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Abstract

The risk of hip fracture in people with type one diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is reported to be 7 to 12 times greater than in those without T1DM, and this increased risk is evident in both children and young adults. This fracture risk is higher than expected bone mineral density (BMD) measurements, which indicates the likelihood that other skeletal factors, not captured by DXA, may contribute toward increased fracture risk. There is increasing evidence that alteration in trabecular bone microarchitecture and increased bone marrow adiposity (BMA) are causes for excess skeletal fragility, yet these data are lacking in people with T1DM. Recent technological advances in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have allowed the quantification of trabecular bone architecture. In addition, MRI can quantify the amount of intra-abdominal fat, and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) can also be used to assess BMA. These advances may enhance our understanding of the underlying causes of diabetic osteopathy which may lead to improved fracture risk predictors and preventive measures in patients with T1DM beyond that provided by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

The overall objective of this thesis was to improve the understanding of the bone pathology of young adult women with childhood-onset T1DM by using high resolution MRI. A cross-sectional study was first carried out to assess trabecular bone microarchitecture of the tibia, vertebral BMA and abdominal adiposity in patients with childhood onset T1DM (n=30) compared with healthy controls (n=28). Additionally, the biochemical markers of bone turnover, adiposity and GH/IGF-1 axis (IGF-1, IGFBP3, and ALS) were examined to evaluate the underlying mechanism that might result in bone deficit in this group of people. We found that young women with childhood onset T1DM had reduced apparent trabecular bone volume (appBV/TV) and apparent trabecular number (appTbN) and greater apparent trabecular separation (appTbSp) than women without T1DM. Interestingly, these differences remained significant after adjustment for multiple confounders. Furthermore, these abnormalities were markedly obvious in those with microvascular complication compared with those without microvascular complication. Although women with T1DM had greater abdominal adiposity compared with healthy controls, there was no significant difference in BMA between the groups. However, BMA showed positive significant association with current glycaemic control (r= 0.45, p=0.02). Women with T1DM had lower bone turnover and decreased GH/IGF axis compared with healthy controls. Osteocalcin and ALS were negatively correlated with trabecular separation in women with T1DM.
Next, a one-year prospective study was conducted in a subset (n=28) of the participants involved in the cross-sectional study. The aim of this study was to compare one year changes in trabecular bone microarchitecture and BMA in women with and without T1DM. Additionally, the study aimed to evaluate the effect of glycaemic control on these changes over this period. After adjustment for relevant confounders, the cases (n=17) had a lower median appTbN and a higher median appTbSp at baseline and 12 months compared with healthy controls (n=11). Although the sample size was small at follow-up, the trabecular bone deficits were clearly noticeable in those with retinopathy compared with those without retinopathy. Similarly, there was no difference in median BMA which was 26.2% (12.1, 62.1) and 22.4% (9.6, 41.9) in cases and controls, respectively (p=0.57). Additionally, over the 12 month period, there was no significant change in MRI-measured parameters in cases or in controls, and no differences in the change of these variables between the two groups. Mixed model effect analysis showed that age was a negative predictor of percent changes of appBV/TV, appTbN and appTbSp in both cases and controls (p=0.02, p=0.02, p=0.002, respectively). Interestingly, there was a strong correlation between change in HbA1c and change in BMA (r=0.8; p=0.002).

In the third study, we aimed to assess adiposity-based determinants of bone mineral density and bone microarchitecture in healthy young women and women with T1DM. Additionally, we aimed to compare the feasibility of using DXA and MRI-measured bone parameters to differentiate women with and without T1DM. In addition to high resolution MRI we used DXA scans to measure BMD and body composition from the same participants (n=26) involved in the longitudinal study. Vertebral BMA was positively correlated with VAT. Additionally, we demonstrated evidence of an inverse association of vertebral BMA and DXA-measured bone parameters of femoral neck, lumbar spine and total body independent of demographics and body composition in healthy young women and women with T1DM. These finding support the hypothesis that BMA is linked with low bone density, and may contribute to excess bone fragility. Moreover, this study suggested that MRI-measured trabecular bone measurements were able to differentiate between T1DM with and without microvascular complication compared with DXA-measured BMD.

In summary, differences in MRI-measured trabecular microarchitecture parameters identified in this body of work provide preliminary explanations for elevated fracture risk in young women with childhood onset T1DM. Additionally, these findings provide potential insight into a number of possible underlying mechanisms of diabetic osteopathy.
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## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>Acid labile subunits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGES</td>
<td>Advanced glycation end-products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aBMD</td>
<td>Areal bone mineral density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN</td>
<td>Adiponectin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN-KO</td>
<td>APN-knockout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>Apparent diffusion coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlloHSCT</td>
<td>Allogenic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppBV/TV</td>
<td>Apparent bone volume to total volume ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App TbTh</td>
<td>Apparent trabecular thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App TbN</td>
<td>Apparent trabecular number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App TbSp</td>
<td>Apparent trabecular spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMA</td>
<td>Bone marrow adiposity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>Brown adipose tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMF</td>
<td>Bone marrow fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALP</td>
<td>Bone alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Bone area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMAD</td>
<td>Bone mineral apparent density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMC</td>
<td>Bone mineral content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD</td>
<td>Bone mineral density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMPs</td>
<td>Bone morphogenic proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSU</td>
<td>Basic structural unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTMs</td>
<td>Bone turnover markers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMUs</td>
<td>Basic multicellular units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGLAP</td>
<td>Bone δ-carboxyglutamic acid-containing protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-cells</td>
<td>Beta cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSAP</td>
<td>Bone-specific alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β2-AR</td>
<td>β2-adrenergic receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUA</td>
<td>Broadband ultrasound attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b-SSFP</td>
<td>Balanced- steady-state free precession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b-SSSE</td>
<td>Balanced- steady state spin echo sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>Cortical bone area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Cerebral palsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA</td>
<td>Cross sectional area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX</td>
<td>Cross-linked C-terminal telopeptides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Cervical vertebrae 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP-4</td>
<td>Dipeptidyl peptidase-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWI</td>
<td>Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>Distal radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D</td>
<td>3-Dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%FF</td>
<td>Percentage fat fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Forearm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN</td>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLASE</td>
<td>Fast Large-Angle Spin-Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAX</td>
<td>Fracture risk assessment tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOV</td>
<td>Field of view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF-γ</td>
<td>Gamma interferon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>Growth hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH/IGF-1</td>
<td>Growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHBP</td>
<td>Growth hormone binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHD</td>
<td>Growth hormone deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-carboxylation</td>
<td>Gamma- carboxylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSC</td>
<td>Haematopoietic stem cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HtSDS</td>
<td>Height standard deviation scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-1</td>
<td>Heme oxygenase-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR-pQCT</td>
<td>High resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGFBP</td>
<td>Insulin-like growth factor -binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAP</td>
<td>Intestinal alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Interleukin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM-1</td>
<td>Intracellular adhesion molecule-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% IBW</td>
<td>Ideal body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRs</td>
<td>Insulin receptors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMRUI</td>
<td>Java-based magnetic resonance user interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>Lumbar spine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS-BMC</td>
<td>Lumbar spine BMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS-BMD</td>
<td>Lumbar spine BMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWR</td>
<td>Lipid to water ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>Megahertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL</td>
<td>Mean Intercept Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVD</td>
<td>Microvascular diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCs</td>
<td>Mesenchymal stem cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>Macrophage clonally stimulating factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>Messenger ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μCT</td>
<td>Micro-computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTX</td>
<td>Cross-linked N-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>Osteocalcin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OI</td>
<td>Osteogenesis imperfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPG</td>
<td>Osteoprotegerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSX</td>
<td>Osterix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQCT</td>
<td>Peripheral quantitative computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICP</td>
<td>Carboxy-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINP</td>
<td>Amino-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRESS</td>
<td>Point-REsolved Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH</td>
<td>Parathyroid hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARγ</td>
<td>Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVE</td>
<td>Partial volume effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDFF</td>
<td>Proton density fat fraction:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUS</td>
<td>Quantitative ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCT</td>
<td>Quantitative computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANK</td>
<td>Receptor activator of nuclear factor κβ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANKL</td>
<td>Receptor activator of nuclear factor κβ ligand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>Region of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runx2</td>
<td>Runt-related protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>Reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>Radiofrequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Standard deviation score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS</td>
<td>Sympathetic nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS</td>
<td>Speed of sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>Signal noise ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRCT</td>
<td>Synchrotron radiation computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>Subcutaneous adipose tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB-BMC</td>
<td>Total Body BMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB-BMD</td>
<td>Total Body BMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBF</td>
<td>Total body fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>Tumour necrosis factors-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNAP</td>
<td>Tissue nonspecific alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAT</td>
<td>Total adipose tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Repetition Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Echo Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Acquisition Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TZDs</td>
<td>Thiazolidinediones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1DM</td>
<td>Type 1 diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>Tetramethylsilane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ucOC</td>
<td>Uncarboxylated osteocalcin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vBMD</td>
<td>Volumetric BMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIT-D</td>
<td>Vitamin D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDR</td>
<td>Vitamin D receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFss</td>
<td>Vertebral fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT</td>
<td>Visceral adipose tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB-FM</td>
<td>Whole body fat mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAT</td>
<td>White adipose tissue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

Introduction
1.1 Bone development and its Components

1.1.1 Bone architecture

Bone is a multifunctional organ organized in a hierarchical way that enables it to perform its functions. Structurally, the bone is formed of two tissues: cortical and trabecular bone (Figure 1.1). Cortical bone is found in the diaphysis of long bones and outer surfaces of flat bones, and trabecular bone is found mainly at the end of long bones and in the inner parts of flat bones. Different skeletal sites have different proportions of cortical to trabecular bone (1). Both types of bone will be discussed in detail below.

1.1.1.1 Trabecular bone

Trabecular bone is a porous type of bone tissue found inside the bone, and known as cancellous or spongy bone. Trabecular bone constitutes 20% of total bone mass in the skeleton. The greatest volumes of trabecular bone are at the skeletal sites where the majority of osteoporotic or fragility fractures occur (2). Trabecular bone is identifiable by the sponge-like appearance of calcified tissue, with spaces filled with bone marrow, giving them the alternative name of spongy bones. The bone marrow spaces of trabecular bone provide vascular and neural access and create the large surface area through which bone remodelling occurs (3). Trabecular bone is unlike cortical bone in that the osteon does not contain a central harversian canal, but has lacunae filled with bone cells, and canaliculi which connect these lacunae and the trabecular surface, through which the nutrition of bone cells is facilitated (4). The trabecular structure resembles a honeycomb of mineralized trabeculae in the shape of rod-like structures connected by plate-like structures. Each individual trabecula is approximately 100-300 μm thick and trabeculae are separated by spaces of 300–1500 μm (5). The trabecular tissue properties are determined by the orientation and the connectivity of the trabeculae (6). Furthermore, the trabecular tissue properties are determined by the total amount of mineralized trabecular tissue and the morphological characterization of these trabeculae. The most important morphological parameters are Bone Volume (BV/TV), Trabecular Number (Tb.N), Trabecular Thickness (Tb.Th), and Trabecular Separation (Tb.Sp). These parameters are typically determined using histomorphometry (7), or by 3D imaging techniques(8, 9).
1.1.1.2 Cortical bone

Cortical bone is the solid thick outer shell of bones often referred to as “compact” bone. It constitutes about 80% of the total bone mass in the skeleton, but it accounts for a considerably smaller surface area than trabecular bone because of its high cellularity that in turn give rise to its higher mass to volume ratio (1, 10). The basic structure in the cortical bone is the osteons, which are cylindrical structures oriented with the long axis of the bone, forming the Haversian system (1). The spaces between the harversian systems are filled with the interstitial bone. Each osteon consists of a central canal (Haversian canal) through which vascular access is facilitated. The Haversian canals are oriented with the long axis of the bone, surrounded by layers of calcified bone matrix called concentric lamellae. The concentric lamellae contain collagen fibres oriented in a specific direction to provide strength to the tissue. Between these concentric circles there are specific interconnected canals (lacunae and canaliculi) through which bone cells communicate. In fact, cortical bone is not solid; it typically contains about 5% cavities to its total volume, including cellular lacunae and canaliculi (1). The cortical volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) is determined by the number and average size of the cavities (osteonal canals) (porosity) and the mineralization density of the cortical tissue (11).

![Figure 1.1: Schematic diagram illustrates the hierarchical structures of cortical and trabecular bone (12).](image-url)
1.1.3 Bone cells and matrix

Within both trabecular and cortical bone there are three main types of bone cells: osteoclasts, osteoblasts, and osteocytes. Osteoclasts are terminally differentiated multinucleated cells derived from hematopoietic stem cells and are responsible for bone resorption (13). Once osteoclasts are differentiated they are capable of secreting enzymes that dissolve the bone matrix. Additionally, they secrete factors to promote osteoblast precursor recruitment and differentiation and thereby promote bone formation (14, 15). Osteoblasts are derived from mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and are responsible for building the organic bone matrix and regulating mineral deposition on the matrix (16). The commitment of MSCs towards the osteoprogenitor lineage requires expression of specific genes (17-22). Runx2 is a master gene of osteoblast differentiation, as demonstrated by the fact that Runx2-null mice are devoid of osteoblasts (23). Osteocytes are the most abundant and long-lived cells derived from MSC lineage through osteoblast differentiation. Osteocytes act as Master Orchestrator of skeletal activity, regulating both bone formation and resorption to govern the skeletal response to mechanical forces (24-26).

These cells are embedded within the bone matrix which is composed of organic materials (20%), inorganic materials (70%) and water (10%) (27, 28). The organic portion is mainly composed of type 1 collagen (90%), which forms an extracellular matrix where the inorganic materials can be deposited to strengthen the collagen structure. The most abundant inorganic material in bone is calcium and phosphate ions, which nucleate to form hydroxyapatite crystals (27, 29). The water content of the matrix binds to the collagen and minerals. Collagen-bound water influences its three-dimensional structure, which can be visualised by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (30). Each of these bone matrix components plays a critical role in the biomechanical properties and functional integrity of bone (29, 31-38).
1.1.5 Bone turnover and remodelling

Bone remodelling is a life-long process that involves cyclical removal of discrete packets of old bone (bone resorption) and replacement of these packets with newly synthesized bone (bone formation) (39-41). To maintain the structural integrity of the skeleton, it is of crucial importance that the amount of bone resorbed matches the amount of newly formed bone in each remodelling site. This requires that the processes of bone resorption and bone formation are linked in a temporally and spatially coordinated manner within the remodelling cycle and form a coupling mechanism (42-44). To maintain the balance, bone cells communicate directly, and indirectly through signalling pathways (45). However, the specific signals that maintain the coupling process are still not well understood. It is clear, however, that the osteocyte acts as an orchestrator in regulating bone remodelling (46, 47). Additionally, there are several systemic factors (genetic, mechanical, vascular, nutritional, and hormonal) and locally produced growth factors suggested to modulate the balance between bone resorption and formation (3, 48). Any defect in the coupling process results in bone loss (13, 49-51). The bone remodelling cycle is precisely coupled in the third decade at the time of the peak bone mass attainment, and this is maintained with small variations until the age of 50. After that, resorption dominates, resulting in bone loss in both sexes, with dramatic bone loss in women around the time of the menopausal transition making them more susceptible to osteoporosis (52, 53). With aging, men as well as postmenopausal women demonstrate gradual increase in bone loss (54, 55).

Remodelling takes place within bone area that need to be remodelled. In this bone area, all bone cells are organized with their precursors cells, nerve cells and blood vessels in temporary specialized unite called basic multicellular unite (BMUs). The BMU is a mediator mechanism for bone remodelling via connecting individual cellular activity to whole bone morphology (56-58). Bone remodelling occurs both in trabecular and cortical bone, but the metabolic rate is ten times higher in trabecular bone compared to cortical bone due to the greater surface area to volume ratio of trabecular bone. Bone remodelling occurs on trabecular surfaces in the form of shallow irregular Howship’s lacunae, whereas in cortical bone it occurs as a cylinder to form the Haversian canal, which is then replaced to form an osteon. The cortical remodelling cycle lasts approximately four months, compared with almost seven months in trabecular bone (3, 56).

The remodelling cycle is composed of three consecutive phases (Figure 1.2): an initiation phase, which consists of bone resorption by osteoclasts, followed by a transition (or reversal) phase, and finally a phase of bone formation by osteoblasts. In the initiation phase, hematopoietic stem cells are recruited to specific bone surface areas and
differentiate into mature osteoclasts that initiate bone resorption. This is achieved under the action of osteoclastogenic cytokine factors including RANKL and M-CSF. They are one of the key signalling molecules that facilitate crosstalk between the osteoblasts and osteoclasts and help coordinate bone remodelling. This system is held in balance by the production of a decoy receptor, osteoprotegerin (OPG), which is a key factor inhibiting the differentiation and activation of osteoclasts (59, 60). Osteoprotegerin inhibits the binding of RANK to RANKL and thus inhibits the recruitment, proliferation, and activation of osteoclasts. Abnormalities in the balance of the RANK/OPG system lead to the increased bone resorption that underlies the bone damage of postmenopausal osteoporosis (60, 61). This resorption takes approximately one month. During the reversal phase, osteoblast precursors appear at the same resorption site. Finally, during the formation phase, which lasts for a period of approximately five months, the preosteoblast grouping phenomenon occurs and starts bone formation (3). The preosteoblasts synthesize a cementing substance upon which the new tissue is attached and express bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs) responsible for differentiation. A few days later, the already differentiated osteoblasts synthesize the osteoid matrix which fills the resorption cavity. Subsequently, mineralisation of this matrix occurs and a basic structural unit (BSU) of new bone is formed. The remaining osteoblasts continue to synthesize bone until they eventually stop and transform to quiescent lining cells that completely cover the newly formed bone surface and connect with the osteocytes in the bone matrix through a network of canaliculi (62-65).
Figure 1.2: Illustrating Remodelling pathway and how the bone cells communicate through signalling pathways.

The osteocyte acts as an orchestrator in regulating bone remodelling, by its lacunocanalicular system the osteocytes act as mechanosensors can detect mechanical pressures and microcrack which cause osteocyte apoptosis and thus signals osteoclast to resorb the bone matrix. Lining cells and osteocytes release local factors that attract cells from blood and marrow into the remodeling compartment (BMU) in which osteoclastogenesis occurs. Consequently, groups of osteoblasts deposit new bone. Osteoblasts that are trapped in the matrix become new osteocytes; others die or form new, flattened osteoblast lining cells (65).
1.1.6 Bone turnover markers (BTMs)

Bone turnover markers (BTMs) represent different processes in bone turnover from collagen synthesis (procollagen type 1 amino terminal propeptide (P1NP)) and degradation (C-terminal cross-linked telopeptide of type-I collagen (CTX)) to markers released by bone cells (osteocalcin (OC) and bone specific alkaline phosphatase (BAP)). These markers can be broadly classified as reflecting either bone formation (OC, BAP, P1NP) or bone resorption (CTX and N-terminal cross-linked telopeptide of type-I collagen (NTX)) (66). In addition, non-classical bone biochemical markers are more specific regulators of bone turnover, including specific cell signalling markers (RANKL, OPG, PTH, VIT-D and Sclerostin), and one could also include markers of other metabolic pathways (Insulin, IGF-1, adiponectin and pentosidine) (67). Bone strength is highly dependent on its structural and material properties. The balance between bone formation and resorption greatly influences the structural and material properties of bone (bone strength parameters). Consequently, it has been suggested that bone strength may be reflected in part, independent of BMD levels, by measuring bone turnover using specific serum and urinary markers of bone formation and resorption. Recently, CTX and P1NP have been proposed as standardised reference markers of bone resorption and bone formation, respectively (68). Irrespective of BMD, an increase in fracture risk is approximately 20% per standard deviation increase in s-PINP or s-CTX (69). Although technical advances have greatly enhanced assay performance, resulting in reliable, rapid, non-invasive cost-effective assays with improved sensitivity and specificity, BTMs still have limited clinical utility. Lack of adopted international reference standards is the main reason for uncertainties over their clinical use. In addition, several biological and external factors cause measurement variability, and poor quality control of measurement techniques is also a problem (68). Not all components of bone markers are bone-specific markers. For example, BAP is one of several isoenzymes in the alkaline phosphatase family and is present in the liver, kidney, and bone tissue. Additionally, biochemical markers of bone resorption are based principally on type I collagen, which is not bone-specific and is widely distributed in several tissues of the body. Furthermore, the systemic levels of BTMs reflect overall bone metabolism and do not provide particular information on the remodelling process of each bone tissue individually, although their relative contribution may vary with aging, disease and treatment. Moreover, BTMs reflect quantitative changes of bone turnover and do not offer any information on the structural abnormalities of bone matrix materials, which are an important determinant of bone fragility. The existing evidence indicates that the diagnostic value of BTMs in predicting osteoporosis is low, and their use in osteoporosis
treatment decisions and monitoring of individual therapy is not well established (70).
However, their utility in providing insight into the pathophysiology of osteoporosis and the
presence of secondary bone pathology, and their changes following various osteoporosis
treatments are well defined (71). It has been shown that u-NTX and s-CTX are the most
responsive BTMs following antiresorptive treatment (72). The following are descriptions
of bone turnover markers which will be examined in this study.

1.1.6.1 Bone Formation Biomarkers

1.1.6.1.1 Osteocalcin and uncarboxylated osteocalcin

Osteocalcin, also known as bone δ-carboxyglutamic acid-containing protein (BGLAP), is
the most abundant non-collagenous protein in bone, compromising about 20% of non-
collagenous matrix protein, and is produced exclusively by differentiated osteoblasts in the
later period of bone formation and stored in the extracellular matrix (57, 73). Therefore,
osteocalcin is considered one of specific markers of bone formation. To generate the
mature form of osteocalcin, the OC gene is regulated at transcriptional level by Vitamins D
and K as essential cofactors for γ-carboxylation of OC, resulting in an increase in the
affinity for Ca and hydroxyapatite, allowing mature carboxylated osteocalcin deposition in
mineralized bone matrix (74-77). In contrast, noncarboxylated osteocalcin (ucOC) has a
low affinity for hydroxyapatite and is more easily released into the circulation.
Carboxylated, as well as total osteocalcin forms, can be also detectable in the peripheral
blood, and measured as markers of bone formation. Approximately 10–40% of
carboxylated osteocalcin is released into the circulation and is considered a reliable marker
of bone formation (78). In normal individuals, an immunoassay analysis can identify up to
50% of osteocalcin as the undercarboxylated form. The levels of undercarboxylated
osteocalcin are influenced by vitamin K status. However, total circulating concentrations
of osteocalcin are influenced by bone cell activity independent of vitamin K (78).
Osteocalcin has some disadvantages: it is an unstable molecule and therefore might causes
some problems in the specificity and sensitivity of the osteocalcin assay, and it has
circadian variability and a short half-life of a few minutes, is influenced by Vitamin K
status, and is released during formation and resorption. While osteocalcin is secreted by
osteoblasts and deposited within the mineralized bone matrix [2], its physiological role in
bone mineralization has not been fully explained (79-81). Recently, it was shown that in
addition to acting as a marker of bone formation, several experimental studies in rodent
models showed that circulating undercarboxylated osteocalcin (ucOC) acts as a hormone
which regulates the whole body’s metabolism and reproduction (82, 83). It stimulates
insulin production and secretion by pancreatic β-cells and adiponectin by adipocytes (84-90). Additionally, osteocalcin has the ability to induce testosterone production by stimulating the Leydig cells of the testes (91).

1.1.6.1.2 Bone Alkaline Phosphatase (BAP)

BAP is a member of the alkaline phosphatase (AP) family which are common enzymes distributed among different tissues throughout the body. In humans, four genes encode AP enzyme isoforms: tissue nonspecific AP (TNAP), intestinal AP (IAP), placental AP, and germ cell AP (92). TNAP is mainly expressed in liver, bone, and kidney but is also found in circulating leukocytes and the colon and its expression within the intestine is increased during inflammation (93, 94). Most of the total ALP isoenzymes are derived from the bones and liver, and each represent approximately 50% of the total effect of all isoenzymes in each organ. Although total ALP is not a specific bone marker, ALP level increases in patients with bone disorders such as Paget’s disease or osteomalacia (95-97). Bone-specific ALP (BSAP) levels provide more selective bone formation data than that provided by measurements of total enzyme activity. BAP is present in the osteoblast plasma membrane, and it is probably cleaved off from the membrane and released into circulation. It is expressed in the early period of osteoblastic differentiation and is produced in high amounts during the cycle of bone formation. Therefore, BAP represents the most frequently used marker of bone formation (98). It is specific for bone, but with some cross-reactivity with the liver isoform (up to 20%). Cheap assays are available that detect more specifically the bone-derived isoform, bone-specific ALP. The main advantages of bone-specific alkaline phosphatase is that it has a very small circadian rhythm, low intra-individual variability of less than 10%, long circulatory half-life of 1–2 days, and sample stability (99, 100).

1.1.6.2 Bone Resorption Biomarkers

1.1.6.2.1 C-terminal cross-linking telopeptide of type 1 collagen (CTX)

Carboxy terminal cross-linked telopeptide of type 1 collagen (CTX) is currently considered the marker of choice for measuring bone resorption. It is generated from type 1 collagen by cathepsin-K during bone resorption (101). The CTX exists in an isomerised beta-CTX form and a non-isomerised alpha-CTX form. The α-form converts to the β-form as the bone ages. CTX can be measured in urine and blood, and because serum CTX is one of the most responsive BTMs following antiresorptive treatment it is therefore widely used in clinical practice (102). However, it has some disadvantages: it has intra individual
variability with a large circadian variation with the highest level between 01:30 – 04:30, which is approximately twice the level of the nadir between 11:00–15:00. This inconsistency necessitates a morning fasting sample for accurate interpretation (103). Physical activity (104) and the menstrual status are also significant factors affecting the CTX level (105). Additionally, CTX level has inter individual variability as it may be attenuated by several factors such as age, gender, ethnicity, menopausal status and osteoporotic stage (106). However, currently there are some studies being carried out to establish reference intervals classified by age, gender and, in women, menopausal state (107).

1.1.7 Bone marrow and its composition

Bone marrow is soft, sponge-like tissue that occupies approximately 85% of the bone cavity scattered within a mesh of trabecular bone (108). It is a complex environment, in which a variety of cell types share a common space. The main cellular components within the bone marrow include two types of stem cells: haematopoietic stem cells which develop into blood cells, and marrow stromal cells (MSCs) which develop into bone mass-regulating cells, and marrow adipocytes (108, 109). Bone marrow provides an environment for controlling both haematopoiesis and bone remodelling through a variety of autocrine, paracrine and endocrine activities of its different cellular components (109). Based on the composition, two types of bone marrow exist: red (hematopoietic, active) and yellow (fatty, inactive) marrow. Hematopoietic marrow is red because of the presence of haemoglobin within erythrocytes and their precursor cells, and is actively involved in haematopoiesis. It is found primarily in flat bones and at the rounded ends of long bones. In contrast, fatty yellow marrow is yellow due to its high lipid content, consisting mainly of adipocytes (95%), and it is found in the medullary cavity of long bones. Red and yellow bone marrows contain multiple chemical components, but predominantly water, lipids/triglycerides (TG) and proteins. The concentration of these chemical components varies according to the type of marrow present. Red marrow is 40–60% lipid, 30–40% water, and 10–20% proteins. In contrast, yellow marrow is 80% lipid, 15% water, and 5% protein (108, 110-112). The MRI signal of bone marrow reflects its chemical composition: the major determinants of the MRI appearance of bone marrow are the fat and water content (113). Therefore, the bone marrow fat can be visualized and quantified by MRI; this is discussed in greater detail below (Section 1.5.2.3). The cellular proportion of bone marrow in term of red and fatty marrow varies significantly over time depending on age, gender, location, homeostatic requirements and
concomitant disease (114, 115). Although both hematopoietic and stromal cells are present during the embryonic and early stages of life, at birth the bone marrow is entirely red and the fatty marrow only reaches significant levels during the second decade of life at the peak bone mass acquisition (116). The conversion in the direction of fatty bone marrow follows an anticipated pattern, which starting in the appendicular skeleton, followed by the axial skeleton (117). By age 10 to 20 years, the shafts of long bones are predominantly filled with yellow marrow. By the age of 25 years, red marrow is limited to the axial skeleton. The process of progressive fatty infiltration of the bone marrow space increases with aging until finally it occupies a major proportion of the bone marrow in the axial skeleton (118, 119). Detailed knowledge of the speed and anatomical pattern of bone marrow conversion allows MRI to detect the expected bone marrow changes throughout the skeleton (111, 113, 120, 121). Predisposition of bone marrow MSCs to the adipocyte versus osteoblast lineage is the main contributing factor for progressive fatty infiltration of bone marrow. However, the master controls over MSCs lineage allocation are still not well defined (119). This process will be discussed in more details in section 1.2.5. In contrast to the other body fat depots (BAT, WAT), the metabolic function of yellow marrow fat is largely unknown (122). Marrow fat may participate in lipid metabolism; it acts as localized reservoir for triglycerides which can then be released during demand, for example during bone fracture healing (123). It has been suggested that bone marrow fat is acting as energy source activating the bone remodelling process (82, 122). On the other hand, a large number of studies documented the detrimental effect of marrow fat on bone mass in different metabolic disease as they enhance bone marrow adipogenesis. This will be discussed in sections 1.2.4 and 1.4.1.4.

1.2 Osteoporosis

1.1.1 Epidemiology of osteoporosis

Osteoporosis is a weakening of bone microarchitecture which results in low bone density, reduced bone strength, increased fragility and consequently increased risk of fracture (124). The osteoporotic deficit of bone microarchitecture is illustrated in Figure 1.3. It is usually asymptomatic unless osteoporotic fracture and secondary changes of bone structure occur. It is estimated that approximately 30% of all postmenopausal women are affected and up to 40% will develop a fragility fracture within their lifetime (125). It is estimated that one in four men over the age of 50 years will develop at least one osteoporosis-related fracture in their lifetime (126). A recent report from 27 countries of the European Union
(EU27) estimated that nearly 22 million women and 5.5 million men in these 27 countries were expected to have osteoporosis, and 3.5 million new fragility fractures were sustained, comprising 610,000 hip fractures, 520,000 vertebral fractures, 560,000 forearm fractures and 1,800,000 other fractures (127). Given the aging population, the annual occurrence of hip fracture worldwide has been predicted to reach 8.2 million by 2050 (128).

### Normal bone | Osteoporotic bone
---|---
![Normal bone](image1.png) | ![Osteoporotic bone](image2.png)

Figure 1.3: Microarchitecture of normal and osteoporotic bone. (A) Healthy vertebral bone (B) Compressed osteoporotic vertebra with reduced trabecular number, connectivity and density and more trabecular spaces (129).

### 1.2.1 Risk factors for osteoporosis

Osteoporosis can occur in both men and women and at any age but it is most common in elderly people and postmenopausal women (primary osteoporosis/senile) (61), due to an increased rate of bone resorption compared with the rate of bone formation (130).

Osteoporosis may arise from secondary causes (secondary osteoporosis), such as endocrine (Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM)), drug use, malignancy, chronic disease, or medication (129). Other risk factors for osteoporosis include lifestyle and dietary factors, late onset of sexual development, early menopause, family history of osteoporosis and low body weight, race (Caucasian or Asian postmenopausal women), and immobilisation (20).
1.2.3 Diagnosis of osteoporosis

Currently, the clinical diagnosis of osteoporosis is made on the basis of the widely accepted Bone Mineral Density (BMD) T-score criteria established by the World Health Organization (WHO) as shown in (Table 1.1) (131). The T-score is based on the studies that evaluated postmenopausal women. More details about DXA measured BMD will be explained in (Section 2.4.1).

Table 1.1: Showing WHO BMD T-Score criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T-score</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>&gt; −1.0 SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopenia</td>
<td>Between −1.0 and −2.5 SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
<td>≤ −2.5 SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe osteoporosis</td>
<td>≤ −2.5 SD with one or two fragility fracture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD; standard deviation. T-score is the number of SD’s away from the young adult mean.

