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ABSTRACT

This thesis is a critical biography of Robert Tannahill (1774-1810). As a work of recovery its aim is to lay out the details of the life and in so doing to make the case for Tannahill as a distinctive figure in Scottish literary history. Part One covers the main events in Tannahill’s life, and analyses his poetry, songs and play, The Soldier’s Return, drawing heavily on his extant correspondence throughout. Part Two of the thesis gives all of Tannahill’s extant correspondence.

The received critical opinion of Tannahill in the nineteenth century was that his true talent lay in the writing of Scottish pastoral songs. In accordance with this perception the other aspects of his work have, generally, been treated as marginal by previous critics. This thesis aims to broaden the critical understanding of Tannahill as a writer working in the first decade of the 1800s by taking into consideration his social and political milieu, the writers he was influenced by and his response to particular events in his life and in the world. I argue that Tannahill was not party political, but had sympathy for Whig causes such as abolition of the death penalty and of slavery. He also opposed cock-fighting and animal cruelty. Key to understanding much of Tannahill’s output was his attitude to the wars with Revolutionary and Napoleonic France (1793-1815). Fear of French invasion of the British Isles was something that exercised Tannahill a good deal. His attitude to war was that it was pointless human folly, but his dislike of all imperialism, including British and French, makes his position complex and the complexity of his response to war is a recurring theme throughout.

Tannahill’s upbringing in Paisley and his position as an artisan weaver had a profound effect on his writing, as did the influence of Robert Burns. Tannahill was fiercely independent, despised literary patronage and inherited wealth and power. There is an attempt to explain and understand how and why Tannahill came to hold these points of view and to point out where they find expression in his work.

Chapter 1 looks at Tannahill’s upbringing and life in Paisley. Chapter 2 deals with the ‘Critical Reception’ of his work from 1815 to the present. Chapter 3 looks in depth at his attitudes to war and the threat of French invasion. Chapter 4 concentrates on Tannahill’s play The Soldier’s Return and considers how it fits into the pastoral tradition. Chapter 5 looks at the content and some formal aspects of his poetry and Chapter 6 deals with the range of his lyrics and songs.

Part Two is a project of retrieval, sub-titled The Letters of Robert Tannahill, it presents in chronological order eighty-two letters, the vast majority of which were written by Tannahill to friends and acquaintances between the years 1802 and 1810. It has been compiled from holograph manuscript sources found in the University of Glasgow Library, the National Library of Scotland, University of Edinburgh Library and Paisley Central Library. In addition, letters previously published in the David Semple edition of Tannahill’s Poems, Songs and Correspondence (1876) have been inserted to give the most comprehensive collection of Tannahill correspondence to date. These letters give a fascinating insight into Tannahill’s life and work. The guiding editorial principle for transcription from holograph has been: to provide as accurately as possible a text free from editorial interference.
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Part 1. - Introduction

One Tannahill song became so popular that, indeed, when a Paisley teacher of what is now known as ‘religious instruction’ inquired of her class who Jesse was, the answer came ‘Please Sir, “Jessie, the Flower o’ Dunblane”’.¹

A WEAVER IN WARTIME: CONTEXTS AND SCOPE

Robert Tannahill spent most of his life in Paisley. Born there on 3rd June 1774, Tannahill died there on 17th May 1810, just 17 days before his thirty-sixth birthday. Tannahill is not nearly as popular now as he was in the second half of the nineteenth century, when arguably only Burns was more revered as a songwriter in Scotland.

The statue of Tannahill that stands beside the statue of poet and ornithologist Alexander Wilson (1766-1813) outside Paisley Abbey is perhaps the most impressive memorial to Tannahill outwith his writing.² Sculpted by D. W. Stevenson R.S.A.,³ it was erected in 1883 at a cost of around £1200, paid for from funds raised through annual open-air concerts at ‘The Glen’ park, on the edge of the Gleniffer Braes near Paisley.⁴ These memorials indicate the popularity of Tannahill over a hundred years after his birth. Even in 1925 Tannahill was still remembered fondly in Paisley. The annual concert held at the Glen Park that year was led by a ‘Dr. Williams’, who ‘conducted a choir of six hundred voices in songs by Tannahill, Burns, Lady Nairne, and other Scots lyricists’.⁵ However, by the 1950s and 1960s Tannahill was often seen as a Burns impersonator and a writer of ‘insipid but well liked song’.⁶ His perceived concern with the natural world as against economic and social issues was unfashionable with the left; his anti-war, anti-imperialist sentiments and religious liberalism often ignored by those on the right of the political spectrum in Scotland.

George Douglas, writing in 1899, observed that Tannahill was as much a part of the eighteenth century as the nineteenth. Douglas is critical of Tannahill’s ‘stiff Eighteenth
century manner’, though in comparison with the earlier James Thomson, whom Tannahill admired, his approach to both register and diction is more focussed on actually spoken language. Lauchlan MacLean Watt described Paisley as a ‘Parnassus’, drawing our attention to the fact that Tannahill was one poet among many, living in a highly literate, politically and socially aware community. According to McLean Watt:

Paisley has been the Scottish Parnassus... Tannahill was, of course, the greatest of that town’s singers... We forget the agony of his nerve-stricken life and his wretched suicide, in the beauty and freshness of his verse... Still he sent his cry into the nineteenth century and we cannot ignore his... lyrics which sometimes, through their artless freedom, are attributed by the common mind to Burns himself.

For Tannahill and his circle of poets and musician friends, Burns was a pivotal figure. In a time of turbulence in all spheres of life Burns provided both an anchor in traditional Scottish values and a guide to action for these men as musical and literary artists.

The Industrial Revolution was a work-in-progress and Tannahill’s formative years were the 1780s and 1790s rather than the 1800s. The ‘working class’ was incipient, nascent and what Marxists would call ‘uneven’. Tannahill’s world was essentially that of the artisan weaver. Noel Thompson, writing in 1998, argued that:

the independence and autonomy of the artisan was being eroded, and with it the power and/or inclination to adhere, or ensure adherence, to customary practices, prices and rewards. As one commentator has written: ‘capitalism in the early nineteenth century made progress less by machines and factories than by the increasing control of small workshops by capitalist middlemen, through their power over credit, supply and distribution’. Tannahill was resistant to this process of erosion. To some extent his writing can be seen as an act of resistance to the newly forming economic structures; an artistic attempt to preserve his artisan community from the threat of fragmentation posed by the expansion of capitalism.

The economic policies which financed the wars with Revolutionary and Napoleonic
France, labelled by William Cobbett as ‘Old Corruption’, were apparent to Tannahill, as was the destruction of ‘nature’ that industrial processes brought in their wake.\textsuperscript{11} The economy was particularly sensitive to conditions pertaining to the war with France, with regard to blockade, government spending and taxation. Tannahill understood the inter-relationship between the war economy and social conditions, writing disapprovingly of the war and its economic consequences on numerous occasions. In writing about the war with France Tannahill chose to concentrate on the abstract problem of conflict rather than openly take sides. Nevertheless, there is enough evidence in his extant writing to suggest he opposed the war, even if that opposition was expressed in a muted and circumscribed manner, whereby he avoided the charge of traitor and the disapproval of ‘worthies’ both local and national: ‘To oppose the war was to subject oneself to accusations of treason and the violence of “Church and King” mobs’\textsuperscript{12}. This does not mean that Tannahill did not write approvingly of the British war effort on occasion, although he did so in response to the politics and psychology of the threat of French invasion of the British Isles, rather than as a patriotic supporter of Britian’s war effort overseas. He wrote with feeling and sympathy about the plight of the soldier in his song lyric ‘The Worn Soldier’, anthologised by Betty Bennett in \textit{British War Poetry in the Age of Romanticism: 1793-1815} (1976):

\begin{quote}
The Queensferry boatie rows light, 
And light is the heart that it bears, 
For it brings the poor soldier safe back to his home, 
From many long toilsome years.

... 
But fled are his visions of bliss, 
All his transports but 'rose to deceive, 
For he found the dear cottage a tenantless waste, 
And his kindred all sunk in the grave. 
\end{quote}

Tannahill, \textit{from} ‘The Worn Soldier’\textsuperscript{13}
The content of Tannahill’s writing tends to support Whiggish causes (abolitionist, critical of the war with France and increased taxation, critical of patronage and public floggings, though such views were not exclusively Whig) and this outlook is often expressed in a style strongly influenced by both Augustan poetics, and the poetics of Scottish Vernacular Revival associated with Allan Ramsay (1686-1758) and Robert Fergusson (1750-1774), reaching its pinnacle in the work of Robert Burns.

Unsurprisingly, the twentieth century was not particularly kind to Tannahill. His work does not fit easily with class certainties, technological progress and conspicuous consumption. His general opposition to war has been almost completely overlooked as a central theme of his work; not outspoken enough for the left, but quiet enough for the right to ignore under the light of his pastoral verse and song. The height of Tannahill’s popularity was probably the mid-Victorian 1870s and 1880s, though as John Clare noted, ‘Jessie, the Flo’er o’ Dunblane’ was already very popular in 1825:

Tannahill’s song of ‘Jessey’ has met with more popularity among the common people here, than all the songs English and Scottish put together.14

While Tannahill did not have a conception of class politics as it would be understood in Scotland today - or in the recent past - he held firm opinions on wealth and poverty, on state power, and on the distribution of property and privilege. His rejection of strict Presbyterianism ironically illustrates a modernity reflected in the right of the individual to protest on grounds of conscience. He had an idea of human nature based upon a moral outlook that took as its starting point respect and esteem for persons and nature. His morality was derived from tenets of Presbyterian Christianity and aspects of Scottish Enlightenment philosophy, yet he was travelling towards humanism and ecological awareness while the
world around him was moving headlong towards the exploitation of people and natural resources for profit.

Tannahill was concerned with conceptions of ‘nature’ and ‘human nature’ that did not sit happily with the growth of capitalism and the perpetual war with France, which were the dominant features of his time. According to Alexander Reekie:

The Revolution settlement was the last word in British politics, and any amendment of its supposed imperfections implied chaos and the wild inferno of French revolutionary reforms. From all this Tannahill stood aloof; it was not his temperament to meddle with such matters.  

Reekie’s assessment of Tannahill is not entirely satisfactory. In his treatment of the subject of war, Tannahill almost always wrote from the perspective of those at the lower end of the social spectrum; making an implicit statement that common people were important and worth writing about. By focussing on poor and powerless characters in war conditions, he makes a political point which suggests, with subtlety, that the ‘Revolution settlement’ of 1688 was not satisfactory at all. He wrote not about ‘great men’ such as Nelson or Sir John Moore, but rather of ‘The gallant soldier, robb’d of fame’ who had faced death on the instructions of politicians and statesmen.  

A picture of humanity emerges in Tannahill’s poems and song lyrics that is rational, emotional and moral: these are the elements that make up ‘the positive trinity’ of his work, rather than ‘friendship, trust and acceptance of one’s lot’. Tannahill depicted qualities of human nature and behaviour that would help to keep life civilised, tolerable and happy, in line with John Struthers’s view that he (Tannahill) opposed ‘oppression, which of all kinds, he held the deepest abhorrence’. The political content of his work is not generally overt but an implicit expression of these values. However, with regard to the issues of slavery, war and animal welfare he makes occasional overt poetic statements of his political position. Human
beings are moral when living in harmony with nature; to desecrate nature meant inviting sadness and mental torment:

I mind, still well, when but a trifling boy,
My young heart fluttered with a savage joy,
As with my sire I wander’d thro’ the wood,
And found the mavis’ clump-lodg’d callow brood,
I tore them thence, exulting o’er my prize.
My father bade me list the mother’s cries:
“So thine would wail,” he said, “if reft of thee.”—
It was a lesson of humanity.

HUMANITY! Thou’rt glory’s brightest star,
Out-shining all the conqueror’s trophies far!²⁰

Another idea which was important for Tannahill, as it was for Wordsworth and other Romantics, was that of the beneficial effects of ‘solitude’. In this Tannahill was influenced by J. G. Zimmerman, a Swiss doctor and some-time physician to George III. As Secretary to the Paisley Burns Club in 1805, Tannahill wrote:

That Man is the only creature capable of enjoying an eminent degree of felicity, is a Truth so evident and so generally admitted, that, it were foolish to labour its proof.²¹

This echoes Zimmerman’s use of language in his copious writings on ‘Solitude’.

Zimmerman’s aim was to ‘enlighten the minds of his fellow creatures upon a subject of infinite importance to them, the attainment of true felicity’.²² Conceptions of ‘solitude’ appear frequently in Tannahill’s songs and poems as having the capacity to nourish the spirit and the imagination, in contrast to the diversions of town and city.

The central concern or purpose of this thesis is to give a narrative of Tannahill’s life and work, that is to offer a critical biography as part of a process of recovery of a neglected figure in Scottish letters. Aesthetic considerations and analysis of Tannahill’s poetics have therefore been less to the fore than might have been the case, had the need not been so pressing for a narrative arc of both the life and the work. Those readers aware of varieties of
Scottish verse will notice Tannahill’s use of forms such as the Standard Habby, the quatorzain Montgomery Stanza, and elements of the Christis Kirk Stanza. Those with an ear for Scottish regional language use will notice Tannahill’s wide ranging use of Scottish words and phrases and his joy in experiment and word play between Scots from different regions and, indeed, what is termed ‘standard’ English. I have given as much of the narrative as possible in Tannahill’s own words, both poetic and epistolary, providing mediation and commentary primarily in connection with the narrative arcs of the life and the work, rather than in terms of aesthetic judgement; the commentary and analysis are chiefly biographical and historical. As for aesthetic considerations, while I have no doubt as to Tannahill’s aesthetic merits, particularly in his adept use of rhythm throughout his work, they have mostly been left for the reader to experience directly through the encounter with Tannahill’s texts and/or to future scholars.

Part 1 of this thesis is a critical biography: it approaches Tannahill’s literary output in terms of content and theme, relates it to prevailing ideas and events in his life, and to ideas and events which were competing for Tannahill’s attention at various gradations of ‘geographical’ distance; the local, the Scottish, the British and the imperial. This fulfils the primary premise of my original thesis proposal:

To research the life, work and correspondence of Robert Tannahill (weaver and poet: 1774-1810) and produce a biography of this writer taking into account the social, literary, religious, and political context of the period 1770 to 1820 in Scotland.

The first chapter, ‘Paisley: Life and Place’, is perhaps the most ‘applied’ in that it covers the history of Paisley and the main biographical events of Tannahill’s life. Chapter 2, ‘William Motherwell and Receptions of Tannahill’s Work’, surveys much of the literature that has been written on Tannahill and evaluates what past critics have thought of his writing, providing a
cumulative account of how critics have treated the work over time. It is argued that all three aspects of his literary output—poetry, drama and song—are worthy of critical attention. The third chapter, ‘War and the Threat of Invasion’, discusses the effects of the French Revolution and wars with France on Tannahill’s writing and analyses how the war and militarism are dealt with in his work. Chapter 4 presents an analysis of Tannahill’s play, *The Soldier’s Return*, looking at its Pastoral context and relationship with two major Scottish works, Burns’s *Jolly Beggars* and Allan Ramsays’s *Gentle Shepherd*. The fifth chapter, ‘Epistles and Rhymes’, looks at Tannahill’s poetry, concentrating on the way in which he organised and published the first (1807) edition of his work: consideration is given to his use of the epistolary form, the themes of ‘admiration and imitation’, and his ideas on landscape and animals. In Chapter 6, ‘Lyricism, Music and Song’, Tannahill as a songwriter and lyricist is discussed. Consideration is given to how some of his songs have been transmitted to the present day through the work of collectors, performers, writers and publishers. His foray into Irish song is also explored.

In Part 2 of this thesis, a compilation of all Tannahill correspondence that could be traced is presented. The letters, numbering eighty-two in total, are a considerable expansion on those offered by David Semple in 1876. Semple gives a total of sixty-one letters: thirty-six in full and twenty-five excerpts. Of the eighty-two letters given in this thesis, seventy-four are complete; eight are excerpts; sixty-three are written by Tannahill; ten are addressed to Tannahill; and nine refer to him.

The most important sources for this thesis have been the 1876 edition of Tannahill’s *Poems, Songs and Correspondence* (Paisley) edited by David Semple, and Tannahill’s own letters, of which many can be found in bound form in the Special Collections Department at
Glasgow University Library under the call number MS Robertson 1. With regard to referencing, for all quotations, I have used a simple system of endnotes which give the author’s name, title of work, place of publication, year of publication and page number. All publications cited in endnotes can be found in the alphabetical bibliography which is divided into the categories of unpublished sources, published sources, web-site sources and compact disc sources. In taking quotations from Tannahill’s printed work I have generally used either Semple’s 1876 edition or Tannahill’s own 1807 edition, this has been dependent on context, and there is some variation between the texts of these editions with regard to spelling, italicisation and punctuation. The text quoted in this thesis and sourced in the endnote should be consistent with the text in the particular edition of Tannahill’s work cited.

Notes

2 Statue of Alexander Wilson erected 1874.
3 D. W. Stevenson (1842-1904) was well known for his sculpture of William Wallace at the Wallace Memorial, Stirling. His work adorns the fountain at Alexandra Park in Glasgow’s East End and the Scott Monument, Edinburgh. See Peter J. M. McEwan, Dictionary of Scottish Art and Architecture (Ballater, 2004). The first known bust of Tannahill was in stone and unveiled at the Scott monument on 15th August 1846. On the same day busts of Burns, Robert Fergusson, Byron, James Thomson, James Hogg and Allan Ramsay, among others, were also unveiled.
4 For information on Tannahill memorials, statues and portraits see, The Poems, Songs and Correspondence of Robert Tannahill, David Semple, ed. (Paisley, 1876), ‘Appendix’, pp. 474-477. The 1883 unveiling was also covered in The Illustrated London News (17th November, 1883), though the article there contained numerous errors.
5 The Musical Times, Vol. 66, No. 989 (1st July, 1925), p. 646. These annual concerts were run under the auspices of the Tannahill Club, inaugurated on May 25th 1858 at the Globe Hotel on Paisley’s High Street. Semple states: ‘The special object of which shall be to commemorate in all time coming the birth-day of Robert Tannahill’, Semple, ed. (Paisley, 1876), p. 496. See pp. 496-511 for details of the Club’s history.
7 Sir George Douglas, James Hogg: Contains also brief notices of Robert Tannahill, William Motherwell, and William Thom (Edinburgh, 1899), p. 127. While George Douglas may have seen this eighteenth-century manner as ‘stiff’, James Thomson was a highly fluid poet whose diction was rather more high-flown than Tannahill’s.
9 While ‘the separation of the town and country’ was a rapidly ongoing process, and it was to some extent the case that this represented ‘the division of the population into two great classes’, ‘big industry’ had not quite penetrated Scotland sufficiently to give rise to a fully fledged ‘proletariat’. See Karl Marx,


For an explanation of ‘Old Corruption’ see Thomson, _The Real Rights of Man_, pp. 24-26. There was good deal of ‘bleaching’ and dyeing work going on in and around Paisley during Tannahill’s lifetime, which heavily polluted the river Cart.


Robert Tannahill, _Paisley Burns Clubs_, p. 38. See also ‘Second Epistle to J. Scadlock’ where Tannahill writes: ‘Yon mentor, Geordie Zimmerman,/ Agrees exactly with our plan,/ That parial hours/ Exalt the soul of man.’ (Paisley, 1807) pp. 97-98.

J. G. Zimmerman, _Solitude_ (Halifax, 1852), p. V. Zimmerman’s work ‘On Solitude’ was widely published in the 1790s.

Robert Tannahill, _Poems, Songs and Correspondence of Robert Tannahill_, pp. 365-452.
1. Paisley: Life and Place

Sweet Ferguslie, hail, thou’rt the dear sacred grove
Where first my young muse spread her wing;
Here nature first waked me to rapture and love,
And taught me her beauties to sing.

Robert Tannahill, *Song*, September 1807

A TOWN OF WEAVERS

The town of Paisley is located to the south west of Glasgow about nine miles from the city centre. Before the industrial revolution and the development of modern communications, Paisley served as one of the main market towns in Renfrewshire and to some extent Ayrshire, owing to the difficulties of travelling to Glasgow over land from the west coast. By the early eighteenth century Paisley was known for two kinds of manufacture and trade - shoes and woven cloth. Packmen, or pedlars, carried these products around the country and to Ireland and England. The cloth was carried in bales known as bengals and the connections made by these travelling salesmen contributed to the rapid economic growth of Paisley as the industrial revolution progressed. The routes used by the packmen were also used by 1790s radicals, such as poet and ornithologist, Alexander Wilson (1766-1813), for the transmission of information and propaganda.

By the middle of the eighteenth century, handloom weavers were the largest occupational group in Paisley. The Act of Union of 1707 which eventually made trade easier, and the introduction in the 1720s of Christian Shaw’s ‘Bargarran Thread’ with a ready local market in the weavers, meant that the Paisley thread industry was quickly successful. The enterprising merchants, or ‘Corks’, of Paisley exploited the situation by supplying thread to the weavers then buying the finished textiles and selling them on. The expansion in weaving drew weavers from other areas into the town. Agricultural improvements and enclosures were also significant factors in the shift of population from rural, feudal conditions, towards the
towns. One such weaver who migrated to Paisley was James Tannahill. Born near Kilmarnock on 9th May 1733, he was the son of Thomas Tannahill, a weaver, and Mary Bunten who had married on 21st July 1730. James Tannahill was the second of seven children, three girls and four boys.

In 1756 at the age of 23, James Tannahill and his three brothers left Kilmarnock for Paisley. According to David Semple, ‘[t]he population of Paisley at that time did not exceed 4,297, so that the town was a very small place indeed’. The following year, the Reverend John Witherspoon arrived in Paisley to take up the ministry in the Low Parish.

Writers and Printers

Reverend Witherspoon, notable as a signatory of the American Declaration of Independence, was an outspoken supporter of the Popular wing of the Church of Scotland. He didn’t like dancing or theatre - for him, these activities embodied wickedness and loose morals. Witherspoon published his own sermons and ideas about religious matters, and in this respect fitted into a well established practice amongst Paisley clergy of publishing sermons and theological texts.

Patrick Adamson was appointed first Protestant Minister at Paisley Abbey in 1572, two years later ‘he turned Calvin’s Catechism into Latin heroics’. This was one of the first published books to originate from Paisley and from that time forward a large body of clerical writing grew, much of it polemical. Thomas Blackwell’s Schema Sacrum (1712) was printed in Paisley in 1769 by Weir and M’Lean, who opened the first commercial print shop in the town, in spite of (or perhaps because of) Blackwell’s notoriety as Paisley’s foremost witch-finder. The first book they printed was An Essay on Christ’s Cross and Crown (1769) by the Reverend George Muir. Some clerics also wrote poetry. One such poet-preacher was Robert
Boog who arrived at the Abbey in 1774. Boog took an interest in books and Tannahill occasionally attended his sermons. Later, Boog would have a hand in forming Paisley’s library for gentlemen. Other clerical publications around this time, included the writings of Reverend James Baine whose 1770 publication was titled *The Theatre Licentious and Perverted*, and Robert Burns, DD whose 1817 publication, printed in Paisley, was called *Distinctive Characteristics of Protestantism and Popery— a letter to Dr. Chalmers*. With clericalism came anti-clericalism, and many other kinds of book: tales of battles, collections of poems, comic yarns, biographies, novels and instruction manuals were coming onto the market. Many of these, hawked by chapmen, were considered sensationalist and contrasted sharply with the Presbyterian clerical polemics. Much of the writing produced by the weaver-poets was of a different character to both popular chapbooks and clerical publications; less about sensationalism or proscription and more about social observation. In writing a play in 1803, Robert Tannahill dissociated himself from the anti-theatrical stance of clergymen like John Witherspoon and James Baine. The Sempill family are worthy of note in the history of Paisley’s literature. Lord Robert Sempill, the elder, produced works of anti-Catholic vigour as did Alexander Cunningham, Earl of Glencairn, who played a major role in the destruction of Paisley Abbey. William Motherwell allowed his antiquarianism to overrule his Orangeism when he stated of Glencairn:

As a keen and insatiate destroyer of stone images and other church ornaments he was almost unrivalled in his day; and, with the exception of his leader …John Knox, and Edward of England, the monastic architecture of our country hath no good to curse anyone more than him.

Lord Sempill’s cousin was Sir James Sempill of Beltrees (1565-1626), author of the
Packman’s Pater Noster, and Sir James’s son, Robert Sempill the younger of Beltrees (c.1594-c.1668) was the author of Habby Simpson the Piper of Kilbarchan. Other notable Paisley poets from this early period include Alexander Montgomery, Robert Crawfurd, William Walkinshaw of that Ilk and Jean Adam. Adam’s work moves us into the eighteenth century, when the work of James Maxwell (1720-1800) - the self-styled ‘Student of Divine Poetry’ who despised Robert Burns - was becoming known in the town. In Maxwell’s remarkable life of 80 years he had a prolific output of poems:

The last eighteen years of his life he sold his pamphlets of his own prolific verse in Paisley… Much of Maxwell’s rhyming couplets are moral and religious; the rest includes topical and personal material such as a verse autobiography, a description of Paisley, descriptions of the manufacture of cotton and of paper, verses on the usefulness of the Forth and Clyde Canal, and a celebration of Nelson’s victory at the Battle of the Nile.7

This is a very brief list of the main practitioners of poetry in Paisley from the early sixteenth century to the late eighteenth century, by which time Burns was reaching an over-arching dominance in much of Scotland. The most important printers in the town were now John Neilson and the Youngs, with poets such as Alexander Wilson, John Robertson and Ebenezer Picken beginning to make an impact.8 This illustrates that there was a lively tradition of literature, or a literary culture in the town, with its own history and influence over the generation of locally based writers to which Tannahill belonged.

Tannahill’s Upbringing

Robert Tannahill was twelve years old when Robert Burns’s ‘Kilmarnock Edition’ was published in 1786. At this time, Tannahill would have been leaving school and taking up as an apprentice weaver to his father, James. Tannahill’s father appears to have fitted into that category of weavers described by William Jolly in his biography of the weaver and botanist John Duncan:
Weavers then formed as a whole a remarkable class of men …intelligent …strongly if wildly radical if not tainted with revolutionary sentiments …intensely theological, often religious, well versed in the intricacies of Calvinism …in a word, general guardians of the church, reformers of the state, and proud patrons of learning and the schoolmaster…

James Tannahill was Boxmaster of the Paisley Weavers Society, a highly trusted position. It was the Boxmaster’s responsibility to administer the insurance scheme the Society operated to guard against unemployment, illness and hard times. Each week, every member of the Incorporation of Paisley Weavers contributed money to the Box. When and if they needed, they could draw subsistence money. The entry for Paisley in the Statistical Account of Scotland 1791-99, describes the practice:

There are, indeed, large sums of money distributed yearly by the several trades from their boxes, as they are called, among those of their number who are disabled from working…. …the person who receives supply, only draws out the money which he had formerly put in, along with part, perhaps, of the contributions of his brethren, which they all cheerfully give, in order to be assured of the same comfortable provision.

James Tannahill had two other roles in public life; he was a Director of Paisley Hospital and a Director of the local Dispensary. The hospital was managed by fifteen directors, nine of whom were elected from the community. James Tannahill was elected a Hospital Director in 1786 and subsequently re-elected five years running. He took up his position at the Dispensary on 1st June 1788.

Robert Tannahill’s maternal grandparents were Matthew Pollock of Boghall and Janet Brodie of Lochwinnoch. Janet Brodie’s brother was Hugh Brodie, ‘poet-laureate of Lochwinnoch’. Matthew and Janet had one son and seven daughters. Their second child and first daughter was Janet Pollock, Robert Tannahill’s mother:

In 1763, James Tannahill… was married to Janet Pollock… At that time she was domiciled in the house of her uncle, Hugh Brodie, farmer, Langcraft, in the Parish of Lochwinnoch. The banns of the proposed marriage were
proclaimed in the Laigh Church of Paisley, and the Parish Church of Lochwinnoch, on Sundays 21st and 28th; and the marriage was celebrated at Lochwinnoch on Monday, the 29th August, 1763. The wedding festivities were held at the same place; and after the conclusion of the days enjoyments, the company, according to the usual custom, sang the 127th Psalm.  

Psalm 127 refers to the happiness granted by God to those who with good grace build a house and have children. James and Janet Tannahill were to do just that, but first, James, with his younger brother Robert as journeyman, entered the Paisley Weavers’ Society on March 2nd 1764. The two other Tannahill brothers Thomas (master-weaver) and John (journeyman) had entered the Society in November the previous year. At this time, James Tannahill and his family lived in the area of Castle Street in the west end of the town; an area popular with weavers and other migrant workers, it was still under-developed in respect of housing. Incoming migrants mainly from surrounding counties, the West Highlands and Ireland, tended to settle in this area which was, and still is, known as Maxwelton.

In 1775, when the population of Paisley had grown to 11,700, James Tannahill acquired a steading in Queen Street where he had a cottage built. The cost of building the cottage was £60 16/ 4d. It was a one-storey thatch-roofed building, split into two areas by a central corridor. One section was used as living quarters and the other a weaving shop. It has a fairly low roof, certainly less than 2 meters at its lowest point, a smallish door and small windows. It was therefore fortunate that ‘James Tannahill was about 5 feet 4 inches in height and of slender make’. By this time Janet and James had four children. The fourth, Robert, born at Castle Street would have been around one year old when the family moved into the new Queen Street cottage.

James Tannahill’s interest in public health and his public spirit might lie in his Presbyterian outlook or devotion to Christian duty, but there were also specific events in his
life which help explain his activities:

Their son Thomas was born August 2nd, betwixt the hours of nine and ten of the clock forenoon, 1764. Died September 27th, 1765.\textsuperscript{15}

Robert Tannahill was a somewhat sickly child who was susceptible to chest infections and had a congenital deformity of the right leg and foot. Given that his first son had died at just over 13 months and that his fourth did not enjoy good health, it is not surprising that James took an interest in health issues. So far as is known, the other Tannahill children were healthy, although the poet’s eldest brother, also named Thomas, died in 1795 at the age of twenty-nine.\textsuperscript{16}

* 

Through the first two-thirds of the eighteenth century the quality of weaving in Paisley had not yet progressed to that seen in the delicate Shawl or Paisley Pattern, but consisted of rough linen of the kind referred to by Burns in ‘Tam o’ Shanter’ when he mentions:

\begin{quote}
Her cutty sark, o' Paisley harn,
That while a lassie she had worn.\textsuperscript{17}
\end{quote}

From the 1770s until 1795, Edinburgh had been the centre of intricate shawl-making in Scotland. 1795 was the year of Paisley’s first major economic downturn since weaving had begun to expand. In this difficult period, some Paisley weavers moved to Edinburgh to learn new skills and escape the worst of the economic blight. They returned having learnt what was ‘virtually a new trade’.\textsuperscript{18} These new skills supported by Paisley’s existing infrastructure for the manufacturing and dyeing of cloth (silk weaving was first introduced at Maxwelton in 1759), gave rise to rapid development of shawl-making and other delicates.

By 1802, when the population of Paisley stood at 24,800, incoming weavers were moving to the opposite end of the town from Maxwelton; they moved eastwards and settled at
what was then the separate settlement of Williamsburgh. Paisley town centre was extremely cramped, consisting of narrow wynds built up on either side with three-storey tenements. For many, living conditions were poor with a detrimental impact on health - as industrial and mercantile activity increased, so too did the risk of disease associated with overcrowding propelled by the unfettered development of capitalism. Rapid expansion of the town and the living conditions it produced gave Tannahill first hand experience of the negative effects of the processes of economic expansion: demand for cloth for soldiers’ uniforms and for the growing merchant and middle classes meant that weavers were still being attracted into the town.

Both the weavers and the Presbyterian clergy showed interest in education for the children of Paisley. The charter for the Grammar School was granted in 1576, one of its signatories being George Buchanan of Crosraguel, the political philosopher and historian. However, this school was intended to prepare those who were likely to be going on to university and had a classical curriculum. Many weavers educated their children at home using the Bible and/or chapbooks as text-books. The rest had to make do with penny-a-week schools, which ranged from the encouraging to the appalling, depending on the talents and inclinations of the individual teacher, or no education. Paisley had several such schools when Robert Tannahill was a child, including a school for young ladies attended by his sister Janet.

According to William McLaren, Robert Tannahill was educated at the English School. After Tannahill left school in 1786, a new English School was opened in School Wynd in 1788. The teacher at the new school was a Mr James Peddie, appointed upon its opening. Before the new school opened, the English School had been housed in the attic of the hospital. However, according to David Semple, James Tannahill, Robert’s father, was
friendly with schoolmaster James Andrew, who carefully educated the children in the hospital in 1786. From these sources, it can therefore be inferred that Robert Tannahill attended an English School in the attic of the hospital taught by James Andrew between the years 1780-1786, and partook of the standard curriculum of reading, writing, reckoning and religion. Tannahill appears to have been an average student, though he amused his classmates with rhymes and riddles such as:

My colour’s brown, my shapes uncouth,
On ilka side I hae a mouth;
And strange to tell, I will devour
My bulk of meat in half an hour.

Robert Tannahill’s formal education was probably of less significance than the education he received at home. As John Parkhill states:

In the house of Mr. [James] Tannahill books of interest and instruction, and amusement, were always readily accessible to the family, and laid, as it were, in their way, inducing thereby a thirst for knowledge and information among the youthful inmates.

In 1781, an extraordinary character arrived in Paisley in the form of Peter Burnet. An escaped black slave, Burnet became an intimate of the Paisley Tannahills having been put in contact with them by their relatives in Kilmarnock. In his biography of Peter Burnet, John Parkhill provides some insight into the Tannahills and their household. This is how Parkhill records Burnet’s first arrival at the Tannahill home in Queen Street:

we cannot introduce him [Burnet] better than by giving an extract of a letter from one of Mr Tannahill’s sons— “When I saw him first, I imagined him to be Black Prince, of Kilmarnock, that young man being in the service of a cousin of my father. I had been sent on some errand, and when I returned home Peter was sitting in my father’s armchair, with all the family gathered round him, laughing at stories of witches which he asserted appeared and frightened him, and he was laughing as freely and heartily as any of them, and in the course of an hour or two he seemed to be quite at home.” And truly to a great extent it did become his home.
'Black Peter’, as Burnet came to be known, had an amazing life. Having escaped slavery, he made his way to Scotland via working in New York for Glasgow merchants; through his association with the Tannahills he became a weaver. Eventually, he adopted High Tory politics and married three times, though towards the end of his life he is said to have been quite poor. He died in 1847 at the age of about eighty-three. Paisley poet John Campbell (1814-85) wrote a poem about Burnet. The following extract describes Burnet’s life sometime after the death of his first wife Peggy:

To tell all Peter’s rigs and loves would take a whole night’s chatter,  
For after this he married twice, ’twas all for love, no matter;  
And now, alas! for Peter, he’s grown old and poor also,  
But he’s still a fine old fellow, as ever you saw go.27

Burnet’s experience of slavery and his lively independent character made an impression on the Tannahill family. The anti-slavery sentiments in Robert Tannahill’s writing gain extra credibility in the light of this friendship.

Tannahill’s mother and father had an important influence on his later development. A sense of civic duty comes through in Tannahill’s poems which may be attributable to his father’s public activities. James Tannahill’s interest in literature and reading certainly rubbed off on Robert. Of course, Tannahill becoming a weaver was almost wholly determined by the fact that he was born into a family of weavers. Weaving offered a degree of economic independence and the social status of artisans remained relatively high during the early period of Robert Tannahill’s life. The fact that Tannahill’s father had sufficient income to buy a steading and build a house on it indicates that the economic position of weavers in the early part of Robert Tannahill’s life was far better than in 1807 when printers were reluctant to print Tannahill’s volume of poems because he was poor.28
Tannahill’s literary development was also influenced by his mother’s family, and when as a youth Robert spent time in Lochwinnoch he was aware that the poet, Hugh Brodie of Langcraft, was one of his relatives. In terms of familial influences, access to books was encouraged and Tannahill was not hindered in his writing of poetry and song. A suggestion that he had a better than average library is given in the actor and poet William Livingstone’s letter to him of November 1804:

I should have answered your kind favour of the 1st ult., but that I waited in the expectation of some books from Edinburgh which our people had written for in the failure of which I had some thoughts of troubling you about them. They are still expected, but as we leave this town soon, I could not longer delay the writing you. ²⁹

With no inventory of Tannahill’s library, precisely what he read is impossible to tell. However, from references in his work to poets such as Dryden, Thomson, Shakespeare, Shenstone and Pope, it can be inferred that he possessed a book like Samuel Johnson’s Lives of the English Poets: ‘There Shenstone and Thomson I’ll read’. ³⁰ He was also aware of John Howard (1726-90) the prison reformer, and of the classics. Lines like, ‘An Alexander sinks beside a Howard’ suggest he had some knowledge of recent history. ³¹ While he had almost no Latin or Greek he was aware of classical narratives, characters and their significance, including the myth of Orpheus and Eurydice. As in his ‘Epistle to J. Barr’:

When Orpheus charmed his wife frae h—ll,
’Twas nae Scotch tune he play’d sae well;
Else had the worthy auld wire scraper
Been keepet for his d—lship’s piper. ³²

Tannahill is suggesting, humorously, that Scottish music is so good that had it been played by Orpheus he would have been kept in the underworld to entertain the devil. Tannahill, the ‘unlettered Mechanic’ was not afraid of using elements from the repertoire of ‘colleg’d’
Bards’ to make his point. While his main interest was poetry, there is no doubt that he was well read, and there is no sense of either peasant or proletarian anti-intellectualism in his work. Indeed, he was culturally sophisticated. His poem ‘The Hauntet Wud’, in imitation of John Barbour (c.1320-c.1395) suggests that Tannahill may have read Barbour’s *The Bruce* published in 1737 at Glasgow, and in 1790 at London. Tannahill was also familiar with the *Edinburgh Review* and other periodical publications such as the *Scots Magazine, The Bee* and *The Poetical Magazine* published in London by ‘a Society of Gentlemen’.

* Before 1795, the Tannahills appear to have been doing rather well economically. Weavers could earn enough to live quite comfortably on a four-and-a-half day working week, from Tuesday to Saturday morning. However, after 1800, life became more difficult for Robert Tannahill. When in Bolton his living was basic: on his return to Paisley (late 1801 or early 1802) his father died, and in 1803 his sister died. Though by no means amongst the poorest, in that the Tannahills owned their house and had looms to work, Robert Tannahill was far from wealthy. As an artisan he had the luxury of being able to determine his own working day up to a point - a flexibility which gave him time to study, write, socialise locally, go to the theatre in Paisley and Glasgow, visit friends furth of Paisley at Kilbarchan, Neilston, Fereneze (Barrhead), Lochwinnoch, Beith and Edinburgh, and walk in the local countryside. Overall, Tannahill was brought up in an economically stable and loving family, where education and public spirit were fostered.

**ADULTHOOD AND ATTITUDES**

In this section, events from both the public and private spheres that were influential on Tannahill from his late teens onwards are interwoven. War, economic uncertainty and the
death of Robert Burns were major public matters that had an impact on the direction of his life and writing. Privately, his response to death - and to the death of his father in particular - will be shown to have been a key factor that galvanised him into becoming a serious writer, setting the trajectory for the last decade of his life.

* 

In the year 1791, on ending his apprenticeship, Tannahill and some friends made a six-week tour of Ayrshire on foot. Upon his return from Ayrshire, Tannahill informed his brother Matthew that he had visited what was to become the tourist attraction of Alloway Kirk, ‘was well pleased with the jaunt’ and had written two songs, ‘The Soldiers Adieu’, and ‘My Ain Kind Dearie, O’. The influence of Burns’s ‘The Lea Rig’ is readily apparent in Tannahill’s ‘My Ain Kind Dearie, O’, although Tannahill himself did not think much of it and did not publish it during his lifetime:

But now an I hae won till Ayr
Although I’m gae an weary, O,
I’ll tak a glass into my han,
An drink tae you, my dearie, O.
Cheer up your heart, my bonnie lass,
An see you dinna wearie, O ;
In twice three ooks, gin I be spared,
I’se come again an see thee, O,

An row thee up, an row thee down,
An row till I wearie, O,
An row thee o’er the lea rig,
My ain kind dearie, O !

In his *Book of Scottish Song* (1844), Alexander Whitelaw notes that ‘My Ain Kind Dearie, O’ and ‘The Soldiers Adieu’ were given to him by Tannahill’s brother Matthew. According to Whitelaw, Matthew stated they had been written when Robert was aged ‘about sixteen or seventeen’. However, according to Semple ‘The Soldiers Adieu’ was first published in a
Glasgow newspaper of September 1808 without the chorus contained in the version passed to Whitelaw by Matthew Tannahill. In Ramsay’s 1838 edition of Tannahill’s work, he gives only the first verse as a fragment and leaves it without comment. Therefore, the date of composition of this song remains in dispute; Semple argues for the 1808 date as the spouse of one of Tannahill’s neighbours, for whom he asserts the song was written, told him she remembered it was written for her husband.

From an early age, Tannahill’s interest in Robert Burns was strong. Within five years of the publication of Burns’s Kilmarnock Edition, Tannahill had undertaken what might be termed a pilgrimage to the ‘Land o’ Burns’. Around the same time Tannahill was in Ayrshire, Alexander Wilson had corresponded with Burns (7th September 1791) on his review of ‘Tam o’ Shanter’, which Burns didn’t much like. While there is no hard evidence that Tannahill and Wilson were acquainted, it is coincidental that around the time Wilson had written to Burns, Tannahill was in Ayrshire. An anonymous biography of Tannahill published in 1860 states:

> After his [Tannahill’s] apprenticeship had expired, he removed to Lochwinnoch … Alexander Wilson, the poet and future American ornithologist, was at this time also weaving in the same village. He was by some years the senior of Tannahill; and the latter, being then unknown to fame, had not the fortitude to seek his acquaintance, although he greatly admired the pieces by which Wilson had already distinguished himself.

William McLaren suggests that one of the first pieces Tannahill had published was on ‘Wilson’s emigration’:

> If memory may be trusted, the first of his poetical productions that occupied the hands of a printer, were his verses on the emigration of a brother bard to America… Alexander Wilson was the gentleman on whom they were wrote…
It is not known in what periodical, where, or when, this poem first appeared in print. Indeed, many of Tannahill’s early songs and poems are difficult to date. Besides the fact they have been collected in various editions of his work from 1807 onwards, the establishment of an exact chronology for his output of poetry and song during the 1790s and early 1800s is a difficult, if not impossible task: David Semple made some attempt but was not wholly successful. Also, there are no known extant letters of Tannahill’s from the 1790s to give clues to the chronology of his output. However, it is known that Alexander Wilson arrived in America on the 14th of July 1794. As Tannahill stated: ‘Since now he’s [Wilson] gane, an Burns is deid./ Ah! wha will tune the Scottish reed?’.

Burns died in 1796 and in 1804, Tannahill had poems published in The Poetical Magazine (though ‘Wilson’s Emigration’ was not among them). Additionally, McLaren and Tannahill became friends in 1803. The best one can conjecture is that between 1796 and 1803 Tannahill penned ‘Wilson’s Emigration’.

*  

During his teens Tannahill studied both music and poetry. He taught himself to read and write music and to play the German flute at which he was to become proficient:

Persons like TANNAHILL, desirous of acquiring knowledge, sought to improve their minds by reading and study. […] The qualifications to which he directed his attention were those treating of poetry and music. The songs of the bards were his delight, and his favourite musical instrument the German flute.

In order to write whilst weaving, he set up a desk and ink-pot at his loom: a practice which Fred Freeman suggests gave his songs a characteristic rhythmic quality.

Although Tannahill’s older brother, Thomas, had died in 1795, there was some happiness in 1796 when two other brothers married and in April 1797 Robert was best man at his cousin and namesake’s wedding. The years of 1799 and 1800 were particularly harsh for the people of Paisley. There was crop failure in 1799 and trade had still not significantly picked up since
1795. In addition, the town was awash with recruitment bands as Britain was in need of troops to fight the French and quell Irish unrest:

The Irish Rebellion still caused recruiting to be continued; [...] recruiting was carried on to greater extent than that which occurred during the years 1793-4-5-6 and 7.\textsuperscript{47}

Economic hardship meant rich pickings for recruitment parties in Paisley:

it was considered a proper time to send recruiting parties to Paisley to enlist the young men in depressed circumstances. Party after party came to Paisley until there was as many as twenty different recruiting parties in the town at one time.\textsuperscript{48}

Times were hard. In January 1800 a public meeting was called to discuss ‘means to alleviate the distress’ and soup kitchens were set up.\textsuperscript{49} Indeed, many of those who were disinclined towards military service, were feeling the financial pinch, or both, left town. Tannahill and his younger brother Hugh were among those who moved. Whether motivated by ‘draft dodging’ or economic necessity, they first went to Preston in Lancashire where Hugh remained while Robert went on to find work in Bolton. It was there he struck up a friendship with William Kibble, who originated from Paisley, and helped Tannahill settle in.

Tannahill wrote two poems about his experiences in Bolton - ‘LINES on a Country Justice in the South’, and ‘A Lesson’. The latter gives some indication as to Tannahill’s thinking about language with regard to regional accents, illustrated by bold contrasts of phonetic representation on the page:

\textbf{A Lesson}

\begin{quote}
Quoth gobbin Tom of Lancashire,
     To northern Jock, a lowland drover,
     “Those are foin kaise thai’rt driving there,
     They’ve zure been fed on English clover.”
     “Foin Kaise!” quoth Jock, “ye bleth’rin hash,
\end{quote}
Deil draw your nose as lang’s a sow’s!
That tauk o yours is queer like trash;
Foin kaise! poor gowk!—their names are koose."
The very fault which I in others see,
Like kind, or worse, perhaps is seen in me.50

This poem, in three voices, has a sense of performance or drama about it. It is for voices and about the sound of voices; about dialects and dialogue. It is resonant today as it raises questions about the qualities of vocal sounds that have strong political implications in terms of race, class, exile, migration, nationality and identity. The subject of conversation, ‘koose’ (cows), lends an element of humour and absurdity to the poem. However, serious points are being made about language prejudice and snobbery. Tannahill presents a standard English voice, and two non-standard voices which are Lancashire and Lowland Scots. The narrator, or standard English speaker, ultimately realises that there is a profound difference between writing in standard English and the sound of his own voice. As a result of living in England, Tannahill raises questions about class, region, nation and identity and berates himself for the fault of ‘koose’ while recognising that ‘kaise’ is no different.

It is possible that Tannahill’s awareness of accent and class, as demonstrated in ‘A Lesson’, was one of the contributing factors to his apparent avoidance of wealthy and powerful people:

He found it required a disagreeable effort to meet a stranger, if in a station superior to his own. He had no favour to ask; he disliked servility, and from seldom associating with his superiors he had contracted a fear and awe of their presence. Intercourse would have removed this uneasiness, but the opportunities of brushing off diffidence were not sufficiently frequent, and perhaps, considering his period of life and confirmed habits, it was rather fortunate for his own happiness that he shunned every occasion of being admitted to the tables of the wealthy.51

Whether or not Tannahill was in ‘awe’ of ‘his superiors’ would appear to be a matter of conjecture. His dislike of oppression and snobbery might as easily have been the major factor
in his shunning ‘the tables of the wealthy’, and in the poem above he embraces difference with irony and humour.

A different insight into Tannahill’s personality is provided by John Struthers, which helps explain his aversion to the wealthy and dispel the generally accepted notion of his acute shyness:

No man enjoyed company with a higher relish; and though he spoke little [...] his company was often deeply interesting. When he did speak, it was in praise of depressed merit; to mitigate the censures which the world is always liberal in bestowing upon the unfortunate; against chicanery and oppression, which of all kinds, he held the deepest abhorrence. 52

In his poetry Tannahill implicated those with wealth and power as oppressors. Indeed, his use of the phrase ‘haughty despot’ in the following quotation connects the British ruling class with Napoleon in what could be considered a form of class-based internationalism:

> When wealth with arrogance exalts his brow,
> And reckons Poverty a wretch most low,
> Let good intentions dignify thy soul,
> And conscious rectitude will crown the whole.
> Hence indigence will independence own,
> And soar above the haughty despot’s frown. 53

This extract from ‘Epistle to A. Borland’ asserts that in spite of Borland being poor he is dignified regardless of what the rich might think of him; he is in thrall to no one, free to think as he pleases, and in his freedom soars ‘above the haughty despot’s frown’. From a slightly different perspective the extract can be interpreted as saying that it does not matter if the rich treat us with disdain, we are intelligent, independent human beings and as such, worth as much as they are. This is a strong statement illuminating the value of equality with regard to power, wealth and human worth. Such poetic moments place Tannahill within the radical tradition of Paisley weaver-poets fostered by Alexander Wilson and John Robertson, rooting
Tannahill’s verse in a local tradition that is not solely derived from values and attitudes popularised in the poetry of Robert Burns.

For a man who relished company, who was often in public houses and at the theatre, who was friendly with musicians and actors, it is debatable that he would have been intimidated by folk in ‘socially superior’ positions. While it is possible that he may have been shy and enjoyed solitude, he had a wide circle of friends. Indeed, his ‘shyness’ was probably over-emphasised by nineteenth century biographers. According to Semple: ‘During his childhood he was shy in the meeting of strangers, and that bashfulness continued more or less during his whole life’. Yet, from the evidence of his social activity, his poetry and his correspondence, this shyness of character is contradicted. He was a founder member and first Clerk of the Paisley Burns’ Club (1805), and along with Alexander McNaught, was influential in the formation of the Paisley Trades Library (1805-6). He also attended the Paisley Literary, Poetical and Musical Club and a similar club in Kilbarchan. His closest theatrical acquaintances were the actors Archibald Pollok and William Livingstone. Indeed, Livingstone wrote to him from Kirkcudbright in 1804 to say how much he enjoyed the company of Tannahill and his friends:

I rejoice to hear that you are still much in the old way. Long may your friendly few, alike removed from ignorance and pedantry, from foppish ceremony and rude vulgarity, enjoy their manly, social, friendly intercourse. And in your hours of relaxation from the fatigues of honest bodily labour or mental exertion, may good sense, good humour, and good cheer crown the chosen circle, in which I spent so many happy hours.

Tannahill displays affection for social intercourse in the poem ‘Allan’s Ale’ written in 1799. It is about beer brewed in Paisley and the pleasures of its effects:

Whether a friendly, social meetin’,
Or politician’s thrang debatin’,
Or benders blest your wizzens weetin,
Mark well my tale,
Ye’ll fin’ nae drink ha’f worth your getting’,
Like Allan’s Ale.

…

Let selfish wights impose their notions,
And d — n the man wont tak’ their lessons,
I scorn their threats, I scorn their cautions,
Say what they will;
Let friendship crown our best devotions
Wi’ Allan’s Ale. 57
Stanzas, 5 & 8.

If ‘Allan’s Ale’ reflects Tannahill’s behaviour at the age of twenty-five, then he was a man who enjoyed social drinking and visits to the pub. In the following poem, also written in the Habby form, he has this to say on the subject of drinking and its relationship to literary creativity:

**Scotch Drink**

Let ither bards exhaust their stock
O heav’nly names on heav’nly folk
An god an goddesses invoke
Tae guide the pen,
While, just as well, a barbers block
Woud ser their en.

Nae muse hae I, like guid Scotch drink,
It mak’s the dormant saul to think,
Gars wit an rhyme thegither clink
In canty measure,
An, even tho half fou we wink,
Inspires wi pleasure. 58
Stanzas 1 & 2.

This poem was originally titled ‘Stanzas On Invocation’ in the 1807 edition. However, it was changed to ‘Scotch Drink’ by David Semple possibly to highlight the Burns connection and enhance Tannahill’s credibility as Burns’s natural successor. 59 While ‘Allan’s Ale’ is a highly local poem, specifically dealing with existing people, in contrast ‘Stanzas On Invocation’ (or
‘Scotch Drink’) is a more abstract piece. The latter was almost certainly influenced by Burns’s poem ‘Scotch Drink’ which was published in ‘The Kilmarnock Edition’ of 1786. However, Tannahill’s own experience of drinking and writing must have had some relevance to the composition of ‘Stanzas On Invocation’, rather than it being merely a literary exercise in the Burnsian style.60

Tannahill liked drinking, disliked over-indulgence, hated hangovers and suffered badly from them. This is illustrated in his letter to Alexander Borland written in 1810, where he complains that the writing of rhymes and songs had got him into the:

habit of being oftener in a public house than can be good for any body—altho’ I go there as seldom as possible - yet how often have I sat to within my last shilling, and unlike some of our friends who are better circumstanced, had to return to my loom sick and feverish— This often makes me appear sullen in the company, for if I indulge to the extent we have both seen in others I am in hell for two or three days afterwards.61

In a letter Tannahill received from his friend, James Clark, billeted in Aberdeen in 1807, there is no indication that Tannahill is the type of person who does not enjoy drinking and socialising:

There are a number of free-and-easy clubs here, and three good tap-rooms, which are very well attended, which by going to sometimes a stranger gets acquainted with the natives. I have the honour to be president now of a very respectable club. I have sung I don’t know how often your glorious song “The Coggie” here, which of all your songs is my favourite. (I hope in God the author of it nor his friends will never want one.) It is a great favourite here. I have never seen Mr. Ross but once since I came here, and then it was by chance he was in town. He keeps no music shop, and teaches but little. He has made his fortune some years ago—keeps a town and country house, elegantly furnished. He is a strange genius for a musician—keeps no company, and never enjoyed his bottle. He sent up a letter to me for you, with his compliments to me, wishing me to forward it, which I did as soon as possible. I hope you received it safe from David Dickie. I am playing in the orchestra at the theatre here.62

Clark is rather amazed that John Ross the composer does not, apparently, drink and expresses
this quite openly to Tannahill. While in his ‘Epistle to A Borland, Feb 1806’ Tannahill praises ‘Temperance’ for its sense of balance in social life of the everything-in-moderation kind, he also states:

The heart-enlivening, friendly, social bowl,
To rapturous ecstasy exalts the soul;
But when to midnight hour we keep it up,
Next morning feels the poison of the cup.63

The fourth stanza from ‘Stanzas on Invocation’ (or ‘Scotch Drink’), probably gives an accurate reflection of how Tannahill felt about drinking:

O would the gods but grant my wish!
My constant pray’r would be for this,
That love sincere, with health an’ peace
My lot they’d clink in,
With now-an’-then the social joys
O’ friendly drinkin’.64

This poem is about more than just drinking, it is about sources of inspiration and living well. Further, embedded within the poem is a contradiction in that there is a kind of knowing humour in its overall tone, as though Tannahill is aware that he is making fun of serious abstainers and the idea of temperance.

Tannahill wrote about both the miseries and the joys of drinking and understood the difficulties of living in a culture where alcohol consumption was part of the fabric of daily life. In the poems ‘Parody. On seeing Mr Thomas Willoughby, Tragedian, rather below himself’ and ‘On seeing a Once Worthy Character lying in a state of inebriation in the street’, the miseries and misfortunes of alcoholism are dealt with in a way which shows Tannahill’s sympathy for alcoholics:

If loss of worth may draw a pitying tear,
Stop, passenger, and pay that tribute here—
...
Now lost in folly, all his virtues sleep,—
In summary, Tannahill was a sociable man with a circumspect attitude to alcohol. He recommended temperance and balance but did drink himself, occasionally to excess. Though he strove towards happiness and social drinking he empathised with those unfortunate enough to become miserable, depressed or alcoholic. For Tannahill, there was a social responsibility upon us all to help those in dire circumstances regardless of how they got there. In contrast to his often critical attitude towards the wealthy, with regard to poverty, his attitude was not one of condemnation of the poor for being poor. Nowhere in his work does he make a distinction between ‘honest poverty’ and what might be termed self-inflicted poverty due to alcoholism or any other foibles; though he does condemn those men who drink and gamble, leaving their wives and weans impoverished at home.

* Summoned back from England to Paisley in December 1801, the Tannahill brothers arrived home in either late December or early January 1802, reportedly in time to see their father before he died. After the funeral, they did not return to England but remained in Paisley. Tannahill’s biographer Philip Ramsay gives the following extract from a letter written to William Kibble on March 14th 1802 which illustrates the impact of his father’s death:

Alek, poor Alek is gone to his long home! It was to me like an electric shock. Well, he was a good man; but his memory shall be dear and his worth had in remembrance by all who knew him. Death, like a thief, nips off our friends, kindred, and acquaintances, one by one, till the natural chain is broken, link after link, and leaves us scarce a wish to stop behind them. My brother Hugh and I are all that now remain at home with our old mother, bending under age and frailty, and but seven years back nine of us used to sit down at dinner together, (I still moralise sometimes). I cannot but remember that such things were and those most dear to me.
According to Semple the ‘Alek’ referred to was a friend of Tannahill’s from Bolton. It is easy to understand Tannahill’s decision to remain in Paisley. His mother probably needed and appreciated the company, the worst of the bleak year of 1800 was over, and they had their own house and looms to work on. The death of his father was a life-changing event. Before 1802 Tannahill was an occasional versifier and songwriter. Now, after his father’s death, the whole business took on a deeper and more serious complexion. He had resolved to live with his mother and follow his instincts in poetry and song. In 1802 he wrote the poem ‘The Filial Vow’:

**The Filial Vow**

Why heaves my mother oft the deep drawn sigh?
Why starts the big tear glistening in her eye?
Why oft retire to hide her bursting grief?
Why seeks she not, nor seems she wish relief?
'Tis for my Father, mouldering with the dead
My Brother, in bold manhood lowly laid,
And for the pains which age is doomed to bear,
She heaves a deep drawn sigh, and drops a silent tear.
Yes, partly these her gloomy thoughts employ;
But mostly this o’erclouds her ev’ry joy—
She grieves to think she may be burthensome,
Now feeble, old and tottering to the tomb.

Oh, hear me Heav’n, and record my Vow,
Its non-performance let thy wrath pursue!
I swear— Of what Thy providence may give,
My mother shall her due maintenance have.
'Twas hers to guide me thro Life’s early day,
To point out Virtue’s path, and lead the way;
Now, while her pow’rs in frigid langour sleep,
'Tis mine to hand her down Life’s rugged steep,
With all her little weaknesses to bear
Attentive, kind, to soothe her ev’ry care.
'Tis Nature bids, and truest pleasure flows
From lessening an aged parent’s woes.

This shows an acute awareness of duty, care and grief, and this understanding of ‘gloomy’
psychological states often informs both his poetry and song. ‘The Filial Vow’ offers a good explanation of why Tannahill did not return to Bolton. It also shows his familiarity with grief in the mourning of his father and brother. It is both a plea and declaration of intent: a statement of his love for his mother and for his family; recognition of the effort his mother has put into making him the man he is and his resolve to repay her by looking after her in her time of grief and through her final years and days. The seriousness of the author’s intent is reflected in the decasyllabic lines and in opening the poem with four solemn questions, all Whys. The first responses to the questions relate simply to grief (lines 5-8), the next response concerns his mother’s state of health but finally the answer is that she fears being a burden to others (lines 11-12). In the second stanza Tannahill vows that his mother will have ‘her due maintenance’ ‘while her pow’rs in frigid languor sleep’. In the final couplet Tannahill again expresses that aspect of his philosophy which recurs throughout his writing, that the strong should help the weak; that both ‘Nature’ as in, all that is, and human nature, teach us that in the social act of helping others we can find fulfilment, or, as Tannahill puts it here, it is in soothing the cares of his mother in her suffering that the ‘truest pleasure flows’. As Gaffer says in Tannahill’s drama The Soldier’s Return, echoing Cicero, ‘Virtue ever is its own reward’. 69

* 

In Tannahill’s work selflessness is illustrative of a virtuous human nature. In this Tannahill is operating within a broad Presbyterian and Scottish intellectual/cultural tradition in which nature and human nature are fundamentally virtuous. These aspects of Tannahill’s writing and attitudes were undoubtedly influenced by the general currency within Scottish culture of the republican-leaning discourses of George Buchanan and by the philosophical discourses of
Francis Hutcheson. The Scottish Presbyterian New Licht’s insistence on individual autonomy or the ‘subjection of all authority to the tribunal of individual reason’ resulted in an ‘equation of virtue with public spirit [which] could be employed to destabilise traditional notions of social leadership: the truly great man is no longer the prince or the earl; it is the virtuous, public-spirited man of whatever station who alone is truly noble’. And this outlook is one which permeates Tannahill’s work. Burns’s ‘The Holy Fair’, in which he satirises Auld and New Lichts, and John Witherspoon’s, *A Letter from a Blacksmith to the Ministers and Elders of the Church of Scotland* (1759) and his anti-theatrical tract, *A serious enquiry into the nature and effects of the stage* (1757) are part of this Presbyterian discourse. Burns’s poetic humour and sensuality bring a sense of joy that is somewhat lacking in the clerical prose manifestations of the discourse:

```
But now the L—d’s ain trumpet touts,
    Till a’ the hills are rairan,
An’ echoes back, return the shouts,
    Black ***** is na spairan:
His peircin words, like highland swords,
    Divide the joints an’ marrow;
His talk o’ H-ll, whare devil’s dwell,
    Our vera ‘Sauls does harrow’
    Wi’ fright that day.
...

How monie hearts this day converts,
    O’ Sinners and o’ Lassies!
Their hearts o’ stane, gin night are gane
    As saft as ony flesh is.
There’s some are fou o’ love divine;
    There’s some are fou o’ brandy;
An’ monie jobs that day begin,
    May end in Houghmagandie
    Some ither day.
```

Tannahill makes his own contribution to this discourse on numerous occasions, where he was also capable of humour, irony and satire. The following is a fairly typical example:
The zealot thinks he’ll go to heav’n direct,
Adhering to the tenets of his sect,
E’en tho’ his practice lie in this alone,
To rail at all persuasions but his own.
from, ‘Epistle to A. Borland, Feb 1806’

Moderate, or New Light, Presbyterianism is extremely important in understanding Burns, Tannahill and eighteenth-century Scotland. It is illustrative of the deeply fractious nature of Scottish Presbyterianism which developed partly as a response to the Patronage Act of 1712. Throughout the 1700s, and even before, Protestants were in no sense unified. Some Presbyterians held that Episcopalians were worse than Papists. Others saw no distinction between Catholics and Anglicans. The Moderates in peace-time felt they were at liberty to express differences of conscience from brother Presbyterians as there was no longer any need to present an anti-papist, anti-Jacobite united front. On the patronage question, the Moderates were less democratic than their name might imply. In 1752 they banded together to form an effective party which took control of the General Assembly and sought to enforce patronage against the wishes of elders and congregations. The ethos of New Light Presbyterianism stretched back into the seventeenth century and had a broad cultural impact beyond the Edinburgh hierarchy of the Church of Scotland. Its intellectual roots lay in the cross-fertilisation of Irish and Scottish Presbyterian thinking embodied in the ideas of Francis Hutcheson who, though he opposed patronage, influenced New Light thinking in many other areas, especially the doctrine of the power of individual reason to determine one’s actions. Tannahill, whether aware of it or not, takes a similar position to Hutcheson: disagreeing with patronage (though in a slightly different form) but agreeing with individual autonomy, for example, that one should be free to write a play and have it performed in a theatre.

Tannahill would not have had to read books or study these matters of religion to have a grasp of them - they were an integral part of social intercourse. He would merely have had
to talk with and listen to those around him to understand the essentials of these religious and philosophical disputes. Paisley had a Gaelic Church, Burghers and anti-Burghers (of the New and Auld Licht variety), Reliefers, Cameronians and mainstream Church of Scotland worshippers. All manner of Presbyterians lived there and, no doubt, they socialised, debated, intermarried and worked together. In ‘Epistle to A. Borland, Feb. 1806’ there are lines which reflect the Parable of the Good Samaritan (Luke 10:25-37) and this sense of taking action when confronted with injustice is part of Tannahill’s morality; with its roots in a Presbyterian cultural background:

When suppliant Misery greets thy wand’ring eye
Altho’ in public, pass not heedless by;\textsuperscript{76}

However, for Tannahill it was important to ‘pass not heedless by’ for the right reasons of conscience and not for one’s own glorification or a show of wealth. In the lines that follow he suggests that a rich person who ostentatiously helps the poor is less likely to attain ‘peace of mind’ than the poor person who gives a ‘pittance’ out of solidarity with suffering humanity.

In the case of a poor person:

So conscience will a rich reward impart,
And finer feelings play around thy heart.\textsuperscript{77}

The sentiments in this piece of verse reflect the thrice told story in the New Testament in which Jesus Christ stresses that ‘it is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of God’.\textsuperscript{78} This element of New Testament doctrine is reflected in Tannahill’s negative attitudes towards the rich and those who put wealth before the well being of others. Though in this poem the reward is ‘finer feelings’ rather than infinite joy in the afterlife.

*
In the summer of 1805 Tannahill took a jaunt as far as the East Neuk of Fife, a fair journey for the time by means of foot, coach and boat. The following long letter (of which only the paragraph in curly brackets (p. 51) has previously been published) tells the story. This letter gives an excellent insight into Tannahill’s character and attitudes. It reveals his views on the nature of Scottish song, his interest in Irish music, his joy at travelling and meeting people, his interest in Burns, and his great enthusiasm for writing, theatre and music:

To James Clark, Musician,
Argyle-Shire Malitia Band,
in the Castle
Edinburgh.

— August 31st 1805

Dear Friend,

Everything was so novel to me in Edinburgh, that I never spent three days with greater happiness in my life, but meeting you and Buchanan would have made me happy anywhere. I regretted at parting that we had not another day or two with you. Paterson and I parted with our brother-tourists at Kinghorn, proceeded up the Forth thro Burntisland, aberdour, Inverkiething &c to Queensferry, where we again crossed and took up our lodgings for the night. Next morning we ‘rose by four o’clock, proceeded thro Borastoness and Falkirk to Grangemouth, thinking to get down in the dray-boat to Glasgow, but were disappointed, as none went on that day. We then went on to Cumbernauld-house thinking to get the Mail-coach or a return-[phrase], but again our hopes beguil’d us. But what signifies this dry detail to you, or any body.79 We reach Glasgow about 7 o’clock. God knows tired enough. There we learned from an acquaintance of Mr Struthers that poor Archie Pollock died in Carlisle, (not in Glasgow as you were inform’d) about two weeks before, and that Mrs Pollock was come to Glasgow, he likewise knew that our worthy friend Livingston was in Ireland but did not know in what part of it. I intend, the first time I go in to enquire out old Shaw, on purpose to know if he has got any word of them of late. We saw some Playbills posted up in Falkirk as we passed thro’, a Mr Davies seemed to be at the head of the Party. I dont recollect any others of the names save Bond and a Mr Ward— I delivered your message to WillI Stewart, he seemed particularly happy to hear from you, and said, he and your friend R. Smith would positively go to Kilbarchan on next Saturday afternoon.
I am much obliged to you for fitting me with an air suitable to the stanza which I formerly sent you, and tho’ it answers the words, as well as ever tune did any, yet I am doubtful that the verses will not do to sing at all, owing to the repetition of the same two lines at the hinder-end of every stanza, which two lines being repeated twice (to the music) will be intolerably insipid, however I will give you the whole of it. So that you may judge.

Dirge

Let grief forever cloud the day
That saw our Bard borne to the clay
Let joy be banish’d every eye
And nature weeping seem to cry
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

Let Sol resign his wonted powers
Let chilling north winds blast the flowers
That each may drop its withering head
And seem tae mourn our Poet dead
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

Let shepherds from the mountains steep
Look down on widow’d Nith and weep
Let rustic swains their labours leave
And sighing murmur o’er his grave
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

Let every bird that haunts the grove
That day forget its notes of love
Unto the rugged rocks complain
And plaintive chirp the doleful strain
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

Let bonny Doon and winding Ayr
Their bushy banks in anguish tear
While many a tributary stream
Pours down its griefs to swell the theme
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

All dismal let the nicht descend
Let whirling storms the forest rend
Let furious tempests sweep the sky
And dreary howling caverns cry-
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

With respect to the Irish air with which you favoured me, upon the whole I am highly delighted with it, but don’t you think the 1st and 3rd lines of it bear some resemblance to the “Scottish Kail Brose.” Mr Hamilton’s stanza is admirably suited to it, in my opinion his lines possess, in an eminent degree, that beautiful, natural simplicity which characterises our best Scottish songs. I have attempted to add a verse to it but fear you will think it a frigid production. the original one is so compleat in itself, that he who tries another to it, labours under the disadvantage of not knowing what to say further on the Subject, however I will give you all I could make of it.

Song

Now winter is gane, and the clouds flee away,
Yon bonnie blue sky how delightful to see,
Now linties and black birds sing on ilka spray
That flourish round Woodhouselee.
    The hawthorn is blooming,
    The soft breeze perfuming,
O come, my dear lassie the season is gay,
And naething mair lovely can be;
    The primrose and the lily
    We’ll pu’ in the valley
And lean, when we like, on some gowany brae
That rises beside Woodhouselee.

Ye mind whan the snaw lay sae deep on the hill,
Whan cauld icy cranreuchhung white on the tree,
Whan bushes war leafless, an mournfully still
War the wee birds o sweet Woodhouselee:
    Whan snow showers were fa’ing
    An wintry win’s blawing,
Loud whistling o’er mountain an meadow sae chill,
We markt it wi sorrowin ee;
    But now since the flowers
    Again busk the bowers,
O come, my dear lassie, wi smilin goodwill,
An wander around Woodhouselee.
Our friend R. Smith has set me an appropriate wild plaintive air to the following, let me know how the words please you.

The Maniac’s Song

Hark! tis the poor Maniac’s song
She sits on yon wild craggy steep
And while the winds mournfully whistle along
She wistfully looks o’er the deep
And ay she sings lullaby, lullaby, lullaby,
To hush the rude billows asleep.

She looks to yon rocks far at sea
And thinks it her lover’s white sail
The warm tear of joy glads her wild glist’ning eye,
As she beckons his vessel to hail
And aye she sings, lullaby, lullaby, lullaby,
And frets at the boistering gale.

Poor Susan was gentle and fair
Till the seas rob’d her heart of its joy
Then her reason was lost in the gloom of despair
And her charms then did wither and die
And now her sad lullaby, lullaby, lullaby,
Oft wakes the lone passenger’s sigh.

You may thank your stars that my paper is done, it is that alone bids me notice what a devil o’ a long letter I have been writting to you Willm McCutchon is pretty well, he was enquiring after you and intends having a jaunt to Embro’ soon, and if any bit nice, pleasant, simple beautiful (stop) melody I will thank you to send a set of it.

I am yours most truely
Robt Tannahill.

While Tannahill’s work contains much that is local in character, it also expresses a world view which includes strands of Presbyterianism, tenets of radicalism and Enlightenment humanism, Scottish nationalism, British nationalism, and elements from both Whig and Tory ideologies. The Tory component is the idea that industrialisation represents a historical discontinuity. Seen from the perspective of Tories it is an attack on rural, feudal relationships
which have given social certainty founded on a hierarchical, land-based economy controlled by the aristocracy. While Tannahill’s view that industrialisation and the rise of a new merchant class is a negative development coincides with Tory ideology, he holds with his point of view because, agricultural failure, trade instability, war and blockades made life extremely difficult economically for weavers and not out of sympathy with a hierarchical system of landownership and patronage. John Parkhill, writing in 1857, identifies one historical factor that had an impact on the trajectory of Tannahill’s life:

> The great dearth of 1799 and 1800 had a great effect on the industrious classes. Oatmeal had risen to 3s and 9d. and even 4s. the peck, and was even difficult to obtain. When meal arrived at a dealer’s shop, there would be a crowd about the door in an instant of a hundred or two.\(^8\)

The capitalist market did not function as a mechanism for either social or economic justice but hurt the poorest hardest. Tannahill recognised this aspect of the new economics in ‘The Poor Bowlman’s Remonstrance’, a poem written from the point of view of someone existing at the economic margin making an appeal to the theology of a levelling Almighty depicted metaphorically as a ‘potter’:

> The potter moulds the passive clay
> To all the forms you see,
> And that same Pow’r that formed you
> Hath likewise fashion’d me.
> Then, O let pity sway your souls!—
> Though needy, poor as poor can be,
> I stoop not to your charity,
> But cry my plates and bowls.\(^9\)

In their opposition to industrial ‘progress,’ both artisans and aristocrats had, in some aspects, a common enemy in the incipient bourgeoisie. Although, that does not mean that my enemy’s enemy is my friend. The radical aspect of Tannahill’s poetry is the recognition of equality of human worth, which derived from the French Revolution as well as Presbyterian radicalism.
As Alexander Wilson noted in his protest poem against the banning of the *Rights of Man*, entitled *An Address to the Synod of G****w and A*r*, and published under the pseudonym of Lawrie Nettle:

> The Rights of Man is now weel kenned,  
> And red by mony a hunder;  
> For Tammy Paine the buik has penned,  
> And lent the court a lounder.

Wilson also criticises patronage in this poem even though he had sought it himself from William McDowal, the Member of Parliament for Paisley, for the 1791 edition of his *Poems and Songs*:

> The kirk shou’d a’ your time mortgage,  
> For weel she pays the cost;  
> And royalty and patronage  
> Eternally’s your toast  
> Baith night and day.  

Tannahill’s friends James Scadlock and William McLaren (b.1772) were supposedly ‘at the forefront of agitation’ with Alexander Wilson in Paisley during 1792, although McLaren was not to become close friends with Tannahill until 1803. Such support for Painite ideas and agitation were abhorrent to Tories and the aristocracy. However, in principle, an overlap exists between this radicalism, democratic Presbyterian traditions expressed in the work of George Buchanan and Francis Hutcheson, and the insistence of Dissenters in defiance of the Patronage Act that they select their own ministers. This overlap is most obvious in the idea espoused by Paine’s supporters and by radical leaning Presbyterians that hierarchical social structures could be legitimately challenged. Tannahill’s opposition to literary patronage is in harmony with arguments for the legitimate challenging of hierarchies. With regard to religion, however, Tannahill seems to have been highly sceptical of most branches of Presbyterianism and expressed outright support for none.
Most of Tannahill’s biographers paint an unconvincing picture of his love life. It generally goes along the lines that he was scorned by the object of his desires, Jenny Tennant, and vowed to remain single for the rest of his days, and this has become something of a myth as there is no evidence that Tannahill ever made such a vow. David Semple and Alexander Reekie are somewhat perplexed by the story but do not actually disagree with it. Reekie, writing in 1911, states:

It is doubtful that Tannahill was ever in love; there are various legends to that effect, but none of these are very conclusive. Certainly he was no gallant.87

Reekie then goes on to give the details of Tannahill’s relationship with Jenny Tennant, much as Semple has given them, which almost dovetails with the myth, in that Ms Tennant appears to have dropped Tannahill for someone else, whom she married in 1798. Tannahill wrote two songs with Jenny Tennant in mind - ‘The Fareweel’ and ‘Jessie the Flower o’ Dumblane’. There certainly seems to be some credence in the story that he courted Jenny Tennant, yet, he was not so heartbroken that he remained without female company for the rest of his days. In what seems the most credible piece of information regarding Tannahill’s relationships with women, Charles Rogers writing in 1856 tells us that he:

paid court to two females of his own rank. The first of these was Jean King, sister of his friend John King… His next sweetheart was Mary Allan, sister of the poet Robert Allan. This estimable woman was a sad mourner on the poet’s death, and for many years wept aloud when her deceased lover was made the subject of conversation in her presence.88

When Rogers wrote the above, Mary Allan was living in America. He further informs us that: ‘[s]ome verses addressed to her by the poet she continues to retain with the fondest affection’.89 It appears that Tannahill was romantically close to at least three women in his
lifetime. It is perhaps unusual that he did not marry as most of his friends did so, but for long
stretches of his adult life he believed he was close to death due to frequent chest infections
and bouts of ill health. Muir informs us that: ‘In one of the suppressed poems, dated 1804, we
have the following explicit and striking acknowledgement.\textsuperscript{90}

\begin{quote}
But ere a few short summers gae,
Your friend will mix his kindred clay,
For fell Disease tugs at my breast
To hurry me away.\textsuperscript{91}
\end{quote}

In addition to this, Tannahill in morbid mood (and prescient with regard to his suicide) writes
in ‘Prayer, Under Affliction’:

\begin{quote}
Almighty pow’r, who wings the storm,
   And calms the raging wind,
Restore to health my wasted form,
   And tranquillize my mind.
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
But should thy sacred law of Right,
   Seek life, a sacrifice,
O! haste that awful, solemn night,
   When death shall veil mine eyes.\textsuperscript{92}
\end{quote}

1\textsuperscript{st} & last stanzas.

This poem resembles Burns’s poem ‘A Winter Night’, but it has a rather different feel due to
its first person narration and lyrical rather than rhetorical tone. However, it is undeniable that
Tannahill’s values are similar to those Burns espouses in ‘A Winter Night’. Though Burns’s
emphatic statement in the last two lines of his poem that ‘The heart benevolent and kind/ The
most resembles God’,\textsuperscript{93} differs from Tannahill’s general outlook which can be stated as – \textit{The
heart benevolent and kind/ The most resembles man in his true nature}. While the difference is
subtle, it is significant that Tannahill rarely uses the word God in such a context or manner.
Generally he uses other words where God could just as easily appear, as in ‘Prayer, Under
Affliction’ where, somewhat pantheistically, he gives us an ‘Almighty pow’r, who wings the
By 1806 the population of Paisley had grown to around 27,000, and on July 12th of that year, the Paisley Police Act was passed. Tannahill thought this would lead to an increase in taxation and was doubtful that the proposed building of a prison (Bridewell) was warranted at all. His attitude to taxation was sceptical as previous taxes imposed by the London government had been primarily to raise money to finance the war with France. In August he wrote to James King with these and other issues in mind:

Friend James

I have little doubt that after my long unwarranted silence that this will be but coldly received, but, “Hand your nine-tailed cat over, till once you’ve heard my story.” — The truth is I received your last in due time and would have answered immediately, but having an appointment with Mr Borland to meet him at 3 mile house on Sunday-eighth-days following, I deferred writing till then — unhappily that day rained and stormed so tremendously that our meeting did not take place - I have not seen him since but am informed that he and family are all well and that he intends to be in Paisley on St James-day Friday. Which is Friday eight days – I would then have written but hearing it confidently reported that your Reg’l had got the Rout for Scotland and that you were positively on your way home, I thought there would be little chance of my letter finding its way to you, therefore dropped all thoughts of writing until I could hear something more of you — I am just now informed that you are still at Dover and hope you will receive this, but fearing failure, will defer writing any rhymes until my next — I called on your mother, She and your sister were both extremely pleased to hear of your being well again, they are both in health and I assure you I never saw them look better than at present, your mother bade me inform you that R. Rowan (The Laird’s son) had died of consumption in Ireland —

You remember bidding me enquire for Miss C-- W--. I was assured by some blades who said they knew her that she was actually one of the [frail] sisterhood, I understand that she is out at service, but dont know where, or with whom — now for the Poetics of the Day – a George M’Indoe, Glasgow, lately pub. a volume of poems by subscription. Price 2/6. Wretched stuff never came from the press — a Thomas Smith of Bridge-town near Glasgow is just now going thro’ with proposals for Pubg his poems in 4 numbers 13 each, I dont know how they may turn out, his specimen on the proposal is tolerable – Did you know Arch’d Fyfe, reed-maker Causeyside, he is dead lately and has left a widow and 5 small children, his well-wishers have opened a subscription
for the Publication of his poems for the benefit of his family, a great number of
the first rank in Paisley are warmly interesting themselves in promoting the
subscription, indeed such a laudable Zeal says much for the human heart.
Arch'd was a fine fellow and is much regretted by all who knew him - With
regard to the Russian Soldier I esteem it as amongst the first of yours that I
have seen, in general it pleases me highly, but I think the last verse would do
honour to our best poets. I have shewn it to several of my acquaintances whose
taste I confide in, and they all gave it their decided approbation, I have used the
liberty of sending it to the Song-book which I mention'd formerly to you, it
will be printed in the course of a fortnight
I hear that the Lanarkshire are on their way home - it is hard to say what we
may expect from the present negotiations not knowing whether they wish
peace at all, or if it is some political shuffle.
Our magistrates have obtained an act of Parliament for the better regulating of
the police of the town, paving and lighting the streets, the building of a
Bridewell &c. – but as the assessments will be very heavy on the inhabitants, it
is rather an unpopular measure, it is believed that the expense of the Bridewell
will amount to more in one year than the loss sustained in Paisley by “Pick-
pocks and Pick-locks,” since the days of King Blearie, but our black-coated
Gentry, who generally, “bring their purposes to bear” insist that the horrid
wickedness of the present evil generation calls aloud, yea, cries vehemently for
such an undertaking. Wonderful Wisdom ! ! £2000 shall be laid out in building
a large house for the confinement of J. M'D---d and J. W----m and two or three
others such like —
— I will be glad to see the poetry which you mentioned in your last. Please
write soon owning the receipt of this and let me know when, or whither you
have any prospects of being returning to Scotland. In my next I will study to
send you something or other – I sometimes see Serg' Boyd, his leg is mended,
he weaves at the loom, and appears well and hearty. Two poor infatuated
mortals belonging to Inchinan Parish were condemned at Edin' last
Wednesday for wilful setting fire to, and burning a farm house in the same
parish about 4 months ago, they are to be executed at Edin'.
I will expect yours soon and bids you farewell for the present, excuse
appearance of haste, my pen, Yours &c Robt. Tannahill

This letter reveals the thirty-two year old Tannahill as an opinionated man capable of clear,
critical thought. A person sure of his feelings and attitudes.

