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SYNOPSIS

The investigations here described can be sub-divided into four main sections, viz. (A) The Physical Properties of Coal Measures Rock, (B) Photo-elastic Investigations, (C) Underground Observations and (D) The Testing of Plaster Models. The contents of each section are outlined below.

SECTION A: The various methods employed for the determination of the physical properties of rock are discussed. The use of electrical resistance strain gauges for the measurement of Young's Modulus and Poisson's Ratio of several rock types from the Scottish Coalfields is described. The factors which affect the ultimate strength of stratified rocks are discussed and an attempt is made to predict the total strain which will develop in rock under a given stress after a known time. The results obtained indicate the influence of "time-strain" in computing the stress distribution around an underground excavation. Micro-graphs of the specimens tested are included in an appendix.

SECTION B: Details of the apparatus used and the models constructed for the two-dimensional photo-elastic investigations of any roof bolting systems are given. The functions of roof bolts as a "suspension support" and in the formation of a "compound beam" are analysed. The use of bolting to form a "keystone" in arched shaped roadways is also investigated. The results show the value of bolts in minimising shear stresses at the interfaces of the beds.
The importance of pre-tensioning the bolts by equal amounts is also emphasised by the isochromatic diagrams.

SECTION C: The behaviour of roof bolts installed in an advancing longwall roadway is described. The performance of three types of steel bolts - slot and wedge, expansion shell, wedge and sleeve - and several "wooden bolts" is discussed. Details of the apparatus used for the measurement of bolt tension and strata movements are given. An attempt to measure 'in situ' rock strain by electrical resistance strain gauges is described. The results show a relaxation of bolt tension a few days after installation. The several factors which influence the behaviour of bolts installed in moving strata are discussed. Several illustrations showing the improvements in roadway maintenance where roof bolting is used in conjunction with arched girders are given.

SECTION D: To study the behaviour of bolts after the strata around the excavation have been loaded beyond the "elastic limit", plaster models of the underground roadways were made and tested to destruction in the laboratory. The models were loaded in a vertical compression testing machine. A photographic record of the tests and a detailed analysis of the results are given.

Part of the work here described has been presented in a paper to
The National Association of Colliery Managers and will be published in the "Iron and Coal Trades Review."

An extensive bibliography of the literature consulted is given as an appendix.
Before any roof support system can be analysed either by models in the laboratory, or by a full-scale underground investigation, it is necessary to have detailed knowledge of the physical properties of the structural materials subjected to stress and the nature of the forces induced by mining. Consequently, detailed information regarding the physical properties of the rocks surrounding the mine workings is of paramount importance.

In the past, the physical properties of rocks have been determined by many investigators for a variety of purposes including geophysical exploration, oilfield structure, building and roadway construction, etc. In addition many experiments have been performed with mine rocks to determine their crushability, drillability (percussive and rotary), explosive shattering properties, permeability, etc. Obert, Windes and Duvall in their report on the physical properties of mine rock (Al) give an extensive bibliography of rock testing. Furthermore, several investigators (A2 - A8 incl.) have studied the properties of rocks in respect to the strength of mine structures - pillar sizes, gallery design, rock bursts, etc. However, in many of the published reports on the physical properties of rocks, there are only brief descriptions of the composition of the rock which render correlation of the results almost impossible.

In view of the lack of specific information regarding the physical constants of the Carboniferous rocks, a series of tests was carried out on several rock types commonly found associated with the seams in
Scottish mines. For each rock type studied an attempt was made to relate the elastic properties to the mineral composition, grain size and cementing material.

In addition to the determination of the elastic constants an investigation of the "time-straining" or "pseudo plastic flow" of several of the rock types was carried out. The majority of the tests were based on similar ones carried out by D.W. Phillips (A9, A10, A11) using electrical methods for the strain measurement instead of "mechanical-optical" devices.
APPARATUS

(a) Strain Measurement

The strains which occur in rocks under stress are of a very low order and consequently the strain measuring apparatus must have a high sensitivity. Many methods, such as levered mechanical gauges (A12) or optical gauges - Martens-type extensometer fitted with an auto-collimation telescope, the Sphingometer, or the Phillips-Davies apparatus (A9) - have been used for the determination of rock strain. Mechanical and optical gauges do not lend themselves to automatic recording of strain at several points in quick succession and they are quite unsuitable for field investigations (especially underground). To overcome these difficulties various types of electrical devices have been used for accurate strain measurement both in the laboratory and in the field (A13 - A16 incl.). The electrical resistance strain gauge (A17 and A18) has been developed in civil and aeronautical engineering investigations with marked success. It was decided to investigate the suitability of such gauges for laboratory tests on rock specimens and possibly adapt the method for the measurement of the strain developed in rocks 'in situ' around mining excavations (see later).

The strain gauges found to be the most suitable for the laboratory tests were the De Havilland Bakelite HNR/2400/1 type, and the Phillips FR/9210/03 type.

Initially a De Havilland steady stress bridge (D.C.) was used for recording the strains but later a Phillips bridge (A.C.) with a
higher sensitivity was used.

De Havilland Bridge (Type 8/A.12): A schematic circuit diagram illustrating the basic principles (Wheatstone Bridge) involved in this D.C. instrument is shown in Fig. 1A. The instrument uses the "null-point balancing" method, with a graduated potentiometer reading the percentage change of resistance directly. An external spot galvanometer can be incorporated to increase the sensitivity of the instrument. Input power is provided by 12-volt batteries or from a laboratory "eliminac" power pack. Twelve channels are incorporated in the bridge to permit twelve gauges to be read in succession. In this instrument a compensating gauge is required for each active gauge used. Plate IA shows the instrument set up for use in a bending test.

Phillips Direct Reading Bridge (Type GM.5536/01): This instrument is also based on a Wheatstone Bridge arrangement; the bridge being fed with a carrier wave of 4,000 cycles per second from an oscillator unit. Balance of the bridge for amplitude and phase is obtained by variable condensers C₁ and C₂ (see schematic diagram Fig. 2A). The diagonal voltage arising during measurement is amplified by a highly stable and sensitive four-stage amplifier. A phase-sensitive ring demodulator determines the "polarity" of the signal which is then recorded by a D.C. micro-ammeter. Input power to the instrument is from the A.C. mains, a variable transformer being incorporated to permit a wide choice of mains voltage. In addition a switching unit (type GM.5545), which permits strain
measurement at ten points, can be connected to the measuring bridge. The switching unit incorporates balancing condensers and resistors for each gauge circuit, and control resistors for checking the calibration of the meter. Individual compensating gauges can be used if the temperature variations between measuring points are large, but, if required, one compensating gauge can be used for two or more active gauges. The apparatus can also be used for the measurement of dynamic strains when coupled to an oscillograph or pen recorder. This unit was used for all the underground measurements (see later) as well as the laboratory tests. The measuring bridge and switching unit are shown in Plate II A.

(b) Loading Machines

**Compression Testing**: All the compression tests on the rock specimens were carried out on a ten-ton Avery Universal machine. This is an electrically operated hydraulic machine, the loads being measured directly on a dial indicator reading to ten tons in increments of 0.05 tons. Fibre-board pads were placed between the machine platens and the specimen in all the tests.

**Tensile Testing**: The tension tests on the rock specimens were carried out on a Avery Single Lever testing machine. The loads were applied by gravity using an efficient screw mechanism. Loads up to 5,000 lb. could be applied in increments of 10 lb.; measurement being made by means of a steelyard.
R : Fixed Ratio Arms
P : Initial Balance Potentiometer
W : Calibrated Slide Wire
C.G. : Coarse Galvanometer
S.G. : Spot Galvanometer

**Fig. I.A. Schematic Circuit Diagram of**

**De Havilland Bridge**
FIG 2A. SCHEMATIC CIRCUIT DIAGRAM PHILIPS BRIDGE
PLATE I A
De Havilland D.C. Strain Measuring Bridge

PLATE IIIA
Phillips A.C. Direct Reading Strain Bridge
Considerable difficulty was encountered in fitting the specimen in standard tensile testing clamps. Special clamps had to be designed which would apply pure tension to the specimen without causing failure of the rock by compression in the clamps. The clamps adopted are shown in Plate III A. The spherically seated joint (in the clamp) was placed as close to the end of the specimen as possible, and in line with the axis of the specimen to permit self-alignment of the specimen in the machine.

**Bending Tests:** The loading frame used in all the bending tests is shown in Plate I A where a simply supported rock beam is being tested in pure bending, i.e. equal loads at the quarter points. A channel girder fitted with clamps for "fixed end conditions" could be mounted on top of the frame when required. A "fixed beam" specimen is being tested in this way in Plate II A. All loading of the specimens in the bending tests was by riders and dead weights.

**(c) Rock Dressing Equipment**

The apparatus used for dressing the rock specimens is shown in Plate IV A. The specimen is held in the spring loaded clamp which is aligned perpendicular to the rotary table, to enable the end faces of the specimens to be ground parallel. The carborundum powder on the table is kept moist by a fine water spray. A D.C. motor with resistance speed control provides the drive to the grinding table. Final smoothing of the rock surface (for the application of strain gauges) is by hand, using fine grinding powder and the glass polishing plate shown.
PLATE IIIA
Tensile Testing Clamps

PLATE V
Representative Group of Rock Specimens
Rock Dressing Equipment
Collection and Preparation of Rock Specimens

The rock samples were collected from several collieries in the Central and Ayrshire coalfields. Slabs of rock, macroscopically homogeneous and free from fractures, joints, slips, etc., weighing about 1 cwt were carefully selected underground. The slabs were cut from face areas unaffected by blasting or from stone drifts in the vicinity of coal seams. The sampling positions, relative to the seams, were noted.

The blocks of rock were taken from the mine as soon as possible after cutting and were transported to a local firm of masons where they were cut, by diamond saw, into the specimen sizes listed below. Due to the large quantity of cooling water used when cutting, several of the rock slabs selected disintegrated while being cut. This applied particularly to clay rocks and flakes. The specimen sizes were:

- \(2'' \times 2'' \times 3''\) compression tests,
- \(2'' \times 2'' \times 6''\) tensile tests,
- \(2'' \times 1\frac{1}{4}'' \times (13'' \text{ to } 24'')\) bending tests.

A representative group of specimens is shown in Plate V A.

The cut specimens were ground by various grades of carborundum on the rock dressing apparatus to ensure that the loading faces of the compression specimens were parallel, and to prepare the rock surface for the application of the gauges. It was not possible to grind the bending specimens with the apparatus; consequently it was necessary to resort to hand grinding.
Petrographic Examination of the Rocks

A study of previous investigations of the physical properties of rocks reveals considerable difficulty in correlating the results obtained by different experimenters, because of the variation in the nomenclature of similar rock types found in different localities. Consequently some classification, other than the local rock names, is necessary. The most satisfactory method for the classification of sedimentary rocks is based upon the dominant grain size; the shape of the grains, nature of the cementing material, and the mineralogical composition have also to be considered. The classification adopted is similar to that suggested by Milner (A19) and used by Hudspeth and Phillips (A20) since it was found to be more applicable to the rock types studied, than the classification by Harley (A21).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Dominant Grain Size</th>
<th>Rock Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scree, shingle or gravel</td>
<td>2.0 mm. or over</td>
<td>Conglomerate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very coarse Sand</td>
<td>2.0 mm. to 1.0 mm.</td>
<td>Very coarse grained sandstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse Sand</td>
<td>1.0 mm. to 0.5 mm.</td>
<td>Medium sandstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Sand</td>
<td>0.1 mm. to 0.05 mm.</td>
<td>Fine grained sandstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>0.05 mm. to 0.01 mm.</td>
<td>Siltstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud or Clay</td>
<td>Less than 0.01 mm.</td>
<td>Mudstone, shale, clay, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thin sections were made of all the rock types, the mineralogical examinations and particle size determination being made in a Vickers Projection Microscope fitted with a graticule.

In order to facilitate reference the rocks tested have been given code numbers: DH1 to DH7 and CM1 to CM5. A description of each rock type and micro-photographs of typical sections are given in the Appendix.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE AND THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The experimental work can be sub-divided into three main parts, viz.:

(a) The determination of the ultimate strength of Coal Measures Rock in compression and tension, and the correlation of the strength values obtained with the composition of the rock.
(b) The plotting of "stress-strain" diagrams for rocks in compression, tension and bending, and the calculation of the elastic constants under different conditions.
(c) An investigation of the time-straining of rocks and the possibility of predicting the "time v strain" relationship for certain rock types in specified loading conditions.

Considering each part and any theoretical considerations involved:

(a) **Strengths of Coal Measure Rocks**

It has been suggested by several investigators that the strength of sedimentary rock is governed by the following factors:

Dominant grain size - theoretical considerations would appear to indicate that the smaller the grain size the greater will be the strength of the rock, since the interstitial space is at a minimum with small grains.

The shape of the grains - this factor was not considered in the investigation since in the majority of the rock types tested the rock grains were rounded.

The nature of the cementing medium - this seems to be the most
important factor in determining the ultimate strength of a given rock. A range of sandstone specimens, of similar grain size, and various cements was examined in an attempt to establish their relative strengths.

Mineralogical composition - wide variation of the composition of any rock type will obviously affect the strength, so only sandstones with similar compositions were considered in the tests to determine the influence of grain size and cementing medium.

Phillips (A10) outlined the theory by which the most effective shear planes could be determined for any rock under a given stress, provided the "internal friction factor" (Navier's Theory) for the rock type was known. In several of the specimens tested in compression the inclinations of the fracture planes were measured and the friction factor calculated from the relationship:

\[
\tan 2\theta = \frac{P_1 - P_3 - 2KQ}{K(P_1 - P_3) - 2Q}
\]

where:

- \(P_1\) and \(P_3\) are applied forces (principal stresses)
- \(Q\) is the rotational shear stress
- \(\theta\) is the inclination of the most effective shear plane with respect to the principal stress plane
- \(K\) is the "internal friction factor"

Considering an unconstrained compression test -
\[ Q = 0 \text{ and } \frac{P_3}{P_1} = 0 \]

\[ \therefore \tan 2 \theta = \frac{P_1}{K P_1} = \frac{1}{K} \]

i.e. \( \tan 2 \) (angle of shear plane) = \( \frac{1}{\text{Friction Factor}} \)

or Friction Factor = cotangent 2 (angle of shear plane)

Experimental Procedure: Several specimens of each rock type were accurately ground to ensure that the loading faces were truly parallel. The specimens were then subjected to uniform direct pressure on two faces, the other surfaces being free from constraint.

In the tension tests several specimens of each rock type were tested to destruction. The specimens had to be carefully fixed in the loading clamps to ensure that pure tension, and no bending, was applied to the specimens otherwise erroneous results would have been obtained.

(b) Determination of Elastic Constants

The variations of the elastic constants with stress, of the rock types previously classified, were studied in compression, tension and bending. In all the tests strain was measured by resistance strain gauges.

Application of the Strain Gauges: The accuracy of the resistance strain gauge is largely dependent upon the security of the bond between the gauge and the surface of the test specimen. The desirable properties of an adhesive for fixing gauges are:
(1) Good adhesion to both surfaces under high strain.

(2) It should not set so hard as to crack under load.

(3) It must have a low moisture sensitivity.

(4) It should be a very good electrical insulator.

(5) It should be suitable for application and setting in the cold state (especially for field investigations).