Although dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)-measured BMD remains the important determinant of fracture risk and osteoporosis in the clinical setting, BMD alone does not fully explain and predict fracture risk of bone (132-135). There exists considerable overlap in T-scores in adults with and without fragility fractures (136, 137). Some studies reported that over half of trauma fractures occur in people with non-osteoporotic BMD (BMD T-score > −2.5) (134, 138-141). Furthermore, BMD does not adequately explain the effect of therapeutic interventions on fracture risk (142). A new paradigm fracture risk assessment tool (FRAX) which includes clinical risk factors for fracture risk prediction has been implemented for the assessment of osteoporosis (129). FRAX is a diagnostic tool that is used to evaluate the 10-year probability of fracture. Along with femoral neck T-score, age, gender, prior fracture, and use of systemic glucocorticoids, additional risk factors that influence the risk of fracture are implemented into this tool. In FRAX, T1DM has been recognized as a secondary cause of osteoporosis and increases the probability of fracture independent of BMD (143, 144). Kanis et al. (145) stated that the prediction of fractures with the use of clinical risk factors alone in FRAX is comparable to the use of BMD alone to predict fracture. However, in spite of methods for the refinement of DXA indication and interpretation via FRAX scoring (146), the 1994 WHO criteria are still used as a diagnostic gold standard (131).
1.2.4 Determinants of bone strength and their clinical implications for osteoporosis diagnosis

The definition of osteoporosis emphasizes bone strength as the predictor of fracture risk (147-149). In addition to BMD, which accounts for approximately 60–70% of the variation in bone strength (150), there are other skeletal factors that influence bone strength (Bone quality parameters) (Figure 1.4). They encompass the spatial distribution of bone mass (structural properties) and the intrinsic properties of the materials that comprise the bone. Some of the important structural characteristics are bone size and shape, trabecular bone microarchitecture and cortical bone thickness and porosity. The intrinsic material properties of bone include the accumulation or removal of microdamage, matrix mineralization and crystallinity, collagen denaturation and covalent cross-links between collagen microfibrils (151). Additionally, changes in the amount of bone remodelling resulting in alterations in tissue degree of mineralization and trabecular microarchitecture. Therefore, any factors impact bone remodelling will influence the bone strength. An impairment of trabecular microarchitecture with loss of connectivity between trabeculae and cortical thinning is the characteristic feature of osteoporosis (148, 149). Therefore, assessment of the extent of compromised bone strength is of particular importance to predict the magnitude of fracture risk which cannot be assessed by BMD alone. However, there is currently no accurate measure of overall bone strength. There is an increasing body of evidence suggesting that indices of trabecular structure and cortical measures are better than DXA-derived bone measures in separating men and women with and without fractures (152, 153), and the apparent trabecular bone volume (BV/TV) has been found to be the best morphometric strength predictor (154). In a research context, new imaging techniques such as High Resolution Peripheral Quantitative Computed Tomography (HR-pQCT) and micro-MRI have provided greater dimensions to assessment of fracture risk and skeletal health in a variety of diseases related to bone abnormalities irrespective of DXA-measured BMD (155-159). T1DM was one of disorders for which newer approaches to evaluating skeletal health has been introduced. The existing data showed that DXA-measured BMD did not explain the higher fracture risk in this group of the population (160-162). QCT and HR-pQCT (163, 164) showed that deficits in cortical and trabecular bone vBMD and microarchitecture are greater in patients with T1DM compared with healthy controls. These promising results achieved by applying 3-dimensional imaging techniques will give insights into bone strength determinants of fracture risk that cannot be fully studied by DXA alone.
Figure 1.4: Determinants of bone strength including bone mineral density (BMD), structural properties and material properties. The bone remodelling has influences on the bone strength parameters (165).

1.2.5 The relationship between adiposity and osteoporosis

More recently, evidence has accumulated to suggest another mechanism for osteoporosis involving the relationship between osteoporosis and excess adiposity (166-172). A number of potential mechanisms have been proposed to explain the complex relationship between adipose tissue and bone (Figure 1.5), yet precise mechanisms underlying this relationship remain unclear (169). The existing literature suggests that the fat bone connection can be either due to the effect of peripheral adipose tissue (e.g., abdominal fat) or the fat present within the bone marrow (bone marrow adipogenesis). However, the role of BMF is distinct from that of other fat depots as demonstrated in the patients with anorexia nervosa who display extreme low body fat mass with high vertebral BMA (173). The adverse effect of peripheral adipose tissues on bone metabolism is elucidated partially through the influence of the biochemical factors that are secreted by adipocytes. These factors include inflammatory cytokines such as resistin (174), tumour necrosis factor-a (TNF-a), interleukin (IL-1, IL-6) (175, 176), and endocrine hormones such as leptin (177-179) and adiponectin (180, 181), which modulate bone remodelling by enhancing bone resorption or suppressing bone formation. On the other hand, adipose tissue is the source of other hormones such as oestrogen which play a key role in the maintenance of skeletal homeostasis (182, 183). Indeed, there is increasing evidence that the developmental origins of various fat depots are different (184, 185), which explains why various adipose tissues themselves have individual characteristics in terms of production of biochemical factors,
which are thought to have different effects on bone metabolism (186-189). There is some discrepancy regarding the effect of peripheral adipose tissue on bone metabolism, and both positive and negative effects have been reported (190-193). Although a growing number of in vivo and in vitro studies examine the effect of peripheral adipose tissue on bone metabolism, the mechanism of this effect is not yet understood. The local activity of fat within the bone marrow milieu and its interaction with other bone cells is better understood. An extensive body of literature suggests that the first local connection between bone and fat is demonstrated by the finding that the differentiation programmes of osteoblasts and adipocytes share a common progenitor, the mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). This balanced differentiation programme is under the tight control of multiple transcription factors including the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)-γ and RUNX2, with many regulating pathways and additional factors being directly or indirectly involved (119, 194-199). The commitment of MSCs towards adipocyte lineages (adipogenesis) depends on PPAR-γ (i.e., PPAR-γ2) (200), whereas RUNX2 promotes osteoblast differentiation and inhibiting adipogenic differentiation (201). In addition to bone marrow adipogenesis, adipocytes secrete lipotoxic substances (paracrine factors) which affect the bone cells in the vicinity of the bone marrow (202). The existing data indicate that there are certain conditions such as ageing (203), and other osteoporosis-induced diseases, e.g., diabetes mellitus (204), that can be considered adipocytic risk factors and induce the alteration of MSC commitment. This will be explained in more detail in sections 1.4.1.4 and 1.5.2.4.2.

In conclusion, the ultimate effect of adiposity on bone mass is emphasized through these opposing pathways. However, the relationship of marrow adipose tissue to other fat depots is complex and might play a very distinct role in bone metabolism and thus remains to be explored. Understanding the relationship between bone and fat at the marrow level provides us not only with the pathophysiology of disease-related osteoporosis, but also with a novel approach to the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis (205).
Figure 1.5: Illustrating the relationship between adipose tissue and bone. The connection can be via peripheral fat and fat within bone marrow milieu.

The Peripheral fat can affect the bone mass either through Leptin or other secretory factors (Inflammatory cytokines, fatty acids, adiponectin and oestrogen). Osteoblasts, osteoclasts and MSCs express the leptin receptor. The direct peripheral action of leptin on bone occurs via binding to the Ob-R on bone cells (MSCs, osteoblasts, and osteoclast). Leptin suppresses adipogenic differentiation of MSCs and promotes osteoblastic differentiation. In addition, leptin increases OPG production in association with reducing that of RANKL, thereby inhibiting osteoclastic differentiation. Leptin also exhibits a central indirect effect on bone by binding to its receptor in the hypothalamus and activating the SNS, enabling binding of noradrenaline to β2-AR on osteoblasts and thus inhibiting bone formation. It also increases RANKL expression and promotes the differentiation of osteoclasts. However, to date the precise mechanisms of leptin action on skeletal homoeostasis remain unknown. Other secretory factors produced by adipocytes can positively or negatively regulate skeletal mass. In the bone marrow setting, activation of PPAR-γ causes bone loss in part through altering the fate of MSCs towards adipogenesis and away from osteogenesis. Activation of PPARγ also results in recruitment of hematopoietic cells that can differentiate into osteoclasts under the influence of m-CSF and RANKL, which are produced by preosteoblasts, thereby ensuring a coupled process. Furthermore, secretory factors released by marrow adipocytes are toxic and block osteoblast differentiation and enhancing adipogenesis, whereas secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α) can stimulate osteoclast activity thereby enhancing bone resorption processes. HBSC, haematopoietic stem cells; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; RANKL, receptor activator for nuclear factor κB ligand; OPG, osteoprotegerin; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ; Runx2, runt-related protein; OSX, osterix; IL, interleukin; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor; M-CSF, macrophage clonally stimulating factor; SNS, sympathetic nervous system; β2-AR, β2-adrenergic receptor; Ob-R, leptin receptor. Red line indicate inhibitory action; black arrow indicate stimulatory action (206).
1.4 T1DM

1.4.1 Background

T1DM is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by hypoinsulinemia and chronic hyperglycaemia due to the autoimmune destruction of insulin-producing pancreatic β cells (207, 208). T1DM account for 10% of all diabetes, and the overall incidence of T1DM seems to be increasing in recent decades up to 4.8% per year, an increase which is attributed to a number of possible immune-modulatory factors which include social changes in hygiene, infectious exposures and nutritional factors (209, 210). If these trends continue, the incidence of people with type 1 diabetes will increase in coming years (211, 212). It is one of the most common chronic diseases of childhood. The peak age of presentation is school entry (5-7 years), and at puberty (10-14 years), but the disease can also be diagnosed at any age. In adults, it constitutes approximately 5% of all diagnosed cases of diabetes (213, 214). Diagnosis of diabetes is generally given when fasting blood glucose is equal or higher than 7 mmol/L (126 mg/dL), any blood glucose of 11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL) or higher with symptoms of hyperglycaemia, or an abnormal 2h oral glucose-tolerance test. In addition, recently HBA1C has been set as a standard of diagnosing diabetes. If an individual has an HA1C of 6.5% (47.5 mmol/mol) or higher, they meet the criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes (215). Some cases of T1DM are misdiagnosed as T2DM. Therefore, pancreatic autoantibodies are considered characteristic of type 1 diabetes, and more than 90% of individuals with newly diagnosed T1DM have autoantibodies at disease onset (216). The management of T1DM is by exogenous insulin replacement which is usually delivered by multiple daily injections or by using an insulin pump. An adjustment of the insulin dose is made according to the number of carbohydrates in each meal, which is what is known as carb counting (217). Regular monitoring of average blood glucose over a period of 6-10 weeks by using glycated haemoglobin HBA1C is essential to tightly regulate the glycaemic control and in turn decrease the risk of developing complications. Additionally, a regular screening programme for people with diabetes is imperative to prevent the long term complications that develop as a result of chronic hyperglycaemia.
1.4.2 Complications of diabetes

Long term complications of diabetes include microvascular disease, nephropathy, neuropathy, retinopathy, and macrovascular disease, cardiovascular and peripheral vascular complications (218). Recently, fragility fracture is another common complication of diabetes (161, 219). The interaction of advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) with their receptors on different body tissues are thought to play a significant role in the pathogenesis of diabetic complications via activation of pro-inflammatory pathways (220). AGEs are generated by the non-enzymatic addition of reduced sugar moieties to amine groups on both tissue-specific and circulating proteins. In the presence of hyperglycaemia, AGEs accumulate within various organs including the kidney, retina, and the cardiovascular system. These AGEs may also accumulate in bone and contribute to diabetic bone disease; this will be discussed in more details in the following section (Section 1.4.1.1).

1.5 T1DM and abnormalities of bone health

In addition to the many target organs that are typically associated with dysfunction, the skeleton has been recognized as another organ that is adversely affected in diabetes mellitus with fragility fractures being increasingly recognized in people with diabetes mellitus (161, 162, 221). An extensive volume of clinical data supports altered bone structure and biochemical markers of bone turnover in people with both Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes (T1D and T2D)(222-224). In addition, a series of experimental studies have reported several possible mechanisms that could explain the link between diabetes and adverse bone health. In the following section, we review the pathophysiological mechanisms of diabetic bone loss and the evidence that exists for abnormalities of bone health in people with T1DM.

1.5.1 Pathogenesis of altered bone metabolism in T1DM

1.5.1.1 Hyperglycemia

Several in vivo and in vitro studies indicate that hyperglycemia contributes to bone loss through a variety of mechanisms: direct glucose toxicity to osteoblast through polyolpathway activity affecting osteoblast numbers and function (225, 226); osmotic and nonosmotic pathways which independently suppress osteoblast maturation and mineralization (227, 228); down regulation of vitamin D receptor thus indirectly impairing
osteoblast maturation in response to 1,25(OH)D3 (226); oxidative stress (229); and formation of glycation products (230). Hyperglycemia leads to increased nonenzymatic protein glycation and excessive formation of these modified proteins called advanced glycosylation end-products (AGEs). AGEs appear to be the common biochemical entity accumulating in individuals with long term diabetic complications. They are seen in various sites such as vascular tissues, kidneys and bones (231, 232). The accumulation of AGEs increases formation of collagen cross-links which results in increased stiffness of the collagen network in the cortical bone, but this has no overall effect on the stiffness of the mineralized bone (233). Accumulation of pentosidine, a well-characterized AGE, is negatively correlated to trabecular bone volume and the structural strength of the trabecular bone, which may explain how AGEs contribute to bone fragility (234-236). In support of this hypothesis, in vivo studies in both T1D and T2D rats have confirmed that an increase in AGE production is negatively correlated with bone mineral density (BMD) and bone strength (237, 238). Moreover, AGE was found at higher concentration in cortical and cancellous bones of people with femoral neck fracture compared to post-mortem controls (235, 237). Higher pentosidine levels and AGE products have been found to reduce bone strength and elevated vertebral fracture risks in T2D (235, 239). In addition to affecting bone physical properties, AGEs also have direct effects on bone cells. There is a growing body of evidence suggested that linking of AGEs with their receptor (RAGE) causes oxidative stress generation and induces inflammatory reactions in a variety of bone forming cells, including osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). In vitro and ex vivo findings suggest that elevated AGE can inhibit proliferation and differentiation of osteoblast (240, 241) in mouse and human mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). It is also associated with reduced osteocalcin mRNA expression as seen in rodents with diabetes (238, 242). Furthermore, Okazaki et al. demonstrated that the inhibition of osteoblastic differentiation by AGEs can occur independently of hyperglycemia (243). AGEs can also cause osteoblast death by provoking inflammation and generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which in turn lead to cell apoptosis as a result of oxidative stress (229, 242, 244, 245). However, reports regarding the influence of AGEs on osteoclasts and bone resorption show inconsistent effects with some evidence of increased (246), decreased (247) as well as no effect of AGE on bone resorption (240). MSCs are pluripotent stem cells capable of differentiating into bone, muscle, cartilage and adipose tissues. Emerging evidence suggests that hyperglycemia may also alter the differentiation of the MSCs promoting adipogenesis instead of osteogenesis via AGE-RAGE oxidative stress system (241, 248). Mice with spontaneously and pharmacologically
induced diabetes with bone loss have shown increased marrow adiposity, determined by histology and by mRNA markers of adipogenesis (PPARg and aP2)(249). Hyperglycemia diverts the MSC differentiation signaling pathways toward adipogenesis at the expense of osteogenesis. This effect is achieved via ERK-1/2-activated P13K/Akt-regulated pathway in mouse MSCs (250), and via suppression of HO-1 expression (251) and Wnt/protein kinase C noncanonical pathway in human MSCs (252), evidenced by increased PPARg expression in high glucose stimuli and reduction of osteocalcin levels. Wang et al. demonstrated that hyperglycemia can also promote adipogenesis through synthesis of hyaluronan matrix which promotes an inflammatory response culminating in demineralization of trabecular bones (253).

1.5.1.2 Insulin, IGF-1 & other growth factors

Insulin is increasingly recognized to have an anabolic effect on bone that is distinct from the effect of its analogue IGF-1. This effect is mediated either indirectly through its control of blood glucose concentration while maintaining the levels of parathyroid hormone (PTH), IGF-1, vitamin D (254, 255), or directly on bone cells through specific insulin receptors (IRs) and IGF-1 receptors in osteoblast. IRs are present on osteoblasts and its expression varies with differentiation status of the osteoblast both in vivo and in vitro (256, 257). In vitro studies on primary osteoblasts and clonal osteoblast cell lines show insulin promotes glucose uptake (258), alkaline phosphatase activity, collagen synthesis (259, 260) and osteoblast proliferation (261) of these cells. Maor et al. demonstrated reduced IRs in the skeletal growth centers of mice with streptozocin (STZ)-induced diabetes and these were reversed with insulin therapy (262). Evidence for a direct link between insulin action and bone formation in vivo can be observed in IR knock out mice which show altered bone formation (86), abnormal trabecular microarchitecture (263) and reduced bone strength (264). Insulin positively regulates bone formation by stimulating osteocalcin production. Observational studies in people with T1D and T2D, with insulin deficiency and resistance respectively, demonstrate reduced osteocalcin levels compared with controls (265, 266). Furthermore, there is a positive correlation between insulin dose and BMD (267, 268). The dichotomy of lower BMD in T1D with insulinopenia and higher BMD in T2D with clinical hyperinsulinemia further implicates a causal anabolic effect of insulin on bone. The direct effect on bone may also be mediated by the IGF-1 pathway. IGF-binding protein (IGFBP) serves as a carrier protein for IGF1. Insulin inhibits IGFBP-1, 4 expression in osteoblasts and therefore lack of insulin leads to an increase in IGFBP-1 and 4 levels, accordingly decreasing the availability of unbound IGF-1 for anabolic effects on bone (269). In
addition to insulin deficiency, T1D individuals and animal models demonstrate
dysregulation of a variety of endocrine factors including reduced amylin (162) and IGF-1
(270). Amylin is another osteotropic factor that is cosecreted by pancreatic beta-cells and
absent in T1D (271). Amylin-deficient mice displayed low bone mass with increased
number of osteoclast (272) and that treatment with amylin in diabetic rat result in increased
BMD and bone strength (271). Similarly, diabetic animals with low blood IGF-1 and
knock-out mice for its receptor displayed diminished bone formation (273, 274). Also,
serum IGF-1 level was another predictor of prevalent VF in postmenopausal women with
T2D (275).

1.5.1.3 Calcium, vitamin D & calcitropic hormones

It is well known that calcium homeostasis plays a major role in regulating bone
metabolism. Therefore, imbalance in systemic factors which are capable of regulating
calcium balance have been found to influence diabetic bone loss (276). Similar to diabetic
patients, several but not all studies demonstrate that diabetic animal models have reduced
levels of PTH, vitamin D, calcium, magnesium and phosphate (277). The effect of DM on
calcium metabolism is complex, but essentially it is associated with a negative calcium
balance hallmark by both bone and renal loss (278). There is a growing body of evidence
which demonstrate exacerbation of osteopenia and osteoporosis in animals and humans
with vitamin D deficiency and T1D or T2D (279-281). Verhaegae et al. found that diabetic
rats have higher urinary calcium excretion and significantly lower serum concentrations of
both 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 and vitamin D-binding protein (280). Frazer et al.
demonstrated that alteration in vitamin D metabolism in young insulin-dependent diabetics
aged 7–18 years old, who have markedly reduced 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin–D but normal
serum calcium, phosphate and PTH concentrations, could be related to their observed
decrease in cortical bone mass (281). More recently, Zhang et al. aimed to explain the
mechanism involved by demonstrating that male STZ-induced diabetic mice have high
urinary calcium excretion and decreased BMD (276). Quantified PCR results showed
alteration of vitamin D metabolic enzyme expression and down regulation of mRNA
expression levels for renal calcium transporter receptors, plasma membrane Ca-ATPase,
and vitamin D receptor. In support of this, treatment with calcitriol in STZ-induced rats
demonstrated recovery of BMD (282). In terms of PTH, several in vivo and in vitro studies
indicate that an imbalance in PTH was associated with bone loss and increase fracture risk
(283, 284). PTH encourages bone formation and turnover by increasing the expression of
osteocalcin, IGF-1, IGFBP-3, bFGF, MMP-1 and MMP-13 in rat osteoblasts in vitro (285),
as well as MMP-13 in mice in vivo (286). Moreover, treatment with PTH to T1D and T2D mice demonstrated reversal of trabecular bone parameters through its anabolic effects on osteoblasts differentiation and maturation (284, 287). PTH-treatment was also associated with reduced TUNEL-staining of osteoblast suggesting its antiapoptotic effect on osteoblasts. It has been suggested that PTH promotes repair of DNA damage by increasing PCNA and Foxo3a (288), hence prolonging osteoblast survival. Intermittent PTH-treatment reversed bone loss to baseline in mice compared to only partial reversal in continuous PTH-treatment, although this effect may be due to underlying differences between the T1D and T2D mouse models (284, 287). Similarly, treatment with PTH-related protein, which is produced at high levels by differentiating osteoblasts, also reverses trabecular bone loss in STZ-induced diabetic mice suggesting its modulatory effect on osteoblast function and role in diabetic osteopenia (289).

1.5.1.4 Marrow adiposity & adipokines

There is a growing body of evidence that suggests that the fat one connection plays an important role in the pathophysiology of bone loss. Osteoblasts and adipocytes share a common precursor, both derived from the pluripotent MSCs located in the bone marrow suggesting a mutually exclusive and reciprocal lineage selection of one or the other. The main lineage-specific transcription factors that direct the differentiation of MSC are the runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) for osteoblastogenesis and the PPARγ for adipogenesis (199). It has been suggested that lineage selection could regulate bone density and result in bone loss when MSCs commit to the adipocytes at the expense of osteoblasts (251, 290, 291). Botolin et al., 2005 were the first to report an increase in the expression of PPARγ and an increase in visible adipocytes in tibia of T1D mouse models compared with control (292). Subsequently, several experimental animal studies have demonstrated an increase in bone marrow adiposity in both spontaneously and STZ-induced T1D mice compared to controls (249, 289, 293). As described earlier, hyperglycemia is capable of promoting adipogenesis by altering the lineage commitment of MSCs to adipocytes through various signaling pathways contributing to the diabetic osteopenia (250, 253, 294). Rzonca et al. demonstrated in vivo that rosiglitazone (PPARγ agonist) administration results in significant bone loss whilst Cock et al. 2004 demonstrated that congenitally PPARγ deficient mice had increased BMD and bone trabecular microarchitecture parameter including BV/TV and trabecular thickness (295). However, Botolin and McCabe found that inhibition of PPARγ by administration of an antagonist to insulin deficient T1D mice prevented bone marrow adiposity but not bone loss (249). This inability in preventing
bone loss in T1D mice suggested that bone marrow adiposity may only be partially responsible for diabetic bone loss. Bone marrow adiposity can also have direct effect on osteoblasts as demonstrated by several coculture studies in mouse and human cells (296-298). The presence of adipocytes inhibit osteoblast proliferation (296) through the lipotoxic effect of free fatty acid in the bone marrow microenvironment (297). Coe et al. demonstrated that the diabetic bone marrow itself is a mediator for osteoblast death with an increase in caspase-3 activity, a marker for extrinsic apoptotic pathway which are activated by extracellular ligands such as TNFα, in bone marrows of both spontaneous and pharmacologically-induced diabetic mice. By treating the cocultures with TNFα antibodies, they prevented osteoblast death further supporting the negative correlation of diabetic bone marrow adiposity and bone mass. In addition to releasing large amounts of free fatty acid, adipocytes in the bone marrow also secrete cytokines including leptin, resistin and TNFα, the last of which will be discussed in the next section. Leptin is an adipokine which has a complex regulatory role on bone metabolism, with an indirect inhibitory effect on osteoclastogenesis and a direct stimulatory effect on osteoblastogenesis through a central (hypothalamic) and peripheral pathway (299-301). Despite being an adipokine, in vitro studies indicate that leptin promotes an osteoblast rather than adipocyte lineage (300, 301). In contrast to T1D patients who demonstrate increased (302) or slightly decreased leptin level (303), leptin levels were found to be significantly suppressed in T1D mice, its absence results in reducing bone mass with increasing marrow adiposity (299)[83]. T2D is typically associated with obesity, which has been associated with higher leptin level and higher BMD. Vasilkova et al., however, demonstrated that leptin has an independent positive correlation to BMD, irrespective of BMI (304). In addition, interventional studies in mice have demonstrated that leptin administration reduces bone marrow adiposity and increase bone mass (305, 306). However, similar to their work on PPARγ antagonist, Motyl and McCabe concluded that leptin administration to T1D mice modify and prevent marrow adiposity but did not prevent diabetic bone loss (307). Adiponectin (APN), the most abundant adipocyte-secreted adipokine, regulates energy homeostasis and exerts well-characterized insulin sensitizing properties. The peripheral and central effects of APN on bone metabolism are beginning to be explored but are still not clearly understood. APN-knockout (APN-KO) mice fed a normal diet exhibit decreased trabecular structure and mineralization and increased bone marrow adiposity and central administration of APN decreased osteoclast numbers, whereas osteoblast osteogenic marker expression and trabecular bone mass increased both, in APN-KO and WT mice (308). The insulin-sensitising effect of OC is known be due to the upregulation of the expression of the
insulin-sensitizing APN gene in adipocytes (89) but there is some suggestion that in humans the link between OC and APN may be gender specific (309).

1.5.1.5 Chronic inflammation

The pathogenesis of both T1D and T2D are associated with activation of the immune system, especially so in T1D which involves an autoimmune destruction of pancreatic b-cells whilst T2D involves a more chronic low-grade inflammatory process (310, 311). Several experimental studies using T1D mice model indicate that systemic and local inflammatory cytokines are increased at the onset of diabetes with rapid suppression of osteoblast markers and increase in adipocyte markers, indicating that bone inflammation may be another contributing factor to the diabetic bone pathology (298, 312, 313). Serum cytokine levels (TNFa, IFN-g, IL-1Ra and LT-b) and corresponding bone cytokine mRNA expression were increased from as early as 5 days after induction of diabetes in mouse models (249, 312) with decrease in osteocalcin mRNA expression in bone RNA extracts, and it remains suppressed at 40 days post induction. mTNFa can either directly suppress osteoblast maturation as well as promote osteoblast death in vitro (313) or act indirectly by contributing to elevation of ROS causing osteoblast apoptosis from oxidative stress (229, 245). Coe et al. also found that TNFa in the bone marrow microenvironment directly mediate osteoblast death with increase in increased expression of proapoptotic factors and osteoblast TUNEL staining, further contributing to T1D bone loss (298). Inhibition of the cytokines with TNFa neutralizing antibodies prevented osteoblast apoptosis (298) but transgenic mice with IFN-g KO proceeded to have diabetic bone pathology (312), supporting the idea that diabetic inflammatory bone loss involves an interplay of more than one cytokine and/or a combinations of other factors. Apart from proinflammatory cytokines, abnormal hyaluronan production in bones of diabetic rodents also induced monocyte and macrophage infiltration into the bone collagen matrix, promoting adipogenesis at the expense of osteogenesis (253). The concept of T2D as an inflammatory disease is relatively new with increased fat depots in T2D implicated as the source for more proinflammatory cytokines and adipokines (310). However, a large prospective population study (EPIC) in Germany found that systemic inflammatory markers are independent predictors for the development of T2D, independent of degree of insulin resistance and obesity (314).
1.5.1.6 Vasculopathy

Similar to other diabetic microvascular complications of retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy, bone microangiopathy has been insinuated as another possible mechanism for diabetic bone loss. In hypoxic condition (2% oxygen), the bone marrow shifts toward adipogenic lineage by enhancing expression of genes associated with adipogenic/lipogenic phenotype (C/EBPb, PPARg2, and aP2) and by suppressing expression of genes associated with osteoblast differentiation (alkaline phosphatase, AP) (315). Oikawa et al. found that the cumulative vascular density was reduced by three fold in bone marrow of STZ-induced diabetic mice compared to control, along with reduction in blood flow (316). In addition, there is also evidence of reduction in bone marrow volume and bone marrow remodeling with cell depletion mainly affecting the osteoblastic niche secondary to hypoperfusion and oxidative stress (316). Correspondingly, boosting the antioxidative pentose phosphate pathway with benfotiamine supplementation prevented microangiopathy and hypoperfusion in the bone marrow with reduction in cell apoptosis, providing further compelling evidence for vasculopathy in diabetic bone pathology. Regenerating mouse tibia has reduction in blood vessels with lower expression of VEGF, a signaling protein which regulate angiogenesis, and its receptor (289). Clinically, histomorphometric evaluation of iliac crest bone biopsy in 118 diabetic patients revealed evidence of diabetic bone microangiopathy in 82% with significant osteopenia and reduction in the sinusoidal capillaries (317).

1.5.1.7 Anti-diabetic medications

Although insulin has a clear anabolic role on bone as reported earlier, the skeletal effects of insulin treatment remain controversial with increased risk of falls from hypoglycemic attacks resulting in fractures (318). Total daily insulin requirement has a positive predictive value for low BMD, although the need for higher insulin dose may reflect the presence of more severe disease (319). Different classes of anti-diabetic medication, such as thiazolidinediones (TZDs), metformin and glycogen-like peptide 1 inhibitors have been reported to have both varying effects on bone. TZDs such as pioglitazone and rosiglitazone have been shown to increase adipocyte differentiation and decreased osteoblast differentiation through activation of PPARg activity (295, 320, 321). Consistent with these preclinical observations, a meta-analysis clearly indicate that TZD use is associated with a higher risk of fracture, particularly in women (321). In contrast, metformin and sulfonylurea have been reported to be bone protective with a reduction in risk of fractures in patients treated with these agents (322, 323). Both compounds exert a direct osteogenic
effect in vitro by stimulating proliferation and differentiation of osteoblast (324-328) through preventing AGE-induced deleterious effects in osteoblastic cells (329) and various signaling pathways including the PI3K/Akt pathway (327), ERK-1/2 (324) and AMPK activation (326, 328). Zhen et al. also demonstrated an indirect effect of metformin on osteoblast survival through reduction of intracellular ROS (330). In addition to its osteoblastogenic potency in vitro, metformin can further prevent bone loss by inhibiting osteoclastic differentiation (331). However, ovariectomized rodents treated with metformin demonstrated inconsistent radiological findings of no change in bone microarchitecture (332) to increased BMD (331). A newer class of oral antidiabetic agent, the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, such as sitagliptin, has been observed to have anabolic effects on the bone (333) but the long-term skeletal consequences of these drugs are yet unclear. Lastly, dapagliflozin, a highly selective inhibitor of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 which reduces hyperglycemia and weight in patients with T2D by increasing urinary glucose excretion has also been studied for its effects on bone metabolism but no clear effects have been described (334, 335).

1.5.2 Clinical evidence of fracture

Although fracture data for T1D is scarce, the existing evidence indicates that people with T1D have a higher fracture risk compared to the general population and these fractures occur more frequently in the lower limbs (161, 336-338). More recently, vertebral fractures have been also reported (160, 339). The risk of hip fractures in those with T1D is reported to be 7–12 times greater (336, 340) and this increased risk is also evident in young adults (221). Recent population-based cohort study used data from The Health Improvement Network (THIN) in the U.K. in which 30,394 participants aged 0-89 years with type 1 diabetes were compared with 303,872 randomly selected age-, sex-, and practice-matched participants without diabetes. They found that T1DM was associated with increased risk of incident fracture that began in childhood and extended across the life span. HR were lowest in males and females age <20 years, with HR 1.14 (95% CI 1.01-1.29) and 1.35 (95% CI 1.12-1.63), respectively. Risk was highest in men 60-69 years (HR 2.18 [95% CI 1.79-2.65]), and in women 40-49 years (HR 2.03 [95% CI 1.73-2.39]). The majority of these fractures were lower extremity fracture(219). The increased fracture risk was much higher than expected based on BMD assessed by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), which was only 10% lower than normal (162). In a meta-analysis that highlighted the fracture rates and the discrepant relationship with BMD, the relative risk for hip fracture amongst T1D studies was almost 7 (161). Poor glycemic control and disease duration have
also been reported to play a contributory role in this raised fracture risk irrespective of BMD (322, 340, 341). The fracture risk could also be attributed to the microvascular complications of diabetes such as neuropathy, retinopathy and nephropathy (336, 342). Recently, elevated AGEs and pentosidine levels has been found to impair bone strength and cause fragility fractures in T1D (339). Studies that have evaluated fracture risk in patients with T1DM are summarized in (Table 1.2).
Table 1.2: Studies that evaluated fracture risk in people with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Age (mean±SD) or range</th>
<th>Fracture site and assessed outcome</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicodemus, 2001 (336)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30377</td>
<td>55-69</td>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>Women were 12.25 times more likely to report an incident hip fracture than women without diabetes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miao, 2005 (342)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>24,605</td>
<td>20.7± 10.9</td>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>Elevated risks were observed in both sexes (standardized hospitalization ratios =7.6 [95% CI 5.9 –9.6] and 9.8 [7.3–12.9], respectively), increasing with follow-up time. Ophthalmic, nephropathic, neurological, and cardiovascular complications were indicators of particularly high risks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed, 2006 (338)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>12,639</td>
<td>25-98</td>
<td>All non-vertebral and hip</td>
<td>Men had an increased risk of all non-vertebral [RR, 3.1(95% CI 1.3–7.4)] and hip fractures [RR 17.8 (95% CI 5.6–56.8)]. Men and women using insulin had increased hip fracture risk. Duration of disease did not alter hip fracture risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janghorbani, 2007 (222)</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>101343</td>
<td>54.7 ± 9.3</td>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>RR of hip fracture was 7.1 (95% CI 4.4 –11.4), after adjustment for BMI, smoking, physical activity, menopausal status, intake of calcium, vitamin D and protein, and postmenopausal hormone use, RR for T1D was 6.4 (3.9 –10.3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhukouskaya ,2013 (160)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>82/82</td>
<td>31.1 6 8.6</td>
<td>VFs</td>
<td>No association between VF and lumbar spine BMD in the T1D group. No effect of age of diagnosis, disease duration, HBA1c and related complication on the prevalence of VF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neumann , 2014 (339)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>F/M</td>
<td>128/77</td>
<td>43.4±8.8</td>
<td>Fractures of ribs, fingers and toes were excluded</td>
<td>Those with prevalent fractures had higher HbA1c, pentosidene level and more diabetes-related complications. BMD was lower in those with prevalent fractures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMD; bone mineral density; CI; confidence interval; RR, relative risk; VF; vertebral fractures.
1.5.3 Bone turnover studies

In people with T1D, an assessment of markers of bone turnover often shows a state of reduced bone formation which may be associated to factors such as deficiency of insulin and chronic hyperglycemia but this needs further investigation (224). Abnormalities in the growth hormone–IGF-1 axis may also play a contributory role but this needs further investigation. Among the markers of bone turnover, serum osteocalcin level has often been reported to be low whereas bone alkaline phosphatase has been found to be increased in some studies (343, 344). This imbalance of bone formation markers (Increased ALP, decreased osteocalcin) may reflect an impairment of osteoblast differentiation and maturation as bone ALP is expressed early in development of osteoblast and osteocalcin is released from the mature osteoblast. Reports on markers of bone resorption are scarce and conflicting, with studies reporting either normal or reduced circulating levels bone resorption markers (341, 345, 346). Serum PTH has been found to be increased or normal (341). Though levels of the Wnt signaling antagonist, sclerostin, are reported to be higher, its link to other markers of bone metabolism has not been confirmed yet (339). Only one longitudinal study showed that bone turnover returned to normal after improved glycemic control (347).

