3, which has only one, closely followed by EA4 and EA7, which have two apiece.

5.2.7 Summarizing Remarks

In the course of this section, several aspects of the statistics concerning accessions and losses in the Good and Evil classification have been presented and discussed. The section began with a brief explanation of the tables for accessions and losses, which was followed by the tables themselves. Attention was then drawn to the more significant figures presented at the end of the tables - in the final totals - and especially to the figures for those centuries which saw considerable gains or losses. These figures were also presented as percentages. Some features of Good and Evil separately were then commented on. This was followed by commentary on individual categories, much of which related to the Old English material, and to its proportions and survival rate. Also noted were unusually high or low accessions or losses in other centuries in various categories.

The section then turned to consider those items which are only supported by one quotation in the OED. It was pointed out that these
items could affect the figures for accessions and losses, and a parallel set of tables, covering them alone, was presented. This was followed by detailed commentary, which noted the high proportion of these items, compared them with the figures in the full tables of accessions and losses, and pointed out that the figures for the 19th and 20th centuries differed from the others, as many (1) items in these centuries are not counted as obsolete. By means of further comparison it was established that the 17th century, more than any other period, was a time of great lexical change. The section concluded with some brief comments on various figures in the (1) tables.

5.3 A Historical and Etymological Study

5.3.1 The Aims of this Study

The purpose of this study is to examine the century of entry into and exit from English, and the sources, of a substantial number of items in the Good and Evil classification. In order to do this, nine categories have been selected from the classification and each is considered below, both individually, and collectively with the other eight, from a historical and an etymological viewpoint. Information about their currency and etymology is presented in a series of tables and in discussion. The results are then compared with several other studies, notably with a similar study conducted by Chase for Religion. 19

5.3.2 The Chosen Categories and Items

The nine categories selected for this study are GA3 Good, GA12
Honourable, GB7 Trustworthy, GC12 Merciful, EA9 Unpardonable, EB7 Base, EB10 Accursed, EC8 Corrupt, and ED9 Sneaky. They contain a total of 1247 items, which is 13.75% of the complete classification. They were chosen with the aim of providing a reasonably sized and varied sample from across the breadth of the classification. Four are from Good and five are from Evil. They range in size from less than 50 items to more than 200, and are very different in nature, as the comments on p.384 ff. demonstrate.

The items in the categories which are counted for the tables on pp.370 to 378 - and hence form the basis of this study - are single words and 'combinations' or compounds, that is, units of two words, often hyphenated, and usually forming nouns and adjectives (as 'rotten-hearted' in EC8).

Phrases have not been counted. This is because phrases consist of several different words, often from different sources, and to represent them in a table of etymologies would greatly increase the complexity of the information being provided. It would supply little extra at the risk of obscuring the clarity of the more important information being revealed by the majority of the items. Each element of the phrase would have to be derived from its own source. As units, phrases are less coherent than words and combinations, and as such the statistical information they might yield is less valuable. (It should be stated here that the excluded phrases can occur under any part of speech in a category, and are not simply those under PhX.)

In EB10, 'God give you mischance' is a 14th century phrase, the first three elements of which are OE in origin and date, and the fourth element of which is French and 13th century. To represent these four words as 14th century and 'OE & Fr' in origin (or, if all elements of
the phrase were OE, as simply 'OE') would obscure the information under an 'OE & Fr' heading, as it would not be known how many items being counted there were words and combinations, and how many were several word phrases. The information about the accession of items from given sources would also be obscured. Therefore phrases are not counted.

The omission of phrases reduces the number of items covered in the tables from 1247 to 1132, or 12.48% of the total classification. The following table details the respective sizes and number of items omitted from the count, of the nine categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
<th>Total in Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA3 Good</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA12 Honourable</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB7 Trustworthy</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC12 Merciful</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA9 Unpardonable</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB7 Base</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB10 Accursed</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC8 Corrupt</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED9 Sneaky</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>1132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the omission of phrases, the largest category in the sample is EC8 with 239 items, closely followed by EB10 with 237 items. The smallest is ED9 with 32 items, followed by GA12 with 43 and EA9 with 46. The remaining four categories range in size from 83 to 172 items.

5.3.3 Dating and Origin

The information extracted from each of the nine categories is set out in separate tables. This enables comparisons to be made
between categories. A tenth table draws together the more important information from the nine separate tables. The tables contain two types of information. The first is dating information. As with the tables on pp. 326 to 334, each category has been divided into ten chronological periods – Old English and nine centuries (the 12th to the 20th inclusive). Within each period is recorded the number of items which first appeared (the upper figure) and the number of items which became obsolete (the lower figure) during that period.

However, in addition to dates, the tables also indicate origin. The source language (or other source) of each item in each category is detailed along the top of the tables and items are placed according to both their century of entry and their derivation. We can therefore see at a glance how many words for the same concept came in from different sources in different centuries. Also, because the figures for outgoings are included, we can see which sources have the highest or lowest survival rate. To some extent, as with the full tables of accessions and losses, the outgoing numbers are affected by the number of (1) items, but as this has already been commented on, and of far greater importance here is the etymological data, it is not explicitly taken into account.

There are many different groups of language of origin in the categories, and the tables reflect the differing breadth and selection of these groups for each category. The origin given for each item is that of its source prior to its entry into English. The number of different senses the word has in English, and the different dates of these senses, is immaterial. Therefore 'proof' in GA3 NQ (which dates from 1616 in GA3, but a1225 in OED) is counted as being of French origin, because the word came from French when it was first borrowed
into English in the 13th century. All etymologies are based on information in the Oxford Dictionaries. 21

The different origins of the items in this study can be divided into three main groups:
1. Single languages, as Old English, French, Latin, etc.
2. Two languages, as Old English and French, French and Latin, etc.
3. Other sources, as Unknown, Imitative, etc.

The first group, single languages, is the largest and embraces the majority of the items. It includes 14 different languages, although not all of them occur in any one category. Their order, as set out overleaf, is consistent in each table for each category. Some of them - notably 'Fr' (for French) - are broad terms for several specific sources (again, as detailed overleaf).

A number of languages in the tables have a double entry. In these cases the first entry is headed simply, for example, 'Fr' and the second 'Fr+'. Words under the first heading are purely from that source. Words under the 'Fr+' heading have a French root and one or more affixes of a different origin. For example, in GA3, 'respectable' is of purely French origin, and is counted under the 'Fr' heading, while 'respectably' has the additional Old English -ly suffix, and is therefore counted under the 'Fr+' heading. All hybrid words are treated in this way - each is put under a heading consisting of the source language of its root, with a plus sign to indicate that it has one or more foreign affixes. The advantage of this heading is that it brings to light the number of items of a given source which have become sufficiently naturalized in English to have become word forming bases, and to be linked with affixes from different sources. We can also tell in what century this
evidence of naturalization first appeared and if, or how closely, it relates to words coming in which are purely from the root language, with no extra affix. As A.C. Baugh says,

'The rapidity with which the new French words were assimilated is evidenced by the promptness with which many of them became the basis of derivatives. English endings were apparently added to them with as much freedom as to English words.'

This is illustrated more clearly in the tables and their explanations below.

The languages of origin cited are as follows (a (+) after the abbreviation for the language - as used in the tables - indicates that the language is also a source of words consisting of a root from that language and one or more affixes from another source):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Heading in Tables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old English</td>
<td>OE(+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Included here are all those items which were only ever current during the Old English period. One of the items here (in EC8 'culpa') may not be of purely OE origin, but may have come into OE through Latin. This is not detailed explicitly though, and all OE words are treated as being purely OE in origin.23

Also included are all items of OE (or OE plus non-OE affix) origin which have a post-OE first date in the classification.

| French | Fr(+)  |

This heading embraces those items
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Heading in Tables</th>
<th>whose root etymologies in the Oxford Dictionaries are Fr, OFr, (O)Fr, AN, and AF or Afr. 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latin</td>
<td>L(+)</td>
<td>In addition to words of German origin, this heading also covers OHG (Old High German), MHG (Middle High German), and MLG (Middle Low German).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Norse</td>
<td>ON(+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>G(+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>Du(+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flemish</td>
<td>Flem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>Gk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>It(+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Sp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>Ir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algonquin</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanskrit</td>
<td>Skr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last three, plus Flemish and Spanish, each only cover one item and occur in one category.

The second group, two languages, mainly covers combinations or compounds - that is, words made up of two distinct and equally important elements, generally hyphenated and each from a different source (for example, in GB7, 'loyal-hearted', in which 'loyal' is from French and 'hearted' is from Old English). Such formations are comparatively few in this sample. Nonetheless they are interesting because, by
linking words from two different sources, they demonstrate the
naturalization of those elements which are from non-native sources.
The languages - and abbreviated headings - in this group are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Languages</th>
<th>Heading in Tables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old English and French</td>
<td>OE &amp; Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin and French</td>
<td>L &amp; Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English and Old Norse</td>
<td>OE &amp; ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English and German</td>
<td>OE &amp; G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English and Dutch</td>
<td>OE &amp; Du</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian and Spanish</td>
<td>It &amp; Sp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old English and Unknown</td>
<td>OE &amp; Unkn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of these seven, three occur only once for one item each - L & Fr, OE & G, OE & Du.

The third group, other sources, covers the origins of those items which are not derived from any language. Some of the items included here may have an affix from another source, often from a specified language. These have not been singled out in a '+' column (as those in the single language groups were) because the combining of a word of an unknown root with, for example, an Old English affix, does not tell us much about the naturalization and acceptance of a word of a particular language, as the roots here do not belong to any named language. The headings in this group are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Heading in Tables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unkn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This heading covers items whose etymologies are given in the dictionaries as 'unknown', 'obscure',
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Source</strong></th>
<th><strong>Heading in Tables</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imitative</td>
<td>Imit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphemistic</td>
<td>Euph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

or 'meaningless'. It also covers any item whose root is from one of these sources, but which also has one or more affix from a different source, as 'obscure and OE' (in EB7 'ragtime'), or 'uncertain and OE' (in EC8 'blotching'), or 'Du and meaningless' (in EB7 'slubberdegullion').

This heading covers words whose sound is imitative of their original meaning. The words may also have an affix of another source. 'Whiffler' (in EB7) has an OE '-er' suffix combined with the root 'whiffle', which is imitative of the word's original meaning of 'to blow in puffs'. The sense of 'whiffler' included here is 'a contemptible...shifty...person' but the etymology is that of the lexical item itself despite its alteration of meaning. Therefore it is designated imitative.

This heading only occurs in EB10 and covers words which sound similar to taboo words of the same meaning, and are otherwise nonsense words, or clearly bear no relation to any
homonyms which they may have (for example, 'darn' and 'durn' as euphemisms for 'damn').

This heading also only occurs in EB10, and it covers items which are either single initials or pronunciation-based spellings of initials, which in turn stand for taboo words\textsuperscript{26} (for example 'bee' and 'effing').

This heading only occurs in GA3, and covers five items of varying origins, as canting terms, 'symbolic', and OK.

5.3.4 The Historical/Etymological Tables

The tables are set out on the following pages. From the source information and the incoming figures we can tell which centuries were most productive for which sources. They also indicate in which centuries, and in how many numbers, words with a root from one language came to be word-forming elements by being augmented with affixes from another language. This gives us some indication of the stages at which either words of Old English origin became firmly established in the language in the post-OE period, or at what stages words of foreign origin came to be naturalized in the language.

The first nine tables cover one category each. The tenth table conflates the information in the first nine, but does not include those sources for which there is either only one item in one category, or two or three items in two categories. The significant features of the material in each category are discussed in the pages after the tables.
1. GA3 Good

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE</th>
<th>OE+</th>
<th>Fr</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L+</th>
<th>On</th>
<th>Du</th>
<th>Du+</th>
<th>Gr</th>
<th>Urdu</th>
<th>OEOF</th>
<th>L&amp;PF</th>
<th>OEO&amp;Du</th>
<th>Misc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2. GA12 Honourable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OE</th>
<th>Fr</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L+</th>
<th>It</th>
<th>It+</th>
<th>Sp</th>
<th>It&amp;Sp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OE</strong></td>
<td>I 14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>12th</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13th</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>14th</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15th</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16th</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17th</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18th</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>19th</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20th</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3. GB7 Trustworthy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OE</th>
<th>Fr</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L+</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>ON+</th>
<th>Gk</th>
<th>OE&amp;Fr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OE</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4. GC12 Merciful

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OE</th>
<th>Fr</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Skr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OE</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5. EA9 Unpardonable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OE</th>
<th>OE+</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>L+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OE</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows the distribution of OE, Fr, Fr+, L, and Skr for the given days in the GC12 Merciful and EA9 Unpardonable sections.
6. EB7 Base

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE</th>
<th>OE+</th>
<th>Fr</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>L+</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>ON+</th>
<th>It</th>
<th>Ir</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>O6Fr</th>
<th>O6ON</th>
<th>Unkn</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Totals**: 0, 3, 17, 15, 4, 3, 3, 3, 13, 2
7. EB10 Accursed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OE</th>
<th>OE+</th>
<th>Fr</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L+</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>ON+</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>G+</th>
<th>Du</th>
<th>F+</th>
<th>It</th>
<th>If</th>
<th>OE&amp;G</th>
<th>Unkn</th>
<th>Init</th>
<th>Euph</th>
<th>Abbr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>OE+</td>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Fr+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L+</td>
<td>ON</td>
<td>Du</td>
<td>OE&amp;Fr</td>
<td>OE&amp;ON</td>
<td>Unkn</td>
<td>ImIt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. ED9 Sneaky

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OE</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L+</th>
<th>Du</th>
<th>OE&amp;Fr</th>
<th>OE&amp;Unkn</th>
<th>Unkn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OE = Officer Exempt
Fr = First Rank
L = Lieutenant
L+ = Lieutenant Plus
Du = Desk Usher
OE&Fr = Officer Exempt & First Rank
OE&Unkn = Officer Exempt & Unknown
Unkn = Unknown
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE</th>
<th>OE+</th>
<th>OE-</th>
<th>OEFr</th>
<th>OE- Fr</th>
<th>Fr+</th>
<th>Fr-</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>L+</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>ON+</th>
<th>Du</th>
<th>Du+</th>
<th>It+</th>
<th>It*</th>
<th>OE50</th>
<th>OE50N</th>
<th>UnkN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>423</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td>328</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.5 General Comments

The last line of table 10 consists of the totals for each source of origin in each century. As with the other tables in this chapter the upper figure is for incomings (or accessions), and the lower figure is for outgoings (or losses). If we add up the figures, the total for the upper figure is 1087 (being the total number of items in the sample, minus those items from the least common sources) and the total for the lower figure is 615 (being the total number of items now obsolete, excluding those from the least common sources). In the columns below, each figure from the last line of table 10 is expressed as a percentage of the total. The left hand column covers the upper figures, expressing each as a percentage of 1087, and the right hand column covers the lower figures, expressing each as a percentage of 615.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incomings</th>
<th>Outgoings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OE</td>
<td>41.58</td>
<td>53.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE+</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>16.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr+</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>10.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L+</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON+</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du+</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It+</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE &amp; Fr</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE &amp; ON</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unkn</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In both columns Old English on its own accounts for the largest percentage—it is the source of over 40% of the total incomings. It should be borne in mind though, that Old English was the sole dominant language in England for several centuries, until French threatened its supremacy, and as such it is largely to be expected, when the statistics include the Old English period, that the largest number of incomings will be from this source. More than half of the total losses are items of Old English origin, and many of these are recorded within the Old English period. The second most productive source is French, and the third is French+. The percentage losses from these groups are also high, but not as high as those from Old English. Next is Latin, and Latin+, both of which sustain a similar percentage of losses and accessions. It should be remembered though that the incomings are expressed as a percentage of 1087 and the outgoings as a percentage of 615. In fact, of the 1087 being considered here, the obsolete items amount to 56.58%. Nonetheless as percentages of their respective wholes both columns exhibit similar tendencies.

Prior to discussing the tables for each category separately it is probably most valuable to make some further comments on table 10. Table 10 covers the material in the first nine tables, apart from 45 items of origins which only produce one or two items each, as Urdu, Algonquin, and others. The 1087 items which are represented form 11.98% of the Good and Evil corpus and from them some general observations about the sample can be made.

The main sources in the sample are Old English, French, Latin, and Old Norse, although words of unknown origin, and combinations of Old English and French origin also feature fairly strongly.
Half of the items of Old English origin (226 out of 452) date from the Old English period. If we count only those which came in after the Old English period, then there are almost as many words of French origin (213) as there are of Old English origin (226).

Well worth observing are the figures in the columns whose headings include a '+' sign. The items in these columns, as has been already mentioned, have their root in the language at the head of the column but also include one or more affixes from other languages. There are only 14 such accessions in the sample which have an Old English root, and their dates of accession range from the 12th to the 19th centuries. Most of the Old English accessions are purely Old English in make-up.

However, in the Fr+ column there are 157 items. When these are added to the figures in the Fr column they total 370, and mean that in the post-OE period there are more words of French root than of any other source. The figure in the Fr+ column in table 10 also indicates the large extent to which French words have become successfully naturalized in English. Purely French words are recorded from the 13th century, and naturalization of the words in this way begins very soon afterwards, with one Fr+ item being recorded in the same century, and over 20 in the following century. In the 16th and 17th centuries there are more words of Fr+ origin first recorded than there are of purely Fr origin.

There are almost half the number of L+ accessions as there are of purely L accessions (43 as opposed to 92) - again a significant amount. However, the first L+ word is not recorded until two centuries after the first L words, and in no centuries are there more L+ words than L words.
ON+ words are interesting. There are more than twice as many of these as there are of straightforward ON accessions (27 as opposed to 11). The ON accessions are also confined to the 12th to the 17th centuries, whereas the ON+ words run from the 13th to the 20th centuries.

OE & Fr is the combined source which occurs most often. The first word, or two-word compound, consisting of these two elements is recorded in the 14th century. Such compounds are indications of the co-existence of items from both languages and the acceptance in Britain of the non-native language (French).

Only one designated non-linguistic source produces enough items to be represented here. 'Unknown' accounts for the origin of 44 items. These are discussed more fully on the following pages in the sections relating to the categories in which they occur (chiefly EB7 and ED9).

