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Summary

The kinetic features of the pulmonary oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$) response to exercise provide insight into the functional status of the cardiovascular, respiratory and muscular systems, in terms of exercise tolerance in health and disease. The focus of the current research was to improve current understanding of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, with particular reference to reliable and accurate modelling and interpretation. Three studies have been carried out:

1. The dependence of $\dot{V}O_2$, intramuscular oxygenation status and arterialized blood lactate response on the work-recovery duty cycle duration during intermittent cycling suggests that the functional intensity of dynamic exercise is determined not only by the work rate per se, but also the manner of its imposition. Differences in the average $\dot{V}O_2$ relationship with lactate concentration, compared to constant work rate exercise, demand revision of conventional exercise intensity description.

2. A "priming" bout of supra-critical power cycling significantly reduces the magnitude of the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component, with no discernible effect on the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, during subsequent sub-critical power, but supra-lactate threshold, cycling. The tolerable duration of this exercise was reduced in some, but not all, subjects, raising interesting questions regarding the determinants of the power-duration hyperbola and its relationship with $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics.

3. Demonstration that the duration of the Phase I portion of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response during the rest-to-20W transition is prolonged in some, but not all, patients diagnosed with moderate-to-severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease has called into question traditional modelling strategies employed to characterise the $\dot{V}O_2$ fundamental component in this population. Speeding of the overall $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics as a result of an 8-week exercise-training programme is demonstrated by a significantly speeded fundamental $\dot{V}O_2$ component.

Exact details of the mechanisms underpinning $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in health and disease remain conjectural, but discussion has now directed opinion to the potential for $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics to be obscuring regional differences within the exercising musculature. That $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics can be determined using sub-maximal exercise, even in severely debilitated patient populations, highlights the utility of this approach when assessing the combined function of the respiratory, cardiovascular and muscular systems responsible for $O_2$-delivery and $O_2$-
utilisation in patient populations. However, subsequent modelling of the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics must be physiologically justified and the interpretation appropriate.
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Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 BACKGROUND

It has long been recognised that a necessity of the response to exercise is the essential increase in provision and utilisation of oxygen, in order to fuel the supply of high-energy phosphates to balance the energy demand of muscular work, and hence avoid an intracellular homeostatic "catastrophe" (Chance et al., 1985). The precise details of the control mechanisms responsible for this matching process of oxygen consumption to oxygen demand remain elusive, despite considerable research and debate. The two major competing theories are:

1. Vascular O₂ delivery – feed-forward and/or feed-back mechanisms act to adjust the rate of oxygen delivery to determine the rate of muscle O₂ consumption (Q_{mO₂}) and match the O₂ demand (reviewed by Hughson et al., 2001).

2. Intrinsic metabolic control – assumes that O₂ delivery is adequate, and that with feed-forward, or feed-back control, the putative enzymatic controllers of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation determine the rate of muscle O₂ consumption (reviewed by, e.g. Grassi, 2001; Whipp et al., 2002b; Korzeniewski & Zoladz, 2003).

It is recognised that there is not an immediate increase in the rate of oxidative phosphorylation to sufficient levels to meet ATP demands, although the underlying mechanisms responsible for these slow kinetics of the increased rate of oxidative phosphorylation remain controversial. Since it is the production of ATP that is the ultimate focus of oxidative metabolism, it is of little surprise that many investigators have proposed that the limitation resides in the mechanisms of ATP production and utilisation. The demonstration of monocexponentiality of the Q_{mO₂} response (as described in detail later) is consistent with a single rate-limiting step, although this concept has been challenged more recently (Whipp et al., 2002b). As shown in Figure 1.1, the potential sites for limitation are numerous, although a number of specific mechanisms have been proposed:
Figure 1.1 – Diagram summarising the cytosolic and mitochondrial reactions involved in ATP generation. From Chance et al. (1985).

a) One of the earlier proposed mechanisms was that [ADP] is the key factor via Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Chance & Williams, 1956). Subsequently, more complex models have been proposed (Jeneson et al., 1996; Conley et al., 2001). Barstow et al. (1994b), using nuclear magnetic resonance (31P-NMRS) spectroscopy techniques, demonstrated that during incremental calf exercise the hyperbolic changes in [ADP] concentration, characteristic of Michaelis-Menten kinetics, were observed for moderate exercise (defined subsequently). At higher work rates, associated with a decrease in intramuscular pH, the hyperbolic relationship was not retained, Barstow et al. (1994b) citing this as evidence against direct [ADP] control.

b) Thermodynamic control of oxidative metabolism via the phosphorylation potential ([ATP]/[ADP][Pi]) has also been postulated (Brown, 1992; Wilson, 1994).

c) An alternative proposition for thermodynamic control (Funk et al., 1990; Kushmerick et al., 1992) is via the Gibbs free energy of ATP hydrolysis ($\Delta G_{\text{ATP}}$).

d) The potential for linear control by [PCr] is based on the role of creatine kinase linking ATP production in the mitochondria and ATP utilisation in the cytosol (Mahler, 1985; Meyer, 1988).
e) A more recent proposition (Timmons et al., 1998a) is that the limitation lies in the redox potential, more specifically the supply of NADH, which depends on the availability of acetyl-CoA.

That the control of muscle oxygen consumption has not been resolved is perhaps not entirely surprising, given the complexities surrounding the control of oxidative phosphorylation and the multifaceted interaction of the respiratory, cardiovascular and muscular systems responsible for transfer of O$_2$ from the atmosphere to cytochrome oxidase at opposite ends of the oxygen cascade (e.g. Weibel, 1984; Wagner, 2000). The interdependence of the systems involved in the exchange of O$_2$ (and CO$_2$) between the atmosphere and the muscle has been usefully schematised in Figure 1.2, and the potential sites for limitation are numerous (Wasserman et al., 1967).

![Figure 1.2](image)

Figure 1.2 — Schematic illustrating the interaction and coordination of the pulmonary, cardiac and muscular systems linking oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production. From Wasserman et al. (1999).

There has been a concerted interest to further pursue the elusive details of the underlying control mechanisms from a bioenergetics systems control perspective (e.g. Hill & Lupton, 1923; Casaburi et al., 1989; Whipp & Ward, 1990; Linnarsson, 1990; Hughson, 1990; Miyamoto, 1992; Kushmerick et al., 1992; Hughson et al., 2001; Grassi, 2001; Whipp et al., 2002b; Korzeniewski & Zoladz, 2003). The majority of information regarding the system control characteristics can be derived from examining the system during the non-steady state of the response, and so the investigation of the kinetics of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ at the onset (and offset) of dynamic exercise remains the focus of attention. Aside from the interest in the kinetics of oxygen consumption from a bioenergetic control aspect, there is also considerable functional interest in their role in exercise tolerance in health and disease, as will be discussed.
According to the laws of mass balance, the rate of muscle oxygen consumption is determined by the components of the Fick Equation:

\[ \dot{Q}_{mO_2} = \dot{Q}_m \times (C_mO_2 - C_vmO_2) \]  

(Equation 1.1)

where \( \dot{Q}_m \) represents muscle blood flow, and \( C_mO_2 \) and \( C_vmO_2 \) represent the oxygen content of the arterial and muscle venous effluent blood respectively. Whipp et al. (2002b) have recently argued that whilst this equation may indeed quantify \( \dot{Q}_{mO_2} \), a more appropriate re-arrangement of the equation, in terms of determinants, may be:

\[ C_vmO_2 = C_mO_2 - \left( \frac{\dot{Q}_{mO_2}}{\dot{Q}_m} \right) \]  

(Equation 1.2)

This means that, for a given arterial \( O_2 \) content, the muscle venous effluent content will be determined by the ratio of muscle oxygen consumption to muscle blood flow. Recent advances using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques have shown that within the active musculature, the \( \dot{Q}_{mO_2} / \dot{Q}_m \) ratio may vary considerably (Richardson et al., 2001a), and the implications of this relating to interpretation of \( \dot{Q}_{mO_2} \) are discussed in more detail in Section 6.3.3.

The greatest challenge in attempting to unravel the control characteristics of \( \dot{Q}_{mO_2} \) is the technical difficulty of monitoring muscle blood flow in vivo in the non-steady state of dynamic exercise. Despite these technical obstacles, several techniques, such as Doppler ultrasound (e.g. Hughson et al., 1996) and thermodilution (e.g. Grassi et al., 1996; Bangsbo et al., 2000), have successfully been applied to vascular beds supplied by a major artery (e.g. the femoral artery) during exercise, in order to monitor \( \dot{Q}_m \). However, they do not permit accurate portrayal of temporal features of the changes in regional muscle blood flow. In addition, it is difficult to temporally align observed changes in \( \dot{Q}_m \) with the associated \( C_vO_2 \) which is being measured from samples at a site downstream (Bangsbo et al., 2000). Therefore, some alternative approaches have also been applied:

1. **In vitro** animal preparations of isolated muscle groups (Grassi et al., 1998a & 1998b),
2. Extrapolation from the whole-body, or pulmonary, oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_2 \)) response, based on the premise that increased \( \dot{Q}_{mO_2} \) in response to an increase of work rate should be reflected with reasonable accuracy in \( \dot{V}O_2 \),
3. Mathematical modelling of the constituents of the Fick equation to investigate their interaction and dependence on one another (Barstow et al., 1990).
The major limitation of using the first approach is the extrapolation of these results to the context of the intact human, although the crucial importance of these tests is discussed later. The use of $\dot{V}O_2$ to approximate steady-state changes in $\dot{Q}mO_2$ has been applied for decades. The ability to measure changes in $\dot{V}O_2$ with breath-by-breath temporal resolution by means of rapidly responding flow-volume transducers and gas analysers, and mass-balance algorithms (e.g. Beaver et al., 1973) for the calculation of breath-by-breath gas exchange, have permitted extensive characterisation of the temporal features of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response.

As with the $\dot{Q}mO_2$ response, it is useful to consider the Fick equation components for $\dot{V}O_2$:

$$\dot{V}O_2 = \dot{Q}_r \cdot (C_{aO_2} - C_{vO_2})$$  

(Equation 1.3)

where $\dot{Q}_r$ and $C_{vO_2}$ represent cardiac output and the mixed venous oxygen content, respectively. The relationships between $\dot{Q}_r$, $(C_{aO_2} - C_{vO_2})$ and $\dot{V}O_2$ have been established for steady states over a range of work rates, despite the associated difficulties in accurately measuring $\dot{Q}_r$.

There is a linear relationship between the steady-state $\dot{Q}_r$ and $\dot{V}O_2$ responses to constant work rate exercise, as shown in Figure 1.3 and described by Equation 1.4, irrespective of training status, although the maximal values achieved are dependent on aerobic fitness (Ekblom & Hermansen, 1968).

$$\dot{Q}_r = 5 \dot{V}O_2 + 5$$  

(Equation 1.4)

It should however be acknowledged that alternative, but similar, values for the slope and intercept of the response have been reported (Rowell, 1974; Lewis et al., 1983; Higginbotham et al., 1986). With increasing work rates it is noted that the arterio-venous oxygen difference $(C_{aO_2} - C_{vO_2})$ increases in comparison to resting values (Figure 1.3), indicating that the increases in $\dot{Q}_r$ alone are insufficient to meet the metabolic demand (e.g. Saltin et al., 1968; Clausen, 1976). A consequence of the steady state $\dot{Q}_r$-$\dot{V}O_2$ relationship being linear and having an intercept is that the increase in arterio-venous $O_2$ difference follows a hyperbolic function (Figs. 1.3 & 1.4) with respect to $\dot{V}O_2$ (Whipp & Ward, 1982).
Figure 1.3 - Schematic illustrating the relationship between $\dot{V}O_2$ and cardiac output ($\dot{Q}$, top panel), and arterio-mixed venous $O_2$ content difference ($\dot{a}-\dot{v}O_2$, bottom panel) at increased work rates. From Ward & Whipp (1996).

Figure 1.4 - Diagram showing the oxygen content of arterial, mixed venous and femoral venous blood as $\dot{V}O_2$ increases from resting levels (R) up to maximal levels in response to increased work rates. From Rowell (1993).
CaO₂ is found to remain constant in healthy individuals as \( \dot{V}O_2 \) increases, although arterial desaturation has been observed in some elite athletes in maximal exercise (e.g. Rowell et al., 1964; Dempsey et al., 1984; Powers & Williams, 1987; Pedersen et al., 1996; Harms et al., 2000) and \( O_2 \)-carrying capacity may increase slightly as a consequence of haemoconcentration (Figure 1.4). Therefore the major component of the widening arterio-venous difference is a hyperbolic fall in \( CvO_2 \), with values as low as 2 or 3 ml of \( O_2 \) per 100 ml of blood reported in maximal exercise (Rowell, 1974). It is estimated (Knight et al., 1992) that \( O_2 \) extraction may be as much as 85% at the point of maximal exercise in healthy individuals when \( O_2 \) off-loading is facilitated by the Bohr-shift of the haemoglobin dissociation curve induced by changes in temperature and pH (Wasserman et al., 1991).

Likewise, sampling of the muscle venous effluent in the steady state indicates a curvilinear decline in \( Cv_mO_2 \), as shown in Figure 1.4 (e.g. Saltin et al., 1968), suggesting that the increased \( Q_m \) is insufficient to meet the metabolic demand at increased work rates. These suggestions have been confirmed more recently by direct demonstration of the \( Q_m \), \( Q_mO_2 \) and (CaO₂ - CvO₂) responses across an exercising limb at exercise onset by serial sampling (Poole et al., 1991; Grassi et al., 1996; Bangsbo, 2000 – detailed in Section 1.4.4). The presently insurmountable technical limitations with direct serial sampling from the muscle venous effluent have prevented detailing of the decline in \( Cv_mO_2 \) at the onset of constant work rate exercise. However, despite continued sampling problems, accomplishment of serial femoral venous blood sampling has meant that steady state profiles of \( Q_m \), \( Q_mO_2 \) and femoral arterio-venous difference, which exhibit the same steady state response patterns as \( Q_r \), \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and (CaO₂ - CvO₂), have been obtained (Andersen & Saltin, 1985 - Figure 1.5). The temporal profiles for the response of \( Q_mO_2 \) and \( Q_m \) at the onset of constant work rate exercise are presented later in Section 1.4.4 (Figures 1.12 & 1.13 respectively).
1.1.1 Exercise intensity domains

Despite general agreement that the metabolic and cardio-respiratory responses to exercise are dependent on the imposed work rate, there is no clear consensus as to how exercise intensity should be defined. For example, one exercise physiology textbook (McArdle et al., 2001) recognises that there are at least seven different approaches to expressing exercise intensity:

1. The rate of energy expenditure, expressed in absolute units such as kcal.min\(^{-1}\) or kJ.min\(^{-1}\).
2. As a multiple of the resting metabolic rate, usually expressed in METs calculated as a multiple of the resting \(\dot{V}O_2\) (1 MET = resting \(\dot{V}O_2\) in ml.kg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\)).
3. Based on heart rate, either as an absolute value in beats.min\(^{-1}\) or expressed as a percentage of the predicted maximum heart rate (HRmax = 220 – age(years)).
4. As a level of exercise, such as power output, expressed as an absolute value or as a percentage of a maximal value obtained previously, often in an incremental test (e.g. %WRmax).
5. According to the individual’s perceived level of exertion, usually according to Borg’s rating of perceived exertion (RPE) scale (Borg, 1982).
6. As a relative metabolic level, normally expressed relative the individual's peak or maximal rate of oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$ or $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ respectively, the difference detailed in Section 2.2.1).

7. Based on whether there is a significant increase in the concentration of lactate in the arterial blood ([La]), as detailed subsequently.

However, on physiological grounds, a more rigorous approach is to describe exercise intensity in terms of the resultant profile of arterial [La] (Wasserman et al., 1967), as summarised in Figure 1.6: moderate exercise defined as exercise without any sustained increase in [La]; heavy exercise results in a sustained but eventually stable, or even decreased, [La]; very heavy exercise exhibits a continually increasing [La] until exhaustion is reached.

![Figure 1.6](image)

Figure 1.6 - The traditional assignment of exercise intensity based on the profiles of arterial lactate concentration ([La]) during constant work rate exercise at different work rates. Adapted from Wasserman et al. (1967).

The $\dot{V}O_2$ response to dynamic exercise of different intensities has now been comprehensively characterised for cycling (Ozyener et al., 2001) and running (Carter et al., 2002), using multiple repetitions for accurate discrimination of the underlying features of the kinetic response (Lamarra et al., 1987). It is evident that several proposed domains of exercise intensity (Figure 1.7) exist, in line with the [La] profiles of Wasserman et al. (1967). The two major boundaries defining these domains are the lactate threshold ($\theta_L$) and critical power (CP or $\theta_F$, fatigue threshold) for cycling (Poole et al., 1988; Ozyener et al., 2001) or critical velocity for running (Carter et al., 2002).
Figure 1.7 – Schematic illustration of the dependence of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics on the exercise intensity domain. Thick solid lines represent the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response, and dashed lines signify the intensity domain boundaries of lactate threshold (\( \theta_L \)), critical power (CP) and peak \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (\( \mu \dot{V}O_2 \)). Shaded areas reflect the additional slow component causing \( \dot{V}O_2 \) to exceed the expected Phase II response. Based on Figure 4 from Whipp & Ozyener (1998).

The lactate threshold is defined as the work rate, or more appropriately \( \dot{V}O_2 \), above which a sustained accumulation of lactate is observed in the arterial blood (Wasserman et al., 1973), and the finding of an altered \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response with this increase in [La] is evidence of a strong association between the two variables (e.g. Whipp & Wasserman, 1986). It is important to note that the lactate threshold does not necessarily translate as the onset of muscle lactate production, since blood [La] reflects the continuous balance of production and clearance of lactate by less active muscles and other organs (Brooks, 2000). Indeed, even at work rates below \( \theta_L \) the transient production of lactate contributes to the oxygen deficit (Cerretelli et al., 1979), in addition to \( O_2 \) and PCr stores as described subsequently.

The critical power (Moritani et al., 1981) has been proposed to represent the metabolic upper boundary for sustainable exercise (Poole et al., 1988; Hill et al., 2002; Coats et al., 2003), above which exercise will inevitably become intolerable, attaining \( \dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}} \) within a short period of time. CP is determined as the asymptote of the hyperbolic power-duration relationship (Monod & Scherrer, 1965; Moritani et al., 1981; Poole et al., 1988; Hill, 1993), as explained in Section 2.2.2 and shown in Figure 2.6. In addition to being an important boundary for \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics, CP is also equivalent to the highest work rate at
which a balance between lactate production and clearance can be achieved (Poole *et al.*, 1988), i.e. the maximal lactate steady state (MLSS), providing further support of the strong relationship between $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics and arterial [lactate].
The traditional concept of an exponential increase of $\dot{Q}_\text{mO}_2$ in humans at exercise onset was introduced by Hill & Lupton (1923), based on their demonstration of an exponential rise in $\dot{V}O_2$ (Figure 1.8).

These original $\dot{V}O_2$ profiles have proved extremely useful as they demonstrated that the increases in $\dot{V}O_2$ are not sufficient to match the $O_2$-demand of the work immediately, and so there is a shortfall in $O_2$, termed the oxygen deficit ($O_2\text{Def}$). It is now recognised that this $O_2$-equivalent for the adequate supply of high-energy phosphates is met by a combination of factors:

1. Depletion of limited available body $O_2$-stores, predominantly in the venous pool,
2. Anaerobic phosphorylation of ADP via splitting of a limited intramuscular phosphocreatine (PCr) pool, catalysed by creatine kinase (CK),
3. Anaerobic glycolytic metabolism with concomitant production of lactate and its associated proton ($H^+$).

Since the stores of $O_2$ in the blood and PCr in the muscle are limited, the required contribution from glycolysis will depend on the size of the $O_2\text{Def}$, which is determined by the amplitude of the change in $\dot{Q}_\text{mO}_2$ ($\Delta\dot{Q}_\text{mO}_2(m0)$), and therefore $\dot{V}O_2$, and the kinetics of the exponential rise (Whipp, 1987). This means that there are two circumstances that will result in an increased reliance on glycolysis. The first is at higher exercise intensities...
(above $\theta_L$) since there is a greater $O_2$ demand (and hence $\Delta \dot{Q}_inO_2(\infty)$), and the second is if the kinetics are slow (see Chapter 5). The drawbacks of an increased reliance on glycolysis are that energy transfer via this mechanism is less efficient (fewer ATPs generated per molecule of substrate) than oxidative phosphorylation and that there is a concomitant accumulation of $H^+$, which is detrimental to both intra- and extra-cellular homeostasis. It is therefore advantageous to have faster kinetics, so that oxidative phosphorylation can be the predominant energy generating process, and this forms the basis of the concept of “tight coupling” of oxidative metabolism (Chance et al., 1985).

Whilst the direct determination of the kinetics of $\dot{Q}_inO_2$ with high temporal resolution is invasive and many technical difficulties are encountered, the $\dot{V}O_2$ response at the onset of dynamic exercise has now been well characterised and it is appreciated that the original mono-exponential model is an over-simplification. The use of multiple repetitions to enhance the underlying features (Lamarra et al., 1987) of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response during the exercise transition was employed by Whipp et al. (1982) to enable accurate description of the kinetic features of the increase in $V/O_2$ during cycling. Whilst the general trend of an exponential increase was retained, the complexities of a 3 phase response became evident (schematised in Figure 1.9).

![Figure 1.9](image)

Figure 1.9 – Simplified illustration exaggerating the three individual phases of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response at the onset ($t = 0$ s) of constant work rate exercise from a baseline of unloaded pedalling.
1.2.1 Phase I

This period is the initial increase in $\dot{V}O_2$ at exercise onset and it has been termed the "cardiodynamic" phase (Wasserman et al., 1974), since any increases in $\dot{V}O_2$ will be reflective of increased $\dot{Q}$ $r$ (and therefore $\dot{Q}$ $r$ - Krogh & Lindhard, 1913; Linnarsson, 1974), as changes in arterio-venous difference will not yet have been expressed at the lung due to the muscle-to-lung vascular transit delay. Interestingly, performing the transition from a baseline of unloaded pedalling rather than rest reduces the relative contribution of this Phase to the overall $\dot{V}O_2$ response, as the change in $\dot{Q}$ $r$ is smaller (e.g. Whipp et al., 1982). Further support is provided by the studies of Karlsson et al. (1975) and Weiler-Ravell et al. (1983), who demonstrated a blunted Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ response when exercise was instituted in a supine position, assumed to occur in concert with slower increases in stroke volume, and hence $\dot{Q}$ $r$, based on previous observations (e.g. Jones et al., 1970; Raynaud et al., 1973).

1.2.2 Phase II

This period is the most prominent component of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response (excluding very small changes in WR, for which the Phase I increase is sufficient), and this phase is well modelled as an exponential process (e.g. Whipp et al., 1982). It is this region of the response that is suggested to reflect the changes in $\dot{Q}$ in $O_2$ most closely, and it has become known as the "fundamental" phase (e.g. Rossiter et al., 2001). The on-going debate concerning control mechanisms of $\dot{V}O_2$, ideally $\dot{Q}$ in $O_2$, is therefore focussed on this portion of the response, as will be highlighted later. The kinetic parameters of interest in this region are the amplitude ($A \dot{V}O_2$), the delay ($\delta$) and the time constant ($\tau$) of the exponential, which is the time to reach 63% of the steady state response. It should be emphasised that the delay is not physiological, but rather is a factor of the exponential model used (Equation 2.9), although it will approximate the duration of the Phase I response (Whipp et al., 1982).

1.2.3 Phase III

The third section of the response is completely dependent on the intensity of the exercise, as detailed in the following section. In Figure 1.9 this region represents the steady state of the response, the amplitude equivalent to $A \dot{V}O_2$ (ss).
1.3 \( \dot{V}O_2 \) KINETICS AND EXERCISE INTENSITY

1.3.1 Moderate exercise

The lack of a sustained arterial lactate accumulation in the moderate intensity domain is considered to reflect that the combined effects of PCr degradation, venous \( O_2 \) stores and any transient glycolysis match the \( O_2 \)Def. A typical value for \( \tau \) of the fundamental \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response in this domain is approximately 30 s in a healthy young adult, although considerable inter-individual variability exists (e.g. Whipp et al., 2002b). \( \tau \) has been demonstrated to be dependent on age (Chilibeck et al., 1996; Williams et al., 2001; Fawkner et al., 2002), fitness status (Hickson et al., 1978; Hagberg et al., 1980; Phillips et al., 1995) and cardio-respiratory disease (Nery et al., 1982; Hansen et al., 1987; Sietsema, 1992; Puente-Maestu et al., 2001).

From a control systems perspective an important feature is linearity, enabling insight into whether a process follows first-order principles, consistent for example with a single rate-limiting step (e.g. Milsum, 1966). In terms of \( \dot{V}O_2 \), the question is whether the \( \tau \) and amplitude of the fundamental component exhibit linearity. This would require (Fujihara et al., 1973a) that: (a) the amplitude of the output signal (\( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \)) would need to increase in direct proportion to the input signal, in this case the change in work rate (\( \Delta \dot{W}_R \)); (b) \( \tau \) of the response would be independent of the amplitude of response; (c) the response exhibit dynamic symmetry at the onset and offset of constant work rate exercise, according to the principle of superposition (Fujihara et al., 1973b); and (d) that \( \tau \) is independent of the work rate forcing function, also in accord with the principle of superposition (Fujihara et al., 1973b).

(a) Within the moderate intensity domain it has generally been agreed that the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response to cycling exhibits linearity since it has been shown that the steady state \( \dot{V}O_2 \) amplitude is proportional to the increase in work rate. This relationship between \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \) and the increase in work rate, from a lower work rate such as unloaded pedalling, is described as the gain of the fundamental component, calculated as:

\[
\text{Gain} = \frac{\Delta \dot{V}O_2}{\Delta \dot{W}_R} \quad \text{(Equation 1.5)}
\]

The gain is considered constant for moderate cycling at \( \approx 10 \text{ ml } O_2 \text{.min}^{-1}.\text{Watt}^{-1} \) (Hansen et al., 1987; Barstow & Molé, 1991; Barstow et al., 1993), a feature of a linear system. This gain is functionally assumed to reflect the inverse of work
efficiency; however, there is one important difference in that it reflects the $O_2$ cost of the work rather than the energy cost.

(b) It has been considered for some time that the $\tau$ for moderate cycling is unchanged for different work rates in the moderate intensity domain (Whipp, 1971; Casaburi et al., 1989; Barstow & Moié, 1991), providing further support that sub-$\theta_L$ $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics exhibit first-order properties. However, Hughson & Morrissey (1982) observed that in the higher reaches of the moderate intensity domain $\tau$ was longer than in the lower ranges for the same $\Delta$WR. More recently further evidence of this phenomenon has been presented by Brittain et al. (2001) who demonstrated that the prior metabolic rate, altered baseline of response, has a significant affect on $\tau$. They too found a longer $\tau$ in the higher reaches of the moderate domain as well as significant differences in gain, thus challenging the notion of linearity of the $\dot{VO}_2$ response in this domain.

(c) The third property of a first-order system is that of dynamic symmetry. For the $\dot{VO}_2$ response to display linearity there must be symmetry between the response at the onset (on-transient) and offset (off-transient) of exercise. Traditionally it has been demonstrated that there is good symmetry between the on and off-transients for sub-$\theta_L$ exercise (Whipp & Wasserman, 1972; Linnarsson, 1974; Griffiths et al., 1986; Paterson & Whipp, 1991; Ozyener et al., 2001). One corollary of this observation is that the $O_2$Def will be equal to its equivalent at the off-transient, which is termed the oxygen debt (O$_2$Debt) (Figure 1.8). Interestingly, Britain et al. (2001) found that for changes in work rate similar to those used by the above authors, the on-off symmetry was preserved. However, the differences in gain and $\tau$ observed at the on-transient were not manifest at the off-transient for the various ranges in work rate change, thereby further challenging the traditionally held concept of linearity of the $\dot{VO}_2$ response in the moderate domain.

(d) The final test of superposition is that $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics demonstrate independence of the imposed work rate function. $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics have been modelled in response to a variety of work rate protocols: constant work rate exercise (a step increase in work rate - as described in detail above); incremental exercise protocols (ramp functions - e.g. Whipp et al., 1981; Swanson & Hughson, 1988); impulse protocols (the derivative of the step function - e.g. Hughson et al., 1988); sinusoidal exercise (Casaburi et al., 1977; Fukuoka & Ikegami, 1990; Haouzi et al., 1993); and, pseudorandom binary sequence.
(PRBS) work rate profiles (Kowalchuk & Hughson, 1990; Hughson et al., 1991a; Hoffmann et al., 1992; Edwards et al., 2003). That the response to rapidly incremental exercise displays dynamic linearity has been addressed above (Whipp et al., 1981), although the kinetics are dependent on the incrementation rate (Swanson & Hughson, 1988; Zoladz et al., 1998b). It is important to emphasise that only in work rate protocols imposed in the moderate intensity domain can linearity expect to be observed (e.g. Hoffmann et al., 1992; Haouzi et al., 1993), due to the non-linearities observed for supra-$O_\text{L}$ kinetics, as detailed in the following section. In summary, it appears that the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics exhibit first-order linear dynamics in the moderate intensity domain, since they are independent on the work rate function (e.g. Casaburi et al., 1977; Whipp et al., 1981; Fukuoka & Ikegami, 1990; Hughson et al., 1991a; Haouzi et al., 1993), although careful interpretation is required. For example, original work employing PRBS protocols analysed the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the frequency domain and suggested non-linearity (Kowalchuk & Hughson, 1990), but analysis in the time domain (similar to analysis of ramp and step work rate functions) later demonstrated the kinetics to be linear (Hughson et al., 1991a; Edwards et al., 2003).

1.3.2 Heavy exercise

Despite an abundance of evidence to the contrary, at least one traditional exercise physiology textbook (Astrand & Rodahl, 1986) still assumes linearity of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response for all exercise intensities, based on the sub-$O_\text{L}$ $\dot{V}O_2$-WR cycling relationship of 10 ml $O_2\text{.min}^{-1}\text{.Watt}^{-1}$ (Figure 1.10).
Figure 1.10 – Original schematic illustration of the steady state $\dot{V}O_2$ at different work rates. Note that despite the higher work rates being associated with increased blood lactate concentration the authors neglected to include any slow component for $\dot{V}O_2$, in contrast to Figure 1.9 above. From Astrand & Rodahl (1986).

It has now been repeatedly demonstrated that the gain for supra-$\theta_L$ exercise exceeds that for exercise in the moderate intensity domain (Roston et al., 1987; Casaburi et al., 1987; Hansen et al., 1987; Paterson & Whipp, 1991; Barstow & Molé, 1991; Zoladz et al., 1998b), implying non-linearity. Gain values as high as 12 to 13 ml $O_2$.min$^{-1}$.Watt$^{-1}$ are not uncommon for these intensities of exercise, reflecting a greater $O_2$-cost per unit increase of work rate. Furthermore, these values are only applicable in the heavy domain, i.e. for those tests in which a steady state can eventually be achieved since the gain is based on the $\Delta \dot{V}O_2 (ss)$-\Delta WR relationship.

Figure 1.7 illustrates that in the heavy intensity domain, for which there is a sustained metabolic acidosis, the attainment of $\dot{V}O_2 (ss)$ is delayed. Furthermore, the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics for supra-$\theta_L$ exercise are more complex than below $\theta_L$. Whipp & Wasserman (1972), and later Paterson & Whipp (1991) and Barstow & Molé (1991), demonstrated that the continued delayed rise in $\dot{V}O_2$ for heavy exercise was due to a separate delayed component in addition to the fundamental Phase II exponential increase. This region has come to be known as the slow component for $\dot{V}O_2$ ($\dot{V}O_2 (sc)$ due to its delayed onset, or as an “excess” $\dot{V}O_2 (\dot{V}O_2 (sc) - Whipp, 1987$ due to the additional $O_2$ cost surplus to sub-$\theta_L$ predictions (Roston et al., 1987; Poole et al., 1988; Paterson & Whipp, 1991; Barstow & Molé, 1991; Ozyener et al., 2001). This term (excess) exemplifies that the gain of supra-$\theta_L$ exercise is
dependent on work rate, in contrast to the consistent values for all sub-$\theta_L$ work rates, as detailed previously.

Interestingly, when the fundamental was modelled as an exponential, independently of the $\dot{V}O_2(SO)$, the kinetics remained unaltered from those in the moderate domain (Barstow & Mole, 1991; Burnley et al., 2001; Ozyener et al., 2001), with a $\tau$ and gain consistent with linear control dynamics. In contrast, Paterson & Whipp (1991) for cycling, and Carter et al. (2002) and Williams et al. (2001) for running, have suggested that the supra-$\theta_L$ $\dot{V}O_2$ response does not exhibit linearity. Paterson & Whipp (1991) observed a lengthening of $\tau$ in this domain and also showed an asymmetry between the on and off-transients, although the gain was consistent with below $\theta_L$. Carter et al. (2002) similarly described a lengthening of $\tau$ for supra-$\theta_L$ running speeds in comparison with sub-$\theta_L$, but also a decrease in the gain of the fundamental as intensity increased. With treadmill running the computation of work performed is more difficult than with cycling (e.g. Consolazio & Johnson, 1971; Borrani et al., 2001) and so the use of running speed, rather than a true gain may partially explain these differences. It is currently unclear as to why there are inconsistencies between the various groups with regard to the constancy of $\tau$, contributing to uncertainties concerning the underlying control dynamics.

Based on the results of Ozyener et al. (2001), which is the most comprehensive characterisation to date of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics with respect to cycling intensity, it appears that in the heavy domain the on-transient is characterised by an initial cardiodynamic phase, then a fundamental component, perhaps exhibiting linear properties, and finally the additional $\dot{V}O_2(Sc)$ of delayed onset (typically 90 to 180 s), although with an attainable steady state.

The characterisation of this slow component remains a topic of considerable debate (e.g. Whipp, 1994b; Whipp & Ozyener, 1998; Bearden & Moffatt, 2001b; Whipp et al., 2002b), as does the attempted computation of the O$_2$Def given the difficulties in estimating the O$_2$ cost of the work (e.g. Whipp, 1994b; Whipp & Ozyener, 1998; Bearden & Moffatt, 2000; Whipp et al., 2002b), as discussed in Section 6.1.2. Of considerable importance is the observation that the slow component phenomenon is not manifest at the off-transient in this domain (Ozyener et al., 2001), consistent with the results of Paterson & Whipp (1991). There is therefore a striking asymmetry between the on and off-transients in this domain.
1.3.3 Very heavy exercise

The major difference between the heavy and very heavy intensity domains lies in the tolerable duration of the exercise. Above CP, $\dot{V}O_2$ and [La] never attain a steady state, rather projecting inexorably towards $\dot{V}O_2_{max}$ and hence the limit of tolerance (Roston et al., 1987; Poole et al., 1988; Ozyener et al., 2001 - Figure 1.7). The on-transient $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics are similar to those of the heavy domain although the amplitude of the slow component is increased, in line with the larger and continued increases in [La]. In contrast to the heavy domain, however, an additional component is evidenced at the off-transient (Ozyener et al., 2001), although whilst the fundamental component at the on-transient may be symmetrical with the initial component at the off-transient, the additional component was not the same as the $\dot{V}O_2_{(SC)}$. The differences with the slow component were that this phase was not of delayed onset, rather originating at the end of the exercise (Ozyener et al., 2001), and that the size of this component is not dependent on the size of the slow component (Cunningham et al., 2000).

1.3.4 Severe exercise

The severe intensity domain spans the range of work rates which would require an $O_2$ cost, based on simple sub-$\theta_L$ relationships, greater than $\dot{V}O_2_{max}$. In this domain the tolerable duration of the exercise is seriously diminished and the short duration of the exercise (typically several minutes at most) means that there is often no evidence of the $\dot{V}O_2_{(SC)}$. Therefore the on-transient response reverts to a simple mono-exponential (Whipp, 1994a), excluding the initial cardiodynamic phase, with a $\tau$ not different to the other intensities of exercise. Due to steady state never being attained in this domain and the limitations imposed by $\dot{V}O_2_{max}$, the gain is often lower for these work rates than for the fundamental in the lower intensities (Ozyener et al., 2001). Further asymmetry is observed at the off-transient with a two component response in comparison to the mono-exponential on-transient (Ozyener et al., 2001).

Whilst it is evident that this additional $\dot{V}O_2_{(SC)}$ is the source of time and amplitude based non-linearities in the supra-$\theta_L$ $\dot{V}O_2$ response, the underlying mechanisms causing it remain unclear (e.g. Whipp, 1994b; Gaesser & Poole, 1996), as detailed in Section 1.5.3.
1.4 MUSCLE O$_2$ CONSUMPTION

1.4.1 Dissociation between $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ and $\dot{V}O_2$

Traditionally the observed increases in $\dot{V}O_2$ during the response to exercise have been thought to reflect increases in $\dot{Q}_mO_2$. Whilst it is generally agreed that equality of $\Delta \dot{V}O_2$ and $\Delta \dot{Q}_mO_2$ holds true for moderate intensity exercise, the relationship is likely to be appreciably more complex at higher intensities.

Whilst the $\dot{V}O_2$ response is considered a suitable proxy variable for $\dot{Q}_mO_2$, given the technical difficulties of non-steady-state $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ measurement, it is important to appreciate that changes in $\dot{V}O_2$ do not exactly reflect changes in $\dot{Q}_mO_2$. There are three factors which dissociate the $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ responses, even for small changes in work rate:

1. There exists an anatomical transit delay between events occurring at the muscle and lung levels, resulting in a temporal dissociation of $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ (Whipp et al., 1982; Barstow et al., 1990). Therefore, as $O_2$ is extracted from the muscle vascular bed, the changes in $Cv_mO_2$ will not be expressed in the pulmonary artery (in terms of $C\dot{v}O_2$) instantaneously, but rather after this muscle-to-lung transit delay of some 15-20 s duration (Krogh & Lindhard, 1913; Linnarsson, 1974; Whipp et al., 1982).

