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ABSTRACT

Knox has seldom been taken seriously as a literary figure; in fact it is often assumed that he was hostile to 'art' of any kind. Most British literary critics who have examined his work have done so superficially and have concluded that his prose was plain or unadorned and that its most important feature was a drift towards anglicisation. In the introductory section, 'The Myths, the Writer and the Canon', it is argued that, on the contrary, the latter assessment cannot be made definitively for textual reasons and is, in any case, irrelevant to literary criticism. Moreover, the study suggests that Knox was one of the most highly rhetorical of all the sixteenth-century prose writers, although his rhetoric was never decorative.

Chapter one traces the beginnings of Scottish literary prose from 1490 onwards, examining such texts as John Ireland's *The Mercure of Wyssdome*, John Gau's *The Rich Vay to the Kingdom of Haven*, *The Complaynt of Scotland* and so forth, and establishes that works before Knox reflect religious belief even at the levels of lexis and syntactic structure, but generally speaking, do not consistently and convincingly reveal the personalities of their authors (with the possible exception of the Complayner). Chapter two illustrates that Knox's prose is always double-edged; its rhetorical aims are both offensive and defensive, it is often psychologically self-expressive and simultaneously revealing of his fundamental religious beliefs.

The remaining chapters attempt to identify the range of rhetorical devices through which Knox manifests his own character and his religion, to assess how they may have affected his audience, to establish his sources, and whenever possible, to set them within pre-existing literary traditions, Scottish or otherwise. Chapters one and five are concentrated especially on the historiographical milieu in mid-sixteenth century Scotland, and beyond, in order to set *The Historie of the Reformatioun*, the first great work of Scots prose, in its proper context. Chapter five itself consists of a number of generic divisions which are isolated to facilitate detailed analysis of disparate literary strands in Knox's *magnum opus*.

Thus, according to the author, as far as prose is concerned, Knox's rhetoric and literary works represent the culmination of homiletic and historiographical traditions, the maturation of incipient religious forces in the sixteenth century, and the earliest establishment in Scotland of a fully-rounded literary personality.
Introduction
The Myths, the Writer and the Canon:

David Laing, the nineteenth century antiquary and the original editor of the (more-or-less) complete works of John Knox, introduces his first of six weighty volumes by observing that 'in the long series of events recorded in the Annals of Scotland, there is unquestionably none of greater importance than those which exhibit the progress and establishment of the Reformed Religion' (1). Like much of Laing's criticism, this now inevitably sounds pompous and old-fashioned, but he does have a point. Some may doubt his sense of priority, but since the effects of the Reformation are still prevalent in the way many Scots choose to define themselves, or find themselves defined, even in an increasingly secular age, none can deny the relevance of its literature.

Accordingly, Scottish historians have expended much ink and effort on the period and on one of its most important figures, John Knox. However, as far as the topic of Knox is concerned, the Scottish literary critic lags a long way behind. Of course, brief literary studies of Knox are very numerous. J.M. Ross's *Scottish History and Literature to the Period of the Reformation* (1884), Hugh Walker's *Three Centuries of Scottish Literature* (1892) and T.F. Henderson's
Scottish Vernacular Literature: A Succinct History (1898), all devote some attention to him, while a study like James Moffat's The Bible in Scottish Literature (no date, but very old) concentrates on one particular aspect of the Reformer's prose, albeit without going into much detail (2). These works, however, were far from comprehensive, and this deficiency has found its way into J.H. Millar's epoch-making Literary History of Scotland (1905). Although works such as G. Gregory Smith's Scottish Literature: Character and Influence (1919) and Agnes Mure Mackenzie's A Historical Survey of Scottish Literature to 1714 (1933) are more recent, it is Millar's text which permeates the material on Knox now contained in modern studies such as Maurice Lindsay's History of Scottish Literature (1977), Roderick Watson's The Literature of Scotland (1984) and Billy Kay's more language-orientated Scots: The Hither Tongue (1986). Admittedly, these authors seem to have very little sympathy for Knox, and it must be emphasised that he constitutes only a small part of their overall view, but in various ways, each offering has still been seriously mishandled. Lindsay is the worst offender, since he imposes his own modern sensibilities on those of a radically different age, and subsequently produces a very distorted picture. Indeed, had his book been less popular, one might have been justified in passing it over in silence. However, it is far too damaging to critical perception for this to be allowed.
In general Dr. Watson's book far surpasses Lindsay's, but with regard to Knox it is scarcely any better informed. However, to be fair, a competent and comprehensive one-volume history of Scottish literature is an achievement in its own right, not least because our present state of general knowledge in some areas is itself inadequate. Knox, it seems, has been the victim of this inadequacy and also, of a cultural, geographical and disciplinary isolationism which cripples the possibility of interaction between scholars. The inevitable result is that vast tracts of socially important prose are still ignored, written off, or at best, paid lip-service. In one area at least, these factors have impaired the quality of Dr. Watson's work.

Billy Kay, of course, comes at Knox from a very specific angle, but his bold assertions are not made with the fully corroborative evidence of accurate or original scholarship. In short, his argument is based wholly on stereotypes which really ought to have crumbled long ago, but have survived through indifference to Knox.

Less derivative are P.H. Brown's appreciation in The Cambridge History of English Literature (1932) and C.S. Lewis's in English Literature of the Sixteenth Century (Excluding Drama) (1954) but in both there is still a tendency to minimise Knox's literary importance. Lewis expresses this trend with characteristic sophistication. He says of Knox's works, that:

they are of absorbing interest to the historian; of less to the theologian, who may find nothing in them
which is not said better elsewhere. On the literary historian their claim, though by no means negligible, is smaller. One might assess it by saying that Knox is about as important a literary figure as More would have been if he had written neither the *Utopia* nor the *Comfort against Tribulation* ... his chief merit is his style. Except for a few alliterative passages and some apostrophes, it is very unadorned: and one's praise of it tends to be in negatives - a mere list of the faults it avoids (3).

By his own admission Lewis's assessment is negative; in fact, it is too negative by half. He does scant justice to, and displays restrictive concepts of, both literary critics and theologians when he places (secular?) historians at the top of his hierarchy. All three disciplines, perhaps, have an equally legitimate claim to the Reformer's writings. The literary critics, however, have nearly always failed to face up up to their responsibilities, because this sort of analysis permits, (nay, encourages), further dismissive comments.

by far the greatest contribution that any writer, from any
discipline, has made to Knox studies, came as long ago as
1967, when the aforementioned Janton published his
monumental study John Knox (ca. 1513 - 1572): L'Homme et
L'Oeuvre. Janton, a Professor at the French University of
Clermont, united all three strands of scholarship demanded
by Knox's work, and it need hardly be said that his literary
criticism is particularly fine. Indeed, this book can lay
fair claim to be the best work on Knox ever written. Even
as a biography it is light years ahead of Jasper Ridley's
contemporaneous (and unreliable) John Knox. Sadly, however,
Janton's work is unknown to many Scottish critics (as
Professor Jack witnesses) (4). He writes in French, of
course, (and sometimes even translates Knox into the
language). But his erudition is prodigious and any serious
student of Knox ought to consult such a scholarly work. It
is ironic, but this learned European evidently knows more
about Scottish Reformation literature than the Scots
themselves.

Some critics, then, have recognised that Knox is an
important and accomplished prose writer. This is quite easy
to illustrate (and we need not even refer to The Historie).
For example, in the Prologue to The Reasoning Betwix the
Abbot of Crossraguel and John Knox concerning the Mass
(Laing VI: 149 - 169) we find Knox mercilessly lampooning the
status of the Host in the Sacrament. Bread, he says, is not
literally the body of Christ, but a meal for mice. He
combines a rhetorical question with an affected naivety and bold analogy with careful climactic balance, to produce what is perhaps the most memorable literary moment in the whole contest:

But o then, what becometh of Christes natural bodie? By myrackle, it flies to the heaven againe, if the Papistes teach treulie: for how sone soever the mouse takes hold, so sone flieth Christ away, and letteth her gnaw the bread. A bold and puissant mouse, but a feeble and miserable god ... (Laing VI: 172-173).

This, indeed, is devastating surgery (5), but so immensely rich are Knox's works with such rhetorical treasures that many of them have been overlooked, perhaps because they represent simply the 'norm'.

Knox was not simply a writer, however. He was a good preacher and an impressive orator. There is material to illustrate this too. The following passage was delivered orally as a response to charges levelled against him by William Kirkcaldy of Grange and others, concerning the deposition of Mary Stuart:

Gif scho be innocent of any of the crymes laid to her charge be me, then may I be accused as a realer; but gif thair awin conscience bearis witnes to thame, that scho is guiltie in all the foirnamed, and in every ane of thaim, and in monie moe, lat thaim studie how thai salbe absolved befoir God, who threatenis to cast Jesabell in a bed and thame that commit fornicatione with hir in grit affliction, except thai repent. (Laing VI: 591)

There is a God's plenty of subtle rhetoric here, which we need not explore in any great detail at the moment (all of
the techniques are recurrent and we shall identify them at more appropriate points in the study). But I have chosen this passage because it post-dates Knox's major critique of the Queen contained in The Historie, and later will allow for comparison. But for now, one cannot escape the sense that the prose is water-tight in every way and that Knox has ordered it precisely so that this is the case. The main thrust of his argument, however, depends on allusion, another recurrent feature; here it is to Revelation 2:21-22: 'I gave her space to repent of her fornication and she repented not. Behold, I shall cast her into a bed, and them that commit fornication with her, into great adversity, excepte they turne from their deeds' (Coverdale, I have used this edition of the Bible throughout, except in cases where it has been more illuminating (or necessary) to refer to others, such as the Great Bible). At any rate, here, surely, is a rhetorician who means business, and who knows how to go about it.

The Linguistic Controversy: Scots versus English.

As we have seen, Knox criticism has been bedevilled by, many kinds of shallow uniformity. The most dominant, however, is the assertion that he was an 'angliciser'. One of the earliest expressions of this belief, of course, came from Knox's admirable Roman Catholic antagonist, Ninian Vinzet:

Gif ze, throw curiositie of nouationis, hes forzet our auld plane Scottis quhilk zour mother lerit zou,
Critics such as Kay apparently assume that Winzet was referring to The Historie, and Kay quotes a passage from that work as evidence of the kind of thing Winzet must have meant. But Winzet had no access to The Historie, which in fact contains a rich mixture of Scots and English forms (7) (and, for now, we need say nothing about the numerous scribes who worked on it; another complicating factor). For Kay, however, the matter is a simple one: 'Knox' he says 'is the first known Scot in history to attempt to conform his writing to English models' (8), (and there's an end on't). But is this indeed true?

Even waiving the textual problems of Knox's prose as it comes before modern critics (problems, which I hope to show, are quite considerable), and even if we were to admit that Knox always wrote English, he is still not the first to do so, as we shall see in chapter one. But the first issue we ought to raise in this debate is that the Laing text of Knox's works is sometimes unreliable, at least as a guide to Knox's orthography. David Murison recognises this, even while attempting to detect a pattern:

Knox himself seems to have been completely bilingual in Scots and English. The language of his work varies from pure English, as in his treatises on prayer and predestination, in The First Blast and in his public letters to English Protestants, to a Scots-English mixed in differing degrees in his private letters and in his History. His style becomes more noticeably Scottish on his return to Scotland, but he never writes pure Scots. We do not
always know how much is due to various secretaries, copyists and printers through whom most of his extant writings have been transmitted. much work on this subject is still needed (9).

The work which has in fact been done, is fairly scanty. For example, O. Sprotte's *Zum Sprachengebrauch bei John Knox* (1906) is the earliest (and still valuable). Ronald Jack underestimated the textual difficulties in his Knox article by taking Laing's text as his basis; a mistake which M.A. Bald did not make when she contributed 'The Pioneers of Anglicised Speech in Scotland' to *The Scottish Historical Review* in 1927 (10), while Mairi Robinson probably comes the closest to appreciating them in 'Language Choice in the Reformation: The Scots Confession of 1560' (11).