The largest figures for both incomings and outgoings are - predictably - recorded in the Old English period (226 appear and 176 of these go out). By the end of the Old English period there are only 52 items still current. Further OE-derived items come in and go out through the centuries. Highest OE incomings are recorded in the 14th and 19th centuries, although the 16th, 17th, and 13th are also well represented. Greatest losses occur in the 17th century, followed by the 16th and 14th centuries.

The first non-OE item to appear is from Old Norse, in the 12th century. One OE+ item also appears at this time. Non-OE sources increase in the 13th century with 24 French items, two Latin items, and the first (one each) Fr+ and ON+ items. From the 14th century onwards incomings appear from a wide range of sources, including
the first compounds from OE & Fr and OE & ON. The 16th and 17th centuries see the largest numbers of incomings. The single largest figure (excluding OE figures) is that of the 52 Fr+ items which appear in the 16th century.

In the total figures 328 of the 452 OE derived items are obsolete — leaving 76 still current. These losses are spread fairly evenly, after Old English and up to the 19th century, when they drop considerably. Of the 213 French items 99 are obsolete, so 114 are still current. The greatest French losses occur from the 16th to the 18th centuries. In Fr+ there are 90 items still current out of 157 — a fairly high proportion. The greatest Fr+ losses are sustained in the 17th and 18th centuries. Just over half of the Latin items and somewhat less than half of the L+ items are still current. The sources with the highest survival rate are ON (19 out of 27) and 'Unknown' (32 out of 44).

The overall pattern of table 10 is reflected in most of the nine individual category tables. There are many accessions and losses in OE. As was mentioned above, the first foreign source to appear is Old Norse, followed by French and Latin and, more tentatively, Fr+ and ON+. Incomings from French and Fr+ are high from the 13th and 14th centuries, they are joined by Latin and L+ in the 16th century, and all four sources (plus OE) contribute many items up to and including the 19th century. There are also losses in all these centuries and, apart from OE in the OE period, the highest losses for all the main sources are sustained in the 17th century. This reflects the tendency to much change which seems to have been characteristic of that century (see p.336 and p.356-7).

On the following pages each of the categories covered in tables
1 to 9 is discussed separately. Some of the items from the main sources in each category are specifically mentioned in the course of this discussion.

5.3.6 Specific Comments

GA3 Good

172 of the 202 items in this category are counted in the table for GA3 (29 phrases are excluded). This is one of the larger categories in the sample. More than half the items - 96 - are Old English in origin. A further 26 are French in origin, and these are easily the two largest sources. Among the other sources are nine items of Fr+ origin, eight OE & Fr compounds, seven items of unknown origin, and six from Latin. The remaining sources all have fewer items and they include the less common sources of Greek (two items), Urdu (one item), and five miscellaneous items.

Of the 96 Old English items just over two thirds - 63 - are no longer current. If we subtract from this the Old English items which were recorded only in Old English (which is 32; 15 OE items continued beyond OE) these numbers are reduced to 64 and 31 - still considerably larger than the numbers for any other sources. Even if we do not count any of the OE items which appeared in OE, we are still left with 49 incomings of Old English origin in the post-OE period. This is significantly more than for any other source. 'Good' is a concept expressed chiefly by OE derived items. Also interesting is the fact that the OE+ and Fr+ columns contain very few items. OE+ totals are low in all nine categories, but Fr+ totals are often high. This category is an exception.

Old English incomings are spread across all the centuries (except
the 12th). French incomings are strongest in the 14th, 16th, 17th, and 19th centuries. The figures for other incomings are not high enough for conclusions to be drawn from them with certainty.

**GA12 Honourable**

Only 43 items are included for the table for this category (eight phrases are not counted). Although it is much smaller than GA3, again over half the items (23) are Old English in origin. This is followed by French and Fr+, each with six. This time the Fr+ total is equal to the French total. The other sources all produce very low numbers, and there are no unusual sources.

Of the 23 Old English items, all but one are now obsolete. The one survivor is not recorded until the 19th century and the other 22 are all obsolete by the 17th century. (The survivor is 'white 1877--'.) This is very different from GA1 where many OE items are still current. Also in GA12 there is only one item not of OE origin recorded before the 16th century (of Fr+ origin, in the 14th century - 'honourably 1303--'). From the 16th century, as the OE items disappear, other items chiefly of French and Fr+ origin come in and take their place. Of the 12 French or Fr+ items seven are still current.

We can thus see in this category the replacement of OE based items (11 of the 14 recorded in the OE period do not last beyond it) such as 'dear' and 'dearworthy' by French derived 'honourable' (and related items) and 'true'.

**GB7 Trustworthy**

128 of the 136 items in this category are counted in the sample. Again Old English is the most productive source, although less so than
in the previous two categories - 48 items are of OE origin. If we exclude from this figure those which appeared during the OE period (23) we are left with 25. This is less than the number of items of French origin (29) and considerably less than the French and Fr+ totals combined (44). So in the post-OE Old English period French was by far the most productive source for words in this category. Also productive was Old Norse and ON+, which respectively produced eight and 15 items, their combined total of 23 being only two less than the 25 post-OE Old English items. A further seven items are recorded from Latin.

Old English incomings are recorded regularly up to the 19th century - they include items like 'true' and 'truth'. The first Old Norse incoming appears in the 12th century (the earliest non-OE item in the sample - 'trig c1200+1818--'), and the first ON+ incoming in the 13th century. The ON and ON+ items are generally formed on the root 'trust' (or obsolete variants 'trist' and 'traist') and seven of the eight purely ON words are obsolete by the end of the 17th century. In the 14th century French and Fr+ incomings appear and reach their height in the 16th and 17th centuries - they include 'faithful', 'loyal', 'reliable', and other words. The Latin (and L+) incomings are recorded chiefly in the 16th and 17th centuries - among them are 'fidelious' and 'constancy'. Only three of these items survive and they are less common than the items from the other main sources.

Items from Old English, Old Norse, and French are all still current to express this concept, and it is interesting to observe the sequence of dates in which they appear in English. By far the largest number of items still current are of French and Fr+ origin.
GC12 Merciful

There are 83 items in the table for this category (14 phrases are excluded). Once again more than half of these (44) are of Old English origin. There are 23 items of French origin and if we add to these the figure for Fr+ items (14) there are 37 items which are entirely or mainly from French. There are therefore only seven items fewer of French origin than of OE origin, and if we remove from the OE figure those items first recorded during the OE period (27), it is clear that in the post-OE period French was by far the single largest source of words or word-forming roots for this concept.

The range of sources for items in this category is very narrow. Apart from OE, French, and Fr+ there are only two other sources (Latin and Sanskrit) and only one item from each (respectively 'eleemosynous' and 'karuna').

Items of Old English origin appear fairly regularly up to the 15th century. Of the 44 recorded, 40 are now obsolete (a very high proportion), and of these 40, 38 are obsolete by the end of the 15th century. Many of the OE derived items used to express this concept (and now obsolete) are derived from the root 'mild'. With the loss of most of the OE derived items, other items replace them, and these are the French and Fr+ items, which appear regularly from the 13th to the 17th centuries, as the OE derived items die out. Although 25 of the French and Fr+ items are now obsolete, 12 survive, and these include 'merciful', 'pitiful', and related words.

EA9 Unpardonable

This is one of the smaller categories in the sample. It contains 46 items, all of which are counted, as there are no phrases.
The range of sources is even narrower than those for GC12, and for once Old English is not the dominant source.

There are six items of purely OE origin, all of which are obsolete by the 13th century. The category also, unusually, includes some OE+ items, and in fact all the items except the six from OE are from 'language +' sources. There are no items purely from French of Latin. This is because most of the items in the category have negative affixes (like 'un-', 'in-', or '-less') and if the affix is not from the same source as the root then the word is from a 'language +' source ('un-' and '-less' are from OE, and 'in-' is from Latin).

From the distribution of the figures in the tables, it appears that the 14th century is totally without items to express the concept of 'unpardonable'. For a general comment on gaps like this in categories see the note under GB2, p.341.

Items of OE+ and L+ origin are recorded from the 15th century, but the majority of the items here appear in a major influx of Fr+ items in the 16th and 17th centuries. They include words like 'unpardonable', 'inexpiable', and most of the words now current for this concept. From OE+ comes 'unforgiveable' among others, and from L+ 'unatonable' among others.

At least half of the items which come from each of the three 'language +' sources are still current: 14 Fr+, five L+, and three OE+.

**EB7 Base**

152 items (out of 159) are covered in the table for this category, and there is a fairly wide range of sources, including several less common ones.
The largest single source is Old English, but it is less productive than in other categories, accounting for 51, or less than a third, of the total incomings. In fact, if the French and Fr+ totals are combined they come to 56, more than for OE (and more than for OE and OE+ combined, which come to 54). ON+ is the next most productive language source, with ten items, followed by Latin and L+. Even more productive though, is 'Unknown', which accounts for the origin of 13 items (including 'slubberdegullion' and 'shuck'). Ten of the 13 are still current. Among the less common sources are Algonquin, Irish, and Italian (which does feature in table 10 but is the source of only three items in the sample).

Of the 19 Old English items recorded in the OE period, 18 do not survive beyond OE (the one item which does is 'wretch' in NP). Four OE derived formations are recorded in the 12th century, and although OE derived items continue to appear, the French items begin to come in in the 13th century, followed by Fr+ in the 14th, and these two sources continue to be more productive than OE. As with most categories, the 16th and 17th centuries see the greatest number of incomings, and the 17th also sees more losses than any other period. The word 'base' itself comes from French and appears in the 16th century. The word 'vile', which also has various derivatives and variants in the category, comes from French too, and appears in the 13th century.

**EB10 Accursed**

This is the largest category of the nine selected (280 items) and the second largest category in the sample: 237 items are used (43 phrases are omitted). It also has the widest range of sources
in the sample - there are 19 columns for sources in the tables. In addition, the items themselves are wide-ranging.

The most common sources are Old English (91 items - less than a third of the total and a lower proportion than in several other categories), Latin (39 items, and a further 18 if L+ is counted), and French (33 items, and a further 17 Fr+). It is interesting that, unusually, there are more Latin and L+ items than there are French and Fr+. The survival rate for OE items is surprisingly high. Of the 30 recorded during the OE period, nine last beyond it, and of the 91 recorded altogether, 44 are still current. The high survival rate runs throughout the table. In general, more than half the items in most sources are still current.

Old English is the only source up to the 12th century. In the 13th century French and Latin derived items begin to appear. These are joined by Fr+ items in the 15th century and L+ items in the 16th. Incomings from all these sources continue up to (and including) the 19th century. In the 19th century these sources contribute a total of 33 items, and there is only one item designated obsolete.

From Latin comes 'maledict' and related words, and 'execrating' and related words (although 'execrable' comes from French). Also from French are 'damned' and related words. From Old English comes 'cursed' and related words.

Old Norse and ON+ contribute very few items to this category - a total of three, less even than the more unusual sources of German and G+, which together contribute six items. The other less common linguistic sources here include Dutch, Flemish, Italian, and Irish. There are also 23 items from four non-linguistic sources, all of which have a high survival rate: 20 of the 23 are still current.
As in EB7, 'Unknown' is the non-linguistic source which contributes most items - nine in this category.

**EC8 Corrupt**

This category contains the largest number of items in the sample - 239 items (out of 243, as four phrases are omitted). In spite of this the range of sources is considerably narrower than that of EB10 - there are only 12 columns for sources in this table.

Again Old English is the dominant source (85 items) and it is followed by French (65 items) and Fr+ (45 items). The two French sources between them though, contribute considerably more items than Old English (110 items). Next is Latin, which contributes 26 items. The survival rate of the OE items is poor - only 12 out of 85 are still current. Of the 59 recorded in the OE period, 49 do not last beyond it. Eight of the ten survivors are also now obsolete.

As with other categories, the OE items are replaced from the 13th century by French words, and from the 14th century by Fr+ and Latin words. These three sources all have high incomings in the 16th and 17th centuries, and high outgoings in the 17th century. There is only one item from Old Norse ('rotten' in AjG) and one ON & OE compound ('rotten-hearted' in AjG) - a very low proportion. The overall losses for French and Fr+ are low. Over a third of the French items are still current, and over half of the Fr+.

In Old English this concept was expressed by words like 'forhwierfed' and 'weorh' and derivatives. None of these survive beyond OE, and in the 14th century 'corrupt' and 'corruption' appear from French, followed in the 16th century by 'depraved' and 'debauched'. It appears that this concept is one for which the commonly used Old
English terms have died out totally, and been replaced by a range of French based items, many of which are still current.

There are two non-linguistic sources for this category, which contribute four items, and one less common linguistic source, Dutch, which produces the single item 'speckled', only current in the 17th century.

**ED9 Sneaky**

This final category is the smallest in the sample: 32 out of 34 items are covered in the table. Surprisingly there are no items of French origin, and only one of Fr+. The largest single source is 'Unknown', which accounts for 12 items. This is because the origin of 'sneak' is unknown (or obscure) and of the 12 'Unknown' items, ten are 'sneak' and its derivatives. Some of these items have Old English suffixes, but these have not been separated and put in an 'Unkn+' column, since in most categories the number of 'Unknown' items is too low to merit this distinction. In addition, as 'Unknown' is not a language, its association with various languages does not tell us anything about the naturalization of a particular language in English. (See comment on p.367.)

The second largest source is Old English, which accounts for eight items, six of which are now obsolete. The next source is Latin, from which comes 'surreptitious' and four other related (and, in this sense, now obsolete) words. All sources apart from 'Unknown' have a high obsolescence rate.

As with other small categories there are gaps in the table in certain centuries (see also comments under GB2 on p.341).
5.3.7 Other Comparable Studies

There are very few other studies with which this one can be compared. Several studies deal with the question of French loan words in English, the most notable of which are those by Jespersen and Baugh. They are discussed below. However, the only really relevant work of this nature is that which was carried out by Chase in his thesis on Religion.

Chapter 5 of Chase's thesis presents three studies relating to historical and etymological information drawn from his classification. The first of these parallels that covered in section 5.2 of this chapter. The latter two are both relevant to the etymological study just covered here (5.3.1 to 5.3.6), although the first of them takes a different approach. It is a purely etymological study of a large sample (almost 12,500 items) of the religious classification. The items are sorted entirely on the basis of their language of origin and no mention is made of their dates of entry into English. The second study covers a smaller sample of material - some 1824 items (a similar percentage of Chase's total as the sample here is of the Good and Evil total) - and is both historical and etymological. In its approach it is very similar to the study just conducted here, although it makes considerably fewer observations on the data it contains.

Chase succeeds in limiting the sources of the vocabulary in his classification to 13 groups (listed overleaf). This is convenient but it also, to some extent, glosses over several interesting distinctions which are made clear in the study here. Chase's 'H' (for Hybrid) group covers items whose roots are Latin, French, etc. but which also have an OE affix, and it also covers items which are
two word hyphenated compounds, each element of which is from a separate source. The figure in this column gives an overall impression of the extent of naturalization. The method used for Good and Evil places hybrid forms in several different groups, each of which (in the case of root and affix groups) is adjacent to the group covering the source of its root. It also specifies the root (as Fr+) and thus illustrates the extent to which naturalization has occurred in each individual source language. The OE+ column also illustrates the extent to which native words adopt foreign affixes - something which Chase does not appear to deal with.\textsuperscript{31} The Good and Evil study further keeps separate the figures for compounds which are from two sources, by placing them under separate double headed groups (as OE & Fr).

However, these points aside, it is interesting to compare the 13 groups from Religion with the groups used in the Good and Evil sample (which comprise 14 language groups, seven of which also form 'language +' groups, seven two-language groups, and five non-linguistic groups). They help to illustrate the different natures of the two semantic fields.

The 13 source languages for Religion (discussed most fully in the second section of Chase's chapter 5) are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native (i.e. Old English)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin borrowings into Old English</td>
<td>OEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin borrowings after Old English</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Romance languages</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid words</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Germanic languages</td>
<td>OG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celtic</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew &amp; Yiddish</td>
<td>HY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu languages</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other languages</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is worth noting that all Chase's groups denote actual languages. The Good and Evil groups include five sources which are not specific languages. If it is really the case that all the items in the religious lexis are assignable to particular languages, and none are of unknown or other origin, then this is surely a reflection of the concrete and determinable nature of that semantic field. It is more likely though that Chase excluded from his count those items whose origins are not language specific. If this is the case, then it is in itself interesting, as it suggests that the numbers of those items are very small. Had they been substantial Chase would doubtless have covered them. The five non-language sources in Good and Evil contain a total of 66 items (almost 6% of the items covered in the sample). Of these, 44 are of unknown origin, and a quantity of this size is too large to be ignored. It may be postulated that the largely abstract Good and Evil field generates more items whose sources are less easily allocable to specific languages than does the largely concrete field Religion.

It is also valuable to compare the actual languages which are sources of origin for Religion on the one hand and Good and Evil on the other.

The religious lexis includes several source languages not in evidence at all in the Good and Evil sample. These are covered by four groups: one for later Latin borrowings into Old English, one for Hebrew and Yiddish, one for Hindu languages, and one for Arabic. Although the numbers in the latter three groups are not large, it is interesting that they exist at all, as there are no items from these sources in the Good and Evil sample (unless the one Urdu item counts as being from 'Hindu languages'). Hebrew, Yiddish, and
Arabic seem to be sources of items of particular relevance to Religion. 32

Chase tends to cover under general headings languages which are treated separately in the Good and Evil sample. The general headings are a useful means of restricting the number of source groups, but they also make some of the information – albeit possibly minor – less explicit. By treating each source language individually a more accurate picture of the sources can be established. In addition, if, as sometimes happens in Good and Evil, there is only one item from a particular source (as Irish) it is more informative to state the source exactly. Therefore Chase's 'Other Romance' heading covers both the 'Italian' and 'Spanish' headings in Good and Evil, his 'Other Germanic' heading covers Good and Evil's 'Old Norse' and 'Dutch', and 'Celtic' covers 'Irish'.

