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Abstract

This thesis studies fault-tolerant operation and generating operation of switched reluctance (SR) machines, paying special attention to the single-phase (1-ph) machine.

Firstly, it is shown that the 1-ph SR machine can be designed to achieve higher efficiency over some poly-phase equivalents. Dynamic simulations and experiments showed that the efficiency of the 1-ph 8/8 prototype was 3 to 5% higher than that of a 4-ph 8/6 machine with the same rotor and stator volumes.

A SR generator controller which regulates the DC-bus voltage in proportion to the speed was proposed for the maximisation of the energy conversion effectiveness. Simulation results showed that the load voltage could be regulated at a constant value of 80 V for a speed range of 3,000 to 10,000 rpm, independently of the DC-bus voltage, with an addition of a simple step-down converter. The controller was implemented and confirmed by experiments with 1-ph 8/8 SR machine rated 0.8 kW at 2,000 rpm.

Fault-tolerant operation of the 1-ph SR generator was discussed. A split-winding converter which requires a few additional components was proposed. The circuit equations under faults were derived. Simulation and experiment confirmed the proposed system could separate faulty coils dynamically and keep generating half the normal power after coil faults.

Furthermore, winding and inverter faults in the 3-ph 6/4 SR motor were analysed by computer simulations. A new lumped-parameter, magnetic equivalent circuit was developed, taking full account of nonlinearity and mutual couplings. The model was
validated by time-stepping finite-element analysis. Many possible faults were analysed for different winding configurations and operating conditions.

Finite element analysis of the multi-teeth per pole SR motor is also included. The number of teeth per pole and tooth shape were analysed by finite-element analysis for a maximum output torque.
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\[ O \quad \text{Open-circuit coil} \]

\[ P \quad \text{Permeance} \quad \text{[Wb/A-turns]} \]

\[ P_{dc} \quad \text{DC input power into converter} \quad \text{[W]} \]

\[ P_{exc} \quad \text{Mean electrical excitation power} \quad \text{[W]} \]

\[ P_{fault} \quad \text{Output power after fault} \quad \text{[W]} \]

\[ P_{in} \quad \text{Input power into motor} \quad \text{[W]} \]

\[ P_m \quad \text{Mechanical output power} \quad \text{[W]} \]

\[ P_{normal} \quad \text{Normal output power} \quad \text{[W]} \]

\[ P_o \quad \text{Generated power} \quad \text{[W]} \]

\[ P_{out} \quad \text{Mean electrical output power} \quad \text{[W]} \]

\[ R \quad \text{Coil resistance} \quad \text{[Ω]} \]

\[ R \quad \text{Reactive energy returned to supply} \quad \text{[J]} \]

\[ R_0 \quad \text{Rotor slot bottom} \quad \text{[m]} \]

\[ R_1 \quad \text{Rotor radius} \quad \text{[m]} \]

\[ R_{1G} \quad \text{Stator inner radius} \quad \text{[m]} \]

\[ R_2 \quad \text{Stator slot bottom} \quad \text{[m]} \]

\[ R_3 \quad \text{Stator radius} \quad \text{[m]} \]

\[ R_g \quad \text{Gap reluctance} \quad \text{[A-turns/Wb]} \]

\[ R_{gap} = R_g + R_{rp} + R_{rt} + R_{sp} + R_{st} \quad \text{[A-turns/Wb]} \]

\[ R_k \quad \text{Reluctance in pole } k \quad \text{[A-turns/Wb]} \]

\[ R_{rp} \quad \text{Rotor pole reluctance} \quad \text{[A-turns/Wb]} \]

\[ R_{rt} \quad \text{Rotor pole tip reluctance} \quad \text{[A-turns/Wb]} \]

\[ R_{ry} \quad \text{Rotor yoke reluctance} \quad \text{[A-turns/Wb]} \]

\[ R_{sh} \quad \text{Shaft radius} \quad \text{[m]} \]

\[ R_{sp} \quad \text{Stator pole reluctance} \quad \text{[A-turns/Wb]} \]

\[ R_{st} \quad \text{Stator pole tip reluctance} \quad \text{[A-turns/Wb]} \]
\( R_{sy} \)  
Stator yoke reluctance  
[A-turns/Wb]

\( R \)  
Reluctance  
[A-turns/Wb]

\( S \)  
South magnetic polarisation

\( S \)  
Total slot area  
[m\(^2\)]

\( S_t \)  
Area of tooth surface per pole  
[m\(^2\)]

\( t \)  
Time  
[sec]

\( t_w \)  
Tooth width

\( t_{pwm} \)  
PWM period  
[sec]

\( T \)  
Total torque  
[Nm]

\( T_1 \)  
Torque produced at pole 1  
[Nm]

\( T_{ave} \)  
Average torque  
[Nm]

\( T_{coil,k} \)  
Torque under fault for case \( k \)  
[Nm]

\( T_{em} \)  
Average electromagnetic torque  
[Nm]

\( T_{ls} \)  
Specific torque

\( U \)  
Total energy supplied  
[J]

\( v_{coil} \)  
Coil voltage  
[V]

\( v_k \)  
Voltage in coil \( k \)  
[V]

\( v_N \)  
Voltage in north magnetic polarity coil  
[V]

\( v_S \)  
Voltage in south magnetic polarity coil  
[V]

\( V_B \)  
Voltage in coil bank B  
[V]

\( V_{DC} \)  
DC-bus voltage  
[V]

\( V_{DC}^* \)  
DC-bus voltage reference  
[V]

\( V_{ext} \)  
External voltage source for initial excitation  
[V]

\( V_{exc} \)  
Excitation voltage source  
[V]

\( V_{iron} \)  
Iron volume  
[m\(^3\)]

\( V_L \)  
Load voltage  
[V]

\( V_L^* \)  
Load voltage reference  
[V]
$V_o$ Nominal DC-bus voltage [V]
$V_o$ Output voltage [V]
$V_r$ Active rotor volume $[m^3]$ 
$V_s$ Supply voltage [V]

$W$ Coenergy [J]
$W_m$ Stored energy [J]
$W_{pole}$ Energy converted in one revolution at one pole [J]
$W_{rev}$ Energy converted in one revolution [J]
$W_e$ Energy conversion per stroke [J]

$\hat{e}$ Unit vector in $x$-axis
$\hat{y}$ Unit vector in $y$-axis
$\hat{z}$ Unit vector in $z$-axis

$\beta$ Pole arc [rad or deg]
$\beta_N$ Normalised pole arc
$\beta_r$ Rotor pole arc [rad or deg]
$\beta_s$ Stator pole arc [rad or deg]

$\varepsilon$ Excitation penalty

$\theta$ Rotor position [rad or deg]
$\theta_{1d}$ Angle at which pole overlap ends [rad or deg]
$\theta_{1u}$ Angle at which pole overlap begins [rad or deg]
$\theta_a$ Aligned angle [rad or deg]
$\theta_{dwell}$ Dwell angle [rad or deg]
$\theta_{ext}$ Angle at which flux reaches zero [rad or deg]
$\theta_{off}$ Turn-off angle [rad or deg]
$\theta_{on}$ Turn-on angle [rad or deg]
$\theta_{peak}$ Angle at which current reaches its peak value [rad or deg]
λ  Tooth pitch

τ  Electromagnetic torque for each airgap [Nm]

τ  Time [sec]

τs  Pole pitch

ϕk  Flux in pole k [Wb]

ψ  Flux-linkage [Vs]

ψph  Phase flux-linkage [Vs]

ω  Rotor speed [rad/sec or rpm]

ωB  Base speed [rad/sec or rpm]

ξ  Ratio of net generated energy to excitation energy

@  Short-circuit coil
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Introduction

In this section, firstly, published papers on switched reluctance (SR) machines are reviewed and works done are summarised. Secondly, important technologies in the SR machine are pointed out, and problems to be investigated are defined, which include fault-tolerant operation, maximisation of energy conversion effectiveness, single-phase SR machine for high efficiency operation, and multi-tooth per pole design for robotics applications. Thirdly, the structure of the thesis is presented, including a description of original contributions.

1.1 SR technology: Advantages, disadvantages and improvements

Since the potential of the SR machine was indicated by Byrne [1] and Lawrenson [2], many works have been done on SR machines and their controllers since the late 1970’s. The advance in SR technology coincides with that in the semiconductor switch and microprocessor technologies. The increasing number of commercial applications seems to indicate that SR technology is ready to expand its potential and to compete with other types of electric drives including those based on induction and permanent magnet machines. Examples of commercial SR machine applications include mining and
other heavy-duty applications by British Jeffrey Diamond; automatic door openers by Besam; fan motors by Emotron; and washing-machine drives by Emerson for Maytag. Apart from commercial applications, prototype SR machine applications include electric vehicle traction motors developed by Aisin Cosmos [3] and Bausch et al. [4]; and a starter/generator system for an aircraft engine application by Ferreira et al. [5].

Evaluations of the capabilities of the SR drive system for rail traction of several hundred kilowatts were published by French [6] and Ray et al. [7]. While French concluded that the total system including converter of the SR drive did not appear to have clear advantages over the induction motor system, Ray et al. indicated the benefit of cost savings with the SR system for a comparable performance. Harris et al. provided a comparable assessment of the SR drive of tens of kilowatts [8]. They concluded that SR motors could be designed to equal the overall performance of the induction motor drive and in the torque/inertia ratio SR motors could be two to three times larger. Miller presented an analysis to determine the converter kVA requirements of the SR drive and compared it with that of the induction motor drive [9].

The features of the SR machine are generally described as:

- Simple and robust construction
  - Absence of permanent magnet
  - Absence of winding on rotor
  - Low material and manufacturing cost
  - Low rotor losses
  - Suitable for high-speed, high-temperature applications

- Simpler converter
  - Unipolar current
  - Fewer switches than in AC drives
  - Low converter cost
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- Fault-tolerance
  - No shoot-through fault
  - Independence between phases
  - No high open-circuit voltage or short-circuit current.

On the other hand, the disadvantages are also described as:

- Higher torque ripple
- Higher noise level
- Requirement of rotor position sensor
- Higher number of connections between motor and converter
- Difficult to design good machine and controller.

Improvements in SR technology

To overcome the above weaknesses, many researchers have been working. The number of publications on the SR machine is very large. Review papers of those publications have also been published [10, 11, 12]. In the following, a brief review of published works on the SR machine are presented to show how the weaknesses listed above have been improved.

Low torque ripple control

Normally, SR motors are operated with the flat-topped current, voltage PWM, or single-pulse control. With these traditional controls, only the average torque is controlled and torque ripples can be significant because of the variation of torque/ampere as the rotor rotates. The limitations of the traditional control make the SR motor difficult to use for servo applications.
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There have been several publications on controlling the instantaneous torque of the SR motor since Ilic-Spong et al. proposed a concept of the instantaneous torque control of the SR drive [13].

Filicori et al. presented a detailed modelling of a SR motor and proposed a cascade controller consisting of a feedforward nonlinear torque compensator and flux or current closed-loop controller.

Husain and Ehsani proposed a torque sharing function between phases using a sinusoidal function for low torque ripple operation when two phases were conducting [14]. Stankovic et al. proposed a torque sharing method for a minimum voltage requirement [15].

Wallace et al. studied SR motors with multiple teeth per pole for direct-drive robot applications [16]. They proposed another torque sharing method. In the method, the phase with the largest torque-per-amp ratio produces the bulk of the total torque, providing low copper loss as well as low torque ripple [17].

Kjaer et al. studied a low torque ripple control method achieving low copper loss at low speeds and low voltage requirement at high speeds [18].

Although those methods will require a higher computing power and a larger memory size for the controller resulting in higher cost, it does not seem to be impractical to achieve low torque ripple operation with the SR drive system for servo applications.

Noise and vibration

Higher noise level and vibration are considered to be the main drawbacks of the SR drive. Cameron et al. experimentally studied the sources of acoustic noise in a 4-ph 8/6 SR motor and identified that the main source was the radial deformation of the stator due to its radial magnetic attraction to the rotor [19].

Colby et al. also studied the vibration and acoustic noise in a 4-ph 8/6 SR motor and
derived an approximate formula to predict the frequency of the fundamental vibration mode [20]. Design considerations to reduce vibration and noise level were also provided.

Wu and Pollock presented a time domain analysis of vibration and acoustic noise in the SR motor [21, 22, 23]. It was shown that most of the vibration and noise were produced at the current commutation point. A switching technique to reduce vibration and noise was proposed and studied. In the technique, a two-stage commutation process is employed. In the first stage, at the current commutation point, one of the switches of the energised phase is opened (zero voltage loop). In the second stage, half a resonant cycle after the first switching, the other switch is opened (negative voltage loop). By doing this, the vibration in the stator cancels out. Experimental data showed a dramatic reduction in the vibration and acoustic noise. The technique was further studied and improvements were reported [24].

The technology to reduce the noise and vibration in the SR drive have been improved. One of the examples of commercial SR drives which is claimed to be quiet is the Maytag washing-machine drive by Emerson. This is an indication that careful design and control could make the SR drive quieter.

**Sensorless control**

In SR motor drives, rotor position sensors are essential since the phase current must be commutated in synchronism with the rotor position. The encoder and resolver are most commonly used, and the Hall sensor and slotted disk may be used for low-cost applications. However, sensors are undesirable because of their cost, size, weight, inertia, number of connections and potential unreliability. In other electric motor drive systems, like DC brushless drive systems or induction motor vector control systems, sensorless drive systems have been becoming common technologies. Such a technology is also required for the SR drive system.

Several methods to eliminate the sensors in the SR drive have been reported. Bass
et al. studied a stabilising technique without the position sensor by modulating the conduction angle in response to the DC supply current [25, 26].

One way to detect the rotor position is to measure the phase inductance since the inductance is a function of the position in the SR machine. Gallegos-Lopez et al. proposed a technique to detect the position where the rotor and stator poles start overlapping [27]. Acarnley et al. detected the rate of change of current in an energised phase to obtain the rotor position [28]. Harris and Lang used a method to inject short voltage pulses into an unenergised phase [29]. Ehsani et al. studied a method using a linear frequency modulation (FM) injecting into unenergised phase [30]. More sophisticated techniques using state observers were also reported [31, 32].

The advance in the sensorless drive technology will expand applications of the SR drive.

**Finite element analysis**

Finite element analysis (FEA) is essential for detailed electromagnetic analysis and design of the SR machine. Several analyses of the SR machine by FEA have been reported and the results were well validated by measurements [33, 34, 35].

Moallem et al. studied the effects of the rotor geometry on the torque by FEA [36]. It was pointed out that the most effective way to increase the average torque was to reduce the air gap length. Two advantages of skewing the rotor were also pointed out: One was that the phase inductance was its maximum positive slope (hence, maximum torque) when the phase was energized. Second, the flatter inductance profile near the aligned position when the phase current was to be commutated allowed a faster drop off of the current.

Jack et al. developed an automated method to produce a set of magnetisation curves using FEA [37]. The method drives FEA including mesh generation, adaptive solution and postprocessing.
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While above mentioned works were on two-dimensional FEA, for more accurate prediction of the performance of the SR machine, three-dimensional FEA may be required.

Williamson and Shaikh compared magnetisation curves calculated by two- and three-dimensional FEA [38]. Three-dimensional FEA results showed a good agreement with experimental results, although the computation time was excessive.

Michaelides and Pollock studied the effect of end core flux on the performance of the SR motor using two- and three-dimensional FEA [39]. They proposed a correction method of two-dimensional FEA results to take into account three-dimensional effects of the end core flux.

Modelling of SR machine

Because of the nonlinear nature of the SR machine, modelling of electromagnetic characteristics and numerical simulations are important for an accurate performance prediction. Several attempts to model the magnetisation curves of the SR machine using mathematical functions have been made.

Byrne and O'Dwyer proposed the use of exponential functions [40]. Torrey and Lang used a different type of exponential functions [41]. Stephenson and Corda utilised a quadratic interpolation method to derive intermediate values from stored discrete magnetisation data [42]. Fulton described a CAD program developed for designing SR machines in [43]. The use of customised cubic splines was proposed by Pulle [44].

While all the methods described above use functions of flux linkage against current with rotor position as a parameter, Miller and McGilp proposed to use functions of flux linkage against rotor position with current as a parameter [45], which was claimed to be more efficient.
1.2 Single-phase SR machines: high-efficiency multipole machine

Most of the SR machines studied, including ones described above, have three or more phases. This is due to the fact that single-phase and two-phase motors require some starting assistant because of the existence of torque dead zones. The torque dead zones may also lead to discontinuous torque, possibly producing large ripples. These disadvantages make the motors difficult to use in applications that require constant torque or speed, for example in computer disk drive, video, or audio equipment. On the other hand, single-phase and two-phase SR motors are advantageous because of the following points:

- Fewer connections between motor and converter
- Fewer switching devices
- Higher inductance ratio between the aligned and unaligned positions.

In the following, firstly, traditional types of low-cost single-phase SR machines are reviewed. Secondly, multi-pole single-phase SR machines for high-efficiency operation, which are the subjects studied in the thesis, are introduced and described.

Traditional low-cost single-phase SR motors

Traditionally, single-phase SR machines have been studied and developed as low-cost motors. Several single-phase SR motor designs have been reported. Early work on the single-phase SR motor was presented by Nasar [46]. He studied a uniformly tapered disk rotor type motor with output power of tens of watts.

An outer rotor, single-coil, radial-axial flux motor was proposed and studied by Bolton et al. for low-power, low-speed and low-cost applications [47, 48]. Further study and modifications of the single-phase motor were reported by Chan [49]. In
the motor, a parking magnet was considered for starting for low starting and friction torque applications. An analysis of a single-phase SR motor which has similar construction but fewer poles was given by Chan and Bolton for sub-kW, wide speed range applications [50].

Compter studied a microprocessor control system of a single-phase SR motor with parking magnets for starting [51]. Two magnets were used to hold the rotor at standstill in the position where sufficient starting torque was obtained. The study aimed to replace the AC series motor in domestic appliances with the SR motor.

Horst developed a single-phase stepped-gap SR motor with one parking magnet [52]. Fig. 1.1 shows the cross-section of the motor. The stator has four poles and windings are wound on two poles. The other two stator poles have the magnet and a Hall sensor or a search coil for rotor position detection, respectively. The magnet and stepped-gap rotor ensure the rotor position to be in the torque producing zone at standstill.

DC-bus voltage boost converters for single-phase SR motors have been studied [53, 54, 55]. The DC-bus voltage is boosted by means of capacitor boost circuits when the phase current builds up and down. The reduced rise and fall time of the motor current leads to a higher output power at a given speed. The simplicity of the single-phase SR motor contributes to the requirement of fewer additional components for the
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implementation of the boosting circuits.

High-efficiency multi-pole single-phase SR machine

Most publications on the SR machine are on poly-phase machines and only limited numbers are on single-phase machines. Furthermore, the properties of single-phase machines with equal numbers of stator and rotor poles appear to have been largely overlooked — even for generators, where self-starting is not required. It is probable that an excessive preoccupation with torque ripple has discouraged the development of these machines, even though torque ripple is not a serious issue in many applications.

Harris et al. introduced a design procedure in which running torque of the SR machine is expressed as a fraction of torque produced by ideal “basic machine” with given tooth/gap geometry and rotor and stator volumes. “The basic machine” is a single-phase machine with equal numbers of stator and rotor poles ($N_s = N_r$). Four factors which limit the ideal torque to an actual value produced by a vernier type SR machine were introduced. The factors are: magnetic saturation; availability of excitation MMF; stator current waveform; and the fraction of the rotor that is interactive on average with the stator. Since these factors are less than one, it indicates that output power of a single-phase SR machine with $N_s = N_r$ will be larger than that of a vernier type machine. They also analysed SR machines with multiple teeth per stator pole. It was shown that those machines have a fundamental advantage over normal single-tooth designs, in that total slot MMF for given torque is substantially reduced\footnote{M. R. Harris et al., private communication}. The multiple teeth per pole design is discussed later in this chapter.

Hayashi and Miller described a multi-pole single-phase SR machine for a solar-powered vehicle application, where higher efficiency over a wide speed and torque range was required and some auxiliary starting method may be used\cite{56}. The multi-pole single-phase SR machine was claimed to have higher efficiency because the larger slot area and shorter flux paths led to lower copper and iron losses. They examined
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In recent years, the concepts of all electric accessory engine (AEE) [58, 59] and multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem.

1.3 SR Generator application

In recent years, the concepts of all electric accessory engine (AEE) [58, 59] and multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem.

In recent years, the concepts of all electric accessory engine (AEE) [58, 59] and multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost resulting from mass production. AEE investigation will reveal the advantages of multi-speed SR machines, starting capability is not a serious problem expecting low-cost result...
low-cost resulting from fewer connections and switching devices still remaining. Although multi-pole single-phase SR machines are not self-starting machines, starting capability is not a requirement for generator applications. Further investigation will reveal the advantages and possible applications of the machine.

1.3 SR generators and fault-tolerance

Generator applications may be suitable for the multi-pole single-phase SR machine since the starting capability is not required and torque ripple may not be a serious problem especially for high-speed applications.

In recent years, the concepts of all electric accessory engine (AEE) [58, 59] and power-by-wire (PBW) [60] for aircraft have been receiving considerable attention. In AEE, an electrical machine is integrated on an engine main shaft and acts as a starter/generator, eliminating mechanical gearboxes, and providing simplicity and design flexibility [59]. In PBW, electric actuators replace the hydraulic and pneumatic actuators currently used in aircraft, providing improved reliability and efficiency, and reduced maintenance [60].

The SR machine is a candidate for these applications because of its simplicity, ruggedness, high-speed capability, and efficiency. The application of the SR machine to the starter/generator system is presented in [61, 62, 63, 64, 5, 65] and seems successful.

Many applications like the aircraft ones require fault-tolerance. Reported studies on the fault-tolerant operation of the SR machine include the experimental work by Stephens [66], unbalanced force analysis by Miller [66], and dual-channel systems by Ferreira and Richter [67] and by Radun et al. [65].

The wind-driven generation of electric power is an important issue in the conservation of fossil fuels and the reduction of pollution. Furthermore, wind is widely available and perhaps the most predictable of natural energy sources [68]. Control methods of
electric generators for wind generation have been studied [69, 70]. In wind generation, variable speed operation is required for an optimum turbine tip-speed ratio, and the turbine spends much of its time in the lower part of its speed range. Therefore, a high efficiency at low speed is required for the electric machine. Fulton and Randall pointed out that the SR machine was advantageous for such applications [71]. Torrey and Hassanin designed a SR generator having 60 rotor poles, which is directly coupled to a wind turbine and is designed to produce 20 kW at a rotor speed of 120 rpm [72].

Although several papers have been published, the control of SR generators is not yet well established compared to that of SR motors. Further research is required to improve performance of SR generators. Detailed studies on faults in SR machines are also needed to reveal fault-tolerance of the machine in generating and motoring operations.

1.4 Multi-tooth per pole SR machine

The SR machine can be designed to have multiple teeth per stator pole and it has an advantage as mentioned before. Two-tooth per pole SR machines were studied by Finch et al. [73] and Lindsay et al. [74]. Hedlund proposed two- and three-tooth per pole designs [75]. Wallace and Taylor designed a multi-tooth SR motor for direct-drive robotics [16]. The number of teeth on the stator and rotor was increased to achieve an electromagnetic gearing and to eliminate a mechanical gear box which increases positioning error and losses.