2. A further contamination of the $\dot{V}O_2$-$\dot{Q}_mO_2$ association is the influence of body $O_2$ stores, resulting in a dissociation in the magnitude of the response. The major component of this is the resting $O_2$ content of the muscle venous blood, and therefore $CvO_2$, which may initially be drawn upon during the initial stage of the response to exercise (Barstow et al., 1990). Other potential contributions to the body $O_2$ stores include a reduction in tissue $P$O$_2$ within the contracting muscle and also a small contribution from the desaturation of oxygenated myoglobin within the muscle.

3. There will also be a difference in the initial rates of change of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ and $\dot{V}O_2$, since $\dot{Q}_t$ will have increased throughout the duration of the muscle-to-lung transit delay (Whipp & Ozyener, 1998; Whipp et al., 2002b). This means that the change in muscle arterio-venous $O_2$ content, as $O_2$ is extracted, will be associated with a lower blood flow ($\dot{Q}_m$) than in the pulmonary vascular bed, where the increased $\dot{Q}_t$ (and therefore $\dot{Q}_p$) during the transit delay will be associated with the same change in arterio-venous difference.
1.4.2 Simulated modelling approaches

As mentioned previously, given the technical difficulties associated with direct measurement of $\dot{Q}_{m}O_2$ in the non-steady state, several authors have applied theoretical models to the constituents of the $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{Q}_{m}O_2$ responses, according to the respective Fick equations. Barstow et al. (1990) used computer simulations to investigate the influences of the muscle-to-lung transit delay and intervening $O_2$ stores on the comparison of $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{Q}_{m}O_2$ kinetics. They concluded that the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics represent a good approximation of the $\dot{Q}_{m}O_2$ kinetics for moderate exercise under normal physiologic conditions, and that the predominant contribution of changes in $O_2$ stores to the $O_2$Def occurs during Phase I. Barstow et al. (1990) further suggested that, under normal conditions, increases in cardiovascular determinants of $O_2$ delivery occur more rapidly than $O_2$ extraction, in support of other work (De Cort et al., 1991; Yoshida & Whipp, 1994; Grassi et al., 1996), implying that $O_2$ delivery is not limiting during moderate exercise.

A more recent model based on skeletal muscle being represented by a Krogh-type cylinder arrived at similar conclusions for moderate exercise, but proposed a significant role for both $O_2$ diffusion and $O_2$ delivery limitations under conditions of high demand, resulting in hypoxic regions within the muscle (McGuire & Secomb, 2001). Whilst both of these models have provided useful information, the respective authors agreed that the conclusions are limited without experimental evidence and that the assumptions made are at best over-simplified. For example, the model of Whipp et al. (1995) illustrated that the use of oxygen levels in muscle venous effluent, as in model simulations, would be insensitive to regional inequalities in the $\dot{V}O_2/\dot{Q}_{m}$ ratio, and therefore challenges interpretations of muscle venous effluent $PO_2$ to infer local mitochondrial $PO_2$ (e.g. Wagner, 2000). Indeed evidence of the lack of homogeneity of skeletal muscle activity in vivo has recently been presented (Richardson et al., 2001a).

1.4.3 In vitro muscle preparations

Further insight into the temporal features of the $\dot{Q}_{m}O_2$ response at exercise onset has been provided by the isolated in situ canine gastrocnemius preparations of Grassi et al. (1998a, 1998b & 2000). These studies allowed direct measurement of $\dot{Q}_{m}O_2$ across the electrically stimulated gastrocnemius muscle in conjunction with measurement of $O_2$ delivery. The model permitted manipulation of $O_2$ delivery and diffusion in a bid to investigate the influences of both $O_2$ delivery and diffusion on $\dot{Q}_{m}O_2$ kinetics under both moderate (~60%
$\dot{Q_mO_2}$) and peak (100% $\dot{Q_mO_2}$) work rates. Under conditions of enhanced convective O$_2$ delivery, using a pump and vasodilatory drugs, the $\dot{Q_mO_2}$ kinetics were unaltered for moderate work rates (Figure 1.11a), whereas with peak contractions there was a slight, but significant, acceleration of the $\dot{Q_mO_2}$ kinetics (Figure 1.11b) resulting in a reduced O$_2$Def. Under conditions of enhanced peripheral O$_2$ diffusion, using a hyperoxic gas mixture to assist arterial O$_2$ saturation in combination with an allosteric inhibitor of O$_2$-haemoglobin to assist O$_2$ unloading (using the drug RSR13), the kinetics were unaltered for moderate exercise (Figure 1.11c) and peak exercise (reported in Grassi, 2001).

![Figure 1.11 - Summary of the isolated canine muscle investigations by Grassi et al. (see text for details). Panels (a) and (b) show the muscle oxygen consumption kinetics in response to contractions at 60% (moderate) and 100% of maximum (very heavy) respectively under conditions of enhanced O$_2$ delivery, whereas panel (c) is under conditions of enhanced peripheral O$_2$ diffusion for moderate contractions. Note the lack of speeding under both conditions during moderate exercise whilst there was a slight acceleration in heavy exercise when O$_2$ delivery was facilitated. From Grassi (2001).](image)

These results provide evidence against a role for O$_2$-delivery limitation during moderate exercise, favouring the proposed theories of intrinsic metabolic inertia. For higher intensities, however, there is evidence that there may be a potential role for an O$_2$-delivery limitation although the relative contribution, in comparison to intrinsic limitations, seems small. Nonetheless, the data only provide indirect evidence since the conditions of the
experiment do not accurately reflect those expected in the exercising musculature at exercise onset in humans. For example, the contraction patterns evoked by electrical stimulation, with all fibres in the muscle undergoing tetanic contractions, are different from \emph{in vivo} where the muscle fibre recruitment patterns will be different. This observation is supported, for example, by the heterogeneity of muscle activity within a large muscle group undertaking dynamic exercise, as evidenced by Richardson \textit{et al.} (2001a) using magnetic resonance imaging.

\subsection*{1.4.4 \textit{In vivo} measurement of $\dot{Q}_{m\text{-}O_2}$}

Whilst the \textit{in vitro} experiments of Grassi have generated useful information, the direct measurement of $\dot{Q}_{m\text{-}O_2}$ in exercising humans has been attempted by several different research groups, by examining the response of the constituents of the Fick equation for $\dot{Q}_{m\text{-}O_2}$ across the exercising leg (e.g. Poole \textit{et al.}, 1991; Grassi \textit{et al.}, 1996; Bangsbo \textit{et al.}, 2000) and forearm (e.g. Hughson \textit{et al.}, 1996). In all of these studies it has been recognised that although the techniques employed are providing the values as close and accurate to $\dot{Q}_{m\text{-}O_2}$ as is currently achievable, technical limitations of using venous effluent from the limb as opposed to exclusively from the active muscles, mean that the exact temporal profiles of $\dot{Q}_{m\text{-}O_2}$ remain elusive at present.

Grassi \textit{et al.} (1996) were the first investigators to directly compare the kinetics of $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{Q}_{m\text{-}O_2}$ with high temporal resolution at the onset of dynamic exercise in humans. They used a modified thermodilution technique (Andersen & Saltin, 1985) in conjunction with serial arterial and femoral venous blood sampling to calculate $\dot{Q}_{eg}$, $(\text{CaO}_2 - \text{Cv}_eg\text{-}O_2)$, and hence $\dot{Q}_{eg\text{-}O_2}$ from the Fick equation. As shown in Figure 1.12, the temporal features of the $\dot{Q}_{eg\text{-}O_2}$ and $\dot{V}O_2$ responses were remarkably similar.
This demonstration, in direct support of the simulations of Barstow et al. (1990), implies that the $\dot{V}O_2$ response to cycling of moderate intensity can be assumed to represent the kinetics of the $Q_mO_2$ response within approximately 10%. It was only in the Phase I region that the responses differ markedly, supporting previous propositions (Krogh & Lindhard, 1913; Linnarsson, 1974; Wasserman et al., 1974) that the Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ response does not originate in the exercising muscle. It was concluded by Grassi et al. (1996) that, during the initial stages of the exercise transition, the demonstration of an immediately increased $Q_{eg}$ in synchrony with slightly reduced $O_2$ extraction implies that $Q_mO_2$ kinetics are not constrained by convective $O_2$ delivery, in support of their more recent work using muscle preparations.

Bangsbo et al. (2000) also directly measured blood flow and $O_2$ extraction across the leg muscles during exercise. They suggested that whilst the results of Grassi et al. (1996) were useful, the use of cycling meant that the venous blood response would be blunted by contamination from inactive muscle. Therefore Bangsbo et al. (2000) adapted a knee-extension exercise model to localise activity to the quadriceps muscle to reduce this effect. Perhaps more importantly, the authors suggested that failure to account for the mean transit time for blood passing from the artery to the capillary, and then onto the venous sampling point, meant that the results of Grassi et al. (1996) were not as accurate as possible. Using similar thermodilution techniques, but measuring the mean transit time using an
indocyanine green injection and thereby accounting for this delay, Bangsbo et al. (2000) presented similar response profiles for thigh $\dot{Q}_m\text{O}_2$ to Grassi et al. (1996). However, by accounting for the mean transit time, which is greatest at exercise onset (Bangsbo et al., 2000), they showed that the $\dot{Q}_m\text{O}_2$ response did in fact increase within the initial few seconds of exercise and not after the delay reported by Grassi et al. (1996). Furthermore, by calculating the difference between O$_2$ delivery and adjusted $\dot{Q}_m\text{O}_2$, Bangsbo et al. (2000) proposed that during the initial response to exercise O$_2$ delivery was in excess of utilisation, supporting theories of an intrinsic metabolic inertia limitation.

The direct demonstration of faster $\dot{Q}_T$ responses at exercise onset (De Cort et al., 1991) and similarly $\dot{Q}_m$ as shown in Figure 1.13, in comparison to $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ (e.g. Yoshida & Whipp, 1994) provided further evidence in support of an intramuscular limitation (Whipp & Ozyener, 1998). Yoshida & Whipp (1994) highlighted that a ratio of $\tau\dot{V}\text{O}_2/\tau\dot{Q}_T$ greater than 1.0 during the transition to moderate exercise supported the concept that O$_2$ delivery would be adequate. The authors commented that insurmountable technical limitations prevented accurate measurement of PO$_2$ within the muscle, meaning that these hypotheses could not be confirmed.

More recently, the use of phosphorescence quenching techniques (Hogan, 1999) to measure changes in both intracellular (Hogan, 2001) and microvascular (Behnke et al., 2001) O$_2$ pressure (PO$_2$) at the onset of contractions, in isolated *Xenopus* frog and *in situ* Sprague-Dawley rat skeletal muscle preparations respectively, has been achieved. These studies were therefore able to look at the O$_2$ delivery-O$_2$ utilisation relationships at the muscle level directly. The results were in good agreement with the previous theories,
showing no fall in $PO_2$ during the initial 13 - 19s after exercise onset and then an exponential decline, which interestingly followed a similar time course reported for $VO_2$ and $Q_mO_2$ in humans. These authors concluded that, since there was no decline in $PO_2$ immediately at the start of muscle contractions, there was no evidence of $O_2$ delivery limiting oxidative metabolism and that the limiting factor(s) may reside within the mitochondria for this intensity of exercise. Whether or not the effect of increased intensity of contractions results in greater declines in $PO_2$ remains contentious (Howlett & Hogan, 2001; Richardson et al., 2001b) and so the possibility for an $O_2$-delivery limitation at higher exercise intensity remains.

One research group in particular has repeatedly suggested that $O_2$ delivery does play a significant role in determining $Q_mO_2$, and hence $VO_2$, kinetics, based on the close temporal relationship with cardiovascular variables, such as heart rate (Hughson & Morrissey, 1982). More recently this group has measured $Q_mO_2$ as closely as possible using combined pulsed and echo Doppler ultrasound techniques to measure $Q_m$ in conjunction with serial arterial and limb venous blood sampling. Hughson et al. (1996) performed repeated handgrip exercise in the supine position with the hand exercising both above and below the heart level. They demonstrated a slowing of the $Q_m$ and $Q_mO_2$ kinetics in the above heart position, proposing that the reduced $O_2$ delivery presented a limitation. In addition, they suggested that the similar temporal features of the $Q_m$ and $Q_mO_2$ responses, for the below heart position, demonstrated dependence of $Q_mO_2$ kinetics on forearm blood flow. In a more recent modification of the same exercise model, eliminating any isometric component, Perrey et al. (2001) used the chemoreflex response to calf ischaemia to increase forearm blood flow. They found that increased flow, compared to no ischaemia after 30s, 1 min and 2 mins into exercise, with no difference in $O_2$ extraction, resulted in an initial speeding of the $Q_mO_2$ response, although the exact kinetics could not be modelled due to the lack of data points. The authors further emphasised an important role for $O_2$ delivery in determining $Q_mO_2$ kinetics.

In contrast, the same group (MacDonald et al., 1998) provided evidence against an $O_2$ delivery limitation for moderate knee extension exercise in the upright position when they demonstrated faster leg blood flow responses than $VO_2$ kinetics. Therefore the combined results of this group suggest that there is a potential role for $O_2$ delivery in determining $Q_mO_2$ kinetics for heavy exercise. However, given the importance of body position on
blood distribution (Jones et al., 1970; Karlsson et al., 1975), it is unclear whether their results are applicable for upright cycling exercise.

1.4.5 Inferences about $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ using $^{31}$P-NMR

Further evidence concerning $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ kinetics has come from using intramuscular [PCr] as a proxy-variable, based on the reported linearities of the increase in $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ and decline in [PCr] in response to increased work rate and vice versa for decreased work rate (Mahler, 1985; Meyer, 1988). In the exercising human, Barstow et al. (1994a) and McCreary et al. (1996) used nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy ($^{31}$P-NMRS) to compare the temporal responses of $\dot{V}O_2$ and the high-energy phosphates within the muscle during the response to exercise. However, with the use of different muscle groups, and such small amplitudes of response, the kinetics of the [PCr] decline and $\dot{V}O_2$ increase could not be accurately compared for any discrete differences. The use of knee extension against a rubber stirrup whilst inside the bore of the magnet, in combination with simultaneous breath-by-breath gas analysis (Whipp et al., 1999), has permitted accurate description of the “on” and “off” kinetics for moderate (Rossiter et al., 1999) and heavy (Rossiter et al., 2002a & 2002b) exercise. As shown in Figure 1.14, the kinetics of the corresponding [PCr] and $\dot{V}O_2$ responses, excluding the Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ response which is not linked to $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$, are remarkably similar for both intensities.
Indeed the reported values for the fundamental τ are within ± 10% of each other, as simulated by Barstow et al. (1990) and measured by Grassi et al. (1996). These data provide further support for the use of the fundamental \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response to estimate the temporal response of \( \dot{Q}_{mO_2} \). Similar to the experiments of Ozyener et al. (2001) there was no demonstrable dependence of τ on exercise intensity, although the questionable linearity of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response has been discussed previously. Whilst “on-off” symmetry was observed for moderate intensities in cycling however, the kinetics for \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and [PCr] were slower at the off-transient for both moderate and heavy exercise, although the mechanism for these differences remains unclear.
1.5 CONTROL OF $\dot{V}O_2$ KINETICS

1.5.1 Moderate Exercise

The evidence presented above has directed the general consensus towards the kinetics of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ and hence $\dot{V}O_2$, at the onset of exercise in this intensity domain, being determined by an intrinsic inertia of oxidative metabolism via one of the mechanisms outlined in Section 1.1, although the precise details remain unresolved. However, Tschakovsky & Hughson (1999) speculate that it is a complex interaction between the factors responsible for O$_2$ delivery and putative controllers of oxidative phosphorylation that determines the rate of increase of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$. Their review examined the evidence for and against both intrinsic metabolic inertia and extrinsic O$_2$ transport inertia, and it became evident that there was evidence in favour of both theories depending on the details of the exercise condition imposed. Furthermore, they suggested that under conditions where one factor is manipulated, there will be compensatory mechanisms acting to maintain intracellular homeostasis, so such experimental evidence may not confirm the responses under normal conditions.

With regard to an oxidative phosphorylation enzymatic rate-limitation, recent evidence has directed focus (e.g. Spriet & Heigenhauser, 2002) to the possibility that mitochondrial acetyl group availability may play a significant role. Pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) is a multi-enzyme complex that is responsible for catalysing the conversion of pyruvate, following glycolysis, to Acetyl-CoA which subsequently enters the TCA cycle (Figure 1.1). It has been proposed that flux through the PDH complex may be a rate-limiting step, thus limiting substrate availability and contributing to the sluggish activation of aerobic ATP production at exercise onset. Indeed, Timmons et al. (1998a) and Howlett et al. (1999) have demonstrated reduced levels of PCr degradation at the onset of submaximal exercise following pre-exercise pharmacological activation of the PDH complex using dichloroacetate (DCA). It was proposed that these reductions would translate as a reduction in the O$_2$Def and hence imply accelerated $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ kinetics. However, this hypothesis has yet to be confirmed by direct measurement of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$, or $\dot{V}O_2$, kinetics at the onset of moderate exercise following DCA administration.

A recent study (Campbell-O'Sullivan et al., 2002) proposed that prior moderate exercise stimulated an increase in acetyl group availability, resulting in a reported acceleration of mitochondrial ATP production and $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. On closer inspection, inappropriate
modelling of the $\dot{V}O_2$ data by including Phase I in a monoexponential fit of the data, has meant that the reported changes in MRT are inconclusive for inferring detail about the $\dot{Q}_{\text{HbO}_2}$ kinetics. Indeed, other research groups (Gerbino et al., 1996; Burnley et al., 2000; Bearden & Moffatt, 2001a; Scheuermann et al., 2002) have shown repeatedly that $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the moderate intensity domain are unaltered by prior exercise (Section 4.1), when the fundamental component is characterised independent of the cardiodynamic phase. Therefore, the sound theoretical proposition that acetyl group availability may play a significant role in determining $Q_{\text{mO}_2}$ kinetics remains to be confirmed.

More recently, an intriguing finding surrounding this question has been presented using the exercising horse (Kindig et al., 2002). They demonstrated that administration of $N^G$-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME), a nitric oxide (NO) synthase inhibitor, resulted in a significant acceleration of the fundamental $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the horse during the transition to moderate exercise. It was proposed, based on these experimental findings, that NO may play a significant role in limiting the rate of oxidative phosphorylation, which may be the predominant determining factor for $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the horse during exercise. A potential role for $O_2$ delivery was negated since it might be expected for NO inhibition to reduce exercise-induced vasodilatation (Joyner & Dietz, 1997) and hence accentuate any $O_2$ limitation so that $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics would be slowed. Possible mechanisms for this NO limitation on oxidative phosphorylation include inhibition of creatine kinase, inhibition of PDH activation and competitive inhibition of cytochrome oxidase in the electron transport chain. Therefore alleviation of any of these effects would be expected to improve the kinetics of oxidative phosphorylation.

Whilst this finding has proved interesting, it remains to be elucidated whether these findings are applicable to the exercising human, given the greater aerobic capacity and substantially faster $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the horse (Langsetmo et al., 1997).

1.5.2 Supra-$O_L$ exercise

The kinetics of $\dot{V}O_2$ are considerably more complex for heavier intensities of exercise, no longer described as a monoexponential, although the fundamental retains exponentiality. It is of little surprise therefore, that there is disagreement over whether it is the $O_2$-delivery or $O_2$-utilisation hypotheses which is the predominant determinant of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics for supra-$O_L$ exercise, the evidence for the fundamental component considered here whilst the focus
on the slow component follows in Section 1.5.3. It would appear from the evidence presented above that the predominant control is via one of the intrinsic metabolic inertia hypotheses outlined above, although the potential for $O_2$ delivery to play a limited role cannot be refuted. The previously discussed research has mainly focussed on indirect evidence concerning control, with a key question of the plasticity of the $\dot{Q}_mO_2$, and hence $\dot{VO}_2$, kinetics frequently being overlooked.

There is considerable evidence presented that the kinetics of $\dot{VO}_2$ can be slowed, both below and above $O_L$, under a variety of circumstances: supine body position (Karlsson et al., 1975; Hughson et al., 1991b); hypoxia (Engelen et al., 1996); β-blockade (Hughson, 1984); disease (Nery et al., 1982; Sietsema, 1992); $O_2$ diffusion inhibition via carbon monoxide (Koike et al., 1990). Whilst this evidence clearly demonstrates that $O_2$ delivery has the potential to influence $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics, it cannot be presented as evidence that it is the predominant determining factor under normal conditions.

Therefore demonstration of accelerated kinetics under conditions of enhanced $O_2$ delivery is essential for conclusive evidence of the dependence of $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics on $O_2$ delivery. Initially, Gausche et al. (1989), Gerbino et al. (1996) and Bohnert et al. (1998) postulated that performance of a priming bout of heavy cycling exercise resulted in faster $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics in response to a subsequent identical bout of heavy exercise. It was suggested that the residual acidosis incurred following the first bout of exercise resulted in a reactive hyperaemia and enhanced $O_2$ unloading (Bohr shift) during the second bout, alleviating some of a proposed $O_2$ delivery limitation that was present originally. Whilst these results were extremely useful in demonstrating a modulation of $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics by a priming bout of exercise, more recent research has revealed that the details of this modulation are not as initially proposed.

Due to the limitations imposed by using only a single repetition when attempting to characterise $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics, Gerbino et al. (1996) were only able to describe the overall speeding of kinetics as a reduced mean response time (MRT), rather than modelling each phase independently. Macdonald et al. (1997) presented similar reductions in MRT, and despite characterising the fundamental component separately, they failed to include these results in their discussion. More recently, two groups in particular have more appropriately examined the effects of prior heavy exercise on subsequent $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics (Burnley et al., 2000; Koppo & Bouckaert, 2000). In line with the data of Gerbino et al. (1996),
Macdonald et al. (1997), and also Bohnert et al. (1998) and Fukuba et al. (2002) using different muscle groups, the recent research has confirmed a reduction in the amplitude of the $\dot{V}O_2$ (SC) (detailed in Chapter 4), although this appears to be unrelated to the tolerable duration of the exercise (Koppo et al., 2001).

Despite this modulation of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, the fundamental component remained essentially unaltered, both in terms of $\tau$ and net-amplitude, although absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ at the end of phase II was elevated following a priming heavy exercise bout. The possibility that this increase in fundamental amplitude may directly be a consequence of an elevated $\dot{V}O_2$ baseline was further addressed by Patel et al. (2001) and Burnley et al. (2001). When the recovery between the heavy exercise bouts was extended such that $\dot{V}O_2$ returned to the original baseline levels, yet there remained a residual lactic acidosis, the findings of unchanged fundamental $\tau$ and decreased $\dot{V}O_2$ (SC) remained, but interestingly the increased fundamental amplitude was also evidenced. This notion of plasticity of the amplitude, but not $\tau$ of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response, is addressed in more detail in Section 4.4.

The evidence therefore suggests that O\textsubscript{2} delivery may not be limiting. However, one study by Rossiter et al. (2001) demonstrates that the fundamental $\dot{V}O_2$ $\tau$ may be accelerated without a speeding of the kinetics of [PCr], proposed to reflect $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$, as discussed above. At present it is unclear whether these results can be explained simply in terms of differences in exercise mode and muscle mass utilised, as the bulk of evidence suggests that an exercise-induced metabolic acidosis cannot speed the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics of the fundamental.

Using their forearm exercise paradigm, Hughson’s group has repeatedly (Hughson et al., 1996; MacDonald et al., 2001; Perrey et al., 2001) proposed that the demonstration of improved O\textsubscript{2} delivery resulting in accelerated $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ is evidence of the role of O\textsubscript{2} delivery limitation, however, as highlighted previously, it is uncertain whether these results are only applicable for this supine exercise condition. Similar to the data provided above for moderate exercise, Kindig et al. (2001) also demonstrated a significant acceleration of the fundamental $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the horse during the transition to heavy exercise following administration of L-NAMBR. However, the applicability of these results to the exercising humans remains to be confirmed and indeed a potential role for NO as a modulator of mitochondrial respiration, and also as a mechanism for exercise-induced hyperaemia,
seems disputable given the findings of Frandsen et al. (2001). They found no effect of L-NAME infusion in humans on $\dot{Q}_{\text{leak}}$ and $\dot{Q}_{\text{mitO}_2}$ during exercise, although they did not look at the early temporal features of the responses but rather values every 10 minutes.

As mentioned in Section 1.5.1, the potential role for PDH activity constituting a major role in determining the rate of oxidative phosphorylation has been addressed for the moderate domain and also heavier exercise (e.g. Timmons et al., 1998b). In contrast to the theoretical basis for moderate exercise, the effect of DCA infusion on $\dot{Q}_{\text{mitO}_2}$ kinetics has been investigated directly for higher intensity exercise using an in vitro dog gastrocnemius model (Grassi et al., 2002) and in vivo using a knee-extensor model (Bangsbo et al., 2002). Both of these studies presented evidence that DCA infusion had indeed increased acetyl-group availability, yet there was no effect on $\dot{Q}_{\text{mitO}_2}$ kinetics, in terms of amplitude or $\tau$. These data strongly suggest that PDH activation is not a significant contributor in determining $\dot{Q}_{\text{mitO}_2}$ kinetics. However, it should be noted that in contrast to the reduced $O_2\text{Def}$ evidenced in the studies of Timmons et al. (1998a & b) and Howlett et al. (1999), upon which the proposition is based, there was no demonstration of any sparing of muscle PCR or reduction in lactate production by either the Grassi or Bangsbo experiments.

It is clear that discussion of the kinetics of the $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{Q}_{\text{mitO}_2}$ responses to supra-$\Theta_L$ exercise requires consideration of both the fundamental $\tau$ and amplitude, as for moderate exercise, but also the characteristics of the additional slow component. The balance of evidence supports the argument that the fundamental kinetics are determined predominantly by the intrinsic metabolic inertia, although the potential for a degree of $O_2$-delivery limitation exists for supra-$\Theta_L$ exercise. The underlying mechanisms of the slow component remain to be elucidated, as discussed below.

1.5.3 **Putative mediators of the slow component for $\dot{V}O_2$**

Throughout the years, many factors have been postulated to be involved in the mechanistic basis of the slow component (e.g. Whipp, 1987; Poole et al., 1994a; Whipp, 1994b), as shown in Figure 1.15. The following sections examine the evidence for the major competing theories.
1.5.3.1 Lactate

Repeated early observations of an association of a sustained increase in arterial [La] in association with the $\dot{V}O_2$(SC) (e.g. Wasserman et al., 1967; Whipp & Wasserman, 1972; Roston et al., 1987) led to considerable research into whether or not there may exist a cause-and-effect relationship. Wasserman et al. (1991) described in detail the importance of the acidosis-induced Bohr shift of the haemoglobin dissociation curve in assisting $O_2$ unloading at the muscle. They further speculated that an acidosis is essential for supra-$O_2$ exercise since patients suffering from McArdle’s Disease, who are unable to rely on glycolytic metabolism, are unable to sustain work rates in this intensity domain. Casaburi et al. (1987) and Poole et al. (1988) provided strong evidence of a temporal association between the $\dot{V}O_2$(SC) and the increase in [La], but not changes in temperature, ventilation or circulating catecholamines. The demonstration of a training-induced decrease in arterial [La] in concert with a decrease in the size of the slow component (Casaburi et al., 1987; Poole et al., 1990) was also presented. Calculations by Whipp (1987) suggested that the additional $O_2$ cost of gluconeogenesis, oxidation of lactate to regenerate glycogen, in the liver would be small, but that it could be significantly higher in muscle.
More recently, further research has ruled out the likelihood of an increased \([La]\) being the cause of the \(\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}\). For example, it has typically been shown that the onset of the slow component is delayed, beginning between 90 and 180 s into the exercise transition, yet increased \([La]\) can occur earlier than this (Roston et al., 1987). Also, the time course of the training induced reductions in \([La]\) and the slow component are not similar (Gaesser, 1994; Womack et al., 1995) providing indirect evidence against a causal role for \([La]\). Whilst the slow component has been observed across differing exercise modalities of similar exercise intensities, Billat et al. (1998) showed that there was no correlation across modalities between the change in \([La]\) (\(\Delta[La]\)) and the magnitude of the slow component for running and cycling.

Using an isolated dog gastrocnemius in vitro preparation, Poole et al. (1994b) infused lactate into the working muscle and examined the effects on \(\dot{Q}_mO_2\). The authors acknowledged the vastly different environment in this experimental set-up from the in vivo muscle, but suggested that there was no evidence that lactate was responsible for the slow component.

1.5.3.2 Acid-base status

Following on from these experiments, Zoladz et al. (1997 & 1998a) altered the level of acidosis incurred during heavy exercise by prior ingestion of sodium bicarbonate (alkalosis) or ammonium chloride (acidification). They found that whilst acidification resulted in an increased \(\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}\), the size of the slow component could not be reduced by alkalosis. They proposed a significant role for acidification in the physiological mechanisms responsible for \(\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}\), suggesting that the lack of an effect from the alkalosis may be explained by the observation that there were no changes in \([La]\), which would be associated with natural changes in pH. Evidence contrary to this hypothesis was presented by Scheuermann et al. (1998), who induced a decrease in \([La]\) and pH, following acetazolamide administration, but found no change in the \(\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}\) in comparison to control conditions.

1.5.3.3 Catecholamines

Additional support against a cause-and-effect relationship for lactate, and indeed adrenaline, was provided by showing that intra-venous infusion of adrenaline during supra-
\(\theta_L\) cycling resulted in significant increases in \([La]\) but there was no change in the \(\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}\)
in comparison to control conditions (Gaesser et al., 1994). Although infusion of adrenaline did significantly increase resting $\dot{V}O_2$.

1.5.3.4 Muscle temperature

In contrast to other early investigators emphasising the important association between $\dot{V}O_2(Sc)$ and [La], Hagberg et al. (1978) proposed that increases in muscle and body temperature may be the root cause of the slow component. Within the muscle an increase in temperature, frequently observed at higher exercise intensities, could manifest an increase in $\dot{V}O_2$ in several ways. Willis & Jackman (1994) outlined that, according to intramuscular bioenergetics, the $\dot{V}O_2(Sc)$ implies that within the mitochondria there is either an increase in ATP demand (decreased ATP utilisation efficiency) or that the coupling of oxygen consumption to phosphorylation (P:O$_2$) is decreased. They proposed that a 3°C increase in temperature could cause a 10% decrease in mitochondrial coupling and therefore could account for a 300 ml.min$^{-1}$ slow component if $\dot{V}O_2$ was 3000 ml.min$^{-1}$.

Additional mechanisms of a temperature effect could include a $Q_{10}$ effect (Brooks et al., 1971) or enhanced O$_2$ delivery and unloading via a Bohr shift of the haemoglobin dissociation curve, but only if O$_2$ delivery is limiting and this remains contentious. Interestingly, Rowell (1971) could find no evidence of a rise in $\dot{V}O_2$ with increased temperature and he proposed that some other factors must be off-setting the hypothesised $Q_{10}$ effect. The most compelling evidence against a major role for increased temperature comes from observations of steady state $\dot{V}O_2$ in concert with rising temperature (Poole et al., 1988), and a reduction in the $\dot{V}O_2(Sc)$ despite an induced increase in muscle temperature (Koga et al., 1997).

1.5.3.5 Additional respiratory and/or cardiac work

Some authors suggested that at higher intensities of exercise the increased O$_2$ cost of respiratory and cardiac work may contribute to the $\dot{V}O_2(Sc)$ (e.g. Hagberg et al., 1978; Wasserman et al., 1995). Calculations based on the increased O$_2$ cost have estimated that only a relatively minor proportion of the slow component is likely to be accounted for by the increased respiratory cost (Gaesser & Poole, 1996).
The potential relative involvement of increased muscle temperature and/or increased respiratory/cardiac work has been reduced considerably based on two major experimental findings:

1. In the heavy intensity domain there is no evidence of a slow component effect at the off-transient (Ozyener et al., 2001), as discussed above. This suggests that several of the proposed mechanisms, such as increased temperature and increased respiratory and cardiac work, are not significantly responsible, since they would be expected to manifest the same effects at the off-transient (Paterson & Whipp, 1991).

2. The *in vivo* demonstrations, using thermodilution techniques to estimate changes in $\dot{Q}_m$ (Poole et al., 1991), have shown that as much as 86% of the $\dot{V}O_2^{(sc)}$ resides within the exercising musculature, negating significant roles for factors out-with the active muscle. These findings have further been supported by the $^{31}$P-NMR work of Rossiter et al. (2002a & 2002b) which illustrated that up to 90% of the $\dot{V}O_2^{(sc)}$ is observed within the muscle as an additional decline in [PCr].

1.5.3.6 Muscle fibre-type

Whilst several of the above listed proposed mechanisms of the $\dot{V}O_2^{(sc)}$ have been disproved as significant contributors, the focus since has centred on the active muscle groups, based on observations (see 2. above) that almost all of the $\dot{V}O_2^{(sc)}$ is exhibited at the muscle level ($\dot{Q}_{\text{mO}_2}$). The findings of two studies in particular (Shinohara & Moritani, 1992; Barstow et al., 1996) has provoked strong debate as to whether or not the slow component may be due to a relative change in the proportion of different muscle fibre types employed to sustain the same work rate. Before examining the available evidence it is worth considering the metabolic and energetic basis upon which this theory is founded.

Using isolated animal muscle preparations, the bioenergetic properties of slow (Type I) and fast twitch (Type II) muscle fibres have been investigated (Crow & Kushmerick, 1982; Kushmerick et al., 1992). These studies have shown that the type II fibres are comparatively less efficient than the type I fibres in terms of force generation. They demonstrated that there is an approximately 18% lower mitochondrial coupling ratio (P:O$_2$) in the mitochondria of type II fibres, possibly due to an increased reliance on the less efficient $\alpha$-glycerophosphate shuttle for transporting NADH-linked reducing equivalents rather than the malate-aspartate shuttle (Kushmerick et al., 1992). These studies also showed that the $\dot{Q}_{\text{mO}_2}$ kinetics of muscle groups predominantly made up of
type II fibres were slower than for predominantly slow twitch muscle groups. It might therefore be hypothesised that these slower kinetics represent the slower kinetics of the $\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}$. It has also been shown that there may be an increased ATP cost per unit force generated by type II fibres due to a lower efficiency of energy conversion in the cross-bridges (Saugen & Vollestad, 1995). It is important to appreciate that these mitochondrial studies were performed on isolated animal muscle preparations and so the extrapolation to in vivo muscle groups in the exercising human should be proceeded with caution. Nevertheless, the combined evidence suggests that energetic differences between type I and type II motor units may influence the efficiency of mitochondrial O$_2$ utilisation during heavy exercise and therefore contribute to the $\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}$ (Willis & Jackman, 1994).

In terms of a comparison with sub-$\dot{V}O_L$ work rates, the size principle of motor unit recruitment (Henneman et al., 1974) dictates that at these lower work rates the required use of type II motor units would be small in comparison to higher work rates when the $\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}$ is evidenced (Vollestad & Blom, 1985). It was therefore perhaps of little surprise that Barstow et al. (1996) demonstrated a significant correlation between the relative magnitude of the slow component during heavy cycling and the fibre-type distribution of the vastus lateralis, determined by muscle biopsy. They showed a positive relationship between the %type II fibres and the contribution of the $\dot{V}O_2\text{(SC)}$ to end-exercise $\dot{V}O_2$, and a negative correlation between the %type I fibres and the relative slow component magnitude. Figure 1.16 highlights this relationship by normalising the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics as O$_2$ cost.
Figure 1.16 – $\dot{V}O_2$ data obtained from two subjects differing greatly in the relative distribution of vastus lateralis muscle fibre-types during heavy intensity cycling. Notice the inverse relationship between the percentage of slow oxidative fibres (Type I) and the amplitude of the slow component. From Barstow *et al.* (1996).

In line with the concepts outlined above, Shinohara & Moritani (1992) sought to establish whether or not the slow component may represent a recruitment of progressively more type II motor units using electromyographic techniques (EMG). They observed an increase in the integrated EMG signal (i-EMG), reflecting changes in motor unit recruitment and/or motor unit firing frequency, during the $\dot{V}O_2$ (sc). The results were interpreted as indication that, at this intensity, the initially recruited type I motor units become fatigued and therefore to maintain the same power output, further recruitment of type II motor units was required, resulting in an increased $\dot{V}O_2$. In contrast, Scheuermann *et al.* (2001) describe how the time course of the changes in i-EMG and $\dot{V}O_2$ were different and pointed out that the i-EMG signal merely reflects overall motor unit recruitment and does not permit discrimination between the type I and type II motor units. However, these authors were unable to provide evidence of an increase in i-EMG in conjunction with the $\dot{V}O_2$ (sc), nor was there any change in the mean power frequency (MPF), which they suggest would reflect a change in proportion of type I and type II motor units recruited.

Support of the hypotheses of Shinohara & Moritani (1992) has since been provided by three separate studies (Saunders *et al.*, 2000; Borrazi *et al.*, 2001; Burnley *et al.*, 2002a). Burnley *et al.* (2002a) showed an increase in i-EMG with the slow component and
suggested that the use of too few muscle groups and differences in exercise intensity may account for the inconsistencies with Scheuermann et al. (2001). Borrani et al. (2001) demonstrated an increase in MPF in trained runners alongside the slow component, although the authors acknowledged the complexities in interpreting changes in MPF. They described how other factors such as increased muscle temperature and increased neuronal discharge rate of slow twitch fibres may also explain the observed increases in MPF. Saunders et al. (2000) indicated that the use of EMG during cycling is prone to distortion by movement artefacts and contaminating signals from other muscles, so they used magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in conjunction with EMG and concluded that increased active muscle mass is at least in part responsible for the $VO_2^{(sc)}$.

From the available evidence it is plausible that the progressive recruitment of less-efficient muscle fibres may constitute a significant role in the underlying mechanisms of the slow component. It is clear that the details of the mechanisms responsible for control of $VO_2$ kinetics for supra-$0_L$ exercise, and also moderate exercise, remain elusive and require considerable further controlled and appropriately designed research.
1.6 OBJECTIVES

The overall aim of the current research is to improve current understanding of $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics, with particular reference to accurate characterisation and appropriate interpretation. The three separate investigations conducted (Chapters 3, 4 and 5) share this common objective, although the specific aim for each respective chapter is summarised below:

1. To examine, with high temporal resolution, the dynamics of the $\dot{VO}_2$ and intramuscular oxygenation responses to intermittent cycling of varying work-recovery duty cycle durations, which have been shown to influence the blood lactate profiles, and hence the intensity of the exercise according to traditional description. This investigation will explore whether repeated bouts of exercise influence the overall $\dot{VO}_2$ response in a manner congruent with existing relationships between blood lactate and $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics for sustained exercise.