1.5.4 Bone imaging studies in DM

The association between DM and bone changes has become a subject of extensive research, but the results so far are inconclusive. There is still an open question of whether the effect of diabetes on bone health is primarily due to changes in bone density or changes in the inherent material properties of bone tissue. The majority of BMD studies in children with T1DM have reported either a slightly low BMD or a normal BMD (Table 1.3) (348-351). In adults with T1D, the most consistent observation is that BMD is reported to be significantly decreased at lumbar spine and femoral neck (Table 1.4) (319, 346, 348, 349, 352-359). In one meta-analysis, a significantly lower BMD Z-score at the hip (-0.37 ± 0.16) and spine (-0.22 ± 0.01) [1] was observed. These findings have been confirmed by several subsequent studies (356, 357) but there are also a small number of studies that have reported no abnormalities in BMD (352, 355, 360). The effect of T1D on cortical and trabecular bone structure in humans has not been as well characterized as it has in rodent models (234, 276). Studies that have evaluated bone mass and structure by using pQCT show reduced total and cortical cross sectional area and lower muscle mass in children and adolescence with T1D compared to healthy control (361-365). Another pQCT study by
(366) indicated that as T1D adolescents reached 14 and 15 years of age, their cortical CSA normalized, becoming equivalent to the cortical CSA of nondiabetics at the same age. However, T1D was associated with a decreased bone CSA at the radius at the end of pubertal growth comparing to controls (367). Recently, few studies have analysed cortical and trabecular bone structure measured with high-resolution quantitative computed tomography (HR-pQCT) (163) and QCT(164), and measured trabecular bone score derived from DXA (368). QCT has shown that young and middle aged people with T1DM have lower cortical thickness and lower vBMD compared to healthy controls (164). A single study recently evaluated HR-pQCT in patients with T1D compared to controls concluded that the presence of MVD was associated with deficits in cortical and trabecular bone microarchitecture that could partly explain the increased bone frailty in those group of people (163). TBS which is a measure of bone texture has been found to be lower in T1D patients with prevalent fractures, suggesting an alteration of bone microarchitecture in this subgroup of patients(368). In light of the emerging importance of the interactions between marrow fat and bone and the evidence for the negative effects of marrow fat on bone density, marrow fat as an independent surrogate for bone quality has also been recently assessed by MRI (369). The factors that may be associated with BMD in T1D are not well-known yet. Some studies have documented a decreased BMD in those with a recent onset of T1D (354, 362, 370) indicating the existence of mechanisms before the appearance of clinical symptoms such as autoimmune and inflammatory mechanism (350). However, others studies have not detected an abnormality of BMD in recently diagnosed children indicating that the metabolic consequence of the disease over time may play an important role than a predisposing covariant. Some studies have suggested that metabolic control, including its effect on the growth hormone/ IGF-1 axis, plays a strong role in the genesis of osteopenia (164, 319, 358, 362, 370-373). These findings are supported by a study of intensive insulin therapy that stabilized BMD (345). However, others have failed to show this association (161, 353, 374-378). Vikram V Shanbhogue, et al suggested that the presence of MVD was associated with deficits in cortical and trabecular bone microarchitecture comparing to patient without MVD (163). In summary, the available data on the structural quality of bone in T1D are still scarce and controversial. There are limitations such as small sample sizes, different techniques to measure and interpret data and inadequate adjustment of confounders such as age, disease duration and metabolic status.
### Table 1.3: Bone densitometry studies in children with T1DM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>N(f/m)</th>
<th>Age (yrs) (range or means ± SD)</th>
<th>Dis duration (yrs) (range, means± SD)</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Major finding in cases vs controls</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gunczler 1998 (375)</td>
<td>26(11/15)</td>
<td>12.1±3.1</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS, FN</td>
<td>↓LS BMD</td>
<td>Adjusted for age, sex and pubertal status. No association with disease duration, glycaemic control, 24 h urinary calcium excretion or bone turnover markers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heap 2004 (361)</td>
<td>55(25/35)</td>
<td>12–17</td>
<td>6.7± 3.6</td>
<td>pQCT DXA</td>
<td>Tibia LS, FN</td>
<td>↓↓ Tibia and FN BMD</td>
<td>Inverse association to HbA1c. Adjusted for sex, maturation, and body size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Léger 2006 (377)</td>
<td>127(54/73)</td>
<td>6-20</td>
<td>4.1-8.6</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS, TB</td>
<td>↓↓ Total BMC and BMD</td>
<td>Only present in girls. Adjusted for age, sex, pubertal stage, and BMI SDS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandao 2007 (351)</td>
<td>44 (22/22)</td>
<td>8.8±4.4</td>
<td>6.6±3.9</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>No abnormalities</td>
<td>After adjustment for weight, height and pubertal development, the BMD was ≤2.0 S.D. in only two diabetic patients (4.5%). Longer duration and poor metabolic control may have a negative impact on bone mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyer-Mileur 2008 (363)</td>
<td>11(11/0)</td>
<td>12.9±1.0</td>
<td>5.9±3.7</td>
<td>PQCT DXA</td>
<td>Tibia LS, FN</td>
<td>↓Tibial and FN BMD</td>
<td>Altered markers of GH/IGF-1 axis associated with low BMD. The results were adjusted for height and puberty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heilman 2009 (371)</td>
<td>30 (11/19)</td>
<td>4.7-18.6</td>
<td>5.4±3.4</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS, TB</td>
<td>↓↓ Total BMC and LS BMD</td>
<td>Only present in boys. Inverse association to urinary markers of oxidative stress, plasma ICAM-1 levels and HbA1c. The result was adjusted for age, sex and BMI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roggen 2013 (367)</td>
<td>56 (23/33)</td>
<td>17.2–24.8</td>
<td>10.6±3.9</td>
<td>pQCT</td>
<td>DR Similar Trabecular BMD, ↓ CSA</td>
<td>Adjusted for age, gender, height score and BMI. In girls, the CSA SDS correlated negatively with the BMI SDS and positively with the height SDS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molina 2014 (350)</td>
<td>75((39/36)</td>
<td>6-20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS (L1-L4)</td>
<td>↓↓ LS BMD</td>
<td>Children and adolescents with early onset T1D presented with low BMD associated with poorer glycaemic control. BMD was not adjusted for any variables.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BMD**: Bone mineral density; **BMC**: bone mineral content; **BMI**: body mass index; **CSA**: cross sectional area; **DR**: distal radius; **DXA**: dual X-ray absorptiometry; **FA**: forearm; **FN**: femoral neck; **GH/IGF-1**: growth hormone/ Insulin-like growth factor-1; **LS**: lumbar spine; **pQCT**: peripheral quantitative computed tomography; **ICAM-1**: intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1).
### Table 1.4: Bone densitometry studies in adults with Type 1 diabetes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Subject N(f/m)</th>
<th>Age, Yrs (range or mean± SD)</th>
<th>Disease Duration, Yrs (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Modalities</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Major findings in cases</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kayath 1994 [152]</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18-54</td>
<td>2–20</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS, FN</td>
<td>↓ BMD in 34%of IDDM patients.</td>
<td>Positive correlation with glucose levels duration of disease and insulin dosage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunt 1998 [156]</td>
<td>99 (99/0)</td>
<td>42median</td>
<td>27median</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>No difference in BMD from control</td>
<td>Positive correlation with BMI and height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemink 2000 [157]</td>
<td>35 (14/21)</td>
<td>37.6±9.9</td>
<td>8.5±3.5</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS, FN</td>
<td>↓ FN BMD, ↓ LS BMD, Osteopenia in 67% of men 57% of women</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu 2003 [153]</td>
<td>33 (33/0)</td>
<td>20–37</td>
<td>14.5±5.7</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>FN, LS, wrist, TB.</td>
<td>↓ LS BMD, ↓ FN BMD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges 2005 [159]</td>
<td>35 (0/35)</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>No difference in BMD from control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strotmeyer 2006 [160]</td>
<td>67 (67/0)</td>
<td>35-55</td>
<td>32.2 ±5.3</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>Total hip FN, TB, LS</td>
<td>↓ total hip BMD p&lt;0.001 ↓ FN BMD P&lt;0.001 ↑ TB BMD P&lt;0.01 ↓ Calcaneal BUA P&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton 2009 [161]</td>
<td>102 (52/50)</td>
<td>20–71</td>
<td>5.5-23.6</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>FA, FN, LS</td>
<td>↓ BMD at LS, FN and FA</td>
<td>Only present in women, Lower BMD was associated with impaired bone formation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielson 2009 [162]</td>
<td>75 (75/0)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>Calcaneus FA, FN,LS</td>
<td>↓ BMD at FA and FN</td>
<td>Inverse correlation with HbA1c and markers of bone formation in patients with ↓BMD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eller-Vainicher 2011 [104]</td>
<td>175 (104/71)</td>
<td>32±8.4</td>
<td>13 8.4</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS, FN</td>
<td>↓ BMD at LS and FN</td>
<td>Low BMD is associated with low BMI; chronic complications have a negative association with BMD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanbhogue et al. 2015 (163)</td>
<td>55 (27/28)</td>
<td>45.6± 11.7</td>
<td>17 median</td>
<td>HR-pQCT, DXA</td>
<td>Distal radius and tibia. Total hip, LS</td>
<td>↓ Trabecular vBMD and cortical vBMD at the radius and tibia.</td>
<td>The presence of MVD was associated with deficits in cortical and trabecular bone microarchitecture comparing to patient without MVD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 year prospective (Mastrandrea et al 2008) [139]</td>
<td>63(63/0)</td>
<td>15-39</td>
<td>DXA</td>
<td>LS, FN, FA, TB</td>
<td>↓ BMD at FN and TB</td>
<td>Statistically significant in women ≥20 years of age.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

↓: Decrease in BMD; ↑: Increase in BMD; BMD: Bone mineral density; BMI: Body mass index; BUA: Broadband ultrasound attenuation; CSA: Cross sectional area; DR: Distal radius; DXA: Dual X-ray absorptiometry; FA: Forearm; FN: Femoral neck; LS: Lumbar spine; QUS: Quantitative ultrasound; T1D: Type 1 diabetes; TB: Total body
1.5.5 Conclusion

Figure 1.6 showing the factors that might play significant role in pathogenesis of bone disease in T1DM. In summary, the evidence from experimental studies, with supporting evidence from bone marker and bone density data, suggest that adults with diabetes are at increased risk for fractures. Patients with T1D are at a higher risk for osteoporotic fractures compared to T2D patients or general population. This may be explained by the initial period of insulin deficiency which results in impaired bone formation and prevents accrual of an adequate peak bone mass. This maybe complicated further by subsequent poor glycaemic control. T1D is typically associated with reduced BMD, whereas those with T2D usually have normal or elevated BMD. This inconsistency indicates that impaired bone quality may further explain the higher fracture risk in addition to frequent falls and associated comorbidities. There are several mechanisms by which diabetes could affect bone mass and strength, including insulin level, hyperglycemia, AGE accumulation which influences collagen characteristics, increased marrow adiposity and increased bone inflammation. In addition, associated diabetic complications and treatment with TZDs may increase fracture risk. Future studies are needed to clarify the impact of different aspects of diabetes metabolism, glycemic control and specific treatments for diabetes on bone. Given that DXA related BMD is a poor predictor of bone morbidity in this group of patients, there is a need to explore novel approaches to assessing bone quality. A better understanding of how diabetes affects bone will improve our ability to protect bone health and prevent fractures in the growing population of adults with diabetes.
Figure 1.6: Pathophysiological mechanisms of diabetes bone disease.
T1DM leads to hyperglycaemia, increased inflammation, formation of AGEs, generation of ROS, activation of PPAR-γ, alteration of Vitamin D, PTH and other mineral metabolites. This dysregulation as well as reduced insulin signalling and IGF-1 deficit may adversely affect bone cells (MSC, osteoblast, and osteoclast), and induce adipogenesis, low bone turnover and consequently resulting in reduced BMD and bone quality. These abnormalities in addition to associated complications and the use of antidiabetic medications lead altogether to osteoporosis and increasing fracture risk. T1DM; Type 1 diabetes mellitus; IGF-1; insulin growth factor-1; PTH; parathyroid hormone; AGEs; advanced glycation end products; ROS; reactive oxygen species; PPAR-γ; peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma; TNF-α; tumour necrosis factor-alpha; IL(6,8,1B); interleukin-(6,8,beta).
1.6 Imaging Modalities for assessment of bone health

1.6.1 Overview

Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is by far the most widely used densitometry technique for quantifying bone mass. It is a quick and non-invasive procedure with a low radiation dose of around 0.5-35 μSv. However, it has several major limitations because it provides two-dimensional projected area of a three-dimensional structure, it cannot measure true volumetric BMD and cannot reliably differentiate between cortical and trabecular bone. Furthermore, DXA provides minimal information on bone shape and cannot provide any information on microarchitecture, supporting views that DXA by itself is not reliable in predicting risk of osteoporotic fractures (379).

Quantitative ultrasound (QUS) represents an alternative method for studying bone tissue and managing patients presenting with osteoporosis or other metabolic bone diseases (380). Although QUS does not directly measure bone mass, it measures bone quality which depends on bone density, bone size, and trabecular architecture (381). It is a method developed on the basis of physical changes that ultrasonic waves undergo while they pass through bone mass. Bone elasticity and hardness could be demonstrated by QUS. Two measures are important in QUS; speed of sound (SOS) and broadband attenuation (BUA). The major advantages of QUS are low cost, accessibility, ease of application, and no radiation exposure allowing it to be used in a large number of disorders such as relatively immobile patient as preterm babies or children (382, 383). The most important limitation of QUS is that it can be used to measure bone quality only on single superficial bones such as the patella, tibia, and calcaneus. Also, clinical interpretation of bone measurements is challenging (384).

More recently, advanced radiological modalities have facilitated assessment of trabecular bone microarchitecture. Micro-computed tomography (μCT), synchrotron radiation computed tomography (SRCT), peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be used to image and measure trabecular bone microarchitecture (5, 8, 157, 385, 386). Due to high levels of radiation exposure with μCT and SRCT, these methods are currently reserved for ex vivo studies only.

Quantitative computed tomography (QCT) allows for three-dimensional imaging and results in accurate quantification of bone density, but it is more costly and delivers more radiation dose of around 100 μSv (387).
pQCT and HR-pQCT are suitable tools for investigating trabecular bone microarchitecture because they produce images of bone at appendicular sites. However, there are limitations with using pQCT and HR-pQCT for clinical research. There is radiation exposure associated with the scan. The scans are also susceptible to motion artifact causing measurement error. Additionally, because trabeculae size is similar to image resolution, pQCT is limited by partial volume effects which decrease measurement accuracy. To increase image resolution, there are many factors should be taken in amount, higher radiation exposure, more operating costs, longer scan time and processing time for image analysis. Despite these limitations, several research groups have shown the feasibility of pQCT and HR-pQCT to explore changes in cortical and trabecular bone microarchitecture during growth and to differentiate patients with and without established fragility fractures irrespective of their BMD (388-390).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) have shown great potential in studying bone parameters without any radiation burden to the patient as would be encountered in pQCT, and are currently used in research settings. In the following section detailed description about the basics of MRI and use of MRI in quantification of bone parameters.
1.6.3 The Use of MRI and MRS for the Assessment of Bone Health

1.6.3.1 Overview

Imaging of bones is an important topic of research in identifying abnormalities based on its structure and composition. Different imaging methods are available for measurement of bone properties, but very few modalities are capable of quantifying both structure and composition. Quantitative computed tomography (QCT), peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) and MRI are suitable imaging techniques that are commonly employed for the non-destructive measurement of trabecular bone microarchitecture and cortical bone. However, QCT and pQCT both require radiation exposure. Magnetic resonance (MR) is a technique that is capable of providing bone information related to both bone quantity and quality from the whole-body without the burden of ionizing radiation to the patient. Additionally, magnetic resonance is emerging as a comprehensive tool for fat quantification, bone marrow adiposity and abdominal adiposity can be measured with MRI, which may contribute to a more complete assessment of bone health. With no ionizing radiation, MRI allows for multislice volume imaging and indefinite repeatability in longitudinal studies and in children. In addition, the signal intensity of fat from CT scans is very low and is similar to that of air and bowel gas. Conversely, the strong bright signal intensity of fat on MR images and the absence of signals from bowel gases permit accurate distinction among fat, other tissues, and gas.

1.6.3.2 Introduction to Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

The fundamentals of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are based on the intrinsic magnetic properties of atomic nuclei. Atomic nuclei with an odd number of protons and neutrons such as $^1$H, $^{13}$C, $^{19}$F, $^{23}$Na, $^{31}$P have a property known as “spin” and possess a nuclear magnetic moment, which means that they produce a small magnetic field. Hydrogen is present within water (H$_2$O) and fat (CH$_3$ etc.) and is the most abundant element within the body, and hence hydrogen nuclei ($^1$H) generate the strongest MR signal (391, 392). MRI uses a strong external magnetic field ($B_0$) to align the nuclear magnetic moments of hydrogen atoms in the tissues of the body. Due to the spin characteristics of protons (hydrogen nuclei), when no external magnetic field is present the spins are randomly aligned, and the net magnetic field (M) generated is zero. When an external magnetic field is applied, the spins are aligned either parallel or anti-parallel to the external magnetic field, and the magnetic fields from each pair of parallel and anti-parallel spins cancel out, except for a tiny excess of spins that exist in the low energy state which
generates a small equilibrium magnetisation in the direction of the static field. This is illustrated in (Figure 1.7) (393).

Figure 1.7: Illustration of spin alignment a) with no external magnetic field and b) in the presence of an external magnetic field (393).

Similarly, at body temperature, there is a slightly higher likelihood of spins being aligned parallel to \( B_0 \), which results in a small net magnetic field (M) in the same direction as \( B_0 \). In order to distinguish between M and \( B_0 \), it is necessary to rotate M from being aligned with \( B_0 \) (longitudinal plane) to being perpendicular to \( B_0 \) (transverse plane). The individual spins rotate, or “precess”, around the axis of the magnetic field at a special frequency called the Larmor frequency, which depends on the strength of the external magnetic field. Typical clinical MRI scanners have a magnetic field strength of 1.5 or 3 Tesla (T), which have corresponding Larmor frequencies of 64 MHz and 128 MHz respectively (394). By applying radiofrequency (RF) pulses of the same resonant frequency as the Larmor frequency and orthogonal to both the \( B_0 \) field and the direction of motion of the magnetisation into the x-y plane, energy is transferred to the spins and the net magnetisation deviates from the longitudinal plane into the transverse plane. This is illustrated in (Figure 1.8).
When the RF pulse is turned off, the spins re-emit the RF energy that they absorbed and the net magnetisation moves, or “relax”, back into the longitudinal plane. The RF energy emitted by the spins can be measured in the transverse plane using an RF receiver known as a “coil”. RF energy is not directly measured by the RF coil. The coil actually measures an induced sinusoidal electric current as a result of the oscillating magnetic field associated with the proton spins. This is Faraday’s law of induction.

The relaxation process can be divided into two parts, called $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation. $T_1$ relaxation, which is also known as spin-lattice relaxation, describes the recovery of longitudinal magnetisation, and occurs due to the transfer of energy between the spins and the surrounding environment (lattice). $T_2$ relaxation, which is also known as spin-spin relaxation, describes the decay of transverse magnetisation, and occurs due to interactions between adjacent spins. $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation curves are illustrated in (Figure 1.9)(395). In Figure 1.9, longitudinal magnetisation is denoted as $M_L$ and transverse magnetisation is denoted as $M_{T_2}$. The time taken for $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation to occur varies between tissues, and so at a given time $t$, the signal intensity available to create the MRI image will be different for each tissue.
Before an MRI image can be created, it is necessary to know where in the body the measured signals originated from. This is achieved by applying linear magnetic field gradients in three orthogonal directions (head-foot, left-right and anterior-posterior). Selection of an imaging slice is performed by applying an RF pulse which contains a small range of frequencies, and only spins which are precessing at those frequencies will contribute to the net magnetisation in the transverse plane. Localisation in the other two planes (denoted as frequency and phase) is determined according to the frequencies of individual signals – since the magnetic field gradient is linear, the difference in frequency between two spins is directly related to their spatial separation. The area of the body over which data is acquired to form an image is called the field of view (FOV). This is illustrated in (Figure 1.10) (395).
The FOV is separated into an array of three-dimensional elements known as voxels. The signal intensity in a given voxel depends on the abundance of hydrogen within the region of the body which contributes to the signal in that voxel. A large voxel will contain more spins and therefore a higher signal, while a small voxel will contain fewer spins and a lower signal. However, a large voxel is not suitable for obtaining images of small structures, and so a balance must be achieved between the required image resolution and an acceptable level of signal intensity. Examples of axial images of the tibia acquired with different voxel sizes are shown in (Figure 1.11).

![Figure 1.11: Images of the tibia acquired with voxel sizes of a) 2.2x2.2x3.0, b) 1.1x1.1x3.0 and c) 0.4x0.4x3.0 mm](image)

The sequence of RF pulses and magnetic field gradients used to generate an MR image is called a pulse sequence. A pulse sequence will be applied many times in order to build up a single MR image. An example of a typical MRI pulse sequence is shown in (Figure 1.12) (396).
The intensity values of the acquired image are generally influenced by the underlying properties of the tissues from which the RF signal is generated. One of the main advantages of MRI compared with other imaging modalities is that the contrast between different biological tissues may be tuned by varying the acquisition parameters. These parameters are either intrinsic or extrinsic parameters. Intrinsic parameters cannot be changed because they are inherent to the body tissues (e.g. $T_1$ and $T_2$ values), while extrinsic parameters are those that can be changed and which depend on the pulse sequences parameters used (e.g. TR, TE)(397). An example MRI image of the tibia is shown in (Figure 1.13), which illustrates the differences in signal intensities between bone, bone marrow, muscle and subcutaneous fat. The signal intensities of bone and fatty marrow are very different, which makes MRI ideal for imaging trabecular structure. This will be discussed in more detail in (Section 1.6.3.4.1).
1.6.3.3 Introduction to Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (MRS)

Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (MRS) is similar to MRI, but instead of using hydrogen nuclei ($^1$H) mainly within water and fat to produce anatomical images, MRS measures the abundance of $^1$H within a range of molecules (including water and fat).

As mentioned previously, the resonant frequency of a $^1$H nucleus is determined by the strength of the magnetic field it is exposed to. $^1$H nuclei within molecules are surrounded by orbiting electrons whose motion induces small magnetic fields. This alters the strength of the magnetic field experienced by the $^1$H nuclei, and causes a shift in the resonant frequency. The magnitude of the frequency shift varies between different molecules, and plotting a spectrum of signal amplitude against frequency shift allows the relative abundance of molecules to be assessed(393). The frequency shift is expressed in “parts per million” (ppm) rather than Hz to allow comparison between MRS acquisitions obtained at different main magnetic field strengths, and is typically calculated relative to a reference metabolite (tetramethylsilane (TMS) at 0 ppm)(398). MRS data is acquired in a similar way to MRI data i.e. by using a suitable pulse sequence, typically with a single voxel. In a clinical setting, MRS is most commonly performed in the brain, and an example of a typical brain spectrum is shown in (Figure 1.14).
The total area under a particular metabolite peak is directly proportional to the concentration of the metabolite. Absolute quantification in biochemical units, e.g. mm/kg, requires calibration using an external solution containing a known concentration of a metabolite, which can be impractical in a clinical setting. Relative quantification is often used instead, which involves defining one metabolite to be the reference metabolite and then calculating the ratio of all other metabolites relative to this (399).

1.6.3.4 Quantification of Bone Structure and Adiposity using MRI and MRS

1.6.3.4.1 Trabecular Bone Microarchitecture

In recent years, MRI has evolved into a powerful tool for non-invasive in vivo visualisation of trabecular bone microarchitecture, using a high resolution technique which is often referred to as micro-MRI. As discussed previously (Section 1.5.2.2), the variations in signal intensity of the imaged tissues depend upon the density of hydrogen nuclei and their $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times. Bone has an extremely short $T_2$ which causes the signal to decay before it can be measured, and consequently bone yields a low MR signal. The MR signal of bone marrow on the contrary is relatively high, and hence bone structures can be indirectly visualised against the fatty background marrow signal, and information on the microarchitecture of the bone can be extracted from the acquired data (400, 401). An example axial image of the tibia which illustrates the high signal intensity from marrow and the low signal intensity from bone is shown in (Figure 1.15).
The most relevant morphological values in the characterization of trabecular bone are the bone volume to total volume ratio (BV/TV), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) and trabecular number (Tb.N) \((394, 402)\). The methods developed to obtain such morphological parameters are presented in methodology (Section 2.2.1.2).

However, quantification of trabecular bone parameters is limited by partial volume effects (PVE), which overestimate trabecular bone microarchitecture measures in particular Tb.Th \((403, 404)\). The susceptibility of the image to PVEs depends on the alignment of the image plane with respect to trabecular alignment, the size of the trabeculae, spatial resolution, and voxel size \((405)\). Anisotropic bones (vertebrae) are more vulnerable to PVEs than isotropic bone (tibia), due to more random trabecular alignments.

Fundamentally, when the trabecular thickness is greater than image resolution, absolute values of trabecular scale can be reported, while if the trabecular thickness is comparable to or smaller than image resolution, the reported parameters are typically denoted as ‘apparent’ rather than absolute scalar values. Each individual trabeculae are approximately 100-300 μm thickness separated by spaces of 300–1500 μm. In order to accurately resolve small features like trabeculae and reduce PVEs, we need to increase MR image resolution and the slice thickness (voxel size) should be similar to the thickness of the trabeculae. High field strengths and improved coil technology have made it possible to achieve an in-plane resolution of 150 μm \((406)\). However, because of current signal-to-noise constraints, minimal slice thickness is usually about 300 μm. Although this resolution is larger than trabecular thickness, trabecular spacing (800–1000 μm) is still much larger than the size of
a single MR voxel, so that trabecular parameters can be reasonably measured or estimated with MRI.

There are obstacles associated with improving MR image resolution. For example, in order to avoid partial volume effects, voxel sizes less than the size of the trabeculae are desirable. This is not feasible, as voxel sizes are associated with lower SNR (a measure of signal strength relative to background noise). Conversely, thicker slices are associated with an increase in partial volume effects. Consequently, the poorer SNR of small voxel size can be compensated to some extent by increasing the number of acquisitions or by a longer TR to get adequate signals. However, this is accomplished only at the expense of the overall image acquisition time. Consequently, with longer imaging time patients are more likely to move during a longer scan and increasing the susceptibility to motion artefacts. To avoid that we need to reduce the patient movement, this is often done by patient immobilization; for example, padding can be applied around the limb of the participant being scanned to prevent motion, or by using alternative methods (e.g. sedation).

Higher magnetic field strengths are preferred for bone imaging since with a stronger magnetic field, image resolution can be increased with the same scan acquisition time, or acquisition time can be decreased with the same resolution. Various magnetic field strengths e.g. 7 T, 9.4 T, 11.74 T, 14.1 T, and 17.6 T have been used in vitro (405), however in vivo imaging is usually performed at magnetic field strengths ranging from 1 T to 7 T (152, 153, 407-411). The majority of these studies have been performed at 1.5 T, but recent studies (412) have shown practical utility of 3T and 7 T scanners for in vivo imaging of trabecular bone.

Several different pulse sequences have been used to image trabecular microarchitecture, such as spoiled gradient-echo (394) and Fast Large-Angle Spin-Echo (FLASE) (413). FLASE has been shown to provide detailed and reproducible visual delineation of trabecular networks in three dimensions at both anisotropic (137×137×410 µm³) and isotropic (160×160×160 µm³) resolutions in the human distal tibia in vivo (413). However, this pulse sequence was developed by a research group in the US and is not available on standard clinical MRI scanners. Recently, balanced steady-state free precession (b-SSFP) has been used as an alternative technique. It uses RF excitation pulses with small flip angles, which consequently shortens the repetition time (TR) and the total scan time (414, 415). Because of improved SNR, the majority of the studies which have used MRI to investigate trabecular microarchitecture have been done at the peripheral sites e.g. distal radius, distal tibia and calcaneus (402, 416-419). More recently, in vivo
MRI of individual trabeculae within the deeper regions e.g. proximal femur has become possible (411, 420-422).

With the recent advances in high-resolution MRI methods, a large number of studies have documented the feasibility of MRI in quantification of trabecular bone microarchitecture to highlight normal and pathological conditions in a variety of clinical applications. Table 1.5 summarizes some studies using MRI to study trabecular bone microarchitecture in different populations. Variety in MRI protocols was reported, including different magnetic field strength, image resolution; scan time, anatomical location, pulse sequence and immobilisation technique. The early publications by (402, 419, 423, 424) have documented the feasibility and potential of MRI-derived measures of trabecular bone microarchitecture in studying osteoporosis. Furthermore, MRI has been used to explore age and gender-related differences in trabecular bone microarchitecture (425-432). MR-derived structural parameters of trabecular bone microarchitecture are better than DXA at differentiating men and women with and without vertebral fracture (152, 403, 419, 433). Additionally, Change et al., (153) demonstrated the feasibility of 3 Tesla MRI to detect deterioration in proximal femur microarchitecture in long-term glucocorticoid users compared with controls who do not differ by BMD (153).

A study using 1.5 Tesla MRI in children with quadriplegic cerebral palsy (CP) revealed that appBV/TV, appTb.N, and appTb.Th were lower (30, 21, and 12%, respectively) and appTb.Sp was higher (52%) (p≤0.001) in children with CP than in controls. Distance from the growth plate was inversely related to appBV/TV and appTb.N and was positively related to appTb.Sp at the same distance in children with CP and controls (all p<0.01) (429).

The MRI Department in Glasgow and the Developmental Endocrinology Research Group (DERG) have successfully performed some pilot studies exploring the utility of 1.5T and 3.0T MRI in assessing the microarchitecture of bone in healthy volunteers and some young adults with concerns about bone health (434). They found that people with OI or GHD had a significantly lower appBV/TV and appTb.N and a significantly higher appTb.Sp than the control group.

Moreover, numerous longitudinal studies have demonstrated that MRI–measured trabecular bone parameters found to be more sensitive than BMD in monitoring the response to; different therapeutic effects (435-437), physical activity (438) and diseases progression (439). In a prospective study over 24 months, hypogonadal men who received testosterone replacement therapy, showed the largest changes in surface to curve ratio (+11.2%) and erosion index (- 7.5%), compared to BV/TV (5%) or Tb.Th (1.5%) despite
good reproducibility of BV/TV and Tb.Th (437). Additionally, a 2-year longitudinal study of 91 post-menopausal women receiving either calcitonin nasal spray or placebo studied MRI of trabecular micro-architecture at the distal radius and calcaneus revealed preservation of apparent BV/TV, apparent TbN and app TbSp for the calcitonin group, compared to significant loss in the placebo group. Over the same time, no significant change in DXA BMD was observed among either group (436). Folkesson and Goldenstein, (435) evaluated 2 years longitudinal effects of alendronate on MRI-based trabecular bone structure parameters at distal tibia, distal radius, and proximal femur. They reported significant changes in trabecular bone microarchitecture at the distal tibia but not the radius after 2 years treatment when compared to BMD. These studies suggest that changes are detectable in some, but not all, trabecular bone microarchitecture measures and more apparent at some but not all the skeletal sites. Therefore, the site of assessment may also influence whether changes are apparent.

Concerning diabetes, a small number of recent studies used MR based techniques to investigate bone architecture in patients with diabetes. Pritchard et al., (159) were the first to use high-resolution MRI of the distal radius to compare trabecular bone microarchitecture of postmenopausal women with and without T2DM. They concluded that in women with T2DM, the average pore size within the trabecular bone network at the distal radius is greater compared to controls and hypothesized that this may explain the elevated fracture risk in women with T2DM. A recent study in T2DM aimed to compare 2-year changes in trabecular bone microarchitecture in women with and without T2DM. By using 1 T MRI, they did not find significant differences in the change of trabecular bone microarchitecture variables at the distal radius between the two groups (439).