The Megatorque motor is one of the multi-tooth per pole SR motors commercially available, which is currently manufactured by NSK Ltd., Tokyo, Japan [76, 77]. The motor is designed for low-speed high-torque applications typically for robotics, and was originally developed by Motornetics Corp., California, USA [78]. The motor has a ring shape rotor with 150 teeth and two stators both inside and outside the rotor. It is remarkable that the Megatorque motor is one of the most commercially successful
SR motors in history in spite of its unique design. The number of the Megatorque systems which have been sold is more than 90,000 since 1985 mainly in Japan, USA and Europe.

A few publications on the Megatorque motor are found. Wagner et al. analysed the slot shape of the motor by finite element analysis [79, 80]. A modelling and controller design for the motor were studied by Filicori et al. [81].

Although it is commercially successful and a few publications exist, the design method of the multi-tooth per pole SR motor is not well established. This is because of the existence of many geometrical parameters to be designed. The complexity of the motor geometry and nonlinearity make the use of analytical methods very difficult. A design guidance for such motors is required for certain criteria, e.g. for a maximum output torque.

1.5 Thesis objectives

In this section, the objectives of the thesis are defined.

Required SR technology, regarding especially single-phase machines and multi-teeth per pole machines can be summarised as follows.

The multi-pole single-phase SR machine has a potential for high-efficiency operation with lower cost resulting from fewer connections and switching devices. Further investigation is required to evaluate its advantages.

The multi-pole single-phase SR machine can possibly be applied to generator applications, where starting is not a requirement and the effect of higher torque ripple may be less significant especially at high speed. However, generating operation of the SR machine has not been established compared to motoring operation and research on generating operation is required.

Detailed analysis on faults in SR machines, including motoring and generating
operations, is needed because many applications require fault-tolerance.

Another requirement in SR technology is the establishment of design method of the multi-tooth per pole SR motor.

Therefore, the main objectives of the thesis are defined as follows:

- To investigate the performances of the multi-pole single-phase SR machine compared to poly-phase equivalents.
- To investigate the fault-tolerance of the SR machine.
- To investigate the SR machine, and particularly the single-phase machine, as a generator.
- To analyse an optimum geometry of multi-tooth per pole SR motors for maximum output torque.

The thesis thus includes two extreme examples of the design and application of the SR machine: a simple, high-speed, low-torque single-phase SR generator, and a complex, low-speed, high-torque multi-tooth per pole poly-phase motor.

1.6 Thesis structure

The overall structure of the thesis is described in this section. Fig. 1.3 shows the outline of the thesis structure. The literature related to each one of the topics is reviewed individually in each chapter. The list of the author's publications is given on page 252.

In chapter 2, advantages of multi-pole single-phase SR machines are investigated. The design and test results of prototype 8/8-pole single-phase and 8/6-pole 4-phase SR machines are also included.
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Chapter 3 concerns the SR generator control. A control strategy which maximises the energy conversion effectiveness of SR generators is proposed and investigated. Simulation, experimental results, and implementation of the proposed system are included.

Chapters 4 and 5 concern faults in SR machines.

In chapter 4, fault-tolerant operation of the single-phase SR generator is discussed. A fault tolerant converter topology is proposed and operation under coil faults with the converter is analysed. The analysis is validated by experimental results.

In chapter 5, coil and converter faults in SR motors are analysed in detail by simulation. A new lumped parameter, magnetic equivalent circuit is described. A 3-ph 6/4 SR motor model implemented in Saber™ simulation package is used for the analysis.

In chapter 6, multi-tooth per pole SR motors are analysed by finite element analysis. Firstly, an existing commercial machine the Megatorque motor is analysed and problems in the design are pointed out. Then, an optimum design for the multi-tooth per pole structure is analysed.

Finally, overall conclusions are drawn and some possible future works are discussed in chapter 7.

1.7 Original contributions

The original contributions of the work are listed for each chapter.

Chapter 2 Comparison of single- and poly-phase SR machines

- It describes analytically why the single-phase SR machine can be designed to achieve higher efficiency over poly-phase equivalents.
- It shows using finite element analysis that the poly-phase equivalents have to have wider pole width for a comparable output with the single-phase
machine. This leads to a higher copper loss per output.

- It performs dynamic simulations to confirm the prediction.
- Prototype single-phase 8/8-pole and 4-phase 8/6 SR machines which have comparable geometry are built. Test results using the prototypes validated analysis and simulation results. It shows the single-phase prototype is 5% higher in efficiency.

**Chapter 3** Optimisation control of the energy conversion effectiveness in the SR generator

- It points out how the DC-bus voltage and rotor speed affects the energy conversion effectiveness of SR generators by simulations.
- It proposes a control scheme which regulates the DC-bus voltage proportionally to the rotor speed for a maximum energy conversion effectiveness.
- It proposes a converter topology which regulates the load voltage at a constant value with only one additional switch required.
- It validates functionality of the proposed method by nonlinear computer simulations.
- It implements the proposed SR generator system for a single-phase 8/8-pole SR machine rated at 800W at 2,000 rpm using a microprocessor MC68332.
- It shows a good agreement between the experimental and simulation results.

**Chapter 4** Fault-tolerant operation of the single-phase SR generator

- It proposes a fault tolerant converter topology for the single-phase 8/8-pole SR generator with a minimum number of additional components.
- It shows the reduction in output power for the same coil current when some of the coils are disconnected for several winding configurations analytically and experimentally.
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- Circuit equations under coil faults are derived and digital simulations are performed for a dynamic operation analysis under faults.

- The analytical and simulation results are validated by experiments using the SR generator system developed in chapter 3.

- The results show that the proposed SR generator system can separate faulty coils dynamically and keep generating half the normal power after coil faults.

Chapter 5 Analysis and classification of faults in SR motors

- A new magnetic equivalent circuit of the SR machine is implemented in Saber™ simulation package.

- The model is validated by comparing with time-stepping finite element analysis.

- Possible winding and converter faults are listed and simulated for a 3-phase 6/4-pole SR motor for series and parallel connected phase coils, two pole magnetic configurations, and different operating conditions.

- A detailed analysis of the simulated results are given and the faults are classified in terms of impacts on operation.

Chapter 6 Finite element analysis of the multi-tooth per pole SR motor

- An optimum ratio of tooth width/tooth pitch for a maximum output is analysed by finite element analysis and it confirms previously published results.

- Output torque with different number of teeth per pole is analysed by half pole finite element models. It is found that the optimum number of teeth for a maximum torque varies with saturation level and the number of teeth should be chosen according to a given MMF value.

---

2This was originally proposed by Dr. P. C. Kjaer formerly with SPEED Laboratory and presently with ABB Corporate Research, Sweden.
Practical 5- and 8-teeth per pole models are analysed by finite element analysis. It shows that increasing the number of teeth can be beneficial for increasing the average torque, however, the cost of higher losses and device ratings must be paid.

The work in chapter 3 is published in the paper No. 3 in the list of author's publications in appendix A on page 252. The work in chapter 4 is published in the papers No. 1, 2 and 5. The work in chapter 5 is published in the paper No. 4.

The thesis contributes an advance in SR technology through the findings above.
Chapter 2

Investigation of the advantages of the single-phase SR machine

This chapter investigates the advantages of single-phase switched reluctance machines (SRMs). The analyses demonstrate the potential of single-phase SRMs for a higher efficiency resulting from the larger slot area, lower iron volume and short flux paths. Computer simulations were performed using a CAD program PC-SRD and finite-element analysis. The simulation results agreed with the analytical ones and the efficiency of the single-phase 8/8-pole SRM was 3 to 5% higher than a comparable 4-phase 8/6 SRM. Prototype machines of 8/8 and 8/6 SRMs were built. Dynamic tests of the prototype machines verified the analytical and simulation results. It is concluded that the multi-pole single-phase SRM could be designed for higher performance over poly-phase machines for certain applications where the lack of starting capability is not a serious issue, like generator applications. It contributes a better understanding of single-phase SRMs by following a systematic approach.

2.1 Introduction

The single-phase SRM has been studied for low cost applications. The attractiveness of the single-phase SRM over poly-phase drives is the small number of semiconductor
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switches and wires required, which is typically 2. This leads to a simpler system structure which results in lower cost and higher reliability. Simple outer-rotor type single-phase SRMs were investigated for applications in domestic appliances, where the SRM may be a reasonably strong competitor of the universal motor [49, 51].

Harris et al. proposed a method to assess the output power of normal poly-phase vernier type SRMs by comparing them with “the basic machine”. The basic machine has the same number of rotor and stator poles \( N_r = N_s \), and the same \( N_r \) as the actual machine [82]. The multi-pole single-phase SRM discussed in the present paper is practically the same as the basic machine.

Hayashi et al. compared single-phase and poly-phase SRMs by computer simulations using a CAD software PC-SRD [56] and built a prototype 8/8-pole single-phase SRM for a solar car racing application.

One of the main disadvantages of the single-phase SRM is the lack of starting capability. Several starting methods have been reported [51, 52]. These methods require extra parts and may lead to a higher cost, and sacrifice some degree of output power for starting. Furthermore, the methods are only applicable where the required starting torque is relatively small. The starting issue is considered outside the scope of the thesis. For generators which are possible applications of the SRM [72, 71], starting capability is not a requirement.

The large torque ripple is also a potential drawback of single-phase SRMs. However, high speed makes the influence of torque ripple less significant.

Features of single-phase multi-pole SRMs are discussed in detail through analyses together with computer simulations using PC-SRD and finite-element analysis (FEA). The results are verified by measuring the performance of prototype machines of single-phase 8/8 and 4-phase 8/6 SRMs.
2.2 Analysis of the advantages of the single-phase SRM

In this section, it is shown why the single-phase multi-pole SRM could achieve a higher efficiency over the poly-phase SRM for the same output power.

2.2.1 Output power

For the SRM, the number of strokes per revolution $N_e$ is defined as

$$N_e = mN_r$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where $m$ is the number of phases [83].

The number of active poles per stroke $N_{active}$ is the number of poles which are excited at the same time in one stroke. $N_{active}$ is equal to $N_s = N_r$ for the multi-pole single-phase SRM because all the stator poles are excited simultaneously, while $N_{active} = N_s/m$ for poly-phase ones.

The energy conversion in one revolution $W_{rev}$ is

$$W_{rev} = N_e \times N_{active} \times W_{pole}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

where $W_{pole}$ is the energy conversion in one stroke at one pole. The ratio of $N_eN_{active}$ between the single- and poly-phase SRMs is calculated below. For the single-phase SRM

$$N_eN_{active} = N_s^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

For the poly-phase SRM, substituting $N_e = mN_r$ and $N_{active} = N_s/m$ gives

$$N_eN_{active} = mN_r \frac{N_s}{m} = N_r N_s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

$$N_eN_{active} = mN_r \frac{N_s}{m} = N_r N_s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)
Here, possible $N_r$ is

$$N_r = N_s \pm (N_{active} \times k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

$$= N_s \pm \left( \frac{N_s}{m} \times k \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

$$= \left( 1 \pm \frac{1}{m} \times k \right) N_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

For simplicity, only $k = 1$ is considered here, which covers most of possible designs, and $N_r = (1 \pm 1/m)N_s$. Here, negative sign is for SR machines with $N_s > N_r$ and positive sign is for ones with $N_s < N_r$. However, having a higher number of rotor poles than that of stator poles will result in a lower inductance ratio which may increase the converter volt-ampere requirement and decrease $W_{pole}$, although $N_e$ is increased. Therefore, only machines with $N_s > N_r$ are considered here. This gives that:

$$N_e N_{active} = N_r N_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

$$= \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m} \right) N_s^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

Therefore, the ratio of $N_e N_{active}$ in the poly-phase SRM to the single-phase SRM $K_{sp}$ is

$$K_{sp} = \frac{N_e N_{active \ of \ poly-ph \ SRMs}}{N_e N_{active \ of \ single-ph \ SRMs}} = 1 - \frac{1}{m}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

$K_{sp}$ is shown in Table 2.1 for different SRMs. The difference in $K_{sp}$ shows that a vernier poly-phase SRM could produce only a fraction of output power which a single-phase SRM with the same $N_s$ could, provided that $W_{pole}$ is the same for both SRMs. An analysis on $W_{pole}$ is presented in a later section using finite element analysis. $K_{sp}$ represents the sacrifice in vernier motors for a continuous and starting torque which is normally required [82]. However, for certain applications where the torque ripple and the starting are not critical, e.g. generator applications, the single-phase multi-pole SRM has the potential to be a serious candidate.

The equation (2.11) and Table 2.1 show that the advantage in $K_{sp}$ of the single-phase SRM over the poly-phase one decreases with the increase in the number of
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>SRMs</th>
<th>$K_{sp}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_s = N_r$</td>
<td>Single-phase</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernier</td>
<td>3-phase</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-phase</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-phase</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-phase</td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-phase</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: $K_{sp}$ for different SRMs

phases. For example, $K_{sp}$ of the single-phase 6/6-pole SRM is 1.5 times as large as that of 3-phase 6/4 SRM while that of a single-phase 14/14 SRM is only 1.17 times of that of a 7-phase 14/12 one. The single-phase SRM may be more advantageous for higher speed applications where a lower number of poles is normally chosen.

#### 2.2.2 Pole width

The single-phase SRM is larger in $K_{sp}$ than poly-phase SRMs and the output power is expressed by equation (2.2). Thus, the single-phase SRM could produce a certain amount of output power with smaller $W_{pole}$ than the poly-phase equivalent. Since $W_{pole}$ is a function of the pole arcs the single-phase SRM could have narrower pole arcs when other dimensions are fixed. For the poly-phase machines, the pole arc has to be wider for a higher aligned inductance to compete with the single-phase ones. The single-phase SRM could reduce the losses with the narrower pole arcs.

Fig. 2.1 shows the magnetisation curves calculated by FEA in the aligned and unaligned positions for the single-phase 8/8 and 4-ph 8/6 SRMs whose geometry is identical except for the pole width. For the 8/8 SRM, curves for a pole arc of $\beta = 15.75^\circ$ are shown, which is identical to the prototype single-phase machine described later. For the 8/6 SRM, curves for two cases are shown, one is $\beta = 15.75^\circ$ and the other one is $\beta = 22.40^\circ$ which is also identical to the prototype 4-phase machine described later. Cross-sections of the three SRMs are shown in Fig. 2.2. There is little difference
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SRMs</th>
<th>MMF per pole</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 AT</td>
<td>1400 AT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/8 ($\beta = 15.75^\circ$)</td>
<td>1.21 (100%)</td>
<td>2.24 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/6 ($\beta = 22.40^\circ$)</td>
<td>1.73 (143%)</td>
<td>3.27 (146%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/6 ($\beta = 15.75^\circ$)</td>
<td>1.25 (103%)</td>
<td>2.36 (106%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Energy conversion per stroke per pole [J] (Relative values to the 8/8 SRM with $\beta = 15.75^\circ$ are also shown.)

between the magnetisation curves in the aligned position of the 8/6 and 8/8 SRMs with $\beta = 15.75^\circ$. The unaligned inductance of the 8/6 SRM is approximately 30% lower than that of the 8/8 SRM because of the difference in $N_r$. The energy conversion at a pole per turn with MMF of 1400 AT is 2.24 and 2.36 J for the 8/8 and 8/6 SRMs respectively. The 8/6 SRM is about 6% higher than the 8/8 SRM. This observation proves that the single-phase SRM could produce more output by the ratio of $K_{sp}$ than a poly-phase SRM with an identical pole geometry.

The poly-phase SRM requires wider poles in order to produce a comparable output power with a comparable single-phase SRM. Fig. 2.3 shows the energy conversion/pole/turn with the change in pole arc $\beta$ for the 1-ph 8/8 and 4-ph 8/6 SRMs calculated by FEA. The range of $\beta$ varied was determined for reasonable designs in which the pole width was not too narrow or too wide by the PC-SRD cross-section editor. For both machines, the energy increases proportionally to $\beta$. This is an indication that the increase in the flux-linkage in the aligned position dominates the increase in the amount of energy conversion.

From Fig. 2.3 for the 4-ph 8/6 SRM to have the same output compensating the difference in $K_{sp}$ it may require $\beta$ of 20.6° which is 1.30 times of that of the 1-ph 8/8 with $\beta = 15.75^\circ$. The slot area of the 4-ph 8/6 SRM will be smaller resulting in higher copper losses for the same output power.
2.2.3 The copper loss

The narrower poles increase the slot area and result in lower copper losses. The total slot area $S$ can be calculated from the geometry:

$$ S = (2\pi - \beta_s N_s) R_{1G}d + \pi d^2 $$

(2.12)

where $\beta_s$ is the stator pole arc, $N_s$ is the number of stator poles, $d$ is the stator slot depth $d = R_2 - R_{1G}$, $R_{1G}$ is the stator inner radius and $R_2$ is the stator slot bottom. For the same number of turns per pole, slot fill factor and current, the copper loss is proportional to the inverse of $S$. The change in the copper loss with pole arc $\beta_s$ was calculated and shown in Fig. 2.4. The pole arc is normalised to $\beta_N = \pi/N_s$ and the copper loss is normalised to the loss with $\beta_N$. The dimensions of the prototype single-phase SRM discussed in the later section were used for $R_{1G}$ and $d$. The normalised copper loss vs. normalised stator pole arc is independent of $N_s$ and the result shown is applicable to SRMs with different $N_s$. The normalised copper losses for the single-phase
SRMs are also shown when the pole width is $K_{sp}\beta_N$ of the corresponding poly-phase SRMs. The curve predicts that the copper losses in the single-phase 8/8 SRM is 86% of those of the comparable 8/6 SRM.

### 2.2.4 Iron losses

The iron losses are proportional to the iron volume $V_{iron}$. $V_{iron}$ is expressed as

$$V_{iron} = \{A + (BN_s + C N_r)\beta\} L_{stk} \tag{2.13}$$

where $A = \pi(R_3^2 - R_2^2) + \pi(R_0^2 - R_{sh}^2)$, $B = (R_2^2 - R_{IG}^2)/2$, $C = (R_1^2 - R_0^2)/2$, $\beta$ is the stator and rotor pole arc, $R_{sh}$ is the shaft radius, $R_0$ is the rotor slot bottom, $R_1$ is the rotor radius, $R_{IG}$ is the stator inner radius, $R_2$ is the stator slot bottom and $R_3$ is the stator radius. When the pole width of a poly-phase machine is $1/K_{sp}$ times wider that of a single-phase one, the equation above always gives larger $V_{iron}$ for the poly-phase machine. Lower iron volume will contribute to lower weight and material cost of iron and maybe to lower copper losses.

Fig. 2.5 shows typical flux waveforms in different parts of 4-ph 8/6 and 1-ph 8/8 SRMs [2, 84, 85]. The waveforms in the 1-ph SRM are simpler than those of the 4-ph one although this does not give a clear understanding of the amount of iron losses. The iron losses in the single- and poly-phase machines are calculated by a computer simulation in the later section.

Fig. 2.6 shows the flux paths for both machines (Dimensions are those of prototypes described later.). The 1-ph 8/8 SRM is assumed to have short flux paths. This can be achieved by connecting the coils such that adjacent poles have opposite magnetic polarity. The short flux paths help to reduce the iron losses [86].

The effects of iron losses on overall performance of the 8/8 and 8/6 SRMs may not be clear from the comparison in this thesis as the comparison is restricted at low speeds (under 4,000 rpm).
Figure 2.2: Cross-sections of the 8/8 SRM with $\beta = 15.75^\circ$ and 8/6 SRMs $\beta = 15.75^\circ$ and $22.40^\circ$
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Figure 2.3: Energy conversion vs. pole arc

Figure 2.4: Pole arc vs. copper losses
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Figure 2.5: Flux waveforms in 8/6 and 8/8 SRMs
2.3 Computer simulations

The dynamic performances were simulated by PC-SRD for the single-phase 8/8 and 4-phase 8/6 SRMs except for 6/8 SRMs which were simulated as 6/10 SRMs with $\beta = 15.75^\circ$. The slot fill factor and the number of slots were diameter and the copper weight for the same reason the slot fill factors of the single-phase and 4-phase SRMs were calculated to be two times greater than that of the 6/8 SRMs. Therefore, the difference in the output torque of the 8/8 SRM is 16.4% lower than that of the 6/8 SRM. Fig. 2.7 shows the switching angle when the SRMs are energised two in series. The switching angle is calculated for a given current value. With the control model, the current is adjusted to its maximum stroke. Thus, most of the torque is utilised and the difference in performance is the amount of energy conversion available in both SRMs respectively. The current waves are regular for all three SRMs. The performance calculated by simulation is shown in Figs. 2.9, 2.10 and 2.11. The simulations were carried out with coil currents of 20 and 40A which correspond to the current waves shown in Fig. 2.8.
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2.3 Computer simulations

The dynamic performances were simulated by PC-SRD for the single-phase 8/8 and 4-ph 8/6 SRMs. The cross-section of both machines are shown in Fig. 2.6 and the geometry is shown in Table 2.3. The parameters are identical for both SRMs except for \( N_r \) and \( \beta \). For the same average torque as a single-phase 8/8 SRM with \( \beta = 15.75^\circ \), a 4-ph 8/6 SRM should require \( 15.75^\circ/K_{sp} = 21.00^\circ \), where \( K_{sp} = 0.75 \) for a 4-ph 8/6 SRM. However, \( \beta \) of the simulated 4-ph 8/6 SRM model is 22.40° and 7% wider than this value. This is because that for the simulation identical pole arcs to prototype machines described in the next section are chosen for a comparison between simulation and experiment. From the FEA result shown in Fig. 2.3, the increase in \( \beta \) by 7% will increase the output torque by 8% and the copper loss by 3%. The slot fill factor was set at 0.4 and the wire diameter was determined from the slot area, the slot fill factor and the number of turns per pole. The calculated wire diameter and the copper weight for the single-phase SRM are 9.5% and 18.5% larger respectively because of the difference in the slot area. The coil resistance of the single-phase SRM is 16.4% lower than that of the 4-ph SRM. For both SRMs, phase coils are connected two in series. Therefore, the number of parallel paths in a phase is four and one for the single-phase and 4-phase SRMs respectively. The mag-curves in the aligned and unaligned positions were calculated by FEA and imported into PC-SRD for accurate calculations [45]. The output torque, efficiency and losses were calculated with the current control drive. The switching angles were selected for a maximum torque production with a given current value. With this control most of the coenergy available can be utilised.

Figs. 2.7 and 2.8 show the simulated waveforms for the 8/8 and 8/6 SRMs respectively. The currents are regulated at constant value throughout the stroke. Thus, most of \( i - \psi \) loops are utilised and the difference in the amount of energy conversion available in both SRMs will directly affect the performance calculated.