2. To further existing evidence regarding the effects of prior supra-threshold exercise on $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics in response to subsequent exercise, by normalising the intensity of subsequent exercise in relation to critical power, and hence investigate whether the tolerable duration of the exercise is affected. The first aim permits insight into the effects of repeated bouts of exercise on the overall $\dot{VO}_2$ response to exercise, however, this subsequent aim focuses more on the effects on the individual phases of the $\dot{VO}_2$ response, which would not be evident in the short duration periods of intermittent exercise.

3. To investigate the influence of model structure on the characterisation of the $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics in COPD patients, prior to, and following, an exercise training intervention. The formal modelling of the $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics applied in addressing the first two aims is well accepted for healthy subjects based on existing literature. However, for other populations previous research has applied inappropriate modelling techniques such that the inferences made may be physiologically misleading. The final aim is to clarify whether models applied in normal volunteer research are acceptable in a patient population (that has been recruited to a large nutritional intervention project).
Chapter 2 Methods

2.1 SUBJECTS

All subjects who volunteered to participate in the studies were deemed to be recreationally active and of stable fitness. During the subjects’ primary visit to the laboratory, the relevant study was described to the subject in detail and a consent form (Appendix for relevant chapter), approved by the University of Glasgow Ethics Committee, was signed. According to the guidelines of this committee all subjects completed an approved Medical Questionnaire (Appendix) to identify any medical condition which may predispose the subject to abnormal risk during subsequent exercise testing. Furthermore, all subjects were screened by a physician for resting cardiac electrical activity (ECG) and blood pressure. Based on the findings of the questionnaire and medical examination any subject deemed unsuitable was excluded. Similarly, on the day of testing if a subject described any symptoms of ill-health then the test was re-arranged for another occasion. Subjects were clearly informed prior to onset of the study that they were free to cease participation at any point should they so wish.

In an attempt to minimise any extraneous influence on performance, subjects were tested as near as possible to the same time of day on each occasion and requested to follow several instructions prior to each test:

1. No heavy exercise for a minimum of 24-hours prior to testing.
2. No food consumption in the 3-hour period prior to testing.
3. No alcohol intake in the 24-hour period prior to testing.
4. No caffeine for 4-hours prior to testing.

Subjects completed a questionnaire (Appendix) prior to each testing session to confirm that these guidance instructions had been adhered to and that subjects were not suffering from any factors such as injury or ill-health which may confound exercise performance.

The exact subject demographics are provided in each chapter since a different subject group was used in each study. The subjects’ height (The Leicester Height Measure, Invicta Plastics Ltd., Leicester, UK) and mass (Salter Weigh-tronix, Avery, Birmingham, UK) were recorded prior to the study.
2.2 PROTOCOLS

The exact protocols used in each study are summarised in each chapter, and any specific details about the equipment used, or procedures followed, are found in the relevant chapter. However, the following methods section details equipment and procedures that were common to all exercise testing conducted.

2.1.1 Incremental ramp test

The primary test performed by subjects during all studies was a rapidly incremental exercise test (Figure 2.1) until the limit of tolerance \( t_{\lim} \) was reached, for non-invasive estimation of the lactate threshold \( \hat{\theta}_l \) and determination of peak \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (\( \mu \dot{V}O_2 \)). In these tests the cycle ergometer was programmed in advance with an incrementation rate of 15 W.min\(^{-1}\), the work rate increased gradually in a ramp-like fashion by 3 Watts every 12s so that subjects were unable to perceive the progressive increase in work rate.

Prior to all tests, several minutes of resting breathing were analysed to ensure that the subject was relaxed and not hyperventilating, which may result in evidence of a "pseudo-\( \hat{\theta}_l \)." (Whipp et al., 1987; Ward & Whipp, 1992; Ozcelik et al., 1999). Acceptable resting ventilatory response was characterised by a \( \dot{V}E \) below or around 10 L.min\(^{-1}\), RER close to expected for rest (0.7 - 0.9) and \( P_{ETCO_2} \) between 37 and 43 mmHg. Following a further period of baseline pedalling at 20W for at least 3 minutes, ensuring no hyperventilation, the work rate was gradually increased with the subject oblivious to the start of the test and instructed to increase the cadence at will, within the linear range of the ergometer, as detailed subsequently. The test was terminated when subjects were no longer able to maintain a cadence of 55rpm, despite encouragement and warnings that the test would be completed.
Non-invasive estimation of \( \hat{\theta}_L \) was carried out using the V-slope technique (Beaver et al., 1986) and a cluster of ventilatory-based indices (Reinhard et al., 1979; Caiozzo et al., 1982; Davis et al., 1982; Whipp et al., 1986; Wasserman et al., 1999). The V-Slope method is based on the emergence of an additional (surplus to aerobic production) \( \dot{\nu}CO_2 \) component above \( \hat{\theta}_L \) consequent to buffering of a proportion of the protons associated with lactate by bicarbonate ions in the muscle and blood, according to Equation 2.1 below:

\[
H^+ + HCO_3^- \leftrightarrow H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2O \quad \text{(Equation 2.1)}
\]

As a result \( \dot{\nu}CO_2 \) can be observed to "accelerate away" (Figure 2.2) at \( \hat{\theta}_L \) in relation to the increase in \( \dot{\nu}O_2 \) as the work rate is increased, and coincident with the increase in arterial [lactate] - (Beaver et al., 1986 - Figure 2.3).

![Figure 2.2 - Non-invasive estimation of the lactate threshold (\( \hat{\theta}_L \)) using the V-slope technique (Beaver et al., 1986). Best-fit lines (S_1 & S_2) are plotted through the sub- and supra-\( \hat{\theta}_L \) data respectively, \( \hat{\theta}_L \) determined as the point of intersection of the two lines. Adapted from Beaver et al. (1986).](image)

When analysing the data for this estimation, only the "region of interest" was considered (Beaver et al., 1986). That is to say, that all resting data, the initial "kinetic" region of the exercise, and the recovery data were excluded, as was data after the Respiratory Compensation Point (RCP) (Wasserman et al., 1977) where a further change in \( \dot{\nu}CO_2 \) is typically observed consequent to the fall of arterial pH providing further ventilatory
stimulation. The intersection of the resulting lower (S1) and upper (S2) linear best-fits to
the data-ranges is then taken as $\hat{t}_L$.

This estimation was then confirmed by examining plots of $P_{ETO_2}$, $P_{ETCO_2}$, $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}O_2$ and
$\dot{V}R/\dot{V}CO_2$ against $\dot{V}O_2$. Above $\hat{t}_L$ a hyperventilation with respect to $O_2$ is observed,
reflected by an immediate increase in $P_{ETO_2}$ and $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}O_2$ (Figure 2.3). However, for a brief
period (typically about several minutes) reflecting the period of isocapnic buffering
(Whipp et al., 1989), an equivalent hyperventilation with respect
to $CO_2$ is not observed (no decrease in $P_{ETCO_2}$ or increase in $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$), as shown in
Figure 2.3.

![Figure 2.3 - Confirmation of the lactate threshold ($\hat{t}_L$) using the ventilatory-based indices (Whipp et al., 1986). Immediately following the lactate threshold, indicated by an increase in blood lactate concentration ([L-] - top-left panel), there is an observed hyperventilation with respect to $\dot{V}O_2$, indicated by increases in $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}O_2$ and end-tidal $PO_2$ (middle panels). In contrast there is no observable hyperventilation with respect to $\dot{V}CO_2$, reflected in the profiles of $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ and end-tidal $PCO_2$ (bottom panels). Adapted from Whipp (1994a).](image)

$\mu \dot{V}O_2$ was calculated as the mean value of $\dot{V}O_2$ during the last 20-seconds of exercise. It is

crucial during these and other maximal tests that complete effort is given by the subjects to
ensure a true $t_{lim}$ is reached. Validity of a maximal estimation for $\mu \dot{V}O_2$ has been suggested
to be established by ensuring at least two of the following criteria (Hale et al., 1998) are
met:
(a) The heart rate, at maximum, is within 10 beats.min\(^{-1}\) of the age-predicted maximum: (i.e. 220 - age\{years\}).

(b) A plateau of the $\dot{V}O_2$ occurs as $t_{\text{lim}}$ is approached.

(c) A value of greater than 1.15 is obtained for RER.

In reality, however, a plateau in $\dot{V}O_2$ is often not observed when using rapidly incremental protocols such as described here (e.g. Wagner, 2000). In addition, the predicted maximum heart rate will not be attained in all subjects, since the standard deviation for such prediction equations is ± 10 beats.min\(^{-1}\) (Astrand et al., 1959). In practice, subjects were encouraged to perform to the maximum of their capabilities in all tests. The use of $\mu\dot{V}O_2$ was justified since Cooper et al. (1984) and Day et al. (2002) showed that there was no significant difference in $\mu\dot{V}O_2$ determined from a rapidly incremental protocol and a “true” plateau observed in $\dot{V}O_2$ obtained using a series of constant work rate protocols of increasing intensity.

2.2.2 Constant work rate tests

The second category of test performed during the studies was a constant work rate or square-wave exercise test. Similar to the incremental test, several minutes of resting breathing were recorded to ensure the subject was relaxed and not hyperventilating, followed by a minimum of 3-minutes baseline pedalling at 20W. Without prior warning the work rate was then increased immediately to the target work rate (Figure 2.4) by advancing the pre-programmed protocol to the next stage. The subject was instructed to increase the cadence in order to overcome the initial inertial difficulties caused by the rapid increase in work rate. In tests when two constant work rate tests were performed in series, a given recovery period of pedalling at 20W was performed between the tests.
Following a rest period and at least 3 minutes of pedalling at 20W, the work rate was increased immediately to a pre-determined level based on the desired exercise intensity. The dashed lines illustrate that further transitions could be completed in a single session, with all stage durations (x-min) variable.

The target work rate selected for these tests was dependent on the desired intensity of the exercise and the resulting parameters of interest. As described in detail in Section 1.1.1, four intensity domains (moderate, heavy, very heavy and severe) are demarcated by $\dot{\theta}_t$, CP (the Critical Power) and $\muWR$ as summarised by the $\dot{V}O_2$ temporal profiles in Figure 1.7.

When assigning a work rate for $\dot{\theta}_t$ from the $\dot{V}O_2$-WR relationship during the ramp-test it is crucial to appreciate that the $\dot{V}O_2$ at a given work rate in this non-steady state test is not equal to the $\dot{V}O_2(s)$ that would be elicited by constant work rate cycling. Interestingly, it has been shown that, following an initial lag phase, the linear increase in $\dot{V}O_2$ demonstrates the same slope as for sub-$\dot{\theta}_t$ steady-state exercise of increasing work rate (Figure 2.5 - Whipp et al., 1981).
Figure 2.5 - Estimation of the steady state work rate ($\hat{\theta}_L$) corresponding to the $\dot{V}O_2$ (solid circle) at $\hat{\theta}_L$ using the observed non-steady state $\dot{V}O_2$-WR response to a rapidly incremental exercise protocol. The difference between the real and steady state response is constant and equivalent to $\tau'$, the corresponding increment in work rate being used to correct the non-steady state value. Taken from Whipp (1987).

Furthermore, the lag between the two relationships becomes constant and equal to $\tau'$, that is the sum of the Phase II $\tau$ and delay. Therefore, when a value for $\dot{V}O_2$ at $\hat{\theta}_L$ was estimated, a corresponding WR at these points was determined from the linear $\dot{V}O_2$-WR relationship. To obtain the steady-state work rates the lag was accounted for by subtracting the work rate equivalent for the duration of $\tau'$ based on the incrementation rate, as demonstrated in Figure 2.5. For individuals where $\tau'$ was unknown a conservative estimate of 60s was used, since the average Phase II $\tau$ and delay are typically approximately 30s and 10s respectively in healthy young individuals (e.g. Whipp et al., 2002b).

Tests are often assigned according to either $x\%\hat{\theta}_L$ or the difference in work rate between $\hat{\theta}_L$ and $\mu$WR ($\Delta$), that is using a work rate equivalent to:

$$\hat{\theta}_L + x\%\Delta(\mu\text{WR}-\hat{\theta}_L) \quad \text{(Equation 2.2)}$$

as initially proposed by Rausch et al. (1991). However, to accurately control the intensity of supra-$\hat{\theta}_L$ exercise, it is necessary to determine the Critical Power since this provides the upper limit for sustained exercise, as discussed in Section 1.1.1. Monod & Scherrer (1965) determined Critical Power for a range of muscle groups by performing exhaustive exercise at different work rates and recording $t_{\text{lim}}$. The hyperbolic power-duration relationship observed was later examined in more detail for cycling (Poole et al., 1988), as shown in
Figure 2.6. From this relationship CP is determined as the asymptote of the power-duration hyperbola, more easily established as the y-intercept of the power-time\(^{-1}\) linear regression.

![Graph showing the relationship between work rate (power - P) and tolerable duration (time - t) for five maximal bouts of constant work rate exercise. Panel (a) shows the traditional hyperbolic function described by the equation above, where critical power (CP) and curvature constant \((W')\) represent the asymptote and curvature constant respectively. Panel (b) shows the linear transformation of the same data by plotting power against time\(^{-1}\), CP now the intercept and \(W'\) the slope. Adapted from Poole et al. (1988).]

In order to obtain accurate predictions of CP, 4-5 maximal constant work rate tests were performed on different days, the durations at least spanning the range of 1-10 minutes as suggested in the review by Hill (1993). From the resultant power-time\(^{-1}\) relationship, CP was estimated as the y-intercept of the linear regression through the data. From the linear regression it is also possible to estimate the tolerable duration of a bout of supra-CP constant work rate exercise via interpolation. On this basis, work rates above CP could not only be assigned as \(x\%\)CP but also as a target-duration, i.e. \(x\)-minWR. In individuals for whom the data were not well characterised by a hyperbola, some tests were repeated and if there was no improvement in the fit then the subject was not used for supra-\(\theta_c\) exercise.

In summary, the constant work rate tests, whether single or multiple in series, were assigned according to intensity as a work rate corresponding to a given percentage of either \(\theta_c\), \(\mu\)WR, CP or \(x\min\)WR, or the difference \((\Delta)\) between these variables.
2.3 EQUIPMENT

2.3.1 Cycle ergometer

All tests were performed on a digitally programmable electro-magnetically-braked cycle ergometer (Excalibur Sport, Lode, Groningen, The Netherlands). The braking force on such bikes changes as an inverse function of pedal cadence so that the work rate, controlled from a remote computer, remains constant regardless of the cadence selected by the subjects. The range of work rates over which the ergometer remains linear is 10W – 1000W, provided the cadence is kept within the range of 30 to 120 rpm, accurate to within ± 2% from 20W (Operator Manual V2.0, Lode, Groningen, The Netherlands). Therefore, in all tests 20W was used as the low work rate baseline. Changes in work rate were programmed into the computer associated with the ergometer such that they could be achieved almost instantaneously (maximal rate of 1000 W.s⁻¹). Subjects were able to visually monitor the cadence via a dial situated on the handlebar arrangement, and were occasionally advised if their cadence was inappropriate. The cycle ergometer power output was checked using a motor-driven torque calibrator (VacuMed, model 17800, Ventura, California, USA). The accepted results are provided in Figure 2.7.

![Graph showing the power output of the cycle ergometer](image)

**Figure 2.7** — Measured power output of the cycle ergometer (calibrator work rate) against the power output programmed into the ergometer (Lode work rate). Summary details of the linear regression through the data are shown.

The seat-height and handlebar positions which the subject was most comfortable with were recorded during a familiarisation session and thereafter the ergometer settings were
adjusted subjectively prior to each test. Similarly, the saddle, chosen from several options during familiarisation according to degree of comfort, was kept constant for each subject across all tests.

2.3.2 Heart Rate and arterial blood oxygen saturation

Heart rate was measured continuously during all tests, from the R-R interval determined using a six-lead ECG (Q710, Quinton Medical, Kent, UK). A telemetric heart rate recorder (Polar Sports Tester, Kempele, Finland) was used in addition, the heart rate determined and averaged during consecutive 5s periods. Print-outs from the ECG were taken at regular intervals for identification of any cardiac irregularities which would place the subject at risk, and simultaneously the saturation of oxygen in arterial blood (SaO₂) was recorded non-invasively from a near-infrared pulse oximeter probe (Satelite trans, Datex Engstrom, Finland) placed on the ring finger of the subject’s left hand. Correct functioning of the ECG was regularly tested by using a simulator (Glasgow University).

2.3.3 Chart Recorder

During all tests the raw analogue signals for heart rate, work rate, ECG, expired gas volume, and expired O₂ and CO₂ gas concentrations were recorded using a digital chart recorder (Dash 10, Astro-Med Inc., Rhode Island, USA). These charts were recorded at a speed of 1 mm.s⁻¹ enabling individual breaths to be identified. These raw signals were used to assist in the identification of mis-triggered breaths calculated by the software incorporated in the metabolic cart, as well as permitting real-time visual inspection of the breathing characteristics of each subject throughout the duration of the tests. The process of identifying and editing breaths, which are not indicative of the underlying physiological response, is discussed in detail subsequently.

2.3.4 Blood lactate analysis

Whole blood was sampled from the subjects’ fingertips for subsequent analysis of the arterialised mixed-venous blood lactate concentration ([La]). The hand of the subject was pre-warmed using a heat lamp to assist arterialisation of the blood (Forster et al., 1972). At specified time-points the subject’s skin was cleaned with an alcohol wipe and then pierced using an automated lancet (Autoclix, Boehringer, Germany). The initial blood, which may contain damaged cells, was wiped away and then approximately 30 μl of blood was collected in a 50 μl capillary tube. The specific capillary tubes used contained heparin,
fluoride and nitrite, which acted as anticoagulant, glycolysis inhibitor and anti-oxidant respectively. The blood was mixed thoroughly for several minutes and then either analysed immediately, or the capillary was capped at both ends and placed on ice until the end of the experiment.

All capillary blood was analysed, at least in duplicate, using an automated lactate analyser (Analox GM-7, Analox Instruments, London, UK). This system contains an oxygen electrode that detects the change in PO$_2$ when lactate is oxidised to pyruvate in the presence of lactate oxidoreductase:

\[
\text{Lactate Oxidoreductase} \quad \text{Lactate} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Pyruvate} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad \text{(Equation 2.3)}
\]

The analyser was calibrated prior to the analysis of each set of capillaries, and the concentration of an 8.0 mM standard was checked following calibration and at the end of each testing session. If the standard was reading outside 8 ± 0.2 mM then the values were not accepted and the test was repeated.

2.3.5 Breath-by-breath gas analysis

Breath-by-breath gas exchange analysis was carried out in all tests for calculations of \( \dot{V}O_2 \), \( \dot{V}CO_2 \) and \( \dot{V}E \). The metabolic cart used consists of a quadropole mass spectrometer (QP9000, Morgan Medical, Gillingham, UK) for measurement of respired gas concentrations for O$_2$, N$_2$ and CO$_2$, and a low dead-space (90 ml), low resistance (< 1.5 cmH$_2$O.l$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$) turbine volume transducer (Interface Associates, Irvine, CA, USA) for inspired and expired volume and flow measurements.

2.3.5.1 Mass Spectrometer

Respired gas was continuously drawn along a capillary line attached to the mouthpiece at a rate of 20 Hertz. The constituent gases were subsequently ionised by electron bombardment before being separated by the electrostatic fields of the quadropole lens, based on the mass-to-charge ratio of the individual ions. The voltage then generated by the ion detector, upon contact with the respective gas ions, is proportional to the relative concentration of each gas, allowing accurate quantification of O$_2$, CO$_2$ and N$_2$ concentrations within the respired gas. The mass spectrometer was calibrated prior to all tests by two precision-analysed gas mixtures chosen to span the expected range of gas concentrations observed during exercise, with the calibration being checked for stability.
immediately before and after all tests. In tests where there was a significant drift (greater than an absolute change of 0.5%) in the gas concentrations, the results were excluded and the test repeated at a later date.

2.3.5.2 Turbine volume transducer

The turbine volume transducer functions on the basis of respired gas flow turning a small impeller within the turbine, the impeller repeatedly breaking four beams of infrared light for determination of the impeller velocity, with gas flow directly proportional to the speed of the impeller movement. Manufacturer guidelines report the transducer output to be linear over the range 0.1 to 12 l.s\(^{-1}\), with an accuracy of ±2%. The turbine was calibrated prior to each test using a high-precision 3-litre syringe (Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MO, USA) with an acceptable range of 2.99 – 3.01 l. Before each test the ambient conditions of temperature, barometric pressure and relative humidity were entered into the software so that all values were corrected to STPD, except \(\dot{V}_E\) (BTPS) which is conventionally described under these conditions. To prevent saliva impeding movement of the impeller a screen was situated in the turbine housing and a saliva trap was located in the mouthpiece set-up between the turbine and the mouthpiece. The gas sample line was positioned to sample respired gas from the mouthpiece set-up such that saliva would not be drawn along the sample line and hence blockages were prevented.

2.3.5.3 Algorithms

Since the response times of the turbine volume transducer and mass spectrometer are not equal and there is a lag between gas concentration and volume signals, it is crucial that the gas concentration and volume signals be phase-aligned, for subsequent calculation of gas exchange variables. The time delay between the volume and gas concentration signals was measured by passing a bolus of a known gas mixture through the system using a low dead-space solenoid valve (Beaver et al., 1973). The gas mixture used was of high CO\(_2\) concentration so that a large change in concentration was evident when the bolus of gas was passed through the system. The delay was then calculated from the chart recorder output of the raw analogue signals, as the time from the expulsion of the gas bolus from the solenoid to the mid-point of the spectrometer response (Lamanna & Whipp, 1995). This value was entered into the software, prior to each test, for subsequent online computation of breath-by-breath gas exchange variables.
The algorithms used (Beaver et al., 1973) are based on the same mass-balance principles as Douglas Bag collection analysis, but with the considerable advantage that serial sampling with high temporal resolution is possible. The process involves functionally dividing the continuous expired flow signal into consecutive sampling intervals, time-aligned to the simultaneous gas concentration signals. Initially, if the continuous flow signal is considered then the volume of expired gas \( V_R \) over a given period \( T \) is calculated according to the following equation:

\[
V_R = \int_{t=0}^{T} \dot{V}\exp(t)\,dt \quad \text{(Equation 2.4)}
\]

where \( \dot{V}\exp \) is the expired flow during an infinitesimally short time interval \( dt \). As mentioned above, since the sampling interval is uniform, \( dt \) is replaced by a constant \( \Delta t \) and the mean flow across the time interval \((t + \Delta t)\) replaces the instantaneous flow at \( t \):

\[
V_E = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \dot{V}\exp(t + \Delta t)\Delta t \quad \text{(Equation 2.5)}
\]

where \( \dot{V}\exp \) is the mean flow rate across the time interval \((t + \Delta t)\). Calculation of \( \dot{V}_R \) for each breath is then the sum of \( V_E \) across the expiration duration of that breath, divided by the expiration duration.

Breath-by-breath calculations of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and \( \dot{V}CO_2 \) are based on the same principle but combine the changes in flow signal with the phase-aligned changes in expired gas concentration. Therefore Equation 2.5 becomes Equations 2.7 and 2.8 for \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and \( \dot{V}CO_2 \) respectively, where the true \( O_2 \) difference \([(\Delta F_O_2)_{true}] \) is described by Equation 2.6 and the fraction of inspired \( CO_2 \) (\( F_{iCO_2} \)) is assumed to be negligible for air-breathing exercise:

\[
[(\Delta F_O_2)_{true}] = \frac{(F_{iO_2} - F_{E_02} - \dot{F}_{O_2} \cdot F_{iCO_2})}{(1 \cdot F_{iO_2})} \quad \text{(Equation 2.6)}
\]

\[
\dot{V}O_2 = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \dot{V}\exp(t + \Delta t)\Delta t.[(\Delta F_O_2)_{true}] \quad \text{(Equation 2.7)}
\]

\[
\dot{V}CO_2 = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \dot{V}\exp(t + \Delta t)\Delta t.F_{ECO_2} \quad \text{(Equation 2.8)}
\]

The transformation from \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and \( \dot{V}CO_2 \) to \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and \( \dot{V}CO_2 \) for each breath is then simply the sum of each variable across the expiration duration for each breath, divided by the expiration duration.
2.3.3.4 Data analysis

The breath-by-breath gas exchange analysis of the response to exercise is typically associated with "noise", which has been extensively characterised (Lamarra et al., 1987; Rossiter et al., 2000; Puente-Maestu et al., 2001). They demonstrated the noise as an uncorrelated Gaussian distribution and that this confounding noise can prevent accurate characterisation of the underlying physiological response if not considered. The averaging of multiple tests reduces the impact of this noise, the number of transitions required dependent on the standard deviation of the noise.

In all experiments, the breathing pattern was monitored carefully for irregularities such as mis-triggered breaths which can be caused by swallowing, sighing or coughing. Such breaths, which are clearly not indicative of the underlying physiological response, were identified by examining the chart tracing of the volume and gas concentration raw signals as well as the on-line individual breath characteristics, such as tidal volume, the duration of inspiration and expiration, and end-tidal gas concentrations. Individual breaths were compared with preceding and following breaths to determine whether the breath generated by the software was indeed a "real physiological" breath. Breaths which were clearly not part of the underlying physiological response were excluded from subsequent data analysis, although dubious breaths were not removed.

In tests where the response could be justifiably mathematically modelled, either linear (for incremental tests excluding an initial lag) or exponential (constant work rate tests as detailed below), the exclusion of such breaths was carried out by removing breaths which lie ± 4 standard deviations outside the mean response (Lamarra et al., 1987). Similarly, in tests where kinetic analysis of the dynamic response to exercise was carried out, multiple transitions were time-aligned and averaged in order to reduce the confounding effects of breath-by-breath noise.

In tests of an incremental nature, which were analysed for non-invasive estimation of the lactate threshold the responses were edited, as outlined above, and then a stationary average, typically 8 – 12 breaths, was applied so that the underlying response was evident. In contrast, when the response to constant work rate test is to be modelled, it is essential that there is an even distribution of points throughout the response, thereby preventing a bias in the fit to an area of greater density of data points, i.e. increased number of breaths. This was ensured by linear interpolation of the breath-by-breath data so that a data point is generated every 1 s throughout the response. The interpolated response was then averaged.
into 10 s bins so that there was an even distribution of points and a further improvement in signal-to-noise ratio.

2.3.5.5 Modelling gas-exchange kinetics

These averaged exponential responses were then modelled by iterative least squares non-linear regression techniques using commercially available analytical software (Origin, Microcal Software, Inc., USA). That is, the exponential which best-fit the data was determined by repeatedly varying the model's constituent parameters until the residual sum square of errors ($\chi^2$) could not be further reduced. As discussed in Chapter 1, the optimal approach to modelling the response to exercise is a subject of debate. The precise details of any models used are described in the short methods section at the beginning of each respective chapter. In summary, the initial 20s of data were excluded in order to prevent contamination of the Phase II response by the initial cardiodynamic phase (Whipp et al., 1982). This Phase I response was not modelled since it is unclear whether this phase is well characterised by an exponential and indeed the complexities of this initial increase in $\dot{V}O_2$ mean that the likelihood of a first-order response is small. For example, when cycling is performed from a baseline of rest, rather than “unloaded” pedalling, the Phase I response is clearly not exponential (Whipp et al., 1982).

For exercise of moderate intensity, the Phase II response was subsequently fit by a single exponential model according to Equation 2.9:

$$\dot{V}O_2(t) = \dot{V}O_2(20W) + \Delta \dot{V}O_2(\infty) \cdot [1 - e^{-t/\tau}]$$

(Equation 2.9)

where $\dot{V}O_2(20W)$ is the baseline $\dot{V}O_2$ when pedalling at 20W, calculated as the mean of the 60s preceding the exercise transition; $\Delta \dot{V}O_2(\infty)$ is the amplitude of the Phase II response; $\delta$ is the independent time delay; and $\tau$ is the Phase II time constant.

For transitions above $\dot{V}O_2$, the issue of how to model the slow component of the response arises. It is vital that the Phase II response be isolated, as inclusion of the slow component will result in a lengthening of the time constant and inaccurate determination of the steady state amplitude, and hence Gain, for Phase II. The triple exponential model proposed by Barstow et al. (1996) is advantageous in that the Phase II response is modelled separately from the slow component, however the justification in modelling the slow component, and Phase I as mentioned above, as an exponential is highly questionable. Given the complexities surrounding the disputed mechanisms of the $\dot{V}O_2(\text{sc})$ (Section 1.5.3), it is
unlikely there will exist a single rate-limiting step, making the assumption of exponentiality unrealistic. Therefore at present there is no consensus on how to justifiably characterise the temporal features of the slow component (Whipp et al., 2002b) and again this is perhaps not surprising, since this additional component can either attain steady-state if below CP or project almost linearly to $\dot{V}O_2$ for very heavy work rates.

In terms of the amplitude characteristics of the slow component, a recent paper by Bearden & Moffatt (2001b) highlighted that traditional computations using a standard time interval such as $\Delta \dot{V}O_2(6-3)\text{min}$ (e.g. Casaburi et al., 1987) underestimates the amplitude. This was explained since the emergence of this component typically occurs earlier than three minutes and often continues longer than six minutes, meaning that significant portions of the slow component were excluded from the calculation. They, and others (e.g. Bell et al., 2001b) have since proposed that the amplitude of the slow component be calculated as the difference in $\dot{V}O_2$ between the end of exercise and the time of onset of the slow component. Whilst the cardiodynamic phase has been shown to be excluded by eliminating the first 20s of data from the exponential fit (Whipp et al., 1982), the delayed onset of the slow component does not occur at the same time in different tests (e.g. Ozyener et al., 2001) making the isolation of Phase II considerably more complex in this intensity domain. The consensus in the literature is to use the same exponential model as in Equation 2.9 but variations exist as to what data range this model is applied.

The approach that was used in Chapter 4 has been detailed in Section 4.2.2, but in summary the model was initially applied from $t = 20s$ until $t = 80s$ after exercise onset ($t = 0$) and the window was then expanded by a single data point (10s) and the model re-applied (Rossiter et al., 2001). This process was repeated until there was a consistent difference between the actual measured response and the best-fit exponential model. Since this lengthening of the data window will cause an increase in the number of data points used in the response modelling, the typical goodness-of-fit approach (Lamarra et al., 1987) could not be applied to discern improvements, or decrements, in the appropriateness of the exponential fit. Rather two alternative indices were used (Rossiter et al., 2001): (a) visual inspection of the residual plot for flatness, indicative of a good fit, and (b) demonstration of a dramatic increase in the value of $\chi^2$ as the window is lengthened.

Having successfully identified the emergence of the slow component, the Phase II exponential was then re-applied from 20s until the last time-point before the slow
component onset, the slow component amplitude calculated as the difference between end-
exercise and this time-point.

2.4 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Details of the statistical analysis used are provided in each respective results chapter, since
each study was different in design.
Chapter 3  Oxygen uptake and muscle desaturation profiles during intermittent cycling in humans

3.1  INTRODUCTION

The $\dot{V}O_2$ response to sustained exercise can be assigned according to four intensity domains, demarcated by two important parameters, $\theta_F$ and $\theta_L$, as previously discussed in detail in Section 1.1.1. Interestingly, the different intensity domains also exhibit contrasting arterial blood lactate profiles (Figure 1.6), the [La] and $\dot{V}O_2$ responses being strongly related, although there is evidence against a cause-and-effect dependence, as discussed in Section 1.5.3.1. This is of interest since the blood lactate response to intermittent cycling has been demonstrated to be strongly dependent on the duration of the exercise and recovery periods, and so the question of how these intermittent protocols affect the breath-by-breath $\dot{V}O_2$ response is pertinent.

Exercise of an intermittent nature is characterised by short periods of exercise interspersed with short periods of recovery, and this form of exercise is prevalent in both everyday life and sport. The relative physiological demands of intermittent exercise are dependent on the ratio and durations of the exercise and recovery periods, the amplitude of the change in work rate and the average work rate (Saltin et al., 1976). In 1960, Irma Astrand and colleagues investigated the influence of exercise and recovery period duration on the physiological responses to intermittent cycling and running (Astrand et al., 1960a & 1960b; Christensen et al., 1960a & 1960b). The major finding of this work was a demonstration that the so-called “intensity” of a given amount of work could be controlled by varying the duration of the work and, to a lesser extent, recovery periods. The [La] profiles shown in Figure 3.1 highlight the increased physiological demand experienced when the exercise periods became longer than approximately 15s.
Figure 3.1 - Capillary blood lactate response to three different intermittent cycling tests of varying work-recovery duty cycle duration. This figure was adapted from Astrand et al. (1960b).

The lactate profile from these early tests is indeed remarkably similar to the profiles observed in response to sustained exercise, which have been traditionally used to designate the intensity of the exercise (Fig 1.6 -- detailed in Section 1.1.1). That is to say, when the work-recovery duty cycle was kept short (10s exercise:20s recovery) there was no significant lactate accumulation, excluding an initial transient increase, similar to sustained exercise of moderate intensity, i.e. below $\theta_L$ (Wasserman et al., 1967). However, when the duty cycle was increased to 30s work:60s recovery, despite the same amount of work being performed, there was a significant increase in [La], although a new steady state could be achieved, consistent with sustained exercise in the heavy intensity domain (Wasserman et al., 1967). Further lengthening of the duty cycle resulted in a continuous increase in [La] and the subject was unable to complete the 30-minute duration of the test, consistent with sustained exercise in the very heavy intensity domain (Wasserman et al., 1967). Whilst the intermittent protocols have been shown to elicit changes in [La] similar to sustained constant work rate exercise, it is presently unclear whether the $\dot{V}O_2$ responses to these protocols will reflect this.

In the early studies the investigators did not have the benefit of modern breath-by-breath gas analysis systems and so they were unable to describe the rapidly changing $\dot{V}O_2$ response, although they did suggest that $\dot{V}O_2$ would be increasing during the exercise periods and decreasing during the recovery periods (Christensen et al., 1960b). To further
knowledge of $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ control theories it would be beneficial to compare the $\dot{VO}_2$ response with $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$, although unfortunately the ability to directly monitor muscle oxygen consumption with high temporal resolution remains difficult (Section 1.4.4), especially so given the highly dynamic nature of intermittent cycling at high work rates. Whilst the technical difficulties preventing accurate portrayal of the changes in leg muscle blood flow and perfusion during cycling prove insurmountable at present, the technique of near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) provides a novel approach for investigating the patterns of intramuscular oxygenation during intermittent cycling. Indeed, under conditions of arterial occlusion, NIRS can be used to estimate muscle oxygen consumption (e.g. Chance et al., 1992; Ferrari et al., 1997) and these calculations have been confirmed with estimations of $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ using 31-phosphorous nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (Sako et al., 2001).

Near-infrared spectroscopy examines the changes in combined relative concentrations of haemoglobin and myoglobin in their oxygenated and deoxygenated states, although the contribution of myoglobin has been demonstrated to be comparatively small (Mancini et al., 1994) and so is conventionally assumed insignificant. However, one recent study has suggested that data obtained using NIRS techniques may closely reflect changes in the oxygenation status of myoglobin (Tran et al., 1999). NIRS has traditionally been used for monitoring the levels of oxygenation in the brain of the neo-natal (e.g. Wyatt et al., 1989), however it has now been applied extensively during sustained exercise (e.g. Chance et al., 1992; Belardinelli et al., 1995a; Bhambhani et al., 1999; McCully & Hamaoka, 2000; Voliantitis et al., 2003; Grassi et al., 2003).

This study therefore provides an opportunity to characterise, with high temporal resolution, the dynamic profiles of the $\dot{VO}_2$ response in conjunction with changes in intramuscular oxygenation during intermittent cycling with varying work-recovery protocols. It is predicted that there will be increases in $\dot{VO}_2$ and desaturation of oxygenated haemoglobin, reflected by an increased concentration of deoxygenated haemoglobin ($\Delta[Hb]$) (Ferrari et al., 1997; Kowalchuk et al., 2002), during the exercise periods and subsequent decreases in $\dot{VO}_2$ and $\Delta[Hb]$ during the recovery periods. Based on the established relationship between $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics and [La] for constant work rate exercise (e.g. Poole et al., 1988; Ozzyener et al., 2001 – Section 1.1.1), it is hypothesised that the average $\dot{VO}_2$ response to intermittent exercise will follow the pattern of [La] and hence be dependent on work-recovery duty cycle duration.
3.2 METHODS

In addition to the overall methods chapter (Chapter 2), the following section details the exact protocol used, and any techniques exclusive to this study.

3.2.1 Subjects and Procedures

Six recreationally active non-smoking adult males (Table 3.1) volunteered to take part in the study, having provided written informed consent approved by the Local Ethics Committee (University of Glasgow - Appendix).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>$\dot{V}O_2$ (L.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\dot{V}O_2$ (ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\dot{V}O_2$ at $\dot{V}l$ (L.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\dot{V}O_2$ at $\dot{V}l$ (ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\mu$WR (Watts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 25.2 180 77.4 3.71 48.1 2.05 26.2 315

Table 3.1 - Subject characteristics. Peak $\dot{V}O_2$ ($\mu\dot{V}O_2$), the estimated lactate threshold ($\dot{V}l$) and peak work rate ($\mu$WR) determined from an incremental test (see text for details).