Also worth comparing are the proportions of items from each source in Religion and Good and Evil. Chase provides a fairly accurate listing of the percentages of items from each source in his large sample (Chase, p.474). This is reproduced below along with, for purposes of comparison, the Good and Evil listing of incomings expressed as percentages of a whole, as given on p.379.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>41.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEL</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>OE+</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>19.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>37.31</td>
<td>Fr+</td>
<td>14.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>8.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>L+</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>ON</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>ON+</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celtic</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Du</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HY</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>Du+</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>It</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>It+</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>OE &amp; Fr</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OE &amp; ON</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unkn</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In both cases Old English and French are the main sources (excluding Hybrid in Religion), but it is most interesting to note that the respective percentages of each are virtually the reverse in Good and Evil of what they are in Religion. In Good and Evil the largest source is OE (almost 40%) followed by French (almost 19%). In Religion the largest source in French (over 37%) followed by OE or Native (almost 20%). Chase comments (p.475 of his thesis) that French influence is 'particularly strong in areas of the lexis covering conceptual domains such as law and religion' and that 'the present tally allows us to judge for the first time the real extent of French domination of this lexical field.' This comparison with a totally different semantic field underlines that fact.

Almost 28% of the Religious sample is of hybrid origin. This figure has not been broken down any further. The hybrid items in Good and Evil make up just over 21% of the total, of which more than 14% are French in root, almost 4% Latin, over 2% Old Norse, and over 1% Old English.

Other differences between the samples in Good and Evil and Religion include the fact that Old Norse (alone, not ON+) accounts for almost 1% of the Good and Evil total, whereas in Religion all 'Other Germanic' languages (except German) total only 0.11%. 'Unknown', a source not covered at all in Religion, accounts for almost 4% of the Good and Evil totals. A substantial number of the 'Other' items in Religion are of Greek origin (about 1.7% of the 2.14% covered by 'Other'). In Good and Evil only three of the 1132 items in the sample are of Greek origin. Like Hebrew etc., words of Greek origin may be more prevalent in the Religious semantic field than elsewhere.

It should be remembered of course that the comparison here is
between two samples of significantly different sizes. The Religious sample is that covered in the second section of Chase's chapter 5, and is just over 11 times as large as the Good and Evil sample (12,476 items as opposed to 1132 items). Nonetheless, particularly when the figures are converted to percentages, the two samples do provide some interesting respective statistics. The comparison most notably confirms the dominance of French as a source of religious vocabulary. It also provides some interesting smaller points about the differences between the respective sources in each of the two samples.

The third study in Chase's chapter is, like the Good and Evil study, both historical and etymological. However, Chase draws few conclusions from the figures which his tables reveal, particularly from the outgoing figures. As he comments (p.486), 'Accession-obsolescence ratios vary to the extent that it would be dangerous to attach special significance to them.' Chase also mentions that the figures in his sample are probably 'broadly valid for etymological groups which constitute the principal sources of the vocabulary' (p.481). Unlike the approach taken in the Good and Evil study though, he does not discuss this validity in any depth, perhaps because he has already commented on etymologies in some detail in the preceding section of his chapter.

Chase does however make one interesting comparison. He compares the items of French origin in Religion with the study of French loan words carried out by A.C. Baugh. As has already been mentioned (p.393) this is one of several studies of French loan words with which the Good and Evil study - and, of course, Chase's study - can be compared.
Any such comparisons should first be qualified as follows. The studies conducted by Baugh and others deal with the first occurrence of lexical items in English. This present study, and Chase's study, are concerned with the first occurrence of senses in English (the lexical items themselves may have been in English for some considerable time prior to their being used in a good, evil, or religious way (see chapter 6 especially pp. 429 to 431 for evidence of this in Good and Evil)). The comparisons are therefore of two related but different things—lexical items and senses.

This might lead one to question their validity. However, as long as (and perhaps especially if) the distinction between the two types of figures is borne in mind the comparisons are worth making. When Chase wrote his thesis there was no other data available which was similar to his, apart from Baugh's and others. The Good and Evil figures which this thesis makes available can now be compared with Chase's, but in addition, since Chase drew the comparison with Baugh, it is interesting to make it again here to see how the contribution of Good and Evil affects it. Also, although we now have figures from Religion and Good and Evil to compare, there are as yet no further such figures available for comparison. It is therefore at present worth comparing the accession of new items with the accession of new senses.

The first study of French loan words was conducted by Jespersen and covered 'the first 100 French words in the New English Dictionary for each of the first nine letters and the first 50 for j and l'—a total of 1000 words. Jespersen later supplements this with figures drawn from the second half of the alphabet as formulated by A. Koszal. The figures are set out by half centuries. In 1935 Baugh
published the results of a similar study, in which the material was gathered from pages throughout the OED numbered -00, -20, -40, -50, -60, and -80. In each of these studies the period 1250-1400 is notable as the time when French borrowings were at their peak.

In Jespersen 427 of the 1000 words in his study appear during this time. In Koszal's supplementary study of 998 words, 404 appeared between 1250 and 1400.

As in Chase, these half century counts have been converted into figures for periods of a full century each. In this form they can be compared more easily with the figures for words of French origin from both Chase's sample and the Good and Evil sample. The following table details comparable figures (for the 12th to the 20th centuries) for - from left to right - Jespersen's original count, Koszal's supplementary count, Baugh, Chase, and the Good and Evil count.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Century</th>
<th>Jespersen</th>
<th>Koszal</th>
<th>Baugh</th>
<th>Chase</th>
<th>Good and Evil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These figures should be considered in two ways. On the one hand a direct comparison can be drawn between Chase's figures and the Good and Evil figures. On the other hand, these two sets of figures can, with the qualifications already specified, be compared with the three sets of Jespersen, Koszal, and Baugh figures.
The figures in the counts for Religion and Good and Evil are considerably lower than those in the older counts, but both have between 200 and 300 items, which makes their direct comparison more accurate. In Chase the largest number of French accessions is recorded in the 17th century, followed by the 16th and 14th centuries with very similar numbers. In Good and Evil the 16th century has the most accessions, followed by the 14th and 17th centuries, again with very similar numbers. The same three centuries show the greatest innovation from French, but in a different order. Clearly though, the 16th and 17th centuries are the leading periods for new senses from French.

The older counts - of items, not senses - are quite different. The 14th century is, by a long way, the most prolific period in all three counts. Figures are also high in the 13th, 15th, and 16th centuries, but after that they tail off. In Jespersen the 13th century has the second highest figure, in Koszal the 16th century has, and in Baugh the 15th.

A pattern can be drawn from this. New items from French are most frequent in the early centuries, especially the 14th, while new senses of items of French origin are most frequent in later centuries, especially the 16th and 17th. From this one can adduce that by the 16th and 17th centuries the French items which appeared in earlier centuries were more fully established and naturalized in the language, and were acquiring new senses in English. Chase's figures and the Good and Evil figures hence illustrate the next stage, following on from the earlier figures - that loan words become assimilated into the language and in time develop new senses in that language.

One point not made explicit here is the extent to which the
figures in Chase and _Good and Evil_ are for new senses as opposed to entirely new words - the above comments make the assumption that they are largely (or even wholly) for new senses. The distinction between new words and new senses, and the time gap between the first appearance of a word, and the first appearance of its _Good or Evil_ sense, is examined in detail, for a selected area of vocabulary, in chapter 6.

In the table below the figures from Jespersen, Baugh, Chase, and _Good and Evil_, from the 13th to the 19th centuries, are presented as percentages of the total number of items in each sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Century</th>
<th>Jespersen</th>
<th>Baugh</th>
<th>Chase</th>
<th>Good and Evil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>19.17</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>11.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th</td>
<td>30.12</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>18.28</td>
<td>19.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>14.66</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>19.03</td>
<td>23.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>18.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>12.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Jespersen and Baugh the 14th century commands over 30% of the total. The next most prolific centuries have, in Jespersen, only 17.58%, and in Baugh only 16.4%.

In both Chase and _Good and Evil_ the 14th century accounts for almost 20% of the total, but in neither case is this the largest figure. In Chase the 17th century has over 22%. In _Good and Evil_ the 16th century has over 23%. Neither has a century with such a high percentage as the 14th century in the Jespersen and Baugh counts. Both, however, have a moderately high percentage for the 19th century (over 12% in each case), while Jespersen and Baugh (especially Jespersen) have a low percentage for this century.

It seems to be the case (although this cannot be confirmed
without more widely based data) that counts of senses are producing a regular pattern of consistent figures which is significantly different from the regular pattern produced by counts of items. Chase anticipates virtually the opposite which perhaps renders this line of pursuit even more interesting for future studies.

5.3.8. Summarizing Remarks

This section has concentrated on a limited selection of categories from the classification in order to draw from them points of historical and etymological interest. The items in these categories were examined on the basis of both their century of entry into and exit from English, and their language (or other source) of origin. The range of sources of these items was outlined in some detail. This was followed by a series of historical and etymological tables containing that information. The final table, consisting of totals from the first nine tables, was commented on and the totals for its etymological information were set out in percentages. Further discussion concentrated on the dominance of certain sources in certain periods. The significant points conveyed by each table for each category were then explained in detail. As in the previous section, much of this commentary related to Old English, but on this occasion as a language of origin, and not just a period of time, or a range of vocabulary.

The section then turned to consider other similar studies. A detailed comparison was made between this study and two inter-related studies conducted by Chase on the semantic field Religion. A further comparison was then made between the Good and Evil and Chase studies, and several studies of French loan words by Baugh, Jespersen, and
Koszal. It was noted that these studies were concerned with the first occurrences of words rather than (as in Good and Evil and Religion) senses, but it was pointed out that the comparison was still of value. Two patterns emerged, one for the 'first words' figures, and another for the 'first senses' figures, and the section ended with the hope that future studies might produce even more interesting results.

The information presented in the tables throughout this chapter, and discussed in the course of the chapter, has only been made available by the classification of this large area of abstract vocabulary, and it reveals many interesting features and tendencies about the development of this field. They relate to two areas: firstly to the growth and change of the field throughout the centuries in English, and secondly to the range of sources and languages of origin from which a sample of the items in the field are derived.
Notes to Chapter 5

1. 'Designated obsolete' means either marked obsolete in the OED or having a closing date in the classification of before 1800. Some items have a closing date, or have only one date, which is after 1800. These items have been checked in the OED (see Appendix II) and only those actually marked obsolete in the OED have been counted as obsolete here.

2. This is the cut-off date adopted by the OED. See p.3.

3. H. Bradley, in 'Changes in the Language to the Days of Chaucer' in The Cambridge History of English Literature Vol.I (Cambridge: CUP, 1932), comments that 'the 12th century was an age of exceptionally rapid linguistic change' (p.383), and 'The date 1150, as the...point of demarcation...is...less arbitrary than chronological boundaries in the history of a language usually are' (p.383).


5. This is because the material for the Good and Evil classification was assembled before compilation of the Historical Thesaurus archive from the OED Supplement was complete. As a result there is a low proportion of 20th century material. Appendix I covers most of the missing material, but it is not taken into account in the thesis.

6. A.C. Baugh and T. Cable, A History of the English Language 3rd edition (London: R&KP, 1978) p.158. The changes in vocabulary between OE and ME are also discussed by Bradley, op. cit., pp. 397-404. Bradley particularly comments (p.401), 'Not less remarkable than the abundance of new words added to the English vocabulary in the early Middle English period is the multitude of Old English words that went out of use.'

7. The 12th century figure is also low because it only contains material with a starting date of 1150 or later.

8. The 18th century is very poorly represented in the OED. Much of the material was lost or not gathered at all. See K.M. Elisabeth Murray, Caught in the Web of Words (Oxford: OUP, 1977) pp.169, 184.

9. See note 5 above.

11. See note 2 to chapter 4 (p.322).

12. Bradley, op. cit., comments 'The Norman Conquest introduced an age in which all educated Englishmen, for the most part, wrote nothing but French and Latin.' (p.397). Baugh, op. cit., substantiates this (see chapter 5), although it is also true that the written evidence suggests a greater domination by French than may actually have been the case. More French was written because 'French was the language of the court and the upper classes, English the speech of the mass of the people.' (Baugh, p.120).

13. See note 2 to chapter 4 (p.322).

14. See note 8 above.

15. Chase, op. cit.

16. The OED is not comprehensive in its treatment of slang and cant terms. Further evidence and examples of criminal slang can be found in Eric Partridge's Dictionary of Slang and Unconventional English 8th edition, ed. Paul Beale (London: R&KP, 1982). According to Partridge approximately 50% of the items in his dictionary are slang and cant, and the dictionary contains around 35% more slang words than Farmer and Henley's Slang and Its Analogues and the OED taken together (Partridge, Preface to the First Edition, p.xlii). Many more slang words peculiar to crime and criminals are to be found in A Dictionary of the Underworld, also by Partridge, 3rd edition (London: R&KP, 1968).

17. This is acknowledged Supplement (and latterly New OED) policy in the Oxford Dictionary Department.

18. See note 5 above.


20. Combinations usually form the last numbered section of an OED entry, and the section is headed 'Comb.' or 'In Combinations' or 'Attrib. and Comb.' or some other variation on this. Combinations can also appear as main entries.

21. Where possible, etymologies are based on the information in the Shorter Oxford English Dictionary 3rd edition with etymologies revised by G.W.S. Friedrichsen and revised Addenda (1973), as its etymologies are the most up-to-date available in a comprehensive historical dictionary. For words not in SOED the OED and Supplement were consulted. For common current words the Oxford Dictionary of English Etymology (1966) and, where it could be useful, the Concise Oxford Dictionary 7th edition (1982) were consulted.


23. Were there a substantial number of items which had come into
Old English through Latin, they would be counted separately, but as there is only one, there is little to be gained from treating it separately, and it is sufficient simply to draw attention to it. Chase, op. cit., obviously counts separately those items which came in to late Old English on account of the religious revival accompanying the Benedictine reform. See Chase p.493 note 3, and Baugh and Cable, op. cit., p.84.

24. OED, SOED, ODEE, and COD are not consistent in their terminology for words of French or Anglo-Norman origin. OED and COD use OF (Old French) and AF (Anglo-French). For the same terms SOED uses OFr and Afr. ODEE uses OF and AN (Anglo-Norman, another name for Anglo-French). In this study Fr is used to cover all these variations and varieties.

25. Many of the taboo words referred to here may not be taboo any longer, but were considered to be so at the time the euphemism was coined or the abbreviation first used.

26. See note 25 above.

27. See Baugh, op. cit., chapter 5


30. Ibid., p.468.

31. Perhaps the sample of the Religious lexis which was studied does not contain any such items. There are certainly very few in the Good and Evil sample.


33. Ibid., p.480.


35. Work on other semantic fields drawn from Historical Thesaurus data is currently in progress and may in due course produce further statistics for comparison.


37. Koszal conducted a similar survey on the second half of the alphabet in the OED to that conducted by Jespersen on the first half. See Jespersen, op. cit., p.86 for details.

38. For an account of this and the Jespersen study see Baugh and Cable, op. cit., pp.177-8.
39. Koszal's sample fell short of 1000 words as Q, U, and W did not yield a full 100 words each: see Jespersen, op. cit., p.86.

40. Chase, op. cit., says, 'It is quite likely, moreover, that even broad similarities of pattern will disappear when a disparate lexical field becomes available for comparison' (p.489).
Chapter 6

Animal Metaphor in the NP Subdivision

6.1 Introduction

This chapter consists of a detailed examination of all the items in the classification which come under the NP (noun, person) subdivision, and which are made up, in whole or in part, of a name for an animal.

These items were chosen because they form a manageably small but complete unit (164 items), and yet they are drawn from across the classification (they come from 25 different categories). The restriction of the source to the subdivision NP does mean that some 'animal' items which are applied to people are excluded. This is because they are also applied to things and therefore come under the subdivision NTP (noun, thing or person). For example, 'the cat's whiskers' (in GA5) is under NTP as it can be applied as a term of excellence both to people and to things. As a result it and others like it are not included here.

Because all the selected items are partly or wholly animal names they can also be considered in terms of their places in the animal kingdom (and in a zoological classification). Their roles as animal names can then be related to their roles in the Good and Evil classification. By means of this relationship - between animal names, and names for good or evil people - the application of animal metaphor and the comparative time gaps between the literal and metaphoric uses of an item are made available for study.
6.2 The Spread of the Animal-based NP Items

There are 164 such items in the classification and their distribution is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>ANIMAL NAMES IN NP</th>
<th>TOTAL ITEMS IN NP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA5 Excellent</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA11 Virtuous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC14 Fortunate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>48</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA1 Evil</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA4 Hellish</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA5 Diabolical</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA6 Offensive</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA8 Heinous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB1 Inferior</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB2 Worthless</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB3 Roguish</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB4 Ruffianly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB6 Wretched</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB7 Base</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB8 Villainous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC2 Straying</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC5 Criminal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC8 Corrupt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED1 Treacherous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED9 Sneaky</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE3 Playf. Misch.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE4 Harmf. Misch.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE5 Spiteful</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE6 Ill-Willing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE12 Savage</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evil Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>157</strong></td>
<td><strong>905</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good &amp; Evil Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>164</strong></td>
<td><strong>953</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These 164 items are drawn from categories containing a total of 953 NP items. Those categories not listed either have no NP section, or the NP section they do have does not contain any 'animal' items. Among them though, they contribute a further 269 NP items. This means that the 164 animal items are drawn from a total of 1222 NP items and that they therefore form 13.42% of the NP total.

The proportion of animal-based items in each NP subdivision varies considerably. In some, as in EE4 and EE5, it is almost 100% (although the actual numbers involved are very low). In others, as in EB3, the proportion is very small, in this case less than 3.5%.

There are considerably more animal items in Evil than there are in Good. The Good part of the classification has only seven such items, while the Evil part has 157. The Good items are drawn from three categories containing a total of 48 NP items (other Good categories contain a further 113 NP items). This means there are seven animal items in Good NP, out of a total of 161 NP items — which is 4.3%. The Evil items come from 22 categories which together contain 905 NP items. A further 156 such items are in other Evil categories, making a total of 1061. Therefore there are 157 animal items in Evil NP, out of 1061 — which is 14.8%.

It is also evident that in general there are many more NP items in Evil than there are in Good (1061 as opposed to 161).