**THE PAINLEY BURNS CLUB**

There is observable throughout the writings of Robert Tannahill a strong
resemblance to the style of Burns. This, however, is not to be wondered at, as
Burns and Tannahill were contemporaries.
No man of judgement will hazard a comparison between Tannahill and Burns. While the War with France was ongoing, in late 1804 Tannahill and his friends embarked on a project of a different nature which has had important effects as a Scottish cultural phenomenon since January 1805. Tannahill’s friend William Wylie was married to James Scadlock’s sister Elizabeth and this is indicative of the close-knit nature of a circle of friends and acquaintances, connected by various social ties such as occupation, interests, locality, and family. This circle formed a loose community of artists in and around Paisley, working independently of one another, sharing ideas, and occasionally collaborating. The most concrete institutional manifestation of this particular community of largely Paisley-born literary and musical artists was the formation of the Paisley Burns Club at its inaugural meeting on January 29th 1805.

The foundation of the Burns Club was a means of sharing ideas, getting their work to a wider public and at the same time remembering ‘The Bard’ as more or less one of their own. That Burns was not one of the ‘rich’ whom Tannahill is so fond of disparaging is significant for them. If a farmer’s son can become a celebrated poet then why not a weaver, or to stretch the point a little further, why not anyone regardless of their background? Poets from poorer backgrounds often had to publish themselves by means of subscription. Those with patrons could be sponsored and those who were ‘professionals’ could sell their copyrights to publishers. Obviously the poorer you were the less chance you had of access to good education and career prospects, which meant it was to some extent economically determined as to whether or not your work was published in book form.

Nevertheless, people like Burns, Hogg and Tannahill managed to break through the barriers
to differing extents and many others published in newspapers or periodicals with more limited degrees of success. For Tannahill the support of his local community of writers and musicians was an important factor in his development and perseverance as an artist. In a short note written to Robert A. Smith we see how Tannahill, who must have been short of ready cash and quite depressed, expresses his appreciation of his friend James Barr who has sent him a ‘packet’ through the post:

Dear S.

I have just received this packet from J.B. – “I’m ten times doubly over his debtor”
- Excuse my not coming over with them, for my beard’s lang, an’ my bauchles will hardly stay on my feet.97

Tannahill had a high opinion of Barr and often asked him for advice. While Smith was later to become nationally renowned for his ecclesiastical music, Tannahill also saw Barr as very able musically and this was an important factor in their friendship. In essence, just as the French National Assembly had attempted to democratise politics - ‘Men are born and remain free and equal in rights’98 - the circle of artists to which Tannahill belonged formed part of a movement attempting to democratise literature. Tannahill had great antipathy to the idea that money could be a bar to getting one’s work published, yet through struggle and perseverance most of Tannahill’s circle did manage to get work published in some form, although they came from fairly humble weaving backgrounds.99 This is not to say that such a process of democratisation follows a linear path of ever expanding opportunities for the lower classes and the poor. However, the intermingling of so-called ‘high’ and ‘low’ cultures in eighteenth-century Scotland made it harder to exclude poorer authors, as did the existence of autonomous groups of artists such as Tannahill and his associates. Of course it wasn’t too long before the Paisley Burns Club became the preserve of MPs and local worthies but in its
initial formation its democratic impulse and lack of exclusivity cannot be denied.

All that was required to be present at the first meeting was an interest in Burns’s poetry and even that was not compulsory. At this first meeting, according to Robert Brown, ‘there were nearly seventy persons present, yet no names are given, except the fifteen who were “appointed to conduct the business for the following year”’. This fifteen included Tannahill, James Scadlock, William Wylie, R. A. Smith, William McLaren and Tannahill’s brother James (b. 1771), ‘a man of sterling ability and integrity, [who] had, during the ferment, been blamed for holding revolutionary principles’. Here Robert Tannahill is in the company of people in sympathy with the general principles of Painite reform, including his own brother James. For a man described as timid, shy and an apolitical poet of the sweet lyrics of nature, he appears to have kept the company of folk who had something of a radical political outlook.

William McLaren, who was President of the Paisley Burns Club in 1805, expressed thoroughly Scottish nationalist sentiments in his opening address to the January meeting. It was a speech fully imbued with radical passion. With reference to Ossian and Burns he stated:

> Roll on, ye winged times, and, in your proud career, smile at the ruin of the great and the fall of the mighty; but weak the efforts of the tyrannic arm to erase from the memory of a grateful people the virtues of those men who have raised our country to a proud pre-eminence among the nations of the world. For me, departed bards, when my heart ceases to thrill with rapture to the melodies of your songs, may the haggard hand of misery wring my flinty bosom; may the soft tears of sympathy never wet my sallow cheeks, but may I sneak through life, scorned by the world and hated by myself.

McLaren strongly endorses anti-tyrannical Presbyterian principle, mixing it with a smattering of classicism in which ‘ye winged times’ ‘Roll on’, perhaps towards an as yet unrealised Celtic Eden. Scottish ‘departed bards’ are worth remembering, while we are to ‘smile at the
ruin of the great and the fall of the mighty’. McLaren’s style is admirable, his phrasing
colourful and his content tends towards the radical politically, though he does avoid any
direct attack on the British government. Tannahill, in his role as Club Secretary, set out the
ethos of the club in a rare piece of essay-like prose:

That Man is the only creature capable of enjoying an eminent degree of felicity, is a Truth so evident and so generally admitted, that, it were foolish to labour its proof. An indulgent ‘Nature’, ever attentive to the happenings of her off-spring, has enriched the world with men of superior intellect, who, by the splendour of their Genius, and the fascinating charms of their writings, have, like the Sun, which dissipates the vapours of night, dispers’d the dark clouds of Ignorance; have taught the vacant hours of Life to steal on with uninterrupted felicity; and thus in an eminent degree, contributed to the happiness of Mankind. Shall we then suffer such characters to pass unnoticed? No. Ye illustrious Benefactors of the world, we will cherish, we will celebrate your memories; your Virtues are already graven on our hearts, and the tears of honest gratitude shall bedew your tombs: Posterity will imitate and applaud the dead, and, your proud names shall roll thro an eternity of years. Animated by these reflections, a number of the admirers of Robert Burns met on the 29th Jan 1805 in the Star Inn Paisley, to celebrate his memory, where a beautiful, transparent bust of the Bard, painted by an eminent artist, was exhibited from the window. The company, amounting to near to seventy, sat down to Supper, after which, the President (William McLaren) addressed the company […]

This statement is a mixture of Enlightenment and romantic values; from the Enlightenment
we get the notion that humanity is to be freed from ‘the dark clouds of Ignorance’ by men
who have been created by ‘Nature’ to bring us ‘happiness’. It is the ‘Genius’ of these men as
writers and poets that brings us into a world ‘which dissipates the vapours of night’. ‘Nature’
has brought us men who must be celebrated otherwise humanity will be in state of discord
with its creator, ‘An indulgent Nature, ever attentive to the happenings of her off-spring’. The
idea of memory is also important, somehow the act of remembering will bring us ‘happiness’;
‘Posterity will imitate and applaud the dead’, and this suggests that at some point in the future
the values held dear by dead Scottish poets will again be those of the Scottish people. The
assertion that the values of the past will be rediscovered and help create a better (or a happy) world in the future is a feature of romantic-pastoralism, as is its presentation alongside an almost pantheistic sense of ‘Nature’ and the natural world.

William McLaren began his 1805 address with:

Gentlemen, — It is with infinite pleasure that I see, at this moment, so many men of taste, so many fond and enthusiastic lovers of Scottish song, met on this evening to celebrate the birth of our immortal bard. Let those whom fortune has placed in a more elevated situation in life, basking in the sunshine of prosperity, bind the fading laurel round the brow of the hero, who returns to his native land, rich with the spoils of a ravaged country, and clotted with the blood of an innocent people; be it ours to give the night to festivity and joy, on which Nature, partial to cold Scotia, gave her a Burns.¹⁰⁴

In a clear anti-imperialist statement, McLaren is saying; forget foreign wars and conquest, it is beneath us, what is important is poetry and song. It is an extraordinary declaration. A Freemason McLaren might have been, but one construes he had little in common with ‘the Prince of Wales (later George IV), who was Scottish Grand Master Mason from 1805-20’.¹⁰⁵

It is difficult to imagine the Prince Regent smiling ‘at the ruin of the great and the fall of the mighty’.

In this context Tannahill’s poem ‘Lines, to W. McLaren, To attend a meeting of the Burns’ Anniversary Society’, takes on a clarity of meaning that is altogether more radical than that of a simply humorous ditty:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{KING GEORDIE} & \text{ issues out his summons,} \\
& \text{To ca’ his bairns, the Lairds an’ Commons,} \\
& \text{To creesh the nation’s mooie-heels,} \\
& \text{An’ butter commerce’ rusty wheels,} \\
& \text{An’ see what new, what untried tax,} \\
& \text{Will lie the easiest on our backs.} \\
\text{The priest convenes his scandal-court,} \\
& \text{To ken what houghmagandie sport,} \\
& \text{Has gaun on within the parish,} \\
& \text{Since last they met, their funds to cherish.}
\end{align*}
\]
But I, the servant of Apollo,
Whose mandates I am proud to follow,
He bids me warn you as the friend
Of Burns’s fame, that ye’ll attend,
Neist Friday e’en, in Lucky Wright’s,
To spend the best, the wale o’ nights;
Sae, under pain o’ half-a-mark,
Ye’ll come, as signed by me, the CLERK. 106

Tannahill’s verse echoes McLaren’s views as expressed in the latter’s address. Describing himself as a ‘servant of Apollo’, Tannahill invites McLaren to the meeting but before doing so attacks both royalty and church, two of the most powerful institutions in Britain. In his scorning of religion Tannahill uses the word ‘priest’ which was often used to imply Anglican and Episcopalian Protestantism rather than, or as well as, Catholicism, and would exclude some, but not all, forms of Presbyterianism. Here, one encounters in Tannahill something that appears on the surface to be slight, but analysis and understanding of context show him to be a writer with a lightness of touch while dealing with serious political and moral questions. In this poem Tannahill is saying that he is ‘proud to follow’ the ‘mandates’ of Apollo in preference to the King or the church. This is a radical view even if presented in a gentle and humorous manner. Both McLaren and Tannahill are agreed that poetry and song or ‘festivity and joy’ are preferable alternatives to trade-wars, hierarchies and empires.

Another friend of Tannahill’s present at the first meeting of the Paisley Burns Club was John King, who sang a song of his own composition and according to Robert Brown proposed the following toast, ‘May the genius of Scotland be as conspicuous as her mountains’. The other toasts noted by Tannahill in the minute book were, ‘May Burns be admired while a thistle grows in Caledonia,’ and ‘May Scotia never want the Sword of a Wallace nor the pen of a Burns’; a perfect illustration of ‘the Patriot and the Patriot-Bard’. 107 John King, however, was
better known in Paisley for his later radical poem ‘The Deil’s Address to the Plunkin Corks’, which like Tannahill’s and Gavin Dalziel’s\textsuperscript{108} earlier pieces, attacks the sharp business practice of Corks by suggesting that the ‘witches’ of Bargarran and the ‘Deil himsel’ are less schooled than Paisley Corks in the ways of fraud and deceit. King has the Deil addressing the Corks thus:

\begin{verbatim}
Ne’er suffer conscience to intrude—
Be aye to poor folk harsh and rude,
Nor listen to the voice of reason
Against me devilship— that’s treason!
Screw hard the weaver till he curses!
That charms my ear and fills your purses.
The profits frae sic schemes arising
Hae long been great— indeed surprising.
But what! my friends, I needna preach,
Ye far exceed what I can teach;
Wi’ satisfaction, I can see
Ye’re even fit to counsel me.\textsuperscript{109}
\end{verbatim}

Robert Brown’s attitude to King’s use of the word ‘Cork’ is betrayed in a footnote: “‘Cork’ was the vulgar term or slang name given to the master manufacturers in Paisley. “Causeyside Corks” was a common expression at one time, but it has very properly become obsolete.”\textsuperscript{110}

The second meeting of the Paisley Burns Club, January 29\textsuperscript{th} 1806 was attended by Tannahill’s friend and author of \textit{The Poor Man’s Sabbath}, John Struthers. Tannahill had been acquainted with Struthers for some time before this. It was the publication in 1804 of \textit{The Poor Man’s Sabbath} that brought Struthers to public attention. How he and Tannahill actually met is not known but it is likely that Struthers was working as a shoemaker in the Gorbals when they became acquainted.

In a letter to James Clark, Tannahill describes the Paisley ‘Burns’ Night’ of 1807:

\begin{verbatim}
My Dear Friend,
\end{verbatim}
I received in due time your very welcome letter. Your intention of reciting my Ode pleased me highly. I am sure you could do it justice. I hope the meeting succeeded to your wishes. Ours went on gloriously. Eighty four sat at supper; after which, Mr. Blaikie addressed us in a neat speech calculated for the occasion, concluding with a toast—“To the Memory of Burns.” The Ode which you gave the first spur to the writing of was well done. The plan was something novel. Mr. M’Laren spoke the recitative parts very well; and Messrs. Smith, Stewart, and Blaikie, sung the songs, harmonised in glee’s by Smith, in their best styles. In the course of the night were toasted the Kilbarchan meeting and yours. We had a number of original pieces. Smith sung an appropriate song by the author of “The Poor Man’s Sabbath,” who was out from Glasgow joining us. Not one disagreeable occurrence happened; all was harmony, enthusiasm, and goodwill. We had two rounds of toasts,—one of sentiments and one of authors. We broke up about one, and were well pleased and happy. I am sorry to inform you of the death of William Stewart’s mother. She died on last Friday. I called on him for a tune which he had, and he told me she had just then expired. She was interred today.

Tannahill was well enough acquainted with John Struthers to invite him to write an ode for the Paisley Burns night in 1808. Struthers had attended their 1806 and 1807 meetings and from the tone of Tannahill’s letter of September 1807 the two appear to have been close:

there was a meeting of the Burns’ Society here two weeks ago, and, altho’ I was not there, I understand they are relying solely on you [Struthers] for an ODE at the next Anniversary, therefor, you will much disappoint us all if you do not furnish us with one. for my own part I have no thoughts of attempting one more line on the subject, having done what I reckon sufficient for one hand already.

This indicates that Tannahill was aware of the influence of Burns upon his own writing and that he did not want to continue writing poems of hero-worship for performance at Burns’ nights. The letter continues with Tannahill talking to Struthers about poetry:

— You recollect my advising you to try something in the Sonnet style, I send you a little M.S. volume for your perusal, I think its content in general very pretty — they are the productions of Mr. Paterson, now minister of the Burgher congregation, [Anan], the originals fell by chance into my hand, and I thought them worthy of copying — there are a few incorrect lines in them, which you will notice, and which the author can easily amend — you may keep them till I see you. — Enclosed is a copy of the first Sonnet I ever tried, it appeared some time ago in the Caledonian.
Tannahill and Struthers appear to have had a good deal in common, though Tannahill died in 1810 whereas Struthers lived into his seventies, dying in 1853 after working for a considerable time as librarian at Stirling’s Library, Glasgow. And while we know little of Tannahill’s views on extending the electoral franchise, Struthers, in later life, came down firmly against burdening the ordinary tradesman with such political minutiae. Struthers was, however, capable of radical thought and anticipated Shelley’s assertion that, ‘Poets are the unacknowledged legislators of the world’ when he wrote:

It has even been suggested, that were this same simple ballad making properly attended to it might supersede the necessity of laws altogether.

* 

Five poems by Tannahill in honour of Burns’s memory remain extant. Four of these were published in his 1807 volume; of the four poems appearing in that volume, three were in connection with the Paisley Burns’ Club, the first being ‘Lines to W. McLaren, to Attend a Meeting of the Burns’ Anniversary Society’; the second, ‘Burns’ Anniversary Meeting,’ was recited on January 29th 1805 at what is thought to be the first official ‘Burns’s night’ in the town; the third poem was similarly for a ‘Burns’ Anniversary Meeting’, and was recited in Paisley on January 29th 1807. The other poem about Burns, having no connection with the Society, is ‘Dirge, Written on Reading an Account of Robert Burns’ Funeral’. As early as September 1807 Tannahill felt he had exhausted his ideas on Burns and wasn’t particularly keen to compose a piece for the 1810 Burns’ Anniversary Meeting. Nevertheless, he did so and ironically enough it is, arguably, the best of his three Anniversary poems in honour of Burns’s memory.

The Paisley Burns Club can be viewed in much the same way many people view
Burns’ nights today, as an excuse to forget the troubles of the world and have a party. There is an element of truth to this but it is a partial view. At a deeper level this early Burnsian activity reflects disillusionment with politics, leaders and the war, and asserts that true patriotism resides in the work of writers and poets from which a sense of national ‘happiness’ can be derived, rather than in the ‘political shuffle’ of politicians. The Burns Club was one of the few public spaces where crowds could gather and assert their Scottish identity without fear of the authorities intervening; and where people of different social strata could mingle. Its proceedings also give us some insight into how Tannahill viewed Burns as a ‘Genius’ to whom he felt emotionally close. His reflection on why they had decided to found a Burns’ Club shows how he felt about Burns, the power of poets and of poetry:

Ye illustrious Benefactors of the world, we will cherish, we will celebrate your memories; your Virtues are already graven on our hearts, and the tears of honest gratitude shall bedew your tombs.

Tannahill sees literature as being of huge benefit to ‘Mankind’ in its ability to bestow a deep sense of contentment or ‘felicity’ which comes from the understanding and insight gained in reading great work. Burns is, for Tannahill, a writer whose work provides this and Tannahill’s emotional attachment to this viewpoint is clearly expressed above. Tannahill wrote to James Barr in July 1806 concerning his song ‘The Lament’, and we find that not only is Burns one of the ‘illustrious Benefactors of the world’, but so is Thomas Campbell:

The Battle of Falkirk was Wallace’s last, in which he was defeated with almost the loss of his whole Army. I am sensible that to give words suitable for the poignancy of his grief on such a trying reverse of fortune, would require all the fire and soul-melting energy of a Campbell or a Burns."

When James King suggests to Tannahill that some of his songs are as good as Burns’s he
does not take King entirely seriously:

I am happy that the songs in my volume please you, but when you mention them as equaling Burns’s, I am afraid that the partiality of friendship weighs a good deal in that decision.\textsuperscript{119}

However, the importance of Burns in relation to Tannahill, aside from his ‘Virtues’ and literary virtuosity, lies in his social status. Not only is Burns a genius, he is a Scottish genius and not only is he a Scottish genius, he is a man of humble origins. For someone like Tannahill, born to work the loom but with a passion for poetry and song, Burns is a heaven sent ploughman. It was almost as if with one stroke, the publication of the Kilmarnock edition in 1786, Burns swept away the snobberies and importance of literary coteries and patronage, opening up literature in a decisive way to the Scottish masses like no one before him.

Tannahill would probably have written songs and rhymes had Burns never existed but the confidence to publish his 1807 edition, to go forward and publish as a literate working man, came from the example of writers such as Burns and Alexander Wilson. In describing himself in the foreword to his 1807 edition as an ‘unlettered mechanic’, Tannahill pays homage to the Bards of his country who have similarly humble origins. This is the real importance of Burns in relation to Tannahill, not whether or not Tannahill imitated him, was overwhelmed by him or, like most Scottish poets of this period, suffered for living under his shadow. Burns showed that it really was possible to be a great writer regardless of social status and this, I suggest, is the most important lesson Tannahill and many others learned from him. Tannahill’s key role in organising the first ‘Burns’s Night’ in Paisley on January 29\textsuperscript{th} 1805 - a night imbued with anti-imperialist spirit - is in itself a significant contribution to Scottish culture.
CONCLUSION

Robert Tannahill is seen as ‘The Paisley Poet’, but he is far from the only poet of this period from Paisley who was talented and worth reading. Among his immediate friends, James Scadlock, William McLaren, James King, John King and Robert Allan were not inconsiderable as poets. There were numerous others, including George McIndoe (criticised by Tannahill in a letter to James King above, p. 47), John MacGregor, James Yool, John Mitchell, William Finlayson and Thomas Crichton: and, if what a reader demands from poetry is ‘truth’, or honesty of voice, presented with skill and passion, then all of the above qualify as worth reading.  

His ‘accident of birth’ in Paisley, Scotland, in 1774, had a profound effect on the writer Tannahill turned out to be. Presbyterianism, sympathy for a Painite outlook, access to the countryside, the effects of the Napoleonic Wars, Scottishness and Britishness, the Industrial Revolution, the influences of Allan Ramsay, Burns and Alexander Wilson, and national and local myth, all combined to impinge upon the population of Paisley in some way, and were important in determining the growth and development of Tannahill and his work. The work contains aspects of the political, religious, literary, economic and social realities of his time and place. These things, as with friendship, drinking and grief, were not just matters of book learning, but living. Nevertheless, his outlook also stems from his fierce sense of independence as well as of community - his personality and will are just as strongly imprinted on his work as are the effects of the environment in which he lived. His contempt for patronage, which can be linked to his dislike of ‘servility’, is expressed in the poem ‘Epistle to J Buchanan Aug. 1806’: 

I ne’er, as yet, hae found a patron,  
For, scorn be till’t! I hate a’ flatt’rin’,
Besides, I never had an itchin’
To slake about a great man’s kitchen,
An’ like a spaniel, lick his dishes,
An’ come an’ gang just to his wishes.’’\textsuperscript{121}

The attitudes Tannahill attributes to patrons here were deeply disappointing to him: a patron expecting to be flattered was, for Tannahill, disrespectful and inane; in suggesting that they thought they held power over others by virtue of their wealth and in his articulation of a desire to avoid that situation, he is expressing his sense of independence and of social justice. However, there is a political dimension to dislike of the patronage system in Scotland which was reflected in the work of writers such as James Thomson Callender and James ‘Balloon’ Tytler and their 1790s radicalism. Callender’s \textit{The Political Progress of Britain}, ‘a pungent critique of imperialism, war and corruption’, argues of the Constitutional Settlement of 1688 that: ‘In practice, it is altogether, A CONSPIRACY OF THE RICH AGAINST THE POOR’.\textsuperscript{122} This combined with the figure of the excise-man as the embodiment of a corrupt government, an unfair political system and with the popularity and influence of Paine’s \textit{Rights of Man}, Part 2, meant there was a strand of thought developing in Scotland, especially among the literate and artistic lower-orders, that patronage was anathema.\textsuperscript{123} This puts Robert Burns in a somewhat awkward position. According to J. Walter McGinty writing in 2003 Burns’s:

\begin{quote}
apparent support of Patronage in the ecclesiastical sphere is in accordance with his subsequent seeking of Patronage in the matter of his Excise appointments. His apparent mocking of people being able to choose for themselves resulting in calamitous consequences is consonant with his opinion of the poor judgement of the ordinary people who made up the membership of the Monkland Friendly Society in their choice of literature. (Contrast his oft-cited egalitarianism.)\textsuperscript{124}
\end{quote}

This would appear to be the patriotic British Burns of ‘The Dumfries Volunteers’ rather than the radical Burns of ‘A Man’s a Man’, and perhaps goes some way to explaining the thinking
behind Tannahill’s poem ‘The Parnassiad’, in which he deals with issues of literary fame and failure, literary courage, patronage, town versus country, and social status as they relate to himself and to his nascent sense of class consciousness. The poem is a cautionary tale in which a series of poets attempt to mount and fly on Pegasus but only ‘Yon Bard of Nature’, ‘rich clad in native worth’ is raised up ‘In fame’s ambrosial bowers’. The convoluted nature of the conceptual arguments in the poem could be attributed to Tannahill’s awareness of Burns’s contradictory positions of excise-man and egalitarian poet. However, they may also be seen as a representation of the contradictory feelings and doubts Tannahill had about his own talent. Nevertheless, he maintains his position of disapproval of patronage:

Now, see another vent’rer rise,
Deep-fraught with fulsome eulogies
To win his patron’s favour —
One of those adulating things
That, dangling in the train of k—s,
Give guilt a splendid cover;
He mounts, well prefac’d by my Lord,
Inflicts the spur’s sharp wound;
Pegasus spurns the great man’s word,
And won’t move from the ground;
Now, mark his face, flush’d with disgrace,
Thro’ future life to grieve on;
His wishes cross’d, his hopes all lost,
He sinks into oblivion.125

The phrase ‘well prefac’d by my Lord’, is interesting because Tannahill wrote his own preface for his 1807 volume, and dedicated it not to a patron but to his, and Alexander Wilson’s, friend William McLaren. Further, there is no evidence that Tannahill ever sought a patron, unlike Alexander Wilson and William Finlayson who were more openly radical, politically, in their writing, but saw no way of avoiding patronage as a vehicle towards publication.

Local Paisley myths and facts of witchcraft are interesting in connection with his
reading of John Barbour and Scottish myth. At the end of the poem, ‘The Hauntit Wud in Imitation of John Barbour’, Tannahill concludes:

Twas sae dark ignorance dyd ween,
Inne wilyart fancy’s reign
But now philosophyis brycht sunne
Beamis owr the claudit brayne.\textsuperscript{126}

This statement of Enlightenment values is not the writing of a person who is overwhelmed with fear, myth or superstition. On the contrary, no longer was the darkness of superstition to cloud humanity’s thought, but philosophy was capable of liberating us from ‘dark ignorance’. While Tannahill held to Enlightenment values in relation to superstition, he did not hold with the libertarian capitalist views of the newly emerging merchant/industrial class which were also part of the values of the Enlightenment. His poetry tells us that he found their ‘revolutionary’ struggle for wealth, and the subsequent shift of power to the growing industrial cities, disagreeable and was wont to hark back to somewhat more ‘pastoral’ values. This is the point in ‘The Parnassiad’ where Tannahill satirises the urban writer:

\begin{verbatim}
Yon city-scribbler thinks to scale
The cliffs of fame with Pastoral,
... Choice epithets in store he gets
From Virgil, Shenstone, Pope,
With tailor-art tacks part to part,
And makes his Past’ral up.\textsuperscript{127}
\end{verbatim}

One problem with this piece of writing is that Tannahill could well be criticising himself here. He did admire Shenstone and Pope and from the evidence of the drama \textit{The Soldier’s Return}, had a good grasp of the theory and practice of Pastoral.\textsuperscript{128} This verse places the writer in the city, but goes on to suggest that the raw materials an urban writer has to work with are those of a debased and unnatural environment of ‘dirt and slime’. Read in this way these lines can
be seen as an attack on urban and industrial squalor. At the same time, however, it also makes sense to interpret the above verse as a critique of insincerity rather than simply an attack on the city itself, yet the insincerity is that of the ‘city’ where the inhabitants, including writers, never:

...beheld a flock of sheep,  
    Save those driv’n by the butcher;  
Nor ever mark’d the gurgling stream,  
    Except the common sew’r  
On rainy days, when dirt and slime  
    Pour’d turbid past his door. 129

This poem demonstrates a negative view of cities and of the process of industrialisation which was beginning to happen throughout Britain: a theme that probably finds its pinnacle of expression in James (B.V.) Thomson’s poem, written in the early 1870s, *The City of Dreadful Night*, in which city dwellers are: ‘The saddest and the weariest men on earth’.130 Of course for Thomson the ‘city’ represents ravaged and tormented states physically, psychologically and spiritually. However, it is entirely possible that an analogous spirit of sadness and utter dejection - so vividly described by Thomson - did affect Tannahill when he drowned himself.

Notes

1 Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Clark, 20th September, 1807 (Glasgow University Library, Special Collections, MS Robertson 1/9).
2 ‘Corks’ were merchants who supplied raw materials to master weavers, bought the finished cloth then sold it on at a profit. Effectively controlling the non-factory sector of the weaving industry in the early 1800s, they were the business men who ran multiple weaving shops, perhaps the modern equivalent would be those people who control franchising or contracting out. The word itself has a derivation from these merchants being known as lightweights. They were either lightweight in that they were without substance in a commercial sense (i.e. they were chancers), or because they did not pay the weaver for the full weight of the cloth, a practice for which they were often derided in verse.
3 David Semple, ‘Life of Tannahill’, *Poems, Songs and Correspondence of Robert Tannahill*, Semple, ed. (Paisley, 1876), p. xxvi. Semple obviously means Paisley was small in comparison to its size in 1876. In 1756 a population of over 4,000 signified a fairly large settlement by comparison with other places in Scotland at the time.
Burns’s theological MS was printed by James Young of Paisley who also printed Tannahill’s 1807 volume, *The Soldier’s Return*.  

6 William Motherwell, ed., *The Harp of Renfrewshire* (Glasgow, 1819), p.XIV.  


8 For details of printing and publishing in Paisley at this time see Ronald L. Crawford, *Literary Activity in Paisley in the Early Nineteenth Century* (thesis [2638], GUL, 1965).  


**Psalm 127**  

Except the Lord do build the house,  
the builders lose their pain:  
Except the Lord the city keep,  
the watchmen watch in vain.  

‘Tis vain for you to rise betimes,  
or late from rest to keep,  
To feed on sorrows' bread; so gives  
his beloved sleep.  

Lo, children are God's heritage,  
the womb's fruit his reward.  
The sons of youth as arrows are,  
for strong men's hands prepared.  

O happy is the man that hath  
his quiver filled with those;  
They unashamed in the gate  
shall speak unto their foes.  

From *The Scottish Hymnary/Psalter*, approved by the Church of Scotland.  

15 Semple, ‘Life of Tannahill’, *The Poems and Songs of Robert Tannahill*, p. 30. Semple states that he had access to Tannahill’s father’s ‘private memorandum book’. Its whereabouts is now unknown.  
16 According to the *Glasgow Herald* (4th June, 1874), the cause of death was ‘consumption’.  
22 Semple, ‘Life of Tannahill’, *Poems, Songs and Correspondence of Robert Tannahill*, p. xliiv, gives some
The Life of Robert Tannahill

The suggestion that James Andrew was Tannahill’s school teacher is just that, a suggestion. There were other teachers in Paisley at that time such as the schoolmaster and poet Thomas Crichton (1761-1844).

This one example of Tannahill’s riddles is given by James J. Lamb in his introduction to the 1873 reprint of the 1807 edition of Tannahill’s, The Soldier’s Return: A Scottish Interlude in two Acts; with other Poems and Songs, Chiefly in the Scottish Dialect, Lamb, ed. (Paisley, 1873), p.xiii. The answer is the big nose of a man who takes snuff.

Tannahill’s poems appear in The Poetical Magazine, or Temple of the Muses, Vol. II. (London, 1804), p. 111, 242. The two poems Tannahill published here were ‘Lines on the Death of a Lady’ (more commonly known as ‘Responsive ye Woods’) dated ‘Paisley, 14th May, 1804’, and ‘The Portrait of Guilt: In imitation of Lewis’, dated ‘Paisley, 7th July, 1804’. At least six of his lyrics were published in the Scots Magazine, in 1807-08. David Semple, in relation to the song lyric ‘The Harper o’ Mull’, states that Tannahill had the remarkable and wide ranging Edinburgh periodical ‘the Bee’ in his library’, Semple, ed. Poems, Songs and Correspondence, p. 264n. ‘The Harper o’ Mull’, was based on a piece entitled, ‘The Harper of Mull’, The Bee, Vol. III (Edinburgh, June 15th, 1791), p. 233. Dr James Anderson (1739-1808) edited The Bee or literary weekly intelligencer, from December 1790 to 1794. He was a farmer and an autodidact of some vision. He wrote mainly on scientific and agricultural subjects, often used the pen name ‘Agricola’ and was extremely interested in agricultural improvement and economics. To his credit he refused to give up to the government the names of contribu tors to The Bee whose political articles written under pseudonyms were seen as suspect or seditious. One issue of The Bee contains a fascinating essay on an attempt to introduce silk worms and silk making into Scotland. It also carried lengthy articles on foreign policy, which were usually the first pieces in each issue. As an economist Anderson is credited with devising the differential theory of rent later taken up by Malthus and Ricardo.


Alexander Whitelaw, ed., The Book of Scottish Song, p. 15n.

David Semple, Poems, Songs and Correspondence, p. 250n.


Anon, ‘Life of Robert Tannahill’ in, The Poetical Works of Burns and Tannahill (Glasgow, 1860), p. vi. This biography appears to be an amalgam of Muir’s, McLaren’s, Motherwell’s and Ramsay’s previous efforts. Some of the extracts from Tannahill’s letters used by Ramsay appear here as well as paragraphs lifted verbatim from Muir’s, McLaren’s and Motherwell’s biographies. There are also some original sections and others in which information already known is rephrased. Sadly, the anonymous compiler of this biography remains just that. It ends with the poem ‘To the Memory of Robert Tannahill’ which was composed by Robert Allan of Kilbarchan in 1810.

While the New Lights were quite radical in accepting notions of individual inquiry and freedom of expression they were politically conservative and opposed the American Revolution. John Witherspoon (an Auld Licht), one time minister at Paisley, supported the American Revolution, signed the Declaration of Independence but was socially conservative: he believed that enforcing the Patronage Act was driving Church of Scotland members into the arms of dissenters. See, Pittock, ‘Jacobite Literature and National Expression’.
Robert Tannahill, undated note to R. A. Smith
Glasgow Herald
J. C. S., ‘The Poets of Renfrewshire’, in
Robert Tannahill, holograph Letter to James King,
Robert Burns,
Robert Tannahill, ‘Prayer Under Affliction’,
Robert Tannahill, ‘Notice’, Muir, ed.,
Charles Rogers,
John Parkhill,
Correspondence
and articles on Tannahill by various authors, David Semple, ed.

This ‘Dirge’ – which Tannahill states was, ‘Written on Reading an Account of Robert Burns’ Funeral’ and had stanzas two and four removed for publication in 1807 – is somewhat reminiscent of Alexander Wilson’s poem, ‘ODE, For the Birthday of our immortal Scottish Poet’, in that it uses the same technique of a repeated refrain at the end of each stanza. Wilson’s refrain was, ‘the rare ROBIN BURNS’.


Michael Durey, Transatlantic Radicals and the Early American Republic (Kansas, 1997), p. 68. Semple, ‘Life of Tannahill’, Poems, Song and Correspondence of Robert Tannahill, p. lix, states: ‘he [McLaren] had only know him [Tannahill] intimately for the last seven years of his life’. This does not necessarily mean that they did not know each other at all before 1803. It is possible they knew each other but were not particularly close.

‘After 1766, a second denomination appeared for the same purpose, called the Relief Church (so-called because it offered ‘relief to Christians oppressed in their liberty’). This purpose was the relief of the oppression over the grievance of patronage question, whereby local dignitaries could recommend the minister rather than a minister being called to the church by the will of the Presbytery as a whole. Callum G. Brown, ‘Protestant Churches and Working Classes’, Sermons and Battle Hymns, Walker and Gallagher, eds. (Edinburgh, 1990), pp. 74-75.


Robert Tannahill, holograph Letter to James King, 3rd August, 1806 (NLS, MS 582 fol. 681).


Glasgow Herald (Thursday 4th June, 1874).

Robert Tannahill, undated note to R. A. Smith (NLS, MS 2524.84.).

Declaration of the Rights of Man, Approved by the National Assembly of France (August 26, 1789).

See, Robert Tannahill, extract from a Letter to William Kibble, April 11th, 1807, Poems Songs and Correspondence, p. 387.

Robert Brown, Paisley Burns Clubs (Paisley, 1893), p. 44.


Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Clark, 2nd February, 1807, Poems, Songs and Correspondence, p. 382.

Robert Tannahill, Letter to John Struthers, 26th September 1807 (NLS, The Coulter Burns Collection, MS 23150).


John Struthers, ‘Preface’, The Harp of Caledonia, Vol. I (Glasgow, 1819), p. i. Struthers’s ‘Preface’ to The Harp of Caledonia, dated 29th September 1818, was both written and published before Shelley’s A Defence of Poetry, though the idea of music, song and poetry taking precedence over politics was put forward by Tannahill’s friend William McLaren in his ‘Address delivered at the celebration of the birth of Burns in the year 1805’. In suggesting that ‘were this same simple ballad making properly attended to it might supersede the necessity of laws altogether’, Struthers’s position is possibly more radical as well as being in advance of Percy Shelley. What also makes it interesting is that it infers the idea that balladry, rather than revolution or political struggle, might achieve the withering away of the state: an extremely radical claim for the power of song. Percy Bysshe Shelley, ‘A Defence of Poetry’, Romanticism: An Anthology, Duncan Wu ed., (Oxford, 1998) pp. 944-956, p. 956. Also, ‘A Defence of Poetry’, Shelley’s Critical Prose, Bruce R. McElderry, ed., (Lincoln, USA, 1967), pp 3-37, p. 36.

At this time it was believed Burns was born on January 29th 1759. It was not until 1819 that the 25th of January became accepted as the ‘true’ date.


Tannahill’s ‘Burns Anniversary’ poems are discussed in detail in Chapter 5, pp. 179-186, this thesis.


John MacGregor, James Yool, John Mitchell and William Finlayson all had sympathies towards radicalism of varying degrees. Yool was very much involved with the Paisley Literary and Convivial Association (LCA) which was formed around 1813. His politics are made clear in his long poem, The Rise and Progress of Oppression, or the weaver’s struggle for their prices (Paisley, 1813). For details of the lives and works of these authors see Robert Brown, ed., Paisley Poets, 2 Vols. Tom Leonard, ed., Radical Renfrew.


For full details of this argument see Durey, *Transatlantic Radicals*, pp. 50-79.


Robert Tannahill, ‘The Parnassiad’, *The Soldier’s Return*, pp. 51-52. This poem is written in the form of the Montgomerie Stanza.


See Chapter 4 this thesis.

Robert Tannahill, ‘The Parnassiad’ *The Soldier’s Return*, p. 52

2. William Motherwell and the Reception of Tannahill’s Work

“What, — spice!” said the Printer, “what good do you think, Can arise from the mixing up spice with my ink?”
“— Why Sir,” said the Poet, “the thought galls my soul! Should they wipe with my book, it would ——— .”
Robert Tannahill, Epigram.¹

Detailed criticism and evaluation of the form and content of Robert Tannahill’s poetry is somewhat scant but there have been some important interventions. Most recently, Gerard Carruthers published a paper, ‘Robert Tannahill – Scottish Poet After Burns’ (2005) in which he seeks to establish ‘Tannahill’s place in the tradition of Scottish poetry’ through analysis of a selection of poems and songs, and consideration of the author’s character.² Carruthers sheds light on the political and literary climate Tannahill operated within and analyses some of Tannahill’s literary responses. There are two other fairly recent papers that make some gestures towards this but they are chiefly concerned with Tannahill as a songwriter in comparison with Robert Burns, with the social and political geography of the Paisley he inhabited, and with notions of what constitutes a ‘Scottish Tradition’, in terms of literary theory (Sweeney-Turner) and in song-writing practise (McCrae). Neither Gordon McCrae’s, ‘Tannahill’s Landscapes’³ (1997) nor Steve Sweeney-Turner’s, ‘Pagan Airts: Reading Critical Perspectives on the Songs of Burns and Tannahill’⁴ (1998), address as their central concern the general moral questions arising in Tannahill’s work which derive from his humanist leaning and non-sectarian but Presbyterian influenced outlook. None of the three papers here-mentioned deviate greatly from the early nineteenth century critical assessments of Tannahill as having a split literary personality: on the one hand a rather poor poet, on the other an accomplished song lyricist.
The first two major assessments of Tannahill’s life and work, one written by James Muir and the other by William McLaren, were published in 1815. McLaren worked as weaver, poet, prose writer and publican. His *Life of Robert Tannahill the Renfrewshire Bard* was published as a pamphlet with the powerful ‘Address delivered at the celebration of the birth of Burns in the year 1805’ appended. McLaren’s publication coincided with James Muir’s new edition of Tannahill’s *Poems and Songs, Chiefly in the Scottish Dialect* which was prefixed by a ‘Notice Respecting the Life and Writings of Robert Tannahill’. Muir’s new edition of Tannahill’s works must have sold rather well as towards the end of 1815 a new ‘Third Edition’ was published.

In their biographies both Muir and McLaren concentrate more on Tannahill’s character rather than on his abilities as a poet and songwriter. Muir’s ‘Notice’ is more critical and ‘objective’ than McLaren’s ‘Life’. McLaren gives an emotive and impressionistic description of Tannahill perhaps more guided by feelings of friendship than by reason and analysis. For instance, he states that: ‘In the ardour of youthful imagination, the enraptured Bard pictured the joys of other times’. This is fairly typical of McLaren’s style. He describes Tannahill’s obtaining employment in Bolton in 1800 as follows:

> Who ever care[d] for the miseries that are past? The Bard, now merry and contented, laughed at the capriciousness of fortune, who, from starving misery permitted him to eat roast beef upon Sundays.

Muir by contrast states:

> He used to paint in pretty strong colours, the inconveniences which he felt on settling for two years in Bolton, the only period he was absent from his native place.

While dealing with the same factual events, each account uses quite different language to
describe them. Muir’s rather matter of fact emphasis is on the suggestion of Tannahill feeling homesick. McLaren implies no such thing but gives a contrary opinion of Tannahill being well settled after some initial hardship. However, there is a broad measure of agreement between McLaren and Muir with regard to Tannahill’s poetic and song-writing talents. These are abilities which both biographers see as deriving from ‘character’, ‘disposition’ and temperament; arising from qualities such as ‘independence’ and ‘modesty’. Indeed, according to Muir, ‘Humanity adorned his character’¹⁰ and McLaren states:

Such was the extreme modesty of his nature, that though the qualities of his mind had ripened into superior excellence, it was with difficulty that his friends could persuade him to offer any of his early pieces for publication.¹¹

Central to their appraisal of Tannahill as a song-writer and poet is a correlation between his ‘character’ or ‘nature’ and the content of his creative output: providing an organic link between the work and author, and so emphasising the more organic process of Folk creativity, rather than seeing the work as a product of deliberate artistic activity or manufacture. The idea of a close reading of the text as a wholly independent entity from the author would have made little sense to them. The poems and songs were wedded to the heart and sentiment of the author and so could not lend themselves to anything like line by line analysis or what Brecht has called ‘picking poems to pieces’.¹² The closest Muir comes to ‘picking’ Tannahill ‘to pieces’ is when he writes:

He wrote too little in each species of versification, and tried too many. Some of the kinds which he tried would have required exclusive culture. By labour he might have attained dexterity, and the improved structure of his verse would have partly compensated for some imperfections. No sooner did the work [1807 edition] make its appearance than the songs were hailed with admiration, sung with applause, and obtained general currency.¹³
Muir considers Tannahill’s poems imperfect, ‘mostly occasional’, and in no way comparable with the songs in which he ‘surveyed nature’ with ‘fidelity, elegance and grace’. In one of the earliest assessments of Tannahill’s work, Muir gives the reader the impression that Tannahill’s poetry is poorer than his song and that where his songs really come to life is in the depiction of scenes from nature: ‘Under his [Tannahill’s] management nature is always amiable’ and not only is nature presented as ‘amiable’ but as presented by Tannahill it ‘cannot fail to excite a kindred feeling in every breast alive to rural scenery’. The question arises as to exactly what kind of ‘rural scenery’ Muir is referring? It is not on the face of it working farms, bleaching fields, mines, or distilleries. Tannahill’s character was therefore attuned to the natural world he encountered and observed on his walks on the Gleniffer Braes and elsewhere in the countryside, and through exercising his literary skill or sensitivity he could reproduce that which ‘He surveyed… with the eye of a poet,’ as song. Rather than giving a ‘realistic’ description of the landscape, Tannahill’s landscape is to a large extent an imagined one. By 1815, the poetry, where Tannahill confronts daily reality more directly than in his songs, is not accepted into the Tannahill canon with ease. And, if his poetry is only allowed into collections with reluctance, then how is it to enter the stream of Scottish poetry dominated by Hogg, Scott and above all the archetypal Robert Burns?

According to Muir, Tannahill’s poems addressed ‘topics… such as presented themselves in the course of his humble life, and he hoped to give them importance by the charms of rhyme’. Of these ‘topics’ Muir asserts that ‘We are less interested’, but for the twenty-first century reader these ‘humble’ topics are of considerable interest, though Tannahill’s subject matter is not nearly as humble as Muir implies. Tannahill takes us into the world as he saw it in the first decade of the nineteenth century and much of his poetry can be
viewed from the perspective of ‘parochial concerns writ large’.

Professor John Veitch of Glasgow writing in 1887 gives an excellent description of the relationship between the natural world and the psychological in Tannahill’s work. He was also the first critic after David Semple to recognise the breadth of content in the work:

We find fused with natural scenery the love of home, kindred, and locality, patriotism, the feeling of exile, of retrospect in middle life and old age, local and national associations, pathos, grief, hope and despair… Man and nature are for the time fused in one great unity of heart and feeling; the objective stands out in the light of the subjective emotion, and the otherwise invisible element of feeling becomes incarnate and vivid in the forms, colours, and sounds of outward nature. The emotion lives in the outer world and this in turn is etherealised by the emotion.

The process where ‘Man’ and ‘nature’ are ‘fused’ occurs more frequently in Tannahill’s song lyrics, while his poems tend towards a more realistic use of language based on social observation. Veitch classifies Tannahill as belonging to ‘the period which we may call the most modern, and which is now going on’. Of course, by this time Tannahill had been dead for over seventy years, and thus, on Veitch’s analysis he can be seen as being influential if not wholly original in his approach to ‘Man and nature’ in Scottish poetry.

WILLIAM MOTHERWELL

In common with Muir, William Motherwell writing in 1819 gives a similar assessment of Tannahill in his introductory essay to the Harp of Renfrewshire. Where Muir left the possibility of Tannahill’s poetry being open to improvement, Motherwell was yet more keen to concentrate exclusively on the songs:

the genius of Tannahill could not, as one of his biographers [Muir] would insinuate, be equally suited to other species of poetical composition besides those which his inclination at first led him to prefer, and habit at length had rendered easy. His strength lay in song-writing, and to it he, for the most part, judiciously confined himself.
Tannahill’s extant work shows that he was keen on both drama and poetry as well as song-writing. His 1807 edition gives clear representation to all three aspects of his work without implying any should take precedence over the other. In Motherwell’s opinion his ‘dramatic composition’, *The Soldier’s Return*, was ‘without success’ and ‘wisely omitted’ from the Muir editions of Tannahill’s *Poems and Songs*. Yet the dramatic Interlude together with his poetry makes up nearly half of his work so it cannot be true that ‘he, for the most part, judiciously confined himself’ to song-writing. It should be stressed that from Motherwell’s viewpoint, the songs were both well-executed and popular, and it is probable that he did not want the poems or the dramatic Interlude to get in their way, addressing as they do difficult and ambiguous questions about war, religious doubt, and the treatment of animals and the poor. Also, for Motherwell, there was the question of taste: Tannahill’s poetry was likely to contain that which ‘disgusted us so much in the ballad-mongery lately in vogue, but now happily rooted out and despised’. The songs on the other hand swept ‘the Scotish lyre with so delicate and so artless a touch’ that they alone contained Tannahill’s valuable artistic output.

The use of the term ‘artless’ is interesting as Tannahill was formally aware, craft-confident and highly deliberate in much of his poetry and song. Indeed, quite the opposite of ‘artless’; perhaps the trick was to appear artless while using artistry and this is possibly what the term ‘delicate’ alludes to, though it is more likely it refers to content and vocabulary. Muir came to the same conclusion as Motherwell with regard to the songs, owning that the question of their taste and ‘delicacy’ was not in doubt: ‘in no case does he overstep the limits of delicacy, or express a sentiment offensive to the ear of modesty’. (Unlike the epigram which opens this chapter). Tannahill’s work, especially in song, was being made to fit an aesthetic outlook which valued a depoliticised-pastoral over the ‘realistic’, while his poems were seen
to hold less of either interest or value. Muirs’s and Motherwell’s assessment of Tannahill, however, became the orthodoxy upon which almost all subsequent commentary was based.

Motherwell was thirteen years old when Tannahill died. He later became very well acquainted with Tannahill’s musical collaborator Robert A. Smith. Mary Ellen Brown writing in 2001 suggests that Smith may have encouraged Tannahill’s ‘shift from weaving to music’ but no such ‘shift’ took place. Tannahill was a weaver from the time he was apprenticed to his father on the 7th December 1786 until his death. It was Smith who sought out the company of Tannahill after hearing the latter’s song, ‘Blythe was the time’, performed at a Paisley musical evening in January 1804. Indeed, Tannahill’s use of quite complex rhythmic patterns in his songs and the varied rhythms of his poems might be seen as reflecting the rhythm of the loom, and rather than making a ‘shift from weaving to music’, the ‘music’ of the loom itself became part of his rhythmic vocabulary.

As Sheriff-Clerk Depute, Motherwell was not averse to ‘handling a truncheon in defence of the public peace on the streets of Paisley’. He managed through his own efforts to establish himself in moderately powerful circles and became something of an arbiter of both literary and political opinion during the 1820s and 30s. In politics he was an Orangeman and a Tory. He arrived in Paisley around 1812 and left for Glasgow in 1830 to become the editor of the Orange-Tory paper, the Glasgow Courier. Scotland by this time is recognisable as imperial in that its armed forces were thoroughly integrated into the British state as was taxation, economic and foreign policy, and it was in this climate that Motherwell set the tone for most subsequent interpretations of Tannahill’s life and work. In the aftermath of the Napoleonic wars there was again a growth in radical ideas amongst working people. This was anathema to Motherwell and there are clearly parallels between his unsympathetic view of
those contemporary radicals and his dismissive attitude towards Alexander Wilson’s politics.

While Motherwell expressed a kind of cultural Scottish nationalism in his literary work, his politics were definitely Unionist, hierarchical and against expansion of the electoral franchise. Motherwell represented a section within the West of Scotland middle classes of his time, who had a veneer of Presbyterian respectability but were anti-Catholic, anti-working class, pro-monarchist and capitalist in outlook. Such anti-reform and anti-Catholic sentiments are not present in Tannahill’s work, though attitudes to the work have to a great extent been coloured by Motherwell’s assessment of it. His appraisal depoliticises Tannahill’s work overall by concentrating on the pastoral characteristics of the songs and relegating the drama and poetry - where Tannahill expresses solidarity with the poor, rejects patronage and hierarchy, and questions the War with France - to an inferior status. (Although, this is not to suggest that pastoral, read and written in a certain way, is incapable of carrying radical sentiments). It is in Motherwell’s use of Tannahill’s pastoralism as a means to depoliticise him that the problem lies, not in Tannahill’s use of pastoral itself. Motherwell had a political agenda that was wholly anti-radical and was to the right of Tannahill’s views. As Elaine McFarland argued in 1994:

Orange officials such as the poet and journalist William Motherwell joined the Order with high political ambitions, wishing to make the lodges the nucleus of a new ultra-Tory grouping to counter reform initiatives in Scotland.33

While Motherwell was attacked by the ‘mob’, Tannahill on the occasion of an earlier riot was somewhat more sympathetic to the rioters than those trying to control them. On Tuesday 18th July 180934 Tannahill wrote to James King:

We have had sad commotion in the town these some days past. Our County Militia is divided into three Batalions Col. Muir commands one, that of the Greenock district, by Southbar, but McKerrel is the Hero of our Paisley lads - The latter have been on duty here these fourteen days, and have been so severely discipled that last Thursday
night on dismissal they demanded some money which they said was due to them the officers drew their Swords- a number of men fix’d bayonets, and a fine caper took place- a Captain Hart had his sword broken Willy clove one of the mens hats with his sword- they succeeded in sending a number of men to the guard-house- all was quiet until Sunday night when the light Comp² after parade- marched in order to the guard-demanded the prisoners with fix’d bayonets- the officers pacified them and got them dismissed by assuring them that the men would be released on Monday- instead of which the Colonel went to Glasgow- and on this morning 700 of the Stirling Militia has arrived- We dont know what will turn out of it but the public thinks Willy will not dare flogg any of them-
They have been scandalously ill used- One Tassie from your Reg¹ is their sergeant Major -a wickeder, little soul’d wretch is not out of the pit- McKerrel’s best heroics are nothing to him- Dont spread these matters as from me, you will soon hear how it goes from letters to others in the Reg¹ —

Before sending the letter, Tannahill added the following:

Friday Morning - 21st Three of our lads have been punished on this morning- The first got 300- the 2d 100- and the 3d 25 40— The Stirlings and part of the 71st from Glasgow guarded the business. The town was in a riot all day. The officers were stoned home by the mob- McKerrel in going down the street yesterday had his coach windows broken - My letter is too soon full. - Sunday -
N.B. Write Immediately.

The values of Orangeism are, on the face of it, quite deeply at odds with Motherwell’s literary activities. He was in favour of the preservation and use of the Scots language in literature, something that militates against the inherent Britishness of Orange ideology. However, there was to be no new organic or developing Scots language. For Motherwell it was an historic artefact and his artistic endeavours can be seen as appropriating Scottish language and history, and making of them an antique amusement for Britishers. This way of viewing the world was applied to Robert Tannahill and has had implications for the subsequent reception of his work. Tannahill’s criticisms of the dourness of some Presbyterians and of their religious doctrines had to be ignored because from the British perspective they lay beyond the central British concern with the permanence of the 1688 constitutional settlement; a settlement seen by many as the defining moment of British nationhood which was not be
muddied by Jacobite or Jacobin tendencies nor by Covenanting protestant martyrs. Jacobitism was better redefined as a presently unthreatening exotic strand of romanticism, or relegated to the irrelevant, antique and dead, because it did not coincide with the central priorities and cultural concerns of Britain as a nation but was part of peripheral Scottish narratives better forgotten about. Viewed from this perspective, Motherwell’s suggestion that Muir was right to omit *The Soldier’s Return* from his 1815 edition of Tannahill’s work begins to look like collusion in a strategic rewriting of Tannahill’s personal history and by extension of the history of Scottish literature itself, as substantial portions of a writer’s work, in this case Tannahill’s, could simply be ignored. Tannahill’s love songs such as ‘Jessie the Flower o Dunblane’, or songs of moods and seasons like ‘Gloomy Winter’s Now Awa’’, were seen as exquisite, delicate, ideal for drawing-room entertainment, without too much attention being paid to their elements of psychological darkness. Ultimately, we are given a Tannahill of birds and flowers, green-fields and genteel feelings, robbed of around half of his literary output and much of his intellectual force.

Tannahill’s interests in social justice, philosophy and aesthetics were underplayed by Motherwell. Hence Tannahill becomes a good song-writer and bad poet, who lived, died and was classified in ‘the half-century after the American war,’ during which according to Linda Colley:

> there would emerge in Great Britain a far more consciously and officially constructed patriotism which stressed attachment to the monarchy, the importance of empire, the value of military and naval achievement, and the desirability of strong, stable government by a virtuous, able and authentically British elite. 35

This British elite fostered and encouraged the culture of the gentility of the drawing-room and many of Tannahill’s poems largely lie outwith this area of taste, though many his songs do
not. (According to Veitch, Tannahill’s ‘lyrics are well known, though we do not hear them sung so often in our drawing-rooms as we ought to do’).\textsuperscript{36} In content, the poems and drama are his points of greatest resistance not only to the particular type of British identity defined in the quotation above but also to Bernard de Mandeville’s notorious remark criticised by Marx in \textit{Capital} and by Raymond Williams in \textit{The Country and the City}:

> as Mandeville had expressed it, in a dominant attitude that lasted well into the nineteenth century.
> To make the society happy, and people easy under the meanest circumstances, it is requisite that great numbers of them should be ignorant as well as poor.\textsuperscript{37}

These are sentiments with which Tannahill profoundly disagreed. Even if his songs were popular with some people who did not care much for the poor, he did. William Harvey writing in 1903 about chapbook publication, lamented this growth in drawing-room culture, a market to which Tannahill’s songs appealed:

> With the later twenties of the nineteenth century, however… Societies and publishers set themselves to issue series little calculated to offend public taste.\textsuperscript{38}

The \textit{Harp of Renfrewshire} is an important book. Although its compilation was already in progress when Motherwell began working on it, his editorial policy and introductory essay are not without merit. He seeks to understand a locale and its history through the study of the poetry and song of the place itself. The \textit{Harp} includes writers on the basis of geography and literary merit and not along sectarian or class divides:

> No classification of the materials has been attempted, as they [the editors] considered this would have been a disadvantage rather than the contrary.\textsuperscript{39}

Motherwell shows an understanding of literature as both historical process and individual creative process. He demonstrates that language is not static but evolves and that
political forces influence such evolution. A reasonably sophisticated level of literary/cultural politics and argument is displayed, but it is often couched in language where the tone makes history appear neutral, a pursuit shot-through with objectivity in the way science might be naively viewed. A description of one aspect of his contemporary world in comparison with the feudal is worth noting: ‘Education then was not, as is the case now, diffused through every rank and condition of society but confined exclusively to the higher classes or professional orders’. While the spread of education was not something to be totally regretted, Motherwell does lament the profusion of ‘whimpering and whiffling manufacturers of rhymes’ who had sprung up in Scotland in the thirty years before The Harp was published.40 However, Motherwell’s main aim in The Harp of Renfrewshire was, ostensibly, to fill what he saw as a gap in Scottish literary culture:

The poets of Renfrewshire have neither been few in numbers, nor contemptible in regard to merit… As yet nothing like a compendious account, not even so much as a bare catalogue of these Makers has been given, albeit the same is much wanted to fill up some little chasms in the history as well as of our ancient, as our modern, stock of national biography and literature.41

At the time Motherwell edited The Harp, Paisley was again experiencing a general rise in radical sentiment and clamours for political reform. There is little doubt that he saw poetry as being far removed from direct political expression. He argues quite clearly:

all political revolutions are at least for a time inimical to the growth and culture of poetic genius[…] Poetry is not suited to a life of action, uproar and confusion, where the passions and prejudices of men are excited to their highest pitch, and war against each other with fellest and most rooted rancour. It may look afar upon such commotions and strifes, but it shrinks to participate in the active workings and energies of their elements.42

For Motherwell, with knowledge of local history and his work as Sheriff Depute, the radicalism he was experiencing is likely to have had intellectual reverberations of the 1790s
and the imprisonment of Alexander Wilson, by his predecessor Sheriff Substitute James Orr. Motherwell’s Paisley Sheriff’s Depute job brought him ‘into the thick of military suppression of the Radical risings and civil disturbances around 1820; in 1818 he was knocked unconscious by an angry crowd and narrowly escaped being thrown in the River Cart’. Yet, he was sympathetic towards both Tannahill and the radical poet Alexander Wilson (1766-1813), possibly because he saw their lives and politics as a separate entity from their poetry and song. In his introduction to The Harp of Renfrewshire Motherwell states:

The brilliant era—the golden age of Renfrewshire song, now opens upon us in the persons of Wilson and Tannahill. Both have contributed not a little to our stock of native lyric poetry; and while our language lasts, and music hath any charm, their names will be remembered with enthusiasm, and transmitted to ages more remote with the accumulated applauses of time.

Through his advocacy, Motherwell had further enhanced the reputations of both Tannahill and Wilson. Wilson’s work and life in America was little known in Scotland until Motherwell pointed out that ‘every lover of science and natural history will have cause to rejoice at the happy fruits that resulted’ from his emigration. Motherwell’s essay in The Harp worked as a piece of propaganda or promotion in that it was the beginning of the anthologising of Tannahill’s work and brought him into the ‘canon’ as one of the brightest stars of the so-called ‘minor’ Scottish poets. Wilson was more difficult to handle owing to the more explicit radicalism in his work, but Motherwell, to his credit, set his own politics somewhat to one side and gave Wilson some of the recognition he deserved.

ALEXANDER WILSON, WILLIAM THOM AND WEAVER’S LITERATURE

[Weavers were] extraordinary men with firm radical and Calvinist convictions. Many of them, particularly about Paisley, were lyric poets, and they had a joyous enthusiasm for the arts and sciences.
While Motherwell showed some generosity of spirit toward Wilson, he put a very interesting spin on Wilson’s radicalism, suggesting that his politics were ‘waking visions’:

> Political sentiments likewise had their share in adding to his [Wilson’s] unhappiness. Enthusiastic in his love of liberty at a time when all were somewhat fanatic on the same subject, the fervour of the poet’s imagination distorted and magnified the visible shape of national events beyond their true and just proportions. […] These waking visions are the sources of many bitteresses and much uneasiness to those in whom they are engendered, and by whom they are fostered maugre their ultimate pernicious effects. So were they no doubt to Wilson.^^48^^

Motherwell explicitly refuses any connection between Wilson’s ‘poverty haunted threshold’ and his political outlook.^^49^^ As is shown above, Wilson’s politics were for Motherwell a kind of delusional response brought on by the ‘poet’s imagination’. Both Wilson and Tannahill are entitled to ‘entire forgiveness’ for their ‘errings, waywardness, and misfortunes’ which ‘in themselves are to be reprobated and condemned’ but ultimately ‘soothed, modified and rendered out of place’^^50^^ given consideration of the work they produced and the tragic trajectories of their lives. In this way, and in distinction from both Muir and McLaren, Motherwell is moving towards a separation between the life and the work because Wilson’s radicalism and Tannahill’s suicide, do not (for Motherwell) sit easily beside their ‘genius’ as writers.

Motherwell makes only one direct comparison of Wilson with Tannahill:

> In the matter of song-writing, his townsman Tannahill has evident superiority, but in other respects is confessedly his inferior.^^51^^

Indeed, Motherwell may be correct in his assessment that Wilson was a better poet than Tannahill. Where poetry is concerned Wilson’s work is generally more robust, committed and lively than Tannahill’s, but they are poets with different though overlapping voices and it is
ultimately a matter of taste which author one might prefer as a poet. However, Tannahill is referred to as a song-writer only. The words poet and poetry don’t get onto the page, instead Motherwell gives the vague term ‘other respects’ by which the reader is supposed to enter into a secret pact of agreement with the editor around the meaning of the unstated conceit that Tannahill’s poetry is indeed of the ‘inferior’ kind, a proposition that analysis of Tannahill’s poetry shows to be far from the case. (See Chapter 5).

While Wilson was writing radical poetry and declarations, an anonymous pamphlet was published in Paisley arguing why the political status quo should be maintained and revolutionary French ideas rejected. *The Paisley Weaver’s Letter to his Neighbours and Fellow Tradesmen*, dated, ‘20th Dec. 1792’ is a remarkable document. The author of *The Paisley Weaver’s Letter* states:

> I can only impute this one thing; a rule which I fortunately laid down for myself and have stuck to, “To mind my own business, and not to meddle with matters in which I had no concern.” I believe my employers saw this and I have not fared the worse for it.\(^{52}\)

On the topic of asking other weavers what they think is the matter with their present lives the author states:

> The most common answers I get are, that our taxes are heavy; that there is great inequality in our situation; and that a reform of Parliament is necessary.\(^{53}\)

The arguments presented are designed to combat the influence of the French Revolution and of the writings of Thomas Paine. Wilson was deeply influenced by the writing of Thomas Paine – who debunks the argument about minding one’s own business in *Common Sense* – and met him in 1808 while trying to obtain subscriptions for his *Birds of America*.\(^{54}\) Wilson was also friendly with members of the Paisley Reform Club, one of whom was named John Tannahill, possibly the half-brother of the poet.\(^{55}\)
A person named John Tannahill from Paisley was a delegate to the convention of the Friends of the People held in Edinburgh between Tuesday 30th April and the 3rd of May 1793, as was James Mitchell, an acquaintance Alexander Wilson’s. Wilson was gaoled that same year for circulating his poem, ‘The Shark’, about local Mill owner William Sharp, then, allegedly, trying to get Sharp to pay for the suppression of the poem. He was again in gaol in January 1794 when it was alleged that he had written articles in support of reformers: given the political climate Wilson was probably wise to emigrate. People were being severely punished in the 1790s for supporting universal suffrage and liberty. In December 1793 leading delegates to the third Friends of the People convention, including William Skirving, were arrested. Thomas Muir had already been arrested on the 24th of August 1793, when he returned to Scotland after an abortive attempt to dissuade the French from executing Louis XVI. One of the charges against Thomas Muir was that he had circulated the work of Thomas Paine, *A Declaration of Rights*, to the Friends of Reform in Paisley. Muir was sentenced to fourteen years transportation in Australia. It was, therefore, highly likely that had Wilson remained in Paisley he would have received a stiff sentence for his activism as he would have been a repeat offender.

Another anonymous pamphlet which circulated in Paisley around this time, but has no date of publication, was titled *An Address to the People of Scotland on the Present State of Public Affairs*. This is a more generalised version of *The Paisley Weaver’s Letter to his Neighbours*, and the copy in Paisley Central Library has the annotation ‘in the pay of Government’ written in handwriting under the printed claim of authorship which admits only, ‘signed a FELLOW SUBJECT’. This ‘fellow subject’ states:

> Are the reformers of France fit objects of our *pious* imitation. Shall we, like them, deprive our clergymen of their rank and means of subsistence, abolish
Sunday, Baptism, and Marriage Vows? for in France all this has happened. No! the British nation shall never suffer their good principles and good sense to be so fatally and grossly perverted.\textsuperscript{58}

This calls into question Motherwell’s implication that Wilson’s politics had anything of the delusional or ‘poet’s imagination’ about them. It would suggest that, in fact, a real and serious political debate was taking place and that Wilson had taken a conscious part in it. Not because he had a ‘poet’s imagination’ but because of his political views. Motherwell’s suggestion that Wilson was somehow duped into his political beliefs and activities because of his poetic temperament, is rather a romantic fabrication on Motherwell’s part: a fabrication that, arguably, stems from Motherwell’s determination to separate poetry and politics and to depoliticise poetry.

Interest in the natural world was extremely significant for both Wilson and Tannahill. Wilson published a volume of poems titled \textit{The Foresters} in 1805, which described a journey through the wilderness to Niagara Falls, but his life in America was mainly dedicated to the study of ornithology and it was supposedly in this pursuit he met his death by contracting dysentery when ‘swimming after a wounded plover’.\textsuperscript{59} He died on August 23\textsuperscript{rd} 1813. His \textit{Birds of America} is an important work with exquisite hand-drawn illustrations, and descriptions of the birds native to North America. Tannahill’s observations of the natural world are expressed in lyric songs and poems where his use of language is often beautiful and adept:

\begin{verse}
Far ben thy dark green plantin’s shade,
The cushat croodles am’rously.
The mavis, doun thy buchted glade
Gars echo ring frae ev’ry tree

Awa, ye thochtless, murd’rin gang,
Wha tear the nestlin’s ere they flee!
They’ll sing you yet a cantie sang,
\end{verse}
Then, oh! in pity let them be!
From ‘Bonnie Wood o’ Criagielee’. 60

What would appear obvious though is that Tannahill and Wilson had more in common with each other than with William Motherwell: they both had acquaintances who were active in the Friends of the People and had a shared background as Paisley weavers. Motherwell, on the other hand, never worked as a weaver and moved in somewhat more right-wing circles. Class war existed more openly by 1819 than it had in Wilson’s and Tannahill’s time, and Motherwell’s assessment of them has to be understood in that context. The end of the Napoleonic wars in 1815 brought with it a time of great uncertainty and protest and it was in this climate that Motherwell was editing *The Harp*. This wider social unrest, which was strongly supported by many Paisley weavers, must be seen as colouring Motherwell’s opinion on Wilson’s politics. Notwithstanding this, there is a radical thread running through the poetry of Paisley weavers from the 1790s until the Great Reform Act of 1832, continuing through Chartism, and until the Paisley weavers were no more. Wilson and his friend, the teacher Ebenezer Picken, were two of the poets who had brought this thread into being. One important poem written during this time was William Finlayson’s, ‘Weavers Lament: On the Failure of the Celebrated Strike of Weaving, for a Minimum of Wages in 1812’ (1815). The third last stanza illustrates something similar to the contemptuous attitude towards the poor expressed above by Mandeville but from the opposite point of view. Mandeville’s opinion was that ignorance would keep the lower-orders ‘easier’, but now that the lower-orders could read and write their ‘betters’ ridiculed them as being over-educated for their station in life:

In correspondence wi’ our betters,
Respecting sundry money-matters,
They aften ca’ us ‘Men o’ Letters’,
   By way o’ jeering;
Few wad believe how muckle debtors   
Are daily bearing.\textsuperscript{61}

Not all Paisley’s weaver-poets were radical but the quotation given at the beginning of this section (p. 83) does largely hold true for this period, if not precisely in the minds of those ‘betters’ described by Finlayson.

While Tannahill’s songs did have a drawing-room audience they were also popular with the rural and urban working classes. This is confirmed by William Thom (1799?-1848), the Aberdonian weaver and poet, who unlike Tannahill, suffered all the worst aspects of industrialisation: starvation, homelessness, long working hours, low pay and unemployment.

In his \textit{Rhymes and Recollections of a Handloom Weaver} (1844) Thom states:

> Nearer and dearer to hearts like ours was the Ettrick Shepherd, then in his full tide of song and story; but nearer and dearer still than he, or any living songster--to us dearer--was our ill-fated fellow-craftsman, Tannahill, who had just then taken himself from a neglecting world, while yet that world waxed mellow in his lay. Poor weaver chiel! What we owe to thee! Your ‘Braes o’ Balquidder,’ and ‘Yon Burnside,’ and ‘Gloomy Winter,’ and the ‘Minstrel’s’ wailing ditty, and the noble ‘Gleniffer.’ Oh! how they did ring above the rattling of a hundred shuttles! Let me again proclaim the debt we owe to those Song Spirits, as they walked in melody from loom to loom, ministering to the low-hearted; [... ...] Poets were indeed our Priests. But for those, the last relic of our moral existence would have surely passed away!\textsuperscript{62}

Thom, who worked as a weaver in factories in Aberdeenshire from 1814 to 1831, met with some success on the publication of \textit{Rhymes and Recollections} which was re-printed numerous times. However, his personal experience of weaving was a bitter one and he had this to say of the factory system:

> It is a duty, do it who may – and it shall be done – to expose the factory system of that day as it stood in our “moral North.” Fairly to put the knife into the dead monster, lay bare its dark core, dissect it in broad day, that the world may see who had the fat and who had the famine of that heartless trading. Then weep the folly of seeking beyond the ocean for that sin and slavery we had so ripe at home.\textsuperscript{63}
Thom’s comments provide good evidence that in a harsh factory system Tannahill’s songs helped people through their days of drudgery and kept their spirits from being utterly crushed. The reason they identified with Tannahill more so than with Hogg was because they saw Tannahill – ‘poor weaver chiel’ – as one of their own who had died in tragic circumstances, rather than because he wrote songs with a pastoral flavour. No doubt, as Thom suggests, Tannahill’s songs of the beauties of nature were more socially and spiritually necessary for mill workers than for mill owners, since for the workers there was no escape into drawing-room entertainments. Given Tannahill’s dislike of oppression and exploitation it is fitting that his songs were popular with workers in the weaving mills of Aberdeenshire. More cheerfully, in a letter written to James King on September 10th 1809, Tannahill himself provides some evidence of the popularity of his songs:

Some of my songs which I esteem’d the best before being printed I find are entirely overlooked. While others which had been held in hesitating consideration over the fire are sung frequently— a fine air does a great deal for a song— and is often the vehicle for very silly words— Perhaps the highest pleasure I ever deriv’d from these things has been in hearing as I walk’d down the pavement at night, a girl within doors ranting away at some of them.  

Here Tannahill derives great satisfaction from the knowledge that his songs have an audience and are being sung. However, William Thom’s relishing of Tannahill’s songs stems from a very different motivation to that of William Motherwell. Thom recognises Tannahill’s ability to empathise with the poor and the marginalised, and Tannahill in his unequivocal rejection of slavery is ultimately more radical than the radical poet Alexander Wilson on that particular issue.

JOHN STRUTHERS, R. A. SMITH, JOHN CLARE AND DAVID SEMPLE

After Motherwell there are numerous appraisals of Tannahill’s life and work, mostly piec
together from McLaren’s, Muir’s and Motherwell’s assessments. These begin with that of
John Struthers in 1819/21 and conclude – for the purposes of the present study – with Gerard
Carruthers’s 2005 paper ‘Robert Tannahill - Scottish Poet After Burns’. In P. A. Ramsay’s
1838 biography, more thorough background material on Tannahill’s life is given, but Ramsay
provides very little that is new in terms of critical engagement with the work. Over the
nineteenth and twentieth centuries there are various general critical surveys of Scottish
literature in which Tannahill is mentioned, sometimes positively and at other times less so;
and there are some general books that omit him completely. Tannahill’s work also makes its
way into general anthologies of Scottish poetry and song in much the same way as he makes
it into the critical surveys. For Alexander Whitelaw, Tannahill comes behind only Ramsay
and Burns in the number of song lyrics included in The Book of Scottish Song (1844). Nevertheless, with the exception of Sweeney-Turner’s more theoretical engagement, and to a
lesser extent Gerard Carruthers’s and Gordon McCrae’s deeper discussion, there is almost
never any doubt cast on William Motherwell’s assessment of Tannahill: John Struthers and
David Semple make some variations on Muir’s and Motherwell’s theme, though there is little
by way of detailed critical engagement with the work in terms of its content outwith the
pastoral of the songs, except in the case of Carruthers. There is no published critical reading
in existence of Tannahill’s dramatic interlude The Soldier’s Return within this time frame,
and as I have already demonstrated no one has looked closely at his poetry as distinct from
(but in relationship with) the songs, other than to suggest ultimately that the poetry looks
rather bad in comparison. Indeed, this judgement remains the case with Carruthers, as he
insists: ‘The fact is that Tannahill’s best “poetry” is apparent in his songs’.

However, the case of John Struthers’s critical assessment is, indeed, a strange one.
While agreeing with Motherwell about the inferior nature of the poetry, he then goes on to say the songs have equally as little merit whilst publishing a selection of them! *The Harp of Caledonia*, edited by Struthers, was first published in three volumes in 1819. It was revised and reprinted in two volumes in 1821. The 1821 edition contains an ‘Essay on Scottish Songwriters’ and an introduction in which Struthers further outlines his philosophy of music and theory of the evolution of Scottish song. This is the second major anthology in which Tannahill’s work is featured and the 1821 ‘Essay’ gives us another critical assessment of his life and work. As Struthers and Tannahill were friends with similar interests it is perhaps not too surprising that Struthers would include him, but in a more definite way than in the *Harp of Renfrewshire*, Tannahill’s reputation was further boosted in that he was given a place beside Burns, Hogg, Ramsay and others who were considered to represent the pinnacle of Scottish song-writing. So for the first time in a major publication, eleven years after his death, Tannahill was included with past and contemporary Scottish writers for whom he had the greatest admiration. The biographical notes on Tannahill’s life and character are fairly generous and positive, but the comments on his work are perplexing, and contradictory:

> Of his [Tannahill’s] works it is unnecessary to say much… His poems have little in them either of strong conception or brilliant diction; yet they contain maxims of morality sometimes happily expressed, and specimens of natural and easy versification which may be perused with a considerable degree of pleasure.

Here, Struthers gives much the same opinion as Motherwell with regard to Tannahill’s poetry, but continues:

> His songs partake very much of the same character. They discover neither brilliancy of imagination nor intensity of passion; but they are natural and neat, and, in many instances, though not uniformly, easy [my italics]. In short, their great excellence consists in giving a happy expression to that mediocrity of feeling which, fortunately for themselves, taking mankind on the average, characterises ninety-nine out of a hundred. They will, of course, always be
popular with the many. At face value Struthers is suggesting that ‘in many instances’ though not always, Tannahill’s songs are pleasant and so are his poems. The songs are not perhaps as good as William Motherwell thinks them but neither is the poetry as bad. Ultimately this is a critical levelling of the poetry and songs which marks Tannahill as unremittingly average in both. However, Struthers gives a clear recognition of a connection between the poetry and the songs, if not the dramatic Interlude, by describing them as being ‘of the same character’ which is a move away from Muir’s, Motherwell’s and John Clare’s insistence on their separation.

Tannahill’s poetry was the arena in which he tried to work through the contradictions he faced in life and his ‘mediocrity of feeling’ perhaps derives from his attempt to find a middle ground, and a language through which he could express his feelings without causing offence morally or politically. Even though he writes occasionally, in a way that, as Gerard Carruthers argues:

involves the transfixing influence of Burns where Burnsian phraseology is parroted as though representing a sacred text and a grossly simplified Robert Burns of suffusing sentimental and rather nebulous feeling is venerated.

Alas, ‘nebulous feeling’ was not confined to Tannahill’s writing, nor to Scotland. Whig playwright Sheridan’s Pizarro was ‘nebulous’ enough to be approved of by George III and the sentiment contained in much of Thomas Moore’s work was so nebulous that it was rarely seen as a statement of Irish nationalism or political radicalism. As Emer Nolan confirms of Moore (and the same can be said of Tannahill):

The tone of Moore’s works – poems, prose and satires in several modes – varies considerably, and his writing exhibits mixed and maybe even contradictory political opinions.