What, then, of Laing's text? Between 1846 and 1864 the editor brought the Knox volumes together from a number of sources. If we leave *The Historie* aside for the time being, one of Laing's primary manuscripts was the so-called M'Crie MS. now in Edinburgh University Library (La.III. 345). This contains all of Knox's intimate letters to his immediate family (but not all of his personal letters), and many (but again, not all) of his public admonitions to Protestants, even though many of the latter were available to Laing in their original printed form. However, the M'Crie MS. was transcribed in 1603, by an unknown scribe, for the use of Margaret Stewart (Knox's second wife) (12), and this is a full thirty years after Knox's death. The question, then,
is moved back one remove. What manuscripts or printed texts did the scribe have before him? Almost certainly, he had the original handwritten letters of Knox to Mrs. Bowes and others, and perhaps even her letters to him. Both sets of originals, however, have since been lost. The problem of the public admonitions is less clear-cut. Did the scribe have Knox's holographs, or simply the common printed editions? If he had the former, it is possible, at least, that he was restoring Knox's orthography which had been corrupted by the printers (the orthography of the M'Crie MS. as well as the lexis sometimes do not agree with the printed editions in the cases where both still exist). For instance, samples from Laing's version of the MS. and the printed text of, say, A Vindication of the Doctrine that the Sacrifice of the Mass is Idolatry, look like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Printed Edition (1550)</th>
<th>Laing Text: from M'Crie MS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How difficill it is to put forth of the harte of the people ye thing wherein opinion of holynes standeth, declareth the greate tumult and uprore moued against Paul by Demetrius and his fellowes who by Idolatry gat great advantage, as our preistes have done by the masse in times past. (STC 15064, Reel 442, A11)</td>
<td>How difficill it is to put furth of the harte of the pepill the thing whairin opinion of holynes standeth, declareth the great tumult and uprore moveit aganis Paule by Demetrius and his fellowis who, by Idolatrie gat great vantage, as oure preistis have done by the Masse in tyme past. (Laing 111:33).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both have some Scottish features such as 'difficill' and
'gat', but the Laing text contains by far the larger number: 'furth', 'pepill', 'whairin', 'moveit', 'aganis', 'fellowis', 'Idolatrie' and 'preistis'. There are many more instances in the corpus where something similar occurs. In Laing's edition there are versions of Two Comfortable Epistles to afflicted brethren (see Laing III: 231-236, 239-249). These epistles, admittedly, do not constitute different versions of one text (as in the above examples), but at certain points, particularly the introduction, they are almost identical in content. The first of these exists only in the M'Crie MS. (and therefore it may well have been taken from Knox's codex), the second exists only in its printed form (and, of course, in Laing). They read:

**Printed Edition (1554)**

When I ponder with myself (beloued in the Lord) what the state was of Christes true Churche, immediately after his death and passion, and what were the changes & great mutations in the commonwealthe of Iudea, before the final desolation of the same: as I cannot but feare that like Plagues for like offences shal strike the Realme of England, and in fearing, God knoweth, I lament & morne; so can I not but rejoice, knowing that Gods most merciful prouidence is no lesse careful this day over his weake and feeble servants, in the Realme of Englande, than it was that day over his weake and sore oppressed flocke in Iurie

**M'Crie MS. (Actual text)**

When I ponder wt my self ryt deirlie belovit brethren what was ye estait of Chrystis trew kirk immediatlie efter ye deth & passione of or savior Jesus, and what were ye changeis & greit mutationis in ye common weill of Judea, befoir ye finall desolatione of ye same, as I can not but feir lyke plagieis to stryke ye realme of Inglend, and in feiring god knawith I lament & murn, sa can I not but reiose, knawing yat gods maist mercifull providence is na les cairfull yis day over his weak and feabill servandis yan he was yat day over his dispersit and sair oppressit flock (La. III 345, folio 313)
R.J. Lyall has suggested that the former was 'probably printed by Humphrey Singleton at Wesel about 1556' but the text makes no such overt claim. However, Professor Lyall is right to highlight 'the consistency with which the printed version substitutes English forms for Scots ones' ('ed' for 'it', 'a' for 'ai', 'es' for 'is', 'a' for 'e', 'ea' for 'ei', 'o' for 'oi', 'i' for 'u', and so on) (13). We have already seen that the scribe of the latter version may have had Knox's original; the question which subsequently arises from this, is, did the scribe in any way alter it? A partial solution to this can be found from other evidence. If we compare Knox's letters contained in The Historie of the Reformation with the originals in Laing or the State Paper Office, it becomes evident that scribal fidelity did not extend as far as orthography. Take the letter on which Knox was arraigned for treason (see Laing II: 395-397). At his trial he even praises the 'fidelatie' of scribes 'that willinglie thai wald nocht adulterat my originall' (Laing II: 404). A quick glance, however, at Laing's version (what there is of it) in volume VI (Laing VI: 527) immediately shows differences. Laing of course found them insufficient 'to require notice' (14). The M'Crie scribe could just as easily and unconsciously have introduced his own system.

Further proof of this can be found. Professor Jack uses the English of The First Blast and the Scots of an
epistle to Mrs. Bowes to illustrate that Knox deliberately switched registers from one text to another (15), but this conclusion ignores the question of scribes altogether. As far as Knox's letters to Mrs. Bowes are concerned, we do in fact possess one version of such a text, which pre-dates the M'Crie MS. by thirty years (but again it is not an original holograph). We find it appended to Knox's final publication An Answer to Tyrie the Jesuit (1572) and it was included, as he says, 'to declare to the worlde what was the caus of our great familiaritie and long acquaintance' (Laing VI:513). If we again juxtapose the two versions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version from Tyrie</th>
<th>Version from M'Crie (Actual Text)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right dearely beloued</td>
<td>Ryt deirlie belovit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother in our Saviour</td>
<td>mother in or Savior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus Christ, now is</td>
<td>Jesus Chryst now is or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>our dolour, appointed by</td>
<td>dolor apoyntit be god</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>God, and forespoken by</td>
<td>&amp; foirspokin be his</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>his prophetes, come upon vs,</td>
<td>prophetic cum upon ws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as ye dolour of a woman in ye birth of</td>
<td>as the dolor of a woman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>her first chyld; and so</td>
<td>in ye birth of hir first</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is it come, as with your eares, both openly and privately, oftentimes you have hard declared.</td>
<td>as wt yor eiris baith opinion &amp; privatlie oftentymes ye haif hard declarit. (La III 345, Folio 250).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The text on the left was printed by Robert Lekprevik, but even he is known to have anglicised his material, although we cannot be absolutely sure that this has happened here. But the transcriber of the right-hand text has probably adulterated the original spelling-system.
Another indication of the dangers inherent in using Laing's edition for morphological analysis can be seen from his version of Knox's *An Exposition upon the Sixth Psalm of David Addressed to Mrs. Bowes* (1554) (see Laing III: 119-156). It seems that Laing, in fact, concocted this text from four different sources. One of them, a fragment, is in Knox's own hand, and now survives in the British Museum (Harleian MS. No. 416. ff. 40-45) (see Laing III: 114). As Laing says, the fragment forms part of a collection of papers which belonged to John Foxe the martyrlogist. Laing's other sources were the M'Crie MS. which, in this instance, could not have been taken from the Harleian MS. in its complete form, and two printed texts (1556(?)) and 1580), with which he collated the manuscripts. The first printed edition bears no printer's name, but the second was printed at 'London at the three Cranes in the Vintree by Thomas Dawson' under the title *A Fort for the Afflicted* (STC 15072, Reel 555). Laing follows the Harleian MS. as soon as he can, which is at Laing III: 121, line 24, with the word 'Remitte', and this continues until the end of the first part of the work (see Laing III: 133). The remainder of the Laing edition recreates the M'Crie MS. with only a few observations from the old printed version. A close reading of *The Exposition* shows that all the Scots forms of the M'Crie scribe, such as 'steir', 'ane', 'wreachit', 'whair', (Laing III: 119), 'yit', 'yow', 'whilk' (Laing III: 120), 'wecht' (Laing III: 121), as well as suffixes, tend to
disappear when Laing turns to the original. The editorial transition point can be seen in the following passage (in which King David is addressing God):

'Thaw whippis me and scourgeis me bitterlie; yea, sa thow vexeis me, that unless thou withdraw thy hand, and remit thy displeasure, thair resteth nothing unto me but to be utterlie confoundit. I beseche thee, O Lord, rage not, neither be commoveit aganis me above measure. (TRANSITION POINT). Remitte and take awaie thy hevie displeasure which, by my iniquitie I have provocked against my self'. This appeareth to have bene the meaning of David in his first wordes, wherebe he declareth himselfe to have felt the grevous wraith of God before that he bursted forth in these wordes. (Laing III: 121-122)

However, getting behind the printed versions and late manuscripts, to Knox's own English, does not mean that Knox always wrote English. Even allowing for the fact that Lekprevik is not wholly, or always, reliable, we can at least be reasonably sure that he did not reverse his process of anglicisation. But his edition of Knox's An Answer to Tyrie the Jesuit contains some definite Scotticisms, which cannot therefore belong to anyone other than Knox himself:

Wonder not (gentill Reidar) that sic ane argument suld proceid fra me in thir dolorois days, after that I have taken gudenight at ye world and at all ye fasherie of the same except to lament for my awin sinnis . . . In the progres of the said letter, he [Tyrie] planely furthshawis, what is his scope and purpois: to wit, to alienat your mynd from the treuth of God, now of Goddis greit mercy, after long darknes, offered to this Realme. (STC 15062, Reel 473, Aii).
The mix is irregular with items such as 'fra', 'sic', 'ane', 'thir', 'awin' and 'effer' existing side by side with English forms. But by far the most richly textured Scots words are 'fasherie' and 'furthshavis'.

What of Laing's other sources? Where he could not use the M'Crie MS. he took the printed editions of works such as The First Blast and An Answer to an Anabaptist as his sole basis, but the instances where he had to rely on such texts are comparatively rare. Among those who undertook to print Knox's writings were N. Dorcaster (?), J. Day, H. Singleton, T. Dawson, J. Lambrecht, E. van der Erve, E. Poullain, A. Rebul, J. Crespin, R. Lekprevik, R. Field, J. Scotte, R. Hall, H. Denham, R. Waldegrave and T. Vautrollier (16). Where they were Europeans it is less likely that they altered Knox's orthography, but where they are English, or even Scottish, it is possible. The remainder of Knox's correspondence is drawn from three other main sources, David Calderwood's Historie of the Kirk of Scotland (in which Knox's letters to Mrs. Locke make their literary debut, and no earlier versions are to be found), The Calendar of State Papers Relating to Scotland and Mary, Queen of Scots (H.M. Stationery Office, Vol.II) and The Calender of State Papers. Foreign Series, of the Reign of Elizabeth (H.M. Stationery Office). The latter two categories are the most trustworthy, and often show Knox to be using the kind of Scots/English that we find in his epistle to Tyrie.
Other sources, unknown to Laing, have come to light since he completed his great edition. A number of 'Knox-Papers' were printed for the first time in Peter Lorimer's _John Knox and the Church of England_ (London, 1875), taken from a group of manuscripts called the 'Morrice Collection'. Two of Knox's works: _A Letter to the Congregation of Berwick_ and a _Memorial to the Privy Council_ (17) we owe to Lorimer. These fascinating manuscripts belong almost to the very earliest part of Knox's preaching career, but unfortunately they are, as Lorimer observes, 'contemporary transcripts' rather than originals (18). The scribe may have been an Englishman, or one of Knox's Scottish circle mentioned by Northumberland (see Laing III:83). Whatever the case, the MSS. contain much Scots. Examples are 'mycht', 'yat', 'heipit', 'spreit', 'mak', 'rychteouslie', 'ferde', 'depone', 'vincuste', 'heidlings', 'trew', 'wes', 'hes', 'latt', 'yitt', and consistent uses of '-ioun'.

**General Conclusion**

Strictly speaking, less than 10% of Knox's work comes before us in Laing's edition taken directly from the Reformer's handwritten scripts. However, having said that, we can get behind the Laing text and catch a glimpse of Knox certainly writing English, usually with a few occasional Scotticisms. We can also see a scribe reversing the process, perhaps unconsciously. It is also possible, but not provable, that Knox's printers enhanced his anglicisation. Generally speaking, however, it is a vain
task to look for, and wrong to impose, audience-related patterns on the orthographical systems, at least when dealing with texts other than *The Historie*. The evidence of *The Historie* itself, for all its intrinsic difficulties, shows clearly that Knox himself had a healthy command of Scots and that he probably used it quite extensively in his own original drafts, particularly when recording narrative speech (if he wrote, rather than dictated, this work). Winzet's charge against him, which has formed the basis of so many studies, does not deserve to be so influential, since Winzet had nothing like the literary information on Knox that we have (although, as we shall see in subsequent chapters, he did have unique historical material which he alone has preserved for us). In fact, it is the type of accusation that would be counted as unreliable in a court of law. But if, like Alan Breck in the Stevenson novel, all we want to do is 'put a Scots boot up an English arse', then, to be sure, Knox is not for us. However, this view is surely the product of a primary-school mentality, and has no place in literary criticism. The whole question is extremely difficult; the answer cannot be expressed without multiple reservations. Knox, it seems, never forgot his 'auld plane Scottis' even if he didn't always choose to use it. When he did, particularly in *The Historie*, he used it extremely well. He was never guilty of 'anglicised pretensions' (19) as such, nor can we always be sure that he consciously adopted English models (admittedly George
Buchanan said that he did, as we shall see in chapter four, but Buchanan was in fact referring to Knox's vehemence, not his language).

Exhaustive research into the textual problems of Knox's work, then, inevitably terminates without real and definitive conclusions. So what is the purpose of an analysis which (at the risk of mixing metaphors) simply shunts the problem into a cul-de-sac, and leaves it there (where it belongs)? For one thing, the perennial English/Scots controversy has, all too often, been used by critics as a smoke-screen to cover up the fact that they are not willing to take Knox seriously as a literary figure. What better way to force him aside than to say he wrote English, and therefore falls outside the domain of Scottish literary study? Any effort which subverts the future possibility of such turgid criticism cannot be without value. And with this recognition, we can move on to better things.