The performance calculated by simulation are shown in Figs. 2.9, 2.10 and 2.11. The simulations were carried out with coil currents of 20 and 40A which correspond
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>8/8 SRM</th>
<th>8/6 SRM</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shaft radius</td>
<td>$R_{sh}$</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor slot bottom</td>
<td>$R_0$</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor radius</td>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator inner radius</td>
<td>$R_{1G}$</td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator slot bottom</td>
<td>$R_2$</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator radius</td>
<td>$R_3$</td>
<td>80.96</td>
<td>80.96</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack length</td>
<td>$L_{stk}$</td>
<td>50.04</td>
<td>50.04</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap</td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole arc</td>
<td>$\beta = \beta_s = \beta_r$</td>
<td>15.75°</td>
<td>22.40°</td>
<td>deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turns per pole</td>
<td>$N_p$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot area</td>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$5.623 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$4.689 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>mm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot fill factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.263</td>
<td>2.065</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper weight</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.803</td>
<td>1.521</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.0335</td>
<td>Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel paths/phase</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: Geometry of simulated SRMs

to MMFs per pole of 700 and 1,400AT in Fig. 2.1. The currents did not reach the regulation set point over 3,000rpm. The average torques for both SRMs are comparable and the difference is less than 6% for the range of speed. The data in Tables 2.1 and 2.2 predicts approximately 10% higher output for the 8/6 SRM with 40A of coil current. The dynamic simulation and the static analysis agree with each other. The efficiency of the 8/8 SRM is 3% to 5% higher than that of the 8/6 SRM. The copper losses of the 8/8 SRM are approximately 85% of that of the 8/6 one because of the lower coil resistance. The reduction in the copper losses is close to the predicted one in Fig. 2.4. The iron losses of the 8/8 SRM are less than half of those of the 8/6 one. The short flux paths can be effective for reducing the iron losses.
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Figure 2.7: Simulated waveforms of the single-phase 8/8 SRM
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(a) Current and torque waveforms

(b) $i - \psi$ loop

Figure 2.8: Simulated waveforms of the 4-phase 8/6 SRM
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Figure 2.9: Simulation result (1/3), Average torque

Figure 2.10: Simulation result (2/3), Efficiency
Figure 2.11: Simulation result (3/3), Losses at coil current=40A
2.4 Validation with prototype machines

Dynamic tests were carried out for the validation of the analyses and simulations using prototype machines.

2.4.1 The prototype machines

Prototype machines of the 8/8 and 8/6 SRMs were built [56]. The design of the 8/8 SRM is identical to that in the simulation while the design of the 8/6 SRM is slightly different. This was because commercially available laminations were used from a cost point of view. The design of the 8/6 SRM together with that of the 8/8 SRM is shown in Table 2.4. The cross-sections are identical to those in Fig. 2.6. One of the main differences in the designs is that the airgap $g$ of the 8/6 SRM is 1.20 times larger than that of the 8/8 SRM. The smaller rotor radius makes the rotor volume smaller. The rotor volume of the 8/6 SRM is 0.84 times of that of the 8/8 SRM. These give the 8/6 SRM a slight disadvantage for the amount of output power. The ratios of stator yoke thickness to the stator pole width are 1.086 and 0.995 for the 8/8 and 8/6 SRMs respectively. The ratios of rotor yoke thickness to the rotor pole width are 1.660 and 0.725 for the 8/8 and 8/6 SRMs respectively. The rotor yoke thickness of the 8/8 is 1.75 times wider because a thinner shaft is used. The saturation level in the stator and rotor yoke sections in both SRMs should be lower compared to that in poles as the yoke thickness is more than half the pole width. Since the number of turns per pole of the 8/6 SRM is 1.486 times of that of the 8/8, a current required for the same pole MMF for the 8/6 SRM is 0.673 times for the 8/8 SRM. On the other hand, the coil resistance of the 8/6 SRM is 2.235 times of that of the 8/8. Therefore, an estimated ratio of copper loss of the 8/6 SRM to the 8/8 SRM is roughly $0.673^2 \times 2.235 = 1.012$ for the same pole MMF. It is expected that copper losses in both SRMs are approximately the same for the same pole MMF.

Fig. 2.12 shows photographs of the rotors and stators of the prototypes. Differences
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>4-ph 8/6</th>
<th>1-ph 8/8</th>
<th>Ratio of 8/6 to 8/8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_{sh}$</td>
<td>14.89 mm</td>
<td>9.42 mm</td>
<td>1.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_0$</td>
<td>26.67 mm</td>
<td>30.00 mm</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>41.28 mm</td>
<td>45.00 mm</td>
<td>0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{1G}$</td>
<td>41.58 mm</td>
<td>45.25 mm</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_2$</td>
<td>64.84 mm</td>
<td>67.50 mm</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_3$</td>
<td>81.00 mm</td>
<td>80.96 mm</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{stk}$</td>
<td>50.04 mm</td>
<td>50.04 mm</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.254 mm</td>
<td>1.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_s$</td>
<td>22.40°</td>
<td>15.75°</td>
<td>1.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_r$</td>
<td>22.57°</td>
<td>16.00°</td>
<td>1.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_p$</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot fill factor</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>1.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot area</td>
<td>4.706x10³ mm²</td>
<td>5.622x10³ mm²</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire diameter</td>
<td>1.628 mm</td>
<td>2.053 mm</td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper weight</td>
<td>1.355 kg</td>
<td>1.485 kg</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil resistance</td>
<td>0.076 Ω</td>
<td>0.034 Ω</td>
<td>2.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel paths/phase</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase resistance</td>
<td>0.152 Ω</td>
<td>0.017 Ω</td>
<td>8.941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4: Design of prototype 4-ph 8/6 SRM

in the stator diameter, bore and pole width may be seen in Fig. 2.12 (a). The identical stack length and a slight difference in diameters of the rotors may also be seen in Fig. 2.12 (b).

Fig. 2.13 shows the measured magnetisation curves of the prototype machines. The curves match with the FEA results in Fig. 2.1 well. The magnetisation curves suggest the difference in design between the simulation and prototype is negligible.
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(a) Stators (left: 1-ph 8/8, right: 4-ph 8/6)

(b) Rotors (top: 1-ph 8/8, bottom: 4-ph 8/6)

Figure 2.12: Photographs of the prototypes
Figure 2.13: Measured magnetisation curves of the prototypes
2.4.2 Experimental setup and results

Fig. 2.14 shows the dynamometer used for the measurement of the performance of the prototypes. The test machines were mechanically coupled with a vector controlled induction motor. While the switching angles and current level were controlled in the test machines, the speed was maintained at constant values by the speed controller in the vector controller which controls the breaking torque produced by the induction motor. The DC input power to the converter $P_{dc}$ was measured by DC voltmeter and ammeter. The converter losses $L_{cnv}$ were estimated from the datasheet of the IGBTs and power diodes for the test conditions. The input power to the test SRMs $P_{in}$ is calculated as

$$P_{in} = P_{dc} - L_{cnv}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)

The mechanical output power $P_{m}$ was calculated by measuring the mean output torque of the SRMs with a torque transducer. The mechanical and windage losses $L_{m}$ were calculated from the torque when the system runs at constant speeds with the SRM converter switched off. The copper losses $L_{c}$ were calculated from the winding resistances and the current waveforms measured with a digital storage oscilloscope. The iron losses $L_{i}$ were calculated as

$$L_{i} = P_{dc} - P_{m} - L_{cnv} - L_{c} - L_{m}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

An example of the current waveform under the measurement is shown in Fig. 2.15. The current is regulated at a reference value of 20 A corresponding to a pole MMF of 700 AT by the PWM current regulator.

Fig. 2.16 (a) shows the measured output torque with a pole MMF of 700 AT. The value is shown on a torque per pole pair basis. The torques produced by both SRMs are comparable over the range of speed under 2,500 rpm. The cause of the drop in torque of the 8/8 SRM at 3,000 rpm was that the supply voltage was insufficient to overcome the back-EMF.
The measured motor efficiencies are shown in Fig. 2.16 (b). The 8/8 SRM is higher in efficiency by 3% than the 8/6 one, which is close to the PC-SRD simulation results.

Fig. 2.17 (a) and (b) shows the measured motor losses per pole pair in the 8/8 and 8/6 SRMs respectively. The copper losses are at the same level for the both SRMs as expected. The difference in the iron losses leads to the difference in the total losses. The short flux paths and simpler flux shape lead to smaller iron losses. The slot fill factor of the 8/8 SRM is 0.329 which is 89% of that of the 8/6 SRM. The 8/8 SRM is able to reduce its copper losses by about 10% and achieve higher efficiency than the experimental result by achieving the same slot fill factor as the 8/6 SRM.

The iron loss of the 8/8 SRM was expected to decrease at 3,000 rpm as the current does not reach its set point because of a high back-EMF. However, Fig. 2.17 (a) shows that the total loss of the 8/8 SRM suddenly increases at 3,000 rpm resulting in the calculated iron loss also increasing. For torque and speed measurement a Vibro-meter TM207 torque transducer was used, whose rated torque was 10 Nm, accuracy was 0.1 Nm and speed resolution was 4 rpm. While the error in speed measurement should be less than 0.2% at 3,000 rpm, the error in torque measurement is about 15% as torque
drops at 3,000 rpm. The error in torque may have contributed the increased total and iron losses obtained in Fig. 2.17 (a). This is a limitation of the laboratory equipment available, although the error may be reduced by using a torque transducer with lower rated torque. Larger torque ripple of the 8/8 SRM may be one of the possible sources of the error.
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Figure 2.16: Measured torque and efficiency
(a) 1-ph 8/8 SRM

(b) 4-ph 8/6 SRM

Figure 2.17: Measured losses/pole pair
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2.5 Conclusion

This chapter has investigated the advantages of the single-phase multi-pole SRM over poly-phase equivalents. The single-phase SRM can achieve a higher efficiency over poly-phase SRMs. The main reason for this is that the dynamic rotor utilisation factor is larger; hence, the pole width can be designed narrower for a given output; which in turn leads to a wider slot area and a smaller amount of iron, resulting in lower copper and iron losses. The analytical and simulation results agreed with each other and the efficiency of the single-phase 8/8-pole SRM was 3 to 5% higher than a comparable 4-phase 8/6 SRM. The dynamic and static tests of the prototype machines confirmed the analyses and simulations.

The analysis in the chapter does not include 2-phase SRMs and poly-phase SRMs with \( N_r > N_s \). The 2-phase SRM does not have starting capability with the regular pole design, although it is possible to design self starting 2-phase SRMs with irregular designs such as the stepped gap and snail-cam. The irregular 2-phase SRM was not discussed as it may sacrifice the inductance ratio [83] resulting in a poor energy conversion capability. The regular 2-phase SRM may have either \( N_s = 2N_r \) or \( N_s = N_r \). The short flux paths configuration can be obtained with \( N_s = N_r \). For both cases, the number of active poles per stroke \( N_{active} \) is \( N_s/2 \) and the number of strokes per revolution \( N_e \) is \( N_s \). Therefore, \( N_e N_{active} \) of the regular 2-phase SRM is always half that of the multi-pole single-phase SRM. This results in the regular 2-phase SRM having a significant disadvantage where energy conversion is concerned, although the energy conversion per stroke at a pole \( W_{pole} \) may be larger. Careful design of poly-phase SRMs with \( N_r > N_s \) may provide good performance as \( N_e \) can be increased although this in turn may increase the unaligned inductance. Further research on poly-phase SRMs with \( N_r > N_s \) may be required.

It can be concluded that the multi-pole single-phase SRMs could be designed for higher performances over some poly-phase machines for certain applications where the lack of starting capability and the high torque ripple are not serious issues, like
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generator applications. The study contributes a better understanding of the single-phase SRMs by following a systematic approach.
Chapter 3

Optimisation Control of Energy Conversion Effectiveness in the Switched Reluctance Generator

This chapter describes a method for controlling the switched reluctance generator (SRG) in order to maintain its current waveform close to the ideal "flat-top" shape, even when the speed of the prime mover varies over a wide range. This strategy maximises the energy conversion effectiveness of the SRG. Because the generated current waveform can not be controlled by chopping, it is necessary to vary the DC-bus voltage in proportion to the speed. In order to achieve a constant output voltage, it may be necessary to add a DC/DC converter at the output. The chapter includes simulation results and details of the design and test of a controller for a single-phase 8/8 SRG rated 0.8 kW at 2,000 rpm.

3.1 Introduction

The switched reluctance (SR) machine has been studied for generator applications especially where robustness, high speed and fault tolerance are important [5, 63, 87, 64, 62]. It has also been studied for wind energy generation [71, 72], in which the speed
range is wide and the operating speed is in the lower part of it for the majority of the time [68, 69].

The objective of the SRG control is normally to keep the DC-bus voltage at a desired value with the maximum efficiency. Several control methods of the SRG have been reported [5, 87, 88, 89, 61, 90, 91, 92]. A method for improving the overall drive efficiency of the SR motor with a variable supply voltage was reported in [93].

This chapter describes a control scheme of the SRG in which the DC-bus voltage is controlled with the rotor speed. With the SRG the current after turning-off the switches can not be controlled and is determined by the relationship between DC-bus voltage and back-EMF, which is a function of speed, current and machine parameters. The energy conversion by the SR machine is expressed by the current locus on the $i$-$\psi$ plain. The ideal “flat-top” current which keeps a constant value from aligned to unaligned position maximises the energy conversion effectiveness with a given current whose amplitude is usually limited by converter ratings. It discusses a method to control the current waveform in order to suppress the peak current and maximise the energy conversion by controlling the DC-bus voltage of the SRG. The single-pulse control is used here. The switching angles are controlled in order to keep the DC-bus voltage at a command value. The angles are determined from the requirement of generating power by an analytical model called “the inverse machine model” proposed in [91].

A DC/DC converter may be necessary between the proposed SRG system and a load, which requires a constant voltage supply in many cases, since the DC-bus voltage changes with speed.

The effectiveness of the proposed method is first shown by simulation including the DC/DC converter stage. The proposed controller is implemented using a microcontroller “Motorola MC68332”. The experimental results confirm the validity of the control scheme in transient states as well as steady state.
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3.2 Review of SRG control

This section reviews literature on the SRG control. The control objective of the SRG is normally to control the DC-bus voltage at a desired value with the maximum efficiency. Fig. 3.1 shows a typical SRG control system. The DC-bus voltage $V_{DC}$ is feedback and compared to its reference value $V_{DC}^*$. The controller regulates control variables such as the turn-on/off angles $\theta_{on}/\theta_{off}$ and current set point $I_{HI}$. The controller may use $V_{DC}$ and the rotor speed $\omega$ as input parameters. The SRG, which represents a converter and a SR machine in Fig. 3.1, generates current $I_o$. The difference between $I_o$ and the load current $I_L$ charges the filter capacitor $C$, the charge of which determines $V_{DC}$.

The necessity for a controller for the SRG was shown by Radun. He showed in [87] analytically that the SRG with fixed firing angles was unstable and the DC-bus voltage may increase or decrease exponentially depending on the load.

Relatively little has been reported on the design of the SRG controller. MacMinn [61] proposed a control scheme in which the turn-off occurs when the phase current reaches a "reference turn-off value" and the turn-on angle is controlled linearly with the difference between the reference value and actual value of the DC-bus voltage (Fig. 3.2 (a)). He showed the linearity between the turn-on angle and generated current by simulation and experiment. When the requirement of generating power is low another mode of control is introduced. This is because that the scheme keeps the current high even when the generating current required is small and this leads to reduced efficiency. In
the low-power mode, the turn-on angle is fixed and dwell angle is controlled for the required output. The system efficiency of over 80% was reported at a speed of 47,000 rpm and generating power of over 20 kW. Experimental results of the regulator’s response to step changes in load were also reported. A good linear relationship seems to be achieved with the scheme. However, no explanation was given for determining the turn-off current level and turn-on angle and this may be the key element of the scheme.

Ferreira et al. controlled the output power by regulating the phase current level with fixed turn-on and turn-off angles [5]. The control is similar to the current chopping control in motoring mode; both power switches are on when the current is below the threshold and the switches are off when the current is above the threshold (Fig. 3.2 (b)). The threshold is the output of PI controller of the DC-bus voltage. They reported that if only the angle control was used, the output current is very sensitive to small changes in the turn-on and turn-off angles and poor voltage control would result in practice. A good linear relationship between the current command and the output power was shown. The system efficiency of over 85% was reported at 41,000 rpm for the load of 20 to 30 kW. This scheme is simple to implement but the relationship between the threshold of the phase current and the output power must be obtained by experiment or simulation prior to implementation.

Cameron et al. [89] studied the control of an SRG system in electric power systems
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by simulation. The power system includes the SRG and inverter, a filtering capacitor, a
distribution network and a load. The network comprises a series resistor and inductor,
while the load comprises a parallel resistor, capacitor and power sink. The average
output current as a function of the turn-on and turn-off (dwell) angles was computed
and mapped for the DC-bus voltage by simulation. For the DC-bus controller the
turn-on angle is fixed and the turn-off angle is chosen to produce a desired current.
The key feature here is that the DC-bus voltage controller which is designed to include
the dynamics of the power system network.

Radun [90] proposed a scheme to linearise the relationship between the switching
angles and the average generated current. When the DC-bus voltage $V_{DC}$ increases the
average generated current increases with constant switching angles. This nonlinearity
is compensated for by introducing the quantity of $V_{DC}/V_0$, where $V_0$ represents the
nominal DC-bus voltage. The turn-on and turn-off angles, that are determined from
the function $F(\theta_{on}, \theta_{off})$, are multiplied by $V_{DC}/V_0$:

$$I_{ave} = \frac{V_{DC}}{V_0} F(\theta_{on}, \theta_{off}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

With this scheme, it was claimed that a linearised relationship between the switching
angles and the average generated current was obtained over a wide range of the DC-bus
voltage.

The use of the soft-chopping was proposed by Stephens et al. to control the current
of the SRG at relatively low and medium speed where the current decreases with the
application of the negative DC voltage [88]. Both switches are turned on at the turn-on
angle and remain on until the current reaches the upper hysteresis level. When the
current reaches the upper hysteresis level both switches are turned off and the current
decreases. One switch is turned on when the current reaches the lower hysteresis level
and the current freewheels through that device and the corresponding freewheeling
diode. The process repeats until the turn-off angle is reached. This scheme is expected
to reduce chopping frequency and hence reduce switching losses and result in lower
current ripple.
Kjaer et al. derived an "inverse model" of the SRG which gives an analytical expression for the generated power. It was shown that the amount of energy generated with the SRG is determined only by the turn-off angle and the turn-off current level at a given speed and DC-link voltage and chopping is not necessary [91]. The limitation here is that the analysis assumed linear magnetic characteristics in the SR machine.

A technique to improve the efficiency of the SRG by on-line reduction of the reactive power flow was discussed by Kjaer et al. in [92]. In steady state, the turn-off angle is used to seek an optimum operating point while the DC-link voltage is regulated at a reference value by changing the turn-on angle.

All work described here assumes to control $V_{DC}$ at a constant value. However, a constant $V_{DC}$ will limit the speed range of the generating operation since the back-EMF is a function of speed. Some method of control which enables wide speed range operation is required especially for applications where the speed of prime mover varies over a wide range, like wind turbine generations.

### 3.3 Control of the current

#### 3.3.1 Current waveform of SRG

An example of idealised current waveforms of the SRG with the single pulse control is shown in Fig. 3.3. The angles used are defined in Table 3.1. The peak occurs either at $\theta_{\text{off}}$ or $\theta_{\text{id}}$. Fig. 3.3 (a) shows the case where the current increases after turn-off the switches at $\theta_{\text{off}}$, when the back-EMF in the coil is larger than the DC-bus voltage $V_{DC}$. In (b), the back-EMF and $V_{DC}$ balance and the current stays constant until the pole overlap ends at $\theta_{\text{id}}$. In (c) the back-EMF is smaller than $V_{DC}$ and the current decreases after $\theta_{\text{off}}$. 
The excitation penalty $\varepsilon$ is defined as 

$$\varepsilon = \frac{P_{exc}}{P_{out}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

where $P_{exc}$ is the mean electrical excitation power and $P_{out}$ is the mean electrical output power. The waveform of (a) has the smallest $\varepsilon$ and (c) has the largest. If the net generated current is the same in all the three cases, the current waveform of (a) is preferred since smaller excitation penalty reduces losses.

Fig. 3.4 shows the loci of the currents on the $i$-$\psi$ plain when the peak values are the same. The energy converted by the SRG is proportional to the area enclosed by the loci. When the peak value of the current is limited, (b) is expected to generate the most energy.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{on}$</td>
<td>Turn-on angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_a$</td>
<td>Aligned position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{off}$</td>
<td>Turn-off angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{id}$</td>
<td>Angle at which pole over-lap ends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{ext}$</td>
<td>Angle at which the flux reaches zero</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Definition of the angles

![Diagram](image)

Figure 3.4: Energy conversion loop

3.3.2 Conditions for the current waveforms

In the idealised non-saturable machine the voltage equation of SRG is

$$ V_{DC} = L \frac{di}{dt} + e \quad (3.3) $$

$$ e = kW \quad (3.4) $$

$$ k = \frac{dL}{d\theta} \quad (3.5) $$

where $e$ is the back-EMF. The speed at which $e = V_{DC}$ with rated current is called the base speed [83].

If $V_{DC}$ is controlled as $V_{DC} = e$ the current keeps a constant value from the period from $\theta_{off}$ to $\theta_{id}$ provided $\theta_{off} > \theta_a$. The thesis proposes to control $V_{DC}$ with speed
in order to maximise the energy conversion effectiveness by keeping the condition of $V_{DC} = e$.

### 3.3.3 Peak value of the current

For case (a) in Fig. 3.3, the peak occurs at $\theta_{peak} = \theta_{1d}$ and expressed as:

$$i_{peak} = \frac{\psi_{peak}}{L_u} = \frac{1}{L_u} \frac{V_{DC}}{\omega} (\theta_{ext} - \theta_{1d})$$

where $L_u$ is the unaligned inductance and $\theta_{dwell}$ is the dwell angle ($\theta_{dwell} = \theta_{off} - \theta_{on}$). This equation shows that an earlier turn-off angle gives a smaller peak current for a fixed dwell angle (excitation period).

For case (b) the peak occurs at $\theta_{peak} = \theta_{off}$:

$$i_{peak} = \frac{\psi_{peak}}{L_{peak}} = \frac{1}{L_{peak}} \frac{V_{DC}}{\omega} \theta_{dwell}$$

where $L_{peak}$ is the phase inductance at $\theta_{off}$:

$$L_{peak} = L'(\theta_{1d} - \theta_{off}) \quad (3.8)$$

This also shows that an earlier turn-off angle gives a smaller peak current for a fixed excitation period.

### 3.3.4 Proposed control of the SRG

The current waveform of SRG may be controlled as follows:
• The current waveform should resemble (a) in Fig. 3.3 when the generating current is small and the peak current, which is limited by the system, is less than its maximum value in order to minimise the losses. $V_{DC}$ may be maintained at a nominal value.

• The current waveform should resemble (b) after the peak current reaches its maximum value in order to utilise the maximum energy available for a given maximum current. $V_{DC}$ should be regulated to keep $V_{DC} = e$.

The drawback of the scheme is the necessity of an extra voltage regulator or DC/DC converter between the proposed SRG system and a constant voltage load. However, a simple step-down converter may be sufficient as shown in the following section. The thesis' limitation is that it does not try to reduce $e$ but to achieve the flat-top current for a maximum energy conversion. The method of controlling output power used here (the single-pulse switching angle control) may not be optimum. For better control further studies may be required.