The spread of the animal items across the categories deserves comment — particularly in the Evil categories. In Good the items only fall into GA5, GA11, and GC14. One entire section, GB Good & Right contains no names for people derived from animal names. In Evil, by far the largest number of animal items occurs in the section
EB Evil & Inferior. Seven of the ten categories in EB contain a total of 109 such items. The largest number of animal items in any single category occurs in EB1 Inferior, whose NP subdivision includes terms of abuse, contempt, and reproach. Evil to Self/Other (section EE) has the second largest number of animal items (27), almost half of which are in category EE12 Savage. Section EA also has quite a few (16), while EC and ED have, like Good, a very low number (five between them).

6.3 The Four Animal Groups

These animal-based items can be divided into four basic groups according to the way, or form, in which they are used as names for people. The four groups are as follows.

(i) This group covers any straightforward animal name which is applied directly to a person, as 'frog', 'bitch', 'rat', etc. Also included here are obsolete or unusual animal names, as 'foumart' and 'morpion'. They are explained in column two of the tables below.

(ii) Covered in this group is any word (or phrase) which is used of an animal in a figurative or transferred sense, and is subsequently applied to a person. Included here are items like (in GA5) 'thoroughbred' (an excellent animal, especially a horse) and (in EB1) 'trundle-tail' (a curly-tailed dog). They are also explained in column two below.

(iii) This group covers any animal name which is used in combination with another word, or even with an affix, to form an NP entry. For example, 'fish face' (EB1) or 'viperess' (EB6).

(iv) This last group covers any animal name making up one word of a
phrase, which forms an NP entry. There is only one item in this group - 'cock of the walk', in GA5.

Groups (i) and (ii) are similar, in that both contain items which are used of people in exactly the same lexical form as they are used of animals. Groups (iii) and (iv) are also similar. They both contain items in which the animal name has been combined with one or more other words, or with an affix, to form the NP sense.

6.4 The Structure of the Tables

The clearest way of showing the distribution of the animal items in the NP subdivision is in a series of tables. These are set out on the following pages. They include the literal (animal) and metaphoric (NP) uses of each item, the first date of each kind of use, and the time gap between the dates of the two uses.

The tables are set out in a way which takes the similarities between (i) and (ii), and (iii) and (iv), into account. The items which fall into (i) and (ii) are covered first, each category being dealt with in turn. The categories are then repeated and the items which fall into (iii) and (iv) are covered.

The items covered include seven entries for mythical animals. Four words are for serpent, one is 'dragon', one is 'scolopendra' (a word for a mythical sea creature), and the last is 'basilisk' (a name for a fabulous reptile).

Some of the items in the tables are followed by an asterisk. This indicates that, in some way, their entry in the tables is not straightforward. In the notes which follow the tables each asterisked entry is commented on, and the point relating to it is outlined and explained.
The items themselves are set out in the same order in which they occur in the classification. Where an item comes under a subordination within an NP subdivision, this is indicated in the left hand column of the tables.

It should be borne in mind that each item is included on the basis of its sense in a particular category. The same item may therefore occur more than once in different senses in different categories (or even in different subordinations in the same category).

There are seven columns in the tables. Reading from left to right, each column covers the following details.

1. The category - number and title - to which the item belongs. Also given here, where appropriate, is the subordination (title, preceded by the relevant number of full stops) in the NP subdivision within which, in some categories, a number of items occur. This is normally indented by two spaces, but where it applies to the first item in a category, and cannot fit into the first line because of the space taken up by the category number and title, then it follows, without any indentation, at the beginning of the second line (as in EB1, on the first page of the tables).

2. The group which the item belongs to.

3. The item as it occurs in the classification (modern English forms are given except for words which date from OE in the classification, which are presented in their OE form).

4. The animal for which the item - or one of its elements - is a name. This is often a repetition of 3, but is sometimes a paraphrase, or a more common name for an unusual or obsolete
animal name. Also, where the item is transferred in its application to an animal (as 'thoroughbred') the more normal name for the animal is given in this column.

5. The first recorded date in the OED (or Old English dictionaries) for this word as applied to an animal.

6. The first recorded date in the OED (or Old English dictionaries) of the item as a name for a person.

7. The time gap, in years, between 5 and 6. Old English dates are, for this purpose, treated as c1000, and dates such as a1300, c1300, and 13.. are all treated as c1300. In each of these cases the number of years of the gap is preceded by 'c'.

6.5 The Tables (overleaf)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Animal Used For</th>
<th>Animal as NP</th>
<th>Date Gap in Years</th>
<th>First Date as Animal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAI 1</td>
<td>Flyer</td>
<td>Shrew</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>1894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAI 2</td>
<td>Diabolical (female)</td>
<td>Dragon</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>1562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAI 3</td>
<td>Offensive (plural)</td>
<td>Vermin</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAI 4</td>
<td>Heinous</td>
<td>Monster</td>
<td>1566</td>
<td>1562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAI 5 Excellent</td>
<td>Animal, horse, insect</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAI 5 Inferior</td>
<td>Animal, horse, insect</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- EAI 1: Shrew is used as an expletive.
- EAI 2: Dragon is used as an expletive.
- EAI 3: Vermin is used as an expletive.
- EAI 4: Monster is used as an expletive.
- EAI 5 Excellent: Animal, horse, insect are used as expletives.
- EAI 5 Inferior: Animal, horse, insect are used as expletives.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Lexical Item</th>
<th>Animal Used For</th>
<th>First Date as Animal</th>
<th>First Date as NP</th>
<th>Date Gap in Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EB1 contd.</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>mongrel</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>1486</td>
<td>a1585</td>
<td>c99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dogfish</td>
<td>shark</td>
<td>c1475</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>c114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>porcupine</td>
<td>porcupine</td>
<td>a1400</td>
<td>1594</td>
<td>c194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>land-rat*</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nag</td>
<td>horse</td>
<td>c1400</td>
<td>1598</td>
<td>c198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>thornback</td>
<td>ray, skate (fish)</td>
<td>c1300</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>c299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pilcher*</td>
<td>pilchard (fish)</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1601</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lobster</td>
<td>lobster</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>c602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>windfucker</td>
<td>kestrel</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>shad</td>
<td>fish</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>c610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>runt*</td>
<td>small ox, cow</td>
<td>1549</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>babion</td>
<td>ape</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1629</td>
<td>c629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>beast*</td>
<td>beast</td>
<td>c1220</td>
<td>1772</td>
<td>c552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>windfucker*</td>
<td>=windfucker</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>morpion</td>
<td>louse</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.male)</td>
<td>bitch</td>
<td>female dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>a1500</td>
<td>c500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>conger</td>
<td>eel</td>
<td>c1300</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>c297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>scarab</td>
<td>beetle</td>
<td>1579</td>
<td>c1600</td>
<td>c21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>brach</td>
<td>fem. hunting hound</td>
<td>c1375</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>c235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>scarabeet</td>
<td>beetle</td>
<td>1591</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>a1225</td>
<td>c225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fox whelp*</td>
<td>fox cub</td>
<td>c1374</td>
<td>c1320</td>
<td>-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.contempt)</td>
<td>whelp</td>
<td>young animal</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>c338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>creature*</td>
<td>non-human</td>
<td>a1300</td>
<td>a1400/50</td>
<td>c100/150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fouthart*</td>
<td>polecat</td>
<td>c1375</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>c133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fitchew</td>
<td>polecat</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>1604</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bulchin</td>
<td>bull-calf</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tick</td>
<td>mite</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1631</td>
<td>c631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>louse</td>
<td>louse</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>c633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Lexical Item</td>
<td>Animal Used For</td>
<td>First Date as Animal</td>
<td>First Date as NP</td>
<td>Date Gap in Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB1 contd.</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>insect</td>
<td>insect</td>
<td>1601</td>
<td>1684</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>grubworm</td>
<td>grub</td>
<td>1752</td>
<td>1807/8</td>
<td>55/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hund</td>
<td>hound</td>
<td>0E</td>
<td>0E</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cur</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>a1225</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>c365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cad-worm*</td>
<td>larva</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>teg*</td>
<td>yearling sheep/female deer</td>
<td>1530/37</td>
<td>a1529</td>
<td>-1/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>puss</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>a1530</td>
<td>1608</td>
<td>c78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dog's head</td>
<td>baboon</td>
<td>1591</td>
<td>1676</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gib</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>c1400</td>
<td>a1529</td>
<td>c129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>scolopendra*</td>
<td>myth. sea creature/centi-/millipede</td>
<td>1590/1608</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>43/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.abuse)</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>streaker</td>
<td>swift hunting hound</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>1500/20</td>
<td>125/145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.contempt)</td>
<td></td>
<td>curtal</td>
<td>horse w. docked tail</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pismire</td>
<td>ant</td>
<td>c1385</td>
<td>1569</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>trundle-tail</td>
<td>dog (w. curly tail)</td>
<td>1486</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>turnspit</td>
<td>dog (in treadmill)</td>
<td>1576</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB2 Worthless</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>0E</td>
<td>c1325</td>
<td>c325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hilding</td>
<td>worthless beast, horse</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>1601</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rip</td>
<td>worthless horse</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sculpin</td>
<td>small worthless fish</td>
<td>1672</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rip</td>
<td>worthless horse</td>
<td>1778</td>
<td>1791</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.female)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB3 Roguish</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>shreward</td>
<td>shrew</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1297</td>
<td>c297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>shake-bag</td>
<td>large fowl, fighting cock</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Animal Used For</td>
<td>First Date as N2P</td>
<td>Date Gap in Years</td>
<td>First Date</td>
<td>Lexical Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB4 Ruffianly</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>a1825</td>
<td>(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB6 Wretched</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1330</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB7 Base</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1340</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB2 Straying</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1340</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED1 Treacherous</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1340</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED9 Sneaky</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1340</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE3 Playf. Misch.</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1340</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE5 Spiteful</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1340</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE6 Ill-Welling</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>keetrell</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1340</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Lexical Item</td>
<td>Animal Used For</td>
<td>First Date as Animal</td>
<td>First Date as NP</td>
<td>Date Gap in Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE12 Savage</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>docga</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wolf</td>
<td>wolf</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1205</td>
<td>c205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>beast*</td>
<td>beast</td>
<td>c1220</td>
<td>c1210</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lion</td>
<td>lion</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>a1225</td>
<td>c225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tiger</td>
<td>tiger</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1500/20</td>
<td>c500/520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vulture</td>
<td>vulture</td>
<td>c1374</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>c229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.female)</td>
<td></td>
<td>hyena</td>
<td>hyena</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>1671</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.and ignorant)</td>
<td></td>
<td>panther</td>
<td>panther</td>
<td>c1220</td>
<td>1868</td>
<td>c648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>she-dragon</td>
<td>female dragon</td>
<td>1500/20</td>
<td>1838</td>
<td>338/318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>brute</td>
<td>lower animal</td>
<td>1611</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bandog</td>
<td>chained guard dog</td>
<td>c1425</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>c185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GROUPS (iii) & (iv)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Lexical Item</th>
<th>Animal Used For</th>
<th>First Date as Animal</th>
<th>First Date as NP</th>
<th>Date Gap in Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA5 Excellent</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>pure merino</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rare bird</td>
<td>bird</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>c890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv)</td>
<td></td>
<td>cock of the walk</td>
<td>cock</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1823</td>
<td>c823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA11 Virtuous</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>juno's swan</td>
<td>swan</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>c592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC14 Fortunate</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>lucky dog</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1844</td>
<td>c844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA1 Evil</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>black sheep</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>c792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.female)</td>
<td></td>
<td>hellicat*</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1816</td>
<td>c816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hell cat</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>a1605</td>
<td>c605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Lexical Item</td>
<td>Animal Used For</td>
<td>First Date as Animal</td>
<td>First Date as NP</td>
<td>Date Gap in Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA4 Hellish</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>hellehund</td>
<td>hound</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hellhound</td>
<td>hound</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1420</td>
<td>c420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hell kite</td>
<td>kite (bird)</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>c605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA6 Offensive</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>verminaille</td>
<td>vermin</td>
<td>13..</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>c300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.plural)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB1 Inferior</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>ram-skyt</td>
<td>ram</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1460</td>
<td>c460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.abuse)</td>
<td></td>
<td>bear-wolf</td>
<td>bear/wolf</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>c545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bull-beef*</td>
<td>bull</td>
<td>c1200</td>
<td>1572</td>
<td>c372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>spider-catcher*</td>
<td>spider</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>1579</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mole catcher</td>
<td>mole</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wolf's fist*</td>
<td>wolf</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1606</td>
<td>c606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hog rubber*</td>
<td>hog</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>flea trap</td>
<td>flea</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>a1616</td>
<td>c616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fish face</td>
<td>fish</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>a1625</td>
<td>c625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hound's head</td>
<td>hound</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>c633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>toad's guts</td>
<td>toad</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1634</td>
<td>c634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chiff cat</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>c653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dog's face</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1676</td>
<td>c676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cowson</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>c936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>louser</td>
<td>louse</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>c960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>louser-up</td>
<td>louse</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>c967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.male)</td>
<td></td>
<td>chicken shit*</td>
<td>chicken</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>c968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bitch-son</td>
<td>female dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>c1330</td>
<td>c330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.female)</td>
<td></td>
<td>bitch-clout</td>
<td>female dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>a1400</td>
<td>c400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(.contempt..male)</td>
<td></td>
<td>conger-head</td>
<td>eel</td>
<td>c1300</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>c330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>whose's bird</td>
<td>bird</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1673</td>
<td>c673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>whose's kitling</td>
<td>young animal</td>
<td>a1300</td>
<td>a1700</td>
<td>c400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>she-dog</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1821</td>
<td>c821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>duck's meat*</td>
<td>duck</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>c599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Lexical Item</td>
<td>Animal Used For</td>
<td>First Date as Animal</td>
<td>First Date as NP</td>
<td>Date Gap in Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB1 contd.(..title) (.reproach)</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>snakeship</td>
<td>snake</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>c839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gaol bird</td>
<td>bird</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>c603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB2 Worthless (.female)</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>houndsfoot</td>
<td>hound</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1710</td>
<td>c710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>loose fish</td>
<td>fish</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>c827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB3 Roguish</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>sheepman</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>c640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gallow's bird</td>
<td>bird</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>c785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB6 Wretched</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>wyrmlic</td>
<td>serpent</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB7 Base</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>dogbolt</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>c465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hang-dog</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>c687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dirty dog</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>c928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB8 Villainous</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>feondulf</td>
<td>wolf</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC5 Criminal</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>lone wolf</td>
<td>wolf</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>c909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC8 Corrupt</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>scabby sheep</td>
<td>sheep</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>c728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE3 Playf. Misch. (.boisterous)</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>cowboy*</td>
<td>cow</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>c942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE4 Harmf. Misch.</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>fire-bug</td>
<td>bug</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ratbag</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>c937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE6 Ill-Will (.fem)</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>viperess</td>
<td>viper</td>
<td>1526</td>
<td>1647</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE12 Savage</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>wolver</td>
<td>wolf</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>c593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>boarship</td>
<td>boar</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>c796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.6 Notes on the Tables

Seven different features are commented on below. The notes are accordingly arranged into seven groups—one for each feature. In each group the notes are presented in the order in which the items occur in the tables.

1. Items which are possibly not based on animal names.

EA6 (i) crab This item has been treated as if it is derived from 'crab' the shellfish. It may instead be from 'crab', a common name for the wild apple. 'crab-staff' and 'crab-stick'—in the same NP subdivision—are both from the wild apple name.

EB1 (i) baboon The first recorded use of this item as a name for an animal is in c1400. The same item in the sense 'a grotesque figure' has a first date in the OED of c1325. The NP sense may possibly be derived from this earlier sense rather than from the animal sense.

EB1 (i) pilcher This item may not be related to pilchard at all. Pilchard is only ever used to mean a fish. Pilcher, on the other hand, could equally well be derived from 'pilch' (a jerkin or doublet) or 'pilch' (to rob) and could have meant 'one who wears a pilch' or 'one who pilches', before being used as as a term of abuse.

EB1 (i) runt In 1501, 48 years before its first use in the sense 'a small ox or cow', this word
is recorded with the meaning 'a tree stump'. The NP sense – first recorded in 1614 – could be drawn from either of these earlier senses, although it is more likely to have come from the animal sense.

EB1 (i) windsucker This item is directly derived from 'windfucker' (the kestrel) – probably as a euphemistic variant – and appears 281 years after 'windfucker' is first recorded. It is included as an animal-derived item on this basis.

EA1 (iii) hellicat This item has been treated as if it is derived from the word cat. In fact, it may be an alteration of the word 'halok' which means 'a foolish woman' and is first recorded in 1508.

2. One item which has possibly never had an animal sense.

EB1 (i) creature In the OED 'creature' is defined as 'anything created' (sense 1) or 'a living being, often as distinct from man' (sense 2). Both senses date from a1300. Sense 3 'a human creature' dates from c1300. The sense in the classification – a term of contempt – dates from a1400/50 and is clearly derived from an earlier general sense of creature, but whether or not it is specifically from an animal sense is not entirely clear.
3. Items possibly derived from more than one animal sense.

EB1 (i) teg See note under 4 below.

EB1 (i) scolopendra This item is first recorded in 1570 meaning 'a fabulous sea monster', and in 1608 meaning 'a centipede or millipede'. The NP sense, first recorded in 1633, could be derived from either of these animal senses.

4. Items where the NP sense antedates the animal sense.\(^2\)

EB1 (i) land-rat This item is first recorded as a term of abuse in 1596. In its animal sense - 'a rat which goes on the land' - it first appears in 1609. The fact that the NP sense antedates the animal sense by 13 years suggests that perhaps the NP sense derives from OE rat (rat) plus 'land', rather than from 'land-rat' as one word. However, the difference in years is not great and the item has been treated here as if it is from 'land-rat'.

EB1 (i) beast This item is treated as if it is derived from the sense 'a living being or animal', first recorded in c1220. However, the item is actually recorded some ten years earlier (c1210) in an NP sense: see note on EE12 'beast' below.

EB1 (i) fox whelp This item is first recorded as a term of contempt in c1320, but it does not appear
as an animal name (meaning 'the young of the fox') until c1374, some 54 years later.
Both fox and whelp individually date from Old English and it is likely that 'fox whelp' in an animal sense was known in spoken English from at least the same date as the NP sense, but was simply not recorded in written English before c1374.