A series of tests was carried out with different types of cements; Araldite Cold Setting Filler proved to be the most suitable cement for bonding the gauges to the rock surface. The Araldite Filler (100 parts) was mixed with the Hardener (8 parts) and the mixture allowed to stand for 15 minutes before use to permit evaporation of any excess Hardener. It was found that better bonding and insulation of the gauge was obtained if the surface was pre-coated with cement, covered with a thin sheet of capacitor tissue, and then the gauge applied in the usual manner (A22).

Although Araldite is termed "cold setting" it was necessary to cure the specimen for approximately 20 hours at 40°C to ensure that the cement matrix was fully polymerised. The curing was carried out in an aluminium chamber fitted with infra-red heating elements. Plate VI A shows three compression specimens, and a rock beam fitted with gauges.

Compression Tests: The stress-strain curves were obtained by taking readings of longitudinal and lateral strain as quickly as possible after applying the load, to offset any tendency for "time-straining" of the specimen. Loading was applied up to a maximum of 75% of the ultimate
PLATE VIA
Specimens fitted with Strain Gauges

PLATE VIIA
Specimens after Testing
strength of the material. Strain values were also noted as the load was reduced. The procedure was repeated for the second and third cycles of loading.

Values of Young's Modulus (E) and Poisson's Ratio (\(\sigma\)) were calculated from the stress-strain curves. The variations of E and \(\sigma\) with applied stress were plotted.

Another property of rocks which is very important in the study of their behaviour around underground excavations, is their capacity for storing strain energy (\(A_S, A_{10}\)). The strain energy per unit volume developed in some of the rocks under compression was plotted against the applied stress.

**Tension Tests:** The procedure adopted for the tension tests was similar to that followed in the compression tests. The variations of Young's Modulus and Poisson's Ratio with stress were plotted for each of the rock types tested.

**Bending Tests:** Several tests were carried out on rock beams with both free and fixed end conditions. The strains recorded at various points on the upper and lower surface of the beam were plotted against the applied load.

It is known that for ductile materials strained within the elastic limit, the "neutral axis" (i.e., the unstrained fibre when the material is subjected to pure bending) lies along the middle of the beam. However with materials such as rock, which are not purely elastic in
their behaviour, the position of the neutral axis is not necessarily in the middle of the beam. The position of the neutral axis depends on the relative values of Young's Modulus in tension and compression—a proof of this phenomenon has been derived by Phillips (A10).

(c) Time Strain in Coal Measures Rock

All solids when subjected to great pressures show a continuous deformation or "flow" with time. This flowing or inelastic behaviour of solid materials is known as "plasticity." Rock and concrete which are usually considered as brittle materials also exhibit "flowing" under certain loading conditions (A10, A23, A24). The flowing of strata into underground excavations has been noted for many years and indeed several Continental engineers consider the "flowing property" of rocks to be the most vital one in determining the pressure distributions around mine workings. Holland (A3) refers to the importance of plasticity of coal and associated rocks in the phenomena of rock bursts. Phillips (A10) concludes from his laboratory tests on rocks, that the total strain produced by a given load consists of two parts, viz. immediate strain and time-strain, the latter being sub-divided into "elastic" and "plastic" time-strain. Consequently, it is the total strain developed in a rock under stress which is more important than the strain developed immediately after the load is applied. Hence, experimental values of the elastic constants of rocks are of little value if the effect of time cannot be considered in the prediction of
strain (or deformation) under certain loading conditions.

Similar time-straining effects have been noted for other materials, including steel (A25) and plastics. Pao and Marin (A26) in their studies of the prediction of creep curves from stress-strain data used experimental results obtained from plexiglass in tension. It is realised that there is a vast difference in the structure of the homogeneous plastic and the relatively heterogeneous rocks used in the tests here described but since the observed "time v strain" relationship of both materials is quite similar, a method based on the one derived by Pao and Marin was used in an attempt to predict the total strain developed in the rock as a function of time.

The "strain-time" curve for a given value of applied stress is derived thus:-

A family of stress-strain curves for different strain rates (such as shown in Fig. 3A) are obtained for the material under test. The higher the strain rate the greater is the slope of the stress-strain curve and so with instantaneous loading the strain rate (U) is assumed to be infinite - i.e. with an infinite strain rate the material does not have time to creep under any one load, and consequently the stress strain relationship is linear.

However for strain rate U1 (Fig. 3A) the material does have time to creep and the total strain is OQ at stress S1. The strain OQ is made up of OP + PQ where PQ = AB and represents the time-strain or creep
Fig. 3A.

Fig. 4A.

Fig. 5A.
that took place under a varying stress and in the time necessary to
load the specimen from a stress value \( S = 0 \) to \( S = S^* \).

At any time \( t \), the total strain \( E_t \) is the sum of the immediate
strain (considered as wholly elastic) and the time strain (considered
as partly elastic and partly plastic). Or, in symbols,

\[
E_t = E_e + E_c
\]

where \( E_e \) and \( E_c \) are the immediate and time strains respectively.

Differentiating (i) with respect to time \( t \),

\[
\frac{dE_t}{dt} = \frac{dE_e}{dt} + \frac{dE_c}{dt}
\]

By Hooke's Law,

\[
E_e = \frac{S}{M}
\]

where \( S = \) stress and \( M = \) Modulus of Elasticity.

Also,

\[
\frac{dE_t}{dt} = U = \text{total strain rate}
\]

Therefore, by substitution, equation (ii) becomes

\[
U = \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{dS}{dt} + \frac{dE_t}{dt}
\]

To evaluate \( \frac{dE_c}{dt} \) from equation (iii) \( \frac{dS}{dt} \) must be known. \( \frac{dS}{dt} \)
can be found by plotting stress against total elapsed time, and finding
the gradient at the required point, say point B on the \( U_1 \) curve of Fig. 4A.

Hence from equation (iii)

\[
\frac{dE_c}{dt} = U_1 - \frac{1}{M} \left( \frac{dS}{dt} \right)_B
\]

Therefore, the strain rate, and time strain can be evaluated for any
required stress value at any of the strain rates $U_1$, $U_2$, etc.

Also, if the reciprocals of the time strain rate values are calculated, and plotted against the corresponding time strain for each selected stress value, curves of the form shown in Fig. 5A will be obtained. If the time strain $(E_0)_B$ is represented by $CT$ then the time required for the material to creep at constant stress $S_1$ to a time strain $(E_0)_B$ (i.e. equal to AB in Fig. 3A) will be represented by the area under the $\frac{1}{dE_c/dt} \times E_c$ curve, since

$$
time = t = \int dt = \int \frac{dE_c}{(dE_c/dt)}
$$

i.e. $t = \int_0^{E_c} \frac{1}{(dE_c/dt)} dE_c$ .................................(v)

Therefore, for a series of values of the time strain the corresponding time values can be determined and the "creep v time" curve can be plotted for any selected value of applied stress.

Experimental Procedure

Bonding Tests: A simply supported beam was fitted with gauges. Suitable increments of load were applied and the corresponding strains noted for instantaneous loading and incremental loading with measured time intervals between the application of the loads. A few days were allowed between each test to permit recovery of any elastic time strain in the beam. From the observed results "time-strain v time" curves were deduced by the theory outlined above and compared with
similar curves obtained by experiment.

**Tension Tests:** A series of tension specimens were prepared from the same rock sample, and subjected to a series of time strain tests similar to those outlined for the bending specimens, but in this case a separate specimen was used for each "strain rate". From the observed results, "time-strain v time" curves were deduced and compared with experimental curves.

In addition to the tests from which the final "strain v time" curves were calculated several experiments were carried out on the other rock types in bending and tension. In all the experiments carried out the familiar stepped curve was obtained.
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

(a) Strength of Coal Measures Rock

As many tests to destruction as possible were made with each of the classified rock types. Tests were made in tension and compression, both parallel and perpendicular to the bedding planes if suitable specimens were available. Table No.1A below summarises the results obtained; each stress value quoted is the mean of at least three experimental results.
### TABLE No.1A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code No.</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Ult. Tensile Strength (lb./in.²)</th>
<th>Ult. Compressive Stress (lb./in.²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perp. to Bedding</td>
<td>Parl. to Bedding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH1</td>
<td>F.G. Sandstone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH2</td>
<td>N.G. Sandstone</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH3</td>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH4</td>
<td>Siltstone</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5</td>
<td>F.G. Sandstone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH6</td>
<td>C.G. Sandstone</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH7</td>
<td>Clay Siltstone</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>M.G. Sandstone</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>F.G. Ironstone</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM3</td>
<td>M.G. Sandstone</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM4</td>
<td>M.G. Sandstone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM5</td>
<td>M.G. Sandstone</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**

- **F.G.** - fine grained
- **M.G.** - medium grained
- **C.G.** - coarse grained
- **V.** - failed with violence
Considering the range of sandstones tested, the following results can be abstracted, to illustrate the influence of particle size and cementing medium on the ultimate strength of the rock in tension and compression. In the analysis only the ultimate stresses applied perpendicular to the bedding planes (if any) are considered.

**TABLE No. 2A**

**Effect of Particle Size**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code No.</th>
<th>Average Grain Size (mm.)</th>
<th>Compressive Stress (lb./in.$^2$)</th>
<th>Tensile Stress (lb./in.$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DH6</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM5</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>175 (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD2</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>16,450</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All above specimens have siliceous cementing medium.
### TABLE No.3A

**Effect of Cementing Medium**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code No.</th>
<th>Avg. Grain Size (mm.)</th>
<th>Compressive Stress (lb./in.²)</th>
<th>Tensile Stress (lb./in.²)</th>
<th>Cement Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM3</td>
<td>0.13 - 0.37</td>
<td>7,550</td>
<td>270 (A)</td>
<td>Calcareous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD2</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>Siliceous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ferruginous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD5</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>9,870</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Calcareous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>16,450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Siliceous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>620 (IS)</td>
<td>Ferruginous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**

- **W** = specimen very wet (CMS)
- **A** = angular shaped grains
- **IS** = ironstone rather than sandstone

After testing the specimens were carefully removed from the testing machine and the positions of the fracture planes noted. Plate VII A shows several specimens after testing. The inclinations of the shear planes in the compressive specimens were noted and values of the "internal friction factor" (Navier's Theory) were calculated. Table No.4A shows the average values of 'K' obtained for three of the rock types tested.
TABLE No.4A

Internal Friction Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code No.</th>
<th>Average Inclination of Shear Planes</th>
<th>Friction Factor K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM5</td>
<td>34°</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH2</td>
<td>22°</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Determination of Elastic Constants

Compression: Stress-strain diagrams were plotted for each of the rock specimens tested. Typical of the diagrams obtained is that for specimen CM1 shown in Fig. 6A. The relationship between stress and strain is non-linear; consequently Hooke's Law is not valid and a true "elastic modulus" for the rock does not exist. The second, third and subsequent loading cycles give "hysteresis loops" which are almost exactly superimposed; the values of Young's (or Elastic) Modulus and Poisson's Ratio are calculated from such "loops".

There are two methods by which Young's Modulus can be determined from a non-linear stress-strain relationship, viz. -

1) Young's Modulus = \( \frac{\text{Total Stress}}{\text{Total Strain}} \)

for a given stress value, i.e. the secant modulus, and
(2) Young's Modulus = \frac{\text{Incremental Stress}}{\text{Incremental Strain}}

for a given stress value, i.e. the tangent modulus.

The variations of the modulus (calculated by both methods) with applied stress for specimen CM1 are shown in Fig. 7A.

Poisson's Ratio can also be determined using either the "tangent" or the "secant" method for a given stress value. The variations of Poisson's Ratio with stress for both methods of calculation are shown in Fig. 8A. Also shown is the variation of the "secant ratio" with stress calculated from the initial loading curve.

The strain energy per unit volume developed in the specimen when under compression is shown in Fig. 9A. The variation of the curves obtained using the different values of elastic modulus is clearly shown.

Another typical stress-strain diagram (Specimen CM5) for rock in compression is shown in Fig. 10A. Similar forms of curves were obtained for the remaining specimens tested in compression. From the stress-strain curves the variations of Elastic Modulus and Poisson's Ratio with stress were determined. The "tangent values" of $E$ and $\nu$ for the range of rock types tested are shown in Figs. 11A to 14A inclusive.

The strain energy per unit volume developed in the rocks tested is illustrated in Fig. 15A.
COMPRESSIVE SPECIMEN CM1
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Strain: 1" = 5 x 10^-6 in/ft.

FIG. 6 A
Variation of Elastic Modulus G.M.I.
(Third Loading Cycle)

Elastic Modulus (10^6 in.²/ft.²)

Tangent Modulus (ΔStress/ΔStrain)

Sectant Modulus (±Stress/±Strain)

Mean Stress (lb/in²)

Fig. 7.A.
VARIATION OF POISSON'S RATIO C.M.I.

Fig 8A.
Strain Energy/Unit Volume C.M.I.

Mean Stress

Fig. 9.1
COMPRESSION - SPECIMEN C.M.5
LATERAL LONITUDINAL STRESS STRAIN CURVES

FIG. 10A
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Fig. 11.A.
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Legend:
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Fig. 15A
Tension: Due to the difficulties involved in preparing tensile specimens, the range of rock types covered was smaller than for the corresponding compression tests. A typical tension stress-strain diagram, for specimen DB2, is shown in Fig. 16A.

The variations of the Elastic Modulus (both "tangent" and "secant" values) with stress for specimen DB2 are shown in Fig. 17A. The lateral strains measured in the tensile tests were so small that variations in Poisson's Ratio over the stress range covered could not be determined with accuracy.

The relationships between the "secant" value of the Elastic Modulus and applied stress for the other rock types tested in tension are shown in Fig. 13A.
TENSION SPECIMEN D.H.2
LATERAL + LONGITUDINAL STRESS-STRAIN CURVES

FIG. 16.A
VARIATION OF ELASTIC MODULUS D/HZ.

Fig 17A.
Elastic Modulus vs. Stress (Tension)
Bending: Beams were tested with both "fixed" and "free" (simply-supported) end conditions. Typical of the load-strain diagrams obtained are those for specimens DH2 and CM1 which are shown in Figs. 19A - 21A.

In addition to plotting load-strain diagrams for varying conditions several rock beams were tested to destruction. The development of the fractures induced by bending was noted. The positions of the fractures in specimen DH2 tested in bending are shown in Plate VII A (vide ante).
SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAM D.H.Z.

Top and Bottom Fibre Stress-Strain Curves.

FIG. 13.A
Fixed Beam D.H. 2
Top and Bottom Fibre Stress-Strain Curves

Beam Dimensions:
Width: 1/3
Depth: 1/6
Span: 10 ft

Strain = $10^{-4}/10^3/2$

Fig. 20A
Comparative Stress - Strain Curves

Bending Test - Specimen C.M.1

(First Loading Cycle)

Fixed Beam

Bottom Fibre

Top Fibre

Simply Supported

Strain (in./in. * 10^3)

Fig. 21.4
(c) **Time Strain in Coal Measures Rock**

Several time-strain investigations were carried out with specimens in tension and bending. The familiar form of "stepped" curve obtained with prolonged incremental loading is shown for both tension and bending tests in Fig. 22A and 23A respectively.

In addition to the above time-strain investigations some experiments were performed with a view to predicting the "strain v time" relationship for a given rock type under specified loading conditions. Fig. 24A shows the family of stress-strain curves, obtained with different strain rates for specimen CM1 in bending. From these curves the relationships shown in Fig. 27A were derived. Fig. 25A and 26A formed the intermediate steps in the prediction of the "strain v time" curves plotted in Fig. 27A.