### 3.3.5 Current waveform and generating energy

Fig. 3.5 shows an example of the current waveforms of the SRG as calculated by PC-SRD [57] for a speed of 10,000 rpm. A prototype 0.8 kW single-phase 8/8-pole SR machine was used for the simulation, with phase resistance = 0.016 Ω and aligned/unaligned inductance = 1.94/0.21 mH. Fig. 3.6 shows the loci of the currents on the $i - \psi$ plain together with measured magnetisation curves. Three cases are shown with $V_{DC} = 100$, 270 and 350 V. The turn-off angles were adjusted to limit the peak value of the current around 65 A while the turn-on angles were kept almost constant. The conditions and data calculated are summarised in Table 3.2, where $I_o$ is the net generated current, and $P_o$ is the power generated. The switching angles are shown in electrical degrees.

The current at $V_{DC} = 100$ V corresponds to (a), 270 V to (b) and 350 V to (c) in
Fig. 3.3. The excitation penalty with $V_{DC}=100$ V is 0.256 and approximately half that with 350 V. The power generated with $V_{DC}=270$ V is 3.11 kW, which is 2.6 times that with 100 V and 1.7 times that with 350 V. This is apparent from Fig. 3.6. The case of $V_{DC}=270$ V utilises most of the possible energy conversion with the given current (65 A), while the others do only part of that.

This observation supports the necessity of the DC-bus voltage control for a maximum energy conversion with the SRG system.
3.3.6 Consideration on PWM control

The voltage PWM control can be used to regulate the current at a desired value by varying the average applied voltage in the SRG. However, many applications the SRG is likely to be used operate at very high speed which may make the application of PWM difficult. Another disadvantage of using PWM is that the excitation penalty is higher and operational speed range is narrow. This is analysed in the following. In the analysis the semiconductor switches and diodes are assumed to be ideal and voltage drops in those devices are neglected. The inductance profile is assumed to be linear. It is assumed that the turn-on is just before the aligned position and the current reaches its set point in the aligned position. The turn-off angle is assumed to be the position where the inductance reaches its minimum value.

In one PWM period the excitation current corresponds to the area $A_1$ and the generated current corresponds to $A_2$ in Fig. 3.7. Assuming that the duty cycle $d$ is constant over a commutation period and the current ripple due to PWM is negligible
Figure 3.7: PWM control compared to its average peak value $i_p$,

$$A_1 = D_1 t_{pwm} i_p$$

$$A_2 = D_2 t_{pwm} i_p$$

(3.9)

where $D_1 = d$, $D_2 = 1 - d$ and $t_{pwm}$ is the inverse of PWM frequency $t_{pwm} = 1/f_{pwm}$.

The net generated current in one PWM period $I_{o,pwm}$ is:

$$I_{o,pwm} = A_2 - A_1$$

$$= (D_2 - D_1) t_{pwm} i_p$$

(3.10)

In the analysis, the initial excitation current (area indicated as (1) in Fig. 3.7) and the generated current after the turn-off angle (area indicated as (2)) are neglected. The ratio between the excitation energy and net generated energy $\xi$ for the flat-top current with PWM is defined as:

$$\xi = \frac{D_1}{D_2 - D_1}$$

$$= \frac{d}{1 - 2d}$$

(3.11)

(3.12)
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During the excitation period, the circuit equation for a phase is expressed as follows:

\[ V_{DC} = L \frac{di_{exc}}{dt} - e \]  

(3.13)

where the resistance of coil is neglected and the back-EMF \( e \) is

\[ e = i \left| \frac{dL}{d\theta} \right| \omega \]

where \( \omega \) is the rotor speed. For generating operation \( dL/d\theta \) is always negative and for clarity the negative sign is placed outside \( e \). It should be noted that for the PWM control the condition of \( |V_{DC}| > |e| \) must be always true otherwise the generated current is uncontrollable and increases during the freewheeling period. Since the current increases during the excitation period,

\[ L \frac{di_{exc}}{dt} = V_{DC} + e > 0. \]  

(3.14)

During the generating period, the equation is:

\[ -V_{DC} = L \frac{di_{gen}}{dt} - e \]  

(3.15)

and

\[ L \frac{di_{gen}}{dt} = -V_{DC} + e < 0. \]  

(3.16)

Assuming that the change in \( L \) in a PWM period is small and neglected, in order to keep the average current constant over a PWM period,

\[
\begin{align*}
\left| \frac{di_{exc}}{dt} \times D_1 t_{pwm} \right| &= \left| \frac{di_{gen}}{dt} \times D_2 t_{pwm} \right| \\
|V_{DC} + e| D_1 &= |-V_{DC} + e| D_2 \\
(V_{DC} + e) D_1 &= (V_{DC} - e) D_2.
\end{align*}
\]

By rearranging the equation above we obtain:

\[ d = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{e}{V_{DC}} \right). \]  

(3.17)

From equation (3.12) if \( d \geq 0.333 \) then \( \xi \geq 1 \). In order to generate net energy which is greater than the excitation energy the condition of \( d < 0.333 \) must be satisfied.
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Substituting this condition into equation (3.17) gives the condition of \( e > 0.333V_{DC} \). Therefore, the condition to generate net power more than the power used for excitation with the PWM control is:

\[
0.333 \, V_{DC} < e < V_{DC}
\]

and

\[
0.333 \, \omega_B < \omega < \omega_B
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

where \( \omega_B \) is the base speed.

The result indicates that to generate net power more than the excitation power with PWM control the rotor speed must be higher than a third of the base speed and less than the base speed (speed range of 1:3). For applications which require wider operating speed range PWM control does not appear to be suitable.
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Net generated current in one phase during a stroke
\( I_0 \)
turn-on angle
\( \theta_{on} \)
turn-off angle
\( \theta_{off} \)
aligned angle
\( \theta_{id} \)
angle at which overlap between poles finishes
\( L_a \)
aligned inductance
\( L_u \)
unaligned inductance
\( L' \)
inductance gradient with angle
\( L_{off} \)
inductance at turn-off angle

Table 3.3: List of principal symbols

3.4 Inverse machine model of SRG

For the simulation and implementation of the SRG system described in the following sections, the inverse machine model originally proposed by Kjaer et al. in [91] was used as the control low to determine the switching angles from generating current requirement. The scheme was chosen because it provides an analytical expression of generated power as a function of the switching angles, while other schemes described before all require simulations or experiments to determine the switching angles and/or current set point for required generating power prior to the implementation of the system.

In this section, the theory of the control using the inverse machine model is briefly reviewed. The detailed theory and derivation of the model are described in [91]. The symbols used are listed in Table 3.3.

3.4.1 Derivation of the inverse machine model

Fig. 3.8 shows the SRG circuit showing one phase-leg and Fig. 3.9 shows the phase currents, flux-linkage and idealised inductance. Both the converter and load are connected to the same DC-bus. The bus can be separated into two: one for the excitation and the other for the load for higher fault-tolerances [87]. However, the discussion here does not include such a converter configuration.
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Figure 3.8: SRG circuit for a phase

Figure 3.9: SRG phase currents, flux-linkage and idealised inductance

If the inverse machine model is derived the SRG circuit may be reduced to that of Fig. 3.10. The integral of the currents in Fig. 3.10 can be defined referring to Fig. 3.8 and 3.9 as:

\[
I_{\text{in}} = \int_{\theta_{\text{on}}}^{\theta_{\text{off}}} i_{\text{ph}} d\theta
\]

\[
I_{\text{out}} = \int_{\theta_{\text{off}}}^{\theta_{\text{ext}}} i_{\text{ph}} d\theta
\]

\[
I_o = I_{\text{out}} - I_{\text{in}}
\]

\[
I_{\text{ph}} = \int_{\theta_{\text{on}}}^{\theta_{\text{ext}}} i_{\text{ph}} d\theta
\]

where \(I_o\) is the net generated current. Excitation penalty \(\varepsilon\) is defined as follows:

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{I_{\text{in}}}{I_{\text{out}}} = \frac{I_{\text{in}}}{I_o + I_{\text{in}}}
\]

(3.20)
The average net generated current $I_{o,\text{total}}$ in all phases during a stroke is:

$$I_{o,\text{total}} = \frac{N_s}{2} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T i_{ph} dt$$

$$= \frac{N_s}{2} \frac{1}{N_r} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{N_r}} i_{ph} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{N_r N_s}{4\pi} I_o$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

The DC-bus voltage is expressed by the following equation:

$$V_{DC}(t) = V_{DC}(t_0) + \frac{1}{C} \int_{t_0}^t i \, d\tau$$

$$= V_{DC}(t_0) + \frac{1}{C} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ \frac{N_r N_s}{4\pi} I_o - I_L \right\} \, d\tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

where $C$ is the filter capacitor. It is clear that the DC-link voltage is controlled by controlling the net generated current $I_o$. The inverse machine model can be obtained with the following assumptions:

1. A linear phase inductance, neglecting saturation and fringing.

2. Turn-on always takes place before aligned position.

3. The phase inductance must be regarded as constant and equal to $L_a$ for positions $\theta_{on} < \theta < \theta_a$.

The derived model expresses $I_o$ as a second order function of the turn-on angle.

$$I_o = k \left( A\theta^2 + B\theta + C \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.23)
where the coefficients are:

\[
k = \frac{V_{DC}}{\omega} \tag{3.24}
\]

\[
A = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{L_u} - \frac{1}{L_a} \right) + \frac{1}{L'} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{L_u}{L_{off}} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{L_{off}}{L_a} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
B = \frac{\theta_a}{L_a} - \frac{2\theta_{off} - \theta_{1d}}{L_u} + \frac{1}{L'} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{L_u}{L_{off}} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{L_{off}}{L_a} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
C = \frac{(2\theta_{off} - \theta_{1d})^2}{2L_u} + \frac{(\theta_{1d} - \theta_a)}{L'} - \frac{\theta_a^2}{2L_a}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{L'} \left\{ \theta_a + \frac{L_a}{L'} \right\} \ln \left( \frac{L_{off}}{L_a} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{L'} \left\{ \frac{L_{off}}{L'} - \theta_{off} \right\} \ln \left( \frac{L_u}{L_{off}} \right) \tag{3.25}
\]

The second order equation (3.23) can be solved real-time by a microprocessor. However, for the implementation of the SRG system, the relationship between \(I_o\) and the switching angles was pre-calculated off-line and stored in memory as look-up table for the reduction of calculation time and program size. The pre-calculated \(I_o\) and \(\varepsilon\) for the 1-ph 8/8 SRM are shown in Figs. 3.11 and 3.12 respectively.

Fig. 3.11 indicates the trend that \(I_o\) increases by advancing the turn-on angle and delaying the turn-off angle. The figure also indicates that \(I_o\) also increases by delaying the turn-on angle close to the aligned position and advancing the turn-off angle. The latter trend does not represent the actual characteristics of the SRG but is an error as a result of the assumptions for the simplification and the use of logarithm functions to derive the inverse machine model. The switching angles in those region were not used in the implemented system.
Figure 3.11: $I_o$ vs. switching angles (angles in electrical degrees)

Figure 3.12: Excitation penalty vs. switching angles (angles in electrical degrees)
3.4.2 Linearity of the inverse machine model

One of the limitations of the method is the assumption of a linear magnetic model in the derivation. In order to investigate the effect of nonlinearity the generating current calculated by the inverse model is compared with that of a nonlinear simulation. The simulation is done by PC-SRD [45] for the prototype single-phase 8/8-pole SR machine [56]. For the PC-SRD simulation measured magnetisation curve data of the machine was used and an accurate result was expected [57]. Fig. 3.13 shows the average generated current $I_o$ by both methods. The relationship is fairly linear although the values are slightly different. In the implemented system described in the following section the error is expected to be compensated for by the PI controller for the purpose of the DC-bus voltage control.

Although the value of the generating current calculated by the inverse machine model is close to the simulation result, this does not approve the assumption of the linear magnetic characteristics in the SRG control. Fig. 3.14 shows current waveforms.
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Figure 3.14: Current waveforms with linear and nonlinear magnetic characteristics

with the linear model and the nonlinear simulation. The operating conditions are identical for both cases: 3000 rpm, 100 V, turn-on at 124 and turn-off at 240 electrical degrees. The current waveforms over 40 A, where the effect of the saturation is significant, are very different each other. The current generated in the nonlinear simulation decreases after turn-off while the current generated in the linear model increases until the overlapping of the poles ends.

This limitation must be noted when the inverse machine model is employed. However, the primary objective of using the inverse machine model is to linearise the system and the model may be sufficient for this purpose.
3.5 Simulation study

Computer simulations were carried out in order to validate the proposed scheme. The system was modelled in SIMULINK® [94]. The top level schematic is shown in Fig. 3.15. In the SRM model block, measured magnetisation curves were used as look-up table for the calculation of instantaneous current taking the nonlinearity of the SR machine into account. The same single-phase SR machine was used for the PC-SRD simulation in the previous section.

Fig. 3.16 shows the SRG converter with an additional step-down converter used in the simulation. The excitation energy is supplied to the SRG from the capacitor $C$ during the excitation period. During the generation period the generated energy is stored in $C$. When the generator starts operation with the capacitor discharged the excitation energy may be supplied from an external voltage source $V_{ext}$ until the DC-bus voltage is established. The DC-bus voltage $V_{DC}$ across $C$ is regulated with speed for the ideal "flat-top" shape by a DC-bus voltage controller. The load voltage $V_L$ is regulated at a constant value by chopping $Q_3$ by a load voltage controller. In the simulation, a chopping frequency of 5 kHz for $Q_3$, $C = 4,500\mu\text{F}$, $L = 10\ \text{mH}$ and a resistive load of 20 $\Omega$ were chosen.

Fig. 3.17 shows the block diagram of the DC-bus voltage controller. The DC-bus voltage command $V_{DC}^*$ is calculated from the speed and then compared with its actual value. The PI controller outputs the reference value of the net generating current $I_0^*$ from the DC-bus voltage error. The turn-off angle $\theta_{off}$ is controlled linearly with $I_0$. The turn-on angle $\theta_{on}$ is determined by the inverse model using $\theta_{off}$ and $I_0$.

Figs. 3.18 and 3.19 show the phase current and voltage waveforms at speeds of 2,000 and 4,500 rpm. The DC-bus voltage is regulated at 59 and 133 V respectively. In these simulations, the step-down DC/DC converter was disconnected and a constant resistor was directly connected to the SRG converter as load for a comparison to the experimental results described later. The load was adjusted so that the peak current
Figure 3.15: SIMULINK model
became approximately the rated value of 60 A. Both currents have more or less the “flat-top” shape. The DC-bus voltage command calculated was multiplied by a factor of 0.75 in order to compensate for the effect of saturation since the bulk saturation starts at about 40 A of phase current in this machine.

Fig. 3.20 shows how the DC-bus voltage changes with the rotor speed. The rotor speed was changed from 3,000 rpm to 10,000 rpm. The DC-bus voltage changes proportionally to the speed from 89 to 297 V while the load voltage is kept constant at 80 V by the step-down DC/DC converter connected between the SRG converter and the load. This shows that the DC-bus voltage can be controlled dynamically and independently of the load voltage with the proposed system. The current waveform at 10,000 rpm is shown in Fig. 3.21.

A simple step-down DC/DC converter is sufficient for the proposed system provided
that the minimum DC-bus voltage is higher than the load voltage over the range of operating speed.
Figure 3.19: Current and voltage waveforms at 4,500 rpm (simulation)

Figure 3.20: Change of the DC-bus voltage with speed (simulation)
Figure 3.21: Current waveform at 10,000 rpm (simulation)
3.6 Experimental validation

3.6.1 Implementation of the controller

The system has been implemented using a Motorola MC68332 microcontroller [95]. The dotted part in Fig. 3.17 was implemented by software.

Fig. 3.22 shows the controller hardware and Fig. 3.23 highlights their functions. The controller consists of three circuit boards: microcontroller board, commutation board and I/O board. The main part of the microcontroller board are MC68332 microcontroller, external memory and an RS232 serial interface. The ROM on the microcontroller board holds a background program which provides interface between the microcontroller and PC and allows the SRG control software to be downloaded from the PC. The serial interface is used as a user interface for setting parameters of the SRG controller. The commutation board includes an FPGA (field programable gate array), encoder signal receiver and D/A converter. The commutator implemented in the FPGA determines the switching states of converter from the incoming encoder signals and commutation angles information from the microcontroller. The commutation signals are sent to the I/O board. The D/A converter is used for the current regulation and over current detection. The I/O board outputs the commutation signals through optical transmitters to the converter. The DC-bus voltage is feedback through an isolation amplifier and an A/D converter. The voltage information is processed for the generation power control. Photographs of the implemented controller are shown in Fig. 3.24.

The sampling time for the voltage controller was set at 1 ms. The same single-phase 8/8-pole SR machine as used for the simulation was used. A resistor was connected directly to the SRG converter as load and the DC/DC converter stage was omitted in the experiment.
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Components of SR generator controller

![Diagram of SRG controller boards]

Micro-controller board
(32-bit CPU: Motorola MC68832)

Figure 3.22: SRG controller boards

![Diagram of SRG controller implementation]

Figure 3.23: Implementation of the SRG controller
Figure 3.24: Photographs of implemented SRG controller (top right: rack, top left: commutation board, bottom right: I/O board, bottom left: microcontroller board)
3.6.2 Experimental results

Figs. 3.25 and 3.26 show the currents for speeds of 2,000 and 4,500 rpm together with the phase voltages. The DC-bus voltage is regulated at 59 and 133 V respectively. The results agree with the simulation shown in Figs. 3.18 and 3.19. The energy conversion loops are also plotted in Fig. 3.27. It is seen that the "flat-top" shape was achieved and that energy conversion effectiveness is high for both cases.

Fig. 3.28 shows the change in DC-bus voltage when the rotor speed was varied from 2,000 rpm to 3,000 rpm. The DC-bus voltage follows the change in speed. The experimental results validated the proposed scheme.

The DC-bus voltage is shown in Fig. 3.29 for a speed of 3,000 rpm. It can be seen that the DC-bus voltage is regulated at the command value of 89 V by varying the generating current. It shows that the current waveform variation is relatively large resulting in the DC-bus voltage fluctuation even with a constant resistive load. This is
due to the fact that the switching angles calculated by the inverse machine model tend to vary sharply with the generating energy requirement. This is one of the limitations of using the linear magnetic model used. The ripple in the DC-bus voltage is less than 10% of its reference value. The ripple can be reduced with a larger filter capacitor at the expense of lower dynamic response and higher cost. The use of poly-phase machines is also effective in reducing the ripple.
Figure 3.27: Measured energy conversion loops (dotted: 2,000 rpm, solid: 4,500 rpm)

Figure 3.28: Change of the DC-bus voltage with speed (measured)
Figure 3.29: Ripple in DC-bus voltage (measured)
3.7 Conclusions

This chapter has described the DC-bus voltage controller for the SRG to achieve maximum energy conversion effectiveness. The limitation of PWM control to achieve a lower excitation penalty was shown analytically. For the implementation of the SRG controller in simulation and experiment, the inverse machine model was chosen as the control law to determine the switching angles from generating current requirement. The computer simulations using SIMULINK\textsuperscript{(c)} were carried out and the results confirmed that the "flat-top" current can be achieved by controlling the DC-bus voltage with rotor speed and it can be controlled dynamically. It was also shown that the load voltage can be regulated at a constant value of 80 V for a speed range of 3,000 to 10,000 rpm independently of the DC-bus voltage by an addition of a step-down DC/DC converter. The controller was implemented using a microcontroller for the 0.8 kW single-phase 8/8-pole SR machine. The experimental results validated the proposed control scheme. The scheme is applicable to applications where the speed of the prime mover changes with conditions, such as wind generators and jet turbine generators.
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Fault-tolerant operation of single-phase switched reluctance generators

This chapter studies operation of the multi-pole single-phase Switched Reluctance Generator (SRG) under faults, in particular an 8/8-pole SR machine described in chapter 2.

A new converter topology is proposed which connects two banks of coils in parallel. The combination of multiple coils per phase and the converter circuit suggests improved operation under faults compared with conventional topologies.

Output power per coil current under faults is studied. Open- and short-circuit coils are studied through linear analysis, finite-element analysis and static torque measurement. Generated currents under faults with the proposed converter are measured.

Dynamic operation under faults with the single-pulse control mode is also analysed, while the DC-bus voltage is regulated at a constant value by a controller. The circuit equations under faults are derived and the operation is evaluated by simulation. The SRG system is implemented with a microcontroller and the operation under faults is tested.
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4.1 Introduction

The switched reluctance generator (SRG) has been receiving an increasing attention for aircraft applications where robustness, high speed and fault tolerance are of major importance [5, 63, 87, 64, 62]. The classic converter topology [83] avoids the problem of shoot-through faults found in AC inverter technology. Furthermore, the short-pitched windings exhibit a large amount of electromagnetic independence, which may be exploited by appropriate converter as well as machine topologies.

Much interest has been paid to the possibility of replacing the 400 Hz AC power distribution found on many aircraft with a DC system at 270 V. The switched reluctance machine has been identified as a potential candidate for the generator in such systems, [87].

Relatively little has been reported on switched reluctance machines operating under fault conditions. Stephens suggested that switched reluctance motors could operate by disconnecting faulty windings with use of special fault detectors [7]. Miller reported an analysis of operation under faults and compared several winding configurations [8]. SRG converter topologies for improved fault-tolerance have been studied by Richter and Ferreira [4]. Radun suggested a dual-converter topology which has separate excitation and load buses [3], and MacMinn studied the design of the power stage for SRGs [2]. Various methods for closed-loop control of the DC-link voltage were described in [9]-[11], and Kjaer attempted to reduce the reactive power flow by on-line optimisation [12].

In this chapter the fault-tolerant operation of SRG is studied, in particular an 8/8-pole single-phase switched reluctance machine. The chapter focuses on faults in coils. A new “split winding” converter topology which separates the coils into two coil banks is proposed. The coil banks are connected in parallel and this increases the fault-tolerance of the single-phase SRG.

For open- and short-circuit coil faults, output power per coil current is studied
through linear analysis, finite-element analysis and measurements.

Dynamic operation under faults is also analysed, while the DC-bus voltage is controlled at a desired value. Although, various methods of the SRG control were reported in [89, 90, 91, 92], the single-pulse control described in Chapter 3 is chosen in this study. This is because it was shown by Kjaer et al. in [91] that the amount of energy generated with the SRG is determined only by the turn-off angle and the turn-off current level at a given speed and DC-bus voltage and chopping is not necessary for medium and high speeds. It focuses on operation with the proposed split winding converter under open- and short-circuit coil faults including transient states. The following topics are described:

- How to employ a multi-pole single-phase switched reluctance machine for maximum fault-tolerance
- Expected performance under faults
- Winding configurations for minimised impact from faults.
- Capability of the split winding converter to isolate faulty coils
- Modelling and analysis of fault impact on system
- Evaluation of fault-tolerance by simulation and experiments.