Images of Knox

It is undeniable that Knox could do with a good public-relations officer, and before getting down to the business of literary criticism it is probably best to clear up many of the absurd misconceptions about the Reformer which are still rampant (more's the pity). There is a description of Knox written by a young contemporary, which, for all its glorious readability, has entered so pervasively into Scotland's perception of him, that one might almost wish it
had never been written. When James Melvill was fifteen years old he saw Knox at St. Andrews University, and afterwards wrote it up in his diary. James's observations have placed Knox forever in the tradition of the 'Boanerges' which, being interpreted, (as they say), means, 'The Sons of Thunder' (see Mark 3:17) (20):

Bot of all the benefites I paid that yeir was the coming of that maist notable profet and apostle of our nation, Mr. Jhone Knox, to St. Androis, wha, be the faction of the Quein occupeing the castell and town of Edinbruche, was compellit to remove thairfra with a number of the best. I hard him teatche ther the prophecie of Daniel that simmer, and the wintar following. I had my pen and my little book, and tuk away sic things as I could comprehend. In the opening up of his text he was moderat the space of an half houre; bot when he enterit to application, he maid me sa to grew and tremble, that I could nocht hald a pen to wryt. I hard him of tymes utter these thretenings in the hicht of their prye, quhilk the eis of monie saw cleirlie brought to pass . . . Being in St Androis he was verie weak. I saw him everie day of his doctrine go hulie and fear, with a furring of matriks about his neck, a staff in the an hand and guid godlie Richart Ballanden, his servand, holding up the other oxter, from the Abbay to the paroche kirk; and be the said Richart and another servant, lifted up to the pulpit, whar he behovit to lean at his first entrie; bot or he haid done with his sermont, he was sa active and vigorous that he was lyk to ding that pulpit in blads and fly out of it! (21)

Despite Melvill's liveliness, these are much, and often tiresomely, quoted passages, so it is apt to point out here that he wrote much else besides (nearly a thousand pages in fact). Quoting continually the 'best bits' of any work is a practice which ought to be extirpated (22). (It cannot be over-emphasised that Knox, Melvill and Ninian Winzet have all suffered as a result of it).
But what of Melvill's image of Knox? Is it accurate? Certainly, his physical descriptions are always interesting since otherwise we do not really know what Knox looked like (even if everyone has a picture of him in their mind), what he wore, or how he behaved in the pulpit (although we often know what he said). As we shall see in chapter five, the book of Daniel was especially significant to Knox, and had been for a long time. Melvill's mention of 'threatenings' also rings true. Indeed, there can be no doubt that he has captured one facet of Knox's character with remarkable vividness and complete accuracy. But it is not the whole story, nor does it mean that only Knox behaved like this. The rest of this study explores the other sides of Knox, as well as the one Melvill saw (and let there be no doubt, he admired Knox enormously).

We are indebted to Melvill for other glimpses of the Reformer, and although these are less important than the above, they still deserve to be quoted. It is Melvill, for instance, who tells us that Knox saw a play, made 'at the mariage of Mr. Jhone Colvin' (23) and this does not tally very well with the idea that Knox was hostile to art or gaiety). The final observation we ought to note is Melvill's account of the Regent Morton's speech at Knox's funeral: 'He lovit Mr Knox whill he was alyve. At his deathe and buriall he gaiff him ane honorable testimonie: 'That he nather fearit nor flatterit anie fleche!' (24). In the
following chapters we shall see why the former part, at least, of that eulogy is a myth which must be stripped away.

What, then, of Knox's image in the Scotland of today? Perhaps no historical character has been so continually misrepresented, and as we have seen, even those who should know better, the scholars, (all honourable men), often perpetuate the process. Any critic who wants to do Knox justice, must come to bury, not to praise him.

**Literary Divisions in Knox's work and the Structure of this Study**

All that remains for us to do now is to outline the categories into which Knox's work falls. His writings, however, like those of Martin Luther, are hard to classify because they are very much occasional and ad hoc. They are nearly always written to address a specific situation. Laing himself identifies four divisions (which Dr. Murison follows) (25) and, with one or two reservations, this study adopts these, although it excludes a number of works which Laing included, such as *The Book of Common Order* or *The Form of Prayers and Ministration of the Sacraments* (Laing VI: 275-333), *The Psalms of David in English Meter* (Laing VI: 335-343), *Prayers Subjoyned to Calvin's Catechisme* (Laing VI: 343-360) and *Additional Prayers* (Laing VI:361-379), sometimes collectively and eponymously known as *Knox's Psalms and Liturgy*. These texts, explicit and hardcore religious writings, are of minimal interest to the literary critic.
The first division is that of 'admonitory public epistles'; works such as An Epistle to the Congregation of St. Andrews, A Godly Letter of Warning (1554), the Letters to the Queen Dowager (1556, 1558), The Appellation (1558), The First Blast (1558), and so on. Also in this category we can place The Reasoning between Knox and Kennedy, since the published text begins with a series of letters.

The second is Knox's 'private letters' written between the early 1550's and his death in 1572; a division which is wholly unproblematic. Laing's third category, 'devotional works' is, however, unsatisfactory. 'Controversial / Theological' is better, since most of Knox's works in this division are polemical and disputatious. It includes A Vindication of the Doctrine that the Sacrifice of the Mass is Idolatry (1550), An Exposition upon Matthew IV (1556) and the important Answer to an Anabaptist (1558). The latter text is especially valuable because of its connections with The Historie and we shall explore these in chapters four and five. All we need say here is that it contains a translation from John Sleidan's history of the continental Reformation: Commentariorum de Statu Religionis et Reipublica. Carolo V. Cesare (1556). The general connection should be obvious (although it is worth pointing out that the Ecclesiastica Historia, or Magdeburg Centuries, of Matthias Flacius Illyricus is a better continental equivalent to The Historie).
The final 'historical' section contains Knox's magnum opus: The Historie of the Reformation of Religion within the Realm of Scotland (1558-1571?), which has stimulated magnificent tributes. The most singular of these comes from Dr. David Murison:

Granted its self-confessed limitations, his [Knox's] History is a picture of his times which for depth of insight, brilliance of presentation and animation of style could hardly be bettered, and though critics have censured the slabs of documentation which break up the flow of the narrative, Knox's genius and single minded dedication to his one theme, the triumph of the Reformation against all odds and vicissitudes, give his story compelling force and unity. For Knox it was, mutatis mutandis, the drama of the Old Testament re-enacted in Scotland, with himself as Moses, Joshua, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel and Daniel rolled into one. The History is the struggle between great forces, Catholicism versus Protestantism, State versus Church, Monarchy versus People, future versus past, revolution versus reaction, at the point of no return and when no compromise remained possible, a situation in many ways like that of our own present day (26).

This powerful critique sets beyond doubt that The Historie is worthy of extensive consideration (and hits upon the fact that, for Knox, history is theology and vice versa; it is the cosmic battleground which manifests God's sovereignty and Lordship. The Historie is Knox's testimony or witness to this experience, as he sees it, in sixteenth century Scotland). But this study not only analyses The Historie; it assesses how the rhetorical skills that Knox developed in his lesser works enabled him to write his greatest piece. First, however, we must tackle 'pre-Knoxian' prose, with a similar concern to illustrate continuity.
FOOTNOTES

1. Laing I: XXV.


7. Laing's own glossary to The Historie alone contains 917 Scots items, and it is very old and perhaps not fully adequate. Astonishingly, the glossary to the modern Penguin edition of Hugh MacDiarmid's Complete Poems has only forty items more, and yet no one accuses MacDiarmid of having forgotten Scots!


12. The full title is 'The Epistles of Mr John Knox worthy to be read because of the authority of the wryter the solidity of the matter and the Comfortable Christian Experience to be found therein'. The marginal note on folio 473 recta indicates the date of transcription. Below the title is written 'This booke belong'd sometyme to Margaret Stewart widow to Mr. Knox after married to the Knight of Faudonsyde. Sister shee was to James Earle of Arran'.


18. ibid. p.250.


20. Perhaps it is even significant that one of the 'Boanerges' is called 'John' (the other being 'James')


22. If anyone objects that I am doing precisely that, I would reply that this study, more than any general work on Scottish literature, has the right to appropriate Melvill's comments.


24. ibid. p.60.


26. ibid. p. 43.
Chapter One
SCOTTISH VERNACULAR PROSE
BEFORE KNOX:
FROM THE MEROURE OF WYSSDOME
TO HAMILTON'S CATECHISM.

Knox's *Historie of the Reformation* begins its narrative 'in the year of God 1422' (Laing I: 5), almost one hundred and fifty years before the setting up of a Reformed Scottish Church in 1560. Obviously, reform was no easy process and only came about after a long struggle, which was by no means consistent. A recognisable pattern first swims into view some ten years after Knox's inception point, when the beliefs of Wycliffe and Hus found their way into Scotland, but complete political impotence was a concomitant of such views and they more-or-less fizzled out. Only comparatively isolated individuals such as Paul Craw (Pavel Kravar) held them, and there was no corresponding attempt to propagate any through manuscripts other than the New Testament. The first significant religious controversy which Knox describes took place in 1494, when the so-called 'Lolardis of Kyle' were called before James IV by the Archbishop of Glasgow, but that whole affair collapsed into farce (see Laing I:12) (1).

Quite coincidentally, it was probably in the same decade of the fifteenth century that original Scottish
religious prose really began, and it emanated from a thoroughly orthodox source. John Ireland’s *Merceure of Wyssdome*, a predominantly theological treatise in seven books, composed for personal use by the same King James, dates from around 1490, and its author was a man who had little sympathy for heresy. For example, in Book VI, which deals at length with the ‘haly sacramentis’ he discusses the implications of their administration by sinful clergymen (a topic raised in Wycliffe’s *De Civili Domino*). Ireland neatly employs *reductio ad heresim*:

... in this mater Errit and fell in herresie iohn wicleif of ingland about a hundreth yer syn in the tyme of the truble of the kyrk and scisme / that trowit that quhen the paip bishop or preist is nocht in the stat of grace / that thai minister nocht the sacramentis na has nocht power / this was ane auld and paralus heresie / lang tyme befoir him / condampnit / and eftir his deid the haly kirk condampnit him in his lif and eftir his deid war condampnit iohannes huse and ieronimus de praga and brynt in the counsall of constaunce / that followit his Errouris. Veritie is ...

Ultimately the Scottish Reformation owed little to Wycliffe, except perhaps that which it inherited *via* Luther (3), but what is significant about the above passage is the fact that it is fundamentally concerned with identifying religious errors and offering reliable alternatives. When the Reformation gained strength, the prose which gathered round it (with the two exceptions of Murdoch Nisbet’s *New Testament* and James Harryson’s *A Scottischeman’s Exhortation*) was very often intent upon those basic aims, no
matter what doctrinal standpoint authors held. Admittedly, Ireland dealt mainly with the heresies of antiquity, those of Pelagius, say, or Nestorius, and as he says himself, by the time he wrote *The Keroure*, Wycliffe had been dead for over a hundred years. In any case, he had never posed much of a threat to Rome in his life-time. It is clear, however, that there are at least some points of contact between Ireland and the Reformation era. The very fact that he chose to write theology in the vernacular is significant, although he seldom quotes scripture in Scots since that would bring him perilously close to one aspect of the very heresy which he was in the process of condemning. (There are a few stimulating instances, however; for example 'as jhesus sais in be euuangel, the realme of heuirr is likynnit to a tressoure, hid in a croft . . . (I:40),' The angell said, 'Lady, thi barna sal be of gret powere' (I:134), and so on). This passage is also valuable in other ways. 'Heresy' is a particularly theological topic. Ireland was a trained theologian, who thinks (and in fact writes) mainly in Latin. His thought patterns impinge upon his vernacular style (look at how the verb 'condampnit' is in end-position in the phrase 'lang tyme befoir him / condampnit'. Compare for example, Virgil's '. . . tot adire labouras / impulerit'). This is not as likely to happen when Ireland's material is less, or non-, theological.

Broadly speaking, Ireland's literary relevance to the later prose of the Scottish Reformation falls into about
three main areas (we must bear in mind, however, that *The Mecour* had no popular circulation and belongs, in Scotland at least, to an age before printing). First of all, it is the work of a school-man; a thorough-bred scholastic who studied at the Sorbonne and took there a doctorate in theology around 1475. There are indeed many sections of the text which illustrate just how deeply Ireland was immersed in this academic world-view. The following is perhaps the most elaborate example of Ireland’s ‘gymnastique intellectuelle’ (4).

The vii veritie is at the prescience of God is / at the iugement sal be and yit that presciens is nocht necessar bot contingent tharof. This I prove (for it followis in consequens logical necessar / God wait at the iugement sal be / *ergo* the iugement sal be / and the conclusioun and consequent of this logicale consequens is contingent and nocht necessar / for yit be the power of God it is possible that the iugement be nocht na nevir cum / tharfor the antecedens war necessar and the consequens contingent of a trew antecedent in a gud consequens contingent / of a trew antecedens in a gud consequens followis a fals consequens) and that is impossible (5).

This kind of thing may strike readers as typical of medieval scholasticism; plodding, convoluted and pedantic, and indeed it is, but one must admit that it is also solidly, if excessively, rational. As an intellectual world movement, scholasticism like Ireland’s was probably on the decline, but it was obviously still a force to be reckoned with by any potential Reformer who sought to operate through the Universities. Thus, at Wittenberg in the subsequent
century, Luther had to meet it head on, while Zwingli and the Swiss Reformers, who were steeped in the learning of Erasmus and in a more distinctive Swiss humanism, were comparatively free of it (although it is well known that Luther himself, at least initially, was much influenced by Erasmus, and without the Greek New Testament, the German Reformer could not have made his own version). The Reformation in Scotland, in this respect, is undoubtedly closer to the Lutheran movement. Ireland’s younger contemporary, John Major, who probably taught the young Knox at St. Andrews, was, of course, the last great Scottish scholastic, and when Knox began his reforming career he couched his message in the terminology of the medieval universities, and then gradually blended into it the best of later Renaissance eloquence as his knowledge and experience deepened, presumably as a result of private study.

The correlation between the intellect and religious belief influences other areas of Ireland’s work and interlinks again with broad aspects of Reformation prose. For instance, Book III contains a lengthy exposition of the Apostolicum, the Apostle’s creed, and this has a parallel in John Gau’s fascinating little treatise entitled, appropriately enough, The Richt Vay to the Kingdome of Hauine, a Lutheran text printed in 1533 at Malmö by John Hochstraten, and in Archbishop John Hamilton’s Catholic Catechism of 1551, which was written in order to codify orthodox belief and as a reaction to Protestant
infringement. (Gau's text, in fact, is a translation of a Danish theological work by Christiern Pedersen (6); hence the printer and place of publication. Gau himself was a Scot who had fled to Denmark, where his Lutheran beliefs would not result in persecution or martyrdom (7)).