The experimental results and predicted "strain v time" curves for specimen CM5 in tension are also shown in Fig. 28A and 29A respectively. The intermediate curves have been omitted.
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Fig. 22A
Time Strain Test: Simply Supported Beam A.H.2

(30 minute intervals)

Immediate Strain
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Fig. 23 A
Time-Strain Test: Bending C.M.I.

Stress-Strain Curves for Various Strain Rates

Fig. 24A
Time-Strain Test: Bending C.M.I.
Stress & Total Time for Various Strain Rates.
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Fig. 27A.
Time-Strain Test: Tension C.M.S.

Stress Strain Curves for Various Strain Rates

Fig. 28A.
TIME - STRAIN TEST: TENSION CMS
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FIG. 29A
ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

General

(i) The resistance wire strain gauge proved to be suitable for the measurement of rock strain in laboratory tests provided the gauge is securely bonded to the rock surface. A suitable cementing medium for this purpose is Araldite Cold Setting Filler and Hardener 951.

(ii) The determination of the instantaneous loading stress-strain relationship for rocks in compression tension or bending is more accurate with the Phillips Bridge since it gives the strain reading directly with no lag between each increment of load to permit time straining of the specimen being tested.

(iii) The methods adopted for the preparation of the rock specimens are suitable for the stronger rock types (e.g. sandstones, siltstones, etc.) but modifications are required to enable specimens of shales and other weaker rocks to be prepared.

Strengths of Coal Measures Rocks

(i) For the limited range of rock types studied the relative strengths were found to be: sandstones, siltstones, mudstones and shales (i.e. strongest to weakest). However such groupings are of little value because wide variations in the strength of different rock types were recorded. Furthermore, the presence of natural weaknesses (slip planes etc.) cannot be considered in laboratory tests.

(ii) Considering the range of sandstones listed in Table No.2A
it is seen that for any given cementing medium (in this case siliceous) the larger the particle size the weaker is the rock. (N.B. Further ranges of specimens with other cements are required to ensure the validity of this analysis.)

(iii) The effects of various types of cementing medium on the ultimate strengths of sandstones are shown in Table No.3A. It appears that for sandstones with similar particle sizes the order of strength is ferruginous, siliceous and calcareous. (Clay cement is weakest of all but no suitable specimens could be prepared for testing.) Specimen CM3 seems to be an exception to the above statement but a study of thin sections of the rock reveals the presence of many angular shaped grains which will give added strength to the rock. The compressive strength of specimen CM2 is lower than expected but the tensile strength is high - it is thought that this specimen may be considered as a weak ironstone rather than a ferruginous sandstone since it is composed of approximately equal amounts of iron and silica particles.

(iv) There does not appear to be any fixed relationship between the ultimate tensile and compressive strengths of the rock types studied. However, it is shown that the tensile strength of specimen DH4 is much higher (approximately twice) when the tension is applied parallel to the bedding planes rather than perpendicular to them.

(v) The overall mineral composition also affects the strength of the rock - it can be considered as a measure of the heterogeneity.
It appears that the presence of large amounts of mica weakens the rock. This may be due to the foliated structure of the mineral inducing slip planes when the specimen is loaded.

(vi) Summarising, the factors which affect both the tensile and compressive strengths of Coal Measures Rocks are:—cementing medium, particle size, shape of the grains and overall mineral composition. However, the ultimate strength of a rock 'in situ' is greatly affected by natural weaknesses in the rock (slip planes, fossils, carbonaceous matter, etc.)

(vii) The strength values determined for each of the rock types are only relative and not absolute since the strength of a small cube of any rock (in the laboratory) is different from the strength developed by the same material 'in situ' (AS etc.).

Determination of Elastic Constants

(i) The stress-strain diagrams obtained in the compression tests of all the rock types are of similar form to those obtained by Phillips (AlO) using mechanical-strain gauges. A wide hysteresis loop is obtained for the first loading cycle but the second, third and subsequent loadings give much narrower loops which are almost superimposed (see Fig. 6A).

(ii) Similar stress-strain curves were obtained for the rocks in tension, except that the second and third loading cycles did not give superimposed hysteresis loops. This is probably due to a slower rate
of recovery of tensile time-strain (elastic portion) than compressive
time-strain. The difference in the nature of the tension and com­
pression stress-strain curves is clearly illustrated in Fig. 20A,
which shows both the upper and lower fibre strain-load curves for a
bending test on specimen DII2.

(iii) Since the stress-strain relationships (both in compression
and tension) are non-linear, Hooke's Law is invalid and true "elastic
constants" for the rocks do not exist. However, values of Young's
Modulus (E) and Poisson's Ratio (G) can be calculated for any given
value of applied stress using either the "tangent" or the "secant"
method of calculation. Considering the results plotted in Fig. 7A
(i.e. E and G for CM1) it is seen that the tangent modulus is higher
than the secant modulus and that both increase with stress. The
values used in both calculations are from the third loading cycle.
The "scatter" of the results is higher in the case of the tangent
modulus since only a small part of the stress-strain curve is consider­
ed for each calculation, whereas for the secant modulus the whole curve
(up to a given stress value) is used and consequently the percentage
difference between consecutive points is much smaller in the latter
case. The difference between the "loading" and "unloading" values
of the tangent modulus for a stress of 3,540 lb./in.² should be noted -
the difference is due to the changeover from the "loading" to the
"unloading" curve and not to any particular property of the material.
(N.B. - This difference in the tangent values obtained for E and
for the highest applied stress is seen for all the curves plotted.)
(iv) Similar reasoning applies to the determination of Poisson's Ratio for rocks; the secant ratio being higher than the tangent ratio (Fig. 8A). If the third loading cycle is considered the value of $G$ for specimen CMI decreases slightly with increase of stress and thereafter remains fairly constant but if the initial loading curves are used in the calculations, $G$ appears to increase steadily with increase in stress. Thus before using any value of $G$ for a given rock the previous "straining history" of the rock should be known.

(v) The variation of Strain Energy per Unit Volume with applied stress (CMI) shown in Fig. 9A clearly illustrates the difference in the results obtained with the tangent and the secant moduli.

(vi) It is thought that the tangent modulus is more suited to the study of rock stresses in mining since initially the rocks are prestressed and the forces induced by mining constitute the second loading cycle. Furthermore the tangent modulus gives a close approximation to the immediate (elastic) strain developed and the total strain for any particular stress can be computed by use of "time-strain data" (see later).

(vii) The relationship between the Elastic Modulus and applied stress for the other rocks tested is shown in Fig. 11A, 12A and 13A. For all the specimens except DH3 and DH7, the elastic modulus increases with stress (most of the values are between 3 and $4 \times 10^6$ lb./in.$^2$). In the case of the siltstones (DH3 and 7) the modulus shows a slight decrease followed by a gradual increase, with increasing values of
stress. Muller (A3) shows that the values of $E$ for most rocks increase with stress with the exception of slate and Holland (A3 and A27) obtains similar results to those here described for his tests on rocks associated with coal seams.

(viii) Fig. 14A shows the relationship between Poisson's Ratio and stress for the remainder of the rock types tested; the ratio shows a slight increase for increasing values of stress. This is in agreement with the results obtained by Phillips (AlO).

(ix) For the tension tests the average value of Young's Modulus for the rocks was found to be lower (between 1 and $3 \times 10^6$ lb./in.$^2$) than in compression. The tension modulus also increases with applied stress but the rate of increase is lower than the compression modulus (see Fig. 13A). There appears to be little or no variation of Poisson's Ratio with increase of tensile stress.

(x) The results of the bending tests (Fig. 19A - 21A) give similar stress strain diagrams to those obtained in the compression and bending tests. The strain values recorded for the bottom fibre are considerably higher than for the upper fibre at corresponding loads. Phillips (AlO) has demonstrated that the position of the neutral axis in bending depends on the relative values of elastic modulus in tension and compression - hence the difference in extreme fibre strains. On testing a beam of sandstone (HD2) to destruction the fracture plane developed (see Plate VII A) corresponds to the theoretical line of most effective shear with the hade of the fracture changing direction at the
neutral axis which is considerably nearer to the upper surface of the beam.

**Time-Strain in Coal Measures Rock**

(i) The familiar "stepped" stress-strain curve obtained when each increment of load was left for 15 minutes during a tension test on specimen D92 is shown in Fig. 22A. Phillips obtained similar results for compression and bending tests on shales and sandstones (A10). Corten (A25) in his tests with gravity loading of steel beams also obtained comparable results. In both the tension and bending tests (Fig. 22A and 23A) it is seen that the immediate (or elastic) strain parts of the diagram have different gradients. Hence the assumption that instantaneous loading gives an elastic stress-strain relationship is not correct (see later "prediction of time-strain").

(ii) In the experiments to predict the "time-straining" of rocks under a given applied stress the calculated and experimental curves show fairly good agreement both for the bending and tension tests (Fig. 27A and 29A respectively). In both cases the discrepancy between the calculated and experimental curves increases with increase of stress. For all stress values chosen, except one, the calculated strains after a given time are higher than the strains recorded experimentally.

(iii) Although the method used for the prediction of time strain gives reasonably accurate results it should be used with caution because
several assumptions are made in applying the theory (outlined earlier) to rock straining, viz. -

(a) The strain rates for the family of stress-strain curves shown in Fig. 24A are average values determined after the curves were plotted but the theory assumes the strain rates to be predetermined constants for any particular test.

(b) Theoretically the stress-strain curves should be obtained by allowing the material to strain continuously at a given strain rate. This was not possible with the apparatus used and the method of obtaining the stress-strain curves was to allow the time-strain to develop at fixed load values and from the resulting "stepped curve" a smooth curve was plotted.

(c) The theory assumes that the strain developed immediately after application of the load is completely elastic but actually the strain developed in rocks always consists of an elastic part and a plastic part. (N.B. - The variations of the slopes of the "elastic" parts of a typical stress-strain curve are shown in Fig. 22A).

(iv) The similarity of the experimental and predicted strain-time curves indicates that some form of "equation of state" (A23) exists for rock but since graphical procedures are used in the analysis the actual form of the "equation" need not be known.

(v) Although the assumptions made in applying the theory are fairly "sweeping" the method seems to indicate a field for further investigation.
CONCLUSIONS

(1) The electrical resistance strain gauge with an A.C. measuring bridge is suitable for the measurement of rock strain in laboratory tests. The accuracy of the method depends upon the bonding of the gauge to the rock surface and the linear response of the gauges at low strain values. Due to the inherent "time-strain" property of rocks a direct reading bridge is more accurate than a "null-point" bridge for the determination of stress-strain diagrams.

(2) The relative strengths of the Coal Measures Rocks tested were found to be: sandstones, siltstones, mudstones and shales. However the presence of natural weaknesses greatly influences the ultimate strength of rocks 'in situ' and this factor cannot be allowed for in laboratory tests.

(3) For the range of sandstones studied it was found: (a) the larger the particle size, the weaker is the rock for any given cementing medium, (b) for a given particle size the strength of the rock is governed by the cementing mediums which have the following relative strengths: ferruginous, siliceous, calcareous and clay, (c) the shape of the grains and overall mineral composition also affect the ultimate strength of the rock.

(4) There does not appear to be any fixed ratio between the compressive and tensile strength of any rock type.

(5) On subjecting a rock to stress, either in compression tension or
bending, a hysteresis effect is obtained. There are no true elastic "constants" for rocks and generally the Elastic Modulus and Poisson's Ratio increase with applied stress. However some exceptions to this general rule were found particularly in the siltstones where an initial decrease followed by an increase in the values of $E$ and $G$ with increase of stress were noted. Values of $E$ and $G$ for any rock type should always be related to the applied stress, and previous straining history of the material. The method adopted for the calculation of the "constants" should also be stated.

(6) The values of $E$ in tension is less than in compression for any given rock. The direction of application of stress with respect to the laminitations greatly affects the tensile strength of the rock.

(7) The total strain developed in rock under a given load is a function of time. Phillips analysed the rates of development of time strain. The method here described for the prediction of "time-strain" gives reasonably accurate results and also indicates the existence of an "equation of state" for rocks. However it should be noted that several assumptions are made in applying the theory to rock strains which limit its general application.
The design of suitable support systems to control the strata movements around underground excavations requires knowledge of the redistribution of the rock stresses induced by mining together with the physical properties of the materials subjected to stress. There have been many theories suggested to explain the pressure distribution around mine working (B1); most of the theories are based on visual underground research and generally are limited to a particular mining field. In recent years there has been an increasing use of sensitive instruments to record the effects of different forms of support, methods of working etc., but most of the measurements have been concerned with the manifestations of rock pressure rather than the pressure itself. Another approach to the problem has been made by the study of models in the laboratory (see Section D). Use has also been made of the photo-elastic method of stress analysis to determine the stresses around underground excavations.

Photo-elasticity has been widely used in the other branches of engineering; details of the development of the method, the theory involved and the interpretation of the results are given in the extensive publications of Coker and Filon (B2), Frocht (B3), Hetenyi (B4) and others. In the study of rock pressures photo-elasticity has been used by Bucky and Sinclair (B5), Mindlin (B6) and Duvall (B7, B8) in America, by Tincelin (B9), Guinard (B10), Pirard (B11) and others on the Continent. In Britain the method was first used by Hudspeth
and Phillips (B12) and more recently by Potts and his associates
who have developed the technique and applied it to a wide range of
problems (B13 to B19 incl.).

Due to the heterogeneity of rocks, the effects of pre-mining
disturbances, the irregularities in the shape of mine excavations
etc., several simplifying assumptions are made in applying the
method - the strata are assumed to be an infinite medium, the
boundaries of the excavations regular, etc. These assumptions are
justified in the study of large scale problems such as shaft disturb-
ances (B14), partial extraction (B7, B8, B18, B19), gallery shapes
(B10, B17 etc.) etc., but when the problem is more particular caution
must be observed in applying the results of photo-elastic analysis
since Coal Measures Rocks are not truly elastic in their behaviour
under stress (Section A). Hence the model results cannot be applied
directly to the prototype but an overall impression of the stress
distribution can be obtained. Furthermore, since most rocks fail in
shear the isochromatic diagrams obtained from the photo-elastic
experiments illustrate the critical zones of shear stress concentration
without further analysis.

In the past few years increased use has been made of roof bolting
as a mean of support in gate-roads and headings. In some cases the
bolts have formed the entire support system and in others they have been
used in conjunction with conventional forms of support. Generally the
bolting patterns adopted have been designed by some form of "trial and error" method. In the present work models were constructed to study the effects of various bolting patterns, bolt tensions, etc., with a view to designing suitable bolting patterns for use underground without having to carry out extensive underground trials.
APPARATUS

(a) Polariscope

The polariscop used in the investigation is shown in Plate I B. A chain drive system couples the polariser and analyser to a graduated control handle at the screen end of the bench to facilitate the plotting of isoclinic lines. Quarter-wave plates can be inserted to give "circularly polarised light" when isochromatic diagrams are being investigated. Details of the various components are:

**Light Source:** Two lamps are provided in the lamphouse - a 250W projection lamp for white light and a 250W high pressure mercury vapour lamp for mono-chromatic light. Wratten filters No.77 and No.53 used with the mercury vapour lamp give the mercury green line (wavelength 5461 A.U.). The lamp house can be rotated about its vertical axis to facilitate interchange of the light source.