### 4.2 The single-phase SRG

The cross-section of the prototype single-phase 8/8-pole SR machine described in chapter 2 is again shown in Fig. 4.1. The machine is wound with one coil per pole and the number of turns per pole is 35. The design and construction of this machine are reported in Chapter 2 and Ref. [56], and briefly reviewed here. The 8 coils were initially connected such that adjacent poles have opposite magnetic polarity, producing so-called short flux paths. This has been reported to result in lower iron losses [86], which
is of importance especially in high-speed applications. The 8/8 machine has narrower stator pole-arcs than the equivalent 8/6 machine, hence a wider slot area is available, resulting in lower copper losses. On the other hand, a narrower pole-arc may also reduce the aligned inductance and increase the pole flux density. For a more detailed discussion on the choice of pole numbers, see [96]. Apart from a high efficiency, the 8/8 machine appears attractive as its coils may be connected to minimise the impact of faults. In the following sections, several winding configurations are studied.

Figure 4.1: Cross-section of the prototype single-phase 8/8 SR machine
4.3 Output power under coil faults with constant currents

In this section, output power per coil current under coil faults is studied. A fault-tolerant converter for the single-phase SR machine is proposed. Open-coil faults are analysed by linear analysis. The analytical results are validated by finite element analysis, static torque measurement and generating test. The generating test includes short-coil faults as well as open-coil faults.

4.3.1 Open-coil faults

First, it is shown how the machine can continue to operate with a loss (open-circuit) of one or more coils. To tolerate an open-coil fault, the coils must be connected in parallel (two parallel banks of four coils in parallel or alike, with an increase in converter complexity). The split-winding converter shown in Fig. 4.2 is proposed and assumed to be used in the following analyses. One of the two banks of coils can be disconnected from the power source when a fault in coils occurs with this converter. Under normal condition $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$ receive identical switching signals. The excitation current flows from the positive DC-bus through $S_1$, coils, $S_2$ and $S_3$ to the negative bus. The generated current flows from the negative bus through $D_1$, coils, $D_2$ and $D_3$ to the positive bus, then charges the capacitor. In order to disconnect coil bank B, $S_3$ is switched off and the generator is operated by controlling $S_1$ and $S_2$. If coil bank B does not share flux paths with bank A, the operation of coil bank B could be shut off completely. However, during the shut off process, uncontrollable transient current could flow through diode $D_3$.

Other single-phase SRG topologies can be connected in a similar fashion, but the converter in Fig. 4.2 has the drawback of disconnecting half the total number of coils even if just one coil is faulty. To separate a smaller number of coils, increased circuit complexity is required. Fig. 4.3 shows one such example.
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Figure 4.2: Fault-tolerant single-bus converter circuit for split winding single-phase SRG

Figure 4.3: Fault-tolerant single-bus converter circuit for split winding with 4 banks for the single-phase SRG
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Normal condition</td>
<td>NSNSNSNSNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>4-coil open</td>
<td>NONOSOSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>4-coil open</td>
<td>NOSONOSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4-coil open</td>
<td>NONONONO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1-coil open</td>
<td>NONONONO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

O refers to an open-circuit coil

Table 4.1: Definition of Open-Coil Faults

There are several ways to configure the magnetic polarity of the coils with the converter in Fig. 4.2. For normal operation the 8/8 SR machine should have 4 pairs of N and S coils. For a fault operation in which half the coils are disconnected (open-circuited), the polarity of remaining coils could be either 2 pairs of N and S or 4 of either polarity from a symmetrical point of view. Here, the five cases in Table 4.1 are examined. The study of 1-coil open-circuit fault is a good example to show how the magnetic unbalance affects the operation. The 1-coil short-circuit fault is also discussed in the later sections although it is not listed here.

Figs. 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 show the flux paths of the machine when the healthy coils are excited by a coil current of 10 A, calculated using finite element analysis (FEA). Fig. 4.4(a) shows the short flux paths of Case I, corresponding to the rated phase current of 80 A with the converter of Fig. 4.2. Fig. 4.4(b) and Fig. 4.5(a) show the flux-paths of Case II and III respectively. For Case II and III, the flux in open-circuit coil poles is cancelled by the MMF of the conducting coils under linear conditions. Flux concentration in stator and rotor yoke sections is higher for Case II than for Case III. For Case IV shown in Fig. 4.5(b), the flux paths remain unchanged from Case I although the flux density decreases with decreased MMF-level. When one coil is unexcited (Case V) the distribution of flux is not symmetrical any more, as shown in Fig. 4.6. This may result in unbalanced lateral forces [97] and lead to mechanical failure. Therefore, this fault should be cleared as soon as possible. With the single-phase SRG system, the magnetic balance can be maintained and the problem of lateral forces can be avoided by disconnecting a coil bank when one of the coils is faulty.
Figure 4.4: Flux plots calculated by FEA (1/3)
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Figure 4.5: Flux plots calculated by FEA (2/3)
Case V

Figure 4.6: Flux plots calculated by FEA (3/3)
4.3.2 Open-coil fault analysis

In this section the performance of the single-phase SR machine under faults is calculated by linear analysis.

The equivalent magnetic circuit of the machine is shown in Fig. 4.7. The MMF potential is defined 0 at the stator yoke and $F_0$ at the rotor yoke. $F_k$ represents the MMF of coil 'k', $R_k$ represents the airgap reluctance and $\phi_k$ represents the flux through pole. $F_0$ and $\phi_k$ are expressed by the following equation:

$$F_0 = \sum_k \frac{F_k}{R_k}$$

$$\phi_k = \frac{F_k - F_0}{R_k}$$

Since all airgap reluctances are the same for the single-phase machine, it follows that:

$$F_0 = \frac{\sum_k F_k}{8}$$
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Figure 4.8: Normalised permeance profile

For a simple comparison between normal and faulted conditions, a normalised permeance profile shown in Fig. 4.8 is assumed.

Using this permeance profile, torque produced on each pole is expressed as follows:

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} (F_k - F_0)^2 \frac{dP}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{2} (F_k - F_0)^2
\]

(4.4)

Case I

The MMF in each pole is such that:

\[
F_1 = F_3 = F_5 = F_7 = N_p i
\]

(4.5)

\[
F_2 = F_4 = F_6 = F_8 = -F_1
\]

(4.6)

where \( N_p \) is the number of turns per pole. This gives total torque \( T_I \) for Case I:

\[
T_I = 8T_k = 4N_p^2 i^2
\]

(4.7)

This torque will be compared with the torque produced during faults.
Case II and III

Cases II and III give the same result with linear analysis. The configuration of the MMF is as follows:

\[ F_1 = F_5 = -F_3 = -F_7 = N_p i \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

\[ F_2 = F_4 = F_6 = F_8 = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

Then the total torque \( T_{II,III} \) for Cases II and III is:

\[ T_{II,III} = 2N_p^2 i^2 = 0.5T_I. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

Case IV

The MMF in each pole is such that:

\[ F_1 = F_3 = F_5 = F_7 = N_p i \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

\[ F_2 = F_4 = F_6 = F_8 = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.12)

The total torque \( T_{IV} \) for Case VI is:

\[ T_{IV} = N_p^2 i^2 = 0.25T_I. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

Case V

The MMF of each coil is as follows:

\[ F_1 = F_3 = F_5 = F_7 = N_p i \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)

\[ F_4 = F_6 = F_8 = -N_p i \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

\[ F_2 = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.16)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faults</th>
<th>Torque (vs. Case I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case II,III</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case IV</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case V</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Torque Production Analysis under Open-coil Faults

Then the total torque $T_V$ for Case V is:

$$ T_V \approx 3.44N_p^2i^2 = 0.86T_I. \quad (4.17) $$

Table 4.2 summarises the analysis. It shows that the single-phase SRG could generate half the normal power when 4 of 8 coils are conducting, with winding configuration of either Case II or III.

4.3.3 2-D finite element analysis and static torque measurement

In this section, the previous analysis is confirmed through comparison with finite element analysis and static torque measurement.

Fig. 4.9 shows the magnetisation curves for the experimental machine for two rotor positions (maximum and minimum inductances). Measurements with all 8 coils connected and finite-element analysis (FEA) predictions for Cases I, II and IV are shown, all for identical coil current levels. The magnetisation curves of Case III may be expected to be similar to those of Case II. The flux linkage for Case II is at the same level as that of Case I and for Case IV half that of Case I, with the same coil current. With the connection shown in Fig. 4.2, the supply current for Cases II and IV is half that of Case I. Assuming that energy converted is proportional to the product of flux linkage and supply current, Fig. 4.9 gives the same output torque prediction as in Table 4.2.

Static torque curves of the prototype single-phase SRG were measured and are shown in Fig. 4.10 for a coil current of 15 A. The static torque of the machine for
Case II is 50% of Case I. The same measurement was carried out for Case III and it gave the same result as Case II although this is not shown in Fig. 4.10. The static torque for Case IV is 25% of that of Case I. When one of the eight coils is open-circuited (Case V) the static torque is 86% of Case I. When the coils are split into two banks, the magnetic polarity of Case II should be configured. The measurement results support the analytical predictions well.

The result confirms that the available power per coil current during faults is:

\[ P_{\text{fault}} = P_{\text{normal}} \frac{N - n}{N} \]  

(4.18)

where \( N \) is the number of coils and \( n \) the number of faulted coils. The single-phase SRG could generate half its normal energy when half the coils are disconnected and currents in the remaining coils are normal.
Figure 4.10: Measured static torque curves
4.3.4 Generating test

Steady-state generating tests were performed and the load currents were measured. The measurement system is shown in Fig. 4.11. The SRG was turned by a motor at a constant speed. An external supply $V_{ext}$ was used to charge the capacitor banks initially and used as back-up if the voltage could not be sustained. The SRG is inherently unstable when operated with the single-pulse excitation and requires the firing angles to be adjusted to match the load exactly [87]. For a set of firing angles the load was adjusted to assure equilibrium (constant $V_o$) and the load current $I_o$ was measured. The same procedure was applied for normal operation as well as short- and open-circuit tests.

Open-circuit coil faults

The generated currents were measured for the winding configurations of Cases I, II and V.
Fig. 4.12 shows the load current measured at 1,500 rpm with \( V_0 = 30 \) V, and a fixed turn-on angle of 20.8 mechanical degrees relative to unaligned position. Fig. 4.13 shows the load current of Cases II and V normalised with Case I. For Case II the load current is approximately 60% of that under normal condition at the same switching angles. This is approximately 10% larger than the analytical result. This may be because constant currents are assumed in the linear analysis. In practice the current levels for Cases I and II may differ as a result of the single-pulse control and the difference in impedance on opening 4 coils. For Case V, the load current is approximately 86% which agrees with the analytical prediction.
Figure 4.13: Ratio of load current under open-coil faults (load current for case I = 1)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Fault Condition</th>
<th>Short-Circuit Faults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case I</td>
<td>Normal condition</td>
<td>(NSNSNSNS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case II</td>
<td>4-coil short</td>
<td>(N@N@S@S@)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case III</td>
<td>4-coil short</td>
<td>(N@S@N@S@)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case IV</td>
<td>4-coil short</td>
<td>(N@N@N@N@)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

@ refers to a short-circuit coil

Table 4.3: Definition of Short-Circuit Coil Faults

Short-circuit coil faults

The generating tests were also carried out with some of the coils were short-circuited instead of open-circuited. The fault conditions are listed in Table 4.3.

Fig. 4.14 shows the load current measured for the same conditions as for the open-circuit faults. Fig. 4.15 shows the ratio of the load current. For Cases II’ and III’ the load current is around 10% smaller than the open-circuit faults. Induced current in the short-circuit coils may reduce the output of the SRG. The induced current was observed and its amplitude was around 10% of that of the healthy current. Case II’ generates slightly larger energy than Case III’ does. The flux paths of Case II’ may reduce the amount of flux which goes through the short-circuited coils. For Case IV’ no output was measured and a very large current flowed from the external power supply. Short circuit of coils for this configuration is equivalent to a transformer whose secondary coil is short-circuited because the same amount of flux goes through the short-circuited coils as healthy coils.

The analyses and experimental results are summarised in Table 4.4. It may be concluded that for management of faults in coils with the converter in Fig. 4.2, the winding configuration of Case II has the highest fault-tolerance.
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Figure 4.14: Load current under normal and short-circuited coil fault conditions (1,500 rpm, $V_o=30$ V)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coils</th>
<th>Output (vs. normal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open-circuit faults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case II</td>
<td>50 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case III</td>
<td>50 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case IV</td>
<td>25 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case V</td>
<td>86 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-circuit faults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case II’</td>
<td>50 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case III’</td>
<td>40 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case IV</td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4: Performances under Faults
Figure 4.15: Ratio of generated current under short-circuited coil faults (generated current for case I = 1)
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4.4 Dynamic operation analysis

In this section, dynamic operation of the proposed fault-tolerant single-phase SRG system is studied. Analytical models of the system under faults are derived and computer simulations are performed. Furthermore, the analysis is validated by experiments.

4.4.1 Modelling of faults

In order to investigate the dynamic operation under the faults, circuit equations of the single-phase SRG are derived. Conditions when one coil bank is disconnected and one coil is open-circuited are considered. For simplicity linear magnetic characteristics are assumed and fringing and leakage-flux are neglected.

Fig. 4.16 shows the model and defines the parameters which are used in the analysis. Coils 1, 2, 5 and 6 are in coil bank A and coils 3, 4, 7 and 8 bank B. The position of N- and S-coils does not affect the linear analysis and is chosen here from the symmetrical point of view. The reluctance is seen by a coil as shown in Fig. 4.17 where $\mathcal{R}$ is a gap reluctance. From Fig. 4.17 the total reluctance of coil 1 is $R_l = \frac{8}{7} R$ and the mutual inductance between any pair of coils is $M = \frac{1}{7} L$, where $L = N_p^2 / \mathcal{R}$ and $N_p$ is the number of turns per pole. In the linear case $\mathcal{R}$ is the same for all coils, and varies only with rotor position\(^1\).

The circuit equation for coil 1 is expressed as follows:

\[ v_1 = R_l i_1 + \frac{d}{dt} (L i_1) \]

\[ + \frac{d}{dt} (M_{i2}) - \frac{d}{dt} (M_{i3}) + \frac{d}{dt} (M_{i4}) - \frac{d}{dt} (M_{i5}) \]

\[ + \frac{d}{dt} (M_{i6}) - \frac{d}{dt} (M_{i7}) + \frac{d}{dt} (M_{i8}). \]

\[ (4.19) \]

\(^1\)This is a remarkable simplification relative to a "vernier" type SR machine.
The torque produced at the pole of coil 1 is

\[
T_1 = \frac{1}{2} i_1^2 \frac{dL}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{2} i_2 i_2 \frac{dM}{d\theta} - \frac{1}{2} i_1 i_3 \frac{dM}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{2} i_1 i_4 \frac{dM}{d\theta} - \frac{1}{2} i_1 i_5 \frac{dM}{d\theta} + 1 \frac{dM}{d\theta} - \frac{1}{2} i_1 i_6 \frac{dM}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{2} i_1 i_7 \frac{dM}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{2} i_1 i_8 \frac{dM}{d\theta}.
\]

For the single-phase SRG currents and voltages of all the coils should be identical under the normal condition (Case I). This gives that:

\[
v_{\text{coil}} = R i_{\text{coil}} + \frac{d}{dt} (L i_{\text{coil}}) + \frac{d}{dt} (M i_{\text{coil}}).
\]

Substituting \( M = \frac{1}{7} L \) gives that:

\[
v_{\text{coil}} = R i_{\text{coil}} + \frac{8}{7} \frac{d}{dt} (L i_{\text{coil}}).
\]

\[
T_{\text{coil},1} = \frac{1}{2} i_{\text{coil}}^2 \frac{dL}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{2} i_{\text{coil}}^2 \frac{dM}{d\theta}
= \frac{4}{7} i_{\text{coil}}^2 \frac{dL}{d\theta} + \frac{4}{7} i_{\text{coil}}^2 \frac{dM}{d\theta}.
\]
Disconnection of half the coils

Here, the operation when coil bank B is disconnected is analysed.

Case II and III

The analysis gives the same results for Case II and III. The voltage and current are as follows:

\[ v_3 = v_4 = v_7 = v_8 = 0 \]

\[ i_3 = i_4 = i_7 = i_8 = 0. \]

The equations for a coil do not change from those of the normal condition. Therefore, if the switching angles are kept the values of the normal operation, the currents in operating coils should not change from the normal operation and the phase current is halved. The total torque is reduced to half its normal value since the number of active
poles is reduced to half.

\[ T_{total,II} = T_{total,III} = T_{coil,I} \times 4 \]
\[ = \frac{16}{7} i_2 \frac{dL}{d\theta} \]  
(4.26) \hspace{1cm} (4.27)

Case IV

For this condition the voltage and current are as follows:

\[ v_2 = v_4 = v_6 = v_8 = 0 \]
\[ i_2 = i_4 = i_6 = i_8 = 0. \]

This gives that:

\[ v_{coil} = Ri_{coil} + \frac{4}{7} \frac{d}{dt}(Li_{coil}) \]  
(4.28)

\[ T_{coil,IV} = \frac{1}{2} i_{coil}^2 \frac{dL}{d\theta} - \frac{3}{2} i_{coil}^2 \frac{dM}{d\theta} \]
\[ = \frac{2}{7} i_{coil}^2 \frac{dL}{d\theta} \]  
(4.29)

\[ T_{total,IV} = T_{coil,III} \times 4 \]
\[ = \frac{8}{7} i_{coil}^2 \frac{dL}{d\theta}. \]  
(4.30) \hspace{1cm} (4.31)

With this configuration the total torque is reduced to a quarter of its normal value with the same coil currents. However, with fixed firing angles (single-pulse mode) the coil current is twice its normal value and the phase current is as normal since the flux-linkage and the back-EMF are halved, while the average torque is not changed.

This configuration features "self-regulation" of the output when half the coils are disconnected. This may be useful for applications in which output power must not be changed even following a fault provided that the thermal limitations are not exceeded when the coil current is twice its normal value for a certain period.
One coil open-circuit fault (Case V)

When one of the S-coils is open-circuited, the circuit equations for N- and S-coils are as follows:

\[ v_N = R_iN + \frac{d}{dt}(Li_N) + 3\frac{d}{dt}(Mi_S) - 3\frac{d}{dt}(Mi_N) \]

\[ v_S = R_iS + \frac{d}{dt}(Li_S) + 4\frac{d}{dt}(Mi_N) - 2\frac{d}{dt}(Mi_S). \]

Substituting \( M = 1/7L \) into the equations above gives the following state-space equations:

\[ \dot{x} = Ax + Bu. \quad (4.32) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  i_N \\
  i_S
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \begin{bmatrix}
  \dot{i}_N \\
  \dot{i}_S
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \begin{bmatrix}
  v_N \\
  v_S
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A = \frac{1}{L}
\begin{bmatrix}
  -4.375R - dL/dt & 2.625R \\
  3.5R & -3.5R - dL/dt
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B = \frac{1}{L}
\begin{bmatrix}
  4.375 & 2.625 \\
  -3.5 & 3.5
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Matrix \( B \) of equation (4.32) suggests that the rate of change of the current in S-coils \( i_S \) is independent of the applied voltage if \( v_N = v_S \).

Coil short-circuit fault

With this fault the DC-bus is short-circuited when the switches are on and a large current may flow. In order to prevent the failure of the semiconductor switches and loss of winding insulation, the over-current should be detected by fault detectors and the faulty bank should be disconnected as soon as possible.

Apart from the DC-bus short-circuit, an induced current in the short-circuited coil could be a problem when the faulty coil is magnetically coupled with the healthy coils.
An example of the induced current is shown in Fig. 4.18. It shows the measured current in the faulty coil when one of the S-coils is short-circuited and physically disconnected from the converter. The current in S-coils $I_S$ differs very much from the normal one and the induced current in the faulty coil $I_{Fault}$ has a comparable shape and amplitude to $I_S$. Under this condition the generating operation may not be sustained.

The operation after disconnection of the short-circuited bank is investigated by experiment and presented in the later section.
4.4.2 Simulation study of faults

In this section coil faults are studied by computer simulation with the model derived in the previous section.

Disconnection of a coil bank

Fig. 4.19 shows the simulation result when coil bank B is disconnected while the SRG is generating its power with fixed firing angles (Case II and III). After the turning-off, the current in the disconnected coils $I_B$ is reduced and consequently becomes zero since $-V_{DC}$ is applied through the diodes. The current in the working coil $I_A$ is not changed because of the magnetic independence. The total (phase) current $I_{phase}$ is reduced to half its original value, and the torque as well. During the transition period no impulsive torque was predicted. The proposed SRG system seems to be stable.
Fig. 4.20 shows the case which is similar to the previous simulation but the remaining coils and disconnecting coils share the flux-paths (Case IV), and are not magnetically independent. The current in the remaining coils increases and reaches twice its original value after the disconnection occurs. The phase current and torque keep their original values, confirming the earlier analysis.

**One coil open-circuit fault**

The state-space equation (4.32) was evaluated by MATLAB® [98] and the calculated currents in S- \((I_S)\) and N-coils \((I_N)\) are shown in Fig. 4.21 as well as the normal current. When one of the S-coils is open-circuited the current in the remaining S-coils becomes very small and that in N-coils becomes twice its normal value. Even a fault in one of 8 coils affects the operation of all the other coils with the single-phase SRG.
Figure 4.21: One coil open-circuited fault (simulation)
4.4.3 Experimental validation

DC-bus voltage controller

It was reported in [87] that the SR generator system is inherently unstable with fixed firing angles. In the experiments, a linearised controller which uses an inverse model of the SR generator was used to control the DC-bus voltage [91]. The theory and implementation of the controller are described in detail in chapter 3 and described briefly here. Fig. 4.22 shows the block diagram of the controller. The DC-bus voltage $V_{DC}$ is compared with its reference value and the difference is input to the PI controller. The PI controller outputs the reference value of the net generating current $I_0$. The inverse model of the SRG is used to calculate the switching angles from $I_0$ at a $V_{DC}$ and speed. In this experiment the turn-off angle $\theta_{off}$ was kept constant, but could also be varied for a dynamic compensation.

Turning off half the coil bank

Fig. 4.23 shows the current waveforms when one of the lower switch (IGBT) is turned off in order to disconnect coil bank B while currents are conducting. The magnetic polarity was configured as Case II. The current in the disconnected coils $I_B$ was reduced since negative bus voltage was applied through the diodes. The current in the conducting coils $I_A$ becomes approximately twice its normal value because the DC-bus voltage controller changes the turn-on angle.
Fig. 4.24 shows the current waveforms when one of the S-coils is open-circuited with the converter shown in Fig. 4.2. The balance between N- and S- coils does not exist any more and the current in S-coils $I_S$ is forced to be small by the mutual coupling between the coils as shown by the simulation in the previous section. Circulating currents through parallel connected coils are also induced which will increase copper and iron losses. Fig. 4.25 shows the current waveforms when one of the S-coils is being open-circuited. The energy stored in the faulty coil is transferred to the other coils at the instance of the open-circuit fault.

Fig. 4.26 shows current waveforms when the faulty bank is disconnected by turning off the semiconductor switch which corresponds to the faulty bank. The currents in the disconnected coils $I_{NB}$ and $I_{SB}$ decrease with time while the current in S-coils in the healthy bank $I_{SA}$ recovers and becomes the same level as that in the N-coils $I_{NA}$.
Figure 4.24: Current waveforms when one coil is open-circuited
The circulating currents in the healthy bank decrease but do not become zero. The reason may be that a perfect balance in the healthy coils is not obtained because of the leakage inductance and the partial saturation of the steel in the prototype machine.