It is one of the fundamental assertions of this study that language and vocabulary are deeply influenced by religious belief and that, logically, where religious beliefs are either Protestant or Catholic, one can trace distinctive prose features to each corresponding system of thought (and each system of thought, of course, entails a differing system of religious practice and worship).

For example, in the ninth or tenth articles of the Creed there are differences due to religious factors. Ireland renders 'Sanctam ecclesiam catholicam Sanctorem communio nem remissionem' as 'I trow it that the halikirk catholic and universal determinis the communioun of haly thingis' and this more-or-less agrees with Hamilton's Catechism. Gau has 'I trow that thair is ane halie chriissine kirk' and 'I trow forgiffine of sinis'. For Ireland and Hamilton, the forgiveness of sins is dependent upon the Catholic Church. The two necessarily go together. For Gau there is a Christian Church which is not Catholic.

If there are differences in the Creeds, the differences in their exposition are greater still, as we might expect. For instance, Ireland says under the tenth article ('he sal cum
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one the day of iugement / to iuge baith thame that salbe
deid and lyfand . . .' (8):

The sext ressoune / quharfor god has ordand this final
iugement is / we se that euill persounes in this warld
/of-tymes has gret dignities in the kirk and
temporalite / and gud and haly persounes has gret
pouerte and oppressioun / and mony merwalis tharof /
Tharfor god that is of infinit wisdome and liberalitie
/ that for ony gud deid in the warld gevis reward /
and for euill has ordand punicioun in this warld for
gud deidis of wertu morale and done in grace / wtout
perseuerance thar in / geuis heir temporale reward
lordschip plesance and dignite at ar lest / In genere
honorum And eftir punysis richt sar . . . (9).

Interestingly, Ireland sees the final judgement as a
solution to social injustice, which is at least partially
pronounced in ecclesiastical circles, while both Gau and
Hamilton's Catechism emphasise its relevance to the personal
life of the individual. In fact Ireland comes quite close
to a major strand in early Reformation thought concerning
the clergy, although decades would pass before it found more
forceful expression. Indeed, there are parallels elsewhere
in Gau's work. For example, in his concluding epistle to
the noble lords and barons of Scotland, he attacks, in his
view, the most corrupt clergyman of them all, the pope.

Our halie fader ye paip and his bishopis giffis ane
part of ye spulze quhilk thay reiff fra ye pwir to
thir foresaid sekkis and thinkis yat thay supple thair
office the quhilk is notht in veritie for sanct Paul
sais in the iii c. of his first epistil to Timothe ane
bischoip man be ane prechour heir Paul sais notht yat
it is sufficient to ane bischoip to haif ane preacher
to supple his office but hime self suld be ane techour
and prechour . . . (10).
Ireland, of course, would be appalled at this kind of talk, but in it we can see a sharpening and a more specific application of his own comments, worked into the prose through Gau's Protestant world-view. Furthermore, while Ireland's tone is level and restrained, Gau uses irony and sarcasm, and this is certainly symptomatic of the keener, more crucial and indeed violent religious controversy which the Reformation provoked. Vehemence, like Gau's, was to permeate Knox's later prose and there is nothing as forceful in Ireland's work. And it seems to be basically true that prose which took shape in an atmosphere of real and not just academic controversy such as Gau's, Knox's and say, Ninian Winzet's, more effectively brings out the author's personality.

However, if there is any parallel between Ireland and Knox himself, it is in their skill as preachers. Charles MacPherson, Ireland's first editor, rather inadvisedly says 'that Ireland ever preached much . . . may be doubted' (11), but as J.H. Burns rightly counters 'Ireland's own references to his preaching cannot be so lightly dismissed' (12), and much of the text of The Mercurie confirms that the author was a man of the pulpit. Indeed one of his favourite phrases 'we may weill knave and understand . . .' precisely echoes Robert Henryson's preaching swallow (13), and Ireland constantly teaches by similitude, which is, of course, a
favourite recourse of preachers. But passages like this
must catch the drift of Ireland's sermonising:

O man repleit and fillit of all folly and wickitnes /
quhow may thou cheris the wickit thef dedly syn / in
thi hert mynd and curage / that is sa cruell and agan
the / and lauboris to bring the to eternall
dampnacioun / sene thou knawis weill that for the
distruccioun of it / and for thi salvacioun help and
supple be werray necessitie and cheritie / god of
maieste tuk humanitie / and deit on the croce / thou
will nocht haue ane euill goun / ane euill hois ane
euill scho thou will nocht haue an euill wif / na ane
euill possessioun efter thi will thi pleasaunce and
desyr And thou will haue ane euill saulle conservand
syn mortall in it . . . (14).

This is pretty close to the type of preaching identified by
G.R. Owst as 'satire and complaint' (15), with just an
inflexion of the 'snares of costume' theme, and these are
worked out through the stock address to foolish man.
Interestingly, it is man and not woman who is 'singled out
to suffer at [the preacher's] hands' (16), but the most
appealing feature is Ireland's use of mimesis, and there is
real control of language here ('thou wil nocht haue ane
euill goun . . . hois . . . scho . . . wiff . . .
possessioun' effectively switches to what one 'will haue' in
consequence; namely 'ane euill saulle conservand syn mortall
in it'). Of course, Ireland's language is from the standard
religious register ('syn', 'eternall dampnacioun',
'salvacion', 'cheritie', 'deit on croce') but on the whole
we do get the impression that he was a fairly competent
preacher. Knox was undoubtedly in touch with the type of
preaching tradition which Ireland epitomises here and the
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Reformer himself was perhaps the last of the medieval Scottish preachers. Anyhow, no other prose writer between 1490 and 1550 is so close to Knox in this respect as Ireland.

There is another striking similarity between the two writers, although it would be foolish to make too much of it. Ireland upon occasion indulges in what one might legitimately label the 'merry tale', although this is by no means all that typical of his writing as a whole. Even so, it is there, it ties in with preaching, and it ties in with Knox. In Book V of The Meroure, Ireland attacks the notion of inescapable destiny, and presents the following little narrative which is a fine example of *reductio ad absurdum* in action:

As the woman in parys quhen sche knew that hir cousing was drounit in the rywer of sayn cryit and said / that it was his destany & he mycht be na maner euade it / for it was ordand for him sche said or euir cot or goune was schapin for him Than come a Clerk as my self / and hard hir criand sayand and affermand this / and be gret wisdome he thocht he would schaw hir foly / and gaf hir twa gret blowis and strakis on baith hir chekis / that sche was gretlie abasit of / And sone sche turnit hir purpos and cryit agane the clerk / quhy he had sa felony strikin hir wtout caus or reaasson / and that he suld be had to presoune and punyst for it. The wys clerk an/suerit sobirly and said / lady this god wist long befor that I suld fall in sic a rage / and foly to strik you and that ye suld thole and suffer this for your daft and wykit langage / that ye haue spokin agane his honour and wisdome / and sene this was destany and ordand for you / that ye suld nocht wyt me And thus the lady gat twa gret blawis tholit gret scorne and passit schamefully hir gait (17).
This has parallels in the humorous narratives of Knox (which we shall consider in chapter five) and its generic affiliations are those which the Reformer also draws upon. Similarly, the none-too-modest self reference may bring Knox to mind, but the broadest similarity must be expressed in terms of the sermon exemplum. Oest identified it as 'the humorous sermon-anecdote' which 'we recognize as one more natural, typical product of that early pulpit realism and feeling for raw humanity' (18) and if Ireland was pretty good at this kind of thing, Knox was a master.

Ireland's passage, however, deserves fairly lengthy consideration since it is far from artless. It smacks of realism, first of all, because it is localised in Paris, where Ireland was very much at home; secondly because the woman's dialogue, although it recalls Chaucer (whom Ireland had read) (19), may independently catch at an idiom which both Ireland and Chaucer knew. There is also a transition from indirect to direct speech, the honours going of course to the Clerk, (and that specifically anticipates Knox, as we shall see), and this allows a steady focusing to take place, while Ireland moves from slapstick (the 'twa gret blawis...') through naturally realised emotions such as the woman's anger and outrage, to shame, as the clerk finally exposes her undoubted stupidity. In the context of this study, a more detailed analysis of Ireland's work is not possible, and I have attempted to discuss The Mercure only in so far as it has any relevance to later Reformation prose.
and to Knox's works. But as we have seen, connections can, and should, be made.

In his Historie of the Reformation Knox passes from the 1490s to the late 1520s, 'the year of God 1527' (Laing I: 13) to the story of 'Maister Patrick Hammyltoun' and this is perhaps a truer inception point of the Reformation, as Knox himself seems to indicate. Even so, Knox was not in possession of all the facts, and the modern literary historian can find significant material some seven years before this.

Around 1520, a certain Murdoch Nisbet, who was an Ayrshireman and who may have had some connection with the Lollards mentioned earlier, transcribed John Purvey's revision of Wycliffe's Bible into Scots, and Knox certainly had no knowledge of this. Like The Meroure of Wyssdome, the text was never printed and the translation seems to have been made for secret study. Nisbet's bible, however, is prefaced by a translated version of Martin Luther's introduction to his German Das Neue Testament, comparing Old and New Testaments, discoursing on the etymology of the term 'evangelion', introducing Christ as a new David and His gospel as a remedy for sin, differentiating between the Law and the Gospel and ending with a three-fold address to noblemen, clerics and commons. Each book is accompanied by pre-Vulgate Argumenta, found in most of the Wycliffe manuscripts and in the Vulgate itself (20), and towards the end of his text Nisbet includes sundry lessons from the Old
Testament. He concludes with a translated version of Luther's preface to Romans (and in this there is a parallel with Gau's work) (21). Luther's first preface dates from 1522 although Nisbet's work on the Purvey bible was probably begun before this, since a scribe capable of translating the German might just as well have translated the whole Lutheran bible instead of Purvey's old fashioned text. William Tyndale's edition of the same preface, of which the Scots translation is independent, was published along with his own English Bible in 1526, and his version of Luther's prologue to Romans was not printed until 1535 when Tyndale revised the text of his 1526 bible. This Tyndale preface is included in Nisbet although some modifications have been made, and due to the later date of the 1536 English edition, Nisbet could not include it in its proper place, so he finally put it at the end of his manuscript. Such, then, are the contents and complexities of Murdoch Nisbet's New Testament in Scots. It is a valuable text only in so far as it allows us to examine the earliest Lutheran influences in Scotland and in no sense does it lay claim to originality. Its progressiveness is inextricably linked with its Lutheranism, but in terms of its language and style, it is certainly backward-looking. After all, the earliest Wycliffe bibles go back to the 1380s and the Purvey version is only about fifteen years later. Here is Nisbet's version of 'The Parable of the Unforgiving Servant' from Matthew 18,
juxtaposed with John Gau’s rendering of the same passage

(which is the longest scriptural extract in The Right Way):

Nisbet (1520)
... the kingdom of
heuin is liknit to a
King, that wald rekkin
with his servandis And
quhen he began to rekkin
aan that aucht ten
thousand talentis was
brought to him. And quhen
he had nocht quharof
to yelde, his lord
commandit him to be
said, and his wif and his
childer and al thingis
that he had, and to be
payit. Bot the ilke
servand fel down, and
prayit him and said,
Have pacience in me,
and I sal quit al
thingis to thee. And
the Lord had mercy on
that servand and leet
him ga, and forgafe
to him al the dette.
Bot that ilke servand
yede out, and fand aan
of his even servandis
that awcht ane hundreth
pennyis; and he held
him, and stranglit him,
and said Yeeld that that
thou awa. And his even
servand fel down, and
prayit him, and said,
Have pacience in me,
and I sal quit al
thingis to thee. Bot
he wald nocht; bot
went out and put him
into presoun, till he
payit all the dette. And
his even servandis
seand the thingis that
war done sorrowit
greatly and that com
and tald to thar Lord
al thingis that war

Gau (1533)
... ane similitud of
ane king qhilk haid
mony servandis and
ane of thaim wesz
awand ane thousand
pennis to hime / and
quhen he haid na thing
to pay with thane he
comandit to sel hime
and his wif and his
barnis to pay his dettis /
this servand fel apone
his kneis befor hime
and said lord be
marciful to me and I
sal pay al thy
det / thane this king
vesz marciful to hime
and forgaiff him al his
det / thane this servand
passit fourht and fand
ane oder servand ane
of his ane marousz /
quhilk vesz awand ane
hundreth pennis to
hime / Thane he twik
hime be the hals and
said pay quhat thou aw
to me / thane he fel
apone his kneis and
said be marciful to me
and I sal pay ye al
ye det / he vald noth
bot he keist hime into
presoun vnto he suld
pay it / quhen his
marousz saw this thay
var displesit and schew
to thair maister quhow
it had hapnit / this king
callit him / befor hime
and said to hime / thow
vikkit servand I forgaff
ye al thy dett quhen
thow desirit of me /
suld thow notht siclik
be marciful to thy marow
As we noted earlier, Gau's text, like Nisbet's, is a translation (although he has had to work slightly harder). His source is Pedersen's Den Rette Vey till Heimmerigis Rige (Malmö 1531), itself mainly a translation of Urbanus Rhegius's Die Zwölff Artickel unsers Christliche glaubens mit anzaigung d'hailigen geschriiff Darin sie gegründt seind (Augsburg 1523) (24). (Gau also seems to have known the original, and, indeed, seven copies still exist (25)). An English version entitled A Declaration of the Twelve Articles of the Christen fayth with annotations of the holy Scriptures where they be grounded in (London 1548), translated by Walter Lynne, indicates that the parable belongs not to the original but was added by Pedersen to clarify a point (26). The study of Nisbet's source is less fruitful. First of all, the Lollard New Testaments were copied by numerous scribes at a time when there was no
standardisation of spelling or literary dialect. Thus, there is a great diversity among the remaining manuscripts which have come down to us, especially among the early versions. For a medieval text, the Purvey bible is remarkably uniform (27), but it would be facile to compare Nisbet’s text with, say, Forshall and Madden’s printed version of the best extant manuscript. Disparities such as 'leet him ga / suffride hym to goo' and 'callit / clepide' might be traced solely to the fact that Nisbet was using another manuscript. Again it falls outside the scope of this study to determine which English MS. is closest to Nisbet’s. Rather, our task at present is to explore the texts and their disparities, keeping in mind how they might have been studied by nascent Scottish Protestants. (Gau’s work, like John Johnson’s contemporaneous An Confortable Exhortation of our Mooste Holy Christien Faith and her Frutes, may have been a still-born project, seized by port authorities, but as A.F. Mitchell suggests, copies were more probably 'recovered by the magistrates after they had been for a time in circulation (28)).