Such ‘nebulous feeling’ on the parts of Sheridan, Moore and Tannahill are very possibly
related to contemporary political imperatives in a Britain at war with Napoleon, where political expression could be dangerous, rather than - specifically in Tannahill’s case - having anything to do with seeing Robert Burns as some kind of deity. Whether or not Tannahill’s poems are judged as inferior to his songs, this is no argument for their wholesale critical dismissal. This ‘nebulous feeling’ (Carruthers), ‘mediocrity of feeling’ (Struthers) or emotional ambivalence and unwillingness to commit absolutely to one side or the other in the poems can be viewed as Tannahill’s honest attempt to work through difficult and contradictory personal and political problems in a political climate where it was difficult to judge how far one could publicly declare a view without incurring the wrath of State or literary authorities. Further, Tannahill worried a good deal about his reputation and this worry rather than any misunderstanding of, or seeking to simplify and deify Robert Burns can also be seen as one of the factors contributing to Tannahill’s use of ‘Burnsian phraseology’, much of which had a place in Scottish culture before Burns appeared in print: and the use of which Tannahill may well have employed as a means of placing himself beyond political controversy and criticism, due to the fact that many establishment figures had already recognised the genius of Burns, even if his radical leanings were not immediately apparent to a London audience.

Around the same time as John Struthers published his second edition of the Harp of Caledonia, Robert A. Smith began publishing his 6 volumes of The Scottish Minstrel (1821-24) which was ‘a very popular collection’ and ‘brought Tannahill’s work to a much wider audience’. This was followed by Smith’s Irish Minstrel in 1825, which again included Tannahill and was, ‘Encouraged by the flattering manner in which the SCOTTISH MINSTREL has been received’. The preface to The Irish Minstrel confines itself to discussion of a general
theory of song and music, making no comment on the life and work of the individual contributors. Charles Rogers, however, in the *Modern Scottish Minstrel* (1856) bluntly states of Tannahill: ‘His poems are much inferior to his songs’.74

When R. A. Smith was working on his Scottish and Irish song collections, the English poet John Clare made the following note in his journal on Thursday 14th October 1824:

> Read some passages in the Poems of Tannahill some of his songs are beautiful particularly ‘Loudon’s Bonny woods and braes’ ‘We’ll meet beside the dusky glen’ and ‘Jessey’ his poems are poor and appear as if they were written by another — The Scotch Poets excel in song writing because they take their images from common life were nature exists without affectation75

Clare’s assessment of Tannahill is perhaps the strongest and clearest demarcation of the idea that Tannahill’s songs are superior to his poems. Indeed, Clare sees the songwriter and the poet as two different individuals although he is repeating more emphatically Muir’s and Motherwell’s assessment of Tannahill – it was Muir’s 1817 fourth edition of Tannahill’s *Poems and Songs*, that Clare had in his library.76 However, Clare’s sonnet ‘from about 1840’, ‘The Gipsy Camp’ (or ‘Gipsies’), in which he is ‘not interested in judging the gypsies’, has a remarkable parallel with Tannahill’s poem ‘The Storm’, in which Tannahill is also extremely reluctant to pass moral judgement on gypsies.77 ‘The Storm’, the fourth poem in the Muir edition, very much like Clare’s ‘Gipsy Camp’, stands in complete opposition to the view of Coleridge (when attacking Wordsworth), ‘that gypsies were not a fit subject for poetry’.78 It can be argued that while in 1824 Clare found Tannahill’s poems ‘poor’ he went on in 1840 to write something with strong parallels to Tannahill’s ‘The Storm’. Clare in the instance of ‘The Gipsy Camp’, does appear to be taking something from the other Tannahill, Tannahill the poet and not Tannahill the ‘Scotch’ songwriter. While there is no evidence to tell us exactly what Clare thought of Tannahill in 1840, it is entirely possible that he found the
poems more to his liking by that time and that images from ‘The Storm’ had lodged in his mind. Tannahill uses the lines, ‘Ah! who would not feel for yon poor gipsy race’ and ‘May fall unprotected, unpitied, unknown’. Clare ends his sonnet with the line, ‘A quiet, pilfering, unprotected race’. Although there are technical differences and thoroughly distinct narratives, the two poems share common elements of outlook, setting, vocabulary and symbolism: Clare with his ‘aloof’ dog, Tannahill his ‘poor patient horse’, and both poems set in adverse, wintry weather.

David Semple’s 1876 edition of Tannahill’s Poems, Songs and Correspondence is the most thorough publication concerning Tannahill’s life and work though it is not without errors. Both Davidson Cook in the Scots Magazine of February 1941 and Ronald L. Crawford in Notes and Queries of May 1966, have pointed out some of Semple’s mistakes. It has also been noted that ‘most subsequent writers on Tannahill have reproduced Semple’s views’. As far as critical analysis is concerned Semple takes us only a little further forward from the position that Tannahill is a better songwriter than he is a poet. In addition, Semple’s opinion that the poems and songs do have a relationship with one another, is not dissimilar to the opinion of John Struthers. Semple is most sympathetic to the viewpoint of seeing Tannahill as one writer who wrote in various forms: poet, songwriter, dramatist and letter-writer. Another step forward made by Semple was to assert of the drama, The Soldier’s Return, that ‘we are inclined to entertain it favourably[…] we read the Interlude once, and were pleased with it; we read it a second time, and were better pleased with it’. As an editor, Semple allows almost the whole of Tannahill’s extant work (and a little more) to stand as valid. However, he again reminds the reader that the ‘path of the author [Tannahill] was lyrical writing and not dramatic composition’, by implication putting a higher value on the songs, and explicitly:
The six beautiful songs in the first class of lyric poetry introduced by the author into the dialogue, were of such a redeeming quality that they should have saved the whole [Interlude] from unjust condemnation.\textsuperscript{82}

Again this parallels Motherwell’s assessment that the songs have ‘evident superiority’ over his other ‘confessedly inferior’ work. Regardless of these judgements, in 1876 Semple at least presented the fullest range of Tannahill’s work he could and this opens the opportunity for critical engagement, less coloured by the ideas, fashions or tastes of that time.

CONCLUSION

Tannahill’s other biographers, Philip A. Ramsay, James J. Lamb, Sir George Douglas and Alexander Reekie have all contributed in their way to bringing the biographical details of his life into the light, but Semple’s 1876 ‘Life of Tannahill’ remains the most comprehensive. Yet, in terms of understanding what Tannahill had to say in the entirety of his work, little has progressed from the generalities given by McLaren, Muir, Motherwell and Struthers. By 1822 the view of Tannahill - as far as critical engagement has been concerned - was fixed: and writing that is a complex manifestation of Presbyterian, Augustan, eighteenth-century radical, Scottish Enlightenment and Jacobite influences, grounded in the local, or ‘parochial concerns writ large’, consisting of a dramatic-pastoral Interlude and sixty-nine poems, has been denied serious critical review and discussion. The received critical view of unremarkable poet, admirable writer of a handful of Scottish songs and let us just leave it at that, has obscured the work of a significant talent who had opinions on the issues of his time and wrote with passion, intelligence, honesty, ironic wit and flashes of brilliance. It also sets up a distinction between poetry and song that is not easily sustained.

Gerard Carruthers does investigate some aspects of the problems of war and religion in the work in his paper, ‘Robert Tannahill – Scottish Poet After Burns’. With regard to the
war Carruthers argues that Tannahill, ‘overplays native British virtue and overdoes the barbarism of the French’. This is convincing with regard to the ‘Ode’ for the 1807 Burns anniversary meeting but when Tannahill says elsewhere of the war, ‘And what is’t for? for nought in faith!’, he condemns the British and the French equally. Carruthers is persuasive, therefore, when he suggests that: ‘We are left with a confusing portrait of a man who [can be] infuriatingly vague about his times’ but less so when he states that for Tannahill, ‘the French are simply and solely to blame for the current war’.  

Tannahill’s voice as a writer and his use of technique can be seen as deriving from a written vernacular tradition largely popularised and revived by Allan Ramsay, Robert Fergusson, Burns and others - but not without English influences from Augustan authors such as Pope and Gray - in a Scotland where:

By 1785-6, when Burns came on the scene, all the various strands of the common medium, folk and ‘national’, broadside and ‘art’, Scots and English, were being used in Scotland. In this sense Scotland did have a socially broad and vigorous poetic language and heritage that Burns could deploy and he did so superbly. Tannahill was not a great formal innovator, however, he took and used these ‘various strands’ along with inspiration from Burns, Ramsay and others, to write in a more muscular Scots (or Scots-English) than he is given credit for.

Tannahill and his Paisley contemporaries did rather well in their dedication to producing poems and songs and in the getting them out into the world. This is emphatically the case if Raymond Williams’s view is taken into account that:

What was imposed on the labourer-poets [around 1800] was a definition of learning and cultivation, and more critically a definition of poetry, which, as it happened, was as mediocre as it was arrogant.

This too must be considered a factor in their identification with Robert Burns whose humane
ideals, poetic dexterity and broad-minded content reinforced the notion that working men and artisans could be literary artists and write about whatever subjects interested them. Burns had given them a more democratic notion of literature than the ‘mediocre’ model described above by Raymond Williams but as Carruthers argues, Tannahill did write some ‘abstract, platitudinous poems of emotion’. In his efforts to experiment, Tannahill attempted forms he never had the time to fully develop his craft in, so that some of his efforts inevitably appear as apprentice work.

Tannahill and his circle lived in a world which had undergone an agrarian revolution and was beginning to undergo an industrial one: a world of the enemy without - the French - and the enemy within, which included almost anyone with anti-government or Painite sentiments, however mild. As Betty Bennett argued: ‘it is well to remember that “Jacobins” were as often Whigs as republicans’, so it wasn’t necessary to be extreme to be labelled a traitor, even moderate Whig supporters could be labelled ‘Jacobins’. M. O. Grenby in his critique of The Anti-Jacobin Novel (2001) confirms this view:

Jacobinism could also be used as a stick to beat any and all movements for reform, of whatever complexioin, and ultra-reactionaries had no hesitation in doing so. Anyone from the followers of Fox and the Society of the Friends of the People to the enemies of the slave trade or those who, like Hannah More herself, sought to establish Sunday schools, could be labelled as Jacobins, and frequently were by the Anti-Jacobin Review, the individuals who made up its staff and many others of like mind. They too were able to do this because Jacobinism had no fixed meaning.

The place that Tannahill lived was both Scotland and Britain. His work is a representation of the dualities of Scottish nationhood and British statehood. It is worthy of critical attention as poetry, song-lyric, drama and letter. Although often critically dismissed, there was no decade of the nineteenth century in which the poetry was not published.
There is reluctance on Tannahill’s part to make any great pronouncements on the social and political issues of his time and so he is not a public or political poet in the sense that Shelley was in a poem like ‘The Mask of Anarchy’ on the Peterloo Massacre.

This tendency is also illustrated in the attitude of Tannahill's musical collaborator R. A. Smith who, after...


32. For a history of Orangeism and its origins see, Kevin Haddick-Flynn, Orangeism: the making of a tradition (Dublin, 1999).


34. Robert Tannahill, Letter to James King, Tuesday, 18th July, 1809 (Glasgow University Library, Special Collections, MS Robertson 1/27).


This tendency is also illustrated in the attitude of Tannahill’s musical collaborator R. A. Smith who after Tannahill’s death became very concerned with writing music and songs suitable for the parlour or drawing room and for the church.


54. See Robert Cantwell, Alexander Wilson: Naturalist and Pioneer (Philadelphia, 1961), p. 162. Thomas Paine opens Common Sense by suggesting that: Society is in every state a blessing [which] promotes our happiness by positively uniting our affections’, while on the contrary: ‘government in its best state is but a necessary evil [which acts] negatively by restraining our vices’. He then goes on to argue that the main defects of the English Constitution are monarchy and the hereditary principle. Of the constitutional settlement of 1688 he argues that: ‘though we have been wise enough to shut and lock a door against absolute monarchy, we at the same time have been foolish enough to put the crown in possession of the key’.
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For Paine there is such a thing as ‘society’ and governments ought to be made up of persons who take a free and full part in the life of that society. Thomas Paine, *Common Sense*, first published 1776 (London, 2004), p. 5, 11.

Clark Hunter, *The Life and Letters of Alexander Wilson* (Philadelphia, 1983), p. 84. Hunter suggests that this John Tannahill was Robert Tannahill’s uncle. However, Tannahill’s uncles John and Robert had probably emigrated for America before this date. Nevertheless it is probable that the John Tannahill mentioned by Hunter was a relative of Robert Tannahill, perhaps his half-brother. In a letter of 19th May 1824, Matthew Tannahill, the poet’s brother states: ‘We have a half brother. He is the son our father but not of our mother. His name is John. He is about 66 years of age. He has five sons. Three of them are married and have children. They all are weavers. Our father was a weaver till within a few years of his death and taught all his sons the same trade’. This would put John Tannahill, half-brother of the poet, in Paisley and aged 35 or 36 when the Friends of the People were meeting in Edinburgh in 1793-94. According to the typescript copy of a letter written by Robert Tannahill’s brother James the uncles emigrated when he was about 3 years old.

James Tannahill was born on 17th September 1771 so this would put the emigration of John Tannahill (uncle) at a date sometime in the mid-1770s. Letter from James Tannahill to his relatives in USA. Paisley, 5th April, 1824 (Paisley Central Library, PC TAN/Letters).
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See Gillian Russell, *The Theatres of War: Performance, Politics and Society 1793-1815* (Oxford, 1995), p. 54, where she also states that Sheridan’s *Pizarro* was: ‘first performed in May 1799. Although it has subsequently been neglected by both directors and critics, *Pizarro* was Sheridan’s greatest commercial success and a cultural phenomenon of major significance’. The fact that John Ross of Aberdeen – composer of music to Tannahill lyrics – set Sheridan’s lyric, *Be merciless thou tempest dire, a favourite song from the drama of Pizarro*, to music, indirectly connects Tannahill with the play. John Ross, *Be merciless thou tempest dire, a favourite song from the drama of Pizarro*, with an accompaniment for the piano-forte, written by R. B. Sheridan Esqr.; composed and respectfully dedicated to Miss Dr. Grant, by John Ross (Edinburgh, ca. 1800). Printed and sold by J. Hamilton.
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Vardy, John Clare: Politics and Poetry, p. 27. Vardy discusses chapter XXII of Coleridge’s, *Biographia Literaria* (London, 1817), 2 Vols., and argues: ‘What then would Coleridge have made of “The Gypsy Camp”? Would he have commended its fierce resistance to the moralising weakness of Wordsworth’s poem [Gipsies]? It is impossible to say, but it is important to remember that the defence of the gypsies was not Coleridge’s motive for writing. Furthermore, the ‘lowness’ of Clare’s subject concerned Coleridge as much as his famous rival Francis Jeffrey, and the conclusion he drew from his attack on Wordsworth’s ‘Gipsies’ was not that Wordsworth had failed to truthfully represent the gypsies, although that was undoubtedly so, but that gypsies were not a fit subject for poetry. As a subject they violated a ‘fundamental distinction’, made by Coleridge earlier in chapter 22, between the correct objects for art and for philosophy. In that passage, Coleridge objected to Wordsworth’s use of rustic characters, even as he admitted that we should consider all persons as equals regardless of their various stations in life; he nonetheless objected because they were, what he called, ‘immediate objects’, and, as such, better suited to treatment in ‘sermons or moral essays’.


Semple, ed., Poems, Songs and Correspondence, (Paisley, 1876), p. 2.


Gerard Carruthers, ‘Robert Tannahill – Scottish Poet After Burns’, p. 28, 21. Tannahill’s attitude to the war with France is discussed in Chapter 3 of this thesis.


3. War and the Threat of Invasion

AN INTERNATIONAL DEBATE

Most of Europe was directly involved in the revolutionary wars in which republican France confronted the older monarchies between 1793 and 1815. But, although the political revolution and subsequent war are the most obvious symptoms of upheaval, they are not the only ones. The same period saw a growth in the population of Western Europe, an expansion of trade and industry, and a quickening pace of social change, so that the idea conveyed by the phrase ‘industrial revolution’ is as important as the cultural change.¹

* *

the central period of the Industrial Revolution - 1793 to 1815 - was occupied by European Wars on a scale never before known. It would hardly be too much to say that Britain entered these wars an agricultural and emerged an industrial country.²

The French Revolution of 1789 sparked one of the great debates of global politics between Edmund Burke and Thomas Paine. Not only that, the Revolution itself was one of the most ideologically driven political upheavals in history that brought a new regime into existence. Burke’s Reflections on the Revolution in France (1790) and Paine’s reply to it, The Rights of Man, (Part 1, 1791) became vital political texts that influenced both thought and action. In the early 1790s British Prime Minister William Pitt the Younger took steps to stem the spread of French revolutionary ideas. Paine’s text was banned, radical organisations such as The Friends of the People were suppressed, and in 1794 Habeas Corpus was suspended for eight years.³ When the French invaded Belgium, Britain felt its interests under threat and entered the war in 1793 in an alliance with Austria, Prussia and Spain, against republican France. Following on the trauma for the British ruling elite of the
American Revolution, this upheaval caused much panic and severely split the Whig Party with many of them joining Pitt and the Tories. The remaining Whigs, gathered around Charles James Fox, were generally a minority in Parliament who argued for reform during the war years.

The Enlightenment of the mid-eighteenth century had popularised political, social, historical and scientific inquiry in Scotland, and ideas connected to the historical debates over the Militia Question - where political and constitutional questions were discussed within the framework of the relationship between organised armed forces and civil society – had some correlation with those of Paine and revolutionary France. These Militia debates remained fresh in peoples’ minds when the recruitment parties came out in ever increasing numbers throughout the 1790s. By 1799 Napoleon had taken power and the French Revolution was in some senses at an end, so Britain’s role in the war could be characterised as opposition to French imperialism rather than anti-republicanism. In five short years Napoleon would be crowned Emperor, but the war continued until Bonaparte’s ultimate defeat in 1815. Paine, in his introduction to the English edition of *The Rights of Man*, argued that Burke had attempted to whip up support for war against the French and was in favour of an almost perpetual state of war between Britain and France. According to Paine, Burke ‘immediately began sowing the seeds of a new inveteracy, as if he were afraid that England and France would cease to be enemies’. Tannahill, like Paine, was antipathetic to the idea of ‘endless war’.

**WICKED ENDLESS WAR**

Tannahill’s clearest statement on the Napoleonic wars is probably that given in his ‘Epistle’ of 14th March 1808, addressed to the radical leaning poet and weaver Robert
Allan of Kilbarchan. Though Tannahill did not openly express Painite radicalism, he was by this time cynical about the war and was sceptical about the validity of war as a policy in the conduct of international relations:

How fares my worthy friend, the Bard?
Be peace and honour his reward,
May every ill that gars us fyke,
Ill webs, toom pouches and sic like,
And ought that would his spirit bend,
Be ten miles distant from my friend.
Alas! this wicked endless War,
Rul’d by some vile, malignant star,
Has sunk poor Britain low indeed,
Has robb’d Industry o’ her bread,
And dash’d the sair-won cog o’ crowdy,
FRAE mony an honest eident body,
While genius dying thro’ neglect,
Sinks down amidst the general wreck.
    Just like twa cats tied tail to tail,
They worry at it tooth and nail,
They girn, they bite in deadly wrath,
And what is’t for? for nought in faith!

Tannahill, from ‘Epistle to Robert Allan’

The ‘wicked endless war’ has been going on much too long for no good cause and the best that can be done is to live as peacefully as one can at a personal level. The war has for Tannahill become driven by the imperial ambitions of France and Britain, and is of no benefit to anyone but those in positions of power. Remarkably, as he continues his ‘Epistle’ from the line ‘And what is’t for? for nought in faith!’, Tannahill argues that Britain faces the prospect of defeat by Napoleon and would be better withdrawing its forces from Europe:

But Lourie’s [France is] raised to sic degree,
John [Britain] would be wise to let him be;
Else aiblins, as he’s wearin’ aul’,
Frank yet may tear him spawl fae spawl,
For wi’ the mony chirts he’s gotten,
I fear his constitution’s rotten.
The final couplet above is ambiguous. It is not entirely clear whether this is referring to Britain’s (John Bull’s) unwritten constitution as defined in 1688 or to the French Civil Code (Napoleonic Code). ‘Chirts’ could mean charts or government charters, which would suggest Tannahill is referring to the Napoleonic Code. Alternatively, it could mean hugs, squeezes or being squirted upon. If ‘Chirts’ means squeezes then it suggests that France has squeezed Britain so hard that the British constitutional settlement is worthless, and this would chime somewhat with the use of the phrase ‘spawl frae spawl’ (limb from limb) as an extended metaphor regarding the physical state of John Bull’s body. The following short stanza is then given, where Tannahill makes no distinction between any of the warring parties in Europe and it must be assumed that Britain is one of these parties:

But while the bullying blades o’ Europe
Are boxing ither to a syrup,
Let’s mind oursel’s as weel’s we can,
An live in peace, like man and man,
An’ no cast out and fecht like brutes,
Without a cause for our disputes.  

The implication of these stanzas is that Tannahill saw the British and French ruling classes as subjecting Europe’s people to ‘bullying’ and misery by carrying on a war with no just cause.

* 

Two of Tannahill’s closest friends with whom he corresponded regularly, James Clark and James King, were members of Scottish Militia Regiments. In letters to these two friends sent over a period of six years (1805-1810) there are references to other Paisley men, friends, neighbours and relatives, who were also in the militias. From his letters we see that Tannahill worried about the fact that so many ‘Paisley lads’ were recruited into militia
regiments and that the reasons for joining were primarily economic. As he expressed to James Clark on December 18th 1807:

Trade is at present d–d dull with us - the number of our clever young men who will soon join your Regt is melancholy proof of it.\(^9\)

In 1809 Tannahill wrote to his friend the Renfrewshire Militiaman, James King:

We had it current here that your Regt was on its way for Scotland— your letter showed it to be without foundation— The Argyle Shire, now in Aberdeen, have got the route for Glasgow— There is I believe a hundred Paisley lads in it— I am concerned that you are poorly in your health— and so uneasy in your mind.— I sympathise with you but can administer little consolation— I see no end of this war system— however, this much to ballance your present situation— The people in Paisley have been so hard-[forc’d] for some years past, that you would not, even here, find all the happiness that you perhaps imagine— I was going to give you a very gloomy picture— but complaint is unpleasant - and we’ll lay it aside—\(^10\)

The fact that the people of Paisley had ‘been so hard-[forc’d] for some years past’ was a factor that encouraged lads and men to join the armed forces in the hope of finding better prospects than the meagre pickings – ‘Ill webs, toom pouches’ – to be had at home. It is over six years since the collapse of the Peace of Amiens and one can detect in Tannahill’s letter an underlying sense of despair, ‘— I see no end of this war system—’.

Tannahill’s use of the word ‘system’ is interesting as he is using it to critique war. There is a ‘war system’ which is to be condemned. Yet, as Clifford Siskin writing in 1998 argues, the concept of a system, any system, was fairly new and supposedly seen as the preserve of radicals for use in attacking conservatism.\(^11\) Systems were rational and therefore superior to superstition and Tannahill appears to be using the concept in the radical way. This is similar to William Thom’s later use of ‘system’ to criticise barbaric working conditions in the factories of Aberdeenshire in *Rhymes and Recollections of a Handloom Weaver* (1844).
Tannahill is anti-war, but he sees the imperial ambitions of Napoleonic France as being the root cause of a conflict imperilling his friends, townspeople and country, and therefore France is a suitable target for his pen. He does not, however, like the anonymous author of *New Songs on the West Lowland Fencibles*, openly attack the principles of the French Revolution.\(^{12}\) The war wrenched two of his closest friends from him, Clark and King, as well as many of Paisley’s ‘clever young men’.\(^{13}\) This represents the extension into the early nineteenth century of Gillian Russell’s argument that:

> The idea of war as occurring outside the ambit of most people’s lives in the eighteenth century is a mistaken one: a considerable section of the population experienced military service, if not directly as participants, then indirectly as the relatives and dependants of soldiers and sailors.\(^ {14}\)

With regard to France Tannahill adopts a position of self-defence rather than one of out-and-out pacifism, though he does come close to pacifist principles in ‘Epistle to Robert Allan’. To be against war in principle but retain the right to self-defence is as far as Tannahill would go in his work with respect to the Napoleonic wars, and this is the position he most consistently adopts. Indeed, a line from the ‘Ode’ written for Robert Burns’s birthday in 1805 sums it up: those ‘Who, hating conquest, guard their native land’, are to be praised.\(^ {15}\) Tannahill’s deliberate use of italics militates in favour of a universal reading as he is indicating there is more to these phrases than simply that if you hate being conquered, resist. He is deliberately introducing ambiguity into the meaning of the line so that it becomes a case of neither trespassing nor being trespassed against. This echoes William Godwin, who in 1793 wrote: ‘No war is justifiable but a war purely defensive’.\(^ {16}\) Tannahill’s anti-imperialist and anti-war positions locate him in the radical camp but his susceptibility to the politics of fear of French invasion can be seen to contradict this.
THE THREAT OF INVASION

'Tis pretended, we are in hazard of being invaded by a powerful enemy.\textsuperscript{17}
Andrew Fletcher of Saltoun

during this period of the invasion crisis, from 1797 to 1804, as many as one in six, or even one in five, of all adult males was involved in the armed forces.\textsuperscript{18}

Tannahill’s epigram ‘French Invasion’ also gives an impression of how he felt about war with Napoleonic France but requires some interpretation:

French Invasion

“FRENCH threats of invasion let Britons defy,
And spike the proud frogs if our coast they should crawl on.”
Yes, statesmen know well our spirits are high,
The financier has rais’d them two shillings per gallon.\textsuperscript{19}

From Tannahill’s perspective, the British government has had to increase taxation to pay for the war against the ‘frogs’ but by an amount greater than is really necessary to finance it and are using the war as an excuse to over-tax. He suggests in his ‘Summons to Attend a Meeting of the Burns’ Anniversary Society’ of 1805 that the government is not be trusted with regard to tax:

An’ see what new, what untried tax,
Will lie the easiest on our backs.\textsuperscript{20}

Interpreted in this way this epigram is altogether less patriotic than it first appears and suggests an ironic intelligence at work. While the French are described as ‘frogs’ they are also described as ‘proud’, though in this context proud probably means ‘haughty’ as in Burns’s use of ‘haughty Gaul’ in ‘The Dumfries Volunteers’.\textsuperscript{21} A problem of interpretation can be seen to arise with the two words ‘frogs’ and ‘proud’ but as they lie within
Tannahill’s quotation marks both can be treated as ironic. His use of anti-French language within the quotation marks does not invalidate the argument that the epigram is primarily about the war being used by the British establishment as a mechanism for extorting higher taxes from their own population, rather than a statement of hatred towards the French. The speaker or voice being quoted is representative of the British government – a statesman or ‘financier’. While it may be read as anti-French, it is also a thinly veiled criticism of the British government which is seen as exploiting the conflict for its own ends. Tannahill had a healthy cynicism towards politicians and government. For example, writing to James King in August 1806 he observed:

> it is hard to say what we may expect from the present negotiations not knowing whether they wish peace at all, or if it is some political shuffle.\(^2\)

Tannahill was aware of the machinations of politicians and favoured peace but it is the tone of the phrasing in ‘not knowing whether they wish peace at all’ that suggests anti-war sentiment. He is, however, extremely careful in the language he uses, clothing his anti-war sentiment in words that would make it difficult to label him unpatriotic. ‘French Invasion’ has echoes of an anonymous piece published in the anti-war provincial newspaper the *Cambridge Intelligencer* in 1794:

> A Sure Way to Prevent
> The Threatened Invasion by the French

> If we fight and tax on—for a year or two more,
> The French I dare say will ne’er touch on our shore,
> For fear of the charge of maintaining the poor\(^3\)

While Tannahill’s epigram is ironic and sarcastic, this piece has a harder and clearer cynical edge to it, making the same point about taxation with brevity and wit. The *Cambridge Intelligencer* was described in the *Anti-Jacobin* as ‘devoted to the cause of
Anarchy and Blood'. The first two lines of Tannahill’s epigram, which he subjects to ridicule, could quite easily have come from the Anti-Jacobin and given that Tannahill is ridiculing this kind of language he does come out as a writer more sympathetic to the anti-war than the pro-war cause.

Tannahill’s attitude to British party politics is not made explicit but there is no reason to suggest it differs from his well documented mistrust of authority, whether in the form of the mercantile class, the King, the church or patrons. Indeed, his position with regard to patronage was one of the few cases where he was unambiguously clear and consistent in his rejection of it:

Rich Gripus pretends he’s my patron and friend,
That at all times to serve me he’s willing,
But he looks down so sour on the suppliant poor,
That I’d starve ere I’d ask him one shilling.

Tannahill’s general political attitude and preferred topics of conversation were described by John Struthers as follows:

Though I have said that his powers of conversation were not shining, no man enjoyed company with a higher relish; and though he spoke little, from that sympathy that was manifested in all that was said, his company was often deeply interesting. When he did speak it was in praise of depressed merit; to mitigate the censures which the world is always liberal in bestowing upon the unfortunate; against chicanery and oppression, which of all kinds, he held the deepest abhorrence; or against faithlessness in friendship, of which he never spoke but with the keenness of one whose heart had been deeply wounded.

From a different perspective Tannahill can be seen as a rather British poet - the last (7th) verse in the song ‘Pat Mulligan’, shows him in a less than radical light. The song is included in a letter to James King of September 15th 1808:

Dear James,

Notwithstanding trade being so very flat with us
improvement is going rapidly in this place. I am just now returned from a walk to the Canal Aquaduct which they are carrying over the Cart betwixt Black-ha’ House and Auchentorly, they have already got well on with it and ’twill be finished before Winter. There is likewise an elegant new Coffee-Room with several other fine buildings erecting at the cross. Our principal streets are mostly now furnished with neat pathways and upon the whole I now think our [last] Police Act may be of considerable Utility. The Canal is going forward very briskly, it will likely be open for conveyance betwixt Johnston and Glasgow in the course of two years. I told you in my last how trade at present stands with us I again assure that my account was not exaggerated— Your Mother was here ’tother day bidding me tell you that she was much concerned on account of your thinking to come home and matters so unfavorable— however, since fate denies us seeing one another— I hope you will write me frequently and above all things remember your Mother— I cannot express how happy she is on hearing from you. the last song I sent you was incomplete I have considerably altered it. I wish you not to give away any copies of these things as I have sometimes sent you my first rough sketches, you are sensible how a much a piece may be improved by lying past some time— Have you ever tried any songs to Irish Airs? Some of which I think very beautiful. I would be truly obliged if you’ll try to procure two or three of the best Irish Airs among your musical acquaintances, I mean those that you may judge fitting for songs, and not much known— D Polson might write them for you —of these things in your next— I think I have heard some beautiful, slow Irish Airs play’d at Roll-Call but dont know them by name— I notice the Russian Soldier printed into a song book [...] it has been copied from the Nightingale,...].

I have strung up a long jingle of verses to one of Paddy’s lilts but I much doubt if they be anything superior to those we generally find in farthing Ballads, tell me what you think of them

Pat Mulligan
Air—Sir John Scott’s favorite

1.
Dear Judy when we first got married
Our fortune was indeed but small
For save the light hearts that we carried
Our riches were nothing at all
I sung while I reared up the cabin
Ye pow’rs give me [labour] and health
And a truce to all sighing and sobbing
For love is Pat Mulligan’s wealth

2.
Thro’ summer, and winter so dreary
I Cheerily toiled at the farm
Nor ever once dream’d growing weary
For love gave my labour its charm
And now, tho’ ‘tis weak to be vaunty
Yet here let us gratefully own
We live amidst pleasure and plenty
As happy’s the king on the throne

3d
We’ve Murdoch, and Patrick and Connor
As fine little lads as you’ll see
And Kitty, sweet girl on my honour!
She’s just the dear picture of thee
Tho’ some folks may still under-rate us
Ah! why should we mind them a fig
We’ve a large swinging field of potatoes
To fatten ourselves and the pig

4th
Dear Judy I’ve taken a thinking
The children their letters must learn
We’ll send for old Father O’Jenkin
To teach them three months in the barn
For learning’s the way to promotion
As culture brings fruit from the sod
And books give a fellow a notion
How matters are doing abroad

__________________

Confound it I have paddy-like begun my song where I ought to have ended it—
[Tannahill started writing this page at the top of a new sheet of paper rather than on the reverse of the first page.]

5th
Tho’ father neglected my reading
Kind saul, sire his spirit’s in rest
For the very first part of his breeding
Was still to relieve the distrest
And late when the trav’ler benighted
Besought hospitality’s claim
We lodged him till morning delighted
Because ‘twas a lesson to them

6th
The man who wont feel for another
Is just like a colt on the moor
He lives without knowing a brother
To frighten bad luck from his door
But he that’s kind-hearted and steady
Tho’ wintry misfortune should come
Will still find some friend who is ready
To scare the old witch from his home

7th
Success to old Ireland forever!
‘Tis just the dear land to my mind
Her lads are warm-hearted and clever
Her girls are all handsome and kind
And he who her name would bespatter
By wishing the French safely o’er
May the Devil blow him over the water
And make him cook frogs for the core

I have not seen our good friend Borland this long time— I suspect the same
course keeps us both at home— however I must be in Glasgow soon mainly
on purpose to have two hours with him— I will make a point of calling on
Leslie first time I am in— I forgot to tell you that it is much in agitation
with the Weavers in Scotland at present to join with those in the south in
Petitioning Government for an Act to regulate (at least in some degree) their
wages to the price of provisions - but fear much it wont do - Our figured
work varies so much - and it is so often changed that it would be very
difficult to specify exactly what should be for all the different kinds.

I remain yours
[Signature missing due to damage]
write soon)

In stanza two of ‘Pat Mulligan’ we are even given the line ‘As happy’s the king on the
throne’ which can be viewed as approval of the British colonisation of Ireland, though this
may be to over-emphasise the significance of the simile. The last four lines in stanza seven
suggest that the Irish are better off under British rule than allying themselves with the
French to escape it:

And he who her name would bespatter
By wishing the French safely o’er
May the Devil blow him over the water
And make him cook frogs for the core

However, given the positive references to ‘Father O’Jenkin’, and ‘Success to old Ireland forever!’ it is hardly on a par with the work of the Ulster poet – known as ‘The Orange Minstrel’ – William Blacker:

And Derry’s sons alike defy
Pope, traitor or pretender
And peal to heaven their ‘prentice cry
Their patriot, ‘No Surrender’

On the contrary, in Tannahill’s lyric there is a plea for empathy not conflict. Tannahill’s fifth stanza suggests the benefits of being welcoming and open to strangers:

For the very first part of his breeding
Was still to relieve the distrest
And late when the trav’ler benighted
Besought hospitality’s claim
We lodged him till morning delighted
Because ‘twas a lesson to them

- and the theme of understanding others is continued into stanza six with the lines:

The man who wont feel for another
Is just like a colt on the moor
He lives without knowing a brother
To frighten bad luck from his door

‘Pat Mulligan’ was never published in Tannahill’s lifetime and he thought it little better than a farthing ballad, yet he adopts an anti-French posture which in logic would put him in the pro-British camp. There is an important underlying political point here in relation to Britishness which contradicts the sense of the lyric. A parallel can be seen between the position of Scotland and that of Ireland in relation to Britain. Certain stereotypical Scottish characteristics such as the value of education and reading, and friendly sociability are presented as Irish, emphasising the similarities between the two countries. In spite of an
acceptance within the lyric of the existence of Britain as a ‘state’, it is implied that like Scotland, Ireland has lost its independence and ‘Pat Mulligan’ viewed in this way could become a veiled form of nationalist protest against British rule; and a muted approval of the cause of Catholic Emancipation. It is interesting to note that ‘Pat Mulligan’ embodies a strange political duality - also present in the work of Thomas Moore - which somehow merges a form of British nationalism with a form of Irish nationalism. This presents us with a Tannahill writing in a way that is analogous with Moore the purveyor of drawing-room ballads who is radically contradicted by Moore the memoirist of Captain Rock. Tannahill within one lyric tries to combine a safe form of Irish nationalism with a British nationalism and removes any controversy surrounding his Irish nationalist sentiment by making it anti-French. While Tannahill may have been sympathetic to Catholic emancipation, the politics of fear of French invasion play a role in producing what must ultimately be seen as an anti-French and therefore pro-British song.

Tannahill’s song ‘The Defeat’, first published in 1806, two years before he wrote his ‘Epistle to Robert Allan’, is similarly British in outlook. It concerns the imagined invasion of Britain by Bonaparte’s forces. Using quite standard vocabulary that occurs in numerous songs of the period 1793-1815, ‘The Defeat’ stands in contradiction to Tannahill’s views in the ‘Epistle’ to Allan. However, it is nothing like Charles Dibdin’s ‘Song’ published in The Morning Chronicle of November 8th 1806. Dibdin’s ‘Song’ is noteworthy for its domestic political intricacy, linking Admiral Nelson with Charles James Fox, who had died only two months previously while holding the office of Foreign Secretary under the short tenure of Whig Prime Minister, Lord Grenville. It was Fox who was Foreign Secretary when Tannahill wondered if the peace negotiations weren’t just a
‘political shuffle’, and given the content of Didbin’s ‘Song’ one can see why Tannahill was suspicious of the peace talks:

It depends on yourselves that no hypocrite rob  
This land of its rights by the threats of the mob;  
You ne’er will give way to the bluster and noise  
Of Imposters who CALL themselves Liberty Boys!

What more can I say your good will to inspire,  
Towards those who both burn with true freedom’s best fire?  
I don’t mean the man who your suffrages mocks,  
But the friends and companions of NELSON and FOX!

Then fill up your glasses, my lads, while I sing  
The Navy, HOOD, SHERIDAN, and our good KING;  
May Englishmen never with nonsense be cram’d,  
And BONY’S supporters all die and be damned.  


Dibdin’s message appears to be that you’re safe in Whig hands, even though they have been involved in peace negotiations they are not sympathisers with either Bonaparte or Paine’s republican sentiments of ‘hypocrite’ ‘Liberty Boys’. They certainly aren’t going to give in to ‘the threats of the mob’ with regard to electoral reform. Dibdin played the anti-Jacobin card to rally support for the Grenville government and was able to do so ‘because Jacobinism had no fixed meaning’. The ‘Song’ also works at a deeper psychological level by suggesting that while Britain lives in fear of French invasion there are people similar to Nelson and Fox who are prepared to fight to the death to resist it. Nelson and Samuel Hood symbolise the potential to take the fight abroad, while by identification, Fox, Richard Sheridan, as navy treasurer rather than playwright, and the King will keep us safe at home. None of the machinations of this daily politics or interest in the holders of specific offices is present in Tannahill’s writing. Tannahill refers to very few famous British military figures even though ‘Nelson’s victory and death at Trafalgar in 1805 stimulated a huge outpouring of verses’. Even when at his most British in ‘The Defeat’,
Tannahill does not celebrate Trafalgar or any other battle except the imagined one in the
lyric which takes place on British soil. As the French land on the British coast:

From hill to hill the bugles sound
The soul-arousing strain;
The war-bred coursers paw the ground,
And, foaming, champ the rein;
Their steel-clad riders bound on high,
A bold defensive host,
With valour fir’d, away they fly,
Like light’ning, to the coast. 34

This stanza attempts to imbue Britain’s defenders with as much dignity as possible; they
are a ‘bold defensive host’ mounted on reliable ‘war-bred’ horses. They ‘fly’ towards the
battlefield ‘valour fir’d’ at ‘light’ning’ speed. All is order in the picture described and the
tension is controlled as the horses ‘champ the rein’ in the tightly structured rhythmic snap
of the lines. The scene is painted with such confidence that it seems unthinkable that the
British would react in any other way when the French invade than determinedly moving to
repel them. This differs entirely from Dibdin’s use of the conception of French invasion (or
fear of the French). No political points about who would be best to lead the British are
made but rather the sound of the bugle heralds the immediate, almost spontaneous,
formation of an organised and formidable fighting force. This is a highly idealised picture
of British martial organisation; nothing deflects the ‘bold defensive host’ from its coast-
bound journey. It is also politically idealistic in that the defenders appear to act as a single
unit undifferentiated by any notions of class, social status or national identity in terms of
being Scots, Irish, English or Welsh. This is a Britain highly idealised, though it is a
Britain without any King and a military organisation without leaders. The whole
conception of Tannahill’s first stanza is also opposite in style to the first stanzas of Scottish
poet John Mayne’s (1759-1836) broadside, ‘English, Scots and Irishmen. A Patriotic Address to the Inhabitants of the United Kingdom, July 1803’, which opens with:

ENGLISH, SCOTS, and IRISHMEN,
All that are in VALOUR’S ken!
Shield your KING: and flock agen
Where his sacred Banners fly!
Now’s the day, and now’s the hour,
Frenchmen would the Land devour—
Will ye wait till they come o’er
To give ye Chains and Slavery?

Who would be a Frenchman’s slave?
Who would truckle to the Knave?
Who would shun a glorious grave
   For worse than death, for—infamy?
To see your Liberties expire—
   Your Temples smoke, your Fleets on fire!
That’s a Frenchman’s sole desire—
   That’s your fate, or Liberty!35

Tannahill presents an idealised snapshot of an undifferentiated Britain, while Dibdin and Mayne, in slightly different ways, deploy the concept ‘liberty’ in support of their anti-French arguments. Both assert that British (in Dibdin’s case English) ‘liberty’ is better than the French idea of it. Mayne blatantly invokes the Burns of ‘Scots, wha hae wi’ Wallace bled’, while Tannahill, to his credit, invokes Burns with a great deal more subtlety in the final stanza of ‘The Defeat’. Tannahill’s lyric though is not concerned with ‘liberty’ per se but, in an almost sealed arrangement of British nationhood, with having the liberty to determine what your liberty means, though he prefers the phrase ‘native rights’. Mayne immediately deploys the figurehead of the King for the disparate nations of Britain to unite around. Unlike Mayne, Tannahill is completely uninterested in shielding the King or any individual, nor is he interested in the fact that Britain is made up of four nations. Rather, his is a vision of an all encompassing Britain, an abstract ‘native’ land, and he is in the
business of defining some general rules for the conduct of battle, while asserting the basic right to defend the ‘native’ land against an ‘invading foe’, but anti-French sentiment makes its appearance in the second stanza:

And now they view the widespread lines
   Of the invading foe;
Now skill with British bravery joins,
   To strike one final blow,
Now on they rush with giant stroke,
   Ten thousand victims bleed:
They trample on the iron yoke
   Which France for us decreed.\(^{36}\)

The brave and skilful ‘British’ throw off the ‘iron yoke’ of France with one ‘giant stroke’ inflicting terrible injuries as ‘Ten thousand victims bleed’. It all feels utterly unreal because this is not a poem about the rough and tumult of battle but about the principles of self-defence, self-determination and being generous in victory. In its execution ‘The Defeat’ is part of what Gerard Carruthers terms ‘Tannahill’s usually all too abstract approach to poetry’ but Tannahill is making serious points with regard to political philosophy and battlefield conduct, and political philosophy and the principles of battlefield conduct are abstract by definition.\(^{37}\)

Now, while Humanity’s warm glow,
   Half weeps the guilty slain,
Let conquest gladden every brow,
   And god-like mercy reign.\(^{38}\)

Once the invaders have been defeated it is time for ‘god-like mercy’, implying that the bodies of the dead, the wounded and prisoners of war, must be treated with respect; these lines also imply that the fighting stops, the British do not respond with further aggression, the invaders have been repelled and that is the end of the conflict. The victors are seen to ‘half weep’ over the suffering they have inflicted on the ‘guilty’, ‘slain’ French. However,
while it is definitely pro-British and anti-French, it can also be read as anti-imperialist in that the only justification for any nation to take up arms is for its ‘native rights to save’.

The emotional response Tannahill generally attempted to evoke with regard to soldiers was one of sympathy for their suffering, unlike Wellington who as Gillian Russell points out, ‘at one time described the ordinary soldiers who had fought for him in the Peninsula as “the scum of the earth”’.39 Looking at ‘The Defeat’ in its entirety, what we have is a mildly anti-French lyric concerned with the morality of the victorious in their battlefield conduct, and the right to defend one’s country against invasion. There is a sense that ‘The Defeat’ of the title represents not just the defeat of the French invaders, but a defeat or failure of European humanity, in that the dreadful day has come whereby ‘Britain’s sons, in stern array’ are forced regrettably, yet justifiably and bravely, into battle against a European aggressor. And while it is an abstract lyric it does present a logical political position, by suggesting that if Britain must defend itself then it must do so on its own soil with a ruthless efficiency in battle and compassion in victory. Another interesting aspect of ‘The Defeat’ is its use of euphemism as a retreat from the grimmer realities of the battlefield. There are no bodies hacked to bits, no graphic descriptions of the sights, smells and sounds of killing. Instead, it gives us an idealised sketch of a battle that is abstracted into principles. In this way Tannahill shields both himself and the reader from the truly atrocious nature of war.

* 

Tannahill was capable of declaring anti-French sentiments, and does so again in his 1807 poem, ‘Ode for Burns’ Anniversary Meeting’ but he is never as virulently patriotic as John
Mayne or Dibdin in his Foxite-Whig ‘Song’. (All three of Tannahill’s ‘Odes’ for Burns’ nights can be seen as rather elaborate party pieces or performance poems). In the 1807 piece there are four earlier works Tannahill has borrowed from: the structure of ‘Recitative’ and ‘Song’ from ‘The Jolly Beggars’, the opening from Amwell’s ‘The Drum’, and much of the content and argument of the second half of the piece is influenced by Burns’s ‘The Cotter’s Saturday Night’ and ‘The Dumfries Volunteers’. This is perhaps the least successful of his ‘Odes’ for the Paisley Burns’ Society and opens with the phrase ‘While Gallia’s chief’, specifically referring to Napoleon, so making a distinction between the French government and people. Then in an echo of the vocabulary of John Scott of Amwell’s anti-war song ‘The Drum’ - an echo which should not be underestimated in its importance given the ubiquity of Scott of Amwell’s song and its identification with anti-war opinion - he says of the war with France:

While Gallia’s chief, with cruel conquests vain,
Bids clanging trumpets rend the skies,
The widow’s, orphan’s and the father’s sighs,
Breathe hissing through the guilty strain;
Mild Pity hears the harrowing tones,
Mixed with shrieks and dying groans;
While warm Humanity, afar,
Weeps o’er the ravages of war,
And shudd’ring, hears Ambition’s servile train
Rejoicing o’er their thousands slain.

Tannahill, from ‘Burns’ Anniversary Meeting, 1807’

Having reported on the current state of war in the world, in the second part of his opening stanza Tannahill suggests that these concerns be put to one side and the gathering enjoy themselves in the appreciation of poetry and song. Within this first stanza there is a sense that ‘Gallia’s chief’ is a metaphor for the warrior leader (as is Alexander the Great elsewhere in Tannahill’s poetry) whose ‘worth’ is not great when compared with that of the poet, in this case Robert Burns. Further, in his weeping the narrator still finds time to
reflect upon the consequences of the war. The lines, ‘While warm Humanity, afar,/ Weeps 
o’er the ravages of war,/ And shudd’ring, hears Ambition’s servile train/ Rejoicing o’er 
their thousands slain’, reveal a position very close to pacifism. These lines can be regarded 
as Tannahill’s version of Scott of Amwell’s famous song, a kind of early nineteenth-
century sampling, and all that really distinguishes it from ‘The Drum’ are technical and 
structural variations. By saying ‘warm Humanity afar’ the voice suggests that where the 
‘shrieks and dying groans’ of war are located is on a battlefield inhabited by men who have 
somehow lost their humanity when compared to those who are living in peace: the soldiers 
in battle have lost the positive aspects of their ‘nature’ as embodied in someone like 
Alexander Wilson and his ‘warm descriptive pen’. Human beings are corrupted and 
debased on the battlefield. One of the causes of war pinpointed is ‘Ambition’ (a quality 
also seen as negative by Pope in his ‘Essay on Man’). Not simply the personal ambition of 
individuals but specifically the ‘Ambition’ of those in positions of leadership. Tannahill 
then moves to consider a generalised ‘Humanity’, and views the war from the moral 
perspective of the price in lives and misery generated: ‘Mild Pity hears the harrowing 
tones,/ Mixed with shrieks and dying groans’. He contrasts this with the ‘Rejoicing’ of 
‘Ambition’s servile train’. That is, all that can be gained from war is the glorification of 
leaders and their imperial ambitions at the cost of death and destruction to powerless 
individuals and families. Tannahill’s view chimes with that of Shelley, who, according J. R 
Watson, thought that ‘all kings […] were responsible for war’.

While Napoleon was an emperor and not strictly a king, both authors considered him as equivalent in his pursuit of 
empire through military adventure. Interestingly, the vocabulary of Scott of Amwell’s ‘The 
Drum’ also entered into William Godwin’s prose:
The plain is strewn with death in all its forms. Anguish and wounds display the diversified modes in which they can torment the human frame. Towns are burned; ships are blown up in the air, while the mangled limbs descend on every side; the fields are laid desolate; the wives of the inhabitants are exposed to brutal insult; and their children driven forth to hunger and nakedness.45

Tannahill was not alone in borrowing from ‘The Drum’ and in doing so he was aligning himself with an entire raft of anti-war writers and texts. Fear of French invasion had an impact on Tannahill’s poems and songs. So while he loathed the war, he also loathed the thought of French invasion and fear of it spurred him towards the patriotic.

Another level of sophistication in the opening of the 1807 ‘Ode’ lies in the use of metaphors concerning the qualities of sounds and music. Perception of and sensitivity to the conduct of war are related to hearing and noise [sound], and it is when the ‘song [sound] to worth is given’ and written ‘to humanize the soul’ that it is worthy of real humanity, and Burns is depicted as the embodiment of this worthy humanity. Once the opening stanza is finished the singing commences to an air composed by R. A. Smith:

Tho dark scowling Winter, in dismal array,  
Re-marshals his storms on the bleak hoary hill,  
With joy we assemble to hail the gre
tat day  
That gave birth to the Bard who ennobles our isle.  
Then loud to his merits the song let us raise,  
Let each true Caledonian exult in his praise;  
For the glory of genius, its dearest reward,  
Is the laurel entwin’d by his country’s regard.46

The piece now moves away from concern with present problems and enters into uncritical lauding of Burns before ending with a final recitative stanza where Burns is celebrated as a patriotic guardian of ‘native’ Scottish rights:

Yes, Caledonians! to our country true,  
Which Danes or Romans never could subdue,
Firmly resolved our native rights to guard,
Let’s toast, “The Patriot and the Patriot Bard.”

There is a problem, however, related to the use of the concept of patriotism in this ‘Ode’. This arises due to the conflation of different patriotism. There is the kind of patriotism Burns espouses in ‘The Cotter’s Saturday Night’, Scotland as a humble but brave nation that stands against tyranny; a British patriotism related to the war with France; and a new patriotism invoked through the figure of Burns himself as a bard of genius who allows Scotland entry into the world of great literary nations in the Readian sense that:

Greece has its Homer and Rome its Vergil; England its Shakespeare and France its Racine; Italy its Dante and Germany its Goethe.

Burns in the ‘Cotter’s Saturday Night’, gives us ‘Wallace’ as an example of the ideal patriot. While here, in1807, Tannahill is carrying out what might be termed Burns’s instructions to ‘the Patriot and the Patriot-bard./ In bright succession raise’. Tannahill’s ‘Patriot’ is the Scottish warrior who has resisted Agricola, Hadrian and Rome, the Danes, and up to a point the English; and is now ready to resist Bonaparte. However, the Scottish patriot resisting French invasion is in fact part of a British political narrative regardless of how Scottish this patriot feels. This historically defined military-patriotic-hero is however slightly less worthy than the ‘Patriot Bard’. In opening the verse Tannahill is specifically talking about Napoleon, ‘Gallia’s chief’; but towards the end of the piece there is ambiguity with regard to national identity, Britishness creeps in as Tannahill taking his cue from Burns’s ‘The Dumfries Volunteers’, writes ‘Haughty Gallia threats our coast’; as Tannahill’s opening conception of Napoleonic imperialism or ‘Gallia’s chief, with cruel conquests vain’ is generalised into stereotypical French arrogance, and the words are to be
sung to ‘Marsh’s National Air, “Britons who for freedom bled”’. The ideas of Scotland as a martial nation - ‘Ye taught your sons to fight, yet feel/ The dictates of humanity’ - and resistance to invasion combine to oppose Napoleon, though this is tempered by ideas of an undefined Scottish humanitarianism and the right of Scots, in this context the Scots as part of Britain, to live freely according to their customs in their own country. Tannahill does emphasise ‘Caledonians’ who are ‘Firmly resolved our native rights to guard’, so while there is a creeping Britishness, it is more about resistance and the preservation of something identifiably Scottish than it is about attack, expansionism and empire.

**Conclusion**

Tannahill shows little enthusiasm for war. Fear of French invasion and a dislike of Napoleon pricked him into support for the principle of national self-defence and in this he was clearly influenced by Burns’s ‘The Dumfries Volunteers’. In ‘The Defeat’ we encounter Tannahill at his furthest outpost of British patriotism, but even here, this is patriotism conceived in ‘gloom’ and mitigated by ‘mercy’. Another factor to be taken into account when considering ‘The Defeat’ is the sheer popularity and number of such poems.

As Simon Bainbridge points out:

> the *Gentleman’s Magazine*’s own poetry section published well over sixty patriotic invasion poems during the six months from July to December 1803.\(^5\)

The February 1805 edition of the *Gentleman’s Magazine* comments that in prose as well as poetry ‘the universal object of patriotic Britons is, to pursue and expose the Invader of the rights of human kind’.\(^5\) ‘The Defeat’ almost conforms to this ideologically driven literary project and it is at the same time consistent with the Burns of the ‘The Dumfries
Volunteers’. Further, the *Gentleman’s Magazine* can be seen as indulging in a piece of sophisticated ideological argument or propaganda through which people who held a rather neutral position on the war but feared French invasion - and held to the view that ‘No war is justifiable but a war purely defensive’ - could be brought on board with those of a more patriotic, imperialistic British outlook by suggesting that those who were prepared to fight in self-defence were ultimately in the same boat as those British patriots who supported the prosecution of the war outside the islands of Britain. However, nowhere in his writing does Tannahill express enthusiastic approval for the British fighting abroad and while ‘The Defeat’ can be seen in isolation as dovetailing with the ideology of the *Gentleman’s Magazine*, his work overall shows resistance to this conflation of war overseas with self-defence under the umbrella of British patriotism. ‘The Defeat’ attempts to detach British patriotism from British imperialist ideology and wars overseas, and Tannahill is portraying the true British patriot as one who does not invade foreign lands but only takes up arms to defend ‘native rights’ against an ‘invading foe’. These are subtle but important distinctions, especially when Tannahill argues in ‘Epistle to Robert Allan’ that the war in Europe is in reality being fought over ‘nought in faith’. However, that argument is somewhat contradicted by poems and songs that celebrate a British national identity responsive to the spectre of French invasion.

* 

Although in Scotland today we rarely see the deployment of troops on the streets, nor do we expect one in five adult males to be in military service, for Robert Tannahill these factors were immediate and real. It does appear that he had contradictory attitudes of support for Britain on the one hand but opposition to war on the other, and perhaps it was
in an attempt to escape such contradictions that he chose to write about nature. Bainbridge reminds us that ‘many texts of the period testify to the extent to which British society was militarised during these years, and especially during periods of invasion crisis’. Much of Tannahill’s work is a commentary on the war situation; it constituted a huge part of his social world and a substantial part of his literary output. Tannahill saw war as a ‘system’ to be opposed. He expressed a view of History as ‘endless war’. This constant warring he considered lamentable; even if at the same time the courage and sacrifice of individual soldiers was admirable, this admiration remained tainted with a deep sense of sadness and grief. The influence of the war on his writing is also illustrated in his dramatic Interlude, *The Soldier’s Return*, to which we now turn.
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4. The Soldier’s Return: A Pastoral Drama

‘The Soldier’s Return’ is dramatic, and I hope having the pleasure of yet seeing you perform a part in it. ’Tis a Scottish Interlude, in two acts. Alas! it was poor Pollock who is now in his grave, with our most worth friend, Livingstone, who set me first to it.

Robert Tannahill, from a letter to James Clark, 2nd February, 1807.¹

THE SOLDIER’S RETURN: A READING

Tannahill’s poem, ‘PROLOGUE to The Gentle Shepherd’², could as appropriately be recited as a prologue to his own drama, The Soldier’s Return. In his references to Allan Ramsay, Pope, Burns and Dryden, considerable clues are given to the influences that were operating on Tannahill in the composition of his pastoral drama. With regard to Ramsay, it is probable that Tannahill saw The Gentle Shepherd (first published 1725) as a Scottish pastoral form distinct from but related to Alexander Pope’s and other English, and European, variations of pastoral.³ The poem ends with quotations from Burns and Pope:

“Aiblins tho’ we winna’ stand the test,
“Wink hard an’ say, The folks hae done their best.”
An’ keep this gen’rous maxim still in min’,
“To err is human, to forgive divine!”⁴

The lines of Burns come from his ‘Scots Prologue, For Mrs Sutherland’s Benefit Night, Spoken at the Theatre, Dumfries’⁵, in which he laments the lack of a Scottish Shakespeare. Pope’s line is from ‘An Essay on Criticism’, in which his condemnation of ‘Lust of Praise’ accords with Tannahill’s general mistrust of critics and ‘Ambition’.⁶ Pope’s ‘Three Theatrical Pieces’ may also be seen as having some influence on Tannahill’s ‘Prologue to The Gentle Shepherd’. In particular, his ‘Prologue to Mr Addison’s Tragedy of Cato’, where Pope appeals to ‘a British ear’,⁷ has a parallel in Tannahill’s appeal to a sense of Scottishness, whereby the actors are to be given a certain latitude with regard to the professionalism of their performance by an audience who are admonished to take into
account that the play is their ‘ain auld “Gentle Shepherd”.’ Tannahill’s quotation of Pope suggests that he was aware of the Jacobite tendencies within the pastoral tradition, as does his lauding of Ramsay. In ‘A Discourse on Pastorals’, Pope gives a clear definition of the pastoral, to which the metaphors of retreat and return can be seen as an addition:

A Pastoral is an imitation of the action of a shepherd, or one considered under that character. The form of this imitation is dramatic, or narrative, or mix’d of both; the fable simple, the manners not too polite nor too rustic: The thoughts are plain yet admit a little quickness and passion,… …In short, the fable, manners, thoughts and expressions, are full of the greatest simplicity in nature.

In The Soldier’s Return Tannahill conforms to the spirit of Pope’s definition if not the letter. Allan Ramsay applies Pope’s principles in the context of a Scottish vernacular dramatic opera with some success. Indeed, The Gentle Shepherd has not one but four shepherds; Symon, Glaud, Roger and Patie, ‘The Gentle Shepherd in love with Peggy’. There is more narrative than drama, though his addition of extra songs in 1728 ‘when the play was changed into a ballad-opera for the pupils of Haddington Grammar School… helped keep it alive and popular.’

The loss of the Stuarts to Scotland is signified in The Gentle Shepherd by Laird Worthy’s absence and his return sees the world put back to rights and thus is revealed the underlying, or allegorical, message - calling for a return to a Golden Age of Stuart rule in which clan-based social relations would be the natural order:

The Jacobite notes in The Gentle Shepherd are sounded in a minor key: but the play makes it clear that only the return of Scotland’s legitimate king can restore order, release possibility, and confirm the status quo ante so necessary to the timeless ideology of pastoral.

Ramsay’s emphasis on the parentage and family lineage of the rustic characters, strengthens the clannish nature of the piece, demonstrating his sympathies with the Clan
system itself and therefore, though at one step removed, with Jacobite Scots:

If Ramsay makes use of the image of the Highlander as patriot, he also
seems alert to the Jacobite topos of retreat, giving a codified account of
pastoral made manifest in his famous play *The Gentle Shepherd*.\textsuperscript{13}

There are also references in Ramsay’s text which underscore, from Tannahill’s perspective,
the Scottish Presbyterian notion of the value of education, whilst addressing the question of
literacy, and the place of reading and learning within the social order:

Like the rough diamond, as it leaves the mine,
Only in little breakings shews its light,
Till artfu’ polishing made it shine:
Thus education makes the genius bright.\textsuperscript{14}

\textit{Act 3, Scene IV.}

The question of literacy lies easily within the context of the religious, political and social
divisions of early eighteenth-century Scotland. Ramsay’s emphasis is suggestive of the
Jacobite enthusiasm for poetry, music, song and artistic expression:

But ye maun keep the flute, ye best deserv’t
Now tak it out, and gie’s a bonny spring,
For I’m in tift to hear you play and sing.\textsuperscript{15}

\textit{Act 1, Scene I.}

From a Jacobite perspective, the political necessity to marry ‘high’ and ‘folk’ art to help
keep alive an ideology which was losing its metropolitan currency and was under attack,
meant a progressive outlook towards education and literacy was just as important as it was
for Presbyterians. Maybe more so, as for Jacobites it was about the survival of their culture,
ideas and political influence:

high cultural Scots… found a link with folk culture as a necessary defence
mechanism.\textsuperscript{16}

For Jacobite sympathisers it made sense to connect with artisans, agricultural workers and
those who were not of property through the use of drama, poetry and song. Communicating
the merits of Jacobite patriotism was seen as desirable at all social levels:
Because of the conflation of Jacobite and patriotic feeling, high and low culture were brought together.¹⁷

In writing *The Gentle Shepherd*, Ramsay was taking an active part in this process, as Murray Pittock argues:

Ramsay did much to secure a connexion between the high ground of mediaeval Scots and current speech.¹⁸

Ramsay’s opera-based drama enjoyed popularity and success over a considerable period of time. Burns’s ‘Jolly Beggars’ - another important influence in the composition of *The Soldier’s Return* - remains quite popular today, while Tannahill’s drama is little known and has not been performed often, if at all in its entirety since 1804, when it was written.¹⁹ Like Ramsay’s *Gentle Shepherd*, ‘The Jolly Beggars’ has more ribaldry than *The Soldier’s Return*. Burns showed courage in writing of everyday life where the piety of the subject matter and of himself may have been called into question. His description of the Soldier and his lover or ‘doxy’ in ‘The Jolly Beggars’ is vivid and daring:

His doxy lay within his arm;
Wi’ USQUEBAE an’ blankets warm,
She blinket on her Sodger:
An’ ay he gies the tozie drab
The tither skelpin kiss,
While she held up her greedy gab,
Just like an aumous dish:
Ilk smack still, did crack still,
Like ony cadger’s whip;
Then staggering, an’ swaggering.
He roar’d this ditty up—²⁰

Neither in his ‘Prologue’, nor in his drama, does Tannahill reach the intensity of ‘Jolly Beggars’, although joy is expressed in the ‘Prologue’ with regard to the Scottish authorship and content of *The Gentle Shepherd* and the fact that it has remained popular after three quarters of a century:
We come this night wi’ nae new-fangl’d story
O’ knave’s deceit, or fop’s vain blust’ring glory,
Nor harlequine’s wild pranks, wi’ skin like leopard,—
We’re come to gie your ain auld “Gentle Shepherd:”
Whilk ay will charm, an’ will be read, an’ acket,
Till Time himsel’ turn auld, an’ kick the bucket.
I mind, langsyne, when I was just a callan,
That a’ the kintra rang in praise o’ Allan;
Ilk rising generation toots his fame,
And, hun’er years to come, ’twill be the same:²¹

Tannahill then gives his opinion of the characters involved and cleverly inserts a quotation from John Dryden’s *Alexander’s Feast*²², which he gently Scotticises. Dryden’s line is subtly changed from ‘None but the brave deserves the fair,’ to ‘For, “Nane, except the brave, deserve the fair”’. Tannahill disrupts the rhythm of the original line and transforms it into something more suited to the Scottish voice and ear. While this may be no more than a token gesture by Tannahill regarding the Anglicisation of Scots, he has chosen a very powerful line of English verse to disrupt, indicating confidence in his own voice and culture. Dryden’s slightly altered line sits perfectly well in the context of Tannahill’s poem and while it highlights the question of Anglicisation of Scots, it also recognises a shared linguistic and literary heritage between lowland Scots and English.²³

In *The Soldier’s Return*, a combination of Jacobite and Presbyterian sensibilities are brought together with contemporary concerns of the French war, and, the principle of people before money. Tannahill can possibly be seen as anti-Jacobin in that his sympathies appear to lie more with the British than the French, yet this is all indicative of the complexity of being alive in, and writing about, Scotland in 1804. However, in choosing to write *The Soldier’s Return* as a pastoral in the style of Ramsay, Tannahill subtly invokes Jacobite symbolism and the myth of the Highlander as patriotic warrior; connecting these
with the role of Britain at war with France.

* 

On the 18th of November 1804, the actor William Livingstone, then in Kirkcudbright, wrote to Robert Tannahill:

Your love ballad pleased me extremely, and I am impatient for the ‘Sodger’s Return.’ I hope you will send me it, and whatever else you can, with the first carrier.\(^{24}\)

This gives an idea of the period during which the piece was written, and just before its publication in 1807, Tannahill remained excited about its prospects. He wrote to his friend James Clark anxious to know what he thought of it:

I will take it very kind if you will write as soon as you see the proposals, and let me know how you relish the specimen of the Scottish Interlude, and how affairs are going on in Edinburgh.\(^{25}\)

In the preface to his 1807 edition, which Tannahill calls an ‘ADVERTISEMENT’, he states of *The Soldier’s Return*:

The INTERLUDE was undertaken by the desire of the late Mr. Archibald Pollock, Comedian; but, alas! ere it was well begun, his last ACT was played. He was a worthy man and died deeply regretted by all who knew him.\(^{26}\)

The dramatic forms of both interlude and pastoral traditionally contain supernatural elements. At the court of Henry VIII, interludes, or short anti-Catholic farces, were performed for amusement in gaps between longer, serious religious or devotional ceremonies. Tannahill’s drama has elements of both pastoral and interlude, though it is not based on the traditional eclogue, its supernatural element is ridiculously farcical and involves Muirland Willie, owing to his bad eyesight, mistaking Harry for a Dragon. The supernatural, while rooted in folk-tale, is also a feature of French baroque pastorals: foundlings too have something of the supernatural or fantastic about them, as does
Tannahill’s notion of the divine and redemptive qualities of a humanity freed from the material corruption of money and war. Yet Harry’s tenuous foundling status has no real relationship to the supernatural; the fact that he is a Highlander and therefore naturally adept in the arts of war is what his paralleling of the foundling convention in pastoral as a genre is designed to signify. Harry’s return from war (the soldier’s return of the title) is a form of redemption allowing him to re-enter a Scottish agrarian idyll. Harry sings:

We’ll meet beside the dusky glen, on yon burn side,  
Whar bushes form a cozie den, on yon burn side,  
Tho the broomy knowes be green  
Yet, there we may be seen,  
But we’ll meet — we’ll meet at e’en, down by yon burn side.\(^{27}\)

Although Tannahill takes Ramsay’s pastoral as a model for *The Soldier’s Return*, there are important differences between the two. Both settings are agricultural but none of Tannahill’s characters are necessarily shepherds, though Harry ‘deftly hel the pleugh’ and looked after livestock including sheep before being recruited into the Laird’s ‘Scotch Regiment’.\(^{28}\) In terms of Pope’s definition of a pastoral, Harry’s work on the farm at Glenfeoch qualifies him not as a shepherd but as ‘one who can be considered under that character’. However, Harry is not only a shepherd of a kind but also a soldier and it is his enforced absence due to soldiering, rather than the fact that he happened to work with livestock, that is really of importance. The centrality of a genuine soldier of humble origin is a departure from Ramsay’s *Gentle Shepherd*, where the title character turns out to be the Laird’s son. In this sense, *The Soldier’s Return* does develop the form of Scots pastoral in a new way, where the Laird is secondary to an ordinary soldier, a development that owes much to Burns and his ‘Jolly Beggars’.

The returned soldier is very much to the fore in ‘The Jolly Beggars’. We see him
deformed, yet defiant. For Tannahill, the return of Harry sees the birth of a new age of peace in which the returned soldier is rewarded for his military service with a rural life free of want or care. For Burns, the return of the soldier has no such meaning, there is no happy end to the war system, life goes on in all its frail and uncertain glory. What Tannahill owes specifically to Burns’s ‘Jolly Beggars’ is the central character of the returned soldier who is not from a wealthy background. Beyond that, Tannahill uses pastoral Jacobite symbolism borrowed from Ramsay - or ‘the topos of the retreat’ to use Pittock’s phrase 29 - to envisage a world in which the prize is not the restoration of Stuart rule, but one where peace itself occupies the throne. 

Harry’s journey in the play, takes him from bachelor agricultural worker, to soldier, to married agricultural worker. There is a sense in which he can be seen as having performed a ritualised leaving of, and returning to, the community that has nurtured him. He leaves a youth, lives through the horrors of war, and returns a man. His absence causes great heartache for Jean, as Mirren (her mother) expresses: ‘An now she [Jean] sits a day, sae dowf an blearie./An sings luve sangs about her Heilan Harry’. With regard to Harry’s absence Jean says, ‘Och! a’s gane wrang since Harry gaed awa’’. 30 Harry’s return parallels that of Ramsay’s Laird Worthy, whereby there is an end to the grief and social fragmentation that has been present during their absence.

The Laird in The Soldier’s Return is ‘Colonel of a Scotch Regiment.’ – and the other characters are given as follows:

MEN.

GAFFER, the LAIRD’S Tenant.
MUIRLAND WILLIE, an old rich dotard.
HARRY, in love with Jean.
WOMEN.

Mirren, Gaffer’s Wife, a foolish old woman.
Jean, Daughter of Gaffer and Mirren, beloved by Willie but in love with Harry. (p. 10)

Consisting of two acts The Soldier’s Return is about one third as long as The Gentle Shepherd though it has a similar romantic plot and uses a variation of the foundling motif with regard to Harry:

Harry. While I was yet a boy, my parents died,  
And left me poor and friendless, wand’ring wide,  
Your goodness found me, ’neath your fost’ring care,  
I learn’d those precepts which I’ll still revere. (p. 36.)

The character of Muirland Willie is not unlike Ramsay’s Bauldy, both being auld men with a roving eye for a young woman who is in love with someone else; both Willie and Bauldy are unconscious dupes in episodes of supernatural spoof. In each piece, the Lairds are benevolent, depicted as arbiters of justice promoting the common good, reflecting the old Scottish Tory viewpoint of harmony between Laird and tenants. Tannahill’s older woman, Mirren, differs considerably from Ramsay’s women who are altogether more humorous and mischievous. Nevertheless, Mirren’s character flaws are not entirely rigid and by the end of the play, her view has changed from one of cynicism and avarice to one inclined towards an understanding and acceptance that human beings have value beyond the material and monetary.

Mirren’s lines which open the play let us know that she is a woman of strong views, who sees Gaffer, her husband, as a romantic dreamer without the sense to guide their daughter in the right direction. According to Mirren, Gaffer should strongly advise Jean to marry the auld dotard Muirland Willie, the only locally available man who happens to have
some spare cash:

Love should be free! — My trouth, but ye craw crouse,
You a Gudeman, an’ canna’ rule your house!
Had I a father’s pow’r, I’d let her see,
Wi’ vengeance, whether or no that love be free.
She kens right well Muirland has ilk thing ready,
An’s fit to keep her busket like a lady: (p. 11.)

To begin with Mirren is thoroughly convinced that happiness can be bought and wants Jean to marry old Muirland Willie for wealth rather than love. Jean, on the other hand, is in love with Harry who is closer to her own age. An important moral and philosophical concern of the play is how human social virtues take precedence over wealth. The dialogue returns to this point again and again. In Harry’s opening lines the principle is presented as a specifically Scottish virtue:

Tir’d with the painful sight of human ills,
Hail Caledonia! hail my native hills!
Here exiled virtue rears her humble cell,
With nature’s jocund, honest sons to dwell;
And hospitality, with open door,
Invites the stranger and the wand’ring poor;
Tho’ winter scowls along our northern sky,
In hardships rear’d we learn humanity: (p. 15)

Mirren’s journey from money-grubber to realising the true benefits of social virtue over wealth (something Gaffer knows intuitively from the start), is the dramatic pivot for her in the play. The following quotations illustrate Gaffer’s and Mirren’s difference in outlook at the beginning of the piece:

[Gaf.] …But Muirland’s up in years, an’ shame to tell,
He’s ne’er been married, though as auld’s mysel’; […]
Sooner shall roses in December blaw,
Sooner shall tulips flourish i’ the snaw,
Sooner the woods shall bud wi’ winter’s cauld
Than lasses quit a young man for an auld: (p. 12)
* Mir. Dochter, come here:— noo let us reason civil.
Isn’t siller mak’s oor ladies gang sae braw?
Isn’t siller buys their clueks an’ bonnets a’?
Isn’t siller busks them up wi’ silks an’ satins,
Wi’ umbellas, muffs, claeth-shoon, an patons?
Our Lady,— what is it gars us curtsey till her,
An ca’ her Mam? why, just cause she has siller;
Isn’t siller mak’s oor gentles fair an’ sappy?
Whilk lets us see, it’s siller maks fouks happy. (p. 13)

Gaffer has more sympathy for his daughter’s predicament than for his wife’s scheme to marry her off to Willie, whereby Jean would attain material wealth but moral bankruptcy and unhappiness. Gaffer’s intuitive disapproval of a match between Willie and Jean is later given explicit expression and authority by the Laird when he says, in an example of classless rural wisdom, ‘Money is no equivalent for life’ (p. 32). Nevertheless, Mirren’s intelligence lies in her observation, ‘what is it gars us curtsey till her, An ca’ her Mam? why, just cause she has siller’. But it is her attempt to become like the rich rather than adhere to the principle of equality of worth that leads her to take the wrong course of action concerning Jean. When she recognises her earlier miscalculation Mirren becomes - rather annoyingly in comparison to her earlier sharp tongue - an obedient wife, and in a state of regret at her own misjudgement with regard to Jean’s matrimony, she says to Gaffer:

Gudewives shou’d ay be subject to their men;
I’ll never speak contrar to your will again. (p. 35)

Gaffer is rather pleased with Mirren’s newfound obedience but his ultimate pleasure resides in the apparent universality of the maxim ‘That “Virtue ever is its own reward”’ (p. 36). Mirren’s pleasure and obedience can also be seen to arise from the fact that the Laird is very pleased with the match between Jean and Harry, rewarding them both morally and
materially. Jean’s material and moral wellbeing have been safeguarded by her betrothal to Harry. Mirren has learned that if one does the right thing for the right reasons then rewards will come, whereas at the beginning of the play in attempting to secure her daughter’s financial future she was doing the wrong thing for the wrong reason.

The denouement is ultimately determined by Harry’s skills as a soldier when he saves the Laird’s life, and Gaffer’s stoical, solid faith that to do the right thing for the right reason is its own reward. Jean and Harry are presented as having a morality by virtue of their youth that has let them remain unsullied by the world. This makes Mirren’s earlier injunction to her daughter ‘Peace, wardless slut—O, whan will youth be wise!’ (p. 14) ring cynical and hollow as Jean is far from ‘a wardless slut’ but a young women with the good sense to trust her instincts, deriving from her own youthful, innocent wisdom. Gaffer’s intuitive sense of moral virtue, a quality he has kept since childhood, is also unsullied. He has remained true to his values throughout and his real reward is to see his daughter happy and wife content. The reason for Mirren’s contentment is not an issue for Gaffer. It is sufficient in itself:

[Gaf. …] And what we give to succour the distrest,  
Calls down from Heav’n a blessing on the rest. (p. 36)

Philosophically, Tannahill’s narrative is driven by at least three underlying principles; that everyone is of worth regardless of their station or wealth; that people are fundamentally social and have responsibility for the welfare of each other; and that there is a contract between governors and the governed whereby the power of the governors is conditional upon their virtue, or governance for the common good.

These philosophical considerations are placed side by side with questions of war in its real historical manifestation at the Battle of Aboukir, where French cannon fired on
disembarking British troops on March 8th 1801, the day on which Harry saved the Laird’s life. When Harry is alone, or alone with Jean, he expresses a horror of the acts of war and of the homesickness of the soldier abroad:

[Harry] When fate and adverse fortune bore me far,
O’er field and flood to join the din of war,
My young heart sicken’d, gloomy was my mind,
My love, my friends, my country all behind.
But whether toss’d upon the briny flood,
Or drag’d to combat in the scene of blood,
HOPE, like an angel, charm’d my cares away,
And pointed forward to this happy day. (p. 16)

Yet when reunited with Gaffer in the presence of the Laird, Harry’s horror of war is toned down, indeed Gaffer’s welcoming words allow Harry to avoid the subject altogether:

[Gaf.] … Thrice welcome, lad, here—gie’s a shake o’ your paw!
Ye’ve mended hugely since ye gaed awa’.

Harry. Yes, sodg’ring brushes up a person’s frame,
But at the heart, I hope I’m still the same. (p. 30)

All Harry is prepared to comment on is the fact that he hopes he has not been changed for the worse by his experiences of fighting the French in Egypt. No mention of ‘combat in the scene of blood,’ which Harry may be reluctant to comment upon as such talk might upset the Laird, placing in jeopardy the financing of his wedding to Jean. Further, the reunion is an occasion for rejoicing and talk of blood and war at this point would militate against the sense of the scene. As Gaffer says, ‘Fair fa’ your worth, my brave young sodger lad,/ To see you safe return’d my heart is glad;’ (p. 31). Which is in effect, the practical, topical point of the play – the return. The soldiering itself takes place off-stage, while the audience encounter an Edenic Scottish landscape where the young women are in a state of mourning over the absence of the young men forced abroad to fight, while older men like Muirland
Willie take advantage of that absence. Jean sings:

My bonnie lad was forc’d away,  
Tost on the raging billow,  
Perhaps he’s fa’n in bludy war,  
Or wreck’d on rocky shallow.  
Yet, ay I hope for his return,  
As round our wonted haunts I mourn,  
And often by the woodland burn  
I pu’ the weeping willow. (p. 23)

In the absence of concrete evidence one can only speculate on Tannahill’s motivation for writing the Interlude: his admiration for Ramsay and Burns and his desire to emulate them; the dramatic form gave him an opportunity to indulge his interests in poetry, song and theatre; the encouragement and memory of his friend Archibald Pollock who had died after giving him the initial impetus to write a piece for the stage; the chance to explore the themes of war, wealth, happiness and peace; the hope of making his mark as a writer and living up to Burns’s admonition, ‘Is there nae Poet, burning keen for Fame/ Will bauldly try to gie us Plays at hame?’ To Tannahill’s credit, he tackles serious concerns with humour and a lightness of touch that we tend to associate with romantic comedy. However, darker concerns lie beneath the surface.