EB1 (i) teg
As a term of contempt for a woman, 'teg' first appears in a1529, one year before the sense 'a female deer in her second year' (1530) and eight years before the sense 'a yearling sheep' (1537). The NP sense could be equally well derived from either of these animal senses, although it antedates them both. The antedating is so small that it is probable that both animal senses were in existence, even if we do not have any written evidence, at the same time as the NP sense first appeared.

EB1 (i) cad-worm
This item is first recorded as a term of contempt in 1630. As another name for the caddis or caddis-worm (the larva of the may-fly) it does not appear until 1654, 24 years later. However, once again the date gap is not enormous, and in addition 'worm' dates from Old English, and 'caddis-worm' from 1622.
The first animal sense of beast appears in c1220 ('a living being or animal'). Further animal senses appear in c1230, but the NP sense 'a brutal, savage man' dates from c1210. As with 'teg' and others though, the difference in years is very slight and it seems likely that the animal and NP senses first began to be used at much the same time.

5. One item where the OED date has been altered.

In the OED foumart meaning 'a polecat' is given a first date of 13... The source is Early English Alliterative Poems and, in keeping with the practice of the Historical Thesaurus compilers, this date has been altered to the more accurate c1375.

6. One item where the OED does not illustrate the animal sense.

In addition to the 'base person' sense the OED also defines this item as 'an albino variety...of the common house mouse' and 'a name for the common lemming', yet it provides no examples of either of these animal senses. Therefore, unless the publication date of the OED fascicle Whisking-Wilfulness (which is 1924) is used, no first date for the animal senses can be given. As this date would postdate the 'base person' sense by some 74 years, there is no advantage in using it. As a result
the 'first date as animal' and 'date gap' columns for 'white mouse' have been left blank.

7. Items from group (iii) which have another, usually earlier, sense in addition to the one in the classification.

(a) Where OED records the earlier sense.

EB1 (iii) mole-catcher This item is first recorded as a term of abuse in 1603. In 1573, 30 years earlier, it appears in the sense 'one who catches moles'.

EB1 (iii) wolf's fist In c1265 this item is first recorded meaning 'the puffball' (a fungus-like plant). It does not appear as a term of abuse until 1606, some 341 years later. It is likely that the term of abuse developed from the plant name, and that the item is linked to 'wolf', the animal, through this sense.

EB1 (iii) hog-rubber This term literally means 'one who rubs hogs'. The first quotation in the OED (1614) uses the item as a proper name, in a context where it can be understood both in this literal sense and figuratively, as a derogatory term. The first NP date is therefore the date of this quotation.

EB1 (iii) duck's meat In 1538 this item appears as the name of a kind of pondweed. It is not until 1599 - 61 years later - that it is used as a term of contempt for a man.
EE3 (iii) cowboy  
This item first appears in the sense 'a boy who tends cows' in 1725. In 1942 – 217 years later – it is used as a name for playfully mischievous person. It is likely that this sense is related to 'cow' the animal through the 'cowherd' sense, and especially through the American 'cattle rancher' sense (1849--- in OED).

(b) Where there is no actual evidence of the earlier sense.

EB1 (iii) bull-beef  
The definition 'the flesh of bulls' is included in the OED, but no examples are given.

EB1 (iii) spider-catcher  
The sense 'one who catches spiders' is given in the OED, but it is not clear which sense the examples which follow actually illustrate. It is more likely that they are figurative, and that the item is used only as a term of abuse.

EB1 (iii) chicken shit  
The OED only defines and illustrates this item as a term of abuse. However, it seems logical and obvious to suppose that it must also have, or have had, the meaning 'chicken droppings'.

6.7 The Literal/Figurative Time Gap

The time gap between the first literal and first metaphoric uses of the items in the tables on pp.416 to 422 varies considerably. Those items which belong to groups (i) and (ii) – where the item
itself is simply transferred in its application from an animal to a person - are sometimes transferred after only a few years, and on other occasions take hundreds of years. 'Windfucker' (EB1) is recorded as a term of abuse only three years after it is first recorded as a word for a kestrel. This is doubtless largely due to the fact that '-fucker' is the second element of the word. 'Panther' on the other hand, is not recorded as a word for a cruel, savage person (EE12) until some 648 years after it first appears as an animal. These two examples are both extreme, but it is not uncommon for items with a difference of only 100 years or less to be side by side in the tables with items which have a difference of 300 years or more. For example, in EB1, 'babion' (a word for an ape) is first used as a term of abuse 25 years after it is recorded as an animal name, while 'rat', beside it takes 629 years to make the same transition.

The date gaps for items in groups (i) and (ii) are so widely varied that it would be unwise to draw conclusions from them.

The items in groups (iii) and (iv) are more consistent. These items comprise animal names which are used in phrases, or in compounds, or with affixes, to form transferred senses applied to people. Their transferral therefore involves some grammatical alteration or addition to the animal name, and is not a straightforward shift in application. Changes like this can take some time to occur and as a result this process is not swift. The time gap between the first literal and the first metaphoric use of the items in (iii) and (iv) is always fairly large.

Of the 54 items in these groups, all except two have a time gap of over 200 years. The two exceptions are 'pure merino' (GA5) and 'viperess' (EE6). 'Merino' is used of a sheep in 1781 and 'pure
merino' of an excellent person only 46 years later, in 1827. 'Viper' is first recorded in 1526, and 'viperess' meaning as ill-willing female person in 1647, just 121 years later. Otherwise the time gaps are much greater, and not uncommon in (iii) is a gap of more than 500 years. 'Dog's face' (EB1) is not recorded as a term of abuse before 1676, although 'dog' dates from OE (a gap of c626 years) and 'loose fish' (EB2) is not used as a name for a worthless person until 1827 although 'fish' also dates from OE (a gap of c827 years).

It clearly takes many years for the change to be effected which involves the combination of an item with other elements, and the subsequent use of this combination in a transferred sense. The tables provide ample evidence of this. The straightforward change in meaning of a word is often a much swifter process.

6.8 Good and Evil: The Relative Proportions

G.N. Leech comments - in a discussion on semantic transfer - that animal words which are applied to people are usually used unflatteringly. The evidence in the Good and Evil classification seems to bear this out. Of the 164 items in the tables, only seven are names for good people. None of these seven belongs to group (i), so no animal names are used in an unchanged form as names for good people. Two belong to group (ii). They are 'thoroughbred' and 'flier'. Neither item in isolation bears any obvious resemblance to an animal name.

Four of the Good items belong to group (iii) (animal names used in combination with other words). Again the item which is applied to a person is of a form quite distinct from the animal name. The last item belongs to group (iv) and hence forms one word of a phrase.
which is applied to an excellent person.

Of the 157 animal-based items which are applied to evil people however, 98 belong to group (i), that is, they are animal names applied directly to people. Nine items belong to group (ii). Five of these occur in EB1 and, as in Good, the items bear little relation to animal names (for example 'curtal' and 'turnspit' (both from EB1)). The remaining 50 items belong to group (iii).

These figures, and their distribution, are a clear illustration of the fact that animal names are applied much more frequently to evil people than to good people. The largest number of evil items belongs to the group which is made up of straightforward animal names, and the smallest number to the group where the item often cannot be directly connected with an animal name. No evil items at all belong to group (iv), in which the animal name forms one word of a phrase, but the second largest number belongs to group (iii) where the animal name - which is generally quite recognizably an animal name - is used in combination with another word or element. In a number of items in (iii) this other word carries a pejorative connotation instead of, or as well as, the pejorative connotation being conveyed by the animal name. In several items the other word is 'hell' and in several others it is 'whore'.

6.9 Metaphor

An interesting area of study which an examination of the application of animal names to people reveals is that of the relationship between the animal (or its name) and the type of person to whom it is applied. Such relationships are examples of metaphor. The rest of this chapter deals with metaphor and with animal metaphor in
particular. It is prefaced by a brief discussion on the nature of metaphor in general.

The Concise Oxford Dictionary defines metaphor as 'the) application of (a) name or descriptive term or phrase to an object or action to which it is imaginatively but not literally applicable'.

According to Aristotle, one of the earliest writers to discuss metaphor, 'the greatest thing by far is to be a master of metaphor ... it is also a sign of genius, since a good metaphor implies an intuitive perception of the similarity in dissimilars'.

Much more and by many others has been written on metaphor since. Metaphor is a type of semantic change - if a word is used of something in a way which is quite distinct from its literal, and often normal, application (as 'lion' in the sentence 'John is a lion') then we assign to the word a different meaning. Although the literal application is essential for our understanding of the word in a non-literal context, the meaning is not the same. Metaphor is often referred to as a kind of 'transfer', for example as 'transfer of meaning' or 'semantic transfer' or the like.

There are two essential criteria for a living metaphor to be successful. One is that the literal meaning of the word must be known to the reader (or speaker, listener, etc.). The other is that the word must occur in a context which suggests that its use is other than, or more than, literal. All the animal names in the tables are being used metaphorically when they are applied to people. Both criteria of metaphor are conveyed in the tables. The first column includes the title of the category and, where applicable, the headings of any subordinations within the NP subdivision. This effectively provides the metaphoric context of the item. The third
column gives the literal meaning of the word.

Many writers, when discussing metaphor, select as a basic example the application of an animal name to a person. R.A. Waldron also points out that 'the type of metaphor in which a similarity of evaluative response to tenor and vehicle is made the basis of the transfer can be illustrated least equivocally in the use of names of animals and objects for human beings.'

6.10 Animal Metaphor

There are very few works on animal metaphor which are directly relevant to the approach to the subject taken in this thesis. Gustaf Stern, in Meaning and Change of Meaning, includes a discussion on animal metaphor in a section entitled 'Metaphors based on Similarity' (p. 320). In the course of this discussion he makes two important points. Animal names used for people are, he says, 'often depreciative, more or less abusive appellations for human beings' and 'the element of similarity is either a quality that is reprehensible or contemptible in itself, or else a quality that is neutral or favourable in an animal, but becomes reprehensible in a human being.'

One article which does deal with the use of animal categories as verbal abuse is by Edmund Leach. 'Anthropological Aspects of Language: Animal Categories and Verbal Abuse' makes a number of interesting points. Leach's approach is, not surprisingly, more anthropological than linguistic. He says (p. 27) 'I shall be discussing the connection between animal categories and verbal obscenities...It is much easier to talk about the animals than about the obscenities. The latter will mostly be just off stage.'
He questions why animals should be used abusively of people: 'animal abuse seems much less easily accounted for. Why should.. 'you swine' carry the connotations it does when.. 'you polar bear' has no meaning whatsoever?' (p.29), and proposes that 'animal abuse is in some way linked with.. the ritual value of the animal category concerned' (p.30).

6.11 A Simple Animal Taxonomy

The following section of this chapter is concerned with establishing a connection between the animal names in the NP subdivision and the type of person to whom each name is applied.

The items are dealt with in the four groups in which they are set out in the tables, and which are explained on p.412. Within each group they are classified, on the basis of their animal names, according to an elementary Linnaean-based animal taxonomy. Their role in the animal taxonomy is then related to their role in the NP subdivision.

The subject of animal taxonomy is not one which it is relevant to discuss in depth in this thesis. Even at a simple level it is a complex and open-ended area of study. As Leach says, 'the English language classification of familiar animals is by no means a simple matter.' Therefore, in this study, only the partial classification which is used here is outlined and explained, and the subject is not taken any further.

The animal classification here is partial because it consists only of those levels which are necessary in order to cover the animal names in Good and Evil. Therefore, of the seven basic Linnaean levels no more than four are mentioned here.

The four are phylum, class, order, and family. Omitted are
kingdom (above phylum) and genus and species (below family) but included are two extra levels\(^2\) - subphylum and superorder. These six levels provide a hierarchy sufficient to cover every animal name mentioned in the NP subdivision of the Good and Evil classification.

The partial animal classification used here is set out below.
In order to ensure that the headings are as clear and simple as possible, the terminology is no more Latinate than absolutely necessary.

Several points relating to these tables must be made. The order in which the headings under 'class' - and also the headings in other levels - are presented is a standard order in Linnaean based taxonomies. The superorder 'ungulate' means 'having hoofs' and 'unguiculate' means 'having claws'. The other terms should not require explanation.

On the succeeding pages only the levels from class downwards are referred to. Presented in a vertical listing these are as follows.

- Crustaceans
- Insects
- Arachnids
- Fish
- Amphibians
- Reptiles
- Birds
- Mammals: Primates
  - Ungulates
  - Unguiculates: Carnivores: Cats
    - Dogs
    - Weasels
  - Insectivores
  - Rodents

Each underlined word is the heading of a separate section under which the items are discussed. In addition there is, after Rodents, an extra section called Unclassifiable covering items like 'beast' and 'brute' which are non-specific animal terms (probably mammals) that cannot be classified any more precisely. This makes a total of 15 sections and they are discussed in the order given above.

A number of animal items in group (i) are applied to more than one kind of person and therefore recur in the Good and Evil classification. As a result there are 89 different animal names to cover 98
NP items. There is also — as already reflected in the tables (pp.416-422) — a predominance of items from EBl, chiefly of terms of abuse.

6.12 Group (i)

There are 98 animal based NP items in group (i). All are names for evil people. They fit into the animal classification as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL CLASSIFICATION &amp; ITEM</th>
<th>CATEGORY &amp; NP USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crustaceans</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crab</td>
<td>EA6 offensive person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lobster</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insects</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cad-worm</td>
<td>EBl term of contempt for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grubworm</td>
<td>EBl term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>louse</td>
<td>EBl term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>morpion</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scarab</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scarabee</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wasp</td>
<td>EE6 petty ill-willing person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insect</td>
<td>EBl term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arachnids</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attercop</td>
<td>EE6 ill-willing malevolent person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spider</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tick</td>
<td>EBl term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dogfish</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pilcher</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thornback</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sculpin</td>
<td>EB2 worthless person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shad</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scolopendra</td>
<td>EBl term of reproach for female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conger</td>
<td>EBl term of abuse for male</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amphibians
frog EBI term of abuse
paddock EBI term of abuse
toad EBI term of abuse

Reptiles
viper EE6 ill-willing malevolent person
reptile EB7 base person
cockatrice EB1 term of abuse
serpent ED1 treacherous person
wyrm EB6 wretched person
basilisk EE6 ill-willing malevolent person
dragon EA5 diabolical person
she-dragon EE12 savage person, female

Birds
haggard EA5 diabolical person, female
keelie EB4 ruffianly person
vulture EE12 savage person
windfucker EB1 term of abuse
windsucker EB1 term of abuse
rook EB1 term of abuse
spink EB1 term of abuse
wariangle EB1 term of abuse

Mammals: Primates
babion EB1 term of abuse
baboon EB1 term of abuse
dog's head EB1 term of reproach
monkey EE3 young playf. misch. person

Ungulates
pig EB1 term of abuse
sow EB1 term of abuse
swine EB1 term of abuse
hilding EB2 worthless person
nag EB1 term of abuse
rip EB2 worthless person
" EB2 worthless person, female
bulchin EB1 term of contempt
runt EB1 term of abuse
teg EB1 term of contempt for female

Unguiculates

Carnivores: cats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>term</th>
<th>one of two terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cat</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gib</td>
<td>EB1 term of reproach for female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>puss</td>
<td>EB1 term of reproach for female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wild cat</td>
<td>EE5 spiteful person, female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lion</td>
<td>EE12 savage person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>panther</td>
<td>EE12 savage person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tiger</td>
<td>EB7 base person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carnivores: dogs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>term</th>
<th>one of two terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>docga</td>
<td>EE12 savage person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dog</td>
<td>EB2 worthless person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hund</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cur</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mongrel</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bitch</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>EE5 spiteful person, female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brach</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fox whelp</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>whelp</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>EB7 base person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wolf</td>
<td>EE12 savage person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyena</td>
<td>EE12 savage person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carnivores: weasels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>term</th>
<th>one of two terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>brock</td>
<td>EB7 base person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fitchew</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foumart</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skunk</td>
<td>EB7 base person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Insectivores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>term</th>
<th>one of two terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hurcheon</td>
<td>EE3 young playf. misch. person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irchin</td>
<td>EE3 young playf. misch. person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrew</td>
<td>EA1 evil person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shreward</td>
<td>EB3 roguish person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherew</td>
<td>EA1 evil person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrow</td>
<td>EA1 evil person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rodents</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land-rat</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White mouse</td>
<td>EB7 base person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porcupine</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unclassifiable</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beast</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>EB7 base person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>EE12 savage person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brute</td>
<td>EE12 savage person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creature</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monster</td>
<td>EA8 heinous person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varmint</td>
<td>EE3 young playf. misch. person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermin</td>
<td>EA6 offensive person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>EA6 offensive people</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The order of the items within each of the sections is alphabetic where possible, but is sometimes divided further according to various animal based criteria. Those sections which are alphabetic are Crustaceans, Arachnids, Amphibians, Primates, Weasels, and Unclassifiable. The items in the non-alphabetic sections are distributed as follows:

**Insects:** two larvae, two lice, two beetles, one wasp (all seven in alphabetical order) and the general term 'insect'.

**Fish:** three specific fish names (alphabetic), two general names (alphabetic), one fabulous fish and one eel.

**Reptiles:** one specific reptile name, one general name, two items for serpent (alphabetic) and three items for fabulous serpents.

**Birds:** five birds of prey (alphabetic) and three other birds.
Ungulates: three pigs (alphabetic), three horses (alphabetic),
two cattle (alphabetic) and one sheep or deer.

Unguiculates, cats: three domestic (alphabetic), one wild cat,
and three wild 'big cats' (alphabetic).

Unguiculates, dogs: five items for male dog (alphabetic), two for
female (alphabetic), two for young (alphabetic) and two
wild (as they occur in the classification).

Insectivores: alphabetic (apart from the last two, which are as
they occur in the classification).

Rodents: two rats (general and specific), one mouse and one
porcupine.

Generally, the non-alphabetic sections above are arranged on
the basis of finer taxonomic distinctions. Classes or orders are
split up into families and genera. From the NP viewpoint however -
which is the viewpoint important to this thesis - these further
zoological distinctions are only of value in so far as they can
explain the distribution of the items in the above sections when
this is other than alphabetic. The taxonomic distinctions are also
often combined with a distinction between, and movement from,
specific animal terms to general ones.