Certainly the two parables read very differently. Gau, following Pedersen, includes it of course to substantiate the tenth article of his creed, which is appropriate enough. Neither The Mercure nor The Catechism have any use for it, although in the same part of his Creed, Ireland includes a similitude which has a similar ring:
And geue a temporale king / of his wertu and liberalitie / quhilis forgeuis men thar fautis / and takis thame to grace / and nane makis of his will ordinance or liberalitie disguiscicoun / but humely thankis him / tharfor gret is the foly of men that makis inquisicioun of godis deidis . . . (29).

Ireland, however, has a different intention. He aims to encourage 'our pennaunce and turnyng to God' not the forgiving of others. Hamilton's Catechism has comparatively little time for figures and only says 'nane can get fra God remissioun of thair synnis, except thei forgeue to thair nychtbour all offencis doine to thame' (30).

Nisbet's version of the narrative performs no specific justificatory function of course but there is no reason to suppose that the parable was not discussed during secret meetings, along with other scriptural texts. On the contrary, evidence from many fourteenth century heresy trials in England confirms this kind of activity among the Wycliffites. Perhaps the most curious of all the differences between the two versions are brought about by the kind of minor errors we find in Gau. For instance, in Nisbet, the first servant owes 'ten thousand talentis'. Gau on the other hand gets both the number and the currency wrong. He has 'ane hundred pennis'. We know that when he wrote he had before him not only Pedersen's Den Rette Vey and Urbanus Rhegius's work, but also Pedersen's Danish translation of the Vulgate: Det Ny Testamente, Luther's Das Neue Testament and Tyndale's 1526 version of The New Testament. It seems that he has produced a conflation of
the two Danish Texts (although elsewhere he sometimes quotes Tyndale verbatim or translates Luther). Obviously, all this is not very important, since Gau evidently did not consider the figure to have any theological significance, but as a rule it was not advisable to tamper with Scripture. Moreover, Gau has not been as attentive to detail as he was elsewhere. The age, after all, was one in which comparatively precise linguistic scholarship, pioneered by Lorenzo Valla, Cardinal Francisco Ximenes de Cisneros and Desiderius Erasmus (31), ultimately led to the rejection, by Protestants, of at least some of the Catholic sacraments (such as penance), and stimulated the whole of the Eucharistic controversy (32). Even the old Augustinian interpretation of biblical parables, with its ingenious allegories, paid specific attention to the most minor details. It is against this combined backdrop that we should view Gau's error, although no point of doctrine rests upon his presentation. Another, more significant dissimilarity between Gau and Nisbet comes towards the end of the parable. Where Nisbet's manuscript suggests that the fellow servants acted out of great sorrow, Gau attributes their motive to revenge. This differs again from Tyndale and Dat My Testamente which has 'da sorgede de der faare' (33). His source seems to have been Den Rette Vey's 'bedroffued', which, to Gau, may have denoted a mixture of sorrow and anger. He may well have associated this with the Scots 'feid'.
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Despite the title of Nisbet's transcription, it is much more anglicised than Gau's work. Where Nisbet has 'childer', Gau has 'barnis' (from Pedersen's 'barn'); where Nisbet has 'seruandis' Gau has the splendid Scots 'marousz' (34), (which is not cognate with the Danish 'stålbredre'), Nisbet has 'stranglit', Gau has 'took him be the halsez' (from the Danish 'halsen'), Nisbet has 'Lord', Gau 'maister' (not cognate with 'Herre') and finally, where Nisbet has '(he) was wraathe', Gau has 'he vesz crabbit' (again not cognate) (35).

Just as Knox apparently had no knowledge of Nisbet, he was likewise ignorant of Gau's project and of Johnson's An Confortable Exhortation. Like The Richt Vay, this text was probably printed by Hochstraten in Malmö and shipped to Scotland in the mid-1530s, and it probably shared a similar fate.

There are two imperfect copies of Johnson's text, from which a whole copy can be assembled (36). It is written throughout in a fairly uniform English, although Johnson was undoubtedly a Scot. He addresses his readers as 'the Christian brethernein in Scotlande' and calls them 'my brethern (which are my kinsmen pertayning to the flesche' (37). If we add to this the indisputable evidence that he was an eye witness at Patrick Hamilton's martyrdom in St. Andrews in 1528 (38), the book begins to occupy its proper place in our perception. Like Gau's and Nisbet's works, it is minor literature (but not a translation). Tyndale's
early bible has influenced Johnson more than Gau, and the
text is mainly made up of quotations. There are sections
dealing with subjects such as faith, unfaithfulness, hope,
despair, peace, love, patience and the flesh, and the author
seems to have thoroughly imbibed Luther's 'theologia
 Crucis'. There is only one Patristic reference, presumably
to Augustine's Quæstiones Septemdecim in Matthæum (39), and
this is considerably fewer than the number of such
references in Gau. Johnson however does display some
literary flashes, even if he was not theologically erudite:

O erth / erth / erth / heere the woorde of thy lorde/
which sayth. I come not to call the rightewes / but
the synners to repentaunce. Ye whole nead not ye
physician / but they that are seke / art thou
righteous and saue: then thou hath no nead of Christ /
thou needeth no other saueare but thy silfe . . . But
o vayne man / thou art deceawet / for nether arte thou
righteous nor whole / but because thou ymagine
folischlie after thy fleshlie wisdome . . . (40).

Although An Confortable Exhortation is remarkably irenical
in tone, this passage, like our first quotation from The
Richt Vay, uses a very penetrating sarcasm; not, however, to
attack ecclesiastical institutions, but to encourage the
individual to be more honest in religious self-assessment.
(Knox of course combined both techniques in his prose).
Johnson uses the words of Christ to make up the core of his
argument here (see Matthew 9:13, Mark 2:17, Luke 5:32) but
the forceful address to the earth recalls Jeremiah 22:24,
while the incisiveness of his wit and the way he punctures fondly fostered illusion are not at all unimpressive.

In another place he writes with positively palpable sincerity (see Romans 4:1-25):

Therefore let us with Abraham beleue in hope / aboue hope / in Gods rightenesnes aboue our vnrighteuesnes. In gods treuth aboue our lyes. In Gods mercy: aboue our synfulnes. In Gods power / aboue our weaknes. Let us haue faith with Abraham in gods promyseys. And we shall reioy with Abraham in receawinge of the same thynges promised . . . (41)

James K. Cameron finds in these lines an 'arresting quality' (42), which probably derives from Johnson's use of sharp contrasts which consistently emphasise the frailty of man and the power of God. And there is a kind of serenity in the language that seems to encourage faith.

Around the time that Johnson and Gau were writing or translating their Lutheran treatises, John Bellenden translated Hector Boece's Scotorum Historia prima gentis origine, cum aliarum rerum et gentium illustratione non vulgari (1527), to produce Scotland's first vernacular prose history, twenty-five years before Knox began writing the Historie of the Reformatioun (and the relationship between these two works is something which we will consider more fully in chapter five). Another contemporaneous translation of Boece exists as the 'Mar Lodge' translation, although it has never been fully printed (43). (The original manuscript
is now in America and a transcript lies with the editor of
the D.O.S.T.) (44).

It goes without saying that both of these translations
countinue prose works of fairly major importance and they
ought to be considered as literature in their own right, but
in the context of this study, I want to make a very specific
point which is relevant to Knox. Bellenden's The History
and Croniklis of Scotland was published in the early 1530s
and Knox could have had easy access to it, and certainly it
contained information useful to him. Bellenden's work, it
should be noted, embraces the whole range of Scottish
history from the earliest times, while Knox was, of course,
much more selective in his choice of period). The periods
do overlap, however, and I have chosen one incident which
the respective narratives all share; the martyrdom of Paul
Craw. Boece's original text is quoted for two reasons.
First of all it allows us to assess the nature of
Bellenden's and the 'Mar Lodge's' translation. It is to be
hoped that the second reason will become apparent when
Knox's version of the events is considered.

Boece 1527
Post paucos dies ex quo solutum consilium fuerat
deprehensus est ab Henrico episcopo quidam in gymnasio
sancti Andræ, natione Bohemus, nomine Paulus Crau,
nouas sectas docere, nouamque dogmata de sacramento
Eucharistia, ac contra duorum venerationem &
confessionem sacerdotibus in auren faciendam, quem vt
ad se accitum pertinacem cognouit professoribus cum
Theologis commitit vt omnibus virtutis viribus hominem
ab illis opinionibum deducerent: nec quicquam ab illis
quoque effectum Quamobrem iudici cum seculari
transmiserunt qui quæstione habita compererunt e
Bohemia velut apostolum missum ad predicandam Scotis
Vviticllef & Ioannis Hūs hērisam deliram. Itaque profecto medio faro extracto rogo crenatus est. Iacobs rem auditum plurima laude eueit, authoresque vice hac re commendatus habuit. Principem in resistende Paule Bohemo ac de fide eius inquiringo Ioannem Fogo monachum ordinis Cisteriesis sacrarum litteram professorem Abbatia Melrossensi donauit (45).

In terms of style and vocabulary, the two Scots versions are certainly different from each other, although they share the same parent text.

Bellenden

Schort tyme eftir this counsale was takin be Bischop Hary in the Universiteitie of Sanctandrois, ane man of Boheme namit Paule Craw, inducing new and wane superstitionis on the pepill specialie aganis the sacrament of the Altare, veneration of sanctis and confessioun to be maid to preistis. Nocht theles fra he was fondin obstinate in his opinionis he was brocht afoir the theologis, and all his opinionis condampnit. And because he perseveritt to the end of his playe, but ony reuocacioun of his vane opinionis, he was deliveritt in temporall menis handis. At last thai fand be scharp examinacioun that he was send out of Boheme to preche the heresis of Vviticllef and Husē to the Scottis, and thairfoir he was brynt cruellye to the deth. The King commendit mekil this punycioun and the authoris thairoff, and gaif the Abbacy of Melroṣ to John Fogo, monk of

War Lodge

Nocht lang eftir was tane in Sanct Andros ane man of beum namit Paul Craw preachand new and vain superstitionis to ye pepill, specially againis ye sacrament of ye altar, veneration of Sancts and confession to be made to preistis. At last he was brocht afore the Theologis and all his opinionis condampnit. And because he perseverit obstinatly to ye end of his play, he was condampnit and brint. He confess afore his deith yat he was sent out of Beum to preich to Scotland ye heresyes of Hus and Wikeleif The King comendit meikyll this punition and gaif ye Abbacy of Melroṣ to John Foggo for he was principall convickar of yis Paull. (46).
Cisteris, becaus he was principale hammyr of heresy aganis the said Paule (47).

Apparently, C.S. Lewis was completely ignorant of the 'Mar Lodge' translation, but his comments on Bellenden are of interest: 'He is not one of the great translators and does not quite succeed in getting rid of the idiom of the original. Tell-tale absolute constructions, historic presents, and excessive linking of sentences abound' (48). Another learned Professor, R.J. Lyall, reaches many of the same conclusions about Bellenden, but carries them over to a comparison with the other version which is 'in some respects closer to Boece's Latin' without 'the narrative vigour achieved by Bellenden' (49). Certainly, with the above passages, we can see that, although still a fairly close translation, the 'Mar Lodge' is more concise, uses shorter sentences and is actually a slightly edited presentation of the original material. However, in what follows it will be clear that these are not general rules. Here, the 'Mar Lodge' author makes fewer attempts at linkage, while Bellenden succeeds in bringing a narratorial raciness and sequential logic to the prose by using a 'nochtheles' or a 'thairfoir' in the right place at the right time. There is considerable over-lapping of phrases between the two, but also one or two significant disparities. Bellenden says that Craw was 'inducing' heresies, the other, 'preachand'.
The source of both is Boece's *docere* which refers to 'teaching'. 'Inducing', however, suggests something rather more subtle and culpable. Both translators render Boece's *effectum* as 'play', and no Scot could fail to understand the meaning here. The final difference which we shall note comes in the closing stages of the narrative. Boece has '

*principem in resistenda* which the anonymous author accurately renders as 'principall convickar'. Bellenden, on the other hand, waxes alliterative and metaphorical with 'principale hammyr' of heresye'. Boece says nothing about a 'malleus'. Professor Lyall is right: 'when Bellenden expands it tends to be for rhetorical effect' (50).

