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Conclusion.
The "Deus vult" with which the Council of Clermont in 1095 acclaimed the exhortation of Pope Urban II to all Christian nations to take arms for the deliverance of the Holy Land inaugurated a remarkable movement in history. The enterprise which was then set on foot claimed the attention of the European peoples for two centuries, and exacted from them a tremendous sacrifice both in men and in money. It drew together in a common cause men of all ranks from different countries. It did more than claim the attention of the Christian nations for two centuries. It exercised a profound influence upon them in many ways. The effects of it were greater and reached further than either Pope Urban or those with him at Clermont could ever have dreamed.

Pilgrimages to the Holy Land had been attracting increasing numbers of the pious. At best the journey was a difficult one. It was made even more difficult through the sacred places being in the hands of the infidels. At times they had been tolerant towards the Christian pilgrims, but the conquest of Jerusalem by the Seljukian Turks had brought in a time of oppression when pilgrims were made the victims of exaction and robbery, and all
manner of insults were offered not only to themselves but also to their Faith. It might be thought that such dangers would deter the pious from setting out, but their enthusiasm gathered strength from difficulty. Numbers embarked on the enterprise, many of whom never returned. Those who were fortunate enough to see their homes again, brought back sad tales of the cruelty of the infidels, and of the profanation of the sacred places. The listeners were filled with horror and moved with indignation by what they heard. It needed but the fervid eloquence of Peter the Hermit, and the summons of Pope Urban II to stir men to action, and to send the Christian nations of Europe on the great venture of the Crusades, in order that the sacred places might be wrested from the hands of those by whom they were profaned.

The enterprise which was then undertaken was one that was distinctly religious. The Crusaders were convinced that they were doing the will of God in seeking to free the Holy Land from the oppression of the unbeliever. It might not be the only motive that induced them to assume the Cross. In an age which attached much importance to prowess in arms, there were those who looked upon the Holy Land as a field where adventure was to be sought and honour was to be gained. At a time when the sword could win broad acres and rich possessions, the hope of a rich inheritance to be acquired through the martial
The enterprise of the Crusaders was present in the minds of some of those who devoted themselves to the sacred cause. To those of lesser degree, the hope of booty or of freedom from a hard, monotonous servitude afforded a prospect which they did not affect to despise. With it all was the pious resolve to deliver from profanation the land made sacred by the Founder of the Christian Faith. The task was undertaken by those who were deeply concerned about their spiritual welfare, and welcomed the prospect of pardon held out to them by the Church, even though it meant the sacrifice of life itself, a sacrifice which was willingly made, if, perchance, it might bring to them the glory of the martyr's crown.

It is possible to recognize the religious purpose animating the Crusaders, without being blind to the defects and weakness which in this enterprise so many of them revealed. Among men of simple piety and high moral ideals were those who had but little claim to either. The Crusaders were not all saints, and they were not always wise. If it had not been for their faults and weaknesses, the history of the enterprise might have been different. Jerusalem was won for the Christians and was lost again. The Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem rose and fell. Two centuries of steady warfare with tremendous loss in blood and treasure found the Christian forces even further from the attainment of their object, than they had been at the beginning.
So far as the deliverance of the Holy Land is concerned the Crusades must be reckoned a failure. Yet even amid failure we must recognise much that was heroic, and much that was noble. If we are tempted to bewail the dissensions and divisions which so often served to frustrate the efforts that were made, we should do well to remember the different nationalities and the rival interests in the crusading forces. When we remember that, we find it surprising that the dissensions were not more numerous, and that among that varied army it was possible to lay aside enmity and jealousy so far as to unite in one aim, and to fight together in one cause. If we are inclined to lament the wastage of the long campaign, and the lives that were lost ineffectively, we should do well to remember that the Crusaders were at a disadvantage. They were fighting under conditions that were strange to them, against enemies with whose methods of warfare they were unfamiliar, and in a climate that was trying to those accustomed to more temperate lands. If we are tempted to censure the moral failings of those whose venture in so sacred a cause might lead us to expect better things, we need not forget that they lived in a rude age, and that the undisciplined crowd which made up a crusading camp was composed of men and even women of all ranks as well as of all stages of moral attainment. It is possible to be fully aware of what should have been, and yet be appreciative of what actually was. It is possible to recognise much that was tragic in the history of the movement as it unfolds itself, and
yet to do justice to whatever of merit the movement reveals. It is possible to be critical of what transpired in the carrying out of the enterprise, and at the same time to recognise that it was a great enterprise, enlisting the sympathies of men to an extraordinary extent, and reacting upon the institutions of the Christian nations of the west in real and noticeable ways.

It is when we look to the effect of the Crusades on the life and institutions of the Christian nations of Europe that we come to recognise that they did result in many things. If the Holy Land was not effectively freed from the domination and profanation of the infidel, at least the two centuries of effort left their mark on Europe, and it was a mark which was both deep and lasting. We miss the force of the Crusades when we regard them merely as incidents in history, and not as an influence. This influence was one which was felt in many directions. It impressed itself upon such things as art and architecture, upon literature, upon the social, political, and religious life of the time. As a religious movement it naturally affected the religious life of the age to a surprising extent. Scotland felt the influence of it, just as other European countries did. When we examine the often scanty records of the time, we see obvious signs of its effects. The nature of the influence of the Crusades on the contemporary religious life in Scotland is a question that throws open a
field of investigation in which is to be found much that is interesting, and also much that is helpful to a clearer understanding of the history of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries in that kingdom.
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The call of Pope Urban II for the deliverance of the Holy Land met with a ready response. The enthusiasm which it aroused must have exceeded the expectations of even the most sanguine. Describing the effect of it Ordericus Vitalis declares, "Its thunders echoed through England and the other islands of the ocean, nor were they drowned by the roar of the waves which in their deep channels separate these islands from the rest of the world." There is every evidence of the wide-spread interest which the summons to the Crusade evoked. "The fame of what had then taken place," Roger of Wendover says, "Spreading through the world stimulated not only the Mediterranean provinces to this pilgrimage, but all those also who in the remote islands or in barbarous nations had heard the name of Christ." Before the time for the gathering of the Crusaders had passed, Peter the Hermit with Walter the Penniless had started out with their undisciplined following. Emico, Count of Leiningen, was the leader of a similar horde.

(1) Ordericus Vitalis Lib. IX Cap. IV p. 656
(2) Roger of Wendover I p. 378
The monk Gotschalk was at the head of another band of disorderly enthusiasts. In due course the better equipped and more orderly bands of Crusaders under leaders of renown set out, and came together at Constantinople. Henry of Huntingdon had some justification for saying, "It was the Lord's doing, a wonder unknown to preceding ages and reserved for our days, that such different nations, so many noble warriors should leave their splendid possessions, their wives and their children, and that all with one accord should in contempt of death direct their steps to regions almost unknown." With every allowance for exaggeration we can hardly doubt the widespread interest in the First Crusade.

All the references to the First Crusade are not in such general terms. The names of different countries engaging in the enterprise are given even by English chroniclers. Roger of Hoveden mentions Italy, Germany, France and England. Henry of Huntingdon's list is fuller, and speaks of Crusaders from England, Normandy, Brittany, Aquitaine, Spain, Provence, France, Flanders, Denmark, Saxony, Germany, Italy, Greece, and other countries. There is, however, no definite mention of Scotland in either. The chronicles of Scotland and England

(1) Henry of Huntingdon VII para. 5 p. 219
(2) Roger of Hoveden I p. 158
(3) Henry of Huntingdon VII para. 6 p. 220
are strangely silent over Scotland's part in the First Crusade with one exception. William of Malmesbury mentions Scotland although not in the most complimentary way. The passage in which he refers to Scotland as taking part in the First Crusade describes the interest aroused by the summons to take arms for the deliverance of the Holy Land. "The good news becoming generally reported," he says, "Refreshed with a gentle breeze the minds of the Christians, and becoming universally diffused, there was no nation so remote or secluded as not to contribute its portion: for this ardent devotion not only inspired the continental provinces but even all who had heard the name of Christ whether in the most distant lands or savage countries. The Welshman left his hunting, the Scot his fellowship with vermin, the Dane his drinking party, the Norwegian his raw flesh. Lands were deserted of their husbandmen, houses of their inhabitants, even whole cities migrated." This somewhat unflattering reference to Scotland would hardly leave us very enthusiastic. Of course we do not find the Scottish chronicles at this time as satisfactory as could be desired. We can also understand that English chroniclers might not be ready to become enthusiastic over Scotland and its concerns. Outside of these, however, there are references to Scotland

(1) William of Malmesbury Lib. IV para. 348
which serve to show that that country was among the number of the nations which heard and responded to the call of Pope Urban.

We find Scotland expressly mentioned along with the other countries sending men to the Crusade by Sigebert in his "Chronica", by Ekkehard in his "Chronicon Universale", by Bishop Otto in his "Chronicon", by Gaufrid, Prior of Vigeois, in his "Chronicon", as well as in the "Chronicon Turonense", in the "Historia Regum Francorum", in the "Chronica de Origine Ducum Prabantiae", in the "Abregé de l'Histoire de France composé en Latin sous le Regne de Philippe Auguste et traduit en Francois par l'Ordre d'Alphonse, Conte de Toulouse, et Frère de S. Louis", and in the "Annalista Saxo". So formidable an array of authorities might seem overwhelming, but a careful examination of the references is necessary. Such an examination reveals the fact that there are practically two lists of those engaging in the First Crusade. Sigebert, the "Chronica de Origine Ducum Prabantiae", and the "Historia Regum Francorum" are practically word for word the same, while the "Chronicon" of Gaufrid, the "Chronicon Turonense", and even also the "Abregé de l'Histoire de France" are sufficiently like the first

(1) Pertz Vol.VI p.387 (8) Recueil Vol. XII p.466
(2) "   " p.213 (9) "   " p.218
(3) " Vol.XX p.249 (7) Pertz Vol.XXV p.408
(4) Recueil Vol.XII p.427 (6) "   " p.828
(5) "   " p.729
three to suggest the possibility of a common origin. On the other hand Fkkehard, the "Annalista Saxo", and Bishop Otto's "Chronicon" are also identical in their list which they give of the countries involved, this list differing from the other list, among other things, in mentioning not only "Scotia" but also "Hibernia". In the first group of chroniclers it would almost appear as though Sigebert were the original and that the others were copies sometimes with slight variations. In the second group of chroniclers everything would seem to point to Fkkehard as the original. In both cases the authority is good. Sigebert was a Benedictine monk who was born about the year 1030 and died in 1112. He was therefore contemporaneous with the events leading up to the capture of Jerusalem by the Christian forces. Fkkehard was also contemporaneous with the First Crusade. He accompanied a band of Crusaders in 1101, writing his history about the year 1118. The catalogue of countries given by Sigebert as engaging in the First Crusade is as follows, "Hispania, Provintia, Aquitania, Britannia, Scottia, Anglia, Normannia, Francia, Lotharingia, Burgundia, Germania, Langobardia, Apulia". The list that is given by Fkkehard details, "Aquitania, Normannia, Anglia, Scotia, Hibernia, Britannia, Galicia, Wasconia, Gallia, Flandria, Lotharingia".

Mr. A.O. Anderson in his "Early Sources of Scottish
History" raises the question as to what is meant by such references to Scotland. He suggests that those described as Scots, who shared in the Crusade in 1096, appear to have been from Ireland. His suggestion is quite a pertinent one. The name Scotia originally given to Ireland had not been for any great time applied to Scotland, and there is a natural hesitation as to which country is meant when the name Scotia is given at this time. However, there would not seem to be any question about what is meant by Scotia in the lists which have been quoted, and particularly in the list of Ekkehard, who takes care to mention not only Scotia but also Hibernia. Mr. Anderson's suggestion would hardly seem to have real justification, because in addition to this there is other evidence that the Scots were actually engaged in this campaign.

Fulcher of Chartres, who was born about 1059, and was a priest at the time of the Council of Clermont, went with Stephen of Blois on Crusade in 1096. In 1097 he became Baldwin's chaplain. He was not present at the siege of Antioch, or at the siege of Jerusalem, being then at Edessa with Baldwin, whom he accompanied later to Jerusalem. In his "Historia Hierosolymitana" he gives his account of the expedition. He speaks of the confusion of tongues in the crusading army through so many different nations being brought together. He gives as the different nations present, "Franci,

(1) "Early Sources" Vol.II p.98
Flandri, Frisi, Galli, Allobroges, Lotharingi, Alemanni, 
Palearii, Normanni, Angli, Scoti, Aquitanii, Itali, Daci, 
Apuli, Iberi, Britones, Graeci, Armeni". Whatever doubt 
there might be as to those whom he describes as "Scoti", there 
can hardly be the same uncertainty in a similar reference by 
Guibert de Nogent. Guibert was born probably at Clermont 
about 1053. He became a monk in 1064, and was elected Abbot 
of Notre Dame de Nogent in 1104. His "Gesta Dei per Francos" 
was written between 1108 and 1112. Although not himself a 
Crusader he speaks intimately of the expedition. He refers 
like Fulcher to the uncouth tongues among the Crusaders, as 
well as to the outlandish accoutrements with which they were 
provided, and he singles out the Scots for special mention. (2) 
He lets us see what he means by "Scoti" when later in the same 
work he refers to King William the Elder who subdued the 
kings of the English and the Scots. Now William the 
Conqueror certainly advanced into Scotland and made the King 
of Scotland acknowledge himself as "his man". The conquest 
of Ireland may have been a dream of William the Conqueror, 
but it was certainly never more than a dream, and he did not 
set foot in that country much less conquer it. It seems 
quite clear then that, when Guibert mentions the Scots as being 

(1) Fulcher Lib I, Cap XIII, 4  
(2) Guibert Lib I, Cap I, para. 370, p. 686  
(3) " Lib II, Cap VII, para. 15, p. 711
present on the First Crusade, he means by 'Scoti' the inhabitants of Scotland. The "Scotichronicon" quotes Sigebert accurately and acknowledges that the quotation is from that chronicler. There would hardly seem to be any doubt that Scotland was represented among those who took part in the First Crusade.

The times were such as would be likely to encourage many to go on crusade. At the time of the Council of Clermont Scotland was divided under the rule of Donald Bane and Edmund. The two years that had elapsed since the death of Malcolm Canmore had witnessed rapid changes in the Scottish throne. Donald Bane had succeeded Malcolm, but a year had been about the duration of his authority, when he had given place to Duncan, and after six months of Duncan's rule Donald Bane had again returned to the throne, although this time he divided the kingdom with Edmund. This division in the kingdom lasted but three years, when Edgar succeeded in 1097. Therefore the opening of the First Crusade found Scotland torn with the rivalry of jealous factions. Under such conditions it might be urged that the Scots had enough to do at home without venturing on any foreign enterprise. A feature, however, of the rivalries of these unsettled years was a marked antipathy towards those who had come from England after the Norman Conquest, and had settled down within the northern

(1) Scotichronicon Vol I, Lib. VII Cap. XXXVIII p.417
kingdom. On the death of Malcolm Canmore the Saxon followers of Edgar and Margaret were driven away by the Gaels. Even when Duncan came to the throne the Celts would not submit until he had agreed never again to introduce Normans or English. When Donald Bane was again restored to the throne the English were driven from Scotland. What could be more natural than that those from the southern kingdom who had come to make their home in Scotland, and were now far from welcome there, finding no encouragement to settle again in England, should embark on a wider enterprise, and take the Cross with its opportunities of adventure, its hopes of advancement in worldly things, as well as its spiritual call and prospects for the weal of their souls. There would be others of the type of Robert, the son of Godwin, whom the Scotichronicon describes as "vero Scoticatus et bonus Scotus effectus". The experience of this man in England under the reign of William Rufus drove him back upon Scotland again for refuge, encouraged thereto by Edgar, although there was in that country a marked unfriendliness towards such refugees. Ultimately he took leave of Edgar and "set out for Jerusalem in that great expedition of Godfrey of Bouillon, where he also with his other Scots left very many evidences of his valour."

The words "in that great expedition of Godfrey of Bouillon"

(1) "The Saxon Chronicle" p.307
(2) Simeon of Durham para.17F p.224
(3) Scotichronicon Vol I. Lib. V, Cap. XXXIV, p.283
as well as the words "with his other Scots" are not found in every version of the "Scotochronicon". At least this affords a suggestive instance of the conditions prevailing. The unsettled conditions in Scotland so far from demanding the presence of many of these warriors, would actually set them free from home interests for some such venture as the Crusades afforded.

We know that Edgär Atheling was with the Crusaders in the early years of the expedition. Edgär Atheling was not unknown in Scotland whether from his relationship to Queen Margaret, or from the sojourn which he made in that country, which had hospitably opened its doors to him, when England did not find his presence acceptable. Many, particularly of the Saxon refugees in Scotland, were attached to him, and he would hardly be the only one, who had found a home in Scotland, to feel the Holy Land calling him particularly under the circumstances of the time.

The "Statistical Account of Scotland" describes an erect stone in the east end of the church at Glenbervie which in its inscription provides the information, among other things, that Osbert Oliphant was in Syria with Godfrey of Bouillon, and that he perished in battle.

(1) Ordericus Vitalis Lib. X, Cap. X, p.746
(2) Statistical Account of Scotland XI p.453 note
The conditions were distinctly favourable to Scotland's giving its contribution of men to the First Crusade, and we have evidence enough to lead us to conclude that Scots were among those who at Urban's call set out with enthusiasm for the deliverance of the Holy Land.
The First Crusade in spite of many mistakes and misadventures achieved its object. In 1099 Jerusalem was rescued from the infidels, and became the possession of the Christians. The Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem was established with Godfrey as head. For the next two centuries there was almost incessant warfare in the east. The Hospitallers, the Templars, and the Teutonic Knights became in time a standing army at the seat of war, and were reinforced by drafts belonging to their own Orders from the Christian countries of Europe, as well as by bodies of Crusaders, which set out from time to time for the help of those engaged in conflict in the Holy Land. What we know as the subsequent Crusades were not so much separate attempts to achieve the deliverance of the Holy Land, as reinforcements sent to the field at critical times at the call of the Popes or the urging of such an one as St. Bernard. Such a concerted movement to support the defenders of the Holy Land is usually known as the Second, the Third or the Fourth Crusade. This gives, perhaps, a wrong impression of what was taking place, and may prove rather confusing, as all historians are not in agreement in the numbering of the Crusades. It is simpler to identify the particular accession of men to the forces in the field by the name of the outstanding leader, and it is well at the same time to recognise
that outside the larger accessions of new recruits to the warfare in the east, there were from time to time smaller bodies of combatants setting out, of which it is necessary to take note.

It might be thought that the enthusiasm at the outset of the First Crusade and the very generous response which was then made would have exhausted the interest in the enterprise at least for the time, particularly after the Christians succeeded in gaining Jerusalem. Yet the close of the eleventh century and the first half of the twelfth century saw various separate bands departing for the east. There are not many references to departures from Scotland during this period, but such exist and call for mention here.

Bohemond of Antioch who had returned to Italy in 1104 took back with him to Palestine a considerable number of recruits. We are told by Ordericus Vitalis that many thousands of the people of the west enlisted under the command of Bohemond of Antioch in the wars against the infidels. This statement is couched in too general terms to help us regarding the question of Scotland's part in this venture.

About the year 1107 Sigurd the Crusader put himself at

(1) Ordericus Vitalis Lib. IX Cap. I p.647
the head of a naval force, whose destination was the Holy Land. The fleet was composed of sixty long ships, and went by way of England. An account of this expedition is given in the "Heimskringla" of Snorri Sturlason, who wrote in the first half of the thirteenth century. Ordericus Vitalis puts Sigurd’s departure on this venture before he came to the throne of part of the Kingdom of Norway. In this he was mistaken. Sigurd started out after he became King of Norway and the Orkneys. On the death of Magnus Barefoot he succeeded in 1103 to one third of the realm. Before that he had been appointed Lord of the Orkneys. Now Orkney was not yet part of Scotland, and did not come into that kingdom for some time. Besides we have no definite information that the Orkneys provided any part of the naval force, which sailed direct from Norway to England without, so far as we can learn, touching at the Orkneys.

There is an account of one Scot who went to the Holy Land although rather that he might escape ecclesiastical discipline than as a combatant Crusader. This was John, Bishop of Glasgow. Archbishop Turstin had demanded the submission of John, and when that cleric refused, the Archbishop suspended him from his office. The Bishop of Glasgow took the matter to Rome to lay the case before the Supreme Pontiff, but, find-

(1) "Heimskringla" Vol. III pp. 247-261
(2) Ordericus Vitalis Lib. X, Cap. V, p. 727
(3) Simeon of Durham para. 208 p. 264
that he made but little progress, he went on to Jerusalem where he stayed for several months with the Patriarch, and officiated often in his episcopal duty. This took place in 1122.

The year 1128 saw the arrival in England of a Templar, Hugh de Payens, on a recruiting expedition to augment the depleted forces of his Order. The "Saxon Chronicle" declares that he also came to Scotland, and that he was not unsuccessful in his quest. "This same year," says the "Saxon Chronicle", "Came from Jerusalem, Hugh of the Temple to the King in Normandy, and the King received him with much honour, and gave him rich presents in gold and in silver. And afterwards he sent him into England, and there he was received by all good men, who all gave him presents, and in Scotland also; and by him they sent to Jerusalem much wealth withal in gold and in silver. And he invited folk out to Jerusalem, and there went with him and after him more people than ever did before since that the first expedition was in the day of Pope Urban." This statement also leaves uncertain as to whether Scotland supplied many men in response to the pleading of Hugh the Templar.

The close of the first half of the twelfth century witnessed the beginning of the ill-fated Crusade of Louis VII and Conrad. This Crusade was of brief duration. It lasted

(1) "Saxon Chronicle" p.357
about a year. It was marked by a series of costly blunders, and did more to weaken than to strengthen the cause in the east. Again the English chroniclers speak enthusiastically of the response made to the call of St. Bernard. William of Newburgh tells us that "a countless multitude from all Christian nations, tribes and languages, bearing the ensign of Christ Crucified entered on a pilgrimage to Jerusalem". The date of the Crusade of Louis VII and Conrad is 1147. Henry of Huntingdon details the French and the English as taking part in this Crusade. John of Hexham declares that "a multitude well nigh innumerable, moved by a similar impulse set out from every kingdom and province of Christendom". The "Scotichronicon" mentions a naval expedition of about two hundred ships which sailed from England, and was composed of men from "Anglia, Scotia, Flandria, Lotharingia". Of this expedition we have ample confirmation in the "Osbernus de Expugnatione Lynxbonensi", a curious tract illustrating the character of the large bodies of independent pilgrims or volunteers who accompanied the expeditions to Palestine. This work describes the siege and capture of Lisbon by these Crusaders in 1147, and is confirmed by the "Annals of S. Disibod of Disenberg". Both Osbern and the writer of the description in the "Annals of S. Disibod"

(1) William of Newburgh Lib.I, Cap.XVIII p. 57
(2) Henry of Huntingdon VIII paraXXV p. 879
(3) John of Hexham p. 25
(4) "Scotichronicon" Vol.I. Lib.VII. Cap.LVIII p. 440
(5) Pertz Vol. XVII pp. 27, 28
(6) Osbernus pp. 157-158
SCOTTISH CRUSADERS DURING THE FIRST HALF OF THE TWELFTH CENTURY

were present with the expedition, and were in fact attached to
the same division. Osbern distinctly mentions Scots as being
present, and pays them a compliment when he says, "For who can
deny that the Scots are barbarians? However they have not at
any time exceeded among us the rule of due friendship." (1)
The First Crusade had its Scottish recruits, and the Crusade
of Louis VII and Conrad found Scots again enlisted in the
enterprise.

(1) Osbernus pp. 157-8
CHAPTER III

SCOTTISH CRUSADERS IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE TWELFTH CENTURY

The beginning of the second half of the twelfth century witnessed a naval expedition to the east from the Orkneys. An account of this venture is given in the "Orkneyenga Saga" and also in the "Heimskringla" of Snorri Sturluson. At this time Earl Rognvald was Earl of the Orkneys, still outwith the Kingdom of Scotland. Earl Rognvald was delayed in setting out, as he was waiting for the contingent from Norway under Erling and Findrid the Young. The Norwegians with a considerable force proceeded to Orkney, where they were joined by Earl Rognvald and Bishop William. The expedition setting out amounted to fifteen long ships, which arrived at Acre in 1152. This expedition was one of the independent enterprises, and coincides with none of the recognised Crusades.

The second half of the twelfth century witnessed the menace of the rise of Saladin to the stability of the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem. This renowned warrior set himself to gain Jerusalem from the Christians. It fell into his hands after a brief siege in 1187. Ten years before this, according to Roger of Hoveden, the Earl of Flanders and the Earl of Essex with many barons and knights of various countries assumed the sign of the Cross and set out for Jerusalem. The danger

(1) "Orkneyenga Saga" Vol. III p. 162  
(2) "Heimskringla" Vol. III pp. 371-3  
(3) Roger de Hoveden II p. 138
to the Latin Kingdom had not been unforeseen in Europe, but until Saladin had actually gained possession of Jerusalem, the task of stirring the Christian nations to another Crusade had been far from easy. Henry II of England was not too keen on crusading, and found many an excuse for staying at home. A Council was held at Clerkenwell by Henry at which the King of Scotland and his brother David were present. At this Council in 1186 permission was given to assume the Cross, and, according to Roger de Hoveden, nearly all the earls, barons and knights of England assumed the Cross. Hoveden speaks only of the English as assuming the Cross, but at the Council the King of Scotland and his brother were present and were witnesses of the enthusiasm.

The fall of Jerusalem into Saracen hands stimulated the Christian nations to action. Roger de Hoveden quotes a letter of the Emperor Frederick I which says, "You shall now become acquainted with the rage of Germany, who even in peace brandishes her arms. the untamed head of the Rhine, the youths who know not how to flee, the tall Bavarian, the cunning Swede, wary France, cautious England, Albania, Cambria, Saxony that sports with the sword, Thuringia, Westphalia, active Brabant, Lorraine unused to peace, restless Burgundy, the nimble inhabitants of the Alps, Frisonia darting on with javelin and thong. Bohemia delighted at braving death, Polonia fiercer

(1) Roger de Hoveden II p.301
than her own wild beasts, Austria, Styria, Rutonia, the parts
of Illlyria, Lombardy, Tuscany, the March of Ancona, the pirates
of Venice, the sailors of Pisa." This letter to Saladin is
certainly bombastic, and marshals the possible crusading
nations in as formidable array as Frederick can. If we are
to take, as has been suggested, Albania as meaning Scotland,
it is evident that, either by past knowledge of its participation
in the Crusades, or from the expectation that it would rally
to the cause, Frederick the Emperor reckons on it as one of
the powers with which to threaten Saladin, and puts it in the
formidable list of those nations which the Saracens will have
to face.

If Henry II of England was not himself a very ardent
Crusader, he at least did his best to see that Scotland made
her contribution towards the crusading cause. On the eleventh
day of February 1188 he held a great council at Geddington at
which Archbishop Baldwin and the Bishop of Rochester preached
the Crusade. As the Crusades required not only men but also
money, it was agreed to levy a tenth on all revenues for this
purpose. Hugh, Bishop of Durham, was dispatched to the King
of Scotland to get him to follow the example of England.
The Bishop of Durham was not very successful in his mission.

(1) Roger de Hoveden Vol. II p. 238
(2) Benedictus Abbas Vol. II p. 44
SCOTTISH CRUSADERS IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE TWELFTH CENTURY

William the Lion declined to allow the collectors to enter Scotland, offering instead a sum of five thousand marks, an offer which was refused. (1) In this the King had the support of the Bishops, Earls and Barons. Indeed the opposition of the Bishops, Earls and Barons to the exaction of the tithe was even stronger than that of the King.

The death of Henry II of England in 1189 raised to the throne one who made up for his father's indecision by a zeal for the cause that was impatient to set out. Hardly had Richard I mounted the throne than he proceeded to make his preparations for that Crusade which is associated with his name. As money was required for the expedition, he took steps to provide the money by, among other things, resigning to the Scottish King all the rights over Scotland which had been conceded to Henry II, along with the Castles of Roxburgh and Berwick for the sum of ten thousand marks. It was quite a good bargain for Scotland. Richard's need of money restored the fullest liberties to Scotland at a price which cannot be considered as anything else than modest. Having collected his men and money for the cost of the expedition, Richard set

out for the Holy Land in 1190, the year after his coronation.