**Short-circuit coil fault**

Fig. 4.27 shows the current waveforms when one coil is short-circuited by a fault and the faulty bank is disconnected by turning off the corresponding lower semiconductor switch. The current in the short-circuited coil is very small since the magnetic coupling between the banks is very small when one bank is turned off.

This result suggests that the proposed converter manages coil short-circuit fault with proper fault detectors. Detailed discussion on the fault detectors for SRMs is seen in [99]. This is not discussed here in detail but shows a simple example for the split-winding converter. An example of simple implementations of the detector is
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Figure 4.26: Current waveforms when disconnecting the faulty bank

Figure 4.27: One coil short-circuit fault
shown in Fig. 4.28. One current sensor may be used for the each leg to detect over currents. When the current in a leg exceeds the threshold $I_{\text{max}}$ the fault is detected and the flip-flop hold the signal. The output of the flip-flop blocks the switching signal $SW$ to the semiconductor switch in the faulty leg. It is an advantage of the proposed converter that only two current detectors are required.
4.5 Conclusions

This chapter has discussed fault-tolerant operation of switched reluctance generators, in particular the single-phase 8/8-pole machine. It has studied the operation with the split-winding converter under open- and short-circuit coil faults.

The linear analysis and finite-element analysis reveals that with 4 of 8 coils open, the SRG is still capable of generating half its normal power for the same coil current. This was confirmed by static torque measurement and generating tests. It is concluded that the winding configuration which gives NNSSNNSS magnetic polarity may be the best when the windings of the single-phase SRG are split into two banks in parallel.

The transient states on disconnecting faulted coils with the proposed system have also been studied. The circuit equations under faults were derived and the operations under faults were simulated. The capability of the converter to disconnect a faulty bank was confirmed. It was shown that output power with the fixed-angle single-pulse control is normal value or half its normal value depending on the winding configuration when half the coils is disconnected. It was also shown that a fault in one of 8 coils affects all the coils significantly. Experiments were performed with the SRG controller using an inverse model to control the DC-bus voltage. The experimental results proved stable operation of the SRG under the coil faults with proper fault detectors.
Chapter 5

Analysis and classification of winding and inverter faults in the switched reluctance machine

In this chapter, an in-depth study of winding and inverter faults in switched reluctance machines (SRMs) is presented. The analysis is based on a new lumped parameter magnetic equivalent circuit (MEC).

It is commonly assumed that the SRM drive is fault tolerant because of the independence between phases. However, when shared magnetic paths saturate or when individual pole coils no longer exhibit identical properties, the per-phase modelling approach loses its accuracy. Winding and inverter faults in the SRM are analysed using a new lumped parameter MEC taking full account of the mutual coupling between phases. Faults are categorised in terms of the effects on the SRM drive system.

The study in the chapter was conducted as part of the Large Electro-Mechanical Actuation Systems for Future Civil Transport Aircraft (LEMAS) project.
5.1 Introduction

The SRM is considered for applications where a certain degree of fault tolerance is required, especially those for aircraft. However, very severe conditions can occur with certain faults although it is widely assumed to be fault tolerant. This chapter studies a variety of winding and inverter faults and aims to classify them in terms of their severity. The analysis is based on a new lumped parameter MEC. The method is able to model the airgap and rotor/stator pole-tip permeances independently of each other, and takes full account of the mutual coupling between phases. It also avoids the difficulty of representing the permeances analytically.

One of the earliest works on faults in the SRM is Stephens’ work [66]. Various faults in a 4-ph 8/6 SRM were tested with a laboratory drive. The phase windings were assumed to be connected in series. It included phase open- and short-circuit, a coil short-circuit, midphase short to ground and phase to phase short-circuit faults. Fault detection circuits were also proposed and studied. The study included experimental results, but no detailed analysis of operation under faults was given.

The problem of lateral forces produced by winding failures and mechanical imbalances was discussed in [97]. Simulation results of open- and short-circuit coil faults were included. It also discussed the relative merits of different winding configurations. However, it did not include simulation or experimental results with different winding configurations.

The interaction between channels in dual-channel 3-ph 12/8 and 18/12 SRMs is discussed in [67]. It was reported that the control of each channel appears to be stable and the disturbance of the other channel seems to be small, even though the two channels share the flux paths.

An iterative approach for the dynamic simulation of the SRM system including fault conditions was discussed in [100, 101]. For the simulation, a state space model was solved, where the model parameters were determined from a series of finite element
analysis solutions. Open-circuit inverter switch failure and one coil short-circuit faults were analysed and verified by experimental results. The analysis was carried out at no load and full load conditions using single-pulse mode. However, neither induced currents in the short-circuit coil nor lateral forces were discussed.

Ref. [102] compared the SRM and the permanent magnet motor for high-performance fault-tolerant applications and proposed a new design of permanent magnet motors. Ref. [103] pointed out the significance of the induced current in the shorted turns when part of a coil is short-circuitied in the permanent magnet motor.

Although several works have been reported as described above, the analysis on faults in the SRM does not seem to be complete. The objective of the study in the chapter is to provide an in-depth analysis of winding and inverter faults in the SRM.

5.2 The lumped parameter MEC

In this section, it describes the new lumped MEC used for the analysis, which was originally proposed by Kjaer in [104].

5.2.1 Method of the MEC

Several methods for analysing the SRM including the mutual-coupling between phases have been published. In [105, 106, 107] lumped parameter magnetic equivalent circuits were used to analyse mutual coupling and multi-phase operation of the SRM. The pole-tip and gap permeances were expressed analytically as functions of the flux level and rotor position. The network of [107] proposed by Preston is shown in Fig. 5.1 for a 4-ph 8/6 motor (leakage components omitted for clarity). However, difficulty in obtaining an accurate analytical expression of the pole-tip \( (R_{st}, R_{rt}) \) and gap permeances \( (R_g) \) remains.

Ref. [108, 109] discussed a different approach to model multi-phase operation, where
the magnetisation curves were expressed as functions of more than one phase current. However, this may not be suitable for dynamic simulations as it requires excessive magnetisation data and as it does not allow winding fault analyses.

The time-stepping finite element analysis is another option for dynamic simulation of the SRM [110] including faulty conditions. Although it provides a good accuracy, calculation time and limited flexibility to include converters and controllers are the drawbacks.

The MEC used here has advantages over previously published methods [104]. The features of the MEC are:

- An accurate and generic electromagnetic model.
- It allows multi-phase operation including faulty conditions.
- It avoids the problems of analytical expression of the pole-tip and gap permeances.
- It is easy to implement into commercial software packages that can simulate converters and controllers.

Figure 5.1: Magnetic equivalent circuit proposed by Preston
Fig. 5.2 shows the MEC of a 3-ph 6/4 SRM proposed and used in the analysis. The stator and rotor yokes are divided into $N_s$ regions between stator poles, where $N_s$ is the number of stator poles. The permeance in a segment of the stator yoke is represented as $R_{sy}$, and that of the rotor yoke is represented as $R_{ry}$. $R_{sy}$ and $R_{ry}$ are calculated from the geometry and steel B-H data, therefore, the nonlinearity is taken into account. The stator and rotor poles, pole-tips and airgap permeances are included in $R_{gap}$. The method to calculated $R_{gap}$ is described later. MMF produced by a pole coil is represented as $F_{sp} = N_p \cdot i(\psi, \theta)$, where $N_p$ is the number of turns per pole and $i$ is the coil current which is functions of the flux-linkage $\psi$ and rotor position $\theta$.

The way to derive $R_{gap}$ is described in the following. Fig. 5.3 shows the magnetic equivalent circuit when only one phase is energised. The flux paths are assumed as shown in Fig. 5.3. This assumption gives that the pole-pole leakage is ignored and all the flux passes through the gaps. For a given flux level, the MEC equation is:

$$F_{ph}(\phi, \theta) = F_{sy}(\phi) + F_{ry}(\phi) + 2F_{gap}(\phi, \theta) \quad (5.1)$$

where $F_{ph}$ is the phase MMF $F_{ph} = 2F_{sp}$, $F_{sy}$ is the MMF drop in the stator yoke.
Figure 5.3: Magnetic equivalent circuit when one phase is energised

\[ F_{sy} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} N_s R_{sy}(\phi) \right\} \phi, \quad F_{ry} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} N_s R_{ry}(\phi) \right\} \phi, \]

and \( F_{gap} \) is the MMF drop in the gap including stator and rotor poles. By rearranging equation (5.1), \( F_{gap} \) is expressed as:

\[ (5.2) \]

\[ F_{gap}(\phi, \theta) = F_{sp}(\phi, \theta) - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ F_{sy}(\phi) + F_{ry}(\phi) \right\} \]

\[ = N_p i(\phi, \theta) \frac{1}{2} N_s \left\{ \frac{R_{sy}(\phi)}{2} + \frac{R_{ry}(\phi)}{2} \right\} \phi \]

where \( N_p \) is the number of turns per pole. \( F_{gap} \) can be calculated from a set of magnetisation curves for one phase excitation which may be measured or FEA calculated, \( R_{sy} \) and \( R_{ry} \) can be derived from the geometry and steel B-H data.

Fig. 5.4 shows the magnetisation curves for a gap \( F_{gap}(\phi, \theta) \) used for the analysis together with measured magnetisation curves for a coil from which \( F_{gap}(\phi, \theta) \) was calculated.

The electromagnetic torque is calculated for each airgap as

\[ \tau(F, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_0^F \phi \, dF \]  

(5.3)
Figure 5.4: Measured magnetisation curves for a coil and calculated ones for a gap and may be evaluated numerically from the look-up table. The total shaft torque is then formed by summation of each gap-torque.
5.3 Implementation of the MEC in Saber simulator

The network has been implemented in the Saber™ simulation package [111] which is a fast and powerful network solver highly suited for simulation of both the equivalent magnetic circuit and the power electronic converter including all commutation and current regulation elements.

Fig. 5.5 shows the block diagram of simulation model implemented in the Saber™. The SRM nonlinear reluctance network block consists of the MEC described in the previous section. The components in the asymmetrical H-bridge converter like power transistors, diodes, capacitors etc are simply picked from Saber™'s library. The phase currents are regulated by a delta-modulated regulator. The SRM is fed by the classical asymmetrical half-bridge inverter (Fig. 5.6). The static torque curves vs. gap flux data is calculated from measured magnetisation curves and stored as a look-up table. The radial force at a pole $F_{rp}$ is simply estimated as:

$$F_{rp} = \frac{B_{olp}^2}{2\mu_0} A_{olp}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

where $A_{olp}$ is the overlap area of stator and rotor poles, $B_{olp}$ is the flux density in the overlapped area and $\mu_0$ is the vacuum permeance. The total radial force vector $F_r$ is calculated as vector sum of $F_{rp}$:

$$F_r = \sum_{j=1}^{N_s} F_{rp}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)
Figure 5.6: Classical asymmetrical half-bridge inverter

where $N_s$ is the number of stator poles.
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5.4 Validation with time-stepping FEA

For the validation of the proposed method, simulation results are compared with the time-stepping finite element analyses (FEA).

A 4-ph 8/6 motor was simulated running at a constant speed of 5,000 rpm and a reference current of 10 A was specified. The commutation angles were $\theta_{on} = 26^\circ$ and $\theta_{off} = 48^\circ$, i.e. most of the time two phases excited. The pole magnetic polarities were configured as NSNSSNSN which yields a higher average torque than NNNNSSSS (A comparison will be shown later.). 10 out of 70 turns on one coil were shorted in phase 1 after 5 msec, while all phases were excited as normal. The conditions are summarised in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1: Conditions for turns short-circuit fault simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of phases</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stator/rotor poles</td>
<td>8/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated power</td>
<td>5 KW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turns per pole</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of faulty turns</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase coil connection</td>
<td>Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole magnetic polarities</td>
<td>NSNSSNSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>270 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current set point</td>
<td>10 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{on}/\theta_{off}$</td>
<td>$26^\circ/48^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>5,000 rpm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the validation of the proposed method, results of time-stepping FEA on the identical fault condition, which were supplied by Lucas Varity plc., were used. The current regulators were modelled by ideal current sources in the FEA. Although the analytical model was two-dimensional, the effect of end-winding flux was taken into account by adding extra resistance and leakage inductance components to the external circuit. In the FEA model the airgap length was set at 0.17 mm by accident, although the airgap was 0.26 mm for the prototype machine from which the electromagnetic data was measured for the simulation with the proposed method. Other parameters
were identical to the simulation.

The results are shown in Fig. 5.7, where solid lines show results by the proposed method and dotted lines show ones by the time-stepping FEA. The current in the faulty turns \( i_f \) is approximately 5 times the phase current \( i_l \), yet the effect of the fault is barely visible in the phase current. If it is not detected and cleared, such a fault could propagate to other turns or coils in a short time. The total torque \( T \) is also affected by the very large current in the shorted turns. The phase and faulty currents predicted by both methods agree very well. However, the torque levels by the two methods differ by more than 20\% although the general trends are similar. The main source of the difference can be considered as the difference in the airgap length as mentioned above. \( |F_r| \) shows the amplitude of radial force vector. Both methods predicted a considerable production of radial forces as a result of the fault.

For the particular simulation shown in Fig. 5.7, the proposed method took a few minutes (once static FEA data or measurements are available) while the time-stepping FEA took more than 7 hours with 9,000 elements and 500 timesteps on comparable Unix workstations. This indicates the advantage of shorter computing time of the proposed method over the time-stepping FEA.

As an example, torque output of the 8/6 motor with different pole magnetic polarities were simulated. The conditions were identical to those in Table 5.1 except for the switching angles \( \theta_{on}/\theta_{off} \) which were 20°/50° giving two phase excitation all the time. Fig. 5.8 shows the total electromagnetic torque for operation with phase interaction for an excitation sequence of (NSNSSNSN) and of (NNNNSSSS) together with the contribution of one phase. The straight lines show the average values of the torque. The first sequence yields 4% higher average torque than the latter because of shorter shared flux paths and hence lower yoke mmf-drops. Fig. 5.9 shows pole and yoke-segment fluxes for both cases. The yoke-segment fluxes differ because of the difference in flux distribution in the machine although the pole fluxes are similar for both cases.
Figure 5.7: Comparison of proposed equivalent circuit method with time-stepping FEA results
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Figure 5.8: Torque output with NSNSSNSN and NNNNSSSS configurations

Figure 5.9: Flux waveforms in pole and yoke-segments (top: pole flux with NSNS, second top: yoke-segment fluxes with NSNS, second bottom: pole flux with NNNN, bottom: yoke-segment fluxes with NNNN)
5.5 Winding configurations and analysed faults

The windings of the SRM can come in several different configurations depending on the application. Fig. 5.10 illustrates some of the possible configurations. The single coil/pole series connection is the most commonly used (a). For high speed applications, it would be required to have more turns per pole. The parallel connection has the advantage of lower copper diameter thereby providing space for the additional turns (b) [97]. Multi-channel applications would use either of the two remaining configurations (Fig. 5.10 (c)(d)), again the parallel version being more applicable in high speed applications. Other winding configurations including the fully pitched winding [112] are possible. However, the analysis in this chapter includes the single-channel configurations shown in Fig. 5.10 (a) and (b) only.

All the faults studied assume a 3-phase 6/4 SRM. With current control mode, it is assumed that the upper leg transistors (Q1, Q3, Q5 in Fig. 5.11) are chopped. Table 5.2 shows the fault conditions analysed in this chapter. Three main categories of faults include:

1. Coil faults with series connected phase coils
2. Coil faults with parallel connected phase coils
3. Inverter faults.

Each coil fault includes coil open-circuit, full coil short-circuit, partial coil short-circuit, phase to phase short-circuit and coil to ground short-circuit. The studied faults are listed in Table 5.2. Fig. 5.11 shows the definition of the faults graphically, indicating (a) and (b) for series and parallel connected phase coils respectively. In Fig. 5.11 (a), closing switch a represents the bus short-circuit fault, closing switch b represents the transistor short, opening switch c represents the coil open, closing switch d represents the full and/or partial coil short, closing switch e to L or C represents the phase to phase either low to low or low to centre terminal short and closing switch f represents
Figure 5.10: Winding configurations ((a) 1 coil/pole series, (b) 1 coil/pole parallel, (c) 2 coils/pole series, (d) 2 coils/pole parallel)

coil to ground short. Fig. 5.11 (b) indicates the similar ones for the parallel connection. For each faults, two cases of magnetic polarity of poles are considered. One case is that adjacent poles have opposite polarities and is expressed here as NSNSNS configuration as shown in Fig. 5.12. The other one is that the magnetic polarity of one of the phases is altered and expressed as NNNSSS. These conditions are considered since the mutual coupling is expected to perform significant role to the operation under faults.

Investigating the likelihood of occurrence of faults in the SRM is of importance. However, it does not seem to have been published. The analysis in the chapter concentrates on the impacts of faults and the investigation of the likelihood of occurrence of them is left for other work. For 3-phase permanent magnet motors, ref. [103] presented a list of failure rates.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Faults</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Series connected coil faults</td>
<td>Coil open-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (a)-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full coil short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (a)-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial coil short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (a)-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase to phase short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (a)-e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coil to ground short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (a)-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel connected coil faults</td>
<td>Coil open-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (b)-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full coil short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (b)-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial coil short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (b)-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase to phase short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (b)-e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coil to ground short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (b)-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverter faults</td>
<td>Transistor short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (a)-b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus short-circuit</td>
<td>Fig.5.11 (a)-a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Two cases of magnetic polarity of poles: NNNSSS & NSNSNS for each case.)

Table 5.2: List of analysed faults

Figure 5.11: 3-phase SRM circuit with series and parallel connected coils
Figure 5.12: Two cases of magnetic polarity of poles
5.6 Series connected coils

In this section, coil faults with series connected phase coil configuration, which is commonly used in the SRM are studied.

5.6.1 Open-circuit phase coil

With series connected coils, the phase current in the faulty phase becomes zero after the fault and the total torque becomes 1/3 of its normal value. The fault does not affect the operation of the remaining healthy phases. The character of this fault is not affected by the saturation, or by the magnetic configuration of the machine. Because both coils of the faulty phase carry no current, there are no unbalanced radial forces. An example of waveforms of the fault is shown in Fig. 5.13, where one of the phases is open-circuited after 0.05 sec.

In the following figures, the notation shown in Table 5.3 is used otherwise stated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output torque</th>
<th>$T$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase one current</td>
<td>$i_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase two current</td>
<td>$i_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase three current</td>
<td>$i_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil 1 current in phase 1</td>
<td>$i_{c1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil 2 current in phase 1</td>
<td>$i_{c2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault current</td>
<td>$i_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial force towards pole A</td>
<td>$F_r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux in pole A</td>
<td>$Flux_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault flux</td>
<td>$Flux_f$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: Notation in figures
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Figure 5.13: Coil open-circuit fault
5.6.2 Short-circuit coil

The short-circuited coil, whether being the full coil or only a fraction of, is perhaps the most likely fault to occur with the SRM. The character of the fault depends to a large degree on the number of turns shorted and on the operating point. Several configurations of this fault have been studied. All the faults are assumed to occur in phase 1.

When one of phase 1 coils is short-circuited with the current chopping operation, the most significant impacts to drive performance are the reduction in torque and unbalanced radial forces. The phase current in the faulty phase still can be regulated at a reference value. Since the phase inductance is reduced by the fault with loss of half the phase turns and change in flux paths, $di/dt$ of the faulty phase increases. With the simulated machine, $di/dt$ increased by a factor of 2 after the fault. The flux produced by the healthy coil does not flow into the faulty coil because the induced current opposes. The main paths of the flux are diverted through the phase 3 poles with the switching sequence being assumed Ph1-Ph2-Ph3. This results in larger mutual coupling between Phase 1 and other phases. However, the effect to the operation of the healthy phases does not seem to be significant (Fig. 5.14). The total output torque will have a large dip during Phase 1 exciting period. Excessive unbalanced radial force towards the phase 1 healthy coil is produced.

When only a fractional part of coil is short-circuited, temperature rise in the shorted turns produced by an excessively high induced current will become the main concern. Because the resistance of the shorted part of the coil is very low, the simulation results with 1% of a coil turns being short-circuited predicted induced current in excess of 30 times the phase current and will inevitably lead to insulation breakdown and a progressive shorting of adjacent turns. Since the number of turns being shorted is much smaller compared to the healthy part and the fault current flows through only a fractional turns, the reduction in the output torque is not significant. Because the effect on the flux through the faulted pole is also not significant, the amount of unbalanced
radial forces are much smaller than those in one coil short-circuit fault.

Simulation results in Fig. 5.15 shows the faulty currents induced in the shorted turns $i_f$, total output torque $T$ and radial force towards the phase 1 healthy coil $F_r$ for 1-turn, 20-turns and full coil (98 turns) short-circuit faults. The amplitude of $i_f$ of 1-turn short fault is more than 50 times the normal value of the phase current which is set at 7 A in the simulation while those of 20-turns and full coil short are much smaller and the amplitude of $i_f$ of the full coil short is less than 7 A. Torque production $T$ during the faulty phase excitation is reduced by approximately 20% for 1-turn and 60% for 20-turns and full coil short-circuit faults. Although not shown, radial forces towards other directions also exist even the amplitude is of fraction of $F_r$ shown in Fig. 5.15.
Differences between machines of different magnetic configurations are slight. The only noticeable difference is that the currents induced to flow in the shorted turns are of opposite polarity. Saturation of the stator yoke sections does not introduce any further abnormalities.

The operation with the single-pulse control under the faults has different characteristics from that with the constant current control discussed above. Fig. 5.16 shows the simulation result of 20-turns short-circuit. The phase current in the faulty phase $i_1$ increases after the fault at 0.05 sec as the inductance decreases with the loss of turns and change in flux paths. The increased phase current actually increases the torque output $T$ during phase 1 excitation period. The induced current and radial forces are also increased.
Figure 5.16: 20-turns short-circuit fault (the single pulse operation)
5.6.3 Coil to coil short-circuit fault

The short-circuit between adjacent coils could occur at the coil terminals or part of the way through each coil. In this section, the former is studied. The severity of the fault varies greatly depending on which coil terminals are shorted and on the magnetic configuration of the machine. All the simulations assume the fault occurs between the terminals of coils of phase 1 and 2.

**Low terminal to low terminal**

With this fault, during the excitation period of phase 1, no fault current flows through the short circuit since no current path through the phase 2 phaseleg exists. When the phase 1 conduction period ends and transistor $Q2$ turns off, the short circuit maintains diode $D2$ reverse biased. The freewheeling current from phase 1 will flow through the short-circuit to ground via transistor $Q4$. This is a zero voltage loop and the back-emf induced in the phase 1 winding will drive the current to excessive values. Commutation of phase 2 with phase 3 extinguishes both the fault current and the phase 2 freewheeling current.

Fig. 5.17 indicates the current waveforms for this case, showing the high peak current in phase 1. The influence of the fault current on torque production is also shown. It indicates the effect to produce a large braking torque component throughout the phase 2 conduction period. The flux crossing at opposite poles is balanced, so no unbalanced radial forces are present.