The Ungulates section is set out taxonomically (see more detailed
comment below). The sections covering Insects and Rodents also
follow this pattern, while at the same time including both general
and specific terms. In four sections (Fish, Reptiles, Cats, and
Dogs) the order follows an informal human view of animals which
ranges from the familiar (to man) to the unfamiliar (while also,
in the case of Fish and Reptiles, moving from the specific to the
general). One section (Birds) is set out in the opposite way, moving from the less familiar (from birds of prey, for which there are more items) to the more common (even if the names given here for the more common are unfamiliar words!).

A similar informal 'familiar - unfamiliar' approach is followed by Leach, in the article mentioned above (p.434). Because Leach looks at animal names as applied to people from an anthropological viewpoint, he is principally concerned with man's perception of animals in relation to his (that is, man's) own sphere of living.

The animal groupings, particularly the larger ones, reveal some interesting facts.

The number of items falling into each class - from the largest down - are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Group</th>
<th>Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insects</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptiles</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachnids</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibians</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The greatest number belongs to the mammals - the class which is most familiar and similar to mankind (and to which mankind also belongs). The smallest number is in the class which is probably least similar to mankind - the Crustaceans. The pattern is roughly, but not entirely, borne out by the numbers inbetween. Insects, birds, and fish are all familiar to man and are well represented. However (in Britain) reptiles are less familiar, but still well represented, while arachnids, which are familiar to man, especially in the widely feared form of the spider, are poorly represented.

If we break down the mammals into orders (and superorder) they appear as follows:
Carnivores  22
Ungulates  9
Insectivores  6
Rodents  4
Primates  4
(Unclassifiable  6)

The Unclassifiable items cannot be allocated to any order, and are therefore not discussed further here. Of the others, it is interesting that primates, although closest to man, have the lowest number of items. This seems to contradict the first list in which the greatest numbers occurred in the classes most familiar to man. Perhaps names of primates are just too familiar, and too close to man, to be freely applied to people in a derogatory fashion. Otherwise the orders which are closer to man do have more items, and those less familiar (Insectivores and possibly Rodents) have fewer items.

The order Carnivore is further broken down into families:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weasels</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest number of items belongs to the most familiar section (dogs being the animals which man has domesticated and established a closer relationship with than any other animals) and the smallest to the least familiar (cats are also domesticated but retain a greater degree of independence and tendency to the feral than dogs, while weasels are, in general, undomesticated).

These findings - that man applies to himself most often the names of those animals to which he is closest or with which he is most familiar - are also proposed by Leach in his investigations into people's attitudes to food, sex, verbal abuse, and other areas, in relation to their attitude to animals.
6.13 Comments on Individual Sections in Group (i)

Points of interest can also be drawn - in some cases - from the sections individually.

Crustaceans. Only two items fall into this class, insufficient for any conclusions to be drawn.

Insects. Of the eight items here, four are used as terms of contempt - a relatively large proportion. As insects are generally small and insignificant in relation to mankind their use as terms of contempt is appropriate. Three are terms of abuse (both words for beetle are terms of abuse for males), and one ('wasp') is used as a name for a petty ill-willing person.

Arachnids. As there are only three items here little can be said about them. 'Attercop' is the Old English word for a spider and literally means 'poison-head', so it is appropriate that it should be applied to an ill-willing malevolent person. 'Spider' however, is ultimately related to the word 'spin' and is simply used as a general term of abuse. A tick - very small and insignificant from man's point of view - is, like many of the insects, used as a term of contempt.

Fish. Five items out of seven here are terms of abuse. All are specific names for species of fish. One item is applied to a worthless person, and is itself a word for a worthless fish. A 'scolopendra' is used as a term of reproach for a female. There is nothing in the animal senses of 'scolopendra' to suggest that the word is particularly feminine, so this application probably comes from the fact that the '-a' ending is feminine in Latin and other languages.

Amphibians. There are only three items here all of which are
terms of abuse.

Reptiles. The eight items here belong to seven different categories — a wide variety. A viper, like an attercop, is poisonous, and is therefore (like 'attercop') applied to an ill-willing malevolent person. A reptile — a low, crawling creature — is a base person. A serpent — doubtless on the basis of its role in the downfall of man in the garden of Eden — is a treacherous person. 'Wyrm' (which is Old English) is applied to a wretched person. In OE 'wyrm' can mean 'serpent or worm'. After the serpent was cast out of the Garden of Eden it was punished by being made to crawl on the ground as a worm. The application here of 'wyrm' to a wretched person may be based on this idea. A basilisk was a fabulous reptile with a fatal look or breath, and is applied here to a malevolent person.

Birds. The five birds here which are not birds of prey are used as terms of abuse. Not surprisingly this includes one with the ending '-fucker' and another with the corresponding euphemistic form '-sucker'. The vulture, as the most rapacious of the birds listed is, appropriately, applied to a savage person.

Primates. The applications of the four items here are not especially notable and require no further comment.

Ungulates. Animals from several different genera or families are included here. All are either insults or names for worthless people. The three items for pig are all terms of abuse. The three items for horse (all types of worthless horse) are applied to four kinds of people, as one is used twice, and of these applications three are to worthless people. One of the oxen-related items, 'bulchin' — which is a word for a bull-calf — is used as a term of
contempt. The fact that the animal name is applied to a young animal may be the reason why it is used contemptuously of a person. Contempt is more easily shown for something which is obviously inferior or insignificant in some way (see comments on Insects, above) and any young creature, simply by virtue of being young, can be regarded as possessing both these qualities (see also the comments under Dogs, below).

**Cats.** There are seven animal names here. One is applied to two different types of people, so there are eight different NP applications. Three of the seven items (two words for a domestic cat and the item 'wild cat') are specifically applied to females. Three other items are names for wild animals belonging to the cat family. All of these are applied to savage people. One, 'tiger', is also applied to a base person.

**Dogs.** There are 11 animal names here, two of which are applied to people in more than one way, giving a total of 13 NP applications. Four items are applied specifically to males. Of these, two are terms of contempt and are words for male dogs ('hund' and 'cur'). The other two are terms of abuse and are words for female dogs ('bitch' and 'brach').

One item is applied specifically to a female, and this is 'bitch', which is used to mean 'a spiteful woman'. It is interesting that the strongest terms of insult are those involving the application of a female animal name to a male person. It is also worth noting that the specific application of cat names is to women, while the specific application of dog names is chiefly to men.

In addition to 'hund' and 'cur' two other dog names are used as general terms of contempt. These are 'fox whelp' and 'whelp', both
items for kinds of young dogs. This lends more weight to the suggestion above, that names for young animals more readily lend themselves — because of the 'young' quality — to use as terms of contempt for people.

Three items are each used as a name for a savage person. One is OE 'docga', which was probably a broader term in OE than the term 'dog' is in Modern English. The other two are words for wild animals belonging to the dog family (used in the same way as the wild animals of the cat family).

Weasels. There are only four items here: two terms of contempt (both words for the polecat) and two names for a base person.

Insectivores. There are six animal items here, one of which is used twice, making seven NP applications. Two of the six ('hurcheon' and 'irchin') are words for hedgehog and four are words for shrew. Both words for hedgehog are used of a young playfully mischievous person and both are related to the word 'urchin'. Three of the words for shrew are applied to an evil person. One of these words is applied to two different kinds of people and in its other application it is used as a term of abuse. The fourth word for shrew is used of a roguish person.

Rodents. There are four items here, three of which are terms of abuse, but none of which require special comment.

Unclassifiable. Six items are listed here. One, 'beast', is used of people in three different ways, and another, 'vermin', is used twice. Only one other — 'varmint' — deserves comment. This word is applied to a young playfully mischievous person and is a variant of 'vermin'. Along with 'hurcheon' and 'irchin' above it is a word for an animal, or animals, held in little regard by man, and
is applied here to a young person. These three items all share a common relationship between 'inferior' and 'young' – as do items already mentioned under Ungulates and Dogs above – but this time inferior is of the animal and young is of the person, the reverse of the 'roles' of the items in Ungulates and Dogs.

### 6.14 Group (ii)

Only 11 NP items derived from animal names belong to group (ii). Two are used of good people and nine are used of evil people. They fit into the animal classification as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL CLASSIFICATION &amp; ITEM</th>
<th>CATEGORY &amp; NP USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insects</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pismire</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flyer (see Birds)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Birds</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flyer</td>
<td>GA5 excellent person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shake-bag</td>
<td>EB3 roguish person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mammals: Ungulates</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thoroughbred</td>
<td>GA5 excellent person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curtal</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unguiculates</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carnivores: dogs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bandog</td>
<td>EE12 savage person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sheep-biter</td>
<td>ED9 sneaky person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>streaker</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trundle tail</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>turnspit</td>
<td>EB1 term of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stray</td>
<td>EC2 straying person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most significant point which can be made about the animal names in group (ii) is that they are chiefly applied to people in a manner which is based on their transferred sense, rather than on
any qualities which they may possess as animals. Their NP sense is drawn from a human perception of one of their features or characteristics.

Insects. One item here is a term of contempt. It is based on a name for an ant drawn originally from two words (piss and mire) used to describe the unpleasant smell of an ant-hill. In group (i) four of the eight insect names are used as terms of contempt, so this one in group (ii) can be regarded as further evidence of a general tendency.

Birds. There are two items here. The first, 'flyer' (also used of an insect), is applied to an excellent person. The basis for this is doubtless the view that a person who is excellent is also one who is above the rest and who therefore can be regarded as flying. The second item is a name for a fighting fowl, which is applied to a roguish person, probably more on the basis of the 'fighting' quality than the 'fowl' element, but perhaps chiefly because the item itself has the disreputable sounding name of 'shake-bag'.

Ungulates. 'Thoroughbred' is applied to an excellent person on the basis of the high and pure breeding of the animal so called (a quality introduced by man) rather than on the basis of any feature inherent in the animal itself.

Dogs. There are six items here. The first five are set out in alphabetical order, followed by one general item. Again the NP applications are based chiefly on a role or characteristic of the animal as attributed to it by man. A 'bandog' - a chained guard dog - is applied to a savage person. A 'turnspit' - a dog which turns a spit, i.e. which plays a menial role - is a term of contempt.
There is no immediately obvious reason why a 'streaker' should be a term of abuse, but the word is a name for a type of hunting hound, and in group (i) a name for a female hunting hound ('brach') is also used as a term of abuse — specifically for a male.

All the group (ii) items which belong to the dog family are animals which have been mastered by mankind and are to a greater or lesser degree domesticated.

As in group (i) more items belong to mammals than to any other class (eight of the eleven) and the majority of the mammals (six of the eight) belong to the dog family.

6.15 **Group (iii)**

54 items belong to this group - four from Good and 50 from Evil. They are distributed within the animal classification as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL CLASSIFICATION &amp; ITEM</th>
<th>CATEGORY &amp; NP USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fire bug</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flea trap</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>louser</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>louser-up</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachnids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spider catcher</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fish face</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loose fish</td>
<td>EB2 worthless person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conger head</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphibians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toad's guts</td>
<td>EB1 term of abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptiles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snakeship</td>
<td>EB1 title of contempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>chicken shit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>duck's meat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>juno's swan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hell kite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gallows bird</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gaol bird</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rare bird</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>whore's bird</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammals: Ungulates</td>
<td>boarship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hog-rubber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bull-beef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cowboy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cowson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>black sheep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pure merino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ram-skyt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>scabby sheep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sheepman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unguiculates</td>
<td>chuff cat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hell cat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hellicat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnivores: cats</td>
<td>dirty dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dogbolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dog's face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hang dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lucky dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>she dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnivores: dogs</td>
<td>hellehund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hell hound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hound's foot EB2 worthless person
hound's head EB1 term of abuse
bitch clout EB1 term of abuse for male
bitch son EB1 term of abuse for male
whore's kitling EB1 term of abuse for male
bear wolf EB1 term of abuse
feondulf EB8 villainous person
lone wolf EC5 criminal person, working alone
wolf's fist EB1 term of abuse
wolver EE12 savage person

Insectivores
mole-catcher EB1 term of abuse

Rodents
ratbag EE4 harmf. misch. person

Unclassifiable
verminaille EA6 offensive people

The order of the items in each section above parallels, where it is possible and convenient, the order in group (i). Those with more than one item, and whose order is not purely alphabetical, are as follows:

Fish: two general fish terms (alphabetic) and one item for eel.

Birds: three types of bird (alphabetic), one bird of prey, four general terms with the word bird (alphabetic).

Ungulates: two pigs (alphabetic), three cattle (alphabetic), five sheep (alphabetic).

Unguiculates, dogs: six items for (male) dog (alphabetic), four items with 'hound' (alphabetic), two female dogs (alphabetic), one young, five wild (alphabetic).

The numerical distribution within each class is set out overleaf.
Mammals  33  
Birds       8  
Insects     4  
Fish        3  
Reptiles    3  
Arachnids   1  
Amphibians  1

The order is similar to that of group (i), although there are no crustaceans. The largest number of items come under mammals, and the smallest under Arachnids and Amphibians. Inbetween, although in a slightly different order, are Birds, Insects, Fish, and Reptiles.

If we divide the mammals into their different orders (and super-order) their sequence is the same as that in group (i):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carnivores</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ungulates</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insectivores</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In group (i) there were 22 carnivores out of 88 animal items, while in group (iii) there are 21 out of 53 - a considerably higher proportion. These 21 are divided up into two families:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group (i) has only eleven items which belong to the dog family, so group (iii) has a higher number and a considerably higher proportion of its whole. As has already been mentioned (p.444), of all the animals covered in the NP subdivision, the dog is the domestically closest to mankind and names for dogs are those most often used of people.

The items in group (iii) chiefly consist of two kinds: those which follow the pattern of group (i) and those which follow the pattern of group (ii). There are also a number which are terms of abuse purely because they associate a person with the name of an animal - the precise animal is not important, as the abuse lies
simply in the association. Animals are inferior to man, and in that light the application of virtually any animal name to a person could constitute abuse. Items like this here include one under Arachnids ('spider-catcher'), one under Amphibians ('toad's guts'), and one under Insectivores ('mole-catcher').

6.16 Comments on Individual Sections in Group (iii)

Some sections provide points of interest worthy of comment, particularly when compared with the points drawn from groups (i) and (ii).

Insects. Three of the four items here are terms of abuse. One, 'flea trap', seems to be like 'spider-catcher' and others referred to above — abuse simply because an animal name is being used of a person. In two items the agent noun ending '-(e)r' has been added to the animal name (louse becoming louser) and an abusive application has developed from the word 'louser' as a name for a person (originally 'one who removes lice from' — an occupation despised both in its own right and because of its associations). The fourth item, a term for a harmfully mischievous person, 'fire-bug', derives its application as much if not more from the 'fire' element in the item as from the animal part. 'Fire-bug' is a name for an arsonist and it is on that basis that it fits in here as one who is harmfully mischievous.

Fish. Only three items belong here, two of which include the actual word 'fish'. One, linked with '-face', is a general term of abuse. The other is qualified by 'loose' (which, according to the OED, is applied to people to mean either 'relaxed' or 'free from moral restraint') and is used of a worthless person. The third item, 'conger-head', has the same application as 'conger' in group (i) —
both are terms of abuse for a male person.

Reptiles. Again, there are only three items here. Two are worthy of comment. Both have corresponding items in group (i) - that is (like 'conger' above), group (i) has the simple animal name, group (iii) has the same name accompanied by an affix or other word, and both are applied to the same kind of person. 'Viper' (group (i)) is applied to a malevolent person and 'viperess' (group (iii)) to a malevolent female person. 32 'Wyrm' (i) and 'wyrmlic' (iii) are both names for a wretched person.

Birds. There is very little connection between the eight items here and those (also eight) in group (i). In group (iii) there is only one bird of prey which, probably because of its additional element ('hell'), is applied to a hellish person. 33 Group (i) has five items which are used as straightforward terms of abuse, while group (iii) has only one. Many of the group (iii) items also obviously derive their NP sense from another element in the item, rather than from the bird name part. This includes the one Good item, 'rare bird', in which the excellent quality is conveyed by 'rare' (OED sense 6 'of uncommon excellence...; remarkably good or fine') rather than by 'bird'. One other - 'whore's bird' - is interesting, because it is a term of abuse applied specifically to a male. The 'bird' part means 'male offspring, or child' (OED sense 1c) and is derived from 'bird' meaning 'young bird' (OED sense 1). It is an item used abusively of a male in which the abuse lies in a view of the male as the offspring of a derogatory type of female - a whore being a kind of woman who is generally viewed with contempt. (See also comments below on 'cowson' and 'bitch' and others.)

Ungulates. Like Birds above, there is much greater variety in
this section than in the corresponding section in group (i). The ten items in group (i) are from two NP categories, while the ten in group (iii) are from seven categories. There is a further difference between (i) and (iii) in the zoological families and genera covered and the relative numbers of each. In group (i) there are three items which are kinds of horse, and only one which is a word for a sheep. Group (iii) does not have any horse-based items, but it does have five sheep-based items. Each of these five is used of a different kind of person. As with other items in (iii) the second element plays an important part in indicating the good or evil quality and specifying the kind of person to whom the animal is applied. This leads to a much greater diversity of application of the items in (iii) in comparison with those in (i).

One interesting word here is 'cowson'. This is a term of abuse, and although the OED, and hence this classification, does not specify that its application is purely male, and in some of the examples in the OED its application is not clear from the context, it is unlikely that it would be used to describe a woman. It is hence similar to 'whore's bird' above, in being a phrase meaning the offspring of a female, where the word for female is itself derogatory or vulgar,
applied specifically to women - is discussed in more detail overleaf.

**Dogs.** There are 18 items here. A number are general terms of abuse, or are items applied to people, on the basis of the meaning of the non-animal element. These include the first five and the last five items (apart from the final one, which is simply the animal name 'wolf' turned into the agent noun by the addition of the '-er' suffix (see note to 'louser', p. 455)). Of those remaining, three are terms of abuse for males. They cover, and also bring together, two points already touched upon concerning the relationship between items used for females and terms of abuse for males.