The Crusade of Richard introduces us to one whose life is surrounded with much romance, and also with much uncertainty, in David of Huntingdon, the brother of the Scottish King. He was born about 1144 and was the third son of Henry of Huntingdon, who was the son of David I by Matilda, daughter of Waltheof, Earl of Huntingdon. David of Huntingdon is represented by Fordun as being older than his brother William. In his "Chronica" Fordun tells us that Malcolm was born in the eighteenth year of David I's reign, David in the nineteenth year, and William in the twentieth. The Trinity College, Dublin, Manuscript of the "Annalia" makes David the elder, but other editions show him as younger than William. William is older than David in the "Scotichronicon". There is obviously some confusion in Fordun's mind as to whether William or David is the elder. Wyntoun refers to this confusion.

It becomes necessary to account for William's succession to the throne on Malcolm's death, and this is accounted for in Fordun and Wyntoun by David's absence in foreign parts, such as being on crusade. We can have no difficulty, of course, in dismissing the other statement of the "Scotichronicon" that when Malcolm was crowned William was then

(1) Fordun "Chronica" Lib.V, Cap.XXXXIII, p.233
(2) " "Gesta Annalia" I p.284 & IV p.287
(4) Wyntoun Lib.VIII, Cap.VI, line 1813
(5) Fordun,"Gest.Ann." IV p.287 and Wyntoun as in (4) line 1288
across the seas contending against the heretics. Malcolm the Maiden was crowned in 1153, and at that time William can only have been ten years of age. Surely that would make him too young to bear the brunt of an arduous campaign. Andrew of Wyntoun in the "Cryxynale Cronykil of Scotland" ascribes the Crusade in which David engaged to the time when William was crowned.

"And as men sayd in Sarzines
He trawailyd quhen Willeme crownyd wes." (2)

William the Lion was crowned in 1165, by which time David would be about twenty-one years of age. This agrees with the Trinity College, Dublin, Manuscript of Fordun, which instead of having David in England at this time, has him fighting against the Saracens with a great army across the seas. (3)

In the "History and Chronicles of Scotland" by Hector Boece there is an account of David as being on crusade with Richard. It tells us that when King William had received all his lands, and castles, he made his brother Earl of Huntingdon, and sent him with five thousand men to support King Richard in his wars. Boece also gives an account of his adventures in coming back again, which seem almost to rival the adventures of Richard himself. According to Boece he was shipwrecked, and many of

(2) Wyntoun Lib.VIII, Cap.VI, line 1223
(3) Fordun "Gesta Annalia" IV p.257
his fellow-travellers perished, although he escaped to land and was brought to Alexandria, where he was held captive. He was bought by Venetian merchants, and taken to Constantinople ultimately reaching Venice. This time he was ransomed by English merchants, who brought him to Flanders. The force of the elements seemed to be against him once more. Setting sail from Flanders a storm broke out, which drove the ship near Norway and Shetland. As the danger continued, he vowed, if he should escape, that he would build a church in honour of the Virgin. In course, his ship arrived in the Tay, beside Allectum which was called thereafter Dundee, or the Gift of God. The church built by David in fulfilment of his vow was the Abbey of Lindores, a house of the Benedictine Order. A variation of this story given in the "Liure des Trois Filz de Roys" is referred to by Bishop Dowden. According to Bishop Dowden the account given by it mentions a Scottish Prince, David, who had fought in the Holy Land. With many points of resemblance to the story of Boece, there are, however, many differences which would make it difficult to say that Boece got his story from it. There are thus two accounts of crusading on the part of David of Huntingdon. Wyntoun agreeing with the Trinity College, Dublin, Manuscript of Fordun places the time at about 1167 or before. Boece, on the other hand, makes David take part in Richard's Crusade.

(2) "Chartulary of Lindores" p. XXX
David's participation in Richard's Crusade is discussed very carefully by Bishop Bowden in his introduction to the "Chartulary of the Abbey of Lindores". He dismisses as highly improbable the story of Hector Boece. He has four objections to it. His first objection is that, supposing David to have been on crusade with Richard, the founding of Lindores could hardly be an accomplished fact in the year 1191, the date to which he assigns it. His second objection is that there is no reference to it in Fordun, the "Chronicle of Melrose", Roger de Hoveden, Walter of Coventry, nor even in the "Itinerarium Peregrinorum et Gesta Regis Ricardi". His third difficulty is that nothing is found in the charter giving the Abbey, which would seem to indicate that the gift was made in fulfilment of a vow such as Boece describes. His fourth objection is that David's marriage to Matilda, daughter of Hugh, Earl of Chester, took place on the twenty-sixth day of August 1180, while Richard sailed from Marseilles on the seventh day of August 1190.

While it is impossible to do anything but share in Bishop Bowden's suspicion and uncertainty, looking at each of his objections separately, they hardly appear as conclusive as one could wish. Regarding his first objection that David's

(1) Benedictus Abbas Vol. II p. 146
"Chronicle of Melrose" p. 99
(2) "Itinerarium Peregrinorum" p. CXVIII
being on crusade with Richard is inconsistent with his founding of Lindores in 1191, it is quite clear that he puts all the weight on the evidence of the "Scotichronicon" that Guido, first Abbot of Lindores, died in 1219, having ruled over his monastery for twenty-eight years. Now elsewhere the "Scotichronicon" puts the founding of Lindores as early as 1178.

Besides, as Bishop Dowden himself admits, there is a charter preserved in the collection known as the "Campbell Charters" in the library of the British Museum. He himself quotes and translates this charter. "Let all present and to come know that I, Osbert Abbot, and the Convent of the Church of Kelso have quitclaimed from subjection and obedience of every kind Sir Guido, elected to be Abbot of the Church of St. Andrew's of Lindores. At the date of this charter, probably about the beginning of 1197, Guido was obviously only Abbot elect. Guido witnesses a charter together with Adam, Abbot of Coupar, and Adam resigned the Abbacy of Coupar, according to the "Chronicle of Melrose" in 1194. It would appear, according to Bishop Dowden's own confession, that there is some doubt as to the date. In addition, the "Scotichronicon" does not say that Guido ruled the monastery for twenty-eight years. It says for "about" twenty-eight years. Clearly Bishop Dowden has fixed on the year 1191 for the founding of Lindores.

(1) "Scotichronicon" Vol. II p234("fere per viginti octo")
(2) " Vol. I p.475
(3) "Chartulary of Lindores" p.284
(4) " p.302
(5) "Chronicle of Melrose" p.102
in spite of the uncertainty and contradictions. As this is inconsistent with David's being on crusade with Richard, David's participation in Richard's Crusade must go. It must also be remembered that the Crusade of Richard was over in 1192, although Richard's adventures did not allow him to return until 1194.

The second objection, that there is no reference to David's going with Richard on crusade in Fordun, the "Chronicle of Melrose", Roger of HOWEDEN, Walter of Coventry, and in the "Itinerarium Peregrinorum et Gesta Regis Ricardi", is hardly conclusive. We have seen that the Scottish chronicles have not been too ready to mention Scotland's part in the Crusades, even where we have other evidence of it. The same is true of the English chronicles, which are not inclined to devote much time in doing justice to Scotland. Besides David was one whose movements were hard to follow at times.

The third objection that there is no mention in the charter conveying the gift that the founding of the Abbey is in fulfilment of a vow, is hardly more conclusive. The charters of the time seem almost to follow a stereotyped form so far as the opening words are concerned, with only the difference of names and places. Even if the contention were right, it would only affect the founding of the Abbey, and not David's part in the Crusade of Richard.
Perhaps the strongest objection is to be found in David's marriage, which took place on the twenty-sixth day of August 1190. It certainly seems strange that he should set out on crusade so soon after his marriage. However, while Richard sailed from Marseilles on the seventh day of August 1190, his progress was slow. He was at Messina on the twenty-third day of September 1190, and remained there till April 1191. There was thus ample time for David to follow, and to overtake him on the way to the east.

On the other hand, as Bishop Dowden admits, we cannot prove that David was elsewhere than on crusade between the date of his marriage, and the year 1194 when he witnesses a charter of King Richard. Nor can we prove that he was anywhere else than on crusade between the year 1163, when he was given by Malcolm as a hostage to Henry, and the year 1170 when he was present with King William at a council held by the King of England at Windsor on the fifth day of April. In addition there is a distinct tradition that David was on crusade, whether it was about 1165, or 1190, or both. The mere fact that the traditions do not agree with each other rather strengthens their evidence than otherwise, as showing that

(1) Benedictus Abbas Vol.II p.146 & "Chronicle of Melrose"
(2) "Itinerarium Peregrinorum" p.CXVIII
(3) ib. II, Cap. XIII
(4) "New Foedera" Vol. I p.62
(F) Robert de Torignio Vol. IV, p.218
(G) Benedictus Abbas Vol. I p.4
they are not mere copies. More important still, Scotland had good reason to be grateful to Richard at this time for the good bargain that Richard's necessity had afforded in the return of the rights over Scotland conceded to Henry. David had also reason for gratitude to Richard by reason of his confirming to David the Honour of Huntingdon. It seems hardly reasonable that Scotland should reap the advantage of Richard's crusading zeal without some attempt to support him in the undertaking.

If we are to discredit the story of Boece, which deals with David's participation in Richard's Crusade, we must also discredit his other quaint story of Scots at the siege of Achon. Among the Saracens in the town was one Oliver, who had been banished from Scotland, and had somehow gone over to the infidels. Having lived for a time with them, and speaking their language freely, the Saracens had no suspicion of him. Happening to see a kinsman named John Durward in the following of the Earl of Huntingdon, he sought communication with him, and bargained that he would give the Christians entry into the town on condition that Earl David would restore his land in Scotland. The town was ultimately taken.

We have certainly mention of one Scot as being in the

(1) "New Foedera" Vol. I p.48
Scottish Crusaders in the Second Half of the Twelfth Century

Crusade with Richard. The authority is the "Itinerarium Peregrinorum". Richard sends to Tyre for Conrad and the Saracen hostages, but the Marquis refuses to obey. He then sends the Duke of Burgundy and with him Robert de Quincey. This Robert de Quincey was Justiciar of the Lothians, having been made so in 1175. We can hardly imagine that he was the only representative from Scotland in Richard's Crusade.

(1) "Itinerarium Peregrinorum" Lib. III, Cap. III p. 342.
The end of the twelfth century witnessed the brief and ineffective Crusade organised by Henry VI, who, however, did not himself set out with the expedition. The death of Saladin in 1193 with the succession of Saphadin his brother caused dissensions among the Saracens, which were almost as much a hindrance to the success of the Saracens, as the dissensions of the Christians were to their cause. A determined and well-devised effort by the Christian nations in the beginning of the thirteenth century would have made the position of the Latin Kingdom secure, but by this time the Christian nations seemed to have lost the power to repeat the efforts of the First Crusade. Although Innocent III set himself to stir the Christian world to fresh effort, although Peter the Hermit and Bernard had their successor, in seeking to inspire by eloquence the European nations, in Fulk of Neuilly, even although the people appealed to responded, and continued to send vast numbers to the east, the thirteenth century saw but little progress against the infidel in the Holy Land, and ere it had closed the Latin Kingdom had ceased to be as much as the shadow of a reality, the combatants had withdrawn from Syria altogether, and the Crusades existed thereafter, perhaps as dreams in the minds of ardent men, but nothing more. Indeed Popes immersed in their political intrigues seemed not always
encouraging to the crusading cause, while they still called for volunteers, and appeared even more active than ever in their efforts to obtain subsidies for the deliverance of the Holy Land.

The Crusade associated with the names of Boniface, Marquis of Montferrat, and Dandolo spent itself in the capture of Constantinople, and the setting up of the Latin Empire of Constantinople, which subsisted till 1281. The Crusade of Andrew, King of Hungary, in 1218 was abandoned after an unsuccessful attack on a castle on Mount Tabor. Frederick II, the grandson of Barbarossa, in his expedition in 1228 managed to conclude a treaty with the Sultan Kameel for the surrender of Jerusalem, Jaffa, Bethlehem and Nazareth to the Christians, and a truce was made for ten years, both sides being exhausted by the struggle. The expedition of Richard, Earl of Cornwall, in 1240 succeeded in gaining even more favourable terms for the Christians. In 1242 an invasion of the Karasman, who overran Syria, and struck terror into the hearts of those who were there, reduced the Holy Land once more to a miserable plight, even though in the end the invaders were defeated. The first Crusade of Louis IX with its record of disaster to the Christian cause closed the first half of the thirteenth century.

In Scotland this period is one which gives obvious signs of crusading activity. As early as the year 1202 we have a
record of events in the north which not only throws light on
the conditions there, but introduces us to one who was made a
Crusader not from choice but by necessity. Crusading might be
not only a voluntary undertaking, but also a penalty imposed
by the Church or King. Harald the Younger received from the
King of Norway the half of Orkney, and from King William the
Lion half of Caithness. He found it a difficult matter to
obtain possession of his domain. An army was gathered together in
Caithness and he called upon Earl Harald the Elder to sur­
render the half granted to him by the King. Harald the Elder
replied by invading Caithness, and in the encounter the younger
Harald was slain. King William sent an army under the King
of the Hebrides, who took possession of the district and left
it under the charge of three prefects. Harald the Elder was
not inclined to submit. He returned with an army and landed
near Thorso, where he was met by Bishop John of Caithness, who
sought to intercede for the men of Caithness who had submitted
to the King. Harald the Elder caused Bishop John to be seized
and his tongue and eyes cut out. The Bishop of Orkney was
shocked by the outrage and informed the Pope, Lomberd, a lay­
man, was involved in the outrage, having cut out the Bishop's
tongue, although he declared that he had been forced to it by
the Earl's soldiers. As a penance it was decreed by the Pope
in 1202 that he should walk for fifteen days through the sur­
rounding country barefoot, with his tongue projecting and tied
with a string bound round his neck, undergoing discipline
prostrate on the ground before the door of the church, with rods which he carried in his hand. He was to partake only in the evening and then only of bread and water. At the end of the fifteen days he was to make ready to set out for the Holy Land and to labour for three years in the service of the Cross. The narrative does not record whether he survived the first part of the penance or whether he did go to the Holy Land. Such a sentence was not always carried out by the one on whom it was imposed.

The year 1318 saw the beginning of a vigorous recruiting campaign for the Crusades. In that year Pope Innocent III issued a general mandate summoning to a General Council to be held at Rome. In the meantime pastors were "to arouse those who were confirmed, and moreover to seal them with the sign of the Cross for the aid of the Holy Land of Promise, which at that time the Saracens handled too wickedly and unbefittingly". A council was called at Perth by William Malvoisin, Bishop of St. Andrews and Legate of the Pope. At this council the Bishops agreed to preach a Crusade and did so successfully, although, as the "Scotichronicon" says, it was remarked that among the multitudes who took the Cross there were "few of the

(1) "Orkneyen$a Saga" III p. 226-229
Torifiasus Lib. I c. 39.

(2) "Chronicle of Melrose" p113.
rich or treat of the Kingdon". The "Book of Pluscarden" confirms the crusading zeal of the time, and tells us how, "In these days at the command of the Apostolic See, and at the instance of itslegates, numberless nobles of Scotland and England, and likewise of other kingdoms took the Cross for the Holy Land in defence of the faith". The absence of any record of outstanding names of Scottish Crusaders at this time lends colour to the statement of the "Scotichronicon" that while there were many volunteers, there were few of the rich or treat. Of course those who took the Cross would not necessarily proceed to the east.

We find a notice of two contingents dispatched from England to the east. One of these set out in the year 1227, and Roger of Wendover tells us that it was declared to number as many as forty thousand. Seeing that the information comes from one of the papal recruiting agents, it may well be an exaggeration. Matthew Paris describes the expedition of Earl Richard in 1240, and the numbers from England who joined it. We have, however, no information as to any contingent from Scotland embarking with either expedition.

Among the circular letters emanating from the Apostolic

(1) "Scotichronicon" Vol.I, Lib.VIII, Cap.LXXVIII p.534
(2) "Book of Pluscarden" Lib.VI, Cap.XLIII p.50
(3) Roger of Wendover Vol.II p.523
(4) Matthew Paris Vol.IV, p.44.
See at this time, it is generally found that Scotland was one of the nations to which such letters of exhortation were sent, while, in addition, special letters were sent from time to time setting forth the needs of the Holy Land. The number of these and their steady flow to Scotland show that the Popes regarded that country as a profitable recruiting ground and one which was worth cultivating. The demand was not only for men but also for money. Some of those willing to go were unable to equip themselves at their own expense. Even if they could do so, they could not always contribute to the upkeep of their more needy brethren. The crusading expeditions involved considerable expenditure, which it had not been easy to meet by those who embarked on the enterprise. The consequence is that we find an increasing insistence upon the need of financial contributions, as well as for actual volunteers. This is not only the subject of circular letters, but also of instructions expressly sent to Scotland.

It was sought to raise the money in various ways. Those who had in their enthusiasm taken the Cross, but found that either from poverty, age, or weakness they were unable to proceed to the Holy Land, were absolved on payment of the sum which they would actually have spent had they gone in person. This sum was to be paid to those fit to take part in the Crusade.

(1) Bliss p. 38
(2) " p. 38
who might be prevented from setting out by the lack of the necessary means required for providing equipment and defraying their expenses in the campaign. Instructions are given that this is to be done in Scotland under the date 1238. There were other ways of providing for needy Crusaders such as we have in the case of Richard de Thony, the Treasurer of Angers, a Crusader who was nephew of the King of Scotland. Richard held certain benefices which he surrendered for reasons of conscience. His uncle the King made request to the Pope that from the surrendered benefices a grant should be given to Richard in order that he might be enabled to fulfill his Crusader's vow. A mandate by the Pope gave instructions that this should be arranged as the King desired.

We have another case of compulsory taking of the Crusader's vow in the Bissets whose story is fully set forth in Matthew Paris, the "Book of Pluscarden", the "Scotichronicon" and Hector Boece. The Earl of Atholl was killed under suspicious circumstances at Haddington. The place in which he was sleeping during the night was burned to the ground. The friends of the Earl suspected foul play. One of the Bissets had been overthrown by the Earl in a tournament, and the defeated man had not concealed his resentment. Retainers of the Bissets had been recognised that night in Haddington. The Bissets

(1) Bliss p. 169, Theiner 38, XCVI
(2) " p. 175
disclaimed all connection with the Earl's death, and declared that they had not been near Haddington on the night in question. The friends of Atholl were keen on revenge, and were resolved to take extreme measures against the Bissets. The Bissets appeared before the King, who did his best to protect them, but the utmost that he could do for them was of little avail. The Bisset lands were confiscated, and they were banished out of the Kingdom of Scotland, England and France, under a vow that they would go at once to the Holy Land, and labour there all their lives. The names of the Bissets involved are variously given, but there would seem to have been three involved, William, John and Walter. The vow was taken to go to the Holy Land but it was not kept. They proceeded to England, and there is no record of their having made any move to go on crusade.

In the closing years of the first half of the thirteenth century the Papal Letters to Scotland reveal considerable activity in the way of providing intending Crusaders with the necessary means for the journey. In the year 1247 the Bishop of Dunblane is instructed to collect a twentieth of church revenues, the redemptions of vows, offerings and legacies for the Holy Land, and to transmit three thousand pounds of Tours

(1) Matthew Paris Vol.IV p.301 
Hector Pooce Vol.II, Lib.XIII, Cap.XV, p.343 
"Scotichronicon" Vol.II,Lib.IX, Cap.LXI p.71 
"Book of Pluscarden" Lib.VII, Cap.VI, p.75
to certain Crusaders. The names of the Crusaders mentioned are Petrus de Cortiniaco and Gualtherus de Iovi'piaco.

Provision is made for Scottish Crusaders by a mandate in the year following to the Bishop of St. Andrews and the Bishop of Glasgow to collect and distribute to those who personally set out the redemptions of Crusaders' vows and legacies and gifts for the Holy Land. A similar injunction was sent to the Bishops of St. Andrews and Aberdeen to collect legacies and offerings from all sources in Scotland for the Holy Land, and after satisfying those Scottish Crusaders to whom any share of the same has been promised by the Pope to assign the rest to the King of France when the King has set out.

Scotland was to contribute something more even than men and money at this time. We learn from Matthew Paris that Hugh de Chetelion, Count of St. Paul and Blois, "who was killed by a stone hurled from a mangonel at Avignon in the contest which the King was engaged in with the citizens of that place, before he set sail, had had built a handsome ship at Inverness, in the County of Moray and in the Kingdom of Scotland, in which he might be able to cross the sea with the Bolognese and Flemings, and those commonly called men of Avalterre".

The contribution of men at this time shows not only that

(1) Fliss p.237
(2) Theiner p.48 CXX VIII
(3) Fliss p.243 and Theiner p.49, CXXX II
(4) Fliss p.263
(5) Matthew Paris V, 93.
the commoner class was represented, but that there was a fair
sprinkling of those who can be described as the rich and great.
The First Crusade of Louis IX was a disastrous one, but there
was a strong contingent from Scotland in the enterprise. The
expedition set out in 1248, and Hector Boece tells us that
chosen men were sent by King Alexander of whom none returned,
being all slain by sword or pestilence. The "Scotichronicon"
also declares that many Scots were engaged in this expedition,
and that a large number perished in the course of the campaign.
Hector Boece is perhaps exaggerating the mortality among the
Scots in this Crusade, but we know that it proved disastrous
to many of them. The names of some of the principal Scottish
Crusaders at this time are disclosed. The chronicles and
records are becoming fuller, and place more information at our
disposal.

The most prominent among the Scottish Crusaders in the
first campaign of Louis IX is Patrick, Earl of Dunbar. The
fact is recorded in the "Chronicle of Melrose", the "Chronicle
of Lanercost", Matthew Paris, Hector Boece, and the "Scoti-
chronicon", as well as in Durdale's "Monasteries". It was

(1) Hector Boece Vol.II, Lib.XIII, Cap.XV, p.343
(2) "Scotichronicon" Vol.II, Lib.IX, Cap.LXII p.78
(3) "Chronicle of Melrose" p.177
(4) "Chronicle of Lanercost" p.44
(5) Matthew Paris Vol. V p.41
(6) Hector Boece Vol.II, Lib.XIII, Cap.XV, p.343
(7) "Scotichronicon" Vol.II, Lib.IX, Cap.LXII p.78
(8) "Monasticicon Anglicanum" Vol. VII p.1155
as an act of remorse that the Earl of Dunbar undertook this pilgrimage. Irritated at the length of an ecclesiastical dispute, he had done some injury to the monastic house of Tynemouth, a cell of St. Patrick. He seems never to have reached Palestine, for, according to the "Chronicle of Lanercost", he died at Marseilles.

With him was David Lindesay of Glensk, who is mentioned as present in this Crusade of Louis IX by Hector Boece, the "Scotichronicon", and Dugdale's "Monasteries". Sir James Balfour Paul in his edition of Douglas "Peerage" transfers his name on crusade to the second expedition of Louis IX, and gives Dugdale as his reference, but the reference of Dugdale is to the Crusade of 1248, the same as that in which Patrick, Earl of Dunbar died.

Another mentioned by Dugdale is Edward Maxwell, Baron of Carlaverock. Edward was the second son of Aymer of Makewells, Sheriff of Dumfries, and Justiciar of Galloway 1241-1286. He belonged to the house of Carlaverock, although he was not Baron of Carlaverock.

Hector Boece and Dugdale mention as present on the same Crusade, Walter Stewart of Dunfard. This is most probably

(1) Hector Boece Vol.II, Lib.XIII, Cap. AV, p.343
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(4) "The Book of Carlaverock" Vol.I, p.80
the Walter Stewart, or Walter Bulloc, third son of Walter, the third High Steward of Scotland. He became fifth Earl of Menteith through his marriage with Mary, Countess of Menteith. He evidently survived the Crusade. His effigy with that of the Countess in the Priory of Inchmahome is among the few monuments from that age in Scotland.

There is mention of still another Crusader at this time. A papal dispensation is given at the request of Thomas de Normavilla, a Crusader, Baron of the King of Scotland to Walter de Albamara, Rector of Skilligate in the Diocese of Bath, to hold one benefice in Scotland besides the two which he now has. According to Chalmers the progenitor of the Anglo-Norman family of Normavilles came from England into Scotland during the twelfth century.

"The Red Book of Menteith" states that Alexander, who became fourth High Steward of Scotland, accompanied his brother Walter on this Crusade, but while there may be some tradition to this effect, there is little in the way of contemporary reference or in the chronicles of the time to justify it.

"The Genealogical History of the Stewarts" states that John, son of Walter, and therefore another brother of the High

(1) "Monumental Effigies" Brydall p. 352
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(3) "Caledonia" Chalmers Vol.I p. 530
(4) "The Red Book of Menteith" Fraser Vol. I p. 80
Steward was killed at the taking of Damietta in 1249, but there is nothing more given in defence of the story than "it is said". There is, however, sufficient to show the presence of a Scottish contingent in the First Crusade of Louis IX, and that among these was a representation of the rich and great.

(1) "Genealogical History of the Stewarts", Andrew Stuart p.11
SCOTTISH CRUSADERS IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE THIRTEENTH CENTURY

The second half of the thirteenth century in the Crusades is memorable only for the effort associated with the name of Louis the Ninth. It was the second attempt which he made to achieve the deliverance of the Holy Land, an object dear to the pious monarch's heart. Louis was destined to go no further than Carthage, falling a victim to plague, which broke out among the crusading army. It was a last organised attempt to check the aggression of the infidel into whose hands Nazareth, Arsouf, Safed, and finally Antioch had again fallen. Although in 1271 Nazareth was again taken by the Christians, and one year later a peace was made to last for ten years, the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem was tottering to a fall. The year 1281 saw the last unsuccessful struggle at Acre, and the evacuation by the Christians of the Holy Land.

During this period there are many signs of crusading activity in Scotland. In the opening years of the second half of the thirteenth century there is a record of provision made for several Crusaders. It is made by the Pope on the request of the King of Scotland. Richard Giffard, a kinsman of the King, is going to the Holy Land with five knights, and to defray his costs the Bishops of St. Andrews and Dunkeld and the Abbot of Dunfermline are to give him four hundred marks before Christmas out of the redemptions of Crusaders' vows.
and legacies and other grants in Scotland towards the relief of the Holy Land. Suitable sums are also to be assigned to Thomas Paynel, Alan de Lasceles, and Adam Penkethan, his cousins who are going with him at their own expense. According to Chalmers the Giffards came to Scotland under David I.

It shows, perhaps, a decline of the crusading spirit, that Crusaders were so anxious to have their expenses defrayed, although that may have been necessary to enable them to set out. It shows, perhaps, a decline of crusading zeal on the part of the Popes that they were so much concerned with the financial side of the question, however pressing that might be. The papal mandates which follow each other in a continuous stream lay particular emphasis upon the pressing demand for money. In the year 1263 the Bishop of St. Andrews is instructed to preach a Crusade with the promise of plenary remission to those who personally assist, and this is extended to those who send men as well as to those who go at the expense of others, with a proportionate pardon to those who assist otherwise, such assistance to include penitents who attend processions and sermons. The same Bishop is also authorised to collect a yearly hundredth of all Church revenues for five years for the Holy Land, and he is urged to induce and incite or by censures to compel all men to assist in the Crusade. Two years
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later Ottobon was enjoined to preach a Crusade in Scotland
with the inducement of the same pardon to those who directly
or indirectly assisted as was granted to those who actually
went to the Holy Land. Power is also given to commute crusading
vows for an adequate payment. The Apostolic See was set on
Henry III leading a Crusade, but that monarch, while fully
alive to the financial side of the question, displayed a
reluctance which makes us sceptical as to his intentions.
He was promised the tenth from Scotland provided the Scottish
King was willing. The Scottish King was definitely against
it, and claimed that there was being sent from his own Kingdom
a suitable contribution to the Crusades.