‘The Jolly Beggars’ is much more raucous than The Soldier’s Return - they are very different kinds of text. Tannahill is slightly more genteel than Ramsay and both pieces are far less bawdy and drink-soaked than Burns’s. The characters Burns lets loose in Poosie Nancy’s public house are involved in a euphoric, alcohol-fuelled night of energy and freedom - bursting with music, song and sexual expression. Gone is necessity of the marriage bed to bestow respectability upon the lusty proceedings. There is love but it is not romanticised. The characters are desperate to wring all the joy they can from their night of revelry because life for them is full of poverty and hardship. None of Tannahill’s or
Ramsay’s characters are ‘outsiders’ in the way Burns’s are: there are no pickpockets or beggars but both Burns and Tannahill have a ‘sodger’ returned from the war. Burns’s sodger does not however return from war unscathed. He has one arm, one leg and has become a beggar. There is no happy pastoral future here although the soldier has a female lover, ‘his doxy’ who ‘once was a maid’ but has herself a past life of misery and emotional pain due to unfulfilled romantic longings. Tannahill’s Willie makes a series of veiled sexual references and is encouraged in this by Mirren but all of these remain within the context of the marriage bed or stop at kissing. Burns on the other hand implies that the sodger’s doxy has been molested by a Kirk Minister, far more sexually explicit than anything in Tannahill’s or Ramsay’s dramas. The romantic yearnings of Burns’s doxy and of Tannahill’s Jean are not really that different. Doxy’s song tells of past romantic disappointments. Jean sees her future with Willie as similarly soul destroying. Tannahill stresses the emotional level upon which Jean is bereft without her farmhand/soldier. Burns describes the sodger’s doxy’s emotional distress and loss of self-respect due to the actions of a corrupt Minister. She sings:

But the godly old Chaplain left him in the lurch,
The sword I forsook for the sake of the church;
He ventur’d the SOUL, and I risked the BODY,
’Twas then I proved false to my SODGER LADDIE.

Full soon I grew sick of my sanctified Sot,
The Regiment AT LARGE for a HUSBAND I got;
From the gilded SPONTOON to the FIFE I was ready;
I asked no more but a SODGER LADDIE.32

While Tannahill, like Ramsay, portraits the Laird in a positive light, he subtly undermines the Laird’s benevolence by having Jean blame him for her unhappiness because he has recruited Harry into a British army regiment. If not for that then Harry would be there at
home enabling her to fend off the approaches of Willie - if Willie would have dared approach with Harry present - and the scheming of Mirren. Nevertheless, the Laird redeems himself in Jean’s eyes by bringing Harry home safe - although in truth it is Harry who has brought the Laird home safe by saving his life in battle - and by providing the young couple with money. Money, according to Tannahill’s view of Presbyterian doctrine, is the very thing that should play no part in honest relationships between human beings so the Laird’s role is again made ambiguous. He is both a generous benefactor and a materialistic sinner in the ‘siller stands for sense’ mould.33

There is a kind of melancholy mourning which haunts The Soldier’s Return even though on the surface everything would appear to be ending happily and to the good. It is this strange irony regarding the role of money, suffused with the extreme emotions produced by war, that gives rise to pervasive poignancy expressed most clearly in Jean’s songs. This is a truly ironic point within The Soldier’s Return which is brought subtly and quietly into the light, unlike Burns who makes his irony obvious in ‘The Jolly Beggars’ with use of traditional verse structures and classical allusion when he says he has no knowledge or need of them. Structurally ‘The Jolly Beggars’ contains ‘Montgomerie’s Stanza, Ballad Royal, Standard Habbie […] trochaic metre’34 and while the Bard of the piece says, ‘I never drank the muses STANK,/ Castalia’s burn an a’ that,’35 it is quite clear that the opposite is the case for the writer of the cantata who has a working knowledge of ‘the muses;’ their place of abode, Mount ‘Helicon,’ and ‘Mars’ the God of War. Tannahill keeps his irony as low-key as possible. In fact there is a pervading sense of the low-key throughout The Soldier’s Return – an attempt to remain as rational as possible, of neither the writer nor the characters getting too carried away, neither black melancholic, nor
golden euphoric. The writer would somehow like to be as abandoned as Burns in ‘The Jolly Beggars’ which puts a gloss of pride and cheerful acceptance on essentially miserable lives, but there is something holding Tannahill back which produces an altogether more contained performance, in which he very quietly shouts, war and greed are both immoral and irrational. It’s almost as if Tannahill is saying, well here’s a wee happy story but actually life isn’t like this at all, underneath it is darker. It is ‘siller maks fouks happy;’ war splits lovers apart and it is the wealthy and powerful who are responsible for this. Jean sings:

My heart sank wi’ wae on the wearifu’ day,
When torn from my bosom they march’d him awa’,
He bade me farewell, he cried “O be leel.”
An’ his red cheeks war’ wet wi’ the tears that did fa’. (p. 14)

from, Our Bonnie Scots Lads

Tannahill presents us with these dark issues in the form of a mild pastoral comedy and that is another reason why his placing of the returning soldier in Ramsay’s pastoral setting has significance. Whether deliberate or not, and I suggest that it is, Tannahill has used Jacobite discourse and symbolism just at the point in history when the cause of the Jacobites may be seen as utterly lost, and the symbols contained in that discourse, especially that of return, are harnessed in a different way to express the possibility of escape from (and by implication resistance to) war itself.

Conceptually, Tannahill almost does the opposite to what Burns does in ‘The Jolly Beggars’. Tannahill takes ostensibly wholesome characters and highlights a more subtle underlying moral bankruptcy within society, which lurks there and deranges folk from social virtue with its money and wars. By contrast, Burns takes ostensibly degenerate characters, then shows how powerful institutions are responsible for that degeneracy, while his characters who are in reality good, proud, humane people, have been put into a state of
social deprivation by the depravity of their social superiors. Both writers are saying that there are social and political institutions with the potential to damage people but both have starting points and means of arriving that are wildly different. In opting for the pastoral, Tannahill largely operates within the constraints of the tradition, in that his characters are rural and wholesome. Burns on the other hand, chooses to fly more freely in terms of the content of his cantata, while employing traditional verse structure and classical allusion. Tannahill allows the philosophical questions directly arising from war and conflict as given expression by the characters to remain ambiguous within the drama. Though perhaps ultimately, and elsewhere in his writing, he was more inclined to blame Bonaparte rather than the British for the war, he doesn’t attribute blame in the Interlude itself, other than suggesting by association, a vague relationship between wealth, power and war. Where he does attribute blame it is through Jean’s insistence that Scotland’s young men are forced abroad to fight by powerful Britishers who can make these things happen.

There are six songs which punctuate *The Soldiers Return*; ‘Our Bonny Scots Lads,’ sung by Jean; ‘O lassie Will ye tak a Man,’ sung by Muirland Willie; ‘Blythe was the Time,’ and ‘Langsyne Beside the Woodlan Burn,’ both sung by Jean; ‘We’ll Meet Beside the Dusky Glen,’ and ‘From the Rude Bustling Camp,’ both sung by Harry. Some of these songs have music composed for them by John Ross of Aberdeen, others are to existing airs. Some in fact have ended up with two or three tunes, one traditional, one composed by Ross and yet another composed by Robert A. Smith. Song was a central part of Tannahill’s artistic activity and the songs of *The Soldier’s Return* are integral to the piece. While using structures and influences both Jacobite and Presbyterian, Tannahill presents songs not simply as set-pieces to punctuate the drama with light relief, but to give further depth of
understanding as to what the characters think and feel. In this way he offers an extra
dimension to the experience of the reader/viewer/listener, through the emotional impact of
lyric and music.

All of Tannahill’s song lyrics are original, but some are set to existing airs and
others are to original airs. That is, some are completely new songs formed from the essence
of older ideas, while others are old tunes with new words. The characters of Muirland
Willie and Harry, taken from Burns’s songs - ‘Muirland Willie’ and ‘The Sodger’s Return’ - are developed into fully formed personalities and placed in a new context, war
with Napoleon. Yet by using Ramsay’s pastoral as a model, this new context in which the
characters are set, is framed within a historically traditional form infused with Jacobite
ideology. The tradition of the pastoral itself as a means through which political statements
are made allegorically and by the use of codified word-symbols is something Tannahill
retains. Muirland Willie parallels Ramsay’s Bauldy but, even though the foundling motif
applies to Harry there is no real equivalent for him in The Gentle Shepherd. The reason
being that the Laird’s return (central for Ramsay) is not central for Tannahill; Harry takes
precedence over the Laird and therefore has a new significance as the returning soldier. As
the common-man without wealth or inherited familial status he has taken centre stage and
this too echoes Burns, who places beggars and outcasts centre stage.

The Jacobite ideology which sustains and gives meaning to code and symbol in
Ramsay’s play is beginning to break down. This is not to say that such symbols do not
retain a place within Scottish nationalist sentiment, but the meaning of the return is
focussed primarily, by Tannahill, on one of the mass of soldiers recruited into the British
army. In this, Tannahill is close to Burns’s practice in ‘The Jolly Beggars’, mixing and
matching form and content around the life of a soldier returned from conflict abroad. Tannahill has therefore moved on from the pastoral of *The Gentle Shepherd*. Of course the Laird is still present as an arbiter of social good who shares a certain outlook with his rural underlings, so the link has not been totally severed, however, the emphasis on Harry is indicative of a nascent politics of class.

As a drama, and in its execution as a piece of writing, *The Soldier’s Return* stands up extremely well beside *The Gentle Shepherd*. It has philosophical depth, a faster and more compact plot and a number of songs which became popular in their own right - as popular as Ramsay’s - out with their existence in the Interlude. Tannahill moves from a local to a broad vision. There is more going on in *The Soldier’s Return* than most critics have ever given Tannahill credit for.

It is perhaps, ironically, its strength as a ‘local’ piece that has led to its neglect. David Semple places *The Soldier’s Return* in a real location and identifies the characters, Jean, Mirren and Gaffer as being based on the Dewar family who lived at High Dykes, Criagenfeoch, a short distance north-west of Peesweep on the Gleniffer Braes. He also suggests the British Regiment in which Harry and the Laird served was the ‘79th Regiment or Cameron Highlanders’.

An important reason for the neglect of *The Soldier’s Return* after Tannahill’s death is that those who edited and published editions of his work failed to include it. This omission or suppression meant that most readers of Tannahill would have been unaware of the interlude until it was re-published in an 1860 edition of the works of Burns and Tannahill. Indeed, in the 1838 edition of Tannahill’s work edited by P. A. Ramsay, he states:
We allude to ‘The Soldier’s Return, a Scottish Interlude, in two Acts,’ which was published in the first edition of his Poems, but was omitted in most of the subsequent ones; neither has it been inserted in the present. The moral of the piece was good, but the plot was commonplace, and execution indifferent.\textsuperscript{38}

Both James J. Lamb in 1873 and David Semple in 1874 (and 1876) also rectified this problem.\textsuperscript{39} The nine hundred copies of the 1807 edition were no doubt rather rare after sixty-seven years and Lamb had it reprinted in 1873, while the following year Semple produced the centenary edition of Tannahill’s work which also included the play.

**CONCLUSION**

Tom Crawford suggests that ‘The Jolly Beggars’ is ‘the highest single achievement of popular song culture of the eighteenth-century.’ I would make no such grandiose claims for *The Soldier’s Return*, though the songs are of a high quality. Crawford further states that ‘The Jolly Beggars’ ‘makes a satisfying work of art out of the contradictions within any country or civilisation that has as its social ideal… “let every man soap his own beard”’.\textsuperscript{40}

It is in this sense I suggest, and hopefully have demonstrated, that *The Soldier’s Return* can stand comparison with Burns’s masterpiece. Both pieces engage with the ‘consciousness… of the real society’. And ‘the social character’ of both pieces critically comments on the Scotland in which the authors lived. Indeed, *The Soldier’s Return* does make ‘a satisfying work of art out of the contradictions\textsuperscript{41} that Tannahill lived with during the Napoleonic wars. While cognizant with the history of the genre, Tannahill moves the pastoral away from its ‘timeless ideology’ and into Scotland, as a part of Britain, engaged in war.

With *The Soldier’s Return* Tannahill attempted to enter more fully the intensely social world of musical theatre. However, in his attempt to enter this theatrical world he was disappointed, as he expresses at the end of a letter to James King in November 1807:
You have never mentioned the Interlude; I suspect that in general it is reckoned not much worth—I will now finish with some rhymes to you.\textsuperscript{42}

However, he did not give up hope and wrote to Thomas Stewart, the Greenock bookseller, in March 1810:

The Interlude in its published state, I am quite ashamed of, and have almost entirely new-modelled it. I am confident of its being altered to considerable advantage.\textsuperscript{43}

His interest in drama stands in opposition to his desire to wander the braes in solitude with only a book for company. Yet these contradictory drives helped give us a play that would perhaps stand up as well in performance today as Ramsay’s rather more widely known Gentle Shepherd. Unfortunately, the ‘new-modelled’ version is no longer extant so it will never be known whether it was ‘altered to considerable advantage’ or not.

\textbf{NOTES}
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5. Epistles and Rhymes

1807 Edition

With two important exceptions – ‘Epistle to Robert Allan’ and ‘Ode for Burns’ Anniversary, 1810’ – almost all of the extant poetry of Robert Tannahill is included in the edition that he published by subscription in May 1807.

Tannahill felt publication by subscription was difficult for a variety of reasons: he had to chase people for money, which led to a great sense of disappointment when they didn’t pay what they owed; the time consuming business of running what was in effect a mail order company, involving postage and a good deal of letter writing irritated him; depending on other people located in England and elsewhere to act as agents did not sit well with Tannahill as a person who disliked reliance upon others; finally, there was perhaps the niggling doubt that his work was not good enough to stand at the same level as ‘professional’ writers and that publication by subscription amounted to a form of ‘vanity publishing’. Some elements of these feelings were expressed by Tannahill in a letter to William Kibble written on April 11th 1807:

I hate dependence on printers, paper-folks, or anybody. On inquiry, they found I was poor. Nothing could be done without I found security. That was easily procured: then, they were most happy to serve me in anything I wanted. ’Tis the way of the world! Self-interest is the ruling passion. Merit might pine in obscurity for ever, if Pride, or Interest, for their own gratification, were not to hand the lone sufferer into public notice.¹

Over a year later, in July 1808, matters relating to the publication of the 1807 edition were still ongoing. Kibble wrote to Tannahill:

That you are chagrined at not receiving any account from me in the book affair, I am not in the least surprised, for if the case had been mine I should have been downright angry, indeed I have been ashamed to write my friend. I have been exceedingly unfortunate in your business. I shall state the matter to
you. It is now about nine months ago since an acquaintance of mine, whose name is John Livingston, called on me and informed me that he was going to Scotland, that he had a brother of the name of Peter Livingston, who was at that time in Paisley, a stone mason, and that he intended to take the way to Paisley on his way home, which is nigh Stirling, in order to see his brother, as he was a person whom I could trust, being acquainted with him for some years past. I gave him what money I had collected for your books, which amounted to £2 18s, two guineas and a half in gold, five shillings and sixpence in silver, and a letter for you. Receiving no answer from you, and being unsatisfied in my mind concerning the money, I wrote over to Bury to the man with whom he had lived, and he informed me that he had received a letter from him in Edinburgh, and that he not been in Paisley, but intended to be there by September next, yet never mentioned anything of my affair in his letter, which has made me more uneasy. I have seen his comrade whom he wrought with, and he told me that he would write him in the course of a few days, and would mention my business to him and procure all the information in his power. He gives Livingston a good character; but I am satisfied it was his duty to have written me, turn out which way it will. Misfortune comes not single-handed. I gave five copies of your book to Robert Blair, who you know lived in Preston at the time you lived in that place, and who was employed in the pedlar business for this some time past. I am informed he died in Bradford in Yorkshire, of a fever. I have not received one penny for them, although they were delivered and the money drawn for them. The Bolton people paid me except two copies, which it is doubtful if ever I shall receive, and two more at Stockport, which I think are safe.²

Despite the difficulties Tannahill found in publishing by subscription, on July 10th 1807 he deposited twenty pounds in the Paisley Union Bank which remained there until May 14th 1810, three days before his death. According to David Semple this money was the profit from *The Soldier’s Return, with other Poems and Songs.*³

The 1807 edition contained sixty-four poems and thirty-two songs. Indeed, the success of the songs encouraged Tannahill to continue in that direction, and afterwards he wrote very little verse that was not set to music. Considering the poems from this perspective, although he was not thoroughly satisfied with them, they do represent a very accurate picture of his work where poetry is concerned. With regard to song lyrics, the 1807 edition must be seen as wholly inadequate for proper critical engagement as it contains only a third of his output.
EPISTLES

Many details of the characteristics of Tannahill’s friendships and of his ‘social creed’ are given expression in his sequence of ‘Epistles’. There are at least twelve extant poetic epistles relating to Tannahill, nine from him to various friends, two addressed to Tannahill from James Scadlock, and one from William Finlayson to which he replied by letter on 5th March 1808. ‘Epistle to Robert Allan’ dated March 14th 1808 is extant in the original but appears in later editions of Tannahill’s work under the title ‘Epistle to Robert Allan, Kilbarchan 1807’.

Eight of Tannahill’s epistles were published in the 1807 edition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Addressee</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Pg. 1807 ed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Borland</td>
<td>Feb 1806</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James King</td>
<td>May 1802</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Scadlock</td>
<td>Apr 1803</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Wylie</td>
<td>Jan 1806</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Barr</td>
<td>Mar 1804</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Scadlock</td>
<td>Jun 1804</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Thomson</td>
<td>Jun 1805</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Buchanan</td>
<td>Aug 1806</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above table it can be seen that Tannahill did not publish his epistles in strict chronological order. The epistolary spine provides a thematic (and semi-chronological) core around which he organised the, generally, far shorter pieces in the collection.

‘Epistle to Alexander Borland, Feb 1806’ is a long, complex poem dealing with intricacies of personal and public morality, memory, mortality and maturity. Tannahill would have been thirty-one years old when he wrote:

We see the slave of av’rice grind the poor,
His thirst for gold increasing with his store;
...
On Pleasure’s flow’r-deck’d barge away he’s borne,
Supine, till ev’ry flow’r starts up a thorn.
Then all his pleasures fly, like air-blown bubbles;
He ruin’d, sinks, “amidst a sea of troubles.”
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This epistle could almost be given the alternative title of ‘Robert Tannahill’s Guide to Decent Living’. As he brings us into the world of alcohol, the balance between temperance, over-indulgence and all things in moderation are key to the attitude expressed: the reader is left in no doubt that Tannahill has himself suffered for occasional over-indulgence and that moderation is the ideal, but an ideal that requires effort to maintain. Which is best between temperance and moderation is left for the reader to judge, but the description of the effects of excessive drinking is deadly accurate. In this epistle, Tannahill appears as full of regret for youthful folly, in particular, regret regarding the mistreatment of animal life, and for drinking too much. The mistreatment of animals is a problem that can be solved with the wisdom of fatherly guidance and emotional maturity. The drink question is somewhat more complex, it cannot simply be solved but has to be struggled with. The sense of self-loathing experienced in the aftermath of an alcohol binge is described from what seems to be the point of view of one who has been there:

Here lone I sit, in musing melancholy,
   Resolv’d for aye to shun the court of folly;
For, from whole years’ experience in her train,
   One hour of joy brings twenty hours of pain.
Now since I’m on the would-be-better key,
   The muse soft whispers me to write to thee,
Not that she means a self-debasing letter,
   But merely show there’s hopes I may turn better;
That what stands bad to my account of ill,
   You may set down to passion, not to will.7

It is not merely with regard to drink that Tannahill recommends moderation but throughout the poem he almost preaches to Borland that in the struggle for contentment, moderation in all things is essential, as is the avoidance of envy, and the special nature of maintaining trust in friendship. Indeed, Tannahill’s equation of spontaneous poetic creativity, ‘The muse soft
whispers me to write to thee’, with friendship can be seen as having a direct relationship with the ideas expressed in Burns’s epistles. As Liam McIlvanney argues in *Burns the Radical* (2002):

The claim to aesthetic spontaneity must be understood as part of a wider concern with moral spontaneity in the epistles. The celebration of benevolent impulse and spontaneous fellow-feeling is crucial to a genre whose ‘central purpose… is to display friendship.’

The next epistle, addressed to ‘James King, May 1802’, is primarily concerned with grief. It is immediately preceded by the poem ‘Prayer Under Affliction’, a gloomy piece dealing with depression of the spirit, and is followed by ‘On Alex. Wilson’s Emigration to America’, which opens with the lines ‘O Death it’s no thy deed I mourn,/ Tho’ oft my heartstrings thou has torn’. Starting from ‘The Filial Vow’ (p. 71) through to the poem on Wilson (p. 78), there is an engagement with grief, depression, death and old age. These pages are, with a sense of good style, sandwiched between ‘The Bacchanalians’ (p. 70-1) and ‘Allan’s Ale’ (p. 80). ‘Bacchanalians’ ends on a note of black humour, ‘Great Jove, quite mad to see such fun,/ At Bacchus ’gan to curse,/ And to remind they were but men,/ Sent down the fiend REMorSE,’ to give an indication of the hangover to come. ‘Epistle to James King’ is encountered as one pillar in a hall of grief and gloom, bounded by booze on either side. This is not perhaps too surprising as both ‘The Filial Vow’ and the epistle were written when Tannahill was dealing with his father’s death. The poems in this part of the 1807 edition are arranged in such a way as to take cognisance of how life was when they were written five years earlier.

He writes to King:
— Wherefore should we grieve and sigh,
'Cause we know that we must die?
Death’s a debt requir’d by nature,
To be paid by every creature;
Rich and poor, and high and low,
Fall by death’s impartial blow —¹¹
From, ‘Epistle to James King’

This is an expression of an attempt to overcome grief and accept death. There is a problem though as ‘Passion’ leads the writer where it will, leaving this rational approach ‘not worth a straw’. Tannahill then adopts a fall-back position, ‘— Then, let’s ever cheery live,/ Do our best, an never grieve,’ which amounts to saying, there’s nothing to be done about it, you have to make the best of a bad lot, and even that has a caveat: ‘But resolves, laid down to-day,/ Ere tomorrow, ’re done away’.¹² Will power is not always sufficient to attain happiness, and while there is a deliberate rationalised cheerfulness to which one can aspire, pitted against the difficult to control emotion of grief, it might not be enough to prevent one from sinking into oblivion:

—Life’s a dream, and man’s a bubble,
'Compass’d round with care and trouble,
Like a ship in tempest tossed;
Soon o’erwhelm’d, for ever lost;
Like the short-liv’d passion-flow’r,
Blooming, dying, in an hour;
Like the tuneful bird that sings,
Flutt’ring high on sportive wings,
Till the fowler’s subtle art
Drives Death’s message to its heart,
While, perhaps, Death aims his blow
For to lay the wretch as low.¹³
From, ‘Epistle to James King’

People are like ships unable to master the sea, life is short like that of flowers which bloom and die, and no matter what people do, they die like birds that sing and soar but are hunted out of the sky. In the dream that is life, no matter how beautiful, how amazing, there is Death,
and Death upon Death: the fowler brings Death to the bird but while he’s about it Death is at the selfsame time aiming to lay the fowler just as low. Tannahill’s affinity for the natural world comes close to misanthropy here. The fowler is quite happy to hunt and kill wild birds, yet there is no escape from death for the fowler either. Death is in pursuit of the ‘wretch’.

While considering his own experiences of grief, Tannahill makes the language of this theme move conceptually towards a view that begins to question notions of hierarchy of species. Death is presented as the mechanism by which all creatures are made equal in the face of their existence ‘on this unfeeling ball’. There is a slight roughness to the octosyllabic lines, not every word in the composition hits exactly the right note, but the movement of ideas is engaging. It is possible to interpret the underlying theme of the epistle as an attempt to come to terms with the problem of permanence versus transience. There are different levels of permanence. Permanence in friendship can be achieved if people are open and honest with one another and do not gossip, that is, if trust is the foundation and the ongoing basis for friendship. Death makes existence transient for individuals but ‘Time’ is the permanent force which brings death, change and transience, though time itself is not static, it flies and somehow defies definition:

- Like the rocks, which storms divide,
  Thund’ring down the mountain side,
  So strides Time, with rapid force,
  Round his unobstructed course;
  Like a flood upon its way,
  Sweeping downward to the sea:
  But what figure so sublime
  As describe the flight of time?\(^{15}\)
  From, ‘Epistle to James King’
All conceptions of human thought and of life are presented in the poem as ultimately
deficient, or contingent, resting on some mysterious combination of ‘Fate’s decree’ and
‘resolves’ or free will. The epistle leaves us with the unknowable:

God, perhaps, in kindness, will,
Snatch us from some coming ill;
Death may kindly waft us o’er
To a milder, happier shore.\(^{16}\)

The key words above are ‘perhaps’ and ‘may’. Even the afterlife is in question. There is only
uncertainty. And this epistle written just over a year after the death of his father shows a poet
who has given up on certainty. What he has found are two ideas, which he ties together to
give life meaning. In the final quatrain the oars of friendship and poetry become tools with
which to navigate uncertainty:

Still let Friendship’s warmest tie
A’ deficiencies supply,
And, while favour’d by the Nine,
I your laurels will entwine.\(^{17}\)

Without parody, Tannahill somehow manages to verge on the tone that James Hogg was wont
to indulge in when making parodies of Wordsworth in *The Poetic Mirror* (1816).\(^{18}\) However,
this quatrain expresses clearly the connection between friendship and literary art, as entwined
sources of strength, to help carry one through life. This is a principle central to Tannahill’s
understanding of how to live in the world and is what informed the ethos of the Paisley Burns
Club three years later, where poetry, song and sociability take precedence over conventional
political activity. When everything else appears beyond the control of common men, this
much they can and must do: these men can and must be poets; they can and must be friends.
‘Epistle to James King’ illuminates how Tannahill came to this view through his experience
of grief.
Along the low-road to Ayrshire, south of Barrhead, there stands on the left the Neilston threadmill, and across the road on the right over the Levern burn lies the Killoch Glen. Near to where James Scadlock lived at Fereneze, the Killoch and Levern burns merge before flowing into the River Cart. Glen Killoch is a small beauty spot with a waterfall, steep banked trees, a tranquil widening called the Silver Pool where the water is clear and still.

James Scadlock wrote about these places and in April 1803 Tannahill wrote his ‘Epistle to J. Scadlock, On receiving from him a small MS. volume of Original Scottish Poems’. Tannahill opens his epistle to Scadlock with a mixture of the classical and Scots vernacular:

```
While colleg’d bards bestride Pegassus,
An’ try to gallop up Parnassus,
By dint o’ meikle lear,
The lowe o’ friendship fires my saul,
To write you this poetic scrawl,—
Prosaic dull, I fear!
   But weel I ken, your gen’rous heart
Will overlook its failings,
An’ whare the Poet has come short,
Let friendship cure his ailings
   ’Tis kin’, man, divine, man,
To hide the faut we see,
Or try to men’t, as far’s we ken’t,
Wi’ true sincerity.
```

This last observe, brings’t i’ my head
To tell you here my social creed—

This is confirmation of Tannahill’s intention that the epistles should stand as an explanation of his outlook on life in general or ‘social creed’. He expresses, again, the necessity of loyalty and sincerity in friendship and voices disgust at the hypocrisy and gossip of narrow-minded church-goers who think themselves superior to their neighbours:

```
In judging, let us be right hooly;
I’ve heard some fouks descant sae freely,
   On ither people’s matters,
```
As if themsel’s war’ real perfection,
When they had stood a fair inspection,
   The abus’d war’ far their betters.  

Here, Tannahill comes to a similar conclusion to that expressed by Gaffer in *The Soldier’s Return*:

That, *Goodness pays itsel’*.  
The joys, man, that rise, man  
   To ane frae doing weel,
Are siccan joys that harden’d vice  
   Can seldom ever feel.  

From, Epistle to J. Scadlock, 1803.

The content and ideas in this are far less interesting than in the epistle to James King. In terms of form and rhythm, though, it is deft and musical. The fourteen line stanzas with their rhythmic syncopation show, Tannahill’s technical skill in a better light than in the epistle to King, and that in spite of his trying various different verse forms he did have the skill to succeed well as a technician even if the content can at times be rather abstract.

The significance of the dates of these two epistles (‘Epistle to King’, May 1802 and ‘Epistle to Scadlock’, April 1803) cannot be overlooked. Both were written during the Peace of Amiens, a breathing space in the war with France which stretched from 25th March 1802 to 18th May 1803, and contain almost no mention of the war. However, referring back to the first epistle, that to Borland in 1806, it is possible to detect a difference in attitude. After the collapse of the Peace of Amiens, Tannahill becomes more anti-Napoleonic, and, it could be argued, pro-British but he still attacks war leaders in general and leaves it open as to whether he is attacking the French or the British leadership:

One individual act of generous pity  
   Is nobler far than ravaging a city.
Ev’n let the blood-stained ruffians call me coward,
   An Alexander sinks beside a Howard.  

From, Epistle to A. Borland, Feb 1806.
The 1803 epistle to James Scadlock is also noteworthy in its mention of Livingston, Anderson, Scadlock and King, who Tannahill saw as keeping Burns’s memory alive in their songs. The invocation of Burns to validate the work of poets and songsters who were certainly less talented, but no less valid, was in the incipient phase of its becoming a national sport. Ironically, the development of Burns as archetype left less room in the Scottish literary world for many of those responsible for bringing the process into being, including Tannahill and his circle of friends. Although on numerous occasions Tannahill expressed great sadness over the death of Burns, he clearly believed that his friends could move forward as Scottish poets after Burns:

Yet, though he’s [Burns is] dead, the Scottish reed,
This mony a day may ring,
In Livingston, in Anderson,
In Scadlock, an in King.  

From, Epistle to James Scadlock, April 1803.

These four men were of humble origins and Tannahill is suggesting that it is no longer necessary to be a ‘colleg’d bard’ to be a poet. The phenomenon of Burns had shown them that snobbery and status should be irrelevant in the world of Scottish literature and Tannahill was a poet who wished to champion that democratic literary cause.

‘Epistle to William Wylie. Jan. 1806’ consists of eight four line stanzas in a loose ABCB rhyme scheme. It is fairly unremarkable except that it solely concerns friendship and loyalty; there is no manifesto for living and no other philosophical questions or relationships are considered. It praises Wylie’s character and declares Tannahill’s loyalty to him as a friend. Wylie was born around 1774 and lived and worked as a weaver at Abbey Close, Paisley. He was known as a good ‘reciter’ of poems and was President of the Paisley Burns
Club in 1810. Of the eight stanzas in the epistle there are two which hit the mark with considerable force and honesty of emotion:

If grief e’er make thee her pack horse,
     Her leaden-load to carry’t,
Shove half the burthen on my back,
     I’ll do my best to bear it.

... 

And shouldst thou live to see thy friend,
     Borne lifeless on the bier,
I ask of thee, for epitaph,
     One kind, elegiac tear. 


This hints at Tannahill’s sense of his own mortality, which was also expressed in the epistle to James King two years earlier, ‘God perhaps in kindness will,/ Snatch us from some coming ill;’ and these are two occasions in his poetry that refer to his own death as a near welcome release from being in the world. Such lines only come to the reader with any force-unfortunate after the fact of Tannahill’s suicide. Seen in this light the epistle to Wylie does illustrate how deeply Tannahill believed in friendship and trust as life affirming.

James Barr, to whom the next epistle in the 1807 edition is addressed, was born at Tarbolton in Ayrshire then removed to Kilbarchan, where he took up weaving as apprentice to the antiquarian and naturalist James Buchanan to whom the last epistle (1807 edition) is addressed. Barr corresponded frequently with Tannahill, played the fiddle and flute, and provided traditional airs for Tannahill to match with lyrics of his own. A few years after Tannahill’s death, Barr became a music teacher in Glasgow. This epistle is generous in its praise of Barr’s musical skill and knowledge of Scottish song. It lists numerous Scottish song titles including ‘The Garb of Gaul,’ ‘Ettrick Banks’ and ‘Tullochgorum,’ suggesting that Barr
was capable of playing rousing and melancholy tunes imbued with Scottish nationalistic sentiments:

Or are ye gane, as there are few sic,
    For reachin’ o’ a band o’ music?
O, hear auld Scotland’s fervent pray’rs,
    And teach her genuine native airs!
Whilk simply play’d, devoid o’ art,
    Thrill through the senses to the heart.
    Play, when ye’d rouse the patriots saul,
True valour’s tune, “The Garb of Gaul;”
From, ‘Epistle to J. Barr, March 1804’

Here we have Tannahill advocating the same sense of organic creativity ‘devoid o’ art’ as John Clare with his writing ‘from Nature in Original’, which for Tannahill, bypasses reason and goes straight through the senses to the emotions or the heart; and Tannahill admires Barr for his ability to do this as a musician. The epistle opens with Tannahill’s speculations on where Barr might be, hence the sub-title ‘Wherever he may be found’:

GUDE Pibrocharian, jorum-jirger,
    Say, hae ye turn’d an Antiburgher?
Or lang-fac’d Presbyterian El’er?
    Deep read in wiles o’ gath’rin’ siller?
Or cauld, splenetic solitair,
    Resolv’d to herd wi’ man nae mair?

Tannahill conveys jokingly his worry that Barr has taken to religion, rather than continuing on his life’s journey of music, poetry and song. Quite literally ‘jorum-jirger’ can be translated as ‘rowing-song squelcher’ - ‘jorum’ suggesting Barr’s enjoyment of travel and the style of tune he likes to play, and ‘jirger’ illustrating the squeeze of the pipes under his arm and rhythm of his body. This is a fine use of Scots language and the first line suggests that what follows with regard to religion and reclusivity are barbed but friendly jibes. The epistle ends affectionately with a request that Barr get in contact soon:

In gude’s name write!—tak up your pen,
A’ how ye’re doin’ let me ken.
Sae, hoping quickly your epistle,
Adieu! thou genuine son of song an’ whistle.²⁷

In this epistle, Tannahill again expresses the value of honest friendship and the joy of communication through music, poetry and song. For Tannahill, these are human qualities that enhance life, and are best when considered as primarily emotional rather than mediated through reason.

The second epistle to Scadlock (June 1804) is also on the theme of friendship. Tannahill wrote this when Scadlock was resident in Perth. It refers to walks they had taken in the countryside surrounding Paisley and expresses the hope that bringing these rambles to Scadlock’s attention will provoke fond thoughts of home. The structure is of four line stanzas in an AABA rhyme scheme. Images are drawn from the weather and hills to represent states of mind:

All nature sadden’d at our parting hour,
Winds plaintive howl’d, clouds, weeping dropt a show’r,
Our fields look’d dead — as if they’d said,
“We ne’er shall see him more.”²⁸

Tannahill’s lyric expression is extremely poignant here. It shows friendship and parting to be matters of life and death, indeed their parting is depicted as a living death.

Two epistles by Scadlock addressed to Tannahill were published in the former’s Posthumous Works, edited and introduced by William McLaren in 1818, the year of Scadlock’s death.

Both epistles are titled ‘Epistle to Mr Robert Tannahill’;²⁹ the first appears to be a response of sorts to Tannahill’s 1804 epistle to Scadlock, and quite a large proportion in the middle section is given over to discussion of Thomas Campbell’s Pleasures of Hope, which conceivably is why Tannahill’s epistle to Scadlock in the 1807 edition is followed immediately by ‘Lines on The Pleasures of Hope’.³⁰ Scadlock gives a positive opinion of The
Pleasures of Hope, having opened his epistle with an appreciation of local landscape, and the
hold of the poetic muse upon youth:

On Leverne’s banks, beneath the moss-grown trees,
Whose withered foliage tremble in the breeze;
Retired I rest, and view the wintry scene,
The ice-fringed streamlet, and the faded green,
Dismantled woods, and hoary mist-clad hills,
Scenes which the poet’s soul with rapture fills. 31

James Scadlock, from ‘Epistle to Mr Robert Tannahill’

Here we see the interlocking of Tannahill’s and Scadlock’s outlooks - the idea of
contemplation of a natural landscape to fill ‘the poet’s soul with rapture’ is one they share. In
this case, mutual admiration and pride in their locality are sources of inspiration that help
attain a sense of mental wellbeing. These epistolary interchanges represent a communion of
place, personalities and beliefs and are a statement of, and a memorial to, the lives of these
men who shared a common landscape, a common philosophy and a life affirming bond of
friendship. Scadlock’s final section verifies this by highlighting their shared values, attacking
critics and lauding Tannahill for his honesty and independence:

I love your creed — I love your honest way,
To walk upright, nor mind what others say;
Lure modest worth out from her humble cell,
Press harmony and peace with us to dwell;
Yes, kindred bard, thy friendship I will share,
Nurse each fine feeling with a miser care,
Court every passion, try each winning art
That opes the tender sluices to the heart;-
Warm in affection’s smiles, we’ll shun the height
Where malice and the dry-eyed critic wait,
With poisonous breath to blast the poet’s name,
And tear the laurels from the hand of fame,
Mark rising genius with vindictive eye,
Obscure his beauties and his faults decry. 32

This is a protest against being misunderstood in the centres of power, ‘we’ll shun the height’.
They expect their work to meet with criticism, but strength in friendship and a cherished
confidence in the beauty of their locality, will see them grow and continue regardless. As a public exchange, it is evidence of the social solidarity and mutual support that existed between these literary artists.

Scadlock’s second epistle also concerns nature and friendship, although it has the tone of a poem that has been written to cheer the recipient. The final stanza could not be more emphatic in its declaration of friendship:

Be’t mine, my friend, where’er I stray,
By flow’ry bank or broomy brae,
To bid the flame of friendship burn,
Till earth enwraps me in her urn. 33

‘Epistle to William Thomson, June 1805’, invokes a somewhat romantic view of rural life. It illustrates Tannahill’s way of looking at the world as a set, or sets, of dualities; recognising that his love of the countryside arises from his experience of life in the town. However, the pastoral-romanticism in the epistle can also be considered a metaphor for the kind of person William Thomson is: to a man whose singing is presented as a beautiful, rural, Scottish landscape, Tannahill says:

A country life I’ve oft envied,
Where love, an’ truth, an’ peace preside;
Without temptations to allure,
Your days glide on, unstain’d an’ pure;
Nae midnight revels waste your health,
Nor greedy landlord drains your wealth,
Ye’re never fash’t wi’ whisky fever,
Nor dizzy pow, nor dulness ever,
But breathe the halesome caller air,
Remote from aught that genders care.
I needna tell how much I lang
To hear your rural Scottish sang;
....
In town we scarce can fin occasion
To note the beauties o’ creation,
But study mankind’s diff’rent dealings,
Their virtues, vices, merits, failings. 34
William Thomson was a weaver from Ferguslie who removed to Overton near Beith, and it was Thomson’s new, rural life, that Tannahill ‘envied’. What unites these men in friendship is a mutual love of music, song and nature, and the detailed description of the natural world works well in this epistle. Friendship together with positive images from nature are combined to create happiness, ‘unpleasing’ town life is contrasted with:

[…] the blithesome morn,
When dew-draps pearl every thorn;
When larks pour forth the early sang,
An’ lintwhites chant the whins amang,
An’ pyats hap frae tree to tree,
Teachin’ their young anes how to flee
While frae the mavis tae the wren
A’ warble sweet in bush an’ glen.35

Here, Tannahill’s rural world is partly real but for the most part imagined, where peace and love preside and there is no bitter winter or backbreaking work: the town has too many distractions to allow one to take notice of nature but from Tannahill’s perspective, being a town dweller allows one the opportunity to perceive nature with fresh eyes and acute sensitivity. Tannahill presents a contrast between town and country, the town has its ‘virtues’ as well as its ‘vices’, so while he clearly enjoyed writing about nature and rural scenes he is not entirely negative about town life: although town life is far from his rural ideal it offers opportunity to ‘study mankind’s diff’rent dealings’, even if most of the ‘dealings’ - greedy landlords, whisky fever and bad air - are portrayed as lacking in positive qualities.

The first stanza in the ‘Epistle to James Buchanan, August 1806’, contains the lines:

Yet, thanks is but a draff-cheap phrase
O’ little value now-a-days;
…. 
The sodger, too, for a’ his troubles,
His hungry wames, and bluidy hubbles,
His agues, rheumatisms, cramps,
Receiv’d in plashy winter camps,
O blest reward! at last he gains
His sov’reign’s thanks for a’ his pains.

And continues:

'Twas wisely said by “Queer Sir John”
That “Honour wudna buy a scone.”
Say ane, of thanks, may get a million,
Yet live as poor’s a porter’s scullion:
Indeed, they’re just (but, beg your pardon,)
Priest-blessing like, no’ worth a fardin’.

These are realistic lines about soldiering that also attack religion and the king. There is no escape to any romanticised rural ideal, nor turn to the sentimental for comfort. This verse shows a commitment to peace and stands against the exploitation of the soldier as cannon-fodder. In this poetic moment, Tannahill returns to themes of militarism and peace - just in case in the intervening poems the reader had forgotten that these were themes of great importance. This is the final epistle in the 1807 edition; it is a sequence that begins and ends with epistles written in 1806, but in between, covers the years 1802 to 1805 (see table, p. 159), where Tannahill explains his philosophy of the unity of friendship and art as a means for living well. Starting with the epistle to King in 1802, which presents grief and uncertainty, and ending with the epistle to Thomson in 1805 with its idealised rural life and happiness in friendship, this is a somewhat lengthy and uneven poetic journey. However, there is the wonderful humour of the opening lines of the epistle to James Barr, ‘Gude pibrocharian, jorum-jirger’. And, a clear sense of a community of writers with common bonds of humanity and locality, for whom Burns represented a break with patronage and social status, is brought to the reader through the epistolary sequence. In the final 1806 epistle of the sequence there is sympathy for soldiers caught up in the war with France, but for Tannahill trust in friendship,
and a commitment to egalitarian values in life, poetry, music and song is a manifesto for survival in the face of war and social uncertainty.  

Although not in the 1807 edition, ‘Epistle to Robert Allan’ continues on the theme of the war in Europe, but aside from this serious subject matter, Tannahill’s tenor is upbeat and relaxed. He is pleased to have received correspondence from Allan and writes the epistle in reply. Allan had radical leanings, was the same age as Tannahill and became Kilbarchan’s best known weaver poet. One cannot tell if Tannahill wrote this epistle in 1808 with a view to publication, but it is a confident and assured piece of verse. He has no difficulty in describing how he goes about writing or, in being gently self-critical:

For me — I seldom choose a subject,  
My rhymes are aft without an object,  
I let the Muse e’en tak her win’,  
And dash awa’ thro’ thick and thin:  
For Method’s sic a servile creature,  
She spurns the wilds o’ simple nature,  
And paces on, wi easy airt,  
A lang days journey frae the heart —  
Sae what comes uppermost you’ll get it  
Be’t good or ill, for you I write it.  
...
...

My sangs are now afore the warl,  
And some may sing, and some may snarl,  
They ha’e their faults, yet I can tell  
Nane sees them clearer than mysel’,  
But still I think they too inherit,  
Amang the dross some sparks o’ merit.  

This epistle asserts the principle of writing from ‘simple nature’ or going with ‘the Muse’, rather than attempting to impose ‘Method’ or manufacture: every poet knows the feeling of writing poems that seem to arrive from nowhere or without conscious thought, yet from Tannahill’s attention to structure and technique, it is clear that he consciously framed the flow of his thoughts in predetermined literary structures. So while Hogg and Clare also argued in
favour of the ‘simple nature’ position, it only makes sense with regard to the content of the poetry and not its structural arrangement. This piece reiterates the view that the central bond of friendship combined with poetry gives life deeper meaning - a permanence in friendship offering people a sense of shared interests and social solidarity. In this context, friendship represents an almost *here and now eternity* (paradoxically), acting as a bulwark against the ravages of Time and Death. Tannahill ends the epistle with words of encouragement for Allan:

Sae Robin, briskly ply the Muse  
She warms our hearts, expands our views,  
Gars ev’ry sordid passion flee,  
And waukens ev’ry sympathy.

Now wishing Fate may never tax you,  
Wi cross nor loss, to throw and vex you  
But keep you hale till ninety nine,  
Till you and yours in honour shine,  
Shall ever be my earnest pray’r,  
While I’ve ae friendly wish to spare.\(^{40}\)

Allan’s songs were sung at the Anniversary Meeting of the Paisley Burns Club in January 1815.\(^{41}\) The recipients of Tannahill’s epistles connect him to a network of poets who tended towards the radical, including both Scadlock and Allan who were known to hold radical views. In addition, the authors Tannahill chose to ‘imitate’ had a radical or controversial edge to their work from the point of view of many respectable Georgians.

**IMITATION AND ADMIRATION**

It was out of his interest in writers such as Matthew G. Lewis (1773-1818), Peter Pindar (1738-1819) and John Barbour (b. early 1300s), that Tannahill quite openly attempted to base poems on their work. He wrote one poem in ‘imitation’ of each, and each poem explores the
contradiction between the power of literary imagination, or ‘fancy’, over us - ‘A simile winds up a mental spring’ - and what clear thinking, rational folk should know from the evidence of their senses.\(^{42}\) The paradox (and fun) of these pieces is that they are appeals to the imagination in order to lay bare its power to delude. However, in execution, Tannahill’s imitations are far from his best work. ‘Portrait of Guilt, In imitation of Lewis’ is rather disappointing and concludes with the stock ending: ‘And the Murd’rer woke — ’Twas a dream!’\(^{43}\) More interesting, is the ‘Ode. In imitation of Pindar’, in its game-playing with the concept of the ‘simile’, self-deprecation and punning on the word ‘cork’. Perhaps the pun would have resonance only for Paisley locals who were aware of the epithet ‘Causeyside Cork’:

```
Ah! kind heaven
For a defence,
Allowed me half the brazen confidence
That she to many a cork-brain’d fool hath given.\(^{44}\)
From, ‘Ode. In imitation of Pindar’
```

The two examples of ‘similies’ that Tannahill gives in the ‘Ode’ are, ‘the fall of man’ likened to a ‘pig’ and used to criticise a lack of clear thinking among churchmen, and a directionless, bobbing ‘cork’ which is likened to the meagre intellectual abilities of a ‘fop./ Tost in wit’s blanket’, whereby the rich are criticised for their ‘brazen confidence’.\(^{45}\) In each case, the function of the simile is to highlight the narrator’s astonishment at the capacity of human beings to willingly suspend disbelief and wallow in delusion: the general delusion being that churchmen and the wealthy have innate superiority over the rest of us.

‘The Haunet Wud. In imitation of John Barbour, an old Scotch Poet’, is one of the few Tannahill poems William Motherwell discusses in his introductory essay to the *Harp of Renfrewshire* (1819), the other being ‘Connel and Flora. A Scottish Legend’.\(^{46}\) It is used to
illustrate Tannahill’s lack of poetic skill, although Motherwell thought of it purely as a literary exercise and makes no mention of its point with regard to enlightenment values and the dispelling of superstition. It is lively and shows a good grasp of the Gothic genre before dismissing superstition as bunkum in the final stanza. Though the final stanza in the published version:-

'Twas thus dark ignorance did ween,
   In fancy’s wizard reign,
When minstrel-fiction won belief
   O’er Scotland’s wide domain.47

- does not have the same clarity nor use of old Scots as the MS version in the National Library of Scotland:

Twas sae dark ignorance dyd ween,
   Inne wilyart fancy’s reign
But now philosophyis brycht sunne
   Beamis owr the claudit brayne.48

Tannahill’s attempt at fourteenth-century Scots is adventurous. It has a strong flavour of the language in Barbour’s epic poem ‘The Brus’ and some of the vocabulary is accurate such as, ‘syne’, ‘dyd’ and ‘quhat’.49 Of course Tannahill makes no attempt to pretend that he is writing in ‘real’ fourteenth-century Scots, although his use of ‘is’ for ‘s’ in plural word endings, and ‘quh’ for ‘wh’, shows familiarity with the general principles of spelling from that time. Subtitling the piece ‘In imitation of John Barbour, an old Scotch Poet’, is a clear message that he is not trying to recreate fourteenth-century verse but doing something quite different. That something is to highlight a progression away from superstition and towards material explanations for sense perceptions. It is not necessary to be afraid of ghostly screams
- ‘yellis and screichis wylde’ - in the night as they are, in reality, figments of the imagination or fancy.\textsuperscript{50} This is how Tannahill opens the piece:

\begin{quote}
Quhy screim the crowis owr yonder wud,  
Witht loude and clamourynge dynne,  
Haf deifenynge the torrentis roare,  
Quhilk dashis owr yon linne?\textsuperscript{51}
\end{quote}

From, ‘The Hauntet Wud. In imitation of John Barbour, an old Scotch Poet’

However, according to William Motherwell:

Tannahill had neither leisure, education, nor means, to qualify himself for the perusal of Barbour and other venerable \textit{makers}, much less to imitate their productions. Yet though he has been unsuccessful, we cannot help loving him for thus showing that he was acquainted with the name, if not with the language, of one of the oldest of our epic poets.\textsuperscript{52}

It is not known by what ‘means’ Motherwell, expert on Scottish antiquities as he was, gained a thorough insight into Tannahill’s ‘means, to qualify himself for the perusal of Barbour and other venerable \textit{makers’}.  

Both ‘The Hauntet Wud. In imitation of John Barbour, an old Scotch Poet’ and ‘Portrait of Guilt, In imitation of Lewis’ have a flavour of the Gothic about them. What is also interesting about these ‘imitations’ is their quality of performance. Not only can they be read aloud and performed, but Tannahill himself gives a performance in the stylistic guise of other authors. ‘The Haunted Wud’ has a political dimension by bringing back to notice, through performance, John Barbour - a writer who celebrated the life of Scottish national hero Robert the Bruce; yet at the same time, Tannahill argues for progress away from the darkness of myth and superstition towards the rational. It is paradoxical (though clever) to invoke, albeit at one step removed, a Scottish nationalistic figure of mythic proportions to celebrate the transcendence of myth by philosophical reason. The performance aspect of these poems is something William Motherwell failed to take notice of. They are a form of poetic game-playing with the idea that our dreams, our imaginations and even received opinions have the
ability to frighten or dupe us – not only in themselves, but also through the vehicle of literary artifice – in our waking hours. (Witness Tannahill’s tendency to be seduced by the politics of fear of French invasion.) They are interesting commentaries on our capacity to delude ourselves, whether through dream, imagination or literary suggestion. Even when *philosophy's bright sun beams over the clouded brain* our willing suspension of disbelief remains possible. By presenting these examples of literary performance and at the same time, throwing suspicion on our ability to disentangle fact from fiction, real from imaginary, and dreams from waking-consciousness, within the performances themselves Tannahill is pointing towards Hume’s paradox - casting doubt upon doubt, but without wholly entering a solipsistic abyss as at the end of each poem there is a depiction of *a waking up* into a real and present world.

All three imitations suggest that this world is unreliable and when we awake, it is into a tenuous relationship with reality. They illustrate Tannahill’s awareness of how difficult discerning truth can be - by contrast, his epistles appealing to the local and communal allied to trust in friendship, can be seen as a safeguard against forms of deception and self-deception in a world where the false is myriad, not total. With an acute sense of irony, he also appears to be aware that ‘poets needs must’ contribute to the building of illusions, and, that his sequence of ‘imitations’ can work in that way.53

* 

Tannahill wrote many poems of admiration for other writers. Foremost among these is Burns, but also of importance is Glaswegian poet Thomas Campbell (1777-1844). Tannahill’s five poems on Burns form a substantial sequence of work. There is, perhaps, a case for suggesting that the two shorter pieces ‘Dirge. On reading an account of Robert Burns’ Funeral’, and
‘Lines, To W. M’Laren’, are more immediate, direct and engaging than the three longer ‘Odes’ written for recitation at the Paisley Burns anniversary meetings of 1805, 1807 and 1810. Of the three ‘Odes,’ the first (1805) is undoubtedly the strangest. It involves a visitation to ‘heaven’ by a Scotsman who manages to secure a meeting with the gods who are already gathered together: ‘Once on a time, almighty Jove/ Invited all the minor gods above./ To spend one day in social festive pleasure.’ The gods are busy toasting:

   “Universal peace ’twixt man and man.”
   Their godships’ eyes beam’d gladness with the wish,
   And Mars half-reddened with a guilty blush;
   Jove swore he’d hurl each rascal to perdition,
   Who’d dare deface his works with wild ambition.54

After this scene setting, the Scots stranger, who is ‘the guardian of that far-fam’d land/
Named Caledonia,’ is introduced to the gods by the messenger ‘Mercury’:

   Loud, thund’ring plaudits shook the bright abodes,
   Till Merc’ry, solemn-voic’d assailed their ears,
   Informing that a stranger, all in tears,
   Weeping, implored an audience of the gods.

Jove’s attitude to the Scottish stranger is one of benevolent tolerance:

   Jove, ever prone to succour the distrest,
   A swell redressive glow’d within his breast,
   He pitied much the stranger’s sad condition,
   And ordered his immediate admission.

The visitor, invited by Jove to ‘unfold’ the cause of his ‘woe’, then makes a plea that the gods grant Scotland ‘one true Patriotic Bard’. The gods agree with the Scotsman’s plea and we are in due time given Robert Burns: ‘in regard of Wallace and his worth,/ Jove honoured Coila with his [Burns’s] birth.’56 There is however a caveat:

   And Fame, on tiptoe, fain would blown her horn,
   But Fate forbade the blast, too premature,
   Till worth should sanction it beyond the critic’s pow’r.
In other words, for Burns, fame would have to wait until his genius was beyond attack from critics. And the narrative almost concludes there, but for Tannahill’s ‘trembling doubts’ about his own talent:

His [Burns’s] merits proven—Fame her blast hath blown;
Now Scotia’s Bard o’er all the world is known;—
But trembling doubts here check my unpolished lays,
What can they add to a whole world’s praise;
Yet, while revolving time this day returns,
Let Scotchmen glory in the name of Burns.

The second last line is an adept use of language to describe the passing of time. It implies, besides the movement of planets and clocks, that as long as time exists, Burns should be celebrated on his birthday: the combination of consonant and vowel sounds, and comma in the phrase ‘Yet, while revolving time’, suggest a slow steady passing of the years – with each new year Burns’s birthday arrives to be celebrated by Scots. Gerard Carruthers accurately states that the ‘Ode’ is: ‘drenched in the phraseology of Burns and genuflects particularly towards his [poem] “The Vision”’. What is interesting, is that Tannahill’s ‘Ode’ presents a prequel to ‘The Vision’ in which he re-states ‘a patriotism compatible with internationalism, for it merges with “the dignity of Man”’. Further, it illustrates Tannahill’s ‘intellectual awareness of historical process’ by suggesting that Burns is a symbol through which Scottish identity and history can be kept alive: ‘Scotia’s Bard o’er all the world is known’, whether or not Scotland is part of Britain.

The idea of Scotland having only ‘one true Patriotic Bard’ is troubling. Tannahill seems to present 1759 as a ‘year zero’ for Scottish literature, which militates against his description of Scotland as an idyllic nation ‘great in arts and arms’. However, this ‘year zero’ idea must be seen in the context of the fanciful, (or visionary) nature of the entire poem, in
which it is used as a device to highlight Tannahill’s view that Burns is the greatest Scottish poet of all time. Yet, as Tannahill read a host of other Scottish poets - such as Barbour, Ramsay, Beattie and James Thomson - clearly the idea of 1759 being the starting point of Scottish poetry is not to be taken literally; it is a metaphor for illustrating the genius of Burns, in comparison with which other poets fade away. However, it is a metaphor with as many problems as merits.

Tannahill’s 1807 ‘Ode’ for Burns is immediately interesting for the structure it adopts. It is divided into ‘recitative’ and ‘song’ sections as is Burns’s ‘Jolly Beggars’. This piece is a score for performance. The first recitative section uses a metaphor based on the merits of different kinds of music: the music of war represents cruel, worthless, earthly pursuits and is opposed to music of ‘worth’ which, in the songs of Burns, moves towards the sublime, ‘And melts to ecstasy the list’ning gods’. This latter form of music journeys to heaven and back to earth where ‘all nature re-echoes the lay!’ Effectively, Burns is connected with heaven, earth, and ‘all nature,’ and he is seen to represent all human virtue. This ‘recitative’ section is then followed by a song, ‘Tho’ dark scowling Winter, in dismal array’. A lyric that was set to music by R. A. Smith which uses images of weather, time and permanence, and, darkness and light:

For the genius of Scotia, in ages unborn,  
Will light up her torch with the blaze of his fame.  
When the dark mist of ages lies turbid between,  
Still his star of renown through the gloom shall be seen,

The second recitative section is a direct address to Burns which continues the theme of time and permanence from the song, and celebrates the idea that Burns’s contribution to Scottish song ‘shall live for ever’. The second song prepares the company for the toast to Burns’s memory and is, therefore, rather rousing. It consists of three stanzas, to the tune of ‘Marsh’s
National Air’, and was ‘harmonized as a glee by Mr. Smith’. The patriotic flavour of the song is dual - both Scottish and British - and is highlighted in the second stanza:

Fathers of our country’s weal,
Sternly virtuous, bold and free!
Ye taught your sons to fight, yet feel
The dictates of humanity:
But chiefly, Burns, above the rest,
We dedicate this night to thee;
Engraved in every Scotsman’s breast,
Thy name, thy worth, shall ever be!

Two rhyming couplets finish the piece, the last line being: ‘Let’s toast, “The Patriot and the Patriot Bard”’. This piece is highly choreographed with thematic interactions between song-lyrics, music and poetry. However, it is arguably the poorest of Tannahill’s odes for Burns as far as use of language is concerned, yet shows technical skill and a little adventure. It is probable that in 1807 an audience of Paisley Burnsians would have found it highly entertaining.

Tannahill’s ‘Ode’ for the 1810 meeting of the Paisley Burns Club was written at the request of that year’s President, William Wylie. In a letter to James Clark dated 17th December, 1809, Tannahill gives some details of its genesis:

There is not a man in the world whom I would rather wish to oblige before yourself, and am sorry that I cannot comply with your flattering proposition of my writing an Ode for your ensuing Anniversary. A few days prior to the receipt of yours, Wylie was chosen for our next years President, and in a moment of enthusiasm I came under a promise to furnish him with something of that kind for what he calls his Night. I shall attempt something— however, I tremble when I think of it. To do justice to the subject would require the abilities of a Campbell or a Scott, and almost despair of being able to produce anything half so good as what has already been, by different hands, given to the public; besides, I know that the Society are determined to have a blazing account of our meeting sent to some of the newspapers; of course my rhymes are designed to be attached as a train to the dazzling Luminary: or as a long wigl-waglin tail to a Callan’s Dragon. We have clever fellows in the society men of genius and College-bred, but there seems to be a jealousy subsisting among them, or a fear of one another, which has prevented any account worthy
of our former meetings from being given in print. I hope our next will be better. I should ere now have acknowledged yours of the 30th ult, but we have been all very busy on this week.60

Despite Tannahill’s reservations about writing it, the 1810 ‘Ode’ is the best of the three. Gone are the classical allusions and appeals to Jove in the kingdom of the gods. Instead, Tannahill writes an epistle to Burns and Scotland, based on the climate, landscape, character and history of Scotland. Once these have been described in a general sense, the focus moves to a scene of folk sitting round a fireside, telling stories and singing songs to ‘charm the weary winter night’. Written almost entirely in rhyming couplets, this is an excellent performance - Tannahill’s artistry in pace, phrasing, and rhythm, flows rapid and accurate, like the galloping of Tam’s horse Meg, in ‘Tam o’ Shanter’:

Weel mounted on his gray mare, Meg,
A better never lifted leg,
*Tam* skelpit on thro’ dub and mire,
Despising wind, and rain, and fire.
Robert Burns, ‘Tam o’ Shanter,’ lines 79-83.61

Tannahill then describes songs and stories told at a fireside gathering. This reflects ‘Tam o’ Shanter’ and illustrates simple social bonds; John Parkhill writing in 1841, tells how Black Peter Burnet entertained Tannahill and his siblings with ghost stories, and something of this experience might well be coming through, making this a piece of poetry with roots in books and reading, and in Tannahill’s actual life:62

…many a song and jest goes round,
With tales of ghosts and rites profound,
Perform’d in dreary wizard-glen,
By wrinkled hags and warlike men,
Or of the hell-fee’d crew combined,
Carousing on the midnight wind,
On some infernal errand bent,
While darkness shrouds their black intent;
But chiefly, Burns, thy songs delight
To charm the weary winter night.63
This is a fine poem for loud recital. It works well as a tribute to Burns the poet, Burns the songster, and to Scotland. After the openingquatrain:

Again the happy day returns,  
A day to Scotchmen ever dear,  
Tho’ bleakest of the changful year  
It blest us with a Burns.

A vivid description of Scottish January weather is then given in the second stanza:

Fierce the whirling blast may blow,  
Drifting wide the crispy snow;  
Rude the ruthless storms may sweep,  
Howling round our mountains steep;  
While the heavy lashing rains,  
Swell our rivers, drench our plains,  
And the angry ocean roars  
Round our broken craggy shores;  
But mindful of our poet’s worth,  
We hail the honoured day that gave him birth.64

However, at the end of the ‘Ode’ Scottish society comes under attack for not fully appreciating Burns as a poet and as a person when he was alive:

Himself the while with sick’ning woes opprest,  
Fast hast’ning on to where the weary rest—  
For this let Scotia’s bitter tears atone,  
She reck’d not half his worth till he was gone.65

Here, it is possible that Tannahill may have been writing as much about himself as about Burns.

These Odes were written for performance, and from that perspective are successful, but for impact, immediacy, and skill in both rhythm and expression, the last ode is by far the best. Nevertheless, without the others for comparison its impact would probably be lessened rather than intensified. The 1810 ‘Ode’ is better than the other two because real people are doing real things, the weather and the landscape are recognisable, ‘And the angry ocean roars/ Round our broken craggy shores’. The contrast between sitting at home in warmth listening to
ghost stories with the fierce cold Scottish winter outdoors, adds to the impact of the
descriptive passages. It is altogether less ‘abstract’ and less ‘nebulous’, to use Carruthers’s
terms, than the 1805 and 1807 odes. The rhythm is tightly controlled and the pace that of a
gallop. The influence of ‘Tam o’ Shanter’ is obvious, but Tannahill does not stultify the piece
with over use of abstraction or hero worship. The depiction of folk sitting round listening to
stories ‘of ghosts and rites profound’ is as real as the suggestion that the ‘story’ they are
listening to is that of Tam riding through the night on Meg. The more immediate metaphors
of weather and of family and social ties, to suggest Burns is a poet who warms people’s
hearts, are far superior to those of the gods in heaven (1805 Ode), or of an underlying fear of
French invasion (1807 Ode).

What is worrisome, is that these odes may represent an unhealthily obsessive attitude
towards Burns within the society that helped bring the odes themselves into being. Whether
this has been positive for Scottish literature in the long term remains open to question. As
Robert Crawford argues:

The prominence of Burns among icons of Scottishness has ensured that, in
vague terms at least, poetry has been viewed as important to Scotland’s
cultural identity. On the other hand, a smattering of Burns has been used by a
lot of folk inside and outside Scotland as an excuse for ignoring all the rest of
Scottish poetry. For too many people Scotland is allowed only one poet.

Crawford puts his finger on a very important Scottish cultural dichotomy, a dichotomy which
regrettably, can present a view that Scotland has produced one great poet, Robert Burns, and
hundreds of very minor poets since the death of Burns in 1796.

* 

Glasgow poet Thomas Campbell was deeply concerned with the plight of Poland, the right of
Polish people to self-determination, and with other Whig causes. He was criticised for his
long, didactic poems and *The Pleasures of Hope* is certainly long, but it was a poem which
made both an impression and his reputation:

“The rapture of April, 1799,” says a writer in the *Quarterly Review*, “on the
first appearance of The Pleasures of Hope, was very natural. Burns had lately
died. Cowper was sunk in hopeless insanity, soon to be released. […] The
moment was fortunate.”

Tannahill admired his contemporary and fellow ardent pipe smoker, Campbell, a bookish
Glaswegian poet, honoured now by a statue that stands in Glasgow city centre at George
Square. Campbell’s statue is less than ten minutes walk from his birthplace in High Street,
though like Alexander Wilson, he was a man with itchy feet who travelled far beyond his
native city. He was installed as Lord Rector of the University of Glasgow on Thursday, April
12th 1827, a largely ceremonial position. Tannahill wrote an appreciation of Campbell’s
*Pleasures of Hope* in 1805. In stark contrast to Campbell’s epic, Tannahill’s poem runs to
only eighteen lines:

**Lines,**

on the **“Pleasures of Hope”**

How seldom ’tis the Poet’s happy lot
T’ inspire his readers with the fire he wrote;
To strike those chords that wake the latent thrill,
And wind the willing passions to his will.
Yes, Campbell, sure that happy lot is thine,
With fit expression, rich from Nature’s mine,
Like old Timotheus, skilful plac’d on high,
To rouse revenge, or soothe to sympathy.
Blest Bard! who chose no paltry, local theme,
Kind Hope through wide creation is the same;
Yes, Afric’s sons shall one day burst their chains,
Will read thy lines and bless thee for thy pains;
Fame yet shall waft thy name to India’s shore,
Where, next to Brahma, thee they will adore;
And Hist’ry’s page, exulting in thy praise,
Will proudly hand thee down to future days:
Detraction foil’d, reluctant quits her grip,
And carping Envy silent bites her lip.\textsuperscript{69}

What we see in Tannahill’s ‘Lines’ is not only an admirer, but someone with a mind receptive to radical ideas. He strongly approved of the general humanitarian principles and internationalism of outlook to which Campbell gave voice. It is noteworthy that Tannahill penned his ‘Lines, On The Pleasures of Hope’ in 1805, the same year that his friend William McLaren made an impassioned, anti-imperialist speech at the first meeting of the Paisley Burns Club. Campbell is a ‘Blest Bard! who chose no paltry, local theme’, similar to McLaren, who, in his address to the Paisley Burns Club portrayed imperialists as ‘rich with the spoils of a ravaged country, and clotted with the blood of an innocent people’.\textsuperscript{70} So while the Paisley Burns Club was a ‘local’ organisation, McLaren made clear its commitment to a Burnsian conception of mankind being brothers the world over.

Tannahill’s sentiments in ‘Lines’, accord with the ideological outlook expressed in \textit{The Pleasures of Hope}. His vision that ‘Afric’s sons shall one day burst their chains’, complements Campbell’s view that European imperialism in Africa and India has dire consequences for the indigenous inhabitants. Campbell singles out the Congo as a location of particularly shameful acts, and even today this country is robbed of its resources and many of its people enslaved.\textsuperscript{71} Both Campbell and Tannahill are making bold political statements, there is nothing ‘muted’ here.\textsuperscript{72} In this light, it is little wonder that William Motherwell was not keen on Tannahill’s poetry. As Campbell states:

\begin{verbatim}
Did peace descend, to triumph and to save,
When freeborn Britons crossed the Indian wave?
Ah, no! — to more than Rome’s ambition true,
The Nurse of Freedom gave it not to you!
She the bold route of Europe’s guilt began,
And, in the march of nations, led the van!\textsuperscript{73}
\end{verbatim}

While in \textit{The Pleasures of Hope} Campbell employs the concept of the ‘noble savage’ in his
depiction of those at the mercy of Empire building, he also argues that as educated and cultured Europeans, we should have known better than to traverse the globe behaving as ignoble savages. The reader is challenged by Campbell to answer the question: whose behaviour is wild and uncivilised? A challenge responded to by Tannahill in his ‘Lines’, by siding with the oppressed rather than imperialist ideology.

The lines ‘And Hist’ry’s page, exulting in thy praise/ Will proudly hand thee down to future days’, are of considerable significance. They highlight the fact that in the second half of the poem (lines 11-18) Tannahill’s visualizes a ‘future’ free from British imperialism for both Africa and India. To argue for such a future, at a time when the historical trajectory of British capitalism was to expand the Empire and seek replacements for the lost American colonies, is a serious criticism of British government policy. Subsequent key elements of British identity were formed from early nineteenth-century colonial experience; and for Tannahill, to challenge the future of the British Empire was to challenge that historical pathway. Regardless of how circumspect Tannahill may have been in using Campbell as a figure through which to envisage a future free of imperialism, this in itself was a bold and radical act of imagination and poetic expression. Sentimental disapproval of the African slave-trade was common in the poetry of the time, but here Tannahill’s argument (echoing Campbell) moves beyond that: connecting the circumstances of Africa and India and suggesting that these continents run their own affairs free of external interference.

The line ‘Yes, Afric’s sons shall one day burst their chains’ is highly significant for a poet who has become known as an intensely local writer. It recognises that human beings in one locality are entitled to the same rights as those in another. His statement that ‘Hope through wide creation is the same’ confirms this and embodies perfectly Lisa Jardine’s notion
of ‘parochial concerns writ large’. Tannahill saw ‘Hope’ as a positive force equally distributed throughout humanity. Regardless of whether one lived in Africa, India, Europe or the Americas, ‘Hope’ could lead to social change; ‘Kind Hope’ could help folk in India and Africa, indeed, those enslaved anywhere could conceive of a different and better future, just as Campbell and Tannahill did. Although in 1778, the Court of Session in Scotland had ruled slave-owning illegal, slave-trading was legal when Tannahill wrote ‘Lines on The Pleasures of Hope’ in 1805. And while the Act to abolish slave-trading in Britain was passed in 1807, the struggle for the ultimate abolition of slavery continues. Today, Campbell and Tannahill’s ‘Hope’ remains resonant as a precious emotional and spiritual resource that challenges us to ‘burst’ such ‘chains’.

**Social-Political Poems**

Just as hunting had been valued because it stimulated warfare, so cock-fighting and bear-baiting had been esteemed as representations of private combat. The cock was a symbol of masculine fortitude and sexual prowess.

During the period of the Napoleonic wars, cock-fighting was seen by some people as essential to the maintenance of a masculine culture proficient in producing fighting men and sustaining Empire. Cock-fighting was not made illegal until 1849, although cock-pits were outlawed in 1835. Opponents of Parliamentary reform for the regulation, or abolition, of hunting, cock-fighting and bull-baiting, frequently complained that such measures would result in the effeminisation of British manhood, as well as deprive the poor of their pleasures: ‘in 1802 the politician William Wyndham, speaking in Parliament, defended bull-baiting as a preferable alternative to Jacobinism’. From this perspective cock-fights fostered a martial spirit, particularly necessary in a Britain at war with France. Almost no one at this time (around 1800) was seriously proposing that animal life had the same value as human life, but there
was debate about whether human beings had the right to be cruel to animals and this debate was undermining the idea that animals were human playthings. Species hierarchy was beginning to be called into question and this is echoed in Tannahill’s poetry. His poem, ‘The Cock-Pit’, is an unmasked and earnest attack on the ‘sport’ of cock-fighting. Written in the present tense, the lines are short and jagged, the stanzas are four lined with lines two and four rhyming. It has a short paragraph of prose by way of introduction:

*The barbarian-like amusement of seeing two animals instinctively destroy each other certainly affords sufficient for the pen of the Satirist; the author thought he could not do it more effectually than by giving a picture of the cock-pit, and describing a few of the characters who generally may be seen at such glorious contests.* [Tannahill’s italics]

Tannahill goes into some detail in his description of the crowd attending the fight, ‘All gamblers, swindlers, ragamuffins,/ Vot’ries of the stoup’. He focuses on a series of particular individuals and their reactions to the fight after they have placed their bets. Those in attendance are described as ‘gamblers, swindlers, ragamuffins’, but are not necessarily from poverty stricken backgrounds:

> But see yon fellow all in black,  
> His looks speak inward joy;  
> Mad-happy since his father’s death,  
> Sporting his legacy.

Even members of the same family argue over what the outcome of the fight might be:

> See, here’s a father ’gainst a son,  
> A brither ’gainst a brither,  
> Wha, e’en wi’ mair than common spite,  
> Bark hard at ane anither.

The depiction of the crowd is important as it reflects some complex ideological contradictions described by D. B. Davis, writing in 1975, who argued that campaigns against animal cruelty gave:
legitimacy to an emerging British ruling class by incorporating “benevolence” into its ideology, while at the same time carefully limiting the scope of that benevolence so that it could not threaten class hegemony.78

‘The Cock-Pit’ illustrates that those who gamble on cock-fights are debased:

Here bawls another vent’rous soul,
Who risks his every farthing;
What d—I’s the matter though at home
His wife an’ brats are starving.

Gambling is condemned as a strong causal factor in relation to cock-fighting’s popularity. There is no doubt that these crowd scenes are designed to bespeak moral bankruptcy and monetary ruin, but there is no clear indication of cause and effect with regard to whether cock-fighting leads to moral bankruptcy, or moral bankruptcy leads to cock-fighting. What seems clear from the prose introduction is that Tannahill’s intention was to expose the ‘barbarian-like’ character of the ‘amusement’, ‘by giving a picture of the cock-pit, and describing a few of the characters’. Stanza three concentrates on the practice of dangling overweight cocks from a rope so that the bird flutters until it has lost an appropriate amount of weight. This was generally thought to weaken the bird and those aware that the bird had been ‘swung’ did not bet on it:

Here comes the “feeder” with his charge;
’Mong friends ’tis whispered straight,
How long he swung him on a string,
To bring him to his weight.

Some wealthier people opposed cock-fighting because it was a gathering place for the poor and they feared gatherings of potentially unruly mobs. Tannahill to some extent exploits the stereotype of the unruly mob to condemn cock-fighting, even if his unruly mob does not consist solely of the poor. He also satirises head-on, one argument in favour of the ‘sport’ that to ban it would deprive the poor of their pleasure:
But why of it, thus lightly speak?
The poor man’s ae best frien’—
When fortune’s sky lours dark an’ grim,
It clears the drumly scene.

Tannahill treats the poor man’s pleasure argument with contempt. In the next stanza we see a gambler who is not at all cheered by, or taking any pleasure in, the fight:

Here sits a wretch with meagre face,
And sullen, drowsy eye;
Nor speaks he much — last night at cards
A gamester drained him dry.

What happens in this poem is that we are presented with two instances of cruelty to the birds before the fight has started; the enforced weight loss and fact that the cocks are ‘bereft of Nature’s garb’. When the fight commences the action shifts to the crowd and a panoramic view is given: ‘Now cast a serious eye around’, followed by the dismissal of the poor man’s pleasure argument. Next, we see the characters close-up and Tannahill deploys a series of arguments that suggest cock-fighting, and the gambling associated with it, are damaging to familial relationships: a father spends the housekeeping money, brother argues with brother, and an heir celebrates his ‘legacy’ rather than mourning his father’s death. Then, last seen in close-up, is an alcoholic who can’t afford a bet and here the fight comes to an end with ‘loud huzzas’; at this point the narrator notes ‘what deep dejection sits/ On every losers face’. So Tannahill is pointing out that the pleasure is derived as much from the gambling as from the fight, but moreover, the real ‘losers’ are the cocks.

There is something of a parallel between ‘The Cock-Pit’ and John Clare’s ‘Badger Sonnets’, Clare would have no doubt read ‘The Cock-Pit’, but what he thought of it is unknown. Tannahill writes in ‘The Cock-Pit’:

No fancied muse will I invoke,
To grace my humble strain,
But sing my song in homely phrase,
Inspir’d by what I’ve seen.79

And, indeed, this echoes Burns, the ‘heaven-taught ploughman’ and Clare, the ‘unlettered rustic’. Clare presents some rather grim detail in the ‘Badger Sonnets’ as does Tannahill in ‘The Cock-Pit’;80

Now mark what deep dejection sits
On every loser’s face.

Observe the owner — frantic man,
With imprecations dread,
He grasps his vanquish’d idol-god,
And twirls off his head.

The poem might have been better had Tannahill left there, finishing on a note of shock.

Instead, he adds a final moralizing stanza, insisting that cock-fighting itself is not manly, thus attacking the argument that the ‘sport’ wards off the effeminisation of the British male:

But, bliss attend their feeling souls,
Wha nae sic deeds delight in!
Brutes are but brutes, let men be men,
Nor pleasure in COCK-FIGHTING.

Ideologically, opposition to cock-fighting was double-edged in that its discouragement also formed part of a programme against general idleness and disorder. By highlighting its relationship with debauched and undisciplined characters, Tannahill was taking something from the arguments of those in authority who wanted people to behave more respectably, or feared the mob. However, he also adopts arguments from ‘humane’ progressive reformers who opposed cock-fighting on the grounds of its cruelty.

Tannahill’s overall depiction of ‘The Cock-Pit’ shows us that in debasing nature, human beings become debased. Human debasement is illustrated with images of fractures in familial relationships, though these fractures are brought about by gambling rather than the
cock-fight itself. Also of interest is that the scene Tannahill chooses to show us concentrates on the people at the fight, on the audience rather than on the actors (the birds). He turns away from showing us the cruelty of the fight itself: the mood is serious but our eyes are averted from the brutality of the fight until it is over, and the shock of twirling off of the bird’s head is presented.

George Crabbe’s stanza on cock-fighting from the first part of *The Parish Register* published in October 1807, shows more of the actual cock-fight than Tannahill’s poem.

Crabbe, unlike Tannahill, is not diverted by socio-political arguments about cock-fighting:

Here his poor bird th’ inhuman Cocker brings,
Arms his hard heel and clips his golden wings;
With spicy food th’ impatient spirit feeds,
And shouts and curses as the battle bleeds.
Struck through the brain, deprived of both his eyes,
The vanquished bird must combat till he dies;
Must faintly peck at his victorious foe,
And reel and stagger at each feeble blow:
When fallen, the savage grasps his dabbled plumes,
His blood-stain’d arms, for other deaths assumes;
And damns the craven-fowl, that lost his stake,
And only bled and perished for his sake. 81

In fairness to Tannahill, he covers everything dealt with in Crabbe’s first four lines and last four lines, and with almost equal aplomb. But, when the four central lines in Crabbe’s stanza lay bare the truly cruel nature of the fight, the narrator in Tannahill’s poem turns away to the audience where Crabbe focuses on the fight:

Struck through the brain, deprived of both his eyes,
The vanquished bird must combat till he dies;
Must faintly peck at his victorious foe,
And reel and stagger at each feeble blow:

Tannahill tells us that cock-fighting debases human beings but omits much detail of the fight. One can venture that Tannahill’s sense of Augustan politeness or sensitivity restrains him, he
shifts his focus to the abstract, discussing principles of human debasement and the humane
treatment of animals rather than allowing images of the actual fight speak directly to the reader. Crabbe’s lines on the other hand, bypass reason and go straight from image to emotion, whereas, Tannahill tries to appeal as much to our reason – denoting the destructive aspects of gambling – as to our emotion. Whilst Tannahill presents a more sophisticated poetic argument than Crabbe, Tannahill’s invocation of the rational blunts some of the emotional potential in the poem. ‘The Cock-Pit’ is, nevertheless, a powerful and thought-provoking piece: although it contains a technical ambiguity, it is unambiguous in its opposition to cock-fighting.

* 

Tannahill’s poem ‘The Trifler’s Sabbath Day’, falls into the category of a humorous social commentary concentrating on aspects of daily life. It is the summing up of a lazy Sunday, describing the feeling of wanting to stay warm in bed in the morning rather than getting up and facing the day. The choice of a Sunday as the day for the action (or lack of it) adds a religious dimension, giving the poem an underlying seriousness. Like ‘The Cock-Pit’, this is a poem of social observation combined with political debate, but also has a strong element of humour:

LOUD sounds the deep-mouth’d parish bell,
    Religion kirkward hies,
*John* lies in bed and counts each knell,
    And thinks ’tis time to rise.