All exercise testing was performed on the cycle ergometer described previously with all tests separated by a minimum period of 48-hours. Following familiarisation, subjects performed an incremental ramp test, as detailed in Section 2.2.1, to the limit of tolerance ($t_{lim}$) for determination of $\mu\dot{V}O_2$, maximum work rate attained ($\mu$WR), and non-invasive estimation of the lactate threshold ($\dot{V}l$). $\mu\dot{V}O_2$ was established as the mean value over the last 20s of the test. The subjects subsequently underwent four intermittent tests (Figure 3.2) of varying work-recovery duty cycle duration (10s:20s, 30s:60s, 60s:120s and 90s:180s) in a randomised order and on different days. Following several minutes of pedalling at 20W, short periods of exercise were instituted at 120%$\mu$WR interspersed with short periods of recovery at 20W. In all tests the total amount of work performed was kept constant by maintaining a consistent work:recovery ratio of 1:2, with a target test duration of 30-minutes or until $t_{lim}$. 
Breath-by-breath gas exchange and heart rate were monitored continuously during all tests, according to the specifications detailed in Section 2.3. Capillary blood samples of arterialised mixed-venous blood were taken and analysed for lactate concentration as detailed in Section 2.3.4. During the intermittent tests the capillary samples were taken during rest, while pedalling at 20W and at pre-determined time intervals that were standardised across the different protocols at approximately every 3 minutes, ensuring that samples were taken both during the high-intensity bouts and subsequent recovery periods.

3.2.2 Near-InfraRed Spectroscopy (NIRS)

Intramuscular oxygenation status of the lateral quadriceps femoris was monitored non-invasively using transcutaneous near-infrared spectroscopy. The theory of NIRS and details of the instrument used (NIRO 500, Hamamatsu Photonics KK, Japan) have been described in detail (Elwell, 1995). This system incorporates four laser diode light-sources with wavelengths spanning the range 775 – 905 nm, transmitting light in a pulsatile fashion from an optode placed over the belly of the muscle to be interrogated. A second receiving optode, spaced exactly 4 cm away along the long axis of the muscle as shown in Figure 3.3(a), then returns the light to a photon detector in the spectrometer. From the difference in intensity of the transmitted and incident light signals, calculations of the changes in concentration of deoxygenated haemoglobin (Δ[Hb]), oxygenated haemoglobin (Δ[HbO₂]) and total haemoglobin (Δ[HbT] = Δ[Hb] + Δ[HbO₂]) were made every 0.5 s according to
the modified Beer-Lambert Law using a differential path factor of 3.83 (Kowalchuk et al., 2002). In order to prevent contamination of the light signal by extraneous light, and to ensure no signal escapes, the optodes were encased in a solid plastic holder, with the optodes positioned on the vastus lateralis muscle at mid-thigh level. The holder was taped securely in place and then covered by an optically dense nylon sleeve and finally an elastic bandage to minimise movement of the optodes, as shown in Figure 3.3(b).

Figure 3.3 - Photographs illustrating (a) the NIRS optodes situated in the optode holder, and (b) a subject cycling with the optodes secured in place.

Changes in the Δ[Hb] signal were assumed to represent oxygen extraction within the muscle since this has been shown to be essentially blood-volume insensitive, in comparison to the Δ[HbO2] signal which depends significantly on O2-delivery into the field of interrogation (Ferrari et al., 1997; McCully & Hamaoka, 2000). It might be expected that the Δ[Hb] and Δ[HbO2] signals are the reciprocal of one another during exercise and this holds true for exercise in which blood flow is kept constant. However, during dynamic exercise when arterial O2 delivery and muscle perfusion are not constrained, the anticipated decrease in the Δ[HbO2] signal as O2 is extracted is offset by an increase in Δ[HbO2] signal caused by increased HbO2 delivery into the field of interrogation due to increased muscle blood flow and perfusion. The Δ[HbT] profile is sometimes used to characterise changes in muscle blood flow and perfusion, since this incorporates the total concentration of haemoglobin within the field of interrogation. The assumptions around which this theory is based are comprehensive, however. For example, while Δ[HbT] provides an index of changes in flow and perfusion, it will also be sensitive to changes in
plasma osmolarity, especially if these involve any appreciable transcapillary flux of water that then enters or leaves the field of interrogation. High concentrations of metabolites (such as lactate, $\Pi^+$, $K^+$, $Pi$) within the muscles during high work rates are likely to influence local osmolarity and thence local haemoconcentration (Convertino et al., 1981).

Since absolute concentration values cannot be calculated for the haemoglobin changes, using simple D.C. spectrometers, and the identical position of the optodes cannot be entirely guaranteed between tests (although this was carefully standardised), it is important to normalise the temporal profiles from different tests. This was achieved by expressing the changes in concentration as a percentage of the maximum change observed either during the sustained maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) of the quadriceps while seated in a chair prior to each test, or during the test if maximal. The appropriateness of this manoeuvre was verified by demonstrating no significant difference between the level of desaturation ($\Delta[\text{Hb}]$) invoked by an MVC and the level of desaturation observed during all tests in which exhaustion, and presumably maximal oxygen extraction, was reached (Figure 3.4).

![Figure 3.4](image)

Figure 3.4 - Comparison of the change in concentration of deoxygenated haemoglobin ($\Delta[\text{Hb}]$) induced by performance of a maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) of the quadriceps with the degree of desaturation induced in the same maximal tests (“Exhaustion”). Closed squares and solid line indicate the mean (± S.D.). Open circles and dashed line are the individual results. There was no significant difference ($P>0.05$) thus verifying the process of standardising the $\Delta[\text{Hb}]$ results as a percentage of the MVC change.
The assumption of complete O\textsubscript{2} extraction being induced by maximal exercise is supported by Chance \textit{et al.} (1992), who demonstrated no further intramuscular desaturation at the point of exhaustion when ischaemia was induced by an inflatable cuff.

3.2.3 Data Analysis

The "noise" typically associated with breath-by-breath gas analysis, due to inherent breathing irregularities and mis-triggering of breaths has been shown to be an uncorrelated Gaussian distribution (Lamarra \textit{et al.}, 1987; Rossiter \textit{et al.}, 2000). It is common practise to exclude breaths which occur greater than 3 or 4 standard deviations outside the mean response, in a bid to reduce the contaminating influence of this noise. However, the dynamic nature of the intermittent protocols in the present study precluded using any such strategy since the mean response could not be modelled. Instead abnormal breaths were identified according to the breathing pattern, indicated by tidal volume, the duration of inspiration and expiration, and end-tidal gas concentrations as described in more detail in Section 2.3.5.4. These breaths were compared with preceding and following breaths, and also with breaths which occurred at a similar time-point in subsequent work-recovery duty cycles. Based on these criteria, breaths clearly not indicative of the system response were excluded, although dubious breaths were not removed.

Only in the shortest duty-cycle duration test (10s:20s) did noise prevent identification of a clear oscillation of $\dot{V}O_2$ in synchrony with the changes in work rate, the mean $\dot{V}O_2$ value over the 30-minute duration for this test being calculated. In all other intermittent tests the 2-breath averaged $\dot{V}O_2$ responses during each duty cycle, excluding an early kinetic phase, were isolated, time-aligned and graphically overlaid. From these overlaid plots a visual best-fit line was constructed through the band of data-points at the end of each exercise and recovery period respectively, as shown in Figure 3.5, and the amplitude of the oscillations was then calculated as the difference between the end-exercise and end-recovery data.
Figure 3.5 - Typical plot of consecutive work-recovery duty cycles visually overlaid, illustrating the data analysis procedures used to characterise the oscillations observed during the intermittent tests for $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\Delta[Hb]$. The dashed lines border the end-exercise and end-recovery values, the solid line is constructed as a best-fit line through these data providing values for end-exercise and end-recovery, and from these the amplitude ($\Delta$) of the oscillation is calculated as shown.

The temporal $\Delta[Hb]$ profiles for all intermittent tests were analysed using the same approach, graphically overlaying isolated consecutive work-recovery duty cycles for identification of end-exercise values at the end of each high-intensity bout, end-recovery values and the amplitude of the oscillations {end-exercise} - {end-recovery}. Due to the large number of data points, the values were averaged for every 2 seconds and expressed as a percentage of maximum, as described above. The arterialised blood lactate responses were described in absolute terms for visual inspection of the temporal response in each test, but the accumulation of lactate ($\Delta[La]$) was calculated as the difference between the baseline of pedalling at 20 W and the peak value achieved either during the test or recovery.

### 3.2.4 Statistical Analysis

In order to examine whether there was an effect of work-recovery duty cycle duration on $\Delta[La]$ and the amplitude of the $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\Delta[Hb]$ oscillations, the values obtained for the four intermittent tests were compared using a repeated measures One-Way Analysis of
Variance (ANOVA). Post hoc analysis (Student's paired t-tests) was conducted when ANOVA revealed a significant difference, with significance accepted when \( P < 0.05 \).

In order to further investigate the influence of exercise intensity on the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response, the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) values were compared with the important aerobic parameters \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and \( \mu \dot{V}O_2 \). Therefore the mean \( \dot{V}O_2 \) value during the 10s:20s test was compared (paired t-test) with \( \dot{V}O_2 \) at \( \dot{V}L \), and the end-exercise best-fit values obtained from the overlaid plots were compared with \( \mu \dot{V}O_2 \) during the exhaustive, or near-exhaustive tests, i.e. 60s:120s and 90s:180s. Due to two subjects being unable to complete a single bout in the 90s:180s test the statistical comparisons with this test had a reduced no. (n=4).

To further examine whether there was an indiscernible oscillating \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response in the 10s:20s test, the amplitude of the breath-by-breath noise in the test was described as the Standard Deviation about the mean during the final 3-minutes of the test. This was compared (paired t-test) with the Standard Deviation of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) steady state response during the final 3-minutes of a sub-\( \dot{V}L \) constant work rate test previously performed by the same subjects. Since the absolute amplitude of the noise has been shown to be unrelated to work rate (Lamarra et al., 1987; Rossiter et al., 2000) an increased noise amplitude in the 10s:20s intermittent test would be suggestive of an additional fluctuation related to the work rate profile.
3.3 RESULTS

3.3.1 Arterialised [Lactate]

The typical profile of [La] as a function of the work-recovery duty cycle duration is presented in Figure 3.6 for a representative subject. All subjects showed similar patterns of response, with: little accumulation of La during the 10s:20s test; an initial rise but then no further increase in the 30s:60s test; and continuously rising [La] in the 60s:120s and 90s:180s tests until exhaustion or the end of the test.

![Figure 3.6 - Capillary blood lactate ([La]) response to the four different intermittent cycling tests in a representative subject (subject 1). Open circles represent the 10s:20s test, solid circles represent the 30s:60s test, open squares represent the 60s:120s test and solid squares represent the 90s:180s test which the subject was unable to complete. Lines are constructed to approximate the response.](image)

Table 3.2 summarises the individual Δ[La] values with the 30s:60s, 60s:120s and 90s:180s tests all resulting in significantly greater increases in [La] than the 10s:20s tests. Furthermore, the 60s:120s test and 90s:180s test resulted in significantly greater increases in [La] than the 30s:60s test, with no significant difference between the 60s:120s and 90s:180s tests. All subjects consequently completed the entire 30-minute duration of the 10s:20s and 30s:60s tests. In the 60s:120s test four of the six subjects completed the test despite very high lactate concentrations, with the mean \( t_{lim} \) value for this test being 28.3 (± 2.6) min. No subject could complete the 90s:180s test, with two subjects unable to
complete a single work-recovery duty cycle. The mean \( t_{\text{kn}} \) for the remaining four subjects was 9.1 (± 4.2) min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>10s:20s</th>
<th>30s:60s</th>
<th>60s:120s</th>
<th>90s:180s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>10.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.9*</td>
<td>9.1*</td>
<td>9.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± S.D.</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2 - Change in lactate concentration (\( \Delta[\text{La}] \)) during the four intermittent tests, calculated as the increase in [La] (mM) from unloaded (20W) pedalling to the highest value achieved during the test or recovery. *significantly different from 10s:20s test, §significantly different from 30s:60s test.

3.3.2 \( \dot{V}O_2, \Delta[Hb] \) and HR responses during the 10s work:20s recovery test

The \( \dot{V}O_2, \Delta[Hb] \) and heart rate responses over the 30-minute duration of the 10s:20s test were similar in all subjects to those shown in Figure 3.7(a) for a representative subject. During the first duty cycle \( \dot{V}O_2, \Delta[Hb] \) and heart rate all increased and never recovered to baseline values until after completion of the test. The band of data points rises initially and then remains at the same level for the duration of the test, suggesting that the average \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response becomes stable. The \( \Delta[Hb] \) response follows a similar pattern whilst heart rate increases slowly until the test mid-point after which it also remains fairly stable, although there are clear oscillations in synchrony with the changes in work rate. Following an initial kinetic phase, when the average \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and \( \Delta[Hb] \) were rising, consecutive duty-cycles were isolated, time-aligned and graphically overlaid as can be seen in Figure 3.7(b). There is no discernible oscillating pattern for \( \dot{V}O_2 \) during the duty cycle whereas there is a clear rise in \( \Delta[Hb] \) during each work bout and a subsequent decline again during each recovery period. The mean amplitude of these oscillations in \( \Delta[Hb] \) was 36.63 (± 16.65) %, as shown in Table 3.3.
Figure 3.7 - $\dot{V}O_2$, $\Delta[Hb]$ and HR responses during the 10s work:20s recovery intermittent test in a representative subject (no.1). Panel (a) shows the response over the 30-minute duration of the test. Panel (b) shows consecutive work-recovery duty cycles overlaid, following an initial kinetic phase. On the y-axes: $\bigcirc$ = maximum value in incremental test; $\bullet$ = value at 30 s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>10s:20s</th>
<th>30s:60s</th>
<th>60s:120s</th>
<th>90s:180s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>66.52</td>
<td>92.35</td>
<td>102.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>46.04</td>
<td>64.70</td>
<td>83.74</td>
<td>76.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65.14</td>
<td>94.07</td>
<td>117.81</td>
<td>121.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>31.53</td>
<td>61.15</td>
<td>81.75</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.78</td>
<td>56.21</td>
<td>61.37</td>
<td>64.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>27.38</td>
<td>59.87</td>
<td>61.37</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>36.63</td>
<td>67.09*</td>
<td>83.07**</td>
<td>90.96*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± S.D.</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>25.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3 - Amplitudes of the oscillations observed for the change in concentration of deoxygenated haemoglobin ($\Delta[Hb]$) during the four intermittent tests, determined from the overlaid plots of consecutive duty cycles following an initial kinetic phase (see text for details). Values are expressed as a percentage of the maximum change induced by a maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) of the muscle. *significantly different from 10s:20s test. **significantly different from 30s:60s test.

The mean $\dot{V}O_2$ during the 10s:20s test was calculated for all subjects (Table 3.4(a)) since there was no evidence of an oscillation, and this value was found to be not significantly
different from $\dot{V}O_2$ at $\dot{0}$ (2.17 $\pm$ 0.27 l.min$^{-1}$ vs. 2.05 $\pm$ 0.55 l.min$^{-1}$), consistent with low blood lactate concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>10s:20s</th>
<th>30s:60s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>End-ex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 2.17$^g$ 2.89 1.52 1.37
± S.D: 0.27 0.41 0.29 0.28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>60s:120s</th>
<th>90s:180s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 3.65$^g$ 1.26 2.38$^*$ 3.78 1.15 2.63$^g$
± S.D: 0.50 0.25 0.35 0.47 0.19 0.35

Table 3.4(a) & (b) - $\dot{V}O_2$ responses (l.min$^{-1}$) to the four intermittent tests, where the mean was calculated for the 10s:20s test due to lack of discernible oscillation and for the other tests the amplitude of the oscillations was calculated as the difference between the end of each exercise (end-ex.) and recovery (end-rec.) periods. Values determined from the overlaid plots of consecutive duty cycles following an initial kinetic phase (see text for details). $^*$ significantly different from $\dot{V}O_2$ amplitude in 30s:60s test. $^g$ not significantly different from $\dot{V}O_2$ at $\dot{0}$. $^*$ not significantly different from $\mu \dot{V}O_2$.

3.3.3 $\dot{V}O_2$, $\Delta[Hb]$ and HR responses during the 30s work:60s recovery test

All subjects again exhibited similar responses in the 30s:60s test to those shown in Figure 3.8(a) for the representative subject. Clear patterns of oscillation in synchrony with the intermittent protocol can be seen, with the average responses exhibiting the same relationship as in the 10s:20s test, although the end-exercise and end-recovery heart rate values continue to rise for longer. Overlaid plots of consecutive duty cycles in Figure 3.8(b) confirm the recurring pattern of response with $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\Delta[Hb]$ increasing and decreasing in concert with the changes in work rate, with an initial plateau in $\dot{V}O_2$ at the
onset of the recovery period providing evidence of a short delay phase for \( \dot{V}O_2 \). The mean amplitudes of the oscillations in \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (Table 3.4(a)) and \( \Delta[Hb] \) (Table 3.3) were 1.37 (± 0.28) l.min\(^{-1}\) and 67.09 (± 13.71) % respectively, the \( \Delta[Hb] \) amplitude being significantly greater than in the 10s:20s test.

![Graph showing \( \dot{V}O_2 \), \( \Delta[Hb] \), and HR responses during the 30s work:60s recovery intermittent test in a representative subject (no.1). Panel (a) shows the response over the 30-minute duration of the test. Panel (b) shows consecutive work-recovery duty cycles overlaid, following an initial kinetic phase. On y-axes: • = maximum value in incremental test; ★ = value at \( \dot{b} \).]

3.3.4 \( \dot{V}O_2, \Delta[Hb] \) and HR responses during the 60s work:120s recovery test

Whilst two subjects were unable to complete this test, the general patterns of response were similar for all subjects to those shown in Figure 3.9(a) for the representative subject. The oscillating pattern for all the variables is evident, and again \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and \( \Delta[Hb] \) are similar with an initial kinetic phase and then the end-exercise and end-recovery values, and hence the average response, being stable during the latter half of the test. The end-exercise and end-recovery values for heart rate in this case continued to increase until the end of the test or \( t_{\text{lim}} \) was reached. From overlaid plots of consecutive cycles (Figure 3.9(b)), following the initial kinetic phase, the work and recovery phases of the response have mean amplitudes of the oscillations in \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (Table 3.4(b)) and \( \Delta[Hb] \) (Table 3.3) of 2.38 (± 0.35) l.min\(^{-1}\) and 83.07 (± 21.15) % respectively, both being significantly greater than in the
30s:60s test and also the 10s:20s test for \( \Delta[Hb] \). In line with the high \([La]\) values, near maximal heart rates and some subjects reaching \( t_{im} \), the values for end-exercise \( \dot{VO}_2 \) were found to be not significantly different from \( \mu \dot{VO}_2 \).

Figure 3.9 - \( \dot{VO}_2 \), \( \Delta[Hb] \) and HR responses during the 60s work:120s recovery intermittent test in a representative subject (no.1). Panel (a) shows the response over the 30-minute duration of the test. Panel (b) shows consecutive work-recovery duty cycles overlaid, following an initial kinetic phase. On y-axes: ° = maximum value in incremental test; • = value at \( \hat{t} \).

### 3.3.5 \( \dot{VO}_2 \), \( \Delta[Hb] \) and HR responses during the 90s work:180s recovery test

The high physiological demands experienced by subjects in this test meant that no subjects could complete the protocol and indeed two subjects did not complete the first work-recovery duty cycle. The remainder of the subjects demonstrated similar responses to those shown in Figure 3.10(a) for the representative subject. Despite so few duty cycles for comparison, the pattern appears to be the same as in the other intermittent tests with expected oscillations in synchrony with changes in work rate. Figure 3.10(b) describes the \( \dot{VO}_2 \) and \( \Delta[Hb] \) profiles during the second duty-cycle in the same subject. The mean amplitudes of the oscillations in \( \dot{VO}_2 \) (Table 3.4(b)) and \( \Delta[Hb] \) (Table 3.3) were 2.63 (± 0.35) l.min\(^{-1}\) and 90.96 (± 25.8) % respectively. Similar to the 60s:120s test, the \( \dot{VO}_2 \) amplitude was found to be significantly greater than in the 30s:60s test although the \( \Delta[Hb] \) amplitude was only significantly greater than in the 10s:20s test.
Figure 3.10 - $\dot{V}O_2$, Δ[Hb] and HR responses during the 90s work:180s recovery intermittent test in a representative subject (no.1). Panel (a) shows the response over the 10-minute duration of the test until exhaustion was reached. Panel (b) shows the second work-recovery duty cycle since the first duty cycle is part of the initial kinetic phase and no other duty cycles were completed. On y-axes: $\circ$ = maximum value in incremental test; $\bullet$ = value at $\theta_t$. 

"Figure 3.10 - $\dot{V}O_2$, Δ[Hb] and HR responses during the 90s work:180s recovery intermittent test in a representative subject (no.1). Panel (a) shows the response over the 10-minute duration of the test until exhaustion was reached. Panel (b) shows the second work-recovery duty cycle since the first duty cycle is part of the initial kinetic phase and no other duty cycles were completed. On y-axes: $\circ$ = maximum value in incremental test; $\bullet$ = value at $\theta_t$."
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3.4 DISCUSSION

This study has demonstrated the dependence of physiological responses to intermittent exercise on the work-recovery duty cycle characteristics in support of previous work (Astrand et al., 1960b; Saltin et al., 1976), emphasising that the effective exercise intensity is not dictated solely by the work rate applied, but also the manner of its imposition. Further insight has been gained into the temporal profiles of $\bar{V}O_2$ and intramuscular oxygenation status during intermittent cycling at high work rates. The anticipated time-aligned association between $\bar{V}O_2$ and haemoglobin desaturation in synchrony with the changes in work rate was observed repeatedly, the amplitudes of the oscillations dependent on the length of the work-recovery duty cycle. Interestingly the close association between $\bar{V}O_2$ kinetics and [La], that has been used to classify the intensity domains of constant work rate cycling (e.g. Poole et al., 1988; Ozyener et al., 2001) does not appear to hold true for the average $\bar{V}O_2$ response during intermittent cycling of varying work-recovery duty cycle duration. Indeed there was no emergence of any slow component effect despite continually increasing [La] in the tests of longest duty cycle duration.

3.4.1 Arterialised [lactate] response

The dependence of the lactate response on work-recovery duty cycle duration, illustrated in Figure 3.6 and Table 3.2, is in full support of previous work on intermittent exercise (for review see Saltin et al., 1976), Figure 3.6 being almost identical to the lactate profile (Figure 3.1) of the single subject reported by Astrand et al. (1960b). The authors suggested that, at high work rates with short work and recovery periods, there are sufficient $O_2$ stores, predominantly bound to myoglobin in the muscle, in combination with increased $\bar{V}O_2$, for oxidative phosphorylation to meet the energy demands of the work. They suggested that with lengthening of the work-recovery duty cycle, the $O_2$ stores and increase in $\bar{V}O_2$ are insufficient to meet the energy demand, resulting in a shortfall in energy and so increased reliance on anaerobic glycolysis to supplement aerobic metabolism with concomitant increases in [La]. This hypothesis needs only slight modification based on improved understanding of the bioenergetics of intermittent exercise. It is likely that the emphasis placed on the role of myoglobin was too great in these early studies and that some other factor(s) may be involved. For example, Margaria et al. (1969) proposed that phosphocreatine (PCr) stored in the muscle might be an additional factor. According to the creatine-shuttle hypothesis (Bessman & Geiger, 1981; Mahler, 1985; Meyer, 1988), the role of PCr as an energy buffer is now well established, the importance during muscular...
exercise highlighted by Hultman et al. (1967) and more specifically during intermittent cycling by Trump et al. (1996).

In the 10s:20s test, with little accumulation of blood lactate, the brevity of the exercise bouts means that $O_2$ stores, PCR degradation and increased $\dot{V}O_2$ are sufficient for aerobic energy production, in conjunction with some transient anaerobic glycolysis, to meet the energy demand of the work. During the recovery periods between the exercise bouts there is enough time for adequate PCR resynthesis (Harris et al., 1976) and $O_2$ store replenishment. It is important to appreciate that the low $[La]$ does not necessarily reflect absence of muscle lactate production, since the arterialised mixed-venous $[La]$ will reflect the balance of muscle lactate production and clearance by other organs (e.g. Lindinger et al., 1995; Brooks, 2000; Gladden, 2000). Therefore, in this test the initial transient lactate production does not translate as a sustained increase in $[La]$, as it is presumably utilised by the active muscles and other organs during the subsequent exercise and recovery periods.

The increased duty cycle duration in the 30s:60s test has resulted in an initial rise in $[La]$ of approximately 5mM, before a balance in production and clearance is achieved, resulting in no further increases. In the longest duty cycle tests (60s:120s and 90s:180s) a continuously rising $[La]$ presumably reflects a large increase in glycolytic activation to meet the shortfall in aerobic and non-glycolytic energy production during the work periods, with lactate production exceeding clearance.

3.4.2 Intramuscular oxygenation

In all intermittent tests it was evident that there was a process of increasing and decreasing $\Delta[Hb]$ during each work and recovery period respectively, with the oscillations becoming constant in all tests, following an initial kinetic phase. Even during the 10s:20s tests this recurring pattern was clear (Figure 3.7(b)), despite the shortness of the work periods. There was no evidence of a delay in the desaturation process with immediate changes in $\Delta[Hb]$ as a result of the changes in work rate. Not surprisingly when the work duration was increased from 10s to 30s and also 30s to 60s there was a significant widening of the oscillations in $\Delta[Hb]$, reflecting increased time for $O_2$ extraction within the muscle. The finding of no further increase in amplitude when the duration was increased to 90s can be explained by appreciating that both the 60s:120s and 90s:180s tests were maximal or near-maximal. It might therefore be expected for maximal $O_2$ extraction to be occurring during the work periods in both tests, in line with the findings of Chance et al. (1992) showing no
further desaturation within the muscle at near-exhaustion when an inflatable cuff was applied to induce ischaemia. That there was no significant difference between the Δ[Hb] amplitude in the 90s:180s test and 30s:60s is surprising, but on closer inspection it is plausible that the reduced n no. for this test may have resulted in a Type II statistical error.

The adaptability of NIRS for use during intermittent cycling at high work rates has been demonstrated here and also by Christmass et al. (1999a & 1999b), however interpretation of the data generated must be carried out with caution. In the past, many studies (e.g. Belardinelli et al., 1995b; Bhammadani et al., 1999) have described the changes in oxygen extraction as a decreasing concentration of oxygenated haemoglobin (Δ[HbO2]) or the difference between [Hb] and [HbO2]. As mentioned previously, Ferrari et al. (1997) demonstrated the dependence of [IbO2] on O2-delivery into the field of interrogation and since this could not be measured directly, or controlled, the use of Δ[Hb] to approximate muscle O2 extraction seems justified (Kowalchuk et al., 2002; Grassi et al., 2003). However, the potential for a significant proportion of the signal to be reflecting changes in myoglobin status cannot be refuted (Tran et al., 1999).

It is generally agreed that the increase in O2 extraction during exercise is a reflection of decreased muscle venous O2 content (CvO2) since arterial O2 content (CaO2) does not increase and in fact has been shown to decrease in a minority of athletes with maximal exercise (e.g. Rowell et al., 1964; Dempsey et al., 1984; Powers & Williams, 1987; Pedersen et al., 1996; Harms et al., 2000). The use of NIRS to examine changes in O2 extraction has been disputed by comparing exercise-induced changes in intramuscular oxygenation with femoral venous O2 saturation (MacDonald et al., 1999; Costes et al., 1996). The authors concluded that significant differences between intramuscular and femoral venous O2-saturation render NIRS use during exercise unsatisfactory. Based on demonstrations of heterogeneity of muscle oxygen consumption during exercise (Quaresima et al., 2001; Richardson et al., 2001a – Section 6.3.3), it is perhaps unsurprising that there is a mismatch in O2 levels between whole-leg venous effluent and one small region of interrogation within one muscle group. The interpretation of NIRS data is therefore difficult and approximations are heavily assumption-laden, yet recent studies (McCully & Hamaoka, 2000; Sako et al., 2001; Van Beekvelt et al., 2001) have concluded that NIRS is a valid and useful tool reflecting systemic O2 consumption. However, using the instrumentation and protocols of the present study, where muscle blood flow would be expected to fluctuate considerably, such conclusions cannot be reached and so qualitative approximations of O2 extraction within the muscle are presented.
When considering the components of the Fick equation for oxygen consumption at the muscle level, it is of interest to consider the profiles of $\Delta[HbT]$ during the intermittent tests. As discussed above, whilst the $\Delta[HbT]$ signal may not be accurately reflecting changes in muscle blood flow, it will provide qualitative approximations of changes in local haemoglobin volume, portraying a weighted-average of the changes in flow, perfusion and haemoconcentration. Figure 3.11 illustrates the temporal $\Delta[HbT]$ profile during the four intermittent tests and it is interesting to compare the relationship between these graphs and the respective plots for $\Delta[Hb]$.

![Figure 3.11 - $\Delta[HbT]$ responses during the entire test durations of the four different intermittent cycling tests in a representative subject (no.1): Panel (a) 10s work:20s recovery; Panel (b) 30s work:60s recovery; Panel (c) 60s work:120s recovery; Panel (d) 90s work:180s recovery. Vertical lines represent the start and end of all tests respectively.](image)

From the plots it is evident that the average total haemoglobin volume is increasing throughout the tests, as might be anticipated on the assumption of increased muscle blood flow. It is important to note that the units in these tests are not absolute concentration changes and therefore the values cannot be compared between tests, the shape of the profile providing qualitative information. The data in these tests could not be normalised in the same way as $\Delta[Hb]$, since 0% and 100% changes will not be induced by performance
of an MVC. Interestingly, the whole test profiles are suggesting a consistent oscillation as the work rate is changing throughout each duty cycle, although the exact pattern is unclear in the shorter duty cycle tests. Therefore Figure 3.12 illustrates the changes in Δ[Hb] and Δ[HbT] during two consecutive duty cycles, with the changes in work rate shown.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 3.12 - Overlaid Δ[Hb] and Δ[HbT] responses during two consecutive duty cycles for a representative subject during the 60s:120s test. Open and closed circles represent Δ[Hb] and Δ[HbT] respectively. The units of μM are arbitrary since the absolute concentrations cannot be determined and the Δ[HbT] signal cannot be normalised for comparison between tests (see text for details). Vertical hashed lines demarcate the work and recovery periods characteristic of intermittent exercise.**

Whilst this diagram is only showing a section of data from one test, the pattern was the same in all other tests (even the shortest duty cycle 10s:20s test). During the exercise periods at the high work rates there is a decrease in Δ[HbT] from a level which is elevated above the 20W baseline value as this is mid-way through the test (Figure 3.11(c)). It is reasonable to predict that this process is reflective of a constriction in blood supply and muscle perfusion during each of the exercise periods as the force generated to turn the pedals will be so great that the muscle contraction will cause a mechanical temporary local arterial constriction. Then during the recovery periods there is the anticipated reactive hyperaemia response with Δ[HbT] rising again. These data, whilst not conclusive for the reasons outlined above, are in line with the observed rising and falling Δ[Hb] profile which is assumed to reflect O₂ extraction within the muscle. This explains why there is an evident increase in Δ[Hb] even in the shortest 10s:20s test, since O₂ must still be extracted, since it is speculated that there is no increase in O₂ supply, so that in addition to PCr and O₂ store
degradation the increased muscle O\textsubscript{2} consumption, translated as the observed increased $\dot{V}O_2$, can meet the energy demand of the work.

### 3.4.3 Pulmonary O\textsubscript{2}-uptake during intermittent exercise

Several studies have reported oscillations in $\dot{V}O_2$ in accordance with the changes in work rate during intermittent exercise (Christensen et al., 1960b; Billat et al., 2000; Vuorimaa et al., 2000) and sinusoidal exercise (e.g. Casaburi et al., 1977). In agreement with the results presented here, Christensen et al. (1960b) suggested that when the work and recovery periods were kept short (< 10s) then oscillations were not discernible, although their use of Douglas bags over such short durations is prone to error. However, when the duty cycle duration was increased an oscillation was evident and Paterson (1979) predicted that, based on $\dot{V}O_2$-heart rate relationships, the amplitude of these oscillations would increase with longer work-recovery duty cycle. In support of these findings and predictions, the present results illustrate breath-by-breath $\dot{V}O_2$ profiles during intermittent cycling at high work rates with increasing work-recovery duty cycle duration. The anticipated oscillations in synchrony with changes in work rate were evident in all tests, excluding those of shortest duty cycle duration (10s:20s), the oscillations becoming consistent following the initial few duty cycles. Furthermore, the amplitude of these oscillations was shown to be dependent on the length of duty-cycle as expected.

The lack of clear oscillation in the 10s:20s test may be explained in part by the confounding influence of breath-by-breath "noise" on the underlying system response. In an attempt to discriminate the existence of an underlying response the standard deviation of the mean $\dot{V}O_2$ during the last 3 minutes of the test was compared with the standard deviation of the steady-state $\dot{V}O_2$ response to a sub-$\dot{V}O_2$ constant work rate cycle test performed by the same subjects. Since the amplitude of breath-by-breath noise has been shown to be independent of exercise intensity (Lamarr et al., 1987; Rossiter et al., 2000), the finding of a significantly larger standard deviation ($P < 0.05$) in the 10s:20s tests suggests the existence of an indiscernible additional underlying response to the 10s:20s intermittent test.

One possible explanation for the discrepancy between a clear oscillating pattern of $\Delta[Hb]$ at the muscle level and no such evidence for $\dot{V}O_2$ is the influence of the muscle-to-lung vascular transit delay, responsible in part for slight differences in pulmonary $\dot{V}O_2$ and
muscle O$_2$ consumption kinetics (Barstow et al., 1990 - Section 1.4). During the first 10s period of exercise any increases in $\dot{V}O_2$ will be attributable to increased pulmonary blood flow, consequent to increased cardiac output ($\dot{Q}_T$). This cardiodynamic phase (Wasserman et al., 1974) is dissociated from the rapidly increased muscle O$_2$ consumption since the muscle venous effluent (decreased C$_{v,m}O_2$) will not have yet reached the pulmonary circulation, as discussed in Section 1.2.1. A further complication is that the given venous O$_2$ content observed at the muscle will be associated with a different blood flow when it reaches the lung due to changes in $\dot{Q}_T$, as discussed in Section 1.4.1. Therefore, during the first 20s recovery period of the 10s:20s test, the $\dot{V}O_2$ response will reflect a balance of decreasing muscle O$_2$ consumption and arrival at the lung of blood having previously drained the active muscle exhibiting increased O$_2$ extraction. During the remainder of the 10s:20s intermittent test $\dot{V}O_2$ will be continuously reflecting the balance of these conflicting processes and so the lack of a visible oscillation is perhaps not unexpected. In the longer duty cycle duration tests the influence of these dissociating factors will be less since the work and recovery periods will exceed the duration of the muscle-to-lung transit delay, although Figure 3.8(b) shows some evidence of a Phase I response during each duty cycle.

In the 30s:60s, 60s:120s and 90s:180s tests there was an increased [La] and yet, following an initial kinetic phase, the end-exercise and end-recovery (hence average) $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\Delta[Hb]$ values remained stable (Figures 3.7 - 3.10), although the amplitude of the oscillations was lower in the 30s:60s test. Despite the stability in all intermittent tests for the average $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\Delta[Hb]$ responses, the average heart rate responses were shown to continue to increase, particularly in the longer duty cycle 60s:120s and 90s:180s tests. With constant end-exercise and end-recovery values for $\dot{V}O_2$, the gradually increasing heart rate is suggestive of a widening arterio-venous O$_2$ difference, according to the Fick equation for $\dot{V}O_2$, assuming little change in stroke volume. However, the $\Delta[Hb]$ responses suggest that O$_2$ extraction within the active muscle (Ca$_{O_2}$ - C$_{v,m}O_2$) is also constant, although as discussed above this is at best an approximation. If the changes in O$_2$ extraction are assumed to be accurately portrayed by $\Delta[Hb]$ then this would imply an average reduction in stroke volume as the test progresses, rather than increased O$_2$ extraction. Since the technical limitations inhibited direct determination of (Ca$_{O_2}$ - C$_{v,m}O_2$), stroke volume, and therefore $\dot{Q}_T$, the exact explanation of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response during high work rate intermittent cycling, in terms of the Fick equation components, remains elusive.
Based on the predictions of Paterson (1979) and the intensity domain relationship between \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and [La] for constant work rate cycling (e.g. Poole et al., 1988; Ozyener et al., 2001), it was anticipated that there would be some sort of slow component effect in the longer duty cycle duration tests. In the 60s:120s and 90s:180s tests it could be argued that the end-exercise values were limited by the attainment of \( \mu \dot{V}O_2 \) preventing any upward drift in end-exercise values. Nonetheless, this was not the case for the 30s:60s test and, more importantly, the slow component effect could have been evident during the recovery periods for all tests, yet this was not the case.

Although predictions for the current study were made based on the [La] response, it is of interest to consider how \( \dot{V}O_2 \) may change in response to such intermittent work patterns if \( \dot{V}O_2 \) responded as a quasi-first-order exponential process. This was achieved by repeatedly applying a theoretical exponential model to the four intermittent protocols investigated here. The modelled outputs are presented in Figure 3.13 and interestingly the patterns of response are remarkably similar to the actual responses observed in the present study.

![Figure 3.13 - Modelled responses to the four intermittent tests of varying duty cycle duration assuming a quasi-first-order system with \( \tau \) of 30 s and no delay. The work rate was oscillated between 20 W and 420 W assuming an \( O_2 \) demand of 500 ml.min\(^{-1}\) at 20 W and 4500 ml/min at 420 W, i.e. steady state Gain of 10 ml \( O_2 \).min\(^{-1}\).W\(^{-1}\). These values were based on the work rates used for subject no.1. Panel (a) shows the predicted response in the 10s work:20s recovery test, (b) the 30s:60s test, (c) the 60s:120s test and (d) the 90s:180s test.](image-url)
Following the initial several cycles the oscillations become consistent, the amplitudes dependent on the work-recovery duty cycle duration. If it is assumed that the end-exercise values in the 60s:120s modelled response are equivalent to $\mu \dot{V}O_2$, as in the current study, then the failure to complete the 90s:180s test can be explained simply in terms of the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. This ability to continue exercising at values close to $\mu \dot{V}O_2$ during intermittent exercise has been reported by Billat et al. (2000) during running and the authors proposed that this would be advantageous during training.