About this time there arose a complicated case, which
tells of two who had taken the Cross, although, of course,
everyone who took the Cross did not go on crusade. Some
satisfied their consciences by the payment of a suitable sum,
or by sending deputies, and this also satisfied the Church.
Some delayed their departure indefinitely. The succession
to the Earldom of Menteith was in question. It was held by
Isabella, the widow of Walter Cumin. On his death she had
married an Englishman, John Russell. The alliance had received
the consent of the King, but was not regarded with much favour

(1) Fliss p.427
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by the potentates of Scotland, who had little inclination to see an obscure Englishman by right of his wife holding the distinguished title, and the possessions and prominence which it carried. Their objections to John Russel found expression in a charge that he and his wife had poisoned Isabella's former husband, Walter Cumin. The translation by Bliss of the papal mandate states that John Russel and his wife Isabella "had joined the Crusade" but the actual word in the original is "crucisignati" which means that they had taken the crusading vow, although it does not necessarily imply that they ever went on crusade. The crusading vow was taken not only by men but also by women. Both men and women went on crusade. The magnates who brought this charge against John Russel and Isabella had the two taken captive, and compelled them by force to give up their possession, and to renounce the Earldom. This they claimed to have done with the authority of the King, and they had procured that Walter Bulloc and his wife Mary, who claimed the Earldom, should be invested with it. The matter came to the Pope on appeal. He instructed his chaplain Pontius Nicolai, Provost of Mount Genis, to investigate. Pontius seems to have acted rather tactlessly, citing the King and prelates beyond the realm, and this they resisted. In the end the Pope had to revoke what Pontius had done, and to remit the settlement of the difficult question to the Bishops of St. Andrews and Aberdeen with the Abbot of Dunfermline. The papal
mandate to these ecclesiastics furnishes the particulars of this curious incident.

The participation in the second expedition of Louis IX by a body of men from Scotland is fully attested. Hector Boece mentions one of the principal Scottish Crusaders, and tells how many noblemen passed with him to the Holy Land. He adds that few of them returned owing to plague or the insufferable heat. Fordun declares that a great many Scottish nobles perished in this Crusade. Among the distinguished Scotsmen who embarked on this Crusade was David, Earl of Atholl. He died at Carthage on the sixth August 1270. The "Chronicle of Melrose", which is not over ready to supply us with information regarding Scottish Crusaders, mentions his death. It is also recorded in Fordun's "Gesta Annalia", and in the "Scotichronicon". He had been granted by Henry III in 1270 a protection of four years' freedom from pleas and plaints, such as was commonly granted to other Crusaders at this time.

Along with David, Earl of Atholl, there went on this expedition Adam of Kilconquhar, who, in right of his wife Margaret, was third Earl of Carrick. He also died in this
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Scottish Crusaders in the Second Half of the Thirteenth Century

Crusade, his death taking place at Acre. This is mentioned in the "Chronicle of Melrose", in Fordun's "Gesta Annalia", as well as in the "Scotichronicon", and Hector Boece.

With them is said to have gone on crusade Robert de Brus le Jagne. He is said to have returned with the evil tidings of the death of the Earl of Carrick, and that soon after he married the widowed Countess of Carrick. By her he was father of King Robert the Bruce. In support of this we have a record of the protection which was given by Henry III for four years to Robert de Brus as he is going to the Holy Land. The date of this is 1270. A similar protection is given to Richard de Frus as a Crusader in the same year. Robert had a brother Richard who died about 1286.

It is suggested that Sir Adam Gordon may have been on this Crusade, and that his disposal of certain rights of pasturage to the monks of Dryburgh may have been in preparation for his setting out. In the "Genealogical History of the Earldom of Sutherland" by Sir Robert Gordon it is claimed that Sir William Gordon was sent with John Stewart and the Earl of Carrick and Atholl and a thousand soldiers into Africa to

---
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assist Louis IX against the Saracens, and that Sir William Gordon was slain in the expedition. There is but little evidence to support the contention that either of the Gordons took part in this expedition, and the circumstances concerning the latter are certainly obscure. The same lack of authority holds in the contention that Sir William of Douglas surnamed "Le Yard" was a Crusader at this time.

We have, however, in the "Chronicle of Melrose" an account of one of humbler origin in a squire called Nicholas, who was in the following of a certain Scottish knight named Alexander de Setun. He was carrying his master's shield, and was captured in a successful assault made on the Saracens. Although the assault was so satisfactory to the assailants, it was carried out with no further loss than the capture of Squire Nicholas, whose presence on crusade would never have been known to history had it not been for the fact that it seems to have fastened itself upon the chronicler's mind, and has been set down for subsequent ages to read, reminding them of other unmentioned ones of lowly rank whose names are not recorded in the doings of these times.

In 1378 Prince Edward of England returned once more to his own country, and the Crusade, which is the second associated
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with the name of Louis IX was over. An attempt was made to
revive enthusiasm when Gregory X was summoned from Acre to fill
the chair of St. Peter, and, having been himself a witness
of the calamities in Palestine, called the nations of Europe
to a new effort in the east. A council held at Lyons decreed
a new Crusade. Gregory, however, died in less than two years
after the Council of Lyons, and the dream of a new campaign
died with him. In pursuance of his crusading zeal Gregory X
wrote to the Archbishops and Bishops of Ireland and to all
the Bishops in Scotland urging them to preach the Crusade.
In the same year the Dominicans and Franciscans were instructed
by the Pope to preach the Crusade in Ireland and Scotland.
Financial support for the Crusade was not forgotten. Bohamund
de Vitia, Canon of Asti, and Chaplain of Cardinal Deacon
Ubertus of Saint Eustace, was authorised to collect a tenth in
Scotland for the Holy Land during a period of six years from
1274. In 1282 Master Geoffrey de Vecano, Canon of Cambrai,
Clérk of the Papal Chamber, is the receiver of the redemptions
of Crusaders' vows, legacies, and any other sums except the
ten ths designated for the Holy Land, in Scotland as well as
in England, Wales and Ireland. Edward I is granted by the
Pope the tenth collected for the Holy Land subsidy, if the
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Scottish King gives consent, part of it to be applied for the expenses of the Scottish magnates joining a Crusade. This grant is made for three years, but is later extended. In 1291 the grant is given for six years of the offerings from Scotland as well as from England, Ireland and Wales to be applied to Edward's expenses on setting out for the Holy Land. Edward did not set out, and in 1296 there is in the hands of the Bishop of Carlisle a substantial sum of ten thousand marks collected by him in Scotland as Holy Land tenth, about the disposal of which instructions are given. Scotland had provided its contribution, sometimes unwillingly, towards the expenses of the Crusades. Nor was there any further need to subsidise Scottish Crusaders, for the prospect of a Crusade might be with ardent souls still a dream, but it was a dream that was not destined to take shape in any fresh conquest of the Holy Land by the Christians of the Middle Ages. The Crusades were past with all their weakness, their mistakes, their follies, and yet with all their aspirations, their self-sacrifices, their heroisms. The Holy Land was lost to the Christians for centuries to come, but the inspiration and influence of the Crusades remained, and this influence was one which lingered among the Christian nations of Europe.

(1) Thelner p.130 no 287 & Bliss p.479
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The financial contribution of Scotland to the Crusades is to be more fully dealt with later. At this time it is sufficient to note that there were numbers in Scotland who took the Crusader's vow, although they were relieved from it by papal permission on payment of a suitable sum. The reasons which prompted them to seek relief were not all honourable. In some the momentary enthusiasm, which inspired them to take the Cross, evaporated in time, and on quiet reflection they became more and more disinclined to set out on the journey. Some would take the Cross for very shame, not wishing before their fellows to appear lacking in courage or self-sacrifice. Some with calculating prudence would be ready to take the Cross because of the advantages which this act conferred on them not only spiritually, but in respect of their property and its security, and also because of the advantage in civil pleas which was considerable. With these rather doubtful reasons for taking the Cross and then purchasing relief, it must be recognised that many who took the Cross had good reason for accepting relief from its obligations to go on crusade. Age, poverty or sickness would have made Crusaders that were more of a hindrance than a help in an expedition which was trying even for the hardiest. It was much wiser to supply the wherewithal for some more likely antagonist to take their
places in the combatant forces, and it was an advantage that those who could not go at their own expense should not thereby be prevented from setting out, but should be subsidised at the expense of those who, perhaps, would have wished to go, but found themselves prevented from taking the journey. There were obviously those in Scotland who, whatever their motives, were ready to avail themselves of the offer to commute their vow for a cash equivalent. The sums raised in this way reached a respectable amount, and were worth the attention of the Apostolic See, its agents and collectors. In addition to this there were the levies made, which were often given grudgingly, but were rigorously exacted. The crusading spirit affected a number in addition to those who actually set out for the east. The successive calls to action influenced more than those who were actually constrained to venture their lives in the cause.

The attempt to estimate the extent of the response that was made by those who engaged in one or other of the Crusades is a difficult matter. No muster roll of volunteers was kept, or, if it was, it has not come down to us. Numbers are seldom given, and, even were they given, they would not be of much help in assessing the extent to which Scottish warriors embarked on the Holy Land venture, particularly in view of the manifest exaggeration in the numbers quoted with regard to other nations involved. The Scottish chroniclers are not very full at the best, and are hardly satisfying in the early
days of the Crusades. The "Chronicle of Melrose", for instance, is curiously enough silent, even when we get our information elsewhere. That Chronicle has references to the Crusades from time to time but it seems to record rather what was doing in the Holy Land than the recruiting that was taking place at home. Fordun and the "Scotichronicon" are not always dependable and seem to be suffering from lack of information such as perplexes us now. Hector Boece makes up for the scantiness of his information by an over-lively imagination. With the exception of papal letters which are abundant and well preserved, charters and documents of a like nature are only beginning to be of use in helping to a knowledge of contemporary affairs. The English chroniclers are, naturally enough, full of England's doings in the Crusades, but they cannot be expected to waste much time or speak with enthusiasm of what concerns Scotland save in respect of something specially outstanding. The records of eye-witnesses, and the chroniclers on the Continent, dealing with the Crusades, are more intent on the history of the movement than with the extent of the response to it from Scotland. They record the names of the outstanding leaders, and those incidents which strike them most in the course of the campaign. It need not surprise us to find that references to Scotland and its Crusaders are few.

With it all we have a certain amount of information that is helpful, and certain names are brought to our notice among
the imperfect records of the time. Such names are not to be
taken as exhaustive, but as representative, as representative of
others who had their part in the Crusades, although that part
has not been placed on record. There would be squires like
Nicholas who followed their masters to the east, even though
the exploits on which they were engaged did not catch the
attention of some writer of chronicles. There must have been
others like Lomberd or Patrick, Farl of Dunbar, who accepted the
Crusades as a penance, although, unlike Lomberd, their doings
were not bound up with high affairs of state that found a
record in the history of the times, or, unlike Patrick, Farl of
Dunbar, they were not sufficiently exalted in status to excite
much attention by their penitence or the way in which they
sought to relieve their consciences. There would be others,
perhaps not so conspicuous as the Farl of Carrick or the Farl
of Atholl, who ventured east like them, but are not mentioned
because they are more obscure. Then when nobles like these
led, they would not go alone. Others would follow, although
their crusading attracted but little attention.

It is wise to avoid any exaggerated idea of the numbers
flocking from Scotland to the east. At the same time it is
right to avoid falling into the opposite extreme of imagining
that Scottish recruits were negligible in the Crusades.
Scanty though the information may be, it yet points to the
fact that there were Scots engaged in the Crusades, and that
from the beginning. Perhaps Scotland sent not such a large proportion as England, just as England hardly comes up to France and Normandy in its crusading zeal. The contribution from Scotland was made, and it would seem to be, as King Alexander III urged, quite in keeping with the resources of the Kingdom. With such an approximation we must be satisfied.
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CHAPTER I

THE MILITARY ORDERS

One obvious result of the Crusades was the introduction of the Military Orders. These soon found their way into Scotland and established themselves there. Not only did this add two important organisations to those already in existence, but it brought about a wider conception of monasticism itself. The Military Orders played an important part in the fighting in the east. They reached to wealth and influence among the Christian nations of the west, and the new conception of the monastic life which they gave was far reaching in its effects. They therefore call for careful consideration in seeking to estimate the influence of the Crusades.

It is easy to see how they made a strong appeal to the spirit of the age. It was a time which attached considerable importance to martial achievements. Prowess in combat was the passport to distinction. Skill in arms opened the door that led to wealth and honour. Even the cleric would be moved at times by the martial impulse. He would on occasion don armour, deal and receive blows in battle, and the age saw nothing incongruous in it. The warrior stood high in the estimation of
all classes. If it was a martial age, it was also a religious age. According to the notions of the time the ideal of the religious life was to be found in monasticism. The monk stood out as the saintly man. Yet monasticism with its seclusion and contemplation, however highly it might be esteemed from the religious point of view, was an ideal out of reach of the warrior of the age. He might look upon it as a possibility once the ardour of active years was past, and he might hope with failing powers, and the lust of combat gone, to shelter in some quiet monastery, where he could give himself to that pious meditation for which he had a deep respect. In his prime at least the reconciliation of the martial with the religious ideal seemed out of the question. The Military Orders presented a way for the reconciliation of the two great ideals of the time. Men found it possible to attain the ideal of the spiritual life as it was conceived at the time, and also to satisfy the martial ambitions of the age. The warrior could be a monk and still remain a warrior. In this way the Military Orders made a strong appeal. They attracted many of the noblest into their ranks. They were supported by munificent gifts, and they were possessed of great and valuable properties which their admirers were keen to bestow. They became a power in Western Europe, and in common with the other Christian nations Scotland was moved to welcome them, and felt the influence which they exercised upon its religious life.
During the twelfth and thirteenth centuries there was in Scotland a remarkable extension and development of monastic institutions. The splendid generosity of David I gave an impetus which was confirmed and augmented by his successors. The royal example was followed by those of lower rank. Monasteries were founded and enriched by noble gifts. In this generosity the Military Orders undoubtedly shared. They on their part contributed to the development of monasticism. The wider conception of the religious life which they expressed paved the way for such additions to the Religious Orders as the Mendicant Friars, besides giving a stimulus to monasticism generally. The close of the Crusades found in Scotland not only numerous Religious Orders, but vast possessions at their disposal. While it cannot be urged for a moment that all this was due to the Crusades, and to the Military Orders which the Crusades brought into being, it must at least be recognised that they were an influence in this development, and an influence strong enough to deserve attention.

Even apart from the influence which they exercised upon the religious institutions of the time, there is a real interest that attaches to the Military Orders, which played a prominent part in the crusading ventures. If the imperfect records of these days fail to supply us with as much information as we should wish, at least it is of importance to know what light
they do throw upon organisations, which were the peculiar product of the age, and were destined to leave their mark upon the national and religious life even after their time of usefulness was past, and the movement which called them into being had ceased to be a real force in the history of the world.
Of the Military Orders which established themselves in Scotland the Knights of St. John had certainly the advantage of an earlier beginning. As we should expect in an Order which attained such prominence both in the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem, and throughout Europe, the origin of the Hospitallers is fully described. Such chroniclers of the Crusades as William of Tyre and Jacques de Vitry provide full accounts of its beginning, and as the accounts are in agreement, we are furnished with reliable information, which enables us to trace the Order from its modest commencement. The origin was modest enough, and there but little indication of the wealth, fame and treatnass, which the Order should one day attain.

Before the Crusades while the Holy Land was still in infidel possession, there were Syrian Christians who dwelt there, and to them was assigned a part of Jerusalem, where they were allowed to reside. In addition to these Syrian Christians there were occasional sojourners in Jerusalem, pilgrims whom the glamour of the sacred places had drawn on a perilous journey from western lands, and also merchants who brought the products of the west, and found a ready market for them among the lords of the Holy Land and the possessors of the sacred soil. Prominent among these merchants were

(1) William of Tyre Lib. XVIII, Cap.I. p.711
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traders from Amalfi, near Salerno, who did a thriving trade in Syria, and were frequently led in the way of commerce to Jerusalem. These gained the goodwill of the authorities, who not only tolerated their presence, but even encouraged their visits to the extent of allowing them to build in the Christian quarter of Jerusalem. The Syrian Christians adhered to the Greek Church, but the Amalfi merchants as well as the majority of the pilgrims were loyal to the Latin Church. It was therefore natural that on their ground at Jerusalem the merchants of Amalfi should build a religious house and secure for it monks with an abbot following the Roman forms. The monastery was erected within a stone-throw of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre and was dedicated to the Virgin. In time a nunnery was added and was dedicated to Mary Magdalene. In monastery and nunnery assistance was given to the poor and ailing pilgrims who, without such a place of refuge, would many a time have perished. Later for those who might need the monastic hospitality another building was added and was dedicated to St. John of Alexandria. It was ruled over by the monastery and was supported by the monastery and by other gifts received. Such was the Hospital of St. John when the Crusaders wrested the Holy City from the power of the infidel, and themselves entered into possession of it.

The Crusaders found the Hospital in charge of Gerard, a man of both sanctity and charity. By him and by his associates
the sick and wounded among the Crusaders were carefully tended. They were generous in their hospitality, although the brothers themselves lived austerely and even meanly. The Crusaders were lavish in their gratitude, and not only showered gifts upon the Hospital, but on returning to Europe spread through their own countries the fame of the good work done. This made a strong appeal to sympathy and to generosity with the result that in an incredibly short time the Hospital found itself endowed with property in the Christian countries of the west, and became possessed of wealth which kings might have envied. Gerard and his associates had assumed a regular habit. On this they had a white cross as their distinguishing badge. They took the monastic vow of poverty, obedience and chastity. By a Bull of Pope Paschal II in 1118 the Order was established. The Rule which they adopted was modelled on that of St. Augustine.

The successor of Gerard in the Hospital of Jerusalem was a man of more militant temperament by name Raymond du Puy. Under his government there was added to the vow of poverty, obedience and chastity a vow to bear arms in defence of the Christian Religion. This militant purpose soon became the main aim of the Hospitallers. Their original purpose of succouring the poor and ailing pilgrims sank more and more into the background until like the Templars they became almost entirely a fighting force. Through the greater part of the
history of the Latin Kingdom they shared with the Templars the arduous warfare, and the distinction of being the backbone of the standing army. Without them a footing could hardly have been maintained so long in the Holy Land.

As part of the standing army of the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem the Hospitallers were well equipped for the purpose. The vast possessions which generosity showered upon them gave them an ample provision to maintain them during the campaign. The various preceptories scattered through Christian Europe sent a continuous supply of recruits to the fighting area. Their wealth gave them a distinction and their prowess commanded an admiration which drew the noblest into their ranks. Only those of noble birth were eligible to enter the Order in the ranks of the knights. As well as knights they admitted chaplains of the Order, and of lower rank there were also serving brothers who wore the habit and formed part of the powerful organisation. Such were the Knights of St. John who played an important part in the campaign in the east, gained wealth and honour in Christian Europe, and established themselves in Scotland.

The introduction of the Hospitallers into Scotland took place not long after the Order had fully established itself in the east, and had begun to be popular among the Christian nations of the west. A definite date can hardly be assigned to the first settlement of the Knights of St. John in the
territory of the Scottish Kings, but we are fairly safe in saying that it was during the reign of David I that this Order came to Scotland. There are no charters or references to prove it, but at least the indications seem to point in that direction. In the reign of his successor, Malcolm the Maiden, we find the Knights of St. John established in the land.

J. Delaville le Roulx in his "Cartulaire Général de l'Ordre des Hospitaliers de S. Jean de Jérusalem" provides us with copies of the existing royal charters as well as with copies of other charters which give us interesting information regarding the Order in Scotland. The charter of Malcolm the Maiden is brief. It conveys to the Order a toft in each of the Royal Burghs. The tenure is to be as well and freely as they hold their other charities. From this we may conclude that they had already established themselves at their headquarters at Torphichen. A charter of Malcolm in the "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andre" is signed by Richard of the Hospital of Jerusalem, and would serve to show that about as early as 1160 the Order was quite at home in the country.

In his introductory notes Le Roulx speaks of William the Lion continuing and adding to the privileges and possessions of these Knights. However no charter is given, nor is there any reference to it in the "Excerpt from a Perfect Inventor"

(1) Le Roulx Vol. IV p.313, no 314
(2) "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andre" p.205
of all the Pious Donations given to the Kirks and Hospitals in Scotland since the Days of King James I to the Reign of King James VI with Additions" by Robert Mylne of Balquharage, (1) which is quoted in the "Nugae Derelictae". The "Inventor" mentions the charter of Malcolm, and the charters of Alexander II and Alexander III, but makes no mention of a charter of William the Lion.

The successor of William the Lion, Alexander II, in the seventeenth year of his reign confirms by charter the gifts and possessions belonging to the Hospitallers. This charter is longer and fuller than the charter of Malcolm. It confirms the Order in its present possessions, and in addition it details rights and privileges conferred on them. They are to have full jurisdiction within their territories, excepting only the four cases reserved for the Crown, murder, fire-raising, rape and robbery. They are to be free from tolls and secular service as well as from market dues and customs. This charter is also given in the "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle". (3)

Alexander III, the son and successor of Alexander II, confirmed by charter the Knights of St. John in their possessions

(1) "Nugae Derelictae" XVI
(2) Le Roux Vol. II p.438 no 8020
(3) "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle" no 222 p.178
during the thirty-fifth year of his reign. There is nothing added by this document to what has already been given and is now enjoyed, but the Order has its previous rights and possessions ratified.

There is also in the Record Office, London, a charter dated 1305 which is given on the petition of the Order to the King seeking confirmation of their privileges. These are fully granted to the Brethren.

Such royal charters may not have much to tell us as to the extent of the possessions of the Knights of St. John during the crusading period. The only gift of land expressly mentioned in these charters is the gift of a toft in the Royal Burghs by Malcolm the Maiden. They reveal, however, a chain of royal charters from the time of Malcolm the Maiden with the exception of William the Lion's reign. There was either no charter given by him, or else it is missing, we cannot tell which. This chain of charters shows the direction of the royal sympathy. It tells of liberties and privileges conceded. It leaves us to conclude that the possessions of the Order were such as to make it desirable to secure from each succeeding monarch a full confirmation. Property with so many rights and privileges can hardly have been negligible, or else the series of charters would have been little more than

(1) Le Roux Vol. IV p.358 no 3869
(2) " Vol. IV p.112 no 4528
a farce. If there is little mention of further royal gifts, and only a confirmation of what has already been given, with the exception of Malcolm's charter, may it not have been because David I had already shown to the Hospitallers the lavish generosity which we know he bestowed upon other religious institutions, and succeeding monarchs felt that the crown had been sufficiently impoverished already so that the most which could be expected of them was the confirmation of the possessions in the hands of the Order? At least the Knights of St. John were firmly established from the time of David I.
In addition to the royal charters already mentioned there are records of the Hospitallers which bear dates of the twelfth or thirteenth centuries. These are certainly not numerous and give but little idea of the extent and value of the property held by the Knights of St. John in Scotland during the years of the Crusades. At least they give us some idea of the working of the Order and the nature of their possessions during these two centuries.

One of the earliest records of Hospitaller property is contained in a charter of Richard, Bishop of St. Andrews. In it he gives to the Canons of the Church of St. Andrews a full toft in the Burgh, which is described as being situated next the toft of Peter Flandrensis, and it is stated that Peter Flandrensis holds his toft from the Brothers of the Hospital of Jerusalem. There is a reference also to the tofts which the Knights of St. John have in each burgh. The date of this charter is between the years 1163 and 1179. In the time of the same Bishop Richard of St. Andrews there is an agreement before him between the Church of Linlithgow and the Hospitallers. It concerns a burial place for the men of Torphichen. The arrangement is that the Hospitallers shall have the burial

(1) "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andree" p. 139
(2) do p. 319
place, and shall receive the dues. The payment to be made is a silver mark annually to the Church of Linlithgow. To the same century belongs a charter in which the Prior of the Brothers of the Hospital of Jerusalem in England confirms to the Canons of Holyrood lands in Galeyweia for which lands a payment of forty shillings is to be made annually to the Hospital. The date of this charter is 1198. In the second half of the twelfth century or the beginning of the thirteenth century a charter of Gaufrid Cook gives to the Hospital of Jerusalem an oxgang of land in the territory of Wittun in the vicinity of Morebattle. Again in King William's reign there is record of a gift to the Hospitallers in the "Liber S. Marie de Dryburgh". One Jordan Brae gives to the Church of St. Kentigern of Lanark certain land lying next to that already given by him to the Brothers of the Hospital. The approximate date of this charter is 1214.

Early in the thirteenth century there arose a question over the tithes and dues of Ogglisphas, which were claimed by the Brothers of Torphichen, and also by the Canons of Holyrood. The tithes and dues are awarded to the Hospitallers, who are to make to the Canons a payment of four silver marks annually. The revenues of the Order came not only from lands and tithes, but in other ways as well. The claim of the

(1) "Liber Cartarum Sancte Crucis" p.43 no 54
(2) "Liber Sancte Marie de Melros" p.148 no 161
(3) "Liber S. Marie de Dryburgh" p.156 no 216
(4) "Liber Cart.S.Crucis"p.36 no 126 &"Lib.Cart.Prior.S.And" p.320
Hospitallers to the patronage of Ouchyltre in the Diocese of Glasgow is the subject of two charters. It seems that Lord John de Colville, patron of the church and Lord of the Barony had two brothers William and Thomas. William received from John the Church of Ouchyltre and Thomas received from John the Barony. On the death of Thomas, William succeeded also to the Barony. Lord John being displeased that his brother William should be both Rector and Lord of the Barony, conferred the right of the patronage of the church on the Hospital of Torphichen. The matter was in dispute for some time, and was eventually settled in the time of Reginald le Cheyn. The right of patronage was to remain with Reginald’s wife and her heirs, but payment of fourteen pounds per annum was to be made to the Hospitallers by the rector who was presented. The patronage of the church seems ultimately to have been bestowed by the wife of Reginald upon the Monastery of Melrose.

The interests of the Hospitallers seem to have been varied. They had an interest in salt-works, then a matter of importance.

A charter of King Alexander dated the nineteenth year of his reign shows them to have had an interest in salt-works in Carse, although the nature and extent of that interest is not clearly defined.

(1)"Liber Sancte Marie de Melros" Vol.I p.282 no 327  
do  
Vol. I p.363 no 400  
(2)"Registrum Episcopatus Glasguensis"  Vol.I p.224, no261  
(3)"Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle"  p.151 no 164
about 1250. This document is a charter given by the Hospitallers to the Monastery of Newbattle granting permission to the monks of Newbattle and their men to have passage through the lands of Torphichen by the roads which they have been in the habit of using, as well as the right to leave their vehicles or pasture their animals on the common grazing, if unavoidably detained in passing through the grounds of Torphichen, or having to spend the night there.

There are also in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries charters and other documents which have reference to the privileges of the Order. What these privileges were we can gather from the Decrees of the Lateran Council in 1179. These are referred to by Roger de Hoveden, and are dealt with at greater length by William of Newburgh. It is evident that even at this early date jealousy had been aroused by the privileges of the Hospitallers and the Templars, and that complaints had been made that they were exceeding such privileges to the defiance of episcopal authority and to the detriment of parish churches. The complaint is that the Hospitallers and the Templars were receiving churches from the hands of the laity, that they were admitting excommunicated and interdicted persons to ecclesiastical rights, and giving them burial, and that they were instituting and removing priests from their

(1) "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle" p.189 no 200
(2) Roger de Hoveden II p.188
(3) William of Newburgh Lib.III, Cap.III p.515
churches without legal authority. The Lateran Council in reply to such complaints decreed that they were not to receive churches or tithes from the hands of the laity without the consent of the bishops; that excommunicated and interdicted persons were to be shunned; that priests to be instituted to churches not wholly in full right belonging to them were to be presented to the bishops; and that once instituted they were not to be removed without consulting the bishops. It was, however, granted to the Hospitallers and the Templars when they come to an interdicted church that they are to be admitted to ecclesiastical service once a year, but that they shall not bury the dead there.

The Hospitallers were favoured in being exempted from tithe on various occasions. The mandate to Ottobon in 1865 giving papal instructions to collect a tenth of all church revenues in Scotland exempts various religious orders, and among them the Hospitallers. The same exemption of the Hospitallers is made from the collection of the tenth of ecclesiastical revenues in Scotland for six years in 1891. The "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" has several papal bulls granted to the Brothers of the Hospital. One is a bull of Pope Innocent. This document refers to the Hospitallers.