In summary, trabecular bone microarchitecture may be an important structural bone quality to consider in bone fragility. BMD measurements may not be sensitive enough to detect changes in microarchitectural integrity that impact bone strength. There is abundant evidence now from clinical studies suggested the feasibility of MRI-measured trabecular bone microarchitecture to differentiate between individuals with different bone abnormalities. Additionally, the existing evidence shows a good correlation of MRI measured parameters with the standard quantitative techniques like pQCT and HR-pQCT. While these tools are not yet used in clinical practice for assessing trabecular bone microarchitecture and osteoporosis, recent researches provide evidences of the validity, reproducibility and feasibility of use in clinical settings in the future.
Table 1.5: Summary of MRI studies which report assessment of trabecular bone microarchitecture in different population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Population (cases/controls)</th>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>Field strength</th>
<th>Resolution (mm)</th>
<th>Anatomical location</th>
<th>Scan time (M/S)</th>
<th>Pulse sequence</th>
<th>Immobilisation technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McComb, C., et al. 2014 (434)</td>
<td>GHD, OI (17/22)</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>3 T</td>
<td>0.3 × 0.3 × 0.3</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>10 min.</td>
<td>bSSFP</td>
<td>Achieved by placing additional padding within the extremity coil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang, G., et al. 2015 (153)</td>
<td>long-term glucocorticoid use (6/6) postmenopausal women (51/0)</td>
<td>MRI, DXA</td>
<td>3T</td>
<td>0.234×0.234×1.5</td>
<td>Proximal femur</td>
<td>15:18</td>
<td>3D FLASH</td>
<td>The hip was immobilized by a sandbag laterally secured by a large Velcro strap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issever, A.S., et al. 2010 (440)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MRI, QCT</td>
<td>3T</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>DR, tibia, and calcaneus</td>
<td>20 min. for each</td>
<td>bSSFP</td>
<td>Custom-built immobilization devices were used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pritchard, J.M., et al. 2012 (159)</td>
<td>T2DM (30/30)</td>
<td>MRI, DXA</td>
<td>1 T</td>
<td>0.195×0.195×0.1</td>
<td>DR</td>
<td>12:9</td>
<td>A spoiled 3-D gradient-echo sequence</td>
<td>Bracing and padding were applied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modlesky, C., et al. 2015 (429)</td>
<td>CP (12/12)</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>1.5 T</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Distal femur</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3D fast gradient echo sequence</td>
<td>The Body FIX (was used to limit motion of the children from the waist down during the scan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krug, R., et al. 2008 (408)</td>
<td>10 healthy controls</td>
<td>MRI, HR-pQCT</td>
<td>7T, 3T</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>Distal tibia</td>
<td>9:10(7T), 9:25 (3T).</td>
<td>bSSFP and bSSSE</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakia, G.J., et al. 2008 (441)</td>
<td>Postmenopausal women (53/0)</td>
<td>MRI, (HR)-pQCT, DXA</td>
<td>3 T</td>
<td>0.156 × 0.156 ×0.2</td>
<td>DR and tibia</td>
<td>10 min (Radius) and 15 min (Tibia)</td>
<td>bSSFP</td>
<td>Custom-built immobilization devices were used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladinsky, G.A., et al. 2008 (442)</td>
<td>Postmenopausal women (98/0)</td>
<td>MRI, DXA, pQCT, heel QUS</td>
<td>1.5 T</td>
<td>0.137 × 0.137 × 0.410</td>
<td>Distal tibia, DR</td>
<td>16:23 for tibia and 12:18 for DR.</td>
<td>3D FLASE</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pritchard, J.M., et al. 2013 (439)</td>
<td>T2DM (15/22)</td>
<td>MRI, DXA</td>
<td>1 T</td>
<td>0.195×0.195×0.1</td>
<td>DR.</td>
<td>12:9</td>
<td>A spoiled 3-D gradient-echo sequence</td>
<td>Bracing and padding were applied.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GHD; growth hormone deficiency; OI; osteogenesis imperfecta; T2DM; type 2 diabetes mellitus; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; DXA; Dual X-ray absorptiometry; pQCT; peripheral quantitative computed tomography; (HR)-pQCT; high resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography; QUS; quantitative ultrasonography; DR; distal radius; bSSFP; balanced steady-state free precession; bSSSE; balanced steady-state spin-echo sequence; 3D FLASH; 3 Dimension Fast Large-Angle Spin-Echo.
1.6.3.4.2 Bone Marrow Adiposity

As discussed in (Section 1.5.2.3), MRS can be used to quantify the relative abundance of hydrogen nuclei within different molecules. MRS is typically used to investigate metabolites within organs such as the brain and heart; however it can also be used to assess bone marrow adiposity. The majority of clinical studies on BMA have used MRS to analyse separate water and fat signals of BMA at the vertebrae or hip (443-446). The resulting spectrum shows peaks corresponding to water and fat (444) as shown in (Figure 1.16). In MRS measurements, BMA is expressed as a percentage fat fraction FF%.

Figure 1.16: The resulting spectrum shows peaks corresponding to water and lipid peaks
Li et al. (445) examined the reproducibility of 1H-MRS for assessing vertebral bone marrow fat content at 3T. They found that the average CoV of fat content measured was 1.7% (fat content ranged from 45.5% to 66.1%). This lower CoV indicate that 1H-MRS is a highly reproducible method for quantitatively and noninvasively evaluating fat contents in vertebral bone marrow. Such assessment is critical during interpretation of 1HMRS results for both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. Additionally, they showed variation of marrow adiposity at different vertebral levels and feasibility for identifying patients with low bone density. In addition to quantifying overall bone marrow fat at 3 T, they were also able to selectively quantify the unsaturation level of the bone marrow fat.

Examining and understanding the connection between BMA and bone loss has emerged as an exciting area of research. The study of bone marrow composition for diagnosis of bone abnormalities has been proposed by many groups (443, 447-453). Table 1.6 reviews some of the literature which has used bone marrow composition for diagnosis of bone abnormalities. Variety in MRI protocols was reported; including used technique, magnetic field strength and anatomical location.

Several studies of healthy populations have shown the feasibility of MRS to detect age and gender related differences in marrow adiposity (454-461). Additionally, higher BMA with lower BMD has been reported in patients with osteoporosis (443-445), as well as in individuals with metabolic diseases such as obesity (462) and anorexia nervosa (173). Moreover, studies have reported a relationship between higher BMA and prevalent vertebral fracture (463-465).

It is well known that diabetes is an independent risk factor for fragility fractures, BMA may be altered by diabetes as observed in mouse models of diabetes (Section 1.4.1.4), however, the role of BMA, including the underlying mechanisms of its relationship to bone strength, remains poorly understood. Specifically by using MRS in patients with diabetes mellitus, it was shown that vertebral bone marrow fat content correlated significantly with HbA1c and visceral adipose tissue in patients with T2DM (466) and that decreased unsaturated bone marrow lipids were found to be associated with T2DM and fragility fractures (158). In summary, these results demonstrate that altered bone marrow fat composition (lower unsaturation level) is linked with fragility fractures and diabetes. The authors suggested that MRS of spinal bone marrow fat may therefore serve as a novel tool for BMD-independent fracture risk assessment.

In T1DM, there is only one clinical study which examined the relationship between BMA and diabetes. A study of 16 adult men and women with T1DM and 12 healthy controls, vertebral BMA did not differ by diabetes status but there was a striking positive correlation
between vertebral, femur, and tibia marrow adiposity and serum lipid levels. Also BMA increased with age and was reciprocally related to bone density. Taken together, these data suggest that marrow adiposity may be an indicator of elevated serum lipid levels and decreased bone density (369).

To summarize, there is an accumulating amount of evidence that supports a relationship between higher BMA, lower bone density, and increased prevalence of vertebral fracture in variety of populations. These cross-sectional studies focus on the relationship between bone marrow adiposity and DXA measured BMD, but a limited number of studies also show an association between BMA and bone microstructure (467). Additionally, longitudinal data on these relationships are limited, therefore, prospective data are needed to determine the relationship between changes in BMA and bone, particularly in context of populations of individuals with metabolic diseases (eg, diabetes) in which BMA abnormalities have been demonstrated. A better understanding of the role of BMA in humans may lead to new avenues to promote bone formation and hence prevent and treat osteoporosis.
Table 1.6: Summary of MRI studies which report assessment of bone marrow composition in different populations. Variety in MRI protocols was reported; including adiposity used technique, magnetic field strength and anatomical location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Population type , age</th>
<th>Adiposity measure, anatomical site</th>
<th>Technique, field strength</th>
<th>Bone measures</th>
<th>Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kugel, H., et al. 2001(454)</td>
<td>154 healthy men and women (11-95 yrs.)</td>
<td>FF% of L3</td>
<td>MRS, 1.5 T</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang, G.Y., et al. 2010(444)</td>
<td>78 postmenopausal women (55-81 yrs.)</td>
<td>FF% and ADC of L3.</td>
<td>MRS and DWI, 1.5 T</td>
<td>BMD of LS by DXA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffith, J.F., et al. 2012(455)</td>
<td>259 healthy men and women (62-90 yrs.)</td>
<td>FF% of L3</td>
<td>MRS, 1.5 T</td>
<td>BMD of LS by DXA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baum, T., et al. 2012(466)</td>
<td>13 postmenopausal women with T2DM and 13 age- and body mass index-matched healthy controls</td>
<td>FF% and unsaturated lipid fraction of l2-l3</td>
<td>MRS, 3T</td>
<td>VBMD of L1–L3 (QCT).</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slade, J.M., et al. 2012 (369)</td>
<td>16 adult men and women with T1DM compared to 12 adult men and women without T1DM.</td>
<td>FF% of femur, tibia and L4.</td>
<td>Ideal MRI, 3 T</td>
<td>BMD by DXA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patsch, J.M., et al. 2013(464)</td>
<td>33 postmenopausal women with T2DM, with and without fragility fracture compared to 36 postmenopausal women with and without fragility fracture.</td>
<td>FF% and composition of L3</td>
<td>MRS, 3 T</td>
<td>BMD of the hip and LS by DXA and QCT</td>
<td>Age, race, and spine BMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shen, W., et al. 2014(461)</td>
<td>181 healthy children (5-17yr.) and 495 healthy adults (≥18yr.)</td>
<td>Pelvic BMA (ilium, sacrum, ischiium, pubis, coccyx and femoral heads)</td>
<td>Whole-body MRI, 1.5T</td>
<td>Pelvic BMD by DXA, pelvic vBMD calculated by dividing BMC by the pelvic bone volume (BV) determined by MRI.</td>
<td>Age, weight, TBF, SAT, VAT, skeletal muscle, sex, ethnicity and menopausal status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bredella, M.A., et al.2014 (173),</td>
<td>14 women with AN (29.5±1.9yrs) and 12 age-matched normal-weight</td>
<td>Total marrow fat content and marrow fat composition of the femoral diaphysis and soleus</td>
<td>MRS, 3 T</td>
<td>BMD of LS and hip by DXA</td>
<td>%IBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td>Measurements</td>
<td>Imaging Techniques</td>
<td>Other Measurements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao, Y., et al. 2015 (453)</td>
<td>185 healthy children (76 females and 109 males, aged 5-18 yrs.)</td>
<td>BMA (cm²) of femur</td>
<td>Whole body MRI, 1.5 T</td>
<td>Weight, TBF, SCAT, VAT, and skeletal muscle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baum, T., et al. 2015 (468)</td>
<td>28 healthy young subjects (26 ± 4 yrs.)</td>
<td>PDFF of C3-L5.</td>
<td>Chemical shift encoding based water-fat MRI, 3T</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrawal, K., et al. 2015 (449)</td>
<td>50 postmenopausal women (40-73 yrs.)</td>
<td>FF% and ADC of L3</td>
<td>MRS and DWI, 1.5 T</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mostoufi-Moab, S., et al. 2015 (469)</td>
<td>25 alloHSCT survivors (12-25 yrs.) compared to 25 age-, race-, and sex-matched healthy controls.</td>
<td>FF% for the five lumbar vertebrae</td>
<td>MRSI, 1.5 T</td>
<td>Digital topological parameters were acquired at distal tibia by using 1.5-T whole-body scanner</td>
<td>Sex, VAT, SAT, and muscle density, WB-FM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huovinen, V., et al. 2015 (462)</td>
<td>18 normal-weight (BMI &lt;25kg/m²; 2 males, 16 females) and 17 overweight (BMI ≥25kg/m²; 9 males, 8 females) (15-27 yrs.).</td>
<td>BMA unsaturation index (UI) of the tibia</td>
<td>MRS, 3 T</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Age, gender, physical activity and blood glucose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1DM: Type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; AN: anorexia nervosa; alloHSCT: Allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation (alloHSCT); BMI: body mass index; FF%: fat fraction; ADC: apparent diffusion coefficient; PDFF: Proton density fat fraction; BMA: bone marrow adiposity; L3, L4, L5: lumbar vertebrae; C3: third cervical vertebra; LS: lumbar spine; MRS: magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; DWI: Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging; DXA: Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; QCT: quantitative computed tomography; CBA: cortical bone area; %IBW: ideal body weight; BMD: bone mineral density; VBMD: volumetric bone mineral density; TBF: total body fat; WB-FM: whole body fat mass; SAT: subcutaneous adipose tissue; VAT: visceral adipose tissue.
1.6.3.4.3 Abdominal Adiposity

Compared to other methods (470), MRI is currently the gold standard for non-invasive direct accurate quantitative measurement of intra-abdominal adipose tissue (470-475). There are several MRI methods for abdominal fat quantification, T1-weighted imaging, magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), and chemical-shift imaging (CSI). In this thesis T1-weighted imaging has been used.

T1-weighted sequences are very common in clinical MRI. They are available as standard software on all commercial MRI scanners (GE, Philips, Siemens, Hitachi, and Toshiba) and can be easily applied. It is based on relaxation rates of fat and water proton signals as they recover after radio-frequency excitation. T1-weighted MRI is mainly used for white adipose tissue (WAT) quantification because fat is characteristically bright in contrast to other lean tissues and organs owing to its rapid T1 recovery rate (Figure 1.17)(476, 477). Thus bright fat can be easily identified and delineated by simple signal thresholding from darker structures. by using partially automated software, the sharp contrast between the bright adipose and dark water-containing lean tissues creates the visual basis that enable the segmentation of each image, consequently, the distribution of adipose tissue in terms of total(TAT), visceral (VAT) and subcutaneous (SAT) were semi-automatically calculated.

Another method for quantifying abdominal fat adiposity is by the use of the Dixon technique. The technique acquires two separate images with two TE settings such that one image has the water and fat signals in-phase and the other has the water and fat signals 180° out-of-phase. Dixon showed that from these two images, a water-only image and a fat-only image can be generated. The availability of both the water-only and fat-only images allows direct image based water and fat quantitation (478).

A consistent body of literature suggests that the arrangement of regional fat deposition into the subcutaneous and visceral compartments to be a stronger predictor of disease risk than overall fat mass (479-482). Therefore, a frequent approach is to only scan the trunk region. Methods range from a single slice at a predefined abdominal level to the whole trunk that includes intrathoracic, intra-abdominal, and intra-pelvic cavities and associated adipose tissues (483). The multislice imaging is generally considered a gold standard reference for measuring total and regional adipose tissue volumes (484). However, with the cost involved with multislice imaging for volumetric analysis, single-slice images can be used as representative measures of VAT (483). One major concern in using single-slice analysis for MRI is that soft-tissue structures are continuously moving and may adversely affect the
reliability of the visceral fat measurement, as movement of these tissues will change the location of VAT in a particular single slice. The commonly used technique to overcome this limitation is by using breath holding technique which has been applied in the presented thesis.

Figure 1.17: Example of T1-weighted axial magnetic resonance images acquired in abdomen at the level of the L3 vertebrae demonstrating the typical high signal intensities of fatty tissues (arrows) in contrast to other darker muscles and organs. Data were acquired on a 3 Tesla MRI scanner using T1 weighted turbo-spin-echo sequence.

The feasibility of MRI in accurate quantification of abdominal adiposity has been shown by several studies (473, 485). The differential effect of abdominal adiposity measures (VAT, SCAT) on metabolic risk factors is well described, yet it is uncertain whether abdominal adiposity measures protects against osteoporosis or adiposity is harmful to bone as different adipose tissues express different biochemical factors and in varying concentrations (482, 486, 487). Studies using direct measures of VAT appear to provide more consistent finding on the association between VAT and BMD (486, 488-493) than those using surrogate measure (360, 494-496). These studies were limited by the use of crude measures (e.g. DXA) of abdominal adiposity that are unable to separate VAT from other soft tissues; therefore, the contribution of VAT on bone is difficult to define in these studies.

In summary, direct accurate quantitative assessment of abdominal adiposity measures are essential to evaluate their influence on bone health. In particular, there is a need to use this technique in diabetic patient and this may contribute to a more complete assessment of bone health.
1.7 The rationale for the present work

Based on the current fracture risk predictors the explanation for the higher fracture risk in patients with T1DM is yet unclear. Although, numerous studies have assessed the bone structure and turnover markers in patients with T1DM, these studies have not provided a consensus. Detailed trabecular bone microarchitectural assessments are lacking, and it is not known whether patients with T1DM have altered bone microarchitecture compared to healthy controls without T1DM. Further, considering the possibility that bone deficit may related to adiposity measures (BMA, abdominal adiposity), which may contribute to the increased bone fragility, studies in rodent models of T1DM have suggested that diabetic bone loss is associated with increasing bone marrow adiposity. However, whether bone marrow adiposity is different and associated with bone deficit in people with T1DM is not known. In addition, how trabecular bone microarchitecture and bone marrow adiposity changes over time in patients with T1DM is not known. Further, as noted earlier in the introduction, numerous studies have investigated the possible underlying mechanisms that might affecting the bone health in those group of people, however, these studies have not provided a consensus and the results are inconclusive yet.
1.9 Key Aims and hypothesis

Primary hypothesis:

1. There are differences in the measurements of key bone parameters and bone adiposity parameters obtained using micro-MRI images and MRS and biochemical markers of bone metabolism and adiposity in patients with T1DM compared to healthy women without T1DM.

2. The expected deficit in bone parameters in patients with T1DM is associated with increasing bone marrow adiposity and abnormal levels of biochemical markers of bone metabolism and adiposity.

3. There would be changes in the measures of bone microarchitecture and adiposity in women with T1DM compared to women without T1DM.

Secondary hypothesis:

1. Different body adiposity measures have different effects on DXA and MRI - measured bone parameters.

2. Abdominal adipose tissue is positively correlated with vertebral bone marrow adiposity, and negatively with DXA and MRI -measured bone parameters.

3. The MRI- measured bone parameters demonstrate good correlation with DEXA data and are able to differentiate between people with and without T1DM.

4. Markers of diabetic control are inversely associated with the bone and adiposity measured parameters.
Thesis Aims:
To study the measurements of trabecular bone microarchitecture, bone marrow adiposity and abdominal adiposity obtained by MRI, and the biochemical markers of bone metabolism and adiposity in group of young women with childhood onset T1DM compared to healthy women without T1DM (chapter 3).

1. To explore the differences in trabecular bone microarchitecture and bone marrow adiposity parameters between a group of young adult women with childhood-onset T1DM and controls without T1DM.

2. To examine differences in the biochemical markers of bone metabolism and adiposity between women with T1DM and women without T1DM.

3. To evaluate whether the bone marrow adiposity, abdominal adiposity and the biochemical parameters of bone metabolism and adiposity are associated with bone deficit in patients with T1DM.

4. To evaluate the relationship between the all these measured parameters with markers of diabetes control and disease parameters.

To examine the longitudinal changes in the measurements of bone microarchitecture and adiposity parameters in young adult women with childhood onset T1DM compared to healthy controls (chapter 4).

1. To compare the prospective changes in trabecular bone microarchitecture and adiposity parameters over one year period in the same groups of women who were enrolled in the first study.

2. To evaluate whether there is any association between the changes in trabecular bone microarchitecture with vertebral bone marrow adiposity and abdominal adiposity in the studied group.

3. To evaluate whether the expected changes in these measurements are associated with the changes of markers of diabetic control.
To study the adiposity based determinants of bone density and microarchitecture in healthy young women and women with T1DM (chapter 5).

1. To compare adiposity and bone parameters measured by DXA and MRI in healthy young women and women with T1DM,

2. To determine the associations between the markers of diabetic controls and disease related parameters and these measured outcomes.

3. To ascertain which adiposity measure (s) is most strongly associated with bone measured parameters in healthy young women and women with T1DM.

4. To compare the feasibility of DXA and MRI measured parameters to differentiate between women with and without T1DM.
Chapter 2

Methodology
2.1 Introduction

This chapter provides details of the image acquisition and analysis protocols for the study procedures that are presented in this thesis. Further analysis was performed for specific investigations, and the details will be provided in the relevant chapters. The procedures involved in this study are MRI of the right knee and abdominal adiposity, MRS of L3, a DXA scan of the lumbar spine, hip and total body, venous blood sampling and biochemical assays.

2.2 MRI scanning

All imaging was performed using a 3 Tesla (T) Siemens VerioMRI scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using a transmit/receive (Tx/Rx) extremity coil for the knee, an 8-element spine coil for MRS of L3 and surface body coils for the abdominal scanning. All MR imaging was performed during a single scanning session, more details are shown in appendix A.

2.2.1 Proximal Tibia Imaging

2.2.1.1 Acquisition

MRI images of the proximal tibia were acquired using an extremity coil positioned over the knee. During scanning, the participants were positioned supine with legs extended; immobilisation of the leg was achieved by placing additional padding within the extremity coil. Following immobilisation, three localiser scans were performed in the sagittal, axial and coronal planes to identify the region of interest using a T1-weighted spin-echo sequence. Scan locations are shown in (Figure 2.1). The pulse sequence parameters used to provide 3D volume of isotropic resolution using a fully balanced steady state free precession (bSSFP) pulse sequence is shown in (Table 2.1). Thirty axial Micro-MRI images (0.3mm thick) of the metaphysis were collected immediately below the growth plate. Analysis was performed using the slice that was located at the insertion point of the patellar ligament.
Figure 2.1: Representative MR image of the knee. Scan locations are shown, (A) showing the placement of the reference line and the region of interest captured in the coronal image, (B) The region of interest captured in the sagittal image.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.1: Pulse sequence parameters for tibia imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition time (TR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo time (TE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flip angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.1.2  Image processing and Analysis

Following scanning, images were coded and analysed using IDL software that was developed in-house (Research Systems Inc, Boulder, CO, USA), based on a method that was described by Majumdar et al (402), which give measures for apparent bone volume to total volume ratio (appBV/TV), apparent trabecular number (appTbN), apparent trabecular thickness (appTbTh) and apparent trabecular spacing (appTbSp). The proportion and location of magnetic resonance images that need to be processed to yield representative
estimates of trabecular bone microarchitecture have been assessed by our group (509), and also by Modlesky et al (497). The image processing steps required for quantifying trabecular bone microarchitecture parameters are shown in (Figure 2.4).

2.2.1.2.1 Image processing

A median filter is applied to the MR image to reduce the effects of noise. A 3x3 filter was used as shown in Figure 2.2, which means that the intensity of each pixel from the original image is replaced with the median value of the intensities of that pixel plus its eight nearest neighbours. An example of the output of a 3x3 filter can be appreciated in figure 2.2.

![Figure 2.2: Illustrating a median filter applied to MR image, a 3x3 filter was used (A). An example of the output of a 3x3 filter (B).](image-url)
2.2.1.2.2 Image analysis

After image filtering, the MR image was analysed to give the measures of bone parameters.

**Calculate Apparent Trabecular Bone Volume Fraction (appBV/TV)**

Regions of Interest (ROIs) were drawn within the trabecular bone region, in the area which is to be analysed, and within the cortical bone. The regions of Interest (ROIs) were defined manually using the cortical bone boundary as reference point to ensure that the analysed ROI consisted only of the trabecular bone and bone marrow (Figure 2.4). To extend the standard stereological techniques and quantify the trabecular bone microarchitecture, the images were thresholded and segmented into a trabecular bone and a bone marrow phase. The mean signal intensity within the trabecular bone region, $I_{ROI}$, was calculated, and a histogram of the distribution of signal intensities in the ROI was plotted. The ROI within the trabecular bone region contained both bone and marrow. If the pixel dimensions were less than the trabecular width, then a histogram of the intensities within the ROI would show two distinct peaks one for bone and one for marrow. However, since the pixel dimensions were similar to or greater than the trabecular width, a single pixel might contain signals from bone and from marrow, and this mixture results in a histogram with a single peak (Figure 2.4). By using the cortical bone as standard, the bone intensity $I_{bone}$ was calculated as the mean value within the cortical bone ROI. Estimation of the marrow-equivalent intensity was made by finding the maximum value, $N_{max}$, in the histogram, and setting a threshold value, $N_{thresh} = 0.5*N_{max}$. The marrow-equivalent intensity $I_{marrow}$ is calculated from the upper intensity value at which $N_{thresh}$ was reached (Figure 2.3).

![No. of Pixels vs Intensity](image)

**Figure 2.3:** Illustrate estimation of the marrow-equivalent intensity
The apparent trabecular bone volume fraction was then calculated to satisfy the following equation:

\[ \frac{I_{ROI}}{I_{bone}} = \frac{(appBV / TV)I_{bone} + (1 - appBV / TV)I_{marrow}}{I_{bone} - I_{marrow}} \]

\( \Rightarrow app BV / TV = \frac{I_{ROI} - I_{marrow}}{I_{bone} - I_{marrow}} \)

Equation 2-1

**Binary image**

The apparent trabecular bone volume fraction is also defined as “number of bone pixels/total number of pixels”. The total number of pixels in the ROI is known, and so the number of bone pixels and marrow pixels corresponding to the calculated value of appBV/TV can be calculated:

\[ N_{bone} = appBV / TV \times N_{total} \]
\[ N_{marrow} = N_{total} - N_{bone} \]

Equation 2-2

Bone has a lower intensity than marrow, and hence the pixels which appear in the left hand side of the intensity histogram are more likely to contain pure bone, or a large percentage of bone. The intensity value at which the fractional trabecular bone content in the ROI corresponded to the calculated app BV/TV was selected as the threshold, and the images were binarized into a bone and a marrow phase. Finally, the region of interest (ROI) was binarised to produce an image of a pure bone phase and a pure marrow phase (Figure 2.4).

**Calculation Mean Intercept Length (MIL)**

The MIL at a given angle \( \theta \) was calculated by counting the number of trabeculae-marrow boundaries that cross a set of parallel rays oriented at a given angle \( \theta \) through the binarized image.

A grid of parallel lines, each one pixel thick and separated by 10 pixels, is used as a mask, so that only the sections of the image which lie along the lines are considered and the rest of the image was set to zero. For each line in turn, a starting value corresponding to the value of the first pixel in the line was established. Each pixel along the line was then examined in turn, and the number of times that a change from bone to marrow (1 to 0) or
marrow to bone (0 to 1) occurs was counted, and defined as $P_L(\theta)$. The number of pixels which correspond to bone, $P_P$ was also counted, and the MIL was calculated using:

$$ MIL(\theta) = 2 \cdot \frac{P_P}{P_L(\theta)} $$

**Equation 2-3**

**Calculation of Apparent Trabecular Thickness (appTbTh)**

The MIL is calculated for all angles between $0^\circ$ and $360^\circ$ in steps of $10^\circ$. The apparent trabecular thickness (in mm) was then calculated using:

$$ appTbTh(mm) = 0.5 \cdot \text{mean}(MIL(\theta), \theta = 0, 360) \cdot \text{Pixel Resolution(mm)} $$

**Equation 2-4**

**Calculation of Apparent Trabecular Number (appTbN)**

Apparent trabecular number (in mm$^{-1}$) was calculated using the following equation:

$$ appTbN(mm^{-1}) = \frac{appBV / TV}{appTbTh} $$

**Equation 2-5**

**Calculation of Apparent Trabecular Spacing (appTbSp)**

Apparent trabecular spacing (in mm) was calculated using the following equation:

$$ appTbSp(mm) = \frac{1}{appTbN} - appTbTh $$

**Equation 2-6**
Figure 2.4: Representative MR Image through an axial section in the proximal tibia using MRI.
(A) Micro-MR images. (B) The trabecular bone compartment (ROI), the intensity mean (Ir) over this ROI was measured. The histogram for this ROI is also shown. (C) The region of interest (ROI) is binarised to produce an image of a pure bone phase and a pure marrow phase. (D) Higher magnification view of section within the ROI.
2.2.2 MRS

2.2.2.1 Acquisition

MRS was performed using an 8-element spine coil, using the method described by McComb et al (434). Images of scan localisation are shown in (Figure 2.5). Spectra were obtained using a 20mm x 20mm x 20mm voxel positioned in the lumbar spine within the vertebral body of L3. A Point-REsolved Spectroscopy (PRESS) sequence with no water suppression was used with a short echo time (TE) to allow detection of lipids. The actual parameters of PRESS are shown in (Table 2.2).

![Figure 2.5: Representative MR image of spine showing the scan localisation at L3 vertebrae.](image)

Measurements were performed in automatically placed square region of interest (shimming box), yellow marked in the sagittal image and green marked in the axial image.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Used value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>2000ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>30ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of averages</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan time</td>
<td>2.48s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voxel size</td>
<td>20 mm x 20 mm x 20 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>1200Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MRS analysis was performed using Siemens Spectroscopy analysis software. The resulting spectrum shows peaks corresponding to water and fat are shown in (Figure 2.6). A best-fit Gaussian model was applied to the acquired spectrum, and the area under the resulting water peak (I\text{water}) and lipid peak (I\text{fat}) were measured. The percentage fat fraction (\%FF) was then calculated in the following way.

\[
\% FF = \left( \frac{LWR}{LWR + 1} \right) \times 100
\]

Equation 2-7. LWR; lipid water ratio.

Figure 2.6: The method of frequency domain fitting using Gaussian line shape. The white line illustrates the original spectrum; the red line illustrates the fitting model.
2.2.3 Abdominal Fat Imaging

2.2.3.1 Acquisition

MRI images for abdominal fat quantification were obtained using the method described by Leinhard et al (496). Four element body coils with an 8-element spine coil were used to obtain images covering the abdominal region from the diaphragm to the bottom of the pelvis. A breath-hold sequence (approximately 17.2 Seconds per acquisition) was used to minimize the effects of respiratory motion on the images. To measure the abdominal fat contents, a T1 weighted turbo-spin-echo sequence was used to acquire 5 axial slices at the level of L3, the pulse sequences parameters are shown in (Table 2.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Used value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>1.2 mm x 1.1mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slice thickness</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition time (TR)</td>
<td>300 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo time (TE)</td>
<td>11ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flip angle</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>260 Hz/pixel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix size</td>
<td>205 x 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view</td>
<td>243 x 360 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan time</td>
<td>17.2 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3.2 Analysis

The images were analysed with Slice-O-matic™ (version 4.3, Tomovision, Canada) for semi-automatic measurement of cross-sectional area (CSA), subcutaneous adipose tissue, (SAT), visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and total adipose tissue (TAT). The Morpho Mathematical TAG mode (Mosaic tagging) (Figure 2.7) has been used to create the TAG data. The main advantage of this technique is useful for segmenting tissue images that have a big enough surfaces and a well-defined image intensity gradient (e.g., abdominal adipose tissue). Five MR images at the level of L3 were opened in the software, the third central one was selected to be analysed for all participant.
Figure 2.7: Representative MR Image through axial slice of abdomen at L3 vertebrae showing example of Slice-O-matic segmentation to delineate the subcutaneous (Green) and visceral (Blue) adipose tissue depots. 

(A) Illustrating mosaic segmentation coarse scale setting for SCAT ; (B) mosaic segmentation fine scale setting for VAT; (C,D) coloured tagged image with morpho lines (C) and without morpho lines (D).
2.4 Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA)

2.4.1 Principal of DXA

DXA scanning (Lunar Prodigy, GE Medical Systems, Waukesha, Wisconsin, USA) was performed to evaluate the bone and body composition parameters. DXA scanners comprise of mobile X-ray source, a couch for the patient, and a detection system that detects radiation emerging from the bones being examined. On a DXA device, the X-ray source is under the couch and moves together with the detection system, which is located opposite the X-ray source and over the patient’s body (379). Principally, DXA is based on the difference in the attenuation properties of dual x-ray beams (high energy and low energy beam) when they pass through soft tissue and bone. As the dual beam passes through the body, the low-energy beam is attenuated more than the high-energy beam in both soft tissue and bone, but the difference is much greater in bone. With the use of a particular computing algorithm, the attenuation values of soft tissues are subtracted, leaving only the attenuation values of bone. By internal phantom calibration the bone attenuation can then be converted to gives the measures of bone mineral density(498). The resulting measurements provide estimates of bone mineral content (BMC, measured in grams), bone mineral density (BMD, measured in g/cm$^2$), and bone area (BA, measured in cm$^2$). Bone area is the projected area in the coronal plane of the region scanned. The computer software calculates the bone mineral density(BMD, gm$^2$) by dividing BMC by the bone area, since BMD is determined using an area rather than a volume, it is referred to as areal BMD (aBMD) to emphasize that it is not a true volumetric density. Additionally, the dual beam allows for the delineation of soft tissue versus bone, thus in addition to measurement of BMD, DXA can assess body composition (fat mass, lean body mass, and percent body fat), on both total body (TB) and regional basis(379). The DXA also provide two standard deviations (SD) of BMD, which are described as T score and Z score. The T-score is a universally acceptable measure for diagnosis of osteoporosis; it compares the patients BMD to the optimal peak bone density for the same gender. It is restricted in adult age over 50 and not applicable for children and young people. On other hand, the Z score is applicable for children, premenopausal women and men younger than 50 yrs. A z score is also SD score of BMD based on same age and sex, height and weight. Its measurement of -2.0 or lower is indicative of significantly decreased bone density for chronological age(499).