When we turn to Knox, however, we encounter a radically different interpretation of things, and the differences (for the most part) are evident down to the deepest levels:

But our Cronikilles mack mentiou, that in the dayis of King James the First, about the year of God 1431, was deprehended in the universitie of Sanctandrose, one named Paul Craw, a Bohame, who was accused of heresye befoir such as then war called Doctouris of Theologie. His accusatioun consisted principally, that he followed John Husse and Wycleif, in the opinioon of the sacrament, who denied that the substance of bread and wyn war changed be vertew of any wourdies; or that confessioun should be maid to preastis; or yitt prayeris to sanctes departed. Whill that God geve unto him grace to resist thame, and not to consent to thair impietie, he was committed to the secular judge, (for our bischoppis follow Pilat, who boith did condempne, and also wesche his handis,) who condempned him to the fyre; in the quhilk he was consumed in the said citie of Sanctandrose, about the time afoir written. And to declar thame selvis to be the generatioun of Sathan, who from the begynnyng, hath bein ennemy to the treuth, and he that desyrith the same to be hyd frome the knowledge of men, thei putt a ball of brass in his mouth, to the end that he should nott geve confessioun of his fayth to the
people, neyther yit that thei should understand the defence which he had against thair unjust accusatioun and condemnatioun (Laing I:6).

Before we explore this passage as literature, one or two things deserve to be said about Knox's sources. In an appendix, Laing brings together all of the earlier accounts of Craw's death, such as Bower's. He mentions Boece, 'who may also be quoted ... in the words of his translator John Bellenden, Archdean of Murray' (but Laing actually quotes the 'Mar Lodge', which he calls the original edition) (51). Had Laing looked more closely at the Scotorum Historie, he would have found pretty solid evidence that this was Knox's source. If we look back to Boece, he uses the Latin term 'deprehensus' early on in his narrative. The Scots translations both say simply that Craw 'was takin' or 'tane'. Knox, however, has 'was deprehended'. Of the three vernacular writers, it is obvious that Knox is the only one to sympathise with Craw, and yet 'deprehended' almost certainly implies corruption, the same way that Bellenden's 'inducing' does. In his modern edition of Knox's Historie, W.C. Dickinson glosses 'deprehended' as 'apprehended' but, so doing, he obscures the subtle differences in meaning which original readers would be attuned to, and he also misses the influence of Boece (52). Knox uses the term elsewhere and the drift is clear. For instance, he says of Mary of Lorraine's Chaplin, Friar Black, that he was 'deprehendit with his harlott' (Laing II: 67-68). Knox,
then, has stayed too close to his source, and produced one of his occasional inconsistencies. (Other more circumstantial evidence can be found to substantiate the above. For example, Knox's use of 'principallys' occupies a position which corresponds too closely to Boece's 'nouamque' to be coincidental, and the others have 'specialie / specially').

However, there is nothing inconsistent about the remainder of Knox's interpretation. He elaborates on the fact that Craw was transferred from ecclesiastical to secular authorities (a detail which the 'Mar Lodge' edits out) by making a comparison between the Bishops and Pontius Pilate, from Matthew 27:24. Knox's version is considerably more detailed than the others and he replaces the material on John Fogo (which is, in any case, inaccurate (53)) with more information about the manner of Craw's execution. Instead of being hammers of heresy, Craw's accusers are presented as the 'generatioun of Sathan' and this simultaneously evokes the mood of Old and New Testament genealogies, and condemns the temporal authority in no uncertain terms.

There are other areas in the prose of Knox, Boece and Bellenden which allow for fruitful comparison, although they are less obvious at first sight. In her doctoral thesis Studies in the Language of Bellenden's Boece, E.A. Sheppard observes that: 'Boece and his translator castigate severely the vices of the pre-Reformation Clergy and the many faults
of the Church organisation. . . Thus although Bellenden seems to have spent the latter part of his life contending with the secessionists, there is little in his writings of which a fair-minded Protestant would disapprove' (54). This certainly implies a point of contact, and a close reading of Bellenden brings out passages similar to those of Knox. The following passage is from Liber X of Boece:

Boece
Christi sacerdotes sacrum curam habere diligentem, prophanis abstinerent, sacerdotis vivere sua quies contentus, augendae religionis studio populum instituerent sacro dogmate; itaque vivere ut docerunt: militiam deinceps donati imminuitate, assisterent Christi templis. Non alienus equos nec canes voluptatis causa armas non ferret, causas non aegerent profanis. Si quiescum Christo initiatorem sua minia minis diligententer obierit, quo minus Christiane populo multaretur, inde non resipiscens abdicaretur sacerdatio (55).

This time it is the 'Mar Lodge' that is the longer and more involved rendering (and now we see the danger of generalisations):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bellenden</th>
<th>Mar Lodge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>. . . kirkmen to half diligent cure of deuyne</td>
<td>. . . kirkmen, and preistis, of deyve servisue suld have diligent cure, fra prophane curis yai suld abstene; apoun benefice yai suld life, every man of his aune suld be content: for augmentacion of godlynes and gud maneris. Yai suld interpret ye haly writt to ye pepill; to yare doctrine yare liffe suld be conforme;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deligent cure of deuyne servisue, abstenand fra all prophane materis, and to leif content of the douiteis pertenand to thair kirkis, exoneritt of all weirfair, that thai may alanerlye assist to deyve servisue. Als, thai sall nocht nureI8 hor8 nor houndis for thair pleseir. Thai sall bere na wappynis, and decyde na prophane accionis. Gif presistis failye in the forsaid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Church discipline, especially as it affected the personal conduct of clergymen and ecclesiastics, was a bone of contention throughout the early stages of the whole European Reformation, and Boece's remarks probably reflect actual practices of which he strongly disapproved. Lax behaviour among orthodox Churchmen provided Scottish Protestants with a veritable gold-mine of material which they could, and did, turn to the purposes of satirical attack, but one should not make the mistake of thinking that the Reformation, anywhere, was simply about ecclesiastical misconduct. As I have suggested, Reformers (and conscientious Catholics like Boece) were by no means averse to condemning it, but the core of Reform was undoubtedly deeply doctrinal, not behavioural. (This is something Dr. John Durkan apparently forgets (58)). Prose like the above has its most coherent
Protestant counterpart in the Reformation Euke of Discipline and we shall compare passages after analysing the stylistic features and variants of the above translations.

Boece and the anonymous author emphasise the priest's duty to 'interpret ye holy writ to ye pepill' / 'augenda religionis studio populum instituerent sacre dogmate' but Bellenden has nothing much to say about this aspect of religious life. However, even the Boece text implies quite clearly that the authority to interpret scripture lies exclusively with the priests. Naturally, any Protestant opponents would reply that such an arrangement perpetuated an impenetrable power-structure. This very power-structure was of course circumvented by Tyndale, and by Coverdale's first translation of the whole Bible in 1535: hence the fierce opposition to vernacular scriptures.

Interestingly, Boece deprecates Church involvement in military actions, although he rather cleverly suggests immunity instead of duty. Bellenden says the church should be 'exoneritt', the 'Mar Lodge' 'privilegit'. The latter reading is the more positive, but both seem equally legitimate. Bellenden, however, writes simply that priests should 'bere na wappynis'. Both Boece and the 'Mar Lodge' are much more precise about this; they 'suld bare nane armis invasive' / causa arma non ferrent . . .'. This implies that defensive action is legitimate; a reading which Bellenden's version does not permit. Knox was much concerned with these issues and in favour of the sword of
just defence. 'Armis invasive', however, implies international war, Knox only dealt with the legitimacy of civil revolution in his writings.

On the whole what impresses us about the passages is their sincerity, although Boece only addresses the most anodyne (and perhaps the most decadent) of the priests' sensual attractions (nourishing horses and hounds) and his proposed disciplinary programme sounds too simple. Even so, Boece and the 'Mar Lodge' version are more concerned with the well-being of the Christian congregation than Bellenden and both of them fasten onto the notion that the 'pepill' may be 'hurt' by bad 'exempill' / 'quo minus Christiano populo multaretur'. Again, Bellenden comes off the worse for this kind of comparison. At such crucial points, when a lot depends on keeping the sense of the original, the anonymous version is almost definitely to be preferred over Bellenden's.

The Buke of Discipline, is contained at the end of Book III in Knox's Historie of the Reformation 'to the end that the Posteriteis to come may juge alsweill quhat the wardlingis refused' (Laing II: 181-182). (Knox, of course, is only a co-author of this work) (59). Prose which most resembles Boece's, but which reflects a much more sophisticated and carefully planned system of ecclesiastical government is the following (cf. Proverbs 6:14, 19, Exodus 20:16)
Yea, the Seniouris aught to take heyde to the life, manneris, deligence, and.study of thair Ministeris. Yf he be worthie of admonitioun, they must admonische him; of correctioun, thei must correct him. And yf he be worthy of depositioun, thay with consent of the Churche and Superintendent may depose him, so that his cryme so deserve. . . . Some crymes deserve depositioun for ane tyme, and whill the persoun gyf declaratioun of gretar gravitie and honestie: as yf a minister be deprehendit drunk, in brawling or fechting, ane oppin sklanderar, ane infamer of his nychtbour, factious and sawar of discord, he may be commandit to cease from his ministrie, till he declair the signis of repentance . . . (Laing II: 235)

There is a deceptive simplicity and a directness about this, which owes much to the structural formula parallelismus membrorum: 'yf he be worthy of X' (noun) . . . 'thei must Y' (related verb) . . . 'him', and which becomes more complex as justificatory material becomes necessary: ('yf he be worthie of X' . . . 'thei with' (authorisation) ' . . . may Y' (related verb), (justification), . . . ('until')'.

The passage is very particular about the nature of 'crymes', although there is a balance between generalisation and specificity which is carefully achieved. And the 'crymes' are far more basic than those outlined in Boece. One might say that the Reformation encouraged a new realism. There is no mention of pecuniary punishment and this is a case in point. A wealthy minister who could afford to pay fines ought to be a contradiction in terms. (Noteworthy stylistic features are 'yea', which is an encouraging and a positive reaction signal, and also there is a more accurate use of the verb 'deprehendit' (compare p.52).
If the two versions of Boece's *Chronicles* stand independently within an incipient Scots vernacular historiographical tradition, consummated by Knox's *Historie of the Reformation*, three subsequent works of prose *Ane Resonyng of ane Scottis and Inglis Merchand betwix Rowand and Lonis*, *The Complaynt of Scotland* and *James Harryson, a Scottishman's Exhortacion to the Scottes to conform to the Will of Englannde* (which display a more intimate authorial connection and an urgently shared, very topical political standpoint) in some ways represent yet another, more complex historiographical tradition, which also has certain parallels in Knox.

*Ane Resonyng* can be attributed safely to William Lamb, *The Complaynt* with some probability to Robert Wedderburn, and they can both be dated very specifically to the late 1540s (although *The Complaynt* as we now have it, may have been modified after the immediate issues it addressed ceased to be urgent) (60). This, then, is a full fifteen years after Bellenden and on the very periphery of the period to which Knox's writings belong (1548 - 1571). Unlike Knox, both authors were pro-Catholic and anti-English, but the latter emotion more than the former was, of course, exacerbated by Henry VIII's 'rough wooing' in the mid-fifteen forties. Both authors, however, were effective propagandists (and this they share with Knox); Lamb's work is a response to, as well as a reiteration of, the English Government's tract *A Declaration, conteyning the just causes*
and consideration of this present warre with the Scottis
wherin also appeareth the trewe & right title, that the
kings most royall majesty hath to the soueraynte of
Scotlande (1542), while James Harryson a Scottishman's
Exhortacion is, apparently, a Scotsman's pro-English
contribution to the same argument. Linguistically, this
work is closest to John Johnson's, and provides us with our
second example of an apparently anglicised Scot before Knox.
Furthermore it contains one highly controversial pro-
Protestant and anti-French passage which anticipates exactly
the sentiments of the Reformer:

And what madness or deuill (O moste dere countremen)
hath so moused, or rather distracte our myndes,
eftsoones to take weapon in hand, and thesame [sic]
againstoure promises, fidelities, honours, and
others, havyng on oure side, no good ground, honestie,
reason, ne any luste respecte, but onely of the
provocation of the deuill, the pope, and his rable of
religious men (as thei would seeme to be) & specially
those, whom we cal our auncient frendes, where thei
are in deede our auncient enemies, ye Frenchemen (61).