(1) Le Rouix Vol.III p.141 no 3894 & Bliss I p.429 & Theiner p.98 CCXLVI
(2) do Vol. III p.595 no 4150 & Bliss I p.561
(3) "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" II p.261
as possessors of special privilege, and gives instructions that they and their churches are not to be excommunicated or interdicted without reference first to the Roman Pontiff. Another Bull of Pope Innocent gives instructions to bishops to warn under threat of excommunication that no one is to make any exactions on the Hospitallers in victuals, garments, animals or customs. Still a third Bull of Pope Innocent directs that, after warning given, sentence of excommunication be passed on those who invade the possessions or houses of the Hospitallers, pronounce sentence of excommunication or interdict upon them in opposition to the indulgences of the Apostolic See, or extort from them tithes of possessions cultivated by the Order.

Of the members of the Order in Scotland at this time we have, as already noted, the signature of Richard of the Hospital of Jerusalem to the charter of Malcolm IV in 1160. In the charter of King William regarding the tithes of Ogglesphas, the Master of Torphichen is mentioned as Walter by name. In the "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andree" on the same question Brother H. Arundel and Brother Galtum de Stanford are mentioned as among the Brothers of Torphichen.

(1) "Registm Fpiscopatus Aberdonensis" Vol.II p. 262
(2) "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andree" p. 205
(3) "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andree" p. 205
(4) "Liber Cartarum Sancte Crucis" p. 36 no 48
(5) "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andree" p. 320
to the Monastery of Newbattle giving passage through the lands of Torphichen, Archibald is the Master of the House of Torphichen. In 1252 a confirmation by Alexander, son of Walter, Steward of Scotland, of gifts to the monks of Paisley is signed by Brother Theobald, Master of Torphichen. In 1291 Alexander de Welles was Master of Torphichen. He was slain fighting on the English side at Falkirk in 1298.

These early details regarding the Hospitallers help us but little in ascertaining the real extent of their property or the numbers in the Order in Scotland. They show at least that they were firmly established in the land. They reveal the fact that their property was varied in lands, tithes, patronage and salt-works. We can see that as an Order they were specially privileged. The Grand Prior of England who was over the Order in Scotland personally visited the Order in Scotland or sent his agents for that purpose. We have records of such visits in 1288, 1284, 1298 and 1302.

An interesting attempt to assess the value of the property of the Knights of St. John in Scotland during the crusading period is to be found in the valuation by Prior Philip de Thame:

(1) "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle" p. 159 no 200
(2) "Registrum Monasterii de Passelet" p. 90
(3) "Scotland in 1294" Gough pp. IV & XI
(4) Le Roux Vol. III p. 176 no 329F
(5) do Vol. III p. 471 no 388F
(6) do Vol. III p. 487 no 391B
(7) do Vol. IV p. 31 no 4587
of England submitted to the Grand Master Elyan de Villanova in 1338. This is quoted in the "Knights Hospitallers in England" by Lambert E. Larking. Here it is stated that on account of the wars nothing can at present be raised from the property in Scotland, but that in other times it was wont to return two hundred marks. This does not give the impression of the possession of any great extent of property in Scotland. Even taking the return to headquarters at one third of the value of their income, it would only amount to a value of four hundred pounds each year. We have a valuation of the income of Dryburgh Abbey of date about 1290 which makes it amount to two hundred and seventy seven pounds per annum. It is necessary to look to later charters for a better idea of what must have been in possession of the Knights of St. John in Scotland while the Crusades were being carried on.

(1) "The Knights Hospitallers" Larking p.129
(2) "Liber S. Marie de Dryburgh" p.329 XXXVII
CHAPTER IV

THE FOUNDING OF THE TEMPLARS

The Knights of the Temple were of later origin than the Hospitallers, but in a very short time they became their equals, even their superiors, in fame and in wealth. They had their beginning after the arrival of the Crusaders in Palestine, when the City of Jerusalem had passed into Christian hands. (1) (2) William of Tyre and Jacques de Vitry give as full an account of the founding of the Templars as they do in the case of the Hospitallers. This is natural because no history of the Crusades would have been complete without full reference to this Military Order.

With the wresting of the Holy Land from the infidel there came a new impulse to pilgrimage. Men and women were drawn even more than before to visit the sacred places. In spite of the fact that the Christians now possessed Jerusalem, these pilgrims were subjected to many hardships, and had to encounter many difficulties. Bands of brigands infested the pilgrim routes and made the journey one of great danger. It was this that brought the Templars into existence. Certain men of noble birth banded themselves together for the purpose of ridding the pilgrim routes of the assailants who infested them.

(1) William of Tyre Lib XII, Cap. VII p. 526
(2) Jacques de Vitry p. 118
Their efforts, admirably conceived and sedulously carried out, brought appreciation from the pilgrims themselves, and won commendation from the authorities of the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem. In the beginning they were few in number, not more than nine. They bound themselves by vow which they took before the Patriarch of Jerusalem, but they wore no regular habit. Their clothing was only such as the faithful gave them in charity. They vowed to guard the pilgrim routes, and to defend the pilgrims themselves, as well as to fight in the defence of the Christian Faith, in addition to the monastic vow of poverty, obedience and chastity. They were maintained by the gifts of the charitable and, in order to supplement this, certain benefices and other property was assigned to them. They seem to have called themselves originally "The Poor Fellow-soldiers of Jesus Christ". They were known afterwards as the Knights of the Temple, because the accommodation given to them within the precincts of the Royal Palace was situated near the Temple. They lived as canons regular, and their leaders were Hugues de Pains and Geoffroi de Saint Aldemar.

After they had served in this way for nine years they received a rule of their own. St. Bernard of Clairvaux was an admirer of the Templars, and to him they owed the seventy-one rules which governed the Order. Their constitution was fixed at the General Council of Troyes in 1128. In the Order were not only knights, but chaplains and also serving brothers.
Their dress was a white vestment, and in the time of Pope Eugenius there was added to the white vestment the distinguishing decoration of the red cross. Once established the Order increased quickly in wealth and numbers. The Order was recruited from the noblest among the western nations. Only those of high rank were admitted as knights. Yet in spite of their strict requirements they found no difficulty in securing many recruits. The Christian countries of the west supplied them not only with the necessary warriors but also with splendid gifts. William of Tyre assures us that not a single Christian province failed to make some contribution to the wealth of the Order. As in the case of the Hospitalers the property of the Templars was used for the maintenance of the Order, and supplies were sent to provide for the wants of the combatants in Palestine. The Houses of the Order in Europe also sent a regular supply of reinforcements to the Holy Land for the warfare there.

The Templars shared with the Hospitalers the duty of providing the backbone of a standing army during the continuance of the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem. It is true that the two Orders did not always work harmoniously together. Jealousies and dissensions between them were often a cause of weakness to the crusading cause. This was particularly true during the later stages of the warfare in the east. Wealth and distinction brought pride and enmity that more than once proved to be an
obstacle in the way of success. Yet it is difficult to see how the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem could have maintained itself in the Holy Land as long as it did, but for the efforts of the Military Orders. They had many great faults and it is impossible to deny them, but they showed great heroism, and kept to their task with perseverance. While one may blame their faults, their courage commands respect. In the end they paid, and more than paid, for their faults in the cruel persecution with which they were rewarded when the Crusades had ended in failure. In the beginning they were welcomed and honoured by the Christian nations of the west. In this approbation Scotland had its share.

As with the Knights of St. John so also we must place the settlement of the Knights of the Temple in the Kingdom of Scotland during the time of David I. Hugh de Payens, who was at the head of the Order, made a recruiting expedition among the western nations immediately after the Templars were settled with their own rule. His purpose was to gain the sympathy of the Christian nations, and to secure for his Order an accession of men with gifts for their maintenance. In this he was remarkably successful. We know that he visited England, and the "Saxon Chronicle" tells us that he also visited Scotland. We are given to understand that he had a favourable reception.

(1) Roger de Hoveden I p.184
(2) "The Saxon Chronicle" p.357
We learn of David's partiality towards the Order. Fordun tells us that he kept beside him the Brothers of the Temple and made them the guardians of his morals day and night. They were evidently established in the land during the reign of Malcolm, his successor, for the same charter of Malcolm's which is signed in 1160 by Richard of the Hospital of Jerusalem is signed also by Robert, Brother of the Temple.

There are few early charters concerning this Order, and only one royal charter. This does not imply that such did not exist. The suppression of the Templars after the crusading period evidently involved the scattering of the charters in their possession, or their total destruction. The Marquis d'Albon in his "Cartulaire Général de l'Ordre du Temple" gives no royal charters such as we find in the case of the Hospitallers.

It would seem, however, that like the Hospitallers they acquired tofts in each of the burghs. Reference is made to this in the "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andrei". In the record there of a gift of three tofts in the burgh to the Church of St. Andrews by Robert the Bishop, reference is made to the tofts held by the Templars in the burghs throughout the land. There is at least one royal charter of confirmation which makes up for the want of other royal charters. It is preserved in the "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis".

(1) "Chronica" Fordun Lib. V, Cap. XLI p. 242
(2) "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andrei" p. 205
(3) do p. 124
(4) "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" p. 269 Vol. II
The King who grants it is Alexander II, successor of William the Lion. It is dated the twenty-second year of his reign. This is a lengthy document which is more detailed than the royal confirmations given to the Hospitallers, and would seem to indicate that even in comparison with the Hospitallers, the Templars were specially favoured by the crown.

The charter of Alexander II begins with a confirmation of all gifts made by the King's predecessors as well as by others. This shows that gifts had been made by previous Scottish Kings, although no charter record of them remains. It ends by placing the Templars, their men and possessions under the special royal protection. The privileges are more fully detailed than in the case of the Hospitallers. The Templars are given full jurisdiction within their own territory and no exception is made even of the four causes usually reserved for the Crown. They are made free from tolls and customs. They are exempted from all secular services such as work usually demanded on royal houses or the fortification of castles. They have also liberties conceded with regard to the taking of wood from forests for their use, and in the cultivation of land reclaimed from the forest. Their liberties are not to lapse in cases where they have not been exercised. Everything is safeguarded to show them as specially privileged and exceptionally favoured.

While this charter gives but little indication of the
extent of the Templar possessions in Scotland during the crusading period, it at least leaves us to infer that possessions so carefully guarded and specially privileged could hardly have been insignificant. We are led to expect, as we find it to have been the case, that the Templars in possessions and privileges were more favoured than the Hospitallers. At any rate we see the Order under special protection of the Crown. While there is wanting any chain of charters from succeeding monarchs, conveying new gifts or confirming old gifts, there is every indication that such must have been, and that the Templars were not behind the Hospitallers as recipients of the royal bounty, and in the esteem of the rulers of the land were set even above those of the other Military Order which established itself in Scotland.
CHAPTER V

EARLY RECORDS OF THE TEMPLARS

The twelfth and thirteenth century documents and charters give only occasional glimpses of the nature and extent of the Templar property in Scotland. Such as they are, they merit attention. In the "Registrum Episcopatus Glasæuensis" a charter, dating between 1175 and 1199, by Raàñ Corbeht, Master of the Temple in Scotland, gives to William Gley that full toft in the Purth which the Templars had received from Joceline, Bishop of Glasgow, together with the right to one net of fishing in the Clyde. The value of the property is twelve pennies per annum.

In the first half of the thirteenth century the "Registrum Domus de Solitre" provides us with a charter of Duncan of Swayneystoun conveying land in the territory of Swayneystoun, which is described as next the land of the Templars beside Alricloue and the Spring of Chester. Of later date in the same charter book there is reference to the land of Whytwel, which is held from the Templars to the value of thirteen pennies annually. The "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubottle" has two charters concerning land near Peyre and a toft and croft in the territory of Estfenton upon which an annual charge of thirteen pennies is due to the House of the Templars.

(1) "Registrum Episcopatus Glasæuensis" Vol.I p.37 no 41
(2) "Registrum Domus de Solitre" p.18 no 81
(3) do p.28 no 34
(4) "Registrum S. Marie de Neubottle" pp.86-87 nos 117-118
Like the Hospitallers, the Templars had interests in salt-works, to which two charters in the "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle" refer. The one charter, dated 1337, refers to possessions in Carse of Kailtyn. The other charter refers to an agreement between the Monastery of Kelso and the Monastery of Newbattle. In this agreement, the rights of the Templars are assessed at fifteen shillings annually.

The "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" records the gift of the Church of Obeyne to the Templars by Randolph, Bishop of Aberdeen. The gift is made about the middle of the thirteenth century to the Brothers of the Temple settled at Cultre. The church is given with chapels, lands, tithes, oblations and customs. The Brothers of the Temple are to have the right of presentation of a vicar, and are to allow him a suitable maintenance. He is to be responsible to the bishop in spiritual matters, but to the Templars in temporal concerns. This was confirmed by King Alexander.

Perhaps the most interesting document of this time is an agreement reached in a difference arising between the Templars and the Monastery of Kelso over the Chapel of the House of the Temple at Cultyr. The matter was debated before the Abbots.

(1) "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle" p.127 no 160
(2) do p.134 no 169
(3) "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" Vol.II pp.271-8
of Jedburgh and Holyrood, appointed for this purpose by the Apostolic See. The Abbots appointed the Sub-prior and Sacrist of Dryburgh their commissioners. The contention of Kelso was that they had obtained for their own use the Parish of Cultyr in the Diocese of Aberdeen. They quote an indulgence of Pope Urban IV to the effect that no one can build chapels in parishes obtained by them without their consent. They claim the greater and lesser tithes of the lands cultivated by the Templars there. The Templars on the other hand plead their special privileges from the Apostolic See. They claim their freedom from tithe, and their right to build chapels, and to reserve burying places for themselves and their men. They plead also their privileges with regard to the cultivation of waste land or forest. They urge that on their side there is peaceful possession for forty years and more. They maintain too the difficulty for their men of crossing the river. Both parties agree amicably to a settlement of the question. The Templars are to have their chapel with cemetery and baptistery. They are also to have the tithes, and for any part of the land of Kincolsy, Esttully and Deliburry they are to pay annually eight and a half marks. The date of this agreement is 1287.

Balantradoch was the headquarters of the Templars in Scotland, but the above charter shows that the Templars had

(1) "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" Vol.II p.288
"Liber S. Marie de Calchou" Vol.I p.191 no 283
not only wide possessions in Culter, but also had an establishment there with a chapel. We see Culter to have been one of the Templar stations in Scotland, next in importance to Balantradoch.

Another interesting document may be mentioned here, although it belongs to the middle of the fourteenth century. It shows how this Order sometimes obtained possession of property, not from sympathetic generosity, but for reasons less creditable to both donor and Templars. John Edwards in the "Scottish Historical Review" gives an account of the charter of Brother Thomas de Lindeasy, Master of the Hospital of St. John of Jerusalem, to Robert, son of Alexander Symple of Haukerstoun. This is dated 1354. The story seems to have been as follows.

Certain land belonging to Robert the Scot descended to his daughter Christiana, who married William son of Galfrid. William conveyed the land to the Templars during his life, stipulating for his maintenance in the House of the Temple. A residence was assigned to Christiana and her three boys. On the death of the husband the Templars sought to eject from the property the widow and the three sons. In the course of the ejection some violence was used, and Christiana had one of her fingers cut off. An appeal by the widow to the King brought about her re-instatement, but during the war between Scotland and England she was again ejected. Brian de Jay,

(1) "Scottish Historical Review" Vol.V, p.17 -Oct.1907
Master of the Temple in England, who was with the English in command of a body of Welsh soldiers at the Battle of Falkirk, was appealed to by Christiana, and it seems that at his instigation the eldest son Richard was treacherously slain. The matter ultimately came before the court of the Order at Balantradoch, when the property was restored to the widow. The document is interesting because it lets us see what the Order could sometimes do, and it is also interesting because of the list of tenants who were jurors in the court of Balantradoch. The names of the jurors are William Slyeth of Temple, Laurence son of Peter, Thomas deMegeth, John de Flewoldschawe, Richard de Yorkistoun, Adam Hoy, Richard de Eathouse, William Brown, Richard Doune, Richard de Croshauhope, William son of Mariota, Hugh de Haukyrstoun and Patrick son of David autor of Arnaldistoun. As tenants of Templar land this list gives names of Templar property which it is not always easy to identify.

P.S.87
(1) Matthew of Westminster, "Flores Historiarum" p.587
of bishops either from clerics or laymen. There is also a mandate dated 1144 conferring on the Order generally, that when they arrive at any place interdicted, the church may on one occasion in the year be opened and divine offices celebrated, those excommunicated being excluded. Again a Bull of Pope Alexander directs bishops and archbishops generally to see that no one takes away or withholds what belongs of right to the Templars, and lays it down that if due warning fails to bring restoration the culprits shall be excommunicated. Like the Hospitallers the Templars were accused of exceeding their privileges or of presuming upon them in receiving churches from laymen, admitting the excommunicated and interdicted to ecclesiastical rites and giving them burial, instituting and removing priests from churches without due authority, and also of exceeding the privilege of having the church of an interdicted place opened once in the year. By decree of the Lateran Council of 1179 they are forbidden to receive churches or tithes from laymen without consent of bishops. They are to shun excommunicated and interdicted persons. They are not to institute priests to churches that do not wholly in full right belong to them, and they are not to remove them once instituted without consulting the bishop. At the same time the right is confirmed to Templars as well as Hospitallers to be admitted.

(1) D'Albon V p.375
(2) do VIII p.381
(3) "Registram Episcopatus Aberdonensis" Vol.II p.359
to ecclesiastical service in the church of an interdicted place once in the year and no more, but they are not to bury there any dead bodies.

There is a Bull of Honorius confirming to the Templars the right to take the third part of all the goods of any of their men leaving an heir behind, and half of the goods of those who die without heirs. They are being resisted in this by others of the clergy, who are warned to leave the Templars in this accepted right. A papal mandate of 1247 instructs the Bishop of St. Andrews to see that Templars going to the Holy Land are not molested. The Templars are with the Hospitallers and certain other religious orders exempted from the collection of a tenth of church revenues in Scotland, which collection is entrusted to Ottobon in 1265. The Templars and Hospitallers are alone exempted from the collection during six years of the tenth of church revenues in Scotland in 1221.

There are but few names of Templars given during the twelfth and thirteenth centuries so far as Scotland is concerned. The charter of King Malcolm of date 1160, which is signed by Richard of the Hospital of Jerusalem, is also signed by Robert,

(1) William of Newburgh Lib.III, Cap.III, p.515
    Roger de Hoveden II p.188
(2) "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" Vol.II p.260
(3) Bliss Vol.I, 232
Brother of the Temple. The charter of Raan Corbeht, Master of the Temple in Scotland conveying the toft in the Burgh of Glasgow is witnessed by Brother Roger the Almoner, Brother Alan the Preceptor, Brother Anketino, Brother William and Warin the Chaplain. There are also as witnesses Peter, described as our cleric, and Walter our cleric, also John and Hugh our clerics. It is suggested by John Edwards in the "Transactions of the Scottish Ecclesiological Society" that Alan the Preceptor may have been in charge of the Templar House at Maryculter. In the "Registram Episcopatus Moravien-sis" the confirmation of the gift of a toft and croft by the Bishop between the years 1224 and 1242 is signed by Henry the Templar.

Like the Hospitallers the Templars in Scotland were part of the English Province, and were under the Head of the English House. The documents of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries give us but little assistance in estimating the extent of the Templar property, but at least we learn something of its varied nature, and catch a glimpse of privileges which the Order enjoyed. We see also something of the arrogance which marks their treatment of the widow Christiana. Yet with it all we see little of the hostility against the Order which

(1) "Liber Cartarum Prioratus Sancti Andree" p. 205
(2) "Regiistram Episcopatus Glaucusensis" Vol. I, p. 37 no. 41
(4) "Regiistram Episcopatus Moravien-sis" no. 96, p. 111
was soon to be shown in other countries in which the Templars had established themselves, a hostility which ultimately led to the suppression of the Order, and the handing over of their possessions, or part of them, to the Hospitallers.

We have the same valuation of the property of the Templars in the time of the Crusades as we have in the case of the Hospitallers. Prior Philip de Thame who valued the returns from the Hospitaller property as two hundred marks per annum, valued the Templar property in much the same way. At the time of his valuation in 1338 he says that the Templar property was returning nothing because of the destructive war which had continued for some time, but he adds that formerly it could be reckoned on to provide three hundred marks each year. A sum of two hundred pounds as the annual return to headquarters from Templar property in Scotland does not seem to give an impression of great wealth. Even when we reckon the return to headquarters as about one third of the annual income, it does not seem particularly large. At least it serves to indicate that of the two Military Orders in Scotland the Templars were wealthier, and that as compared with the Hospitallers their property was half as much again. This estimate is helpful when we come to deal with the property of the Hospitallers after the Templars were suppressed and the greater part

(1) "The Knights Hospitallers in England" Larking p. 201
of what they possessed had come into the hands of the Knights of St. John. Of the joint property we are fairly safe in saying that two fifths belonged originally to the Hospitallers, and three fifths to the Templars.
Hardly had the Crusades reached their unsuccessful conclusion, and the Christian combatants withdrawn from the Holy Land before dark accusations began to be heard against the Templars. Their wealth had excited the envy of the avaricious. Their pride and their privileges had gained the ill-will of the clerics. The gravest suspicions were voiced and found credence. It was maintained that the neophyte was required thrice to renounce Christ, and to spit on the crucifix; that indecent kisses were exchanged between the preceptor and the neophyte; that the belts worn by the knights had been consecrated to idolatry; that the priests failed to consecrate the Host in the Mass; that a cat was worshipped in the Chapters; that the Grand Master or Preceptor could absolve from all sin; that the Brothers were ordered not to confess to priests outside their Order; that all were required to ruin property for the Order by fair means or otherwise. It was a heavy indictment, and in the various countries in which the Templars had established themselves an examination was ordered.

We are fortunate in having a full account of the examination of those of the Order in Scotland. This took place in the Abbey of Holyrood at Edinburgh in the year 1309.

(1) "Processus factus contra Templarios in Scotia" in "Spottiswoode's Miscellany" Vol. II p. 7
before William, Bishop of St. Andrews, and Iohannes de Solerio, papal clerk, who were commissioned for this purpose by mandate of Pope Clement V. The record of the proceedings by the notary makes interesting reading. There are only two Brothers of the Order remaining in Scotland, and their examination comes first.

Walter de Clifton is the Preceptor of the Order at Falantradoch. In the course of his evidence he brings to light the following facts. He is English by birth, and has been about ten years in the Order, having been received into the Order at Brera in the County of Lincoln by William de la More, Master of the Order in England and in Scotland. The Brothers of the Order received their observances from the Master in England, who, again, received them from the Grand Master of the Order and from statutes and observances of his Chapter General. The Order in England has been visited from time to time by the Grand Master or his delegates. The details of his admission to the Order are given. At his earnest supplication, there being no barrier, his request is granted. On his knees with clasped hands he promises to be the servant of the Master and Brothers for the defence of the Holy Land. Having risen again, he takes the vow of poverty, chastity and obedience on the Gospels and the Cross. Giving him the mantle of the Order, and placing the cap on his head, the Master admits him with a kiss upon the mouth. At the initiation no one outside the
Order is present, for this is the custom. He himself has been stationed for three years at Balantradoch in Scotland, for three years in England at Newsom, for one year at London, and for three years at Reckelay and Haedakely. Two of the Order have abandoned the Order and fled on the outcry being raised against them, namely Brother Thomas Tooci and Brother John de Huseflete, the latter having been for two years his predecessor as Preceptor at Balantradoch. Both of these Brothers are English by birth. He denies all the charges against the Templars, only he says that the Grand Master, Preceptors and visitors could grant absolution to the Brothers except for homicide, or laying violent hands on a priest. They have this power from the Holy See. At two chapters held he has seen the Master absolve all the Brothers generally. The year of probation is not required before admission.

The other Brother who is examined is William de Middleton. He is English by birth, and was admitted to the Order before Brian le Jay, who was then Master of the Order in England. Since his admission he has been for five years in different places in England. For two years he has been in Scotland at Balantradoch and Maryculter. He has been in Northumbria by turns for three years in different places. He has never seen anyone admitted to the Order in Scotland. Other evidence which he gives is in agreement with that of Walter de Clifton. With regard to the visitation of the Order in England, he has seen
Hugo Perraut on his visitation from France, and has heard of another visitation made by him. The Head of the Order in England was in the habit of attending the Chapter in France every five years. On his reception into the Order he was enjoined by the Master that he was not to accept any service from women, not even water to wash his hands.

In addition to these two Brothers forty-nine witnesses were examined. The majority of these were clerics and men of various religious orders, although there were also laymen among them. There were Abbots from various monasteries, Dunfermline, Holyrood and Newbattle. There were representatives from the friars. There were chaplains who were neighbours and had done duty for the Templars. The last witness whose evidence is detailed was one who had been for seventeen years a servant of the Order in Scotland.

The first of these witnesses, the Abbot of Dunfermline, states that he does not know for certain, but has heard it said that they have sinister rites. He has a strong suspicion against them because of the secret initiation of the Brothers, and because their chapters are held by night. He has never heard of any of the Order being initiated in Scotland. The next four witnesses corroborate the evidence of the first. The sixth witness confirms their testimony, and adds that he has never known any of the Order make confession to the friars or the secular clergy, and with this evidence the seventh witness
agrees. The eighth witness adds the information that the Templars seek to obtain the possessions of their neighbours by fair means or foul, and that only the rich and powerful receive their hospitality. The next four witnesses have nothing to add to what has already been said, and the same is true of the one who follows. Another witness in addition to supporting what has already been declared says that he has neither seen nor heard where any Brother of the Temple is buried, or that he died a natural death. Then follow eleven witnesses who repeat the evidence already given. The next nine are in the same position. The evidence of six witnesses is now given to the effect that they know nothing against the said Brothers, and cannot speak of their initiation which is always secret, a fact which in itself is suspicious because it is different with the other religious orders. Their final argument is that if the Templars had been good Christians they would not have lost the Holy Land. The last witness, who has been a servant of the Templars, contributes the information that he has seen the Templars absolve the excommunicated on the ground that they had papal authority for doing so. At least this is the last witness whose evidence is quoted. Eight neighbours and cultivators of Templar land, who follow, simply corroborate.

Such is the account of the examination of the Templars in Scotland. It is interesting to note that there are the two Templar seats in Scotland, Balantradoch and Maryculter, the
former being the headquarters of the Order in the Kingdom.

At the time of the examination there are only two Brothers of the Order remaining in Scotland. It is true that there had been two others who had forsaken the habit and fled. At the most there were only four Brothers ultimately in Scotland, although, of course, by this time the Order had been subject to many casualties in the east and had fallen on evil days. This hardly gives the impression that Scotland gave residence to a large number of the Order. Of the four Brothers referred to in the inquiry all are of English birth, and the evidence seems to show that there was seldom an initiation in Scotland.

The evidence given against the Templars in the course of the examination seems mild. One wonders what a court of justice would make of it in present days. The worst that is said about the Order is that they have raised suspicions by their clandestine proceedings, and that the impression is abroad that in the matter of absolution they have tended to exceed their powers. In fact the evidence is rather tame, and though many witnesses of all sorts are questioned, there is no great heat of hostility shown. Perhaps to one present there may have been some sign of it, but at least the notary in his record of proceedings has reduced the evidence to a very colourless affair. At the same time the impression is left that there was little of the violent antipathy to the Order which can be seen in other countries. They do not seem to have
made so many bitter enemies as elsewhere. One would like to think that our country adopted a more merciful attitude than was taken by others. It is perhaps nearer the mark to recognise that the possessions of the Order in Scotland were more modest, and not so likely to arouse cupidity in the other religious orders, or among the other clergy, or even among the laity. It would seem that with fewer numbers in Scotland their arrogance was not so conspicuous, and did not prove so annoying. At any rate the inquiry at Holyrood does not reveal any very great faults in the Order, nor does it reveal any great enmity against it.

We may be thankful for this mildness of animosity against the Templars, for the Order can hardly have been as bad as the worst that was said of it. Its main defect in the eyes of Christian Europe was that it had become too wealthy, and there were those who cast envious eyes on its broad acres and rich possessions. One cannot help feeling that if the Order had been poorer, its sins would not have been regarded as so heinous. The witness at Holyrood who stated as the great crime of the Templars that they had lost the Holy Land was nearest of all to the truth. The wars in the east were past. The Holy Land had been evacuated by the Christians. There was no longer need of such a standing army as the Templars and other Military Orders had for two centuries provided, nor was there need any longer for the rich possessions in Christian Europe
to supply the wants and to provide the recruits of the Templars in the fighting line. The Templars had shown many faults, but they had also shown much courage and endurance, and it might be wished that their suppression had not been accompanied with the cruelty shown by many nations. Wealth and privilege however excite envy, and wealth and privilege the Order had enjoyed. Now that the object for which they had been brought into existence no longer required their services, these services were soon forgotten, and envy remained. The envy which remained showed neither mercy nor honesty. The suspicions of a superstitious age made much of little, and the once proud Order was soon humbled.