It is accepted that the model used here is highly questionable since there is no inclusion of a delay term or consideration of a slow component, although during such short periods it is uncertain whether or not a slow component would be manifest. Whilst there is little conclusive evidence presented to support the notion of $\dot{V}O_2$ exhibiting a first-order exponential response during intermittent exercise, it is of interest that the modelled and actual responses reported are so similar and therefore perhaps the $\dot{V}O_2$-[La] association for constant work rate cycling is not applicable for intermittent exercise.

### 3.4.4 Conclusion

The dependence of $\dot{V}O_2$, $\Delta[Hb]$ and [La] on the work-recovery duty cycle characteristics during intermittent cycling at high work rates has been demonstrated, despite performance of the same amount of total work. The temporal association of the $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\Delta[Hb]$ oscillations in synchrony with the changes in work rate was repeatedly demonstrated, the amplitude of these oscillations related to the duration of the work-recovery duty cycle. The conventional assignment of $\dot{V}O_2$ response according to the exercise intensity domain, dictated by the [La] profile, needs re-assessment for intermittent cycling, based on the lack of a slow component effect for average $\dot{V}O_2$ in intermittent tests of longer duty cycle duration, despite increased [La].
Chapter 4  Prior heavy cycling and the Critical Power-$\dot{V}O_2$ relationship

4.1  INTRODUCTION

Plasticity of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response to exercise is an issue that is important when attempting to reveal the underlying control mechanisms. The potential for a priming bout of heavy exercise to modulate $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics has been addressed on many occasions, as outlined in Section 1.5.2. Several mechanisms have been hypothesised that could result in an alteration of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response during subsequent periods of exercise, including:

1. A reactive hyperaemia, subsequent to the residual acidosis incurred, and a right-shift of the HbO$_2$ dissociation curve, improving muscle O$_2$ delivery and overcoming any existing O$_2$ delivery limitations, should they exist, resulting in an acceleration of the response (e.g. Gausche et al., 1989; Gerbino et al., 1996; Macdonald et al., 1997; Tordi et al., 2003).

2. The rate of increase of oxidative metabolism at exercise onset being accelerated, such that any inertial limitations be overcome, should they exist, resulting in an acceleration of the fundamental component (e.g. Rossiter et al., 2001; Campbell-O’Sullivan et al., 2002).

3. The local muscle fatigue, caused by the priming bout of heavy exercise, resulting in an increased recruitment of motor units to support the same amount of work, manifest as an increase in amplitude of the fundamental component and decrease in $\Delta\dot{V}O_2$(SOC) (e.g. Burnley et al., 2001 & 2002a; Bearden & Moffatt, 2001a).

As detailed in Section 1.5.1, it is generally agreed that a priming bout of exercise, whether heavy or moderate, does not alter $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the response to upright cycling of moderate intensity in young healthy adults (Gerbino et al., 1996; Burnley et al., 2000; Bearden & Moffatt, 2001a; Scheuermann et al., 2002). This is in contrast to theories of Campbell-O’Sullivan et al. (2002), who hypothesised that increased acetyl-group availability resulted in an acceleration of the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics following previous moderate exercise, although the poor data modelling applied in this study has been previously addressed.

In contrast to this general consensus regarding a lack of modulation of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response to moderate exercise, several research groups have presented evidence that a priming bout of heavy exercise effects change in the $\dot{V}O_2$ response to subsequent supra-$\theta_L$ exercise. Earlier
investigations demonstrated an accelerated overall $\dot{V}O_2$ response (Gausche et al., 1989; Gerbino et al., 1996; Macdonald et al., 1997; Bohnert et al., 1998), although the problems associated with using a MRT for supra-$\theta_L$ are addressed in detail later (Section 6.1.2). Recent studies, using more repetitions to permit adequate characterisation of the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, suggest that the speed of the fundamental component is unaltered, in favour of theories supporting a role for an $O_2$ utilisation, rather than $O_2$ delivery, limitation governing $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ kinetics (Burnley et al., 2000, 2001 & 2002a; Koppo & Bouckaert, 2000 & 2001; Scheuermann et al., 2001; Patel et al., 2001; Fukuba et al., 2002). However, one recent study reported that prior sprinting exercise resulted in an acceleration of the fundamental component during subsequent heavy cycling (Tordi et al., 2003), in direct contrast to Burnley et al. (2002b) and the other studies cited above.

Furthermore, using an entirely different exercise model, Rossiter et al. (2001) showed accelerated fundamental $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics following prior heavy exercise. This study had the additional design feature of simultaneously monitoring intramuscular changes in [PCr], using 31-phosphorous magnetic resonance spectroscopy ($^{31}$P-NMR). As discussed in Section 1.4.5, the kinetics of [PCr] have been argued to reflect those of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$, as supported by the close temporal relationship between changes in $\dot{V}O_2$ and [PCr] for moderate (Rossiter et al., 1999), and heavy exercise (Rossiter et al., 2002a & 2002b). That the accelerated $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics of the fundamental, following prior heavy exercise, were not accompanied by changes in [PCr] kinetics was suggestive of non-linearity of the response (Rossiter et al., 2001).

The initial study by Burnley et al. (2000) reported that the fundamental amplitude was similar, with and without a heavy priming bout, when the elevated baseline was accounted for. Further studies have since included a longer recovery period between exercise bouts, so that the baseline $\dot{V}O_2$ returned to previous levels, and observed that there was in fact an increased amplitude of the fundamental component (Burnley et al., 2001 & 2002a; Patel et al., 2001; Bearden & Moffatt, 2001a). It was proposed that, with shorter recovery periods, this effect might be masked by the elevated baseline.

One effect of a priming bout of heavy exercise, reported in almost all of the above studies, is a reduction in the amplitude of the $\dot{V}O_2(SC)$. The mechanisms responsible for this decrease are unclear, which is perhaps unsurprising since the mechanisms underlying the slow component remain elusive (Section 1.5.3). The findings of a lessened reduction in
slow component amplitude when the priming exercise bout was performed by a different muscle group (Bohnert et al., 1998; Fukuba et al., 2002; Koppo et al., 2003), provides evidence in support of the mechanisms being predominantly localised in the exercising musculature.

Only one study, to date, has investigated whether this reduction in $\dot{V}O_2^{(SC)}$ is functionally related to improved performance, provided by the tolerable duration of exercise at an intensity described as “equivalent to 95% $\mu\dot{V}O_2$” (Koppo & Bouckaert, 2002). Despite a significant reduction in the size of the $\dot{V}O_2^{(SC)}$ and end-exercise $\dot{V}O_2$, the time to exhaustion was not significantly improved. Since only a single performance of the protocols was used for analysis of the $\dot{V}O_2^{(SC)}$, no formal modelling of the kinetics was carried out. Rather, end-exercise values were compared with the value at 2 minutes, an approach which is subject to error (e.g. Bearden & Moffatt, 2001b). Furthermore, determination of the slow component for a single repetition has been shown to be unreliable due to test-to-test variability (Ozyener et al., 2001).

One major limitation in all of the studies discussed, preventing direct comparison of results, is that the exercise intensity, both in the priming and testing periods, was not adequately defined. As discussed previously in considerable detail (Sections 1.1.1 and 2.2.2), describing exercise intensity in relation to $\mu\dot{V}O_2$ and $\Theta_L$ is insufficient. The existence of the critical power somewhere between these two boundaries means that any given work rate may be either above or below CP, and hence be either unsustainable or sustainable respectively (Monod & Scherrer, 1965; Moritani et al., 1981; Poole et al., 1988; Hill, 1993). This difference in tolerable duration is also evidenced in the kinetic profile of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response, with the $\dot{V}O_2^{(SC)}$ attaining steady state below CP, but continuing to rise to $\mu\dot{V}O_2$ and exhaustion above CP (Figure 1.7; Poole et al., 1988). Interestingly, there has been a similar response observed for arterial [lactate] and pH, in terms of steady state being attainable for work rates below CP (Roston et al., 1987; Poole et al., 1990; Smith & Jones, 2001). Therefore, the effects of a priming bout of exercise may depend on the intensity of that exercise relative to CP.

This study provided the opportunity to further investigate the results of Koppo & Bouckaert (2002), but in direct relation to CP. In addition, the novel questions of interest are whether or not a priming bout of very heavy cycling (i.e. inducing a significant metabolic acidosis): (a) alters the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in response to a subsequent bout of heavy
cycling that is above \( \theta_L \) and only just below CP; and furthermore (b) whether this adversely affects the tolerable duration of the exercise and, by implication, the characteristics of the power-duration relationship.
4.2 METHODS

In addition to the overall Methods chapter (Chapter 2), the following section details the exact protocol used, and describes modelling techniques that were exclusive to this study.

4.2.1 Subjects and Procedures

Six recreationally active non-smoking adult males (Table 4.1) volunteered to take part in the study, having provided written informed consent approved by the Local Ethics Committee (University of Glasgow – Appendix).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>$\mu\dot{V}_{O2}$ (l.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\mu\dot{V}_{O2}^{\prime}$ (ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\dot{V}<em>{O2}$ at $\dot{H}</em>{l}$ (l.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\dot{V}<em>{O2}$ at $\dot{H}</em>{l}$ (ml.kg$^{-1}$.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>($%\mu\dot{V}_{O2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± S.D.</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1 – Subject characteristics. Peak $\dot{V}_{O2}$ ($\mu\dot{V}_{O2}$) and the estimated lactate threshold ($\dot{H}_{l}$) determined from a maximal incremental test (see text for details).

All exercise testing was performed on the cycle ergometer described previously (Section 2.3.1), with tests separated by a minimum period of 48 hours. Breath-by-breath gas exchange and beat-by-beat heart rate were monitored continuously during all tests, as detailed in Sections 2.3.5 and 2.3.2. Following familiarisation, subjects performed an incremental ramp test, as detailed in Section 2.2.1, to the limit of tolerance ($t_{lim}$) for determination of $\mu\dot{V}_{O2}$ and non-invasive estimation of the lactate threshold ($\dot{H}_{l}$). $\mu\dot{V}_{O2}$ and the maximal heart rate obtained ($\dot{H}_{max}$) were established as mean values during the last 20 s of the test. On subsequent visits, on different days, subjects performed 4–7 supra-$\dot{H}_{l}$ constant work rate tests to the limit of tolerance (duration = $t_{lim}$) for estimation of Critical Power (CP), as described in Section 2.2.2.

CP was estimated using linear regression techniques applied to the power-$t_{lim}^{-1}$ profiles for each subject (Poole et al., 1988). This model is based on the assumption that the power-duration relationship is truly hyperbolic, which implies that the factor(s) causing exhaustion are changing as either “exponential or linear monotonic functions of time” toward a threshold level for supra-CP work rates (e.g. Poole et al., 1988; Gaesser & Poole,
In addition, using the same profiles, the work rate that would be expected to elicit exhaustion at eight minutes (8minWR) was estimated by interpolation. This work rate was selected on the assumption that six minutes of cycling at 8minWR would induce a significant metabolic acidosis (Poole et al., 1988), but that all subjects would be able to complete the required six minutes. An additional work rate was calculated according to the following equation:

\[ 95\% \Delta_l = \hat{\theta}_l + 0.95(CP - \hat{\theta}_l) \]  

(Equation 4.1)

thus normalising the intensity of exercise according to both \( \hat{\theta}_l \) and CP. This intensity was selected on the basis that it was only slightly lower than CP, and hence even any small effect of priming exercise, detailed subsequently, would be evident.

On a further six separate occasions, subjects underwent three different protocols in a random order (i.e. each being repeated). Each of these tests was repeated to enable adequate characterisation of the kinetic features of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response (Section 2.3.5.5). Figure 4.2 summarises the three protocols and illustrates the time-points at which capillary blood was sampled for subsequent lactate analysis (Section 2.3.4).

1. The “priming-bout alone” test required subjects to perform 6 minutes of constant work rate cycling at the 8minWR from a baseline of 20W, followed by 8 minutes of recovery pedalling at 20W. A recovery period of 8 minutes was used so that the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics of the recovery transition, including any slow component, could be modelled (Ozyener et al., 2001).

2. The “without priming-bout” test involved 15 minutes of cycling at 95\%\( \Delta_l \) from a baseline of 20W.

3. The “with priming-bout” test was a combination of tests 1 and 2, with subjects performing 6 minutes of cycling at the 8minWR, followed by a 2-minute recovery period at 20W, before undertaking the 15-minute bout at 95\%\( \Delta_l \). Subjects were instructed to continue cycling in this phase of the test until either the end of the test (15-minutes at 95\%\( \Delta_l \)) or to \( t_{lim} \). The recovery duration of 120s was chosen to permit only a partial recovery of \( \dot{V}O_2 \), heart rate and blood [lactate] towards baseline, prior to the second bout of exercise (Gerbino et al., 1996).
Figure 4.1 - Schematic of cycling protocols for the (a) priming bout alone test, (b) without priming bout test, and (c) with priming bout test. Work rates are shown in bold as 20 Watts (20W) and pre-determined relative intensities of 8minWR and 95%A1 (see text for details). Durations of the relevant stages are given in italics and \( t_{\text{lim}} \) is the time until exhaustion, if it occurred before completion of that stage. Arrows represent time-points when capillary blood samples were taken during the last 15s of each stage, except during the recovery of test (a) and during the periods at 95%A1 in tests (b) and (c) when blood was sampled after 6 minutes at that stage.

4.2.2 Data Analysis

All breath-by-breath \( \dot{V}O_2 \) data were edited and interpolated so that “like” transitions could be superimposed and averaged, thus improving the signal-to-noise ratio (detailed in Section 2.3.5.4 - Lamarra et al., 1987). The two pairs of tests performed at 95%A1 (with and without the priming bout) were averaged into 10s bins and modelled using nonlinear least-squares regression, to examine the impact on \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics, of the priming bout of cycling at the 8minWR.
As discussed previously, it was decided that the most appropriate modelling approach would be to characterise the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) slow component \( (\dot{V}O_2\text{(sc)}) \), characteristic of supra-\( \theta_L \) exercise, simply as an amplitude at a given time point, rather than using linear or exponential models (Paterson & Whipp, 1991; Barstow & Molé, 1991; Barstow et al., 1996), for which there is little physiological justification (Whipp, 1994b; Bearden & Moffatt, 2001b; Whipp et al., 2002b). The fundamental (Phase II) component of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response was isolated by excluding the initial 20s of data following the change in work rate (Section 2.3.5.5 - Whipp et al., 1982) and fitting a monoexponential (Equation 2.9) from 20s up to the onset of the slow component (e.g. Paterson & Whipp, 1991; Rossiter et al., 2001). The onset of the \( \dot{V}O_2\text{(sc)} \) has been shown to vary not only for different work rates (e.g. Paterson & Whipp, 1991; Barstow, 1994; Bearden & Moffatt, 2000), but also between tests at the same work rate (Ozyener et al., 2001), indicating that an arbitrary duration cannot be used. A logical approach is to vary the window of data to which the model is applied until the optimum fit is obtained for the fundamental component (Rossiter et al., 2001), as discussed subsequently.

However, the typical goodness-of-fit approach used for comparing different models (Lamarra et al., 1987), is dependent on the number of data points used, so by varying the window of data to which the model is applied this approach is invalid. Therefore, it was decided to fit the exponential response initially from 20 s to 90 s following the change in work rate and then repeatedly expanding this window by one datum (10 s) up to approximately 180 s. The onset of the \( \dot{V}O_2\text{(sc)} \) was subsequently identified using two approaches (Rossiter et al., 2001):

1. By visual inspection of the residual profile for a sustained and consistent deviation from the zero-line (Figure 4.2(a)).
2. By plotting the \( \chi^2 \) values (sum squared error) obtained for each fit against the end time-point for the time range used and looking for a local threshold (Figure 4.2(b)), which would similarly identify a consistent deviation from the exponential fit.

111
Figure 4.2 - Identification of the onset of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) slow component. Panel (a) shows the averaged data from a typical test including the exponential fit, with the residuals shown. The onset of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (SC) is marked by the dashed vertical line as the point after which there is a consistent deviation in the residuals. Panel (b) shows the local threshold of Chi-\(^2\) values signifying the onset of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (SC) (see text for details).

The monoexponential was then applied to a window of data extending from 20 s to the last point before the onset of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (SC). The slow component was quantified as an amplitude (\( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \) (SC)), calculated as the difference between \( \dot{V}O_2 \) at the end of the exercise period (\( \dot{V}O_2 \) END-EX) and \( \dot{V}O_2 \) at the onset of the slow component. These values were estimated, respectively, as the mean measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \) over the final 30 s of exercise and the value estimated from the exponential model at that time-point.
The elevated \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and HR at the end of the 2-minute 20W-recovery phase, consequent to the priming bout of exercise at 8minWR, needed to be considered for subsequent analysis. Since \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and HR were observed to be continuing to decrease at this stage (e.g. Figures 4.5 and 4.6), the mean values during the final 20 s of the 20W recovery period were taken as the baseline, in contrast to the mean of 60 s used in the "without priming-bout" test at 95\%\( \Delta \). In addition, to examine the effect of the priming bout on absolute \( \dot{V}O_2 \) at exercise onset, the absolute \( \dot{V}O_2 \) at the onset of the slow component and the projected asymptote of the fundamental component were estimated using the exponential model.

For subjects who were unable to complete the bout at 95\%\( \Delta \) following the priming bout, the duration until the limit of tolerance \((t_{lim})\) was recorded. For direct comparison of results in the tests performed with and without the priming bout, the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and HR values at this same time-point \((iso-t_{lim})\) were calculated for the tests without a priming bout, in subjects unable to complete the "with priming-bout" protocol. End-exercise heart rate values \((HR_{END-EX})\) were also recorded for all tests.

### 4.2.3 Statistical Analysis

In order to examine whether there was a significant effect of the priming bout on tolerable duration and the HR, \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and \([La]\) responses to constant load cycling at 95\%\( \Delta \), values from both protocols were compared using Student's paired t-tests.
4.3 RESULTS

4.3.1 Critical Power estimation

The power-duration hyperbolic and power-$t_{lim}^{-1}$ data for all individuals were well characterised by their respective models, as shown in Figure 4.3 and Table 4.2.

![Figure 4.3 - Critical Power estimation for each subject (different symbols) using the (a) asymptote of the hyperbolic power-$t_{lim}$ relationship, and (b) intercept of the linear power-$t_{lim}^{-1}$ relationship. Individual points represent tests performed to exhaustion at different work rates. The dashed vertical line shows the use of interpolation to estimate $8\min WR$ (see text for details).]
Work rates corresponding to Critical Power, 8minWR and 95%Ai averaged 279 (± 44) W, 313 (± 52) W and 271 (± 44) W respectively, as shown in Table 4.2. In all cases the standard deviation of the estimated value for CP fell within ± 3W.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Critical Power (W)</th>
<th>WRMAX (W)</th>
<th>WRI (W)</th>
<th>W' (kJ)</th>
<th>8minWR (W)</th>
<th>95%Ai</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 279 359 130 16.4 313 271 ±S.D. 44 54 46 4.6 52 44

Table 4.2 - Individual and group values for critical power, peak work rate during an incremental test (WRMAX), work rate at 0l (WRI), the curvature constant of the power-duration hyperbola (W'), 8minWR and 95%Ai. Goodness-of-fit for the linear Power-tanke^-1 fits is provided by the correlation coefficient (R) and P-value.

4.3.2 Tests at 95%Ai without a priming bout

In support of the determined values for CP, all subjects were comfortably able to complete the entire 15-minute target duration of this test, despite high end-exercise values averaging 84 (± 5) % of MV0.9 (96 (± 2) % of HRmax and blood lactate concentration of 7.3 (± 1.4) mM (Tables 4.3 and 4.4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Duration (s)</th>
<th>[La]2OW (mM)</th>
<th>[La]END-EX (mM)</th>
<th>Δ[La] (mM)</th>
<th>HREND-EX (beats.min^-1)</th>
<th>HREND-EX (%HRmax)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 900 0.7 7.3 6.6 179 96 ± S.D. 0 0.2 1.4 1.2 6 2

Table 4.3 - Arterialised mixed-venous blood lactate concentration ([La]) and heart rate (HR) responses to the test performed at 95%Ai without a preceding priming bout. The change in lactate concentration (Δ[La]) is the difference between baseline of 20 W ([La]2OW) and end-exercise ([La]END-EX) values.
### Table 4.4 - \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics for the response to cycling at 95%\( \Delta_1 \) from a baseline of 20W (\( \dot{V}O_2 \_20W \)) without a preceding priming bout. \( \tau \), \( \delta \) and \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \)\(_{(\text{Phase II})} \) refer to the fundamental component, modelled using an exponential function (Equation 2.9), the Gain calculated as \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \)\(_{(\text{Phase II})} \) divided by the change in work rate (\( \Delta \text{WR} \)). \( \Delta \dot{V}O_2 \)\(_{(\text{SC})} \) is the amplitude of the slow component, calculated using the end-exercise (\( \dot{V}O_2 \_\text{END-EX} \)) values.

As anticipated for exercise in this exercise intensity domain, all subjects demonstrated a considerable slow component for \( \dot{V}O_2 \), as shown in Figure 4.4 for a representative subject.

![Figure 4.4 - Oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_2 \)) response to cycling at a work rate slightly below Critical Power (95%\( \Delta_1 \)), which begins at \( t=0s \) from a baseline of 20W. The data is the average of two identical transitions divided into 10s bins (see text for details). The dark solid line is the exponential model applied to the fundamental component, the goodness-of-fit reflected by the normal distribution of the residuals (light solid line).](image-url)
4.3.3 Tests at 95% Δ₁ following a priming bout

In contrast to the tests performed without a preceding priming bout, not all subjects were able to complete the target 15-min duration of the test, despite the work rate still being below CP. However, three subjects were able to complete the test (subjects 3, 4 and 6 - Table 4.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Duration (s)</th>
<th>[La]_{20W} (mM)</th>
<th>[La]_{END-EX} (mM)</th>
<th>Δ[La] (mM)</th>
<th>HR_{END-EX} (beats.min⁻¹)</th>
<th>HR_{END-EX} (%HRmax)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 736 ± 187

Table 4.5 - Arterialised mixed-venous blood lactate concentration ([La]) and heart rate (HR) responses to the test performed at 95% Δ₁ following a preceding priming bout. The change in lactate concentration (Δ[La]) is the difference between the baseline of 20 W ([La]_{20W}) and end-exercise ([La]_{END-EX}) values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>˙V̇O₂₂₀W (ml.min⁻¹)</th>
<th>˙V̇O₂₂₀W (Phase I) (s)</th>
<th>˙V̇O₂₂₀W (Phase II) (s)</th>
<th>˙V̇O₂₂₀W (Phase III) (s)</th>
<th>˙V̇O₂₂₀W (SC)</th>
<th>˙V̇O₂_{END-EX} (ml.min⁻¹)</th>
<th>˙V̇O₂_{END-EX} (SC) (ml.min⁻¹)</th>
<th>˙V̇O₂_{END-EX} (%HRmax)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1367</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2695</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4062</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2598</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>4429</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1271</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3659</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>3496</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>2888</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>2203</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3333</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 1286 ± 180

Table 4.6 - ˙V̇O₂ kinetics for the response to cycling at 95% Δ₁ from a baseline of 20W (˙V̇O₂₂₀W) for 120s following the 8minWR priming bout. τ, δ and Δ ˙V̇O₂ (Phase II) refer to the fundamental component modelled using an exponential function (Equation 2.9), the Gain calculated as Δ ˙V̇O₂ (Phase II) divided by the change in work rate (ΔWR). Δ ˙V̇O₂ (SC) is the amplitude of the slow component, calculated using the end-exercise (˙V̇O₂_{END-EX}) values.

Also in contrast to the test at 95% Δ₁ without a priming bout, some subjects did not demonstrate a slow component for ˙V̇O₂ (Table 4.6), as shown in Figure 4.5 for the same representative subject as used for Figure 4.4. It should be noted that the three subjects that did not show a ˙V̇O₂ (SC), unexpected in this exercise intensity domain, were not the exact same sub-group that completed the test (Table 4.6).
4.3.4 Priming bout effects

Table 4.7 details the impact of the priming bout performed at the 8minWR on the tolerable duration of, and the \( \dot{V}O_2 \), HR and [La] responses to, cycling at a work rate below CP (95%\( \Delta_i \)). The duration of the exercise performed was not significantly affected by the priming bout. However, it should be noted that three subjects completed the test and three did not (Table 4.5), so comparison is complicated by the observation that some tests were maximal and some were stopped after 15 minutes, i.e. assumed to be still sub-CP despite the effects of the priming bout.
Without priming bout | With priming bout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t_{lim}$</th>
<th>Duration (s)</th>
<th>900 ± 0</th>
<th>736 ± 187</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood [La]</td>
<td>20W</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2**</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mM)</td>
<td>EE</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.4*</td>
<td>9.1 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EE/iso-$t_{lim}$</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta[La]_{(EE-20W)}$</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.2†</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta[La]<em>{(EE/iso-t</em>{lim}-20W)}$</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.4†</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>20W</td>
<td>80 ± 6**</td>
<td>129 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(beats.min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>EE</td>
<td>179 ± 6</td>
<td>181 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EE/iso-$t_{lim}$</td>
<td>175 ± 8*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics</td>
<td>20W</td>
<td>804 ± 83**</td>
<td>1286 ± 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ml.min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Phase II $\tau$ (s)</td>
<td>24.9 ± 4.4</td>
<td>24.3 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase II $\delta$ (s)</td>
<td>10.8 ± 5.2†</td>
<td>4.8 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase II $\Delta_{(x)}$</td>
<td>2248 ± 457</td>
<td>2267 ± 401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta \dot{V}O_2_{(SC)}$</td>
<td>503 ± 90†</td>
<td>109 ± 119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EE/iso-$t_{lim}$ $\Delta \dot{V}O_2_{(SC)}$</td>
<td>448 ± 59†</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abs. Phase II asymptote</td>
<td>3052 ± 481**</td>
<td>3552 ± 538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abs. $\dot{V}O_2$ at SC onset</td>
<td>3033 ± 478**</td>
<td>3536 ± 562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EE</td>
<td>3536 ± 540</td>
<td>3645 ± 544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EE/iso-$t_{lim}$</td>
<td>3481 ± 521</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.7 – A direct comparison of the blood lactate concentration ([La]), heart rate and $\dot{V}O_2$ responses to the tests performed at 95%A$i$, with and without a preceding priming bout. Comparisons were made between end-exercise (EE) values in both tests. However, comparisons were also repeated having substituted the end-exercise value during the "without priming bout" test with the value at the same time-point as exhaustion was reached (iso-$t_{lim}$) in the "with priming bout" test, for subjects who could not complete this test. 20W – 20 W baseline response; $\tau$ – time constant; $\delta$ – delay; SC – slow component; Abs. $\dot{V}O_2$ – absolute value. *significantly lower than with priming bout ($P < 0.05$), **significantly lower than with priming bout ($P < 0.01$), †significantly higher than with priming bout ($P < 0.01$).

The priming bout had the desired effect of generating a considerable accumulation of lactate in the blood, with the pre-95%A$i$ baseline [La] being on average 8.3 mM higher following the priming bout, than when no priming bout was performed. In support of the durations of the tests, the end-exercise [La] was significantly lower (by 1.8 mM on average) in the test without a priming bout, which all subjects completed. This effect remained when the shortened test duration, due to $t_{lim}$ being attained in some subjects, was accounted for. Despite the elevated end-exercise [La] due to the priming bout, the elevated baseline meant that $\Delta[La]$ was significantly less following the priming bout, whether end-exercise or iso-$t_{lim}$ values were used. It is noteworthy that the three subjects who were able
to complete the bout at 95%Δ1 following the priming bout demonstrated lower end-exercise [La] and negative values for Δ[La] (Table 4.5). This means that there was actually a net decrease in [La] from the elevated baseline during this test in these individuals. However, the magnitude of differences between the end-exercise and Δ[La] values in these subjects and the other subjects was very small.

Similar to the [La] response, the priming bout resulted in an elevated baseline heart rate prior to the tests at 95%Δ1, by 49 beats.min⁻¹ on average. Although three subjects were unable to complete these tests, the end-exercise heart rates were not significantly different from those in the “without priming bout” test, yet all subjects were able to complete that test. These values were also significantly lower than the HRmax values recorded during the incremental test (Tables 4.3 and 4.5). However, comparing similar time-points, the values are significantly higher in the “with priming bout” test, due to the elevated baseline. This means that subjects were cycling with a higher heart rate at a given time-point following the priming bout, but that the peak values obtained were comparable with the sub-CP test without a priming bout, as shown in Figure 4.6.
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**Figure 4.6** - The heart rate response profiles for a representative subject for the tests performed at 95%Δ1, with (solid circles) and without (open circles) a preceding priming bout. Responses shown are the average of two transitions. Also shown is the peak heart rate attained during the maximal incremental test (HRmax). Note that this subject was unable to complete the test following the priming bout.
The most evident effects of the priming bout on $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics were an increase in the baseline value (by 482 ml.min$^{-1}$ on average) and a decrease in the amplitude of the slow component amplitude (by 394 ml.min$^{-1}$ on average). The kinetics of the fundamental component were essentially unaltered by the priming bout, since the time constant and amplitude were not significantly different, however the delay of the exponential model was significantly shortened, by 6s on average. Although the fundamental component amplitude was unchanged, the elevated baseline meant that the absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ at the onset of the slow component and the projected Phase II asymptote were significantly higher following the priming bout. Figure 4.7 illustrates the point that at any given time during the fundamental component, the absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ values are higher in the tests following the priming bout. Despite these higher values at the end of the fundamental component, the end-exercise values for $\dot{V}O_2$ were not significantly different for the two protocols, whether end-exercise (Figure 4.7(a)) or iso-t$_{lim}$ values were used for comparison (Figure 4.7(b)). The data presented in Figure 4.7 illustrates that in some subjects the slow component was completely abolished, and although this was not the case in all subjects, significant reductions were apparent in all subjects (Tables 4.4 and 4.6). This relationship was maintained when the iso-t$_{lim}$ values were included, rather than end-exercise values.
Figure 4.7 -- Temporal profiles for $\dot{V}O_2$ during cycling performed at 95% $\Delta$, with (solid circles) and without (open circles) a preceding priming bout. Responses shown are the average of two transitions, for subjects who (a) completed (subjects 3, 6 and 4 from top to bottom), and (b) did not complete (subjects 1, 2 and 5 from top to bottom), the test following the priming bout. Dark solid lines represent the exponential fit to the fundamental component, determined by nonlinear least-squares regression, with light solid lines showing the residuals plots.
4.4 DISCUSSION

The major finding of this study was that the modulation of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the response to sub-CP, supra-$\theta$, cycling, induced by a priming bout of very heavy cycling, did not "push" the imposed work rate above CP; i.e. a steady-state and submaximal $\dot{V}O_2$ was still achieved. However, half of the subject group were unable to complete the 15-min target duration of the sub-CP cycling period, following the priming bout. This was despite there being no significant change in the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, a reduced $\Delta\dot{V}O_2(\text{SC})$ and unchanged end-exercise $\dot{V}O_2$, in comparison to sub-CP cycling without the priming bout. Whilst conclusions can be made regarding the effect of the preceding very heavy cycling on $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, and therefore indirectly CP by implication, the present investigation does not allow any conclusions regarding effects of the priming exercise on the power-duration hyperbola, i.e. CP and/or the curvature constant ($W$). Further research is required to investigate this possibility.

4.4.1 Priming bout effects on the kinetics of the fundamental component

In support of previous work (Gausche et al., 1989; Gerbino et al., 1996; Macdonald et al., 1997; Bolinert et al., 1998), the overall $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics during the response to heavy cycling were accelerated by the priming bout of very heavy exercise, since the fundamental component was unaltered, baseline was elevated and the $\dot{V}O_2(\text{SC})$ was significantly reduced. However, as discussed in the Introduction, this acceleration of overall kinetics is not necessarily reflecting a faster fundamental $\dot{V}O_2$ response, and hence $\dot{Q}_mO_2$, since the overall kinetics are influenced by the initial cardiodynamic phase and the additional slow component.

Indeed, when the $\dot{V}O_2$ response during the fundamental component was modelled independently of the other phases, there was no significant change in either $\tau$ or amplitude, in support of Burnley et al. (2000 & 2002b), Koppo & Bouckaert (2000 & 2001) and Scheuermann et al. (2001). The finding of an unaltered amplitude of the fundamental component, in conjunction with the elevated baseline, results in a higher absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ at the end of Phase II, as shown in Table 4.7. That an increased amplitude was not observed, in contrast to Burnley et al. (2001 & 2002a), Patel et al. (2001) and Bearden & Moffatt (2001a), is unsurprising given the short recovery period (120 s) used in the current study. These studies, showing increased Phase II amplitude, have demonstrated that this is only
manifest when recovery from the priming bout is sufficient for $\dot{V}O_2$ to recover to initial baseline values, so the large baseline elevation in the current study (averaging 482 ± 123 ml.min$^{-1}$) would obscure observation of such an effect.

The exact mechanisms for the increase in amplitude of the fundamental component remain unclear, although a recent study has provided evidence that the origin may be an increased recruitment of motor units at the onset of exercise following a priming bout of heavy exercise (Burnley et al., 2002a). The hypothesis for this was that the priming bout of exercise may have caused a degree of local muscle fatigue, perhaps due to disruption of the electrochemical gradient (Bangsbo et al., 1996). Therefore, in order to attain the same work rate, an increased number of motor units must be recruited and hence there would be an increased $O_2$ demand to support this increased cross-bridge turnover. According to the size principle of motor recruitment (Henneman et al., 1974), there had been a potential for there to be a muscle fibre type influence on this response, but Scheuermann et al. (2001) did not confirm this. Rather, it appears that there is no bias in the fibre-type proportion recruited, following prior heavy exercise. However, interpretation of EMG signals during cycling is complex, as discussed in Section 1.5.3.6.

Whilst the observed consistency of $\tau$ reported here is in agreement with the majority of studies, it is presently unclear why the results from two other studies differ (Rossiter et al., 2001; Tordi et al., 2003). Rossiter et al. (2001) suggested that the differences between their results and the majority of other studies, may lie in the exercise mode adopted. Due to spatial constraints when exercising with simultaneous $^{31}$P-NMR measurement (Whipp et al., 1999), only limited knee-extensor exercise in the prone position can be performed. Therefore, not only is a smaller muscle mass employed in comparison to cycling, but also the differences in exercise modality may contribute to the different effects of prior heavy exercise on subsequent $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics.

Tordi et al. (2003) proposed that their finding of an accelerated fundamental component could perhaps be due to differences in the degree of acidosis induced by the prior exercise. They used three maximal 30 s sprints prior to the exercise and postulated that there would be a considerably greater increase in blood lactate concentration, with concomitant decrease in pH, however they did not measure either variable, preventing comparison with the results of the current study. In addition to this hypothesis, Tordi et al. (2003) proposed that previous studies either failed to detect a difference that was present, or did not have sufficient statistical power to illustrate an effect. Given the larger number of studies that
have failed to observe an effect, some of which had a greater n-number than Tordi et al. (2003), the latter option appears unlikely. However, the possibility that subtle differences in the kinetics of the fundamental component may not be detected has been highlighted (Hughson et al., 2001; Whipp et al., 2002b), and is discussed in more detail in Section 6.3.

One finding of the current study, in contrast to existing literature, was a significant shortening of the delay duration of the exponential model by 6 (± 3) s (Table 4.7). It is foreseeable that this difference may exist due to the modelling approach used in this study, in comparison to the triple-exponential model (Barstow et al., 1996) employed by other groups. It is important to emphasise that this delay is not physiological, but rather a factor of the exponential model (Whipp et al., 1982). It will, however, provide an approximate indication of the duration of the Phase I response, which reflects the muscle-to-lung vascular transit delay (Section 1.2.1). Therefore, the shortening of this delay term may suggest an alteration in the initial cardiodynamic phase of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response, perhaps due to $\dot{Q}_T$ being higher prior to the exercise due to the priming bout of cycling. Whilst studies using the aforementioned triple-exponential model do not support this possibility, the modelling of this portion of the response as an exponential is not physiologically justified (Section 6.1.1).

### 4.4.2 Priming bout effects on the slow component

The current study has demonstrated that the priming bout of heavy cycling did not affect the end-exercise $\dot{V}O_2$ achieved, despite higher absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ following the fundamental component. This is an important observation for two reasons. Firstly, since the absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ was significantly higher at the end of the fundamental component this implies that the $\Delta\dot{V}O_2\,(SC)$ must be reduced, as shown in the existing literature. This was demonstrated in all six subjects, with the slow component being completely abolished in three out of the six subjects (Table 4.6). The exact mechanisms of this decrease in $\Delta\dot{V}O_2\,(SC)$ remain unclear. Based on the observed relationship between the proportion of Type II muscle fibres and the size of the $\dot{V}O_2\,(SC)$ (Shinohara & Moritani, 1992; Barstow et al., 1996), Burnley et al. (2002a) proposed that the reduced slow component following prior heavy exercise may be due to reduced recruitment of Type II motor units. They were unable to support this using EMG data, so they proposed that the increased recruitment of motor units during the fundamental component resulted in a reduced difference between $\dot{V}O_2$ at the onset of the $\dot{V}O_2\,(SC)$ and end-exercise. Consequently, the size of the slow component would be reduced.
This hypothesis, of increased motor unit recruitment at the onset of the "with priming-bout" 95%Δ1 cycling bout, may be applicable to the results of the current study, since absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ at the onset of the $\dot{V}O_2$SC was significantly higher compared to the "without priming-bout" test (Table 4.7).