DXA can measure effectively any skeletal site, but its clinical use has been commonly concentrated on the lumbar spine, hip (femoral neck and total hip), forearm, and total body.
Mistakes in BMD measurement by DXA have been reported, and most of these pitfalls related to the scanner and its software, the positioning of patient and analysis of scans, and various patient-related artefacts (498, 500). A well-trained and experienced DXA technologist is required to avoid most of the errors in acquisition and analysis. Importantly, it is crucial to evaluate precisely patient position and region of interest (ROI) in each measurement before the scanning (501).

2.4.2 Outcomes of DXA

After proper positioning of the participants, DXA of the whole body, femoral neck and lumbar spine (L1-L4) was performed to determine the main study outcomes. The DXA scan took approximately 10-15 min to complete, and all of these scans were acquired by Dr Sheila Shepherd at RHC, Glasgow. The bone area (cm$^2$), BMC (g), mean areal BMD (g/cm$^2$) and BMD-z score of whole body, femoral neck (FN) and lumbar spine were measured. To minimise the size-related effects of DXA a BMD measurements, BMAD at lumbar spine was calculated by estimating the vertebral depth as the square root of the area measured by DXA (Bone area). Then, the vertebral volume is calculated by simply multiplying the height x width(BA) x depth (502). Body composition, including whole body- fat mass WB-FM (kg), WB-FM Z score and android/gynoid (kg) were obtained from the whole body DXA scan.

2.5 Blood analysis

Non-fasting blood samples were collected, centrifuged, and the supernatant stored at -80°C. Sample collection was standardized with collection performed in the afternoon to coincide with the clinic visit. Plasma total osteocalcin (OC) and serum bone-specific alkaline phosphatase (BAP) were analyzed by ELISA to assess bone formation (Immunodiagnostic Systems, Boldon, UK). Plasma undercarboxylated osteocalcin (uOC) was used to assess the inactive form of osteocalcin (Cusabio Life Science, Wuhan, PR China) and plasma C terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (CTX) was measured by ELISA to assess bone resorption (Immunodiagnostic Systems). The intra-assay variation for OC, uOC, BAP, and CTX was 5.3%, 13.2%, 0.8%, and 3.8%, respectively. Plasma leptin, preadipocyte factor-1, pentosidine, IGF-I and its binding proteins, IGFBP3, and the acid labile subunit (ALS) were also determined using ELISA (Mediagnost GmbH, Reutlingen, Germany). Intra-assay variabilities were 6.1%, 0.6%, 4.1%, 0.6%, 4.1%, and
3.4%, respectively. 25-Hydroxy vitamin D (25OHD) concentration was measured by a radioimmunoassay (Immunodiagnostic Systems).

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Minitab 17 (Minitab Inc, PA, and USA) and SPSS 19 (IBM, New York, USA). Before performing any analysis, the data were checked for normality using an Anderson-Darling test. More information about the statistical tests used for data analysis is discussed in the relevant chapters.
Chapter 3

Deficits in Trabecular Bone Microarchitecture in Young Women With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus
3.1 Abstract

**Background:** The pathophysiological mechanism of increased fractures in young adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is unclear.

**Aim:** We conducted a case-control study of trabecular bone microarchitecture and vertebral marrow adiposity in young women with T1DM.

**Subjects and methods:** Thirty women with T1DM with a median age (range) of 22.0 years (16.9, 36.1) attending one outpatient clinic with a median age at diagnosis of 9.7 years (0.46, 14.8) were compared with 28 age-matched healthy women who acted as controls. Measurements included MRI-based assessment of proximal tibial bone volume/total volume (appBV/TV), trabecular separation (appTb.Sp), vertebral bone marrow adiposity (BMA), and abdominal adipose tissue and biochemical markers of GH/IGF-1 axis (IGF-1, IGFBP3, ALS) and bone turnover.

**Results:** Median appBV/TV in cases and controls was 0.3 (0.22, 0.37) and 0.33 (0.26, 0.4), respectively (p= 0.018) and median appTb.Sp in T1DM was 2.59 (2.24, 3.38) and 2.32 (2.03, 2.97), respectively (p= 0.012). The median appBV/TV was 0.28 (0.22, 0.33) in those cases with retinopathy (n=15) compared with 0.33 (0.25, 0.37) in those without retinopathy (p=0.02). Although median visceral adipose tissue in cases was higher than in controls at 5733 mm3 (2030, 11,144) and 3460 mm3 (1808, 6832), respectively (p= 0.012), there was no difference in median BMA, which was 31.1% (9.9, 59.9) and 26.3% (8.5, 49.8) in cases and controls, respectively (p=0.2). Serum IGF-1 and ALS were also lower in cases, and the latter showed an inverse association to appTbSp (r =−0.30, p = 0.04).

**Conclusion:** Detailed MRI studies in young women with childhood-onset T1DM have shown clear deficits in trabecular microarchitecture of the tibia. Underlying pathophysiological mechanisms may include a microvasculopathy.
3.2 Introduction

The risk of hip fractures in those with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is reported to be 7 to 12 times greater (336, 340) and this increased risk is also evident in young adults (221). The process of differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into either adipocytes or osteoblasts is regulated by a number of growth factors including insulin, oxygen tension, and blood flow within the bone marrow (503, 504). T1DM is also associated with abnormalities of the growth hormone (GH)/insulin-like growth factor type 1 (IGF-1) axis with biochemical evidence of GH resistance (505). Growth hormone (GH) and IGF-1 also are important regulators of bone homeostasis and important for the maintenance of bone mass (506) and may also influence body composition and bone marrow adiposity (491). Mouse models of T1DM exhibit increased bone marrow adiposity (BMA), increased adipocyte markers, and increased numbers of lipid-dense adipocytes in the bone marrow (507). Childhood and adolescence are critical periods for skeletal development (508), and it is possible that those affected by T1DM at these ages may be especially susceptible. On dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), adults with T1DM do show a reduction in bone mineral density (BMD) Z-score, reported in a meta-analysis at –0.22 at the lumbar spine and –0.37 at the hip, (11) but their fracture risk is much higher (161, 221, 336, 340) than expected for this modest reduction in BMD. Recent advances in magnetic resonance (MR) imaging have led to the generation of high-resolution 3D images of bone structure that correlate with other techniques such as computed tomography (440, 509). In addition, MR can quantify the amount of intra-abdominal fat, and MR spectroscopy can also estimate the fat that is present within the bone marrow (457). There is, therefore, the potential to combine these MR-based techniques to obtain objective data on bone microarchitecture and fat content and study these in a condition such as T1DM.

With the increased reports of an association between marrow adiposity and bone health (510), the current study was designed to improve the understanding of the bone pathology in adults with childhood-onset T1DM by using high-resolution MRI and biochemical markers of GH action and bone turnover. To reduce the confounding effect of sex hormones, this study targeted young women with T1DM and compared them with a group of age-matched healthy women.
3.3 Material and method

3.3.1 Subjects

Figure 3.1 shows the pathway outlining participant recruitment and enrolment in this study. Between July 2012 and July 2013, 61 eligible women between the ages of 20 and 30 years and who were diagnosed before the age of 16 years were approached at one hospital clinic. From this group, 30 volunteered to participate. In addition, 28 age matched healthy control women working at the local university and hospital were also recruited. Exclusion criteria included the presence of metallic implants and pacemakers, active or planned pregnancy or lactation, kidney disease, chronic use of drugs that are known to affect bone health, and other chronic diseases that are known to be associated with an increased risk of fractures. Information on personal health and lifestyle habits, including cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, current medication, use of vitamins or calcium, age at menarche, use of oral contraceptives, hours of weight-bearing physical activity per week, history of fractures, and a family history of early osteoporosis was also collected. Information on age at diagnosis, disease duration, insulin therapy, and presence of microvascular complications was obtained from the case records. A glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) measurement within a 2-week period of the scan visit was used as current HbA1c. The study protocol was approved by the national research ethics service, and all participants provided written informed consent.
3.3.2 Biochemical markers of bone metabolism, adiposity, and GH/IGF-1 axis

In 25 cases and 24 control participants, non-fasting blood samples were collected and analysed as described in chapter 2. We measured bone formation markers (plasma total osteocalcin (OC), serum bone-specific alkaline phosphatase (BAP), plasma undercarboxylated osteocalcin (uOC)), bone resorption marker (plasma C terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (CTX)), adiposity markers (Plasma leptin, Preadipocyte factor-1), growth hormone axis (IGF-I, IGF-BP3, the acid labile subunit (ALS)), pentosidine, and other regulator markers of bone turnover (PTH, 25-Hydroxy vitamin D (25OHD)).

3.3.3 Micro-MRI

30 slice images were acquired and analysed as described in Chapter 2. In 29 of 30 cases and in 27 of 28 control participants, the images were of a sufficiently good quality to be analysed. The analysis for each subject was repeated four times and averaged. Phantom validation of the used software has been performed previously by our diabetic endocrine
research group (DERG) (434). In addition, an assessment of repeatability was performed by two operators who independently analysed ten volunteer datasets four times each. Intra-operator repeatability was evaluated using coefficients of variation (CoV), and inter-operator repeatability was evaluated using Levene’s test to compare the equality of variance between the results obtained by the two operators, and a Mann-Whitney test to compare the obtained values for each parameter. Five of the volunteers were scanned on two separate occasions, and the results were compared using a paired t-test.

3.3.4 MRS

MRS data were available in all 30 cases and 28 controls. Image acquisition and analysed are as described in chapter 2. Analysis was performed following fitting of the spectrum in the time domain using a nonlinear least-squares algorithm, AMARES (511), in the Java-based magnetic resonance user interface (jMRUI) software package (512). As for the micro-MRI data, an assessment of intra and inter-operator repeatability was performed by two operators who independently analysed ten datasets four times each. Five volunteers were also scanned on two separate occasions to assess the inter-scan repeatability.

3.3.5 MRI of abdominal fat

Of the participants who had MRS scans, in 24 cases and 19 controls, approval was also obtained to assess abdominal fat during the same scanning session. Five axial images at L3 level were acquired and analysed as described in chapter 2.

3.3.6 Calculation of sample size and statistical analysis

The primary hypothesis in the study was that cases with T1DM would have a lower appBV/TV and appTbN and a higher appTbSp compared with controls. Based on recent studies from our group (434) the estimated coefficient of variation (CV) of the microMRI measurements was less than 5%. To show a 10% difference between cases and controls with a significant difference at $p < 0.05$ with a power of 0.8, at least 16 cases and 16 controls were required for the above micro-MRI parameters. Data analysis was performed using Minitab 17 (Minitab Inc, PA, and USA). All data were described as medians and ranges; comparison between the cases and controls was performed, initially by the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and by the chi-square test for categorical variables and then subsequently adjusted for multiple comparisons using false discovery rates (FDR)
(513). Univariate analysis between continuous variables was performed using the Pearson correlation coefficient.

### 3.4 Results

#### 3.4.1 Clinical characteristics

There were no significant demographic or anthropometric differences between the cases and controls (Table 3.1). Notably, the median body mass index (BMI) in the cases and controls was 24.8 kg/m² (18.2, 31.2) and 22.9 kg/m² (18.3, 33.9), respectively (p=0.1) with similar amounts of physical activity reported in the cases and controls. Of the 30 cases and 28 controls, 9 (30%) and 3 (11%) reported a history of traumatic fractures (p= 0.07). In 2 cases, the fractures had occurred before the onset of diabetes, and in the other 7 cases, the duration of diabetes at the time of the fracture ranged between 2.5 years and 16 years. The median duration of T1DM was 12.8 years (7.9, 34.2) with a median age at diagnosis of 9.9 years (0.5, 15.0). Of the 30 cases, 7 were on twice daily insulin injections, 6 were on three-daily injections, and 17 were on four-daily injections. The median total daily insulin dose for body weight was 0.94 IU/kg/d (0.43, 1.52) and the median current HbA1C was 9.8% (5, 16), equivalent to 84 mmol/mol (31, 151). Of the 30 cases, 15 (50%) had retinopathy of which 12 had background retinopathy alone, 2 (7%) were being treated for hypertension, 1 (3%) had neuropathy and gastroparesis, and none had microalbuminuria. One of the cases had stable Crohn’s disease requiring sulfasalazine therapy only for 2 years before the study and 2 cases were on a stable dose of thyroxine for acquired hypothyroidism, one of 3 years’ duration and another of 5 years’ duration.
| Table 3.1: Clinical and biochemical details of study participants. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
|                                | T1DM            | Controls        | p        |
| N                               | 30              | 28              |          |
| Age (yrs)                       | 22.0 (16.9, 36.1)| 21.8 (18.6, 37.5)| 0.7      |
| BMI                             | 24.8 (18.2, 31.2)| 22.9 (18.3, 33.9)| 0.1      |
| Cigarette smoker (n)            | 4               | 0               | 0.05     |
| Regular alcohol consumption (n) | 25              | 27              | 0.9      |
| Ca,VitD,Multivit supplements (n)| 4               | 6               | 0.8      |
| Age at menarche (yrs)           | 12 (10, 17.5)   | 13 (11, 15)     | 0.6      |
| Previous pregnancy (n)          | 3               | 1               | 0.3      |
| Oral contraceptive use (n)      | 21              | 22              | 0.9      |
| Physical activity (hrs/wk)      | 4 (0, 10)       | 4 (0, 10)       | 0.9      |
| PTH (pmol/l)                    | 3.4 (1.19)      | 4.2 (1.4,12.5)  | 0.3      |
| 25OHD D (nmol/l)                | 33 (19, 65)     | 45.5 (25, 84)   | 0.02     |
| Osteocalcin (µg/l)              | 13.4 (3.6, 20.8)| 14.3 (6.6, 40.5)| 0.2      |
| uOC (ng/mL)                     | 1.8 (0.5, 13.9) | 3.3 (0.5, 25.3) | 0.18     |
| Bone ALP (U/l)                  | 16.2 (10.5, 44.3)| 17.2 (4.6, 28.8)| 0.9      |
| CTX (µg/l)                      | 0.15 (0.03, 0.39)| 0.20 (0.05, 0.49)| 0.04     |
| IGF-1 (µg/l)                    | 135.2 (66.5, 83.1)| 182.4 (83.1, 293.4)| 0.002   |
| IGFBP-3 (µg/l)                  | 2208 (1794, 3677)| 2347 (1991, 3538)| 0.17     |
| ALS (mU/ml)                     | 2064 (1005, 3009)| 2549 (1929, 3682)| <0.0001  |
| Leptin (µg/l)                   | 12.4 (1.3, 105) | 14.3 (2.6, 43.3) | 0.96     |
| Pref-1 (ng/ml)                  | 0.19655(0.1105,0.3459)| 0.22091(0.1128,0.3517)| 0.3 | |
| Pentosidine (pmol/ml)           | 1007 (177, 2998) | 829 (108,5923)  | 0.5      |

All continuous variables are described as median and range. PTH, Parathyroid hormone; OC, osteocalcin; 25OHD, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; ALP, Alkaline phosphatase; CTX, crosslinked C-terminal telopeptides of type I collagen; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor 1; IGFBP-3, insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3; ALS, acid labile subunit; Pref-1, preadipocyte factor 1. Significance is assigned at p<0.05.
3.4.2 Biochemical markers of bone metabolism, adiposity, and GH/IGF-1 axis

Amongst the markers of bone metabolism, plasma 25OHD and CTX were significantly lower in cases compared with controls (Table 3.1). However, serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) and markers of bone formation were similar in both groups (Table 3.1). Amongst the markers of the GH/IGF-1 axis, plasma IGF-1 and ALS were significantly lower in the cases compared with controls, whereas IGFBP3 was similar in both groups (Table 3.1) (Figure 3.2). Serum pentosidine (1007 pmol/ml vs. 829 pmol/ml) was not different between both groups. Plasma leptin and Pref-1 were similar in the two groups and, as expected, Leptin showed a positive association with BMI (r=0.33; p= 0.02). There was no association between age of diagnosis disease duration, HbA1c and the presence of retinopathy with the markers of bone metabolism, GH/IGF-1 axis, adiposity markers, or pentosidine.
Figure 3.2: Box plot of serum CTX, IGF-1 and ALS in women with T1DM (Green box) and controls (Blue box).

CTX, crosslinked C-terminal telopeptides of type I collagen; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor 1; ALS, acid labile subunit. The figure depicts the median and range for each plot, and p-value has been added.
3.4.3 MRI of abdominal fat

Despite no significant differences in the BMI and plasma leptin between cases and controls, abdominal adiposity including CSA, VAT, and TAT was significantly higher in cases compared with controls (Table 3.2) (Figure 3.3). However, SCAT was less markedly higher in cases, and the difference did not reach statistical significance. Serum leptin and pref-1 did not show an association with any of the MRI based markers of abdominal obesity. In addition, there was no association between adiposity with markers of control, markers of bone metabolism, GH/IGF-1 axis, leptin or pentosidine.

Table 3.2: MRI-based measures of abdominal adiposity, bone microarchitecture and vertebral bone marrow adiposity at L3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TIDM</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA (mm²)</td>
<td>47,878</td>
<td>39,918</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(30,134, 78,651)</td>
<td>(30,232, 69,789)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT (mm³)</td>
<td>21,583</td>
<td>15,582</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3,719, 42877)</td>
<td>(7,454, 40,807)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (mm³)</td>
<td>5,733</td>
<td>3,460</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2,030, 11,144)</td>
<td>(1,808, 6,832)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAT (mm³)</td>
<td>27,230</td>
<td>19,130</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(6,584, 54,021)</td>
<td>(10,046, 47,639)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppBV/TV</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.22, 0.37)</td>
<td>(0.26, 0.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTb.N(mm-1)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.2, 0.3)</td>
<td>(0.23, 0.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTb.Sp(mm)</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.24, 3.38)</td>
<td>(2.03, 2.97)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTb.Th(mm)</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.86, 1.49)</td>
<td>(0.96, 1.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3 fat fraction (%)</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.9, 72.8)</td>
<td>(8.5, 41.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are described as median and range. CSA- cross-sectional area of adipose tissue; SCAT – subcutaneous adipose tissue; VAT- visceral adipose tissue; TAT – total adipose tissue; AppBV/TV – apparent bone volume/total volume; AppTb.N – apparent trabecular number; AppTb.Sp – apparent trabecular separation; AppTb.Th – apparent trabecular thickness. P-values have been adjusted for multiple comparisons using False Discovery Rates; significance has been assigned at adjusted p<0.05.
Figure 3.3: Box plot of MRI-measured abdominal adiposity parameters in women with T1DM (Green box) and controls (Blue box).
CSA - cross-sectional area of adipose tissue; SCAT, subcutaneous adipose tissue; VAT, visceral adipose tissue; TAT, total adipose tissue.

3.4.4 Bone microarchitecture by micro-MRI

Intra-operator repeatability was high, with an average CoV of 2.79% for appBV/TV, 2.81% for appTbTh, 1.36% for appTbN and 1.76% for appTbSp. Inter-operator repeatability was also high, with no statistically significant differences observed in the variance of the results obtained by the two operators, with the average CoV of 3.32% for appBV/TV, 3.75% for appTbTh, 2.02% for appTbN and 2.58% for appTbSp. Inter-scan repeatability for the same patient was also high with an average CoV of 3.4% for appBV/TV, 2.3% for appTbTh, 1.5% for appTbN and 2.8% for appTbSp. Comparison of bone microarchitecture variables revealed that appBV/TV and appTbN were significantly lower and appTbSp significantly higher in cases compared with controls (Table 3.2) (Figure 3.4). AppBV/TV
and appTbN did not show any association with any biochemical markers or MRI-based markers of abdominal obesity. However, appTbSp showed a significant inverse association to serum ALS ($r = -0.30$, $p = 0.04$) and OC ($r = 0.38$, $p = 0.009$) (Figure 3.5). This inverse association was not observed between appTbSp and uOC. An inverse association was also suggested between appTbSp and serum IGF-1, but this did not reach statistical significance ($r = -0.27$, $p = 0.06$). In the T1DM cases, there was no association of appBV/TV, appTbN, or app TbSp with HbA1cor daily insulin dose corrected for weight. However, there was a clear difference in median appBV/TV between those cases who had retinopathy and those who did not have retinopathy. The median appBV/TV was 0.285 (0.22, 0.33) in those cases with retinopathy compared with 0.33 (0.25, 0.37) in those cases without retinopathy ($p = 0.02$) (Figure 3.6). The HbA1c was 9.5% (5, 16) and 9.2% (5.5, 13) in the cases with and without retinopathy (NS). Additionally, appBV/TV and appTbSp showed significant correlation with age of the patients and disease duration ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3.7). Furthermore, when we stratified the cases into groups based on age of diagnosis <5yrs. of age, 5-10 yrs. of age and >10 yrs. of age, T1DM women diagnosed ≤ 5 yrs (n=5) of age have trend toward lower appBV/TV than those with later age of diagnosis (0.29, 0.33, 0.30), respectively ($p = 0.08$) (Figure 3.8). When appBV/TV and appTbSp were entered as a dependent variable and age, disease duration, age of diagnosis, HBA1c, BMI and related microvascular complications (retinopathy) as independent variables in regression model, these correlations became insignificant. However, retinopathy was the only significant predictor of reduced bone volume ($r^2 = 44.77\%$, $p = 0.04$).
Figure 3.4: Boxplot of MRI-measured trabecular bone parameters in women with T1DM (Green box) and controls (Blue box).
AppBV/TV, apparent bone volume/total volume; AppTb.N, apparent trabecular number; AppTbSp, apparent trabecular separation; AppTbTh, apparent trabecular thickness
Figure 3.5: The relationship between apparent trabecular separation (appTbSp) as assessed by MRI and serum acid labile subunit (ALS) (A) and serum osteocalcin (OC) (B) in women with T1DM (filled circles) and controls (open circles). A Gaussian ellipse of the data has been added to each plot, together with the correlation (r) and its p value (p). An inverse association between appTbSp and ALS and OC was observed.
Figure 3.6: Apparent bone volume/total volume (appBV/TV) in women with T1DM with and without retinopathy and compared with control women.
Figure 3.7: Unadjusted correlation of apparent trabecular separation (appTbSp) and appBV/TV with disease duration (A, B, respectively) and age of the patients (C, D, respectively) in women with T1DM.
Figure 3.8: App BV/TV in relation to age of diagnosis. T1DM women with earlier age of diagnosis showed trend toward lower bone volume compared with women with later age of diagnosis (p=0.08).

### 3.4.5 Bone marrow adiposity

Intra-operator repeatability was high, with an average CoV of 2.5%. Inter-operator repeatability was also found to be high, with no statistically significant differences observed in the variance of the results obtained by the two operators. Additionally, no statistically significant inter-scan differences were observed. Median vertebral BMA, expressed as percentage fat fraction (%FF), was higher in cases than controls, but this did not reach statistical significant difference (Table 3.2). Vertebral BMA did not show association with biochemical markers of bone turnover, leptin, pref-1 or pentosidine. However, there was trend toward an inverse association of FF% with (OC) (r=-0.20, p=0.2) and ALS (r=-0.25, p=0.08) (Figure 3.9). Vertebral BMA showed significant positive independent association with VAT (r =0.34, p =0.03) (Figure 3.10), which, as stated earlier, was higher in cases compared with controls. There was no association between vertebral BMA and MRI measured trabecular bone parameters. Median BMA was 30.5% (9.9, 59.9) in those cases with retinopathy compared with 31.6% (11.3, 50.1) in those cases without retinopathy (p = 0.97). In T1DM, vertebral BMA showed significant positive association with mean current HBA1c (r=0.45, P=0.02) (Figure 3.11). Additionally, in the T1DM group, with HbA1c of >7% (n=20) showed significantly higher median vertebral BMA than diabetic subjects with HbA1c levels ≤ 7% (n=7) (p=0.02).
On the other hand, in T1DM, vertebral BMA did not show association with age of diagnosis or disease duration.

Figure 3.9 The relationship between vertebral bone marrow adiposity (FF%) as assessed by MRS and cross sectional area (CSA) (A), subcutaneous adipose tissue (SCAT) (B), visceral adipose tissue (VAT) (C) and total adipose tissue (TAT) (D) in women with T1DM (filled circles) and controls (open circles). Positive significant association between FF% and VAT was observed.
Figure 3.10: The relationship between vertebral bone marrow adiposiy (FF%) as assessed by MRS and serum acid labile subunit (ALS) (A) and serum osteocalcin (OC) (B) in women with T1DM (filled circles) and controls (open circles). A Gaussian ellipse of the data has been added to each plot, together with the correlation ($r$) and its p value ($p$). Trend toward an inverse association between FF% and ALS and OC was observed.
Figure 3.11: The relationship between vertebral BMA (FF %) and current HBA1c. Positive significant association was observed.

Figure 3.12: VBMA in relation to current HBA1c of >7% or ≤7%
Diabetic subjects with HbA1c levels >7% (n= 20) showed significantly (P < 0.02) higher mean vertebral bone marrow fat content than diabetic subjects with HbA1c levels≤7% (n=7). The boxplot represents median of the vertebral bone marrow adiposity.
3.5 Discussion

The current study was primarily aimed at using MRI to compare the trabecular microarchitecture in young women with childhood-onset T1DM with that in healthy age-matched women. This is the first published clinical study to clearly show that this is altered in the former with reduced bone volume and trabecular number and increased trabecular separation. In addition, the study has also provided some insights into the underlying mechanisms that may lead to abnormality of skeletal development in adults with T1DM. In keeping with other reports of a higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in young people with T1DM, the women who participated in this study had lower vitamin D levels than the control group (514, 515). However, these levels were not particularly low and were not associated with a raised PTH or any other marker of bone formation or bone microarchitecture, and it is, therefore, unclear whether the lower vitamin D levels had a particularly marked contributory role. It is also unlikely that the women with T1DM had any other underlying genetic bone disorder because none had been revealed on history and examination. The reported rate of fractures in these cases seemed higher but not significantly different from controls and within the expected frequency for self-reported fractures in healthy women (516).

The findings in the current study suggest that the deficits in bone microarchitecture that were encountered in T1DM may have a multifactorial etiology. First, the negative association between total osteocalcin, a marker of bone formation, and trabecular separation in the study participants suggests that the osteopathy in T1DM may be linked to reduced bone formation rather than increased bone resorption. This was further reinforced by the lower levels of CTX, a marker of bone resorption, in the T1DM cases. This state of low bone turnover with reduced bone formation in T1DM has been reported previously (369, 517) and has been known to be associated with GH deficiency (518). To facilitate recruitment, the current study did not stipulate fasting blood samples. Markers of bone turnover and especially markers of bone resorption, such as CTX, show a diurnal variation and may be affected by fasting status (519) and future studies would benefit from standardization of sample collection.

The women with T1DM also had lower levels of circulating IGF-1 and ALS and the negative association of trabecular separation with ALS raises the possibility that the GH/IGF-1 axis and, in particular, reduced GH activity may contribute to the altered bone microarchitecture. GH and IGF-1 are important regulators of bone homeostasis and important for the maintenance of bone mass (506). GH stimulates osteoblastic proliferation through stimulation of circulating and local IGF-1 and it inhibits adipogenesis (520).
humans, systemic availability of active IGF-1 is modulated through its binding to proteins such as IGFBP-3 and ALS (521), which, along with IGF-1, are primarily synthesized in the liver under the stimulation of GH (522). Insulin deficiency is reported to be associated with a state of GH resistance (523), an abnormality of posttranslational synthesis of ALS and ALS deficiency (524, 525), and with lower levels of ALS, circulating IGF-1 falls as a result of increased renal clearance. Because the insulin deficiency in the portal circulation exists despite insulin replacement in T1DM (526), it is likely that this state of GH resistance is not alleviated during treatment in T1DM, and the abnormal bone findings in this study may be a consequence of a persistent state of IGF-1 and ALS deficiency. The finding in the current study of an inverse association between trabecular separation and serum osteocalcin and ALS reinforces this view.

The other possible reason for the abnormality in bone microarchitecture in T1DM may be related to microvasculopathy. In our study, retinopathy was independent negative predictor of bone deficit irrespective of other diseases related factors. The clear association of reduced bone volume in those cases of T1DM who had retinopathy, albeit background retinopathy in most cases, suggests that it is possible that the underlying pathophysiology of retinopathy may also influence trabecular development. The pathology of diabetic retinopathy evolves in an environment of increased formation of reactive oxygen species, leukostasis, and breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier that is followed by formation of acellular capillaries and development of micro aneurysms (527). The superoxide-generating family of NADPH oxidase enzymes has not only been strongly implicated in the vascular complications of diabetes but also superoxide radicals may be associated with abnormalities of bone turnover (528, 529). The possibility of a microvasculopathy that affects bone in T1DM has not been studied widely and requires further exploration.

The women with T1DM in this study did not have a significantly higher BMI compared with controls, but they had markedly higher visceral adiposity and it is possible that this abnormality is also associated with functional GH deficiency (530). Although bone marrow adiposity was similar in the cases and controls, the cases did have increased trabecular spacing, which showed an inverse relationship to circulating ALS and osteocalcin. Increased trabecular spacing is not universally associated with increased bone marrow adiposity (510). Although this association may be encountered in GH deficiency (434, 531), in other conditions such as osteogenesis imperfecta, the two parameters do not show an association (434). It is also possible that in states of GH resistance such as T1DM, bone marrow adiposity may be increased. This hypothesis reinforced by the observed significant positive association of BMA with VAT in our study participants. Additionally,
the observed positive association between BMA and HBA1C level supporting the role of IGF-1 as regulator of adipogenic differentiation under hypoinsulinemic conditions (204). The current study was not powered to detect significant differences in bone marrow adiposity between the cases and controls, and it is possible that with a larger sample size this would also have been detected. The finding of an abnormality of bone microarchitecture and bone marrow adiposity would be consistent with a defect in bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell differentiation, which leads to a net reduction in bone formation in favour of adipogenesis (206) and, as previously described in streptozocin-induced T1DM mice (249), conditions that are associated with relative IGF-1 deficiency (491, 532)

The women with T1DM in this current group had a relatively high HbA1c and as expected for a population sample from an inner-city area in the west of Scotland (533). A relationship between HbA1c, pentosidine (AGEs markers) and trabecular bone parameters was not observed in the current study, and perhaps this investigation would have been facilitated if there was a wider range of HbA1c with large sample size. On the other hand, we observed positive significant correlation between BMA and HBA1c level (r =0.437; P < 0.02) suggesting an effect of T1DM on the vertebral BMA. This became also apparent when the diabetic subjects were classified based on HbA1c levels and significantly higher vertebral BMA was found in subjects with HbA1c levels >7% (p=0.02). More details about the association of glycaemic control and BMA will be discussed in Chapter 4.

Younger age of T1DM onset and long standing disease duration are other clinical factors which may have effect on bone health in people with T1DM. So far, there was no general agreement on the relative importance of these factors. In consistent with other studies (351, 377, 534-536), we did not observe significant association between bone parameters and disease duration. Younger age of onset of diabetes has been associated with bone deficits which continues to persist over years as noted in few studies (346), in our study, there was trend toward negative association between younger age of T1DM onset and bone deficit, with larger sample size this may be confirmed.

Finally, the current study highlights the objective versatility of MRI-based techniques in identifying differences in relatively small cohorts. Although there is now sufficient supporting evidence for the use of MRI for assessing bone microarchitecture (445), with the greater availability of 3T MRI scanners, there is a need to standardize the analytical techniques so that the clinical utility of MRI in metabolic conditions that are associated with abnormalities of bone health can be realized. With technological advances in hardware as well as analytical tools, an improvement in the current limited resolution of MRI as well as its ability to study cortical structure is highly likely. We chose to study
young women only, primarily because they are more likely to develop osteoporosis in the long term and to avoid the confounding effects of sex hormones, but given that the increased fracture incidence has been encountered in both sexes and bone marrow adiposity increases with old age (119, 537), there is a need to study older as well as younger people with diabetes in both sexes. We did not perform DXA in this group, but there is a need in future studies to assess the relationship of micro-MRI findings to DXA BMD as well as DXA-based methods of assessing trabecular architecture (223). Given that hip fractures are reported to be more common in people with T1DM (161, 336, 340), there is a place to examine the microarchitecture at this site, too. The lack of a sufficient number of cases with other complications associated with microvasculopathy limited the investigation of this association in the current study. The relationship between bone pathology and type 2 DM is less clear with a lower risk of fractures(221). However, a recent study that examined bone marrow adiposity in women with type 2 DM did report a higher level of vertebral bone marrow adiposity in those who had a history of a fracture (464).