But, O how weak are man’s resolves!
    His projects ill to keep,
*John* thrusts his nose beneath the clothes,
    And doses o’er asleep.

Now fairy-fancy plays her freaks
    Upon his sleep-swell’d brain;
By mid-day the pangs of hunger force John out of bed. He spends the rest of the Sabbath smoking, catching a mouse and telling stories until he is (paradoxically) tired with doing so little. Passing time is signalled by the ever present clock chiming the hour, though John in his reverie notices only every second hour. The poem communicates that strange feeling of time moving quickly at some points in the day and slowly at others, until suddenly the day is over:

He rubs his e’en — the clock strikes TWELVE —
Impelled by hunger’s grup,
One mighty effort backs resolve —
He’s up — at last he’s up!

Hunger appeas’d — his cutty pipe
Employs his time till TWO, —
And now he saunters round the house,
And knows not what to do.

He baits the trap — catches a mouse —
He sports it round the floor —
He swims it in a water tub —
Gets glorious fun till FOUR!

And now of cats, and mice, and rats,
He tells a thousand tricks,
Till even dullness tires herself,
For hark — the clock strikes SIX!

Now view him in his easy chair
Recline his pond’rous head,
’Tis EIGHT — now Bessie raiks the fire,
And John must go to bed!

This poem has a quiet humour rather than that of the belly-laugh. It is as if John has been taken over by ‘fairy-fancy’, and having been summoned by the church bell into a dream world of his own, spends the day with his pipe and ‘cats, and mice, and rats’ for company. The seriousness of the first stanza adds to the effect, making John’s subsequent actions all the
more trivial and humorous. ‘Loud sounds the deep-mouth’d parish bell’, impresses the authority of the church and the grave import of the Minister’s sermon (had John got out of bed to attend the service). The use of assonance in the first stanza is excellent, suggesting that the whole town is under the spell of the bell: with the ‘loud’ ‘sounds’of its mouth, it has the whole parish in its thrall. But the bell has had the opposite effect upon John to that intended. Rather than rousing John to go to church, in stanza two, ‘John thrusts his nose beneath the clothes,/And doses o’er asleep’. The power of the church bell has lost its authoritative meaning for John. He does not have any sense of guilt or regret at not attending church and the attitude of the narrator is one of mild amusement at John’s antics rather than condemnation. ‘Religion kirkward hies’, is a key line in this piece which directs the reader to Tannahill’s view in ‘The Resolve’, that people should be free on Sundays:

Tae gang as best may please oursel’s—
Some tae the kirk some tae the fiel’s’,
I’ve wander’d out, wi serious leuk,
Tae read twa page on Nature’s beuk;
For lang I’ve thocht, as little harm in
Hearin a lively out-fiel sermon,
Even tho rowtet by a stirk,
As that aft bawl’d in crowded kirk.\(^{84}\)

And while we might laugh at John, at least he has chosen to please himself rather than follow the crowd to church. The concept of blind obedience is also satirised by Tannahill in ‘Mode for Attaining a Character’:

Look grave, demure as any owl—
A cheerful look might damn the whole,
Gang rigid to the kirk on Sunday,
With face as lang’s a gothic window;
But from these maxims should’st thou sever,
Poor profligate! thou’rt lost for ever.\(^{85}\)
Blind obedience to church authority was obviously not for Tannahill. The irony in the last couplet above suggests that people should form their opinions on points of theology free from coercion. From the perspective of the narrator of ‘Mode for Attaining a Character’, the idea that the church can decide to damn your soul if you disagree with its way of doing things is ridiculous. Tannahill illustrates with wit and realism in ‘The Trifler’s Sabbath Day’, that the only thing that will happen to you if you don’t go to church is, at the end of the Sabbath day you ‘must go to bed’. You will not be ‘lost for ever’ in eternal damnation.

Tannahill appears uncritical of John when he ‘swims’ the mouse in the water tub. The activity is presented as mindless, yet harmless, amusement. We are not told what John does with the mouse after he finishes. Quite possibly he just sets it free. Gerard Carruthers argues that John drowns the mouse, however, this is an ‘off the page’ inference, there is no evidence in the text that reveals the ultimate fate of the mouse. Carruthers states that this is a ‘grotesque portrait of a man drowning a mouse in a tub’, referring to the lines:

He baits the trap — catches a mouse —  
He sports it round the floor —  
He swims it in a water tub —  
Gets glorious fun till four!

The truth is, he ‘swims’ the mouse in the tub and that is as much as we know of its fate. What the stanza does suggest is that John is indulging in a rather senseless ‘sport’; while seriously sensible folk have been at church, John is having ‘glorious fun’. Whether or not John drowns the mouse, the use of ‘glorious’ infers John’s activities have a parallel with the ‘glorious fun’ of going to church. There is a further complication however, in that the sarcastic use of ‘glorious’ draws our attention to John’s inhumane treatment of the mouse. This verse can be seen as a metaphor that equates the swimming of the mouse in the tub with church attendance, finally representing both activities as mindless and potentially, inhumane. Apart
from in his treatment of the mouse, John is presented sympathetically and humorously: his ‘Sabbath day’ is not shown as a total waste of time in comparison with those who have spent the day in religious devotion. Therefore, in a poem offered as humorous social observation a serious point is made with subtlety and wit, about unthinking obedience both to personal whims and religious authority; and if we are to laugh at personal whims, we are surely being invited to laugh at, and perhaps call into question, religious authority.

CONCLUSION

Tannahill’s is a moral poetry: he attempts to place humanity at its centre and explore with honesty what makes human beings good and bad, while seeking to create an awareness of the need to strive to make the world better. He is insistent in his beliefs, promulgating sympathy for those suffering injustice and oppression. Where he is political, it is generally with a small ‘p’ and this is indicative of the influence of Burns’s epistles. Indeed, Liam McIlvanney’s view of the epistles of Burns, might as easily apply to Tannahill’s epistles:

They do not raise questions of government corruption… but they remain political on a different level. They show the civic virtue of a community far removed from the metropolitan world of status and power and wealth. They assert the efficacy of non-material values – good-fellowship, fraternity, sympathy – against the corrupt self-seeking of the governing class. And in their celebration of friendship there is a radicalism, a politicised sociability.87

Where Tannahill differs from Burns, is in his more deeply held antipathy to patronage. Tannahill frequently attacks patronage and hierarchy, and it is here that radicalism finds expression in his poetry. One such attack occurs in ‘Epistle to James Buchanan, Aug. 1806’:

I ne’er, as yet, hae found a patron,
For, scorn be till’t! I hate aw flatt’rin’,
Besides, I never had an itch’in’
To slake about a great man’s kitchen,
An’ like a spaniel, lick his dishes,
An’ come an’ gang just to his wishes.88

The extremely short, ‘Lines, On a country Justice on the South’, which calls into question the assumed wisdom and authority of judges, is also an attack on hierarchy:

LINES
On a country Justice in the South.

What gars yon gentry gang wi’ Jock,
   An’ ca’ him Sir and Master?
The greatest dunce, the biggest block,
   That ever Nature cuist her;
Yet see, they’ve plac’d this human stock
   Strict justice to dispense:
Which plainly shows yon meikle folk
   Think siller stands for sense.89

Here, Tannahill attacks the notions that authority and intellect derive from wealth. Whilst on one hand, this has roots in Burnsian egalitarianism, on the other, Tannahill is far less susceptible than Burns to the power of patronage. Tannahill’s poetry expresses a desire for emancipation from the dual tyrannies of irrational authority and superstition.

There are a number of notable features in Tannahill’s epistles. They hark back to the Augustan, both formally and in some of their language and phraseology, and are also informed by a notion of popular enlightenment, allowing critical analysis of conceptions of friendship and social habit. Tannahill reveals aspects of his personal and public morality, and this duality (personal versus public) is emphasised by the nature of epistololarity itself, which accommodates oscillations between private and public worlds within a single poetic space. Tannahill’s epistles are a public space where he worked out his opinions, ideas, personal and social morality. It is also arguable that they show an effort to resist his own negative emotional states. He engages in positive discourse with a community of his contemporaries, yet, at the same time, in daily life we find him the victim of morbid thoughts. In a letter to
Alexander Borland he writes: ‘I am not so ill neither— have no thoughts of dying yet. Tho’ that appears at present to me to be a matter of indifference come when it will’.  

Ironically, Tannahill appears to have suffered from the ‘mind’s aloneness’ described by William C. Dowling in 1991, as a feature of the epistolary itself:

> distance, separation, and absence are figures of the mind’s aloneness in the world, and an epistolarity that so unperplexedly overcomes them also represents an evident resource for meeting and vanquishing solipsism on its own ground.

> Yet epistolary solitude poses its own threat to any such gesture towards community, for in a scene of writing where nothing exists but the blank page the possibility always lurks that audience or community are themselves mere figments of discourse.

For Tannahill, ‘solitude’ and ‘community’ were intimately linked. He was aware of the dangers of becoming a ‘cauld, splenetic solitair’, but his epistolary community was real.  

Tannahill and his friends did exchange verse epistles and letters, they met and socialised with each other. His epistles, in a sense, indicate his desire to be sociable, to engage with acquaintances and the wider public. However, in light of Tannahill’s view of himself as an undervalued writer, ignored, lonely and obscure, a parallel can be drawn with Dowling’s theoretical ‘threat’ posed by ‘epistolary solitude’ and Tannahill’s attempts to engage with his community and a wider readership; attempts which possibly reflect a psychological strategy to resist personal fragmentation.

Epistolary descriptions of experience in moments of solitary contemplation place Tannahill within both Augustan and Romantic sensibilities. However, Tannahill’s poetry overall can be seen through the lenses of two relatively recent critical currents, that of the ‘pastoral’ in the work of Terry Gifford and of the ‘romantic’ in the work of Sayre and Lowy.  

According to Gifford:
To the extent that the pastoral represents an idealisation it must also imply a better future conceived in the language of the present. Just as the country location enables a direct or indirect critique of the town, and the evocation of a Golden Age has implications for the present, so this must also have implications for an ideal notion of the future. If this were not the case, the pastoral would lose its oppositional potential.  

Sayre and Lowy argue along similar lines with regard to the idea of ‘oppositional potential’ as a component of ‘romanticism’:

> the unifying element in the romantic movement in its principal manifestations throughout the key European countries (Germany, England, France, Russia) [is]: opposition to capitalism in the name of precapitalist values.\(^94\)

The Augustan and the pastoral in Tannahill’s poetry represent a notion of ‘precapitalist values’ in which ‘siller’ did not stand for sense. Concerning the period in which Tannahill was writing, Sayre and Lowy further argued that:

> In this period we often find a subtle admixture of classic Enlightenment attitudes, along with something quite new and different that later comes to be called romanticism; and in certain cases the two elements do not exist in contradictory juxtaposition, but rather the second represents a kind of radicalization from within the Enlightenment nucleus […] as a whole romanticism cannot be defined as the antithesis of Enlightenment.\(^95\)

It must be remembered that ‘romanticism’ is itself a highly contentious term with meanings that can be seen as shifting. It is perhaps more useful to think of ‘romanticisms’ plural, rather than any single set of ideas or ideology. As Michael O’Neill argues; ‘if it has to have an essence, that essence involves a fundamental bias in favour of doubleness, multiplicity, tension and division’.\(^96\) Nevertheless, Tannahill’s romanticism accords with Sayre’s and Lowy’s definition in that he subscribes to a Presbyterian sense of ‘precapitalist values’ deriving from the biblical idea in the Book of Timothy that ‘the love of money is the root of all evil’. This theme arises in the poem ‘Antipathy’, a satire on sycophancy and what Tannahill sees as the stupidity and hypocrisy of both those who worship money and those
who bow to authority:

   I SCORN the selfish purse-proud —,
   Who piques himself on being rich
   With twoscore pounds, late legacied,
   Sav’d by his half-starv’d father’s greed—
   To former neighbours not one word!
   He bows obsequious to my Lord.
   In public see him — how he capers!
   Looks big — stops short — pulls out his papers,
   And from a silly, puppish dance,
   Commences the great man at once. 98

This anti-hierarchical, satirical treatment of life in the town corresponds with the theoretical position outlined above, that Tannahill’s poetry contains interactions between Enlightenment, Augustan and pastoral influences. (The anti-hierarchical stance drawn from Enlightenment egalitarianism, the satirical tone from Augustan literature, and the ridiculing of an urban scene from the pastoral). These combine with the influence of Burns, and Tannahill’s expression of the local in his poetry, to give what can be termed as a particular type of Scottish romanticism, although this romanticism is expressed more clearly in his song lyrics.
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6. Lyricism, Music and Song

HUMILITY AND EMPATHY

Tannahill’s song lyrics often address many of the same Whiggish concerns as his poetry and play but are infused with a greater emotional intensity, with regard to the themes of love and loss, than is present in his poems and epistles. The emphasis on nature and love in his song lyrics can be read as a healthy critical response to the interconnected processes of the growth of the industrial and the demise of the artisan.¹ Rather than reading his pastoral mode of song as a retreat from the political into social conservatism, Tannahill’s songs can be seen as a defence of his own way of life, and that of other artisans, from attack by the new economics of the industrial and free-market capitalism. There is an implicit assertion of the ‘right to roam’ in the songs, for example, in ‘Contentment’, he makes a clear connection between happiness and the idea of an unencumbered freedom which involves not only an implicit right to roam but also a disavowal of social hierarchy, wealth seeking and ambition:

I care not for honour, preferment, nor wealth,
Nor the titles that affluence yields,
While blythely I roam, in the heyday of health,
'Midst the charms of my dear native fields.²

Here again there is an unambiguous rejection of the idea that ‘siller stands for sense’, and an implicit assertion that freedom, health and sense of local identity are more important than rank and status.³ Freedom to roam and enjoy the local countryside was of profound importance because it represented an escape from the stoury air and hunched posture of the loom-shop. This gives Tannahill’s lyric appreciation of the outdoors a genuine material basis in addition to his understanding of the pastoral as a Scottish and British literary
tradition. And this material basis is still more emphatic if his tendency to chest illness is taken into account. Walking in the fresh air must have had considerable health benefits for Tannahill and given what appears to have been a temperamental more than an openly political dislike of the powerful and wealthy it is no surprise that he should assert his view of himself that: ‘Though humble my lot, not ignoble’s my state’, and so he might ‘blythely roam’ wherever he wants in his ‘dear native fields’. This theme is re-stated in the song lyric ‘Mine Ain Dear Somebody’, where romantic love, love of locality and of nature are presented as preferable to war, fame, ambition and the heroic:

WHAN gloamin treads the heels o day,
An birds sits courin on the spray,
Alang the flow’ry hedge I stray
  Tae meet mine ain dear somebody.

The scented brier, the fragrant bean,
The clover bloom, the dewy green,
A charm me, as I rove at e’en,
  Tae meet mine ain dear somebody.

Let warriors prize the hero’s name,
Let mad ambition tow’r for fame,
I’m happier in my lowly hame,
  Obscurely blest wi somebody.5

In his letters he expressed disquiet about his rate of pay and having to work long hours at the loom. There is often a suggestion that poverty, though not quite totally upon him, is not far away. So while Tannahill emphasised humility, his empathy for the poor and attacks on the rich had a basis in the economic reality of his life experience:

I would not write to you on this ugly tea-paper, but ’tis Sunday and I can procure no other— Have you ever felt what our great folks call ennui? I know it only by name; pushing hard through the week to keep poverty at a respectful distance. Keeps the dull, weary, [gaunting] Goddess far from my door.6
On another occasion he complained in a note to R. A. Smith that:

my beard’s lang, an’ my bauchles will hardly stay on my feet. Please come down at edge of dark

The implication of this being that as he has not shaved and doesn’t have any decent footwear he will not go out in the day time. In a letter to James King dated 17th July, 1808, he writes:

Trade is yet but very low with us, and I cannot say it is yet any better on account of Spain being partly open to us, indeed, manufacturers would be mad to risk their goods there when returns would be so precarious—Sweden seems to have taken the drunt [huff], and that will likely flatten us further. as things are - it is very hard with many a poor family, but with me, the world is passing pretty smoothly - I can just about as much as keep day and way clear— however even to attain that takes hard enough work - but let us drop every-day cracks and have a ten minutes habble on our poetical hobby——

Tannahill clearly saw the war with France as part of the cause of international instability and economic problems. His personal economic circumstances and independence as an artisan were being undermined by both the war and the increasing dominance of market economics. The letter to King continues with two song lyrics; the first to a ‘Welch’ air and the second to an Irish. The first lyric is ‘The Wandering Bard’, and while it may be coincidence that this lyric follows on from a discussion of economics, the lyric itself tells the story of a traveller who is walking across a bleak, wintry moor but refuses to enter an isolated inn because he recalls the cruelty of the innkeeper towards a ‘wandering bard’ on an earlier occasion. It is a vivid description of a ‘bard’ suffering from economic hardship:

The Wandering Bard

Written to a favorite Welch air

Chill the wintry winds were blowing
Foul the murky night was snowing
Through the storm the minstrel bowing
Sought the Inn on yonder moor
All within was warm and cheery
All without was cold and dreary
There the wand’rer, worn and weary
Thought to pass a night secure.

Softly rose his mournful ditty
Suiting to his tale of pity
But the master scoffing witty,
Check’d his strain with scornful jeer
‘Hoary vagrant— frequent comer
“Can’st thou save thy gains of summer
“No, then old intruding thrummer
Thou can’st have no lodging here.”

Slow the bard departed sighing,
Wounded worth forbade replying,
One last feeble effort trying
Faint he sunk no more to rise
Through his harp the breeze sharp ringing
Wild his dying dirge was singing
While his soul from insult springing
Sought its mansion in the skies.

Now tho’ the wintry winds be blowing
Night be foul with raining, snowing,
Still the traveller that way going,
Shuns the Inn upon the moor
Tho’ within ’tis warm and cheery
While without ’tis cold and dreary
Still he minds the minstrel weary
Spurn’d from that unfriendly door. 9

The fact that the innkeeper answers his own question, ‘Can’st thou save thy gains of summer’ with an emphatic ‘No, then old intruding thrummer/ Thou can’st have no lodging here’, tells us that he sees the bard as a feckless, worthless, ‘vagrant’. The traveller, by contrast, will brave the winter elements rather than engage in an economic or social relationship with the innkeeper who has no sympathy for a poor artist. This depiction of a principled traveller refusing to enter premises where a misuse of power has occurred does,
I suggest, carry within it echoes of Tannahill’s real life reluctance to enter houses of the rich. It also highlights the idea that Tannahill has internalised the traditional view of weavers as men of culture and learning, and therefore art and artists deserve respect. The narrator leaves us in no doubt that our sympathies should be with the bard and that the traveller has made correct decision in by-passing the inn in spite of its ‘warm and cheery’ atmosphere. The warmth and cheerfulness are tainted by the misery and death of the bard, inflicted upon him by the innkeeper. The lyric is an analysis of power-relations; the innkeeper can be seen as a patron and the bard a patronised artist who ultimately is destroyed on the patron’s whim. Although the plight of the ‘bard’ in this particular situation is dictated by the ‘unfriendly’ ‘master’ of the inn, there is an implied background narrative of the hard life of the ‘bard’ which is not directly described but happens off the page. The fact that the ‘bard’ dies indicates that at his time of arrival at the inn he was already in a feeble and fragile state. The reasons for his debility are not given but it would be safer to assume a general economic downturn rather than fecklessness or acts of individual unkindness as the ultimate cause of the bard’s demise. The bard is still trying to honestly ply his minstrelsy. The innkeeper is to be scorned for his lack of philanthropy but there is an implied, generalised, socio-political lack of philanthropic virtue that has caused the bard to be so enfeebled even before he ever reaches the inn. The two characters - the bard and the traveller - who are outside the inn are forced to pay a price for their choice of lifestyle and principle, while the comfort of those inside the inn remains undisturbed, a situation which contains within itself the critique that the comfort of some is bought at the expense of others. Further, the lyric does not argue for meek acceptance of the situation, the traveller in his ‘consumer-boycott’ of the inn is taking action to express his disapproval
of what has happened there. Just as important as the boycott is the act of remembering what has happened, ‘Still he minds the minstrel weary/ Spurn’d from that unfriendly door’, without which the location of an act of injustice cannot be brought to the attention of others and the traveller’s action has no real meaning. Tannahill’s narrative is telling us that artists have a role in recording events and that how the narrative is presented can be a guide to thought and action. In this case a traveller protests against an innkeeper for what he sees as a just cause, but from this particular, the general conclusion that protesting against injustice is both valid and admirable begins to arise. This is a rather muted political message, nevertheless a political message it is. So while Tannahill advocates humility he does not advocate blind acceptance of things as they are.

Tannahill’s criticisms of the mores of his time are tempered by the ‘good things’ of the Industrial Age in the form of cultural activities, such as regular plays and concerts that became possible through the development and expansion of the capitalist economy. Adam Smith asserted that: ‘Arts, manufactures and commerce [are] the industry of towns... agriculture the industry of the country’.

Tannahill, who lived physically on the border between the rural and the industrial was ideally placed to witness the process of separation of town from country. With regard to financial hardship, in a letter to James Clark written in June 1808, Tannahill again makes the same point about ‘trade’ being difficult as he did in his letter to King incorporating the lyric to ‘The Wandering Bard’:

I have scarcely as much leisure time to say God bless you— In these hard times the man who would live on anything like honourable terms with the world must toil hard to attain it: you must be sensible of this and will excuse me.

Earlier that year he had written to Clark:

Has Mr Stuart yet written you? He was here at Mr Smith’s concert about six
weeks ago— he said he would write as soon as he returned to Greenock. Our friend S. had a most noble concert, all the big Nobs an’ wee Nobs of the Town attended, and all seemed to be highly pleased— Our Trade being so low few working people were at it.  

Perhaps what is most significant here are the phrases ‘Our Trade’ and ‘working people’. This shows quite definitely that Tannahill thought of himself as a working person even after the sale of nine hundred copies of The Soldier’s Return and the publication of songs in sheet music form beginning to spread his name as a songwriter as far as London. There is also a sense of regret at the fact that ‘few working people’ could attend Smith’s concert owing to the fact that they were not earning enough money to do so. Tannahill did not seem to think the fact that he was a poet and songwriter removed him from his social reality. He had no great crisis about being a weaver that can be pinpointed with accuracy from his extant writings other than the financial worries that would have been experienced by most artisan tradesmen such as cobblers and tailors as well as weavers. In fact Tannahill was more worried about being a writer than a weaver. Literature caused him more anguish than the loom. As he commented to James King in July 1808, regarding the suicide of another poet from Paisley: ‘I believe the poetical mind to be more subject to these awful depressions than any other - the justice of a line of Burns has often occurred to me “They soar in heaven or turn in vaulted hell.”’ His gently sarcastic sense of humour is expressed in the phrase, ‘all the big Nobs an’ wee Nobs’, and he clearly sees himself as neither of these but a worker or artisan who is also a poet and songwriter. What he is aware of, however, is economic inequality, social status and his own rather low place in the pecking order. Strangely, this low place appears not to have upset him nearly as much as the arrogance and self-aggrandisement of those who had wealth and flaunted it. Even when trade was low and the economics of life difficult Tannahill was a man who found a form of
comfort in songs, poetry and theatre. After complaining to Clark about hard times in June 1808 he then goes on to say: ‘I have seen Mr Kemble play Falstaff, it was a glorious treat’. 14

Both the urban and the rural (town and country) acted as spaces for compositional thought for Tannahill. It is obvious that the content of his song lyrics was strongly determined by his experience of walking through the landscape around Paisley. However, his experience of the dance-hall, the tavern, the theatre and the street also gave him access to an enormous number of tunes and the richness of this musical culture should not be underestimated. Tannahill was perhaps the kind of person for whom poetry and music were a form of nourishment. There is no question that he had a voracious appetite for finding tunes or airs to set his own lyrics to, as he wrote to James Barr in May 1806:

The number of airs which you promise me, far surpasses what I could even hope for, the writing of so many must be a laborious undertaking. They will be a treasure to me, and, by Jove! If ever I write a verse to any of them you shall have the first copy. 15

It was Tannahill’s ambition to publish a volume of his songs accompanied by the music though lack of money was to thwart this aspiration:

An engraver in this town has made me a very indulgent offer, he will purchase plates and paper, and execute the whole at his own expense. Without seeking a sixpence till raised from the work when finished— In this I can run no risk -but the expense will be so high, that the necessary price of the book, I believe, would be reckoned by the public exorbitant— A number of the songs are to fine Airs not much known, and songs are doubly attractive when joined to the airs for which they were expressly written - they might be cheaply printed without the music, but wanting it they would have little chance of ever being sung. I now see that these things must lie over for a time: perhaps forever. 16

This extract from a letter written to James King on November 12th 1809, illustrates Tannahill’s innate understanding of the fact that lyrics without the air do not constitute a
song. Rather more ominous is his suggestion that they might never be sung, and the associated implication of the misery this might cause him. In the next paragraph Tannahill wrote:

From your last, poor R—n’s mind must have been in a deplorable state. When any one of a delicate habit and keen sensibility, flies to the bottle to drown disagreeable thought, the oblivious hour is soon past, and every care rises doubly formidable to his tortured recollection—I am afraid this was the case with him, and that he saw nothing but a world of misery before him, with scarcely one ray of happiness in view to induce him to live for it. I hardly ever in my life began a fuddle volunteerly, but, when I have at any time been led into it (at least to the lengths that I have sometimes been) I never felt so unhappy—so truly miserable in all my life—\textsuperscript{17}

This was Tannahill’s response to the suicide of the weaver, soldier and poet John Robertson. It is a remarkable coincidence that the consideration of suicide and the possible rejection of his song book should appear as part of the same line of thought; one in which Tannahill appears to empathise easily with the deceased.

**LOVE AND LOSS**

Tannahill wrote numerous love songs and his most famous during the first half of the nineteenth century was probably ‘Jessie, the Flower o Dunblane’. Its popularity was illustrated by a January 1816 review article in the *European Magazine and London Review*. This publication was then under the editorship of James Asperne, a keen Freemason. It shared some values with the *Poetical Magazine* in which Tannahill’s work appeared in 1804: both publications were not party political but, while being ‘unswervingly loyal to Church, King, and Constitution’,\textsuperscript{18} the *European* published work by Thomas Campbell and others who held strong anti-slavery as well as Whiggish views. The existence of such a review does indicate the beginnings of the move away from the public house and dance-hall towards the parlour and drawing-room, and shows the British
bourgeoisie attempting to appropriate traditional Scottish song, including Tannahill’s songs.

The review was copied down by R. A. Smith and makes no mention of Tannahill but bears the title ‘Jessie the flower O’ Dumblane, a favorite Scottish song by R. A. Smith. 4th Edition’. There is not much comment on the lyric, the review is mainly concerned with the technical aspects of the music, and Smith’s compositional skill comes in for high praise indeed:

This air has attained more popularity than any other that has appeared for a considerable time; and its already having run through editions, is a proof of its extensive circulation. We have not met with the name of Mr R. A. Smith as a musical composer, but the present specimen of his talent for good melody would incline us to hope that some other production of similar merit may in future follow it… and the cadence, at the words “the flow’r o’ Dumblane” is remarkably beautiful and happy. It is singular that a similar fall of the 4th rising thence into the tonic chord is to be found at the commencement of a [lyric] by the immortal Mozart, which it is very unlikely our author should have known, being in manuscript and very scarce.\(^{19}\)

Smith was a highly accomplished and talented musician and he may well have been familiar with the Mozart piece the reviewer mentions. It can be inferred from the above that the song had become popular well beyond both Paisley and Scotland.

Samuel Woodworth set his song ‘The Old Oaken Bucket’, first published ‘in the Republican Chronicle (New York) on June 3rd 1818’ to the tune of ‘Jessie’.\(^{20}\) Not only had the song transcended class barriers but it had moved a great distance geographically. Interestingly, Charles Dickens wrote a parody of ‘Jessie’ entitled ‘The Turtle Dove’ which was published on the front page of the True Sun for March 13th 1832:

\begin{quote}
\textit{to be sung, the subheading in the newspaper announces, to the tune of “Jessie, the Flower o’ Dunblane”}. This was a popular Scots ballad, whose narrator’s repeated endeavour \textit{“to muse on sweet Jessie, the flower o’ Dunblane” is triumphantly subverted in Dickens’s version by the
\end{quote}
balladeer’s determination to muse on his boots.\textsuperscript{21}

One wonders whether or not Tannahill would have approved of Dickens’s ridiculing of his balladeer’s sincerity, but for a man who despaired that many of his songs would never be sung this development would perhaps have met with a certain wry satisfaction if not approval.

The lyric for ‘Jessie’ was first published in the \textit{Scots Magazine} of March 1808.

According to P. A Ramsay writing in 1838:

‘Jessie the Flow’r o’ Dumblane,’ was first ushered into the world in 1808 and since that time no Scottish song has enjoyed among all classes greater popularity. For this it is indebted at once to the beauty of the words and the appropriateness of the music composed for them by the poet’s friend.\textsuperscript{22}

The singer and comedian Jack Shaw who played in Paisley, Glasgow and London during the first decade of the nineteenth century apparently tried to copyright the song for himself.

An account of this is given by James Barr in a letter of September 1859 addressed to William Porteous:

Smith very obligingly gave him [Jack Shaw] the song, with an accompaniment for two violins and bass. Jack of course set it going in Paisley; but merrily as things might be going on, Jack left them, came to Glasgow, and was a short time with the circus company. In the meantime the celebrated Braham had made his first appearance in the Theatre Royal, Queen Street. Jack again found his way to an engagement in the Glasgow company, taking his manuscript with him of course. He was not long there till he made bold with Braham for an engagement on the boards in London. Braham, considering on it, thought he might be a good set off in different ways, as being a good \textit{native} Scotch comedian (a rarish article), and to take part in duets and glee he might be useful. \textit{Mr. Shaw} being now installed on the London boards, he hoisted up “Jessie,” and when the audiences had taken a good view, he thought she might travel a little further, so he enterprisingly applied to a music publisher, with the copyright in the author’s own handwriting, to have her shown off in a broadsheet, which was accordingly done; but it so happened that previous to this Mr. Steven in Glasgow had his sheet in motion, with an accompaniment for the pianoforte by Mr. Smith, and the full authority of Mr. Steven’s holding the copyright was established at Stationers’ Hall. Mr. Shaw, supporting the London publisher by boasting of the copy being in the hand writing of the
Barr appears to have wholly revealed the mechanism by which the song became popular as far afield as London and it obviously remained a ‘favourite’ in 1816 when it was reviewed in the *European Magazine*. The fact that it was well enough known in America in 1818 to inspire a new and subsequently popular American song and that it remained popular enough in England in 1832 for Dickens to parody it in a boot-polish advertisement does show that ‘Jessie’ was incredibly popular. Had Tannahill lived beyond 1810 it may well have earned him some decent money. Interestingly, with regard to the lyric, R. A. Smith asserts in the *Harp of Renfrewshire* that Tannahill added a third stanza at a later date, which Smith refers to as a ‘clog’. It would appear that Tannahill insisted that the third stanza be included, though according to Smith: ‘it would have been more to the author’s credit had such an addition never been made’. And he continued: ‘however, I feel confident that every singer of taste will discard it as a useless appendage’. Unfortunately for Smith, Sam Monaghan recorded the song in 2000 with the ‘useless appendage’ intact. I would suggest that the song would have been rather too short to make a real impression without the final stanza, though obviously an earlier stanza could be repeated. However, with regard to the aesthetics of the lyric Smith may well have had a point; the use of language in the final stanza is less imaginative, less abstract, and less metaphorical than in the first two stanzas, but in its thrust of meaning the presence of the final stanza connects the song to the body of Tannahill’s thought in relation to the ideas he expressed about the
city and the country, and love and wealth, elsewhere in his work:

How lost were my days till I met wi my Jessie,
The sports o the city seemed foolish and vain;
...
Tho mine were the station o loftiest grandeur,
Amidst its profusion I’d languish in pain,
And reckon as naething the heicht o its splendour
If wantin sweet Jessie, the flower o Dunblane.

Jessie, the flower o Dumblane, 3rd stanza.

Here we find similar sentiments about the futility of life in the city to those in the poem ‘The Choice’: ‘And barter the peace of his mind,/ For the follies and fashions of town’, and the same disdain for wealth devoid of love and morality that is a major theme of The Soldier’s Return. This third stanza is also a distillation of these same two particular principles of Tannahill’s philosophy for living given repeatedly in his Epistles. He does show quite remarkable philosophical consistency with regard to content across forms and formal variations. Overall ‘Jessie’ benefits greatly from the third stanza. Indeed, it defines the song as precisely one where the lyric expresses the essential elements of Tannahill’s poetic voice, whereby he tries to forge a unity between the romantic idealism of the imagination where the world takes form and is shaped by the ‘mind’, and the practical reality of the pre-existing everyday material world. Philosophically, this can be seen as an attempt to fuse, or bridge, the duality between idealism and materialism – or put more simply, between what ought and what is – in a standard love song. I suggest, however, that this is a side-effect of Tannahill’s simply writing a love song in which both the imagination and the material world have their part to play in his attempt to give an honest account of reality: a reality in which both the imagination and the material are seen as linked, joined, or even symbiotic; where through the act of singing the duality is negated, both form and content merge into one action of artistic expression, the song. In effect there is a movement
in the lyric from idealised ‘romantic’ reverie in stanzas one and two to something more
attuned to external realities in the final stanza; which by the act of singing, or performing
the song, become a unified whole:

How lost were my days till I met wi my Jessie,
The sports o the city seemed foolish and vain;
I ne’er saw a nymph I would ca my dear lassie,
Till charmed wi sweet Jessie, the flower o Dunblane.
Tho mine were the station o loftiest grandeur,
Amidst its profusion I’d languish in pain,
And reckon as naething the heicht o its splendour
If wantin sweet Jessie, the flower o Dunblane.

Final (3rd) stanza.

In contrast with the more obvious and matter of fact ideas expressed in the above stanza,
the metaphor in the first four lines of stanza two is more subtle. The lines give an insight
into both the narrator’s opinion of Jessie, and Jessie’s character:

She’s modest as ony, and blythe as she’s bonnie,
For guileless simplicity marks her its ain;
An far be the villain, divested o feelin,
Wha’d blight in its bloom the sweet flower o Dunblane.\(^{27}\)

1st four lines of 2nd stanza.

‘Guileless simplicity’, like ‘artless’, is a term that might have been applied by critics to
Tannahill himself. It was also the kind of phrase that those adopting a more bourgeois
attitude towards song - such as George Thomson and R. A. Smith in his Edinburgh years -
would use to characterise songs in which a rural idyll that was devoid of social conflict,
poverty and struggle was presented.

Tannahill makes good use of alliteration and assonance in the lyric to give it a
and ‘bloom’, all work to make the song \textit{sing} – and this is no doubt what John Struthers was
referring to when he described Tannahill’s songs as ‘easy’.\(^{28}\) When the lyric is married
with Smith’s tune the song does have a rather ethereal quality; a dream-like other-

\(^{27}\) "She’s modest as ony, and blythe as she’s bonnie,
For guileless simplicity marks her its ain;
An far be the villain, divested o feelin,
Wha’d blight in its bloom the sweet flower o Dunblane."

\(^{28}\) "When the lyric is married with Smith’s tune the song does have a rather ethereal quality; a dream-like other-
worldliness which the more practical everyday standpoint of the final stanza actually militates against. This means that overall the lyric is portraying a narrator carried away by romance in the first two stanzas but in the final stanza – Smith’s ‘clog’ – he tries to pull himself out of the reverie to consider as far as possible his real situation, and ultimately fails to do so. Not because he misunderstands the material world, but because he understands that love is a state of mind that somehow blinds us to aspects of it. The lyric has tension within it and it is in the last stanza that we get a stronger hint of the potential ‘pain’ of love, after the ‘sweeter’ and more ‘charming’ lyricism of stanzas one and two. The relationship between love itself and the pain that love can cause forms the emotional pivot of the lyric, making ‘Jessie’ not simply a love song but a song which contains the seeds of emotional suffering. It has the potentiality to be read as a lyric of pain and it is this emotional tension that gives the lyric slightly more depth than might be expected in a simple love lyric. Part of what happens in the lyric is a shift from almost blind love towards the realisation of a harsher reality of a life stripped of meaning upon the demise of that romantic love. In this sense the lyric has transformed the song from a love song into something else – a miniature tragedy, as well as a love song.

Further, it is possible to read ‘Jessie’ as a plea for respect for nature and a criticism of the wealthy who ‘blight’ the natural world with the activities of economic progress. This becomes apparent if we see ‘Jessie’ as representing the natural world and ‘the villain’ representing industrialisation in these lines:

She’s modest as ony, an blythe as she’s bonnie,
For guileless simplicity marks her its ain;
An far be the villain, divested o feelin,
Wha’d blight in its bloom the sweet flower o Dunblane.

The phrase ‘blight in its bloom’ does lend some support to this interpretation as Jessie is
completely depersonalised here and wholly transformed into ‘the sweet flower’. Had Tannahill used the phrase ‘blight in her bloom’ then the metaphor between Jessie and the flower remains in the realm of the human but by using ‘it’ the metaphor moves completely into the material world of nature: if this depersonalisation is associated with the ‘sports o the city’ and those in the ‘station o loftiest grandeur’ in the next (3rd) stanza, the result of which is to ‘languish in pain’, then an interpretation of the song as a defence of nature against the encroachment of villainous industry and man comes clearly into view.\textsuperscript{29}

There is also a trifle with regard to the basic structure of the lyric in several of the published versions of ‘Jessie’. The version given by Ramsay in 1838, of three eight-line stanzas, appears to conform to Tannahill’s intention; some later editions give the structure as six four-line stanzas which would seem to run counter to the author’s wishes.

*  

Throughout the nineteenth century Tannahill’s song-writing came in for very little negative criticism. It was more or less accepted as a commonplace that it was as a songster he would endure, that this was where his greatest talent lay. As Peter Ross stated in 1870:

\begin{quote}
It is as a song-writer that he will be loved and remembered, and principally for the songs in praise of the scenery and objects surrounding his native town.\textsuperscript{30}
\end{quote}

This comment is another in the process that carried on throughout the nineteenth century - with the exception of David Semple - of critics narrowing Tannahill’s range as a writer, a process that would see him defined primarily as a writer of songs purely about the scenery around Paisley. This view would have us overlook his poems, his play and even his most famous song in modern times ‘The Braes o Balquhither’, along with other songs about battles, soldiers and the effects of war, and those about lost love, grief and death that do
not contain much about ‘the scenery and objects surrounding his native town’ and have very little to do with Paisley in the sense of Paisley and the scenery around it being the primary concern of the song lyrics. This narrowing arises from confusing Tannahill’s use of his local experience as a grounding through which to express his views and feelings, with the views and feelings he is actually expressing. For example, in the lyric ‘Gloomy Winter’s Noo Awa’ he mentions at least three locations around Paisley - Stanely Shaw, Glenkilloch and Newton Woods - but it is in reality a complex lyric about love and depression, and passing away and renewal; for people who have visited any of the locations the lyric certainly becomes more vivid but the locations are not the central message of the lyrical content, they serve to heighten the reality of a complex emotional state described by the language. And the language is used in such a way that the listener/reader can render this emotional state into an imagined reality. However, it is in fact a song about the madness of love, about being unable to feel happy or alive except in the presence of your lover; about the great joy of having such a lover and the great despondency when your lover is absent. The positive side of this feeling is presented as a joyous harmony with nature in the first five stanzas which is then completely obliterated in the final stanza. This obliteration encourages the reader to go back to the beginning to see why this state of love and harmony with nature should suddenly be impossible. And upon closer inspection the lyric opens up to the interpretation that it is about someone who has in fact lost their lover and is living in the ‘gloomy winter’ of the title, rather than experiencing the joy and harmony expressed in the first five stanzas. The song is an appeal to the listener/reader to understand love and loss, at the same time recognising that people can feel these intense emotions and that it is natural (though sometimes difficult) to do so:
Gloomy Winter’s Now Awa

Gloomy winter’s now awa,
Saft the westlan breezes blaw;
Mang the birks o Stanely shaw
   The mavis sings fu cheerie, O;

Sweet the crawflower’s early bell
Deck’s Gleniffer’s dewy dell,
Blooming like thy bonnie sel,
   My young, my artless dearie, O.

Come, my lassie, let us stray
O’er Glenkilloch’s sunny brae,
Blythely spend the gwoden day
   Midst joys that never weary, O.

Tow’ring o’er the Newton wuds,
Lav’rocks fan the snaw-white cluds,
Siller saughs, wi downy buds,
   Adorn the banks sae briery, O.

Roun the sylvan fairy nooks
Feathery breckans fringe the rocks
’Neath the brae the burnie jouks,
   An ilka thing is cheerie, O

Trees may bud, and birds may sing,
Flowers may bloom, and verdure spring,
Joy to me they canna bring,
   Unless wi thee, my dearie, O.

Indeed, much the same argument regarding location and content can be made about the
song ‘The Braes O’ Gleniffer’, the setting is the Gleniffer Braes but it is a lyric about love
and loss. The narrative is from the perspective of a young woman whose lover has been
recruited into the British army to fight the French:

   How changed frae the time when I met wi my lover
     Amang the brume bushes by Stanely green shaw;
   The wild flowers o simmer were spread a sae bonnie,
     The mavis sang sweet frae the green birken tree;
But far to the camp they hae march’d my dear Johnnie,  
An now it is winter wi nature an me.  

Tannahill, in the main, wrote lyrics to existing or traditional tunes over which the only real control he exercised was that of the choice of which particular tune to fit with his lyrics, or fit his lyrics to. It does appear that, like Burns, he was rather good at fitting his lyrics to existing tunes, and that the tunes themselves were already popular may be one reason for the popularity of his songs. As David Daiches argued:

It is important to remember that ‘the musical air’ was the more significant element in most of these songs; indeed, it is impossible to get any proper idea of this phase of Scottish literature without taking the music into consideration and treating the songs as songs and not as poems which happen to have been set to music.

Tannahill had a habit of ending his song lyrics in a way that subverted what had gone before, whether, as in the case of ‘Rob Roryson’s Bonnet’ where he moves from a very particular narrative to a generalised moral conclusion, or in the cases of ‘Gloomy Winter’, ‘The Braes o’ Gleniffer’ or ‘Jessie’, where at the end of the love song we are forced to question the nature of romantic love itself because of the pain with which it can be suffused. These love songs are as much about suffering as they are love, they are about love and an opposition to love which is pain rather than hate; though in ‘Jessie’ the ‘villain divested o feelin’ could be seen as a figure of hate as well as a force for the destruction of love and nature.

The song ‘Woodland Burn’ with its two tunes, first person female narration, theme of the absent lover, abundance of nature references, and the centrality of war to its narrative, contains almost all of the key elements to be found in Tannahill lyrics and song. It is also an example of a song that is not tune-driven, though it is impossible to tell whether or not
Tannahill had a tune in his mind when he composed the lyric: the song we encounter with the music by either Ross or Smith is one where the words were written to be fitted to a new tune at the hands of a composer. This is a totally different practice from that of fitting new words to existing tunes in the way Burns did. ‘Woodland Burn’ is a lyric-driven song and by working in this way Tannahill is again showing his independence of mind and determination to be his own man, not simply following the tried and tested practice of Burns. Particularly in the case of ‘Woodland Burn’, David Semple was right when he suggested that the songs in the play ‘sparkle[d] with such brilliancy’.\textsuperscript{33} Tannahill’s imagery beautifully depicts Jean’s sense of heartbreak over the absence of her ‘lad’ forced overseas to fight in the British army:

\begin{verbatim}
Lang syne beside the woodland burn, 
   Amang the broom sae yellow, 
I lean’d me ’nearth the milk-white thorn, 
   On nature’s mossy pillow; 
A’ round my seat the flow’rs were strew’d, 
That frae the wild wood I had pu’d, 
To weave mysel’ a simmer snood, 
   To pleasure my dear fellow.

I twin’d the woodbine round the rose, 
   Its richer hues to mellow, 
Green sprigs of fragrant birk I chose, 
   To busk the sedge sae yellow. 
The crow-flow’r blue, an’ meadow-pink 
I wove in primrose-braided link; 
But little, little did I think 
   I should have wove the willow.

My bonnie lad was forc’d away, 
   Tost on the raging billow; 
Perhaps he’s fa’n in bludy war, 
   Or wreck’d on rocky shallow. 
Yet, ay I hope for his return, 
As round our wonted haunts I mourn, 
And often by the woodland burn 
   I pu’ the weeping willow.\textsuperscript{34}
\end{verbatim}
It seems fitting that this song was composed as lyric first and tune second because the lyric is suffused with Jean’s strength of feeling to the extent that it is easy to see why a musician would want to compose a new tune for it. Tannahill has peppered the lyric with just enough Scots to let the singer/reader/audience know where the song comes from. This is a universal song in its romantic treatment of the absent soldier, but there is sufficient ‘bludy war’ to keep the lyric from drowning in sentimentality. There is a similar structure here to that in ‘Jessie’, two very dream-like stanzas, negated by an injection of social reality in the third (final) stanza. The notion of ‘weaving’ together flowers and twigs to make herself beautiful is cleverly linked with mourning: ‘But little, little did I think/ I should have wove the willow’. The repetition of ‘little’ with a pause after the first, helps give the next line the chill of death. The most heart breaking idea in the lyric is that of lack of closure, of not knowing what has actually happened to her lover, or when or if he will return. Of course in *The Soldier’s Return* itself this presentation of Jean’s sense of being in limbo does make Harry’s return all the more powerful and joyful.

* 

The song ‘The Negro Girl’ again deals with issues of love and loss but is also the second of Tannahill’s overt anti_slavery statements, while in ‘Lines on the Pleasures of Hope’, he makes general political points by wishing for change and a better future where there is no longer imperialism or slavery, in this song he focuses on the plight of an individual African girl. ‘The Negro Girl’ has greater significance than merely being a song in a particular style that deploys certain tropes such as lost love and a ‘dear native shore’: it is a metaphor in which lost love stands for the degradation that slavery brings upon us all. Tannahill’s lyric for ‘The Negro Girl’ was set to music by John Ross of Aberdeen:
The Negro Girl

Yon poor Negro girl, an exotic plant,
Was torn from her dear native soil,
Reluctantly borne o’er the raging Atlant,
Then brought to Britannia’s Isle.
Though Fatima’s mistress be loving and kind,
Poor Fatima still must deplore:
She thinks on her parents, left weeping behind,
And sighs for her dear native shore.

She thinks on her Zadi, the youth of her heart,
Who from childhood was loving and true,
How he cried on the beach, when the ship did depart!
’Twas a sad everlasting adieu.
The shell-woven gift which he bound round her arm,
The rude seaman unfeelingly tore,
Nor left one sad relic her sorrows to charm,
When far from her dear native shore.

And now, all dejected, she wanders apart,
No friend, save retirement, she seeks;
The sigh of despondency bursts from her heart,
And tears dew her thin sable cheeks.
Poor hard-fated girl, long, long she may mourn!
Life’s pleasures to her are all o’er,
Far fled ev’ry hope that she e’er shall return
To revisit her dear native shore.35

This is a song driven by the lyric rather than the tune but when the content is considered
Tannahill manages to hit a note that still strikes a chord with the modern reader. His choice
of third person narrative voice shows an implicit recognition of cultural difference, while
his attention to detail shows a recognition of the ‘worth’ and ‘merit’ of Fatima’s culture
and certain demerits within his own: ‘The shell-woven gift which he bound round her arm,/n
The rude seaman unfeelingly tore’. Tannahill tries to communicate the pain of exile and
the repetition of the phrase ‘dear native shore’ reinforces this in language that Scottish and
British people would have easily grasped because of their experience of military
campaigns abroad. Also, it echoes Tannahill’s sympathetic understanding of the exiled Irish and of ‘outsiders’ such as Gypsies, packmen and wandering minstrels. What is clever about it is that the ‘dear native shore’ he refers to is not British at all but African and this move by Tannahill gives the lyric a subversive quality. Of course there is a patrician-sentimental element to the lyric but Tannahill does try to resist this. When he writes, ‘Though Fatima’s mistress be loving and kind./ Poor Fatima still must deplore’, he is saying that no matter how kindly or humanely a slave is treated the fact that they are a slave at all is deplorable. He is saying that Fatima herself (and by implication every slave) is right to believe that her situation is wholly unjust and if ‘Britannia’s’ people are really against slavery then they, like the slaves, should deplore slavery no matter what arguments about kindliness and a better life here might be used to justify the practice.

Another point in the lyric which is commendable on Tannahill’s part is his determination to bring the topic onto British soil. He does not deal with the United States, France or the West Indies but brings the controversy home to Britain; it is to ‘Britannia’s isle’ that she is ‘Reluctantly borne o’er’. This seems entirely sensible as it is the political jurisdiction Tannahill himself inhabits. Rather than decrying the practice of slavery elsewhere, which might have been an easier option, he decries it both within the British state and universally.

Tannahill’s printer Stephen Young (1807 edition), printed an anti-slavery tract in 1804. Written by Reformed Presbyterian Pastor Alexander M’Leod, resident in New York, it is unequivocally anti-slavery but engages in arcane theological and some humanist philosophical arguments.36 I do not think this approach could have held the popular imagination in anything like the way a song or poem can, and like ‘Peter Pindar’ (Dr
Wolcot) and Cowper, Tannahill put his lyric forward in a forthright manner in the cause of human progress. ‘The Negro Girl’ was first published (words only) in the Glasgow Selector of 1805 under the pseudonym ‘Modestus’, an epithet attributed to Tannahill by that periodical’s editor, William Maver.37

By this time a fairly large body of anti-slavery poetry and song had been written and published. Cowper’s popular and powerful ‘The Negro’s Complaint’ had been in circulation for almost twenty years: ‘with his other anti-slavery ballads it was set to music and sung in the streets’38. Pindar’s ‘Azid’ was published in the Scots Magazine of August 1795 and is written in a quasi-Creole style. It would be extremely surprising if Tannahill was not aware of these works. In 1800 Mary Robinson published a poem in her Lyrical Tales also entitled ‘The Negro Girl’, which could be taken as a model for Tannahill’s song.39 Yet Tannahill does not give us a ‘Fatima’ who escapes into spiritual freedom or oblivion through suicide as Mary Robinson’s ‘Zelma’ does; in this case Tannahill’s ‘Fatima’ has more in common with Yeats’s eternally ‘Wandering Aengus’, and though ‘all dejected, she wanders apart’, she remains a feature of the British social landscape. A reminder of the evil that slavery is and of the hope that, ‘Yes, Afric’s sons shall one day burst their chains’.40

Burns, Wordsworth, James Thompson, William Shenstone, Thomas Moore and numerous others have contributed lines of poetry and song in the anti-slavery cause. Anti-slavery poetry is ‘a diverse, complex and nuanced body of work […] certain themes, images, character types, and narrative trajectories do recur again and again’41 and in that sense Tannahill’s poem is far from wholly original. It has much in common with Mary Robinson’s earlier ‘Negro Girl’. However, it stands up well to comparison with
Wordsworth’s sonnet dated September 1st 1802, ‘We had a fellow-Passenger’, which also takes an African woman as its subject. Marcus Wood’s criticism of Wordsworth’s sonnet indicates some of the traps in writing about slavery that Tannahill in his lyric largely manages to avoid. Wood argues that Wordsworth’s poem:

defines new limits for the creative disempowerment of the colonial subject. The poem ends as an attack on the ‘Ordinances’ of Napoleonic France… The black woman can state the facts of what has happened to her, but cannot even ‘murmur’ her anger, or despair.

Fatima, Tannahill’s ‘colonial subject’, remains alone and apart from society, deploring her ‘mistress’, symbolising a form of resistance and unwillingness to forget her homeland in spite of not possessing ‘one sad relic’ by which to remember it. The narrative voice remains thoroughly and consistently empathetic, whereas in Wordsworth’s sonnet the ‘objection to racist French policy is reserved for the poet’ himself.

IRISH AND JACOBITE SONG

After Tannahill’s death there were a fairly large number of poems and songs written to commemorate him. In 1815 Alexander Gilmour published a song lyric in Paisley’s Poetical Magazine titled ‘To the Memory of Robert Tannahill’ which was set to the tune ‘Erin go Brach’. So Gilmour as early as 1815 recognised Tannahill’s interest in Irish music and song. This is in sharp distinction to David Semple, Tannahill’s most thorough biographer, who wrote in his ‘Life of Tannahill’ (1876):

[…] it was quite out of the question to suppose that a sedate Scotsman like Tannahill was capable of writing verses to suit the wild airs of Hibernia.

This was something of a strange thing for Semple to write because Tannahill had a strong interest in Irish music from as early as 1805 and was particularly fond of Thomas Moore’s
Tannahill never visited Ireland. He had a second-hand knowledge of the country through reading and acquaintanceships. Tannahill’s close friend William McLaren was apparently chased out of Ireland for holding extreme political views, though exactly what these views were has never been made clear. Tannahill’s friend the actor William Livingstone also spent some time in Ireland and wrote to him from Killyleagh, Co. Down, in March 1807:

You will, I believe, be surprised when I inform you that I have begun to weave in this town. The truth is, I had of late entered rather too deeply into Irish conviviality, and was almost in danger of losing my health, and with it every comfort. I therefore resolved to endeavour to balance myself a little, and as I could not properly come to Scotland till at once I contrived to get a web here (a 1000 Mall 4 1/8), which, though but trifling, will suffice for a little, for living is pretty moderate, and I have been kindly and hospitably treated.

Livingstone had been ‘strolling’ with a company of actors in Ireland for the previous ten months. Tannahill also liked a young Irish poet who published poems in the Glasgow Selector (Vol. 2, 1805) signing himself ‘W. D. H. M.’ of ‘Glasgow College’.

David Semple’s attitude to Tannahill’s interest in Irish song gives a somewhat false impression. I want to take issue with Semple’s note on page 125 of Tannahill’s Poems, Songs and Correspondence where he states:

Although Tannahill wrote several songs to Irish airs he had collected, he never referred to or imitated the Irish Melodist [Moore] in his array of poets and authors.

The evidence in a letter to James Clark, 20th September 1807, contradicts Semple’s view. Tannahill wrote:

You recollect the song—“When time who steals our Years away.” It is not Thos Campbell’s as was supposed— it is written by a Thomas Moore, whom
I esteem as the Anacreon of the age I have seen a volume of his in which was the song alluded to - like old Dibdin he usually composes Music to his own words - the Edinburgh reviewers have endeavoured to cut him up for being too loose in his subjects - I will write you one Epigram

Your Mother says, my little venus!
There’s something not correct between us,
And you’re in fault as much as I;
Now, on my soul, my little venus!
I think ’twould not be right between us
To let your mother tell a lie.

The song and the ‘Epigram’ are from Moore’s *The poetical Works of the Late Thomas Little Esq.* (1801), though when Tannahill wrote this letter Moore had three other books in print, *Odes of Anacreon* (1799), *Odes and Epistles* (1806) and the first volume of *Irish Melodies* (1807). At the first Burns Anniversary meeting in Paisley in 1805, Tannahill’s friend John King mentions Moore during the toasts as a writer worthy of note along with Campbell, Scott, Pope, Thomson and Shakespeare.

Moore’s friendship with and biography of Byron secures his place in Western literary history, but in 1807, when Tannahill thought Moore ‘the Anacreon of the age’, Moore and Byron had not yet met each other. Tannahill, like Moore, tended to support Whig causes but at the same time ‘was no believer in poetic manifestoes or “systems”’. In his early work Moore, perhaps more so than Tannahill, wrote for an ‘establishment’ audience and with this in mind Moore viewed ‘each poem as a… masquerade or performance’ and Tannahill also wrote with the idea of ‘performance’ in mind.

Regarding Moore Jeffery W. Vail wrote:

Moore was a man deeply concerned with British and Irish politics from his youth at college to his old age, but he knew that with the failed Irish rebellion of 1798 and the Act of Union still fresh in the minds of his English readers, the last thing they wanted to hear from him was anything smacking
of Irish nationalism. An imaginative escape from events such as the Irish troubles, the harsh repression of domestic dissent, and the war with Napoleon was what upper-class English consumers of poetry wanted, and it was what Moore chose to provide.\textsuperscript{55}

This desire for ‘imaginative escape’ is also apparent in Tannahill’s work: in his desire to escape from what he saw as the ‘war system’, to escape the loom and become a professional writer, and to live in harmony with nature outwith the bounds of predetermined social and religious constraints, his life and work has residual echoes with Moore’s. Moore, the supporter of Whig causes and Irish independence, did not express himself in an openly radical way in his early poems and songs and like Tannahill adopted a conventional approach to literature even when expressing rather less than conventional views. Taking these factors into account it is no surprise that Tannahill admired Moore. The fact that Tannahill could remember Moore’s epigram, ‘my little Venus’ by heart, illustrates the latter’s influence on the former and Tannahill’s activity as a collector of Irish airs has to be seen as having some relationship to his admiration for Moore. Tannahill wrote ‘Anacreontics’ as did Moore and two pieces by Tannahill contain similar vocabulary and ideas to those expressed by Moore in ‘When time who steals our years away’. These pieces ‘Fill, Fill, the Merry Bowl’ and ‘Away, Gloomy Care’ were placed side by side in the 1876 edition of Tannahill’s work.\textsuperscript{56} One of the prevailing ideas in all three pieces is the subversion of sorrow through ‘the bowl’. There is also a concern for the passing of time and what ‘time’ itself actually means – is it an escape from painful past experiences or a loss of ‘loving life’? – ‘How like this bowl of wine my fair,/ Our loving life shall fleet’ (Moore).\textsuperscript{57} In the end each piece leaves us with a sense of determined optimism that time and pain can be tempered by ‘Hope’ and drink. Tannahill opens ‘Fill, Fill, the Merry
Bowl’ with:

   Fill, fill, the merry bowl,
       Drown corrosive care and sorrow,
   Why, why, clog the soul,
       By caring for to-morrow?

Moore ends ‘When Time who steals our years away’ with:

   Then fill the cup—away with gloom!
       Our joys shall always last;
   For Hope will brighten days to come,
       And mem’ry gild the past.

All three pieces are dedicated to the banishment of sorrow and pain. ‘Away, gloomy care, there’s no place for thee here’, as Tannahill writes, is again an insistence on the need for ‘imaginative escape’ which was so much a part of the work and lives of both authors.

Tannahill’s song ‘I mark’d a gem of pearly dew’, written around August 1807, contains the same idea of the dew representing weeping and despair that occurs in Moore’s famous lyric on the death of Robert Emmett (1778-1803), ‘Oh Breathe not his Name’. It is not beyond the bounds of possibility that Tannahill read the first edition of Irish Melodies in 1807 as it was also around August or September of that year that he began to make consistent references to Irish places and characters in his song lyrics. Although it must be kept in mind that Tannahill had started collecting Irish airs two years before the publication of Irish Melodies, Moore’s Irish lyrics might well have been the catalyst that spurred him on to submit Irish songs to George Thomson and to continue along the path of being a Scotsman who wrote Irish songs until his death in 1810.
Tannahill’s correspondence with George Thomson makes for interesting reading. We see from it that he was capable of writing melodies in musical notation and also that Thomson, who scribbled notes on the letters he received, was not too disenchanted with the melodies and lyrics Tannahill sent. Most of the tunes Tannahill submitted were of Irish origin, in June 1808 he sent a version of “The green wood of Traugh”:

Song

Air— The green woods of Treugh

Adieu! ye cheerful native plains,
Dungeon glooms receive me,
Nothing new for me remains
Of all the joys ye gave me,
All are flown!
Far from thy shores sweet Erin
I through life must toil despairing
Lost and unknown

Howl ye winds around my cell,
Nothing now can wound me,
Mingling with your dreary swell,
Prison-groans surround me,
Bodings wild-
Treachery, thy ruthless doing
Long I’ll mourn in hopeless ruin
Lost and exil’d.

Dear Sir,

The above little air pleased me so much that I could not help trying a verse to it, I believe it has never been published. It was taken down from an old Irish woman’s singing a native song to it which, she said, when rendered into English, was in praise of the green woods of Treugh. ‘Tis in such a wild measure I could think of no other form of stanza to suit. I shall be happy to hear whether you have before now seen it—

The lyric has a strange quality of understanding with regard to exile, imprisonment and the history of Ireland. Though the ‘treachery’ mentioned is of an unspecified nature, Tannahill
seems to have a certain grasp of elements of ‘Irishness’ without ever having gone there. This probably derived somewhat from listening to Irish songs but may also be related to the flight from Ireland of those displaced after the 1798 Rebellion. While the lyric is rather vague and generalised it is quite similar in sentiment to Thomas Moore’s ‘Tho’ the Last Glimpse of Erin with Sorrow I See’, and although the emotions and the predicament of the narrator are quite generalised it is still possible to engage emotionally with the lonely prisoner.

Tannahill sent at least seven songs to George Thomson in which he used Irish tunes and set his own lyrics to them. In his correspondence with Thomson, Tannahill comes across at times as rather snobbish and overly well-mannered. This posturing might be explained by his intense desire to be published by Thomson, as expressed by Tannahill in a letter to James Clark written in May 1808:

I am now going to beg of you a very particular favour, that, you would send me as soon as you can, any fine Irish Airs of the singing kind which you may chance to know; I dont mean those already common, such as The Lakes of Killarney, Shannon’s flow’ry banks &c. What makes me so importunate with you is, that, if I can accomplish songs worthy of being attached to them, I shall have the pleasure of seeing them printed into, perhaps, the most respectable work of the kind that ever has been published in Britain.

Now, Dear Jamie, as this is placing me on my very soul’s Hobby, do try to oblige me; however, should you favour me with any, they must be real natives of the Dear Country, for I believe there are many imitations composed on this side of the water.

By July 1809, Tannahill writes to Thomson:

I have gleaned the three preceding Airs for you. You may depend on their being genuine Hibernians—I had them taken down from the voice. The songs usually sung to them are as low, bawdy stuff as can be. I am firmly of the opinion that the very popular Air of Paddy O’Rafferty is worthy of being adopted into the singing class providing a good song can be found for it. I shall be glad to know your mind of it and how my verses please you. “The lass that wears green,” is surely a fine little Air my song to it and the one
following are just warm from the Parnassain mint; I cannot as yet guess how they stand. With regard to the latter, “Gamby Ora” I take to be a form of self-congratulation synonymous with the Scottish, Leez me! and Weels me! as such I retained it in the chorus; however, in this I may be wrong.

Here Tannahill is very enthusiastic with regard to the collecting of ‘genuine Hibernian’ airs, but we find him pandering to Thomson’s prejudices about what makes an acceptable lyric and a good song. Thomson was interested in selling song books and the market for his books tended to be amongst the better off rather than the working classes. So we find Tannahill, a working weaver, adopting the tone of those who were becoming the arbiters of ‘good taste’ and defenders of the parlour and drawing-room from any encroachment of the ‘low’ and the ‘bawdy’. Yet regardless of Thomson’s rejection he continued to collect Irish airs and write lyrics to them. Of the songs he sent to Thomson, ‘Peggy O’Rafferty’ and ‘Gamby Ora’ (Tannahill’s title was ‘Ye Golden Stars’) are particularly optimistic though Thomson didn’t much like them. In fact the lyric for the latter rattles along joyously:

Ye golden stars that rule the night,  
And hail my glad return,  
Ye never shone so sweetly bright,  
Since gay St. Patrick’s morning.  
My life a burthen on my mind,  
Despair sat brooding o’er me,  
Now all my cares are far behind,  
And joy is full before me;  
Chor - Gamby ora, gamby ora,  
How my heart approves me!  
Gamby ora, gamby ora,  
Kathleen owns she loves me!

Were all the flow’ry pastures mine,  
That deck fair Limerick county,  
That wealth dear Kathleen should be thine,  
And all should share our bounty;  
But Fortune’s gifts I value not,  
Nor granduer’s highest station,  
I would not change my happy lot  
For all the Irish nation.
Gamby ora, gamby ora,  
How my heart approves me!  
Gamby ora, gamby ora,  
Kathleen owns she loves me! \(^{61}\)

According to P. A. Ramsay, ‘Gamby Ora’ is Irish Gaelic for ‘I will sing’. \(^{62}\) Tannahill thought it meant ‘Weels me’ and yet it works well even when he didn’t know the correct meaning – a happy accident indeed.

Tannahill’s first extant mention of Irish airs was in a letter to James Clark written in August 1805:

With respect to the Irish air with which you favoured me, upon the whole I am highly delighted with it, but don’t you think the 1\(^{st}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) lines of it bear some resemblance to the ‘Scottish Kail Brose’. \(^{63}\)

Tannahill makes numerous requests for Irish airs from his friends in correspondence from this point until close to his death. He also expresses on various occasions his love of Irish music though apparently he did not like ‘that blackguard song “There was a gentle Lady/And she lov’d a tinker man”’. As he wrote to George Thomson in July 1809:

I think this is a very pleasant sprightly Air, but it has such a long rambling unmeasured chorus that it will be hard to fit the middle part with anything like [a] rhyme. In Ireland it is universally sung to their set of that blackguard song

‘There was a gentle Lady
And she lov’d a tinker man.’ \(^{64}\)

Tannahill’s statements to Thomson might not necessarily reflect what Tannahill really thought but rather what he believed Thomson wanted to hear. The following extract from a letter to James King – September 1808 – gives a less ambiguous picture of Tannahill’s appreciation of Irish music:

Have you ever tried any songs to Irish Airs? Some of which I think very beautiful. I would be truly obliged if you’ll try to procure two or three of the best Irish Airs among your musical acquaintances, I mean those that you
may judge fitting for songs, and not much known—

One reason for doubting the veracity of Tannahill’s opinions as expressed to George Thomson is that he had nothing like the problems Francis Jeffrey had with Moore’s *Odes and Epistles*. In fact he did rather relish *Thomas Little’s* ‘my little Venus’, and showed no sense of aversion to Moore’s work, although the *Edinburgh Review* had ‘endeavour’d to cut him up for being too loose in his subjects’. Tannahill had written to James Clark in April 1809, expressing no comment on the words of ‘Tinker Man’ but keen for the tune:

> When you can get leisure to write me the air of the Tinker Man I expect you to send it: Please give me the first verse and chorus along with it, as they will help me to its crank measure—

Only when he wrote to Thomson in July did he express any disapproval of ‘The Jolly Tinker’.

*

In 1825 R. A. Smith published his volume, *The Irish Minstrel*. The odd thing about this book of Irish song is the large number of Scottish writers included in it, Burns, Tannahill, Hogg, Ebenezer Picken, Alexander Rogers and Byron are all there. This project was quite clearly a result of the absorption of Ireland into the British Union but it would be a mistake to think that Tannahill himself was wholly a unionist. He was capable of writing lines with strong Jacobite sympathies: ‘Caledonia, great in arts and arms’ and ‘To-morrow we’ll vanquish these ravaging English’, for example.

He set two lyrics to the air ‘Maids of Arrocher’, ‘Weep Not, My Love’ and ‘Lament of Wallace after the Battle of Falkirk’. Like Burns in ‘The Cotter’s Saturday
Night’ and ‘Scots Wha Hae’, Tannahill writes approvingly of William Wallace and both lyrics can be seen as having some relevance to him, though ‘Weep Not, My Love’ is about the 1746 Battle of Falkirk in which the Jacobites were victorious, and ‘The Lament’ about the 1298 battle won by the English. It was very probably the fact that 1806 marked the sixtieth anniversary of the 1746 battle that set Tannahill to writing these two songs. He appears to have started with the events of 1746 and then - with both battles taking place in the same geographical area - worked back in time to Wallace in 1298. The verses were written over a period of about six weeks in early summer of 1806. However, ‘The Lament’ contains despair over the death of Wallace and the dashing of Scottish hopes, with none of the derring-do or call to arms we get in ‘Scots Wha Hae’. ‘Weep Not, My Love’ celebrates the Jacobite victory, ‘The conflict was glorious, our clans were victorious’, then immediately immerses the singer (reader/listener) in melancholy as:

Yet sad was the Bard the dark herald to be,—
Ah! poor weeping Flora, thy dear promised Morar
Will never return to thy baby and thee.

These lines are a reflection on the death of a Jacobite clansman who is represented by the ‘I’ voice in the first stanza, ‘O weep not, my love, though I go to war’, but more than that they signify the death of the Jacobite cause in the year of 1746. A cause portrayed as utterly defeated, it ‘Will never return’. The lyric recognises the real tragedy of the Jacobites, the end of their way of life and absorption into the British state. The first stanza though presents a different picture, one filled with hope for Jacobite victory and a return to an independent Scotland free of English ‘oppression’:

O weep not, my love, though I go to war,
For soon I’ll return rich with honours to thee;
The soul rousing pibroch is sounding afar,
And clans are assembling in Morar-Glenlee;
Our flocks are plunder’d, our herdsmen are murder’d,
And fir’d with oppression, aveng’d we shall be;
To-morrow we’ll vanquish these ravaging English,
And then I’ll return to thy baby and thee.

This stanza does present a fairly positive view of the power of arms but the second stanza dissolves this view into one where despite victory in battle the war is lost and we descend into ‘sad’, ‘dark’ ‘weeping’ having lost something that ‘Will never return’. Of course the first stanza does also suggest that even although Scotland has been defeated there is some room for a comeback, but it can never be the same.

In ‘The Lament’ we are given more of Scotland as a ‘poor weeping country’. This time we meet Wallace as the first person narrator and Tannahill shows us a Wallace in the full flow of lamentation. The level of self-pity and dejection is almost lamentable in itself; again in spite of the brave efforts of men at arms very little good has come of battle as (Tannahill’s) Wallace says of himself:

But I, a poor outcast, in exile must wander,  
Perhaps, like a traitor, ignobly must die!  
On thy wrongs, O my country! indignant I ponder ——  
Ah! woe to the hour when thy Wallace must fly!

All is lost, but a residual indignation remains over the ill treatment Scotland has received at the hands of the English. ‘Ah! woe to the hour when thy Wallace must fly!’ does suggest that someone like Wallace is required to put the wrongs right but Tannahill has entered into a view of Scotland’s situation as near to hopeless, ‘freedom beholds her best warriors laid low’. Scotland is not free now and perhaps never will be. It is trapped in an imperialist Union, one that entails ‘endless war’, such as that with its old ally, France. These history lessons in song suggest that the best that can be done is a grudging acceptance of Scotland’s present position in the world. The past is a place where violence has been tried
and failed and the present is also a world of violence about which little can be done.

In Tannahill’s presentation of these two moments in history Scotland has no ‘Golden Age’ or pre-capitalist utopia to return to, the past is a dystopia where freedom has been lost and the present is not much better. Though the first stanza of ‘Weep Not, My Love’ does suggest hope and a fighting spirit of resistance, ‘And fir’d with oppression, aveng’d we shall be’. The Wallace of Tannahill’s ‘Lament’ has entered almost too convincingly into the spirit of the defeated and if the poem is read as a study of the psychology of defeat it is very successful. Wallace is unabashed in his dismal lamentation. Both lyrics are depictions of a Scotland that has lost its freedom. In both cases it is immersed in violence and war. It is a nation steeped in sadness and regret, ‘sad was the Bard the dark herald to be’, but there is the memory of (and faith in) freedom, bravery and nationhood, ‘your names be enrolled with the sons of the brave’. The cherishing of this memory keeps alive the possibility that Scotland can rediscover its freedom and its peace (peace of mind even). Tannahill can be seen as pointing back to historical catastrophes in order to point forward to the possibility of a peaceful Scotland in which freedom has been rediscovered.

CONCLUSION

Tannahill’s songs have clearly travelled across class barriers, time and place, and this is one reason why they are still worthy of attention. Intriguingly, Tannahill, who borrowed from Irish song did have an influence there after his death. It was not only around Paisley that a community of weaver-poets developed. In his Rhyming Weavers (1974), John Hewitt gives an excellent account of a similar community that existed (slightly later than Tannahill’s circle) in the Irish counties of Antrim and Down during the first half of the nineteenth
There were clearly some parallels and connections between these communities, particularly in their interest in self-education. As Hewitt states, Tannahill did not go unnoticed:

Usually, however, in adult years the weaver-bard had become a well-read man, more particularly in English and Scots poetry. There is hardly an English poet of repute from Alexander Pope to William Cowper who does not find mention in their books; while Ramsay, Fergusson, Burns and James Hogg were popular, even lesser Scots such as Hector McNeill and Robert Tannahill, had their admirers.73

Burns was an inspiration for Tannahill and many others but Tannahill’s Scots language has its own Renfrewshire rather than Ayrshire sound. Tannahill’s use of Scots in his songs is lively and strong, and does not give credence to David Craig’s observation of 1961 that:

Apart from slight work – such as that of the weaver poet Wilson, Dougal Graham, the most popular chapbook writer, and Robert Tannahill of Paisley, who wrote insipid but well liked song – this new culture in the area of Glasgow, Paisley, Renfrew threw up no notable literature.74

Not even Thomas Campbell appears to qualify as ‘notable’ but there were numerous writers worthy of note from Alexander Wilson and Ebenezer Picken to George McIndoe and Edward Polin. Whether it is ‘insipid’ or ‘well liked’ Tannahill’s song ‘The Braes o Balquhithere’, is one of his most popular and enduring. It has mutated over the years into ‘The Wild Mountain Thyme’ having ‘passed through the hands’ of other singers and collectors – Tannahill’s opening lines are ‘Let us go, lassie go/ Tae the Braes o’ Balquhithere’ and his final stanza contains the phrase ‘wild mountain thyme’. It became ‘Will ye go Lassie go’ or ‘The Wild Mountain Thyme’ at the hands of Francis McPeake of Belfast and was recorded by his family in the 1950s. It is in the McPeake incarnation that the song is currently popular and that it remains so is a reflection of the strength of Tannahill’s version with Smith’s music. There is a lyric (identical to Tannahill’s except in
structure) that was collected by John C. French and published by Henry Shoemaker in his

*Mountain Minstrelsy of Pennsylvania* (1931), with the following note attached:

> This very ancient ballad was furnished by Mr. Myron Hill, 70 years old. It was sung to my grandfather when a child, soon after the War of Revolution, by veterans of that war, and he sang it to me in 1876, in memory of our family soldiers of 100 years before.- John C. French, 1919.

This would place the song earlier than the Tannahill’s lyric. An earlier incarnation of the tune ‘The Breas O’ Bowether’ dates back to at least 1740, the lyric given by Shoemaker is clearly Tannahill’s and in spite of John C. French’s note on the song made in 1919, above the song’s title Shoemaker states: ‘This version sung and played on the piano by Mrs J. W. Quiggle, (1828-1914), Clinton County, Pa.’ Given that the locality the song lyric refers to is the burial place of Rob Roy McGregor (1671-1734), it is possible that the tune goes back some time before 1740. Tannahill’s lines are suggestive of McGregor’s life, so it is possible Tannahill had him in mind when he wrote the lyric:

> I will range thro the wilds,
>     And the deep glens sae dreary,
> An return wi their spoils,
>     Tae the bow’r o my deary.

According to the Scottish folk song collector Jack Campin an earlier lyric, very different from Tannahill’s, was published by John Hamilton in *24 Scots Songs* in 1796. Burns put the lyric ‘Peggy Allison’ to the tune and later R. A. Smith modified the tune in the light of Tannahill’s lyric. Burns’s and Tannahill’s lyrics have absolutely nothing in common. Tannahill’s lyric has also been set to the tune, ‘The Three Carles o Buchanan’. The later lyrics – those subsequent to Tannahill’s – are derived from Tannahill’s ‘Braes o Balquhither’, while the later tunes also appear to derive from Smith’s recasting of the tune ‘Braes o Balquhither’. Tannahill’s lyric with Smith’s tune is a major point of arrival and
departure, leading back to and forward from the original dance tune. This is one of the few examples where the popular version of the song that has come down to us today has taken its route through Tannahill rather than Burns, though this is in no small measure due to the efforts of McPeake. Nevertheless, in the late twentieth century it has been performed by The Tannahill Weavers, Pete Seeger, The Silencers and Rod Stewart among others.

According to Hamish Henderson:

‘The Braes o’ Balquhidder’ is Tannahill’s contribution to a well-established genre in European folk-song. It is the call of the town-bred boy to his girl to have a country holiday, and enjoy sex and scenery ‘where glad innocence reigns’. The best of these songs have a wonderful and often poignant lyric freshness - especially those composed at the time the Industrial Revolution was turning many of our towns into smoky hell-holes. 79

It is worth bearing in mind that a version of this tune has survived from pre-industrial times to the present. This would suggest that it has the ability to transcend both time and ideology; it was popular as a dance tune before the advent of specialisation and the separation of art from everyday entertainment in peoples’ daily lives, and it remains a popular song despite all the other forms of art and entertainment that new technologies have brought in their wake. This is a tune and a lyric that have been constantly re-invented; the central reason for its success must be that it has an appeal to a large audience across both time and place. One of the most interesting comparisons that can be made is between the lyric of Francis McPeake and that of Tannahill. This is Tannahill’s second stanza:

I will twine thee a bow’r,
    By the clear siller fountain,
And I’ll cover it o’er
    Wi the flowers o the mountain;
I will range through the wilds,
    And the deep glens sae dreary,
And return wi their spoils,
To the bower o’ my deary.\textsuperscript{80}

And this is Francis McPeake’s written in 1947:

\begin{verbatim}
I will build my love a tower  
Near yon cool crystal fountain;  
And on it I will place  
All the flowers of the mountain.  
Will ye go lassie go?  
And we’ll all go together,  
To pluck wild mountain thyme  
All around the bloomin’ heather.  
Will ye go lassie go?\textsuperscript{81}
\end{verbatim}

The similarities are striking and leave no doubt that the McPeake version is a direct descendent of Tannahill’s version. This represents a ‘folk’ tradition that is internationalist and progressive, alive because of its humanity and its ability to make people feel, at whatever point in history some songster or other decides to take up the mantle of the song and bring it to a new audience. Tannahill was prepared to try different forms and styles when it came to song-writing; to break away from earlier tune driven practices and write lyrics for which he was happy to have other musicians provide new tunes. Lyrically, he could be quite ‘standard’ and fairly predicicable at times, yet he was technically gifted, robust in the use of his language and of his intellect and above all humane and progressive in the ideas he expressed through his lyrics. His technical skill, use of intellect and support for the humane and progressive is extremely well illustrated by the song ‘Companion of my youthful sports’. The lyric is set to the well known tune of ‘Gilderoy’\textsuperscript{82} and was written by Tannahill in response to the death of a friend at the Battle of Corunna, in Spain on January 16\textsuperscript{th} 1809. It is a song that reeks of gloom as indeed have many versions of ‘Gilderoy’ though there is a parallel between Tannahill’s ‘Companion’
and Thomas Campbell’s version of ‘Gilderoy’ in that both involve ‘mouldering’ in the ‘clay’ some considerable time before ‘John Brown’s body’. However, Tannahill’s use of ‘mouldering’ probably derives from Thomas Gray’s use of it in ‘Elegy Written in a Country Church Yard’: ‘Where heaves the turf in many a mouldering heap’. In relation to ‘Glideroy’ Tannahill quite cleverly uses the lines: ‘A victim to the pride of courts, / Thy early death I mourn’. Gilderoy was portrayed in the broadside ballad (c. 1700) as being a victim of unjust English laws applied in the law courts of Scotland, though Tannahill uses ‘courts’ in a different sense, both Gilderoy and Tannahill’s friend died young because of what can be viewed as the arbitrary actions of government. Wordsworth and De Quincey were of the opinion regarding Corunna that ‘the only way to understand what had happened was to blame the government’. In fact the view was widely held that the British government had made serious errors of judgement in Spain and that ministers were to blame for the death of the Glaswegian commander of the British troops Sir John Moore, who died on the beach at Corunna. A poem (or song), ‘The Disastrous Administration’, illustrating this view of British ministerial incompetence during the Spanish campaign appeared in The Morning Chronicle of February 19th 1810, with the refrain:

    Oh! for disasters, JOHN BULL loves disasters,
    Without them he’d never be mellow,
    Then grant us disasters, and very bad masters,
    To make him a fine happy fellow.