**Condensers:** The condensers are 6 inch diameter plano-convex lenses used to give a parallel beam of light through the model being studied.

**Polarisers:** "Polaroid" sheets 5½ inches in diameter, fitted between glass plates, are used for both the polariser and the analyser.

**Projection Lens:** A 3 inch diameter compound lens is used for projecting a magnified image on the screen for the analysis of isoclinics etc. When it is required to photograph stress fringes the
projection lens is replaced by a half-plate Sanderson camera. Kodak 0.250 plates were used for recording the stress fringe photographs.

(b) Loading Arrangements

The models are loaded by a system of levers designed to allow both horizontal and vertical movement of the model with the straining frame. This is useful when models larger than the polarisers are being studied. For the study of roof bolting systems the loading arrangements were as shown in Fig. 1B. From the point load at X (Fig. 1B) an approximately uniformly distributed load is obtained by the device shown. The "box" construction of the loading gear allows the "anchor bar" to remain unloaded when the C.R.39 beam is under load. Clearance holes for the bolts are drilled in the base of the box, and a thin rubber sheet is interposed between the loading surface and the beam to minimise local contact stresses. In addition to the vertical loading over the unsupported span of the model, horizontal constraint and additional "abutment" loading can be provided by the loading screws shown. The intensity of the "additional" loads is measured by strain gauge load cells positioned as illustrated. The metal plates which support the beam models can be removed to enable larger models (arched roadway sections etc.) to be investigated.

(c) Ancillary Equipment

Compensator: A Soleil-Babinet type compensator was used for the determination of fractional orders of interference. It was
used mainly for the measurement of edge stresses when the fringe pattern was approximately parallel to the boundary of the model.

**Extensometer:** A "Hounsfield" extensometer was used for the measurement of bolt tension. The instrument consists of a clamping frame which fixes two gauge points (2 inch gauge length) to the specimen; a micrometer screw arrangement is used to measure the deflections of a bell-crank lever linked to the moveable gauge point. Platinum contacts fitted to the micrometer "make" a circuit for a small electric bulb – so eliminating the effects of the "personal equation" which is usually involved in the reading of a micrometer. The use of the instrument for the measurement of bolt tension is described under "Procedure".
CONSTRUCTION OF MODELS

In the study of roof bolting techniques the range of models investigated consisted of three main groups, viz. (a) suspension support, (b) compound beam effect, and (c) arched roadway support. The materials used for the construction of the models were Columbia Resin (C.R.39), Bakelite (BT/61/393), Catalin and Perspex, the latter being used only for the determination of isoclinic lines. The bolts were made from 0.065 inch diameter wire screwed to take No. 10 B.A. nuts. The bolt plates and roof bars were made from copper sheet.

(a) Suspension Support

In the investigation of the use of roof bolts to "suspend" a weak stratum from a much stronger one above beams of C.R.39 8 inches by 1 inch by ¾ inch simulated the lower bed while a steel bar represented the "anchoring bed". Clearance holes for the bolts were drilled through the beam using a No.52 drill and reaming them with a No.50 drill (.0700\*). The cutting edges of the drills were modified as suggested by Jenkins (B20) to minimise the machining stresses induced in the material by drilling. The reduction in the induced stresses is shown by comparing Plates II B and III B. The models were cut from polished sheets of plastic using a 5\* x 1/16\* medium speed circular saw mounted between centres in a lathe. The cut edge obtained was free from chipping but the finished edges of the model were prepared
by filing to minimise the edge stresses. To ensure equal bolt tension, the load was applied through brass sleeves (see Fig. 1B) and the strain developed in the sleeves measured with an extensometer.

(b) Compound Beam

Another application of roof bolting in coal mines is the clamping together of several thin strata to form a compound beam. To study this use of bolting, models, consisting of two beams of C.R.39, 8" x \( \frac{1}{2} \)" x \( \frac{1}{2} \), which could be bolted together, were made. The holes for the bolts were drilled as before, the bolt heads being countersunk in the upper stratum to permit uniform loading of the beam over the entire span.

(c) Arched Roadway Support

In the models of a longwall gate road the arched section was cut from \( \frac{1}{2} \) inch thick C.R.39 sheet. The "anchorage bed" was also made from C.R.39 - the interface being accurately machined to minimise local stress concentrations. A strip of polythene was placed between the beds to allow free lateral movement. The roof bolt holes were drilled in the manner previously described; the holes in the upper bed were tapped to take the screwed end of the roof bolts. An attempt was made to anchor the bolts with the "slot and wedge" principle but it was not possible to obtain sufficient anchorage - consequently all the bolts were anchored simply by screwing them into tapped holes. The roadside "packs" were made from stiff rubber sheet which allowed considerable yield before its maximum resistance was developed - comparable to
underground conditions. The floor of the roadway was also made from Columbia Resin. Many models of this type were made with beds of different thicknesses; several models fractured along the line of the bolt holes when under load. One model was constructed with several strata made up of different materials (C.R.39, Bakelite and Catalin), the roadside packs again being made from rubber sheeting.

Details of the models are shown in the fringe photographs and several models can be seen in the foreground of Plate ID.
PLATE IIB: Machining Stresses around Drill Hole in C.R.39

PLATE IIIB: Elimination of machining stresses with Modified Technique
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EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

In addition to the many underground experiments carried out to determine the effects of different roof bolting systems several investigators have studied the re-distribution of stresses caused by bolting of beams, etc. in the laboratory. Different techniques have been used including centrifugal testing (B21) and the testing of model bolts installed in concrete beams (B22). By these "destructive" methods, the critically stressed zones are revealed but an overall impression of the re-distribution of stresses throughout the model cannot be obtained. The procedure adopted for the photo-elastic tests although similar in principle to that used by Bucky (B21) and Wuerker (B22) gives a more general picture of the stresses around bolted excavations. Beyer and Solakian (B23) used a comparable method to that here described in their photo-elastic analysis of stresses in composite materials. In their tests the reinforcing bars were cast in the models and not inserted into drilled holes.

The procedure adopted in the tests can be sub-divided as follows:

(a) Properties of the Model Materials

The "fringe constants" for the photo-elastic materials used in the investigation were determined by the testing of a beam in pure bending. The isochromatic diagram and calculations for the calibration of the C.R.39 specimen are given in the "Results".

Tests were carried out on the material used for the model bolts
to determine the ultimate tensile stress and the elastic modulus. The brass sleeves used for the measurement of bolt tension were calibrated in a separate test to enable the bolts to be set to a pre-determined tension directly.

(b) **Isoclinic Lines**

The isoclinic lines were obtained by projection and direct tracing — no photographs of individual isoclinic lines were made. Perspex models were used for the determination of the isoclinic patterns.

(c) **Isochromatic Patterns**

Isochromatic diagrams for each stage of the investigations were recorded photographically. The effects of "pinning" the strata together by simply inserting the bolts without tension were studied — this test was intended to simulate the action of wooden "bolts". The variations of stress distribution with non-uniform bolt tension, square roof plates, roof bars, etc. were also investigated. A test was made to illustrate the effect of placing a rubber pad between the plate and the roof in a similar manner to the prop soles suggested by Jenkins (E24) in his study of floor penetration.

(d) **Measurement of Bolt Tension**

In the preliminary tests considerable difficulty was experienced in applying equal tensions to the bolts. Consequently it was necessary to measure the bolt tension in a separate operation. The following methods of measuring the bolt tension were investigated:

**Applied Torque**: A simple torque-meter was constructed to allow
the application of a known torque to the bolts. However, since
the tension required in each bolt was relatively small the influence
of friction forces etc. on the nuts and bearing plates was such that
the method was very inaccurate.

Optical Method: A small block of C.R.39 was interposed between
the nut and the bearing plate. When the bolt was tensioned the C.R.39
was under compression causing interference fringes in the material.
Due to the low forces being applied and the influencing of machining
and edge stresses in the blocks of C.R.39, the accuracy of the method
was low.

Extensometer: The length of the bolts was increased and a brass
tube was placed between the nut and the bearing plate as shown in Fig.
1B. The strain developed in the tubes was measured by a Hounsfield
Extensometer and from a previous calibration the tension in the bolts
could be determined directly. However it was not found possible to
fit the extensometer to the bolts while the model was under load in
the straining frame and so only the initial tension in the bolts was
recorded and not the tension developed under load. Reasonable accur­
acy could be obtained with this method and it was adopted for all the
experiments.
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

(a) Properties of the Model Materials

Stress Optical Coefficient: The isochromatic pattern for
the centre portion of a beam of C.R.39 is shown in Fig. 2B. The total
change in interference order from the top to the bottom of the beam is
found by extrapolation.

For a beam in pure bending:

\[ \text{Extrm fibre stress } = \frac{M \times \frac{d}{2}}{I} \]

and for a rectangular section,

\[ I = \frac{1}{12} bd^3 \]

where

- \( M \): Bending moment on section (in lb.)
- \( d \): Depth of beam (inches)
- \( I \): Moment of Inertia of section (in.\(^4\))
- \( b \): Thickness of beam (in.)

\[ \text{Total change in stress } = 2 \times \frac{M \times \frac{d}{2}}{bd^3} \]

between extreme fibres

\[ = \frac{12M}{bd^2} \]

Be definition, Stress Optical Coefficient \( C \) is given by,

\[ C = \frac{(p - q) \times b}{n} \]

where \( p - q \) = Difference between principal stress (lb./in.\(^2\))

\( n \) = Order of the interference fringe
The units of the coefficient are lb./in.²/order/in. - stress difference.

In a beam in pure bending, the principal stress normal to the edge of the beam is zero, i.e. q = 0. Therefore the total stress across the section can be substituted for (p - q) in equation (2), thus:

\[ C = \frac{12M}{bd^2} \times \frac{b}{n} \text{ (lb./in.²/order)} \]

\[ \therefore C = \frac{12M}{nd^2} \]

For the C.R.39 specimen tested:

\[ M = 84.7 \text{ in.lb.} \]
\[ d = 1.00 \text{ in.} \]
\[ n = 10.4 \text{ orders} \]

\[ \therefore C = \frac{12 \times 84.7}{12 \times 10.4} \]

i.e. Stress Optical Coefficient (C.R.39) = 97.7 lb./in. x order.

The coefficients for the other materials were obtained in the same way.

Roof Bolts: From a series of tensile tests (some to destruction) on the material used for the bolts the following results were obtained:

Young's Modulus = 26.7 x 10⁶ lb./in.²

Ultimate Load = 735 lb.

Average Diameter = 0.065 in.
Brass Loading Tubes: The results of the calibration test on a specimen of the tube used for the measurement of bolt tension are shown in Fig. 3B.

(b) Isoclinic Lines

The isoclinics for each model were obtained by direct tracing. Typical of the diagrams obtained are those for a beam with and without bolts (the bolting pattern being the 4-bolt suspension support), shown in Fig. 4B and 5B. The corresponding diagrams of stress trajectories are shown with the isoclinic diagrams.

The stress trajectories obtained for the unbolted arch section were similar to those obtained by Potts (B17) and Guinard (B10) for arched shaped galleries in a continuous medium.

(c) Isochromatic Patterns

The isochromatic patterns for various loading conditions of each group of models were obtained using monochromatic light with the dark field arrangement of the polariscope. Plates IVB and XVIB show the fringe patterns obtained with the specified loading conditions. Considering each group of models separately:

Suspension Support - Plates IVB, VB and VIB show the shear stress distribution over the span with and without bolts under different applied loads. The distribution of stress induced by equal tension in the bolts (zero applied load) is shown in Plate VIIIB. Plates VIIIIB and IXB illustrate the effects of unequal bolt tension and the use of a roof bar in place of "patch" plates.
Compound Beam — The redistribution of stress caused by bolting two independent beams together is illustrated in Plates XB and XIB. The reduction in stress concentration at the edge of the patch plates when a yielding pad (rubber) is interposed between the plate and the roof is shown in Plate XIIB.

Arched Roadway Support — Representative of the isochromatic patterns obtained for the models of an arched roadway are those shown in Plates XIIIB and XIVB. The model used in this particular experiment was made entirely of C.R.39 with hard rubber to simulate the roadside packs. The bolting pattern was based on the results obtained from previous experiments. Plate XIIIB shows the stress distribution across the section without bolts and the effects of simply inserting the bolts without applying tension are seen in Plate XIVB. This latter condition is comparable to using wooden bolts or pins (see Section C). The stress distribution when all three bolts are tensioned equally is shown in Plate XVIB while the effect of tensioning the centre bolt alone is illustrated in Plate XVIB.
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Fig. 3B
PLATE IVB  Suspension Support.  Applied Load 328 lb./in.\(^2\)
Bolt tension zero.

PLATE VIB  Suspension Support.  Applied Load 227 lb./in.\(^2\)
Equal bolt tension (3 units strain)
PLATE VIB  Suspension Support. Applied Load 328 lb./in.²
Equal bolt tension (3 units)

PLATE VIB  Suspension Support. Applied Load zero.
Equal bolt tension (3 units)
PLATE VIIIB  Suspension Support.  Applied Load 227 lb./in.$^2$
Unequal bolt tensions

PLATE IXB  Suspension Support.  Applied Load 227 lb./in.$^2$
Roof bar in position.  Bolt tensions equal.
PLATE XB  Compound Beam.  Applied Load 70 lb./in.²  
Without bolts.

PLATE XI B  Compound Beam.  Applied Load 70 lb./in.²  
4-bolts equal tensions.
PLATE XIII

Compound Beam. Applied Load $70 \text{ lb.}/\text{in.}^2$
2-bolts equal tensions. Rubber pad in position (left hand bolt).

PLATE XIII

Applied Load $80 \text{ lb.}/\text{in.}^2$ without bolts.
PLATE XIVB
Applied Load 80 lb./in.²  Bolts installed
tension zero.

PLATE XVII
Applied Load 80 lb./in.²  Bolts installed
with equal tension.
PLATE XVIB

Applied Load 30 lb./in.²  3 bolts installed.
Centre bolt only tensioned.
Unbolted Span - Isoclinics & Stress Trajectories

Fig. 4.B.
Bolted Span - Isoclinics & Stress Trajectories.

Fig. 5B.
ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

1. It is known that Coal Measures Rocks do not behave strictly as elastic bodies when under stress (Section A), consequently the analysis of the results obtained in the photo-elastic investigations must be qualitative rather than quantitative. Potts, in his analysis of several mining problems (B16, B17 etc.) has used the "unit" method of analysis, i.e. the stress distribution is given in terms of the total applied stress, but the nature of the investigations here described does not permit such a method of analysis.

2. In the construction of the models the roof bolts are placed within the photo-elastic material, hence the optical effects recorded in the vicinity of the bolts cannot be analysed correctly with normal two-dimensional photo-elastic methods.

3. In the initial experiments several failures of the bolts occurred due to shearing of the threads and to overcome this weakness it was necessary to use steel wire for the bolts. The use of steel (for the bolts) resulted in the ratio \( \frac{E_{\text{model bolts}}}{E_{\text{C.R.99}}} \) being much higher than the ratio \( \frac{E_{\text{bolts}}}{E_{\text{rock}}} \), so that the conditions of similarity between the model and the prototype are not accurate.