The first point is that a female animal name, when applied to a male person, is a strong term of insult. This was already noted in the commentary on 'bitch' etc. in group (i) (p. 447). Here, in group (iii), 'bitch-clout' is one of the items used as a term of male abuse.

The second point is that a strong male insult is also formed when the male is seen as the offspring of a female, and the term used for the female is derogatory. In this case the animal part of the item can be either the derogatory female word, or the 'offspring' word. This point has already been mentioned in the discussions above on 'whore's bird' (where the offspring is the animal) and 'cowson' (where the female is the animal).

Another term of male abuse here is 'bitch son'. 'Bitch' is both a female animal (which covers the first point) and a derogatory name for a woman. 'Son', in this context, is the male offspring of a bitch - and hence of this derogatory female term (which covers the second point). So both points made above come together in the item
'bitch son'. A third such term is 'whore's kitling'. This embodies a further illustration of the second point, and the animal name forms the 'offspring part'.

There are two items both of which include the word 'hell' and both of which are applied to a hellish person. It would appear that 'hell', when combined with an animal name, can either form an item meaning a general 'evil person' (as in Cats above), or can form one meaning a specific 'hellish person' (as here and in Birds above).

Another relationship brought to light by the facts and commentary above is that which exists on the one hand between cats and names for females and on the other hand between dogs and names for males.

In group (i) three of the eight NP applications under Cats are specifically of women. None are specifically of men. In group (iii) one of the three cat items is specifically of a woman, making four out of eleven in all.

Under Dogs in group (i) there are 13 NP applications, four of which are restricted to males. One is restricted to females. In group (iii) three of the 18 items are used specifically of males, and again one is only of females. This means that out of the 31 dog items in groups (i) and (ii), seven are specifically male, and only two are female.

These restrictions are related to man's perceptions of cats and dogs (and other members of these animal families, although in general the specific male and female applications are restricted to names for the domestic species). The dog is seen as man's companion or 'man's best friend' and it also often plays a useful working role.
It is linked with male humans, and therefore it — and, in a strongly abusive sense, it in its female form — is more readily used as a name for males. The cat on the other hand is traditionally seen as a woman's companion — for the woman living alone or, even more traditionally, as a familiar for a witch. It is therefore more natural to apply names for cats to females.

**Rodents.** There is only one item here, 'ratbag', which on its own cannot generate any valuable comments. It is also not related in any specific way to any of the rodent items in group (i).

**Unclassifiable.** Again, there is only one item here, 'verminaille', and no special points can be made.

6.17 **Group (iv)**

There is only one item in this group, as follows:

**ANIMAL CLASSIFICATION & ITEM** | **CATEGORY & NP USE**
--- | ---
**Birds** |  
cock of the walk | GA5 excellent person

As with 'ratbag' and 'verminaille' above, little can be said about one single item. This is one of five animal items from GA5, three of which involve names for birds. However, none belong to group (i), and each is therefore transferred or qualified in some way. In all three cases the non-animal element is essential for the effectiveness of the item in its application to an excellent person (see comments under **Birds** in groups (ii) and (iii) above).

6.18 **Conclusions**

A number of significant points have been raised and discussed
in this chapter.

The relationship between animal names and the kinds of people to whom these names are applied was subjected to close study.

Four clear groups of names for people based on animal names were established. The time gap between the first literal use of an animal name and its first transferred (or metaphoric) use, of a person, was clearly shown in a series of tables. It emerged that a straightforward animal name could be used of a person only a few years, on the one hand, or hundreds of years, on the other, after its first recorded animal use. An animal name forming part of a combination or phrase applied to a person, however, generally took some hundreds of years after its first animal appearance before being used, with other words or elements, of a person.

Considerably more names for evil people - even allowing for the larger size of the Evil part of the classification - turned out to be derived from animal names.

The study of animal metaphor, which forms the second part of the chapter, also revealed some interesting points. Within the context of a zoological classification, it emerged that man's perception of animals, particularly in terms of how they are used of people, is generally based on an informal human-centred view, ranging from the familiar and domesticated to the unfamiliar or wild. This was indicated by the relative numbers of animal names drawn from different zoological classes, and the kinds of people to whom the animals were applied. Some interesting points and parallels arose. Names for small or young animals tend to be used as terms of contempt. Cats (chiefly domesticated) tend to be used as names for women, while dogs (also chiefly domesticated) tend to
be names for men. Abusive terms for men are often names for female
dogs, or words for the male offspring of a contemptuously viewed
female. The items which belong to group (iii) sometimes base their
NP sense on the connection between an animal name and a name for a
person (usually an agent noun) and sometimes on the non-animal part
of the item, in which cases the animal part appears to have less
significance in the context of this study.

Many of the above are hitherto unrevealed or unproven points
about animal metaphor and the role of animal names as names for
people.
Notes to Chapter 6

1. The animal-based NP items are spread across 25 (out of the 93) categories in the classification. 22 categories have no NP items at all. This means that the other 269 NP items are spread over 46 categories. Their numerical distribution is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. It is worth bearing in mind that although the OED always endeavoured to find the earliest recorded date for an item, its first dates should only be taken as a guidance rather than an absolute ruling, and a small discrepancy between dates should not be taken too seriously. See the comments on the weaknesses of the OED in chapter 1, pp.3-4.

3. In fact not all the Historical Thesaurus compilers do (or did) this, but in keeping with those who did, and with scholarship in general, which now accepts c1375 as the standard date for these poems (the Middle English Dictionary and current work on Oxford historical dictionaries use it) it has been adopted here.


5. G.N. Leech, Semantics 2nd edition (London: Penguin, 1981) p.214, '..the way in which animal words are applied metaphorically (and usually unflatteringly) to human beings'.


9. For a discussion on the metaphoric meaning of 'lion' when applied to (for example) John, see Stern, op. cit., p.301 ff.

10. I.A. Richards, op. cit., p.135, refers to 'discussions of 'transference' - another name for metaphor..'. Charles Barber's Early Modern English (London: Andre Deutsch, 1976) has a section called 'Transfer: Metaphor' (p.154) in which he says that change involving 'a leap to a remote semantic area..is often called transfer.' G.N. Leech, op. cit., says 'if we take metaphor as one type of semantic transfer..' (p.214).


12. Stern, op. cit., p.304, says, of the comprehension of a metaphor, 'It is always the context that guides the hearer to a rapid and correct actualization of that..meaning which is intended by the speaker.' G.W. Turner, Stylistics (London, Penguin, 1973) points out (p.132) that 'a word is metaphorical only when it has both literal and figurative meaning together. A metaphor cannot..exist without a context.'


14. For an explanation of the terms 'tenor' and 'vehicle' see Richards, op. cit., p.96 ff.


18. Ibid., p.54.


20. The levels and composition of the Linnaean hierarchy are detailed in G.G. Simpson, op. cit., pp.16-19. Simpson also says (p.18) 'no animal is considered to be satisfactorily classified unless it has been placed..in some definite group at each of the seven levels.' I am also indebted to Mrs Lorna Knight for the information contained in some sections of a Linnaean-based taxonomy, forming part of her work on the
classification of animals for the Historical Thesaurus of English.


22. This order is used in the Classification of the Animal Kingdom (see note 19 above).

23. If explanation is necessary the words can all be found in the Concise Oxford Dictionary, 7th edition, 1982.

24. Leach, op. cit.

25. ibid. Leach's view of man's perception (specifically the 'English' perception) of animals is set out on p.44.

26. Katharine M. Briggs in Nine Lives: Cats in Folklore (London: R&KP, 1980) comments, in the context of their relative places in folk mythology, that 'The dog had been...long a servant of man...The cat had retained a wildness and independence of its own' (p.75).

27. Leach, op. cit., pp.37, 53.


29. Old English terms are often broader and more general in their application than their Modern English counterparts. See comment in chapter 2, p.108.

30. 'Urchin' is not covered in the main part of the Good and Evil classification, but it does appear in Appendix I.

31. OED '-er' suffix.

32. Note that 'viperess' belongs to group (iii), as the formation is only ever applied to a person, and has not developed from an earlier meaning of 'a female viper'. 'She-dragon', on the other hand, is used first of a female dragon and is only later transferred in use to a person. It therefore belongs in group (i).

33. 'Hellish' person simply designates one who is placed under the category Hellish, in the Evil part of the classification. A fuller explanation of what this means can be found in chapter 4, pp.282-283.

34. The Concise Oxford Dictionary designates 'cow' both derogatory and vulgar and defines it as '(a) woman, esp. (a) coarse or unpleasant one'.

35. Similarly, they come together in 'son of a bitch', but like 'urchin' this item is not in the main classification, but is covered in Appendix I.
36. Briggs, *op. cit.*, says 'the dog works as a rule with the men' (p.33) and 'Men as a whole had an affection for dogs' (p.83).

37. *ibid.* Briggs comments 'The cat...works under the guidance of women' (p.33) and 'In common tradition all over the country it is hard to separate the witch from her black cat' (p.87).
Appendix I

Supplementary Classification

The classification which forms chapter 3 of this thesis is based on material which was drawn from selected areas of the Historical Thesaurus archive between October 1981 and April 1984. Since then many additional items have been added to these areas, chiefly from the latter volumes of A Supplement to the OED (not compiled or available for compilation for the Historical Thesaurus in 1984), but also from the OED, as in the process of reclassification of other sections of the archive, items have been located which more properly belong in Good and Evil, and they have been transferred (see chapter 2, esp. pp. 43 to 57).

These supplementary items are of several kinds. Most are completely new chiefly 20th century items from the Supplement and are not already covered in the classification. Some are repetitions of items which are already in the classification, but with additional dating information - chiefly with later quotations (e.g. 'well-doer', in GA3 NP). Others are items, often with a currency of some centuries, which were not under any of the Roget numbers drawn on for the Good and Evil classification, but which have since turned up under some other number, and which clearly belong in Good and Evil (e.g. 'son of a bitch' in EBl NP, and 'urchin' in EE3 NP).

There are a total of 404 items in this appendix. They are set out below within the structure of the Good and Evil classification. Each is allocated to one of the Good or Evil categories. Within their category, each is placed in one of the established subdivisions as listed in chapter 2 (pp. 94 to 97). Sometimes a subdivision which
is not used in a category in the main classification will be used here, to accommodate the new material (e.g. AjP under EB3).

Subordinate headings, which are flexible according to the nature of each category, are created as required. Because the material below is supplementary and therefore incomplete there are many gaps in the classificatory structure, and there are also, on occasion, subordinate headings (preceding further subordinate headings) which have nothing in them. In general these headings do contain items in the main classification, but as these items are not repeated here, they appear blank (e.g. the headings under EC5 NP).

GOOD

GA2 SATISFACTORY

AjG right as rain 1894--; quies/quash/quois 1919--; ridge 1938--
Austral slang; tickety-boo 1939-- colloq; ridgy-didge 1953--
Austral slang.

Int swell 1930--.

GA3 GOOD

AjE swinging 1958--.

AjG (as) good (nice, sweet, etc.) as pie 1857--; on (full) song 1967-- colloq.
.of condition: in tone 1500/20-1647; in good nick 1905-- slang.
.of a well-behaved convict: trusty 1856-- orig. US.

NX snap 1864--.
.and resembles a light: ray 1634--.
.an exclamation expressing: shabash 1843 (1).
.spec. a year and for a particular thing: vintage year 1933--.

NT sweetheart 1942-- N.Amer; a snip of a (thing) 1952+1953 (2).
NP  well-doer c1450-1684+1961; my tulip 1847+1895 (2); white-hat 1975-- slang, orig. US.

VG  by approaching an ideal: not to be the rose but to be near it 1818--.

Int  shabash 1886--; quaiss kitir 1898-- Mil slang.

PhX  she's/she'll be right 1947-- Austral & NZ colloq.

GA5  EXCELLENT


NQ  scrumptiousness 1881 (1); rippingness 1910+1927; splendiferousness 1934-- joc.

NT  roarer 1827--; twanger 1877+1889 slang; purler 1941-- orig. slang; snodger 1941+1950 (2) Austral & NZ slang; sockeroo 1942-- slang, orig. US.

NTP  ripsnorter 1840-- orig. US; trimmer 1878-- chiefly Austral & NZ.

NP  top-flighter 1950+1959.

AvM  scrumptiously 1844--; splendiferously 1900-- joc; in a big way 1927-- orig. US; at the top of one's form 1933--; snodger 1946 (1) Austral & NZ slang.

Int  good show 1940--.

GA6  SURPASSINGLY EXCELLENT

as if derived from a text-book: text-bookish 1914--; text-book 1916--.

NQ classiness 1958--.

NT Rolls-Royce 1916--.

NTP superstar 1925--.

...state of being: superstardom 1973+1977.

GA7 BEST

AjG vintage 1939--.

NQ and highest
..in ranking of excellence: top flight 1958--.

NT premier cru 1965--.

AvM summa cum laude 1900--.

GA9 WORTHY

AjG plum 1958--; three-valued 1965--.

NQ valorousness 1727+1920+1922.

NT relic c1385-c1470.

AvM pricelessly 1910 (1).

GA11 VIRTUOUS

AjG by being beyond reproach: squeaky(-)clean 1975--.

NQ virginity 1975--.

NP ironically: plaster saint 1890--.

VM whiten (vt) c1440 (1); envirtue (vt) 1692 (1); virtuefy (vt) 1834-- rare.

GA12 HONOURABLE

AvM in the way of honesty 1595-1639.

VG to go straight (vp) 1845-- colloq.
GB1  MORAL

AjG  .making: moralizing 1869--.
   .in a political context: politico-moral 1875--.

NQ  .false: pseudo-morality 1943 (1).

NX  moralizer 1840 (1).

NA  moralizing 1677-1691/98.
   .that increases morality: bot OE; moralization 1848--.
   ..to a higher level: rehabilitation 1868--.

NP  moralizer 1600-1837; moraller 1604 (1) rare.
   .false: pseudo-moralist 1964 (1).

VM  (ge) godian OE; moralize (vt) 1592--.
   .again: remoralize (vt) 1974 (1).
   .by discourse or reflection: moralize (vt) 1722-1807/8.
   .to an increased level: better (vt) 1587--; moralize (vt)
     1633--.

VB  .to an increased level: stigan OE.

GB2  CONSCIENTIOUS

AjP  well-conscienced a1500+1534.

NQ  .personal: the bird in the bosom 1550+1820.

GB3  IMPARTIAL

AjG  value-neutral 1946--; value-free 1949--.

NQ  value-freedom 1959--.

GB4  FAIR

AjG  well-dealing 1590 (1).

NP  .female: sportswoman 1906--.

GB5  RIGHTEOUS, JUST

AjG  .of a drawn sword: right-drawn 1593 (1) Shksp.
AjP  in thought: right-thinking 1829--.

NQ  standardness 1972 (1).
    personified: themis 1784+1880 (2).

NA  orthopraxis 1951--.

    who is a spiritual leader: tsaddik 1873--.

AvM  despite the risk of unpopularity: on the side of the angels 1864--.

GB6  HONEST

AjG  square-shooting 1922+1932 (2) slang, orig. & chiefly US;
    straight-cut 1936+1939 (2) slang.

NP  square-shooter 1914-- slang, orig. & chiefly US; straight
    shooter 1928-- slang, chiefly US.
    who is not a criminal: square(-)head 1890-- criminal slang.
    to an uncompromising extent: (a) sea-green incorruptible 1931--.
    plural
    community of: overworld 1938--.

GB7  TRUSTWORTHY

AjG  well-trusted a1586+1667+1856; straight-up 1910-- colloq.
    to criminals: right 1856-- criminal slang.
    proved by experience: tried and true 1954--.

AjA  trust 1967--.

AjT  trusty 1596--.

NQ  traistness 1456 (1).

VM  ge-treowsian OE.

GB8  PROPER, FITTING

AjG  proprietous 1844--.

AvM  proprietously 1913 (1).

VG  to play propriety (vp) 1836-(1925).
GC1 KIND

AjG .and sympathetic: connate 1836 (1).
   .and sweet: figgy 1548 (1).

NAc .plural
   ...a source of: pie-counter 1903+1912 fig.

NP .who dashingly rescues others: Scarlet Pimpernel 1958--.

GC2 BENEFICIAL

NX plus 1959--.
   .plural: points 1897--.

NT meat 1886--.

VG to stand to (vt) 1907-- Anglo-Irish.

VH .from opposing standpoints: to have it both ways (vp) 1914--.

GC4 WELL DISPOSED

AjP well-meant 1849 (1) rare.

GC6 GENEROUS

AjG outgiving 1942--.

NQ outgivingness 1768--.

PhX The value of something lies in the sentiment behind it; it is the thought that counts 1934--.

GC8 GRACIOUS

NX .that is an influence: sunshine a1596--.

GC11 TENDER

AjP tender-hefted 1605 (1); soft-centred 1957--.

NQ soft-centredness 1967--.

NP tender-heart 1904 (1).
CG14 FORTUNATE, LUCKY

NX thrift 13..-1679; faustity 1729 (1). 
    .and rare: luck in a bag 1649-1711.

NTP .that gains from two other parties: tertius gaudens 1892--.

AvM fair 1606+1867 obs exc arch.

PhX May good befall: sonse fa' 1719-- Sc; more power to (someone) 
    1842--; floreat 1888--.

PhXX Everything happening in one's favour: everything coming (or going) 
    one's way 1903--.

EVIL

EA1 EVIL

AjG *weargol OE; nefast 1849+1887 (2) rare.

NT .an influence which is: whammy 1940-- colloq, orig. & chiefly US.

NP noughty pack 1526-1553.

VM forwyrcan OE.
    .by devoting to evil: desecrate (vt) 1825--.

EA4 HELLISH

AjG verdomd(e)/verdoemde 1850-- S.Afr slang.
    .and terrible: tartareous 1619+1667 (2).

EA5 DIABOLICAL

NP luciferian 1647 (1).