By a Papal Bull of Clement V and a decree of the Council of Vienna the Templar lands were transferred to the Hospitallers. From this time on we must reckon the Templar and Hospitaller property as one. It is not easy to distinguish between them sometimes, but we have always the estimate of them about this time as three fifths Templar and two fifths Hospitaller, which gives us something to go on. It is by no means certain that all the Templar property found its way into the possession of the Knights of St. John, but at least a great part of it seems to have done so, and we have many records of the joint property in the following years.
CHAPTER VII

LATER RECORDS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS

For a fuller idea of the possessions of the Templars and the Hospitallers we must look to records and documents later than the thirteenth century. These do not describe fresh gifts bestowed upon the Military Orders, but refer to property already in their possession. Such later documents have this disadvantage that they are dealing with joint property, and therefore do not always reveal with distinctness whether they had been originally Hospitaller or Templar, but they let us see something of the property ultimately in the hands of the Order of St. John, and they can generally be allocated in the proportion set forth in the valuation of Philip de Thame, namely two fifths Hospitaller and three fifths Templar. These documents may be divided into two classes. There are those which give indication of different places where there were possessions of the Military Orders, and there are those which deal with these properties generally.

There is a charter of about the beginning of the fourteenth century in the "Registrum Monasterii de Passelet" which refers to land belonging to the House of Torphichen in the town of Mearns. It is a gift of land by Herbert of Maxwell to the Church of St. James and St. Mirrin of Paisley, and designates the land belonging to the House of Torphichen as one
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of the boundaries. In the "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle" we have two charters which show that Torphichen had an interest in a tenement in Leith on which was due to the Order of St. John the sum of one pound per annum. The date of one of the charters is 1327. About the year 1330 we have the record in the "Liber Sancte Marie de Calchou" of a gift by Sirildis Sadderel in augmentation of a chantry in the Church of St. James of Roxburgh. The land conveyed lies in the town and territory of Yton in the Baronage of Oxenham, and is held from the Hospital of St. John for payment yearly of the service due to the Hospital. The "Spottiswoode Miscellany" quotes a charter belonging to Sir Norman Macdonald Lockhart of Cawlwaith in which is granted to Adam Pakok by William More, Guardian of the Hospital of St. John of Torphichen and Brother Robert of Culter, Procurator of the Hospital, half of all the lands of St. John in Cowanston. The payment to be made to Torphichen is two shillings a year. This charter belongs to the reign of David II.

Coming to the first half of the fifteenth century we find that the Knights of St. John have an interest in the Prebend of Kinkel which is restored to the Church of Aberdeen in 1420.

(1) "Registrum Monasterii de Passelet" p.379.
(2) "Registrum S. Marie de Neubotle" p.38 no 50 & p.230 no 270
(3) "Liber S. Marie de Calchou" p.381 no 491
(4) "The Spottiswoode Miscellany" Vol. II p.4
(5) "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" Vol.II p.253
Lands of Hayrle and half the lands of Litil Werthill are given by Alan de Futhas, Canon of Moray and Ross, for the upkeep of a chaplain in the Church of Aberdeen. On this land service is due to Torphichen. There is also mention of Templar land in the vicinity of Corstorphine in a charter of the "Feclesia Collegiata de Corstorphine" in the "Registrum Ecclesie Collegiate Sancte Trinitatis de Edinburgh". We have already seen the tithes of the Church of Obeyne confirmed to the Templars at Culter, and we find in the Exchequer Accounts of 1438 that a sum of twenty three pounds six shillings and eight pence is received by Sir John Kyndeloch and Thomas of Torphichen in lieu of the teinds of the Churches of Obyne and Kylbethow. The "Registrum Episcopatus Brechinensis" has a group of charters concerning possessions of the Templars near Kethik. They consist of a Templar croft and tenement lying in the town of Kethik in the County of Forfar, and a certain piece of land lying within the town of Dalgady. The extent of the ground seems to be about four acres of arable land and the payment made for it to the Order of St. John is six shillings and eight pence annually. It was originally in the hands of David Conan who disposed of it first to David Dempster of Ouchterless and ultimately to the Bishop of Brechin.

(1) "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" Vol. I p.230
(2) "Registrum Ecclesie Collegiate Sancte Trinitatis de Edinburgh" p.297
(3) "Scottish Historical Review" Vol.IX no33 p.52 Oct.1911
The transactions took place about the middle of the fifteenth century.

In the second half of the fifteenth century we have reference to certain land in Renfrewshire which was held by the Military Orders. The "Nugae Derelictae" of James Maidment and Robert Pitcairn quotes a document dated 1460 in which Henry of Levyingstoun, designated Commander of the Temple of St. John, makes Sir John Ross of Hawked and John of Modyvell, Chaplain, his procurators in all pertaining to the lands of the Ryvra in the Barony of Renfrew, comprising a tenantry and toft of St. John. While in the "Scottish Historical Review" there is quoted by John Edwards a charter by Sir William Knollis, Preceptor of the Hospital of St. John, to Bartholomew Johnson of Northbar in 1472 granting the lands of Fucheen, at Inchmnan, Renfrewshire, formerly held by Robert, Lord Lyle. These lands are to be held for a sum of seven shillings yearly. The "Registrum Episcopatus Aberdonensis" in a charter of lands in the Burgh of Kyntor makes mention of the Temple land of the House of St. John of Torphichen there as one of the boundaries.

In the beginning of the sixteenth century there is

(1) "Nugae Derelictae" VII
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recorded the transfer of fourteen acres of Templar land in the town and territory of Gulline, which appear to have been of the annual value of five marks. In the "Acta Dominorum Concilii" at the beginning of the sixteenth century there is mention of a suit raised against the Magistrates of Stirling by George, Lord St. Johns, for breaking the privilege of St. John and taking a Temple land in the Burgh from one Thomas Bynny. Spotiswood in his "Religious Houses" refers to a charter by Walter Lindsay, Lord of St. John and Preceptor of Torphichen, granting to James Dundas of Craigmach, and Elizabeth Hamilton the lands of Nether-Newliston. This is dated 1533.

Towards the end of the sixteenth century there is mention of lands of the House of Torphichen in the town of Leith. They are given as a boundary for a tenement conveyed by Jacobus Pennatyne to his son. In the year 1596 there is a charter by James Sandilands, Lord Torphichen, giving to John Swintoun, son and heir of Robert Swintoun, the Templar lands called Tempilhou in quhitson with garden and croft and the Templar land of Stridlinga, extending to two husband-lands, near Swintoun. The annual payment is small, namely twelve pence.

About 1620 in an abstract of the tax of the teinds of

(1) "Reg. Ecc. Coll. S. Trin. de Edinburgh" p. 383 Dalkeith
(2) "Nuxae Derelictae" III p. 6
(3) "Religious Houses" Spotiswood Cap. XIV p. 439
(4) "Reg. Ecc. Coll. S. Trin. de Edinburgh" p. 146 no 118
(5) "The Swintons of that Ilk" A.O.S. p. CXLV
the Churches of the Abbey of Dryburgh there is a letter of

tack of date eighteenth day of July 1604 set to Sir John Ker

of Tursel of the teind sheaves of certain lands in which are

included the Temple lands of St. Boswell. There is also in

the "Chartulary of Torphichen" an abstract regarding the Barony

and lands of Auldliston, of Tempill of Ballintraido with Paistoun

and the land of Twaddil. Temple Mains and the teind sheaves

of Temple Parish are assigned to Andrew Lindsay for a yearly

payment of six marks. To Andrew Lindsay is also assigned the

six mark land of Outherston and the four mark land of Caldwallis

as well as the ten mark land of Yorkstoun. The "Inquisitionum

ad Capellam Domini Regis Retornatarum" has very frequent

references to what is described as Templar land.

Apart from records of separate possessions held by the

Military Orders there are documents dealing with the property

generally. There is the text of an Act of the Grand Master

of the Order of St. John, by name Philibert de Naillac, which

is recorded in the archives of Malta. Philibert de Naillac

was Grand Master from 1396 to 1421, and his Act is dated 1418.

The original is quoted by John Edwards in the "Scottish

Historical Review". The property of the Military Orders is

divided into three. Brother John Benyn receives the Church

(1) "Liber S. Marie de Dryburgh" p.320 XXXVI

(2) "Chartulary of Torphichen" quoted in "Scot. Ecc. Soc"p.4-6

(3) "Scottish Historical Review" Vol.IX p.52 no33-Oct.1911
of Torphichen with teinds, oblations and other emoluments by reason of the cure of souls, together with the rents of the lands of Locharis in the Barony of Torphichen, the value of which amounts to about two hundred and sixty pounds a year, and out of this Brother Benyn is to pay to the common treasury of the Order a sum of about eighty pounds. The second Brother Thomas Gudwyn, the Preceptor, receives the Church of Balantradoch, the teinds, oblations and other emoluments by reason of the cure of souls, together with the two mills and rents of the lands of Tudepeth and Esperstoun and Utherstoun, amounting annually to one hundred and forty pounds from which he shall return to the treasury approximately forty four pounds. The remainder of the emoluments and dues of the Order in the Kingdom go to Brother Alexander de Lahton who will pay to the treasury roughly three hundred and twenty five pounds. The annual value is not stated, but assessed at the same proportion as the others in respect to the annual contribution to the treasury, it would amount to over one thousand pounds. According to this the total property would be worth something like fourteen hundred pounds per annum from which the total contribution to the treasury of the Order would be about four hundred and fifty pounds. The comment of John Edwards on this document is that obviously the first two are chaplains with the cure of souls, and the third is the head in Scotland and not a chaplain.
The lands of Drem were an important part of the possessions of the Military Orders. In the beginning of the fourteenth century Reginald More would seem to have been the holder of at least part of them. In "An Index, drawn up about the Year 1489, of many Records of Charters granted by the different Sovereigns of Scotland between the Years 1509 and 1413, most of which Records have been long missing" by William Robertson there is a charter of the time of King Robert I to Reginald More of the lands of Templestoun and Scheilling given to him by Rodulphus Lindsay, Master of the Hospital of St. John of Jerusalem. About the middle of the fourteenth century there is a "Mandatum Citationis" on behalf of Alexander de Seton of Torphichen from which it appears that Sir William More and his father Reginald had obtained lands of St. John to the value of four hundred and twenty one pounds sixteen shillings and six pence. In the list of charters of David II's time there is one to Alexander Haliburton of the lands of Dreme in the shire of Edinburgh which William More resigned. When we come to the year 1606 we find that there is the confirmation of the sale of certain Templar lands, which were purchased by Robert Williamson and James Tennent of Lymehouse. Tennent sold his

(1) Robertson's "Index" XXXVI p.11
(2) "Registrum de Dunfermelyn" p.196 no 308
(3) Robertson's "Index" XLIV p.31
(4) "Acta Parliamentorum Jacobi VI" 1606 cap.82
share to Williamson who obtained a charter disjoining his purchase from the Barony of Torphichen and Liston in the County of Edinburgh, Denny in the County of Stirling, Thankerton in the County of Lanark, Ballantradoch in the County of Edinburgh, Maryculter in the County of Kincardine, and Stanhope in the County of Peebles. There were also exempted the Temple lands of Paistoun, Templehall, Templehirst, a Temple land in Corstorphine, Walkerstoun, Rylawknow, Castletoun, Snypis, Deniside, Harberstoun, a Temple land in Crichton, Langton, Tarperrig, and Kirknewton all in the County of Edinburgh.

The property was later sold to Lord Binning, afterwards Earl of Taddington who obtained a charter erecting the lands into the Barony of Drem.

The last Preceptor of St. John of Jerusalem resigned his possessions into the hands of Queen Mary who gave them to him in feu for ten thousand crowns and a yearly payment of five hundred marks. The charter is given in the "Spottiswoode Miscellany" and the property is described as the lands and baronies of Torphichen, Liston, Ballantradoch, Thankerton, Denny, Maryculter, Stanhope and Galtua in the Counties of Edinburgh, Peebles, Linlithgow, Stirling, Lanark, Kincardine

(1) "Nuæae Derelictae" XIII
and Kirkcudbright. Spotiswood in his "Religious Houses" tells us that the lands in East Lothian and Fife were afterwards made over to George Lauder of Bass. Those within the Sheriffdom of Perth and the Stewartry of Strathern were made over to David, Lord Scone. Those within the Shires of Dumfries, Lanark, Wigtown, and the Stewartries of Annandale and Kirkcudbright were transferred to Captain William Ross and from him to Ross of Auchlossin.

Such documents serve to give us an idea of the possessions of the Military Orders and show us that they were far from being negligible. We see that they were more extensive than the earlier charters would seem to show, and that they were more valuable than the estimate of Philip de Thame might seem to indicate.

(1) "The Spottiswoode Miscellany" Vol. II p. 25
(2) "Religious Houses" Spotiswood Chap. XIV p. 439
In seeking to come to some conclusion as to the strength and property of the Military Orders in Scotland it may be of assistance to set down under the various counties a list of the places where records seem to show the existence of land either Templar or Hospitaller. Such a list is given in the next chapter. The list is not exhaustive, and at the same time there may be lands mentioned that cannot absolutely be shown to have belonged to the Knights of St. John or to the Knights of the Temple. The occurrence of the word Temple as part of the name of a place does not allow us to conclude that beyond a doubt it was Templar land, although it suggests the possibility. Still less does the name St. John as part of the designation of any property prove conclusively that the property in question was originally in possession of the Hospitallers. No more can be said of it than that it may have been.

It is also clear that the designation Templar land does not always mean that it belonged to the Templars. The designation Templar land came to be applied indiscriminately to both Templar and Hospitaller land, so that we cannot always accurately distinguish between the two in documents that bear a date later than the passing over of the Templar property to
the Knights of St. John. With such later documents we have to take the two properties together, and to content ourselves with the estimated proportion between the two as given by Philip de Thame.

Looking at the list under the various counties we may naturally ask if all this property was in the possession of the two Orders in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. At a later time we find them recorded as Templar or Hospitaller, but were they so during the crusading years? This is a question which it is difficult to answer with anything like certainty. It seems hardly likely that gifts would be bestowed upon the Military Orders after they had ceased to fulfil a useful purpose in the venture in the Holy Land, for which they had come into existence. It is difficult to think that many of such properties would be purchased by the House of St. John after the thirteenth century. Purchases of such a scattered nature and of small extent would hardly show much wisdom. The eagerness with which, particularly in later documents, land is claimed as Templar land would serve to arouse the suspicion that owing to the privileges attaching to the Templar land there were those who by some means passed their land over to the Order of St. John on condition that they received it back again for a nominal annual feu with the privilege of Templar land added. At the same time, if that were true, it seems strange that the Templar land often forms part of a
greater property the remainder of which is not claimed as Templar. One can hardly think of part of a property being placed ingeniously under Templar privilege while the rest remained outside of it. Some might, of course, claim the Templar privilege without the land being in any way connected with either Military Order. There must, however, have been some kind of check on such a proceeding. We are forced to conclude that the greater part of such properties belonged to the Military Orders, and at the same time to admit that there must have been other lands of the Military Orders not mentioned in such charters as have come to us.

A consideration of the list as it stands shows us that the property was scattered, and that it was mostly made up of small pieces of no great extent and of no very high value. It was mostly spread over the south and east of Scotland. In some districts there was more of it than others. Some counties had little or no Templar property, particularly in the west and in the extreme north. Even when you find a group of properties declared to be either Templar or Hospitaller you find that the group is made up of small pieces separated from each other. John Edwards who has done valuable work in seeking to assess the extent and value of the property belonging to the Military Orders warns us against too high an estimate of the value of it. This is wise. At the same time we have some evidence that the Military Orders really had possessions in almost every part
of the country. Those who tell us this are right. At the same time those who are modest in their estimate of the value of the Templar and Hospitaller property are also right. Was not the scattered nature of the property its weakness financially? Small pieces of land here and there throughout the country could not have been profitably cultivated by the Military Orders even had they had sufficient serving brothers to do it, and knights, chaplains or bailiffs to superintend it. The scattered nature of the gifts made to the Military Orders shows the popularity of the Orders throughout the land, at least in the earlier days of their history, but it certainly made the profitable development of the property a difficult proposition. In the case of the monasteries a fair proportion of their lands was in the vicinity of the monasteries. Besides the monks were good farmers, while the Templars and Hospitallers were not. These knights were men of the sword. Even from the earliest times the Military Orders were content to rent or feu to others the scattered parcels of land which they could not profitably cultivate for themselves. The tofts and tenements in burghs also seem mostly to have been let or fueled. There is not much evidence of settlements of the Military Orders except at Torphichen, the headquarters of the Hospitallers, Balantradoch and Maryculter for the Templars. Philip de Thame with his estimate of five hundred marks as the total contribution of the two Orders to their headquarters, while modest enough, is quite consistent with numerous properties
scattered as were the properties of the Military Orders and under the conditions prevailing. The Templar and Hospitaller property was far from being negligible and long after the Crusades it remained a subject of special privilege.

If it is difficult to estimate the extent of the property of the Military Orders in Scotland, it is even more difficult to assess their strength in men. Speaking of the Hospitallers in the "Transactions of the Scottish Ecclesiological Society" John Edwards says, "It was not so much the amount of money or the quantity of natural products of the country capable of being dispatched annually from Scotland to the east that attracted the Order and caused its permanent stay among us, as the richness of the land in men exactly suited to its constant demands for drafts of hardy warriors to fill the places left vacant by disease and death in Palestine, Egypt and other eastern lands". Whatever their expectations may have been, we have evidence of a widely distributed generosity in gifts bestowed upon the Military Orders, but little or no evidence of great recruiting success among the nobility or the commonalty of the Kingdom. The requirements in the matter of birth were high for those who would gain admission to the Orders as Knights, and we have no sign of

(1) "Scottish Ecc. Society" Vol. II p 382
great accessions to the ranks of serving brothers or chaplains.
As we have already seen, there are but few names given of those
connected with the Orders in Scotland. Among the Templars
Robert, Brother of the Temple, was evidently in 1160 at the
court of Malcolm IV. The charter of Raan Corbeht, Master of
the Temple in Scotland, conveying a toft in the Burgh of
Glasgow, is witnessed by Brother Roger the Almoner, Brother
Alan the Preceptor, Brother Anketino, Brother William and
Warin the Chaplain, with also Peter, Walter, John and Hugh
described as our clerics. From this it would appear that
there are at this time in Scotland at least five Brothers and
one Chaplain. It is, of course, quite possible that there
are others in Scotland who are absent. David I made the
Templars his companions, and that would seem to reveal the
presence in Scotland of some in his day. Of course they were
not recruited to remain in Scotland. They were recruited to
be drafted to the east where their arms were needed. In 1309
there are but two Brothers of the Order in Scotland. There
have been four but two have fled. Yet all four are English
by birth. Naturally by this time the warfare in the east has
sadly depleted the Order. It has fallen on evil days, and
suspicions are rife. It would perhaps be otherwise in the more
prosperous days of the Order, but our evidence is slight and
is not encouraging.
With regard to the Hospitallers, Richard of the Hospital is evidently in the good graces of Malcolm IV in 1180. Two names are given of Brothers of Torphichen in the dispute over the tithes of Ogilface, namely Brother H. Arundel and Brother Galtum de Stanford. About this time also Walter is Master of Torphichen. In the middle of the thirteenth century Archibald is the Master of the House of Torphichen, and in 1292 there is the signature of Brother Theobald, Master of Torphichen. The Master of Torphichen in 1391 is Alexander de Welles. During the war between Scotland and England there is but one Brother of the Order in the country. The lack of evidence, however, does not allow us to presume that in men Scotland did not make its contribution to the ranks of the Templars and Hospitallers. It may well have done so although no record of it is given to us.

(1) "The Knights Hospitallers" Larking p.189
Chapter IX

Possessions of the Military Orders in Scotland

County of Aberdeen

Both Templars and Hospitaliers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 - 1296

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>Date of Authority</th>
<th>Additional Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Aberdeen    | "Retours" 234       | 1636              | Described as Templar land with garden in Aberdeen to the annual value of 2/-.
| Aboyne      | "Regist. Fp. Aberdon" Vol. II pp. 371-3 | 1840 c | The Church of Aboyne was given by Bishop Randolph to the Templars. |
| Auchlownie  | "Retours" 182       | 1633              | Lands with salmon fishing on the Dee, value 26/6 per annum. |
| Chrystiskirk| "Retours" 178       | 1683              | Templar land also called Rothmurriell, value 1/- per annum. |
| Essie       | "Retours" 280       | 1642              | Templar land in the Barony of Strathboiqe. |
| Forgue      | "Retours" 516       | 1693              | Temple crofts with patronage of the Churches of Forgue and Innerkeithney. |
| Frendraucht | "Retours" 308 & 372 | 1651 & 1664      | Templar land on the Deveron. |
County of Aberdeen

Fulziement
"Retours" 260 1642
Templar lands in the Barony of Auchindoir which along with Fasie were of the annual value of 30/-.

Hayrllaw
Land given to the Church of Aberdeen which owes service to Torphichen.

Kinkel
The Prebend of Kinkel had a connection with the Order of St. John.

Kintore
Templar land of the House of St. John which is given as a boundary of land within the Burgh.

Kyncolsi
Land belonging to the Templars at Culter.

Little Werthill
Is mentioned along with Hayrllaw owing service to Torphichen.

Maryculter
The Chapel at Culter is in dispute as well as the tithes of the Templar land in the neighbourhood.

Miditoune
"Retours" 356 & 421 1669 & 1674
There are Templar lands in Miditoune, value £6 per annum.

Tempillcroft
"Retours" 178
The tithes of Tempillcroft are mentioned along with Templar land evident in the neighbourhood of Insch.

Tempelford
"Retours" £16
Mentioned with Templar lands of Forgue.

Templeland
"Retours" £16
Mentioned with Templar lands of Forgue.

Tulichesart
Land belonging to the Templars at Culter.

County of Argyll.
County of Ayr

Both Templars and Hospitalers had property in this County.

"Rotuli" Vol. I p 25  - 1396

Auchinlek  
"Retours" 217 & 619  
Templar land with salmon fishing in the Lugar, value £25 per annum.

Cumnock  
"Retours" 686 & 694  
A portion of the lands of Templeland within the Church lands of Cumnock.

Dreghorn  
"Retours" 133 & 510 & 603  
1616 & 1661 & 1677

Five shilling land called Templeland of Dreghorn.

Elster Tempeltoun  
"Retours" 561  
1666

Land in the vicinity of Dundonald of the annual value of £16.15.4. It has no further indication of connection with the Templars than the name.

Girvan  
"Retours" 64  
1603

A rood of Templar land west of the Church of Girvan, with a piece of Templar land at the back of the cemetery and another portion of Templar land about half an acre in extent. The annual value is 2/-.

Hapland  
"Retours" 454  
1655

Described as Templar land in the district of Kilwinning. Its annual value was twelve pence.

Kingskyl  
"Retours" 638  
1685

Templar lands and tenements in the neighbourhood of Riccarton.

Kyllstewart  
"Retours" 638  
1685

Templar lands and tenements in the neighbourhood of Riccarton.

Pophill  
"Retours" 709  
1672

Templar land.

Temple Hogwood  
"Retours" 638  
1685

Mentioned with Kyllstewart and Westerinschezotrig, and worth with them 26/- per annum.
POSESSIONS OF MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Ayr

Temple Braithrist "Retours" 239
Templar land in the Parish of Dundonald worth annually £3.6.9.

Temple Conyngham "Retours" 225 & 420 & 590 1662-1682 & 1648 & 1672 & 1691
Templar land in the Parish of Kilmarrock of the annual value of 3/4.

Templehouse "Retours" 405 & 637 1648 & 1685

Tempill Ryburne "Retours" 454 1685
Templar land of the annual value of ten marks.

County of Banff

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County.
"Rotuli" Vol. I p 28 - 1296

pp 37, 96, 141, 142. & 1450 & 1450
Portion of land held from the Master of Torphichen for which and for Templhall of Kethik 6/6 is payable.

& 97
Templar toft and tenement lying in the town of Kethik.

pp 89, 97, 111, 142. & 1450 & 1450
Pays to Torphichen as superior, together with Dalgady 6/8 annually.

County of Berwick

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County.
County of Berwick

Flanerne
"Retours" 169 1650
Templar lands in the neighbourhood of Bonchê, valued at twelve pence annually.

Stridlingses
"Retours" 179 1633
Two husband-lands on east of Swyntoun in the Parish of Wyntoun which together with Tempilhous are valued at twelve pence per annum.
A charter is quoted in "The Swintons of that Ilk" A.C.S. p CXLV confirming this.

Tempilhous
"Retours" 179 1653
Templar land with garden and croft which together with Stridlingses is valued at twelve pence per annum.
This also is confirmed by charter quoted above—1696.

County of Bute

County of Caithness

County of Clackmannan

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County.
"Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 — 1296

County of Dumbarton

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County.
"Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 — 1296
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Dumfries

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 - 1296

Abasterland  "Retours" 291 1677
Three pound land mentioned along with Templar land.

Auchinfeichell  "Retours" 291 1677
Acre of Templar land called Temple-land-Aicker in the village of Auchinfeichell.

Auchinfeichell  "Retours" 291 1677
Two acres of Templar land in Saddem Parish.

Betwixt-the-Gates  "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land probably in Tundergarth Parish.

Blacklands  "Retours" 291 1677
Half mark Templar lands in Midlebie Parish.

Blandebush  "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land.

Blaybank  "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land in Moffat and Westerkirk Parish.

Proomhills-meadow  "Retours" 291 1677
Templar lands in Parish of Hutborne.

Ruckrigs  "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land.

Putrigs de Stablesgordoun  "Retours" 291 1677
Described as in the Barony of Drem.

Butterihhouse  "Retours" 291 1677
Seven acres of Templar land.

Cairnsallo  "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land.

Carlawerock  "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land of Chapel of Carlawerock.

Castilhills  "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land in Tundergarth Parish.
### County of Dumfries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Retours</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapelcroft &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenement and garden in Sutton Parish described as in the Barony of Drem.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chappell-Lands &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar lands in Drysdaill Parish.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chappelrig &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land in Moffat and Westerkirk Parish.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christen-Meadow &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadow in Moffat Parish.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close. The &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six rigs of land in the Barony of Drem.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocerg &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Midlebie Parish.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalnurndno &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinnwodgie &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixteen acres of Templar land in Annand Parish.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dornaylles &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three pound land in Barony of Drem.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drysdaill &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One mark Templar land in the Parish of Drysdaill.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunraggan &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land in Parish of Dunscore described as in Barony of Drem.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fashieshills &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land probably in Ruthwel? Parish.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbies-close &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six acres of Templar land in Parish of Dumfries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hailhills &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twenty shilling land in Barony of Drem.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incheater &quot;Retours&quot; 291</td>
<td></td>
<td>1877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inglistone &quot;Retours&quot; 145,272,291,331</td>
<td></td>
<td>1878 &amp; 1872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forty penny Templar land in Glencairn Parish. 1877 &amp; 1880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
County of Dumfries

**Kirkhilles** "Retours" 291 1677
Land in Barony of Drem valued at 80/- per annum.

**Kirkland of Kirkpatrick** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land in the Parish of Kirkpatrick-Fleeming, valued at 40/- per annum.

**Kirklands of Wauchop** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land.

**Laigan** "Retours" 291 1677
Land described as in the Barony of Drem situated in Kirkpatrick-Fleeming Parish.

**Langhorne** "Retours" 291 1677
One mark Templar land in Drysdall.

**Lederhuch** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land probably in Drysdall Parish.

**Meikle-Dulredding** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land in Ruthwell Parish.

**Middlefield** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land in Moffat and Parish of Westerkirk.

**Moffat** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land.

**Munholme** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land in Parish of Dumfries.

**Freistbutts** "Retours" 291 1677
Half mark land belonging to the Barony of Drem, in the Parish of Tundergarth.

**Reidhale** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land in Parish of Kirkpatrick-Fleeming.

**Sanquhar** "Retours" 291 1677
Certain lands in the village were in the Barony of Drem.

**Seidhilles & Shaw** "Retours" 291 1677
Half mark land in the Barony of Drem.

**Shallbank** "Retours" 291 1677
Templar land in Tundergarth Parish.
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Dumfries

Smealholme  "Retours" 291  1677
Templar land.