Suspension Support:

(i) The re-orientation of the principal stresses throughout a "suspended" roof bed due to bolting is shown by comparing Fig. 4B and 5B. Similar diagrams were obtained with "two" and "three" bolting patterns.
(ii) In the unbolted span (Plate IVB) the shear stress concentrations over the rib sides are very high and there is considerable tensile stress on the lower fibre over most of the span. Thus failure will take place either in tension (at mid-span) or in shear (over the rib sides) depending upon the physical properties of the rock bed. The effects of introducing 4-bolts (with sufficient initial tension) are shown in Plates VB and VIB. In the former case when the applied load is 227 lb. per square inch the introduction of the bolts has almost neutralised the tensile stress on the lower fibre of the bed. When the applied load is increased tensile stress again develops in the lower fibres of the bed (Plate VIB). The distribution of tensile stress (in fringe orders) along the lower fibre of the roof bed for each of the above conditions is shown in Fig. 6B.

(iii) The concentration of shear stress is higher around the bolts nearest the rib sides and the introduction of bolts reduces the shear stress concentration immediately over the rib sides.

(iv) The initial tension applied to each of the bolts must be uniform otherwise the bolts merely distort the stress distribution over the span and may actually promote fracturing of the roof bed by acting as "stress raisers". This is especially important if the roof bed is weak in shear (Plate VIIIIB).

(v) It is seen that there is always a concentration of shear stress around the patch plates which may in some cases cause failure
of the support system due to crumbling of the immediate roof bed around the plate. Plate IXB illustrates the shear stress distribution when a roof bar extending across the entire span is used in place of the plates. The use of the bar appears to have eliminated the high stress concentrations around the bolts but it should be remembered that if a section along the axis of the roadway was considered then the stress distribution would resemble that shown in Plate VD.

**Compound Beam Effect**

(i) Plate XB shows the shear stress distribution when two strata, subjected to bending, are acting independently. It is seen that the fringe order on the lowest fibre of the bottom stratum at mid-span is 3,8, corresponding to a tensile stress tangential to the roof of approximately 1490 lb./sq. in. for an applied load of 70 lb./sq. in.

(ii) When four bolts, equally tensioned, are installed in the beams before subjecting them to the same load, the fringe order at mid-span is 2,4 - giving a tensile stress of 940 lb./sq. in.

(iii) The clamping together of the beds almost eliminates the shear stress along the underface between the two beds.

(iv) Thus provided sufficient tension is applied to the bolts it may be possible to bind several thin strata together into a compound beam which could withstand the applied load without failure at mid-span.

(v) Jenkins (E24) in his photo-elastic experiments of floor
penetration by props illustrated that the shear stress concentration at the edge of the prop bases could be reduced by the introduction of a rubber pad between the base of the prop and the floor. Failures of roof bolts also occur because of the high shear stresses induced around the patch plates. A similar pad to that suggested by Jenkins is shown between the plate and the roof bed (C.R.39) for the left bolt in Plate XIIIB. Comparison of this bolt with the right one reveals a marked reduction of shear stress. The value of this pad, in practice, will depend mainly upon the physical properties of the roof bed (plastic flowing etc.).

Arched Roadway Support:

(i) Many investigations of the stress distribution around roadways of various shapes have revealed that failure is most likely to occur in the tension zone over the roof of the excavation. Guinard (B10) deduces from his photo-elastic and mathematical studies that even at the greatest depths worked in iron mines the galleries fail in tension at the keystone before the ultimate compressive stress is developed at the abutments of the arch. Plate XIIIIB illustrates the shear-stress distribution around an arched shaped roadway in stratified material. Examination of the isochromatics reveals a tensile stress at the base of the keystone of 1560 lb. per square inch for an applied load of 80 lb. per square inch.

(ii) By inserting bolts, in the pattern shown, into the roof of the roadway and anchoring them in the stratum above (without apply-
ing tension) the tensile stress at the keystone is reduced to 1490 lb. per square inch and furthermore there is a reduction of the shear stress developed on the lower fibres of the anchorage stratum. The effects of simply "pinning" the beds of the keystone together was extended by using "wooden bolts" in the underground investigations, (see Section C).

(iii) By applying equal tensions to the three bolts (all installed within the tension zone) the tensile stress at the keystone is reduced to 1100 lb. per square inch and the shear stress along the interface is almost eliminated (Plate XVB).

(iv) When all three bolts are in position, but only the centre one is tensioned, there is still a reduction of the tensile stress at the keystone - illustrating that the centre bolt is the most vital one in reducing the intensity of the tensile stress over the roadway.

(v) The reduction of the tensile stress at the keystone (under the specified conditions) is shown diagrammatically in Fig. 7B. The stress values used in plotting the results are merely relative and cannot be applied directly to the prototype because of the dissimilarity (previously discussed) of the model and actual underground conditions.

(vi) In the models the bolts have a 100% anchorage - a factor which is never achieved in practice (see Section C). With this pattern the bolt anchorages are within the "tension zone" (for certain loading conditions) which may cause "creep" of the bolt anchorages.
underground, but provided systematic re-tightening of the bolts is adopted this disadvantage may be overcome.

(vii) In addition to the model (arched roadway) here described several compound models composed of strata of different materials (Bakelite, Catalin, etc.) were made. The results obtained were similar but it is thought that the variation in Poisson's Ratio of the materials, influence of surface friction etc., induce stresses at the interfaces which further complicate the analysis of the results and their application to working conditions underground.
**Fig. 6 B**  Suspension Support

**Fig. 7 B**  Arched Roadway Support
CONCLUSIONS

1. Since Coal Measures Rocks are not truly elastic in their behaviour under stress, and because of the difficulties in simulating underground conditions by models, the results obtained from photo-elastic investigations are qualitative rather than quantitative.

2. The applications of two-dimensional photo-elasticity are limited because it is not always possible to construct models which truly represent the conditions existing across any particular section of an underground excavation.

3. There are three principal applications of roof bolting in coal mines viz. suspension support, formation of a compound beam and arched roadway support. In each case the use of bolts reduces the tensile stress developed on the lower fibres of the immediate roof bed.

4. The initial tension applied to each of the bolts must be uniform otherwise local concentrations of stress are developed which may induce premature failure of the roof.

5. The use of yielding pads (rubber) between the patch plates and the roof reduces the concentration of shear stress at the edges of the plates.

6. In the support of longwall gate roads, a 3-bolt pattern installed within the tension zone materially reduces the tensile stress developed at the "keystone" which is normally the weakest part of the excavation. This use of bolts was further investigated underground (Section C) and by stratified plaster models (Section D) - all
three investigations revealed the saving in roadway maintenance which can be achieved when the "keystones" is reinforced.

7. It is suggested that future investigations of roof bolting systems by photo-elasticity should be carried out with three-dimensional models made up of strata of different materials. The influence of induced surface stresses (at the interfaces of the beds) should be studied and the materials used for the models should have similar "constant ratios" \( \frac{E_{bolts}}{E_{rock}} \) to those of the prototype. The method of loading the models should also receive consideration, to permit closer correlation of the model results with those obtained underground.
To extend the laboratory investigations of roof bolting as a support in stratified deposits, and to determine the applications and limitations of the electrical resistance strain gauge and ancillary equipment for use underground, a full-scale bolting programme was commenced at Northfield Colliery, Shotts, during September, 1954. All the experiments were carried out in the main gate of an advancing longwall face; the bolting being used in conjunction with the normal arch-girder supports. Three types of steel bolt – slot and wedge, expansion shell, wedge and sleeve – were investigated as well as some wooden “bolts” or pins. No observations were made in stoop and room workings because most of the available literature on bolting techniques (C1 to C7 inclusive) is concerned with 'solid' working while comparatively few trials have been recorded in longwall workings.
Location of Experiments

Northfield Colliery, Shotts, is a small unit with an output of 500 tons per day. The shafts are sunk to the Productive Coal Measures; sections of the strata to the East and West of No.1 South District are shown in Fig. 16. It is a non-gassy mine which allowed freedom in the use of electrical apparatus.

The site chosen for the tests was the main gate of No.1 South Section shown in Fig. 1C. This roadway in the Main Coal is 470 feet from the surface, and has proved very difficult to maintain - three or four re-rippings being necessary in some parts.

Types of Bolts and Installation Equipment

Many types of roof bolt have been devised recently; details of the various forms of 'anchoring' devices can be found in the publications of Wright and McDonald (C4), Hodkin and Lawrence (C9), Panek, Barry and McCormick (C10), Rabcewicz (C11) and others. Three types of steel bolt were selected for the tests - each one representative of a particular form of anchor design. Details of the bolts used are given in Table No.1C below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Bolt</th>
<th>Slot and Wedge</th>
<th>Shell</th>
<th>Wedge and Sleeve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Burned Slot</td>
<td>&quot;Shotts&quot; type</td>
<td>Bayliss, Jones &amp; Bayliss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>5 ft.6 in.</td>
<td>5 ft.6 in.</td>
<td>3 ft.6 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>1 in.</td>
<td>$\frac{3}{4}$ in.</td>
<td>59/64 in.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Slot and Wedge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thread</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Shell</th>
<th>Wedge and Sleeve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young's Modulus</td>
<td>30.7 x 10^3 lb./in.²</td>
<td>30.3 x 10^3 lb./in.²</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield Strength</td>
<td>40,100 lb./in.²</td>
<td>44,400 lb./in.²</td>
<td>44,700 lb./in.²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate Tensile Strength</td>
<td>64,300 lb./in.²</td>
<td>69,400 lb./in.²</td>
<td>74,400 lb./in.²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Slot</td>
<td>12 in.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge</td>
<td>11 1/4&quot; x 1&quot; x 1&quot; to 1&quot; x 1/16&quot;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cast Phosphor Bronze Mild Steel</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the three types of steel bolts some wooden 'bolts' or pins were used. There have been several experiments carried out with wooden bolts in tunnels and narrow work (C12, C13, C14) but no records of similar tests in longwall work could be traced. Details of the construction of the wooden bolts are given in Fig. 26.

Initially the 'plate' was fixed by driving a second wedge into the head of the bolt, but this method did not prove effective, and the method finally adopted was to drive hardwood wedges between the roof and the plate (see Plate XVII C later).

The types of plates or washers employed were a 4" x 4" x 3/8" flat plate, and a 9" x 9" x 3/8" dished plate, with and without wooden pads between the plates and the roof. The design of the dished plates was based on that used by McKensey (C15) in his experiments in Australia.
FIG. 2C  DETAIL OF WOODEN BOLTS.
The equipment used in the pit for the installation of the bolts was:

Drilling: Initially all hole boring was executed using a Consolidated Pneumatic compressed air rotary drilling machine (type 327S) but due to the increasing thickness of an ironstone band about 3 feet above roof level, the rotary machine had to be replaced by an Atlas percussive machine, mounted on a stoper leg.

Bolt Installation: When the rotary drill was in use the wedge bolts were driven using a modified C.P. concrete breaker (type 11S). The use of the percussive machine eliminated the need for the breaker as the bolts could be driven with the drilling machine fitted with a suitable 'dolly'. A compressed air impact wrench was used for installing the shell bolts. The wedge and sleeve bolts were set using the patent hydraulic setting device supplied by Bayliss, Jones and Bayliss.

Tensioning of Bolts: The initial and subsequent tightenings of all bolts were done by means of a C.P. impact wrench (type 36S).

APPARATUS USED IN THE TESTS

(a) Measurement of Bolt Tension

The variation of bolt tension as the face advanced was recorded using electrical resistance strain gauges. Several workers including Middendorf (C16), Verdet (C17) and Tincelin (C18) have used pressure cells - either hydraulic or strain gauge - placed between the roof and
the bearing plate to measure variation in bolt load. This method, although attractive from an economics viewpoint (the cells can be re-used), has the disadvantage that the reaction of the bolts fitted with cells may be appreciably different from normal bolts without cells (compare with measurement of prop load (C19)). To eliminate this variable, the method chosen was to fit strain gauges directly on several standard bolts and calibrate them in tension in the laboratory before installing them underground.

The bolts were thoroughly cleaned and the gauges fixed as specified by the suppliers in their manual (C21). Exceptional care was taken during the curing of the gauges with infra-red lamps to ensure good adhesion and eliminate the effects of "zero-shift" after calibration.

American investigators (C20) have used strain gauges for bolt load measurement with a "double bridge" circuit, i.e. a bridge formed with gauges on the strained material as well as the measuring bridge. This arrangement claims to offset the variation of contact resistance in the gauge leads and any bending effects on the bolt. However, a "control bolt", i.e. a bolt fitted with gauges in the normal way and left underground for some time, showed that the effect of variation in contact resistance was so small that it was considered unnecessary to use a "double bridge" circuit, provided care was taken to clean the connections and bridge terminals. The method of fixing the gauges is shown in Figs. 30 and 40.
In order to measure the effect of drift or "zero shift" of the gauges a bolt, fitted with gauges, was tensioned up in a pipe, and placed underground in the same conditions as the measuring bolts. Readings of the bolt tension taken at regular intervals remained constant, indicating that the curing and protection of the gauges was adequate for underground conditions. The arrangement is shown in Plate I C.

Due to the humid atmosphere underground, and the possibility of encountering acid mine water, great care had to be taken in the protection of the gauges. Furthermore the likelihood of mechanical damage due to the rough handling inevitable underground had to be allowed for in the gauge protection. The methods used were:

(i) Slot and Wedge Bolts - Several coats of micro-crystalline wax were applied over the gauges and the bolt within the notches. The wax was applied when the bolt was warm - using infra-red lamps - to permit the wax and bolt to cool together and so reduce the tendency for the wax to flake off. A rubber sleeve was then drawn over the waxed area and sealed to the bolt with Bostik 252 compound. The sleeve was coated with latex rubber solution to seal any pinholes. Finally, a polythene sleeve was drawn over the entire gauge assembly to protect it from acid water (if present).

(ii) Expansion Shell Bolts - Since the bolts were only \( \frac{3}{8} \) inch diameter it was impracticable to place the compensating gauges around
Fig 3C Wedge Bolts

Fig 4C Shell Bolts
Control Bolt to Check Drift of Gauges
Shows the protective covering for the gauges fitted to a roof bolt and the "Shotts" type double-cone expansion shell.
the periphery of the bolt (as for the slot and wedge type). A mild steel plate with the same physical properties as the bolt steel, on which the compensating gauge was mounted longitudinally, was fitted to the bolt as shown in Fig. 40. Since the plate is in contact with the bolt, temperature variations are transmitted to the gauge, but as it is fixed (by Araldite) to the bolt at one point only, no strain is imposed on the plate when the bolt is loaded. To accommodate the expansion shells a 1.11/16 inch diameter hole was required but since the bolt steel is only 5/8 inch diameter there was considerable "play" of the bolt in the hole during installation. It appeared therefore, if the gauges were protected in the same way as for the wedge bolts there would be a danger of damage to the gauge elements by impact against the sides of the hole. Consequently, after waxing as before, the bolt was bound with varnished tape to "fill out" the protection. Finally a 1/4 inch thick coat of bitumen was applied over the tape to withstand any knocks during installation. The arrangement is shown in Fig. 40 and Plate II C.

(b) Strain Bridge

Phillips direct reading bridge (GM5536) and ten-channel switching unit (GM5545) was used for all strain measurements underground. The electrical supply to No.1 South District is direct current and so a small motor-generator lighting unit was utilised to give an A.C. supply
of 110 volts for feeding the measuring bridge. A 5-core T.R.S.
drill cable supplied the power from the lighting unit to the bridge.
Plate III C shows the instruments connected up ready for use under-
ground.