EA6 OFFENSIVE

AjG slaggy 1943-- slang.
    .of humour, a joke: sick 1959-- colloq.
in one's sense of humour: sick 1959--.

terrible 1859--.

plural (of two): terrible twins 1976--.

tail 1765--.

and in receipt of abuse: well-abused 1879 (1).

according to another's insult/abuse: white-arsed 1922-- slang; turkish 1936--.

according to another's term of abuse: wilrone 1508-a1568 Sc; sod 1818-- vulgar; soor 1848-- Anglo-Indian slang; stink-pot 1854-- slang; swine-hound 1916 (1) rare slang; shitepoke 1926+ 1936 (2); so-and-so 1929-- euphem; son-of-a-bitching 1930--; son 1951 (1).

male: son of a bitch 1707--.

black: smoke 1913-- US slang; spook 1945-- slang, orig. & chiefly US.

according to another's term of contempt: pup 1589+1856+1870; cheap skate 1896--; skate 1896--; stink 1916--; slang; tripe-hound 1923-- slang; swipe 1929-- slang; slag 1943-- slang; shower 1949-- slang; shite-hawk 1958--; tosser 1977-- slang.

male: three-letter man 1946-- colloq.

who is foolish: twerp/twirp 1925-- slang; putz 1964-- US slang.

stinko 1924-- (1) slang, orig. US; shoddy 1927--.


blackguardism 1785--; blackguard(e)ry 1881--.

stinking fish 1935--.
	rash-bag 1886 (1) dial; suck-egg 1892-- US dial; punk 1917--; ring(-)tail 1926-- US slang; suck 1974-- Canad. slang.

male: stiff 1899+1909 slang.

female: baggage 1596--.
AvM blackguardly 1827 (1); shickery 1851+1859 (2) slang; rottenly 1905--.
VG shabby (vi) 1898 (1) rare.

EB3 ROGUISH

AjG varlet 1456-1563; skellum 1673-- chiefly & now only S. Afr.
AjP ronk 1877/1905-- dial.
ND esp among the English: vice anglais 1942--.
NP truant c1290-1656; two-for-his-heels 1837 (1); skeezicks 1850-- US slang.
female: knavess 1833 (1) Carlyle.
young: pickle 1811 (1).
smart, and frequenting cities: slicker 1900-- orig. & chiefly US.
who deserves hanging: thevis nek c1450+1549 (2) Sc.
title for: knaveship 1589--; knavery 1871 (1).
AvM knavelly cl592 (1) rare.

EB4 RUFFIANLY

AjP thuggish 1953--.
and young: teddy-boyish 1960-- colloq.
NC in streets in S. Africa: tsotsi-ism 1952--.
who frequents the streets
in S. Africa: tsotsi 1949--.
in Birmingham: peaky blinder 1896--.
hired: trigger man 1930-- slang chiefly US.
nocturnal: night(-)man 1928--.
on horseback: night-rider 1877--.
young: tearaway 1938--; voyou 1901--; teddy boy 1954-- colloq.
female: teddy girl 1955-- colloq.
state of being: teddy-boyism 1959-- colloq.
collectively: gangland 1928-- orig. US.
a club of: hell-fire club 1721--.
VG thug (vi) 1937-- US.
EB5 DISSOLUTE

NP polecat 1598–1790.
.female: strumpet 1545--; chippy 1886-- slang orig. US.

EB7 BASE

NP footer 1753–1825; low-life 1911--.

EB9 FOUL, FILthy

AjG shitty 1924--; puk(e)y 1965-- collog.

EB10 ACCURSED

AjG as an everyday imprecation: sinful 1880-- collog; putrid 1883-- collog; qualified 1886-- euphem slang; sodding 1912-- slang; septic 1914-- slang; somethinged 1922 (1) rare euphem; terrible 1925--; sacking 1941+1945 (2) euphem; soddish 1959 (1).

NC soddishness 1938--.

NTP curse 1382–(1838).

AvM of everyday imprecations: terribly 1930-- collog.

VU as an everyday imprecation: sod (vt) 1904-- slang.

EC1 WRONG-DOING, TRANSGRESSIVE

AjG trespassing 1731/3–(1824).
.that has committed that which is: trespassed 1631 (1).

AjC likely to lead to delinquency: pre-delinquent 1951--.

NC likely to lead to delinquency: pre-delinquency 1932--.

NP tresgressor 1549 (1) Sc rare.
.young
.likely to become: pre-delinquent 1951--.
EC2 STRAYING, ABERRANT

AjP inclined to be: weak-principled 1913 (1).

EC3 SINFUL AND WRONG

AjA and deadly: mortal 1426--; mortiferous 1542--; lethal 1583--.
ND venial: by-slip 1612 (1).

EC5 CRIMINAL

AjG and acknowledged or habitual: common 1303--.
and abusive of position or responsibility: white-collar 1934--.
and organized: vice 1903-- orig. US.

NA committed under the pretence of regulating public morals: white-capping 1900-- US.

NP villain 1963-- slang.
male
...who is a gentleman: raffles 1908--.
young
...admired: kid 1812--.
...petty: streetman 1908-- US slang; slag 1955-- slang.
...plural, in a city: street people 1969 (2).
...habitual: old offender 1817--.
...who assists in crime: punter 1891-- slang.
...who prearranges a crime: putter up 1812-- slang.
...who commits war crimes: war criminal 1906--.
...who uses violence: strong arm 1907-- orig. US.
...a band of (plural): tribe 1914--.
...a member of
...involved in extortion or violence: racketeer 1928-- orig. US.
...who pretends to be regulating public morals: white-cap 1891-- US; white-capper 1895-- US.
...who is an Irish Protestant supporting Glasgow Rangers: tartan 1972--.
...which is the mafia: soldier 1963--.
...which is the US mafia: syndicate 1929--.

VG under the pretence of regulating public morals: white cap (vt) 1908-- US.
EC6 IMMORAL

AjP on account of having been abandoned by morality: standardless 1912--.

EC7 LEADING ASTRAY

AjG tempting 1546--.
AvM temptingly 1593 (1).
VG tempt (vt) a1225--.

EC8 CORRUPT

AjG sick 1931-- fig.
NX tare 1630+1896 fig.
NP sleaze 1976-- slang.
VM enflesh (vt) 1603 (1).

EC11 FAILING IN DUTY

NA flaw 1742--.
VG rat on (vp) 1932--.

EC12 AVOIDING DUTY

NP scrimshanker 1890-- Mil slang; scrimshank 1926-- Mil slang.
VG skate (vt) 1945-- US slang.

EC13 UNFAIR, UNJUST

AjG solid 1916-- Austral & NZ slang.
VG to slip a fast one over/on (someone) (vp) 1912--; to play etc. dirty pool (vp) 1951 (1) colloq N.Amer.
ED1 TREACHEROUS

AjG snaky a1586--.
ND stab in the back 1922-- fig.
AvM snakishly 1935+1963 (2).
VG to stab (a person etc.) in the back (vp) 1916-- fig.

ED2 TREASONOUS

NA as declared by law: constructive treason a1714--.
NC treasonry a1600 (1) rare.
Int treason 1388-1602.

ED7 DISHONEST

AjP on the queer 1905--.
NA dirty pool 1973-- colloq N.Amer.
VG smell (vi) 1939--.

ED8 CROOKED

NAc wangle 1915-- colloq.
NP twister 1863-- slang.
VG wangle (vi/r) 1918--; to pull a stroke (vp) 1970-- slang.

ED11 UNSCRUPULOUS

NP snopes 1962--.
EE1 HARMFUL

AjA *in a way that anticipates Hitler: pre-Hitlerian 1959 (1).*

NP *who exerts a baneful influence: poison 1910--.*

AvM *wa OE.*

VG *to a person/thing: rough up (vp) 1942--.*

EE2 DISADVANTAGEOUS

NX *in two ways: whipsaw 1873-- chiefly US.*

EE3 PLAYFULLY MISCHIEVOUS

NQ puckery 1877 (1) *rare.*

NP flibbertigibbet 1821--; polisson 1866--.

*young: urchin c1530--; pertling 1581 (1); impling 1780+1835; pickle 1788-- colloq; terrible child/infant 1859--; tinker 1925--.*

*who frequents the streets: street urchin 1849+1977--.*

AvM puckishly 1972+1977 (2).

VG *in one's usual way: to be at/up to one's (old) tricks (vp) 1823--.*

EE4 HARMFULLY MISCHIEVOUS

NP tear-away 1891--.

EE5 SPITEFUL

NQ pussy-cat 1911 (1).

AvM waspily 1854+1928.

EE6 ILL-WILLING

NP poison-ivy 1939-- *fig.*
EE7 BITTER

AjG *weargol OE.

EE9 HARSH, UNKIND

AjG savage 13--1655.

NF treatment which embodies: misentreating 1531-1581.

VT misentreat/-intreat (vt) 1450-1583.

EE10 HARD-HEARTED

AjG untender a1659-a1812.

NQ untenderness a1658+1680.

AvM untenderly 1651 (1).

EE12 SAVAGE

NP and supernatural or monstrous: (the) thing 1888--.

EE13 BARBAROUS

AjG saracenic 1837 (1).

NQ gothism 1715--; sub-humanity 1909--.


AvM saracenly 1596 (1); sub-humanly 1929+1970.
Appendix II

Obsolete Items in the 19th Century

Many of the items in the classification have a final date (occasionally in brackets) of between 1800 and 1899, or have only one quotation, the date of which falls between these years. Every one of these items has been looked up in the OED or its Supplement and the following is a list, by category, of those among them which are indisputably designated obsolete. They do not include any items designated ?obs or obs exc dial, or by other similar labels. These, and all the items which have no such designation – the majority of those with 19th century final dates – are assumed, for the purposes of statistical analysis in this thesis, to be still current. The others, listed below, form the basis for the 19th century figures for obsolete items as detailed in the tables in chapter 5 (pp.326-334).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA2</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>AjG sufficient 1473-c1800, clever 1757-1811</td>
<td>2 items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA3</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>AjG warrantable 1581-1821, respectable 1775-1800, chiefest good 1663-1869, benefit 1377-1480+1811, alms-deed 1432-1828</td>
<td>5 items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA5</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>AjG burly c1325-1535+1873, surprising 1580-1831</td>
<td>2 items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA7</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>AvM at (the) best c1325-1604+1812</td>
<td>1 item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA9</td>
<td>Worthy</td>
<td>AjG condign c1470-1582+1854, estimable 1596-1803, well(-)found 1601-1607+1887, invalued 1603-1806</td>
<td>4 items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA12</td>
<td>Honourable</td>
<td>AjG just 1601-1809</td>
<td>1 item</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GB3 Impartial
NQ disinterest 1658-1805
imprejudice 1806 (1) 2 items

GB7 Trustworthy
NQ confidence 1642-1800
dependence 1752-1790/1811
VG to keep touch a1529-1825 3 items

GC4 Well Disposed
AjG candid 1633-1800
NQ benevolence 1423-1817
candour 1653-1802
gratuity 1523-1646+1818 4 items

GC8 Gracious
AjG courteous c1290-1609+1813 1 item

GC14 Fortunate, Lucky
AjG well/well OE-1825
NX hap a1225-1813 2 items

EA1 Evil
NF felon a1300-1814
AvM foully c1330-1655+1881 2 items

EA5 Diabolical
NF deofles lim/devil's limb OE-1660+1833
2 items

EA8 Heinous
AjG strong a1300-1593+1818
enormous 1593-1827 2 items

EB1 Inferior
NP pole-hatchet a1529+1826
..cullion 15..-a1652+1843
..cockloche c1611-1641+1863
..stitchel 1659-1866 4 items

EB2 Worthless
NF naughty pack 1526-1855
unsel 155.-1691+1825+1894
houndsfoot 1710+1712+1814
.shab(a)roon a1700-1847 4 items

EB3 Roguish
AjG cullionly 1605-1645+1822
pickled 1691-1804
NP pautener 13..-c1450+1843
limmer 1456-1607+1637+1828
rampallion 1593-1639+1822
bezonian 1611+1632+1843
..crack-rope c1450+1571-1708+1818 7 items
EB4  **Ruffianly**
   **AjP**  tory-rory 1682-1822  
   **NP**  ruffiano 1618-1819  
   bully-rock/-rook 1653-1827  
   scamperer 1712-1804  
   blood-tub 1861 (1)  
   5 items

EB6  **Wretched**
   **NP**  miscredent 1577+1847  
   scroyle 1595-1821  
   2 items

EB7  **Base**
   **AjG**  roinish 13..-1629+1814  
   filthy c1400-1828  
   **NTP**  unlede c1315-1829  
   **NP**  tiger 1849 (1)  
   **VB**  deturpate 1691+1833  
   5 items

EB8  **Villainous**
   **NSc**  scrap 1679/80-1809  
   1 item

EB9  **Foul, Filthy**
   **NQ**  .fulsomeness 1481-1876  
   1 item

EB10  **Accursed**
   **NX**  ..deprecation 1634+a1804  
   AvW  with a vengeance 1525-1673+1836  
   2 items

EC1  **Wrong-doing, Transgressive**
   **NP**  faulter 1535-1840  
   **VG**  mistake c1330-c1500+1822  
   2 items

EC2  **Straying, Aberrant**
   **NC**  deviation 1625-a1831  
   1 item

EC4  **Guilty**
   **AjP**  culpable 1303-1844  
   **NQ**  delinquence 1682-1832  
   2 items

EC5  **Criminal**
   **AjG**  criminous 1483-1674+1858  
   1 item

EC8  **Corrupt**
   **NQ**  bracery 1540+1886  
   VM  intoxicate a1529-1680+1860  
   2 items

EC9  **Ill-Behaved**
   **NC**  .miscarriage 1635-1760/72+1829  
   1 item

EC11  **Failing in Duty**
   **NA**  declination 1533-1814  
   delinquence 1682-1832  
   **NP**  transfuge 1548-1639+1855  
   3 items
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ED3</td>
<td>Betraying</td>
<td>traditor c1375-1819</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED7</td>
<td>Dishonest</td>
<td>leer 1629-a1680+a1830, one-eyed 1833 (1), trinketing 1646-a1716+1827</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED8</td>
<td>Crooked</td>
<td>obliquity a1619-1818</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED9</td>
<td>Sneaky</td>
<td>creep a1876+1886</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED11</td>
<td>Unscrupulous</td>
<td>inconscionableness 1800 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE1</td>
<td>Harmful</td>
<td>offensive a1548-1813, shrewd 1387-1713+1819, oblesion 1656-1721/1800+1857</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE4</td>
<td>Harmfully Mischievous</td>
<td>breed-bate 1593+1598+1852</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE5</td>
<td>Spiteful</td>
<td>litherly a1643+1805, stomach c1540-1643+a1825</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE6</td>
<td>Ill-Willing</td>
<td>maltalent c1320-a1648+a1649-1828</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE9</td>
<td>Harsh, Unkind</td>
<td>half-hearted 1864 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE11</td>
<td>Merciless</td>
<td>inclement 1621+1725+1861, ..bootless OE+1714+1839</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE13</td>
<td>Barbarous</td>
<td>incivility 1584-1811</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94 items</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix III

A Distribution of Items in each Category according to Part of Speech

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Aj</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Av</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>Phr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GA1 Harmless</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA2 Satisfactory</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA3 Good</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA4 Better</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA5 Excellent</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA6 Surpassingly Ex.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA7 Best</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA8 Matchless</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA9 Worthy</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA10 Meritorious</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA11 Virtuous</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA12 Honourable</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA TOTALS</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB1 Moral</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB2 Conscientious</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB3 Impartial</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB4 Fair</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB5 Righteous, Just</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB6 Honest</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB7 Trustworthy</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB8 Proper, Fitting</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB TOTALS</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Aj</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Av</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Phr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC1 Kind</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC2 Beneficial</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC3 Wholesome</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC4 Well Disposed</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC5 Well-Meaning</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC6 Generous</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC7 Magnanimous</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC8 Gracious</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC9 Considerate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC10 Humane</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC11 Tender</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC12 Merciful</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC13 Blessed</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC14 Fortunate, Lucky</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC TOTALS</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD TOTALS</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA1 Evil</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA2 Evil &amp; Dark</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA3 Evil &amp; Graceless</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA4 Hellish</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA5 Diabolical</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA6 Offensive</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA7 Worse</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA8 Heinous</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA9 Unpardonable</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA10 Worst</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA TOTALS</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Aj</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Av</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Phr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB1 Inferior</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB2 Worthless</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB3 Roguish</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB4 Ruffianly</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB5 Dissolute</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB6 Wretched</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB7 Base</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB8 Villainous</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB9 Foul, Filthy</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB10 Accursed</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EB TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>440</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC1 Wrong-Doing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC2 Straying</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC3 Sinful</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC4 Guilty</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC5 Criminal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC6 Immoral</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC7 Leading Astray</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC8 Corrupt</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC9 Ill-Behaved</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC10 Undutiful</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC11 Failing in Duty</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC12 Avoiding Duty</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC13 Unfair</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC14 Improper</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EC TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>359</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Aj</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Av</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Phr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED1</strong> Treacherous</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED2</strong> Treasonous</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED3</strong> Betraying</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED4</strong> Perfidious</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED5</strong> Faithful</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED6</strong> Disloyal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED7</strong> Dishonest</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED8</strong> Crooked</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED9</strong> Sneaky</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED10</strong> Disingenuous</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED11</strong> Unscrupulous</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE1</strong> Harmful</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE2</strong> Disadvantageous</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE3</strong> Playfully Misch.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE4</strong> Harmfully Misch.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE5</strong> Spiteful</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE6</strong> Ill-Willing</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE7</strong> Bitter</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE8</strong> Ill-Natured</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE9</strong> Harsh, Unkind</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE10</strong> Hard-Hearted</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE11</strong> Merciless</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE12</strong> Savage</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE13</strong> Barbarous</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE14</strong> Bloodthirsty</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EE TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>614</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EVIL TOTALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Aj</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Av</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>Phr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EVIL TOTALS</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2618</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GOOD & EVIL TOTALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3262</th>
<th>3895</th>
<th>1060</th>
<th>775</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>73</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Nouns in EB with NP separated from other N Subdivisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>N(exc.NP)</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EB1 Inferior</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB2 Worthless</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB3 Roguish</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB4 Ruffianly</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB5 Dissolute</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB6 Wretched</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB7 Base</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB8 Villainous</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB9 Foul, Filthy</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB10 Accursed</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB TOTAL</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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