St. John's-daill  "Retours" 291  1677
Templar land in Moffat Parish.

Stocks  "Retours" 291  1677
One acre of Templar land in Faddam Parish.

Templand  "Retours" 324  1699
Land to the value of forty shillings annually, probably identical with Fishiesheills.

Templand  "Retours" 644  1699
In Parish of Lochmaben, of the annual value of forty pence.

Templand of  "Retours" 68  1609
Dalgarnok
Land of twenty shillings extent.

Templand-meadow  "Retours" 165.272.351.  1639 & 1672
& 1699

Temploquhair  "Retours" 348  1661
There is nothing but the name to indicate a Templar connection.

Tourecrofts  "Retours" 291  1687
Templar land of the annual value of twenty pence.

Traillflat  "Retours" 291  1677
Templar land of the annual value of 6/6.

Tundervirth  "Retours" 291  1677
Templar land.

Watcarrick  "Retours" 291  1677
Templar land called Chapel of Watcarrick.

Woodland  "Retours" 291  1677
Templar land of the value of 10/- annually.
County of Edinburgh

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. I p 88 – 1296

Armitouns
"Retours" 1198
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch comprising Bullion and Cockhill. 1672

Auchindimmie
"Retours" 1176
Templar land. 1670

Balantradoch
"Retours" 406 & 480
"Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 58
Balantradoch was the headquarters of the Templars. The Barony of Balantradoch is valued at 100 marks. 1606 & 1622

Balwoodysbrae
"Retours" 1198
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch. 1672

Bogend
"Retours" 918
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch. 1644

Caldwallis
"Retours" 1195
"Chartulary of Torphichen" pp 3-6
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch valued at four marks. 1672

Caringtoun
"Retours" 1176
Templar land. 1670

Castletoun
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 58
Templar land. 1606

Cathkune
"Retours" 1198
Oct. 1907
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch. 1854

Clermittoun
"Retours" 1176
Templar land. 1670

Coltfield
"Retours" 1176
Templar land. 1670
County of Edinburgh

Corstorphine  
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 88  
Templar land in Corstorphine.

Cramond  
"Retours" 1176 & 1098  
Templar land in Cramond.

Crichton  
Act of Parl 1606 cap. 88  
Templar land.

Croshauhope  
Tenant of Balantradoch mentioned.

Deniside  
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 88  
Templar land.

Edinburgh  
"Retours" 1176  
Twenty-five tenements in the Barony of Drem.

Eister Briggs  
"Retours" 1044  
Described as in the Barony of Auldliston, value 50/- annually.

Elewoldeschaw  
Tenant of Balantradoch mentioned.

Esperatoun  
"Retours" 21 & 911  
Lands in the Barony of Balantradoch.

Eathouse  
Tenant of Balantradoch mentioned.

Fidlaw  
"Retours" 1198  
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch.

Goursnout  
"Retours" 1176  
Templar lands.

Talkerstoun  
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 88  
Templar land.
County of Edinburgh

Harberstoun  Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 88 1606
Templar land in the County of Edinburgh.

Harberrig  Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 88 1606
Templar land in the County of Edinburgh.

Harvestoun  "Retours" 918 1644
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch.

Hauscheid  "Retours" 431 & 1198 1619 & 1678
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch, of annual value £8.

Howburne  "Retours" 1289 1680
Templar land of the value of 40/- per annum.

Howrait  "Retours" 1178 1670
Templar land.

Hudepeth  "Scot. Hist. Review" IX no 33 p 52 Oct. 1911 1418
Land connected with Balantradoch.

Kirknewton  Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 88 1606
Possession of the Templars in the County of Edinburgh.

Lancton  Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 88 1606
Possession of the Templars in the County of Edinburgh.

Leith  "Retours" 1178 1670
"Reg. S. Marie de Neubottle" p 39 no 50 1587
"Reg. Ecc. Col. S. Trin. de Edinburgh" 1588 p 146 no 119
The Military Orders had evidently two tenements in Leith.

Land attached to Torphichen.

Templar tenant mentioned. Not necessarily in County of Edinburgh.

Milnehauch  "Retours" 1198 1678
Land in the Barony of Balantradoch.

Muirhouse  "Retours" 1178 1670
Templar land.
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

---

**County of Edinburgh**

**Outherston**  
"Chartulary of Torphichen" pp 8-9  
Six mark land in Temple of Balantradoch.

**Overgoar**  
"Retours" 1176  
1670  
Templar land.

**Faistoun**  
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 82  
1606  
"Chartulary of Torphichen pp 8-9  
Land of the Templars in the County of Edinburgh.

**Rottinraw**  
"Retours" 1198  
1672  
Land in the Parony of Balantradoch.

**Rylawknow**  
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 82  
1606  
Land of the Templars in the County of Edinburgh.

**Shanks**  
"Retours" 1333  
1691  
Land in the Parony of Balantradoch.

**Snyipis**  
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 82  
1606  
Land of the Templars in the County of Edinburgh.

**Southfeild**  
"Retours" 1086  
1632  
Templar land with pasture, two parks or rigs.

**Swainstoun**  
"Retours" 1176  
1670  
"Registrum Domus de Soltre" p 18 1314-1240  
Templar lands.

**Tempillis & Tempillhouses**  
"Retours" 1191 & 1313  
1670 & 1690  
Templar lands in the Parish of Currie.

**Temple**  
"Retours" 1195  
1673  
"Scot.Hist.Review" V p 17  
Oct. 1907  
1554  
Templar land.

**Templehall**  
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 82  
1606  
Land of the Templars in the County of Edinburgh.

**Templehill**  
"Retours" 1191 & 1313  
1670 & 1690  
Templar lands in the territory of Harperrig.

**Templehirst**  
Act of Parl. 1606 cap. 82  
1606  
Land of the Templars in the County of Edinburgh.
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Edinburgh

**Temple Mains**  
"Chartulary of Torphichen" pp 8-9  
Land of the Military Orders valued at six marks.

**Torphichen**  
"Retours" 409 & 480  
"Scot. Hist. Review" IX  
p 52 no 33 - Oct 1911  
Headquarters of the Knights of St. John.

**Whytwel**  
"Registrum Domus de Soltre"  
p 28 no 34  
Templar land owing service to Balantradoch of thirteen pence.

**Utterstoune**  
"Retours" 194, 1074, 1195  
"Scot. Hist. Review" IX  
p 52 no 33 - Oct. 1911  
Lands in the Barony of Balantradoch.

**Voltis**  
"Retours" 1198  
Lands in the Barony of Balantradoch.

**Yorkstoun**  
"Retours" 1195  
"Scot. Hist. Review" V p 17  
no 17 - Oct. 1807  
"Chartulary of Torphichen" pp 8-9  
Lands in the Barony of Balantradoch of the annual value of ten marks.

County of Elgin and Forres

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County.  
"Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 - 1896

**Forres**  
"Retours" 116  
1664  
Two roods of Templar land of St. John on the south side of the Burgh to the value of twelve pence per annum.
County of Fife

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. II p 2F - 1896

Abercrombie
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Aberdour
Four tenements in Aberdour in the Regality of Drem.
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Balcomno
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Palfarge
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Balgonny
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Balmullis
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Bambroche
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Byne
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Carnebie
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Collestoun
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Coupar
Two tenements in the Purgh are in the Regality of Drem.
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Cowcairny
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Craighall
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670

Craigtoun
Templar land. "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
County of Fife

Craill
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Three tenements in the town.

Inchquall
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.

Inchmartein
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.

Inverkeithing
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land and tenement in Inverkeithing; also two pieces
of arable land beside Inverkeithing and two Templar lands
in Inverkeithing.

Kinghorn
"Retours" 690 & 1074 & 1330
Templar lands beside the Burgh; also two tenements in
Kinghorn are in the Regality of Drem.

Kirkforther
Templar land.

Leslie
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.

Lisk
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.

Little Tarbert
Templar land with fishing.

Lochmalony
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.

Londeffron
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.

Lundie
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.

Mayask
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.

Newingstoun
"Retours" 690 & 1074
Templar land.
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Fife

Newtoun
"Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Templar land.

St. Andrews
"Liber Cartarum Prioratus S. Andree" p 139 1165-1178
"Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
The Military Orders seem to have had altogether twelve tenements in St. Andrews.

Skaviecroheard "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Templar land near Cupar.

Spinan de Lundie "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Templar land.

Stremerlo "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Templar land.

Struthers "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Templar land.

Templehill "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Templar land.

Templestartharlie "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Urquhart "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Templar land.

Waddieshauche "Retours" 690 & 1074 1645 & 1670
Templar land.

The total annual value of the Templar lands mentioned in the "Retours" 690 & 1074 was 25.
County of Forfar

Both Hospitallers and Templars had property in this County.

"Rotuli" Vol I p 28 - 1866

Brichtie "Retours" 3541 & 357
Four acres of Templar land within the town of Brichtie of the annual value of 50/-.

Dowcroft - Newdoak "Retours" 553
or "Trewetakke Templar lands of the annual value of 15/4.

Hillend or Templebank
Situated in the village of Haltoun. The name would seem to indicate this as Templar property.

Kinblachmont "Retours" 130
Called Templelands of Kinblachmont. Annual value 5/-.

Kincaldrum "Retours" 375 & 477
The Templar lands of Kincaldrum are of the annual value of 3/-.

Kinreich "Retours" 418
Temple lands near Kirriemuir.

Murehouse "Retours" 341 & 357
Temple lands of the half lands of Murehouse.

Netherdrumley "Retours" 331
Temple lands with pasture of the annual value of eighteen pence.

Tempillhill "Retours" 29
Name alone gives indication of Templar possession.

Tempill-Loey-Ardoch "Retours" 103
Templer lands in Loey-Montrose of the annual value of 5/-.

Tempilltoure "Retours" 243 & 314
Land in Errol which would seem from its name to be Templar.

Templebank "Retours" 254
Templer land east of the village of Heyratoun near Glamis.
County of Forfar

**Templehouse** "Retours" 450 1671
The name would seem to indicate that this is Templar land.
The value is 6/£ per annum.

**Temptours - Auchterhouse** "Retours" 527 & 536 1655 & 1695
Templar lands of the annual value of 4/-.

County of Haddington

**Aberlady** "Retours" 121, 202, 203, 300, 303 1640 & 1645
& 1645 & 1670 & 1670
Templar land with two tenements.

**Athallstainfurde** "Retours" 302, 310, 300 1645 & 1649
& 1670
Templar lands in village.

**Barro** "Retours" 302, 300 1645 & 1670
Templar land in village of Barro.

**Reinstoun** "Retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Templar lands.

**Corsbandland** "Retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Templar lands.

**Corsehill** "Retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Templar lands.

**Croce-flat & Croce-Bethlem** "Retours" 140 1633
Two bovates of Templar land called Croce-flat and the Templar lands of Croce-Bethlem in Dirleton. Annual value twenty pennies.

**Dirltoun** "Retours" 148, 202, 300 1634 & 1645
& 1670
Templar lands.

**Drem** "Retours" 202, 300 1645, & 1670
Robertson's "Index" XLIV p 31 1389-1371
Templar lands in Drem.
POSSessions of the military orders in scotland

county of taddington

Dunbar "retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
three tenements in the town of dunbar are mentioned along with templar lands.

Duncanlaw "retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Template lands.

Fister-Tempill Hall "retours" 126 1629

Faistoun
land in the regality of torphichen of the annual value of £25.6.0. See Faistoun under county of edinburgh.

Fistfortoun "retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Templar lands in the village of fistfortoun.

Fibottill "retours" 202, 319, 300, 338 & 1670 & 1680
Templar lands.

Fistfenton "Regist.sMarie de Neubotle"
pp 861-87 nos 117 & 118
land which with land near Pefre owes service to the templars of thirteen pence.

Fentoun "retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Templar land with fishing.

Garwood "retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Templar lands.

Gulan "retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
"Reg.Fec.Col.S. Trin.de Edinburgh"
p 323 no 13 1505
Templar tenements and lands of about fourteen acres.

Haddington "retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Eleven tenements mentioned along with templar lands elsewhere.

Hedderweik "retours" 202, 319, 300, 338 & 1645 & 1649 & 1670 & 1680
Templar lands.

Innerweik "retours" 202, 300 1645 & 1670
Templar lands in village.

Lafnaraw "retours" 181, 202, 203, 300 1640 & 1645 & 1645 & 1670
Templar tenement with garden and rig.
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Haddington

Lesliecruck  "Retours" 202,500  Templar lands at Dirleton,  1645 & 1670

Lucusland  "Retours" 202,500  Templar lands at Gullane,  1645 & 1670

Norham  "Retours" 202,219,500,58p  Templar lands,  1645 & 1649 & 1670 & 1680

North Berwik  "Retours" 202,219,500,58p  Templar lands and tenement,  1645 & 1649 & 1670 & 1680

Pefre  "Reg. S. Marie de Neubotle"  pp 86, 87 no 117, 118  Land near Pefre along with Patufenton owes service to the Templars of thirteen pence.

Pencaitland  "Retours" 202,500  Templar land,  1645 & 1670

Chultrig  "Retours" 202,500  Templar land in Spott,  1645 & 1670

Rystavill  "Retours" 202,500  Templar land in Garvald,  1645 & 1670

St. Rotbarda  "Retours" 202,500  Templar land,  1645 & 1670

Sandersden  "Retours" 202,500  Two Templar lands,  1645 & 1670

Scheilles  Robertson's "Index" XXXVI p 11 1514-1889  Land given by the Master of the Hospital of St. John.

Seytoun  "Retours" 202,500  Templar lands,  1645 & 1670

Spensisland  "Retours" 219,58p  Templar land in the village of East Fortune of the annual value of twelve pence,  1649 & 1680

Spot  "Retours" 202,500  Templar land in the village of Spott,  1645 & 1670

Standingstairrig  "Retours" 202,500  Templar lands in Spott,  1645 & 1670
POSSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Haddington

Templihalls "Retours" 189 Land in the Regality of Torphichen. 1629

Templifield "Retours" 202,300,388 Templar land at Haddington. 1645 & 1670

Templecroft "Retours" 181,205,305 & 1699

Templar land of the annual value of twelve pence. 1640 & 1645

Templestoun "Index" Robertson's XXXVI p 11 1514-1539

Lands of Templestoun and Scheills given by the Master of the Hospital of St. John.

Tranent "Retours" 202,300 1645 & 1670

Tunynghane "Retours" 202,219,300,338 1645 & 1649

Wester Templhall "Retours" 126,130,273 & 1670 & 1680

The name would seem to indicate this as Templar land. Annual value £2.

Wester Templhall and Tofthous

Three pounds annually was the value of all the above with the exception of Croce-flat, Croce-Bethlem, Estfenton, Eister-Templihall-Paistoun, Pefre, Scheills, Spensisland, Templecroft, Templestoun, Templihalls and Wester Templihall.

County of Inverness

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. I p 28 - 1896


Templar lands.
### Possessions of the Military Orders in Scotland

**County of Inverness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boisgaine</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 77</td>
<td>1653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boigschang</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 77</td>
<td>1653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boigschangend</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 77</td>
<td>1653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddockburne</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 77</td>
<td>1653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempell - cruk</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 77</td>
<td>1653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total annual value of all the above was 86/6 with the exception of Ardersier.

**County of Kincardine**

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County.

### Rotuli Vol. I p 25 - 1396

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auchinlownie</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 79</td>
<td>1644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lands and salmon fishing in the Dee within the Barony of Maryculter worth annually 26/6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benholme</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar lands worth nine pence per annum.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaires</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 43, 113, 126</td>
<td>1625 &amp; 1669 &amp; 1674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land in the Barony of Maryculter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coattoun</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 43, 113, 126</td>
<td>1625 &amp; 1669 &amp; 1674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land with salmon fishing in the Dee within the Barony of Maryculter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convalie</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 143</td>
<td>1692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templar lands worth annually 5/4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POSSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Kincardine

Dowcroft-Newdock  "Retours" 71, 98  
Templar lands of the annual value of 13/4.  
1638 & 1648

Faster Fshintillie "Retours" 43, 113, 126  
Land in the Barony of Maryculter.  
1625 & 1669 & 1674

Faster Tulliburies "Retours" 43, 113, 126  
Land in the Barony of Maryculter.  
1625 & 1669 & 1674

Eastland  "Retours" 43, 113, 126  
Land in the Barony of Maryculter.  
1625 & 1669 & 1674

Fordun-Diraycroft  "Retours" 21  
Croft near the Temple of Fordoun. Doubtful if this belonged to the Military Orders.  
1607

Kintewline  "Retours" 43, 113, 126  
Wood in Barony of Maryculter.  
1625 & 1669 & 1674

Maines of Maryculter "Retours" 43, 113, 126  
Land in the Barony of Maryculter.  
1625 & 1669 & 1674

Strathachin or Strauchquhanie  "Retours" 9  
Three acres of Templar land south of the Water of Peuche. 
Annual value twelve pence.  
1603

Templiltown  "Retours" 70, 106  
There is only the name to suggest that this is Templar.  
1637 & 1664

Templecroft  "Retours" 19  
There is only the name to suggest that this is Templar.  
1606

Tullieskeith  "Retours" 43, 113, 126  
Land in the Barony of Maryculter.  
1625 & 1669 & 1674

Wester Tullibouries "Retours" 43, 113, 126  
Land with salmon fishing in the Dee within the Barony of Maryculter.  
1625 & 1669 & 1674

Eight pounds was the total value of all the above excepting Auchinlownie, Benholme, Convalle, Dowcroft, Fordun-Diraycroft, Strathachin, Templecroft, Templiltown and Wester Tullibouries.
POSSSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Kinross

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County.

"Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 - 1296

County of Kirkcudbright

Balmagie  "Retours" 331  1677
Croft near Temple of Balmagie mentioned along with other Templar land.

Ballochen  "Retours" 331  1677
Templar land in Boothill Parish.

Chappeltoune  "Retours" 331  1677
Six acres of Templar land in the Parish of Kirkanders.

Crocemichael  "Retours" 331  1677
Templar croft.

Caitneys  "Retours" 331  1677
Land mentioned along with Templar land.

Inglistoun  "Retours" 331  1677
Templar land and croft in the Parish of Kirkanders.

Kirkcudbright  "Retours" 331  1677
Three acres of Templar land in the Parish of Kirkcudbright and a Templar tenement with a rig of land in the Burgh.

Knockuray  "Retours" 331  1677
Templar land and croft in the Parish of Kirkanders.

Knock-Overgaltney  "Retours" 203  1633
Land in the Regality of Torphichen to the annual value of three pounds.

Meikle-Gaitneys  "Retours" 331  1677
Land mentioned along with Templar land.

St. John's Croft  "Retours" 331  1677
Two and a half acres of Templar land.
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Kirkcudbright

Templelandcroft "Retours" 268
A croft of the annual value of half a mark which in name would suggest Templar possession.

The annual value of the property in the County as given above, excepting Knok-Overgalttnay and Templelandcroft, was 40/-.

County of Lanark

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County, "Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 - 1298

Firgear "Retours" 143
Two bovates of Templar land called Stane.

Catcastell "Retours" 333
Templar land of the value of 6/6.

Covington "Retours" 143
Templar lands of Cummerland, Northflatt, Pockland and Cliddisflatt of the annual value of £20.

Cowanston "Spottiswoode Misc" Vol. II p 1 1326-1371
The half lands of Cowanston paid to St. John two shillings for service.

Dalserf "Retours" 465
Templar lands of Fairlies or Fairlies with tithes
Annual value 15/4.

Greinsyde "Retours" 373, 464
Templar lands in the Barony of Bothwell.

The Templars had a toft in Glasgow with a net's fishing in the Clyde. Annual value - twelve pence.

Hamilton "Retours" 336
Templar lands in the Burgh of the annual value of £3.
POSSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Lanark

Lanark  "Retours" 333  1678
        "Liber Cart. S. Marie de Dryburgh"
        p. 176  no 916  1814c
The Brothers of the Hospital had lands at Lanark. The
lands of Chappell or Oldmansapletree in Lanark Parish were
Templar and were valued at 6/8 per annum.

Liberton  "Retours" 387  1690
Four bovates of Templar land.

Petenane  "Retours" 5  1680
Four bovates of land at Temple of Petenane called also
Kirkstyle of the annual value of £6.13.4.

Roberton  "Retours" 309  1668
Templar lands and two acres with outsette and meadow in
the village of Hadmgtoun. Also Templar lands with two
acres and meadow in the village of Bakbie. Of the annual
value of £152.

Rotherglen  "Rotuli" Vol. I p 25  1896
The Templars had property in the district.

Stainhous  "Retours" 333  1678
Templar lands of Woodlands valued at 6/8 per annum and
Templar lands on west of Stainhous valued at 3/4 per annum.

Templecrucks  "Retours" 387  1690
Name indicates that this was probably Templar.

Thankerton  "Retours" 203  1642
There were Church lands called St. John's Kirk with
nothing but the name to indicate that they were the
property of the Military Orders.

Toffits  "Retours" 333  1678
Templar land of the value of forty pence.

Wiston  "Retours" 333  1675
Templar lands called St. Ninian's Chappell. Annual value
forty pence.
County of Linlithgow

Balvormie and Quhytbakis
Templar lands with patronage of chaplainry in the Church of Linlithgow, valued at 30/- per annum. The value of Quhytbakis was 50/- per annum.

Boigcoatts
Land in the Barony of Torphichen of the annual value of 37/6.

Catlaws or Gaitsayde Retours 220
Land in the Regality of Torphichen of the annual value of five marks.

Craigtoun or Brownlaw
Templar lands.

Duddistoun
Templar lands.

Linlithgow
There was a cemetery here for the men of Torphichen.

Lochquott
Land in the Barony of Torphichen.

Nethercarlowrie
Templar lands.

Oglishphas
"Lib.Cart.Prior.S.Andree" p 320 1165-1214
"Lib.Cart.S.Crucis" p 36 no 46
The tithes of Oglishphas belonged to the Hospitalers. This may not have been in the County of Linlithgow.

Overcarlowrie
Templar lands. With Nethercarlowrie valued at 13/4 per annum.

Philpenstoun
Templar land of the value of ten pence per annum.

Seattoun
Templar lands.

Temple Liston
Templar lands.

The lands of Liston are valued at 100 marks per annum.
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Linlithgow

Tranent  "Retours" 162  1655
Templer lands.

Uporaigle  "Retours" 162  1655
Templer lands which with Tranent, Duddiestoun and Seattoun were of the annual value of 4/-.

Westerndungie  "Retours" 147  1642

Westwicmie  "Retours" 162  1655
Fifty two acres of Templer land of the annual value of twelve pence.

County of Nairn

Both Templars and Hospitaliers had property in this County.
"Rotuli" Vol. I. p 85  - 1884

County of Orkney and Shetland

County of Peebles

Both Templars and Hospitaliers had property in this County.
"Rotuli" Vol. I. p 85  - 1884

Porthilly  "Retours" 167  1685
Templer lands and lands called St. John's Hills with croft called St. John's Croft and an acre of land called Greytaine Aker. Annual value 5/4.

Pirture  "Retours" 161, 14f  1685 & 1688
Templer land of the annual value of 5/4.
### County of Peebles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Peebles        | "Retours" 179 | 1660      | Tenement of Templar land in the Burgh, an acre called Templeland or Rudaiker. Annual value 8/-.
| Stainhope      | "Retours" 54, 59 | 1618 & 1822 | Act. Parl. Scot. 1606 cap. 82 1606. The annual value of this property is given as forty marks. |
| Templehouse    | "New Statistical Account" | 1606 | In Manor Parish with nothing but the name to indicate possible Templar connection. |

### County of Perth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auchterarder</td>
<td>&quot;Rotuli&quot; Vol. I, p 25</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>The Templars had property in this district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barthyok</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; FO8</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>Templar lands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balgavie</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; FO8</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>Templar lands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballegerno</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; FO8</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>Templar land of the value of twenty shillings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Busington</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; FO8</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>Templar land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concoragh</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 70F, 80</td>
<td>1662 &amp; 1675</td>
<td>Templar lands of Lintibbert, Fintellich, Drumgowrock, Drumsachie and lands of Templhill. Annual value 25.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conochrig</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 8F</td>
<td>1601</td>
<td>Templar lands in Strathern.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coileace</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; FO8</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>Templar lands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Year(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortundermy</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinbuckis</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s, 70s, 880</td>
<td>1642 &amp; 1662 &amp; 1675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kynaird</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassingtoone</td>
<td>Templar land, together with Kinbuckis worth £4 per annum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethuldie</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mekle-hor</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moncorwound</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosayd</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>Templar lands and tenements in Burgh</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polgavie</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raitt</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strathern</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templilhill</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 8f, 70s, 880</td>
<td>1601 &amp; 1662 &amp; 1675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templeland</td>
<td>Near Scone. The name would seem to indicate Templar possession</td>
<td>1655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibbermwr</td>
<td>&quot;Retours&quot; 50s</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
County of Perth

Tilliecheppill  "Retours" 508 1642
Templar lands.

County of Renfrew

Greenend  "Retours" 67 1635
Templar land and tenements in the Barony of Renfrew.
Annual value 21/-.

Inchlinnan  "Scott.Hist.Review" Vol. XII no 47 1478
p 330 April 1915
Templar lands of Tucheen of the annual value of 7/-.

Killellan  "Retours" 178 1675
Half mark Templar land. Annual value ten pence.

Mearns  "Retours" 146 1655
"Regist. Mon. de Passelet" p 379 1300 c
Comprising Templar land of Capilrigs, common pasture

Ryvra  "Retours" 6g, 68 1625 & 1626
"Nuæae Dereliotae" VII 1460
Templar lands of the annual value of 86/8.

County of Ross and Cromarty

Cromarty  "Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 1896
The Templars had land in this neighbourhood and probably
property in the Burgh.

Dingwall  "Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 1896
Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this
neighbourhood, and possibly in the town.
County of Roxburgh

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 - 1296

Heton
"Lib. S. Marie de Calochou" Vol. II p 391 no 491 1330c
Land of the Hospital granted to augment a chantry.

Oxnam
"Retours" 245 1669
Templar land to the extent of half a husband-land.

Rutherfoord
"Retours" 241 1667
Four houses and gardens called land of St. John.

St. Roswells
"Liber S. Marie de Dryburgh" p 320 XXXVI 1620c
Temple lands of St. Roswells.

Templecroft
"Retours" 316 1698
Templar tenement.

Templehall
"Retours" 273 1680
In the Parish of Hopkirke of the annual value of 3s/8
There is nothing but the name to indicate Templar connection.

Tilhouse or
"Retours" 251 1670
Templehous
There is nothing but the name to indicate Templar connection.

Wittun
"Liber S. Marie de Melros" Vol. I p 148 no 161 1165 - 1214
Oxgang of land granted to the Hospitallers.

County of Selkirk

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. I p 25 - 1296
County of Stirling

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. I, p 95 – 1396

Cochrainsmalling "Retours" 27, 140
Land in the Parony of Torphichen. 1601 & 1630

Denny "Retours" 27, 140
Land in the Royalty of Torphichen returning £20. 1601 & 1630

Garvald "Retours" 27, 140
Land in the Parony of Torphichen. 1601 & 1630

Inches "Retours" 27, 140
Land with fishing in the Carron within the Parony of Torphichen. 1601 & 1630

Stenhouse "Retours" 27, 140
Land in the Parony of Torphichen. 1601 & 1630

Stirling "Mugrae Derelictae" III p 6 1600
Temple land in the Burgh.

County of Sutherland

County of Wigtown

Both Templars and Hospitallers had property in this County. "Rotuli" Vol. I, p 95 – 1396

Croft of St. John "Retours" 27, 140 1636 & 1697
Probably in the vicinity of Mochrum. The name may indicate Hospitaller property.

Galeweia "Lib.,Cart.,S.Crucis" p 43 no F4 1192
Land granted by Hospitallers to the Canons of Holyrood for a payment of 40/- annually.
POSESSIONS OF THE MILITARY ORDERS IN SCOTLAND

County of Wigtown

St. John's Croft, "Retours" 150
Inache and Leeswalt
The name may indicate Hospitaller connection.