### 3.6 Conclusion

In summary, detailed MRI studies in young women with T1DM have shown clear abnormalities of bone health that are characterized by reduced trabecular bone volume and reduced trabecular numbers. Possible underlying mechanisms that require further exploration include a microvasculopathy and GH resistance.
Chapter 4

Longitudinal Changes In Bone Marrow Adiposity And Its Relationship To Diabetes Control In Young Women With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus
4.1 Abstract

**Context:** The pathophysiological mechanism of increased fractures in young women with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) is unclear.

**Objective:** Prospective, longitudinal study of trabecular bone microarchitecture and vertebral marrow adiposity in young women with T1DM and a control group of healthy women.

**Patients & Settings:** 17 women with T1DM with a median (range) age of 22.2yrs (16.6, 32.4) attending one outpatient clinic with a median age at diagnosis of 10.1 yrs (4.8, 14.8) were compared to 11 age-matched healthy women who acted as controls.

**Methods & Main Outcome Measures:** Measurements included MRI-based assessment of abdominal adipose tissue, proximal tibial bone volume/total volume (appBV/TV), trabecular separation (appTb.Sp), vertebral bone marrow adiposity (BMA) at baseline and 12 months.

**Results:** Median appBV/TV in cases and controls was 0.31 (0.22, 0.37) and 0.33 (0.28, 0.4), respectively (p=0.05) and median appTb.Sp in T1DM was 2.57 (2.24, 3.15) and 2.32 (2.03, 2.73), respectively (p=0.02). There was no difference in median BMA which was 26.2% (12.1, 62.1) and 22.4% (9.6, 41.9) in cases and controls, respectively (p=0.57). Over the 12 month period, there was no significant change in these parameters. Although, there was no association between change in bone microarchitecture parameters and HbA1c in the cases, there was a strong correlation between change in HbA1c and change in BMA (r, 0.8, p=0.002).

**Conclusion:** Longitudinal MRI studies of trabecular bone show that over a period of one year, improvement in diabetes control is associated with a reduction in bone marrow adiposity but persistence of bone microarchitecture deficits
4.2 Introduction

The risk of hip fractures in those with Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is reported to be 7–12 times greater (336, 340, 538). Although the underlying pathogenesis for this increased fracture risk may be multifactorial (539), bone marrow adiposity (BMA) may play an important role (463, 540). The process of differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into either adipocytes or osteoblasts is regulated by a number of growth factors including insulin, oxygen tension and blood flow within the bone marrow (503, 504) and mouse models of T1DM exhibit increased BMA and increased adipocyte markers in the bone marrow (249).

On dual energy X-Ray absorptiometry (DXA), adults with T1DM show a reduction in bone mineral density (BMD) Z-score, reported in a meta-analysis at -0.22 at the lumbar spine and -0.37 at the hip (161), but the fracture risk in affected adults is much higher (161, 336, 340, 538) than expected for this modest reduction in BMD. Not only does MRI provide high resolution 3D images of bone structure that correlates with techniques such as computed tomography (440, 509) but it also allows MR spectroscopy for estimating BMA (541). Given the novelty of MRI-based assessment of bone microarchitecture and BMA, there is a need to assess the extent of longitudinal variation that may occur in healthy and disease states. Recent studies that use MRI and HR-CT have shown that people with T1DM may have a bone microarchitectural deficit (163, 542). However, an abnormality of BMA has not been observed to date in people with T1DM (542) and although the findings in those with T2DM are currently inconclusive, they suggest that there may be an association between chronic hyperglycemia and BMA (464, 466). Furthermore, there is scarce information on the extent of change when these measurements are repeated. The current study was, therefore, performed to assess longitudinal changes in MRI-based markers of bone health and their relationship to diabetes control in T1DM. This information will be vital for understanding the bone pathology in diabetes and for designing future intervention studies aimed at improving bone health.
4.3 Research Design & Methods

4.3.1 Subjects

Of the 29 women between the ages of 20 and 30 years and who were diagnosed with T1DM before the age of 16 years and who participated between July 2012 and July 2013 in a case: control study of bone health (542), 17 volunteered for another visit at 12-months. In addition, of the 28 healthy women who comprised the control group and were approached, 11 volunteered for a repeat visit at 12 months (Figure 4.1). Exclusion criteria included the presence of metallic implants and pacemakers, active or planned pregnancy or lactation, kidney disease, chronic use of drugs that are known to affect bone health and other chronic diseases that are known to be associated with an increased risk of fractures. Information on personal health and lifestyle habits, including cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, current medication, use of vitamins or calcium, age at menarche, use of oral contraceptives, hours of weight bearing physical activity per week, history of fractures and a family history of early osteoporosis was also collected. Information on age of diagnosis, disease duration, insulin therapy and presence of microvascular complications was obtained from the case records. A glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) measurement within the four-week period prior to the visit was used as current HbA1c. The study protocol was approved by the national research ethics service and all participants provided written informed consent.
4.3.2 MRI acquisition and analysis

Micro MRI data were available in all 17 cases and 11 controls. MRS data were available in 16 case and all controls, MRI of abdominal fat are available in 17 case and 10 controls. All magnetic resonance images acquisitions and analysis were described previously in Chapter 2.

4.3.3 Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed using Minitab 17, Minitab Inc, State College, PA. All data were described as medians and ranges; comparison between the cases and controls was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and by the Chi Squared test for categorical variables. Spearman correlation analysis was used to assess the univariate relationship between continuous variables and statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Multivariable linear regression was performed on models with weight, age and adiposity to determine the baseline difference of bone parameters between cases and
controls, and to examine associations of changes in bone parameters in relation to changes in visceral adiposity. SPSS mixed modelling was employed where appropriate to explore the confounding effects of independent variables on the percentage change in bone parameters over the one year period.

4.4 Results

4.4.1 Clinical Characteristics

The median age at diagnosis of the cases was 10.1 yrs (4.8, 14.8) and the median duration of diabetes was 13.6 yrs (6.8, 26.5). There were no significant demographic or anthropometric differences between the cases and controls at baseline or at the 12-month follow-up visit (Table 4.1). In addition, there were no intra-group differences at the two time points (Table 4.2). Notably, the median BMI in the cases and controls was 24.4 kg/m² (18.7, 31.6) and 22.6 kg/m² 184 (19.6, 28.0), respectively (p=0.2) at one year with similar amounts of physical activity reported in the cases and controls. Of the 17 cases, 5 (29%) reported a history of traumatic fractures and in 3, the fractures had occurred before the diagnosis of diabetes. No fractures were reported in the control group. None of the participants were on routine vitamin supplementation. Of the 17 cases, 9 (50%) had retinopathy of which 8 had background retinopathy alone, 2 (7%) were being treated for hypertension, and 3 (18%) had microalbuminuria. One of the cases had stable Crohn’s disease over the study period requiring only sulfasalazine therapy for three years prior to the baseline assessment.
Table 4.1: Descriptive characteristic of study participants who were enrolled at baseline and follow-up. All continuous variables are described as median and range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th></th>
<th>p</th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th></th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic (n)</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(16.6, 32.4)</td>
<td>(19.4, 23.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(17.4, 40.0)</td>
<td>(20.0, 23.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m^2)</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(18.2, 31.2)</td>
<td>(19.7, 27.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(18.7, 31.6)</td>
<td>(19.6, 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (n)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menarcheal Age (Years)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10, 17.5)</td>
<td>(11, 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(10, 17.5)</td>
<td>(11, 15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy (n)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Contraceptives (n)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (hrs/wk)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0, 10)</td>
<td>(0, 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0, 10)</td>
<td>(1.5, 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total insulin dose (IU/kg/d)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.2, 4.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.2, 3.7)</td>
<td>(1.2, 3.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current HBA1c (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(36.6, 114.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(51, 118)</td>
<td>(51, 118)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave HBA1C over 1yr (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(41.3, 116.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(60.2, 110.4)</td>
<td>(60.2, 110.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (n)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Mild , 7)</td>
<td>(Mild , 8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Mild , 8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy (n)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Mild , 7)</td>
<td>(Mild , 8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Mild , 8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microalbuminuria (n)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes Dropout (n=13)</td>
<td>Diabetes Completed the study (n=17)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Controls Dropout (n=17)</td>
<td>Controls Completed the study (n=11)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA (mm$^2$)</td>
<td>49186 (31489, 77086)</td>
<td>47878 (30134, 78651)</td>
<td>0.9766</td>
<td>47678 (32966, 69789)</td>
<td>36232 (30232, 53888)</td>
<td>0.1731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>25209 (3,719, 37936)</td>
<td>20458 (7,347, 42877)</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>17263 (7454, 40807)</td>
<td>11605 (7,885, 28875)</td>
<td>0.1731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>5,065 (2,402, 7,715)</td>
<td>6,427 (2,030, 11144)</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>4042.5 (2,592, 6,832)</td>
<td>3,393 (1,808, 6,083)</td>
<td>0.3423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>30788 (6,584, 45651)</td>
<td>26271 (15433, 54021)</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>22090 (10046, 47639)</td>
<td>15778 (10312, 30869)</td>
<td>0.2312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppBV/TV</td>
<td>0.30 (0.23, 0.34)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.22, 0.37)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.31 (0.22,0.37)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.28,0.40)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTb.N(mm-1)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.20, 0.29)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.24, 0.30)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.27 (0.24,0.30)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.24,0.30)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTb.Sp(mm)</td>
<td>2.66 (2.25, 3.38)</td>
<td>2.57 (2.24, 3.15)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.57 (2.24,3.15)</td>
<td>2.32 (2.03,2.73)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTbTh(mm)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.86, 1.49)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.91, 1.46)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.11 (0.91,1.46)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.05, 1.39)</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMA (FF%)</td>
<td>22.92 (9.86, 50.14)</td>
<td>26.20 (17.29, 59.60)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>26.20 (12.05, 62.11)</td>
<td>22.35 (12.46, 49.82)</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4.2 MRI of abdominal fat

While differences in BMI were not statistically different between cases and controls, the cases did have a higher BMI by 2kg/m² (Table 4.1). However, abdominal adiposity including CSA, SCAT, VAT and TAT, was clearly significantly higher in cases compared to controls at baseline and at 1 year and there was no significant change within these two groups for these respective parameters of adiposity (Table 4.3) (Figure 4.2, and 4.3). As expected, change in TAT showed a strong association to change in BMI (r, 0.7, p<0.001) and this association was similar in controls and those with T1DM (Figure 4.4). This relationship was also evident for weight and these two anthropometric measures were also strongly associated to CSA and SCAT (Figure 4.4). In the diabetes group, there was no association of abdominal adiposity parameters with current or annualized HbA1c, age at diagnosis and duration of T1DM at baseline or one-year. Furthermore, the percentage change in these markers of adiposity was not associated to a change in any of the markers of glycaemic control.
Table 4.3: MRI-based measures of abdominal adiposity, bone microarchitecture and vertebral bone marrow adiposity at L3 for controls and participants with T1DM (diabetes) at baseline and one year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Change From Baseline (%)</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSA (mm$^2$)</td>
<td>47878 (30,134,76,651)</td>
<td>36124 (30,232,48,706)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>51,829 (31,807,73,485)</td>
<td>39707 (32,004,55,860)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.7 (12.9, 18.2)</td>
<td>0.7 (-9.7, 32.4)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>7.7 (12.6, 41.8)</td>
<td>0.4 (-36.6, 65.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>20458 (8,278,42,877)</td>
<td>10684 (7,885,20,790)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>22,662 (8,747,38,179)</td>
<td>11881 (8,078,25,829)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-12.9 (-12.6, 41.8)</td>
<td>-0.4 (-36.6, 65.8)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-7.7 (27.6, 53.4)</td>
<td>-4.2 (-4.1, 17.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>6,427 (2,030,11,144)</td>
<td>3,393 (1,808,6,083)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>60,850 (2,112,11,373)</td>
<td>3,818 (1,864,7134)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-27.6 (27.6, 53.4)</td>
<td>-4.1 (-4.1, 17.3)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.5 (-15.5, 49.6)</td>
<td>-2.2 (-18, 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>26271 (15,433,54,021)</td>
<td>15778 (10,312,24819)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>28,274 (14,727,49,161)</td>
<td>15699 (10,343,32,963)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-9.7 (27.6, 53.4)</td>
<td>-31.4 (-31.4, 52.1)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>5.6 (-21.6, 36.9)</td>
<td>1.8 (-18, 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppBV/T V</td>
<td>0.31 (0.22, 0.37)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.28, 0.40)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.29 (0.25, 0.38)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.27, 0.42)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.7 (-21.6, 22.6)</td>
<td>-3.6 (-21.1, 36.7)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.7 (-21.1, 36.7)</td>
<td>-3.6 (-21.1, 36.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTb.N (mm$^1$)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.24, 0.30)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.24, 0.30)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.26 (0.20, 0.32)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.24, 0.31)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0 (-25.0, 14.3)</td>
<td>0 (-17.0, 8.0)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0 (-25.0, 14.3)</td>
<td>0 (-17.0, 8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTb.Sp (mm)</td>
<td>2.57 (2.24, 3.15)</td>
<td>2.32 (2.03, 2.73)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.65 (2.06, 3.38)</td>
<td>2.47 (1.94, 2.79)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.1 (-15.5, 49.6)</td>
<td>2.2 (-18, 24)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.1 (-15.5, 49.6)</td>
<td>2.2 (-18, 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppTb.Th (mm)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.91, 1.46)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.05, 1.39)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.17 (0.95, 1.46)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.15, 1.52)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6.9 (-26.7, 35.2)</td>
<td>-4.6 (-21.6, 36.9)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6.9 (-26.7, 35.2)</td>
<td>-4.6 (-21.6, 36.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMA (FF%)</td>
<td>26.20 (12.05, 62.11)</td>
<td>22.35 (9.57, 41.85)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>26.91 (17.24, 58.49)</td>
<td>25.19 (8.34, 35.10)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>17.9 (-35.1, 92.6)</td>
<td>5.9 (-21, 43.1)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>17.9 (-35.1, 92.6)</td>
<td>5.9 (-21, 43.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are described as median and range. CSA- cross-sectional area of adipose tissue; SCAT – subcutaneous adipose tissue; VAT- visceral adipose tissue; TAT – total adipose tissue; AppBV/T V – apparent bone volume/total volume; AppTb.N – apparent trabecular number; AppTb.Sp – apparent trabecular separation; AppTb.Th – apparent trabecular thickness; BMA– bone marrow adiposity; FF% - fat fraction.
Figure 4.2: The absolute changes in the abdominal adiposity parameters over the one year period in both women with T1DM and controls.
Figure 4.3: The median percentage changes in the abdominal adiposity parameters over the one year period in both T1DM (black circles) and controls (black squares).
Figure 4.4: The relationship between % changes in CSA, SCAT and TAT as assessed by MRI and % change in BMI in women with T1DM (filled circles) and controls (open circles).

A positive association between % changes in CSA, SCAT and TAT and % change of BMI was observed.
4.4.3 Bone Marrow Adiposity

Absolute and median vertebral BMA, expressed as percentage fat fraction (%FF) did not change significantly during the study period in both cases and controls (Table 4.3) (Figure 4.5). Although, there was no association between the change in BMA and any of the anthropometric variables or markers of abdominal adiposity, in the women with T1DM, percentage change in BMA showed a strong positive association to percentage change in HbA1C when comparing most recent HbA1c at the two study time points (r, 0.8, p=0.002) (Figure 4.5). This association was still present but weaker when the percentage change in BMA was compared to the change in average HbA1c for the year prior to the MRI study (r, 0.5, p=0.08) (Figure 4.6). Of the 7 participants in whom the most recent HbA1c increased over the study period, 5 had increased BMA and of the 8 participants in whom HbA1c decreased, 4 had decreased BMA (Figure 4.7). Mixed model effect analysis showed that VAT was positively associated to a change in BMA (p=0.02).

Figure 4.5: Absolute and median % changes in fat fraction (%FF) over one year period in both women with T1DM and controls. (A) absolute changes in FF% in T1DM, (B) absolute changes in FF% in controls and (C) % changes in FF% in both T1DM (Black circles) and controls (Black squares)
Figure 4.6: The relationship between percentage (%) change in fat fraction as a measure of vertebral Bone Marrow Adiposity (BMA) and % change in HbA1c measured within the 4 weeks prior to MRI scan at baseline and follow-up visit at 1 yr (A) and average HbA1c for the year prior to MRI scan at baseline and follow-up visit at 1 yr (B).

Figure 4.7: Percentage change (%) in fat fraction as a measure of vertebral Bone Marrow Adiposity (BMA) for each participant in relation to the extent of change in HbA1c from baseline to 12 months.

The open circle on the horizontal intersect denotes the actual HbA1c (mmol/mol) at baseline and the closed circle denotes the actual HbA1c (mmol/mol) for the same subject at 12 months.
4.4.4 Bone Microarchitecture by Micro-MRI

Compared to controls, the cases had a lower median appTbN and a higher median appTbSp at baseline and 12 months (Table 4.3) and this difference persisted after adjusting for age, VAT and TAT. A clear difference existed for median appBV/TV and appTbSp between those cases who had retinopathy and those who did not have retinopathy. The median appBV/TV and appTbSp was 0.28 (0.25, 0.34), and 2.78 (2.44, 3.22), respectively, in those cases with retinopathy compared to 0.34 (0.28, 0.38) and 2.54 (2.06, 3.38) in those cases without retinopathy (p=0.01, 0.03, respectively). There was no significant change in any of the bone microarchitecture parameters over the one year period in either group and the extent of change within each group was similar in the group of women with and without T1DM (Table 4.3) (Figure 4.8)(Figure 4.9). In the T1DM cases, there was no association of percentage change in appBV/TV, appTbN or appTbSp with percentage change in HbA1c, age at diagnosis, duration of T1DM or daily insulin dose adjusted for weight. Mixed model effect analysis showed that the age was negatively associated with the percent changes of app BV/TV, appTbN and appTbSp in both cases and controls (p=0.02, p=0.02, p=0.002, respectively). The percentage change in trabecular bone microarchitecture parameters did not show any association to the MRI-based markers of abdominal obesity and BMA, even after controlling for BMI.
Figure 4.8: The median percentage changes in the bone microarchitecture parameters over the one year period in both women with T1DM and control groups.
Figure 4.9: The median % changes in the bone microarchitecture parameters over one year period in both women with T1DM (Black circles) and controls (Black squares).
4.5 Discussion

The current study was primarily aimed at assessing the extent of change that may occur in MRI based parameters of bone health and adiposity in these women with T1DM, as well as in healthy young women. In addition, this study aimed to study the clinical factors that may be associated with longitudinal changes in MRI based measures of bone health. Although women with T1DM tended to be slightly overweight and their BMI was not significantly higher than the control group, abdominal adiposity as assessed by MRI was significantly raised in the women with T1DM. Although the overall change in the markers of abdominal adiposity did not show a significant difference between the cases and controls, the range of variation within the group of women with T1DM was greater. Raised abdominal adiposity in young people with T1DM is not a universal finding (543-545) and may perhaps be associated with overall control and lower socioeconomic status (546). A recent report of islet cell transplantation in a cohort of cases of T1DM of a similar socioeconomic status showed that improved control was also associated with a reduction in central obesity (546). However, in the current T1DM group, the changes in adiposity did not seem to be clearly related to any clinical variables. The average change in markers of abdominal adiposity was about 3% and this was similar to that published before in healthy young women and within the coefficient of variation for the technique which is about 5% (547).

In the previous case control study from our group, we did not show any statistical difference in BMA between cases with T1DM and controls (542) and, consistent with that, we did not detect a significant difference in the current smaller cohort. The average change in BMA over the year was about 12% and tended to be higher in the cases than the controls. Whilst there are several reports of increased BMA in mouse models of uncontrolled T1DM (249, 292, 507) there are currently no published reports of increased BMA in humans with T1DM and, given the extent of variation, a larger sample size may assist in conclusively evaluating this association. However, our current study has shown a clear and unequivocal association between a change in HbA1c and a change in BMA. Given that there is increasing evidence of a direct link between BMA and bone strength (467) we believe that this is a very important finding as it sheds further light on the aetiology of the osteopathy that is associated with increased bone fragility in T1DM. There is increasing evidence that the developmental origins of VAT and SCAT are different (185). The preliminary finding of an association between BMA and VAT but not TAT or SCAT needs to be confirmed in a larger group of individuals but suggests that the developmental origin of BMA may be more similar to VAT than SCAT.
The bone microarchitecture findings in the current study were also very striking and confirm our previous findings that a bone deficit exists in young women with T1DM and that this deficit is particularly marked in those with microvascular disease (542). These findings are especially notable as the association with retinopathy was statistically significant despite the relatively smaller number of cases in the current study sample. The average change in the trabecular parameters of bone microarchitecture over a period of the year was 3% and well below the upper level of variation of 7% in trabecular parameters in healthy volunteers (411). Unlike BMA, the range of change was lower for the bone microarchitecture parameters.

An association between change in BMA and markers of bone microarchitecture was not observed and although this may be due to the limited sample size, it is also possible that this could be explained by a lag phase between an effect of chronic hyperglycemia on BMA and its effect on bone microarchitecture and which may not have been adequately captured in this study and may require a longer period of study. The clear association of reduced bone volume in those cases of T1DM who had retinopathy, albeit background retinopathy in most cases, suggests that it is possible that the underlying microvasculopathy may also influence trabecular development.

Thus, the paradigm that these preliminary studies suggest is that BMA which might be associated to changes in HbA1c is the first marker of bone pathology and it is possible that this is followed over the longer term by abnormalities of bone microarchitecture which are less modifiable and mirror other microvascular conditions such as retinopathy. If this is true, then it would suggest that early identification of abnormalities of BMA may be a more effective approach for improving bone health in T1DM as well as other metabolic conditions that are associated with osteoporosis. Given that the increased risk of fractures in T1DM may also be present in older children and adolescents (219), there is a need to understand changes in BMA at an earlier stage of skeletal development than currently studied. The current study was limited to a relatively small group of cases and controls and there is a need to perform these studies in larger group of patients over a longer period to mitigate the effects of variability.
4.7 Conclusion

In summary, detailed, longitudinal MRI studies of trabecular bone show that over a period of one year, improvement in diabetes control is associated with a reduction in bone marrow adiposity but bone microarchitecture deficits may persist. The chronological link between bone marrow adiposity and bone microarchitecture in pathological states needs further study.
Chapter 5

An assessment of adiposity-based determinants of bone density and microarchitecture in healthy young women and women with T1DM
5.1 Abstract:

**Context:** People with T1DM are at higher fracture risk yet the pathophysiological mechanism is unclear. Recent studies have demonstrated an important link between excess adiposity and bone deficits.

**Aim of the study:** The aim of this pilot study was primarily for assessing adiposity-based determinants of bone density and bone microarchitecture in healthy young women and women with T1DM. Secondly, to compare the feasibility of DXA and MRI measured bone parameters to differentiate between women with and without T1DM.

**Methods:** Measurements included MRI-based assessment of abdominal adipose tissue, proximal tibial bone volume/total volume (appBV/TV), trabecular separation (appTb.Sp), vertebral bone marrow adiposity (BMA). Additional measures included DXA based assessments of the whole body, femoral neck (FN) and lumbar spine bone area (cm2), BMC (g) and mean areal BMD (g/cm2). Body composition, including whole body fat mass (WB-FM (kg)), WM-FM Z-score and android/gynoid were also obtained from the whole body DXA scan.

**Results:** Women with T1DM had higher MRI based abdominal adiposity (p<0.05) and greater WB-FM Z-score (p=0.03) versus matched controls; BMI did not differ. Although, there was no difference in vertebral bone marrow adiposity (VBMA) which was 26.9 (17.2, 58.5) and 25.2 (8.3, 35.1) in cases and controls, respectively (p=0.51), there was an inverse association between VBMA and DXA-measured BMD, BMD Z-score and BMC at all measured sites (p<0.05). These correlations remained significant after controlling for age, BMI, WB-FM (Kg), VAT, TAT, SCAT. On the other hand, visceral adipose tissue (VAT) showed positive correlations with VBMA (r=0.42, p=0.03), and negative correlation with TB-BMD Z score (r=-0.46, p=0.02). After controlling for TB-BMD Z-score and VAT, the correlation between VAT and vertebral bone marrow fat became insignificant (P = 0.28), but VBMA and BMD remained significant (P = 0.04). No significant difference in DXA and MRI measured bone parameters was evidenced between women with or without diabetes. In contrast, diabetic women with microvasculopathy demonstrated altered bone microarchitecture compared to healthy controls and those without microvasculopathy, but they did not show any significant differences in DXA measured bone parameters. AppTbSp showed a significant inverse association to TB BMD (r= –0.40, p= 0.04) and FN BMC (r =-0.41, p=0.04).

**Conclusions:** In this young-aged population, a negative relationship existed between MRI-measured VBMA and femoral neck, lumbar spine and total body BMD independent of demographics and body composition. These observations support the growing evidence
linking BMA with low bone density. Comparing to DXA scan, micro-MRI appears promising to assess bone microarchitecture in terms of its feasibility to detect disease-related changes in women with T1DM compared to healthy controls.

5.2 Introduction

T1DM is a chronic metabolic disorder that is characterized by a pathological quantity and distribution of adipose tissue (542). Looking at the literature, it is quite clear that patients with T1DM are at high risk of osteoporosis (548) and fracture (160, 161, 221). There is a growing body of evidence that suggests that the fat bone connection plays an important role in the pathophysiology of bone loss. Previous studies have reported an inverse association of BMA with BMD in patients with osteoporosis and other metabolic diseases (457, 466, 549). BMA is higher in mouse models with T1DM (249), however, the relationship of BMA and BMD in T1DM has scarcely been studied (369). Although, this is potentially related to altered mesenchymal stem cell differentiation into osteoblasts or adipocytes (199), the role of marrow adiposity and its relation to bone remains poorly understood. One important area of clinical investigation has considered the relationship between marrow adiposity and other body adiposity depots. However, several clinical and experimental studies indicate that adipose tissue itself is not functionally uniform, and different adipose depots have distinctive characteristics in terms of adipocytokine production (550, 551), and consequently may have distinct relationships with bone. Evidence regarding the relationship between VAT, BMA and bone health is inconsistent. Recent evidence suggests that VAT may negatively impact bone health. Decreased BMD and altered bone microarchitecture was associated with marrow adiposity and visceral adiposity in other different populations (460, 469, 491, 552). However, these relationships have not been examined in women with T1DM.

Our primary objective was to evaluate different measures of adiposity in healthy young women and women with T1DM, and to determine associations of these adiposity measures with BMD, BMC using DXA, and trabecular bone microarchitecture using high resolution MRI. The secondary objective was to compare the feasibility of DXA and MRI measured bone parameters to differentiate between women with and without T1DM.
5.3 Subjects and methods

5.3.1 Subjects

This was a cross-sectional, controlled study of 17 women between the ages of 17 and 34 years who were diagnosed with T1DM before the age of 16 years and who returned for a follow up visit between January 2014 and July 2014 in the previous longitudinal study of bone health (Chapter 4), and had a DXA scan. Of the 11 healthy women who comprised the control group, 9 had DXA scan. Exclusion criteria included the presence of metallic implants and pacemakers, active or planned pregnancy or lactation, kidney disease, chronic use of drugs that are known to affect bone health and other chronic diseases that are known to be associated with an increased risk of fractures. Information on personal health and lifestyle habits, including cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, current medication, use of vitamins or calcium, age at menarche, use of oral contraceptives, hours of weight-bearing physical activity per week, history of fractures and a family history of early osteoporosis was also collected. Information on age of diagnosis, disease duration, insulin therapy and presence of microvascular complications was obtained from the case records. A glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) measurement within a four-week period of the scan visit was used as current HbA1c. The study protocol was approved by the national research ethics service and all participants provided written informed consent.

5.3.2 MRI acquisition and analysis

Micro MRI data were available in all 17 cases and 11 controls. MRS data were available in 16 case and all controls, MRI of abdominal fat were available in 17 case and 9 controls. All magnetic resonance images acquisitions and analysis were described previously in Chapter 2.

5.3.3 DXA

DXA data were available in 17 cases and 9 matched controls. DXA of the whole body, femoral neck and lumbar spine (L1-L4) was performed to determine the main study outcomes. The bone area (cm²), BMC (g) and mean areal BMD (g/cm²) of whole body, femoral neck (FN) and lumbar spine were measured. In addition, bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) at lumbar spine was calculated. For the assessment of the bone mass in addition to the absolute values of bone mineral density presented in g/cm² we used the so called Z-score (number of standard deviations the patient’s BMD differ from age- sex-
matched reference value). Because of the age characteristic (17-40 yrs.) of our study groups it was inappropriate to use T-score to determine BMD changes and WHO classification of osteopenia and osteoporosis could not be applied. Body composition, including WB-FM (kg), WB-FM Z-score and android/gynoid were obtained from the whole body DXA scan.

5.3.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Minitab 17, Minitab Inc, State College, PA. All data were described as medians and ranges; comparison between the cases and controls was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and by the Chi Squared test for categorical variables. Spearman correlation analysis was used to assess the univariate relationship between continuous variables and statistical significance was set at p <0.05. Multivariable linear regression was performed to examine associations of VBMA, BMD and visceral adiposity. BMA, age, BMI, WB-FM, VAT, SCAT were selected for the regression model because of their relatively high correlations with BMD.
5.4 Result

5.4.1 Clinical characteristics

There were no significant demographic or anthropometric differences between the cases and controls (Table 5.1). The study included 17 patients with T1DM, their median age was 23.7 yrs. (17.4, 34), the median age at diagnosis of the cases was 10.1 yrs. (4.8, 14.8) and the median duration of diabetes was 13.6 yrs (6.8, 26.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5.1: Clinical characteristic of study participants</th>
<th>Cases (n=17)</th>
<th>Control (n=9)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>23.7 (17.4, 34)</td>
<td>21.6 (20, 23.8)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ht SDS</td>
<td>-0.03 (-1.5, 1.9)</td>
<td>0.4 (-0.7, 3.2)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt (kg)</td>
<td>68.8 (50.2,91.5)</td>
<td>63.8 (54.4,76.5)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt SDS</td>
<td>1.2 (-1, 2.9)</td>
<td>0.7 (-0.4, 2.9)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>24.4 (18.7, 31.6)</td>
<td>22.6 (19.6, 28.0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI SDS</td>
<td>0.82 (-1.4, 2.4)</td>
<td>0.2 (-0.9, 1.7)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular alcohol</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menarcheal Age (yrs)</td>
<td>13 (10, 17.50)</td>
<td>12 (11, 15)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal use pills (n)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>3 (0, 10)</td>
<td>3 (1.5, 10)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture (n)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>9, (background,8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microalbuminuria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total insulin (IU/kg/d)</td>
<td>2.50( 1.23, 3.74)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current HBA1c (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>75 (51,118)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4.2 Body composition

Body composition results are summarized in (Table 5.2). MRI–measured abdominal adiposity parameters including CSA, SCAT, VAT and TAT were significantly higher in cases compared to controls. Additionally, women with T1DM had significantly higher DXA-measured whole-body fat mass z-score (p=0.03), and a tendency toward higher whole-body fat mass (WB-FM, Kg) and A/G ratio compared to matched healthy controls (p=0.07; p=0.08, respectively). Median vertebral BM (FF %) was not significantly different between cases and controls. Different body composition measures were significantly correlated among each other (Table 5.3). BMI was positively correlated with DXA measured adiposity parameters and MRI-measured abdominal adiposity parameters. Age positively correlated with A/G, CSA, SCAT, VAT and TAT. There was no effect of disease related parameters on different body composition measures.