(c) Torque Meters

Two types of torque meter were used. One meter measured the
maximum torque applied to the nut at any instant, and the other meter
was of the spring-loaded type which when set to a pre-determined value
would slip and so no further torque could be applied to the nut.

(d) Anchorage Testing Equipment

Pulling tests were made using a Gullick hydraulic device (borrowed
from Scottish Division, National Coal Board). It consists of a
hydraulic unit 3\(\frac{3}{8}\) inches diameter and 9\(\frac{3}{8}\) inches long, through which a
1\(\frac{1}{2}\) inch diameter hole is bored to take the "strain bar" which is tapped
at one end to screw on to the bolt under test. The other end of the
strain bar is the same diameter as the main unit, to take the thrust
from the eight separate rams, each \(\frac{3}{8}\) inch diameter, contained in the
outer rim of the main unit. Hydraulic pressure is supplied by a hand-
operated pump, capable of exerting a thrust of 16 tons on the bolt.
Strain bars are available for use with 1 inch or \(\frac{3}{8}\) inch diameter bolts.
The apparatus is similar to that used by Wright (C22) and the American
investigators.

The movement of the bolt under tension was measured using either a
spring-loaded dial gauge fitted on a rod set between the end of the
strain bar and the floor, or by a telescopic tube fixed in a tripod stand, and bearing against the bottom of the strain bar. The latter device was used when the bolt "draw" was expected to be quite considerable. Barry, and others (C23) proved that direct measurement methods (as above) are preferable to the measurement of piston displacement.

(e) Measurement of Bed Separation

To enable accurate records of roof convergence and bed separation to be obtained several recorders (Pitt Safety Appliances Co. design) were used. The design of the instruments is similar to that described by Winstanley (C24). The recorders were set up in the same manner as described by Cowan and Sharpe (C25) for the measurement of bed separation; the instruments are shown set up in Plate IV C.

Bed separation was also measured by an optical "stratascope" similar to the one described by Wright and McDonald (C4). This instrument permitted visual examination of the strata being bolted. The design is based on the "Introscope" (C26) used for detecting breaks in shotholes. Details of the construction are shown in Fig. 5C. The instrument consists basically of a 1 inch diameter tube with a front-surfaced mirror, illuminated from behind by a small bulb at the objective end, and a telescope with diagonal eyepiece at the lower end. The eyepiece can be replaced by a modified box camera to permit the photography of bed separation etc. The instrument, fitted with a tripod, is shown in Plate V C, and a photograph taken with the instrument of a crack in a specimen of sandstone is shown in Plate Va C.
PLATE IIIC  Strain Bridge in use Underground

PLATE IVC  Convergence Recorders measuring Bed Separation
Fig 5C. Design of Stratascop
(f) **General Strata Movements**

As well as the convergence records and visual examination with the strata scope measuring stations were set up in the roadway. These stations consisted of plugs set in the roof, floor and sides as shown in Fig. 6G. The plugs eliminated erroneous results due to flaking of the sides and provided suitable points for fixing the measuring rod in position.

To permit detailed study of changes in the condition of the roadway, photographs of given sections were taken at regular intervals (027).

(g) **Measurement of Rock Strain**

Following the use of electrical resistance strain gauges for the direct measurement of the physical properties of rocks, discussed previously, an attempt was made to measure 'in situ' strains using a similar technique. The method adopted for fixing and protecting the gauges was as follows:

The selected place was dressed by hand chisel and smoothed with a compressed air rotary grinder. Any surface moisture present was driven off by setting up an infra-red lamp near the zone for 3 to 4 hours. The gauge was then fixed in position using Araldite and left under pressure for 2 hours. The cement was cured by leaving the lamp in position for a further 24 - 30 hours. When the cement matrix was set, a felt pad was placed over the gauge and a rubber cap, fitted with lead wires (vulcanised seal), was placed over the gauge, and sealed to the rock surface with Bostik compound. A small bag of silica gel was sealed under the rubber
cap to absorb any moisture which may have been trapped. A 60-watt electric bulb was left burning beside the gauges, for the duration of the tests, to minimise the condensation of moisture on the caps and leads. Compensating gauges were similarly mounted on a detached slab of the same rock and placed in the vicinity of the active gauges. The arrangement of the drying lamps and gauges fixed in position are shown in Plates VI C and VII C.
Fig. 6C. Measuring Station

Anchoring Wedge

Detail of Plug.

Measuring Rod
PLATE VIIC  
Infra-red Drying Lamp

PLATE VIIIC  
Strain Gauges on Roof of Roadway
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

To enable the workmen to become familiar with the drilling equipment and technique of installing the bolts, a short length of roadway was bolted after the erection of the conventional supports. The pattern adopted for the test length was the "3-bolt one" investigated in the photo-elastic experiments (vide ante).

Initially all three bolts were installed between the last girder and the ripping lip but it was found that the trimming shots of the following cut usually dislodged some rock in the vicinity of the side bolts so the pattern was modified thus: the centre bolt was installed as close to the face as possible and the side bolts were installed the following day. It is thought that this method ensures that the roof is bolted effectively as soon as it is exposed.

All bolts were numbered and the supervisor filled in a sketch plan (specimen shown in Fig. 7C) recording the date of installation, setting torque, re-tightenings and any other relevant information.

Since only a limited number of bolts could be fitted with gauges and calibrated in the laboratory, an investigation of the relationship between "applied torque" and "bolt tension" was carried out for all the measuring bolts installed. The method adopted was based on a similar investigation in America (C20) so that a direct comparison of results would be possible.

Values of tension on the measuring bolts were obtained regularly
throughout the duration of the tests as well as torque readings on all the bolts installed.

Pull tests to ensure the effectiveness of the bolt anchorages were carried out systematically by means of the hydraulic pulling device. The "draw" of the bolts under tension was also recorded.

Convergence records were obtained for the immediate roof of the seam by setting recorders in the face track and leaving them in the packs. Bed separation between the immediate roof of the roadway and bolt anchorage horizon was also obtained. Horizontal and vertical movements of the strata were found at the measuring stations set up in each test length of the roadway.

Some information regarding the straining of the immediate roof bed between the bolts was obtained from the strain gauges fixed there-to, and changes in the conditions of the bolted and unbolted test lengths were recorded photographically at regular intervals.
Fig. - 7C  
SUPERVISOR'S RECORD CHART

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOLT NOS.</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19, 20</td>
<td>18.10.54</td>
<td>CALIBRATED BOLTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22, 53</td>
<td>4.11.54</td>
<td>RE-TIGHTENED - 250 ft. lb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22, 63</td>
<td>11.11.54</td>
<td>CHECKED - 250 ft. lb.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Torque-Tension Tests

Slot and Wedge Bolts: The selected bolts fitted with gauges were set up in a hydraulic tensile testing machine in the laboratory. Simultaneous values of the applied load and instrument reading were obtained for loads within the elastic limit of the bolt steel. In this way calibration curves for the measuring bolts were obtained. Specimen calibration charts are shown in Figs. 80 and 90. This direct method of calibration was preferred to the calculation of bolt load from strain measurements, since it eliminated errors in assuming:

(a) the steel for all bolts had exactly similar elastic constants.
(b) the gauge factor of the strain gauges was fully developed (i.e., perfect adhesion between bolt and gauge).
(c) zero strain on the compensating gauges.
(d) the gauges were axially aligned.

The bolts were stored underground at least 48 hours to permit equalisation of the temperature of the bolts and the mine atmosphere. All the calibrated bolts were installed in the normal manner at different parts of the roadway for subsequent use in the 'tension-time' tests. During the initial and any subsequent tensioning of the bolts the applied torque and bolt tension were recorded simultaneously.

The results obtained for five bolts are presented graphically in
in Fig. 10C. Seven bolts were prepared but two were damaged during installation and no reliable results were obtained from them.

Also plotted on Fig. 10C are the linear torque load relationships, found by the method of least squares, for the Northfield tests and similar tests carried out in America (C26).

The empirical relationships derived are:

(i) Sandstone (U.S.A.)

\[ T = 37 + 0.0253P \]

(ii) Shale (U.S.A.)

\[ T = 12 + 0.0236P \]

(iii) Combination of (i) and (ii)

\[ T = 24 + 0.0255P \]

(iv) Northfield

\[ T = 14.24 + 0.0263P \]

where

\[ T = \text{applied torque in ft. lb.} \]

\[ P = \text{bolt tension in lb.} \]

The equation for Northfield can be transposed thus:

\[ \text{Tension (tons)} = -0.2415 + 0.0169 \times \text{Torque (ft. lb.)} \]

which is more suitable for the direct determination of bolt tensions.

Due to the variable strata conditions at Northfield subdivision of the results according to "rock type" was not warranted.
WEDGE BOLT Nº 3 (107)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load (Tons)</th>
<th>Deflection 1</th>
<th>Load (Tons)</th>
<th>Deflection 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instrument Con.: Blue
Red
Green

Deflection: Right

FIG. 6C

Instrument Reading (10 Scale)
**Wedge Bolt No. 6 (89)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load (Tons)</th>
<th>Def 'L'</th>
<th>Load (Tons)</th>
<th>Def 'L'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Instrument Cond.:** Blue, Red, Green  
**Deflection:** Left

**Fig. 9C.**

**Instrument Reading (to Scale):**
Shell Bolts: No experiments were carried out on the 'torque-tension' relationship for the 1/4 inch diameter shell bolts.

Barry, Panak and McCormick (ClO) have experimented with a wide variety of shell-bolt designs installed both in sandstone and shale. They found that the scatter of the results was wide and consequently many tests were necessary to establish an empirical relationship which would be generally applicable. In view of the findings of the Americans and the fact that the "Shotts type" shell bolt has a limited application, no attempt was made to establish a 'torque-tension' relationship, and consequently only laboratory calibrated bolts fitted with gauges could be used in the 'tension-time' tests (see later).

The relationship: Bolt Load (lb.) = 39.8 x Torque (ft. lb.) for shell bolts established by the Americans could not be employed in the Northfield tests because the formula is only applicable to bolts with forged heads, where the tension in the bolt is modified by the torsional stresses set up when setting the bolt. All shell bolts tested at Northfield had separate nuts and plates.
Variation of Bolt Tension with Time

Slot and Wedge Bolts: When the calibrated bolts were installed underground the variation of bolt tension was recorded regularly as the face advanced. The results are shown graphically in Figs. 11C and 12C where bolt tension v. time is plotted, and since the face advance was regular at 4 feet 6 inches per day the approximate distance between any particular bolt and the face, at any given time, can be computed if required.

It was found that all the bolts installed "relaxed", i.e. lost tension after a few days. In order to investigate the effects of this relaxation some of the bolts were re-tightened to their original tension and others were left without any further torque being applied.

To study the effect of the location of the bolts within the pattern, on the "load-time" relationship, the positions of the bolts were varied, viz. -

Bolt No.19 (cal No.2) - side bolt
Bolt No.20 (cal No.4) - centre bolt
Bolts Nos. 89, 101 & 110 - centre bolts

Due to damage of the lead wire by blasting it was not possible to obtain tension measurements for Bolt No.20 after 24th November, 1954, but regular torque measurements were made and the bolt tension computed from the relationship found earlier.

Shell Bolts: The variation of bolt tension with time was
recorded as for the wedge bolts. The bolts were calibrated in
the laboratory in a hydraulic testing machine. Typical calibration
curves are shown in Figs. 13C and 14C. Five tons was the maximum
load applied during the calibration to preclude the possibility of
overstraining the bolts.

All the measuring bolts were 5 feet 6 inches in length except
bolt B which was 3 feet. The setting torque applied to all shell
bolts was 150 ft. lb. Two bolts were re-tensioned after the initial
relaxation and two were left without further tensioning.

Six bolts were calibrated but only the results of bolts A, B,
D and F are discussed: bolt C was damaged in transit underground
and the lead wires of bolt E were cut by the screw-threads during
installation.

The results obtained are shown graphically in Figs. 15C and
16C.

Anchorage Testing

The security of various forms of roof bolt anchoring devices
has been studied by many investigators both in America and Europe
(C11, C16, C22, C23, C23). Many experiments have been performed
to determine the most suitable type of anchor for any particular
rock, the optimum initial tension to be applied to each class of
bolt, the relationship of torque and anchorage, etc.

However, the tension tests here recorded were mainly to
determine the efficacy of the bolt anchorages used. A few results typical of those obtained are shown in Table No. 2C below. The tension value quoted is the applied tension just prior to the anchor "slipping".

**TABLE No. 2C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bolt No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Applied Tension</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Slot &amp; wedge</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Strata very wet - water dripping from roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>Bayliss, Jones &amp; Bayliss Wedge and sleeve</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** In many cases much higher tensions than those tabulated above were obtained when the bolt anchor had taken a second grip in the hole.
### SHELL BOLT A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOAD (Tons)</th>
<th>DEF.1st.</th>
<th>LOAD (Tons)</th>
<th>DEF.2nd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INSTRUMENT CON.:** BLACK
- Blue
- Grey

**DEFLECTION:** LEFT

**FIG. 13C**

**INSTRUMENT READING (10 SCALE)**
**Shell Bolt E**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load (tons)</th>
<th>Deflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Instrument Cond.:**
- Black
- Green
- Grey

**Deflection:** Right

**Fig. 14-C**

**Instrument Reading (10 scale)**
**Fig. 15C.**

**Fig. 16C.**
General Strata Movements

(e) Convergence Records

Three convergence recorders were used to obtain records of strata movements in the vicinity of the roadhead. One of the recorders measured the movement of the immediate roof of the seam, and the other two were used to determine the bed separation between the bolt anchorage horizon and the immediate roof of the roadway.

Records were obtained for each of the bolted zones. The total movement and rate of convergence for the steel bolted zones was similar. The roof in the wooden bolted zone lowered slightly more than in the other test lengths. The results obtained in the wedge bolted area are shown in Figs. 17G and 19C.

To supplement the results obtained from the convergence recorders regular visual examinations of the roof bolt holes were made with the stratascope. Separation of the beds was noted close to the ripping lip. In the majority of the examinations carried out bed separation appeared to be greater between the lower roof beds than between the beds remote from the excavation, i.e. the deflection of the strata diminished with increasing distance from the immediate roof. Due to the coating of the inside of the bore-holes with drillings, considerable difficulty was experienced in determining bed separation with the stratascope and consequently no accurate measurements could be made. No clear photographs of separation were obtained using the stratascope underground.
CONVERGENCE RECORDS - WEDGE BOLTED ZONE

**Fig. 17C**

**Fig. 18C**
(b) **Measuring Stations**

A more general impression of the strata movements in the bolted roadway, than provided by the convergence recorders, is obtained from the observations made at the measuring stations. The positions of the stations are shown in the sketch plan (Fig. 19C).

(i) No.1 Station - in the wedge bolted zone. The results are shown graphically in Fig. 20C.

(ii) No.2 Station - in the shell bolted zone. The results are shown graphically in Fig. 21C.

(iii) No.3 Station - in the short length of roadway supported with wooden bolts. The results are shown in Fig. 22C.