By choosing the tune of ‘Gilderoy’ Tannahill quite cleverly draws attention to the deadly impact of the actions of states on powerless individuals:

    COMPANION of my youthful sports,
    From love and friendship torn,
    A victim to the pride of courts,
    Thy early death I mourn.
Unshrouded on a foreign shore,
Thou’rt mould’ring in the clay,
While here thy weeping friends deplore
Corunna’s fatal day.

How glows the youthful warrior’s mind
With thoughts of laurels won,
But ruthless Ruin lurks behind,
“And marks him for her own.”
How soon the meteor ray is shed,
“That lures him to his doom,”
And dark Oblivion veils his head
In everlasting gloom.86

The line ‘And marks him for her own’ is a slightly inaccurate quotation from the first stanza of ‘The Epitaph’ from Thomas Gray’s ‘Elegy written in a Country Churchyard’ (1751) and it is particularly appropriate in the circumstances. These are Gray’s lines:

Here rests his head upon the lap of Earth
A youth to Fortune and to Fame unknown.
Fair Science frown’d not on his humble birth,
And Melancholy mark’d him for her own.87

Tannahill has written a sophisticated and multi-layered lyric on the theme of the waste of young life. His choice of tune and quotations reinforce the sense of loss and mourning; marrying a Scottish tune with quotations from Augustan poets and shifting the focus of the lyric onto the fate of the ordinary soldier, shows a keen intelligence at work. The lines ‘How glows the youthful warrior’s mind’ and ‘How soon the meteor ray is shed’ are memorable, arresting and pointed. This song is intellectually robust and emotionally tender. Tannahill has remembered his reading, experienced his feelings, and brought them onto the page with skill and dignity. He has taken the time to think about what was happening elsewhere in the world, ‘While here thy weeping friends deplore/ Corunna’s fatal day’. Although there was much poetry written about General Sir John Moore,
Tannahill makes no reference to him, rather, he concentrates on the fact that the British barely had time to bury their dead, on the sadness of war and the ‘everlasting gloom’. This is as tender and mournful an anti-war lyric as has ever been written. There are no heroes, the first four lines of the second stanza reflect rather more tenderly, Scott of Amwell’s line about war and the recruiting service drum: ‘To thoughtless youth it pleasure yields’ (first published in 1782).

Tannahill’s concern for nature shows him to be part of the movement in European thought which involved James Hutton, Wordsworth, Ruskin and William Morris. His thematic reference points of ‘solitaries’, ‘outcast poets’, ‘ideal community’ and ‘fraternity’ are described by Michael Ferber as ‘typical themes’ of romanticism as is the ‘interaction between mind and nature’. And in this sense Tannahill can be defined as a Scottish Romantic. It should not come as a surprise to find that a German edition of his poems and songs was published in 1841. Nor should it be strange that an American edition was published by R. W. Mackie in New York in 1820, nor that it was re-printed in 1990.

Tannahill’s songs have a different texture from Burns’s and Lady Nairne’s: less jaunty than Burns’s but more intense than Nairne’s. They fill the gap between the bar-room and the drawing-room. They were written for everyone to enjoy, though for some Tannahill was too close to the tavern, and for others too close to the drawing-room. This is not a surprise as Tannahill was writing at a time when those like George Thomson, and later R. A. Smith, were building and exploiting the middle-class market at the expense of original street tunes and ‘folk’ lyrics. The development in the late eighteenth century of a mass market for popular songs was also driven by printers and publishers of sheet music. Lyrics now had identifiable authors, as did tunes. Art as a product of the work of
individuals and named groups was taking over almost completely from earlier anonymous collectivist forms. The economic, social and technological developments of the Industrial Revolution were changing irreversibly the nature of the society, and methods of song composition and transmission were changing too. In Tannahill’s song-writing practices it is possible to detect a combination of the pre-industrial and the industrial. Like Robert Fergusson he looks both backwards and forwards. The content of his lyrics also reflect a sense of dismay with the processes of the Industrial Revolution, yet without that revolution it is unlikely Tannahill’s songs would have travelled so widely across time and place.

* 

The last piece Tannahill is known to have written was ‘Why Unite to Banish Care’. On Thursday 15th May 1810, he left a copy at the house of R. A. Smith:

Two days before his death he showed me several poetical pieces of a most strange texture, and in the afternoon of the same day he called on me again, requesting me to return him a song that had been left for my perusal. I had laid it past in a music book and was unable to find it at the time. It was his last production and he seemed to be much disappointed when, after a long search, I could not procure it for him.

**Original Song**

Air - - “Sons of Momus”

Why unite to banish Care?
Let him come our joys to share;
Doubly blest our cup shall flow,
When it soothes a brother’s wo;
’Twas for this the pow’rs divine
Crown’d our board with generous wine.

Far be hence the sordid elf
Who’d claim enjoyment for himself;
Come the hardy seaman, lame,
The gallant soldier, robb’d of fame;
Welcome all who bear the woes
Of various kind, that merit knows

Patriot heroes, doom’d to sigh,
Idle ’neath Corruption’s eye;
Honest tradesmen, credit-worn,
Pining under fortune’s scorn;
Wanting wealth, or lacking fame,
Welcome all that worth can claim

Come, the hoary-headed sage,
Suff’ring more from want than age;
Come, the proud, though needy Bard,
Starving ’midst a world’s regard:
Welcome, welcome, one and all
That feel, on this unfeeling ball. 94

It is significant that Tannahill was buried in the cemetery of Paisley’s West Relief Church.

He was not all that keen on church attendance and from the evidence given in a letter to James Barr written on Christmas Eve 1809, had a personal preference for the sermons of his acquaintance and minister at the Abbey, Robert Boog:

save to hear Mr Boog preach I consider it only an unbefitting passing of time to go to any other of our— no - I have gone rather far, and will stop. 95

The Abbey represented the centre of power of the mainstream Kirk (Church of Scotland) in Paisley, and given Tannahill’s satirical treatment of Kirk Elders and Anti-Burghers in his work, his attendance at these sermons more than likely reflects his personal knowledge of and respect for Boog as a poet and man of books and learning, rather than a specific adherence to any particular Presbyterian sect. However, the West Relief Church was a venue for radical discussion: in 1817 Tannahill’s friend Robert Allan ‘spoke at the great Reform Meeting held in the Relief Church’. 96 A year earlier they did ‘Welcome, welcome, one and all’, when a large meeting was held to discuss the ‘present Distresses of the
County, their Causes, and probable remedies’. At this meeting a Mr J. Wilkinson gave
the first speech in a debate that was couched in highly Painite terms:

Let us, my fellow Countrymen, instruct our children in their rights; let us
inspire them with the love of sacred liberty— Let us teach them that all
privilege is founded on exclusion; that government originates from, and its
nature ought to be subservient, to the welfare and happiness of the people.

In the same speech Wilkinson lambasted the British press - using a paraphrase from Pope’s
‘Dunciad’ - for its pro-monarchist obsession and anti-Bonaparte bias:

We hear now from the venal scribblers of the British press only about the
divine right of kings, the liberty of Europe (meaning the freedom from the
more generous thraldom of Napoleon,) and their “right divine to govern
mankind wrong” Legitimate succession, social order,…

Of course Wilkinson made this speech after the war with France had ended, in period when
there was strong state repression of demands for reform, rumblings amongst the masses
were far more notable post-war than in the period 1800-1815. It can be argued that for
writers such as Tannahill the war acted as a barrier to the expression of overtly political
views critical of the British government. From December 1792 with the publication of the
anti-French and anti-radical pamphlet, The Paisley Weaver’s Letter to his Neighbours and
Fellow Tradesmen, there was a concerted campaign in the British press which conflated
the French Revolution with Jacobinism, atheism and treason. Indeed, that same month
Isaac Cruikshank (1756-1811) produced his cartoon, Wha Wants Me?, in which Thomas
Paine is depicted as a font of ‘atheism’, ‘treachery’, ‘misery’, ‘famine’, ‘treason’ and other
apparently undesirable social phenomena. Both Paine and Thomas Muir were often
labelled ‘Jacobins’ and traitors in the British press although in fact they sided with the
Girondins against the Jacobins in their opposition to the death sentence imposed on Louis
XVI.
From the early 1790s until as late as the 1830s, British government propaganda and much of the British press denounced as a ‘Jacobin’ almost anyone who took even a mildly anti-government stance (though the end of war with France saw far more open protests develop). Throughout Tannahill’s adult life those who supported such views as ‘the sovereignty of the people’, electoral reform, lower-taxes, or higher wages for tradesmen, were often labelled as dangerous radicals and traitors in publications such as *The Anti-Jacobin* and *The Glasgow Courier*.\(^{101}\)

Tannahill’s gentle mocking of judges, the king, the uncharitable, the wealthy and the church in his poetry, and his persistence in writing about (and from the viewpoint of) working people, ordinary soldiers and sailors, women and the poor in his songs does put him on the side of a progressive, humane political outlook. His absolute insistence that wealth does not confer on any individual greater value as a human being than anybody else and that poverty does not diminish the value of a human being is, for the particular time he was writing, a radical insistence upon the principle of equality of human worth. Whether his perseverance with this principle stems from the influence of writers such as Ramsay, Burns, Pope and Moore; from Presbyterian radicalism, Scottish Enlightenment philosophy, Painite republicanism, or some combination of these traditions - does not really matter. It is an argument for the dignity, human rights and respect for the humanity of all who live on this ‘unfeeling ball’. For Tannahill to argue consistently in favour of the principle of equality in his poetry and song and at a time when that principle was under enormous attack from the British state highlights his integrity as a human being and as an artist.

It is fitting, given his anti-imperialist sympathies, that he was buried in May 1810, in the churchyard where six years later, Mr J. Wilkinson made his long forgotten radical
speech in support of the welfare of the poor and ‘sovereignty of the people’. Whether or not Tannahill’s political expressions were circumspect due to historical circumstances or to temperament, he appears to have been something of a reticent radical. He remains, however, a fine songwriter and poet.
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Tannahill, ‘Mine Ain Dear Somebody’, Poems, Songs and Correspondence, p. 287.


Tannahill, Letter to Mr R. A. Smith, n.d. (National Library of Scotland, MS 2524.84).


Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Clark, 10th June 1808 (GUL, Spec. Colls., MS Robertson 1/17).


Tannahill, Letter to James Clark, 10th June 1808 (GUL, Spec. Colls., MS Robertson 1/17). Tannahill saw Stephen Kemble (1758-1822) the actor and sometime theatre manager at Edinburgh who was known to play Falstaff without padding owing to his portly build. For discussion of the Kemble family and their influence on Georgian theatre see, Gillian Russell, Theatres of War: Performances, Politics and Society 1793-1815 (Oxford, 1995).


James Barr, Letter to William Porteous, September 28th 1859, Poems, Songs and Correspondence, pp. 445-446.
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This is an illustration of the view of Tannahill expressed by John Veitch, as detailed in this thesis p. 75.


_Adieu! ye cheerful native plains,
Dungeon glooms receive me,
Naught, alas! for me remains
Of all the joys ye gave me;
    Poor exile!

--- The green woods of Treugh

Of all the joys ye gave me;
Banished from thy shores sweet Erin
I through life must toil despairing
Poor lost exile!

Howl ye horraurs round my cell,
Nothing now can wound me,
Mingling with your dismal swell,
Prison-groans surround me,
Poor exile!
Naught can further injure me
Condemned by ruthless perjury
A poor exile.

64 Robert Tannahill, Letter to George Thomson, 2nd July 1809 (GUL, Spec. Colls., MS Robertson 1/26). This song would appear to be an early version of the Irish folksong ‘The Jolly Tinker’. Today it generally begins with lines like: ‘I am a jolly tinker/ At a door I chanced to knock/ And said: ‘Have you any kettles/ Or some rusty holes to block?’
66 A review of Thomas Moore’s Epistles, Odes and Other Poems (1806) by Francis Jeffrey in the Edinburgh Review, 8 (1806), pp. 456-465, denounced him as: ‘the most licentious of modern versifiers’.
67 Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Clark, 4th April, 1809, (Laing Collection, Edinburgh University Library Special Collections, Shelfmark: MS La.IV.6 Tan. 2).
70 Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Barr, 19th July 1806 (GUL, Spec., Colls., MS Robertson 1/5). Song given under the title of ‘The Lament’.
78 Jack Campin, More Scarce Songs, website: http://www.csufresno.edu/folklore/Olson/SONGTXT2.HTM#BRAESBAL (Accessed, 17/9/05). Lyric as published in 1796 given on Campin’s website as follows:

The Braes o Bowhether.

Now the day’s growin’ lang lass,
an’ sweet shines the weather,
an’ we’ll owre a’ the hills,
to the Braes o’ Bowhether.
Amang the Glens an’ Rashy dens,
I’ll prize thee without measure,
Within my arms, wi’ a’ thy charms,
I’ll clasp my lovely treasure,
In sweetest Love, our time will move,
wi’ mair than earthly pleasure;
By the little limpid streams,
On the Braes o’ Bowhether.

An’ I’ll ay loe thee dearly,
Ilk day wes’ forgather,
Syne we’ll row on the fog,
By the Braes o’ Bowhether;
To Pipe or Flute, when time will suit,
We’ll dance like ony feather,
An’, skip the knowes where Claver grows,
or stray amang the Heather;
Ay free frae strife in sic a life,
There, weary shall we never,
By the limpid little streams,
On the Braes o’ Bowhether.

80 Robert Tannahill, ‘The Braes o Balquhither’, *Poems, Songs and Correspondence*, p. 238
82 ‘Gilderoy’ is a Scottish ballad with a long and complex history. It is about a robber who was hung in the first half of the seventeenth century supposedly due to the imposition in Scotland of unjust English laws.
86 Robert Tannahill, ‘Companion of my Youthful Sports’, *Poems, Songs and Correspondence*, pp. 252-53. The lines in quotation marks are from Gray’s ‘Elegy in a Country Churchyard’ and Oliver Goldsmith’s ‘The Hermit’, also know as, ‘Edwin and Angela, a Ballad’.
88 Probably the most famous poem about Sir John Moore’s death is Charles Wolfe’s (1791-1823), ‘The Burial of Sir John Moore after Corunna’.
92 It also worth noting that Tannahill’s song ‘The Soldier’s Adieu’ [see David Semple, ed. (Paisley, 1876), p. 249] travelled to Canada where it mutated into ‘Farewell to Nova Scotia’.
93 R. A. Smith, in *The Harp of Renfrewshire*, William Motherwell, ed. (Glasgow, 1819), p. XL.
95 Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Barr, 24th December 1809 (GUL, Spec Colls., MS Robertson 1/34).
96 David Semple, ed., *Poems, Songs and Correspondence*, p. 122n. Semple states: ‘He [Allan] spoke at the great Reform Meeting held in the Relief Church in 1817, and took a prominent part in the Radical proceedings in 1819 and 1820’.
See Report of the Meeting, Held in the Relief Church, Paisley, On Saturday 5th October, 1816, To consider the present Distresses of the County, their causes and probable Remedies (Paisley, 1816).

J. Wilkinson, in Report of the Meeting, Held in the Relief Church, Paisley, On Saturday 5th October, 1816, To consider the present Distresses of the County, their causes and probable Remedies, Paisley, 1816, pp. 6-7. This pamphlet was printed by John Neilson and a copy can be found at GUL, Spec Colls. Bf66-b.3 (Robertson).


Cruikshank’s cartoon is reproduced on the front cover of The Thomas Paine Reader, Foot and Kramnick, eds. (London, 1987).

J. Wilkinson, Report of the Meeting, Held in the Relief Church, (Paisley, 1816), p. 6. The Glasgow Courier, founded in 1791, was later edited by William Motherwell, it was an extremely pro-monarchist and anti-reform newspaper. The Anti-Jacobin appeared weekly from 20th November 1797 to 7th July 1798 under the editorship of William Gifford. Its founder was George Canning, a colleague of Pitt the Younger.
Part 2

A WEAVER IN WARTIME:

THE LETTERS OF
ROBERT TANNAHILL
Part 2 – THE LETTERS OF ROBERT TANNAHILL – CONTENTS:

Notes .......................................................................................................................... 264
1. Excerpt from a letter to William Kibble, Paisley, 14th March 1802 ........................................... 267
2. Letter from William Livingstone, Comedian, Kirkudbright, 18th November 1804 .................... 268
3. Letter to James Clark,—, 9th January 1805* ........................................................................ 270
4. Letter from James Clark, Bandmaster in the Argyleshire Militia, to James Barr Musician, Dunbar April 9th, 1805 ..................................................................................... 271
5. Letter from John Crawford, Largs, to Robert Tannahill, 8th May, 1805 ................................. 272
6. Letter to James Clark, Musician,— August 31st 1805* ............................................................ 274
7. Letter from William Livingstone to Robert Tannahill, Killyleagh, March 7th, 1806 ............ 278
8. Letter to James Barr, Musician, Paisley, 1st May, 1806 .......................................................... 280
9. Letter to James Barr, Musician, Paisley, May 23d, 1806 ....................................................... 283
10. Letter to James Barr,—, 19th July 1806* .............................................................................. 284
11. Letter to Mr James King, August 3rd 1806* ......................................................................... 286
12. Letter to James Clark, Bandmaster, Paisley, 2nd February, 1807 .......................................... 288
13. Letter to James Clark —, Saterday 7th March 1807* .............................................................. 290
14. Letter from William Kibble To Robert Tannahill, April 6th, 1807 .......................................... 292
15. Excerpt from Letter to William Kibble, 11th April, 1807 ...................................................... 293
16. Letter to William Thomson, Paisley, 1st May, 1807 .............................................................. 294
17. Letter to Robert Lang, Paisley 4th May, 1807 ...................................................................... 295
18. Letter to James Bishop, Paisley, 4th May, 1807 .................................................................. 296
20. Letter to James Barr, Paisley, 30th June, 1807 .................................................................. 299
21. Letter from James Clark to Robert Tannahill, 19th July, 1807 ............................................. 300
22. Letter from James King to Robert Tannahill, 6th Aug, 1807 ................................................ 302
23. Letter to John MacFarlane, Paisley, 20th August, 1807 ...................................................... 304
24. Letter to John Crawford, Paisley, 3d September, 1807 ......................................................... 305
25. Letter To James King, Croporal — 11th Sept. 1807* ........................................................... 306
26. Letter to James Clark Musician — 20th September 1807* ..................................................... 309
27. Letter to Mr John Struthers, Paisley 26th Sept. 1807* ......................................................... 312
28. Letter to James King Corp1 2nd Nov2 1807* ..................................................................... 313
29. Letter from James Clark, Musician in the Argyleshire Militia, to Robert Tannahill Aberdeen, 16th November, 1807 ................................................................................ 316
30. Letter to James Clark, Paisley, 18th Dec2 1807* ................................................................. 318
31. Letter to James Barr, Paisley, 5th January, 1808 ................................................................. 319
32. Excerpt from a letter of Robert Tannahill to Recipient Unknown, 14th February, 1808 .......................................................... 320
33. Letter to James Clark. 27th Feb2 1808* .............................................................................. 321
34. Letter from William Kibble, To Robert Tannahill, Bolton, March 1st, 1808 ....................... 325
35. Letter to William Finlayson, Weaver, Paisley, 5th March, 1808 ........................................... 326
36. Letter to Robert Allan, Paisley 14th March 1808* ................................................................. 328
37. Letter to James Clark [11th May 1808]* ............................................................................. 331
38. Letter to John MacFarlane, Paisley, 12th May, 1808 ........................................................... 332
39. Letter to James Clark, Paisley 28th May 1808* .................................................................. 333
40. Letter to George Thomson, Paisley 6th June 1808* ............................................................. 334
41. Letter to James Clark, Paisley 10th June 1808* ................................................................. 339
42. James King Corp1 Paisley 17th July 1808* ..................................................................... 340
43. Letter from William Kibble to Robert Tannahill, BOLTON, July 23d, 1808 ................. 343
44. Letter from James King To Robert Tannahill, Pevensey, 6th August 1808 ....................... 345
45. Excerpt from a Letter to George Thomson, Paisley, 6th August, 1808.................................347
46. Letter to James Barr, Musician, Paisley, Wednesday Night [August 10th, 1808]........348
47. Letter to R. A. Smith, Music Teacher, Paisley, 27th August, 1808...............................349
48. Letter to James King Corp¹ Paisley 28th August 1808*.............................................350
49. Letter to John MacFarlane, Paisley, September 3rd, 1808.....................................353
50. Letter to James King Corp¹ Paisley 15th Sept¹ 1808*.............................................354
51. Letter to James Clark, Paisley 24th Nov¹ 1808*......................................................357
52. Letter to R. A. Smith [Fragment, possibly the first page is missing, undated]*........359
53. Letter to James Barr, Paisley, 3rd Dec., 1808.................................................................360
54. Letter to James Clark, Paisley 4th April, 1809..............................................................361
55. Excerpt from letter to James King, Paisley, 9th May, 1809........................................363
56. Letter to James King, Soldier, Paisley 4th June 1809*...............................................364
57. Letter to Robert Allan, Paisley 2d July 1809*.................................................................367
58. Letter to George Thomson, Paisley 3rd July 1809*.......................................................380
59. Letter to John MacFarlane, Weaver, Paisley, 9th July, 1809........................................372
60. Letter to James King, — Sunday 16th July 1809*.......................................................373
61. Letter to James King Soldier. Paisley Sept¹ 10th 1809*.............................................376
62. Letter to John MacFarlane, Weaver, Paisley, 28th October, 1809.................................379
63. Letter to James King Soldier, Paisley Nov¹ 12th 1809*...............................................380
64. Letter to James Clark, Musician, Paisley 22¹ Nov¹ 1809*............................................383
65. Letter to John Crawford, Paisley 24th Nov¹ 1809*.......................................................385
66. Letter to John Crawford, [December 1809]*.................................................................387
67. Letter to James Clark, Paisley 17th Dec 1809*..............................................................389
68. Letter to James Barr, Musician, Paisley 24th Dec¹ 1809*.............................................390
69. Letter to James Clark, Paisley 20th Jan² 1810*.............................................................392
70. Letter to Thomas Stewart, Bookseller, 1st March, 1810..............................................393
71. Letter to John Crawford, Paisley, 17th March 1810*....................................................394
72. Letter to James King, — 1st April 1810*.......................................................................397
73. Letter to Mr R. A. Smith [No Date]*..............................................................................399
74. Letter to Alexander Borland [Excerpt, May 1810].........................................................400
75. Letter from R. A. Smith, to Robert Lang, Manufacturer, Ayr, July 9th, 1818................401
76. Letter from R. A. Smith, To William Motherwell, April 15th 1819*...............................405
77. Letter from James Tannahill to his relatives in USA, Paisley, 5th April, 1824..............406
78. Letter from Thomas Tannahill to his relatives in USA, Paisley 19th May, 1824 ..........409
79. Letter from Matthew Tannahill to his relatives in USA, Paisley 19th May, 1824..........410
80. Letter from John Crawford, Largs, to Matthew Tannahill, Paisley, 20th October, 1848. .................................................................415
81. Letter from James Barr to William Porteous, Govan, June 9th, 1859............................416
82. Letter from James Barr to William Porteous, Govan, Sept. 28th, 1859.........................418

Bibliography..................................................................................................................420
These letters, numbering eighty-two in total, represent a considerable expansion on those presented by David Semple in 1876 (see Semple’s ‘Introduction’ below). Semple gave a total of sixty-one letters: thirty-six in full and twenty-five excerpts. Of the eighty-two letters given here, seventy-four are complete and eight are excerpts. Sixty-three were written by Tannahill, ten addressed to Tannahill and nine refer to him.

Owing to the number of Tannahill biographers there were between 1815 and 1876 (M’Laren, Muir, Motherwell [unfinished], Struthers, Rogers, Ramsay, Lamb [unfinished] and Semple) the letters not transcribed by myself may well retain some repeated errors. I have attempted to put right errors in previously published letters where I had access to holographic originals. As the majority of the letters Semple brought to light could not be traced in the originals it is mostly there that possible errors remain. The issue of inaccuracies in Tannahill’s published letters is addressed in Ronald L. Crawford’s paper “New Light on Robert Tannahill, the Weaver-Poet of Paisley” (1966), though this is an area of study that remains ripe for further investigation. The chief primary sources are Ramsay’s 1838 edition, Semple’s 1876 edition and Glasgow University Library, Special Collections Department. For some reason Semple appears not to have had access to most of the letters now stored at Glasgow University which I believe were collected by William Motherwell and R. A. Smith, then obtained by P. A. Ramsay for use in his 1838 biography of Tannahill sometime after Motherwell’s death (1835). Semple recycles the extracts published by Ramsay and adds to them a total of thirty-five letters he uncovered through his own researches. I have reproduced Semple’s thirty five letters (though in some cases I have found originals) and added to them from letters kept at Glasgow University Library, Edinburgh University Library, Paisley Central Library and the National Library of Scotland.

The letters range from the year 1802 to 1859. Those written after 1810, of which there are eight, are not of course the work of Tannahill but relate to what appeared with hindsight to their authors important events and details of Tannahill’s life. The letters are rich in historical detail; not only of Tannahill’s life but of his friends and acquaintances who were mostly writers and/or musicians themselves. People interested in or familiar with the processes of writing will find something of how Tannahill went about his art. Personal correspondence is by its nature particular but the creative process and work of literary artists generally is discussed in a matter of fact style. It is clear these pieces were never intended for publication, I present them here out of respect for both the author and the history of the place he was born. They provide a fascinating and illuminating insight into the life and times of Robert Tannahill and his associates.

***
David Semple's
Introduction to Tannahill's Correspondence
1876

In the present age, there is a stronger desire to read the Correspondence of an Author and see his inner life than existed in former times. James Muir, the first editor of Tannahill's Works (1815) has only given short extracts of little importance from four letters. Mr William M'Laren, in his life, does not refer to any letters at all. Mr William Motherwell, in his essay in the Harp of Renfrewshire, gave extracts from the letters of R. A. Smith, the friend of Tannahill, without dates; and in one of these Smith gave a quotation from a letter without name or date, and drew an inimical inference, without quoting the context. P. A. Ramsay, the editor of the 1838 edition, gave extracts from twenty-one letters, which he chiefly embodied in his Memoir of the Author. At this distance of time we have recovered thirty-five letters,—twenty from Tannahill, nine to him, and six referring to him. This number far exceeded our expectation, and we trust the letters will form an interesting feature in this edition. The letters from Tannahill to his correspondents are plain, neat, well-expressed compositions, without any flowery language or pretentiousness, and showed that he could condense his thoughts. The handwriting is good in every case, while the whole are carefully punctuated, and are evidently the productions of a man of method. The names of the persons who furnished us with the loan of these valuable relics are given, and a few explanatory notes to several of the letters are added. Though this is the fullest collection which has been made, it is evident that they do not comprise a tithe of the letters our Author must have written in his day.

***

1 David Semple, Poems, Songs and Correspondence of Robert Tannahill, David Semple, ed., (Paisley, 1876), p. 364.
The letters marked thus* in the Table of Contents are transcribed from the original documents/handwriting of the authors. I have tried to remain as faithful as possible to the spellings, punctuation and layout of the originals. Most of the original documents can be found in the Robertson Collection, which is lodged at the Special Collections Department of Glasgow University Library. The vast majority of the letters not marked thus* appear in the 1876 edition of Tannahill’s work edited by David Semple and published by Gardner of Paisley. The compilation of these letters has been essentially a work of retrieval and transcription; it is more the work of an archivist than it is pure research. However, I believe it forms an invaluable addition to my thesis as it contains much of the evidence I have used to construct my critical biography of Tannahill. Any errors in transcription are my own.

**Editorial Procedures for the Transcription and Compilation of these Letters**

1. Where letters have been transcribed from manuscript sources no attempt has been made to ‘correct’ spelling, punctuation or grammar. The guiding editorial principle throughout has been: to provide as accurately as possible a text free from editorial interference. Spellings, capitalisations, punctuation and underlines have been rendered as in the original. The paragraphing of the original documents has been retained. Square brackets have been used to indicate where a word or phrase was unclear in the original handwriting. Square brackets have also been used for the very minimal annotations, e.g. in letter number 52: ‘Letter to R. A. Smith [Fragment, possibly the first page is missing, undated]’. Datelines, salutations and addresses have been placed on the top left of the page, layout and lineation have been retained as far as possible. For presentation purposes the phrases either, ‘Letter from’ or ‘Letter to’, have been added to the beginning of each letter.

2. Where a letter was not available in the original and taken from a previously published source, it has been given as published. Generally footnotes have been omitted though very occasionally a footnote has been included from a previously published source. Where a letter is not marked * in the table of contents, the footnote is from the source cited. Datelines, salutations and addresses have been placed on the top left of the page, italicisations used in previously published editions have been removed.

3. The letters are arranged chronologically. Undated letters have been placed at points in the chronological sequence where they appear to fit with the flow of the narrative. However, it must be stressed these placings within the chronological sequence do not imply knowledge of the actual date of composition.
Alek, poor Alek is gone to his long home! It was to me like an electric shock. Well, he was a good man; but his memory shall be dear and his worth had in remembrance by all who knew him. Death, like a thief, nips off our friends, kindred, and acquaintances, one by one, till the natural chain is broken, link after link, and leaves us scarce a wish to stop behind them. My brother Hugh and I are all that now remain at home with our old mother, bending under age and frailty, and but seven years back nine of us used to sit down at dinner together, (I still moralise sometimes). I cannot but remember that such things were and those most dear to me.
Letter from William Livingstone, Comedian,
To Robert Tannahill,
Queen Street,
Paisley.

Kirkudbright, 18th November 1804

Dear Bob,

I should have answered your kind favour of the 1st ult., but that I waited in the expectation of some books from Edinburgh which our people had written for in the failure of which I had some thoughts of troubling you about them. They are still expected, but as we leave this town soon, I could not longer delay the writing you. Believe me, your letter gave me extreme pleasure, the kind attention of my friends, and their concern for my welfare penetrated me deeply and produced sensations I will not attempt to describe. I rejoice to hear that you are still much in the old way. Long may your friendly few, alike removed from ignorance and pedantry, from foppish ceremony and rude vulgarity, enjoy their manly, social, friendly intercourse. And in your hours of relaxation from the fatigues of honest bodily labour or mental exertion, may good sense, good humour, and good cheer crown the chosen circle, in which I spent so many happy hours. For me to hear that you continue to honour me with your regard is my chief consolation for the regret I feel in being separated from your society.

I might give you some account of our situation, but I believe it would prove but uninteresting. Our success in this town has been pretty tolerable, but in short, this life has but few charms for me. The passion I once had for this profession is long since abated. I find myself now incapable of much exertion, and from various causes am convinced I have little to expect from it. I once had some boyish notions of succeeding in it; but I am no longer a boy in years, whatever I may be in wisdom; and in constitution I am pretty old. Yet do not call me discontented, I am only indifferent. My companions are almost all of them very agreeable, and could I have the pleasure of corresponding with Paisley, and Kilbarchan, as I could wish, I should be tolerably easy.

By-the-bye, I have been long looking for a letter from Kilbarchan. I have written repeatedly to James Barr there, but have received no answer. I wish to know, before I write particularly to my friends there, if he has left the place. If you can gain any information, it would be an obligation to communicate it.
Your love ballad pleased me extremely, and I am impatient for the "Sodger's Return." I hope you will send me it, and whatever else you can, with the first carrier. You will pardon this haste, but anything of yours will be a cordial to me. Any thing you can easily procure without purchasing, or songs, &c., will be particularly acceptable. I desire to be warmly remembered to all my friends particularly to James Scadlock (tell him I will write to him soon) to Messrs Anderson, M'Neil, Wylie, &c., &c. Assure them all of my highest respect and grateful consideration, and,

Believe me,

DEAR BOB,

Yours truly,

WM. LIVINGSTONE.

P.S.-What you can send you will forward by a carrier, by Dumfries, to Kirkcudbright, addressed to me at Mr Wm. Leggat's, smith, Kirkcudbright.
3.

Source – Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Clark, 9th January, 1805. (Glasgow University Library, Special Collections, MS Robertson, 1/3).

Letter to James Clark,
In the Band,
Argyle Malitia,

—, 9th January 1805

Friend James,

I wish you to send me two or three gallic airs, the more tartan the better. You will oblige me much by sending any that you may think on. Give my warmest friendship to Mr and Mrs Buchanan.

Yours Truely

R Tannahill

N.B. I don’t know whether you have seen the Soldiers funeral. So, I have written it. You will find me your eternal debtor [should] you furnish me with an appropriate air to the following stanza – plaintive

Let bonny Doon and winding Ayr
Their bushy banks in anguish tear
While many a tributary stream
Pours down its griefs to swell the theme
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

2 From Dirge (Written on reading an Account of Robert Burns’ Funeral)
Letter from James Clark,
Bandmaster in the Argyleshire Militia,
to James Barr Musician,
Kilbarchan, by Paisley.

Dunbar April 9th, 1805.

Friend Barr,

I received your letter with the glee of the "Witches" some time back. I am much obliged to you for your attention to my wishes. I wish I could send you something you would have as much pleasure from as I had from that. I have nothing worth your hearing to inform you of. I am well and happy. I hope this will find you and all our worthy acquaintances the same. I hope you go in to Paisley often and see them. Give my service to them all. Let Messrs. Stewart and Smith know I received their letters; they may expect to hear from me soon. Give my compliments to William Galbraith and family. I don't know how they in the west country may like the strathspey I wrote him; but there is almost nothing else played here. You should set it for the band. It goes well in G—

Give my compliments to James Buchanan. I hope he is still increasing his cabinet. You will oblige me if you'll call on and let my wife know I'm well.

I am,
Yours sincerely,
James Clark.

P.S.—As I know you are fond of Waltzes, I have sent one here, which is, a favourite of mine; but it is new, which may probably account for that—J.C.

[Here follows the strathspey.]
Letter from John Crawford, 
Largs, 
to Robert Tannahill.

Largs, 8th May, 1805.

Dear Sir,

I acknowledge that before I received your letter, I was almost inclined to complain of your inattention; and could not conceive the reason of your delaying writing. The one which you assign, ought not to have deterred you a single moment. The piece you sent me I received with pleasure. The manly sentiments contained in the sonnet, I approve, and highly applaud. Sincerity is a cardinal virtue. Dissimulation I detest. The venal sophist I abhor. In short, I am pleased with all the pieces; but do not think you right, in your surmises, when you doubt I will think you ill-natured. If it is ill-natured to feel a strong abhorrence to vice, and strongly to express the feelings, long may you continue to be the same ill-natured being you were when your feelings dictated them. You were right when you were sure the beautiful poem of "The Old Beggar" would please me. "The Soldier's Funeral" I also like. I was particularly pleased with that line—

"He fought like a lion yet thought as a man."

This, in my opinion, is the characteristic of a hero, but I must acknowledge that I am a very imperfect judge upon that subject. Your request for privacy shall be scrupulously complied with. You express a desire that I would send you something of my own; with regard to which, I answer, if I had anything that I thought would be capable of giving you satisfaction, I should not hesitate a moment, but the case is quite otherwise. However, I send you the following, tho' I am afraid my "genius does not lye that way:"—

If ony merits in my line; 
If ony glancing beauty shine; 
If ony place be glowing fine, 
That gars you feel; 
For justice' sake it is na mine 
I hae tae steal.
DESPONDENCY.

Oh, could I paint my happy lot!
Oh, could I raise the plaintive song!
Exil'd from happiness and peace,
I wander gayest scenes among;
Despair lifts her horrific brow,
Then spreads her wings and flies away,

My trembling soul, enchain'd by fear,
In sullen darkness feels decay,
Hope, charming once unto my mind,
Ah, now she's gone, ne'er to return;
I did indulge the pleasing thing;
But now her absence I must mourn.

But what have I to do with hope,
I'm more congenial to despair;
My mind her power cannot impress,
Destin'd to never ending care.

Doom'd to uncertainty in all,
In every thing I think upon,
Destruction's sword hangs o'er my head;
And not a soul to mark my groan,
Without a friend to ease my grief,
My mind is restless as the wave,
Exil'd from hope, allied to fear,
I seek for shelter in the grave.

Dear Sir,—This you must allow is a proof of my zeal,
though it should be none of my talent for poetry. It is
intended to be inserted in the middle of another piece. I
beg you will yet write me, whatever you please, and as
soon as possible; any remark which you may make shall be
thankfully received. I have just room to subscribe my
name, and

I am,

Dear Sir,
Yours sincerely,

JOHN CRAWFORD.
Letter to James Clark, Musician,  
Argyle-Shire Malitia Band,  
in the Castle 
Edinburgh.  
— August 31st 1805  

Dear Friend,  

Everything was so novel to me in Edinburgh, that I never spent three days with greater happiness in my life, but meeting you and Buchanan would have made me happy any where. I regreted at parting that we had not another day or two with you. Paterson and I parted with our brother-tourists at Kinghorn, proceeded up the Forth thro Burntisland, aberdour, Inverkiething &c to Queensferry, where we again crossed and took up our lodgings for the night. Next morning we ‘rose by four o’clock, proceeded thro Borastoness and Falkirk to Grangemouth, thinking to get down in the dray-boat to Glasgow, but were disappointed, as none went on that day. We then went on to Cumernauld-house thinking to get the Mail-coach or a return-[phase], but again our hopes beguil’d us. But what signifies this dry detail to you, or any body. We reach Glasgow about 7 o’clock. God knows tired enough. There we learned from an acquaintance of Mr Struthers that poor Archie Pollock died in Carlisle, (not in Glasgow as you were inform’d) about two weeks before, and that Mrs Pollock was come to Glasgow, he likewise knew that our worthy friend Livingston was in Ireland but did not know in what part of it. I intend, the first time I go in to enquire out old Shaw, on purpose to know if he has got any word of them of late. We saw some Playbills posted up in Falkirk as we passed thro’, a Mr Davies seemed to be at the head of the Party. I dont recollect any others of the names save Bond and a Mr Ward——— I delivered your message to Will׳ Stewart, he seemed particularly happy to hear for you, and said, he and your friend R. Smith would positively go to Kilbarchan on next Saturday afternoon. 

I am much obliged to you for fitting me with an air suitable to the stanza which I formerly sent you, and tho’ it answers the words, as well as ever tune did any, yet I am doubtful that the verses will not do to sing at all, owing to the repetition of the same two lines at the hinder-end of every stanza, which two lines being repeated twice (to the music) will be intolerably insipid, however I will give you the whole of it. So that you may judge
Dirge

Let grief forever cloud the day
That saw our Bard borne to the clay
Let joy be banish’d every eye
And nature weeping seem to cry
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

Let Sol resign his wonted powers
Let chilling north winds blast the flowers
That each may drop its withering head
And seem tae mourn our Poet dead
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

Let shepherds from the mountains steep
Look down on widow’d Nith and weep
Let rustic swains their labours leave
And sighing murmur o’er his grave
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

Let every bird that haunts the grove
That day forget its notes of love
Unto the rugged rocks complain
And plaintive chirp the doleful strain
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

Let bonny Doon and winding Ayr
Their bushy banks in anguish tear
While many a tributary stream
Pours down its griefs to swell the theme
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

All dismal let the nicht descend
Let whirling storms the forest rend
Let furious tempests sweep the sky
And dreary howling caverns cry-
“he’s gone, he’s gone! he’s frae us torn!
The ae best fellow e’er was born.”

_________________________
With respect to the Irish air with which you favoured me, upon the whole I am highly delighted with it, but don’t you think the 1st and 3rd lines of it bear some resemblance to the “Scottish Kail Brose.” Mr Hamilton’s stanza is admirably suited to it, in my opinion his lines posses, in an eminent degree, that beautiful, natural simplicity which characterises our best Scottish songs, I have attempted to add a verse to it but fear you will think it a frigid production. the original one is so compleat in itself, that he who tries another to it, labours under the disadvantage of not knowing what to say further on the Subject, however I will give you all I could make of it.

Song

Now winter is gane, and the clouds flee away,  
Yon bonnie blue sky how delightful to see,  
Now linties and black birds sing on ilka spray  
That flourish round Woodhouselee.  
The hawthorn is blooming,  
The soft breeze perfuming,  
O come, my dear lassie the season is gay,  
And naething mair lovely can be;  
The primrose and the lily  
We’ll pu’ in the valley  
And lean, when we like, on some gowany brae  
That rises beside Woodhouselee.

Ye mind whan the snaw lay sae deep on the hill,  
Whan cauld icy cranreuchhung white on the tree,  
Whan bushes war leafless, an mournfully still  
War the wee birds o sweet Woodhouselee:  
Whan snow showers were fa’ing  
An wintry win’s blawing,  
Loud whistling o’er mountain an meadow sae chill,  
We markt it wi sorrowin ee;  
But now since the flowers  
Again busk the bowers,  
O come, my dear lassie, wi smilin goodwill,  
An wander around Woodhouslee.

Our friend R. Smith has set me an appropriate wild plaintive air to the following, let me know how the words please you.

The Maniac’s Song

Hark! tis the poor Maniac’s song  
She on yon wild craggy steep  
And while the winds mournfully whistle along  
She wistfully looks o’er the deep  
And ay she sings lullaby, lullaby, lullaby,  
To hush the rude billows asleep.
She looks to yon rocks far at sea
And thinks it her lover’s white sail
The warm tear of joy glads her wild glist’ning eye,
As she beckons his vessel to hail
And aye she sings, lullaby, lullaby, lullaby,
And frets at he boistering gale.

Poor Susan was gentle and fair
Till the seas rob’d he heart of its joy
Then her reason was lost in the gloom of despair
And her charms then did wither and die
And now her sad lullaby, lullaby, lullaby,
Oft wakes the lone passenger’s sigh.

You may thank your stars that my paper is done, it is that
alone bids me notice what a devil o’ a long letter I have been writting to you
Willm McCutchon is pretty well, he was enquiring after you and intends having a jaunt to
Embro’ soon, and if any bit nice, pleasant, simple beautiful (stop) melody I will thank you to send a set of it.

I am yours most truely
Robt Tannahill.
Letter from William Livingstone to Robert Tannahill.

Killyleagh, March 7th, 1806.

Dear Bob,

I seize the opportunity of writing you by a Mr. Wilson from this place, who goes to Glasgow, and will put them into the Post Office. After so long a silence, a letter from me will, I suppose, surprise you; and I am, no doubt, long ago accused of ingratitude and want of friendship or affection. Yet tho' appearances are against me, were things rightly understood I might not, perhaps, appear so culpable; but I have no time for apologies. I would only have you believe, once for all, that no change of time or place can erase the remembrance of your friendship, or that of my other dear intimates of Renfrewshire. That friendship has been long dear to me, and the recollection of it oftentimes my only solace; and although the renewal of it has been for a time suspended, I fondly hope no seeming neglect on my part will operate towards a breach of what is so near my heart.

I would often have written letters, but the uncertainty of receiving answers in our unsettled way of life, and the distance, for sometime back made me defer it from time to time. We came to this country in May last with no intention of staying so long as we have done, but the encouragement being pretty tolerable in several places, we were inclined to go a little farther on, and have been in general kindly received. You will, I believe, be surprised when I inform you that I have begun to weave in this town.

The truth is, I had of late entered rather too deeply into Irish conviviality, and was almost in danger of losing my health, and with it every comfort. I therefore resolved to endeavour to balance myself a little, and as I could not properly come to Scotland till at once I contrived to get a web here (a 1000 Mall 4\(\frac{1}{8}\)), which, though but trifling, will suffice for a little, for living is pretty moderate, and I have been kindly and hospitably treated. Messrs. Bellman and Kelly, &c., are within 14 or 15 miles of me at present. They and I are as much friends as ever, except in the article of separation, which took place on my part without the least shadow of a difference, farther than their perhaps not seeing the necessity for the step I took in the same light as I myself felt it. They are, I believe, pushing homewards, and perhaps our separation may be but temporary, although, for my own part, were it not that they are so friendly altogether, I would prefer anything like a settled situation to strolling for the...
present. However, little more can be said just now, as we are but very lately parted, and I look soon to hear from them.

And now, my dear friend, as I am hurried, I must beg of you to write me as soon as possible, and let me know every thing you can about all friends in Paisley, Kilbarchan, and Barrhead. I hope James Scadlock is still so near you, that you will see him before you write. If you could possibly see any Kilbarchan friends likewise, I would be remembered warmly to the Allans, with their friends, and James Barr. I am anxious to be noticed in that quarter. Remember me most affectionately to Messrs. Scadlock, M'Neil, Anderson, William M'Laren, Stewart, Marshall, Campbell, your brother James, and your mother, &c. I hope no change of consequence has taken place among you to injure the old society. I cannot be more particular just now, but I will look with impatience for your answer, in which I may ask you that you will endeavour to be as particular as possible. Do not scruple to send a large packet. It will be at present to me of great interest. With the most ardent wishes for your happiness.

Dear Bob,
Yours very truly,
WM. LIVINGSTONE.

P. S.—let me know the state of trade.
Letter to James Barr, Musician,
Kilbarchan.

Paisley, 1st May, 1806.

Dear James,

According to promise, I herewith send you 4 vols. of the Selector. I would have sent them ere now, but could not get the last two volumes from the binder. With respect to writing to W. Livingstone, I could not conveniently go to Glasgow before the vessel sailed, besides it would have been a chance to have found Wilson (the man who brought his letter) after all; I therefore wrote to him on a large sheet, and copied yours verbatim. You may have the original first time I see you. Scadlock and I called on you at Kilbarchan about four Sundays since, but you were from home. If you come in at our fair which is to-morrow fortnight, I hope to have the pleasure of seeing you. The elegy, in the enclosed No. of the Paisley Repository I believe was written upon John Findlay your old friend in Kilbarchan; this is printed from a copy which Wm. M'Neil had given to the publisher. I think a good deal of it. I am told that the lines by "A Paisley Volunteer" are a Dr. Richmond's in this town.


You will observe several of the first signed "Modestus," but for anysake don't impute it to me as ostentation. I gave them in anonymous, and the Editor added the signature, which, unhappily for me, to them who do not know, will appear something affectatious; but enough of self.

You will perhaps be curious to know the authors of the other originals in it. I will inform you as far as I know. The pieces signed "O.L.O.," by Mr. Robert Lochore, author of "Margat and the Minister." "The Hare," vol. 2nd, page 52, by John Stevenson, who formerly kept the Burns Tavern. The beautiful little pieces, dated Glasgow College, signed "W.D.H.M.," by a young Irish lad, who has now returned to his native country. "A. T-n," I am informed, is a tailor lad in Glasgow. 1st Ode for our last anniversary, by John Struthers, shoemaker, Glasgow; 2nd do., by Mr. James Young, Glasgow. Those by " W.C.B.," a young clergyman at
Kilsyth. Song, vol. 4th, signed "M.M.," a Mr. M'Millan, Glasgow. These are all that I know.

With regard to the little collection of airs which you are making out for me, I am certainly much indebted to you. I would wish the most of them to be such as I am little acquainted with, as you know I already have seen a number of our old standard airs, such as "Cowdenknowes," "Roslin Castle," "Bush aboon Traquair," &c., but pray don't think me too nice, whichever pleases you will likely please me. I have been considering what ones I would like to see. Some of the following I only know by name:

- Twine weel the plaidie.
- Sweet Annie frae the sea-beach came
- The last time I came o'er the moor.
- I'll never leave thee.
- Loch Ness.—(I think they call it.)
- Maids of Arrochar.
- Rosy Brier.—(I have it, but wish it in your collection.)
- Cumbernauld house. The Gaelic air which you mentioned in M'Donald's collection.
- Invercauld's Reel.
- Ellen o the Dee.
- Wat ye Wha I met yestreen.
- Busk ye, busk ye, my bonnie bride.
- But lately seen in gladsome green.
- Rothiemurchus Rant. (Do you know the Gaelic air of Burns ?) Song—O wilt thou be my deary.
- Morneen I gaberlan. (If you have the set, which is in some one of Aird's vols.)
- The bonnie Earl of Murray.
- Barbara Allan.
- Why, Owen, didst thou leave me?

It would be too much to expect you to write all these, but as many of them as you conveniently can will please me highly. The names of the different composers—as many of them as you know—will add value to it.

I will write you an attempt for your favourite air, but request you not to give away any copies of it, as it does not altogether please me.

Weep Not, My Love

Tune—"Maids of Arrochar"

O weep not, my love, though I go to war,
    For soon I'll return rich with honours to thee;
The soul rousing pibroch is sounding afar,
    And clans are assembling in Morar—Glenlee;
Our flocks are plunder'd, our herdsmen are murder'd,
    And fir'd with oppression, aveng'd we shall be;
To-morrow we'll vanquish these ravaging English,
    And then I'll return to thy baby and thee.
Slow rose the morn on Dunscarron's dark brow,
Firm rose our youths in their fighting array,
Powerful as Morven they rush'd on their foe,
And the din of the battlefield deafened the day;
The conflict was glorious, our clans were victorious,
Yet sad was the Bard the dark herald to be,—
Ah! poor weeping Flora, thy dear promised Morar
Will never return to thy baby and thee.

You will observe that the three first lines of the last verse want the starting note.
You will notice that I am hurried, therefore excuse inaccuracy. So I will bid you adieu till I see or hear from you.

Yours assuredly,

R. TANNAHILL.
Letter to James Barr, Musician,
Kilbarchan.

Paisley, May 23d, 1806.

Friend James,

Your repeated kindness had already insured my warmest regard; but your last really claims every spark of gratitude that my heart is susceptible of. The number of airs which you promise me, far surpasses what I could even hope for, the writing of so many must be a laborious undertaking. They will be a treasure to me, and, by Jove! if ever I write a verse to any of them you shall have the first copy.

With respect to the tune, "But Lately Seen," I know it already, but wanted it into the collection, so you need not mind it. I see several parts in the one which I sent you to "The Maids of Arrochar" which I would wish amended. What do you think of the following for the last line of it, but two, "Yet sad sung the bard wi' the tear in his ee." "Ah! poor weeping Flora,&c.," being Scottish, I am afraid it will not do well, all the rest being English. How would "bugles" do for "pibroch" in line 3rd? I will thank you for your observations next week. I never saw "Forneth House" till you sent it. Mr. Smith tells me that the band play it. He thinks it a very pretty air. I am likewise highly pleased with it. I have written a couple of doggerel kind of verses to it, but am doubtful they are unworthy. I am so little acquainted with the subject which you suggested that you will excuse me, though I am convinced none can suit it better. I will here give you them. Please give me your opinion of them, with any alteration you may think proper. I request you not to give away any copies of it, as I cannot judge properly how it stands till the newfanglestrie goes off me. I intend seeing you soon, till then, believe me yours,

R. Tannahill.

[Enclosed in the handwriting of Tannahill, is the song, "Now Winter wi' his cloudy brow."]
Letter to James Barr
Musician
Kilbarchan

—, 19th July 1806

My Worthy Friend,

According to promise I here send you the two verses to “Arrocher”. perhaps they are a little better than the last. I believe the language is too weak for the subject, however, they possess the advantage over the others of being founded on a real occurence. The Battle of Falkirk was Wallace’s last, in which he was defeated with almost the loss of his whole Army.— I am sensible that to give words suitable for the poignancy of his grief on such a trying reverse of fortune, would require all the fire and soul-melting energy of a Campbell or a Burns.—

The Lament

Thou dark and winding Carron once pleasing to see,
To me thou canst never give pleasure again;
My brave Caledonians lie low on the lea,
And thy streams are deep tinged with the blood of the slain!

Ah! base hearted treachery has doom’d our undoing,
My poor bleeding country, what more can I do?
Ev’n valour looks pale o’er the red field of ruin,
And freedom beholds her best warriors laid low.

Fairwell, ye dear partners of peril! farewell!
Though buried ye lie in one wide bloody grave,
Your deeds shall ennoble the place where ye fell,
And your names be enrolled with the sons of the brave.
But I, a poor outcast, in exile must wander,
Perhaps, like a traitor, ignobly must die!
On thy wrongs, O my country! indignant I ponder
Ah! woe to the hour when thy Wallace must fly!

I have sent a copy of it into Leslie, along with the one to “Forneth house”. and two or three others, they will probably be in next number. Mr McLaren thinks but meanly of the above, but as I was writing any way, I wrote it with the rest — Give my respects to my good friend J.
Buchanan, tell him I am impatient to see his commentaries, but by no means hurry himself farther than is convenient, — With regard to tunes, any of the following will please me — “Mrs Bairds Favourite” — “Shannon’s flow’ry banks” — “Mrs Hamilton of Wishaw’s “Strathspey” — “The braes of Aberdaire” “The birks o Aberfeldie” — “Scenes of wo, Scenes of pleasure” — “My only Jo an’ deary, O” — “Bottles an’ Glasses, Or, “Dinna think bonny lassie” — is there an air they call, “the banks of Ness?” — “Cameron’s gotten his wife again” — “McPherson’s farewell.” — all the above will please me highly — I send you three numbers of the Gleaner, they will perhaps amuse a leisure hour. 
Send them in any time when you are writing to me, and be sure to write the Johnstonian Epitaph — Believe me yours R Tannahill.
Letter to Mr James King
Soldier Renfrewshire Militia
Capt M’Dowal’s Company
Dover or Elsewhere

Paisley August 3rd 1806

Friend James

I have little doubt that after my long unwarranted silence that this will be but coldly received, but, “Hand your nine-tailed cat over, till once you’ve heard my story.” — The truth is I received your last in due time and would have answered immediatly, but having an appointment with Mr Borland to meet him at 3 mile house on Sunday-eight-days following, I defer writing till then — unhappily that day rained and stormed so tremendously that our meeting did not take place— I have not seen him since but am informed that he and family are all well and that he intends to be in Paisley on St James-day Friday. Which is Friday eight days — I would then have written but hearing it confidently reported that your Reg’t had got the Rout for Scotland and that you were positively on your way home, I thought there would be little chance of my letter finding its way to you, therefore dropt all thoughts of writing until I could hear something more of you — I am just now informed that you are still at Dover and hope you will receive this, but fearing failure, will defer writing any rhymes until my next — I called on your mother, She and your sister were both extremely pleased to hear of your being well again, they are both in health and I assure you I never saw them look better than at present, your mother bade me inform you that R. Rowan (The Laird’s son) had died of consumption in Ireland — You remember biding me enquire for Miss C-- W-- . I was assured by some blades who said they knew her that she was actually one of the [frail] sisterhood, I understand that she is out at service, but dont know where, or with whom — now for the Poetics of the Day — a George M’Indoe, Glasgow, lately pub. a volume of poems by subscription. Price 2/6. Wretcheder stuff never came from the press — a Thomas Smith of Bridge-town near Glasgow is just now going thro’ with proposals for Pub’d his poems in 4 numbers 1d each, I dont know how they may turn out, his specimen on the proposal is tolerable — Did you know Arch’d Fyfe, reed-maker Causeyside, he is dead lately and has left a widow and 5 small children, his well-whishers have opened a subscription for the Publication of his poems for the
benefit of his family, a great number of the first rank in Paisley are warmly interesting themselves in promoting the subscription, indeed such a laudable Zeal says much for the human heart. Archd was a fine fellow and is much regretted by all who knew him- With regard to the Russian Soldier I esteem it as amongst the first of yours that I have seen, in general it pleases me highly, but I think the last verse would do honour to our best poets. I have shewn it to several of my acquaintances whose taste I confide in, and they all gave it their decided approbation, I have used the liberty of sending it to the Song-book which I mention’d formerly to you, it will be printed in the course of a fortnight ____

I hear that the Lanarkshire are on their way home- it is hard to say what we may expect from the present negotiations not knowing whether they wish peace at all, or if it is some political shuffle.

Our magistrates have obtained an act of Parliament for the better regulating of the police of the town, paving and lighting the streets, the building of a Bridewell &c. - but as the assessments will be very heavy on the inhabitants, it is rather an unpopular measure, it is believed that the expense of the Bridewell will amount to more in one year than the loss sustained in Paisley by “Pick-pocks and Pick-locks,” since the days of King Blearie, but our black-coated Gentry, who generally, “bring their purposes to bear” insist that the horrid wickedness of the present evil generation calls aloud, yea, cries vehemently for such an undertaking. Wonderful Wisdom ! ! £2000 shall be laid out in building a large house for the confinement of J. M’D---d and J. W----m and two or three others such like

— I will be glad to see the poetry which you mentioned in your last. Please write soon owning the receipt of this and let me know when, or whither you have any prospects of being returning to Scotland. In my next I will study to send you something or other - I sometimes see Serg Boyd, his leg is mended, he weaves at the loom, and appears well and hearty. Two poor infatuated mortals belonging to Inchinan Parish were condemned at Edin⁹ last Wednesday for wilful setting fire to, and burning a farm house in the same parish about 4 months ago, they are to be executed at Edin⁹.

I will expect yours soon and bids you farewell for the present, excuse appearance of haste, my pen,

Yours &c Robt. Tannahill
Letter to James Clark, Bandmaster,
Argyleshire Militia,
Edinburgh.

Paisley, 2nd February, 1807.

My Dear Friend,

I received in due time your very welcome letter. Your intention of reciting my Ode pleased me highly. I am sure you could do it justice. I hope the meeting succeeded to your wishes. Ours went on gloriously. Eighty four sat at supper; after which, Mr. Blaikie addressed us in a neat speech calculated for the occasion, concluding with a toast—"To the Memory of Burns." The Ode which you gave the first spur to the writing of was well done. The plan was something novel. Mr. M'Laren spoke the recitative parts very well; and Messrs. Smith, Stewart, and Blaikie, sung the songs, harmonised in glee by Smith, in their best styles. In the course of the night were toasted the Kilbarbarchan meeting and yours. We had a number of original pieces. Smith sung an appropriate song by the author of "The Poor Man's Sabbath," who was out from Glasgow joining us. Not one disagreeable occurrence happened; all was harmony, enthusiasm, and goodwill. We had two rounds of toasts,—one of sentiments and one of authors. We broke up about one, and were well pleased and happy. I am sorry to inform you of the death of William Stewart's mother. She died on last Friday. I called on him for a tune which he had, and he told me she had just then expired. She was interred today.

Moss has taken our Theatre. I don't know when he comes. I have not been at Kilbarchan since I received yours; but first time I am there, I will call per punct on your brother-in-law and Mrs. Clarke. The "Lament of Wallace," which you advised me to publish, is already done. Mr. Blaikie has engraved and published it in a very elegant style. I will send you a copy first opportunity. By-the-by, have you heard that I have proposals out for publishing, by subscription, "The Soldier's Return, with other Poems and Songs." I have taken the liberty of sending a few to Mr. Hamilton to distribute among the booksellers in Edinburgh. I told him to send one to you to let you see how I was doing. "The Soldier's Return" is dramatic, and I hope having the pleasure of yet seeing you perform a part in it. 'Tis a Scottish Interlude, in two acts. Alas! it was poor Pollock who is now in his grave, with our most worthy friend, Livingstone, who set me first to it. Should you be in Edinburgh soon, you will oblige me much by calling on your friends, Richardson, Gray, or any
others who you think will help me to a few subscribers. The number is increasing rapidly here. I am sure I will have as many as will enable me to publish. I am much hurried at present, or I would have written you a longer letter; but depend I will do it first time I have leisure. Too little has been said, indeed, among the poets respecting Wallace. I know of no poet belonging to Scotland, save Campbell who is half competent to do the subject justice. I gave your compliments to your friends as you desired. They all return them with mutual kindness. I will take it very kind if you will write as soon as you see the proposals, and let me know how you relish the specimen of the Scottish Interlude, and how affairs are going on in Edinburgh. It perhaps was presumption to write to Mr. Hamilton on any such business; if you think so, do what you can to apologise for me. Give my compliments to my cousin, M. M'Neil, and William Whiteford, and particularly to Tom and Mrs. Buchanan. Please write soon, as I am anxious to hear how affairs are going on in the great city; and

Believe me,
Your friend in true sincerity,

R. Tannahill.
Letter to James Clark
Musician
Argyle-Shire Malitia
Port Setton

—, Saturday 7th March 1807

My Dear Friend,

Understanding that your brother leaves this Town on the 10th current, I embrace a leisure half-hour to write you a few lines by him, I would send to you immediately by Post enclosing a few Proposals, but as he goes off so soon we will save Postage. Mr Smith’s concert is on Tuesday next—the Bill will inform you how it is to be conducted. If it be not well attended, Public Spirit and true Taste are not in—. Some of us will write you soon after it, and let you know how he has succeeded. Mr Brown has come again to Kilbarchan to commence Teaching in a few days. My subscription there has exceeded my most sanguine expectations. My worthy friend Barr has procured me upwards of 40 and Messrs Semple and Lyle something about 20. I don’t know well how they have gone on here, not having called in many of my list, but will do it in the course of the next week. Papper has been got to print 700 copies, and the printers sent me the first proof sheet today. Thus you see I am trying it chance ways and if you can further the subscription by any honourable means you will do me a particular service as I will be in the habble should I not raise cash for Printers & Damn honourable means! as if I had the least doubt of you trying any way otherwise.

Dear James, you are at present fit to do me a particular act of friendship, by writing to any Person in Edinburgh whom you know to have subscribed, telling them that I will take it kindly should they send me by post their address along with the Number of copies wanted. I believe, and am sorry that I have to trouble Mr Hamilton, as from his silence I guess that I have acted improperly. But when a fool is on his hobby-horse he will needs forsooth! have all the World up along with him. Mr Blaikie is going to engrave Kibbuckston Weddin’ immediately, you shall have a copy as soon as possible. I see the Burn Side with another of my song. Set by Ross, advertised by McFadyen for some London house, but not yet seen them. I think Mr Brown will sing the Harper of Mull to its honour. I send you two copies of Wallace Lament which are all I have, I had a present of 20 from Mr Blaikie but they are
all gone but these. I am proud of the collection of songs which you sent me, it is, by far, the best selection I have seen. I would write you the Anniversary Ode. but as you will see it seen in Print, and copying it is but labour, I hope you’ll excuse me doing it. We have little of new worth mentioning, I understand there is no hope but that poor soul, our Townsman, Smith will suffer on next Wednesday in Edinb.

Some of your other friends [here] will likely write with your Brother, I have not heard them speaking of it. Mr Smith’s whole time is occupied preparing for his concert, I believe some flute piece that sent is to be performed at it. I have little more to say at present farther than, a few lines from you, letting me know respecting matters in the city will relieve me from anxiety as I have not heard one syllable farther than you formerly sent me. I went in purposely to Brash & Reid Glasgow, enquiring if they had got any names for the poems. the reply brought strongly to my mind what you mentioned Mr Hamilton had said, “No. Indeed Sir. We do not wish interest ourselves in any Publication, till we see how it stands, and then we will see what can be done.” This is all candid and fair, thought I, but at same time, 'tis but poor heart’ning to an obscure verse hacker Son of the Muses verse writing Weaver.

So wishing your epistle soon,
I assure you
I am your friend
most Sincerely

Rob’t Tannahill.

P.S. My compliments to well wishers

(The alteration you suggest in the specimen has been propos’d by several others, it will be adopted, but, I am not persuaded that when you see the whole of the Piece; it will not appear to be so improper.)
Letter from WILLIAM KIBBLE to ROBERT TANNAHILL.

BOLTON, April 6th, 1807.

MY FRIEND,

I received yours of the 6th March, with the papers, and sent some of them to Stockport. Likewise I wrote to Gavan, but have received no answer. I wrote him in favour of a young man of my acquaintance, but whether he has been faithful or not, I cannot tell; and as you wished me to be punctual as to time of writing, I cannot wait any longer for an answer. I believe that, from the feature of the times in this place, Preston will not be productive of any advantage to you, for they are very poor. I have collected from my acquaintances in this town and in Stockport 26 subscriptions. I think you may send 30 copies, as I make little doubt but I can part with them. I could have wished, my friend, to have done something more for you, but trade being so very low, and consequently money scarce, that many persons whom I am acquainted with would have become subscribers, but could not, merely from poverty. I might send you a list of the names of the subscribers. If I am not wrong, I think it no way material to your plan; but should you think otherwise, you shall have them in my next. With regard to remittance, I have made some enquiry. There are two modes of conveyance—the Post Office and the heavy coach. The first is 2d. per £ insurance, the last is 6d., but, if I am not mistaken, I can make some interest with Mr. Ainsworth, so that he can receive the money, and give a draft for it on some house in Paisley. Give me your instructions on this point in your next. My friend, as this part of our correspondence has been all on business, I have considered it not requisite to interlard it with any other thing particular, as I think we shall have opportunity enough afterwards, when this is completed. One thing I have heard which I must let you know. John Jamieson is [....]! ! ! I shall go over to Stockport when your books come, so you may depend on having a full, true, and particular account by the lump of the whole affair. Jamieson sends his love to you. Trade goes well, but wages very low. The masters are all in a mind to starve us. The Weavers Regulation Bill is committed to a Committee of the House. It is thought that they will be successful.

My respects to Black, Fulton, and Mitchell.

I remain,

MY FRIEND,

Yours for ever,

WM. KIBBLE.
Excerpt of Letter to WILLIAM KIBBLE.
Bolton.

PAISLEY, 11th April, 1807.

I hate dependence on printers, paper-folks, or anybody. On inquiry, they found I was poor. Nothing could be done without I found security. That was easily procured: then, they were most happy to serve me in anything I wanted. 'Tis the way of the world! Self-interest is the ruling passion. Merit might pine in obscurity for ever, if Pride, or Interest, for their own gratification, were not to hand the lone sufferer into public notice.
Letter to William Thomson,
Overton, near Bieth.

PAISLEY, 1st May, 1807.

Dear William,

Please receive 29 volumes poems, for which you favoured me with subscribers. I request your acceptance of one copy, not as compensation for your trouble, but as a small mark of my regard for the kindness you have shewn me. I sent two for the Kilmalcolm subscribers last Saturday by Gibson, and hope you have received them. I am curious to hear your mind of the volume, upon the whole. You'll oblige me by writing next Thursday, letting me know, without reserve, what you think of it, and how the west country people seem to be pleased with their bargain. I'm afraid they will think the volume small for the money; but first time I publish sermons I'll let them have a lumpin' penny-worth. You may remit the money when you can conveniently collect it from them.

Be sure and write by Gibson on Thursday first, and allow me to conclude by once more assuring you that

I am yours,
Most sincerely,
ROBT. TANNAHILL.

P.S.—The others will not be delivered in this town till Wednesday or Thursday.
Letter to Robert Lang,
Manufacturer, Paisley.

PAISLEY 4th May, 1807.

Mr. Robt. Lang.

Sir,

I have ordered copies of the enclosed volume to be sent to each of the gentlemen whose names you handed me as subscribers. You will oblige me by accepting of one of these, not as compensation for your trouble, but as a small mark of my regard for the kindness you have shewn me.

Please present my best thanks to Mr. Robertson, and request him, from me to accept of one likewise.

I am,
Dear Sir,
Yours most sincerely,

ROBT. TANNAHILL.
Letter to JAMES BISHOP,
Bridge of Johnstone.

PAISLEY, 4th May, 1807.

Sir,

Please receive 13 volumes poems for which you favoured me with subscribers. I request your acceptance of one copy as a small mark of my regard for the kindness you have shewn me. Please deliver Mr. Morton's volume, and present him my thanks for his subscription. You may remit the money when you have been able, conveniently, to collect it.

I am,

Dear Sir,

Yours sincerely,

ROBT. TANNAHILL.
Letter to James Clark [IMPERFECT]
Musician [ ]
With Capt. Campbell

— 25th. May 1807

Dear Sir,

I am truly sorry that, owing to some misunderstanding between us, you past my door without calling on me last time you were in town— I heard that you were in— and was happy as I had been contriving how to send you my volumes for a fortnight before— but you may judge how I felt on hearing that were highly offended with me, on what account I could not guess, unless that it was for one unpremeditated in advertent sentence in Mr Hamilton’s letter— Smith tells me that you informed him of a number of subscribers you had sent me, but I assure you that I never received them— When I wrote Mr H. I really was a little chagrin’d at your silence. I will tell you how — When I sent you the Proposals— I consider’d afterwards that my letter accompanying them was rather a cringer and my independence felt hurt at what my fears had dictated— I would have written another but thought that it belonged to you to write first— I had likewise been very anxious that some of the volumes should be sent to Edinburgh— and was much disappointed on not receiving an order for a single copy, even, after what you told me of Arch’d Gray &c — however, it is needless to say a great deal about a little matter — I have no doubt but if you had called on me, an explanation of course would have taken place and all our little differences would have been set to rights— I wish you to write on receipt of this and let me know when you think you have any chance of being in this place as I wish to see you — I am conscious that nothing mean or sordid has influenced any one [against] the publication of my book and cannot brook the idea of being considered so narrow that I would not part with one volume to a friend for the sake of friendship. Mr Smith is well, he has just shown me a very pretty little song sent him by an anonymous hand from Glasgow wishing him to set Music to — I will write you it.

The Tear

My Mary dear, that parting tear,
Which softly dim thy tell-tale e’e
Betrays a heart that feels the smart
Of love’s enchanting misery;
But dinna fear, that parting tear
A gem of purest ray shall prove
That gem I’ll wear, I’ll keep it here
To mind o’ thy faithfu’ love.

[The next page of this letter is so badly damaged down the right hand side that it is impossible to give in any meaningful way.]
Letter to JAMES BARR.

PAISLEY, 3Oth June, 1807.

DEAR JAMES,

How well looking is a tree in full verdure, and how pretty is a blue-winged butterfly beside a kail-worm. The whin-bush in its gouden robes, and the rosy brier o'er hangin' the scroggy dyke-side thorn—all have inspired me with the notion that he who would live on anything like respectable terms in this notice-takin' world, must clothe his outward man. Meditating on which, "I sigh when I look to my threadbare coat," and am resolved "to hae a new clewk about me." Therefore, if you can oblige me wi' twa pund English on or before next Friday, you will do me a favour, as I intend going to Glasgow on Saturday. Cooke, the celebrated tragedian, is just now playing there, and a few of us intend seeing him on Saturday night. I would have been in your good town ere this time, but there has been a whaup in the nest ever since I saw you last, or, in other words, I've been but very poorly in my health, but am now pretty well again. You have no doubt heard of Mr. Smith's being engaged to fill Mr. Robertson's berth in our old church. I hope you are getting on to your wishes in your new way of life, and rest assured that none wish you better than

Your friend,

ROBT. TANNAHILL.

P.S.—I have two original songs which I will write out for you next week.
Letter from JAMES CLARK
to ROBERT TANNAHILL.

ABERDEEN, 19th July, 1807.

MY DEAR FRIEND,

I expect before you receive this you will have received a parcel, which I gave to a Mr. Donaldson, who works with Mr. Carswell, manufacturer, Moss Row. When I called on Mr. Ross, he told me he would have sent you some things before that, but he did not wish to put you to the expense of carriage until he got some things from London, which he thought pretty, when he would send them altogether. I knew a woman who was going to Glasgow at that time, and I told him if he got them soon to let me have them, and I would get them conveyed to you. However, she was gone, and fortunately I met with Mr. Donaldson. Mr. Ross lives here in great style—keeps a house in the town, and another in the country. He lives at his country house now. Ere is very much respected here, and deservedly. I had a long stay with him, and am invited to visit him in the country, but the invitation was given in too general terms to accept it. He is vain of his composition. Independent of what he says about it, can there be a greater proof of it than his setting music of his own to "Logan Water," in preference to the old air, one of the most beautiful tunes ever composed. He is not so tall as his brother, whom you knew, but very like him in the face, and still thinner in the body and legs. I should like to know what you think of the songs he sent you. I did not look much at them, but what I did look, I thought a good deal of the "Dusky Glen," and would have thought more of it had I never heard it sung to the "Lack of Gold." I was in Edinburgh five days before I got a vessel to Aberdeen (no disappointment). I called on Gray. Upon my soul, he was astonished when I mentioned your book that he had not seen it. After what he had told me, and what you had told me of him some time ago, I marked him down a smooth-faced double-dealer. But he made so many palpable excuses, that I thought it might be true what he said. He laid the whole blame on William Orr. He said he had repeatedly asked him to call upon you, and get some copies from you, and give you a guinea. I should be glad to know if that was the case or not. I told him you had no more copies Then he talked of sending you money whether or not; then he thought again that would hurt your independence. If Gray is not a warm-hearted, friendly, good fellow, he is one of the best actors I ever saw. I am very sorry I had not more of your company when in Paisley. I called at Wilson's that night I was at your house, but
you were not there. After that I got happy, and called on
Smith and Stewart. I mind I behaved very silly. Upon my
soul, Bob, I could not help it. I am so happy when in
Paisley, and meeting with so many old acquaintances,
that I get little short of craziness. And when I join the
Regiment I find fault with almost everything I have done.
I'm sure my friends have a great deal of allowance to
make, which I hope they do with a good grace. Believe me,
it would vex me exceedingly did I offend any of them in
the least by any of my inadvertencies. Give my warmest
respects to William Stewart and Robert Smith. I would be
glad to hear from any of them as soon as convenient. I
hope they are using Smith as he deserves. Your old friend
Buchanan and his family are all well, and desire to be
remembered to you and all our old friends. I hope you
won't delay long in letting me hear from you, as you was
not very well when I saw you last. I'll be impatient until
I hear from you, as I am certain none have your welfare
more at heart than

Your old friend,
JAMES CLARK.
Letter from James King, corporal in the Renfrew Militia, to Robert Tannahill.

Pevensey, Sussex, 6th Aug., 1807.

Dear Sir,

I received yours of date 3rd June, and your poems and a few lines sometime after from a woman of the regiment. I have read your poems many times over with a deal of pleasure, and shewn them to some of the most intelligent officers in the regiment, who are all very well pleased with them. One of them, whose mother keeps a considerable tap room in Glasgow, pointing to "Allan's Ale" said—"King, does not that do very well?" I am highly pleased with the "Two National Clowns." With respect to the "Peasant's Death" I never heard of such a poem, nor of such a man as John Struthers. 'Tis somewhat remarkable that the subject and stanza should be the same. The "Poor Man's Burial" is now wholly [     ] but I pay no regard to it on account of the "Peasants." When I was in Berwickshire some years since, I fell in with a wandering bard, who sung a number of Border scraps, and one of them something like "Och Hey, Johnnie, Lad." If you remember, some years since, we proposed seeing one Robertson, somewhere about the Wellmeadow. I was informed that he was in the Fifeshire Militia, and as we marched from Hastings to this place we passed through Boxhill, where the Fifeshire lies. I called upon him, and had some beer with him. He is an intelligent man, and remarkably fond of poetry. We are only eight miles separate. I sent him the songbook you sent me, and told him of your poems. He sent me word that he would be here soon to see your book. He thinks that none of the Rosy Briar was written by Burns, and says he is almost positive that the last verse is part of a song done by himself some years back, which he had forgot had not that verse struck him and put him in mind of it. There is a song in the collection called "The Land o the Leel." I was informed the other day that in the West of Scotland it went under the name of "Burns' Death Song." Let me know if Burns be its author. I hope that your constitution is a deal better of the salt water, and that you had a pleasant jaunt. For me, I am always upon the coast, and but for being every other night out of bed would be very well; however, I cannot complain at present. I am of opinion that ere long not a cannon will be fired on the continent of Europe without the approbation of the Emperor Napoleon. If the troops of civilised Europe, experienced, and often in battle, could not stop his progress, what could the raw, half disciplined soldiers of Russia do. Their battles were ill directed, the papers
mentioned several of their generals being found killed near one spot of ground. It appears to me that when the action became serious that they had left their divisions and come to Bonnigheim for orders, for how the devil could they have been killed together and their columns cut off for want of direction.

SONG.

Air—"Wat ye wha I met yestreen."

Away! ye warlike scenes, away!
Half moons and parallels, adieu!
No more I'll view the lofty tow'r;
No more the strong built rampart view,
Though waving on the lofty tow'r
The standard shews its glitt'ring wing,
There's no peace near the lofty tow'r;
There's no rest where the bugles sing.

The garden's finest blossoms fade,
Where chilly winds pass o'er the flowers;
The brightest ray of rising man
Is darkened when the tempest low'rs.
The blast comes rushing armed with me,
And bears the dark green leafy spray;
So 'neath the blast of Tyrant pow'r,
All genius withering dies away.

All hail! ye sunny flow'r-clad vales,
Where peace and liberty appear;
And hail ye scenes of social life,
For ever to my memory dear.
But hence ye painful warlike scenes,
Where man o'er man holds sad control;
And welcome hours of sacred rest,
That please and elevate the soul.

Remember me to Borland and Scadlock. No more at present, but, believe me to remain

Yours, &c.,

JAMES KING.
Letter to John MacFarlane, 
Neilston.

Paisley, 20th August, 1807.

Dear Sir,

I embrace the first leisure hour that I have had since I saw you to write you a few lines. I fear that, from our last interview, you have stampt me down as the most morose and unsocial of beings. I will make no apology, further than informing you that, ere you saw us return from the moors, my temper had been considerably soured by one of the company repeatedly grumbling that on my account they had to go round by Neilston. Indeed, we were all heartily tired with our excursion, but I was vex'd to hear the very person whom I had accompanied making any words about it, and it has ever been impossible with me to wear a face of gaiety when under real chagrin. I believe, from what passed on that night in your hearing, that you will guess who the person is to whom I allude. I reckon it altogether superfluous saying one word more on the subject, but will write you the songs which I mentioned to you formerly.

(Here follow the songs, “When Rosie was Faithfu’”, and “I mark’d a gem of pearly dew”.)

I don't know how these may please, but shall be gratified on hearing your opinion of them. I expect that the first time you are in town, and have leisure, you will give me a call, and will take it kindly if you will favour me with a few lines at any time, letting me know how you are coming on.

I am,
Dear Sir,
Yours most sincerely,
ROBT. TANNAHILL.
Letter to John Crawford,  
Largs.  
Paisley, 3d September, 1807.

Dear Sir,

I received yours of the 28th ult., and was happy to hear your favourable opinion of the songs. I cannot help remarking the difference of taste. The very line which you mention as being superior was condemned by a gentleman to whom I showed the song for being a low and vulgar idea. However, I own that it pleased myself tolerably. I will not praise the whole of your poem, but some parts of it please me highly. I think that anyone who writes frequently may form some little notion when he has been able to express a happy thought to advantage, and perhaps the following verse pleases you as well as any in the piece:

"The Parson guides his flock in duty's road,  
Dead to the world, he views the blest abode,  
A call from thee he boldly names the voice of God!"