Secondly, that end-exercise $V_2$, was unaltered by the priming bout, provides information regarding the exercise intensity domain, upon which the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics are highly dependent (Section 1.3). The increased amplitude of the fundamental component, proposed in existing literature, could theoretically have pushed $\dot{V}O_2$ above the value equivalent to CP and hence reduced the tolerable duration of the exercise. Whilst three subjects did demonstrate a reduction in tolerable duration of exercise at 95%Δ1, the other three did not, and even in those subjects who did not complete the test, it is unlikely that they may now have been exercising in the very heavy intensity domain, i.e. supra-CP. The reason is, that for exercise in this intensity domain, the $\dot{V}O_2$SC continues to rise until $\mu \dot{V}O_2$ is achieved (Ozyener et al., 2001; Carter et al., 2002). In this study, $\dot{V}O_2$ at end-exercise was less than $\mu \dot{V}O_2$ in all subjects (Tables 4.4 and 4.6), averaging 84 (± 5)% and 86 (± 2)% of $\mu \dot{V}O_2$ during the "without" and "with priming bout" tests respectively. Therefore, it appears that the priming bout of heavy cycling does not alter the $\dot{V}O_2$-CP relationship, despite modulation of the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, although, as mentioned previously, the effect of prior heavy cycling on the power-duration hyperbola is unknown.

4.4.3 Heart rate and blood lactate responses

That both heart rate and blood [La] were significantly elevated prior to cycling at 95%Δ1 following the priming bout (Table 4.7), was a design of the protocol used. The use of the 8minWR for 6 minutes as the priming bout was supported by the high baseline levels of lactate ahead of the subsequent 95%Δ1 period, and all subjects being able to complete this part of the protocol. Due to this elevated baseline level, the change in [La] during the cycling at 95%Δ1 was significantly reduced following the prior heavy cycling (Table 4.7).

Similar to end-exercise values for $\dot{V}O_2$, end-exercise heart rate was not significantly different in the "with" and "without priming bout" tests (Table 4.7). Furthermore, in all subjects these values were lower than HRmax, even in subjects who were unable to complete the protocol following the priming bout (Tables 4.3 and 4.5). That heart rate was
elevated, following the prior heavy exercise, when iso-t_{lim} values were used rather than end-exercise, suggests that heart rate at any given time, until end-exercise, was elevated as a result of the prior heavy exercise, in agreement with the blood [La] values obtained.

4.4.4 “Completers” vs. “non-completers”

In contrast to Koppo & Bouckaert (2002), the tolerable duration of exercise was reduced in three of the six subjects used in this study. Before attempting to determine why this occurred in some subjects but not in others, despite the intensity being normalised appropriately, it is useful to consider differences in protocol with Koppo & Bouckaert (2002). Firstly, their study was looking at the duration of exhaustive exercise, equivalent to “95% µ\(\bar{V}O_2\)”, which therefore implies exercise of supra-CP intensity, in comparison to the sub-CP exercise of the current study. Secondly, the reported reductions in \(\Delta\overline{V}O_2(\text{sco})\) were considerably larger in the current study and furthermore, only single transitions were performed in their study, preventing adequate characterisation of the \(\overline{V}O_2\) kinetics. The limitations of using a single test performance to measure the \(\overline{V}O_2_{(sco)}\), and describing it as \(\Delta\overline{V}O_2(6\text{min}-2\text{min})\), have been discussed previously. The major objective of the current study was to determine if the modulation of \(\overline{V}O_2\) kinetics, induced by prior very heavy exercise altered the \(\overline{V}O_2\)-CP relationship, indicated by t_{lim}, in contrast to whether or not maximal exercise performance could be increased (Koppo & Bouckaert, 2002).

One possible explanation as to why three subjects reached exhaustion, following the priming bout, could be that CP had been under-estimated in these individuals. This contention is not supported by the results obtained. From a methodological perspective, at least four tests, under controlled conditions, were used for CP estimation (Hill, 1993). In any cases where the power-duration and power-time\(^{-1}\) plots were not appropriately characterised as hyperbolic and linear functions respectively, further tests were performed to strengthen the relationship and hence make the estimation of CP more accurate. In all cases, the profiles were well characterised by the models (Figure 4.3 and Table 4.2), so that CP was predicted to within ± 3 W. Further evidence comes from two observations related to the \(\overline{V}O_2\) kinetics. Firstly, all subjects achieved a steady-state \(\overline{V}O_2\) in the tests performed at 95%\(\Delta_{1}\), including the slow component (Poole et al., 1988; Ozyener et al., 2001). This would not be the case where any subjects to be cycling above CP, as \(\overline{V}O_2\) would never attain steady state, rising continuously until µ\(\bar{V}O_2\) was reached. Secondly, the subjects who
did reach $t_{lim}$ following the priming bout, as with all subjects, did not reach $\dot{V}O_2$ at end-exercise.

A second possible explanation could be related to the curvature constant of the power-duration hyperbola ($W'$). This parameter is considered to reflect a constant amount of supra-CP work that can be performed and is thought to represent an energy store consisting of $O_2$, high-energy phosphates and a source related to anaerobic glycolysis (Moritani et al., 1981; Poole et al., 1988), although it may also relate to build-up of fatigue-inducing by-products. Therefore, in theory, those subjects who were able to complete the “with priming-bout” protocol may have a higher value for $W'$. This hypothesis was not supported since subjects 3, 4 and 6 who completed this protocol did not have the highest values for $W'$ (Table 4.2). That $W'$ does not appear to correlate with completion or non-completion of the test following the priming bout is perhaps not surprising since the intensity of exercise is sub-CP, although the priming bout itself may have disrupted CP and/or $W'$, as mentioned previously. Interestingly, subjects 5 and 6, who exhibited the lowest values for $W'$ (Table 4.2), also demonstrated the highest [La] values in the test without a priming bout (Table 4.3). Interpretation of this finding is complex as it may be considered to emphasize reduced energy stores in these subjects, but higher [La] may be expected for larger $W'$, although it must be remembered that this test was sub-maximal.

A third possible explanation could be that the individuals who could not complete the “with priming bout” test exhibited some sort of different modulation in $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. For example, three subjects completely abolished the $\dot{V}O_2$ (SC) whereas the other three did not. Therefore, perhaps only subjects who demonstrated this $\dot{V}O_2$ (SC) abolition were able to complete the test. Again, this was not supported by the results, since subject no. 1 reached $t_{lim}$ but did not have a $\dot{V}O_2$ (SC) and subject no. 4 was able to complete the test despite still having a significant $\dot{V}O_2$ (SC) (Table 4.6).

A fourth possible explanation could be that those subjects who were able to complete the test had recovered more during the 120s recovery period, than those who reached $t_{lim}$. Looking at the absolute values in Table 4.6, this appears unlikely given that the values are more related to body mass than duration of the “with priming bout” test. To further investigate this possibility, the recovery $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics to the 8minWR bout, without the subsequent test at 95%$A_{12}$, were modelled (Figure 4.1(a)). The mean $\tau$ for the Phase II “off-kinetics” from this supra-CP exercise was 28.9 (± 6.0) s, the values not significantly
different to the “on kinetics” during the tests at 95%Δt in support of previous work (Ozyener et al., 2001). Furthermore, as with the “on kinetics” (Tables 4.4 and 4.6), the values did not explain the differences between subjects who could and could not complete the “with priming bout test”, i.e. the subjects who reached t_{lim} did not necessarily have slower \(\bar{V}O_2\) kinetics.

That the Phase II component had a \(\tau\) of ~30 s implies that the asymptote would be attained in approximately 120 s (duration of 4 \(\tau\)'s will attain > 98% of steady-state amplitude), meaning that the subjects would on average have completed the Phase II exponential decline in \(\bar{V}O_2\) during the 120 s recovery. Therefore, the elevated baseline observed is actually reflecting what has been termed the “excess post-exercise oxygen consumption” (e.g. Gaesser & Brooks, 1984), rather than an uncompleted exponential phase. Since the origins of this additional recovery \(\bar{V}O_2\) are multifactorial, it would be inappropriate to attempt to model it as an exponential process. This precluded trying to add the fundamental component in the “without priming bout” test to the kinetics of the remaining recovery component following the priming bout. In any case, the \(\tau\) and net-amplitude of the fundamental component were unchanged by the prior very heavy cycling.

A fifth potential reason may be differing blood lactate responses to the exercise. Theoretically, the subjects whose [La] at 20W, following the priming bout, were lower may be more likely to complete the test, however this was not supported by the results (Table 4.5). In contrast, the three individuals who were unable to complete the “with priming bout test” exhibited the highest absolute end-exercise [La] values and, therefore, higher \(\Delta[La]\) values. It is important to appreciate that these differences are quite small, however the significance is unclear given the small n-number in each sub-group. That there appears to be a possible link between the blood [lactate], but not the \(\bar{V}O_2\) (SC), response and the completion/non-completion of the “with priming bout” test, provides further indirect evidence against a cause-and-effect relationship existing between blood lactate accumulation and the \(\bar{V}O_2\) (SC) (Section 1.5.3.1).

4.4.5 Limiting factors in the “non-completers”

The major question arising from this issue is what is limiting the performance of the test at 95%Δt, following the priming bout, in those individuals who were unable to complete the test? It would appear from the results (Tables 4.5 and 4.6) that it is not a cardio-respiratory
limitation, since both end-exercise values for $\dot{V}O_2$ and heart rate were less than the peak values obtained during the initial maximal incremental test (in all subjects). Rather, it appears more likely to be some form of peripheral fatigue, induced locally by the priming bout. Muscle fatigue is complex and multi-factorial in nature (e.g. Fitts, 1994; Allen et al., 1995; Jones, 1999), and the potential for central fatigue to play a significant role during exercise must be recognised (Newsholme & Blomstrand, 1995; Davis & Bailey, 1997), although this study provided no indices that might relate to central fatigue.

Although higher absolute end-exercise lactate concentrations were observed in these individuals, the differences were small (average 2.1 mM higher). However, the use of "mixed"-tissue blood [La] to infer muscle lactate production is unjustified (e.g. Brooks, 2000). In any case, the traditional concept of decreased pH, in association with increased [La], being the major cause of muscle fatigue is out-dated (Bangsbo et al., 1996; Westerblad et al., 2002). Whilst it is generally accepted that reduced muscle pH may interfere with muscle contractibility and inhibit anaerobic glycolysis, muscle fatigue under physiologic conditions appears more related to intracellular $[Ca^{2+}]$, perhaps mediated by increased intracellular $[Pi]$ (Allen & Westerblad, 2001). This therefore implies that the relationship between blood lactate and fatigue be associative, rather than cause-and-effect (Fitts, 1994; Brooks, 2001).

A further potential factor could be a depletion of available substrate, due to the priming bout of almost-maximal supra-CP exercise. Exercise of this nature will place high demands on the ability to generate ATP from anaerobic glycolysis at high rates. Indeed, high rates of muscle glycogen depletion have been recorded during exercise using muscle biopsy techniques (Bergstrom et al., 1967). Therefore, a potential difference between those who could complete the test, and those who could not, may lie in the degree of intramuscular glycogen depletion caused by the priming bout. The results of Saltin & Karlsson (1971) suggest that, whilst almost-maximal exercise performed for the duration used in this study would cause a significant depletion of muscle glycogen, the level of depletion would be insufficient to have a negative impact on exercise performance. Although this may be the case, it is conceivable that selective glycogen depletion of Type II muscle fibres may reach fatiguing levels during high-intensity exercise (e.g. Gollnick et al., 1973b), despite considerable overall muscle glycogen reserve. The nature of the cycling protocols used in the current study would be anticipated to require significant recruitment of Type II motor units and so this possibility remains, although it could not be confirmed without muscle biopsy sampling and analysis.
Whilst the data from the current study cannot conclusively explain the differences between the subjects who completed the protocol and those who did not, the issue of heterogeneity of muscle activity should be considered. Whilst the arterialised mixed-venous [La] values in the current study showed little difference between the subjects, the model of Whipp et al. (1995) illustrated that regional differences in muscle lactate production are unlikely, a priori, to be reflected by the whole muscle venous effluent [La]. Therefore, local areas of high metabolic demand may cause considerable localised accumulation of fatiguing by-products, resulting in regional disruption to muscle function in “non-completers”, despite whole muscle values similar to “completers” who perhaps exhibit more homogeneous muscle activity. That is, the estimated CP and W parameters from the experimentally-determined power-duration relationship could actually comprise the summation of several composite functional compartments within the exercising muscles. These compartments could be defined, for example, by particular \( \dot{Q}_{\text{m}} / \dot{Q}_{\text{mO}_2} \) ratios and anaerobic energy potentials whose expression would lead to a unique “local” power-duration characteristic. One could then hypothesise that overall fatigue might occur when a significant number of local compartments, with low CP (and/or W') values, reach their functional limit.

It would be of some interest to extend the models of Whipp et al. (1995 & 2002b) to incorporate such features. This is, however, beyond the scope of the present investigation and whilst this hypothesis cannot be demonstrated from the current results, recent advances in technology have permitted demonstration of regional differences of \( \dot{Q}_{\text{mO}_2} \) within the active musculature (Richardson et al., 2001a). The significance of these results in relation to interpreting \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics is discussed in greater detail in Section 6.3.3.

4.4.6 Conclusion

In conclusion, a priming bout of very heavy cycling followed by 120 s of recovery modulated the kinetics of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response to sub-CP, supra-\( \theta_L \) cycling, but did not “push” the imposed work rate above CP, since a steady-state and submaximal \( \dot{V}O_2 \) was still achieved. However, \( t_{\text{aim}} \) was reached in the test following the priming bout, in three subjects. The mechanisms for this effect are unclear, however they are unlikely to be cardio-respiratory in origin, perhaps related to local muscular fatigue.
5.1 INTRODUCTION

5.1.1 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major cause of morbidity and mortality which is becoming increasingly prevalent throughout the developed world, associated primarily (but not exclusively) with increased incidence of cigarette smoking (Hurd, 2000; Pauwels et al., 2001). COPD is a complex pathological disorder, with the physiological definition being that of chronic airflow obstruction, and patients often exhibiting either one or a combination of symptoms related to bronchitis, asthma and emphysema (American Thoracic Society, 1995; British Thoracic Society, 1997). The majority of asthmatics are differentiated from COPD patients based on their responsiveness to treatment, such as steroids or bronchodilators.

The major pulmonary limitation of COPD patients is an expiratory flow limitation, both in terms of volume (increased residual volume) and rate of emptying (dramatically reduced forced expired volume in one second, FEV1). The source of the expiratory flow limitation is usually either: (a) reduced lung recoil in patients exhibiting symptoms characteristic of emphysema; and/or (b) thickening of the small airways resulting in increased airway resistance, characteristic of bronchitis. The combination of these effects is a reduced ventilatory capacity, with COPD patients typically exhibiting significant ventilatory limitation during exercise (Potter et al., 1971; Spiro et al., 1975; Pardy et al., 1988; Bauerle & Younes, 1995; Diaz et al., 2000; O'Donnell et al., 2001). Figure 5.1 is a schematic illustrating that not only is the ventilatory capacity reduced in COPD, but that there is also an increased ventilatory requirement. The increased ventilatory requirement is consequent to: (a) inefficient alveolar ventilation, arising from increased regional mismatch of ventilation to perfusion, that is manifest as an increased dead-space fraction of the breath (Vd/Vt); and (b) increased ventilatory drive, deriving from the consequent arterial hypoxaemia and premature metabolic acidaemia. Therefore, in an attempt to maintain blood-gas homeostasis, a higher total ventilation at a given work rate is required in comparison to healthy individuals (e.g. Casaburi, 1993; Wasserman et al., 1999). The increased respiratory impedance, coupled with increased respiratory drive and possibly the arterial hypoxaemia (Ward & Whipp, 1989), result in exacerbated perceptions of
breathlessness (or "dyspnoea"), especially upon exertion (Casaburi, 1993; Wasserman et al., 1999).

Figure 5.1 – Schematic illustrating the imbalance of ventilatory requirement and ventilatory capacity in COPD patients. Increased ventilatory requirement is caused by a mismatch between ventilation and perfusion in the lungs. Reduced ventilatory capacity is caused by chronic airflow obstruction and/or reduced lung recoil. From Wasserman et al. (1999).

As a consequence of the dyspnoea encountered during exercise, COPD patients often do not undertake regular exercise. This lack of physical activity leads to a progressive decline in cardio-respiratory fitness and increased muscular atrophy, which further exacerbates the dyspnoeic sensation (Killian et al., 1992; Gosselink et al., 1996), as schematised in Figure 5.2.
Exercise rehabilitation has been demonstrated to ameliorate this effect (Casaburi et al., 1991; Lacasse et al., 1996; Maltais et al., 1996; Singh et al., 1998). This evidence suggests that whilst lung function typically cannot be improved by exercise rehabilitation, functional exercise capacity can, which has both a positive influence on quality of life and also a reduction in morbidity levels (Lacasse et al., 1996; Singh et al., 1998).

In patient populations where exercise capacity is severely diminished due to some pathological condition and symptom-limited exercise may in addition place patients at risk, there is a consensus that sub-maximal \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics may present a safer and still sensitive alternative for assessing the functional status of the cardio-respiratory and muscular systems (e.g. Nery et al., 1982; Sictsema et al., 1994; Koike et al., 1995; Casaburi et al., 1997; Otsuka et al., 1997; Wasserman et al., 1999; Puente-Maestu et al., 2001; Arena et al., 2002).

In comparison to healthy individuals, COPD patients exhibit slowed \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics both for moderate intensity exercise (Nery et al., 1982; Palange et al., 1995; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000; Somfay et al., 2002) and for higher intensity exercise (Casaburi et al., 1997; Neder et al., 2000). The responsible mechanisms are unclear, although it has been proposed that a combination of circulatory and peripheral metabolic factors may be involved (Nery et al., 1982; Palange et al., 1995; Casaburi et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000; Somfay et al., 2002). That the slower \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in COPD patients can be speeded by an exercise
rehabilitation intervention (Casaburi et al., 1997; Otsuka et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000) is considered as advantageous since this will imply a reduction in the oxygen deficit (Section 1.2), and hence a reduced reliance on anaerobic energy sources. In addition, Casaburi et al. (1991) showed that, as a result of exercise training, COPD patients exhibited a reduced lactic acidosis and consequently a reduced ventilatory demand for the same work rate, meaning that they were able to exercise at higher work rates before attaining their maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV).

5.1.2 Model discrimination for characterising \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in COPD patients

It has been both argued (Barstow et al., 1990) and demonstrated (Grassi et al., 1996) that, for healthy subjects, the Phase II \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in moderate exercise (i.e. below \( \dot{V}O_2_{\text{L}} \)) reflect those of \( \dot{Q}_{\text{mO}_2} \) (Section 1.4). It is important, therefore, that the model used to estimate the kinetic parameters of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response is physiologically valid, for exploring the mechanistic basis of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and hence \( \dot{Q}_{\text{mO}_2} \) control not only in healthy subjects, but also in pathological conditions such as COPD, where oxygen utilisation during exercise is compromised (i.e. reflected in slow \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics - Nery et al., 1982; Palange et al., 1995; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000; Neder et al., 2000; Somfay et al., 2002).

As discussed previously, it has become conventional to functionally “exclude” the Phase I \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response and thus to confine the fit to the Phase II component. This practice stemmed from the 1982 analysis by Whipp and colleagues (Whipp et al., 1982) who, for the first time, accurately characterised the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics to repeated step-increases in work rate for cycle ergometry, and were able to reliably discern a discrete Phase I component not only from a resting baseline (abrupt and large) but also from a baseline of “unloaded” pedalling (slower and smaller). That previous investigators had failed to discriminate the Phase I component from a baseline of prior exercise reflected its effective “invisibility” in the breath-to-breath noise characteristic of single work rate transitions (Lamarra et al., 1987; Rossiter et al., 2000), as is evident in Figure 5.3.
Thus, there had appeared to be justification, at least on empirical grounds, for modelling the entire non-steady state $\dot{V}O_2$ response profile as a single exponential. However, the inclusion of the Phase I data clearly had a contaminating effect — as was evident from the goodness-of-fit obtained when only the Phase II data-set was included in the fit, in comparison to the whole data-set (Models 3 and 2 of Whipp et al., 1982, respectively). Figure 5.4 illustrates the impact of the poor fit, early in the transient when Phase I was included, on the estimated time constants obtained by Whipp et al. with their Model 2 and Model 3 analyses, for both the rest-to-exercise and exercise-to-exercise transitions.
Figure 5.4 - Examples showing how the time constant (τ) estimate derived from an exponential model is dependent on the time frame used, since the Phase I response causes a lengthening of τ when it is included in the fit (Model 2) for both the rest-to-100W and “0”-to-100W transitions. Adapted from Whipp et al. (1982).

When the oxygen deficit is to be calculated, however, the Phase I response must be included in the calculation (Whipp, 1987). As discussed previously, this can be achieved by multiplying the MRT (i.e. the time constant of an exponential constrained to begin at exercise onset: Model 1 of Whipp et al., 1982), by the amplitude. Alternatively, but also equally validly, an exponential model containing a delay term can be applied from exercise onset (Model 2 of Whipp et al., 1982), with the oxygen deficit being calculated as the product of the amplitude and the sum of the time constant and delay values. The sum of the time constant and delay using this model is numerically equivalent to the “effective time constant” (τ'), or the MRT, of Model 1 above (Linnarsson, 1974; Barstow et al., 1990).

The estimation of a MRT, using either Model 1 or 2 above, has been carried out in patients presenting with a variety of clinical conditions: COPD (Casaburi et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000; Neder et al., 2000; Somfay et al., 2002); Type II diabetes (Brandenburg et al., 1999); chronic heart failure (Koike et al., 1994; Sietsema et al., 1994; Picozzi et al., 1999; Rocca et al., 1999; Arena et al., 2002); and other cardiovascular disorders such as dilated cardiomyopathy and hypertensive heart disease (Koike et al., 1995). Where the exercise transitions could be confirmed as being of moderate intensity,
these calculations would permit valid estimation of the oxygen deficit. Unfortunately, however, many of these studies have confused the MRT estimate with a valid estimate of the Phase II $\tau$, and consequently, have made invalid comparisons either with other populations (Sietsema et al., 1994; Koike et al., 1995; Picozzi et al., 1999; Neder et al., 2000; Arena et al., 2002; Somfay et al., 2002), or "pre" and "post" an exercise training intervention (Casaburi et al., 1997; Brandenburg et al., 1999; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000). In such circumstances, ascribing changes in $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics to those of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ would clearly not be warranted. Indeed, whilst there is little argument concerning the use of a $x'$ or MRT as an expedient, there has been no definitive description, to date, of how it relates to the actual Phase II $\tau$ in COPD patients.

As Lamarra et al. (1987) have pointed out, however, the ability to reliably resolve $\dot{V}O_2$ response kinetics is compromised when the amplitude of the asymptotic or steady state $\dot{V}O_2$ response is small, as is the case for many patient groups (e.g. Nery et al., 1982; Koike et al., 1994 & 1995; Sietsema et al., 1994; Palange et al., 1995; Casaburi et al., 1997; Picozzi et al., 1999; Rocca et al., 1999; Brandenburg et al., 1999; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000; Neder et al., 2000; Arena et al., 2002; Somfay et al., 2002). An additional concern in COPD patients relates to the influence of Phase I, since in any condition characterised by a relatively hypokinetic circulation (e.g. Slutsky et al., 1980; Mahler et al., 1984; Matthay et al., 1992), it might not be unreasonable to expect that the Phase I duration might be prolonged relative to that of healthy individuals. Such a scenario would thus complicate physiologically-meaningful interpretation of a prolonged $\dot{V}O_2$, $x'$ or MRT, which could reflect a true slowing of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ kinetics, a prolonged Phase I duration, or both.

There are also concerns about modelling strategies above $\theta_L$, where the development of the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component places additional requirements on the definition of the Phase II (or fundamental) $\dot{V}O_2$ component. As discussed later, some previous investigations have used an arbitrary percentage of the WRpeak attained during an incremental test as a means of normalising exercise intensity for subsequent constant work rate transitions (e.g. Casaburi et al., 1997). However, none of these studies has formally considered the possibility that these work rates may (or may not) consistently correspond to a supra-$\theta_L$ exercise intensity. If this were to be the case, then the possible emergence of the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component would need to be considered in the modelling discrimination, although to date the supra-$\theta_L$ $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics have not been formally characterised in COPD patients.
The aim of this study was therefore to investigate the influence of model structure on the characterisation of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in COPD patients, prior to, and following, an exercise training intervention. This chapter focuses on data collected as part of a major interdepartmental collaborative research study into the effects of creatine supplementation on functional exercise capacity and quality of life (see patient consent form in Appendix 5). Due to the large assortment of variables being examined, ranging from field-based walking tests to muscle strength, questionnaire data, pulmonary function and lab-based exercise tests, the overall structure of the project prevented optimal design of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics assessment. Due to the large number of visits to the lab that patients had to make, it was decided to investigate \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics during the standardised warm-up to other tests since this meant that multiple repetitions could be gained without requiring additional visits. Furthermore, due to the severe nature of the exercise limitation experienced by these patients, the protocols had to incorporate the rest-to-exercise transition, as detailed in Section 5.2.5, rather than the more conventional exercise-to-exercise transition. Despite the limitations imposed, the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics assessment formed an interesting and valuable sub-project within the overall investigation, permitting accurate model discrimination in this population both before and after an exercise training intervention, as discussed subsequently.
5.2 METHODS

In addition to the overall Methods chapter (Chapter 2), the following section details the exact protocols and study design that were implemented, and describes modelling strategies that were exclusive to this study.

5.2.1 Subjects and Procedures

Twenty-two patients (15 males, 7 females) were recruited from the out-patient Pulmonary Rehabilitation Assessment Clinic, at the Department of Respiratory Medicine, Glasgow Royal Infirmary. Due to the large subject numbers, the individual patient characteristics are presented in the Appendix, and summarised in Table 5.1. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients according to the Research Ethics Committee of Glasgow Royal Infirmary (Appendix).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V̇O₂ peak</td>
<td>ml.min⁻¹</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V̇O₂ peak</td>
<td>ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁</td>
<td>l (BTPS)</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ %pred.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC %</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>l (BTPS)</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC %pred.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO %pred.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1 – Subject characteristics. All data presented are means (± S.D.) calculated from individual data (Appendix). Peak V̇O₂ (V̇O₂ peak) was determined from a symptom-limited ramp incremental test (see text for details). Pulmonary function indices (see text for details): forced expired volume in 1 s (FEV₁); forced vital capacity (FVC); total lung capacity (TLC); carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLCO); peak inspiratory and expiratory pressures (PIP and PEP respectively). Some values are presented as a percentage of predicted values (%pred. – see text for details).

All patients participating in the investigation were diagnosed with stable moderate-to-severe COPD (FEV₁ < 60% predicted and FEV₁/FVC ratio < 70% - Table 5.1). Inclusion criteria were absence of locomotor or neurological diseases, and no change in medication dosage or exacerbation of symptoms requiring oral prednisolone or antibiotics in the preceding 4 weeks. All patients were optimised in terms of standard medical therapy:
maintenance medication included β₂-agonists, anticholinergics, theophylline or inhaled steroids (American Thoracic Society, 1999).

5.2.2 Study design

Lung function, body composition and both field and laboratory-based exercise performance were assessed in all patients before they undertook an eight-week training intervention. This exercise rehabilitation course, supervised by the Physiotherapy Department at Glasgow Royal Infirmary, comprised a one-hour session, two days per week for the duration. According to the guidelines of the British Thoracic Society (2001) and the American Thoracic Society (1999), the classes incorporated a wide-range of exercise modalities and tasks, each session consisting of a warm-up and cool-down, mobility training, dynamic strength training of the upper and lower extremities, endurance training and stretching. Exercise intensity was monitored throughout the course according to the level of dyspnoea experienced (Borg, 1982; Horowitz & Mahler, 1998), allowing training work rates to be increased as the course proceeded, according to the overload principle of training (e.g. Bompa, 1999; Hoffman, 2002). In addition to the exercise classes, patients were instructed to perform a variety of exercises at home, on additional days. Throughout the rehabilitation course, patients maintained a log of the training performed, detailing relative intensity as the rating of dyspnoea and absolute intensity as durations, weights, sets and repetitions. On completion of the training course, patients repeated the same exercise assessments as for pre-rehabilitation.

5.2.3 Pulmonary Function

All pulmonary function tests were performed according to the guidelines established by the European Respiratory Society (Quanjer et al., 1994) and recommended by the British Thoracic Society (1994). Accordingly, at least three satisfactory manoeuvres were performed for each test, with the highest value being presented for FEV₁ and FVC and the mean of the three results presented for other indices. Normal values used for comparison were obtained from Quanjer et al. (1994), with values lying ± 2 standard deviations outside the predicted values being considered as abnormal.

Standard spirometric tests were carried out using the flow-volume module of a constant volume body plethysmograph incorporating a heated wire anemometer (V6200 Autobox, SensorMedics Corporation, California, USA). Maximal forced and “slow” expiratory manoeuvres were performed for measurement of forced vital capacity (FVC), forced
expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and inspiratory capacity (IC). Static lung volumes were measured using the same constant volume body plethysmograph (V6200 Autobox, SensorMedics Corporation, California, USA), for calculation of residual volume (RV) and total lung capacity (TLC). Single breath carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLCO) was measured using the Transflow System (Model 540, Morgan Medical, Kent, UK). Peak inspiratory and expiratory pressures (PIP and PEP) were determined using a Morgan handheld pressure monitor (Ferraris Medical, Kent, UK).

5.2.4 Breath-by-breath gas exchange
A CPX/D Medical Graphics (St. Paul, MN, USA) metabolic cart was used for measuring breath-by-breath gas exchange. This system incorporates a zirconium cell and an infrared cell for analysis of respired air for O₂ and CO₂ concentrations, respectively. Prior to all tests, the analysers underwent a two-point calibration using a reference gas (CO₂ 5%, O₂ 12%, N₂ balance) and room air. Gas flow was measured using an infrared Pitot tube that was calibrated over a range of flow rates prior to all tests using a high precision 3-litre syringe (Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, MO, USA). The algorithms for online computation of breath-by-breath values for VO₂ were the same as described in Section 2.3.5.3 (Beaver et al., 1973). All laboratory-based exercise tests were performed on an electrically braked cycle ergometer (Corival 400, Lode, Groningen, The Netherlands).

5.2.5 Exercise Tests
Similar to Chapter 2, patients initially performed an incremental test to the limit of tolerance (tlim) for determination of symptom-limited or peak VO₂, calculated as the mean value over the final 20 s. The protocol began with 3 minutes of quiet rest on the cycle ergometer followed by 3 minutes of pedalling at 20W, with the work rate subsequently being increased at an incrementation rate of 5 or 10 W min⁻¹ depending on the habitual physical activity level and pulmonary function of each patient. For the rest-to-20W transitions, the experimenters manually turned the pedals during the first few revolutions (< 5 s) of the test to overcome the initial associated mechanical inertia. On a different day, allowing at least 48 hours recovery between tests, patients also performed an “endurance” test that consisted of the same baseline (3 minutes rest and 3 minutes at 20W), and then constant work rate cycling until tlim at a work rate equal to 80% of the peak work rate attained in the incremental test (80% WRpeak). In total, patients performed at least two rest-to-20W transitions (some patients early in the study did not perform the endurance test), both pre- and post-rehabilitation.
5.2.6 Analysis

The strategy for handling the breath-by-breath data for the rest-to-20W transitions was identical to that detailed in Section 2.3.5.4: erroneous breaths were removed; transitions were interpolated on a second-by-second basis; like transitions were time-aligned and added before averaging the data into 10 s bins for subsequent modelling (Lamarra et al., 1987). Single transitions that were not considered representative, i.e. due to excessive “noise”, were not included. In addition, in order to improve visual identification of the start of the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ response, it was decided to also further average the data into 5 s bins to increase the density of data points in this region of the response.

As detailed in Section 2.3.5.5, the data were modelled using iterative non-linear least squares regression. The monoexponential equation used for all kinetic modelling was the same as Equation 2.9, taken from Whipp et al. (1982), however, the data range to which the model was applied was dependent on the modelling strategy employed. Three modelling strategies were used (Figure 5.5):

1. Model $\tau'$ - To allow comparisons with existing research (Casaburi et al., 1997; Puente-Macsttu et al., 2000; Neder et al., 2000; Somfay et al., 2002), the effective time constant ($\tau'$) was calculated as the sum of the $\tau$ and $\delta$ terms of Equation 2.9, derived when the model is applied to the entire data-set from exercise onset ($t = 0$ s), i.e. including Phase I (“Model 2” of Whipp et al., 1982).

2. Model $\tau_{20s}$ - Based on existing evidence for healthy subjects, “Model 3” of Whipp et al. (1982) was used in an attempt to isolate and model the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. This modelling approach traditionally requires that the first 20 s of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response is excluded from the monoexponential fit, thus the $\tau$ estimate is assumed to reflect the Phase II response, free from contamination of the Phase I response.

3. Model $\tau_{real}$ - Since the response dynamics of the Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ response in the rest-to-20W transition have yet to be formally described for COPD patients, the start of the Phase II response was visually identified from the $\dot{V}O_2$ profile when the averaging interval was deliberately reduced to 5 s to increase the density of data points. In patients where the start of the Phase II response was clearly later than 20 s, Equation 2.9 was applied from this point rather than being constrained to begin from $t = 20$ s, in an attempt to completely exclude the Phase I response from the exponential fit, and hence accurately estimate the Phase II $\tau$ of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response (“$\tau_{real}$”). In cases where this modelling strategy did not produce a discernible improvement in the fit, as...
described subsequently, and the onset of the Phase II response occurred earlier than $t = 20$ s, the same value for $\tau_{\text{real}}$ was recorded as using "Model $\tau_{20}$" above.

Figure 5.5 – Schematic illustrating how estimates of the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics were obtained using three different modelling strategies: (a) Model $\tau' - \tau'$ is the sum of the $\tau$ and $\delta$ estimates of an exponential applied to the whole data-set ("Model 2" of Whipp et al., 1982); (b) Model $\tau_{20}$ - the $\tau$ estimate obtained from a monoexponential constrained to begin 20 s after exercise onset ("Model 3" of Whipp et al., 1982); and (c) Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$ – the $\tau$ estimate obtained from a monoexponential applied from the start of Phase II, visually identified from the 5 s averaged plot.
5.2.7 Statistical Analysis

Since the number of data points included in the model fit varied between each of these three modelling strategies, the traditional goodness-of-fit statistical comparison could not be performed, as $\chi^2$ is dependent on the number of data points (as discussed in Section 4.2.2 for supra-0L exercise). The group-mean residual plot was obtained for each exponential fitting strategy by averaging the residual plots obtained for each patient. These residual plots were visually compared for each model, to assess which model best-characterised the data. Using these plots, goodness-of-fit was described by the residual plot showing flatness and a normal distribution about the zero-amplitude line. For example, in Figure 5.5 (to exaggerate the outcomes of the different modelling strategies) the residual plot for the $\tau'$ and $\tau_{20s}$ models could not reasonably be considered to be flat, whereas the residual plot for the $\tau_{real}$ model was completely flat – i.e. the portion of the data-set used conformed (by definition) to a true exponential beginning from $\tau = 30$ s.

To assess whether or not the residuals where normally distributed, a frequency distribution histogram was constructed for the group-mean residual plot, using each modelling strategy. These histograms were compared not only with each other, but also with the corresponding hypothetical "normal" curve that would be expected for each frequency distribution histogram. Therefore, the degree of flatness and normal distribution of the residual plot were used as criteria for comparing the appropriateness of the modelling strategies used, i.e. in the context of deciding which $\tau$ estimate most accurately reflected the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics ("$\tau_{real}$").

To investigate whether the exercise training rehabilitation programme had a speeding effect on the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics during the rest-to-20W transition, Student's paired $t$-tests were used to compare the pre- and post-rehabilitation $\tau$ estimates obtained for each modelling strategy. To test whether the magnitude of change in $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics was dependent on the modelling strategy used, further paired $t$-tests were carried out on the difference in $\tau$ ($\Delta\tau$) as a result of training, for the three modelling strategies ("$\tau'$", "$\tau_{20s}$", and "$\tau$". Note that such comparisons could only be made in individuals for whom all three modelling strategies provided an acceptable $\tau$ estimate.

In addition, some investigators have highlighted that simple statistical comparisons, as used here, may not provide a complete portrayal of an intervention effect (e.g. Hopkins, 2000; Koufaki et al., 2002). These authors have documented that the impact of an
intervention should be assessed relative to the standard error of the measurement, since this will be provide additional information regarding whether such effects will be reflected in adaptations for all subjects. Therefore a comparison was also made of the proportion of patients whose training-effect was greater than the standard error of the mean (S.E.M.).
5.3 RESULTS

Tables in the following sections summarise the group results. In addition, all results are presented in the Appendix on an individual basis.

5.3.1 Model discrimination for characterising $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in COPD patients

Of the twenty-two patients who underwent the exercise rehabilitation programme, the data from 11 (50%) patients provided $\tau$ estimates using all three modelling strategies ($\tau'$, $\tau_{20s}$, and $\tau_{\text{real}}$—Table 5.2), despite the small amplitude of the response. The differences between the $\tau$ estimates are discussed subsequently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Training status</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Mean (s)</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau'$</td>
<td>Pre-rehab</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>62.8**</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-rehab</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>46.2†</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{20s}$</td>
<td>Pre-rehab</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>53.4‡</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-rehab</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40.6*</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{real}}$</td>
<td>Pre-rehab</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-rehab</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2 — Summary of group-mean $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics ($\tau$ estimate), modelled using three different strategies ($\tau'$, $\tau_{20s}$, and $\tau_{\text{real}}$—see text for details). *significantly longer than $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimate at same stage of investigation (pre or post-rehabilitation), $P < 0.05$. **significantly longer than $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimate at same stage of investigation, $P < 0.01$. †tendency to be longer than $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimate at same stage of investigation, $P = 0.08$ and 0.09 respectively.

However, on closer inspection of the individual and group-mean residual plots and the respective frequency distribution histograms, the $\tau'$ and $\tau_{20s}$ values, estimated using an exponential function applied from $t = 0$ s and $t = 20$ s respectively, may not be accurately reflecting the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in all patients. For example, for the representative patient presented in Figure 5.6, the same data are better modelled using an exponential from $t = 20$ s (Model $\tau_{20s}$, or “Model 3” of Whipp et al., 1982 - Figure 5.6(b)) than from exercise onset (Model $\tau'$, or “Model 2” of Whipp et al., 1982 - Figure 5.6(a)). These patterns were evident throughout the patient population, as can be seen by the group-mean residual plots, where the residuals are flatter for Model $\tau_{20s}$ (Figure 5.6(d)) than Model $\tau'$ (Figure 5.6(c)). The difference was particularly striking during the first 30 s of the response, where Model $\tau'$ clearly did not characterise the data well; i.e. there is a large negative deflection in the group-mean residual plot, which further compromised the goodness-of-fit for the Phase II portion of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response. Improvement of the fit with
Model $\tau_{20s}$ was illustrated by inspection of the frequency distribution histograms for the group-mean residuals (Figures 5.6(e) & (f)). The residuals for Model $\tau'$ not only show more spread than those for Model $\tau_{20s}$, but they were not normally distributed, again with a large negative deflection observed, indicating an inferior fit by this model.