**Low terminal to centre terminal**

During the phase 2 conducting period and while transistor $Q2$ is still conducting, the phase 2 current will flow through the short circuit and back to the negative supply via $Q2$ bypassing the B' coil. No flux will flow through this pole since the flux from coil $A2$ returns through $C$ and $C'$. However, when transistor $Q2$ turns off, diode $D2$ is
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Figure 5.17: Coil to coil short-circuit fault (low terminal to low terminal)

momentarily forward biased and conducts both the phase 1 freewheeling current and the phase 2 current, driving the current through the short to zero. At this point, diode D2 becomes reverse biased and both current components must now flow through coil B' to the negative of the supply. Pole B' is carrying a greater percentage of the return flux. The phase 1 freewheeling current is limited by the chopped supply voltage via the phase 2 phaseleg. As in the previous cases, the fault current is extinguished when phase 2 commutates with phase 3. Because the fault current is limited, the effect of the fault on the torque production is very slight, causing only a small reduction in the peak torque during the phase 2 conduction period, and a negligible reduction in average torque. Fig. 5.18 shows phase 1 currents, total torque and radial forces for both low to low and low to centre short circuit faults.
As with the low to low terminal fault, the basic characteristic of the fault does not change if the magnetic configuration is changed to NNNSSS. However, when the machine is saturated, the fault current with NNNSSS configuration is suppressed because of the difference in the flux distribution in the yoke segments.

Because of the imbalance in current carried by opposite poles in phases 1 and 2 during the phase 2 excitation period, unbalanced radial forces for both magnetic configurations will be present. During phase 1 and phase 3 excitation, no unbalanced forces exist.
5.6.4 Coil to ground fault

With this fault with the current control mode, during the phase 1 conduction period, the phase current is regulated at a reference value. However, since the phase current is diverted to the short circuit and no current flows through part of the coils, the output torque during the phase 1 conduction is reduced. Since the imbalance in the flux exists unbalanced radial forces are produced.

More serious situation can occur a few cycle after the fault. After the commutation of phase 1 with phase 2, the freewheeling current of phase 1 flows through $D1$ and the coil to the ground. Because this is a zero voltage loop, the flux may not vanish before the next phase 1 excitation period starts. This results in a excessive current in phase 1 and the amplitude of the faulty current could increase in time producing abnormal output torque and unbalanced radial forces.

The negative bus is assumed to be connected to ground in this analysis although this is not the case in some commercial applications. Analysis of those cases are left for future investigation.
5.7 Parallel connection

The same faults as in the series connection case are studied including coil open-circuit, full coil short-circuit, partial coil short-circuit, coil-to-coil short-circuit and coil to ground. All the faults are again assumed to occur in phase 1 and phase 1 and 2 for the coil-to-coil faults.

5.7.1 Open-circuit fault

With parallel connected coils, the remaining coil in the faulty phase will carry current of twice the normal value with the current control operation. As a result, torque production is not affected by the loss of the coil, although large radial forces are produced because of the imbalance in MMF and excessive heating may be apparent. Effect of different magnetic polarities is negligible.

The effect of the fault is also common for the single-pulse control operation. The simulation result with the single-pulse control operation is shown in Fig. 5.19 where \( i_1 \) is the phase 1 current, \( i_{c1} \) is the current in the faulty coil and \( i_{c2} \) is the current in the healthy coil of the phase 1. The reason why the remaining coil carries current of twice the normal value with the single-pulse control operation can be explained as follows.

Circuit equation of a coil under normal condition is

\[
v = i_{c2} \frac{dL}{dt} + L \frac{di_{c2}}{dt} + i_{c1} \frac{dM}{dt} + M \frac{di_{c1}}{dt}
\]  

where \( L \) is the coil inductance and \( M \) is the mutual inductance between coils in a phase. Under normal condition with no leakage flux assumed, \( i_{c2} = i_{c1} \) and \( L = M \). Then,

\[
v = 2 \left( i_{c2} \frac{dL}{dt} + L \frac{di_{c2}}{dt} \right).
\]

For the faulty condition,

\[
v = i_{c2} \frac{dL}{dt} + L \frac{di_{c2}}{dt}.
\]
Since $v$ is constant, the coil current under the faulty condition becomes twice the normal value.
5.7.2 Short-circuit coil

When the phase 1 excitation period ends, diodes $D1$ and $D2$ do not conduct the freewheeling current. Rather, the decreasing flux passing through the $A'$ pole induces a large fault current to circulate around two paths within the phase as illustrated in Fig. 5.20. The first path (b), circulating the shorted turns, carries a current which could be at least 100 times the normal peak phase current. This may lead to insulation breakdown and further shorted turns. The second path (a), flowing through both the remaining part of the faulty coil and the healthy coil within the phase, carries a fault current which peaks at approximately 100% of normal peak phase current.

With high frequency PWM chopping, the presence of the fault could cause $di/dt$ in the faulty phase to become much higher than normal. This puts the devices in the converter at risk.

With the single-turn fault, torque production in the faulty phase is not adversely affected. Because the magnetic circuit is not unbalanced, no unbalanced radial forces are present. The simulation result is shown in Fig. 5.21.
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When multiple turns are short-circuited, the phenomenon is very similar to that of the single-turn short-circuit fault. However, the increased MMF of the shorted turns could result in the fault current period extending into the phase 3 excitation period. As such, a braking torque with a peak value at least equal to normal motoring peak torque would be produced. As with the single-turn short, the magnetic circuit is not unbalanced and no unbalanced radial forces exist. Again, high \( \frac{di}{dt} \) on the faulty phase current could cause a threat to devices within the converter. The simulation results including the flux in the faulty pole \( \text{Flux}_f \), the circulating current in the shorted turns \( i_f \) and the total torque are shown in Fig. 5.22 for the 1- and 20- turns shorted cases where the number of turns per coil is 98.

For full coil short-circuit fault, because the coils are connected in parallel, the coils are bypassed by the phase current thereby producing zero torque. The total average
Figure 5.22: Turns short-circuit fault with parallel connection

torque becomes $1/3$ of normal condition. The phase current in the faulty phase becomes very large and may cause device failure in the converter. No unbalanced radial forces are present.
5.7.3 Coil to coil short-circuit

The coil to coil fault between low terminal and low terminal with parallel connected coils results in slightly lower peak fault currents on account of a lower driving voltage in the phase 1 coils. With the low to high terminal fault, the fault current is quickly suppressed because the current is driving against a chopped voltage of $+V_s$ as compared with $+0.5V_s$ with the series connected coils.

5.7.4 Coil to ground fault

The impacts of the fault are similar to those with the series connected case. The excessive current in phase 1 could produce abnormal output torque and unbalanced radial forces.
5.8 Inverter faults

In this section, two converter faults are simulated including transistor short-circuit and DC-link short-circuit faults.

5.8.1 Transistor open-circuit fault

This fault is effectively the same as coil open circuit fault for the series connected phase. The total torque becomes one third of normal value and no radial forces are produced.

5.8.2 Transistor short-circuit fault

With this fault, the current freewheels through the lower leg diode $D1$, the coils and the faulty transistor $Q2$, which is a zero voltage loop. After the commutation, the flux in the faulty phase decreases very slowly and the current of the faulty phase may have a long tail. This produces large uncontrolled torque and possibly very large negative torque.

If the flux does not vanish before the next excitation, the current may oscillate at the commutation frequency and the amplitude may even increases with time. This causes imbalance in flux distribution among the phases and disturbs the operation of the healthy phases. This will result in a critical failure of the system. Therefore, the faulty phase must be shut off as soon as possible. Such a simulation result is shown in Fig. 5.23

To avoid the critical condition, the dwell angle may be limited for flux to vanish even with the zero voltage loop. However, in a real system where mutual coupling between phases exists, the mutual coupling may induce current in the faulty phase resulting in a similar condition. Furthermore, the critical condition is likely to occur in generating operation. Therefore, it might be useful to place fuses between the converter and motor or within the converter to shut off the excessive current for applications such
as aircraft starter/generator.

### 5.8.3 DC-link short-circuit fault

The DC-link voltage becomes zero after the fault occurs. For the phase whose flux-linkage is zero at the moment of the occurrence of the fault, the current is zero after the fault. While for the phase whose flux linkage is not zero, the flux decays slowly because of the lack of the reverse voltage after the turn-off angle. This may produce a current flowing even after the DC-link voltage becomes zero as a result of the fault. A large flux linkage at the moment of the fault may induce a current whose amplitude is much higher than normal value. The faulty current produces large torque ripples and impulsive radial forces. The flux-linkage, current, torque and the radial forces decrease.
in time and vanish in a certain period.

5.9 Conclusions

In this chapter, analysis and classification of winding and inverter faults in the SRM, especially in the 3-ph 6/4 machine were presented. The new lumped parameter, magnetic equivalent circuit was used, which takes full account of the mutual coupling between phases. The SRM drive model was implemented in Saber™ simulation package. Results of the new method were compared with those of the time-stepping FEA and validated.

The simulation results are summarised in Tables 5.4 and 5.5. The main impacts of each fault are listed in Table 5.4. In Table 5.5, impacts of the faults on several factors are listed, which are torque reduction, unbalanced radial force $F_r$, possible heat rise in windings and possible risk of switching device failure. The severity is indicated by the number of *, where – shows no effect on the item by a fault, * shows that there is a slight, ** shows that the effect is large and *** shows that the effect is severe. For the case of torque reduction, * indicates torque reduction of less than 10 % by a fault, ** indicates that of 10 to 50 % and *** indicates that of more than 50 % including negative torque production. These Tables together with the analyses of faults described in the chapter give an overview of and detailed insight into the faults in the SRM.

Although the analysis was based upon the 3-ph 6/4 SRM, most of the impacts of faults are common for other configurations and the results shown will be applicable. It should be noted that although increasing the number of phases will reduce the impact of a fault in a phase on overall torque production, the risk of excessive unbalanced radial forces, heat rise by induced current, semiconductor switch failures etc. will not be reduced.
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#### Table 5.4: Main impacts of faults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Faults</th>
<th>Main impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Series connected phase coils</td>
<td>Coil o/c</td>
<td>Torque reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full coil s/c</td>
<td>Radial force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial coil s/c</td>
<td>Induced current in shorted turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase-phase s/c</td>
<td>Negative torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coil-ground s/c</td>
<td>Radial force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel connected phase coils</td>
<td>Coil o/c</td>
<td>Radial force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full coil s/c</td>
<td>Torque reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial coil s/c</td>
<td>Induced current in shorted turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase-phase s/c</td>
<td>Negative torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coil-ground s/c</td>
<td>Radial force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverter failure</td>
<td>Transistor s/c</td>
<td>Loss of negative $V_{dc}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus s/c</td>
<td>Serious for generating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 5.5: Summary of impacts of faults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Faults</th>
<th>$T$ reduc.</th>
<th>$F_r$</th>
<th>Heat</th>
<th>SW at risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Series connected phase coils</td>
<td>Coil o/c</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full coil s/c</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial coil s/c</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ph-Ph s/c L-L</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ph-Ph s/c L-C</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coil-ground s/c</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel connected phase coils</td>
<td>Coil o/c</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full coil s/c</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial coil s/c</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ph-Ph s/c L-L</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ph-Ph s/c L-H</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coil-ground s/c</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverter failure</td>
<td>Transistor s/c</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus s/c</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 6

Analysis of the multi-tooth per pole SRM

6.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the multi-tooth per pole switched reluctance motor (SRM) which is designed for low-speed high-torque direct-drive (DD) applications is discussed.

The DD configuration eliminates conventional transmission mechanisms between the motor and its load. This leads to advantages such as no backlash, low friction, high stiffness and so on. These features make DD motors suitable for high precision positioning and high speed trajectory control applications. The DD applications require large torque-producing capabilities, for high acceleration and deceleration. Both the permanent-magnet brushless dc motor (BLDCM) and the SRM have been studied and commercialised for the DD market [113, 114, 81, 16, 79]. The use of permanent magnets increases the cost of the BLDCM and there is a possibility of the demagnetisation. On the other hand, the SRM does not require any rotor magnets and has a simpler winding, which leads to low cost and high reliability. Low torque ripple controls of the SRM for DD applications were reported in [81, 16]. However, less has been reported on the design of the DD SRM itself[79, 78, 115].
The average torque $T_{\text{ave}}$ of SRM is expressed by the following equation:

$$T_{\text{ave}} = N_e \times W_e.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)

where $N_e$ is the number of strokes per revolution and $W_e$ is the energy conversion per stroke. It is seen that there are two ways of increasing the average torque. One is to increase $W_e$ and the other is to increase $N_e$. For the design of a normal SRM whose stator poles normally have no teeth, one may concentrate on increasing $W_e$, hence increasing the inductance ratio in the aligned and unaligned positions. On the other hand, for the design of low-speed high-torque DD SRM, the multi-tooth per pole structure, which increases $N_e$ (in other words, the electrical gear ratio), may be considered to increase the torque. The number of teeth of the multi-tooth SRM can be determined for certain criteria such as torque, efficiency, switching frequency and so on. This is a different process of designing the stepping motor, where the number of teeth is determined by the number of steps per revolution which is a functional requirement for the motor.

It should be noted that increasing $N_e$ has drawbacks:

1. The increase in the switching frequency leads to higher iron and switching losses.
2. The decrease in the inductance ratio leads to a lower energy ratio resulting in higher copper losses and device ratings.

$N_e$ is increased with the increase in the number of teeth. However, $W_e$ is decreased at the same time mainly because of the increase in the unaligned inductance. It is expected that there is a certain number of teeth for an optimum combination of $N_e$ and $W_e$ which produces a maximum average torque. The objective of the present chapter is to investigate such a number.

Throughout the investigation finite element analysis (FEA) is used. Firstly, problems seen in the design of a commercial DD SRM are pointed out from measured data. Secondly, the tooth shape of the multi-tooth per pole motor is analysed for a maximum average torque. Thirdly, an optimum number of teeth per pole is analysed by
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6.2 Analysis of a commercial DD SRM (the Mega-

In this section, a commercial DD SRM the Megatorque motor, which is designed and manufactured by NSK Ltd., Tokyo, Japan [77], is analysed and problems seen in the design are pointed out.

6.2.1 Geometry of the Megatorque motor

The Megatorque motor is designed for DD applications where high-torque and low-
speed characteristics are required [78, 77, 76]. Fig. 6.1 shows the cross-section of the motor together with the flux paths. The Megatorque motor is a 3-phase machine and has 2 stators outside and inside of a ring-type rotor. The number of teeth per stator poles is 8 and the number of poles in each stator is 18. Thus, the number of teeth on each stator is 144. There are 150 teeth on each side of the rotor ring. One electrical cycle corresponds to $360°/150 = 2.4$ mechanical degrees. The nominal value of the airgaps at both sides of the rotor is 0.127 mm. The double-stator and high-number of teeth configuration combined with the narrow airgaps exhibits low-speed and high-
torque characteristics. For RS0608 type Megatorque motor whose outer diameter is 160 mm, the maximum torque is 40 Nm and the maximum speed is 3 rps. This corresponds to a TRV (the torque per unit rotor volume) of approximately 46 kNm/m$^3$. The TRV is comparable to high performance servomotors and machines for aerospace applications.
6.2.2 Analysis of magnetisation curves

Fig. 6.2 shows an example of magnetisation curves of the SRM showing the curves in the aligned and unaligned positions. A reasonable design will give the inductance ratio of around 7-10 for small motors, increasing to 15-20 for motors up to 250 mm diameter [57].

Apart from the average torque, the energy ratio $E$ is an important factor which characterises the SRM. $E$ is defined as [2]:

$$E = \frac{W}{W + R} = \frac{W}{U}.$$  \hfill (6.2)

$W$ is the enclosed area with o-a-b-o and $R$ is the enclosed area with o-b-c-o in Fig. 6.2. $U = W + R$ corresponds to the total energy supplied to the machine. $R$ is the reactive energy returned to the supply after $W$ is converted into mechanical output. Lower in $E$ may lead to poor utilisation of the device ratings and higher copper losses per
Measured magnetisation curves of the Megatorque motor are shown in Fig. 6.3. The curves were measured at every 10 electrical degrees, which corresponds to 0.067 mechanical degrees, from the aligned to unaligned positions. The rated current of the test motor is 6 A. The raw measured curves contain irregularities due to the difficulties in precise positioning. The curves shown in Fig. 6.3 are fitted using polynomial functions.

Fig. 6.4 shows the static torque curves. The solid lines are ones reconstructed from the measured magnetisation curves and the dots are measured. The measured data was supplied by NSK Ltd. Both data match with each other reasonably although the constructed ones are slightly shifted to the unaligned position. This may be caused by inaccuracies in the measurement of the rotor position and/or the error in fitting the curves.

The following are observed in the magnetisation curves:

1. Inductance ratio is less than 2.

2. Low in $W$ leading to a low energy ratio $E$. 
3. Unaligned curve is saturated as well as the aligned one.

It is seen that the high torque of the Megatorque motor relies on the higher value of $N_e = 450$. However, obtaining higher average torque $T_{ave}$ by increasing $N_e$ decreased the inductance ratio and the energy ratio $E$. There may be an optimum $N_e$ for higher torque for given rotor and stator sizes and current.
Figure 6.4: Static torque curves
6.3 Tooth model Analysis

The magnetic permeances of the doubly salient structures have been well studied and published [116, 117, 118, 119, 120]. It has been reported that the optimum ratio of \( t_w/\lambda \) is around 0.42 for a maximum force production [82, 116, 121], where \( t_w \) is the tooth width and \( \lambda \) is the tooth pitch. It has been also reported that the slot depth of half the tooth pitch \( h = \lambda/2 \) is sufficient and increasing \( h \) more than \( h = \lambda/2 \) is hardly beneficial for higher force production [121, 79]. The rounding of the slot bottoms has hardly any effect on force produced for a slot depth \( h = 0.5 \) [121, 79].

In this section, the influence of the ratio of tooth width to tooth pitch \( t_w/\lambda \) on the amount of energy conversion is analysed. The influence of the slot depth \( h \) is also analysed. The previously published results are validated by the analysis.

The stored energy \( W_m \) is calculated in the aligned and unaligned positions. The difference in \( W_m \) in the two positions is then calculated, which corresponds to the energy converted into the mechanical power. In order to find an optimum \( t_w/\lambda \), \( \lambda \) is fixed and \( t_w \) is varied. For the analysis of the influence of \( h \), \( t_w/\lambda \) is fixed and \( h/\lambda \) is varied.

6.3.1 Analytical models

Fig. 6.5 shows the analytical models used in the aligned and unaligned positions. Fig. 6.6 shows the finite element mesh for the model in the aligned position. It is assumed that the model is infinite in y-axis (horizontal axis in Fig. 6.5). Because of the symmetry, modelling of half tooth is sufficient.

6.3.2 Assignment of boundary conditions

Fixed values of the vector potential \( A \) are assigned to the horizontal lines at the top and bottom of the model which are parallel to y-axis. For the 2-D analysis, properties
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(a) aligned position

(b) unaligned position

Figure 6.5: Tooth models (↑:x-axis, ←:y-axis)

Figure 6.6: Finite element mesh (aligned position)

do not change in z-axis.

\[ A_1 = A_1 \hat{z} \]  
(6.3)

\[ A_2 = A_2 \hat{z} \]  
(6.4)

The flux density \( B \) is expressed as:

\[ B = \text{rot} A \]  
(6.5)

\[ = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ A_x & A_y & A_z \end{vmatrix}. \]  
(6.6)

Here, for 2-D finite element analysis, \( A_x = A_y = 0 \).

\[ B = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} A_z \right) \hat{x} - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_z \right) \hat{y} \]  
(6.7)
The first term on the right hand side is zero since we assign a constant $A$ along y-axis. Therefore,

$$B = -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A_x \right) \hat{y}. \quad (6.8)$$

The mean value of $B$ across the model is

$$B = -\frac{A_1 - A_2}{x_1 - x_2} \quad (6.9)$$

where $x_1$ and $x_2$ are the coordinates of the positions where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are assigned respectively. Since the width of the model which corresponds to half the tooth pitch is fixed ($x_1 - x_2 = \text{constant}$), a constant flux flow in the model is assigned.

### 6.3.3 Analytical results

Fig. 6.7 shows the calculated flux plots. The flux plots show the validity of the model. Fig. 6.8 shows the change in the coenergy $dW_m$ with $t_w/\lambda$ calculated. $dW_m$ is normalised by $dW_m$ with $t_w/\lambda = 0.40$. $dW_m$ becomes maximum around $t_w/\lambda = 0.40$. The reduction in $dW_m$ is less than 3% within the range of $t_w/\lambda = 0.35$ to 0.45. Therefore, $t_w/\lambda$ can be chosen for secondary criteria within the range, such as flatter static torque curves and so on. Fig. 6.9 shows the change in $dW_m$ with the change in the slot depth $h$. $dW_m$ is normalised by $dW_m$ with $h/\lambda = 0.45$. $dW_m$ increases with increasing $h$. 
when \( h < 0.45 \). However, for \( h > 0.45 \) there is little benefit in \( dW_m \). These results agree with previously published results. The Megatorque motor has \( t_w/\lambda = 0.35 \) and \( h/\lambda = 0.45 \) and its tooth design is adequate.
Figure 6.9: Change in $dW_m$ with $h/\lambda$
6.4 Number of teeth per pole

In this section, the influence of the number of teeth per pole on the amount of the energy conversion is analysed with half-pole models.

6.4.1 Analytical model

Fig. 6.10 shows some of the models used for the analysis. The number of teeth per pole $N_t$ is modelled from 2 to 12. The pole width, height and airgap length are fixed for all the models. The ratio of tooth width/tooth pitch $t_w/\lambda$ is fixed at 0.352 and the ratio of slot depth/tooth pitch $h/\lambda$ is set at 0.55. The rotor thickness is modelled as deep as 3 times the slot depth. It was confirmed that the difference in the rotor thickness modelled hardly affects the calculated results of the amount of energy conversion by comparing models with different rotor thickness. This is due to the saturation being much less in the rotor than that in the stator and the MMF drop in the rotor is negligible.

Constant values of the vector potential are assigned along $y$-axis at both ends of the model, as done for the tooth analysis in the previous section. The width of the models are slightly different to each other. This is because it models from the centre of a pole to half tooth pitch beyond the pole end. The difference in the width of the models is in the tooth pitch.

6.4.2 Analytical results

Fig. 6.11 shows the flux plots of the 8 teeth per pole model in the aligned and unaligned positions. Fig. 6.12 shows the magnetisation curves in the aligned and unaligned positions for different numbers of teeth calculated. The difference in the aligned curves including saturation is relatively small between models, while the difference in the unaligned curves is large. The aligned inductance of $N_t = 2$ is not larger than 1.2 times
that of $N_t = 12$. The unaligned inductance of $N_t = 2$ is approximately 4 times larger than that of $N_t = 12$.

The unaligned curves for models with higher numbers of teeth are saturated as well as the aligned curves. Finch pointed out in ref. [73] that the normalised excitation MMF can be increased by increasing the number of teeth per pole, where the normalised excitation MMF is the achievement of MMF to the the critical MMF at which the idealised unaligned tooth flux reaches the saturation value. Fig. 6.12 agrees with the statement above and shows that the critical MMF is decreased by increasing the number of teeth per pole.