The thoroughness, directness and logical tones of these
lines place the work closer to Ane Resonyng than to The
Complaynt, although the insistence does recall the latter.
But if nothing else, Harryson's Exhortacion testifies to a
less nationalistic world-view which is beginning seriously
to question traditional assumptions in the light of rather
more basic human values.
The Complaynt itself is a more important exercise, however. It is, for the most part a lively translation of Alan Chartier's Le Quadrilogue Invectif although its author gives the impression, at least, of being a monster of erudition. C.S. Lewis's appreciation appears full of a wonderful enthusiasm which gets the better of his judgement: 'Here, unforetold, unsucceeded, unexplained, tricked out in all its heterogeneous ornaments as in jewels 'that were the spoils of provinces', what we call the 'Renaissance' has come dancing, shouting, posturing, nay, as it were, sweating, into Scots prose' (62). As I have suggested, Lewis overrates The Complaynt as a precursor of the Renaissance (and its author does not 'transform' Chartier). In the same vein, Lewis implies that the author's evident social concerns are, for the most part, independent from Chartier. Again this is untrue. Katharine Frith more correctly identifies its importance as 'one of the earliest pieces of literature to combine a plea for the reform of Scotland with a warning from prophecy' (63). The following passage for instance could easily have been written by Knox (and has no parallel in Le Quadrilogue):

It is vrityne in the xxviii of deutronome thir vordis. Gyf thou obeyis nocht the voce of the Lorde thy gode, ande kepis nocht his ordinance thir maledictions shal cum on the, thou sal be cursit on the feildis, thou sal be cursit in the cite, the lord sal send maledictione ande tribulatione on al thy byssynes the lord sal sende pestilens on the, the heyt fevir, droutht, the sourde, tempest and all eull seiknes, and he sal persecut the, quhil he hef gart the perise.
This seems to be the author's direct translation (from The Vulgate) of Deuteronomy 28: 15-16, 21-22, 30-31), and he quite blatantly applies Scriptural prophecies to contemporary events. Admittedly this is a couple of years after George Wishart's address to Haddington, which we shall consider in Chapter V, but the author is still breaking comparatively new ground (and, in any case, Wishart's impact was almost purely oral and ephemeral). Ironically, this ground was already being absorbed into the Protestant tradition by the more enduring efforts of Knox and David Lindsay. Thus The Complaynt now stands out in stark relief. However, one paradox which it successfully avoids, is, as we have seen, the use of Protestant translations of Scripture. Archbishop Hamilton's Catechism, on the other hand, which is thoroughly Catholic, is manifestly the debtor of such translations. Furthermore, even allowing for the close connection between The Complaynt and Ane Resonyng, Lamb's work owes almost nothing to Scripture, in any form.

It would be misleading to give the impression that The Complaynt was made up only of fiery jeremiads from the Old
Testament, but as we can see, it is also misleading to present assessments like Professor Lewis's. Without doubt, the work represents the high watermark of Middle Scots art prose, but one has to exercise caution and judgement. In addition to its serious prophecy, it also contains some serious satire and again, a link with Lindsay, specifically his *Satire of the Thrie Estaitis* is pronounced. For instance, in Chapter VII, Dame Scotia, who is derived from Chartier's Lady France, observes her three sons, the three estates, walking across barren fields:

**Le Quadriloge Invectif**

Et a celle heure
apperceut trois de ses
enfans, l'un estant
droit en armes appuyé
sur sa hasche, effrayé
et songeux, l'autre en
vestement long sur un
siège de costé, escoutant
et taissant, le tiers, en
vil habit, reversé sur
la terre, plaintif et
langoureux. Comme doncques
elle les eust choisiz à
l'œil indignée en son
haut courage, vers eulx
les prist a reprendre de
leur oiseuse lacheté
par paralles entrerompues
souvent de dououreux
soupirs qui de cœur
adollé lui mouroient,
leur disant en ceste
maniere . . . (65).

**The Complaynt**

... sche persauit
cummand touart hyr,
thre of hyr aven nativue
natural sonnis. The
eldest of them vas in
harnes, traland ane
halbert, behynd hym,
beand al affrayit ane
fleyit for dreddour of
his lyye. The second
of hyr sonnis vas sittand
in ane chair, beand
clethd in ane sydegoune,
kepand grite grauité,
heffand ane beuk in his
hand, the glaspis var
fast lokkyt vitht rouste.
hyr yongest sone vas
lyand plat on his syde
on the cald eird, ande
al his clathis var
reyyn ande raggit,
makand ane dolorous
lamentations and ane
piteous complaynt. he
tuke grite pane to
ryise up on his feit,
but he vas sa grevouslye
ouer set be violsen
that it vas nocht
possibl til hym to
stand rycht up (66).
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In *The French Background to Middle Scots Literature*, Janet M. Smith focuses on this passage, and observes that 'the Scottish author has made an odd confusion by first saying that the three sons were coming towards the lady, and then that one was sitting in a chair and one was lying on the ground. He could have no clear mental picture. Chartier's imagery is perfectly clear . . .' (67). Smith is right about the oddness of the image but perhaps too ready to assign such differences to incompetence on the part of the Scots author. Detailed studies of the relationship between the two works show that the translator was consistently idiosyncratic in his use of Chartier (68), and this could only be the product of deliberate design. His 'confused' picture is artistically stimulating, in the same way that a Cubist painting is stimulating. Arguably, Dunbar uses a similar technique in *The Golden Targe*. A less puzzling difference is the author's addition of detail such as a book (the Bible, of course) which the second son, the spiritual estate, has allowed to fall into desuetude. The implication is perfectly obvious. Another is *The Complaynt*'s use of 'the eldest . . . the sycond . . . hyr yongest' which has been adapted from 'l'un . . . l'autre . . . le tiers' (the one . . . the other . . . the third). The use of two adjectives in the superlative degree ('eldest', 'yongest' instead of cardinal and ordinal numbers, carries with it connotations which the author may have intended. Since Dame
Scotia remonstrates the sons for their abject failure in all things, being the eldest involves a greater degree of responsibility than the other sons (if we take the family structure seriously). In the context of the above passage, being the 'yongest' as well as the most ill-treated of the sons, involves a heightening of pathos. Moreover, this son is not only the youngest and lying 'sur la terre', he is 'on the caid eird'. The scene is becoming more and more tailor-made.

On the reverse side of the coin, there are stylistic omissions in The Complaynt. For instance, Chartier uses three doublets at strategic points; 'effraye et songeux', 'escoutant et taissant' and 'plaintif et langoureux'. Only the first and third of these have aesthetic equivalents in 'al affrayit ande fleyit for dreddour' and 'reyun and raggit' although the former is an exaggeration and the latter not a translation. The phrase 'en vil habit' would seem to have stimulated it. Moreover, the rhythms of the The Complaynt are marred by participial excess: 'cummand', 'traland', 'sittand', 'beand', 'kepand', 'heffand', 'makand', which begins to irritate the reader after a while, but the ultimate impression is that the author retains his individuality by offering a quirky, perhaps even mischievous translation, which cocks a snook at Chartier as well as augmenting relevant details.

Compared to The Complaynt, Lamb's Ane Resonyng is much less eccentric, and much more disappointing as literature.
As the self-explanatory title suggests, the work takes the form of a dialogue, although it is a manifestly stage-handled affair for propaganda purposes. Similar, rather wooden dialogues were becoming commonplace in England, but like *The Complaynt*, *Ane Resonyng* also has continental parallels, although they are more tenuous than those of a direct translation. It has been suggested that the form as we find it in Lamb probably originated within Lutheran traditions of polemical composition in Europe, particularly those associated with the German poet and so-called Meistersinger, Hans Sachs, who wrote seven such dialogues. (69). However, the genre is not altogether unknown in Scottish polemical prose. For instance, *The Rich Vay to the Kingdome of Haune* contains 'Ane schort disputacione apone the pater noster betuix god and ye saul' (from Pedersen's 'En stacked vdtydning paa Pater noster mellem Gud oc Sielen') but this, of course, also originated in other Lutheran circles (70). By comparison, Lamb's debate, staid as it generally is, appears much more lively, since it is permeated by the perennial antipathy between the Scots and English. One point where the 'Inglis' merchant seems to get the upper hand is with the following riposte (although, as we might expect, such instances are rare):

*Inglis*: Giff I will say the craw is blak, than you will say that sche is quytt! Quhat man, dois it nocht appeir be historie how ye Scottis practizate to steill out ofoure thesaurie diverss of choiss instrumentis, quhilk neuer-the-les wes eftir recoverit agane? (71).
The 'Inglis' man's exasperated criticism is compellingly realised through broad humour, a familiar address ('Quhat man'), and through the more penetrating accusation which follows. A more typical clash comes with the following, where the Scottish merchant puts forward the evidence of the Italian humanist and historian of England, Polydore Virgil, (or P.V. Castellensis), who was the author of the Historia Anglica, and he finishes off with an allusion to Boece.

Your buik of weir referris the probatioun of thir depositionis and restitutionis of Kyngis to be nobill men that wes present at that tyme in the Cokfeild besyd Westminister bot, nebour,oure Boece, historiane, / writis that this first Macolme was nocht crownit kyng of Scotland quhill the jmlxj yeir of Christ, and swa his sone Macolme maid homage to Sanct Edward fyve yeiris of his fader wes kyng of Scotland! (72).

Earlier in Ane Resonyng, Lamb made at least one of the 'Scottis' man's intentions abundantly clear: 'I intend to impugn euerie ane of thir pretendit homagis be Polidor, your awin liturate, autentik historiane, and gif neid beis I shall impung be ane cuning, grave and diligent ancient air callit Hectour Boece, our trew historiane' (73). Lamb's use of Polydore, of course, affords him a double-edged sword. Virgil was an acknowledged English historian whose work had been undertaken upon the insistence of Henry VII, and thus, he could hardly have been accused of bias, but his account of things disagreed with A Declaration. Lamb was
therefore able to undercut the English argument quite consistently. His estimation of Boece as 'our trew historiciane' sounds na"ive and wildly optimistic, but a close examination of *Ane Resonyng* shows that Lamb was carefully circumspect in his use of this source. Lamb's style appears fired with enthusiasm but is otherwise unremarkable, apart from its legal terminology ('probatioun', 'depositionis', 'restitutionis'). At any rate, we have seen enough to recognise that, while it is an intelligent and well informed propaganda exercise, in terms of style and content, one cannot claim major importance for *Ane Resonyng*. Like Knox, Lamb uses sources and a method of attack which his opponents could not but acknowledge as authentic and authoritative (for Lamb there was Polydore Virgil, for Knox, a whole range of material from the Bible to the Church Fathers and his own experience of Catholic practice). Unlike Knox's work and *The Complaynt of Scotland* there is no appeal to prophecy or call for reform, and here *Ane Resonyng* certainly falls short, even if there are appealing literary ideas behind it (ideas which, it must be suggested, never quite come to fruition) (74). Like *The Mercurie of Wyssdome* and *The New Testament in Scots*, *Ane Resonyng* unfortunately lies within a manuscript tradition, so its influence was necessarily minimal or non-existent, but it should be remembered that Knox's *Historie of the Reformation* also lies within such a tradition, at least in Scotland.
In this chapter, we have been dealing with prose works of which Knox himself seems to have been ignorant (although Scotorum Historie is an evident exception). However, he was certainly not ignorant of the political, historical and religious environment which formed many of the works (this time with the probable exception of The Mercure of Wyssdome). Thus, while it is possible that he was ignorant of the final work which this chapter examines, Archbishop John Hamilton's Catechism, he certainly knew of Hamilton himself, as The Historie of the Reformatiuon attests. In Book I, Knox says that Hamilton 'the Abbot of Paslay . . . was called 'chaster then any madyn' ' (Laing I: 124) but, to be sure, he didn't think very highly of him, as the subsequent narrative, which relates to the year 1543, suggests:

In this meantyme, arryves from France to Scotland the Abbot of Paislay, called bastard brother to the Governour, (whome yitt many esteamed sone to the old Bischope of Dunkelden, called Crychtoun,) and with him Maister David Panteyr, (who after was maid Bischope of Ross). The brut of the learnyng of these two, and thare honest lyiff, and of thare fervencye and uprychtnes in religioun, was such, that great esperance thare was, that thare presence should haif bene confortable to the Kirk of God. For it was constantlye affirmed of some, that without delay, the one and the other wald occupy the pulpete, and trewly preach Jesus Christ. But few dayis disclosed thair hypocrisye, for what terrouris, what promisses, or what enchanting boxis thei brought fra France, the commoun people knew not . . . (Laing I: 105).

David Laing was less critical of Hamilton and says that 'the 'Catechisme' which usually passes under his name, from
having been printed at his expense, at St. Andrews, in 1552, exhibits a solitary instance on the part of the Roman Catholic clergy to convey spiritual instruction, and is most creditable to his memory' (75). The Catechism itself is a weighty volume expounding the Ten Commandments, the Creed and the seven sacraments (not two, as the Protestants would have it). A.F. Mitchell has demonstrated that it is largely modelled on an earlier continental catechism prepared under Herman von Wied and entitled Enchiridion Christianæ Institutionis (the Cologne Enchiridion of 1536) (76), and in 'The Cultural Background in Sixteenth Century Scotland' Dr. John Durkan has argued against the traditional attribution of authorship to John Winram, in favour of Friar Richard Marshall, who was a master at St. Andrews (77). Interestingly, Mitchell also points out that the work exhibits some traces of Lutheran arrangement-patterns in the Commandments section and elsewhere (78).

In its immediate context, The Catechism is the Catholic counterpart to Gau's The Richt Vay (79) and it is this text with which it has most in common. Its source is John Gropper in Cologne, an ecumenical Catholic, and it is not uncompromising to Protestants. Even so, some passages display a firmness of tone derived from religious orientation:

A man yat is geuin to heresie, eftir the first and second monitoun flie and forbeir his company, and knaw yat he yat is siclike is subvertit and synnis, euin dammit be his awin judgement, for alemiekle as he resaiuit the fayth as trew and Catholike & eftirhend
in sum part he gangis fra it, & impugnis it. Or we may say yat he is damnit be his awin judgement, yat is to say, he is damnit afore God, throu his awin, or for his awin electioun, wilfulnes and stifnes of his hart & will nocht leuie his herisie and return to the catholike faith (80).

The Catechism's system of belief is even more evident in this:

The discipillis of our salviour, & the haly Apostillis haiffand knowlege of ye misteryis of Christ, hes decretit and ordanit in the feirfull and leiffand misteryis, remembrance suld be maid for yame quhilk hes sleppit faithfully, as he mycht say plainly, that the halye Apostillis of Jesus Christ, ordanit that the saulis quhilk departis of yis warld in the leiffand faith of Jesus Christ, yat yai suld be helpit be remembrance had for yame in the Mes (81).