![Graphs showing comparison of goodness-of-fit between Model $\tau'$ and Model $\tau_{20s}$. Exponential fits (dark solid lines) for a representative patient (no. 23) and $\tau$ estimates obtained using (a) Model $\tau'$ and (b) Model $\tau_{20s}$, with residuals for each fit shown (light solid lines). Group-mean residual profiles for (c) Model $\tau'$ and (d) Model $\tau_{20s}$. Frequency distribution histograms for the group-mean residual profiles obtained using (e) Model $\tau'$ and (f) Model $\tau_{20s}$, with normal curves shown.]

Figure 5.6 - Comparison of goodness-of-fit between Model $\tau'$ and Model $\tau_{20s}$. Exponential fits (dark solid lines) for a representative patient (no. 23) and $\tau$ estimates obtained using (a) Model $\tau'$ and (b) Model $\tau_{20s}$, with residuals for each fit shown (light solid lines). Group-mean residual profiles for (c) Model $\tau'$ and (d) Model $\tau_{20s}$. Frequency distribution histograms for the group-mean residual profiles obtained using (e) Model $\tau'$ and (f) Model $\tau_{20s}$, with normal curves shown.
Furthermore, Figure 5.7 illustrates that, for a representative patient, the same data are better modelled using an exponential applied from the visually identified beginning of Phase II (Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$ - Figure 5.7(b)) than from $t = 20$ s (Model $\tau_{20s}$, or “Model 3” of Whipp et al., 1982 - Figure 5.7(a)). To further emphasise this point, the frequency distribution histograms for the residual plots are presented for the same exponential fits of this representative patient (Figures 5.7 (c) & (d)).
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Figure 5.7 – Comparison of goodness-of-fit between Model $\tau_{20s}$ and Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$. Exponential fits (dark solid lines) for a representative patient (no. 4) and $\tau$ estimates obtained using (a) Model $\tau_{20s}$ and (b) Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$, with residuals for each fit shown (light solid lines). Frequency distribution histograms for the respective residual profiles of the same exponential fits as in (a) and (b), obtained using (c) Model $\tau_{20s}$ and (d) Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$, with normal curves shown.

This improvement in fit was not observed in all patients, although Figures 5.8 (a) & (b) clearly show that the group-mean residuals for the Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$ fits were both flat and normally distributed. These plots were similar to Figures 5.6 (d) & (f) for Model $\tau_{20s}$, but in contrast to Figures 5.6 (c) & (e) for Model $\tau'$, indicating that the data were well characterised, on average, using both Model $\tau_{20s}$ and Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$, but not Model $\tau'$. 
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Figure 5.8 – Group-mean residuals for Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$: (a) Group-mean residual profile, showing the plot for the data when the data was averaged every 5 s (dotted line) and 10 s (solid line), to allow direct comparison with Figures 5.6 (c) & (d); and (b) frequency distribution histogram for the group-mean residuals, with the normal distribution curve shown.

Whilst these figures clearly illustrate the improvement in the exponential fit when the data range is appropriately selected, there was considerable patient-to-patient variability, with respect to how the various modelling strategies impacted on the $\tau$ estimate. Figure 5.9 and Table 5.2 portray this variability and demonstrate that the pre-rehabilitation $\tau'$ estimates were significantly longer than the $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimates ($P < 0.01$), whilst the $\tau_{20s}$ estimates tended to be longer than the $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimates ($P = 0.075$). The post-rehabilitation $\tau'$ estimates tended to be longer than the $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimates ($P = 0.088$), whilst the $\tau_{20s}$ estimates were significantly longer than the $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimates ($P < 0.05$). Although these figures are insightful, it is the $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimates which are considered to most accurately characterise the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics.

Figure 5.9 – Variability of (a) pre- and (b) post-rehabilitation $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, quantified by the time constant ($\tau$), using the three different modelling strategies (Model $\tau'$, Model $\tau_{20s}$ and Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$ - see text for details). Open circles and dashed lines represent the individual patient data ($n = 11$). Solid squares, lines and error bars represent the means ± standard deviations. *significantly longer than $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimate, $P < 0.01$. **significantly longer than $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimate, $P < 0.05$. $^\dagger$tendency to be longer than $\tau_{\text{real}}, P = 0.08$ and 0.09 in (a) and (b) respectively.
5.3.2 Effect of exercise training on $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics

Whilst only the $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimates were considered accurate and valid for characterising the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in all patients, it is worthwhile to consider how the exercise training impacted on all of the $\tau$ estimates, for comparison with existing literature. All three modelling strategies provided $\tau$ estimates in only 11 patients, when each modelling strategy was considered separately for making comparisons of pre- and post-rehabilitation values. A significant speeding effect was observed for $\tau$ estimates obtained using all three modelling strategies (Table 5.3). The speeding of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics was greater than the S.E.M. in 10 out of 13 patients (77%) for whom $\tau'$ estimates were obtained, 9 out of 14 patients (64%) for whom $\tau_{20s}$ estimates were obtained and 10 out of 16 patients (63%) for whom $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimates were obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Training status</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Mean (s)</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau'$</td>
<td>Pre-rehab</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-rehab</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>48.2**</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{20s}$</td>
<td>Pre-rehab</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-rehab</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>41.9*</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{real}}$</td>
<td>Pre-rehab</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-rehab</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38.8*</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3 - Summary of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics ($\tau$ estimate), modelled using three different strategies ($\tau'$, $\tau_{20s}$ and $\tau_{\text{real}}$ – see text for details). Independent of the model used, there was a significant speeding of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics (decrease in $\tau$) from pre-rehabilitation (pre-rehab) to post-rehabilitation (post-rehab).

*significantly lower than pre-rehab value, $P < 0.05$. **significantly lower than pre-rehab value, $P < 0.01$.

Figure 5.10 illustrates this speeding effect in a representative patient. Interestingly, whilst it was the speeding of the $\tau_{\text{real}}$ estimates that was of primary importance, when the $\tau$ estimates obtained were compared for the 11 subjects whose data could be modelled using all three modelling strategies, there was no significant difference in the magnitude of the speeding effect between the three models.
5.3.3 Improvements in exercise capacity

Table 5.4 summarises that there was no significant effect of the training programme on exercise capacity, as judged from the results of the symptom-limited incremental test, i.e. as indicated by $\dot{V}O_2$ peak and WR peak. However, there was a significant improvement in the tolerable duration of the high-intensity constant work rate test, as shown by Figures 5.11 & 5.12 for a representative patient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-rehabilitation</th>
<th>Post-rehabilitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\dot{V}O_2$ peak</td>
<td>WR peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ml.min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± S.D.</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4 – Group-mean results for the exercise tests obtained during either a symptom-limited rapidly incremental ramp test (peak $\dot{V}O_2$ - $\dot{V}O_2$ peak; peak work rate - WR peak), or a constant work rate endurance test (time until the limit of tolerance - $t_{lim}$). **significantly greater than the corresponding pre-rehabilitation value ($P < 0.01$).
Figure 5.11 - $\dot{V}O_2$ profiles during symptom-limited ramp incremental cycling for a representative patient (no. 1), showing a comparison of pre (solid circles) and post-rehabilitation (open circles) responses. Vertical dashed and dotted lines reflect the different stages of the test and the patient attaining the limit of tolerance ($t_{lim}$) respectively.

Figure 5.12 - $\dot{V}O_2$ profiles during the constant work rate cycling at a work rate equivalent to 80% of the pre-rehabilitation WP_{peak} for a representative patient (no. 1), showing a comparison of pre (solid circles) and post-rehabilitation (open circles) responses. Vertical dashed and dotted lines reflect the different stages of the test and the patient attaining the limit of tolerance ($t_{lim}$ - or end of test, post-rehab) respectively.
5.4 DISCUSSION

The major finding of this analysis is that traditional models used to characterise \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in clinical populations do not accurately describe the fundamental component \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD. It was demonstrated that a prolonged duration of Phase I observed in some of these COPD patients significantly affects the goodness-of-fit using traditional models. Therefore, conclusions regarding the kinetics of the Phase II \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response, considered to reflect the kinetics of \( \dot{Q}uO_2 \) in healthy subjects, may be misleading where these models have been used in COPD patients. Interestingly, however, irrespective of the modelling strategy used there was a significant speeding of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics as a result of an 8-week exercise training programme. This study has demonstrated, for the first time, the sensitivity of Phase II \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics to detect an exercise training effect in COPD patients, where there was no significant improvement in \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \) or \( WR_{\text{peak}} \).

5.4.1 Modelling \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in COPD patients

Although multiple repetitions of the rest-to-20W transitions were performed (Lamarra et al., 1987) the data generated by some patients could not be modelled by an exponential process, as reported previously (Puente-Maestu et al., 2000 & 2001). This is perhaps unsurprising given the very small amplitude of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) responses. Despite these limitations, a realistic estimate for the fundamental component \( \tau \) (\( \tau_{\text{real}} \)) was still obtained both pre and post-rehabilitation in 73% of these severely debilitated patients, the accuracy of the \( \tau \) estimates being determined according to the flatness and normal distribution of the group-mean residuals to the exponential fits (Figures 5.8(a) & (b)).

That the \( \tau' \) model ("Model 2" of Whipp et al., 1982) provided "acceptable" estimates of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in a reduced number of the patients (i.e. analysis of the residuals suggested that the exponential fit did not convincingly characterise the data in the other patients) is unsurprising, due to the inclusion of Phase I in the exponential fit, and this is exemplified in Figure 5.6. These findings are in direct support of Whipp et al. (1982), who used multiple repetitions to accurately characterise the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in healthy individuals, clearly demonstrating that contamination of the exponential fit by the Phase I response results in inaccurate estimates for the Phase II \( \tau \). Despite this general consensus being accepted by the majority of research groups undertaking modelling of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics in
healthy populations, a considerable number of papers have applied the $\tau'$ approach in patients with a variety of pathological conditions: COPD (Casaburi et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000; Neder et al., 2000; Somfay et al., 2002); Type II diabetes (Brandenburg et al., 1999); chronic heart failure (Koike et al., 1994; Sietsema et al., 1994; Picozzi et al., 1999; Rocca et al., 1999; Arena et al., 2002); and other cardiovascular disorders such as dilated cardiomyopathy and hypertensive heart disease (Koike et al., 1995).

Figure 5.6 clearly illustrates that, as this approach takes no account of the temporal phases of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response to constant work rate cycling, the data are consequently not accurately characterised using this modelling strategy. $\tau'$ or MRT, which is derived from both Phase I and Phase II data, is an important parameter in the computation of the $O_2$ deficit (Whipp, 1971). However, to accurately characterise the Phase II kinetics this fundamental component must be successfully isolated from the Phase I contribution. In the 11 patients for whom reliable $\tau$ estimates were able to be obtained using all three modelling strategies, it was therefore unsurprising that the pre-rehabilitation values for $\tau'$ were significantly longer than $\tau_{\text{real}}$; i.e. the distorting influence of the Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ data was manifest as a lengthening of the $\tau$ estimate. For the same reason, the post-rehabilitation $\tau'$ estimates also tended to be longer than $\tau_{\text{real}}$. That this difference was not statistically significant is most likely explained by the larger variance of the $\tau'$ estimates for the post-rehabilitation responses (Figure 5.9). Therefore, the majority of values previously presented for $\tau$ in patient populations should be interpreted with caution due to the distorting influence of the Phase I component, as recently reported (Behnke et al., 2003).

Further improvements in the goodness-of-fit were achieved in some patients when the model fit was not constrained to begin at $\tau = 20$ s. It was noted, that in ten out of the twenty-two patients (45%), the residual plot associated with the exponential fit was improved by beginning the fit from a later time-point, as shown by the representative example in Figure 5.7. The improvement in fit in these patients was evidenced by a flatter and more nearly normal distribution of the residual plot; it should be emphasised that, as $\gamma^2$ is dependent on the number of points included in the fit, it could not be used for direct comparison. However, the corresponding group-mean residual plots were flat and normally distributed, supporting use of Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$ (Figure 5.8). This finding implies that the Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ response was prolonged in some of the COPD patients, in comparison to values expected for healthy individuals (e.g. Whipp et al., 1982). The current observation of a
prolonged duration of the Phase I \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response in some of the patients may be reflect a hypokinetic circulation (e.g. Slutsky et al., 1980; Mahler et al., 1984; Matthay et al., 1992), as has been reported previously for patients with pulmonary vascular disease (Sietsema, 1992), although this could not be confirmed in the present investigation.

Unfortunately, the exact time-point of the emergence of Phase II could not be determined in the current study using the standard gas exchange indices, which reflect the delayed expression of changes in muscle blood gas tensions at the lung, proposed by Linnarsson (1974) and Whipp et al. (1982). That is, Phase I increases in \( \dot{V}O_2 \), \( \dot{V}CO_2 \) and \( \dot{V}E \) have been shown to respond in close association with the increase in \( Q_p \) at the onset of exercise (Wasserman et al., 1974; Cummin et al., 1986) and so RER, \( \dot{V}E/\dot{V}O_2 \) and \( \dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2 \) remain essentially unaltered until the onset of Phase II. At the onset of Phase II, a decrease in \( \dot{V}E/\dot{V}O_2 \) occurs as the Phase II kinetics for \( \dot{V}E \) are slower than those for \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (reviewed in Whipp, 1980 and Whipp & Ward, 1991). In contrast, since the Phase II kinetics for \( \dot{V}E \) and \( \dot{V}CO_2 \) are essentially similar (reviewed in Whipp, 1980 and Whipp & Ward, 1991), \( \dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2 \) remains largely unaltered, and hence RER decreases. That such patterns of response were not observed in the current investigation is most likely due to the impact of “noise” on the breath-by-breath responses, and so the onset of the Phase II response had to be visually estimated, as previously carried out by Nery et al. (1982) with COPD patients.

The post-rehabilitation \( \tau_x \) ("Model 3" of Whipp et al., 1982) estimates were significantly longer than the \( \tau_{real} \) estimates, although the differences were not significant at the pre-rehabilitation stage. One possible reason why the pre-rehabilitation values were not, and the post-rehabilitation values were only slightly, significantly different \( (P = 0.049) \) may be that in five patients there was no improvement in the exponential fit when the starting point for the data range was extended. Therefore the same values were presented for \( \tau_{real} \) and \( \tau_x \) in these patients, presumably partially offsetting the differences in the other patients. Nonetheless, if valid and accurate values for the fundamental \( \dot{V}O_2 \) kinetics are required then the demonstration that the duration of Phase I may be longer in some patients must be addressed. That this observation was only evident when the averaging interval was reduced (5 s bins rather than 10 s) suggests that this averaging interval may be more appropriate when multiple repetitions are performed in this population; otherwise a prolonged Phase I response may be obscured and inaccurate values for \( \tau \) may be reported.
5.4.2 Speeding of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics as a result of exercise training

In support of previous research (Casaburi et al., 1997; Otsuka et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000), this study has demonstrated that exercise training induced a significant speeding of the slow $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics typically exhibited by COPD patients. However, for the first time, this study has demonstrated that this speeding effect is due to a faster Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ response, and not just a speeding of the overall $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics ($\tau'$) which could reflect changes in the Phase I and/or Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ responses, as discussed previously.

When the pre and post-rehabilitation $\tau$ estimates, obtained using three modelling strategies, are compared, the number of patients for whom $\tau$ estimates were obtained varied according to the modelling strategy employed. As shown in Table 5.3, there was a significant reduction in $\tau$ of 15.5 ($n = 13$), 9.2 ($n = 14$) and 7.4 s ($n = 16$) for $\tau'$, $\tau_{20s}$ and $\tau_{real}$ respectively. Some authors have recently highlighted the importance of comparing the magnitudes of intervention effects against the variance of the measurement (e.g. S.E.M.) to ascertain the true significance of the effect (Hopkins, 2000; Koufaki et al., 2002). Using all three modelling strategies it was evident that the magnitudes of the change in $\tau$ were greater than the S.E.M. in more than 63% of the patients (see Section 5.3.2), providing strong support that the magnitude of the training-effect is significant.

In the 11 patients for whom estimates of $\tau'$, $\tau_{20s}$ and $\tau_{real}$ were obtained the magnitude of the reduction in $\tau$, induced by exercise training, was independent of the model used to characterise the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. This demonstration can be interpreted in several ways. Firstly, Figure 5.9 illustrates that a lack of significant difference is probably due to the considerable patient-to-patient variability of $\tau$ depending on the model used, particularly for $\tau'$ estimates. Secondly, if the reductions in $\tau$ are assumed to be similar for all three modelling approaches for estimating $\tau$, this may suggest that the major contributor to reductions in $\tau'$ and $\tau_{20s}$ could be the speeding of the Phase II response, since changes in $\tau_{real}$ were of similar magnitude to those of $\tau'$ and $\tau_{20s}$. However, whilst this result is interesting and the interpretation speculative, it is vital to appreciate that only the $\tau_{real}$ values were considered to accurately characterise the fundamental $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics during the rest-to-20W transitions for all patients. "Model 3" of Whipp et al. (1982) has been widely accepted and applied in modelling the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in healthy subjects. However, the present study has demonstrated that this is not the case for all COPD patients (e.g. Figure 5.7) for this type of exercise transition.
The mechanisms underpinning the reported post-training speeding of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in young healthy subjects remain elusive (Hickson et al., 1978; Hagberg et al., 1980; Whipp & Ward, 1992; Phillips et al., 1995). Within this subject population, the general consensus is that $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics during moderate exercise are limited by intrinsic inertia of oxidative phosphorylation, as detailed in Section 1.5.1. It would therefore seem likely that adaptations responsible for speeding the fundamental component will reside in the trained skeletal musculature, specifically linked to aerobic metabolism. It has been well documented that exercise training of an aerobic nature will induce an increase in mitochondrial number and/or content (e.g. Holloszy & Coyle, 1984; Hoppeler & Fluck, 2003), accompanied by increased activity of oxidative enzymes (e.g. Gollnick et al., 1973a) and increased capillary-to-muscle fibre ratio (e.g. Hudlicka et al., 1992; Hepple, 2000). The relative importance of these adaptations in causing speeded $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics is presently unknown, although a recent theoretical modelling paper addressed this issue (Korzeniewski & Zoladz, 2003). This model focused on whether accelerated $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ kinetics, as a result of training, were mainly due to increased mitochondrial number or protein content, increased sensitivity of mitochondria to increased [ADP] (decreased maximal rate constant – $K_m$) and/or intensification of the parallel activation of ATP production by oxidative phosphorylation and subsequent ATP usage. Korzeniewski & Zoladz (2003) concluded that, in their model where $O_2$-delivery was assumed to be sufficient, the major adaptations to exercise training were increased amount of mitochondrial proteins and intensification of parallel activation of ATP usage and supply.

In older individuals the training-induced reductions in $\tau$ are typically larger, presumably since ageing itself results in a slowing of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics (Babcock et al., 1994; Chilibeck et al., 1996), with capacity for a larger margin of improvement. However, on closer inspection this observation is only applicable for muscle groups which are not routinely recruited in everyday activity in older populations (Chilibeck et al., 1997). Since Coggan et al. (1992) have shown a significantly reduced capillary-to-muscle fibre ratio in older subjects, the potential for an $O_2$-delivery limitation to exist as a determining factor of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in older subjects must be recognised. However, a recent training study with elderly subjects (Fukuoka et al., 2002) concluded that whilst the underlying mechanisms for speeded $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics could not be confirmed, there is little evidence that $O_2$ delivery is improved (Chilibeck et al., 1997; Bell et al., 2001a).
The COPD patients of the current study evidenced slower pre-rehabilitation $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics than typical values for healthy older subjects, both for Phase II $r$ in support of Nery et al. (1982) and Palange et al. (1995), and $r'$ in support of Neder et al. (2000) and Somfay et al. (2002). The mechanisms for these slowed kinetics in COPD patients, who are typically over fifty years old, are unclear and it is has been suggested that both intrinsic metabolic inertia and $O_2$-delivery limitations may exist (e.g. Nery et al., 1982; Casaburi et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000). For example, Nery et al. (1982) described evidence supporting blunted cardiovascular responses to exercise in COPD patients, due to high pulmonary vascular resistance, decreased vascular bed dilation capacity, changes in intrathoracic pressure affecting ventricular afterload and myocardial dysfunction (e.g. Slutsky et al., 1980; Mahler et al., 1984; Matthay et al., 1992). Furthermore, Jobin et al. (1998) demonstrated that skeletal muscle of COPD patients had lower capillary-to-fibre ratios than age-matched healthy individuals, suggesting that any potential $O_2$-delivery limitation in elderly individuals may be exacerbated.

The speeding of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics observed in the current study might therefore be explained by peripheral adaptations in conjunction with improved cardiovascular function, as suggested by existing literature (Casaburi et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000). Support for enhanced oxidative metabolic activity within the trained musculature of COPD patients has been presented (Maltais et al., 1996) and this was correlated with training-induced speeding of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics (Puente-Maestu et al., 2003). Maltais et al. (1996) demonstrated that a 12-week endurance training programme increased muscle oxidative capacity, indicated by increased activity of oxidative enzymes citrate synthase (CS) and 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HADH). This improvement in oxidative capacity was associated with reduced lactic acidosis for a given work rate, in support of Casaburi et al. (1991).

Puente-Maestu et al. (2003) observed similar increases in CS activity following 6 weeks of training and these changes were significantly correlated with faster $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics at exercise onset and faster restoration of the oxygenated haemoglobin ([HbO$_2$]) signal (measured using near-infrared spectroscopy) during recovery. Whilst these observations support the notion of improved muscle oxidative function as a result of training, manifest as speeded $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, the results must be interpreted with caution. The difficulties in interpreting the [HbO$_2$] signal have been discussed in Chapter 3, but Puente-Maestu et al. (2003) also modelled the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics as a MRT, an approach which the current study has
shown to be inaccurate if characterising the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. Furthermore, whilst it is considered that the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics of the fundamental component are considered to reflect those of $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ in healthy young subjects (Section 1.4), similar evidence has yet to be presented for elderly COPD patients.

Improved circulatory dynamics at exercise onset in COPD patients as a result of exercise training is harder to demonstrate, due to the inherent technical difficulties in continuously monitoring blood flow during dynamic exercise, as discussed in Sections 1.1 and 1.4.4. However, increased peak leg blood flow as a result of exercise training has been demonstrated in elderly healthy individuals (Martin et al., 1990), so such an effect may be likely to have occurred in the patients of the present study. Indirect evidence also comes from observations of faster adjustment of heart rate at exercise onset in COPD patients following exercise training (Casaburi et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000). Although the exact mechanisms explaining speeded $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in COPD patients following an exercise rehabilitation programme are yet to be resolved, there is limited evidence suggesting that both improved $O_2$-delivery and utilisation may contribute.

5.4.3 Interpretation of training-induced improvements in exercise capacity in COPD patients

The importance of exercise as part of a pulmonary rehabilitation programme is well recognised (e.g. Casaburi et al., 1997; American Thoracic Society, 1999; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000; Cooper, 2001; British Thoracic Society, 2001). Whilst not the primary focus of the present study, it is appropriate to consider any training-induced improvements in exercise capacity. Figure 5.11 showed an example of the pre and post-rehabilitation $\dot{V}O_2$ profiles in response to symptom-limited incremental cycling and Table 5.4 demonstrated that there was no significant improvement in $\dot{V}O_2_{peak}$ or $WR_{peak}$. This demonstration is in support of previous research where $\dot{V}O_2_{peak}$ is sometimes significantly increased (e.g. Lacasse et al., 1996; Casaburi et al., 1997; Sala et al., 1999), but changes are small and not always apparent (Fukuoka et al., 2002). This provides further support for using alternative exercise tests, such as constant work rate tests, in addition to incremental tests, when assessing the efficacy of an exercise rehabilitation programme in COPD patients (e.g. Casaburi et al., 1997; American Thoracic Society, 1999; Wasserman et al., 1999; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000).
This is evidenced by the larger improvement in $t_{\text{lim}}$ observed for the same patient during the symptom-limited endurance test at a constant work rate equivalent to 80\% of the pre-rehabilitation $WR_{\text{peak}}$ (Figure 5.12), and by the significant improvement in $t_{\text{lim}}$ (averaging 157\%) observed across the group (Table 5.4), in support of Casaburi et al. (1997). However, whilst such results were significant, there was considerable variability in the magnitude of improvement, evidenced by the much larger standard deviation of the mean post-rehabilitation $t_{\text{lim}}$ values (Table 5.4). This finding is important and raises serious concerns about interpreting improvements in $t_{\text{lim}}$ when an arbitrary high percentage of the pre-rehabilitation $WR_{\text{peak}}$, such as 80\% as used here, is selected as the imposed work rate for constant work rate “endurance” tests. An absolute, or proportional, change in $t_{\text{lim}}$ post-intervention will depend critically on the characteristics of a patient’s power-duration relationship which, as is the case for healthy subjects, have been shown to be quite variable in a group of COPD patients (Neder et al., 2000 - Figure 5.13).
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**Figure 5.13** – The power-duration relationship in COPD patients and age-matched control subjects. *Top panels* show the power-duration hyperbola for estimation of the critical power (CP) and the curvature constant ($W^\prime$). *Bottom panels* show the same data linearised as the power-time$^{-1}$ relationship. From Neder et al. (2000).
That is, the magnitude of change in $t_{\text{lim}}$ is likely to vary in a complex manner, both with the location of the imposed work rate on the power-duration curve and with intervention-induced changes in CP and/or $W'$. For example, Figure 5.14 is a schematic, using the group-mean values of Neder et al. (2000 - CP = 68 W, or 82% $W_{\text{peak}}$ and $W' = 6$ kJ), to show how changes in CP and/or $W'$ could cause $t_{\text{lim}}$ to increase over a wide range. There are two hypothetical horizontal lines inserted on the schematic to represent two different patients ($x$ and $y$), who demonstrate similar pre- and post-rehabilitation power-duration hyperbolae, but the imposed work rate for the constant work rate test (assumed to be a fixed percentage of $W_{\text{peak}}$) may impact on the power-duration hyperbola at quite different points. Hence, not only will the pre-rehabilitation $t_{\text{lim}}$ value be highly variable (106 s in patient $x$ vs. 251 s in patient $y$), but the same training-induced improvements in CP and/or $W'$ will be manifest as markedly different improvements in $t_{\text{lim}}$ (ranging from 17 - 50 s in patient $x$ and 41 - 332 s in patient $y$).

![Figure 5.14](image-url)

Figure 5.14 - Schematic of how $t_{\text{lim}}$ values for a constant work rate test will be highly variable, dependent on the location of the imposed work rate on the power-duration relationship. Two horizontal lines reflect two different patients ($x$ and $y$ - see text for details). Three hypothetical effects of a training intervention on both critical power (CP) and/or the curvature constant ($W'$) are shown ($\uparrow$CP to 80 W, $\uparrow$W to 7 kJ, and both $\uparrow$CP to 80 W and $\uparrow$W' to 7 kJ). The pre-intervention curve reflects the group-mean values (CP = 68 W, $W' = 6.02$ kJ) from Neder et al. (2000).

This theoretical example highlights that, whilst $t_{\text{lim}}$ for constant work rate cycling may prove a sensitive marker of training-induced adaptation, interpretation of such improvements is extremely difficult without knowledge of the intensity of the imposed
work rate, for which the power-duration relationship must be evaluated. Therefore, the reasons for improved $t_{\text{lim}}$ in the current study cannot be provided, since the above schematic has illustrated that either an increased critical power, an increased curvature constant, or a combination of both, may provide the explanation. Furthermore, details of the mechanistic basis of the power-duration relationship are unknown for COPD patients, although it is likely to be related (in part, at least) to ventilatory limitation (Neder et al., 2000).

5.4.4 Future research

The previous discussion has clearly highlighted that an interesting avenue for further research would be to investigate the effects of an exercise training program on the power-duration relationship in COPD patients. Furthermore, since the critical power and curvature constant are hypothesised to have different underlying mechanisms in COPD patients (Neder et al., 2000), it would be of considerable interest to investigate the effect of exercise training intensity on CP and $W'$.

To follow on from the current results, where the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics during the rest-to-20W transition have been accurately characterised in COPD patients, it would be useful to extend the work rate transitions to include supra-$\theta_1$ work rates. Whilst the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics have been extensively characterised for all intensity domains in healthy individuals (Ozyener et al., 2001), there has to date been no formal characterisation of supra-$\theta_1$ kinetics in COPD patients. In these patients, where $O_2$-delivery and $O_2$-utilisation may determine the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, such an investigation may provide further insight into the mechanisms underpinning the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component.

It would also be valuable to extend the results of Grassi et al. (1996), which demonstrated the close temporal relationship between the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{Q}O_2$ kinetics during moderate exercise in healthy young individuals. Such a demonstration in COPD patients would allow further interpretation regarding the training-induced speeding of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in COPD patients. However, it is acknowledged that such invasive procedures may prove extremely difficult in such a patient population, particularly considering the small changes in work rate that can be tolerated.
The discussion of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in COPD patients has thus far considered there to be a single value of $\tau$ for each patient in the moderate intensity domain. Whipp et al. (2002b) have challenged this notion of a single compartment model, rather suggesting a multi-compartment model may exist, whereby $\tau$ is progressively increased due to the gradual recruitment of less efficient muscle fibres as work rate increases. Whilst this issue is discussed in considerably more detail in Section 6.3.3, an interesting point related to this has been raised by Whipp et al. (2002a) in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). This discussion centred on interpretation of the slope of the linear $\dot{V}O_2$-work rate relationship in rapid ramp-incremental exercise protocols. Following an initial "kinetic" phase, this $\dot{V}O_2$ response is considered to be parallel to the steady state response for moderate intensity constant work rate exercise of increasing work rates, with a constant lag equivalent to the MRT or effective time constant (Whipp et al., 1981). The slope ($\Delta\dot{V}O_2/\Delta W_R$) is a useful index of work efficiency in healthy subjects. However, for patients with cardiopulmonary diseases a low reported slope may not necessarily reflect enhanced efficiency, but rather a lengthening of $\tau$ with increasing work rate (with implications for system "order"), as shown in Figure 5.15.
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Figure 5.15 – Schematic illustrating the comparison of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response to moderate intensity constant work rate exercise (left side) and incremental exercise (right side). The top panels are representative of healthy individuals with $\tau$ independent of work rate, whereas the lower panels represent a patient where $\tau$ may be lengthened at higher work rates in the moderate intensity domain, but the steady state gain is unaltered. This translates as reduced slope in the incremental test, despite an unchanged gain. Taken from Whipp et al. (2002a).

The design of the current study precluded testing of this hypothesis, since only one change in work rate from a resting baseline was feasible for moderate intensity exercise. It is recognised, however, that this postulation requires further investigation, although it may be difficult to perform multiple sub-$\theta_L$ transitions to different work rates with sufficient amplitudes of response in patients such as those of the current study, where exercise capacity is severely diminished. This raises the interesting possibility whether other strategies involving repetitive forcing functions such as PRBS (Section 1.3.1) might be of value (Kowalchuk & Hughson, 1990; Hoffmann et al., 1992; Edwards et al., 2003), as long as $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in moderate exercise are demonstrably first-order in COPD patients (this has not been investigated formally).
5.4.5 Conclusion

This study has demonstrated the interpretational importance of using an appropriate modelling strategy to characterise the kinetics of $\dot{VO}_2$, and the difficulties associated with interpreting improvements in $t_{1/2}$ as a result of exercise training. It has been shown that traditional modelling strategies for isolating the fundamental component may not be applicable for COPD patients during rest-to-moderate exercise transitions, due to a prolonged Phase I response in some patients. However, the fundamental component $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics, when modelled accurately, have proven to be a sensitive measure of training-induced adaptations in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD, although mechanisms for the speeded $\dot{VO}_2$ kinetics remain conjectural.
Chapter 6 Discussion

6.1 MODELLING $\dot{V}O_2$ KINETICS

Throughout Chapters 1 to 5 there have been a number of issues raised relating to model discrimination for accurate and valid characterisation of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. This is unsurprising, since within existing literature there is no general consensus as to the optimum modelling strategy to be employed, for both sub- and supra-$\dot{V}O_2$ exercise. It is useful therefore to consider the exercise intensity domains separately in this regard.

6.1.1 Sub-$\dot{V}O_2$ exercise – Phase I

For sub-$\dot{V}O_2$ exercise, the primary concern is isolation of the fundamental component from the initial cardiodynamic phase, for subsequent kinetic modelling. Section 5.1.2 addressed how different exponential models (Models 1 or 2 of Whipp et al., 1982) can be used for successful computation of the oxygen deficit in this moderate domain, as demonstrated recently (Ozyener et al., 2003). However, in the majority of instances it is the Phase II or fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics that are modelled, since they have been argued (Barstow et al., 1990) and demonstrated (Grassi et al., 1996) to closely reflect the kinetics of $\dot{V}O_2$ in this exercise intensity domain. Therefore, the Phase I response must be excluded from the kinetic analysis and there are presently two main approaches used to achieve this within the literature:

(a) Applying a monocexponential fit either from $t = 20$ s (“Model 3” of Whipp et al., 1982) or the visually identified onset of Phase II (Model $\tau_{\text{real}}$ in Chapter 5), thus eliminating the muscle-to-lung vascular transit delay and the majority of the influence of oxygen stores (Whipp et al., 1982; Barstow et al., 1990).

(b) Applying a double-exponential model from $t = 0$ s, the first exponential component modelling the Phase I response and the second exponential component modelling the Phase II response (e.g. Hughson & Kowalchuk, 1995; Barstow et al., 1996).

With model (a), the concern is whether the model being applied from $t = 20$ s is permitting exclusion of Phase I, but yet not eliminating too much of the data from the fundamental component. Whilst this modelling strategy is justified in healthy young subjects (Whipp et al., 1982), the results of Chapter 5 have clearly shown that this time frame may not be applicable for all populations of subjects, the duration of Phase I being longer in some, but not all, COPD patients in this investigation. Therefore, the use of this traditional modelling approach from $t = 20$ s may require revision when the population under investigation is different from healthy young subjects. The ideal scenario would be to identify the start of
Phase II using respiratory indices (Linnareson, 1974; Whipp et al., 1982), however, as discussed in Section 5.4.1, the noise typically associated with breath-by-breath gas analysis often prevents such identification. Therefore, it is justifiable to use the “Model 3” of Whipp et al. (1982) for healthy young subjects, but perhaps with other populations the averaging interval should be reduced in an attempt to visually identify the start of the Phase II $\dot{V}O_2$ response, as suggested in Section 5.4.1 (Model $\tau$real).

With model (b), the primary concern is the highly questionable use of a monoexponential process to model the Phase I response. This phase is considered to reflect increased $\dot{Q}_E$ (Krogh & Lindhard, 1913; Linnareson, 1974; Wasserman et al., 1974). For the response to follow first-order kinetics implies that the input signal is square-wave in nature, the response determined by a single rate-limiting step. If the step increase in work rate is considered to be the input signal, the demonstration that the Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ response is abrupt for the rest-to-exercise transition and slower for exercise-to-exercise (Whipp et al., 1982), clearly challenges the possibility that this phase is first-order. It is indeed plausible that, for the work-to-work transition, the Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ response may actually follow “zero-order kinetics”, i.e. simply follow the input signal (increasing $\dot{Q}_E$) in a parallel fashion. With such a scenario, the expectation of first-order kinetics for the Phase I $\dot{V}O_2$ response (as implied by the model of Barstow et al., 1996) would thus not be justified.

Support for questioning the first-order characteristics of Phase I comes from considering a typical value reported for $\tau$ during Phase I (e.g. 15 s reported in Burnley et al., 2000). This would imply that steady state for this Phase would be achieved after approximately 60 s (4 x $\tau$). However, when multiple responses are averaged for healthy individuals (e.g. Figures 4.2 & 4.4), Phase I almost achieves steady state before the emergence of Phase II, a duration of less than 20 s. Furthermore, Figures 5.6 and 5.7 demonstrate that in COPD patients, where the cardiovascular response will be blunted as described in Section 5.4.1, the Phase I response appears to achieve steady state before the onset of the fundamental component. This, therefore, suggests that the response may not indeed be exponential. Furthermore, when the exercise transition is from rest to exercise, rather than exercise-to-exercise, the response is clearly not exponential (e.g. Whipp et al., 1982). Collectively, the results of Chapters 4 and 5 combined with existing evidence, clearly question justification for modelling Phase I as an exponential process.
6.1.2 Supra-θL exercise – the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component

In the heavy and very heavy exercise intensity domains, it is the emergence of the additional $\dot{V}O_2(\text{sc})$ that is of primary importance. It is useful to consider how this additional component can impact on interpretation of the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. For example, in the "priming" study of Gerbino et al. (1996) only single repetitions of the protocols were performed, making the accurate separation of the individual phases of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response effectively impossible, because of low signal-to-noise ratio (Lamarra et al., 1987; Rossiter et al., 2000). The $\dot{V}O_2$ response was therefore characterised by an effective time constant ($\tau'$), i.e. a "Model 2 fit" (Whipp et al., 1982) applied to the whole data set - which the authors themselves acknowledged was an empirical expedient to only approximate the underlying $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics (Gerbino et al., 1996). While this approach allowed the authors to identify a speeding of the overall $\dot{V}O_2$ response following a priming bout of exercise (i.e. $\tau'$ was shortened), what was not possible was to ascribe the speeding effect specifically to the Phase II and/or the slow component.