"Some rhyme, vain thought, for needfu' cash."

And I am not so clear of the justice of the following line:

"And oftentimes for thee the poet makes his lay."

The truth of the following everyone will acknowledge:

"The man of wealth is not, nor cannot be a fool  
And—  
"The Premier always makes the most convincing speech,"

is so true that it will strike everybody. However, I sat down to write a line to accompany the enclosed volumes, and find that unaware I have been writing criticisms. You may keep the books as long as you please, as I perused the whole as they came out in numbers. You will observe a few things of mine in the first vol., signed "Modestus." I sent them anonymous, and was rather hurt on seeing the signature, as affected modesty is among the silliest of all affectations. I complained to the Editor, who mentioned on the cover that it was they who had done it. An acquaintance has just called on me, so I'll bid you goodnight.

I am,

Yours Sincerely, ROBT. TANNAHILL.
Letter To James King, Corporal

Capt McDowal’s Corps—
Renfrew Shire Malitia
Pevensey Barricks
England
— 11th Sept. 1807

Dear Sir,

I send you the following and as I intend doing something with them— I wish you to write me immediately and if you discern any thing that might be ammended be so kind as to let me know as it is for that purpose I send them. I will send you the others formerly mentioned first time I have leisure to write them—

The Harper’s Dirge

Ye maids of green Erin why sigh ye so sad?  
The summer is smiling, all nature is glad,  
The summer may smile, and the shamrock may bloom  
But the pride of Erin lies cold in the tomb  
And our tears still shall flow, ‘tis for Caroline we mourn,  
For the soul of sweet Music now sleeps in his urn.

O, ye bards of our isle join our grief with your songs  
For the Dirge of regret to his mem’ry belongs  
In our cabins & fields, in mountains & plains  
How oft have we sung to his sweet melting strains  
But these days of glad pleasure shall never return  
For the soul of sweet Music now sleeps in his urn.

Yes, thou pride of green Erin, thy honours thou’lt have  
 Seven days, seven nights, we shall weep round thy grave  
And thy harp that so oft to our ditties has rung,  
To the lorn sighing breeze O’er thy grave shall be hung  
And the song shall ascend thy bright worth to proclaim  
That thy shade may rjoice in the voice of thy fame  
But our days of glad pleasure shall never return  
For the soul of sweet music now sleeps in thy urn.
Song

I mark’d a gem of pearly dew
While wand’ring near yon misty mountain
Which bore the tender blade so low
It dropp’d off into the fountain:
So thou hast wrung this gentle heart
Which in its core was proud to wear thee
Till drooping, sick beneath thy art
It sighing found it could not bear thee.

Adieu, thou faithless fair unkind!
Thy falsehood dooms that we must sever
Thy vows were as the passing wind
That fans the flow’r then dies, forever
So, think not that this gentle heart,
Which in its cor was proud to wear thee
Shall longer droop beneath thy art
No- cruel fair, it cannot bear thee.

Song

O sair I rue the witless wish
   That gar’d me gan wi’ you at e’en
An’ sair I rue the birken bush
   That screen’d wi it’s leaves sae green
An’ tho’ ye vowed ye wad be mine
     the tear o’ grief ay dims my e’e,
For, O I’m feared that I may tyne
     The luve that ye hae promis’d me!

While ITHERS seek their e’ening sports
   I wander dowie a my lane
For when I join their glad resorts
     Their daffin gies me meikle pain
Alas! it wasna’ sae shortsyne
     When a’ my nights were spent wi’ glee;
But, O I’m feared that I may tyne
     The luve that ye hae promis’d me!

Dear lassie keep thy heart aboon
   For I hae waird my winter’s fee
I’ve caft a bonny silken gown
   To be a bridal gift for thee
An’ sooner shall the hills fa’ down
   An’ mountain-heigh shall stan’ the sea
Ere I’s accept a gouden crown
   To change that luve I bear for thee.
P.S. Be particular in writing on receipt of this, and if you think there is one word that might alter’d for the better let me know— please don’t show this [ ] scrawl to any body —

Believe me yours &

R Tannahill
Letter to James Clark Musician
Argyle Shire Malitia Band
Aberdeen

— 20th September 1807

My Dear Friend,

I received your very welcome letter dated 19th July, and should have answered ere now but the truth is, I have been obliged to scribble so much of late, that writing any thing is become a real labour to me— however, that apathy is now begining to wear off, and I promise you that I will be more punctual in the future — let us do Mr Gray justice, Wm Orr when he was here, mentioned frequently in company, that he was going to call on me— which (altho’ I never saw him) exculpates Mr G from any thing like double dealing on that score— I received the Packet which you forwarded by Mr Donaldson, and am highly pleased with the kindness Mr Ross has shewn me, in all our dealings he has used me like a gentleman — the music he has set to my songs I think is excellently suited to the words, have you tried that to the Highland Plaid, it stamps a value on the words which they would by no means possess without it. Smith & Barr are well pleased with them, by the by, have you heard that R.A. is now Precentor to our old church. Mr Boog sent for him about two months since, and he engaged him for about ten or twelve Pound per An. Messrs Stewart, Cumming and Lock sit in his band, his employment in the teaching, has, as yet, scarcely come up to his wishes, but the proper season for it is just coming in, he has bespoke a room above the Cross and is going to open a class for young Ladies and Gent’s some of these nights. the influence of the old Kirk Gentry may be of advantage to him — Mr Ross has likewise set Music to “The Braes O’ Gleniffer”, it does capitally, it is published by Hamilton in a very elegant style — I was a little sorry I did not see you on the night you left —, however at that time I was poorly, and, even in your company, would have been as flat as a flounder, — I am now well enough— Your behaviour on that night I understand was all very well on mentioning your fears to your friends S & S they said “Psha! nothing at all.” I understand Wm Stewart has had a letter from you, but I have not had the pleasure of seeing him since — I have not been in Kibarchan of late but will be there soon and will call on some of your friends —

Joe Hart (C, O. M. M, E. M, E, D. I, D. I. A, N, AN) comedian, along with Mrs H. and auld [Grannie?] have been acting Tragedies, and Comedies and Farces of the slates last
I understand that the old woman frequently appears in breaches, you may fancy the picture. this trio is the whole company. The Miss Adams are just now in Glasgow and are drawing crowded houses.

Mr Hunter’s Museum has arrived at the College, you [can] guess its value and extent, the Museum is ensured at £100,000. and the Library at £10,000. it is not yet arranged for exhibition, but when it is ’twill be worth seeing— I understand that a good many of your men are volunteering, my cousin Math. McNiel, I hear has tried it— You will no doubt be surprised to hear that the talk has gone thro’ all your acquaintances here, that you have been complimented with an Officer-ship. We thought it might be true, as J Walker said to have told that Col. McKerel had got a letter to that purpose, however Rob’t Smith tells me your letter to Wm Stewart is backed J.C. Musician. it is odd to see how stories fly— You recollect the song— “When time who steal’s our Years away.” It is not Thos Campbell’s as was supposed— it is written by a Thomas Moore, whom I esteem as the Anacreon of the age I have seen a volume of his in which was the song alluded to— like old Dibdin he usually composes Music to his own words – the Edinb reviewers have endeavour’d to cut him up for being too loose in his subjects – I will write you one Epigram

Your Mother says, my little venus!
There’s something not correct between us,
And you’re in fault as much as I;
Now, on my soul, my little venus!
I think ’twould not be right between us
To let your mother [tell] a lie

Here are a few more lines that I reckon’d worth copying. I don’t recollect from whence.

Impromptu

Twas said, by a wit, should the French e’er come o’er
One half of old England with fear would turn Quakers
But not so I [warn], let them touch British shore
And to bury them all we shall prove undertakers.

I have now told you all the news that I reckon worth writing and will take it most kindly if you’ll write me soon. And let me know all worth knowing about Aberdeen, tell me if you have a Theatre and kind of performers you may have, and whether you have had any more conference with Mr Ross, and whether he keeps a music shop— I will write a little song on chance I dont know how it may please you
Song

While the grey-pinion'd lark early mounts to the skies
And cheerily hails the sweet dawn,
And the sun newly up sheds the mist from his eyes,
And smiles over mountain and lawn
Delighted I stray by the fairy Wood-Side,
Where the dew-drops the cornflow'rs adorn,
And nature, array'd in her midsummer's pride,
Sweetly smiles to the smile of the morn.

Ye dark waving plantings, ye green shady bow'rs,
Your charms ever varying I view,
My soul's dearest transports, my happiest hours,
Have ow'd half their pleasures to you.
Sweet Fergusly, hail! thou'rt dear sacred grove,
Where first my young Muse spread her wing;
Here nature first wak'd me to rapture and love,
And taught me her beauties to sing.

Now my Dear Friend I hope you will not long deny the pleasure of letting me hear from you—there are so many rubs in life that we ought to make one another as comfortable as possible, and I assure you that hearing from you frequently affords me considerable happiness—Give my best respects to my cousin, and to my friend Tom and Mrs Buchanan, and rest assured that among you acquaintances, none esteems you more truely than
Your Friend
Robt Tannahill.
Letter to Mr John Struthers
Gorbals,
Glasgow

Paisley 26th Sept. 1807

Dear Sir,

I embrace this opportunity of writing to you a few lines by our friend Borland. there was a meeting of the Burns’ Society here two weeks ago, and, altho’ I was not there, I understand they are relying solely on you for an ODE at the next Anniversary, therefor, you will much disappoint us all if you do not furnish us with one. for my own part I have no thoughts of attempting one more line on the subject, having done what I reckon sufficient for one hand already. — You recollect my advising you to try something in the Sonnet style, I send you a little M.S. volume for your perusal, I think its content in general very pretty — they are the productions of Mr Paterson, now minister of the Burgher congregation, [Anan], the originals fell by chance into my hand, and I thought them worthy of copying — there are a few incorrect lines in them, which you will notice, and which the author can easily amend — you may keep them till I see you. — Enclosed is a copy of the first Sonnet I ever tried, it appeared some time ago in the Caledonian, if you don’t like the subject I hope you will pardon my officiousness as, I assure you, it proceeded from a good motive, it was sent to Mr Fulton and written for me by a friend, so that its insertion could not be owing to any kindness he may have for its author. A few lines from you soon, informing me how Mr Paterson’s Sonnets please you — and whether we may depend on your kindness for an ode will give me a particular gratification.

I am,

Dear Sir,
With high regard
Yours most sincerely
Robt Tannahill
Letter to James King Corp¹
Captn McDowals Comp²
[Pevensey Barricks?]  
England  
—, 2nd Nov⁷ 1807

Dear James

I received yours of the 22nd Sept⁷ in due time, and according to your wish let your Mother know that you were well. She called on me the other night and wished that I would write to you directly, as she was very impatient to have a letter from you (independent of that I should have written a fortnight ago) You are sensible of a mother’s solicitude and will not fail giving her that gratification—

Trade is remarkably low with us, those who have their work continued are obliged to do it at pitiful low prices, and those who are thrown, can scarce get the offer of any by calling [through]- Lappets 900² have been offered at 3rd neat, however, peoples’ minds are not yet damp so much as you have seen in former depressions—

I am obliged to you for sending the songs in your last. “Thou’rt fair morning of May”, is a beautiful little ballad, but I would advise you to throw out the last verse, as the subject is quite compleat without it, besides, being in 5 stanzas, it will not suit any double tune, - in verse 4th, line 3rd, instead of “Will retire”, I would prefer “is retired”— “The morning trembles o’er the deep” likewise pleases me well.— “O why is thy hand so cauld love”, possesses some merit but I think it inferior to the others.

In my opinion your songs surpass your other productions, and I would advise you to apply yourself in that department to our favourite amusement, in preference to any other, another thing which I beg leave to mention, and which always makes a song appear more masterly, is to make the 1st and 3rd lines of the verse to rhyme— in the old ballad style it may be dispensed with, but in songs written in the idiom of the present day it is expected and reckoned no so well without it, but you are already sensible of that—

You are right in your observations on “O sair I rue,” I believe the last verse must be altered or [ ] I think I will risk The Harper’s Dirge as it is, you are welcome to send a copy to your hibernian friend. I wish you in your next to let me know as much as you can of Carolan, and at
what period he liv’d. I have just now seen translation of two very pretty songs of his into a London Magazine— I am happy that the songs in my volume please you, but when you mention them as equaling Burns’s, I am afraid that the partiality of friendship weighs a good deal in that decision. You have never mentioned the Interlude; I suspect that in general it is reckon’d not much worth— I will now finish with some rhymes to you—

A Fragment

The Queensferry boatie rows light
And light is the heart that it bears,
For it brings the poor soldier safe back to his home,
From many long toilsome years.

How sweet are his green native hills,
As they smile to the beams of the west,
But sweeter by far is the sunshine of hope
That gladdens the soldier’s breast.

I can well mark the tears of his joy,
As the wave-beaten pier he ascends,
For already in fancy he enters his house
Midst the greetings of tender friends.

But fled are his visions of bliss,
All his transports but ‘rose to deceive,
For he found the dear cottage a tenantless waste,
And his kindred all sunk in the grave.

I dont know any air that answers to the above measure, let me know whether you know any to it— You will no doubt know Lord Moira’s reel. I have been trying verses to it, and will write you all that I was able to make of it.

Scottish Song

Air—“Lord Moira’s Reel”

Loudon’s bonnie woods an’ braes,
I maun lea’ them a’, lassie,
Wha can thole when Britain’s foes,
Wou’d gi’e Britons law, lassie?
Wha wou’d shun the field of danger?
Wha frae fame wou’d live a stranger?
Now, when freedom bids avenge her,
Wha would wou’d shun her ca’ lassie,
Loudon’s bonnie woods an’ braes,
Hae seen our happy bridal days
An gentle hope will soothe waes
When I am far awa’ lassie.

“Hark! the swelling bugle sings
It gies joy to thee, laddie
But the dolefu’ bugle brings,
Waefu’ thoughts to me, laddie,
Lonely I may clinb the mountain,
Lonely stray beside the fountain,
Still the weary moments countin’,
Far frae love and thee, laddie—
O’er the gory fields o’ war.
When vengeance drives his crimson car
Thou’lt may-be fa’, frae me afar
An’ nane to close the e’e, laddie."

O resume thy wanted smile!
O suppress thy fears lassie!
Glorious honour crowns the toil
That the soldier shares lassie;
Heav’n will shield thy faithfu’ lover,
Till the vengefu’ strife is over,
Then we’ll meet, nae mair to sever
Till the day we die, lassie,
Midst our bonnie woods an’ braes
We’ll spend our peaceful happy days
As blithe’s yon lightsome lamb that plays
On Loudon’s flow’ry lee, lassie.

I am somewhat half-pleased with the above myself, but that is always the case when a piece is newly finish’d— and it must lie past some time before we are capable of judging rightly how it may stand. Mention any defects you may see in it-- I hope you will write your mother immediately— and I wish to hear from you first time you have leisure to write— if you have any thing new please send a copy— you would receive a letter lately from [Dovesland] written by Borland— we spent the day together — I have not seen him since.

I remain yours most sincerely
Robt Tannahill.
Letter from James Clark,
Musician in the Argyleshire Militia,
to Robert Tannahill.

Aberdeen, 16th November, 1807.

My Dear Friend,

I received your letter dated 20th September with great pleasure. I am always glad to hear from you, and particularly so now, as you are the only correspondent I have from Paisley. My old friends Stewart and Smith have forgot me, I have not forgot them. I begin to like this town very well. There are a number of social souls about it, and I spend some of my evenings very agreeably. There are a number of free-and-easy clubs here, and three good tap-rooms, which are very well attended, which by going to sometimes a stranger gets acquainted with the natives. I have the honour to be president now of a very respectable club. I have sung I don't know how often your glorious song "The Coggie" here, which of all your songs is my favourite. (I hope in God the author of it nor his friends will never want one.) It is a great favourite here. I have never seen Mr. Ross but once since I came here, and then it was by chance he was in town. He keeps no music shop, and teaches but little. He has made his fortune some years ago—keeps a town and country house, elegantly furnished. He is a strange genius for a musician—keeps no company, and never enjoyed his bottle. He sent up a letter to me for you, with his compliments to me, wishing me to forward it, which I did as soon as possible. I hope you received it safe from David Dickie. I am playing in the orchestra at the theatre here. We have a most excellent band, consisting of four violins (three of them first-rate performers), two horns, one flute, one bassoon, and one violincello (played by your humble servant). The theatre has been open about a month. It is a very pretty house, fitted up in the same style as the Edinburgh one, and holds about £60. There are some good scenes, painted by Naismith in his best style. The company are better than they have been used with here. He is collecting from all quarters for good performers to open with éclat in Glasgow, but he must get a few more capital actors before he can do any good there. Mr. Beaumont is really a bad actor: has a good figure and a fine face for tragedy; graceful in his action, but too pompous—making too much of it;—but he is the worst speaker I ever heard on the stage—a bad voice, and a manner of snapping the last syllable of his words as to render him almost unintelligible. He doth not play much, and when he goes to
Glasgow I suppose will play none. Our tragedy hero is a Mr. Seyton, from London, a young man of fortune, but stage mad; plays sometimes very well, but "saws the air" too much with his hands. Indeed, he is too extravagant in his action. The poor fellow is really deranged at times. He has the richest dresses I ever saw. Mr. King, late of the Theatres Edinburgh and Glasgow, is here—a useful man, and pretty clever. We have, too, a Mr. Hubert, the most general actor I ever saw—plays Charles in "The School for Scandal," Macduff, William in "Rosina," Caleb Colum, and Lingo—all respectable. He is the best John Thornberry. A Mr. Lewis plays the comedy old man very well. I think he will do for Glasgow. Mrs. Beaumont I think a good tragedienne. Her Belvidere, Jane Shore, and Mrs. Ervine in "Everyone has his Fault," pleased me very well, yet she cries too loud; but her Lady Teazle and Widow Chearle I think poor. All will be ill to please in parts of that town who have seen Miss Duncan, and, in my opinion, the equally great Mrs. Young, play often. Mrs. Odger is here, and her you have seen. Miss Locke, a beautiful young woman; she is but young on the stage, and will be better than she is, tho' I fear she will never be great. We have had "The Forty Thieves" here in great style. I think the dialogue of that piece very trifling. Indeed, the Col. has some good things to say, but none else. I suppose you would go to Glasgow to see it. Now, I really think, if you are not tired of theatricals, you may. I am obliged to you for sending me the song you wrote. I think it a very beautiful one, and I would be very glad you would let me have any little thing you do when you write. Your old friend Tom is well and hearty, and wishes to be kindly remembered to you. Give my compliments to our old friends, W. Stewart and A. Smith. I hope you will let me hear from you soon, and let me know any particular news that's amongst you.

I am
Dear Bob,
Your friend sincerely,
JAMES CLARK.
My Dear Friend,

I have only as much time at present as scrawl you a few lines but will write you soon more fully— I received yours and am much obliged to you for your account of the Theatre— Mr R.A.S. is succeeding very well in his new line— indeed he is so throng that I have heard him repeatedly speak with regret that he had not yet had so much leisure time as to write to you— Mr Stewart is engaged as Precentor in Mr Wilson’s church, Greenock — £25 per An. and a free house to teach in— he began his first class there on Monday[...]
I have little doubt that he will [meet] with that success which his merits deserve— Trade is at present d____d dull with us— the number of our clever young men who will soon join your Regﬂ is mellancholy proof of it.

adieu, my worthy friend
and believe me
from my heart
Yours
R. Tannahill.
Letter to James Barr,  
Kilbarchan.  

Paisley, 5th January, 1808.

Dear James,

I am quite sorry to imagine our correspondence should seem to be dwindle down to the dry hasty scribbling of Dr. and Cr., yet such really appears to be the case. I have not written to P, nor do I believe ever shall on the subject; he has served me no worse than some others have done, and since honour does not prompt him to do as he ought, let him stand just as he is. I remit you the list so that you may see what number is on his score. If you have not received payment for any of the others it would be unfair in me to expect it; but if you can make it convenient to settle your part before Friday fortnight you will meet me in a particular wish, as, on the Friday evening following I shall (God and you willing) be happy. I understand Ross has set some others of the songs to music; I have seen only one of them, 'tis that one in the Interlude set by our friend Robert. He has just been shewing me a letter from London approving of the "Rosy Brier" and a song written by G. Allan. They are to be published soon, and copies of them sent to him. He has been down, on invitation, spending two days of the new year with the Dr. at Erskine. I wish you a gude new year, and wish you to

Believe me,
Yours truly,  
R. TANNAHILL.

P.S.–I request you to return the list, as I ought to respect every name contained in it. I have a few things past me when more at leisure. However, I enclose you a copy of one I have ready written.  
R.T.
Excerpt from a letter of Robert Tannahill
Recipient Unknown

Paisley, 14th February, 1808.

"We are a set of capricious beings—that dismal melancholy mood in which I wrote to you last has considerably worn off. One of the causes of it was:—A fellow, who for a long time had lived with me upon the most intimate and friendly terms, took it into his dizzy pow that he was advancing rapidly in the high way of fortune; he of course must drop all low company; he had the effrontery even to say it, and used me and others in such a way as led us to see that he considered us as belonging to that order. A kick up, which we had on that account, threw me into a kind of fever for some days."
Conscience has rather been in a grumpish mood for some time past, on account of my delaying so long to write to you; so to ease it a little, I dedicate an hour of this good Saterday-afternoon, to relieve me of a Debt which I feel considerable pleasure in paying. You are sensible that fine-spun Letter Writing has never been any part of my study, therefore must be contented with whatever the present moment presents to my recollection. Has Mr Stuart yet written you? He was here at Mr Smith’s concert about six weeks ago— he said he would write as soon as he returned to Greenock. Our friend S. had a most noble concert, all the big Nobs an’ wee Nobs of the Town attended, and all seemed to be highly pleased— Our Trade being so low few working [people] were at it. One thing I must tell you, Mr Smith’s voice, from being obliged to extend in the church, is now considerably stronger, and his songing met on that night with much applause. He is likewise proceeding pretty well in the teaching line, and I am sure it will afford you a high gratification to hear of the success of one who well deserves it, and who as a friend is most dear to you. I understand that Mr Stuart is likewise doing very well in Greenock, but some snail-soul’d, “rigidly righteous” bodies in this place have done all in their power to prevent their Brethren there from engaging him as their Precentor, Alleging that he wasna’ ane o’ the godly, however the Greenock folk think more of him than turn off merely to please them, because he belongeth not unto their congregation. Moreover our other very worthy, and much beloved cronie, warm-hearted, hairum scairum Jamie Barr in The Willie [Cobraith] Inn, Kilbarchan; the last Burns’ Anniversary was held in his house; a greater number attended than on former occasions, and I heard several of those who were there express in high terms their satisfaction with the treatment our friend gave them. Apropos, He is just now publishing Anna’s Urn, and How blest has my time been, harmonised as Glees. (Colonel Napier of Miliken was buried yesterday.) Mr Smith too has shewn me a letter, which he had from a London house, asuring him that they have The
Rosy Brier and another song of his (written by Geo. Allan) published immediately.—

Now I have told you all the news that I remember concerning our friends. I need not mention the lowness of Trade, as so many of our Townsmen who have joined you afford a melancholy proof of it as yet it is no better, however, work is rather plentier, and we of course are in hope that the prices will rise soon. Give my compliments to John King and remember to Whiteford an’ a wheen [mair] o’ them. Burns’ Anniversary was held here with less spirit this year than formerly. The Kilbarchan meeting surpass’d us in numbers; ours was compos’d mostly of what people call the better sort, that is of those into whose pockets fortune has thrown (in some cases blindly) five shillings. While she has left others with scarcely one brotherless penny. however, the [night] was spent happily enough, several pieces of appropriate original poetry were read, some of them of considerable poetical merit, but as I not seen the MS.s cannot send you copies of any of them.

Have you seen The Dirge of Wallace written by Tho’ Campbell, I have a manuscript copy of it by me, if you have not, let me know and I will transcribe it for you, but I think you must have seen it as you have a few lines from it in one of your former letters.

I have written several songs since you were last in Paisley; Mr Ross has composed Music for some of them and has promised to send me some copies of them as soon as publish’d—

Do you now correspond any with Mr Hamilton? I dont think he used our friend Robert altogether well in letting his songs lie past so long— I know that Smith sent him word some time ago not to Publish them, but return him the copies, yet he neither has sent them nor any thing concerning them. The Maniac is just now in London and will soon make its appearance along with the Rosy Brier.

Here is one of my new ones — tell me how you think it will do,—Bob has promis’d me a Tune for it.

The Worn Soldier

A Ballad

The Queensferry boatie rows light,
And light is the heart that it bears,
For it brings the worn soldier safe back to his home
From many long toilsome years.

How sweet are his green native hills,
As they smile to the beams of the West!
But sweeter by far is the sunshine of hope
That gladdens the soldier’s breast.

I can well mark the tears of his joy,
As the wave-beaten pier he ascends,
For already in fancy he enters his home
Midst the greetings of tender friends.

But fled are his visions of bliss—
All his transports but ‘rose to deceive,
For he found the dear cottage a tenantless waste,
And his kindred all sunk in the grave.

Lend a sigh to the soldiers grieves,
For now he is helpless and poor,
And, forc’d to solicit a slender relief,
He wanders from door to door.

To him let your answers be mild,
And’ O to the suff’rer be kind!
For the look of indiff’rence, the frown of disdain,
Bear hard on a generous mind.

I will give you another one which I [wrote] some time ago,
to the first two strains of Moira’s Welcome. It appears in
the Edinburgh Magazine for December last (or January) I
dont remember which.

**Scottish Song**

Loudon’s bonnie woods an’ braes,
I maun lea’ them a’, lassie,
Wha can thole when Britain’s foes,
Wou’d gi’e Britons law, lassie?
Wha wou’d shun the field of danger?
Wha frae fame wou’d live a stronger?
Now, when freedom bids avenge her,
Wha would wou’d shun her ca’ lassie,
Loudon’s bonnie woods an’ braes,
Hae seen our happy bridal days
An gentle hope will soothe waes
When I am far awa’ lassie.

“Hark! the swelling bugle sings
It gies joy to thee, laddie
But the dolefu’ bugle brings,
Waefu’ thoughts to me, laddie,
Lonely I may cl McB the mountain,
Lonely stray beside the fountain,
Still the weary moments countin’,
Far frae love and thee, laddie—
O’er the gory fields o’ war.
When vengeance drives his crimson car
Thou’llt may-be fa’, frae me afar
An’ nane to close the e’e, laddie.”
O resume thy wanted smile!  
O suppress thy fears lassie!  
Glorious honour crowns the toil  
That the soldier shares lassie;  
Heav’n will shield thy faithfu’ lover,  
Till the vengefu’ strife is over,  
Then we’ll meet, nae mair to sever  
Till the day we die, lassie,  
Midst our bonnie woods an’ braes  
We’ll spend our peaceful happy days  
As blithe’s yon lightsome lamb that plays  
On Loudon’s flow’ry lee, lassie.

I understand Mrs Clark is well, Smith told me he called on her last week in Kilbarchan. Do write soon, as it adds considerably to my happiness in hearing from you frequently. So wishing you may long enjoy health to “jirgum an’ diddle” I remain,

Yours most sincerely,

Robt Tannahill.
Letter from William Kibble,
To Robert Tannahill

Bolton, March 1st, 1808.

My Worthy Friend,

I have read your letter, and am happy to hear of your welfare. It likewise gives me much pleasure to hear that the Muse and you have been, not idle but busy, and that your joint endeavours will be not only for the enhancement of social mirth, but have a tendency to mend the heart. That sacred spark of our friendship has not for one moment been extinguished from my heart, and altho' I have been negligent in writing, you may believe me, my friend, you have always been dear to me. I have interested myself in your behalf in regard to your publication as far as my influence can extend, and have got 17 names to my list. I expect a good number more, but I cannot extend the plan of my intentions without other five proposal papers, which I advise you to send me immediately. I intend to send two to Stockport as you have more acquaintances in that place at present than in this town. Our dull trade being the cause of their shifting. Other two to Preston, and an other for this town which shall be in charge of Thomas Wright. I would likewise advise you to enclose two or three of your songs, as I make no doubt would turn out to your advantage. Let me know when your book will be published, so that I may know how to proceed. There is a Mr. James M'Alpine who desires me to send you [     ]; he is from Anderston. He sung one of your songs about a week ago, at a meeting of the Sons of [M]omus, where I sometimes attend. I think he named it the "Highland Plaidie." It was received with unbounded applause. Nine of those jovial fellows subscribed for your book last night. Some of them knew you, and some not. I expect you will excuse me for this short letter as I intend that you shall have pennyworths again. I shall only mention to you that our trade is very dull and wages never were less than at this time. The unreasonable drop of which has induced the weavers to apply to Parliament for a regulation of all kinds of work done by them in the weaving line, that is to say in the cotton branch. I shall inform you more particularly of this at another time. Give my best love to all friends, and be assured that I remain

Thine eternally while

WM. KIBBLE.
Letter to William Finlayson, Weaver, 
Pollokshaws.

Paisley, 5th March, 1808.

Dear Sir,

I should ere now have owned the receipt of your very friendly epistle, and intended to return it in kind; but I find that the Muse has rather jilted me for the present. You must be sensible that a person cannot at all times sit down to write a poem as a joiner would do to make a chair; therefore, I hope you will accept of these, my plain prose acknowledgments. Independent of the compliments with which your verses honour me, they certainly possess a considerable share of poetical merit. [. . . .] I was gratified on finding that my efforts, had in some degree, pleased the good folks in your town; and now, since my poetical mania has rather subsided, I can as clearly discern and as readily acknowledge their deficiencies as if they had been written by any other person (at least, I think so). You may perhaps hear from me at a future period. In the meantime, believe me to be yours,

With due respect,
Robert Tannahill.

NOTE BY FINLAYSON.
"I believe a number of my readers will consider the publication of the above extract (from Tannahill's letter) as a palpable instance of vanity in me. It may appear so to them. I, however, should be wanting in that respect for my own character (which the most illiberal of my detractors must allow, on a due investigation of my case, to be laudable), were I to omit such a fair opportunity of exhibiting to those who have so eminently sneered at my presumption in giving these contemptible trifles to the world,—the approbation of a 'Poet of Nature' to at least one of these trifles. Few—I may say none—ever dared to assume the dignity of an author in opposition to such an overwhelming tide of humiliating admonitions to beware of attempting the dangerous eminence. No literary companion ever smoothed my verses,—no animating voice ever cheered my solitary ravings round the base of Parnassus; and shall I then suppress the only semblance of commendation I ever received, and that, too, from a bard whose merit is universally acknowledged. No; the incense of praise is at all times grateful, but, doubly so, when given in proper season, and rendered by one duly qualified to bestow it.—I
shall not, therefore, easily forget that there was, at
least, one who did not denominate me a dunce, and that one
no less than the ingenious Bard of Renfrewshire.
Allow me to conclude this long note with a quotation from
one of my own pieces, the egotism of which precludes it
from a place in this edition:—
Without some vanity, nae bardie
Wad be sae confident an hardie,
As lea tae ilka critic's wordie
His reputation;
For weel kens he, Envy's ne'er tardie
At defamation.
Then, on my pow the blame be laid
If thoughtlessly the fool I've played,
I court nae countenance; nae aid
From frien or foe,—
Hiss'd or applauded, undismayed
My verse shall flow. "
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Letter to Robert Allan
Kilbarchan
Paisley 14th March 1808

Dear Robin

The muse is now a wee at leisure,
And sits her down wi’ muckle pleasure,
To skelp off the blaud o’ rhyme,
As near’s she can to true sublime;
But here’s the rub—poor poet devils
We’re compass’d ’r’ound wi’ mony evils,
To give the world something clever,
And after a’, perhaps we muddle,
In vile, prosaic stagnant puddle.
For me— I seldom choose a subject,
My rhymes are aft without an object,
I let the Muse e’en take her win’,
And dash awa’ thro’ thick and thin:
For Method’s sic a servile creature,
She spurns the wilds o’ simple nature,
And paces on wi’ easy airt,
A long days journey frae the heart—
Sae what comes uppermost ye’ll get it,
Be’it good or ill, for you I write it.

How fares my worthy friend, the Bard?
Be peace and honour his reward,
May every ill that gars us fyke,
Ill webs, toom pouches and sic like,
And ought that would his spirit bend,
Be ten miles distant from my friend.
Alas! this wicked endless War,
Rule’d by some vile, malignant star,
Has sunk poor Britain low indeed,
Has robb’d Industry o’ her bread,
And dash’d the sair-won cog o’ crowdy,
Frac mony an honest eident body,
While genius dying thro’ neglect,
Sinks down amidst the general wreck.

Just like twa cats tied tail to tail,
They worry at it tooth and nail,
They girn, they bite in deadly wrath,
And what is’t for? for nothing in faith!
Wee Lowrie Frank, wi’ brazen snout,
Nae doubt wou’d like to scart us out,
For proud John Bull, ay us’d to hone him,
Will no’ gie o’er to p— upon him,
But lowrie’s rais’d ti sic degree,
John wou’d be wise to let him be,
Else aiblins, as he’s wearin’ aul’,
Frank yet may tear spawl frae spawl,
For, wi’ the mony chirt’s he’s gotten
I fear his Constitution’s rotten

But while the bullying blades o’ Europe
Are boxing ither to a syrup,
Let’s mind oursel’s as weel’s we can,
And live in peace like man and man,
And no’ cast out, and feght like brutes,
Without a cause for our disputes.

When I read o’er your kind Epistle,
I didna “dance”, nor “sing”, nor “whistle,”
But jumpt and cried, hazza, hazza!
Like Robin Ruffhead in the Play—
But to be serious— jest aside,
I felt a glow o’ secret pride,
Thus to be roos’d by one like you,
Yet doubted if sic praise was due,
Till Self thus reason’d on the matter
Ye ken that Robin scorns to flatter,
And ere he’d prostitute his quill,
He’d rather burn his rhyming mill—
Enough! I cried— I’ve gain’d my end,
Since I hae pleas’d my worthy friend.

My sangs are now afore the warl,
And some may sing, and some may snarl,
They ha’e their faults, yet I can tell,
Nane sees them clearer than mysel’,
But still I think they too inherit
Amang the dross some sparks o’ merit.

Then come, my dear Parnassian Brither!
Let’s lay our poet-heads thegither,
And sing our ain sweet native scenes,
Our streams, our banks, and rural plains,
Our woods, our shaws, and flow’ry holms,
And mountains clad wi’ purple blooms,
Wi burnies bickering down their braes,
Reflecting back the sunny rays.

Ye’ve Semple Woods and Calder Glen
And Locher Bank, sweet fairy den!
And Auchinames, a glorious theme!
Where Crawfurd liv’d, of deathless name,
Where Semple sued, his lass to win,
And Nelly “’rose to let him in;”
Where Habby Simpson long did play,
The first o’ Pipers in his day,
And tho’ a’ ‘neath the turf langsyne
Their sangs and tunes shall never tyne.

Sae Robin, briskly ply the Muse
She warms our hearts, expands our views,
Gars ev’ry sordid passion flee,
And waukens ev’ry sympathy.

Now wishing Fate may never tax you,
Wi cross nor loss, to thraw and vex you,
But keep you hale till ninety nine,
Till you and yours in honour shine,
Shall ever be my earnest pray’r,
While I’ve ae friendly wish to spare.

P.S. {Deleted}
yours truly
Robt Tannahill

A.P.S. I neglected to mention that I wish my good friend
Buchanan to write me an account of Semple, Crawford, and
Habby Simpson Which I meant to insert as a footnote to
the above.
Letter to James Clark
Argyle-Shire Militia Band
Aberdeen

[11th May 1808]

N.B. Smith’s was numerously attended by the most respectable people in town: Cole had but very sorry reckoning — Did not clear his expenses— puffing wont do.

[Enclosed with a Bill for Mr Cole’s concert Tuesday 17th May 1808]
Letter to John MacFarlane,
Neilston.

Paisley, 12th May, 1808.

Dear Sir,

You really wrong me if you suppose me indifferent to your welfare. I have of late been so throng that in fact I have not had leisure to enquire how the world was using you. I will thank you to write me a few lines by return of the Carrier, and let me know how you have been since I saw you last, and if I shall have the pleasure of seeing you soon in Paisley, as you proposed. The enclosed song is one in Mr. Smith's bill (I mean his concert), which was about ten days ago. We had a very numerous and respectable audience, and they seemed to be all highly pleased with the performance. I intended to have written you a song or two, but I entreat your excuse at present. I have just now put up one of those new-fangled seeding webs. I can make pretty good wages on it. I find it to be the most irksome work I have ever had. My breast is rather pained with working hard, and I know you'll excuse me.

In expectation of your answer,

I remain,

Dear Sir,

Yours most sincerely,

ROBT. TANNAHILL.
Letter to James Clark
Musician
Argyle-SHire Militia Band
Aberdeen
Paisley 28th May 1808

My Dear Friend,

I hope you have been blest with your usual share of good health since I heard from you. I am now going to beg of you a very particular favour, that, you would send me as soon as you can, any fine Irish Airs of the singing kind which you may chance to know; I dont mean those already common, such as The Lakes of Killarney, Shannon’s flow’ry banks &c. What makes me so importunate with you is, that, if I can accomplish songs worthy of being attached to them, I shall have the pleasure of seeing them printed into, perhaps, the most respectable work of the kind that ever has been published in Britain.

Now, Dear Jamie, as this is placing me on my very soul’s Hobby, do try to oblige me; however, should you favour me with any, they must be real natives of the Dear Country, for I believe there are many imitations composed on this side of the water. I am sure I have heard some pretty Irish airs played as Retreats—try to recollect some of them. What shall I tell you! Mr Stephen Kemble is just now erecting a temporary Theatre here, at the end of Brock’s former dwelling (The Pit) I suppose ‘twill be opened in the course of a fourteenthnight but I cannot yet say what sufficiency of Company he has. I will send you word as they get on. In the mean time excuse my hurry and favour me with your answer as soon as you can—

Give my compliments to Tom & Mrs Buchanan, and to John King when you see him—

I was highly pleased with your account of the celebration of our dearest Bard’s Birth-day among you, and thank you for the honour you did me in bringing forward my Ode on the occasion.

I remain,
Dear James,
Yours most Sincerely
Rob’t Tannahill.

P.S. Mr Smith and family are well.
Letter to George Thomson
Trustees Office
Exchange
Edinburgh

Paisley 6th June 1808

[music]

Song

Air— The green woods of Treugh

Adieu! ye cheerful native plains,
Dungeon glooms receive me,
Nothing new for me remains
Of all the joys ye gave me,
All are flown!
Far from thy shores sweet Erin
I through life must toil despairing
Lost and unknown

Howl ye winds around my cell,
Nothing now can wound me,
Mingling with your dreary swell,
Prison-groans surround me,
Bodings wild-
Treachery, thy ruthless doing
Long I’ll mourn in hopeless ruin
Lost and exil’d.

Dear Sir,

The above little air pleased me so much that I could not help trying a verse to it, I believe it has never been published. It was taken down from an old Irish woman’s singing a native song to it which, she said, when rendered into English, was in praise of the green woods of Treugh. ’Tis in such a wild measure I could think of no other form of stanza to suit. I shall be happy to hear whether you have before now seen it—

With regard to Nancy Verny— You may judge how sorry I was on being assured by a friend that my set of the air was incomplete, I thought, of course, that poor Sheelah was entirely lost, and have been earnestly trying to accommodate matters between them; I find that the last line but one of each of the verses must be repeated before
they can agree together, and am thus obliged to write both the air and song a second time to shew you how they now stand. The sides of many Lakes and rivers are properly denominated banks, because, being steep, they are really so, but in my opinion, when a lake or river is bounded by low ground, it would be improper to call the margins by that appellation. we never say the banks of the sea, and I think the term proper enough to be applied to any bushy brae. I think the first word in the line very bad and have made a little alteration in it perhaps not much for the better.

I was highly gratified on finding that the song met with your approbation, and again return you my warmest thanks for mentioning any thing that you may judge incongruous: We must first know our errors before there can be a possibility of amending them. I am informed that there are several ranges of lofty hills on the banks of the Shannon, although ignorant of their names I have no doubt of the fact, as that river runs through an extent of country 150 mile in length.
Ah! Sheelah thou’rt my darling,
The golden image of my heart,
How cheerless seems this morning,
Which brings the hour we must part;
Tho’ doom’d to cross the ocean,
And face the proud insulting foe,
Thou hast my soul’s devotion
My heart is thine where’er I go;
Ah! Sheelah thou’rt my darling,
My heart is thine where’er I go.

When tost upon the billow,
And angry tempests round me blow,
Let not the gloomy willow
O’ershade thy lovely lily brow:
But mind the seaman’s story,
Sweet William and his charming Sue,
I’ll soon return with glory,
And like sweet William wed thee too;
Ah! Sheelah thou’rt my darling
My heart is thine where’er I go.

Think on our days of pleasure,
While wond’ring by the Shannon Side,
When summer days gave leisure
To stray amidst their flow’ry pride;
And while thy faithfull lover
Is far upon the stormy main,
Think, when the wars are over,
These golden days shall come again;
Ah Sheelah thou’rt my darling,
These golden days shall come again.

Farewell, ye lofty mountains!
Your flow’ry wilds we wont to rove;
Ye woody glens and fountains,
The dear retreats of mutual love
Alas we now must sever—
O Sheelah, to thy vows be true!
My heart is thine forever—
One fond embrace and then adieu;
Ah! Sheelah thou’rt my darling
One fond embrace and then adieu.

---

*Yes, I think it is better.
I have fallen in with several very fine Irish airs but I fear they are already published. Inform me if you know of the following, Kitty Tyrrel; The fair hair’d child; or Patheen a Fuen. The first of these I am quite in raptures with, if you have them not already I shall send them in my next. Besides these I have other two taken from memory, but I must have my worthy musical friend, Mr. Smith to write them off correctly for me, he is just now poorly and confined to his bed, else I would have sent them now. I have written to a very tasty cronicie who is in the Argyle Shire Militia Band. I know he will gladly oblige one with any thing of that kind he can procure.

In looking through my songs I find the following English stanzas which were written about four years ago, on the Death of a very beautiful young woman who Died of consumption in her eighteenth summer; she was to have been wedded to a friend of mine; and sympathy for his grief on that melancholy event gave rise to the present effusion. I am sorry to add that the poor fellow ever since seems to be “reckless of life” and regardless of everything else than his bottle. I thought it might please you for O’Conell’s Lament—

Now, my dear Sir, do not mistake me, nor think that I am forcing these things upon your hand; all that I wish is that you may have them past you, so that, when you come to make your selection, some of them may stand a chance of being among the chosen. From your well known supperiority of taste in these matters, your collection I have no doubt will be among the best ever published in this country, and I shall be happy if any one of my pieces be found worthy of it.

Dirge

Air—O’Conell’s Lament

Responsive ye woods wing your echoes along
Till nature, all sad, weeping, listen my song,
Till flocks cease their bleating, and herds cease to low,
And the clear winding rivulet scarce seems to flow;
For fair was the flow’r that once gladden’d our plains,
Sweet rose bud of virtue, ador’d by our swains,
But fate, like a blast from the chill wintry wave,
Has laid my sweet flower in yon cold silent grave.

Her warm feeling breast did with sympathy glow,
In innocence pure as the new mountain-snow;
Her face was more fair than the mild apple-bloom,
And her voice sweet as hope whispering pleasures to come.
Ah! Mary my love - wilt thou never return!
’Tis thy William who calls - burst the bands of thine urn!
Together we’ll wander, Alas! how I rave-
My Mary lies low in the lone silent grave.

Yon tall leafy plains throw a deep solemn shade
O’er the dear holy spot where my Mary is laid,
Lest the light wanton sunbeams obtrude on the gloom
That lorn love and friendship wove round her tomb;
Still there let the mild tears of nature remain,
Till calm dewy ev’ning weeps o’er her again,
There oft I will wonder - no boon now I crave
But to weep life away o’er her her dark silent grave.

I will study to have these other airs forwarded as soon as
I can, in the meantime believe to remain,
Your most obedz & humble servz
Robz Tannahill.3

3 Note on back of letter written by George Thomson— “Mr Tannahill with
the Irish air “The green woods of Treugh” and a new edition of Ah
Shellah thou’rt my darling, the song to Nancy Verny and two other
songs- Ans2 11th June - approving of the air & Sheelah - but
disapproving of the two songs.”
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Source – Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Clark, 10th June, 1808, (Glasgow University Library, Special Collections, MS Robertson, 1/17).

Letter to James Clark
Musician
Argyle-SHire Militia Band
Aberdeen

Paisley 10th June 1808

My Dear Friend,

I have scarcely as much leisure time to say God bless you—
- In these hard times the man who would live on anything like honourable terms with the world must toil hard to attain it: you must be sensible of this and will excuse me. I have seen Mr Kemble play Falstaff, it was a glorious treat. Alas! Smith, poor fellow is lying very badly— he has a tremendous cough, and I fear the worst for him; he hurt himself flitting about a fourthnight since and has been confined to bed ever since— I will [drop] you a few lines ere long letting you know how he is; a worthier fellow exists not. Mr Stuart has returned to Paisley quite disgusted with the Antiburgherian bugs.— I send you a few play bills⁴ and a copy of what Will. Taylor, The Commodore, is pleased to call Poems, his elegy on Findley, the Change-keeper, who died lately, has met with universal deprecation, the Kilbarchan people are likewise very ill pleased with him. I hope you received the short letter I sent you lately wishing you to favour me with a few Irish airs. I already have Kitty Tyrrel, Patheen a Fuen & Woodhouselee, none of which you need send. but I am depending to hear from you soon with something of that kind; the sooner, the better: I am sorry to [have] nothing worth sending you but this trash of Taylor’s— I thought, as you know the man you might wish to see them. Remember me to my friend Tom and M[ł] B. Kind respects to my auld-[farren] cronic J. King— there are so many more to mention them all would be endless.

Now, may you be d—d [damned] with want of good fellowship if you dont write soon, and let me know how you are coming on.

I remain, Yours most Sincerely,
R. Tannahill.

---

⁴ Bill for Smith’s concert 3 May 1808, and others unknown.
Dear Sir,

I have delayed writing to you still, expecting a reply from Leslie, he has fairly worn out my patience, kept me in suspense by repeated promises— and seems to pay no attention to any of them— I saw him last Tuesday and he said that I might depend on on a proof sheet being sent out on Thursday— it has not come. his brother-in-law is the printer and I am very certain the types have been set for it these some weeks, but I suspect Leslie to be now so miserably poor that he cannot furnish the paper for it— he failed in Trade some time ago, and subsists now by hawking the country selling Books for any body who trusts him with them. I think we need not doubt its being done but we must give him his own time to it. I saw Borland in Glasgow last Sunday and he is very well, and had just returned, with [a] reg- of volunteers of which he is a sergeant, from Ayr, where they had been fourteen days on duty— Jamie Scadlock is married lately to a Mary Ewing at Bar-head— he called on me ten days ago— I understood the young good-wife was in the straw. Your mother and Jenny are well, I suppose you would receive a letter from them a few days since— You must not neglect writing to them frequently —nay often— a few lines from you yeilds your Mother happiness for a fourthnight— but she has fears all is not well with you when you delay your writing to her any longer. Trade is yet but very low with us, and I cannot say it is yet any better on account of Spain being partly open to us, indeed, manufacturers would be mad to risk their goods there when returns would be so precarious— Sweden seems to have taken the drunt, and that will likely flatten us further. as things are— it is very hard with many a poor family, but with me, the world is passing pretty smoothly— I can just about as much as keep day and way clear— however even to attain that takes hard enough work — but let us drop every-day cracks and have a ten minutes habble on our poetical hobby—

Source – Robert Tannahill, Letter to James King, 17th July, 1808, (Glasgow University Library, Special Collections, MS Robertson, 1/19).
The Wandering Bard

Written to a favorite Welch air

Chill the wintry winds were blowing
Foul the murky night was snowing
Through the storm the minstrel bowing
Sought the inn on yonder moor
All within was warm and cheery
All without was cold and dreary
There the wand’rer, worn and weary
Thought to pass a night secure.

Softly rose his mournful ditty
Suiting to his tale of pity
But the master scoffing witty,
Check’d his strain with scornful jeer
"Hoary vagrant—frequent comer
"Can’st thou save thy gains of summer
"No, then old intruding thrummer
Thou can’st have no lodging here."

Slow the bard departed sighing,
Wounded worth forbade replying,
One last feeble effort trying
Faint he sunk no more to rise
Through hos harp the breeze sharp ringing
Wild his dying dirge was singing
While his soul from insult springing
Sought its mansion in the skies.

Now tho’ the wintry winds be blowing
Night be foul with raining, snowing,
Still the traveller that way going,
Shuns the Inn upon the moor
Tho’ within ‘tis warm and cheery
While without ‘tis cold and dreary
Still he minds the minstrel weary
Spurn’d from that unfriendly door.
Kitty Tyrrel

Air—Kitty Tyrell—Irish

The breeze of the night fans the dark mountain’s breast
And the light-bounding deer have all sunk to their rest
The big sullen waves lash the loch’s rocky shore
And the lone drowsy fisherman nods o’er his oar
Tho’ pathless the wild, and tho’ gloomy the skies
The star of my heart is my Kitty’s bright eyes
And joyful I hie o’er the wide dreary fell
In secret to meet my sweet Kitty Tyrell

Ah! long have we lov’d in father’s despite
And oft have we met at the dead hour of night
When nature all round us was gloomily still
Save the fox-frighten’d moorfowl that scream’d on the hill
These hours of sweet transport, to me, ah, how dear!
For they prove to my heart that her love is sincere
And tho’ the rude storm rise with merciless swell
This night I shall meet my sweet Kitty Tyrell

Ah! turn, hapless youth—see the dark cloud of death
Comes rolling in gloom o’er the wild haunted heath
Deep groans the scath’d oak on the glen’s cliffy brow
And the sound of the torrent seems heavy with woe—
O, fearless he goes—See he fords the deep burn
He goes, but, alas! he shall never return
The ruthless assassin unseen marks him well
And falls for his love to sweet Kitty Tyrell

I shall write you again as soon as Leslie gets our business done—mean time I wish you to send me a copy of any thing you may have been doing lately—give me your severest on the above songs—every coof may say a thing is capital, beautiful &c but I’d rather have the candid criticism of a man of taste than the incense of ten thousand fools—write likewise to your mother—

I remain yours truly

R. Tannahill.
Letter from William Kibble to Robert Tannahill.

BOLTON, July 23d, 1808.

My Dear friend,

I have received your letter; about four weeks after its date it came to hand, the cause of which I do not know. I was very happy to hear from you, and that you are in good health, as this leaves me at present. That you are chagrined at not receiving any account from me in the book affair, I am not in the least surprised, for if the case had been mine I should have been downright angry, indeed I have been ashamed to write my friend. I have been exceedingly unfortunate in your business. I shall state the matter to you. It is now about nine months ago since an acquaintance of mine, whose name is John Livingston, called on me and informed me that he was going to Scotland, that he had a brother of the name of Peter Livingston, who was at that time in Paisley, a stone mason, and that he intended to take the way to Paisley on his way home, which is nigh Stirling, in order to see his brother, as he was a person whom I could trust, being acquainted with him for some years past. I gave him what money I had collected for your books, which amounted to £2 18s, two guineas and a half in gold, five shillings and sixpence in silver, and a letter for you. Receiving no answer from you, and being unsatisfied in my mind concerning the money, I wrote over to Bury to the man with whom he had lived, and he informed me that he had received a letter from him in Edinburgh, and that he not been in Paisley, but intended to be there by September next, yet never mentioned anything of my affair in his letter, which has made me more uneasy. I have seen his comrade whom he wrought with, and he told me that he would write him in the course of a few days, and would mention my business to him and procure all the information in his power. He gives Livingston a good character; but I am satisfied it was his duty to have written me, turn out which way it will. Misfortune comes not single-handed. I gave five copies of your book to Robert Blair, who you know lived in Preston at the time you lived in that place, and who was employed in the pedlar business for this some time past. I am informed he died in Bradford in Yorkshire, of a fever. I have not received one penny for them, although they were delivered and the money drawn for them, the Bolton people paid me except two copies, which it is doubtful if ever I shall receive, and two more at Stockport, which I think are safe. The other six which I sent to that place, I got paid
for them. I have given you a true account of the business as it stands, but am sorry to add that from severe pressure of the times, it is out of my power to send you anything at present; on the other hand, I would have you rest assured that you shall not lose one penny by me, and that in a short time I shall have it in my power to return you a satisfactory account of my Trust. My friend, it would give me an infinite deal of pleasure if, on receipt of this, you would write and let me know that I do not live under the pressure of your displeasure as it would be truly grievous to me. You have ever, since our first acquaintance, possessed a very large portion of my respect and esteem, and I sincerely believe that on your part it was reciprocal, and to lose which would be to me a circumstance truly afflicting, therefore I entreat you to write. I have nothing new to inform you, but what is of a miserable nature; for were I to describe to you the wretched situation of the manufacturing part of this country, you would think I had ransacked the very intricacies of Pandora's box to fill up my description; too much labour and almost nothing for it; exceeding dear markets, and every other attendant evil fills up the cup of our misery. To say more would be like lifting up some melancholy dirge to your troubled mind, I would say, but you see my paper is filled up. Nevertheless, in prosperity or adversity, above or below, I am your sincere friend Wm. Kibble.

P.S.—I have opened this letter to inform you that a manufacturer in this place has shewn me a piece of Scotch muslin; it is a gauze open work with dotted whip. I partly understand how to do it, but not thoroughly. If you will be so kind as send me a description how it is done with the price of weaving, you will much oblige me. I could engage with a loom work of it, which, I think, would turn to advantage. W.K.
Letter from James King To Robert Tannahill

Pevensey, 6th August 1808

Dear Sir,

I received yours of date 17th of July, and am pleased to know that you’re in health, and coming pretty well on, considering the state of trade. Should Leslie send you a proof-sheet, be so kind as to send it to me that I may know how the work executed. Had I suspected his delay, I would have done it before now. This day ends a week which has been very troublesome to me, striking and pitching tents by day and... [ ] ... Andrew, whom I mentioned last winter, sang some of your songs delightfully. Circumstances of a pecuniary nature prevented him from seeing you. The “Burn-Side,” when sung by him, is beautiful, indeed it is a first-rate song.

With regard to the pieces that you sent me, I think “The Wandering Bard” is superior. In “Kitty Tyrell,” the fisherman “nodding o’er his oar,” and the “fox-frightened sea-fowl,” are noble ideas. The “scathed oak” is nothing new, and nature being “gloomily still” is nearly related to Hamilton’s “mournfully still,” in the song of “Woodhouslee,” which you added a verse to. And the star of my heart, is finely brought in by Bloomfield in his “Heighland Drover,” and the first and second lines from the third stanza are fairly from “Dark lours the night.” The same ideas meet often in different authors without their knowledge at the time. A few weeks ago I had occasion to bring in the jutting precipice of a rock into a small piece. I called it the “cliffy brow” as you have done in “Kitty Tyrell.”

The third stanza of the “Wandering Bard” is sublime. He retires silent from the unfeeling host, and, after nature has made her last effort, falls upon his harp, which, as his saul springs from the insult, sends forth a shrill, mournful sound. It is good, and, I am of opinion, much superior to “Kitty Tyrell.”

I sent the following to the St James’s Chronicle on the 26th July and it appeared on the 30th—

SONG

Air—Cameronian’s Rant

Along the darkened horizon
What beams o' light appear, man;
The antient spirit o' the Don
Ca's forth the sword and spear, man,
Regardless o' the lines o' death
That Frenchmen pour along the heath,
To drum and fife, baith man and wife,
Amidst the strife, wi sword and knife,
To cut them doon [do] flee, man.

Johnny's left his native shore
To gie the patriots aid, man,
And Sawney's drawn his braid claymore,
And rowed him in his plaid, man.
And Sawney's yin that winna yield;
And Johnny winna lea the field;
True blue and good in fire and flood
They oft hae stood 'midst streams o' blood,
And dashed the foe awa', man.
45.


Excerpt from a Letter to George Thomson
Edinburgh

Paisley, 6th August, 1808.

Dear Sir,

I was favoured with yours of the 16th ult., and am much obliged to you for your candid remarks on my last song. I am really ashamed of these bungled airs which I have sent you. Not acquainted with the rules of transposition, and knowing very little of music, it was indeed presumption in me to think of writing them for you. Let my fondness to send you something of the kind plead my exculpation, and be so kind as consign them to the flames. I never was more ambitious to have a song to any air than to "Kitty;" it is worthy of the best poetry that ever was penned. By your friendly suggestions, I have done all in my power to accomplish one to it; with what success, you must now determine. You are, indeed, fastidious; but not too much so. It is in great part owing to that, that Scotland can now with justice boast of perhaps the best collection of songs that ever was produced; and although I may at times pay as much deference to my own dear opinion as ever fool did, yet to yours in these things I shall ever most cheerfully submit. My highest gratification, next to the pleasure of composing a song, is to see it published in some respectable work; and if you think the present one will now stand for a place in yours, I shall gladly let it lie past till convenient for you to publish. If otherwise, I perhaps will send it to some magazine, or give it to some one of the music-sellers. As the first four lines of the concluding stanza correspond with the superstitions of the common people in Ireland, I thought proper to retain them. I beg leave to transcribe you the whole of the song.

............
Letter to James Barr, Musician,
Kilbarchan.

Paisley, Wednesday Night [August 10th, 1808]

Dear James,

Should I have made any blunders in the above, please set them to rights. I have not seen Smith to-day; of course cannot say whether it will suit him to come West this week or not. As for me, my Saturday afternoons and other leisure hours have been for these some weeks past voted to certain hobby-horsical matters which thro' the week I have little time to meddle with; but as you will probably not be throng at home on Friday, I shall be very happy to accompany you down the town. We will call on Smith, and see the race together. Hoping your family are all well,

I remain,

Yours, &c.,

R. TANNAHILL.
Letter to R. A. Smith, Music Teacher.
Paisley.

Paisley, 27th August, 1808.

My Friend Rob\textsuperscript{e},

I hate to write to you on this beggarly paper, but I had no better past me. I should like to know how you are pleased with my Old Tar Song. "The Smuggler's Grave" was buzzing in my ear at the time I wrote it, so I thought proper to adapt it to its measure. The other is the one you were speaking of for Mr. Shaw.

Yours &c.,
R. Tannahill.
Dear sir,

I received yours of the 6th instant, and must thank you for the song you sent me, you will be satisfied, perhaps surprised, to know I had a copy of it before you sent it to me. It appeared first here in the Western Star a Glasgow Paper, said to be “written by a non-commissioned officer of the Renfrew Shire Militia”—well, this is my friend King thought I— the receipt of your letter confirmed it— It must be gratifying to know that it was likewise copied for the St James’ Chronicle in to the Glasgow Courier, and I assure you that I not only think it by far the best song on the subject which has yet appeared, in our Northern Papers but I am happy that all are of the same mind on this part— you wish me to tell you its faults— it has none. Damn Leslie, there is yet no word of these pieces being done— my patience is worn out with him— I wrote him last Tuesday [penentorily] to send me a proof sheet next day, or return the M.S. — I have received no word of either — I’ll not say another word to him about it (you are not personally acquainted with him) he’s a slack, slow, dowless kin’ o’ a chiel. you may write to him on the business if you think proper— yes I think you should write. On receipt of yours I went up to your Mother. She shewed me a letter newly from you likewise. She wished me to write an answer for her, but we agreed it was needless to be making two writings of it— so this will stand for both our replies— They are all in health at present save little [Bauldy] who you know has been long delicate.

You mention some thoughts of coming to see us in winter. We would all be very happy to see you, but I must not deceive you as to what you may expect to find when you come home. You can have Webs of different kinds from any Warehouse, but I assure you, if not better than at present, that although you should get a web already mounted to your hand, it would take you hard work to earn as much [as] get you a hearty Saterday night’s Gill, after clearing the bare article of Victuals. Wages for all kinds work are extremely low save Imitation Harnesses which take about ten Guineas to put up— As a specimen,— for a 12
Lappet 7½ or 8d and for the 8½ Gauze Spotts 8d now every kind of work is equally low with the above, and 'tis to be feared will remain so all winter– all that I can say for your encouragement is that should you come – you shall not want a frequent toothfu’ if I should hang up my honour for it in Kind Lucky W’s. I am much obliged to you for your free criticisms on my last song, but must assure you that I have never seen a line of Bloomfield’s Highland Drover. I was sensible of the two first lines of the last verses being similar to dark lours the night but I really think they are as much mine as Ossian’s, McPherson’s or any body’s but if you think they will be found fault with I shall enclose them with inverted commas, you mention’d “scath’d oak” as being nothing new– you are right but, because one writer may have said “Whistling Wind” “Dreary Night” “Gloomy Winter” or so on, is that enough to prevent others ever after using the same epiphets? no. if one was thus bracketed ’twould be impossible to write any thing at all– but by this time you are convinced and I will drop it.

Poor Tam

Air–The smuggler’s grave

‘Mongst life’s many cares there are none so provoking
As when a poor fellow disabled and old
Must crouch to the worthless and stand the crude mocking–
Of those who have nought they can boast but their gold
Poor Tam once so high on the list of deserving
By Captain and crew none so dearly was priz’d
At home now laid up, worn with many years serving
Poor Tam takes his sup, and poor Tam is despis’d

Yet, care thrown a-lee, see old Tam in his glory
Plac’d snug with a shipmate whose life he once sav’d
Recounting the feats of som bold naval story
The battles they fought and the storms they have brav’d
In his country’s defence he has dared every danger
His valorous deeds he might boast undisguis’d
Yet cold-hearted Prudence [holds] Tam as a stranger
For Tam loves his sup and poor Tam is despis’d

Myself too am old, rather rusted for duty
Yet still I’d prefer the wide ocean to roam
I’d join some corsair and live upon booty
Before I’d be jibed by these sucklings at home
Poor Tam, fare thee well! for, by heaven ’tis provoking
To think a poor seaman when crippled and old
Must crouch to the worthless, and stand the rude mocking
Of those who have nought they can boast but their gold.
This is the first of the kind ever I tried let me know if 'tis worth any thing above a farthing ballad—Dont neglect to write your Mother and me very soon, James Scadlock sends you his compliments—

All success to the Spanish Patriots

I am yours &

Rob Tannahill.
Letter to John MacFarlane,
Neilston.

Paisley, September 3rd, 1808.

My Dear Sir,

According to promise, I herewith send you a copy of the song* you wished. You must not give it away to anybody, as 'tis useless without the music. I expect to receive a few of the sheets soon, and will do myself the pleasure of forwarding you one or two of them as soon as they come to hand. Give me a call first time you are in town. I mean only if it suit your convenience. I remain,

Yours truly,
ROBT. TANNAHILL.
Dear James,

Notwithstanding trade being so very flat with us improvement is going rapidly in this place. I am just now returned from a walk to the Canal Aquaduct which they are carrying over the Cart betwixt Black-ha’ House and Auchentorly, they have already got well on with it and ’twill be finished before Winter. There is likewise an elegant new Coffee-Room with several other fine buildings erecting at the cross. Our principal streets are mostly now furnished with neat pathways and upon the whole I now think our [last] Police Act may be of considerable Utility. The Canal is going forward very briskly, it will likely be open for conveyance betwixt Johnston and Glasgow in the coarse of two years. I told you in my last how trade at present stands with us I again assure that my account was not exaggerated— Your Mother was here ‘tother day bidding me tell you that she was much concerned on account of your thinking to come home and matters so unfavorable— however, since fate denies us seeing one another— I hope you will write me frequently and above all things remember your Mother— I cannot express how happy she is on hearing from you. the last song I sent you was incomplete I have considerably altered it. I wish you not to give away any copies of these things as I have sometimes sent you my first rough sketches, you are sensible how a much a piece may be improved by lying past some time— Have you ever tried any songs to Irish Airs? Some of which I think very beautiful. I would be truly obliged if you’ll try to procure two or three of the best Irish Airs among your musical acquaintances, I mean those that you may judge fitting for songs, and not much known— D Polson might write them for you —of these things in your next— I think I have heard some beautiful, slow Irish Airs play’d at Roll-Call but dont know them by name— I notice the Russian Soldier printed into a song book which I […] it has been copied from the Nightingale, […] 

I have strung up a long jingle of verses to one of Paddy’s lilts but I much doubt if they be anything superior to those we generally find in farthing Ballads, tell me what you think of them
Pat Mulligan
Air—Sir John Scott’s favorite

1.
Dear Judy when we first got married
Our fortune was indeed but small
For save the light hearts that we carried
Our riches were nothing at all
I sung while I reared up the cabin
Ye pow’rs give me [labour] and health
And a truce to all sighing and sobbing
For love is Pat Mulligan’s wealth

2
Thro’ summer, and winter so dreary
I Cheerily toiled at the farm
Nor ever once dream’d growing weary
For love gave my labour its charm
And now, tho’ ‘tis weak to be vaunty
Yet here let us gratefully own
We live amidst pleasure and plenty
As happy’s the king on the throne

3
We’ve Murdoch, and Patrick and Connor
As fine little lads as you’ll see
And Kitty, sweet girl on my honour!
She’s just the dear picture of thee
Tho’ some folks may still under-rate us
Ah! why should we mind them a fig
We’ve a large swinging field of potatoes
To fatten ourselves and the pig

4
Dear Judy I’ve taken a thinking
The children their letters must learn
We’ll send for old Father O’Jenkin
To teach them three months in the barn
For learning’s the way to promotion
As culture brings brings fruit from the sod
And books give a fellow a notion
How matters are doing abroad

Confound it I have paddy-like begun my song where I ought
to heve ended it—
{Tannahill started writing this page at the top of a new
sheet of paper rather than on the reverse of the first
page.}
5th
Tho’ father neglected my reading
Kind saul, sire his spirit’s in rest
For the very first part of his breeding
Was still to relieve the distrest
And late when the trav’ler benighted
Besought hospitality’s claim
We lodged him till morning delighted
Because ’twas a lesson t them

6th
The man who wont feel for another
Is just like a colt on the moor
He lives without knowing a brother
To frighten bad luck from his door
But he that’s kind-hearted and steady
Tho’ wintry misfortune should come
Will still find some friend who is ready
To scare the old witch from his home

7th
Success to old Ireland forever!
’Tis just the dear land to my mind
Her lads are warm-hearted and clever
Her girls are all handsome and kind
And he who the name would bespatter
By wishing the French safely o’er
May the Devil blow him over the water
And make him cook frogs for the core

I have not seen our good friend Borland this long time I suspect the same course keeps us both at home—however I must be in Glasgow soon mainly on purpose to have two hours with him— I will make a point of calling on Leslie first time I am in— I forgot to tell you that it is much in agitation with the Weavers in Scotland at present to join with those in the south in Petitioning Government for an Act to regulate (at least in some degree) their wages to the price of provisions— but fear much it wont do— Our figured work varies so much— and it is so often changed that it would be very difficult to specify exactly wht should be for all the different kinds.

I remain yours
{Signature missing due to damage}

write soon)
My dear Friend,

I, in due time, received your very obliging letter of date 22$^\text{d}$ June, and must again cry your mercy for nor acknowledging it sooner. The Airs you favoured me with are quite such as I wanted they were all new to me except Cothnelan Triel which I had past me under the name of Kitty Tyrrel, being busied with other matters I have not yet attempted songs to any of them, save the above, which I am happy to say has obtained the promise of a place in the work formerly mentioned, but as these things are best lying past till published in form, I have not yet given away one copy of any I have written for it, which indeed are only other two. You mention the collection of [O’Ferral], and another with the compositions of Carolan &c. I believe I might find them on inquiry but I would rather pick up any wild straggler such as Dermot, which, from their not being so common have a suppeerior chance of being noticed. You will doubly oblige me by endeavouring to procure one or two more of the above description for me. I had not the pleasure of being in company with your friend Mr Knox, but I met old Stanfield the day after receiving your last, on presenting your compliments to him he exclain’ed “Och! God bless us! how is he? I am extremely happy to hear from him”--

We had only one bottle together as he had to attend Rehearsals.

Mr Kemble seems not to set much store by Paisley encouragement as the Theatre here is already gutted. Beaumont’s management has gained him the completest good-will of all the Glasgow people— before his engagement commenced they were much prejudiced against him— all the cry was “Rock— Rock; nobody like Rock”— and, by the by, the latter Gentleman was believed by some folks to do all in his power to hurt him. however, tho’ Mr B. pleases them so well, he is generally allow’d by his last Season to be benefited something in the Irish style.

I would have written you a song or something that way but as Mr Smith has two new ones in the engravers hands I rather wish you to see them accompanied with the Music—Receive one composed by Mr Ross. he made a compliment of the Copy Right which I have disposed of to your friend Mr Hamilton. I send you a little collection selected by Mr
Smith for his classes— you will notice one or two of mine among them.

- The Kilbarchan Society are going to publish Habbie Simpson’s Elegy in a handsome style— they have fallen in with a very old M.S. copy of it among the papers of the Beltrees family— I will try to send when printed— Receive a copy of Hardyknute— I send it because I reckon the most complete of any I have seen— I send you likewise a Piece by Thomas Cumming— merely to show what he has been doing here—

Our friend Mr Smith I believe is very throng in the Teaching line— I have not seen him these past ten days— Mr Stuart is likewise well, I see him frequently. If you know any of the Irish Airs “Peggy O’leven” or “The Does of Loch Gaul”, please send them with any others that you may remember—

Give my regards to C. Marshall, tell him his friends are all something in their usual way— I have not another of Smith’s selection else I would send him one.— Remember me to J. King, by a slap on the left shoulder and three hearty shakes of the right hand which kindness you [will] please set down on my account— I have no good news to tell you— No— nor very bad ones neither —but, concerning dear Tobacco, dear Whisky, dear candles, dear every thing, the obliging bearer of this will inform you— Do let me hear from you very soon,— altho’ you, like myself, may not have very much to write about, I am very anxious to have a few Irish airs to try my hand upon— So wishing happiness in “thy out-goings and thy in-comings.”

I remain,

    Dear James,

    yours affectionately

    R. Tannahill

P.S. I have just now seen Mr Smith and he says he will enclose a few lines with some music for you— I would have written to Mr Buchanan but must defer it at present. Present my good wishes to him along with a copy of Levern Side and one these little song books.
Then, fareweel, rosy man!
And fareweel life’s pleasure sweet!
For lonely I’ll gang to the owl-haunted glen,
Where the Bogles o’ midnight meet.

Song by J King
Air---”The Rosy Brier”

The morning trembles o’er the deep,
The mountain’s cloudy head is gray,
And bright the dewy gems o’ morn
Appear upon the hazle spray;
The lintwhite cheers the whinny knowe,
The mavis song adorns the grove,
And sweet the larrock’s carrols rise—
The soul-delighting songs of love.

But weary, weary are my nights,
And fu’ o’ sorrows are my days,
Wi waefu’ heart I view the morn,
And joyfu see the evenings rays:
Nae sang can soothe a wretch forlorn,
Nor wintry blast call forth the rose,
Then, to departed peace I’ll sing
A requiem while the tempest blows

Friend Robert,
According to promise I have embraced my
first leizure hour to transcribe you the preceding
articles.

Yours
Robt. Tannahill.
Letter to James Barr,  
Kilbarchan.  

Paisley, 3rd Dec., 1808.  

Dear James,  

I received yours of the 1st current, and am obliged to you for your attention, but the sea term you mention was used in the song when first written. I suppose you recollected it from having heard the song sung by Mr. Stuart. With regard to the phrase o'erhauling instead of "recounting," on second thought, I reckon the latter in the present instance preferable to the first—although often used by our tars, is a very open, softly accented word, and I think the other better suited to the abrupt, bold strain of the music, besides, I am not fond of altering one word of my own or that of any other person without being convinced that the alteration would be an amendment. Have you ever seen Mollison's essay of "Melody the Soul of Music." I think it a very fine piece. The justice of one of his observations struck me. He says he never heard "The Cameronian Rant" played without it reminding him of two women scolding. I have just now strung up verses on his plan to it. Whatever may be their faults, I am certain you must approve of them for their extreme delicacy. Don't show it save to a friend or two. With regard to those airs we were speaking of, but [...].  

I remain,  

Yours, &c.,  

R. TANNAHILL.  

N.B.—The original of this was given to David Anderson, damask manufacturer, Glasgow, who made the woven linen shirt by loom, complete, with the National Arms on the bosom front, and presented it to George IV. of Britain, &c. It is deposited in the British Museum.  

JAS. BARR.
Letter to James Clark,
Argyle shire Militia Band,
Aberdeen.

Paisley 4th April, 1809.

My Dear Sir,

I have not yet been able to procure that song of Craig's which I promised to send you— I have called on him repeatedly but he was always from home; you may depend on having it as soon as I can get hold of it— When you can get leisure to write me the air of the Tinker Man I expect you to send it: Please give me the first verse and chorus along with it, as they will help me to its crank measure— The volumes you left with me are a real treasure. I cannot say when I will be over with them but shall take proper care of them till I send them to your friend Arch². The enclosed bill will inform you respecting M² Smith's concert. I have no doubt of his having a full audience— Do you recollect a song in Johnson's, begining "O merry hae I been teething a heckle." tis to a highland air. I forget the name, but I have seen it elsewhere called "The auld wife o the glen." On the other page I will give you a few verses newly strung up to it— perhaps to little purpose— You shall again soon hear from me— When you write (on receipt of this) say whether you have seen M² Ross. I would have been happy to have had a few lines from him concerning that last song of ours which Hamilton rubbished. Give my best wishes and warm respects to Charles Marshall and Thomas Buchanan.

I am,

Yours (you know the rest),
ROBT. TANNAHIIL.

P.S.—Mr. Stuart is well.
Rab Roryson’s Bonnet

Tune --- “The auld Wife o’ the glen”

Ye’ll a’ hae heard tell o’ Rab Roryson’s bonnet,
Ye’ll a’ hae heard tell o’ Rab Roryson’s bonnet,
Twas no for itsel’, twas the head that was in it,
Gart a’ bodies talk o’ Rab Roryson’s bonnet.

This bonnet, that theekit his wonderfu head,
Was his shelter in winter, in summer his shade,
And at kirk or at market, or bridal I ween,
A braw gawcier bonnet there never was seen.

Wi’ a round rosy tap like a meikle blackboyd,
It was slouched just a kening on either han’ side,
Some maintain’d it was black, some maintain’d it was blue
It was something o’ baith as a body may trew.

But in sooth I assure you, for aught that I saw,
Still his bonnet had naething uncommon ava,
The hail Parish tauk’d o’ Rab Roryson’s bonnet,
Twas a’ for the marvellous head that was in it.

That heid –let it rest– it is now in the mools,
Tho in life a’ the warl, beside it were fools
Yet o’ what kind o’ wisdom his head was possesst,
Nane e’er kent but himsel, sae there’s nane that will miss it.
Excerpt from letter to James King,

Paisley, 9th May, 1809.

"The above is written on a real occurrence, which fell under my observation; but I doubt the subject is not very well suited for a song; therefore I am the more anxious to have your mind on it, not in that loose, vague way which goes for little or nothing [. . . .]
I have shewn you a pattern in my last."

{The song is "An war ye at Duntocher Burn,"}
Letter to James King, Soldier
Captn Smith’s Compy
Renfrew Shire Militia
Portsmouth, Eastbourne Barracks

Paisley 4th June 1809

I received yours of the 9th of May, and have likewise seen a letter which your Mother had from you on Friday [se’ennight]— We had it current here that your Regt was on its way for Scotland— your letter showed it to be without foundation— The Argyle Shire, now in Aberdeen, have got the route for Glasgow— There is I believe a hundred Paisley lads in it— I am concerned that you are poorly in your health— and so uneasy in your mind.— I sympathise with you but can administer little consolation— I see no end of this war system— however, this much to balance your present situation— The people in Paisley have been so hard-[forc’d] for some years past, that you would not, even here, find all the happiness that you perhaps imagine— I was going to give you a very gloomy picture— but complaint is unpleasant— and we’ll lay it aside— I hope your Ode will be put to better use than being used for match-paper— I think you might easily polish it a little— Owen’s Return is well written— yet I think you might have given it a more pleasing cast by making him come home “before his locks were gray”— besides I am not sure of its being proper to give him a harp at all— it is such an unwieldy instrument that mind cannot easily suppose a soldier to be carrying one of them about with him— I have never before heard of the man McKerney whom you mention— and I cannot guess whom you mean by the [Duntreck] Bard— be more explicit in your next— Craig’s I have not seen, B [R] tells me they are low stuff. I must entreat you to burn John M’s last will— I had no thoughts of it being in existence— I was surpris’d lately on seeing a man with a copy of it which he lent me to copy— he did not know of its being mine— I have burned it— besides its being childishly low— John Murray is an industrious, peaceable old man and is no subject for ridicule— I wish you to send me another copy of Laird R-n’s Elegy— I lent the one to Borland long since and have never had it back— I have some hopes of getting it printed in this town— which I will endeavour to do should you wish it.— I hear that your brother has sail’d— I have written two or three letters for your Mother to him, and before closing this I will go up to her as she wishes me to write one to
This may do both— Tell me how you like the following song

--- Scottish Song
Tune -- Gilly Calum

I’ll hie to the shealing hill,  
And bide among the braes, Calum,  
Ere I gang to Crochan Mill,  
I’ll live on hips and slaes, Calum;  
Wealthy pride can never hide  
Your measly, runkl’d shins, Calum  
Lyart pow, as white’s the tow,  
And beard as rough’s the whins Calum!

Wily woman aft deceives  
Sae ye’ll think, I ween, Calum,  
Trees may keep their wither’d leaves  
Till once they get the green, Calum;  
Blythe young Donald’s won my heart,  
Has my willing vow, Calum,  
Now, for a’ your couthy art  
I winna gang wi you Calum.

You [will] please me, and do as I wish in burning these things I send you— some of them I have turn’d over to oblivion, others have undergone alterations which they needed— more-over, I write to you, I believe often incorrectly, whatever comes upermost— We have the Local Militia belonging to [this] part of the country on permanent duty here at present they keep the town in a bit of a stirr. Do you ever hear anything of J Robinson now? Is James Allan volunteer’d? Inform me if you think the Reg[3] has any chance of coming to the North this summer—

5th June

I called at your Mother’s last night— your sister Jean was there, with her tow children— Jenny and her had just returned from a walk along with two cousins of yours one of them a sister of Hugh Black’s, who had come to see them, the other a young lad from the country who is in town with the Local— Your Mother cork’d the bottle and gave us a glass round— Your health and Tom’s was not forgoten— Hugh Black has been in Dublin with his family for some time past— he had been acting as agent for a Glasgow Warehouse— I believe matters all went wrong with him— yon sweetly smiling little Paddy of his turn’d out to be a [real] baggage, and was well known about this end of the town as such (now you must consign this to the flames, yet I know it to be true)— his other brother is keeping a Public-house (I think your mother said) in Kirkintiloch—

--- We had a great deal of snow here last week— the like has not happen’d in memory of the oldest person living,
has done much damage— The bushes were all so full of foliage that the weight of the snow crush'd every thing, at all bendable, to the ground, and a number of heavy branches have been split from the trees by it— I have just heard that your Reg was on its way for Portsmouth— write on receipt of this and let me know how affairs are going with you—

I remain Yours Sincerely

R. Tannahill
Letter to Robert Allan
Kilbarchan

Paisley 2d July 1809

Dear Friend

I embrace a leisure half hour to compliment you on the poem with which you have honoured me. Your friend Alex and me have examined it with all the critic-skills we are masters of. Several of the stanzas we allow to be very excellent. The 5th on second reading pleases me compleatly. In the 6th I would rather have “he cracked his joke, and with sic glee sent round the yill, nae hour seem’d long &.” The verse beginning with “O death, thou bane and public pest,” is worthy of any poet that ever existed. Instead of “Ye P—ly scribblers,” [you] must have “Ye Bards of Paisley,” (Paisley unblanked.) Your address to old [Charon] is ingeniously conceived and most happily expressed. The concluding stanza is equal to your very best and winds up the poem in a masterly style.