St. John's Croft, "Retours" 169
Lomberite
The name may indicate Hospitaller connection.

St. John's Croft, "Retours" 106
Saulset
The name may indicate Hospitaller connection. The annual value was three marks with 3/4 in augmentation.
In addition to the Hospitallers and the Templars there were three Religious Orders which gained a footing in Scotland on account of the Crusades. These may not seem to be so directly the product of the Crusades, but it was not only during the period of the Crusades, it was as a result of the Crusades that they came to have a place in the monastic life of that country. The three Orders were the Lazarites, the Carmelites, and the Trinitarians.

Of the three the Lazarites were the least successful in capturing the imagination and stimulating the generosity of the pious in Scotland. Walcott in his "Scoti-Monasticon" states that the Military Order of St. Lazarus of Jerusalem was founded about the year 1119, and that it had for its object the relief of the poor and helpless members of the Military Orders. It is not difficult to see how he reaches this idea of the purpose of the Lazarites. They had for their object the care of the poor and helpless. There was certainly a similarity between them and the Military Orders, particularly the Hospitallers. They took the name of Hospitallers. Knights Hospitallers of St. Lazarus of Jerusalem was their full designation. The Brethren of the Order like the Hospitallers took

1 "Scoti-Monasticon" Walcott p. 354
arms during the Crusades for the Christian Princes, and gained much credit by their prowess. Indeed it has been claimed that the Lazarites and the Hospitallers had a common origin. This, however, is hardly consistent with the account of the origin of the Hospitallers given by William of Tyre. There is little justification for the claim made that the Order of St. Lazarus goes back to as early a date as the year 73 A.D.

In the early years of the Crusades they were in Jerusalem concerned with the care of the sick, more especially the leprous segregated in their hospital. They followed the Rule of St. Augustine before they were confirmed in it by Pope Alexander IV in 1253. They were in the habit of choosing for their Grand Master a leper, until they sought relief from this regulation and were allowed to change it by Pope Innocent IV. King Louis IX was so impressed by what he saw of them in his first Crusade that he brought back to France with him members of this Order. They soon spread to the other countries of Western Europe. The history of the Order is discussed at length by Helyot in his "Dictionnaire des Ordres Religieux".

Their possessions in Scotland were not extensive. In the thirteenth century they were established at Harop described as in the County of Edinburgh. They had also property in the

(1) William of Tyre Lib.XVIII Cap. IV p.711
(2) "Dictionnaire des Ordres Religieux" Helyot Vol II
(3) "Rotuli Scotiae" Vol. I p. 85.
town of Linlithgow, as well as lands called Spitaltown and
St. Giles' Grange near Edinburgh.

More successful than the Lazarites in securing a footing
in Scotland were the Carmelites, known as the White Friars or
Birry Friars. According to the "Scotichronicon" these made
their appearance in Scotland in 1261. An even earlier
origin has been claimed for the Carmelites than for the
Lazarites. Attempts have been made to trace the Order back to
Elijah and even to Enoch. This was the subject of heated
controversy between Jesuits and Carmelites until both sides
were ordered by Pope Innocent XII to cease without satisfaction
being given to either side. It would seem that Berthold of
Limogs about the middle of the twelfth century was leader of
a body of hermits who gathered round him. His successor
Brocard in the year 1209 asked for a Rule from Albert,
Patriarch of Jerusalem, and this was given. It is claimed
that they were confirmed in this Rule by Pope Honorius III, but
Lea in "A History of Auricular Confession" describes this Bull
as fictitious. The date of the Bull is variously given. In
the "Constitutiones Fratrum" it is given as 1217. Hélyot
assigns it to 1224. Lea states that it bears the date 1226.

(1) "Rejistrum S. Marie de Neubollie" p.149 no 184
(2) "Scotichronicon" Lib. XI, Cap. XXI, Vol. II p.161
(3) do Lib. X, Cap. XIV, Vol. II p.97
(4) "A History of Auricular Confession" Lea Vol.III
(5) "Constitutiones Fratrum de Monte Carmeli" p.2
(6) "Dictionnaire des Ordres Religieux" Hélyot I p.667
The success of the Saracens made Mount Carmel an impossible place of abode for the members of this Order, and the resolution was reached to abandon Syria. The year 1180 was about the time of the migration to Europe. Their coming to Scotland was about twenty years later.

During the period of the Crusades the property of this Order does not appear to have been extensive, although additions were made to it afterwards. The Appendix to the "Book of Plascarden" gives the houses of this Order in Scotland as Dunbar, Linlithgow, Fullum, Abriem, Pruyne, Bampoh, and Quintis Pery. The Appendix to the "Scotichromicon" omits Dunbar but adds Inverberery. Spotiswood in his "Religious Houses" adds St. Andrews, Greenside and Inverberery to the list in the Appendix to the "Book of Plascarden". Walcott in his "Scot-Monasticon" includes all in these lists except St. Andrews, and he adds Berwick and Roxburgh. The additions of Walcott are doubtful. Of the others some were founded at a date later than the thirteenth century.

According to the "Scotichromicon" the first settlement of the Carmelites was at Fullum near Perth. This land was given to the Order by Richard, Bishop of Dunkeld. Hector Boece

(1) "Liber Plascardensis" Appendix I p. 40
(2) "Scotichromicon" Vol. II, p. 140
(3) "Religious Houses" Spotiswood pp. 475-477
(4) "Scot-Monasticon" Walcott p. 256
(5) "Scotichromicon" Lib. X. Cap. XILV. Vol. II. p. 97
FURTHER CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE CRUSADES TO THE MONASTIC LIFE

 informs us to the same effect. This took place about the year 1241. Aberdeen was evidently provided with a Carmelite house before 1273, because a writ of that date by Reginald le Chen grants to the Carmelites there an annual of eight marks sterling till their buildings should be completed. At Dunbar, according to the "New Statistical Account of Scotland," the seventh Earl of Dunbar founded in 1283 a monastery of Carmelite or White Friars, of which, however, no vestige remains. The same authority adds that some Roman medals were found on digging the site of the reservoir, which led to the supposition that this had been the site of the Carmelite Friary. In the "Registrum Magni Sigilli Regum Scotorum" land in the neighbourhood of Dunbar belonging to the Carmelite Brothers is mentioned as a boundary. The Carmelite Monastery at Linlithgow according to the "New Statistical Account" was founded by the inhabitants of the Town in 1290, and stood on the south side of the Town, where an eminence still bears the name of Friar's Brae. In the "Registrum Honoris de Morton" we find a charter of Sir. James Douglas giving to the Brothers of the Order of the Blessed Virgin of Mount Carmel in the Chapel of the Blessed Virgin Mary near Linlithgow a gift of four acres.

(2) "Aberdeen Friars" Anderson p. 12
(3) "New Statistical Account" Vol. II p. 79
(4) "Reg. Mag. S13." 9 Jac. VI 2545
(5) "New Statistical Account" Vol. II p. 175
(6) "Registrum Honoris de Morton" Vol. II p. 200 to 211
There is also reference to the Carmelite Brothers of Linlithgow in the "Exchequer Rolls of Scotland" dated 1461 and 1464. Luffness must evidently have been a Carmelite settlement before 1803 for a charter of that date in the "Registrum Sancte Marie de Neubotle" mentions the Carmelite Brothers of Luffenauch. These would appear to be the only houses of this Order founded before the close of the thirteenth century.

The possessions of the Trinity Friars or Red Friars were more numerous and more important than those of either the Lazarites or the Carmelites. The origin of this Order is not so obscure, nor is it claimed to go back to so early a date. It was founded towards the end of the twelfth century, and its founding was due to the inspiration of Jean de Matha and Félix de Valois, particularly the former. Jean de Matha was born at Faucon in Provence in the year 1160, and gave early evidence of his piety. After his ordination as a priest he joined himself to Félix of the district of Valois who was living a life of austerity as a hermit. They went together in 1188 to Rome where they were received favourably by Innocent III. The result of the visit was the founding of this Order with the title of the Holy Trinity and also the Redemption of Captives, as the purpose of the Order was the redemption of

(1) "Rotuli Scaccarii Regum Scotorum" 49, 66, 264.
(2) "Registrum S. Marie de Neubotle" p.141
captives from the hands of the infidels. Coming back to France they founded a settlement at Cerfroy, and built a monastery there. Jean de Matha went once more to Rome, and obtained sanction for the Rule given to the Order. They are described as Augustinian by Clement VI, Boniface IX, Pius X and Clement VIII, but they claimed on several occasions to be Canons Regular. A third of their revenues was to be used for the redemption of captives. Helyot, who gives an account of the Order, states that they had nine monasteries in Scotland. According to Rector Boece their introduction into Scotland took place before 1314, two of the Order being sent by Pope Innocent to King William, who gave them a settlement at Aberdeen.

In the Appendix to the "Book of Pluscarden" the houses of this Order are given as Fale, Houstowne, Katnes, Crennach and Scotlandis-Welis. The Appendix to the "Scotichronicon" adds to this Pebles and Abirden. Spotiswood in his "Religious Houses" adds to the seven in the Appendix to the "Scotichronicon" Dunbar, Berwick-on-Tweed, Dundee, Lochfeal, Brechin and Lufness, and instead of Katnes and Crennach has Dornoch and Cromarty. Walcott in his "Scoti-Monasticon" omits Lochfeal from the list of Spotiswood, but adds Dunet. The "Monasticon" of

(1) "Dictionnaire des Ordres Religieux" Helyot III p. 706
(3) "Liber Pluscardensis" Appendix I p. 406
(4) "Scotichronicon" Appendix Vol. II p. 540
(5) "Religious Houses" Spotiswood pp. 395-396
(6) "Scoti-Monasticon" Walcott p. 348
Gordon adds to Spotiswood's list Dumet and Soltre, and has two Houstons, one in East Lothian and one in Renfrewshire, (1) sixteen houses in all.

There is little reason to doubt the statement of Hector Boece that the first settlement of Trinity Friars was at Aberdeen before the year 1314. In 1275 a charter mentions the Friars of the Holy Trinity who confirm a grant of land at Aberdeen. There is also a grant of certain lands at Aberdeen to the Minister and Friars of the Holy Trinity before the end of the thirteenth century. Friar Hugh, Minister of the Order of the Trinity of Aberdeen, signs the deed of homage to Edward I in 1296. Again the Friars of the Holy Trinity of Aberdeen are taxed in Boyamund's assessment at sixteen shillings.

The establishment of a house of this Order at Berwick is also quite clear. The assessment of Boyamund rates the Brothers of the Holy Trinity of Berwick at six marks. In 1396 the property of the Minister of the Order of the Holy Trinity and Captives of Berwick is restored and includes land in the Counties of Forfar, Berwick and Roxburgh.

(1) "Monasticon" Gordon p.290
(2) Hector Boece Lib. XIII Cap. X, p.332
(3) "Aberdeen Friars" Anderson p.13
(4) do p.13
(5) do p.14
(6) Theiner p.111 CCLXIV
(7) do p.114 CCLXIV
(8) "Rotuli Scotiae" Vol. I p.28
There is little doubt that Houston was established before the end of the thirteenth century, for again in 1296 the property of the Master of the Hospital of the Holy Trinity of Houston in the County of Faddington is ordered to be restored.

 Hector Roese gives a full account of the building of an Abbey in the honour of the Holy Cross at Peebles. He describes the finding of part of the true Cross there as the result of the vision of a monk of Melrose. King Alexander moved by devotion caused the Abbey to be founded. This took place about the year 1201 and is described in similar terms in the "Gesta Annalis" of Fordun. Hector Roese adds the information that in this house are now monks after the Order of the Trinity.

As for Failford we know that in 1265 Robert, Minister of Failford, was the Administrator General of the Order of the Holy Trinity.

The claim regarding Peebleshire receives doubtful support from the fact that, according to Kennedy's "Annals of Aberdeen", in 1600 Prior John of Peebleshire was Minister of the Trinity Monastery at Aberdeen.

(1) "Fruitul Scotiae" Vol. I p.30
(2) Hector Roese M.A. XIII Cap. XVI p.343
(3) "Gesta Annalis" Fordun III p.369
(4) "Aberdeen Friars" Anderson p.68
(5) "Annals of Aberdeen" Kennedy Vol. III p.68
Gordon in his "Monasticon" declares that Scotlandwell was bestowed on the Red Friars by David de Benham, Bishop of St. Andrews, and that the charter is dated "In crastino Circumcisionis Domini anno 1250". He further states that the gift occasioned the Regular Canons to complain to the Pope that the Bishop had introduced the Red Friars into a parish belonging to them. To this complaint Innocent IV answered with a Bull dated about 1250 for preventing such enterprises. It may be this gift which is referred to in the charter to the Brothers of Scotlandwell by David, Bishop of St. Andrews. At least in 1254 there is a commission from Pope Alexander IV for the termination of the contention between the Prior of St. Andrews and the Bishop and William of Valoyes in the matter of the introduction of the Brothers of the Order of the Holy Trinity and Captives within the territory of the churches and parishes of the Prior and Chapter against their privileges.

In support of Dunbar, Gordon quotes Brockie's Manuscript.

He traces the founding of the Trinitarian House there to Patrick, the Earl, who sent one of the Order, John Cummins, from Aberdeen on a mission to Algeria to ransom a kinsman. When John Cummins returned the Earl founded a house of the Order.

(1) "Monasticon" Gordon p. 292
(2) "Liber Cartarum Prioratus S. Andree" p. XXVIII no 46
(3) do
(4) "Monasticon" Gordon p. 390
and appointed Cumming the first Minister of it. The "New Statistical Account" states that part of the building is still standing in the Friars' Croft. At least the "Registram Magni Sigilli Regum Scotorum" mentions under date 1580 certain land at Dunbar which evidently belonged to the Minister of the Church of the Holy Cross of Peebles, and the Monastery of the Order of the Holy Trinity.

The founding of the Trinitarian house at Dundee is attributed by Gordon to Sir James Scrimgeour, Provost of Dundee, about the year 1283. He gives as authority Brockie's Manuscript. This may be the property in the County of Forfar referred to in 1286.

Brockie's Manuscript is also Gordon's authority for Luffness In the "Transactions of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland" there is an article on the Parish of Aberlady in which it is stated that there is an enclosure called the Friarsward containing the vestiges of a chapel and other buildings said to have been a monastery of the Red Friars. This, however, looks suspiciously like the remains assigned by the "New Statistical Account" to the Carmelites.

The authority of Brockie's Manuscript is also given for the property of the Trinitarians at Dunnet.

(1) "New Stat. Acc" Vol. II p.79
(2) "Reg. Mag. Sig." 15 Jac. VI 5037
(3) "Monasticon" Gordon p.301
(4) "Rotuli Scotiae" Vol. I p.285
(5) "Monasticon" Gordon p.303
(8) "Monasticon" Gordon p.303
Soltre can hardly have belonged to this Order, although the charter founding it grants the land to the Hospital of the Holy Trinity of Soltre. The land was the gift of King Malcolm and therefore earlier than the founding of the Trinity Friars.

A difficulty of the same nature is suggested regarding Dornoch, which is said to have owed its foundation to Sir Patrick Murray. According to Brockie's Manuscript Sir Patrick was also the founder of the Order at Cromarty. In the "Origines Parochiales Scotiae" the suggestion is made regarding Dornoch that there was a colony here from Dunfermline, which was dedicated to the Holy Trinity, and that this may have caused the colony to have been confused with the Trinitarians. Lochfeal was most likely Fallford under another name. The double mention of Houston may have arisen from a confusion between Houston in Haddingtonshire and Houston in Renfrewshire.

It is quite clear that the Crusades contributed in no small way to the expansion of the monastic life in Scotland. They were the means of introducing fresh Monastic Orders into the country. Through the Orders, which they were the means of introducing, substantial additions were made to the monastic property in the kingdom. Not only so but the Crusades contributed

(1) "Registrum Domus de Soltre" p. 55
(2) "Monasticon" Gordon p. 300
(3) do p. 302
(4) "Origines Parochiales" II (2) p. 625
to the expansion of the monastic ideal. The twelfth and thirteenth centuries were centuries of extraordinary monastic development in Scotland, and in this development the Crusades played no unimportant part.
FURTHER INFLUENCE OF THE CRUSADES ON THE CONTEMPORARY RELIGIOUS LIFE IN SCOTLAND

Chapter I  The Relation of the Scottish Church to the Apostolic See.

Chapter II  The Development of Papal Taxation.

Chapter III  The Development of Indulgences.
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Roger de Wendover - "Chronica", Magistri Rogeri de Wendover, (Chronicles and Memorials).

Roger of Wendover - "Flores Historiarum", Roger de Wendover, (Chronicles and Memorials).

"Scotichronicon" - "Scotichronicon" Johannis de Fordun cum Supplementis et Continuatione Walteri Poveri Insulae Sancti Columbae Abbatis.

Theiner - "Vetera Monumenta Hibernorum et Scotorum Historiam Illustrantia," Augustinus Theiner.
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William of Tyre - "Historia Rerum in Partibus Transmarinis Gestarum", A Venerabili Guillelmo Tyrensi Archiepiscopo. (J.P. Migne)
Looking not simply at the monastic expansions of the period but at the general trend of the development of the Scottish Church we find during the crusading years a closer linking up of that Church with the Apostolic See. The fair theologian Margaret was successful in bringing into the land of her adoption a greater conformity with Roman usages in religion. Her erudition, her piety, as well as her authority commended that closer union which brought about the subjection of the Scottish Church to Rome, and made the Scottish Church (1) an integral part of the Roman Church.

It would be foolish to claim that this linking up of the Scottish Church to Rome was the result of the Crusades. There is no reason to doubt that, even without the Crusades, the Scottish Church would have followed the Romeward course. Other influences were leading it in that direction. The work begun by Queen Margaret was carried on by Saxons and Normans both clerics and laymen who found their way into Scotland during

(1) Haddan and Stubbs II pt. I pp.156-159
the succeeding years. There was a coming and going between England and Scotland, a growth of intercourse between the two countries, which undoubtedly made for greater uniformity even in ecclesiastical matters. There was also a growth of intercourse between Scotland and the nations of Western Europe which made isolation in religious concerns more difficult. While Scotland would no doubt, like England and the other Christian countries of Western Europe, have come to look more and more to Rome for leadership in religion, we can hardly fail to recognise that the Crusades helped towards this. In directing attention to the Holy Land the Apostolic See succeeded in turning the eyes of the Christian nations towards itself. It did so even beyond its own expectations and was quick to avail itself of the influence thus attained. The Crusades had a centralising effect particularly in religion. They united the Christian nations in a common cause for a religious purpose at the bidding of the papal call. The voice that could summon together so many different nations and could inaugurate so extensive a movement was bound to command attention and to gain authority. At the same time no more can be claimed for the Crusades than that they served to further the influences at work in bringing the Scottish Church into closer connection with Rome.

An important stage in the relationship of the Scottish Church with the Apostolic See was reached when the Scottish
Church was declared a "special daughter" of Rome by Pope Clement III. This act of Pope Clement effected the settlement of a dispute between the Scottish and English Churches, which had caused keen and bitter contention. While the Scottish Church had yielded with a good grace to English influence bringing it into conformity with English usages, it had strenuously claimed its independence of the English Church. It was quite ready to model itself on the Anglican form, and to admit to high office Anglican clerics, but it stoutly resisted the attempts to bring it into subjection to the Archbishop of York or even to the Archbishop of Canterbury. It was natural that the desire for national independence should foster in the Scottish Church an impatience with any subordination to England. As stoutly as Scotland sought to contend for its national freedom from English domination, the Scottish Church clung to the declaration of its spiritual freedom from any subjection to the English Church. This freedom it found in a more direct subjection to the Apostolic See itself. The Bull of Pope Clement III declared the Scottish Church to be directly subject to Rome, reserving the right to pronounce sentence of excommunication or interdict in Scotland to the Pope himself or to his legate "a latere", and decreeing that none shall exercise the office of legate in the Kingdom except a Scottish subject (1) or one specially sent from the Court of Rome.

(1) Roger de Hoveden Vol. II pp.360-361
Benedictus Abbas Vol. II pp.234-235
It was little more than a year after Clement's letter that King Richard I of England gave back to Scotland for a payment of ten thousand marks what that country had surrendered by the Treaty of Falaise. This restoration of Scotland's national independence links itself to Richard's Crusade, providing, as it did, the English King with money necessary for his expedition to the Holy Land besides gaining the goodwill of a near neighbour during Richard's absence from England. Any connection between the Crusades and the charter of the freedom of the Scottish Church from English domination is less obvious. At the same time we must consider the Bull of Clement III in its two aspects. It was a victory for the stubborn resistance of the Scottish Church against subjection to any English Archbishop, but it was also a triumph of papal diplomacy. Cunningham describes it as a masterly stroke of policy on the part of Rome, and when we consider it carefully we find some justification for describing it in this way. It is obvious that whatever Scotland gained in the way of freedom from subjection to the English Church, Rome also gained by a closer connection between the Scottish Church and the Holy See. It attached the Scottish Church more nearly to Rome, and even in his letter Clement indicates this as his aim. Over and above that, the position of the Holy See at the time brings other considerations before us which are not without significance.

(1) Fordun "Gesta Annalia" XX-X.I pp. 871-873
(2) "Church History of Scotland" Cunningham Vol. I p.106
Not long before this the Holy See had passed through the ordeal of the Papal Schism with the stubborn eighteen years of contest for supremacy between Pope Alexander III and Frederick Barbarossa. During the struggle Henry II of England, although favourable towards Pope Alexander, had given the pontiff some anxious moments, particularly when the conflict between the English King and Thomas à Becket was at its height. The contest between Alexander III and Frederick Barbarossa had emphasised the danger to papal claims from powerful rulers and widely extended empires. It had emphasised the value, from the papal point of view, of the smaller independent kingdoms and the wisdom of encouraging them. Eleven years had passed since the victory of the papacy in the struggle with Barbarossa. Between Alexander III and Clement III there had intervened the pontificate of Lucius III, then that of Urban III and the two months pontificate of Gregory VIII, but still Clement would hardly be forgetful of the lessons of the conflict of Pope Alexander. Clement was conciliatory in his policy but, whether conciliatory or aggressive, the trend of the papal policy remained much the same, and Rome had learned that the friendship and independence of the smaller kingdoms could be valuable.

Apart from questions of general policy there is a letter sent by Pope Alexander III to the Bishops of Scotland in 1176 which would seem to indicate the light in which he regarded
the action of Henry II of England when he sought to force the
Scottish Bishops into subjection to the Church of England.
He speaks of it as an injury toward God and a contempt for
the Holy See, as well as an infringement of ecclesiastical
liberty. Of course the Popes did not always speak in their
letters with the same voice, but it is easy to understand that
Pope Alexander III was rather sensitive where any infringement
of ecclesiastical or papal rights was concerned.

The issue of the controversy was still further complicated
by the dispute which arose between the Pope and William the
Lion over the election of the Bishop of St. Andrews. On the
death of Bishop Richard, the Canons of St. Andrews met and
elected John Scott as his successor, but this did not satisfy
King William who, in spite of an appeal against it, had his
own chaplain Hugh consecrated as Bishop of St. Andrews. It
was now the Scottish King who was infringing upon ecclesiastical
liberties. In writing to remonstrate Pope Alexander mentions
that he has laboured for the peace and liberty of Scotland and
holds out the threat that, if the King do not submit, he will
use the same diligence in labouring for the subjection of his
Kingdom. William the Lion was not easily shaken in his
determination and the Pope had to put into force his spiritual

(1) Hadcan and Stubbs II pt. I pp. 245-246
(2) Roger de Hoveden Vol. II p. 208, Benedictus Algor I p. 250
(3) do Vol. II p. 212 do I p. 283
powers by excommunicating the King and placing his Kingdom under an interdict before the royal offender could be brought to submission. In addition the Pope did not hesitate to call the secular arm to his assistance and to instruct Henry of England to constrain the Scottish King by his royal authority. The dispute was not settled until the beginning of the pontificate of Clement III. The sentence of excommunication had been reversed and the interdict had been recalled by Lucius III on the request and submission of the Scottish King in 1182, but still the final settlement was not reached until Clement III became Pope. Bishop John was by this time satisfied with peaceful possession of the Bishopric of Dunkeld. Hugh had been deposed and excommunicated, and set out for Rome to make his submission. It was a settlement more in the nature of a compromise than of a decided victory for either side. Nevertheless the Scottish King had submitted to the papal will and Rome was always ready to be gracious towards those who were ready to recognise its authority or to yield to its claims and to confer on them such a special favour as that given when the Scottish Church was taken under Rome's direct control.

The controversy reveals a papacy strong in the assertion of its rights and maintaining its absolute independence and
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sovereignty in ecclesiastical matters. It reveals also a papacy which could dictate to kings and could even call on the aid of the secular powers to enforce its will. Such aims and claims cannot be described as the result of the Crusades, but the Crusades certainly strengthened and encouraged the papacy in them. The power which could gather together vast armies to fight for the recovery of the Holy Land, which could claim the leadership in the martial enterprise of the Crusades, saw its ambitions expanded by this and coming nearer to realisation. To impose the papal will upon Europe came nearer to fulfilment when the papacy could command armed forces which could be directed against heretics and even against rebellious rulers. It is not possible to claim more for the Crusades than that they stimulated papal ambitions, but it is not possible to ignore the importance of the influence of the Crusades in shaping the policy of the Holy See and establishing it as a power among the Christian nations.

The granting to Scotland of the privilege of being a "special daughter" of Rome is contemporaneous with the efforts made to raise the Saladin Tithe. The capture of Jerusalem by the infidels in 1187 gave a stimulus to crusading zeal. Henry II of England was stirred to impose a levy of a tenth upon all within his jurisdiction as a Holy Land subsidy. He sought to extend the same to Scotland but met with no success. (1)

(1) Roger de Veneden Vol. II p339, Benedictus Abbas II 41
letter of Clement III bringing the Scottish Church into immediate subjection to the Holy See is dated only a month later than the date of the Council at Geddington at which the levy of the Saladin Tithe was decreed. The attempt of King Henry to bring Scotland into line with England in the matter of this assessment could hardly have failed or the knowledge of its failure could hardly have reached Rome before Clement's letter was sent out. At the same time, Rome was acquainted with Scottish affairs, and even if the possibility of a Scottish refusal to the call for the Saladin Tithe had not occurred to Pope Clement, at least the possibility of a more ready and loyal response from Scotland to the crusading demands of the time may have suggested itself to the papal mind if only the Scottish Church were brought into a closer connection with the Holy See.

Apart altogether from conjecture and indirect influence the Crusades actually had a distinct influence upon the Scottish Church brought into closer relationship with Rome in the growth of papal taxation and the development of indulgences.
Another feature of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries in Scotland was the rise and development of a system of papal taxation. The Scottish Church became a "special daughter" of the Holy See, and she was called upon to contribute liberally at the bidding of the parent who claimed her. The demands came slowly at first, but soon increased in insistence and exorbitance. At the beginning of the Crusades there was practically nothing of this nature in Scotland, but by the close of the thirteenth century Scotland was well accustomed to financial exactions imposed by the various popes. We must look to the Crusades as the cause of such exactions. The Crusades provided the opportunity for the development of a papal tribute which soon came to occupy an established place in the organisation of the Roman Church.

The various expeditions that set out for the Holy Land were not easily financed. In the beginning the difficulty was not so pressing. The first inspiration of the movement called forth the necessary men with the necessary means for the prosecution of the campaign. Combatants provided for themselves in many cases and leaders provided for their following. When such might be required by the more needy who took part in the Holy War, assistance was given by others among the combatants. The first crusading force mostly provided its own supplies.
Some hoped, doubtless, to make up for their expenditure by winning rich plunder in the East. Whatever their expectations, more fortunes were lost than won through the warfare in Palestine. As the enthusiasm for the Crusades began to slacken the financial necessity became more pressing. Recruits were still needed. Many offered who were unable to set out because they were unable to go at their own charges, and were not fortunate enough to have the opportunity of attaching themselves to a leader willing to maintain them. There was an urgent need for generous financial support in equipping the expeditions to the Holy Land. The popes took steps to ensure that this should be supplied, and in their efforts they laid the foundations of a system that was certainly productive.