As discussed in detail in Chapter 4, it has since been shown that the $\dot{V}O_2$ speeding effect does not involve the fundamental component to any appreciable effect, but rather a reduction in the amplitude of the slow component (Bohnert et al., 1998; Burnley et al., 2000, 2001 & 2002a; Koppo & Bouckaert, 2000, 2001 & 2002; Fukuba et al., 2002). These later results clearly illustrate that inclusion of the slow component in the exponential modelling of the $\dot{V}O_2$ response results not only in a poor characterisation of the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics themselves, but more importantly is likely to result in mis-leading conclusions regarding the mechanisms controlling $\dot{V}O_2$, and by implication, $Q_\text{mO}_2$, in the supra-θL exercise intensity domains. However, the optimal approach to modelling supra-θL $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics and the lack of a consistent and valid method for quantifying the $\dot{V}O_2(\text{sc})$ have been addressed (e.g. Whipp, 1994b; Bearden & Moffatt, 2001b; Bell et al., 2001b). Several approaches that have been applied merit further discussion:

(a) Simply characterising the slow component as an amplitude index, calculated over a fixed time interval, e.g. $\Delta \dot{V}O_2(6-3)\text{min}$ (e.g. Roston et al., 1987; Whipp, 1987).

(b) Identifying the onset of the $\dot{V}O_2(\text{sc})$ by visual inspection, as described in detail in Section 4.2.2, and applying a monoeponential model (Equation 2.9) from $t = 20$ s until the onset of the slow component (Rossiter et al., 2001).
A triple exponential model with the three exponential components representing Phase I, Phase II and the slow component respectively (Barstow et al., 1996).

Modelling approach (a) above is constrained since it employs a fixed time-point to describe the onset of the slow component. Comparisons made by Bell et al. (2001b) and Bearden & Moffatt (2001b) have highlighted that the use of a fixed time interval, particularly from 3 minutes, can be inaccurate and results in consistent underestimation of the amplitude since the onset of the slow component is typically earlier than 3 minutes (e.g. Barstow & Molé, 1991; Barstow et al., 1996; Bearden & Moffatt, 2000), but is highly variable (Ozyener et al., 2001).

Model (b) above, proposed by Rossiter et al. (2001) and used in Chapter 4, focuses on modelling the fundamental component kinetics and then simply describing the slow component as an amplitude, but not over a fixed time interval. The concern here is that identification of the onset of the $\dot{VO}_2(\text{sc})$ is accurate so that the data range to which the exponential is applied is not contaminated by the emerging slow component, or too short to permit accurate characterisation of Phase II. The results presented in Chapter 4 (e.g. Figures 4.2 & 4.7) clearly illustrate that when at least two exercise transitions are averaged, the onset of the slow component can be successfully identified using a “local threshold” in the residuals plot, supported by an increase in $\chi^2$. The slow component is subsequently calculated as an amplitude from the onset of the slow component until end-exercise. Whether this approach is acceptable for all populations remains to be evaluated, however, even when the amplitude of the response is considerably smaller than the values observed in Chapter 4, this approach has been successfully implemented (Rossiter et al., 2001).

The physiological justification for model (c) is highly questionable, based on assumptions regarding the slow component being characterised as an exponential process. When modelling the kinetics of a transient response, whilst the data must be adequately characterised, fewer parameters being included in the model will result in increased confidence in parameter estimation (e.g. Lamarra, 1990). Therefore, the use of a triple-exponential model containing 8 parameters (as proposed by Barstow et al., 1996), whilst attractive due to the consideration of each phase separately, is a disadvantage over a model containing fewer parameters, since the values will become dependent on one another (Casaburi et al., 1989). Secondly, the suggestion that the $\dot{VO}_2(\text{sc})$ is an exponential process is flawed, since the trajectory of the slow component is entirely dependent on the exercise intensity relative to the critical power (Section 1.3 — e.g. Poole et al., 1988). As the control
mechanisms underpinning this phase have yet to be conclusively resolved (Section 1.5.3), and the kinetics are unlikely to be determined by a single rate-limiting step (Whipp & Ozyener, 1998), there is at present little physiological justification for modelling the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component at all.

Although quantification of the oxygen deficit for sub-$\theta_L$ exercise is straightforward (in principle), the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component renders a similar calculation invalid for supra-$\theta_L$ exercise, since the steady state oxygen cost of the work cannot be simply estimated according to the sub-$\theta_L$ linear steady state $\dot{V}O_2$-WR relationship and furthermore, a monoexponential process does not adequately characterise the response (e.g. Whipp, 1994b). In the severe intensity domain, there is no emergence of the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component due to the short tolerable duration. As a result, the $\dot{V}O_2$ response can be characterised as a monoexponential and the $O_2$def can be calculated as the product of the MRT and $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$, i.e. it is limited by $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ (e.g. Whipp, 1994b). However, recent analysis by Ozyener et al. (2003) has demonstrated that for heavy and very heavy intensity cycling, conventional calculation of the oxygen deficit is invalid. These results have called into question the concept of a maximal accumulated oxygen deficit, considered to reflect a constant and limited anaerobic capacity, as initially proposed by Medbo et al. (1988). Ozyener et al. (2003) demonstrated that such a concept is only applicable for work rates that do not engender a $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component. Furthermore, as the energy contributions to the oxygen deficit are not entirely anaerobic (the influence of body O$_2$ stores – Section 1.2), they question whether the term anaerobic capacity may require revision in the context of the maximally accumulated oxygen deficit.

Bearden & Moffatt (2000) proposed a novel method for calculating the $O_2$Def where the oxygen deficit is calculated for the fundamental component, up until the onset of the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component, and then an additional oxygen deficit is calculated for the slow component. The total $O_2$Def (the sum of these values) was not significantly different from the recovery $\dot{V}O_2$, unlike the traditional model which overestimates the $\dot{V}O_2$, citing this as evidence that their novel approach is valid. However, the physiological assumptions upon which this modelling approach are theoretically founded are equivocal, since this calculation assumes that the projected asymptote of the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component is the true steady state and that the $\tau$ reflects a single metabolic compartment (Whipp et al., 2002b). In this regard, the results presented in Chapter 4 prove interesting, as they suggest that the
steady state $\dot{V}O_2$ eventually achieved in the heavy domain may actually reflect the true $O_2$ cost of the exercise. This suggestion arises from the observation that the end-exercise $\dot{V}O_2$ was the same in the “with” and “without priming-bout” tests, regardless of whether or not a $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component was evidenced. In contrast, the question arises as to whether the oxygen cost actually changes during the $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component due to further recruitment of additional less efficient Type II motor units. This matter has yet to be resolved and has strong implications relating to the attempted computation of the oxygen deficit for heavy exercise, as discussed by Whipp et al. (2002b).
6.2 EXERCISE INTENSITY

The results presented in Chapter 4 support the description of exercise intensity according to the boundaries of \( \theta_L \) and CP, as described in detail in Section 1.1.1, for continuous exercise. Recently, Pringle & Jones (2002) have questioned the significance of the CP as the upper limit for steady state \( \dot{V}O_2 \) and [La]. They suggested that the maximal lactate steady state (MLSS) represents this upper boundary and that the MLSS is on average 20W lower than CP, in contrast to Poole et al. (1988) and Smith & Jones (2001). Their findings are in direct contrast to the results presented in Chapter 4, where the sub-CP tests (95%\( \Delta_i \)) were typically less than 10W lower than CP (Table 4.2) and yet a steady state \( \dot{V}O_2 \) was clearly attained in every subject (Figure 4.7). It is presently unclear why such differences exist, although the recent results of Coats et al. (2003) are also in support of the existing literature suggesting CP to represent the upper limit for sustained exercise.

For intermittent exercise, however, Chapter 2 clearly showed that the average \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response did not mirror the close temporal relationship observed between [La] and \( \dot{V}O_2 \) for constant work rate exercise. Although the exercise intensity, according to the [La] responses (Wasserman et al., 1967), was highly dependent on the duration of the work-recovery duty cycle, there was no evidence of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) slow component phenomenon in the average \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response, indicated by constant end-exercise and end-recovery values during the oscillations observed in synchrony with changes in work rate, despite sustained lactic acidosis. Therefore, the description of exercise intensity would seem to require revision for intermittent exercise, as the effective exercise intensity appears to be not only dependent on the work rate per se, but also the manner of its imposition.
6.3 WHAT DO THE $\dot{V}O_2$ KINETICS OF THE FUNDAMENTAL COMPONENT MEAN?

Several papers have recently questioned the traditional interpretation of the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics as displaying first-order linear control dynamics, at least in the moderate intensity domain (Hughson et al., 2001; Whipp et al., 2002b). The major theory presented that opposes traditional opinion is that the active muscle is typically considered to be a single compartment, whereas there is accumulating evidence that a multi-compartment model may be more representative, as suggested in Section 4.4.5. This concept will be discussed in more detail subsequently, however it is useful to first clarify the balance of opinion regarding both the linearity and control of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics.

6.3.1 Linearity of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics

According to the principle of superposition (Fujihara et al., 1973b) the bulk of evidence presented in Section 1.3.1 suggests that the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$, and by implication $\dot{Q}_{\text{m}}O_2$, kinetics exhibit first-order linearity for the moderate intensity domain when the baseline response is the same for all exercise on-transients in healthy young subjects. However, this relationship is altered by prior metabolic rate, as suggested by Brittain et al. (2001). For supra-3L exercise, the overall $\dot{V}O_2$ response clearly does not exhibit first-order kinetics due to the emergence of the slow component. That said, it remains to be confirmed whether the fundamental component retains first-order kinetics, based on inconsistencies in the literature regarding exercise modality and the effects of prior exercise, for example.

One area of research that demands further attention is the modelling of overall $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics using alternate work rate protocols such as pseudorandom binary sequence or sinusoidal protocols. Section 1.3.1 described how results from such experiments suggest that the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics exhibit dynamic linearity when the analysis is performed in the time domain. However, it is vital to appreciate that such experimental protocols are only valid when first-order behaviour can be demonstrated (or reasonably assumed). This is because the "lumped" parameters that accrue from such forcing functions reflect both the on- and off-transient responses, and therefore contain the implicit assumption that there is on-off symmetry of the $\dot{V}O_2$ responses and their Phase I and Phase II components.
6.3.2 Control of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics

The debate concerning the control mechanisms governing $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics has yet to be resolved (e.g. Hughson et al., 2001; Grassi, 2001; Whipp et al., 2002b). Section 1.5 discussed, in detail, the balance of current opinion regarding the relative contributions of proposed O$_2$-delivery and O$_2$-utilisation limitations. Whilst the general consensus may point towards an intrinsic metabolic inertia limitation, there is acknowledgement that there are conditions when other factors will contribute to determining $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics (e.g. Grassi, 2001). For example, in Chapter 5 the slowed $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics reported for COPD patients may reflect a degree of O$_2$-delivery limitation (Nery et al., 1982; Palange et al., 1995; Casaburi et al., 1997; Puente-Maestu et al., 2000; Neder et al., 2000; Somfay et al., 2002).

Exactly where the metabolic limitation may lie is unclear, as mentioned in Section 1.5.1, although an intriguing prospect is that Acetyl-CoA availability, enzymatically controlled by the PDH complex, may be the determining factor (Timmons et al., 1998a). Whilst it was concluded in Section 1.5.1 that there was little evidence supporting this direct role for $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in exercising humans, a recent study has re-ignited interest in this possibility. Howlett & Hogan (2003) demonstrated that DCA infusion, a stimulator of the PDH complex, significantly accelerated the fall in intracellular $P\dot{O}_2$ using a frog muscle single-fibre preparation, suggestive of faster muscle oxygen uptake kinetics. Whilst these results are intriguing, it remains to be demonstrated in vivo in humans whether Acetyl-CoA is the limiting factor determining the intrinsic rate of oxidative phosphorylation, and hence $\dot{Q}_{\text{mO}_2}$ and $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics.

For supra-$O_L$ exercise, the general consensus also supports a significant intrinsic metabolic inertia limitation in determining the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics, however the potential for a degree of O$_2$-delivery limitation cannot be excluded, as detailed in Section 1.5.2. Furthermore, the mechanisms responsible for the additional $\dot{V}O_2$ slow component remain to be resolved (Section 1.5.3), although the major source resides in the active musculature (Poole et al., 1991; Rossiter et al., 2001) and the involvement of less-efficient Type II muscle fibres appears likely, based on EMG analysis (Burnley et al., 2002a - Section 1.5.3.6).

Despite the opinions reported above being agreed on by many investigators, there are still those that dispute whether or not the fundamental $\dot{V}O_2$ component actually reflects a
similarly mono-exponential rise in $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ (Hughson et al., 2001). Hughson et al. (2001) have postulated that there is not a single rate-determining factor, but rather there is an initial phase when $O_2$-delivery is sufficient due to parasympathetic withdrawal (feed-forward), but then slower feedback mechanisms match the $\dot{V}O_2$ response to the $O_2$ demand. Therefore, there will be two additive exponential processes and Hughson et al. (2001) presented a simulation of how a monoexponential applied to the overall $\dot{V}O_2$ response would reasonably accurately characterise the data, but that the model output would be weighted by the kinetics of the initial component. Using this simulation example, they further speculated that subtle differences in $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics might regularly be missed in studies because of a lack of statistical significance. Whilst this exercise proved insightful, there remains a considerable amount of evidence supporting the monoexponentiality of the $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ increase, reflected closely by the fundamental component $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. A prime example is that there is accumulating evidence surrounding PCr as a key factor, either as an energy buffer or the driving force for oxidative phosphorylation (Mahler, 1985; Meyer, 1988), given the close temporal relationship between $\dot{V}O_2$ and [PCr] during moderate (Rossiter et al., 1999) and supra-$\theta_L$ exercise (Rossiter et al., 2001).

6.3.3 A single or multi-compartment model?

Whipp et al. (2002b) have recently added a further dimension to the interpretation of $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics. They discussed how the modelling of the fundamental component of $\dot{V}O_2$, or even whole-muscle $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$, kinetics as a monoexponential is largely empirically based, although they accepted that there are perhaps sound theoretical bases for this assumption. In limited agreement with Hughson et al. (2001), they hypothesised that this modelling of a single metabolic compartment with first-order kinetics may be concealing the "true" picture. In contrast to Hughson et al. (2001) however, they did not dispute the exponentiality of an individual metabolic compartment, but rather proposed that there may exist a large number of metabolic compartments within an active muscle group, each compartment exhibiting different metabolic properties and hence different kinetics. Whipp et al. (2002b) presented a simulation of how the overall $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ (whole-muscle, and hence $\dot{V}O_2$) response, made up of ten separate components with $\tau$ $\dot{Q}_{mO_2}$ values ranging from 20 to 65 s, would functionally appear to be a monoexponential with a $\tau$ of 40 s (Figure 6.1). Whilst this "weighted average" response would not be a true monoexponential, Whipp et al. (2002b) emphasise that even with inclusion of a limited amount of breath-by-breath noise in the
simulation, this would preclude discerning the actual response from a true exponential process.

Figure 6.1 - Simulation of how the sum of ten individual compartments exhibiting different $\dot{Q}_m \dot{O}_2$ kinetics (left panel) would be functionally indistinguishable from a single metabolic compartment, since the data are still well characterised by a monoexponential function (right panel). Taken from Whipp et al. (2002b).

Were this simulation to be representative of active muscle during moderate exercise, it would imply that the relative metabolic stress of a given work rate would vary considerably from compartment to compartment, since compartments with slower kinetics would incur a greater oxygen deficit and hence increased reliance on PCr, O$_2$ stores and anaerobic glycolysis. Furthermore, Brittain et al. (2001) proposed that, according to the size principle of motor unit recruitment (Henneman et al., 1974), the progressive recruitment of slow Type II motor units would result in a shift of the weighted average and hence slower “averaged” $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the upper reaches of the moderate intensity domain.

Therefore, whilst the weighted average muscle [La] response might suggest the work rate to be of moderate intensity, some compartments may be producing lactate and others not, perhaps the more oxidative compartments utilising this lactate (Brooks, 2000), and hence the muscle venous effluent [La] would be unchanged. This possibility was addressed briefly in Section 4.4.5 (Yoshida & Whipp, 1994; Whipp et al., 1995), where it was suggested that the differing metabolic compartments may be defined by particular $\dot{Q}_m/\dot{Q}_m \dot{O}_2$ ratios and anaerobic energy potentials. One possible explanation for the potential regional differences in metabolic properties could involve the demonstrations that
Type II muscle fibres exhibit reduced mechanical efficiency and slower $\dot{Q}_mO_2$ kinetics (Crow & Kushmerick, 1982; Kushmerick et al., 1992; Saugen & Vollestad, 1995; Barstow et al., 1996), as detailed in Section 1.5.3.6.

Another possibility could be regional differences in muscle activity, as shown recently by Richardson et al. (2001a) using magnetic resonance imaging during knee-extension exercise (Figure 6.2). Richardson et al. (2001a) also demonstrated local dispersion of the $\dot{Q}_m/\dot{Q}_mO_2$ ratio.

![Figure 6.2 - Regional magnetic resonance spectra within the quadriceps muscles during knee-extension exercise, using magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Heterogeneity of muscle activity is inferred from the decrease in the size of the PCr peak whilst increased acidity is suggested by the chemical shift of the Pi peak. From Richardson et al. (2001a).](image)

These findings are in support of the theories of Brittain et al. (2001) and Whipp et al. (2002b) regarding the existence of multiple compartments with differing metabolic
properties within the active musculature. They also add weight to the models of Yoshida & Whipp (1994) and Whipp et al. (1995), regarding cautious interpretation of muscle venous [La] and PO₂, and hence Ō̇₂̇O₂ calculated using these values.

An interesting consequence of such a phenomenon could be regions within the active muscles exhibiting unique "local" power-duration characteristics for supra-θL exercise, as suggested in Section 4.4.5. Were this to be the case, then the overall power-duration relationship would reflect the "average" of these different compartments, the work rate distributed between the compartments until exhaustion is reached in the most "vulnerable" of the compartments. The question therefore arises as to whether such an "average" would still be characterised by a true hyperbola and hence call into question the assumptions associated with the CP and W'. Figure 6.3 is a simplified schematic addressing such issues, showing 10-compartment models where CP and/or W' are varied between compartments to ascertain the shape of the weighted average of these compartments.

Note that for all scenarios presented in Figure 6.3 the average response conforms to a true hyperbola, as illustrated by the complete flatness of the residuals along the zero-line. Furthermore, the values derived for CP and W' from each fit can simply be calculated by averaging the CP and W' values of the 10 compartments (this analysis assuming that the effective "volumes" of the compartments are equal; which may not be the case). It is acknowledged that this theoretical model is greatly simplified. However, the mechanistic and functional implications are important. Thus, the results suggest that if different metabolic compartments exist within the active musculature and even if these compartments exhibit local power-duration characteristics, the overall response will still represent a true hyperbola and hence support the existence of the CP as the upper boundary for sustained exercise. At present there is no straight-forward way for demonstrating in vivo such regional differences in metabolic properties, however, perhaps the recent methods of nuclear magnetic resonance imaging could be adapted to examine the regional metabolic conditions within the active muscles.
Figure 6.3 – Schematic addressing the effect of regional differences in power-duration characteristics on the “average” power-duration relationship. Three scenarios are presented for the 10-compartment model: (a) only critical power (CP) is increased; (b) CP and the curvature constant \( W' \) are increased; and (d) CP is increased while \( W' \) is decreased. In all cases CP values range from 264-300 W and \( W' \) ranged from 15.6 to 17.4 kJ, the mean of these ranges equal to the group-mean values estimated in Chapter 4. The residuals are presented for the fit applied to the average of the 10 compartments (solid circles and dark lines).
CONCLUSIONS

The previous discussions have highlighted that where inferences are to be made regarding $\dot{Q}_\text{mO}_2$ kinetics, not only must the fundamental component for $\dot{V}O_2$ be appropriately isolated and modelled, but the potential for regional differences within the active musculature must be considered. Where inferences are to be made regarding the metabolic responses to exercise, the oxygen deficit proves a useful reference for sub-$\dot{V}O_2$, exercise, however, the existence of the slow component complicates calculation of the oxygen deficit for heavy and very heavy exercise, as discussed. Accepting that these limitations exist, there is considerable value in examining $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics in the non-steady state in an attempt to further understanding of the underlying control system dynamics governing the kinetics of $\dot{Q}_\text{mO}_2$. Furthermore, that the $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics are determined using sub-maximal exercise makes this an attractive prospect when assessing the combined function of the respiratory, cardiovascular and muscular systems responsible for $O_2$-delivery and $O_2$-utilisation in patient populations.
References


determined by cardiac function at onset of exercise rather than peak exercise in patients with prior myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 90, 2324-2332.


Please read.

It is important to take a record of your medical history. You may have, or may have once had a condition that would make this type of testing unsuitable for you. For this reason we ask you to be as truthful and detailed as possible. At no point will this information be made available to any one other than the principal investigators for this study. If you have any doubts or questions, please ask.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT DETAILS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX (M/F):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP NAME &amp; ADDRESS:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMOKING:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never Smoked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ILLNESSES:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALLERGIES:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOSPITALISATIONS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUSCULO-SKELETAL DISORDER:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Arthritis, Joint Pain, Fractures, Sports injury, Others)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CARDIOVASCULAR DISORDER:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Fever, Heart Murmurs, Chest Pain, Palpitations, High Blood Pressure, Others)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPIRATORY DISORDER:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Asthma, SOB, Cough, URTI, Others)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GASTROINTESTINAL DISORDER:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Jaundice, Bleeding, Others)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIABETES:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CNS DISORDER:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Fits, Blackouts, Tremor, Paralysis, Epilepsy, Other)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PSYCHIATRIC TREATMENT:

FAMILY HISTORY: (Sudden death in a first degree relative under the age of 35 years)

ARE YOU CURRENTLY TAKING ANY MEDICATION? No / Yes*

(*Please specify)

ARE YOU CURRENTLY TAKING ANY SUBSTANCES TO HELP IMPROVE YOUR TRAINING OR CONTROL YOUR WEIGHT i.e. CREATINE, PROTEIN SUPPLEMENT? No / Yes*

(*Please specify)

ARE YOU CURRENTLY TAKING ANY OTHER SUPPLEMENTS i.e. FOOD SUPPLEMENTS, VITAMINS? No / Yes*

(*Please specify)

CAN YOU THINK OF ANY OTHER REASON WHY YOU SHOULD NOT TAKE PART IN ANY OF OUR TESTS?

SYMPTOMS:

Do you experience any of the following, particularly on exercise?

Breathlessness  No / Yes
Chest Pain  No / Yes
Dizzy Fits/Fainting  No / Yes
Palpitations  No / Yes

Please note that if you feel unwell on the day of the proposed test, or have been feeling poorly over the preceding day or two, please inform the investigators and DO NOT TAKE PART in the exercise test.
DECLARATION:

I have completed this questionnaire fully and truthfully. I have not kept any information from the investigators that may put myself at risk during high-intensity exercise, or affect the results that they obtain. I understand that I may withdraw from any one test or the study as a whole if I feel unwell, or feel uncomfortable with any part of the testing procedure.

(Signature) .............................................

(Date) .............................................

PHYSICAL EXAM:

WEIGHT: _______________  HEIGHT: _______________

PULSE (Resting): _______________
BP (Resting): _______________

Screened by: .................................

(Signature) .................................  (Date) .................................
Pre-test activity and diet questionnaire

LABORATORY OF HUMAN PHYSIOLOGY
CENTRE FOR EXERCISE SCIENCE AND MEDICINE

Name:

Experiment No.:

Date:

Have you trained, or undertaken any strenuous physical activity within the last 24 hours? If so please give details:

Could you please list your approximate food and drink intake, and eating times, within the last 24 hours, with special attention given to the last 4 hours:

Can you think of any factors which may affect your performance in today’s exercise test? E.g. Have you strained any muscles or do you have, or have you had recently, a cold? Please give details:

Signed: Date:

Checked:
INFORMATION SHEET

TITLE OF INVESTIGATION: Physiological determinants of performance for intermittent dynamic exercise

You are invited to take part in a study involving exercise. We wish to describe how the body responds to exercise that lasts for a relatively long period (e.g. 30 minutes) with intermittent exercise (i.e. repeated short bursts of exercise that are interspersed with short recovery periods, lasting a similar period of time). Sports such as soccer and squash involve a lot of intermittent exercise, and we would like to improve our understanding of how the body adapts to this. We will therefore measure the responses of your breathing system, your heart and your muscles and also how you feel during these two kinds of exercise.

Testing will take place in the West Medical Building at Glasgow University. You are asked to take part in the following tests:

**Progressive Exercise Test:** We will ask you to perform a “progressive” test on an exercise cycle, in which we would like to exercise until you can no longer continue (typically because your legs will become tired). This test will take about 15-20 minutes. The results of this test will allow us to estimate the maximal rate at which your body can take in and consume oxygen (an important “marker” of performance). On a previous occasion, we would like you to attend for a short a familiarisation trial. Also, you will have a short warm-up immediately before the test, and a warm-down immediately after the test.

**Sustained Exercise Tests and Intermittent Exercise Tests:** On separate days, we will ask you to complete two “sustained” (or constant-load) submaximal exercise tests, to provide us with “control” responses: one will be at a moderate effort and the other at a higher effort. Each test will last no longer than 30 minutes. On other days, you will be asked to complete a 30-minute period of “intermittent” exercise, in which each exercise period will last between 10 seconds and 6 minutes, and the intervening recovery periods will be of similar duration. This will allow us to compare the response to the intermittent exercise with those of the “control” tests. All tests will be preceded by a warm-up and followed by a warm-down.

**Cardiovascular Measurements:** We will monitor the rate at which your heart beats and its electrical activity, using mildly adhesive electrodes attached to the surface of your chest (electrocardiography).

**Respired Air Measurements:** We will monitor the air that you breathe in and out so that we can calculate the level at which you are breathing and the amount of oxygen that enters your lungs and, we assume, goes to your muscles. To do this, you will be required to breathe normally through a snorkel-type rubber mouthpiece to which is attached an integral monitor for sensing air flow, whilst wearing a nose clip (so that we can “capture” all the gas you breathe). A small fraction of the air will be sampled continuously by analysers for oxygen, carbon dioxide and nitrogen.
Perceptions of Breathlessness and Exertion: At intervals throughout the tests, we will ask you to assess how breathless you feel and also how tired your legs feel, using a standard rating scale (e.g. with a range of numbers with word anchors to help you characterise the intensity of the sensations).

Noninvasive Measurement of Oxygen Levels in Blood: The levels of oxygen in your blood will be measured non-invasively at one of your fingers or at an ear lobe (pulse oximetry), using a lightly-sprung “collar” that attaches to the measuring site. This involves a low intensity infra-red light (which is absorbed by haemoglobin - the oxygen-carrying pigment in your blood) being shone through the measuring site.

Noninvasive Measurement of Oxygen Levels in Muscle: The levels of oxygen in the blood vessels of a part of your thigh muscle (quadriceps femoris) will be measured non-invasively (near-infrared spectroscopy). This involves a low intensity infra-red light (which is absorbed by haemoglobin). This will involve attaching the light transmitter and receiver to the surface of your thigh muscle with mildly adhesive tape.

Measurement of Lactate in Capillary Blood: We will take capillary blood samples by pinprick sampling on a number of occasions during the tests so that we can measure the levels of a blood chemical called lactate, which is produced by exercising muscles when they start to fatigue.

Exercise has a negligible risk in healthy adults, although maximal exercise has a small risk of inducing myocardial ischaemia. The primary symptom of myocardial ischaemia is chest pain on exertion. If you experience any unusual chest sensations in your chest during the experiment, you should cease exercise immediately. Your heart rate will be examined via adhesive surface electrodes for the monitoring of the heart’s electrical activity (the “electrocardiogram”).

Before you become a subject, you will complete a medical questionnaire and undergo a short medical examination by a trained physician. People who have asthma, heart related and/or circulatory problems, hypertension or any other contraindicated condition will not be allowed to take part in the study. All information obtained from the preliminary medical questionnaires and from the study itself will be treated confidentially. It is our intention to publish the results of this study, but in a way that will not enable individuals or their performance to be identified.

You are free to leave the study at any time. The outcome of the study may not benefit you directly. Some parts of the study constitute a possible transient risk to your health. There is a small cardiac risk to your health. You may feel uncomfortable during certain stages of the tests. If you are worried about any unwanted side effects from any of the above procedures, you should contact:

Professor Susan A Ward
Director
Centre for Exercise Science and Medicine
Institute of Biomedical and Life Sciences
West Medical Building
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, G12 8QQ
Phone: 0141 330 6287
E-mail: S.A.Ward@bio.gla.ac.uk
Consent Form

I, .......................................................................................................................... (PRINT)

of .........................................................................................................................

give my consent to the research procedures which are outlined above, the aim, procedures
and possible consequences of which have been outlined to me

by .........................................................................................................................

Signature------------------------------------------- Date-......................
TITLE OF INVESTIGATION: Effects of a priming bout of very heavy cycling on the intensity-dependent kinetics of pulmonary oxygen uptake during a subsequent bout of cycling in humans

We invite you to participate in an investigation which we believe to be of potential importance. In order to help you to understand what the investigation is about, we are providing you with the following information. Be sure you understand it before you formally agree to participate. Ask any questions you have about the information that follows. We will do our best to explain and to provide any further information you require.

You have been selected as a possible participant in this investigation because you undertake regularly physical activity and are in good health.

The mechanisms that determine the rate of increase in uptake of oxygen by the body in response to exercise are poorly understood. Such information, however, is crucial if we are to improve exercise tolerance (i.e. the ability of individuals to perform exercise) in both health (e.g. elite athletes) and disease (e.g. patients with heart or lung disease). This study aims to examine the effects of a bout of heavy exercise on the body’s ability to take in oxygen during a subsequent bout of exercise.

Testing will take place in the Laboratory of Human Physiology, Lab 245, West Medical Building at Glasgow University. You will be asked to visit the laboratory on up to fifteen occasions and to take part in the following tests:

Progressive Exercise Test: You will be asked to perform a maximal progressive exercise test on a stationary computer-controlled cycle. The test will last 15-25 minutes and will involve the work load of the cycling gradually increasing until you are unable to continue, either because of fatigue or breathlessness. This test enables us to noninvasively assess your level of fitness; indicated by the maximal rate at which your body can take in and consume oxygen (maximal oxygen uptake) and the work rate at which you start to produce lactic acid (the “lactate threshold”). There will be a period of warm-up immediately before all tests, and a recovery immediately after. On a previous occasion, we would like you to attend for a short familiarisation trial.

Constant Load Tests: You will be required to perform at least four short (5-15 minutes duration) maximal exercise tests on different days, during which the cycle load is rapidly increased and then kept constant until you can no longer continue. These tests allow us to estimate another important marker of performance, which is the highest work rate that you are able to sustain for any real length of time (the “critical power”). The remainder of the tests will be performed in a random order. You will be asked to perform several other similar constant load tests on different days that will last 15-30 minutes and will provide a comparison for the double constant-load tests mentioned below.

Double Constant Load Tests: On further separate days you will be asked to perform several double constant load tests. These will consist of similar constant load tests to before, with the addition of a heavy exercise bout performed immediately before the test with only a short time allowed for recovery from the initial bout. These tests should last no longer than about 40 minutes.
Throughout all of the tests the air that you breathe in and out will be monitored continuously so that we can establish the amount of oxygen being taken in and consumed by the body. This requires that you perform all of the tests while breathing through a snorkel-type rubber mouth-piece which is attached to an air-flow sensor and from which a small amount of air is sampled for analysis of oxygen, carbon dioxide and nitrogen content. To ensure that all of the gas is monitored you will be required to wear a nose clip during all tests.

The level of oxygenation of arterial blood will be monitored continuously and noninvasively from a finger by pulse oximetry. On a number of occasions capillary blood will be taken by pinprick sampling from the thumb, for analysis of a chemical called lactate which is produced by muscles when they are working very hard.

Exercise has a negligible risk in healthy adults, although maximal exercise has a small risk of inducing myocardial ischaemia. The primary symptom of myocardial ischaemia is chest pain on exertion. If you experience any unusual chest sensations in your chest during the experiment, you should cease exercise immediately. Your heart rate will be examined via adhesive surface electrodes for the monitoring of the heart’s electrical activity (the “electrocardiogram”).

Before you become a subject, you will complete a medical questionnaire and undergo a short medical examination by a trained physician. People who have asthma, heart related and/or circulatory problems, hypertension or any other contraindicated condition will not be allowed to take part in the study. All information obtained from the preliminary medical questionnaire and from the study itself will be treated confidentially. It is our intention to publish the results of this study, but in a way that will not enable individuals or their performance to be identified.

You are free to leave the study at any time. The outcome of the study may not benefit you directly. Some parts of the study constitute a possible transient risk to your health. There is a small cardiac risk to your health. You may feel uncomfortable during certain stages of the tests.

If you are worried about any unwanted side effects from any of the above procedures, you should contact:

Professor Susan A Ward
Director
Centre for Exercise Science and Medicine
Institute of Biomedical and Life Sciences
West Medical Building
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, G12 8QQ
Phone: 0141 330 6287
Fax: 0141 330 6345
E-mail: S.A.Ward@bio.gla.ac.uk
Consent form

I ...........................................................

Give my consent to the research procedures which are outlined above, the aim, procedures and possible consequences of which have been outlined to me.

Signature ..............................................

Date ......................................................
TITLE OF INVESTIGATION: Creatine supplementation in COPD

You suffer from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), that is, you have problems with your breathing because of damage to your lungs. One of the effects of COPD is that it leads to weak muscles in your arms and legs. Because your arms and legs are weak, everything is more effort and you get more breathless. As well as your usual medications such as inhalers or nebulisers, your specialist has decided that your condition would improve by a special exercise course (called pulmonary rehabilitation). This is an eight-week programme of special exercises, which will help you be more active without feeling short of breath. These exercises strengthen the muscles in your arms and legs, so you can do more, and feel less breathless. Most people who complete the course of exercises feel generally better.

For many years, athletes have taken creatine to improve their performance in competition. Creatine occurs naturally in the body and is also made by the liver. It is present in the food we eat and is an important part of muscles. It is thought that taking extra creatine as a drink stops the muscles tiring so easily.

We think that taking creatine drinks could increase the benefits of our exercise course. To prove this, we need to carry out a study. This involves taking a group of people with COPD who are going to start our exercise course and giving half of them creatine drinks. The other half would take a sugary drink which would not have any effect (a placebo). Neither the doctor looking after you, nor you yourself, will know who is taking the sugary drink and who is taking the creatine drink.

At the beginning and end of the study all the patients will be asked questions and do some breathing tests to see how effective the treatment has been. This way we can find out if we can improve the treatment of patients with your lung condition.

During this study, you would carry on with all your other medications as before. You would take the supplements up to four times a day. Studies of creatine supplements have not shown any problems, they do not upset the stomach or cause any harm to the patients who take them. In fact you can buy creatine supplements in health food shops. If you decide that you do not want to continue in the study, you may stop your extra supplements and you will be looked after in the chest clinic as normal.

If you decide that you would rather not participate in the study, you can still take part in the exercise programme and be looked after in the chest clinic in the usual way.
Patient consent form: Creatine Supplementation in COPD.

I, (Name) ..................................................
of (Address) .............................................

agree to take part in the Research Project described overleaf.

Dr ....................... has explained to me what I have to do, how it might affect me and the purpose of the Research Project.

Signed _____________ Patient Date / / 

Signed _____________ Witness Date / / 
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### Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>( \dot{V}O_2 \text{ peak} ) (ml.min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \dot{V}O_2 \text{ peak} ) (ml.kg(^{-1}).min(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>104.4</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>1431</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>1308</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± S.D.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peak \( \dot{V}O_2 \) (\( \dot{V}O_2 \text{ peak} \)) was determined from a symptom-limited ramp incremental test (see text for details). Missing values represent two patients for whom valid \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{ peak} \) values could not be estimated, due to technical problems with the metabolic cart.
### Patient Pulmonary Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>FEV₁ (l, BTPS)</th>
<th>FEV₁/FVC (%)</th>
<th>TLC (l, BTPS)</th>
<th>TLC (%pred.)</th>
<th>D₁CO (%pred.)</th>
<th>PIP (mmHg)</th>
<th>PEP (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean** 1.12 43 36 7.4 127 45 66 80

**± S.D.** 0.04 4 1.4 0.3 12 10 15 19

Pulmonary function indices (see text for details): forced expired volume in 1s (FEV₁); forced vital capacity (FVC); total lung capacity (TLC); carbon monoxide diffusion capacity (D₁CO); peak inspiratory and expiratory pressures (PIP and PEP respectively). Some values are presented as a percentage of predicted values (%pred. – see text for details).
### Patient Oxygen Uptake Kinetics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Pre-rehabilitation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Post-rehabilitation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\tau$ (s)</td>
<td>$\tau_{208}$ (s)</td>
<td>$\tau_{real}$ (s)</td>
<td>$\tau$ (s)</td>
<td>$\tau_{208}$ (s)</td>
<td>$\tau_{real}$ (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± S.D.</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics ($\tau$ estimate), modelled using three different strategies ($\tau'$, $\tau_{208}$, and $\tau_{real}$ – see text for details). Missing values represent profiles were an acceptable fit of the data could not be attained due to "noise", and so estimates of $\tau$ could not be obtained.
### Patient exercise test indices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} ) (ml.min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>WRpeak (W)</th>
<th>tlim (s)</th>
<th>( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} ) (ml.min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>WRpeak (W)</th>
<th>tlim (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>595</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>242</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>677</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1431</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>408</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>847</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1336</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1308</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1435</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 909 60 220 904 62 566
\( \pm \) S.D. 327 28 80 283 24 415

Performance indices for all patients obtained during either a symptom-limited rapidly incremental ramp test (\( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \) & WRpeak), or a constant work rate endurance test (tlim). Peak oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \)) and peak work rate (WRpeak) were calculated as the mean during the last 30 s of the test and the highest work rate attained, respectively. The time until the limit of tolerance (tlim) during the endurance test was calculated from the onset of that work rate. Missing values for \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{peak} \) are due to technical problems with the metabolic cart, whereas missing values for tlim represent patients who did not perform the constant work rate test. Note that only when values could be obtained at both pre and post-rehabilitation were values recorded.