One little alteration I think you might make with advantage on the verses ending “R. T——ll” would not “Dear T——”, “Kind T——” or some such epiphets be better; I acknowledge this to be selfish, but depend on your goodness for excusing it—

I would disguise my feelings were I not to tell you frankly that I am well pleased with the whole of your poem, and perhaps, when [we] are insensible as the sod which shall one day cover us, its merits will awaken a sigh of sympathy for twa gude fellows, gone forever. We shall talk it over more fully first time I see you— You must not think of putting it into print till the “loss deplored” be realized— more of this again— Please compliment my friend Lyle with one of the enclosed songs.

I remain yours most sincerely

Robt Tannahill
Source – Robert Tannahill, Letter to George Thomson, 3rd July, 1809, (Glasgow University Library, Special Collections, MS Robertson, 1/26).

Letter to George Thomson
Trustees Office
Exchange
Edinburgh

1. **Paddy O’Rafferty**  
   *Irish*

---

{music}

Song—Peggy O’Rafferty

O could I fly like the green-coated fairy,  
I’d skip o’er the ocean to dear Tipperary,  
Where all the young fellows are blithsome and merry,  
    While here I lament my sweet Peggy O’Rafferty;  
How could I bear in my bosom to leave her—  
In absence I think her more lovely than ever—  
With thoughts of her beauty I’m all in a fever  
    Since others may woo my sweet Peggy O’Rafferty.

Scotland thy lassies are modest and bonny,  
But here ev’ry Jenny has got her own Johnny,  
And tho’ I might call them my jewel and my honey,  
    My heart is at home with sweet Peggy O’Rafferty;  
Wistful, I think on my dear native mountains,  
Their green shady glens and thier crystalline fountains,  
And ceaseless I heave the deep sigh of repentance,  
    That ever I left my sweet Peggy O’rafferty.

Fortune, ‘twas thine all the light foolish notion  
That led me to rave o’er the wide rolling ocean,  
But what now to me all thy hopes of promotion,  
    Since I am so far from sweet Peggy O’Rafferty;  
Grant me as many thirteens as will carry me  
Down through the country and over the Ferry,  
I’ll hie me straight home into sweet Tipperary  
    And never more leave my sweet Peggy O’Rafferty.
2. The lass that wears green

Song— One night in my youth (written for the above Air.

One night in my youth as I rove with my merry pipe,
List’ning the echoes that rang to the tune,
I met Kitty More with her two lips so cherry ripe,
Phelim, says she, give us Ellen Aroon;
Dear Kitty, says I, thou’rt so charmingly free!
Now if thou wilt deign thy sweet voice to measure,
Twill make all the echoes run giddy with pleasure,
For none in fair Erin can sing it like thee.

My Chanter I plied, with my heart beating gaily,
I pip’d up the strain, while so sweetly she sung;
The soft melting melody fill’d all the valley,
The green woods around us in harmony rang;
Methought that she verily charmed up the moon!
And now, as I wander in village or city,
When good people ask me [for] some favourite ditty,
I cheer my old heart with sweet Ellen Aroon.

3. Gamby Ora

{music}

Song— Ye Golden Stars
written for the above air

Ye golden stars that rule the night,
And hail my glad return,
Ye never shone so sweetly bright,
Since gay St. Patrick’s morning.
My life a burthen on my mind,
Despair sat brooding o’er me,
Now all my cares are far behind,
And joy is full before me;
Chor - Gamby ora, gamby ora,
How my heart approves me!
Gamby ora, gamby ora,
Kathleen owns she loves me!

Were all the flow’ry pastures mine,
That deck fair Limerick county,
That wealth dear Kathleen should be thine,
And all should share our bounty;
But Fortune’s gifts I value not,
Nor granduer’s highest station,
I would not change my happy lot
For all the Irish nation.

Gamby ora, gamby ora,
How my heart approves me!
Gamby ora, gamby ora,
Kathleen owns she loves me!

4. There was a gentle Lady Irish Sett

{music}

back at the loom

I think this is a very pleasant sprightly Air, but it has such a long rambling unmeasured chorus that it will be hard to fit the middle part with anything like a rhyme. In Ireland it is universally sung to their set of that blackguard song

“There was a gentle Lady
And she lov’d a tinker man.”

Paisley 3rd July 1809

My Dear Sir,

I have gleaned the three preceding Airs for you. You may depend on their being genuine Hibernians— I had them taken down from the voice. . The songs usually sung to them are as low, bawdy stuff as can be. I am firmly of the opinion that the very popular Air of Paddy O’Rafferty is worthy of being adopted into the singing class providing a good song can be found for it. I shall be glad to know your mind of it and how my verses please you. “The lass that wears green,” is surley a fine little Air my song to it and the one following are just warm from the Parnassian mint; I cannot as yet guess how they stand. With regard to the latter, “Gamby Ora” I take to be a form of self-congratulation synonymous with the Scottish, Leez me! and Weels me! as such I retained it in the chorus; however, in this I may be wrong. Cathleen (not Kathlin) is the proper orthography of the name. You have obliged me much by your observations on the Irish Farmer, from them I am confident the song is bettered. In the 1st verse let vigour stand for “labour”. I am not pleased with vaunty in the 2nd yet I cannot mend it. i believe the word to be
common with the low Irish as well as in Scotland, besides, 'tis only a variation of the English verb, to vaunt. To fatten ourselves is coarsely thought indeed, put "With good Driminduath and the pig" in its place. Driminduath is a general name in Ireland for the cow. The 5th and 6th Stanzas must be compressed into one. I thank you doubly for noticing this, please draw your pen thro' the supperfluous lines. The Air designed for it is unquestioningly Irish, and believe some publisher on this side of the water has given it the name of Sir John Scott's Favourite. you will find it (un-named) along with that of "Molly my Dear" in one of my former letters; I wish to try them with the words and tell me how you think they will suit. I see a Proposal a-float for publishing a Collection of Irish Airs and Jigs with accompaniments by Murphy, a performer on the pipes at Eglintone Castle; you will likely have seen it. Do you know Emhuss O'knock, or, Ned of the Hills, a famous Irishman— I have a copy of it by me, it is very beautiful, but its irregularity will cramp any body who may attempt verses to it— Should you want it I will send it when you chance to write again. As far as I have heard in my narrow circle the public are waiting anxiously for the Publication of your Welch Volume, I believe no doubts are entertained of its being the first of the kind ever presented to the public. I heard the other night that our countrymen Campbell and Scott were writing songs for your Welch and Irish works; I should be happy to know but perhaps the enquiry would be improper, if so, look over it.

I remain

Dr Sir

Yours most faithfully

Robt Tannahill.

P.S. Please address Queenstreet Paisley.

[Notes by Thomson: at foot of the first page— “This appears to me the only passable song of the three in this letter— The tunes seem pretty good, particularly the one mark’d No 4 next page.” And on the back of the letter— “3rd July 1809— Mr Tannahill Paisley— 4 Irish tunes within and songs to them. Ans’d that the one beginning “One night in my youth as rov’d &c seem’d to be the best, & the only one I would like to adopt.”]
Dear Friend,

I hope you have been well since I heard from you. You will herewith receive Mr. M'Donald's works, and I am much obliged to you for the loan of them. The ode on Scotch Music is the only piece of his I had seen before. The odes by Mat. Bramble, Esq., please me much. Though not so richly witty as the celebrated Peter's, they are the first after him I have seen. His "Velina" has many fine passages, but, as a whole, it perhaps is too laboured. The story, too, is the child of fancy. I should be happy to know more of the author. From the volume, I guess that he is under the sod. I have not one particle of news. The enclosed song is newly published. It has a very beautiful air, which, as far as I know, has never before had verses to it. I have no other new things past me at present, but there's another song of mine in the publisher's hands, which I hope to have the pleasure of sending to you soon.

Yours most truly,

R. TANNAHILL.

P.S.—Mr. Smith's every hour is occupied in teaching; so much the better. Mr. Stewart has been poorly in his health, and off work for a fortnight past; so much the worse. Your humble servant is boxing away something in the old way, with scarce an afternoon to spare. So I cannot say when we will have the pleasure of seeing you in your good town, but I trust we will have a night of it some time. Thomas Auld tried all Edinburgh some time since for the copy of Burns' works which you wanted, but he could not find one. These Irish editions are contraband on this side of the water. Forgive haste. This business looking scrawl does not please me. I will maybe tire you with a letter some day as long and as dull as an Anti-r's best burial blessing.
Letter to James King,
Soldier, Capt. Smith's Company,
Renfrewshire Militia,
Portsmouth

— Sunday 16th July 1809

My Dear Friend

When I received yours with the melancholy tidings of poor Robinson’s death words cannot communicate how I felt on the occasion. In my first moments of care I could not help telling it to two or three of his acquaintances whom I knew were equally his wellwishers with myself – I began to suspect my imprudency, well knowing how anything new flies in the public, and forbore mentioning it further to anyone – however within two days it had reach’d his poor father’s ears as he called on me, hearing that his son was dead. I saw he had not heard the story in full, and did not let him know anything more than that from your letter there was no doubt of its being true – next day a cousin of his called on me who had heard all I knew – seeing this was the case I thought proper to call on his father and show him your letter which at once let him know the extent of my information – it was a most disagreeable task – but as I thought it might be told him with exaggeration I reckoned it right (partly on my own account) to act as I did – He thanked me kindly for doing so, wishing me not to spread it any way, and said he would write immediately to his Captain to know the circumstances – from which time I have heard no more about it.

This latter end ought to be a warning to us all not to indulge in despondency when any thing ails us – I am sure from your situation you have had your share of crosses and bitter vexations – but I am equally sure that you have often found happiness near when you imagined her to be far distant. how happy is it for man that he cannot for any long period forbear excessive grief – had some circumstance intervened with poor R to divert his attention but for one hour at the time he committed the rash deed I believe he had still been in life – Do let me know how he made off with himself – whether by poison, powder, hemp, or steel – and you may depend none here shall know from me of it – I believe the poetical mind to be more subject to these awful depressions than any other – the justice of a line of Burns has often occurred to me “They soar in heaven or turn in vaulted hell.”
Tuesday 18th July —

I have been twice in Glasgow within a fortnight past but did not see Borland— he is a Sergeant of the Local Militia, and was off both times on their business— I had an hours conversation with The Author of The Poor Man’s Sabbath — he is a sterling good fellow — poor Cherry got a dreadful beating one night about two months since — 'tis supposed to have been done by some persons who owed him a grudge for some of his satirical pieces —

Apropos to the case of our departed friend— Mr McDowal, late of Walkinshaw drowned himself in Lochwinnoch Loch on last Sunday— He had purchased the estate of Castle-Semple, and has been there residing for some time past. Happiness, you must be sensible, is not the exclusive attendant on any rank or condition — Keep your mind as easy as possible under your servitude — some philosopher observes, “Chain my body as they will they cannot hurt my soul.” We have had sad commotion in the town these some days past. Our County Militia is divided into three Battalions Col. Muir commands one. that of the Greenock district, by Southbar, but McKerrel is the Hero of our Paisley lads— The latter have been on duty here these fourteen days, and have been so severely disciplined that [when] last Thursday night on dismissal they demanded some money which they said was due to them the officers drew their Swords— a number of men fix’d bayonets, and a fine caper took place— a Captain Hart had his sword broken Willy clove one of the mens hats with his sword— they succeeded in sending a number of men to the guard-house— all was quiet until Sunday night when the light Comp’y after parade— marched in order to the guard— demanded the prisoners with fix’d bayonets— the officers pacified them and got them dismissed by assuring them that the men would be released on Monday— instead of which the Colonel went to Glasgow— and on this morning 700 of the Stirling Militia has arrived— We dont know what will turn out of it but the public thinks Willy will not dare flogg any of them—

They have been scandalously ill used— One Tassie from your Reg² is their sergeant Major —a wickeder, little soul’d wretch is not out of the pit— McKerrel’s best heroics are nothing to him— Dont spread these matters as from me, you will soon hear how it goes from letters to others in the Reg² --

Your Mother and Relations are all in their usual way— She has got the letter you wrote her from Portsmouth— If you can procure me another good Irish Air or two I shall esteem it a particular kindness— at any rate let me hear soon from you —

Yours &

Robert Tannahill
you never told me how the song of
"Loudon’s bonnie woods an’ braes" pleases you

Friday Morning – 21st Three of our lads have been punished
on this morning– The first got 300– the 2d 100– and the 3d
25 40– The Stirlings and part of the 71st from Glasgow
guarded the business. The town was in a riot all day. The
officers were stoned home by the mob– McKerrel in going
down the street yesterday had his coach windows broken –
My letter is too soon full. – Sunday –
N.B. Write Immediately.
Letter to James King Soldier
Capt² Smith’s Comp²
Renfrew Shire Militia
Portsmouth

Paisley Sept¹ 10th 1809

Dear King,

I received yours of 27th July and was very happy to hear of the re-establishment of your health. You will, I am sure, be likewise glad to know that I am well, indeed I have been a good deal stouter and haler these some months past than I had been for years. I met your sister Jenny at the Cross the other night and she told me your mother was rather poorly at the time. I have been much engaged these two or three weeks past with some [hobby] business of my own, else I would have called up— Borland was out at our Fair three weeks since, I never saw him look better— his chaft blades are pretty full, and he has a brand new suit of new cloaths on his saul-case. he said he would write you immediately— he was up at your mother’s— Perhaps, as you notice, the Laird might use your mother ill were his Elegy printed here; as for amending him, altho’ all the satirical tribe from Pindar to Willy Taylor, were combined to better him, he would stand to his bottle, invulnerable as the Craig o’ Ailsa amidst the dashing waves of our stormy firth. I thought of getting it into the Paisley Repository, a trifling publication printed only occassionally, the Editor is rather contracted in his views and I am not sure if he would have taken it in— however, if you wish I shall use all my influence to have it done— I am sure of itself it is worth some half-dozen of his numbers put together— oblige me with another copy at any rate—

It must be gratifying for you to know that I have seen your “Battle of [Talavera]” It appeared in the Sentinel, a new Glasgow newspaper, last Friday, and I read it in the Glasgow Courier in our club last night. I suppose ‘tis copied from a London newspaper— I think you are right to put your name in full to it or any other thing you may send which pleases yourself— With those who have heard of you it makes them read it with more attention, and I believe it given great satisfaction— I think it equal to anything I have seen of yours The last verse ends most happily——

The song in your last likewise in my mind has considerable merit— yet I think you might easily amend it. Instead of “Conversing with the heroes gone, and beholding in
dreadful array on fields where their valour has shone.” I would prefer the natural and beautiful imagery of a fine summer gloamin— Such as you have in the 3\textsuperscript{rd} verse. With regard to the satirical piece I once talked of publishing along with your Elegy, I now think it useless and have committed it to the flames— We are apt to over-rate the merits of a piece when newly done —and in short— we cannot say how they will stand till they are tried by the ordeal of public [animadversion]— Some of my songs which I esteem’d the best before being printed I find are entirely overlooked. While others which had been held in hesitating consideration over the fire are sung frequently— a fine air does a great deal for a song— and is often the vehicle for very silly words— Perhaps the highest pleasure I ever deriv’d from these things has been in hearing as I walk’d down the pavement at night, a girl within doors ranting away at some of them. How do you think I would come on with a small volume of songs printed with music, I mean the melodies only? I have some very distant thoughts of it, but the expense would be a barr in the way which I cannot see through— If was to succeed in getting subscribers enough to defray it, I think I might find surety for paper and printing —but failing in that, after attempting it, would sink me in irrecoverable ruin— rather as risk it, my thoughts are very faint of ever trying to put it into execution— What do you think of it?— Give me your serious opinion whether I ought to preserve the following song— It has long lain past and have hesitated after whether I should keep it or not— I sometimes think of sending these doubtful things to some magazine (anonymously), to have them tried— however, admittance into these periodical publications is not a certain rule to judge by— that consideration and indolence together have hitherto prevented me from writing any of them.

Ellen More -- a Ballad

The sun had sunk in Jura’s waves
The dark blue mountains tow’r’d between
Mild evening’s dew refresh’d the leaves
The moon unclouded rose serene
When Ellen wander’d forth unseen
All lone her sorrows to deplore
False was her lover, false her friend,
And false was hope to Ellen More.

Young Henry was fair Ellen’s love
Young Emma to her heart was dear
No weal nor woe did Ellen prove
But Emma ever seem’d to share
Yet envious still she spread the wile
That sullied Ellen’s virtues o’er
Her faithless Henry spurn’d the while
   His fair, his faithful Ellen More.

She wander’d down Loch-mary side
   Where oft at ev’ning hour she stole
To meet her love with secret pride
   Now deepest anguish wrung her soul
O’ercome with grief she sought the steep
   Where Yarrow pours in sullen roar—
Ye gentle maids, well may ye weep
   To hear the fate of Ellen More

The sun may shine on Yarrow braes
   And woo the mountain flow’rs to bloom
But never, never can his rays
   Awake the flow’r in yonder tomb
There oft young Henry strays forlorn
   When moonlight gilds the Abbey tow’r
There oft from eve ’till breezy morn
   He weeps his faithful Ellen More.

I have seen latley the pieces you mention’d, to William [Wylie]— I am ignorant of [any] of the characters— you would notice the touch on your friend— tis low stuff— not worth minding— write immediately— yours &

   R Tannahill.
Letter to John MacFarlane, Weaver, 
Neilston.

Paisley, 28th October, 1809.

My Dear Sir,

I hope you have been well and happy since I saw you. Although we seldom see one another, I should be truly and deeply mortified to suspect that any neglect of mine had lessened me in your esteem. We sons of labour cannot square everything to our minds and every man has his fault. You wrong me if you think me wanting in respect for the kindness you have ever shewn me, and which I am proud to acknowledge; I am happy in making you any little return which I have in my power. Please accept of the enclosed song as a small mark of my regard, and rest assured that with true esteem,

I remain yours,

R. TANNAHILL.
Dear James.

The receipt of yours of the 2d current gave me much pleasure I was truly concerned at your long silence from fear that you might be lying ill in camp— your situation may be hard, but ‘tis only by comparison we ought to ballance our happiness— In all likelihood your regt will be ordered for Scotland next summer, and altho’ it should not— your situation is enviable compared with those in Spain and Walcheren. I mentioned in my last that I had seen your poem Talavera copied into several Newspapers, it has likewise been inserted into the Scotch Magazine of last month. Your idea that my proposed volume of songs would meet with encouragement gave me such pleasure, I have had it in eye this good while past. My every leisure hour these two or three months has been occupied with it, so much so that I have not could spare as much time as call up to your Mother’s— I shall undoubtedly call on her tonight.

— Well I have my volume, with the music, very near ready for the press, and had spoke to a printer in this town, who was very fond to engage with the job, however, my hopes of accomplishing it are all vanished at once— he went to Glasgow last week to purchase musical types for it— in the foundry they told him they would cost near to £30:— this expense stands a dead barr in the way— I have almost given up every thought of it— An engraver in this town has made me a very indulgent offer, he will purchase plates and paper, and execute the whole at his own expense Without seeking a sixpence till raised from the work when finished— In this I can run no risk —but the expense will be so high, that the necessary price of the book, I believe, would be reckoned by the public exhorbitant— A number of the songs are to fine Airs not much known. and songs are doubly attractive when joined to the airs for which they were expressly written— they might be cheaply printed without the music, but wanting it they would have little chance of ever being sung. I now see that these things must lie over for a time: perhaps forever.

I observed in the Newspapers lately that your Brother’s regt had arrived safely in Rio Jeineria, S. America, on its way for Botanay — they perhaps have gone so far West to
take in some necessary supplies— From your last, poor R—n’s mind must have been in a deplorable state. When any one of a delicate habit and keen sensibility, flies to the bottle to drown disagreeable thought, the oblivious hour is soon past, and every care rises doubly formidable to his tortured recollection— I am afraid this was the case with him, and that he saw nothing but a world of misery before him, with scarcely one ray of happiness in view to induce him to live for it.

I hardly ever in my life began a fuddle volunteerly, but, when I have at any time been led into it (at least to the lengths that I have sometimes been) I never felt so unhappy— so truly miserable in all my life— a social night passed in moderation is life to me— but the bestial roar of inebriation, I never could, nor ever shall be able to bear—

It affords me much pleasure to see that you and our friend Borland are on good terms again— it is nothing wonderful that in half a lifetime of intercourse little drynesses should take place, but we ought to consider the frailties of poor, erring Humanity, and cherish a spirit of forgiveness. Through the wanton malice of a third person, we had some of these little differences to settle at our last meeting— which were found to be base and without foundation— we are both satisfied— and if the friend-like spirit that fabricated them had any design for doing so— it may brood o’er its failure in sullen disappointment.

Trade has been tolerably good here for some time past, but house- rents, and every other article of living has risen exorbitantly— people in Paisley, at this day, I believe work harder than ever and those who go to the Alehouse get rid of their Shillings in a very short time—

Shall reserve my finishing page till I see your mother.

Nov^ the 16th

When I called up on Sunday night your Mother and Jenny were just going out to the evening sermon, She bade me tell you that she has been rather poorly for some time past, and that your other friends are all well. They have not yet got the least notice of Bauldy, it is a strange circumstance— ‘Tis very likely that he is on board some vessel abroad— and as he was but weakly he may have died, and you may never hear more of him. however ‘tis but right to hope the best— Simm, and others of the Recruiting party arrived here Saterday night— I am happy you are exempt from duty by being employed to bring up the General’s orders.
Scottish Song

Air — Alex Donn's Strathspey

The midges dance abooon the burn
  The dews begin to fa'
The pairtricks doun the rushy howm
  Set up theri e'ning ca'
Now sweetly dear the blickbird's sang
  Rings thro' the briery shaw
While fleeting gay the swallows play
  Around the castle wa'

Beneath the golden glaomin sky
  The mavis mends her lay
The redbreast pours her sweetest strains
  To charm the ling'ring day
While weary yeldrins seem to wail
  Their little nestling torn
The merry wren frae den to den
  Gaes jinkin thro' the thorn

The roses fauld their silken leaves
  The foxglove shuts its bell
The honeysuckle and the birk
  Spread fragrance thro' the dell
Let others crowd the giddy court
  Of mirth and revelry
The simple joys that nature yields
  Are dearer far to me.

What think you of this for a gloamin scene— We will all be happy to hear from you soon. Yours &c &c

R Tannahill
Letter To James Clark, Musician
Argyle-Shire Militia Band
In “Ayr, Whom ne’er a town surpasses
For honest men, & bonnie lassies”

Paisley 22nd Nov 1809

Have you never, my dear friend, when in company with those you highly esteemed, complimented yourself on being equally beloved, and acquainted with such glorious fellows? With a certain few, who shall be nameless, [farer] than in the enclosed song, I have at times felt myself stand in my shoes five goodly inches taller (tell it not to Tom) than ever old mother nature would allow me. When settled at my work last week and having time to reflect on the circumstances of our last meeting, I was sorry to think we had passed so many hours with so little enjoyment: let Fair times account for it. I was in company with Wm and his brother last night. have you any word for Clark, says I, I am going to write to him? Yes, says Robin, tell him I would have been very happy to see him, and am sorry that I missed him— tell him likewise Bob, says he, to send me, as he promised, Lord John of Argyle’s Strathspey. William has his kindest to you. I wish much, from a very particular reason, to have the Air of Peggy O’leven you must know it, I have frequently heard it played as a regimental Retreat, you may perhaps not know it by name— I will try to prick you the outlines of it, and you’ll oblige me much by sending a correct set as soon as possible.

Peggy O’leven— is this the name you give it?

[music]

Now dont be laughing at my musicals— you may perhaps guess the air I mean from the above.

There is nothing worth much notice going here at present— I hear Mr Cumming is going to have a concert on Friday Se’enight. he wanted Will to sing a couple of solos, I understand that he would not comply, but promised to take a part in any Gleeis, providing, Mr Gale was to be out. Did you see our good friend Barr on your way to Ayr? I should be very happy to hear of his being situated to his liking, tell me how he is getting on. I have not yet shown the following rant to any body, inform me whether you think it will stand— ’tis but two days old, and I cannot yet judge of it with any degree of precision. You are
capable to judge of all the verses save the 2d – tell me, shall I burn them or shew them to our friends — I shall be grievously disappointed if you bid me not to do the latter — Hoping to hear from you in the course of a few days,

I remain, in sterling faith
Yours
R Tannahill

The Five Friends
A Scottish Song
Tune — “We’re a’ nid noddin”

Weel, wha’s i’ Bouroch, and what is your cheer?
The best tht ye’ll fin’ in athousand year
And we’re a’ noddin, nid nid noddin’,
We’re a’ noddin fou at e’en.

There’s our ain Jamie Cxxxx, frae the Hall of Argyle,
Wi’ his leal Scottish heart, and his kind open smile,
And we’re a’ noddin, nid nid noddin’,
We’re a’ noddin fou at e’en.

There is Will the fude fellow, wha kills a’ our care,
Wi’ his song and his joke – and a mutchkin mair,
And we’re a’ noddin, nid nid noddin’,
We’re a’ noddin fou at e’en.

There is blythe Jamie Bxxx, frae St Barchan’s Town,
When Wit gets a kingdom, he’s sure o’ the crown,
And we’re a’ noddin, nid nid noddin’,
We’re a’ noddin fou at e’en.

There is Rab frae the South, wi’ his fiddle and his flute,
I cou’d list to his songs till the starns fa’ out,
And we’re a’ noddin, nid nid noddin’,
We’re a’ noddin fou at e’en.

Apollo, for our comfort, has furnish’d the bowl
And here is my Bardship, as blind as an owl,
And we’re a’ noddin, nid nid noddin’,
We’re a’ noddin fou at e’en.

Tho’ the hale town o’ Paisley may jingle us their bells,
Yet the lord forbid we should jingle them oursel’ss,
And we’re a’ noddin, nid nid noddin’,
We’re a’ noddin fou at e’en.

Bouroch is either Gaelic or old Scotch, and means a core, meeting or something near it — Are you acquainted with the word?
Letter to John Crawford
Largs
Paisley 24th Nov 1809

My Worthy Friend,

I take the earliest opportunity of thanking you for the song with you were pleased to favour me. I think it one of your happiest productions, at least that I have seen. In my opinion the third stanza should by no means be excluded. I think it equal to any of the others, besides it makes a better conclusion than to end it with the second. The imagery is in general finely simple and natural. I don not altogether like the expression apple-flow’r; some other flower in its place may do as well. Altho’, blossom, bloom and, flow’r be synonymous, the latter, in this instance, at first reading strikes me as uncouth; however, others may like it very well, so you must judge for yourself. Except the last line of the song I do not wish to see another word of it altered. Instead of, My Spirit’s wi’ my Jeanie, I would prefer, My heart’s ay wi’ my Jeanie; the idea is the same. Indeed with a proper Air it will make a very beautiful song; Altho’ Miss Graham of Inchbraikie’s Strathspey be an excellent air, it is only fit for an instrument, a musical friend tells me it at least takes in two Octaves which is too great compass for the generality of voices. But a great of our Strathapeys are exactly the same measure, so you can be at no loss for a fine air to it. Make your acquaintance fiddle a number of them over to you, and I’ll be bound you will find one to suit it. I am pleased with your promise to write me soon again— if you have any song past you that half-pleases you I shall be much obliged to you for a copy. I have a number of originals lying past one in scrawl which want of leisure or indolence prevents me from writing out. however, I will set about it, tho’ copying is now become a piece of labour to me.

You want to know the meaning of “light lilting jorum”. In the Highlands jorum or [Luinig] is the names given to their cheeriest songs, such as they sing at their merry meetings on long, winter night. The Pibroch is their call, or onset to Battle; and the Coronadch is a kind of Dirge played at funerals and over the fall of their Heroes. I have nothing particularly new to mention, tell me when you write how you think the following verses may stand.

I remain yours most faithfully

Rob’ Tannahill
Scottish Song

Air — Alex Donn’s Strathspey

The midges dance aboon the burn
The dews begin to fa’
The pairtricks doun the rushy howm
Set up their e’ning ca’
Now sweetly dear the blackbird’s sang
Rings thro’ the briery shaw
While fleeting gay the swallows play
Around the castle wa’

Beneath the golden gloamin sky
The mavis mends her lay
The redbreast pours her sweetest strains
To charm the ling’ring day
While weary yeldrins seem to wail
Their little nestling torn
The merry wren frae den to den
Gaes jinkin thro’ the thorn

The roses fauld their silken leaves
The foxglove shuts its bell
The honeysuckle and the birk
Spread fragrance thro’ the dell
Let others crowd the giddy court
Of mirth and revelry
The simple joys that nature yields
Are dearer far to me.

P.S. Your rigidest criticism on the above will much favour me, as I wish to make as well as I Possibly can.
Letter to John Crawford

Largs
Paisley Wednesday night
[December 1809]

Dear Sir,

With regard to my negligence in not soon acknowledging your favour of last month— I plead Guilty, but “Have patience and I will pay thee all.” I have a few unpublished songs past me, one or two of which I wished to have sent you, but still put it off till I would have as much leisure as allow me to write them something decently. You recently hurt me by using that infernally harsh epiphet, Contempt, so far from my silence being owing to anything like it, I not only assure that I value your friendship highly, but that the poetry you have of different times sent me entitles you to my real esteem. Your amended copy of “Ye Kelburn groves” has done away every objection which I formerly made to it, and now I think it worthy of appearing anywhere. You must give me a week or two longer to fulfil my intention, for without particularizing, it is out of my power to do it to-night. Why did you return me Hardyknute? I have another copy and wish you to keep this one, perhaps by an oversight I did not mention it in my last. I have been trying to get the lend of the Pleasures of Hope but the man to whom they belonged says they have fled from him. The objection you have to the Poem on Society, accords with some observations I have somewhere in a Review, but without I had the poem before me, cannot say what epiphet I might reckon redundances, nor what might be allowed to give energy to the lines.

Impromptu

Our Maids as Godesses appear
Our Wives like sooty witches
These dress’d in braw white muslin gear
And those in plaiding mutches
Tom thinks his Bet of angel cast
Sae mild, sae sweetly civil
But ere their honey-moon be past
He’ll find that Bet’s a D--l.

5 Hardyknute— an heroic Scottish ballad.
6 Long poem by Thomas Campbell of Glasgow (1777–1844).
Lines
On one who said the authors works should be burned for containing "Damnable Heresies".

Wee Sawners cries, let naething foul,
Polute the godly leven (or leaven)
As if his neivefu' o' a soul,
Was worth a place in h--n.

_________________________

Lines

Tho' Jamie plies his gift fu weel
An prays baith in an' out o season
It's no to save us frae the deil
He does it for a weightier reason

Let me know in your next whether you judge any of the foregoing worth preserving— I will certainly write to you next week or the one following, forgive this shameful scrawl.

I remain

Yours Sincerely

Robt. Tannahill
Letter to James Clark
Argyle Shire Militia Band
Ayr

Paisley 17th Dec 1809

My Dear Friend,

There is not a man in the world whom I would rather wish to oblige before yourself, and am sorry that I cannot comply with your flattering proposition of my writing an Ode for your ensuing Anniversary. A few days prior to the receipt of yours, Wylie was chosen for our next years President, and in a moment of enthusiasm I came under a promise to furnish him with something of that kind for what he calls his Night. I shall attempt something—however, I tremble when I think of it. To do justice to the subject would require the abilities of a Campbell or a Scott, and almost despair of being able to produce anything half so good as what has already been, by different hands, given to the public; besides, I know that the Society are determined to have a blazing account of our meeting sent to some of the newspapers; of course my rhymes are designed to be attached as a train to the dazzling Luminary: or as a long wigl-waglin tail to a Callan’s Dragon. We have clever fellows in the society men of genius and College-bred, but there seems to be a jealously subsisting among them, or a fear of one another, which has prevented any account worthy of our former meetings from being given in print. I hope our next will be better. I should ere now have acknowledged yours of the 30th ult., but we have been all very busy on this week. Smith had the best concert on Tuesday night, both for performance and attendance, that ever I witness’d in this place and who could tamely return all at once to sowen-brods an’ cauld seatries? Allow me now to thank you for the music you sent me. except “The fair hair’d Child” all the Airs are new to me. I have found a set of Peggy O’leven here, so you need not mind about it. I was quite sensible that in the song I sent you, our most worthy friend Smith, deserved something more than merely musical to be said of him, but the shortness of Stanza confines one so much that I could not get my breath half out about any of you. Let me hear from you soon: your happiness and welfare ever adds to mine. I would send you some rhymes but have not leisure at present to copy them.

I remain, My Dear Friend,
Yours most Faithfully
R Tannahill.
Barrochan Jean
Tune— Johnie MacGill

It’s hinna ye heard man o’ Barrochan Jean?
And hinna ye heard man o’ Barrochan Jean!
How death and starvation cam owr the nation,
She wrocht sic mischief wi’ her twa pawky ein.

The lads and the lasses war deein in dizzens
The taen kill’t wi’ love and the tither wi’ spleen,
The plewin’, the sewin’, the shearin’ the mowin’,
A’ wark was forgotten for Barrochan Jean.

Frae the south and the north, owr the Tweed & the Forth
Sic comin’ and gangin’ there never was seen
The comers war cheary, the gangers war blearie
Despairing or hoping for Barrochan Jean.

The carlins at hame war a’ grinnin’ and graonin’
The bairns war a’ greetin frae morning till e’en.
They get naething for crowdie but runts boil’t to sowdy
For naething got growin’, for Barrochan Jean.

The Doctors declar’d it was past their descriving
The Ministers said ‘twas a judgement for sin
But they looket sae blae, and their hearts war sae wae,
I was sure they war deean for Barrochan Jean.

The burnsd on the roadside war a’ dry wi’ their drinkin’
Yet a’ wadna sloken the drouth i’ their skin
A’ around the peat-stackes, and alangst the dyke-backs,
E’en the winds war a’ sighin’, Sweet Barrochan Jean!

The timmer ran dune wi’ the makin’ o’ coffins,
Kirkyairds o’ their swaird war a’ howkiet fou clean;
Dead lovers war packet like herrin’ in barrels,
Sic thousands war deean for Barrochan Jean!

But mony braw thanks to the Laird o’ Glen-brodie
The grass owr their graffs is now bonie and green
He sta’ the proud heart of our wanton young Lady
And spoil’t a’ the charm o’ her twa pawky ein.
My Dear Friend

According to promise I have transcribed the foregoing song. You will no doubt have frequently observed how much some old people are given to magnify the occurrences of their young days. Barrochan Jean was written on hearing an old Grannie in Lochwinnoch parish relating a story something similar to the song; perhaps I have heightened her colouring a little. You will see by one of the enclosed Concert Bills that we are to have a caper at Elderslie on new-years-day night, Gale is to be there, Stuart is to be there, Smith tells me he will likely be there. Would that I could say Barr will also be there. We only want you and one other to the complete the best quorum that ever sacrificed Interest at the shrine of Sociality.

I would not write to you on this ugly tea-paper, but 'tis Sunday and I can procure no other— Have you ever felt what our great folks call ennui? I know it only by name; pushing hard through the week to keep poverty at a respectful distance. Keeps the dull, weary, [gaunting] Goddess far from my door; and I am often busied on this thrice sacred day in performing some duties of Friendship. save to hear Mr Boog preach I consider it only an unbefitting passing of time to go to any other of our— no— I have gone rather far, and will stop. Some of these old bills may perhaps be new to you. Wishing you every happiness. I remain yours most faithfully

R Tannahill
69.

Source – Robert Tannahill, Letter to James Clark, 20th January, 1810, (Glasgow University Library, Special Collections, MS Robertson, 1/35).

Letter to James Clark
Musician
Argyle Shire Militia
Ayr

Paid to Kilmarnock w a parcel in Haste

Paisley 20th Jan 1810

Dear Friend,

Receive your Musical Museum and be pleased to accept my best thank for the lend of it. I hope you will have received the Anniversary verses which I wrote for you eight [days] since, they were the most suitable of any I could find—

—Your Brother-in-law called on me last night with the Book formerly mentioned, I have not yet had time to read much of it— but I think there will be something in it very curious— Scadlock has written some very excellent verses for our 29th and a song to which Smith has promised to give us original music— My Ode is finished, with what success I know not— i wish you much happiness on that night— and I hope to see some account of your meeting in the news-papers—

We are all as usual— Drop me a few lines for satisfaction on receipt of the volumes— I shall only clear carriage to Kilmarnock, as they would likely charge for the rest any way. Should you remain in Ayr your situation will be more heartsome in the summer months— Excuse hurry & believe me to remain yours most sincerely

R. Tannahill
Letter to Thomas Stewart, Bookseller,
Greenock. With a small parcel.

Paisley, 1st March, 1810.

Daer Sir,

I have to thank you for the printed copy of your verses recited at the celebration of our immortal Bard's birthday; they honour the occasion for which they were composed. Smith tells me you have likewise seen the account of our meeting.

I feel a delicacy in sending you my MS. pieces, as some of them have been scrawled down in haste, and others are disfigured with interpolations; however, I think you will be able to form a pretty fair estimate of those I have past me by the volume which accompanies this. I have drawn a pencil across such parts in it as I would propose omitting in a second edition. The Interlude in its published state, I am quite ashamed of, and have almost entirely new-modelled it. I am confident of its being altered to considerable advantage. In the Poem department I have only about as many originals as would supply the room of those I mean to omit. To the songs I could add sixty or seventy, and the whole would comprise about 240 12mo. pages.

There are some little faults and incorrections throughout the whole of my volume, which could be amended on its second publication, and it is from an earnest wish to have one more respectable that makes me think of reprinting it. Now, my dear sir, do not understand me as viewing the publication of Scottish Poetry (at the present day) as a light matter. I hope I have duly weighed the subject, and am well aware of what I am about. As to publishing by subscription, none can feel what a weight of obligation and trouble it lays one under, save those who have tried it. Tell me with the same frankness whether you will take it in hand or not. Keep the enclosed volume (the only one I have) for a month, and then give me your mind freely on the business. Please be so kind as clear postage for this packet, and I'll take an early opportunity of cancelling it with you. Drop me a line, merely for satisfaction, on receipt of it; and whether you approve of my design or not, believe me to be your sincere well-wisher,

R. Tannahill.

P.S.—I am likewise ill-pleased with the arrangement of the Poems as they stand at present.
Letter to John Crawford

Largs

Paisley 17th March 1810

My Dear Friend

I should have thanked you a good while since for the amended copy of your song, it pleases me now quite well. Mr Smith says he will perhaps set original music to it— he has had the song past him these two months but I know that he has been so throng thro’ the Winter with his teaching that he has not had time to compose anything. Your song of “Now autumn waves her yellow grain,” will make a good song, but you must retouch it. The 1st four lines make a fine opening. but after mentioning “Autumn’s purest azure skies,” the four which follow are perhaps tautological. The 1st two of 2nd verse may lye under the same objection, the rest of this stanza pleases me very much. The concluding one I think will do excellently; yet there is something in it similar to the first four lines of Goldsmith’s Traveller, however, I would not have you alter one word of it: similar ideas will call forth something near to the same language in different authors although they have never seen the work of one another. I have just now been again perusing your poem on Wealth, as a whole it pleases me highly— but there are lines in it too which in my opinion hurt it, and which you may easily alter— I think the piece would [be] none the worse for the 6th Stanza being expunged— the two following are quite to the point, but, somehow they dont read very smoothly “Ev’n those wha affect thy power to despise”— and T’disuade from fully, or foretel the consequence.”— I advise you to revise this piece as I think it does you a good deal of credit.

I am willing to believe that the song of The Summer Gloamin is natural enough, and if all others were of your mind, what a genius should I be! I was glad on finding that it pleased you, but you surely praise it far above its merits. Proposals have just been issued for a new periodical work— I dont know who is to be the Editor. but if well conducted I have no doubt of its meeting with encouragement. The enclosed Prospectus will show you the plan.
Scottish Song
Air—Callum Brougach

Ye wooer lads wha greet an’ grane
Wha preech an’ fleech, an mak’ an’ mane,
An pine yoursels to skin an’ bane
Come a’ to Callum Brougach
I’l learn you here the only art
To win a bonie lassie’s heart
Just tip wi’ gowd Love’s siller dart
Like dainty Callum Brougach

I ca’d her ay my sonsy doo
The fairest flower that e’er I knew
Yet like a a souple spanky groo
She fled from Callum Brougach,
But soon’s she heard the guineas ring
She turn’d as [I] had been a king
Wi’ “tak my han’ or ony thing,
Dear dainty Callum Brougach!”

Its gowd can mak’ the blin’ to see,
Can bring respect whar nane wad be
An’ Cupid ne’er shall want his fee
Fae dainty Callum Brougach;
Nae mair wi’ greetin’ blin’ your ein
Nae mair wi’ sichin’ warm the win’
But hire the gaitlin’ for your frien’
Like dainty Callum Brougach.
Scottish Song
Air — “Gilly Callum”

I’ll hie me to the shelling hill
And live among the the braes, Callum
Ere I gang to Crochen-Mill
I’ll live on hips an slaes, Callum
Wealthy pride but ill can hide
Your runkl’d mizly shins, Callum
Lyart pow as white’s the tow
And beard as rough’s the whins, Callum

Blythe young Dugald’s won my heart
Has my willing vow, Callum
Now, for a’ your couthy art
I winna marry you, Callum
Wily woman aft deceives!
Sae ye think, I ween, Callum
Trees may keep their wither’d leaves
Till ance they get the green, Callum.

The above are two very favourite Highland airs of mine, I have [not] shewn either of them to any body— Shall be glad to know how you like them— We will have plenty of time to write soon— being given over to lighting up at night.

I remain yours most truly
R Tannahill
Letter to James King,
Soldier, Capt. Smith's Company,
Renfrewshire Militia,
Portsmouth

—, 1st April 1810

My Dear Friend

I was favoured with a copy of your Military Poem about two weeks since, and I have perused it twice with much pleasure. To write a poem of such length, and keep up the spirit of the piece to the last requires no common share of ability. I positively think that yours rather gains as the reader gets on, and thro[ugh] the whole there are beauties which will arrest every one's attention whose consideration is worth minding. Nevertheless you have let pass some harsh lines which you could easily have put above objection. For instance, the following line comes in like the dab of a course brush on a well finished picture -

The peasant views the accumulated ills
Thro' the broke panes of a tottering abode
And sighs for the poor soldier, struggling 'neath his load.

After all, there are few passages indeed which a judicious critic would cavil at. The principal merit of your poem, in my opinion, consists in its lively and natural description. The following verse and the one after it are only noticed as I open the book, yet they would not have stained the pencil of Thomson.

"Again the sun smiles from the western sky
The young shake their branches in the gale
The lark again pours forth her notes on high
The mavis sings of love her tender tale
While the white stream runs bickering thro' the vale;
Or dashing from the rock, foams, boils below
From many a herd is heard the bleating wail
Behold their fleeces now, pure, white's the snow
What clothes in gleaming wreaths Benlomond's lofty brow"

x x x x x x
"herd" perhaps flock would have been more suitable. You have likewise some good sentimental stanzas. The one beginning "Soldiers be cautious how the night you spend," is an excellent one and "leaving the muster-roll of your past errors," is nobly expressed. I should be glad to know if any of your officers are capable of appreciating the merit of such things. I have sometimes felt half-indignant on hearing that you were still no higher than a corporal, and since they have again rankt you as low as possible, you were right to stigmatise them on your Title-page - for "Private" attached to your name bespeaks, at least to me, something of that kind. I think you should have given a few more lines to "the Sentry on his solitary post," but it is very well as it is -

Let me know if you be acquainted with the poems of Jas. Hogg, the Ettrick Shepherd. He has written a number of excellent ballads founded on traditionary stories of the Border. He called on me last week on his way home from the Highlands where he had been along with a gentleman estimating a sheep farm for him. He is a clever intelligent fellow about our own age. We had a good deal of conversation over the poets of the day. He tells me he has been in company with Walter Scott, Hector MacNeil, Thomas Campbell and others of our Scotch Worthies. I have not had time at present to write you 'Gloomy Winter,' but will send it soon. Meantime, I will thank you for a few of the Welsh airs you mention, if you can easily procure them. And I must again enjoin you to write to your mother. Nothing in the world gives her greater pleasure than to hear of your welfare, and she is always very unhappy when you neglect to write to her for any length of time.

I remain, Dear James, yours faithfully,

R Tannahill

P.S. Tell me whether you published the poems on your own account and whether you have given them to the Booksellers in Portsmouth for sale.
Letter to Mr R. A. Smith
[No date]

Dear S.

I have just received this packet from J.B. – “I’m ten times doubly over his debtor”
- Excuse my not coming over with them, for my beard’s lang, an’ my bauchles will hardly stay on my feet. Please come down at edge of dark

Philo Poeticus
Source – Extract from a letter by Robert Tannahill, to Alexander Borland, n. d., (Glasgow University Library, Special Collections, MS Robertson, 1/38).

Letter to Alexander Borland [Extract]
Glasgow

[May 1810]

My Dearest Friend,

I am ungrateful wretch in not writing you before today. my conscience has been upbraiding me these last ten days for delaying it. I hope this will find you and your two Annies all as well as I wish you. For myself I have been in a poorish way ever since I saw you last, of the souls immortality I shall not drop a surmise, but my spirits too have been as dull and cheerless as winter’s gloomiest days, when we meet I shall tell you the cause of all this, it is partly my own fault and partly other peoples: however I am not so ill neither— have no thoughts of dying yet. Tho’ that appears at present to me to be a matter of indifference come when it will[...] That scribbling of rhymes hath positively half ruin’d me. I see the necessity of either dropping it or living miserable and dying — I dare not mention how —

It has led me into a wide circle of acquaintance, of course into an involuntary habit of being oftener in a public house than can be good for any body— altho’ I go there as seldom as possible— yet how often have I sat to within my last shilling, and unlike some of our friends who are better circumstanced, had to return to my loom sick and feverish— This often makes me appear sullen in the company, for if I indulge to the extent we have both seen in others I am in hell for two or three days afterwards[... ...] burn this letter, in the foremost part of it I have opened my mind to you more freely than perhaps I would to any other person living.

— What has the world to do with, or who cares (take the mass of mankind) for the feelings of others — am I right?

Happiness attend you.

R. Tannahill.
Letter from R. A. Smith
to Robert Lang, Manufacturer,
Causeyside, Paisley.

Ayr, July 9th, 1818.

DEAR FRIEND,

I take the opportunity of sending you a few lines by my father, who I am happy to state, returns to Paisley in better health than he has enjoyed for several years. He was so ill of the jaundice when he left home that I had scarcely a hope of his recovery; but the "hurl" in the caravan and the fine air of this place has had an amazing effect. I also begin to feel my nerves now strong, but I was so terribly cut up by the severe practice for the 4th June, that it will take some time to set me to rights; I begin to eat with an appetite, which I had not done for a long period before. My reception here has been very flattering; I have been introduced to the most respectable inhabitants, by whom I am placed on the most intimate footing; I have two classes to attend of young folks in the afternoon; not numerous, but of the best families, and one in the evenings of grown gentlemen, who are learning sacred music among whom I have doctors and even lawyers!; one gentleman of near sixty, and another upwards of sixty. Several of them sat in the band seat with me in church, last Sunday, to give me countenance. This would be rather a novel sight in Paisley. Last week I was at a dinner, given chiefly on my account, with a most genteel party, consisting of some of the gude bailies and a number of their friends. The dinner was given in the most elegant style, and the company behaved most politely. I had almost forgot to mention that we were in the vera room where honest Tam o Shanter sat with his "ancient trusty drouthy crony" Souter Johnny, before he "took the road" to "Alloway's auld haunted kirk," and on my informing the gentlemen that I was one of the oldest members of the Paisley Burns' Club, I had the honour to be placed on the very spot where Tam is supposed generally to have sat

"Fast by the ingle, blazing finely
Wi reaming swats, that drank divinely."

We kept it up till the hour "0 night's black arch the key stane," in compliment to the memory of Tam I suppose, and I assure you "the hours flew by on eagle wings," and we parted unco blythe and happy. By-the-bye my Kirk Alloway box was a great favourite that night, and the company
appeared much gratified with the account I gave them of our anniversary meetings in memory of their almost townsman. I would have given something for our ale caup at the moment, it would have put them a-maist daft. I am gathering all the information I can, of what is yet remembered of Burns or the characters mentioned in his works. In the first place, you must know that the 25th of Jany. is his real birthday. I believe it was a mistake of his own that caused the 29th to be understood as the day of his birth. It is yet called the 29th on the cottage wall where he was born, and likewise on the painting of his likeness, which is kept inside the house, but it will be altered soon. I am well acquainted with the session clerk, who has shewn me the session books, from which he extracted the certificate I now send you, to be pasted in the minute book of the Paisley Burns' Club, that is, if they think it a valuable document, worthy of such a place; if not, keep it safe till I return. What puts its correctness beyond all dispute is, the witnesses that were necessary at that period at all bookings of that nature. I saw the original with my own eyes and seeing's believing you know. There has been also an attempt in a Dumfries newspaper to make it appear that Burns was not born at the cottage at Alloway, but it was only a malicious design of some person to hurt the trade of the house, which is at present a public house; it being the property of the shoemaker's society in Ayr. They, of course, caught the alarm, and a party was deputed to wait on an old cottager, upwards of ninety years of age, who was very intimate with the poet's father, to ascertain the truth or falsehood of the statement. After some common salutations he was addressed, "Well, Thomas, ye min the nicht whan Robin Burns was born? "Aye, atweel do I," says the old man; "I'se ne'er forget that night as long as I live; a sair night it was wi storm, a the deils war at wark, an part o the roof was blawn aff the room whare the puir woman—his mither—lay in, an we had a sair faught in getting her and young Rabbie removed to a neighbour's house nearly opposite." "But they say, Thomas, that he wasna born at the house at Alloway." "Wha says sae! Wha says sae!" cried the old man in a terrible passion. "The newspapers, Thomas." "Newspapers! the newspaper is a muckle sinfu liar; what! will they tell me sic a lie when I was ca'd in to help a haun at the removal, aye, aye; weel I wat I sal ne'er forget that awfu night. " This, of course, completely puts to silence all scepticism on that head. I have also seen the redoubtable Souter Johnny, his name is John Lauchlan, he is living in the poor house of Ayr. Mr. M'Dermid, the session clerk, who is also governor of the poorhouse, has promised to take him out some night soon to get a gill with me. He says he is exactly the character described by Burns, has plenty of queer stories to tell yet, and dearly likes a sup of "reaming swats," but he also mentions that he must be spoken to with great caution
on the subject of his friend Tam, as he has been so
plagued about it that he grows quite crabbed whenever the
subject is mentioned, and generally denies himself that he
is the far famed Souter. He owns, however, that he ken'd
Tam Graham (alias Tam o Shanter) well, and that they
whiles had a gill thegither on market days; also that he
ken'd Burns' father well, that auld William was a much
better man than his son Rab, who at best was but a "ram
shackle deil." He ken'd him when he was a gay rough cowte,
and wore a muckle bue bonnet wi a hole in it, and hair
sticking thro the hole. This is such a ludicrous
description of our great Bard in his youth that I got a
hearty laugh when hearing the story.
Little did the world imagine then that this same ram-
shackle rough cowte, with his hair hanging thro the hole
of an old blue Ayrshire bonnet was to run such a race of
fame in after time. I expect to elicit some information
from this droll being if I could once get him to open a
little with "swats." You see I have begun to glean some
local chit-chat in earnest, but how could a lover of song
remain indifferent even to these little circumstances
whilst rambling over such classic ground, where almost
every whin-bush carries an interest in it. I have been
perambulating the beautiful and romantic "banks and braes
o bonnie Doon," and richly do they deserve the immortality
bestowed on them by the Bard. I could not help humming the
song involuntarily while sitting on the auld Brig o Doon,
and looking at the delightful wild woods that adorn the
banks of the stream. I intend to take some sketches before
I leave Ayrshire, which perhaps may do for some of Danl.
Craig's snuff boxes. You will greatly oblige me by getting
my yew box finished; and as you are generally in Glasgow
on the Wednesdays, if you make it up in a parcel, and send
it by the guard of the Ayr coach, directed to me, care of
Mr. Robt. Mackay, merchant, Ayr, it will come quite safe.
If Mr. Aikin has finished my caup or caups, I would like
much they were sent at the same time. It would afford a
high treat to some of our antiquarians here. A box of
Wallace Oak would be most particularly valued here, but it
must be a hinged one. The turned kind are thought nothing of,
from the Cumnock box maker living so nigh, whose boxes
are in great estimation. I wish D. Craig would lend me one
of his best, with a veneer of the yew, and a drawing of
Crocstoun Castle on the bottom. I should like to show it
off against the Cumnock man's. I have been bragging a
little for the honour of Paisley, and have promised to
produce some of equal workmanship. At least, you can speak
to Danl. He could easily get one finished before the
Sacrament, when I must be in Paisley, having promised Dr.
Boog; and I could take it with me, and perhaps get it well
sold for him—at any rate, I should take particular care of
it. Be so good as mention this to him, for I can see
nothing in the Cumnock boxes superior to his. I mean D.
Craig, jun.
By this time I daresay you will be most heartily tired of my nonsensical scribbling. I sat down with the determination of giving you one sheet, and behold! I have been led on to almost two imperceptibly, so the best way to punish me will be to pay me back with a double one in the same way. Tell Robert Allan when you see him that I expect to gulp in poetry with the air of this fairyland, and I aiblins may take it in my whimsical head to send him a "blaud o rhymes" some o these days. I intended to have written him at this time, but I find a double task too much for me at present, so I must refer him to you for the local cracks I have given you.

Wishing you and yours all well and happy,

I remain, Dear Robt.,

Ever yours, R. A. SMITH.
Letter From R. A. Smith,  
To William Motherwell  

Paisley April 15 1819

Dear Sir,

I have written some account of Tannahill’s Bachanalian rant “The Five Friends,” which you may insert among the extracts, if it seemeth good unto thee so to do.  

For Guild’s sake my dear fellow, do look sharply at my scratchings & scrollings and draw the pen through any parts that do not please you — You may also see many passages that might be amended, & perhaps some grammatical blunders, or ungrammatical ones rather— but I hope you will correct what you see wrong— After all, I am terribly frightened to appear before the Public, as a Letter writer, I had rather publish a Volume of music than a page of any other matter,— but I depend on your judgement for suffering nothing to be printed, that could be held up for the “finger of scorn” to point at.

In great haste  
Yours most truly  
R. A. Smith.
From James Tannahill
to his relatives in USA.

Paisley, N. Britain
5th, April 1824

Respected, but unknown friends:

The name Tannahill was so rare in Paisley when I was born, that from my earliest recollection I thought it an odd and singular name. There were not any in the town of that name save my father and my uncle Thomas and their families. I was yet very young when I began to take notice of its uncommonness. I noticed when boys were calling over each other’s names in the course of their youthful games that Tannahill was often repeated with a sort of muttering as if it sounded strange in their mouths. It was a very common thing in those days for boys to invent nicknames for one another and in many cases that nickname was an alteration or an addition to their proper name. My name being so rare in the place I had my known share of this sort of nickname, namely a play on Tannahill. On this account in course of time I began to feel ashamed to tell it, when it happened I was asked it by a stranger. I was perhaps twenty years of age before this feeling left me.

Previous to about sixty years, the name Tannahill was unknown in Paisley. About that time four brothers, James, Thomas, Robert, and John Tannahill for the sake of their business removed from Kilmarnock to Paisley, a distance of about 22 or 24 miles. In course of time the two elder brothers James and Thomas married and settled in Paisley. A few years after their marriage the property of the British American attracted a particular attention and from reports of the easiness of making a fortune there and enjoying all the comforts of life many people migrated from this part of the country to America in the hope of bettering their circumstances. Such accounts as these could not fail to draw the attention of the four brothers. At this time I was too young to know anything about it, but I understand it was resolved that the two younger brothers Robert and John being unmarried should go out first and pave the way for the two elder brothers following with their families. I cannot say that I have any distinct recollection of my uncles Robert and John. At most it is so faint that the recollection of them is but a dream. At the time they went
away I could not have been more than three years of age. Anything at that age makes but a faint impression. Still the remembrance of them is one of my earliest impressions. This might arise from having heard of them often spoken of, not only in my father’s family, but by members of their old acquaintances who associated with them before they left the country.

I am now sensible that when I was very young I got rather proud of my uncles who were in America, because every one spoke of them with respect as being honorable, clever and ingenious men. Several instances of their ingenuity, are yet in my recollection, particularly a time-piece left with my father which my uncle Robert made with his pen-knife.

Some few years before they went to America the weaving business came to be almost the sole trade in Paisley and being in an improving and progressive state, the trade of Paisley resolved to give to the world some expression of their respect for their business, and of their loyalty to their King and Country.

Accordingly a weavers procession or parade was chosen to take place on the 4th of June, the birthday of George III. This was to be done with as great show and splendor as possible. Among other parts of ornament proposed was cockade to be worn on the caps of those who joined in the procession.

Accordingly the whole town was invited to exert their ingenuity in planning he most appropriate and most elegant cockade.

Many specimens were given in for the approbation of the public. But the one planned and executed by my uncle Robert, was the one that pleased best and was adopted, and so long at the parades were kept up in Paisley, this cockade was the universal badge, and though time changes almost every custom, and our parades have been for many years done away, still there are some of these cockades to be found in possession of some people in the town who preserve them as a remembrance of their once loved parade, and of their old acquaintance Robert Tannahill.

Whether my father or my uncle had any serious intention of going to America I cannot say, but by the time I could join in deliberation on such a subject, it ceased to be one in my father’s family.

But so long as I live the impression made on my young fancy of going to America to see my uncles, will never be eradicated.

This must have been caused by conversations on the subject which I frequently heard when I could not take a part in them. It is probable that the war that took place between Britain and the Colonies helped to put a stop to their going to America. Still they felt a brotherly interest for their relations in America and a correspondence by letter was kept up by the brothers which served to promote a feeling of kindness and relationship so long as they lived.
this feeling (though so far separated) is not yet extinguished in the breasts of their children here, and often when the brothers and cousins meet they talk of the relations they have in America of the name of Tannahill. Whether it was owing to the singularity of the name of Tannahill, I do not know, but I always felt nearer of kin to a relative of that name than to a relation of the same degree, who was of another name. Although there were originally only four brothers of that name in Paisley and two of them left it, now there are a great many of that name in it, all spring from my father James and my uncle Thomas. Although a number of their children are dead, and some removed to other places there are perhaps not less than fifty of the name in Paisley.

Of six sons and one daughter which my father had, who all came to maturity, there are only my brother Matthew and I who are alive. Two of our brothers, Thomas and Robert died without being married.

Our sister, and brothers Hugh and Andrew all married and left children.

I have three sons and six daughters all unmarried, my brother Matthew is married and has seven children.

The two families of James and Thomas always lived in the most friendly and agreeable terms. The cousins when they met were like brothers.

But there are few of us now, and we are getting up in years. When we chance to meet we frequently talk of our cousins in America, whom we have never seen.

We are informed that both of our uncles left families and we often wish that we were somewhat acquainted with them, though but by letter.

Not long ago while we were met in a friendly and social way, speaking our friends in American, we thought it a pity that such near relations should be unknown to one another- Three of us agreed to write- each a letter to our friends in America and send them all out at the same time, soliciting a correspondence in return with an account of what sons and daughters were alive of our uncles, Robert and John, with any particulars concerning them which they might be pleased to communicate.

Should this be complied with we shall be very happy in the correspondence and shall not fail to write you in return and answer any enquiry that may be made with regard to the name of Tannahill.

Yours Respectfully
James Tannahill
Letter from Thomas Tannahill, Paisley.
To relatives in the USA

Paisley, 19th, May, 1824

Dear Aunts,
Your nephews on this side of the water are longing to hear from you. We would take it very kindly if you would correspond with us and let us know the situation of your families. Although our fathers are no more, we would not wish you to forget us their children.
We will not trouble you with anything belonging to this country— but what we think will be more interesting to you, some particulars respecting our own families. I am the only one of your nephews here that recollects our uncles. I assisted one or other of them at times as a draw boy. I have Uncle John’s lamp which our father kept as long as he lived, it has stood in the same place, since our uncle went away which is about fifty years ago.
Our father died about two years ago aged near eighty seven years. He left two sons, two daughters with their families, with brother Robert’s widow and family. Robert died about six years ago. Our uncle James died many years since. Our father and uncle James lived on the greatest friendship, they were respected by all who knew them while they lived, and were regretted when they died.
I hope it was so with our uncles Robert and John. I remember they were like one another for the amiableness of their temper, and we are happy to say that our grandfathers and great-grandfathers were noted for their piety; which I hope will be said of their children for many generations.
The last account we heard of you was from Mr. Samuel Purden who came over about the end of the war. He informed us of the welfare of your families. He gave us some hopes that some of your sons would pay us a visit, which we would be very glad to realize.
With respect to my family I have three sons one of who is married in Glasgow very comfortable, has five children. Another is married in this town—has two children. Our youngest is at home with us. My wife and myself are on the decline of life, we cannot wait here. You will see by our packet of letters how kindly we would take it if any of your would correspond with us.
I will add no more but hope this will come safe to hand.

I remain your loving nephew.
Thomas Tannahill
Letter from Matthew Tannahill, Paisley, To his relatives in America

Paisley, 19th, May 1824

Dear Friends,

The other night, my brother James and I were at tea with our cousin Thomas Tannahill, when the subject turned on our friends in America, and we thought it strange that there are so few of our generation left on this side of the grave and that we should know so little about each other. It was proposed that each of us should write a letter to you on the other side of the Atlantic, and give you all the information we could with regard to your relationship this side of the water and request you to give us all the information you could with regard to all our relations on the other side.

There is a kind of painful pleasure in tracing back the generations of our fathers, and in different respects it has a good effect on the mind. I shall therefore, go back as far as I know with regard to our forefathers, the origin of our name, and when it was adopted in this country.

I do not know but that there are very few names that have not some signification. I have heard various conjectures of the meaning of the name Tannahill but none of them in the least satisfactory till of late I think, I discovered it in an old dictionary. TANNA signifieth height. (I think it is in the Saxon language) Put hill to it and then you have the signification of our name. I think this is the most probable that I have heard. The people in Ayrshire say that the name came over with the Spanish Armada and that some person of that name was saved from one of the vessels that was wrecked on the west coast of Scotland that he settled in the neighborhood of Kilmarnock. Whether this is true or not its of no consequence. But this is true, that all the Tannahills that I have seen or heard of have sprung from Kilmarnock or its neighborhood and they could all trace their relations to our forefathers.

So, I think it evident that all of our name have sprung from the same stock. At this day, there is in Ayrshire, a farm that stands on the top of a high hill, the name of which house is Tannahill. I therefore suppose at that time our name was adopted, that the individual who lived in that house took to himself the name of the house he lived in.
I can trace our generations no farther back than our Great Grandfather and Great Grandmother and all that I know of them is this, that they had some property in Kilmarnock: they had but one child. His name was Thomas. When Thomas came of age, he took to himself a wife. They called her Mary Buntin. She was the only child of her parents. They all had some property in Kilmarnock. When the old people died, both the properties fell to grandfather and grandmother, and at their death, what came by grandfather was left to James their eldest son. What came by the mother, to Thomas, the next in succession. James and Thomas to - pay the other children a reasonable allowance. All the property was sold before I was born. The last time I was in Kilmarnock, I saw two or three of the houses. They were very old. I believe they are now all down and others built in their place.

Our Grandfather’s family consisted of six children, (4 sons and 2 daughters). Marion the oldest, next James, Thomas, Mary, Robert, and John the youngest. At what age our Grandfather died I know not, but this I know that when he died, John was a sucking child. At what age our Grandmother died, I am not sure, but this I know, that when James and Thomas were about 19 and 17 years of age, they came to Paisley and about two years after that their mother died.

I think I remember our Aunt Marion, but that it long, long since she left this world. She was married to a man whose name was Lemond. Of their family there are two daughters alive, - Mary and Betty. Betty lives in Kilmarnock, is a widow with children. Mary lives in Kilmarnock, - her husband’s name is John Dickie. They have no children.

I well remember our Aunt Mary. She was a kind, amiable woman. Her Husband’s name was John Aitken. He was an extensive shoemaker in Kilmarnock. He frequently made contracts with the government to supply part of the army with shoes. He made a deal of money. He died about 20 years ago. At his death our Aunt went to Ayr to live with one of her children. She died about 10 years ago. Of their children there are in life four, - three sons and one daughter. James, John, Hugh, and Jane.

John has been one of the magistrates of the town of Ayr for twenty years. One of his daughters is married to the son of a brother magistrate and he is also a magistrate. It is said that the whole town is governed by the three. John followed the trade of his father. He married a woman with considerable fortune. He became very rich. But some years ago he entered into extensive speculations in the importing of wheat from America. Our ports have been so long shut and he has such a quantity of grain bonded, that he could not bring it to market. The consequence was that last year he stopped payment. He got a settlement with his creditors. It is said that if our ports were open for foreign grain (and at present it seems not far from it) so he could bring it to market, he would be as rich as ever.
Hugh also lives in Ayr: is a leather merchant. I am informed that he is worth money. He had three children. He lost by his brother John’s failure £4000, or John was owing him that sum when he stopped payment.

James lives in Glasgow; is a shoemaker. He is married and has children. He kept a shoe-shop in Glasgow for a number of years. I have been informed that he has been rather unfortunate in business.

Jean is married to a Mr. Todd in Ayr. He is a merchant. They have children

With regard to Thomas, I shall say nothing as our Cousin Thomas is writing to you, except to say that he died about 2 years ago and I am sure there did not live an individual in Paisley that was more respected. For forty years he was one of the parish elders, - an office which he filled with honor to himself and advantage to the public. He was truly an honor to our name. He manufactured on a small scale but for several years before his death, had left off business and spent his last days in ease, peace and prosperity. He left all his children some money at his death.

Our Cousin Thomas followed the footsteps and for some years has been retired from business. But as he is writing you, I supposed he will tell you all about the family. I will now attempt to give you some account of our family.

My father’s name was James. My mother’s - Janet Pollock. She often spoke of my uncles who went to America, - they lodged in our house. She had the greatest respect for their memory. She died two years since at the age of 82. My father died 20 years ago. They had six sons and one daughter: Thomas, Janet, James, Robert, Matthew, Hugh and Andrew. I remember when my father died, Uncle Thomas was standing by the bedside and he said that our father had just lived in this world 86 - years to the hour.

The first death in the family was Thomas. He died at the age of 29 - twenty-nine years ago. He was unmarried. The next (death) was our father. The next Janet. It is 19, - years since she died. She was married and had four sons and 2 daughters. Her widower and four sons are still in life but her daughters are dead. The next was Robert, - his death happened in 1810. You may have heard of it but lest you have not, before I close this letter I will give you some account of it. Hugh and Andrew did not long survive the death of Robert. Hugh died in six months and Andrew in twelve. Hugh’s widow for a number of years kept the Buckhead Inn of Paisley. She died three months since. She left one son and three daughters. Andrew’s widow is in life. She has three sons and one daughter.

The whole of our family is gone to the grave except James and me. James has nine children: three sons and six daughters. I am married and have seven children: four sons and three daughters. None of James’ children are married. Two of ours are married and have children. James is 52 years of age. I am 46.
We have a half brother. He is the son our father but not of our mother. His name is John. He is about 66 years of age. He has five sons. Three of them are married and have children. They all are weavers. Our father was a weaver till within a few years ago of his death and taught all his sons the same trade. But James left the loom more than twenty years ago and went to be a foreman in one of the first manufacturing houses in town. For fourteen years he was principal manager in that house, and brother Hugh was under him, foreman in the same house for six years before his death.

The business that James and I follow is this: the principal trade of Paisley is the manufacture and weaving plaid and shawls in imitation of India, and the most of these goods, are sold, not by the manufacturers, but by agents who are better acquainted with the merchants.

About 8 years ago a Mr. Brown and brother James entered into a partnership in the agent line to sell goods by commission. They have been very fortunate and prospered far beyond their expectation. They established a wholesale warehouse in London and another in Paisley. Mr. Brown went to London. He took with him my brother’s oldest son (who is a lad of 24 years of age), to assist him in the warehouse. The business increasing, Mr. Brown sent for a young man, - a nephew of his own who was in the warehouse in Paisley.

These two young men stop in London and act as salesmen. Mr. Brown’s family lives in Paisley but he always goes to London and stays three months during the spring trade, then comes home to Paisley, stays two months, returns to London during the fall trade, comes home and remains with his family during winter. James and I keep the warehouse in Paisley. I act as foreman. We have two young men to assist us. One is a young son of James, the other a nephew of Mr. Brown. We sell silk and fine wool in Paisley but not many manufactured goods. We have not time. We receive a letter every morning from London with orders for different kinds of plaid and shawls and our principal business is to supply these orders.

Thus I have in some measure given you an account of all your relations in this part of the world, as far as I can, - not only their number but in some measure how they are getting on in the world. You have 13 cousins alive, - 4 of the name of Aitkin; two of the name of Lemo and 7 of the name of Tannahill. Of their children and children’s children there are also in Paisley between 50 and 60 families of the name of Tannahill, - all sprung from the two brothers –James and Thomas.

In a former part of this letter I said I would give you, before I close, some account of our brother Robert. I shall at present, only notice that from his youth upward, his principal amusement was the writing of songs and other poetical pieces which from time to time made their appearance in newspapers, magazines, and other
periodicals. They seemed to be well liked by the public. He was encouraged to publish a volume of poems in 1808. They met with considerable success, far beyond what he expected and at his death, he had a second edition ready for the press.

A considerable time after his death, we sold the copyright to a respectable bookseller in Paisley. Since that time his works have gone through several editions. If you have not seen any of them, let us know when you write and we will send you a copy. After his death, several likenesses of him were given to the public but the one enclosed is I think the best. It is not a perfect likeness, but I consider it a tolerably good resemblance.

Enclosed also is a short printed notice of his life. With respect to his death, I shall at this time shortly notice that for a day or two before that lamentable event, he showed symptoms of derangement, and on the morrow of the 17th of May 1810, he was found drowned in the Paisley Canal. Perhaps in another letter I may give you some further particulars with regard to his life and death, but I see that my paper is nearly done.

I think it will be ten years since I heard anything from you. The last accounts both my aunts were in life (and I hope they are so still) and had several children. When you write, tell us how many there are of you. Tell us all your names. Tell us your ages. Tell us your occupations and tell us what kind of town you live in, its trade, its population. Paisley is fast on the increase. Its population is nearly 50,000. It is a stirring town for trade but compared with Glasgow (which is only 7 miles east) it is but a village. Glasgow at present contains 140,000 inhabitants and it is said to be the most beautiful city in Europe except Potsdam in Prussia.

I feel myself rather at a loss which of you to address this letter. I shall therefore address it to Mrs. Robert and Mrs. John Tannahill. Hoping to hear from you soon, I am yours respectfully (Signed) Matthew Tannahill
Letter from, John Crawford, Largs, to Matthew Tannahill, Paisley.

Largs, 20th October, 1848.

Dear Sir,

I received yours of the 17th inst., and enclose a letter in your brother's handwriting, which is the only one in my possession. I returned a number at the time you mention. I hope it may gratify the person of whom you speak. I gave some both to America and the West Indies, to acquaintances who went thither.

I remain,

SIR,

Yours most sincerely,

JOHN CRAWFORD.
Letter from James Barr to William Porteous,
Post Office, Glasgow.

Govan, June 9th, 1859.

DEAR SIR,

The proverb says, "auld folk are twice weans," so, like the schoolboy, I rule the paper to guide my feeble hand and obscure vision. The effusion of Tannahill's, "The Five Friens," originated in this:—A part of the Argyle Militia Band under Clark was ordered to attend the October gathering at Inveraray Castle. Clark on his return stopt a few days at Paisley (his native place), hence "frae the hall o Argyle." I was accidentally in Paisley that day, and, calling at Tannahill's house, was informed of the meeting. I found out the nest, and "like birds of a feather," gregarious, I was fixed. It was a harmonious and social meeting. Sometime after this I was in Paisley, and spent the evening with Tannahill alone, as was often the case. It was late, and so dark that he would not allow me to take the road, but insisted on my taking a share of his bed. I did so, and next morning, after breakfast, and at parting, he saw at a distance Smith and Stuart coming in our direction, with three strange gentlemen. He said, "There is something in the wind," and wished me to stop and see. We kept out of their sight, but saw them enter a public house near to his place. In a short time he was sent for. He desired me to wait, and he would let me know. He came for me, and on entering the company he introduced me direct to Hogg, the Ettrick Shepherd. Being so sudden and unexpected, I was for a moment stunned. The other two gentlemen having business in Glasgow, left Hogg, promising to have a ticket for him by the evening coach with them to Edinburgh. We then went down town, as Hogg wished to see Mr. Blaikie, the engraver, an old acquaintance, and a musical enthusiast—a good voice leader, played several instruments, and succeeded R. A. Smith in conducting the music of the Abbey Church. The forces were now collected, and such a congenial meeting I never beheld. Hogg was enraptured with our company, and it was a treat to see the friendship of the two Bards. The contrast of the two was striking—the one lively, healthy, and off-hand; the other quiet, delicate, and unassuming. The only regret felt by all was the limitation of time. We were conveyed on the road till necessity urged a parting. Soon after Hogg spied an empty coal cart lolling on the road, and asked me if we might try to get it to drive us in. I agreed, and Hogg called out, "My lad, are you going in to Glasgow?" "Ay, man." "Will you gie us a smart drive in, and we'll pay you for't?" "O ay, man." In we went, and at the Half-way House.
primed him with half-a-mutchkin, and galloped to the keystane of the Broomielaw Bridge, where we came off, Hogg saying, "it would not do to be seen galloping thro' the streets of Glasgow in a coal cart." He hurried on to the Tontine. The coach had waited five minutes, and was just starting. When we were observed running, it stopped. We shook hands, and in an instant all disappeared in the hollow of the Gallowgate. These gentlemen had been on a tour in the Highlands, and came round by Paisley purposely to see Robert Tannahill. In finishing this scrawl, I add to my infirmities an obstinate steel pen, but if you can read it, you must just put up with it. An eminent authoress says that imperfections and blunders may be expected at fourscore. Your friend,

JAS. BARR.
Letter from James Barr to William Porteous,
of the Post Office,
Glasgow.

GOVAN, Sept. 28th, 1859.

Friend Porteous,

Respecting "Jessie, the Flower o Dunblane," in all my correspondence with Robert Tannahill I do not recollect of her being mentioned. I have heard it said that an interesting young lady at, or belonging to, that place (Dunblane) had caught his fancy, and inspired, or set a spark to, the muse. Be it as it may, I can, however, give you a little information concerning Jessie's coming out (as Yankees say), or being brought out, or introduced to the admiring public.

About the time that R. A. Smith composed the music for "Jessie," there were a theatrical party performing in Paisley, and in that company there was a comedian cognomenised Jack Shaw. Jack had also a musical propensity, with a good commanding voice, and qualified for reading music by the voice. He sang on the stage several of our old Scotch songs quite in their true character, and having heard of the new song, he found means (Jack was enterprising) to be introduced to Mr. Smith, and solicited a copy, that he might refresh his old songs by something new. Smith very obligingly gave him the song, with an accompaniment for two violins and bass. Jack of course set it agoing in Paisley; but merrily as things might be going on, Jack left them, came to Glasgow, and was a short time with the circus company. In the meantime the celebrated Braham had made his first appearance in the Theatre Royal, Queen Street. Jack again found his way to an engagement in the Glasgow company, taking his manuscript with him of course. He was not long there till he made bold with Braham for an engagement on the boards in London. Braham, considering on it, thought he might be a good set off in different ways, as being a good native Scotch comedian (a rarish article), and to take part in duets and glee's he might be useful. Mr. Shaw being now installed on the London boards, he hoisted up "Jessie," and when the audiences had taken a good view, he thought she might travel a little further, so he enterprisingly applied to a music publisher, with the copyright in the author's own handwriting, to have her shown off in a broadsheet, which was accordingly done; but it so happened that previous to this Mr. Steven in Glasgow had his sheet in motion, with an accompaniment for the pianoforte by Mr. Smith, and the full authority of Mr. Steven's holding the copyright as established at Stationers' Hall. Mr. Shaw,
supporting the London publisher by boasting of the copy being in the hand writing of the author, threatened a tug at law, which Mr. Smith decided by declaring that, although he obligingly gave a copy to Mr. Shaw for his individual singing, he gave no authority for him otherwise to publish it. The London publisher, now looking at pro and con, and discomfit, gave up to Mr. Steven the plate, &c., rather than go to law war with the Glasgowites. "Jessie" was, however, by this time doing for herself. "The Flow'r o' Dunblane" consequently got popular, and became a favourite, principally by this introduction to the public. Were the other songs of Smith's composition to Tannahill's verses sufficiently heard in public assemblies, such as concerts, theatres, &c., they would become favourites also. His music is of a soft, flowing style, within moderate compass of voice, and suitably combined with the sentiment of the poetry. I shall finish by pointing out a few of his songs, viz.:-"The Old Seaman," 'Mongst life's many cares, &c.; "The Harper of Mull," When Rosie was faithful, &c.; "The Lass o'Arra'teenie," Far lay amang the Highland hills (music also by Ross of Aberdeen); Langsyne beside the woodland burn; "The Maniac," Hark! 'tis the poor maniac's song. This, tho' last, not least, was the first attempt at vocal composition by R. A. Smith, followed about the same time by his music to Brown, junr.'s, "Calm dewy morning." The music for "The Maniac" is quite characteristic of the song, and, to show Smith's enthusiasm, he illustrated the sentiment in China ink—the stormy sea, the rugged perpendicular rock, and wild maniac on the brink of the precipice, beating the storm, were so well delineated as to appear almost actual life. Had Smith exercised his talent in painting as much as he did in music, he would have attained eminence. "The Maniac," (the music) I believe, was never printed. The others above were published by the late Mr. Steven, the late Brown, followed by Mitchison, but I am afraid the music copies will not be easily got now. The only gentleman I think likely to give information respecting the plates, or finding copies, are Mr. Lithgow or Mr. Barr, both professors of music in Glasgow, as I understand they were concerned in winding up the affairs of Mr. Mitchison, who probably possessed the plates.

I remain,

Dear Sir,

Your old friend,

JAS. BARR.
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