To provide a further comparison of the bolted section with a similar length of roadway lined with conventional supports (c.a. girders) only, bolting was stopped on 8th April, 1955. It was intended to establish a further measuring station in the unbolted roadway, but the strata conditions changed completely and no reliable comparative figures could be obtained. The changed strata conditions are clearly illustrated in Plate VIII C, which shows the ripping lip (supports removed) made up entirely of sandstone with some current bedding. The massive sandstone extended several feet above the level of the roadway.
Fig 19C Positions of Measuring Stations
Fig. 22C

Fig. 23C
Ripping Lip (supports removed) showing marked change in strata conditions. Note the current bedding in the massive sandstone.
(c) Photographio Records

The improvement of roof conditions by the introduction of bolting is clearly shown by comparing the photographs taken in the unbolted length of roadway with those taken in the bolted section after (approximately) the same time had elapsed. The strata conditions were similar in both lengths of roadway.
16th March, 1955. This plate shows the conditions in the unbolted roadway. The failure of the immediate roof bed is clearly seen; resulting in large amounts of fallen debris which has to be cleared to the roadsides. Part of the roadway which has been re-ripped can be seen in the background.
16th March, 1955. Looking inbye from the re-ripped length towards the unbolted roadway. The excessive roof lowering is clearly seen and also the complete failure of immediate roof over the centre of the excavation - resulting in movement of the sides into the roadway. (Compare this plate with the results obtained in the laboratory plaster model tests later.)
14th January, 1955. This plate taken 110 feet inbye from the junction with No. 4 South main gate in the unbolted roadway shows the severe distortion of the girders and the amount of loose rock which has fallen from the roof.
14th May, 1955. Looking outbye towards the wedge-bolted section. The girders are not distorted to any extent, and the immediate roof bed has remained intact. Note particularly the absence of fallen rock at the roadsides and the small amount of timber used with the girders.
PLATE XIII C

14th May, 1955. Looking outbye from the shell-bolted section.
23rd December, 1954. Showing wedge bolts with small plates
(installed 11th November, 1954.)
14th May, 1954. The immediate roof bed shows no sign of failure and there is no distortion of the girders after five months. The roof has lowered, as the wood block between the girder and the roof is severely crushed.
WOODEN BOLTS

It was not possible to obtain records of the variation of load on the wooden bolts (vide ante), but the following photographs illustrate their behaviour during the three months after installation.

PLATE XVI C

25th February, 1955. Showing three of the wooden bolts about one week after installation.
14th May, 1955. There is no marked breaking-up of the roof between the bolts. The wedges between the plates and the roof show sign of weighting.
Measurement of Rock Strain

Electrical resistance strain gauges were fitted to the immediate roof bed in an attempt to measure the strain developed in the rock with and without roof bolting. (The method used for fixing and protecting the gauges is described under "apparatus"). The active gauges, on the roof, were balanced against similar compensating gauges fixed to a detached slab of rock. The results obtained from gauge No. 1 are shown graphically in Fig. 23C.

Gauge No. 1 was fixed in position on 21st December, 1954, but the roof in that part of the roadway was first exposed on 4th November, 1954, and consequently the rock would be strained quite considerably before the gauge was located.

Similar results were obtained from gauge No. 2. No reliable results were obtained from the third gauge due to a failure in the electrical circuit.
The purpose of the underground investigation was twofold: firstly to find the applications and limitations of the electrical resistance strain gauge equipment for underground work, and secondly to extend the laboratory investigations of roof bolting. From the tests described the following analysis ensues:-

**Strain Gauge Apparatus**

(i) The Phillips portable strain bridge and switch unit proved to be suitable for use in non-gassy mines but several modifications are required before the instruments can be used in inflammable atmospheres (i.e. Class B mines). The provision of shock-proof cases would facilitate the transport of the instruments inbye.

(ii) The gauges used were capable of recording variations in tension of the roof bolts with sufficient accuracy for the duration of the tests.

(iii) The use of the single bridge circuit for the strain gauges on the bolts was adequate; no effects of variation in contact resistance were recorded.

(iv) The protective coatings applied to the gauges fitted to the bolts and to the rock roof were found to be suitable for the conditions encountered underground.

(v) The results obtained from the gauges fitted on the roof (vide Fig. 23C) show a slight increase in tensile strain of the rock during the three weeks after installation of the gauges. This increase
in strain may have been due to an increase in the load applied to the rock beam or simply the "time-strain" of the rock under the same applied load. At this stage fine hair cracks developed around the gauges (Plate VII C) and successive readings of the gauges showed a continuous decrease in tensile strain which continued below the original zero, indicating that the rock was considerably strained before the gauges were fixed in position.

(vi) Due to the short gauge length (c. 1 inch) of the gauges used for measuring the 'in situ' rock strains any irregularity in the composition of the rock (slip planes, inclusions of carbonaceous matter, above-average particle size, etc.) would materially affect the value of the strain measured. Consequently, for any future investigations the following modifications to the technique are suggested:

(a) Strain gauges of three or four inch gauge length (i.e., similar to the gauges used in concrete investigations) should be employed to measure average values of the strain in the required direction.

(b) Alternatively, the gauges could be mounted on thin metal bars which can be located on the surface by pins fitting into plugs embedded in the rock face. This method would obviate the failure of the gauges due to flaking of the rock surface and would be useful for averaging the strain over longer gauge lengths.

(c) If possible the gauges should be fitted in position
as soon as the roof is exposed - preferably ahead of the face -
to measure the total strain developed in the rock due to the
forces induced by mining.

ROOF BOLTING IN LONGWALL ROADWAYS

Torque-Tension Relationship

(i) The calibration curves for wedge bolts Nos. 3 and 6
(Figs. 8C and 9C) are both linear with very little scatter of the
experimental results. However the gradient of the line for bolt
No. 6 is greater than that for bolt No. 3, indicating an apparent
difference in the modulus of the bolt steel. Thus, it is clear that
the method of direct calibration used for all the measuring bolts is
preferable to calculation of bolt tension from strain measurements.

(ii) The empirical relationship between "applied torque" and
"bolt tension" for the tests carried out was found to be

Bolt Tension (tons) = -0.2415 + 0.0169 x Torque (lb. ft.)

(iii) From Fig. 10C it is seen that the equation obtained for
the Northfield tests is similar to the one derived by the American
investigators. There is, however, a slight difference in the
gradient of the lines. This difference may be due to differences
in the bearing surfaces of the dished plate and nuts, the varying
nature of the strata, etc., but it is thought that the difference
in the types of threads on the bolts studied, may be the most
important factor; in the American experiments sixteen rolled- and
three cut-threaded bolts were used, whereas at Northfield only cut-
threaded bolts were tested.
Variation of Bolt Tension with Time

Slot and Wedge Bolts (Figs. 11C and 12C)

(i) The setting tension applied to the bolts installed fell to approximately zero a few days after the bolts were set. This "relaxation" was noted in all bolts irrespective of their position in the bolting pattern.

(ii) Measuring bolts Nos. 19, 20 and 89 were re-tightened to the original tension after the initial "relaxation". Thereafter the tension in the bolts gradually built up - in most cases the tension did not increase uniformly with time but rather increased in stages, with an occasional relaxation for some three months after the installation. Thereafter the tension in the bolts remained fairly constant (between 4 and 6 tons).

(iii) Bolts Nos. 101 and 110 were not re-tightened after the initial "relaxation", but in both cases the load on the bolts gradually increased. This "natural re-tightening" of roof bolts has been observed by several workers both in Britain and the Continent (C16, C9).

(iv) Tests carried out to determine the rate of this relaxation of bolt tension (see contribution to discussion of Hodkin and Lawrence's Paper (C9)) revealed that the loss of tension was greatest soon after the bolt was installed. Consequently, if bolting is to provide adequate support for the immediate roof, systematic re-tightening of
the bolts should commence in the same shift.

(v) Similar trends in the variation of bolt tension with time, in longwall workings, have been recorded by other investigators. Figs. 24C, 25C and 26C show the results of three such investigations, one in the French Iron Ore Mines (C29), one in the Ruhr (C16) and the third in a colliery in Britain (C30). It is interesting to note that the bolts installed in the headings in the iron ore mines showed a considerable reduction in tension for a few days after the installation of the bolt.

(vi) From the observations at Northfield and analysis of other similar experiments it is thought that the relaxation of bolt tension takes place in two separate phases - anchor creep and variation of the amount of bed separation. Considering each phase:

(a) Anchor Creep: From the photo-elasticity experiments discussed previously, it is seen that there are localised concentrations of stress around the bolt anchorages - even where the stress is distributed along a considerable length of the borehole (as in the photo-elastic models where the bolt anchorage was provided by simply tapping the drill-holes). Thus, in practice, where the load is concentrated over a much smaller length of the bore hole, much higher stress concentrations must result around the "anchor". Consequently anchor slip will ensue due to the failure of the sides of the bore-hole, and also because the rock around the anchorage, which is highly stressed,
will "creep" due to the "time-strain" characteristic of Coal Measures Rock (vide ante). Furthermore, where bolts are installed in very "tough" rock with a small "time-strain" tendency, yield of the anchorage can be expected due to overstraining of the bolt steel around the anchorage device, e.g. the "toes" of the split rod or the expansion shell.

(b) Variation in Amount of Bed Separation: It is generally accepted by most investigators that bed separation takes place ahead of a longwall face so that even when roof bolts are installed close to the ripping lip there is some separation between the strata. Hence, initially, the bolts act as a suspension support rather than form a compound beam. However, as the face advances the main roof lowers towards the nether roof (the latter being supported by the road-side packs) causing a reduction in the amount of bed separation and consequent relaxation of bolt tension.

In the experiments carried out by Middendorf and Jacobi in Germany, where the bolts were installed in a heading driven in advance of the face (see Fig. 25C) the setting tension was maintained in the bolts until the face line advanced ahead of the bolts, when the bolt tension "relaxed", followed by a gradual increase. The results of these tests, and those at Coswall Colliery (Fig. 26C), seem to support the theory outlined above. No further comparisons between the German results and those obtained at Northfield are warranted, because the German tests were carried out in a tailgate with a solid rib-side.
BOLT TENSION v. TIME (AFTER TINCELIN)

Fig. 24C.
Bolt Tension Time (after Middendorf & Jacob)

Fig. 25C.
Bolt Torque v Time

Cossal Colliery

Fig. 26c.
(vii) The position of the bolt within the pattern does not influence the shape of the "tension-time curve".

Expansion Shell Bolts (Figs. 15C and 16C)

(i) All the shell bolts illustrated the same "relaxation" phenomena as discussed for the wedge bolts.

(ii) The maximum tension developed in the shell bolts was lower than in the corresponding wedge bolts.

(iii) Shell bolt B, which was only 3 feet in length, was installed in a bed of very weak shale. After a few days the tension in the bolt had relaxed to zero and the bolt was re-tightened. A similar relaxation took place again, and the bolt never showed any tendency towards "natural re-tightening". It is thought that the anchorage bed, in this case, was too weak to withstand the high stresses imposed by expansion of the shell, thus causing repeated relaxation of bolt tension.

Anchorage Testing

(i) From the traction tests carried out, the Bayliss, Jones & Bayliss wedge and sleeve bolt proved to be the most suitable type for the conditions. The slot end wedge and shell bolts also developed an anchorage resistance above that of the maximum tension measured in the bolts.

(ii) The shell bolt anchorage slipped at loads below the other types tested.

(iii) Routine anchorage testing proved to be necessary for ensuring that the bolts were installed correctly and that they were performing
their designed duty and not giving a false sense of security.

General Strata Movements

(i) From Figs. 17C and 18C it is seen that the cumulative bed separation between the anchorage horizon and the immediate roof of the roadway is oscillatory. This supports the theory that the relaxation of bolt tension is partly due to variation in the amount of bed separation.

(ii) Bed separation is greatest between the lower strata and decreases with increasing distance above the roadway. Hence roof bolts should be installed as soon as the roof is exposed to minimise the separation between the lower strata and so maintain the strength of the natural "rock beam".

(iii) The stratascope proved to be very useful for studying variations in bed separation etc., but several modifications are required to make the instrument suitable for underground conditions - viz. a high powered light source, a lens system with a much higher resolving power and a racking device to facilitate scanning of the sides of the borehole.

(iv) The function of the bolts in forming a "keystone" over the roadway, and so preventing excessive roof lowering and lateral movement of the sides is illustrated by comparing the photographs taken in the bolted and unbolted test lengths. The small amount of side movement is seen in the results obtained at the measuring stations (Figs. 20, 21 and 22C).
(v) The rate of roof subsidence was least in the wedge bolted zone and greatest where the wooden pins were used. However in all the test lengths (bolted) the strata movements recorded were smaller than those observed in the unbolted length of roadway.

(vi) The use of roof bolts greatly minimised the distortion of the arch girders (compare Plates XI C and XII C). Thus, if bolts are used in conjunction with conventional supports (c.a. girders) in longwall gate-roads, roadway maintenance costs will be considerably reduced.

Trials with Wooden Bolts

(i) The wooden bolts used were effective in forming the desired "keystone" above the excavation. However, since wooden bolts depend primarily upon skin friction for the formation of the keystone it is suggested that longer and larger diameter bolts should be used in future trials.

(ii) No direct measurement of the variation of tension in the wooden bolts was possible, but the crushing of the tensioning wedges shown in Plate XVII C illustrates some increase of the load on the bolt.

(iii) Driving of wedges between the "plates" and the roof proved to be a more effective way of tightening the plates initially than insertion of wedges into the head of the bolt.

(iv) From the results obtained at the measuring stations it is seen that roof subsidence is greater where wooden bolts are used than
with steel bolts. This excessive roof movement will cause distortion of the girders, or if the immediate roof bed is friable the girders will penetrate the roof and induce flaking of the rock between the girders. (N.B. The latter is seen commencing in Plate XVII C.) Therefore, where wooden bolts are being used together with c.a. girders long stilts should be provided on the girders to permit the higher roof convergence without distortion of the girders.
CONCLUSIONS

(1) The electrical resistance strain gauge and ancillary equipment proved satisfactory for the underground investigations. The accuracy of the method used for the measurement of bolt tension was adequate for the tests carried out.

(2) Considerable development of the technique adopted for the measurement of 'in situ' rock strain is necessary before the method can be generally applied.

(3) The use of roof bolts in conjunction with normal arch girder supports greatly improved the conditions of the main gate in an advancing longwall district.

(4) The empirical relationship between "applied torque" (measured by torque meter) and "bolt tension" for the slot and wedge bolts was found to be:

\[
\text{Bolt tension (tons)} = -0.2415 + 0.0169 \times \text{Torque (lb. ft.)}
\]

(5) All the bolts installed (with the exception of the wooden pins) showed a "relaxation" of tension within a few days of being set. This relaxation is thought to take place in two phases - one due to creep of the bolt anchorage device, and the other due to variation in the amount of bed separation behind a longwall face.

(6) After the initial "relaxation" the bolts which were left slack gradually tensioned up due to "natural re-tightening" (caused by deflection of the lower roof beds). If the initial stressing of the
bolt is too high then this natural re-tightening may result in failure of the bolt unit, by stripping of the threads, etc. This failure can be prevented by the use of dished plates, or spring washers, designed to yield at loads below the "ultimate tensile strength" of the bolt steel.

(7) The stratascope designed for the measurement of bed separation was useful but several modifications are required before it can be used to full advantage.

(8) Bed separation above the bolted roadway was minimised by the introduction of roof bolts (in the pattern discussed in the photo-elastic experiments - vide ante). The bolts formed a "keystone" above the roadway and reduced strata movements around the roadway considerably. (The formation of the "keystone" is illustrated by the plaster model tests).

(9) Roof subsidence was greatest in the test length supported by wooden bolts and girders, but it was still considerably smaller than the subsidence in the unbolted roadway.