Table 5.2: Bone and body composition parameters in women with T1DM and matched controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bone microarchitecture</th>
<th>Cases (n=17)</th>
<th>Controls (n=9)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>App BV/TV</td>
<td>0.29 (0.25, 0.38)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.27, 0.42)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App TbN (mm-1)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.20, 0.32)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.24, 0.31)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App TbSp (mm)</td>
<td>2.65 (2.06, 3.38)</td>
<td>2.52 (1.94, 2.79)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App ThTh (mm)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.95, 1.46)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.00, 1.52)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMA L3 fat fraction (FF %)</td>
<td>26.9 (17.2, 58.5)</td>
<td>25.2 (8.3, 35.1)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB BMC (g)</td>
<td>2504 (1669, 2967)</td>
<td>2636 (2147, 3)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBMD g/cm2</td>
<td>1.1 (1, 1.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (1, 1.3)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB-BMD z score</td>
<td>-0.2 (-1.1, 1.5)</td>
<td>0.4 (-1.2, 2.0)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMC (G)</td>
<td>49.8 (26.6, 60.8)</td>
<td>56.7 (38.2, 66.9)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMD (g/cm2)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.82, 1.39)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.99, 1.42)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMD z score</td>
<td>-0.1 (-3.1, 1.6)</td>
<td>0.4 (-1.7, 1.8)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMAD</td>
<td>0.38 (0.29, 0.42)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.27, 0.46)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral BMC (G)</td>
<td>28.2 (21.3, 34.1)</td>
<td>31.1 (24.2, 35.8)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN-BMD (g/cm2)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.84, 1.13)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.88, 1.22)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN-BMD Z-score</td>
<td>-0.6 (-1.2, 1)</td>
<td>-0.2 (-1.2, 1.7)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-measured adiposity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>51829 (31807,73485)</td>
<td>39761 (32004, 55860)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>22662 (8747, 38179)</td>
<td>12529 (8078, 25829)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>6085 (2112, 11373)</td>
<td>3460 (1864, 7134)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAT (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>28274 (14727, 49161)</td>
<td>16251 (10343, 32963)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA-measured body fat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Android/Gynoid</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5, 1.1)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6, 0.9)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB-FM (kg)</td>
<td>24.47(14.30,41.53)</td>
<td>17.56(14.01,31.76)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB-FM z score</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5, 2.5)</td>
<td>0.3 (-0.2,1.9)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.3: Correlations among body fat measures in T1DM and Matched Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CSA</th>
<th>SCAT</th>
<th>VAT</th>
<th>TAT</th>
<th>WB-FM(Kg)</th>
<th>WB-FM Z-score</th>
<th>A/G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCAT (mm³)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (mm³)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAT (mm³)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB-FM(Kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB-FM Z-score</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMA (FF %)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.3 Bone measured parameters

Results of the measurement of bone mineral density and bone microarchitecture in women with T1DM and that in age matched healthy controls are presented in Table 5.2. All results are presented as median and range. No significant difference in DXA and MRI measured bone parameters was evidenced between women with or without diabetes. In contrast, diabetic women with microvasculopathy demonstrated altered bone microarchitecture compared to healthy controls and those without microvasculopathy, but they did not show any significant differences in DXA measured bone parameters (data not shown). DXA-measured BMD at different measured sites showed positive significant association with body weight, but this association was not observed between body weight and MRI-measured bone microarchitecture parameters. AppTbN and appTbSp showed significant association with the age of the whole participants \((r=-0.56, p=0.003; r=0.45, p=0.02,\) respectively) and disease duration \((r=-0.49, p=0.04; r=0.54, p=0.03,\) respectively) in patients with T1DM. This association was not observed between age and disease duration with BMD at all measured sites. In women with T1DM, by using regression analysis the negative association of AppTbN and appTbSp with age and disease duration became insignificant \((r^2=33.54\%).\)

Correlation among different measured bone parameters are showed in Table 5.4. There was a significant correlation among DXA measured parameters at different skeletal sites \((P<0.05).\) AppTbSp showed a significant inverse association to TB BMD \((r = -0.40, p= 0.04)\) and FN- BMC \((r =-0.41, p=0.04)\) (Figure 5.1). Additionally, appTbSp showed a trend toward inverse association with TB BMC and FN-BMD(r= -0.37, p=0.07) (Figure 5.1).
Table 5.4: Correlation among bone measures in T1DM and matched controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AppBV/TV</th>
<th>AppTb.N(mm)</th>
<th>AppTb.Sp(mm)</th>
<th>AppTbTh(mm)</th>
<th>TB BMC (g)</th>
<th>TB-BMD</th>
<th>TB-Z score</th>
<th>L2-L4 BMC</th>
<th>L2-L4 Z score</th>
<th>L2-L4 BMAD</th>
<th>FN-BMC (G)</th>
<th>FN-BMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TB BMC (g)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td>p=0.07</td>
<td>p=0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB-BMD</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td><strong>-0.40</strong>,</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td><strong>0.88</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.09</td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td><strong>p=0.04</strong></td>
<td>p=0.3</td>
<td><strong>p=0.000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB-Z score</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.71</strong></td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.3</td>
<td>p=0.4</td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td>p=0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p=0.000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMC</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.78,</strong></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.1</td>
<td>p=0.6</td>
<td>p=0.1</td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p=0.000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMD</td>
<td>0.27, p=0.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.27, p=0.2</td>
<td>0.28, p=0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.78</strong></td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.7</td>
<td>p=0.7</td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p=0.000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 Z score</td>
<td>0.29, p=0.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.29, p=0.1</td>
<td>0.28, p=0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.78</strong></td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.6</td>
<td>p=0.6</td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p=0.000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMAD</td>
<td>0.13, p=0.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14, p=0.5</td>
<td>0.10, p=0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.73</strong></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91, p=0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.7</td>
<td>p=0.7</td>
<td>p=0.5</td>
<td>p=0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p=0.000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN-BMC (G)</td>
<td>0.30, p=0.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td><strong>-0.41</strong>,</td>
<td>0.17, p=0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.83</strong></td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.83, p=0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.1</td>
<td>p=0.1</td>
<td><strong>p=0.04</strong></td>
<td>p=0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p=0.000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN-BMD</td>
<td>0.35, p=0.08</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.37,</td>
<td>0.20, p=0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.61</strong></td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.76, p=0.00</td>
<td>0.81, p=0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td>p=0.07</td>
<td>p=0.07</td>
<td>p=0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p=0.001</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN-Z score</td>
<td>0.30, p=0.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.29, p=0.1</td>
<td>0.15, p=0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.45</strong></td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.67, p=0.00</td>
<td>0.68, p=0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p=0.2</td>
<td>p=0.1</td>
<td>p=0.1</td>
<td>p=0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p=0.02</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93, p=0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.1: The relationship between apparent trabecular separation (appTbSp) as assessed by MRI and total body BMD (TB-BMD g/cm$^2$), Total body BMC (TB-BMC (g)), Femoral neck BMD (FN-BMD (g/cm2)) and Femoral neck BMC (FN-BMC (g)) in women with TIDM (filled circles) and controls (open circles). An inverse significant association between appTbSp and TB-BMD g/cm and FN-BMC (g) was observed.
5.4.4 The relationship among different measures of body composition and bone measured parameters

Table 5 showed the correlation among different measures of body composition and bone measured parameters. MRI measured bone parameters did not show any significant association with vertebral BMA. However, VBMA showed significant negative correlation with TB-BMD, L2-L4 BMD and FN-BMD (r=-0.54, P =0.005; r= -0.40, p=0.04; r=-0.44, p=0.03; respectively) (Table 5.5) (Figure 5.2). This negative association was also observed with L2-L4 BMD Z score and FN- BMD Z score (r= -0.41, P= 0.04; r=-0.40, P= 0.05; respectively) (Table 5.5) (Figure 5.2). Femoral BMC (G) was also significantly associated with BMA (r= -0.425, P = 0.03) (Table 5.5) (Figure 5.2). When BMD, BMD Z score and BMC were entered as a dependent variable and age, BMI, VBMA,SCAT,VAT and WB-FM as independent variables in regression model, vertebral bone marrow fat was a significant predictor of BMD (p<0.05) for all models (Table 5.6). On the other hand, Vertebral BMA showed positive correlations with VAT (r=0.42, p=0.03) (Table 5.5) (Figure 5.3). TB-BMD Z score negatively correlated with VAT (r=-0.46, p=0.02) (Table 5.5) (Figure 5.3). Because VAT is associated with TB-BMD Z score, we controlled for VAT and TB-BMD Z score using multivariate analysis. After controlling for TB-BMD Z-score, the correlation between vertebral bone marrow fat and VAT became insignificant (P = 0.28). After controlling for VAT, the correlation between vertebral bone marrow fat and TB- BMD Z score remained significant (P = 0.04).
Table 5.5: Correlations among bone measures, BMA, and body composition in T1DM and Matched Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CSA</th>
<th>SCAT</th>
<th>VAT</th>
<th>TAT</th>
<th>Total tissue fat</th>
<th>Z-score fat</th>
<th>A/G</th>
<th>BMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBMD g/cm²</td>
<td>0.02, P= 0.9</td>
<td>-0.051, P= 0.8</td>
<td>-0.179, P= 0.4</td>
<td>-0.143, P= 0.5</td>
<td>0.060, P=0.8</td>
<td>0.012, P=0.6</td>
<td>0.062, P=0.8</td>
<td>-0.544, P=0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB - BMD z score</td>
<td>-0.21, P= 0.3</td>
<td>-0.259, P= 0.2</td>
<td><strong>-0.457, P= 0.022</strong></td>
<td>-0.378, P= 0.06</td>
<td>-0.176, P= 0.4</td>
<td>-0.199, P=0.4</td>
<td>-0.128, P=0.5</td>
<td>-0.491, P=0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB BMC (g)</td>
<td>0.11, P= 0.6</td>
<td>-0.052, P= 0.8</td>
<td>-0.182, P=0.385</td>
<td>-0.117, P= 0.6</td>
<td>0.212, P=0.3</td>
<td>0.191, P=0.4</td>
<td>0.008, P=0.9</td>
<td>-0.284, P=0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>0.14, P= 0.5</td>
<td>0.083, P= 0.7</td>
<td>0.042, P= 0.8</td>
<td>0.004, P= 0.9</td>
<td>0.129, P=0.5</td>
<td>0.155, P=0.5</td>
<td>0.226, P=0.3</td>
<td>-0.405, P=0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMD Z score</td>
<td>0.11, P= 0.6</td>
<td>0.059, P= 0.8</td>
<td>0.023, P= 0.9</td>
<td>-0.022, P= 0.9</td>
<td>0.114, P=0.6</td>
<td>0.146, P=0.5</td>
<td>0.227, P=0.3</td>
<td>-0.410, P= 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMC (G)</td>
<td>-0.01, P= 1</td>
<td>-0.108, P= 0.6</td>
<td>-0.122, P= 0.5</td>
<td>-0.197, P= 0.3</td>
<td>-0.018, P=0.9</td>
<td>-0.013, P=0.9</td>
<td>0.061, P=0.8</td>
<td>-0.347, P=0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMAD</td>
<td>0.25, P= 0.2</td>
<td>0.193, P= 0.3</td>
<td>0.202, P= 0.3</td>
<td>0.137, P= 0.5</td>
<td>0.283, P=0.2</td>
<td>0.162, P=0.5</td>
<td>0.349, P=0.09</td>
<td>-0.382, P= 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral N- BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>-0.050, P= 0.8</td>
<td>-0.122, P= 0.5</td>
<td>-0.105, P= 0.6</td>
<td>-0.169, P= 0.4</td>
<td>0.039, P=0.8</td>
<td>-0.021, P=0.9</td>
<td>0.067, P=0.7</td>
<td>-0.444, P= 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN- BMD Z-score</td>
<td>-0.232, P= 0.2</td>
<td>-0.274, P= 0.2</td>
<td>-0.201, P= 0.3</td>
<td>-0.304, P= 0.1</td>
<td>-0.136, P=0.5</td>
<td>-0.211, P=0.3</td>
<td>-0.027, P=0.9</td>
<td>-0.400, P= 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN- BMC (G)</td>
<td>-0.009, P= 1</td>
<td>-0.075, P= 0.7</td>
<td>-0.169, P= 0.4</td>
<td>-0.151, P= 0.5</td>
<td>0.045, P=0.8</td>
<td>0.032, P=0.9</td>
<td>0.008, P=0.9</td>
<td><strong>-0.425, P= 0.03</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App BV/TV</td>
<td>-0.300, P=0.1</td>
<td>-0.279, P=0.2</td>
<td>-0.200, P=0.3</td>
<td>-0.289, P=0.1</td>
<td>-0.139, P=0.5</td>
<td>-0.072, P=0.7</td>
<td>-0.071, P=0.7</td>
<td>-0.287, P=0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App TbN (mm-1)</td>
<td>-0.404, P=0.04</td>
<td>-0.262, P=0.2</td>
<td>-0.292, P=0.1</td>
<td>-0.269, P=0.2</td>
<td>-0.033, P=0.9</td>
<td>0.072, P=0.7</td>
<td>-0.095, P=0.6</td>
<td>-0.280, P=0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App TbSp (mm)</td>
<td>0.369, P=0.06</td>
<td>0.315, P=0.1</td>
<td>0.312, P=0.1</td>
<td>0.348, P=0.07</td>
<td>0.120, P=0.6</td>
<td>0.003, P=0.9</td>
<td>0.130, P=0.5</td>
<td>0.358, P=0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>App ThlTh (mm)</td>
<td>-0.001, P=0.9</td>
<td>-0.032, P=0.9</td>
<td>0.090, P=0.6</td>
<td>-0.021, P=0.9</td>
<td>-0.019, P=0.9</td>
<td>-0.017, P=0.9</td>
<td>0.117, P=0.7</td>
<td>-0.028, P=0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.6: Regression model with BMD and FN-BMC as the dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBMD g/cm²</td>
<td>-0.00348 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.00374 (0.006)</td>
<td>-0.00361 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB- BMD z score</td>
<td>-0.0422 (0.01)</td>
<td>-0.0396 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.0396 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>-0.00680 (0.03)</td>
<td>-0.00743 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.00864 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L4 BMD Z score</td>
<td>-0.05739 (0.03)</td>
<td>-0.0624 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.0720 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral N- BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>-0.00452 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.00466 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.00541 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN- BMC (G)</td>
<td>-0.18919 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.1951 (0.02)</td>
<td>-0.1976 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values are regression coefficients and p-value are in between the bracket. Abbr.: TB BMD; total body bone mineral density; L2-L4 BMD; lumbar spine bone mineral density; FN-BMD; femoral neck bone mineral density; FN-BMC; femoral neck bone mineral content.

Age is the covariate tested in each model:

Model 1 = VBMA + age + BMI

Model 2 = VBMA + age + WB-FM.

Model 3 = VBMA + age + SCAT + VAT.
Figure 5.2: The relationship between VBMA and BMD and BMC at different measured sites (Whole body, FN, L2-L4) in women with T1DM (filled circles) and controls (open circles).
Figure 5.3: The relationship between visceral adipose tissue (VAT) as assessed by MRI and vertebral bone marrow adiposity (FF %) and Total body BMD (TB-BMD (g/cm²)) in women with T1DM (filled circles) and controls (open circles).
5.5 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to characterize relationships between detailed measures of body composition with DXA and MRI measured bone parameters in young healthy women and women with T1DM. Our study demonstrated that young women with T1DM had greater adiposity parameters compared to the healthy controls. Moreover, our data suggest that vertebral BMA is negative predictor of BMD despite normal BMD and trabecular bone microarchitecture.

The key finding in this study was demonstration of a striking inverse relationship between DXA measured bone parameters at different measured skeletal sites and BMA. Several studies have shown that BMD and BMA are reciprocally related in diverse population, e.g., anorexia nervosa, glucocorticoid therapy, healthy children, young adults, elderly and other osteoporotic conditions [443, 452, 457-461, 463, 537, 553, 554]. Rodent models of T1DM showed that higher BMA, which occur in diabetes, correlates with decreased bone biomechanical quality and increased fractures [249]. However, the relationship of BMA and BMD in people with T1DM has scarcely been studied, our finding is consistent with a recent study in type one diabetic people reported that BMA was not altered by T1-diabetes, but was inversely related to BMD [369]. The current findings provide additional support at the macroscopic level for an inverse relationship between BMA and BMD. Since osteoblasts and adipocytes share a common precursor (i.e., the MSCs in bone marrow) [119, 194, 555], it is hypothesized that an increase in adipogenesis may impair bone integrity through reducing osteoblastogenesis [194]. On the other hand, we did not observe this significant correlation with the measures of bone microarchitecture. There are few studies that have examined the association of bone microarchitecture with BMA [556, 557]. Although, the effect of BMA on bone is different depending on the bone parameter of interest, bone marrow is highly concentrated in trabecular bone and the correlation between BMA and trabecular bone might be stronger than that with BMD, therefore, the lack of this association in our study might be due to small sample size. More studies with larger sample sizes are needed on the association between BMA and skeletal health. In particular, no prospective study has related BMF to fracture, the most important clinical consequence of osteoporosis.

In the present study, we observed an inverse relationship between BMA and BMD in an anatomically matched region (i.e., spine BMA and spine BMD), and non-anatomically matched region (i.e. spine BMA with femoral and total body BMD). Therefore, it is reasonable that both local level MSC differentiation and systemic level hormonal factors contribute to the observed inverse relationship between BMA and BMD. Our results add to
the body of literature that supports the competitive relationship between marrow fat and bone. Future studies may investigate potential targets to prevent and treat osteoporosis at both the MSC level and hormonal level.

In addition to the detrimental effect of BMA on bone health, VAT was negatively correlated with TB-BMD Z score and positively correlated with BMA, but this association became insignificant after regression analysis. The role of VAT on bone has not been elucidated yet; previous studies in other population have shown inconsistent association between body composition and bone measures (360, 494, 558-564). These studies were limited by the use of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), which is unable to distinguish VAT from SAT. In our study, despite high correlation between the DXA and MRI measured parameters of body composition, we found differences in their relation with BMA and bone measured parameters. Our data are in consistent with some reports (360, 564) that did not show any association between body composition assessed by DXA and BMD. In contrast, recent studies have reported that visceral fat has negative effects on bone health and correlates inversely with BMD and bone structure (486, 488-493). However, in our data we did not find this association with bone microarchitecture. In consistent with our findings, Bredella et al. (491) concluded that both BMA and VAT have detrimental effect on BMD whereas there was no difference in BMD, but these results remained significant even after adjustment for BMD. The effect of visceral adiposity is associated with dysregulation of the GH/IGF-I which is important regulators of bone homeostasis and importance for the maintenance of bone mass (506). Thus, further studies with larger sample size are needed to clarify this association in women with T1DM.

Another interesting finding, in consistent with Shanbhogue, V.V., et al (163) we have demonstrated that 3T MRI can detect deficit in bone microarchitecture which was only apparent in T1DM with microvasculopathy compared with the healthy controls. In contrast, we did not observe any differences in DXA measured bone parameters between T1DM with or without microvasculopathy and healthy controls. The discrepancy between DXA and trabecular bone results is consistent with previous studies in other population (153, 159, 565). Because DXA could not detect lower BMD in women with T1DM compared with the controls; the results suggest that MRI assessment of microarchitecture may potentially be more sensitive than DXA assessment of areal BMD as a method to assess changes in bone quality related to diabetes mellitus.

Although, there was discrepancy between DXA and MRI to determine bone deficit in severely affected diabetic women compared to healthy controls, we found minor positive correlation between the bone DXA-based measures and MRI-based measures. Previous
studies have reported this correlation (403, 431, 432, 566, 567). In our study the correlation was not observed with all MRI parameters, non-anatomically matched region was limitation of this study comparing to what previously described (567). The positive relationship between the MRI-based measures and the DXA-based measures provides some validation for the assessment of trabecular bone using MRI. Additionally, this minor correlation indicates that the MRI method of bone quantification is providing additional structural properties from trabecular bone that cannot be measured by DXA.

5.6 Conclusion

Our study group was relatively small and therefore conclusions must be drawn with some caution. However, in this population-based study group, with carefully matched control subjects, women with T1DM demonstrated greater pattern of adiposity compared to healthy controls. Additionally, we found that vertebral BMA was a significant predictor of BMD, whereas there was no difference in BMD, suggesting a distinct role of BMA in skeletal integrity.

More importantly, in T1DM our study has demonstrated the feasibility of 3-T MRI to differentiate between women with and without microvasculopathy. On the other hand, 3-T MRI-derived measures showed positive correlations with DXA-derived BMD, suggesting that the two methodologies assess similar and complementary characteristics of bone. However, this is a small pilot study, and further validation with a large cohort is necessary.
Chapter 6

Discussion, conclusion and future perspective
6.1 General discussion

The studies presented in this thesis are the first clinical studies to investigate bone health by using high resolution micro-MRI (3T) in young women with childhood-onset T1DM compared with healthy women without T1DM. These studies add a number of novel findings to the knowledge base.

Deficit in trabecular bone microarchitecture in young adult women with childhood-onset T1DM compared with women without T1DM was demonstrated in Chapter 3. This cross-sectional study elucidated some possible underlying mechanisms that might contribute to deficits in trabecular bone microarchitecture, including: (1) patients with T1DM had a state of low bone turnover with reduced bone formation and resorption compared with healthy age matched controls; (2) deficit in the GH/IGF-1 axis; (3) associated diabetic microvascular complications. The deleterious effect of T1DM on trabecular bone microstructure is well established in rodent models of T1DM (568, 569). Currently, there is no published research about using MRI for studying trabecular bone microarchitecture in people with T1DM. However, as described in the introduction, two studies in humans have evaluated trabecular bone microarchitecture using HR-pQCT and histomorphometry and mCT techniques in adults with T1DM compared with healthy controls and the results were conflicting (163, 570). Since this study began, a single cross-sectional study has been published that evaluated bone structural parameters using HR-pQCT in adults with T1DM in comparison with healthy controls (163). This study reported that trabecular bone deficit was not characteristic of all T1DM patients, but of a subgroup characterized by the presence of microvascular complications. This finding is confirmed by our study; although bone deficits were observed in all the participants with T1DM, it was remarkably noticeable in those with microvascular complication. On the other hand, Armas et al. (570) reported no abnormalities in trabecular bone histomorphometric or mCT variables in a small cohort of adult with T1DM (n=18) in the absence of detectable microvascular complications. However, it was possible that the sample size in this study was not sufficient to detect between-group differences in multiple measures of trabecular bone microarchitecture. Previous ex vivo and in vivo studies have shown that trabecular bone microarchitecture parameters play an important role in the determination of bone strength and structural changes associated with bone pathology (403, 434, 571). Moreover, previous studies have shown that MRI-measured trabecular microarchitecture parameters are effective in differentiating subjects with and without fragility fractures (152). Therefore, our results suggest that the observed compromised trabecular bone microarchitecture may provide an explanation for increased fracture risk in women with T1DM. Further large
prospective studies will be required to determine whether MRI can potentially improve fracture prediction in this population.

Our study identified the association of microvascular complications with compromised bone microarchitecture as possible underlying mechanisms of diabetic osteopathy. This has been demonstrated in T1DM (163) as well in T2DM (572), and similarly our longitudinal study (Chapter 5) has confirmed this association. Although our sample size of participants with microvascular complications was very small (n=8), trabecular bone deficit was more noticeable in this group compared with those without microvascular complications and healthy controls. Interestingly, by using regression model and adjusting for multiple variables (age, BMI, disease duration, age of diagnosis, glycaemic control), microvascular complication was negative significant predictors of reduced bone volume. Thus, our data are consistent with abundant evidence which suggests that patients with T1DM are at significant risk for greater bone loss from diabetic complications such as vascular disease, retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy (161, 162). The independent association of microvascular complications with reduced BMD and higher fracture risk has been reported previously (342, 345, 573-575). Some studies reported higher fracture risk in T1DM patients with microvascular complication, independent of BMD (161). This discrepancy could be explained by frequent falls that might result from associated microvascular complications (576). Therefore reduced BMD and compromised bone microarchitecture in combination with an increased susceptibility for falls likely explains the higher fracture risk in patients with T1DM.

Moreover, this study confirms the findings from previous reports that suggest that bone deficit in T1DM patients is associated with low bone turnover with reduced bone resorption (163, 224, 369) as described in section 1.4.3, and is accompanied by an inactive GH/IGF-1 pathway compared with healthy controls as described in section 1.4.4 (164). In our study this mechanism is elucidated and confirmed through the negative association of trabecular separation with total osteocalcin and ALS in women with T1DM. This study was the first to find the association between the markers of bone metabolism and trabecular bone microarchitecture parameters in women with T1DM. Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) is an important local factor regulating bone turnover, promoting the proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts, and its activity is modulated through its binding to proteins such as IGFBP-3 and ALS. Therefore, long-standing low bone turnover with an impaired IGF system which observed in T1DM may result in defective micro damage repair and increased bone micro crack accumulation that contributes to excess skeletal fragility and thus increased fracture risk. In non-diabetes subjects, bone turnover markers
are correlated with bone deficit and predicted fragility fractures (577) and accordingly bone turnover may be a marker of fracture risk in T1DM. However, this is not observed yet in T1DM. Additionally, IGF-1 is reported as a potential fracture predictor independent of BMD in postmenopausal women with T2DM (275). Therefore, it is possible that IGF-1 is also a predictor in T1DM. Further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to evaluate the association of fracture risk with trabecular bone deficit and bone metabolism to fully understand this mechanism.

Other possible explanations for bone deficit in young women with childhood onset T1DM include longer disease duration and poor glycaemic control. As mentioned in section 1.4.4, the existing data regarding these factors are still conflicting. Despite our study participants having childhood onset T1DM at a young age, the median age at diagnosis was 9.7 years (0.46, 14.8) and the median duration of T1DM was 12.8 years (7.9, 34.2), we did not observe any significant association of the observed bone deficit with age of diagnosis or disease duration. This finding may provide support to the inherent concept which suggests that childhood onset T1DM results in impairment of attaining peak bone mass and results in predisposition to more fragile bones later in life.

Additionally, the influence of glycaemic control on bone has not yet been well established as clinical studies exist to both support (346, 354) and rebut this idea (319, 564), but it has been well clarified in in vitro studies (226). The majority of the previous studies measured HBA1c at a single time point, which does not reflect long term hyperglycaemia. There are only a few studies that investigated repeated measures of HBA1c, and chronically poor glycaemic control has been found to correlate with low BMD (358, 370). Although our participants had poor glycaemic control and median HBA1c was 9.8% (5, 16), a relationship between HbA1c and MRI measured parameters was not demonstrated in the cross-sectional design. It has been shown that HbA1c levels above 9% compared with levels of 6-7% increase the risk of hip fracture (578). Our study was limited by the small sample size and limited range of HBA1c. Clearly, larger studies are required in the future to comprehensively establish how chronic hyperglycaemia influences trabecular bone parameters and other bone-related surrogate markers in particular fracture incidents. On the other hand, the adverse effects of chronic hyperglycaemia may be related to the accumulated AGEs (Pentosidine) which may impact bone quality (18). However, in the current study we did not observe any association of bone parameters with pentosidine. Larger sample size is needed to clarify this association.

Increasing BMA is another underlying pathophysiological mechanism for bone deficit in T1DM (204, 249), but it has not yet been clarified in patients with T1DM. Although our
study participants had greater abdominal adiposity parameters compared with healthy controls, consistent with those reported by Slade et al. (369), our baseline data did not allow us to demonstrate an abnormality of BMA in patients with T1DM. Additionally, we did not observe any association of BMA with MRI-measured trabecular bone parameters and biochemical markers of bone turnover, adiposity or GH/IGF-1 axis. The cross-sectional design and small sample size did not allow us to explore these associations; it is possible that a longitudinal design with larger sample size would allow these associations to be identified.

Based on this hypothesis, the study described in Chapter 4 was designed to explore the longitudinal changes in MRI-based measurements of bone health and adiposity in young women with T1DM compared with healthy controls. Furthermore, this study examined the possible underling mechanism that might be associated with the expected changes. An advantage to using a prospective study design over a cross-sectional design is that insight can be gained into the time course of skeletal changes in T1DM compared with healthy controls, improve understanding of the bone pathology in diabetes and facilitate the design of future intervention studies aimed at preventing bone loss and thus reduce fracture risk. Although there were no differences between groups for bone marrow adiposity at the baseline, and no changes over time, this study has shown for the first time a clear positive association between changes in HBA1C and BMA in women with T1DM. This finding is reinforced by our baseline data which was showed positive association between current HBA1c and BMA. There is a wealth of knowledge about the process and factors involved in adipogenesis and its association with bone loss in relation to T1DM; however, the current understanding of adipogenesis has largely emerged from in vitro studies (204). Consistent with our findings, it has been suggested that poor glycaemic control is a potential factor for accelerating adipogenesis in diabetes (579). It is also possible that the states of GH resistance associated with persistent hypoinsulinemia and poor glycaemic control might underlie mechanisms of increased bone marrow adiposity in T1DM. Currently, there are no longitudinal studies evaluated BMA in humans with T1DM, and given the extent of variation in our data, a larger sample size may assist in conclusively evaluating this association. Similar to our finding, poor glycaemic control has been demonstrated to lead to increased bone marrow adiposity in T2DM (466). There is increasing evidence of a direct link between BMA with bone strength (467) and fracture risk (464), so this is an important finding that may provide us not only with an understanding of diabetic adipogenesis but also with designing future intervention studies aimed at preventing bone loss.
Unlike BMA, the average changes were lower for the bone microarchitecture parameters and did not show any association with the changes of glycaemic control over a one year period. However, despite the wide variability of our data, the median of the percentage of these changes was still lower than that reported in healthy volunteers (411). With mixed modelling analysis, we observed that age was a negative predictor of these changes. This finding is consistent with recent a report which showed that alteration in trabecular microarchitecture occurs with aging (580). Interestingly despite the relatively smaller number of the cases, the study showed that bone deficit still exists in diabetic women compared with controls and this deficit is particularly marked in those with microvascular disease. These differences remained even after correction for age, BMI and abdominal adiposity parameters. The association of trabecular bone deficits with microvascular disease but not with glycaemic control suggested that both of these factors work independently. Theoretically, the lack of association between the changes in trabecular bone parameters and short-term changes in glycaemic control might indicate that poor glycaemic control at the onset and the initial years in the development of microvascular complications could dictate the long-term consequences to skeletal integrity. Currently, there are no longitudinal studies evaluating the changes of trabecular bone microarchitecture in T1DM, but because BMD measurements are related to trabecular bone microarchitecture measurements (431), prospective studies on BMD changes in patient with T1DM (345, 346, 535, 581-583) are informative and might help in understanding our findings. Consistent with our findings, one study has shown that young women with T1DM have lower bone mineral density that persists over time (346). However, some studies suggest that greater longitudinal decline in BMD occurs over time which was particularly observed in males with T1DM and those who develop microvascular complications over the follow-up period (345, 534, 581, 583). The inconsistency between existing studies may be due to the variability of included populations, as some data were not analysed by gender (583), and some studies observed that an increase in BMI and the improvement of glycaemic control may have a protective effect and contribute to the stabilization of BMD (345). Small sample sizes (583) and relatively short period of follow up (582) were other confounding factors. Overall, the lack of changes in the measures of trabecular bone microarchitecture in this study support the evidence that greater loss in BMD occurs within the years of achieving peak bone mass at the time of T1DM onset, which may explain why women with type 1 diabetes have skeletal fragility and an increased risk of fractures later in life. However, the small sample size, short period of follow up and wide variability of the data limited us in making a
definitive conclusion. Further longitudinal studies with a longer follow up interval
including men and women and children at the time of T1DM onset are clearly warranted.

There is a growing body of evidence to indicate the association between fat and bone
health. However, the way in which fat at different locations directly or indirectly
influences bone strength has not been clarified to date. In addition to the systemic adverse
effect (584), the location of fat deposition is implicated in adverse bone outcomes.
Essentially, increased BMA and greater visceral adipose tissue (VAT) are risk factors of
reduced BMD, altered trabecular microarchitecture and increased fracture risk in a variety
of populations (460, 469, 482, 491, 492, 552, 556, 557, 585). Given that T1DM is
associated with greater body fat composition and abdominal adiposity which was reported
previously and observed in our study as well, this study was designed to assess the detailed
adiposity determinants of bone health in healthy young women and women with T1DM. In
addition to MRI, we used DXA scans to measure the total body composition and BMD in
both T1DM and healthy controls. Furthermore, our secondary aim was to compare the
feasibility of MRI compared with DXA scans in detecting bone abnormality in those with
T1DM compared with healthy controls. To our knowledge, this is the first study to
characterize the relationship between detailed measures of body composition with DXA
and MRI measured bone parameters in young healthy women and women with T1DM. A
number of interesting findings emerged from this study.
Firstly, though the sample size was small, our study demonstrated that young women with
T1DM still had greater adiposity parameters compared with the healthy controls.
Additionally, our data suggested that vertebral BMA is an independent negative predictor
of BMD at both anatomically and non-anatomically matched regions, but we did not
observe this association with trabecular microarchitecture parameters in the current study
or in our previous studies (Chapter 3 and 4). This finding is consistent with previous
studies that reported an association of BMA with decreased BMD and increased fracture
risk in a diverse range of clinical conditions (Section 1.5.2.4.2). Consistent with our
finding, one study on T1DM reported this inverse association between BMA and BMD
(369). The reciprocal association of BMA and bone parameters is potentially related to
altered mesenchymal stem cell differentiation into osteoblasts or adipocytes (199). The
normal aging process and a variety of metabolic diseases are suggested to affect this
process and favour adipogenesis versus osteoblastogenesis. This suggests that increased
BMA will adversely affect bone metabolism and thus the bone strength surrogate markers,
including BMD and trabecular microarchitecture parameters. The lack of association
between BMA and trabecular microarchitecture in our study might be due to the small sample size, and therefore more studies with larger sample sizes are needed to clarify this association.

The second result to be considered from our analysis was the significant positive association of VAT with BMA (\(r = 0.42, p = 0.03\)) and TB-BMD Z score (\(r = -0.457, p = 0.02\)), but only the association of BMA and TB-BMD Z score remained significant (\(p = 0.04\)) in the adjusted model. The positive association of VAT and BMA was also observed in our baseline data, but the lack of DXA results at the baseline unable us to correct it for BMD. This is important finding and support the emerging hypothesis that suggests that the developmental origin of BMA may be more similar to VAT. Lack of the association between abdominal adiposity measures and bone parameters in our study may be due to small sample size.

Another interesting finding was the discrepancy between DXA and MRI measured bone parameters in detecting bone deficits in T1DM patients with microvascular complications compared with those without microvascular complications and healthy controls. This discrepancy is consistent with previous studies in other populations (153, 159, 565). This finding suggested that MRI of trabecular bone microarchitecture may therefore serve as a novel tool for assessing BMD-independent skeletal fragility in T1DM patients.