Fig. 6.13 shows the energy conversion per stroke divided by the tooth pitch $dW_m/\lambda$ for the number of teeth per pole $N_t$. The result is normalised for the value for $N_t = 8$. Three lines are shown: the solid line is for the normalised magnetic field $H_N = 1$ in Fig. 6.12, the dotted line is for $H_N = 0.8$ and the dashed line is for $H_N = 0.5$.

In the linear region, represented by the dashed line, a higher number of teeth per pole results in a larger average torque. As the effects of saturation increase and the number of teeth per pole also increases the average torque will begin to decrease as shown by the dotted line. This trend becomes more significant when both effects increase further and $H_N$ increases.

It is found from the observation that an optimum number of teeth per pole varies with the excitation level. If the maximum excitation is chosen for the value at which the unaligned magnetisation curve starts saturating, it will lead to a maximum average torque.
Figure 6.10: Pole models for different number of teeth (→:x-axis, ↑:y-axis)
Figure 6.11: Flux plots of 8 teeth per pole model
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Figure 6.12: Magnetisation curves for different numbers of teeth

Figure 6.13: Change in $dW_m$ with the number of teeth
6.5 Analysis of practical models

In the previous section, it has been shown that the optimum number of teeth per pole which maximise the average torque varies with the saturation level in the unaligned position. In this section, more practical models are analysed in order to investigate the effect of the number of teeth on the torque output.

6.5.1 Analytical models

Fig. 6.14 shows the models used. 5 and 8 teeth per pole motors are analysed. A ring-type rotor structure, which has a hollow space in the center, is chosen here. The hollow space is regarded as a preferable feature for DD applications and can be used for wiring and plumbing and so on [76]. This also contributes to increasing the rotor diameter leading to a higher torque.

Both models have identical dimensions except the tooth pitch $\lambda$. The design of teeth are chosen at $t_w/\lambda = 0.35$ and $h/\lambda = 0.55$. The stator outer radius is 80.0 mm, rotor outer radius is 53.3 mm and airgap length is 0.13 mm. The number of phases is chosen at 3. The tooth pitch of the stator and rotor is identical and the pole placement is shifted by $1/3$ tooth pitch between phases (equal pitch design [122]). It is assumed that the number of poles is 18. It is sufficient to model 60 mechanical degrees because of symmetry. The number of turns per pole is assumed to be 60.
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Figure 6.14: Practical models

(a) 8-tooth model

(b) 5-tooth model
6.5.2 Analytical results

Figs. 6.15 and 6.16 show the flux plots for the 5- and 8-tooth models in the aligned and unaligned positions. The flux concentration in the stator yoke and the rotor is lower comparing to that in the stator poles for both models. The pole width could be designed wider, but with a penalty in the slot area. The flux concentration is highest in the teeth in the aligned position, while the flux concentration is highest in the poles in the unaligned position. The mutual coupling between phases is small with the single-phase excitation.

The aligned and unaligned magnetisation curves of both models are shown in Fig. 6.17. MMF value was extended to unpractical value in order to show the effect of saturation in the unaligned position. It is seen that the curves in the aligned position are very close to each other. In these models, the pole pitch $\tau_s$ is chosen at $10^{\circ}$ and $\tau_s$ is expressed as

$$\tau_s = \frac{N_t - 1}{2}\lambda + \frac{2}{3}\lambda.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.10)

The second term corresponds to the pole shift between phases. From the equation above, $\lambda$ is expressed as a function of $\tau_s$ and $N_t$:

$$\lambda = \frac{\tau_s}{\frac{N_t - 1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.11)

The total area of tooth surface per pole $S_t$ is

$$S_t = \lambda \left(\frac{t_w}{\lambda}\right) N_t L_{stk}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.12)

$$= K \frac{2\tau_s}{1 + \frac{1}{N_t}}$$

where $L_{stk}$ is the stack length, $(t_w/\lambda)$ is the ratio of tooth width to tooth pitch and $K = (t_w/\lambda)L_{stk}$. $S_t$ of the 5-tooth model is approximately 6% smaller than that of the 8-tooth model. However, the difference in $S_t$ does not seem to be affecting the aligned curves. On the other hand, the difference in the unaligned curves is significant. The

---

1The pole width of the analytical models was determined at a practical value by consulting the design of the Megatorque motor
unsaturated unaligned inductance of the 5-tooth model is about 80% of that of the 8-tooth model. This is due to the difference in the clearance in the unaligned position. The energy ratio $E$ was calculated for a phase current of 6 A. $E$ is 0.46 for the 8-tooth model and 0.52 for the 5-tooth model. The 8-tooth model is poorer in the utilisation of the device ratings.

Fig. 6.18 shows the energy conversion per stroke vs. MMF. The curve for the 5-tooth model is scaled to compensate for the difference in the tooth pitch by multiplying the factor of $N_{e5}/N_{e8}$, where $N_{e5}$ and $N_{e8}$ are the number of strokes per revolution for the 5- and 8-tooth models respectively. The average torque of the 8-tooth model is larger throughout the MMF level. The trend which is found in the half-pole model analysis is still seen in Fig. 6.18. When MMF level is lower, the difference in the average torque is larger. With increase in MMF level the difference becomes smaller. However, there is no crossover in the average torque. The average torque of the 5-tooth model is approximately 80% of that of the 8-tooth model for a phase current of 6 A, and it is 96 % for a phase current of 15 A.

Figs. 6.19 and 6.20 show the calculated magnetisation curves for the 8- and 5-tooth models respectively. The curves are shown in the aligned and unaligned positions including 5 intermediate positions. The static torque curves are calculated from the magnetisation curves and shown in Figs. 6.21 and 6.22. The average torque for the 8-tooth model is approximately 20 % higher for a range of MMF up to the highly saturated region. The curves of the 5-tooth model are flatter and this is advantageous for lower torque ripples with a constant current operation (see Fig. 6.23).
Figure 6.15: Flux plot (8-tooth model)
Figure 6.16: Flux plot (5-tooth model)
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Figure 6.17: Magnetisation curves in aligned and unaligned positions

![Magnetisation Curves](image1)

Figure 6.18: Energy conversion per stroke vs. MMF
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Figure 6.19: Magnetisation curves of 8 teeth per pole model

Figure 6.20: Magnetisation curves of 5 teeth per pole model
Figure 6.21: Static torque curves of 8 teeth per pole model

Figure 6.22: Static torque curves of 5 teeth per pole model
Figure 6.23: Torque waveforms with a constant current of 8 A (solid: 8-tooth, dashed: 5-tooth)
6.6 Conclusions

In this chapter, the optimisation of the tooth shape and the number of teeth per pole of the multi-tooth SRM for a maximum energy conversion were discussed.

Firstly, the tooth shape for a maximum energy conversion was studied. An optimum ratio of tooth width/tooth pitch and slot depth/tooth pitch were analysed. The results showed that an optimum ratio between tooth width and tooth pitch $t_w/\lambda$ is around 0.4 and that the slot depth of $h = 0.5(t_w/\lambda)$ is sufficient. These results are of agreement with previously published ones.

Secondly, the number of teeth per pole for a maximum energy conversion was investigated. Half-pole models were employed for the analysis. It was found that an optimum number of teeth per pole for a maximum average torque varies with the saturation level. The number of teeth should be chosen such that the magnetisation curve in the unaligned position is about to start saturating for a given rated value of MMF.

Finally, practical models whose number of teeth are 5 and 8 were analysed. It was found that the average torque of the 8-tooth model was approximately 20% higher than that of the 5-tooth model. However, the energy ratio of the 5-tooth model was 5% higher. The static torque of the 5-tooth model was flatter than that of the 8-tooth model. This can be an advantage for a lower torque ripple with a constant current operation. However, the static torque curves of the 8-tooth model could also be changed by modifying the tooth geometry. It should be noted that many recent applications of the Megatorque motor such as silicon wafer positioning require low torque ripple rather than high torque, while high torque was the main requirement when the Megatorque motor was introduced about a decade ago. The 5-tooth model should be considered as a possible design for such new applications.

In summary, for the design of the multi-tooth SRM, increasing the number of teeth can be beneficial for a higher average torque, however, the cost of possible higher losses
and device ratings must be paid. Therefore, the number of teeth should be designed by taking in account overall characteristics of the system.
Chapter 7

Conclusions

This thesis has investigated the fault tolerant operation of the single-phase SR machine as a generator. It has also analysed coil and inverter faults in the poly-phase SR machine. Furthermore, the multi-tooth per pole SR motor has also been studied. In this chapter general conclusions of the present work and possible future work are presented.

7.1 Comparison of single- and poly-phase SR machines

In chapter 2 the advantages of the single-phase SR machine over poly-phase equivalents have been investigated.

The analysis demonstrated why the single-phase SR machine can be designed to achieve higher efficiency over poly-phase equivalents. The larger slot area, lower iron volume and shorter flux paths contribute to higher efficiency.

Finite element analysis showed that poly-phase equivalents require wider pole width for a comparable output with the single-phase machine, leading to higher copper losses.
Dynamic simulations using PC-SRD were performed in order to validate the analytical results. The simulation results and analytical results agreed. The overall efficiency of the single-phase 8/8-pole SR machine was 3 to 5% higher than that of a comparable 4-phase 8/6 SR machine.

Prototype single-phase 8/8-pole and 4-phase 8/6 SR machines with comparable geometry were built and dynamic and static tests were carried out. The test results validated the analysis and simulations.

In summary, the multi-pole single-phase SR machine could be designed for a higher efficiency over poly-phase equivalents and can be advantageous for certain applications where the lack of starting capability and the high torque ripple are not serious issues, like generator applications.

7.2 Maximisation of the energy conversion effectiveness in the SR generator

In chapter 3 maximisation of the energy conversion effectiveness of the SR generator was discussed. The effect of the DC-bus voltage and the rotor speed on the energy conversion effectiveness was highlighted by simulations.

The limitations of chopping control was discussed. Two limitations are that the generated current waveform can only be controlled at speed below the base speed where the back-EMF is smaller than the DC-bus voltage and that it is difficult to achieve a low excitation penalty.

An SR generator controller which regulates the DC-bus voltage in proportion to the speed was proposed. The controller maximises the energy conversion effectiveness by maintaining the current waveform close to the ideal “flat-top” shape even when the speed of the prime mover varies over a wide range. A converter topology which regulates the load voltage at a constant value with only one additional switch was
proposed. The simple additional circuit is sufficient if the load voltage is lower than the controlled DC-bus voltage over the operating speed range.

A nonlinear model of the proposed system was implemented in the SIMULINK® simulation package. Measured magnetisation curves of the prototype machine were used in the simulation model to take into account the nonlinearity. The simulation results confirmed that the "flat-top" current could be achieved by controlling the DC-bus voltage with rotor speed and it could be controlled dynamically. The load voltage was regulated at a constant value of 80 V for a speed range of 3,000 to 10,000 rpm independently of the DC-bus voltage by an addition of a simple step-down DC/DC converter.

The controller was implemented using a microcontroller for the single-phase 8/8-pole SR machine with power rating of 0.8 kW at 2,000 rpm. The validity of the proposed control method was confirmed by experiments. The proposed method may be suitable for applications where the speed of the prime mover varies widely, such as wind generators and jet turbine generators.

7.3 Fault-tolerant operation of the single-phase SR generator

In chapter 4 fault-tolerant operation of the single-phase SR generator was discussed. The split-winding converter which requires a few additional components for the single-phase 8/8-pole machine was proposed and the operation under open- and short-circuit coil faults were analysed.

The reduction in output power with loss of the coils was analysed for several winding configurations. It was found using the linear analysis and finite-element analysis that the SR generator was capable of generating half its normal power with 4 of the 8 coils open for the same coil current. The static torque measurement and generating tests confirmed this analysis. The winding configuration of NNSSNNSS magnetic polarity
may be the best configuration when the windings of the single-phase SR generator are split into two banks in parallel.

Transient state operation on disconnecting faulted coils with the proposed system was also studied. The circuit equations under faulted conditions were derived and computer simulations were performed. A fault in one of the 8 coils affects all healthy coils significantly if the magnetic unbalance is not removed. It was shown that the proposed SR generator system could separate faulty coils dynamically and keep generating half the normal power after coil faults. It demonstrated that the output power after faults with the fixed-angle single-pulse control depends on the winding configuration and will be either its normal value or half its normal value.

The analytical and simulation results were validated by experiments using the SR generator system developed in chapter 3. The experimental results proved stable operation of the SR generator under the coil faults.

7.4 Analysis and classification of faults in SR motors

In chapter 5 analysis and classification of winding and inverter faults in the SR motor were presented. The new lumped parameter magnetic equivalent circuit was used taking full account of nonlinearity and the mutual coupling between phases. The 3-phase 6/4-pole SR drive model was implemented in the Saber™ simulation package. The model was validated with time-stepping finite element analysis.

Many potential winding and inverter faults were analysed. The simulated coil faults include coil open-circuit, full coil short-circuit, partial coil short-circuit, phase to phase short-circuit, and coil to ground short-circuit faults. The simulated inverter faults include transistor short-circuit and bus short-circuit faults. All faults were simulated for series and parallel connected phase coils, two pole magnetic configurations of NNNSSS and NSNNSN and with single-pulse and current chopping control modes.
A detailed analysis of the simulated results were described in chapter 5 and are summarised below. With the series connected phase coils the main effects of the coil faults on the performance are:

- torque reduction for coil open-circuit fault
- unbalanced radial force production for full coil short-circuit and coil to ground short-circuit faults
- induced current in shorted turns for partial coil short-circuit fault
- negative torque production for phase to phase short-circuit fault.

The main impact is the same for the parallel connected phase coils except for coil open-circuit and full coil short-circuit faults, the main effects of these faults being torque reduction and unbalanced radial force production respectively.

For the inverter faults the main impact of the transistor short-circuit fault is the loss of the negative voltage loop which results in abnormal flux linkage and current. The bus short fault is serious for generating operation because generated current may become excessive with the loss of applied negative voltage.

It should be noted that only the main effects of the faults on the system are highlighted above. A more detailed discussion of the full impact of faults on the system is given in chapter 5.

Although the analysis was based upon the 3-ph 6/4 SR motor, most of the results are considered to be common for other configurations. It should be noted that although increasing the number of phases will reduce the impact of a fault in a phase on overall torque production the risk of excessive unbalanced radial forces, heat rise by induced current, semiconductor switch failures etc. will not be reduced.
7.5 The multi-tooth per pole SR motor

In chapter 6, the analysis of the multi-tooth SR motor was presented.

Optimum ratios of tooth width/tooth pitch and slot depth/tooth pitch were analysed by finite element analysis for a maximum energy conversion. An optimum ratio of tooth width/tooth pitch was found to be around 0.4 and a ratio of slot depth/tooth pitch of 0.5 was found to be sufficient. This confirms previously published results.

An optimum number of teeth per pole for a maximum energy conversion was also investigated. It was found that an optimum number of teeth per pole varied with the saturation level and the number of teeth should be chosen such that the magnetisation curve in the unaligned position was about to start saturating for a given rated value of MMF.

Practical 5 and 8 teeth per pole models were analysed. The 8-tooth model was 20% higher in average torque and 5% lower in the energy ratio than the 5-tooth model. The static torque curves of the 5-tooth model were flatter than those of the 8-tooth model which may result in a lower torque ripple with current control operation. The static torque curves of the 8-tooth model could be changed by modifying the geometry.

In summary, increasing the number of teeth in the design of the multi-tooth SRM may increase the average torque but decrease the energy ratio at the same time which in turn may lead to higher losses and higher device ratings. Therefore the number of teeth should be determined from desired overall system characteristics.

7.6 Future work

- Although the single-phase SR machine can be higher in efficiency, application is limited because of the lack of starting capability and high torque ripple. Identification of the applications where the single-phase SR machine has clear advantages is required.
• In the SR generator system studied in chapter 3, the single-pulse control in which the switching angles were determined by a linear model was used. Although this was sufficient for the validation of the proposed DC-bus voltage control system, further investigation of the control laws of the SR generator is required for optimum performance. Analysis of the dynamic response of the proposed scheme is also required.

• The single-phase SR generator system discussed in chapter 4 offers an option for low-cost implementation of a fault-tolerant system. However relative assessment of fault-tolerant systems including poly-phase system is required.

• Though many possible faults in the SR motor were analysed in chapter 5 there still remain faults uncovered. Investigation of probability of the occurrence of faults is necessary for the determination of fault detection and protection required. Validation of the simulation model by experiment would be ideal although only validation by the time-stepping finite element analysis was carried out.

• Although studied by finite element analysis in chapter 6, the design of the multi-teeth per pole SR machine is still difficult and as yet unclear. This issue is left for further investigation.
Appendix A

Data for prototype machines

In the following, drawings of laminations, measured magnetisation curves and measured static torque curves of the prototype single-phase 8/8-pole and 4-phase 8/6 SR machines are presented.
Figure A.1: Drawings of lamination of prototype 1-ph 8/8 SR machine
Figure A.2: Drawings of lamination of prototype 4-ph 8/6 SR machine
Figure A.3: Measured magnetisation curves of prototype 1-ph 8/8 SR machine

Figure A.4: Measured magnetisation curves of prototype 4-ph 8/6 SR machine
Figure A.5: Measured static torque curves of prototype 1-ph 8/8 SR machine (20, 30, 40, 50, 60A)

Figure A.6: Measured static torque curves of prototype 4-ph 8/6 SR machine (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40A)
Appendix B

Circuit diagrams

In the following, circuit diagrams of the SRG controller, isolation amplifier and power electronic inverter are presented. Most of the commutation board circuits are programmed in a XILINX XC3064A FPGA.
Figure B.1: Circuit diagram of MC68332 microcontroller board
Figure B.2: Circuit diagram of I/O board
Figure B.3: Circuit diagram of commutation board: top level
Figure B.4: Circuit diagram of commutation control block in commutation board
Figure B.5: Circuit diagram of output control block in commutation board
Figure B.6: Circuit diagram of encoder signal edge detection block in commutation board
Figure B.7: Circuit diagram of rotation direction detection block in commutation board
Figure B.8: Circuit diagram of latch array block in commutation board
Figure B.9: Circuit diagram of position counter block in commutation board
Figure B.10: Circuit diagram of absolute position counter block in commutation board
Figure B.11: Circuit diagram of isolation amplifier
Figure B.12: Circuit diagram of power electronic inverter
Appendix C

Magnetisation curve measurement of Megatorque motor

C.1 Positioning of the rotor

Measurement of magnetisation curves of Megatorque motor requires very precise positioning of the rotor since one electrical cycle corresponds to 2.4 mechanical degrees. When the magnetisation curves are measured for the rotor position of every 10 electrical degrees, the rotor has to be positioned and locked at every 0.0667 mechanical degrees.

For magnetisation curve measurement of a normal SR machine, the rotor may be positioned and locked by a dividing head. However, the dividing head available in the SPEED laboratory has a resolution of 0.2 mechanical degrees which is not enough for the measurement for Megatorque motor.

A rig which locks the rotor of Megatorque motor in desired positions was constructed and is shown in Fig. C.1. The stator is fixed to the back plate and the rotor is fixed to the front plate of the rig. The front plate has holes which have enough clearance to allow the rotor to rotate more than one electrical cycle. The rotor can be rotated by loosing the 6 screws which lock the rotor.
For the positioning of the rotor, the resolver and position servo controller of the Megatorque motor driver/controller were used. The controller provides the positioning resolution of 614,400/revolution. To obtain a position required:

1. Loosen the screws to allow the rotor to rotate.

2. Energise the motor and get the position by the Megatorque position servo controller.

3. Assuring the position error is zero, tighten the screws to lock the rotor.

4. Disconnect the Megatorque controller from the motor.

5. Connect the magnetisation curve measurement setup to the motor and conduct the measurement (described below).

6. Repeat 1 to 5.
C.2 Measurement

Fig. C.2 shows the experimental setup for the measurement of magnetisation curves. One phase winding is connected to a power phase-leg. The output signal of a square-wave generator is used as the switching gate signal for the power transistors. The duty cycle of the squarewave is set less than 50% for flux linkage to reach zero before the next cycle. The DC voltage and frequency of the squarewave are determined for a desired peak value of the current. The frequency is typically around 10 kHz. A higher value of the DC voltage is desirable to reduce the effect of voltage drop in winding resistance. The voltage and current are measured by and stored in a digital oscilloscope. In practice, the waveforms of voltage and current are averaged over 20-30 cycles to minimise measurement errors. Fig. C.3 shows examples of the voltage and current waveforms.
From measured waveforms, the flux linkage $\psi$ is calculated by the following equation:

$$\psi = \int \{v(t) - R \cdot i(t)\} \, dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.1)

where $R$ is winding resistance and may be measured by a multi-meter. Plotting $\psi$ versus $i$ gives a magnetisation curve for a corresponding rotor position.

### C.3 Measured magnetisation data

Measured magnetisation curves are shown in Figs. C.4, C.5 and C.6. The curves were measured at every 10 electrical degrees from the aligned position to unaligned position. Fig. C.4 shows the curves when the motor is being magnetised and this corresponds to the data while the current is rising in Fig. C.3. Fig. C.5 shows the curves when the motor is being demagnetised and this corresponds to the data while the current is decreasing. The curves during the magnetisation and demagnetisation differ from each other slightly and the average of them were taken. The averaged curves are shown in Fig. C.6.
The magnetisation curves in Fig. C.6 are not uniformly distributed for the rotor positions. Possible causes for this are:

- Inaccuracy in the rotor position (The movement of the rotor position was being observed during the measurement. The maximum displacement was less than 0.8 electrical degrees.).

- Effect of high resistance of the winding (approximately 8 $\Omega$) on calculation of $\psi$ by equation (C.1).

- Effects of hysteresis and induced currents.

The irregularity of the magnetisation curves results in large ripples in torque calculated from them. In the next section, a smoothing technique to compensate the irregularity is discussed.
Figure C.5: Measured magnetisation curves (demagnetisation)

Figure C.6: Average of magnetisation and demagnetisation curves
C.4 Process of measured data

The gauge curve model, which represents the flux linkage as a function of rotor position with a constant current, was proposed by Miller and McGlip in [45]. Here, the gauge curve is used to make the measured magnetisation curves uniform.

Fig. C.7 shows the normalised gauge curves calculated from the measured magnetisation curves. The dotted lines show the gauge curves for currents from 0.5 to 6.5 A for every 0.5 A. The solid line is the average of the dotted lines.

Fig. C.8 shows the averaged gauge curve fitted with a polynomial function. The model proposed in [45] can be used instead of the polynomial function. Fig. C.9 shows reconstructed magnetisation curves by using the gauge curve in Fig. C.8 and measured magnetisation curves in aligned and unaligned positions in Fig. C.6. The magnetisation curves in Fig. C.9 are uniformly distributed and the torque calculated from the curves are expected to be smooth. The static torque curves calculated from the reconstructed magnetisation curves were compared with measured ones and are shown in chapter 6.
Figure C.8: Normalised gauge curve fitted with a polynomial function

The comparison gave a reasonable agreement and the process of the magnetisation curves discussed here appears to be validated.
Figure C.9: Reconstructed magnetisation curves from the fitted gauge curve
Appendix D

Author's publications

The author's publications resulting from the research in the thesis are listed below.
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