(10) The results obtained with wooden bolts used in conjunction with arch girders were encouraging and warrant an increase in trials of this form of support to find its applications and limitations in longwall mining.

(11) Of the four bolt types studied in this investigation the Bayliss-Jones-Bayliss wedge and sleeve bolt was the most satisfactory. The
design of this bolt combines the advantages of the expansion shell type with the simplicity of the slot and wedge design. The additional time required to install the bolt is offset by the fact that the setting device provides a measure of the anchorage capacity of each bolt installed. Consequently, regular pull tests on selected bolts are not required to ensure that the bolts are being properly installed.
The results of the photo-elastic analysis are limited to the study of stress distributions around the excavations when the rocks are not stressed beyond their elastic limit. Furthermore it is known that Coal Measures Rock is not truly elastic and may show plastic flow or fail as brittle material. Consequently to extend the investigation of the influence of roof bolting on the distribution of pressure around a roadway, the development of the fractures, and the function of the bolts after failure of the roof strata had taken place, plaster models were made and loaded to destruction.

The plaster model technique has been used by many investigators (D1, D2, D3, etc.) in the study of stress distributions across critical sections of irregular structural models. Various model methods of stress analysis have been used also by workers in the field of rock pressure; a review of the several techniques adopted is given by Spakeler (D4) and more recently Middendorf and Jacobi (D5) used plaster models in their studies of roof bolting in rectangular galleries.

In the tests here described no attempts were made to measure strains or deflections of the models but calibration test pieces were used to illustrate the relative strengths of the various strata. The main value of the experiments lies in demonstrating general tendencies rather than the development of a method of precise analysis.
Construction of the Models

Plaster of Paris is the material usually used for brittle model analysis but due to the very short setting time difficulty arises in casting the relatively large models required for this investigation. Several different types of plaster, including moulding plaster, stucco, hardwall plaster, Keene's cement, and board finish plaster were tested for setting time and strength. The latter — one of the retarded hemi-hydrate plasters (B.S.S. 1191) — allowed a "working" time of 25 - 30 minutes with a tensile strength of 250 - 350 pounds per square inch after twenty-one days (plaster : water ratio 10 : 3) proved to be the most suitable.

The scale of the models was 1 : 48, the leading dimensions being 10 inches by 6 inches by 7 inches. They were made up of strata of various thicknesses, each stratum being cast over the previous one to ensure a uniform bearing surface. The layers were prevented from binding together by coating the surface with modelling clay. Coloured pigments were added to the plaster to distinguish between the beds in the photographs taken during the loading of the models. The base of the models was a single stratum.

The bolting pattern used throughout the investigation was the "3-bolt" pattern, with 4 feet 6 inches between each set of bolts (i.e. the same pattern studied in the photo-elastic tests and the one used underground at Northfield.) The bolts were made from the same
material as those used for the photo-elastic models. Anchorage of the bolts was obtained by rivetting the head of the bolts and inserting them downwards. The bolt holes were drilled after the models were cast. The initial tension on all the bolts was made equal to prevent localised stress concentrations (vide ante).

**Loading Arrangements**

**Models A and B:** These models were loaded with a uniform vertical compressive force in a hydraulic testing machine, without any lateral constraint. It was intended that these loading conditions would simulate, to some extent, the pressures around the main gate of a longwall face with total caving of the wastes on each side of the roadside packs.

**Models C and D:** The loading arrangements for these models consisted of a vertical compressive load, as before, but in this case the strata above the level of the roadway excavation were constrained laterally by means of side plates and horizontal tension bars. This constraint was to provide the conditions sometimes found in a longwall working where roof caving has only extended for approximately twice the seam height and above that horizon the strata are not completely relieved of constraint.
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Models A and B: The relative tensile strengths of the various strata are shown in Table No. 1D. Each bed in model A was made from the same mix as the corresponding one in model B to provide an accurate comparison of the bolted and unbolted conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratum</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (lb./in.²)</th>
<th>Thickness (in.)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Top layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>Bolt anchorage horizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>Immediate roof of roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>Roadside packs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average compressive strength was found to be 2050 lb./in.\(^2\) which gives the ratio tensile strength : compressive strength of the plaster as 1 : 7 approximately.

A photographic record of the models was not obtained during the loading but Tables No. 2D and 3D outline the salient effects noted as the applied load was increased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load (lb./in.(^2))</th>
<th>Effects Noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Fracture developed in the immediate roof of the roadway, (stratum No.5) along its entire length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 123 to 300</td>
<td>Fractures developed in the strata above the roadway in a &quot;stepped&quot; fashion, up to stratum No.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>The floor of the roadway cracked along the centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>The sides of the roadway began to spill over and well-defined fractures developed approximately (\frac{1}{2}) inch in from the roadsides. Similar breaks also appeared in the floor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>The roadside fractures progressed to stratum No.10 converging to form the typical arched shape &quot;relaxed&quot; zone over the roadway. Heaving of the floor became more pronounced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>The sides of the roadway failed completely and spalled over into the excavation. Bed separation developed above the roadway. The condition of the model is shown in Plate I D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE No. 3D**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load (lb/in.²)</th>
<th>Effects Noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Fracture developed along the length of the roadway in stratum No. 5 only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Roof strata up to No. 3 fractured above the roadway but no separation between beds was visible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Floor failed along the roadsides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>930</td>
<td>Roadway sides crushing markedly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Roadside packs failed violently - the shattering extended some distance up into the model but the roof of the roadway did not collapse. Bed separation above the roadway negligible. The condition of the model under this applied load is shown in Plate II D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Load 650 lb./in.²
Model B

Plate II D

Load 1,000 lb./in.$^2$
Models C and D: The relative strengths of the various strata, etc., are shown in Table No. 4D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratum</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (lb./in.²)</th>
<th>Thickness (in.)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Top Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>Anchorage horizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>Immediate roof of roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Roadside packs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average compressive stress was found to be 2,120 lb./in.² which gives the ratio of tensile strength : compressive strength of plaster as approximately 1 : 7.

During the loading of both models C and D photographs were obtained to illustrate the major deformations taking place. Considering each model separately:
The model fitted with the horizontal retaining frame prior to applying the vertical load. The various thicknesses of strata are clearly seen as well as the fibre boarding between the model and the machine platens to prevent localised regions of high stress.
Applied load 300 lb./in.$^2$. The immediate roof bed failed along the centre of the roadway at a load of 56 lb./in.$^2$ and extended to stratum No.4 when the applied load was 185 lb./in.$^2$. The floor failed along the roadway at 280 lb./in.$^2$ and fractures developed in the floor approximately $\frac{3}{4}$ inch from the roadsides.
Applied load 425 lb./in.$^2$. Note particularly the marked deterioration of the roadsides and the position of the major fractures, $\frac{1}{2}$ inch from the sides of the excavation, forming the familiar arched-shaped zone over the roadway. The pavement heave has also become more pronounced.
Applied load 485 lb./in.². The roadsides have now failed completely and deformation of the model continued without further increase in the applied load.
The position of the bolts is shown by pencil lines on the front of the model. The roof strata are constrained in exactly the same manner as for model C.
Applied load 185 lb./in.$^2$. Fractures developed in the floor at 40 lb./in.$^2$ and the immediate roof bed failed, at the ends of the roadway only, when the load reached 70 lb./in.$^2$. 
Applied load 300 lb./in.². Cracks developed remote from the roadsides (about 1½ inches) and extended almost vertically to the top of the model without showing any tendency to form an arch. The failure of the roadside packs extended further in from the roadsides.
Applied load 425 lb./in.². The roadside packs disintegrated completely but no bed separation or collapse of the bolted strata became visible.
ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Models A and B - (no lateral constraint)

(a) From Table No.1D it is apparent that even with accurately controlled amounts of plaster and water the variation in tensile strength of the individual beds ranges ± 10% from the mean. Probably this "scatter" could be reduced by closer control of the mixing time, but the variation of the strength and tensile : compressive strength ratio are quite suitable for models illustrating the trends in the development of deformations around underground excavations.

(b) In both cases, the initial failure of the roof bed occurs with approximately the same applied load, but the extent of the fracture is limited when the strata are bolted. Furthermore, bed separation in the bolted case does not develop to the same extent.

(c) In the unsupported roadway fractures develop approximately 2 feet (by scale) in from the roadsides and converge above the excavation forming an arch of loose material. However in the bolted roadway the fractures develop much further from the roadsides.

(d) The "pack area" is more severely crushed in the bolted roadway. This is probably due to the formation of a composite beam above the excavation and consequent distribution of higher loads to the abutment zones. This is in agreement with the observations of Middendorf and Jacobi (D5) both underground and in laboratory experiments.

(e) The bolts serve to form a consolidated "keystone" above the
roadway which, even when the roadsides are shattered, does not collapse into the excavation. The "keystone" if retained in position will prevent lateral movement of the strata into the excavation and reduce side thrusts on the normal supports (compare with the results of the measuring stations at Northfield).

(f) The increased loading over the pack area in the bolted roadway induces shear fractures in the floor along the roadsides.

Models C and D - (with lateral constraint)

(a) Similarly to the unconstrained conditions, the major breaks develop much further from the roadsides in the bolted section than in the unsupported one - approximately 5 feet as compared with 2 feet.

(b) The increased loading over the pack area in the bolted roadway is much more defined than in the same conditions without lateral constraint. Actually on removing the models from the testing machine, the base block under the packs in the bolted model disintegrated completely.

(c) The roadsides fractures develop at lower values of applied load when the roof beds are constrained.

(d) The formation of a "keystone" is again demonstrated. When the constraining frame was removed from the unbolted model the strata collapsed into the excavation, but in the bolted model the strata did not separate when the constraint was released.
NOTE: Since the stratification of models A and B differs from that in models C and D further comparisons between the unconstrained and constrained models are not warranted.
CONCLUSIONS

It is not possible to draw definite conclusions, generally applicable to underground conditions, from the model tests because true structural similitude (§ dynamic similarity) could not be obtained throughout due to the difficulty in reproducing the loading conditions encountered underground. However, the following points emerge:

(1) No arched-shaped relaxed zone is formed above the roadway when bolts are used; the breaks extend almost vertically instead of converging.

(2) Roof bolts installed in the "tension zone" over an arched excavation form a "keystone" which rests on the side abutments and does not collapse into the excavation with the failure of the surrounding strata.

(3) The introduction of the bolts seems to re-distribute the forces acting around the excavation, causing an increased loading over the pack area and moves the major fractures away from the roadsides.

(4) The fact that the roadside breaks are further removed from the sides when the strata is bolted indicates that side movements should be less. This agrees with the measurements of roof and side convergence recorded in the bolted roadway at Northfield (vide ante).

(5) The development of the shear fractures at the roadsides is more pronounced when the roof beds are constrained, indicating that the driving of "stress-relief" roadways, or the adoption of the "double-
packing" system to reduce constraint in the vicinity of the roadway would improve conditions where roof bolting was being used in the supporting system.

(6) The improvement of the roof conditions due to bolting, and the formation of the "keystones" indicates that the system would be a useful adjunct to normal arched girders for the support of longwall gate-roads at depth, where horizontal pressures necessitate the use of roadside supports. It is thought that the bolting would reduce the lateral pressures because it reduces bed separation and transfers the load to the pack areas.
"Keystone" formed by bolting.
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Specimen DHL

Location: Cardowan Colliery, Lanarkshire. 6 feet above Meiklehill Wee Coal Seam

Mineral Content: Quartz, limonite and mica. The limonite and mica occur in streaks through the main matrix of quartz.

Cementing Medium: Siliceous

Particle Size: Average 0.094 mm.

Specific Gravity: 2.64

Rock Type: Fine grained sandstone

Specimen DH2

Location: Kingshill No.1 Colliery, Allanton. Immediate roof of Allanton Upper Seam (Limestone Coal Group)

Mineral Content: Mainly quartz with streaks of carbonaceous matter

Cementing Medium: Siliceous

Particle Size: Average 0.136 mm.

Specific Gravity: 2.42

Rock Type: Medium grained sandstone
Specimen DH3

Location: Cardowan Colliery. Immediate roof of Meiklehill Main Coal
Mineral Content: Mica, quartz and an abundance of felspars
Cementing Medium: Indefinite - probably decomposed felspar
Particle Size: Average 0.023 mm.
Specific Gravity: 2.48
Rock Type: Micaceous siltstone

Specimen DH4

Location: Cardowan Colliery, above Meiklehill Wee Coal, between roof shale and sandstone DH1
Mineral Content: Quartz, felspar and some mica. The rock had a streaky appearance both in the hand specimen and under the microscope
Particle Size: Average 0.036 mm.
Specific Gravity: 2.64
Rock Type: Silstone (or Fakes)
### Specimen DH5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Garscube Mine, Glasgow, about 2 feet below the Possil Main Coal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Content</td>
<td>Quartz, iron, calcite, mica and felspar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cementing Medium</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Size</td>
<td>Average 0.039 mm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Type</td>
<td>Fine Grained sandstone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Specimen DH6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Cardowan Colliery, Approximately 10 feet above Meiklehill Main Coal Seam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Content</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cementing Medium</td>
<td>Mainly siliceous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Size</td>
<td>0.620 mm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Type</td>
<td>Coarse grained sandstone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Specimen DH7

**Location:** Cardowan Colliery, immediate roof of Kilsyth Coking Coal Seam

**Mineral Content:** Felspars, quartz with some iron

**Particle Size:** Average 0.020 mm

**Specific Gravity:** 3.20

**Rock Type:** Clay siltstone (ironstone)

### Specimen CML

**Location:** Easton Colliery, immediate roof of Main Coal Seam

**Mineral Content:** Quartz and orthoclase with some iron

**Cementing Medium:** Siliceous

**Particle Size:** Average 0.45 mm

**Specific Gravity:** 2.50

**Rock Type:** Medium grained sandstone
### Specimen Cl2

**Location:** Bogton Colliery, Ayrshire. Approximately 15 feet above the Lodgement Coal

**Mineral Content:** Quartz and iron

**Particle Sizes:**
- Quartz - 0.077 mm
- Iron - 0.144 mm

**Specific Gravity:** 2.82

**Rock Type:** Fine grained sandy ironstone

### Specimen CM3

**Location:** Dalquharrn Colliery, Ayrshire - Calciferous Sandstone Series

**Mineral Content:** Quartz, calcite and some clayey material

**Cementing Medium:** Calcareous

**Particle Sizes:** From 0.13 mm to 0.37 mm

**Specific Gravity:** 2.60

**Rock Type:** Medium grained calcareous sandstone
Specimen CM4

Location: Dalquharrn Colliery, Ayrshire. Calciferous Sandstone Series

Mineral Content: Quartz, ilmen and calcite

Cementing Medium: Ferruginous

Particle Size: 0.12 mm.

Specific Gravity: 2.64

Rock Type: Medium grained ferruginous sandstone

Specimen CM5

Location: Cardowan Colliery, Stepps. 6 feet above the Meiklehill Wee Coal Seam (approximately same position as specimen DH1 - sampled one year later)

Mineral Content: Quartz, orthoclase and muscovite

Cementing Medium: Siliceous

Particle Size: 0.193 mm.

Specific Gravity: 2.64

Rock Type: Medium grained siliceous sandstone

Micro-photographs of each of the specimens are given below. All are taken with a magnification of 100 under crossed nicols, except specimen CM2 which is under plane polarised light.
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