Additionally, the lack of good correlation between MRI-measured trabecular parameters and DXA-measured BMD support the concept that MRI provides different information about bone quality beyond that provided by DXA. Thus, assessment of BMD and trabecular bone microarchitecture gives a more complete picture of bone health in people with T1DM.

### 6.2 Final conclusion

The initial hypotheses were evaluated and conclusions based on these hypotheses were:

1. Patients with T1DM had altered trabecular bone microarchitecture, low bone turnover state and a deficit in the GH/IGF-1 axis compared with women without T1DM. A difference in BMA was not discovered between cases and controls as the study was not powered to detect this difference.

2. The deficit in bone microarchitecture in patients with T1DM was associated with abnormal levels of biochemical markers of bone metabolism and the presence of microvascular complications. However, there was no association between this bone deficit and adiposity measures.
3. Although, there were no significant changes over a one year period in the measures of bone microarchitecture and adiposity in women with T1DM compared with women without T1DM, deficits in bone microarchitecture persisted.

4. Different body adiposity measures have different effects on DXA and MRI-measured bone parameters.

5. Vertebral BMA is negatively correlated with BMD and positively with VAT, but we did not demonstrate any association between VAT and DXA and MRI-measured bone parameters.

6. MRI-measured bone parameters were able to differentiate between T1DM patients with microvascular complications and those without microvascular complications, which was not detected by DXA.

7. Although we did not observe an association of markers of diabetic control and other possible clinical factors with bone microarchitecture and biochemical markers of bone metabolism and adiposity, BMA was positively correlated with current HBA1c, moreover, improvement in diabetes control was associated with a reduction in bone marrow adiposity.

Overall, this collection of work provides preliminary evidence that compromised bone microarchitecture, not deficits in BMD, is the underlying basis for excess skeletal fragility in young women with childhood onset T1DM. Moreover, our studies provide additional clues supporting this hypothesis including the association of altered trabecular microarchitecture with low bone turnover accompanied with reduced bone formation and resorption, deficit in the GH/IGF-1 axis and associated microvascular complications. Furthermore, although we did not demonstrate abnormality in vertebral BMA, the observed inverse association between changes in glycaemic control and changes in vertebral BMA may further our understanding of diabetic adipogenesis which may explain excess skeletal fragility in T1DM and point at possible beneficial effects of glycaemic control on bone health in patients with diabetes. This was further reinforced by an independent significant association of BMA with DXA-measured BMD at both anatomically matched and unmatched regions. Moreover, our preliminary finding of a positive association of BMA with VAT but not with BMI and the other body fat depots suggests distinct metabolic roles for visceral fat and other body fat depots, and further suggests that the developmental origin of BMA may be more similar to VAT than SCAT. More importantly, our observed positive correlation between VAT and BMA irrespective of BMD may provide clues to the underlying mechanism of the detrimental effects of
visceral fat on BMD and suggests a distinct role of BMA in skeletal integrity. The discrepancy between DXA and MRI-measured bone parameters in detecting bone deficits in T1DM with microvascular complications compared with those without microvascular complications and healthy controls highlights the sensitivity of MRI-measured bone parameters in identifying structural differences in relatively small cohorts and suggests that trabecular micro architectural parameters might be used as an additional tool to detect patients with poor bone quality who cannot be detected by DXA. Collectively, these data have improved our understanding of how T1DM adversely impacts bone health, and clearly explain that T1DM is associated with structural bone abnormality that could not be captured with DXA. Therefore, the clinicians should be aware of the association between diabetes and osteoporosis, which is not reflected by the BMD. Thus, if osteoporosis is suspected more investigations should be done to rule out any suspected fracture. The suggestion that bone loss may be another manifestation of diabetes-related microvascular disease raises the possibility that assessment of bone health may become a routine component of screening for health complications in diabetes. Given the advances in routine clinical MRI imaging, our studies clearly show that MRI and MRS are both sensitive techniques for measuring bone quantity and bone quality, and can be readily translated into clinical practice and are likely to lead to improved targeted prevention of fractures in T1DM. Because T1DM is most commonly diagnosed in childhood and adolescence, the early assessment of bone health and skeletal risk factors in children with T1DM will be important, in an effort to maximise the acquisition of peak bone mass in these patients, and to minimise the impact of T1DM on the skeleton going forward.

6.3 Strengths and Limitations

The studies presented in this thesis have important strengths that should be highlighted. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has examined trabecular bone microarchitecture, BMA and the associations among fat distribution and bone outcomes in young women with childhood-onset T1DM using both high resolution MRI and DXA at the same time. Moreover, the well-characterised study population represents a significant strength. However, we acknowledge certain limitations in our studies. First, the sample size was relatively small. The initial power analysis was performed to detect differences in trabecular bone parameters (434). There was no option to calculate the power for a study of BMA to discriminate individuals with T1DM because data were lacking. Additionally, this relatively small sample size might be responsible for the lack of statistical significance in the relationship between BMA with trabecular bone parameters and biochemical markers
of bone metabolism, GH/IGF-1 axis and adiposity. Moreover, it is possible that additional underlying mechanisms would be discovered if a larger number of patients were evaluated; however, the significant findings that were noted suggest that there was adequate power to identify several important differences. Second, the cross sectional study design of Chapters 3 and 5 limits our ability to prove causality concerning the observed significant associations. To facilitate recruitment, we collected non-fasting blood samples; however, markers of bone turnover and especially markers of bone resorption, such as CTX, show a diurnal variation and may be affected by fasting status. Moreover, we did not collect blood samples at the second visit, and this limited our ability to study the longitudinal changes of biochemical markers of bone turnover, adiposity and GH axis, and to find their association with the changes in MRI-based measurements. In addition, studying only one skeletal site limits the ability to generalise our findings to the other skeletal sites. The lack of a sufficient number of cases with other microvascular complications limited the investigation of this association in the current study. Furthermore, many missing HBA1c measurements over a longer time period of 5 to 10 years in most of the cases did not allow us to study the long term effect of glycaemic controls on MRI-measured parameters. Despite the promising results of using micro MRI and MRS to better understand diabetic bone disease, there are still technical challenges to be overcome. In particular, the scan time was relatively long at 10 minutes, which could be restrictive in certain patient groups, and may increase the chance for motion artefact. Though we did not notice motion artefact on our images, in order to increase the applicability of the technique as part of routine clinical investigations, it will be necessary to reduce the scan time. Additionally, MRI has certain disadvantages in measuring trabecular bone microarchitecture as mentioned in the introduction section 1.6.3.4, e.g. partial volume effects, produced when imaging trabeculae that are smaller than the spatial resolution, may confound and overestimate measures of bone microarchitecture such as BV/TV and Tb.Th. Furthermore, MRI is technically demanding, and does not provide the option of measuring BMD and therefore we cannot compare or adjust for bone density at the measured site, and there are fewer reference data. Finally, measuring trabecular structures in the tibia and BMA in the lumbar spine is somewhat of a limitation, as it limited our ability to find the relationship between both parameters in the same location. This was not possible due to technical reasons – MRS was unsuccessful in the tibia, and the small FOV used in micro MRI was not compatible with spine imaging.
6.4 Future Perspectives

The studies presented in this thesis provide novel promising results on structural bone quality in young women with childhood onset T1DM that should be explored in future research studies. Firstly, future investigations should evaluate the association between MRI measured parameters and DXA data in cases of incident fractures which are the most important clinical consequence of osteoporosis. Given that hip fractures are reported to be more common in people with T1DM, examining the trabecular microarchitecture and BMA at this site would be more informative.

Moreover, a numbers of underlying pathophysiological mechanisms have emerged from the studies included in this thesis. More studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm these mechanisms and their relationship to the increased fracture risk observed in T1DM. Given the wide range of T1DM-related microvascular complications, there is a need to investigate the association of different microvascular abnormalities with MRI measured parameters. The data from our study could be used for future sample size calculations for a larger prospective study involving a wide spectrum of diabetic related microvascular complications. To draw definitive conclusions about the association of bone turnover markers with MRI-measured parameters, future large longitudinal studies are needed to study the changes of bone turnover marker and their association with changes in MRI parameters and fracture.

The study presented in Chapter 4 provides feasibility data but obviously larger longitudinal studies with longer time intervals are required to study the evolution of T1DM-related trabecular bone microarchitecture and marrow adiposity changes. In addition, more studies are also needed to confirm our findings regarding the potential mechanisms responsible for decreasing BMA in young women with T1DM. Good glycaemic control may decrease BMA; a future study looking at the effect of intensive insulin therapy may confirm this association.

The cumulative evidence suggests that changes in bone architecture are beginning early in childhood, particularly in those diagnosed at very young ages. Additionally, the increased fracture risk in T1DM has been encountered in both sexes and even in young children. Therefore, a definitive prospective study with adequate power comparing trabecular bone microarchitecture and BMA in children and adults of both sexes with T1DM and fragility fractures versus non-fracture T1DM controls is clearly warranted.

Altered bone marrow fat composition expressed as saturated, unsaturated and residual fat was related to T2DM and fragility fractures in postmenopausal women and women with T2DM (464). Consequently, there is a need to examine bone marrow composition in
patients with T1DM as well, and it will be more informative in those with fractures. The MRS acquisition in the current studies was not optimised to allow detection of saturated, unsaturated and residual fat. For future studies, the MRS pulse sequence should be optimised to allow these measurements to be made.

HR-pQCT based cortical abnormalities have been shown to play a key important role in increased skeletal fragility fracture in T2DM (157, 158, 586), and identified in those with T1DM as well (163). Further investigation of cortical bone parameters by using MRI would shed more insight into the pathophysiological mechanism of diabetic bone disease. In the current studies, because of some technical changes we were not able to do this. Firstly, we scanned the proximal tibia which is not the best place to assess cortical bone. Furthermore, the micro MRI images were designed to image trabecular bone, and the image contrast is such that it can be difficult to differentiate between cortical bone and muscle/tendons. For future studies, acquiring images from the distal femur where the cortical bone is thicker is needed. Additionally, ultra-short TE (UTE) pulse sequences are needed to assess cortical porosity. However, this type of pulse sequence was not available on the current scanner. Future studies could involve collaboration with other research groups to access this.

Finally, although there is now sufficient supporting evidence for the use of MRI for assessing bone microarchitecture, future research to expand upon the methodology employed in our studies will improve the clinical utility of 3 Tesla MRI and make it an acceptable option for widespread non-invasive assessment of bone health.
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Appendices
7.2 Appendix A: Study protocol

1. Title
Pilot Study Of Bone Health In Young People With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus

2. Introduction
Although the association between diabetes and increased fracture risk is well recognised (Schwartz, 2003), the underlying mechanisms for this increased fracture predisposition are unclear. Adults with Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) tend to have mild osteopenia as adults, with bone mineral density (BMD) values which are about 10% lower than normal (Bouillon, 1991, Hofbauer, 2007). This modestly low BMD should lead to an increase in hip fracture risk of about 2-fold, yet prospective studies indicate that postmenopausal women with type 1 diabetes have 7–12 times greater risk of hip fracture compared with age-matched non-diabetics (Forsen, 1999, Nicodemus, 2001). People with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) tend to have normal or modestly increased BMD, which should be associated with reduced fracture risk. However, these patients’ fracture risk is increased at the hip, proximal humerus, and lower extremity by about 2-fold compared with normal (Forsen, 2008, Schwartz, 2001). Thus, clinical evidence indicates that fracture risk in diabetic patients is disproportionately high relative to BMD as assessed by DXA.

Abnormalities of bone microarchitecture as well as geometry that may lead to a reduction in material properties of bone cannot be assessed by DXA and the use of other techniques such as quantitative CT (QCT) have revealed alterations in bone geometry in adults and adolescents with DM (Saha, 2009, Melton III, 2008). In a large study of children and young adults with T1DM, markers of bone formation were lower than normal (Bouillon, 1995). Studies in animal models of diabetes as well as studies of biochemical markers of bone turnover in humans with diabetes suggest that the defect may be related to poor osteoblastic function (Mccabe, 2007) and may also be related to disease duration and metabolic control (Brandao, 2007). Lastly, pentosidine is a non-enzymatic collagen cross-link which rises with age (Saito and Marumo, 2010) and a rise in this advanced glycation end product has been reported to be associated with a reduction in the maximal load of bone without a notable reduction in BMD in a rodent model of diabetes (Saito M, 2006) as well as patients with T2DM (Schwartz, 2009).

T1DM mice exhibit increased bone marrow adiposity, increased adipocyte markers and increased numbers of lipid-dense adipocytes in the bone marrow (Martin and McCabe, 2007). Whereas osteoclasts belong to the macrophage family, osteoblasts and adipocytes share a common precursor and are derived from mesenchymal stem cells. The process of differentiation into either adipocytes or osteoblasts is regulated by a number of growth factors including insulin (Chamberlain, 2007), oxygen tension and blood flow within the bone marrow (Wang, 2007). It is possible that the increased marrow adiposity in the T1DM rats is due to a combination of these factors as well as hyperglycaemia, hyperlipidaemia and reduced insulin action. The increased adiposity may itself have a lipotoxic effect on osteoblasts and may even stimulate local osteoclast activity (Duque, 2008). It is also possible that in older people with T1DM, other factors such as peripheral neuropathy and susceptibility to falls may also play a part in the increased fracture risk (Patel, 2008). Recently, insulin itself, acting through its cognate insulin receptor has been shown to have a direct role on osteoblast signalling and postnatal bone acquisition (Fulzele, 2010).

As bone, itself, may influence insulin sensitivity, the relationship between osteopenia and DM may be difficult to tease out in T2DM (Lee, 2007). The underlying aetiology of T2DM, itself, is complex and these factors may themselves have an effect on bone health which is independent of the effect of DM. The bone pathology which is associated with T1DM may serve as a more straightforward system to understand the effect of suppressed insulin signalling, hyperglycaemia,
and metabolic abnormalities on the regulation of bone formation. Given that childhood and adolescence is a period of net bone acquisition and T1DM is relatively common in this age group, a detailed study of the bone and fat link in this group of subjects would be particularly useful and provide valuable insight into the development of the osteopathy. However, there is a need to explore suitable non-invasive methods that can reliably investigate these features longitudinally.

Recent advances in MR imaging have allowed the generation of 3D images of bone structure (Wehrli, 2006) where bone structure can be assessed indirectly by microMRI via measurements of the surrounding marrow and other soft tissues. The ‘apparent’ trabecular properties that are derived from these images correlate with trabecular architecture obtained with higher-resolution techniques (Majumdar, 1996, Link, 1999). Until recently, evaluation of trabecular bone morphology was limited to appendicular sites. However, innovative surface coils and pulse sequences show potential for MR-based assessments of trabecular structure in the proximal femur and MR-derived trabecular microarchitecture measurements can reflect age- and disease-specific differences (Benito, 2003, Wehrli, 2007). Recent studies show good correlation between MRI & CT derived measures of bone health (Issever, 2010). There are, however, limited data on treatment related changes (Cheshunt, 2005, Zhang, 2008). Recently, MR spectroscopy has also raised the potential to quantify the amount of fat that is present within the marrow in the lumbar spine (Griffith, 2006, Shen, 2007, Liu, 2010, Li, 2011). There is, therefore, the potential to combine the two MR based techniques to obtain objective longitudinal data on bone microarchitecture and fat content and abdominal adipose tissue and study its relationship to T1DM and its metabolic control in a group of children and young people.

There is a need to explore whether microMRI can discriminate between groups of patients in a way that other measures of bone health cannot and, thus, act as a diagnostic biomarker of alterations in bone health.

It was also observed that visceral adipose tissue positively correlated with vertebral bone marrow fat content in obese women and in postmenopausal women with T2DM, (Bredella MA, et al 2011, Baum et al 2012). However, this relationship has not been examined in women with T1DM. Therefore, we aimed to determine whether vertebral bone marrow fat content correlates with the volume of abdominal adipose tissue in young women with T1DM by using MRI.

However, before using microMRI in a larger group of children and adolescents of varying ages, there is a need to perform these studies in a small group of young adults with T1DM. These preliminary studies will provide data that can then facilitate the development of a more comprehensive study of bone health in children and adolescents with T1DM.

3. **Translational Aspects Of The Research**
   A. MicroMRI promises to be a clinically useful technique for assessing bone health. Suitable MRI scanners are increasingly available across the health service as well as research institutes and there is a need to explore the utility of these scanners in assessing bone health.
   B. In the field of osteoporosis and fragility fractures, there are at least three groups of patients who pose a major clinical challenge - those who have a fragility fracture but have a normal DXA BMD, those with osteoporosis who do not show an increase in DXA BMD despite receiving bone-protective therapy and, finally, those with osteoporosis who have a fracture on bone-protective therapy. Another method of assessing bone health may improve the management of these patients.
   C. A practical, non-invasive method of assessment of bone health that provides details of microarchitecture and bone quality will be of value in the management
of challenging cases of metabolic bone disease. Its non-invasive nature may obviate the need for bone biopsies.

D. Improved methods of assessing and understanding diabetes-related osteopathy will pave the way for interventional studies that are aimed at improving bone health.

E. Alterations in insulin sensitivity and bone health are commonly encountered in people with chronic inflammation and the lessons learnt from these studies can be applied to children and adults with chronic inflammation.

F. Lessons learnt from these studies can also be applied to investigating the concerns about bone health in patients with T2DM.

G. Targeting bone marrow adipogenesis is an attractive option for improving bone health and treating possible osteoporosis. However, before this is considered as a novel therapy, there will be a need to develop innovative methods of imaging bones.

4. Results of any pilot studies

The MRI Department in Glasgow and the Developmental Endocrinology Research Group (DERG) have successfully performed some pilot studies exploring the utility of 1.5T and 3.0T MRI in assessing the microarchitecture of bone in healthy volunteers and some young adults with concerns about bone health. We were successful in developing a program that allowed quantification of four key bone parameters; apparent bone volume to total volume ratio (appBV/TV), apparent trabecular thickness (appTb.Th), apparent trabecular number (appTb.N) and apparent trabecular separation (appTb.Sp). Validation of the software has been performed with a purpose-built phantom with known trabecular thickness and separation, and a good correlation was found between the actual and measured values. Repeat scanning of four healthy individuals allowed the CoV to be assessed for appBV/TV, appTb.Th, appTb.N and appTb.Sp, and all values were found to be 7% or less. Figure above shows a binarised image of a slice from the proximal tibia of a control subject versus that of a person with osteogenesis imperfecta (OI). As expected, patients with OI had a significantly lower appBV/TV and appTb.N and a significantly higher appTb.Sp. AppTb.Th was also lower in the OI group but this did not reach statistical significance. A further pilot study was performed in volunteers with growth hormone deficiency (GHD), who are expected to have less severe bone abnormalities than in OI. In this study, there was a statistically significant decrease in appBV/TV, increase in appTb.Sp and decrease in appTbN in the GHD group, and again appTbTh was reduced but this did not reach statistical significance.

Pilot data have also been obtained for MRS the lumbar vertebral site, and also in the tibia, showing that the methodology can be applied to obtain reliable data for fat and water content. The figure below shows a sample of the spectra that has been obtained from the proximal tibia and L2.

In addition to the above pilot study, DERG has an active interest in assessing bone health in children with chronic disease with active research studies in children with inflammatory bowel disease, leukaemia and extreme prematurity. The group uses a range of densitometry techniques including DXA, peripheral quantitative CT and quantitative ultrasound. It has collected DXA data on school-children in Glasgow.
and contributed these data towards the creation of UK reference range. The MRI physics team has extensive experience of performing MR spectroscopy in various organs in the body, for example the brain, liver and heart, using both 1.5T and 3T MRI scanners.

5. Aims
The primary aim of this study is to perform a cross-sectional study of bone health and markers of metabolic control in a group of young adults with T1DM. The innovative methods that we propose to use will provide us with novel data that can inform the development of a case-control study of bone health in children and adolescents with T1DM.

6. Research questions & Hypotheses
In young adults:
A. Is T1DM associated with a normal bone health as assessed by microMRI?
B. What is the variation in microMRI parameters over a period of one year?
C. Is T1DM associated with increased bone marrow adiposity as assessed by bone marrow MRS?
D. Is T1DM associated with an abnormality indual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA)-based total body (TB), Femoral Neck (FN) and lumbar spine (LS) bone mineral content (BMC)?
E. Is there a relationship between microMRI bone parameters, DXA BMC and adiposity parameters?
F. Is there an association between abdominal fat and bone marrow fat as assessed by MRI?
G. What is the relationship between these parameters and markers of diabetes control?
H. Is T1DM associated with abnormal biochemical markers of bone health and adiposity?

Primary Null Hypotheses
A. There is no difference in the measurements of key bone parameters obtained from micro-MRI images of people with T1DM compared to healthy controls
B. There is no difference in bone adiposity measurements obtained using MRS in patients with T1DM compared to healthy controls

7. Plan, methods, expertise available, statistical power
7.A. Recruitment
Ethics approval is already available to perform MRI scans for assessment of bone health in individuals over the age of 16 years but a revised application will be submitted. The subjects shall be recruited from the diabetes clinics that are linked with the clinical diabetes service network in the West of Scotland. All patients with childhood-onset T1DM, ie diagnosis of T1DM before the age of 16 yrs and their sisters and female friends within the same age band shall be invited to participate in the study. For this pilot study, 30 cases and 30 controls between the ages of 16 and 40yrs shall be recruited. Any adult with T1DM shall be invited to participate in the study. For this study, 20 cases shall be recruited.

Eligible participants who cannot sit or lie still for 15 minutes, are pregnant, have a known bone disease or cannot have a MRI scan for routine technical reasons shall be excluded from the study. Height and weight will be measured using standard validated techniques. Age at menarche and a menstrual history shall be recorded. Information about T1DM including age at diagnosis, current HBA1C, total insulin dose shall be obtained.

7.B. Scan Procedure
Participants will be required to come for an MRI scan at the BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre on two occasions.
Visit one:
MRI scan and a blood sample – this has been completed already.

Visit 2:
This visit shall be undertaken one year after the first one. It involves two scans: a MRI Scan which will be performed by the same team at BHF and a DXA scan which shall be performed at Yorkhill hospital. The patients shall be invited by a letter to discuss the information about the study.

Prior to taking part in the research, participants will be taken through the MR safety checklist by a member of the research team. Any subject who fails to satisfy any of the safety criteria e.g. has metal inside their body will not be allowed to take part in the research.

Participants shall have a scan of the right knee, L2 and the abdomen. The participant will initially be positioned on the MR scanner bed with their right knee inside a receiver coil, which is effectively a cylinder which surrounds the knee. Padding will be placed between the knee and the coil to reduce the potential for movement and help the volunteer to remain as still as possible during the scan. The volunteer will be moved into the scanner feet first, and their head and shoulders will remain outside of the scanner for the duration of the scan.

The scan protocol will consist of 3 short localiser scans which allow the area of interest to be identified, followed by a 10 minute micro MRI scan. The knee coil will then be removed, and a further 3 short localiser scans followed by approximately 10 minutes of MRS will be performed in the lumbar spine (L2). The spine coil is built into the scanner bed, and it will not be necessary for the volunteer to move. A surface body coil will then be positioned over the abdomen, and a further 3 short localiser scans followed by approximately 10 minutes of image acquisition to allow quantification of abdominal fat will be performed. Again, it will not be necessary for the volunteer to move. The total time in the MRI scanner will be a maximum of 1 hour.

The MRI scanner generates acoustic noise whilst it is operating. For this reason all research participants will be provided with adequate ear protection before entering the scanner e.g. earphones. This is a standard procedure used in clinical practice. Volunteers may also find the scanning process unpleasant, particularly if they suffer from claustrophobia. The research team will be able to communicate with the volunteer during the scanning process and reassure them if necessary. The volunteer will have an alarm that they can press to stop the scan at any time. Should the volunteer become distressed at any point, the scan will be stopped. Each volunteer will have the opportunity to listen to some music during the scan to help them feel relaxed.

7.C. Primary Outcome

**MicroMRI parameters of bone health** – High resolution MRI images of the proximal tibia at the 8% site will be acquired using a 3T MRI scanner (Siemens Verio) with the use of a transmit/receive extremity coil. Following immobilisation, localiser scans shall be performed in the sagittal, axial and coronal planes to identify the region of interest. A TrueFISP sequence shall be used at a resolution of 0.2 x 0.2 x 0.4mm. Acquisition of the high resolution images shall be achieved with a scan time of 10 minutes. Images shall be coded and analysed blindly. Quantitative analysis will be performed using software developed in-house (based
on the method described by Majumdar et al and Issever et al) to obtain measures of cortical thickness, apparent bone volume to total volume ratio (appBV/TV), apparent trabecular thickness (appTb.Th), apparent trabecular number (appTb.N) and apparent trabecular separation (appTb.Sp).

7.D. Secondary Outcomes

**Bone Marrow Adiposity** – MR spectroscopy will be performed in the lumbar spine using a 3T MRI scanner during the same scanning session as the microMRI image acquisition, using the method described by Tang et al. Spectra will be obtained from a volume of interest of approximately 2 x 2 x 2mm within the vertebral body using a Point-REsolved Spectroscopy (PRESS) sequence with a short echo time (TE) to allow detection of lipids. Analysis of the spectra will be performed using software provided by the MRI scanner manufacturer for this purpose. The peak amplitudes of the resulting water peak ($I_{\text{water}}$) and lipid peak ($I_{\text{fat}}$) will be measured, and the percentage fat fraction (FF) will be calculated in the following way:

$$FF(\%) = \frac{I_{\text{fat}}}{I_{\text{fat}} + I_{\text{water}}} \times 100$$

**Abdominal Adiposity** – MRI images will be acquired using a 3T MRI scanner during the same scanning session as the microMRI and MRS acquisitions, using the method described by Leinhard et al. A four element body coil will be used to obtain images covering the abdominal region from the diaphragm to the bottom of the pelvis. A dual echo, multi slice, spoiled, fast gradient echo pulse sequence will be used. To measure the fat, Dixon method will be used, it is performed by acquiring two separate images: one where the signals from fat and water are 180° out of phase ($I_1 = w - f$) and one where they are in phase ($I_2 = w + f$). Ideally, water and fat can then be obtained as the sum and difference of these images, respectively, and the total fat content in any region of interest can then easily be calculated.

**Bone Densitometry**
Measurement by DXA (total body, lumbar spine vertebrae 2-4 and femoral neck) using the Lunar Prodigy DXAScanner. Instrument precision has been determined onsite to be <1% for TB, LS and femoral neck.

**Blood sample** – A blood sample (10mls) shall be collected when patients attend for the MRI scan. This sample shall be used for measuring markers of bone turnover as well as adiposity.

8. Expertise Available

Prof SF Ahmed is a clinical investigator who leads the Developmental Endocrinology Research Group (DERG) at Yorkhill. He has a particular interest in the assessment and management of bone health of children and adolescents with chronic disease. His research group is currently engaged in a range of projects on bone health and skeletal development. Dr J Foster is Academic Supervisor and Consultant MR Physicist with 20 years of MRI experience and a team of MR Physicist, including Christie McComb who has been involved in the pilot work and is funded through the Academic Health Sciences Collaboration to support such studies. Christie McComb will continue to remain closely involved in the work. Dr Naiemh Abdalrahaman who is a research fellow studying for a PhD at Glasgow University and is funded by Govt of Libya and the Medical Fund of the University of Glasgow. Dr G Shaikh and Dr K Robertson are closely involved in the Children’s and Adolescent Diabetes Service in the West of Scotland which is the largest children’s diabetes service in the UK. Dr C Perry, Dr A McLennan and Dr R Lindsay manage young people with T1DM. Dr J McClure at the Glasgow Cardiovascular Research centre has provided statistical input into the calculation of the sample size. DXA scans shall be performed by Sheila Khanna in bone densitometry.
9. Sample Size and Statistical Analyses
Based on our current studies of healthy controls and the cases of osteogenesis imperfecta, we estimate the CV to be about 7-10%. If a greater than 10% difference between case and controls is aimed to show a significant difference at p<0.05 with a power of 0.8, at least 16 cases and 16 controls will be required for assessing apparent trabecular separation (appTb.Sp) and at least 14 cases and 14 controls will be required to assess apparent trabecular number (appTb.N). Group differences will be analysed by Students t-tests using, where necessary, normalised data and Chi square for categorical variables. Measurements of bone health, adiposity and metabolic status will be tested using ANOVA.

10. Timetable
Months -6 to -2: Obtain ethics approval. Design study database.
Months -3 to 0: Identify suitable patients and send paperwork
Months 1 to 12: Recruit subjects, begin assessments and follow-up
Months 12 to 15: Perform preliminary analysis
Month 15: Consider the feasibility of a study in children with T1DM

11. Existing Facilities
All the expertise, equipment and techniques required for the study are available in Glasgow within the Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Yorkhill and the BHF Cardiovascular Research Centre, Glasgow, and have previously been used in adolescents and adults to ensure the techniques are acceptable and reproducible.

12. Justification of Requirements
We are requesting support for:

12.A. Scans
- MRI scans – Each 3 hour session will accommodate 3 scans and preliminary analysis. These scans will be supervised by Ms C McComb. Based on a scan cost of £320, total MRI scan cost shall be £12,800.

12.B. Patient Visits
Visits – Each participant will receive £20 to cover travel expenses for the MRI. Total costs £800.

The funding for this pilot work has been secured from the Medical Fund at the University of Glasgow as well as the bench fees of a PhD studentship.

13. Future exploitation plan, including potential funding sources, to achieve NHS benefit
The data and skills that are collected and developed from the proposed study can help develop research in and clinical practice in a number of ways:
A. We propose to use resources and skills that are readily available in the current research and NHS settings in Glasgow and adapt them for our needs, thus allowing us to perform research that could in the future be performed at multiple sites.
B. T1DM has an approximate prevalence of 1 in 1000 children in Scotland. The lessons we learn can be used to initially design cross-sectional case-control study in children and then design a longitudinal study that has an intervention arm which compares the effect of two forms of treatment (in T1DM) on the bone health. Funding could be sought from a number of diabetes-related charities.
C. Using MRI to assess bone health may provide a better insight into those cases of osteoporosis who do not respond to bisphosphonates and those who fracture despite relatively normal DXA results. Using a technology that is readily available in the NHS already may facilitate implementation. Identification of this group would allow better targeting of anti-osteoporosis drugs.
D. There is increasing realisation that abnormalities of bone health, glucose homeostasis, and cardiovascular health may be a common manifestation of a chronic inflammatory process that is linked to ageing. Novel methods of assessing
bone health will become an integrated part of assessing the chronic effects of inflammation and fit within the theme of current research in Glasgow and many funding institutions.

14. Public Engagement In Science
The study is currently being discussed with older patients who attend the Diabetes Service at Yorkhill which will also include details and progress of the study on its website http://www.diabetes-scotland.org/ggc/. The study will also be personally discussed within the diabetes team and in patient discussion groups. Although physical activity is stressed regularly, there is little awareness of maintaining good bone health in children and adults with diabetes. Engaging the CYP and their families in this project will fit within the general strategy of diabetes management of promoting physical activity.

15. Dissemination
The initial data shall be presented at an international MRI meeting in 2011 in Montreal and are currently being prepared for submission for publication. The findings from this study will be presented at national and international scientific meetings and submitted to scientific peer-reviewed journals for publication. Bodies such as Diabetes UK and the National Osteoporosis Society will also be informed on the outcomes of the study. The data shall be used to design further studies of a larger cohort.
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7.3 Appendix B: Pilot Study Of Bone Health In Young People With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus, Case Report Form.

Hospital number:

Study number:

Date of visit:

Demographic and anthropometric data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID:</th>
<th>Sex: Female</th>
<th>Date of birth</th>
<th>Height=</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient initials</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Age in year</td>
<td>Weight=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel number:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Details of diabetes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at diagnosis:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current HbA1c:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total insulin dose:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump or injection:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Health behaviour and diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drinker (unites).</td>
<td>/Day</td>
<td>/Week</td>
<td>/Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/day):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D (IU/day):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivitamin use:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproductive history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Onset of puberty (years):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Menarche (years):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average menstrual cycle length:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenorrhea for ≥3 months:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever pregnant:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pregnancies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current hormone use:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen + progesterone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medical History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient History</th>
<th>Family History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last BP=</td>
<td>Family history of osteoporosis:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disorder:</td>
<td>Family history of fracture:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last TFT at</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint problems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heart disease:
Peripheral vascular disease (varicose veins):
Renal complication (Microalbuminuria):
Date ……/……/…………
Result
Retionopathy:
Last follow up at ……/……/…………
Result
Neuropathy:
……………………………
……………………………
Statin use or any other drug

Blood Investigation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemistry</th>
<th>Leptin</th>
<th>Adiponectine</th>
<th>IGF-I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of blood taken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemistry</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>CTX</th>
<th>25VitD</th>
<th>PTH</th>
<th>B-ALP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of blood taken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radiological Investigation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiography</th>
<th>site</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micro MRI</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Abdominal fat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS</td>
<td>Lumbar spine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HBA1c Results Of The Last 5 To 10 Years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date.</th>
<th>Result.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient Logo-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of first meeting</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient information sheet given</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s telephone number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of patient visit at BHF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s consent taken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI scan done</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood sample taken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>