It was not merely the needs of expeditions to the Holy Land that moved the popes to endeavour to secure financial support from the faithful. If the Crusades called for financial assistance, the papal coffers were also in need of replenishing. The replenishing of the papal coffers proved an anxious and a difficult task. The ambitions stimulated and extended by the papal success in leading and uniting the Christian nations in the venture of the Crusades proved costly to maintain. Added prestige involved added expenditure. Schemes of aggrandisement were productive of financial embarrassment. The concern of the popes for the financing of the Crusades was sometimes exceeded by their concern over their own monetary
difficulties, and the two were united together in stimulating activity and ingenuity in discovering ways of extracting from the treasures of the faithful a satisfactory tribute to the head of the Roman Church.

If the Crusades were responsible for the urgent need of financial assistance, they were also an admirable opportunity for the imposition of a generous tribute. It was natural that the popes should call upon those at home to subsidise the fighting in the Holy Land. An appeal to generosity of this nature was likely to awaken a more ready response than a demand of a less disinterested kind. A levy for such an object was calculated to arouse less opposition and to cause less resentment than a tribute demanded for more selfish ends. The popes were genuinely interested in the promotion of the Crusades, but in seeking the necessary financial backing they found themselves tapping potential sources of papal revenue and adopting measures that might be successfully turned to their own financial advantage. In Scotland the development of the papal activity in this respect followed, naturally, the same general lines as in other Christian countries of the west. There is ample evidence to show the course of the development of papal tribute during the crusading period as it affected this country.

Before the year 1191 there is a record of a grant made
by Harald, Earl of Caithness and Orkney, in which the sum of one penny for each inhabited house in Caithness is annually contributed to the Apostolic See. It was conferred in the time of Pope Alexander, and was duly collected and transmitted until one of the Bishops of Caithness put obstacles in the way about the year 1198 or before it. In that year a mandate is sent to the Bishops of Orkney and Ross instructing them to compel the Bishop of Caithness to cease from preventing this payment of one penny on every inhabited house in Caithness granted by the Earl of Caithness and Orkney. A noticeable feature of this payment is that it was not a levy imposed by the Apostolic See, but a grant made of a voluntary nature. It was the gift of the Earl and not of the Church. It was drawn from each inhabited house and not from the revenues of the Church only.

The rise of Saladin and his success against the Christians in the Holy Land stimulated flattering interest in the Crusades, and in this awakened interest the financial side was kept in view. On the tenth day of March 1187 King Henry of England held a Council at London to which the Scottish King was called, and at which the provision of funds for the defence of the Holy Land was considered. Three years later on the eleventh day of February 1188 the English King held a Council at
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Geddington at which the Crusades again occupied a chief place in the deliberations. It was decreed that all should give tithes of their revenues and chattels, except of arms and precious stones, books and vestments of the clergy. Burgesses and villeins who should take the Cross without the permission of their superiors were to pay tithes. The English King attempted to force this upon Scotland but was unsuccessful. Hugh, Bishop of Durham, and others were despatched to the King of Scotland to get him to collect tithes for the Crusade. This was met with a refusal, the Bishops, Earls and Barons being with the King in this. An offer from Scotland of five thousand marks was refused as a substitute for the tithes.

In this case of the Saladin Tithe we see the levy made not by the Pope but by the King. It was a levy on all property and not distinctly on Church revenues. The purpose for which it was demanded was for the Crusades. The demand of the English King was refused by the King of Scotland as well as by his Earls, Bishops and Barons.

The ten thousand marks paid by Scotland to King Richard of England after his succession to the throne, although required by the English King for the prosecution of his Crusade, was in no way a contribution from Scotland to the Crusades. It was

(1) Benedictus Abbas Vol. II, p. 33
(2) "Chron. of Melrose" pp. 98-97
(3) Roger de Hoveden Vol. II p. 335
(4) do Vol. II, p. 338
a payment made in return for freedom from the submission made to England by the Treaty of Falaise, the sum required being raised by the prelates and rectors of churches and the earls and lords of the Kingdom.

Scotland in the thirteenth century saw the development of direct papal taxation. Innocent III issued a general demand for the collection of a fortieth of all ecclesiastical revenues. The aid of the Holy Land was the purpose for which the contribution was asked. We learn that the King of England in the year 1201 imposed a contribution of a fortieth of all revenues on all earls, barons and subordinates for the furtherance of the Crusades. Twelve years later letters are sent by the Apostolic See urging the faithful in England, Ireland and Scotland to contribute to the Crusades. The Bishops of St. Andrews and Glasgow are collectors of Holy Land subsidy in Scotland. A distinct step was taken at the Lateran Council in 1215 when it was decreed that as Holy Land subsidy all clerics should pay a twentieth of their revenues for three years with the exception of certain religious orders and those who actually proceeded to the Holy Land. The Pope and Cardinals undertook to contribute a tenth of their own revenues, and in addition the Pope made a money grant to those who had taken the Cross.
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To such appeals and exactions there was a varied response. They were sometimes received unwillingly and with opposition. The reluctance was increased by suspicions as to the actual destination of the levies, and also by suspicions as to the integrity of the papal agents. The suspicions do not appear to have been altogether groundless. The Apostolic See was not always fortunate in its choice of collectors, and although it is quite clear that they made headway in their work, it is not so clear that the sums gathered ultimately found their way to the support of the aims for which they were demanded.

Hector Boece tells us how a legate was sent by the Pope to Scotland in 1221 to secure money to provide for a new army against the Turks. According to the account he succeeded in gathering a substantial sum which he proceeded to spend on himself, accounting to the Pope for it by saying that it had been stolen by brigands. Another legate was sent by Rome, but King Alexander, getting to hear of it, called a council together at which a bishop spoke very plainly of the character of these emissaries with the result that permission to enter the Kingdom was refused to this legate.

We are informed that in the year 1229 Master Stephen, the chaplain of the Pope, came as a messenger to England to collect
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tithes to assist against the Roman Emperor, and that England,
Wales, Scotland and Ireland were all compelled to pay them.
Matthew Paris describes the coming to Scotland of two collectors
Peter le Rouge and Ruffinus who took away three thousand pounds
for the Pope's use, although Peter le Rouge had only come the same
year. Indeed no secret was made of the objects to which
the money collected was applied. In 1241 Otho, Cardinal of
St. Nicholas in Carcere, was instructed to assign the money
collected in England, Scotland and Ireland to Richard of the
Knights Templar in Paris with the object of satisfying the
Pope's creditors. Matthew Paris speaks very frankly of
such papal exactions in Scotland when he tells us how Godfrey,
son of the Prefect of Rome and Bishop Elect of Bethlehem, was
sent by the Pope about the year 1247 as Legate into Scotland,
and adds that there seemed no other reason for his visit than
that according to customary practice he was drawn by the
abundant and much coveted revenues to be gained in Scotland.
In the same year the Italian clerks who have benefices in
Scotland are to have the needs of the Church explained to them
and to be urged to give a quarter of their income if their
income is a hundred marks or less, and a half of their income
if it is over that value.
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Of course it is quite clear that a considerable proportion of the amount raised for the Crusades actually went to subsidise Crusaders. In 1247 the Bishop of Dumblane was appointed to collect in Scotland a twentieth of church revenues, offerings and legacies for the Holy Land as well as the redemptions of vows and to transmit three thousand pounds of fives to certain Crusaders. The bishops, abbots and prelates in Scotland were instructed to enforce the orders of the Bishop of Dumblane for the collection of Holy Land subsidy. At another time certain kinsmen of the King who are mentioned by name and are going on crusade are to be provided with sums of money out of the redemptions of Crusaders' vows, legacies and other grants in Scotland towards the relief of the Holy Land. In the same year, 1250, the Bishops of St. Andrews and Aberdeen are to collect legacies and offerings from all sources in Scotland for the Holy Land, and, when they have satisfied Crusaders from Scotland to whom a share has been promised by the Pope, to assign the rest to the King of England at the Pope's order when the King sets out for the Holy Land. As a matter of fact King Henry's projected Crusade was the cause of much
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activity in papal levies on Scotland. In 1281 a papal letter to the King of England refuses his request that a tenth of church revenues in Scotland should be granted to him. However, a twentieth was demanded from Scotland by the Apostolic See for Henry's Crusade for three years, and then for three years more. The Crusade was abandoned in favour of a campaign in Sicily, and in 1286 the twentieth was again demanded from Scotland expressly for Sicily. There is a papal mandate in 1288 addressed to the Bishop of St. Andrews by which he is to collect a yearly hundredth of all church revenues for five years for the Holy Land, and in this the bishops and other prelates of Scotland are to assist him. Two years later there is a mandate to Ottobon to collect a tenth of all church revenues in Scotland, certain religious orders being excepted. In the following year he is again charged to collect the tenth in Scotland, if the King agrees, and to apply it to the debts of the Queen of England, but if permission is refused sixty thousand pounds of Tours of the English tithe is to be applied to the Queen's necessities. In the same year Master Sinisius is instructed to exact and receive in England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland papal and Holy Land cess, Peter's pence and debts contracted by vow or promise, tenths or twentieths, redemptions
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of Crusaders' vows, deposits, legacies or goods of intestate clerks. Archbishops, bishops and abbots are to supply him on his journeys with four or five mounts, and six or seven attendants or to give him seven shillings a day. In other words they are not only to pay the levies, but they are also to pay the cost of collection.

With all this collecting activity it is not to be wondered at that there was often resistance. The Prior and Chapter of Whitehern had evidently appropriated a sum of thirty-six marks and other money for the Holy Land collected in Scotland by Ivo a friar preacher. Resistance of a more formidable nature was that which was offered to the demand by Ottobon of a contribution of a tenth to the King of England which was unanimously refused by the King and clergy of Scotland in 1268. The following year the King of England again asked the clergy in Scotland for one penny in ten, and against this the clergy protested and appealed to the Pope.

Five years later Baiamund de Vitla, Canon of Asti, is commissioned to collect in Scotland the Holy Land tithe granted by the Council of Lyons for six years. Baiamund held a council at Perth the following year. At this council the
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bishops and abbots requested him to petition the Pope to take
the old taxation whereby seven years were reckoned as only six,
but Baliamund had no success in this request to the Pope. Here
there is no refusal on the part of the clergy of Scotland, but
only a request for the modification of the assessment. Baliamund
is armed with threats of excommunication for those who do not
pay. As to the sum which he received in Scotland directions
are given in 1888 that he is to assign a moiety to Medicus
Aliorti, Acolinus Salvi, Simon Gerardi members of the firm of
(3)
Thomas Spiliati and Hugh Spina of Florence. Later in the
same year he is ordered, if the King of England takes the Cross,
to deposit the whole of the Holy Land tenth in safe places
except for the moiety already dealt with in the instructions
sent. If the King does not join the Crusade, a tenth being
deducted, part is to be paid to members of the firm of Circuli,
a quarter to members of the firm of Rossi Bacarelli and Raynutil
Abbata, and another quarter to members of the Florentine firm
(4)
of Frescobaldi. He failed to do so alleging that the
Scottish King had prohibited it, and seems to have lent out
the money to his own advantage. In 1883 he is ordered to act
upon the previous instructions. If he refuses to do so
Master Geoffrey, Clerk of the Papal Camera and now in England,
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has orders to compel him. This Geoffrey de Vecano had been appointed the previous year to exact and receive in England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland moneys promised to the Holy Land, redemptions of Crusaders' vows, legacies, but not tithes.

In 1284 Balmund is again ordered to pay the tithes collected in Scotland to members of firms of Florence, Siena and Lucca. If he does not, Geoffrey de Vecano and John de Luco, Canon of London, have orders to cite him before the Pope and deprive him of his benefices.

The Apostolic See had set its heart on Edward I taking the Cross, and in 1284 the Holy Land tithe collected in Scotland is promised to him if he will take the Cross before Christmas on condition that he will subsidise the Scottish magnates who join the Crusade. The grant is also conditional on the consent of the Scottish King. Two years later subject to the same condition there is a renewal of this grant, but a request for its extension from three years to five years is refused as the concession has run for nine years. Again in 1291 in addition to previous grants of Holy Land tithe in England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland, there is a grant for six years, and the offerings in these kingdoms from the time fixed by Honorius IV are to be applied to the King of England's expenses on setting out.
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THE DEVELOPMENT OF PAPAL TAXATION

A mandate is given to the Bishops of Carlisle and Caithness to warn all who are not exempt to pay the tithe for the King during the six years. Meantime Geoffrey de Vecano is bidden to be diligent in collecting Peter's pence and other dues in England, Scotland, Wales and Ireland. His expenses at the rate of seven shillings a day with three shillings a day in addition are to be defrayed by the churches in these kingdoms.

As an indication of the sums gathered for Holy Land tithe we have the instruction issued to the Bishop of Carlisle in 1296 to pay within one month to the Pulices and Rembertini of Florence ten thousand marks collected by him in Scotland and granted for six years by Nicholas IV for the English King.

Again at the close of the century the Abbot of Watham and the Dean of London are ordered to pay over to the firm of Spini, Florentine merchants, what they or their deputies have received of Holy Land tithe in Scotland formerly ordered to be collected by the Bishops of Carlisle and Caithness, or as is later instructed, to pay it equally to Spini and the Clarentes of Pistoia.

We see how such contributions passed from being voluntary gifts into assessments requested and then demanded, becoming a tax rigorously exacted by the papacy with all the powers of compulsion which the papacy possessed. We see also how the
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fortieth of church revenues became the twentieth and later the
tenth in the exactions of the Holy See. We see also how the
Holy Land tithe came to be applied in part at least to purposes
not directly connected with the Crusades. The empty papal
treasure chests as well as the creditors of the Holy See had
their share. By the end of the thirteenth century Scotland
was quite familiar with papal levies for papal purposes.
CHAPTER III

THE DEVELOPMENT OF INDULGENCES

Not only did the Crusades bring an expansion of the monastic life; not only did they establish a system of papal taxation; they also brought about a remarkable development in the penitential system of the Roman Church. Before the Crusades indulgences were not unknown in the Roman Church. Partial indulgences had been slowly coming into vogue. With the start of the Holy Land enterprise indulgences immediately sprang into prominence, and before the close of the Crusades they had expanded to an extent that is surprising. This expansion did not come about all at once. After the first striking advance the progress was slow and hesitating because the Church was not quite sure of its doctrinal grounds. In the thirteenth century, however, and particularly in the second half of the century there is evidence that indulgences had established themselves as an important part of the ecclesiastical system, and were reckoned as a formidable and fruitful power in the hands of the popes. There is also evidence of their place in the religious life in Scotland.

The Crusades have the distinction of bringing indulgences into prominence. When Pope Urban called the Christian nations to the Holy Land enterprise his aim was to gather to the cause as many as he could. His effort was singularly successful. The
stimulus of a powerful appeal had its part in this success. Peter the Hermit could stir his hearers to action. At the same time mere eloquence alone would never have brought about the remarkable result which rewarded Urban's summons. Of course the promise of adventure would be an inducement in an age of adventure. A call to arms would not fail to touch the warriors of the time. Besides, the hope of rich booty and the spoils of conquest were alluring to many who seemed to entertain no thought of defeat. Still something more was required to make the effort as successful as was desired, and it had to be big enough to make a strong appeal to the mind of the time. Out of his spiritual storehouse Pope Urban brought the requisite reward and there is no doubt that it was effective, so effective that it must have astonished Urban, and it was used again by successors whenever efforts were made to stir the Christian world to a fresh Crusade. The reward promised by Urban was that of a plenary indulgence.

When we examine the nature of Urban's indulgence we find that it provides for the two different sections, those who should survive the expedition and those who should perish in the course of it. In his address at Clermont he promised a remission of penance enjoined for sin confessed to all who undertook the pilgrimage. To those who in the expedition should perish in true penitence he gave the assurance of heaven. This he promised by the mercy of the Lord and the authority of the
blessed apostles Peter and Paul. This would seem to be the nature of the indulgence granted to the Crusaders by Urban, and it would seem to be the nature of the indulgences granted and renewed to Crusaders during the twelfth century by succeeding popes with certain variations both in the direction of the expansion and the restriction of the privileges assured.

In the year 1181 Pope Alexander III in a general letter grants and confirms that indulgence granted by his predecessors Urban and Eugenius on apostolic authority. However Alexander makes certain restrictions in the indulgences bestowed. Two years of service against the Saracens is required for a complete indulgence. To these he promises absolution for all their sins which they confess with humility and contrition of heart. There is also added as a condition that those who have taken property belonging to another or have extorted usurious interest must make due reparation if it is within their power. To those who remain only one year on campaign is granted an indulgence of half the penance enjoined and remission of sins. He goes on to add that all who wish to visit the Holy Sepulchre, whether they die by the way or whether they reach it, shall have the labour of the journey reckoned in place of penance and obedience and for the remission of all their sins, that so by the bounty
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of God they may arrive from the turmoils of this life at that state of blessedness which eye hath not seen nor ear heard, neither have entered into the heart of man, which God hath prepared for them that love Him.

Six years later on the fall of Jerusalem into infidel hands Gregory VIII in 1187 holds out to those who undertake the journey in a contrite heart and a humble spirit the promise that, if they die in penitence and true faith, they shall have a full indulgence of their sins and eternal life. Those who survive are given a relaxation of the penance imposed for all sins rightly confessed. The short pontificate of Gregory VIII which lasted only for two months was followed by that of Clement III who in 1188 gave the indulgence that from the day each assumed the Cross he would have the remission of all penance imposed for sins penitently confessed, and also for sins forgotten. Still later in 1189 Innocent III summoning to a new Crusade extends the privileges already given. Those who shall undertake the expedition and provide their own expenses are assured in virtue of the power of "binding and loosing" of plenary pardon of those sins of which they have shown themselves repentant with an increase of eternal salvation in the reward of the just. Those who either send others for
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one year at least or so themselves at the expense of others are granted plenary pardon for their sins. Even those who merely contribute to the Crusade are not left out. Instructions are given to Archbishops and Bishops to take into consideration the rank and means of such contributors as well as the intensity of their devotion and to change works of penance enjoined for works of almsgiving. At the same time prelates and subordinate clergy who voluntarily and faithfully pay the fortieth of their ecclesiastical revenues as demanded are to have a remission of a fourth part of enjoined penance.

In all this it is possible to discern a certain vagueness. The power of the keys, the teaching of purgatory and the assertion of the "treasure" were not yet fully developed in the Councils of the Church and the debates of the schoolmen. The Lateran Council of 1215, however, marked a stage in the penitential system of the Church by decreeing that all the faithful of either sex who had attained years of discretion should make confession at least once a year, perform the penance imposed, and receive the Sacrament of the Eucharist. In the granting of indulgences a restriction is made confining the power to bishops and to the Holy See. The Bishops are to be moderate in the granting of indulgences, giving no more than a year's
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indulgence on the occasion of the consecration of a new church and not more than forty days for the anniversary. In the same Council the Pope decreed a new Crusade promising by right of the power of "binding and loosing" to those who undertake the expedition at their own expense the indulgence of a full pardon of their sins which they have confessed with true contrition, as well as an increase of eternal salvation in the reward of the just. Those who send suitable men and provide for their expenses and those who go at the expense of others are granted a full indulgence. In addition all who in any way help are to participate in this remission according to the nature of their assistance. Such privileges were, of course, shared by Scottish Crusaders in common with those who ventured to the Holy Land from the other Christian countries.

From all this it will appear that a new conception of crusading indulgence has been emerging. The plenary indulgence originally granted for service in the Holy Land has been supplemented by indulgences to those who send others in their place and even to those who contribute to the subsiding of the Crusades. Another development emerges in the first half of the thirteenth century in the redemption of Crusaders' vows. In its original idea it was wise and merciful. Some in a

(1) Hefele V p.1322
(2) do V p.1395
moment of enthusiasm had taken the crusading vow although their presence in the Holy Land would have been a hindrance rather than a help to the warfare there. In such cases it would have been foolish to have insisted upon the fulfilment of the vows and would have served no good purpose. These could much better serve the Holy Land enterprise by contributing to the extent of their capacity. There might also arise after taking the crusading vow circumstances which would render its actual accomplishment difficult or undesirable, and it was prudent to allow the actual service to be exchanged for some work in assistance of the Crusades. It was therefore made possible for those who had taken the Cross to redeem their vows by a payment of money and at the same time to retain the indulgence to which the crusading vow entitled them. Such a concession was at first given sparingly and with due consideration of the fitness of the individual seeking to redeem his vow to take up active service in the Holy Land. Very soon, however, the question of fitness became a secondary consideration as the need of money became greater in order to finance the Crusades or to replenish the papal chests. At times it became a question not of seeking permission to redeem the vow, but a question of seeking permission to fulfil the vow instead of being under the obligation to pay the sum required for redemption. The amount of redemption money was variously assessed, but particularly in the second half of the thirteenth century the business of
indulgences became quite an important one.

This became very noticeable in the time of Gregory IX. In the year 1238 he issued a mandate to Otho, Cardinal of St. Nicholas in Carcere and Papal Legate, instructing him to absolve from their Crusaders' vows those in Scotland unable to fulfill them because of poverty, age or weakness. The payments to be made for the redemption of such vows were to be equal to the sums which those seeking redemption would have spent if they had actually gone with the expedition. The money received in this way was to be handed over to those who were fit to go to the Holy Land. Nine years later in 1247 Pope Innocent IV sent a mandate to the Bishop of Dunblane instructing him to collect not only the twentieth of ecclesiastical revenues but also the redemptions of vows, offerings and legacies for the Holy Land, and to transmit three thousand pounds of Tours to certain Crusaders. In the following year the Bishops of St. Andrews and Glasgow were appointed collectors of redemptions of vows, legacies and gifts for the Holy Land, and they were to distribute these to the men who personally set out. Two years later in 1250 the Bishops of St. Andrews
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and Dunkeld and the Abbot of Dunfermline received papal instructions to assign to relatives of the King of Scotland who were setting out on the Crusade four hundred marks before Christmas and other suitable sums out of the redemptions of vows, legacies and grants for the Holy Land, and they were given power to absolve from their vows those who could not themselves set out on the expedition. A year later still permission was again given to Scottish Crusaders to redeem their vows and the money so received was to be applied to those going to the Holy Land from Scotland.

Yet the redemptions of vows in Scotland were designed for the assistance of others besides Scottish Crusaders. In 1366 a Papal Bull directed the Archbishop of Canterbury and Master Rostand, Papal Nuncio in England, to see that the redemptions were collected and assigned to the King of England as Holy Land subsidy. Next year Master Rostand received further instructions to the same effect. The Holy Land vow of the English King had been meantime changed to the undertaking of an expedition to Sicily. The Holy Land, however, was not forgotten, and in 1368 the Bishop of St. Andrews received papal instructions to preach a Crusade and as an inducement plenary remission was promised not only to those who should go on
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THE DEVELOPMENT OF INDULGENCES

---

-crusade but also to those who should send men and to those who should go at the expense of others. Those assisting otherwise were to be rewarded with a proportionate pardon. Even those attending processions and sermons were to be given the promise of a hundred days of plenary indulgence. We see from this mandate that indulgences of a partial nature were now to be had for the mere attendance at processions and sermons. In 1215 Otto boh, Cardinal of St. Adrian and Papal Legate, received a mandate to preach a Crusade in England and Scotland among other countries against those rebelling against the King or Legate with the same indulgence as for the Holy Land and with power to commute vows. Next year we find Siricius, Papal Nuncio, appointed to receive in England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland the redemptions of Crusaders' vows along with other levies. The work of collecting redemptions was not allowed to languish, and in 1282 Master Geoffrey de Vecano was appointed to exact and receive in England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland, as distinct from Holy Land tithe, money promised for the Holy Land, legacies and redemptions. The money so collected was to be put in safe places and accounted for to the Pope.

In order to gain a Holy Land indulgence it was not necessary to take the crusading vow, and then to have it redeemed by
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paying the price required. The crusading vow could be dispensed with, and the giving of a contribution for the Holy Land gained for the donor an indulgence just the same. Indeed indulgences were becoming quite easy to secure, and in 1290 we find instances of quite substantial indulgences granted for the visiting of chapels at certain seasons. A relaxation of one year and forty days of enjoined penance is granted to penitents who visit the Chapel of the House of God at Berwick on the Assumption of the Blessed Virgin, the Feast of St. Andrew, of St. John the Baptist, of St. John the Evangelist and their octavea. The same substantial relaxation of penance is given for visiting the Church of St. Andrews on the Feast of St. Andrew and of St. Mary the Virgin in their octavea and on the anniversary of the dedication of the Church.

In the year 1291 with the fall of Acre the Crusades saw the final defeat of the enterprise. Yet in that same year the Bishops of Scotland were commissioned to preach a Crusade, and the same inducement of indulgences was held out to those who should join or contribute. The grant of indulgence was, however, a modest one. It was merely the relaxation of a hundred days of enjoined penance. Was the modesty of the reward due to the fact that the Holy See realised that there was little hope
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of any expedition requiring the fulfilment of such a vow, or was it because the Popes had found other uses for indulgences, and uses that might be of more immediate profit to themselves.

The end of the Crusades found Scotland, in common with the other nations of Western Europe, familiar with indulgences. The Crusades had brought them forth in abundance both plenary and partial. They had passed during the Crusades from being the reward of Holy Land service into privileges which could be purchased with sufficient money. They had served their purpose in stimulating crusading activity. The Holy See had learned that they could be equally serviceable in other directions. While Popes and Councils might carefully guard the nature of the remission given, and while schoolmen might debate on the penitential doctrine involved, the average men unskilled in such subtleties took them as liberating him from guilt and punishment here and hereafter, and this remission was his if he were only willing to pay for it. The indulgences of the Jubilee in 1500 were soon to bring to Rome wealth described as incomputable, and to become the precursors of other similar financial expedients.
The influence of the Crusades was real and extensive. The Holy Land expeditions bulked largely in the thought of Western Europe. For two centuries the Christian nations had crusading almost continuously before them. It occupied men’s thoughts. It moulded their customs and institutions. It entered into their lives in many ways. Yet it was at heart a religious movement, and its influence upon the religious life of the times was distinct and of importance.

In general the religious influence of the Crusades served to bring the Christian nations together under the leadership of the Apostolic See. In the ordinary way the growth of intercourse between the European nations was natural and inevitable, but the Crusades served to further it, made for a closer contact and a greater interchange of ideas as well as a clearer assimilation of one nation to another in every way and certainly in religion. Without the Crusades the Apostolic See would have had an ascendancy in the Western Church, but the Crusades contributed in a special way to bring about that ascendancy, and helped considerably in raising the Holy See to the position which it occupied in the beginning of the fourteenth century in addition to moulding its dogmas and determining its policy.
Scotland was on the fringe of Christian Europe and being further from the centre than other nations the religious influence of the Crusades might not so quickly or so strongly impress itself upon that country as upon others nearer the Holy See. Still the influence is there. It was natural that that influence of the Crusades upon the religious life of Scotland should follow generally on the same lines as it followed in the other countries of Western Europe.

The influence of the Crusades upon the religious life in Scotland reached deeper than those aspects which bear the distinct evidences of it. For example, the monastic developments of the crusading period in Scotland reacted upon the parochial developments of the time. In addition to this the influence of the Crusades was one which reached far beyond the crusading years and in a real way affected the religious history of the nation. The Templars, with their impossible attempt to reconcile the martial and the humble, served their purpose and passed out of sight as soon as the Crusades had ceased in the East. The Hospitallers lingered longer but in a singularly ineffective way until they too vanished as a religious body in the land. Lazarites, Carmelites and even Trinitarians remained after the Crusades were finished. The corruptions of the Religious Orders and the rapacity of the Holy See served to hasten the Reformation. Papal indulgences formed the basis of Luther's revolt. When the Reformation came the "special
daughter" of Rome maintained her individuality and independence which made her distinct among the branches of the Church Reformed.

To-day we forget the faults and failure of the Crusades. We remember only that the Crusades arose in an ideal distinctly spiritual, the aim at freeing the Holy Land from infidel profanation. We remember that if at times the ideal proved too high for rough men in a rude age, at least it was an ideal and that it inspired those of the time in a marvellous way. We remember that it made men lay aside their differences and brought them together in a common cause. We remember the heroisms and self-sacrifice of the Crusades. We remember them all the more admiringly because they failed to win the Holy Land. To-day the word "crusade" stands for heroic venture in a noble, sacred cause, and the associations of the word make it inspiring.