While on the one hand, Protestants did not deny the 'Church' a right to extirpate heresy (82), they would contend over both a definition of the Church and the nature of heresy itself. However, when the Catechism mentions 'heresie', what we call 'Protestantism' undoubtedly falls into the domain which it sets up for the word. On the other hand, the second passage needs no such explanation. The Catechism recommends 'praying for the saulis departit' and no Protestant would countenance the practice in any form (you need the book of Machabees for that, and they had excluded it from the canon). Furthermore, the first passage relies on the authority of an ecclesiastical mandate, while the second is hypothetical and the writers may well be on shaky ground, at least in so far as they attempt to justify
their belief from Scripture. Of course, the literary critic need not deny the legitimacy of Catholic tradition as sixteenth century Protestants did, and in this tradition there is surely justification of The Catechism, but if we are concerned with the authors' ability to argue a case given certain strict parameters, one cannot help but sense weakness in the argument here. At any rate, we have established the doctrinal orientation of The Catechism. What is the overall literary impression that it gives? Mitchell says that 'the style . . . is good, its illustrations and similes are generally well chosen' (83) and he is right. The most impressive use of figurative language comes with the examples of the torch (Fol. lxxviii), the mirror (Fol. lxxix) and the compass (Fol. lxxxii) but the example of the urinal (Fol. lxxx) may strike modern readers as somewhat vulgar, or at least, out of place. Here is the first of the four, which forms part of the exposition of the Commandments:

First christin pepill, ye suld use the law of God as ye wald use an Torche quhen ye gang hayme to your house in a myrke nycht, for as the Torche or Bowat schawis you lycht to descerne the rycht waie hame to your house, fra the wrang way, and also to descerne the clein way, fra the foule way. Evin sa aucht ye to use ye law or command of God, as a Torche, Bowat or Lantern. For doutles, the law of God giffis ye lycht of knawledge to descerne your hie way hayme to your dwelling place in hevin, fra the wrang way yat leidis to hel (84).
This is a fine example of homiletic skill, and even if, as Knox suggests, Hamilton did not preach publicly, The Catechism certainly supplies the deficit. There are clear markers which facilitate the gradually shifting emphasis, from introduction, to application, to comparison, to substantiation ('first . . .', 'for as . . .', 'Evin sa . . .', 'for doubts'). And there is a use of antithesis ('Torch lycht / myrkness', 'rycht way / wrang way', 'clein way / foule way') and parallelism (note the triple use of 'descerne'). But the most distinctive feature about the passage is its use of an everyday (or more accurately, an every night!) situation, in language which is recognisably Scottish. Moreover this language seems to work on two levels. The item 'bowat', for instance, has the obvious meaning of 'torch'. It is frequently used as part of curfew stipulations such as this one, from the Edinburgh Records: 'At na persouns be fund on the hie gait fra ix houris at euin furth, without ane lanterne or bowat in his hand' (85). When used metaphorically, however, it often takes on more profound philosophical connotations. Here is such an example from The Mercure of Wyssdome: 'His owne ressoune that is . . . his bowatt to lead him fra myrkness . . . .' (86). The same kind of dual application comes into play with the phrase 'hie way'. On the surface it simply means a public path, but even so, it also has a place in the language of popular piety. The Gude and Godlie Ballatis for instance, use the phrase in metaphors relating to
Christian misconduct: 'Quhen fra the hie way I go wrang . . . ' (87), so obviously, the authors choose their language carefully.

Such then is the literary, political and religious environment in which Knox's own prose took shape. As we have seen, prose writings before Knox were by no means insignificant, and those works which actually passed into circulation must have heightened the religious and political awareness of their readers. To be sure, different works were designed by their authors to arouse different sympathies, and this must have produced cross and under-currents, but the literary atmosphere was lively, there is no doubt. In one or two instances, we can be very specific about the influence of earlier prose on Knox's work, but we must conclude that he was probably ignorant of the less well-known works which this chapter considers. (This does not mean, however, that Knox was unaware of existing Scottish literature or unconscious of literary trends). Connections can be made between Knox and the earliest original Scots prose, and when Lutheran works began to infiltrate Scotland the connection became both literary and ideological. In the 1530s, the historiographical genre became popular in the vernacular and Knox fully inherited the tradition. In the 1540s and early 1550s Catholic prose came into its own with three fine works which enhanced the polemical, hortatory and homiletic milieu that existed in Scotland, and in a sense, anticipated or pre-empted the call
for Reform. It is with all of these works in mind that one can fully appreciate how Knox's *Historie of the Reformation* took shape.
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Chapter Two

THE PROSE OF KNOX:
ADMONITORY PUBLIC EPISTLES

As far as we can tell, Knox's own literary career began in 1547, shortly before he was called to preach in the castle of St. Andrews (an episode which we shall explore more fully in chapter five). In *The Historie*, Knox tells how he had entered the castle in the same year, as tutor 'of some gentilmenes childrene, whome certane yearis he had nurished in godlynes' (Laing I: 185) but before long he saw fit to exercise his talents in other areas. It seems that a certain Dean John Annand (whom Knox later castigated with a much quoted phrase) had 'long trubled' the Protestant preacher John Rough and 'the said Johne Knox had fortified the doctrine of the Preachear by his pen'. According to Knox (a man sometimes less modest than Ireland's wise clerk) he:

had beattin the said Dean Johne from all defences, that he was compelled to fly to his last refuge, that is, the authoritie of the Church, 'Which authoritie, (said he) damned all Lutheranes and heretikes; and tharefoir he nedith no farther disputatioun'. (Laing I: 188).

To be sure, *The Historie of the Reformatioun* contains most, if not all of this first literary gesture (see Laing I: 188-
189) and most of the text of Knox's subsequent sermon, which he was called to preach, but Knox's writing does not enter into print until the following year, when, as a prisoner in the French galleys, he composed his first admonitory public epistle, addressed to those who had been with him in the Castle. The text is contained in Laing III:5-11, which we shall use for reasons of convenience.

Although very short by the standards of Knox's later published texts (it runs only to some two thousand two hundred words in length), An Epistle to the Congregation of St. Andrews (so, Laing) is particularly important for two reasons. It is the first in a series of such works and it is clearly written according to certain recognisable rhetorical principles which are maintained through the Knox canon. The letter contains two distinct sections (see Laing III: 5-8, paragraph 2 inclusive, then paragraph 3 to the end of the work). In the first section Knox discourses on a general biblical theme: that the afflicted are in fact instruments of God's glory (an old theme to modern readers perhaps, but to Knox's congregation who were redefining their Christian heritage, surely an absorbing one). He tells his audience that one or two scriptural examples will suffice in order to prove such a theme, and already we can see that he takes little for granted unless it has a scriptural sanction. Of course we have already met this use of a constant referential base in Gau's Richt Vay, but Knox is not writing a Protestant Catechism, like the earlier
reformer. That would come later. He is communicating as a known individual to an intimate group of his own acquaintance and his model is surely the 'grand epitres pauliniennes' (1). (Unlike Dean Annand, however, he makes no reference to prescriptive ecclesiastical authority, and a definite shift in popular religious orientation is epitomised here). In fact he offers three biblical parallels to his readers, the narrative of Joseph's affliction in Genesis 37: 5-30, Satan's introduction of idolatry into Israel (which has many possible sources) and the example of the Apostles' confusion after the crucifixion of Christ in Acts 11:19: 'They that were scatred abrode thorow ye trouble yat rose' (Coverdale).

The second part of the letter suggests a similarity between these and current events with special implications both for Knox and his Congregation. He goes on to speak highly of Henry Balnaves's treatise On Justification by Faith (which we shall consider in chapter four) as a manifest sign of God's work amongst them, before he concludes by reassuring the readers that the Lord will visit the wicked with retribution.

This, then, is the overall structure of the epistle. Its real literary value, however, emerges when we analyse specific passages in order to establish something of the flavour of the prose. One of the things which is immediately evident is Knox's use of long periodic sentences, and the most weighty, elaborate and profound is
the first. As Janton writes 'un court préamble comprend l'adresse et une formule de bénéédiction' (2):

Blessed bee God, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, whose infinite goodness and incomprehendable wisdome, in every age, so frustrate the purpose and maketh of none effect, the slight of Sathan, that the same things, which appeare to be extreme destruction to the just, and damage to the small flocke of Jesus Christ by all men's expectation (yea, and Sathan himselfe) by the mercy of our good God, are turned to the laude, praise and glorie of his own name, utilitie and singular profite of his Congregation, and to the pleasure, confort, and advancement of them that suffer (Laing III: 5).

When confronted with such an introduction modern readers may find it necessary to read the sentence over two or three times before they can comprehend its overall sense, but once they have done so, initial difficulties disappear, and its eloquence will come to impress. Perhaps the best word to describe it is 'pregnant'. In terms of sixteenth century rhetoric this passage probably comes closest to a propositio, or a brief introductory section, which outlines what is to follow in the pamphlet (3). Knox manages to express complex theological notions with much greater control than, say, Ireland, at his most theological (even if Ireland was never compelled to treat such a personal theme) and he is combining the strains of a doxology with those of exhortation, producing material which is simultaneously devotional and potentially very practical. Although his overall model is the epistles of Paul, here it seems that he began by meditating on 1 Peter 1: 3 'Blessed be God,
and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, which according to his great mercy hath begotten us . . . ' (Coverdale), before incorporating probable references to Psalm 33:10 and Romans 15:11. ('The Lord bryngeth the council of the Heathen to none effect' (Great Bible) . . . 'Praise the Lord all ye Gentiles, and laude him all ye nations.' (Coverdale). The first reference, to 1 Peter, concerns us here, because as we shall see in chapter five, Knox had adopted the role of Peter in the WISHART NARRATIVE, now contained in The Historie of the Reformation (see Laing I: 125-171, especially p. 139) immediately prior to this entrance into the Castle).

The sentence itself is dominated by a great number of highly abstract nouns (such as 'goodness', 'wisdom', 'age', 'purpose', 'effect', 'destruction', 'expectation', 'mercy', 'laude', 'praise', 'glory', 'utility', 'profit', 'pleasure', 'comfort' and 'advancement') which he often couples with equally abstract adjectives ('infinite' and 'incomprehensible'). Thus, Knox's language moves one's attention away from present suffering (his own as a galley slave and perhaps that of the persecution which early Protestants faced in Scotland on the part of his readers), and redirects it towards an ethereal, even celestial, plane. The majority of his lofty phrases occur towards the end of the passage, which is its most important phase, given the overall periodic structure which Knox favours.
His reason for using such a structure is to stimulate simultaneously a state of mental fixity, an expectation of fulfilment on the reader's part, and to tease these out as long as possible without overdoing things. To achieve such effects, of course, is difficult, but to sustain them properly without exasperating the reader is harder. Suspending one's sense for too long is an obvious danger for any prose writer and a fine degree of judgement is necessary.

Not that Knox's epistles were made up solely of this kind of rhetoric; if this were the case he could no more hold his audience than a Shakespeare who was constantly soliloquising. In what follows we can see him progressively shortening his sentences and tightening his syntax as he comes to the important point where he can turn to his scriptural examplars:

Now the name of the onely living God hath beene magnified in all ages by them which were sore troubled, by persecution of tyrants, exiled from their owne countrey, long were to rehearse.

Yet one or two principall will wee touche, for probation of our words foresaid (Laing III:5).

It is at this stage that we realise Knox has constructed his opening paragraph very carefully. The second sentence, which is also periodic, but less complex than the first, culminates with dubitatio. The orator gives the impression that his matter is so multifarious that he does not know
where to begin; long it 'were to rehearse . . .'. The directness of the final sentence is, in the light of this, particularly striking. Knox then acts as editor, choosing from a vast range, such subject matter as will edify his readers, without them having to go to any great bother.

The second part of the epistle is less impersonal, but more overtly hortatory than the type of prose we encounter above. Here are two such examples:

Therefor, most deare Brethren (so call I all professing Christes Evangell), continue in that purpose which yee have begun godly; though the battell appeare strong, your Captaine is unexpugnable: To him is given all power in heaven and earth. Abide, stand and call for his support; and so the enemies which now affraye you, shortly shall be confounded, and never againe shall appeare to molest you. (Laing III:9).

The mood of familiaritas or syntomia is clearly Knox's goal, as he increases the personal intensity of the letter, and he also echoes Matthew 28:18 and Galatians 3:3. (The following passage has, in the context of Knox studies, at least, become rather famous):

Consider, Brethren, it is no speculative Theolog which desireth to geve you courage, but even your Brother in affliction, which partly hath experience what Sathan's wrath may doe against the chosen of God. Rejoyse (yet I say) spiritually, and be glad; the time of the battell is short, but the reward is eternal (Laing III:10).

The optimism and warm confidence of these leaves a deep impression, not least because Knox is intent on removing all
troublesome barriers between himself and his audience. In the first, he even includes a definition of 'brethren' to assure others that they fall into such a category; in the second he tells them that he is no academic theologian, happily dispensing advice on matters of which he has no real experience. Rather, he is on equal footing in every way (in fact, he probably had more experience of affliction than they, but he tactfully omits to mention this). The mood of the prose recalls Johnson's work _An Comfortable Exhortation_ which we considered in chapter one, but to be sure, there is something different; Knox's language is full of military terminology ('battell', 'captaine', 'ennemies'). This is not altogether new, in fact it is of great antiquity in Christian thought. It still survives in many well known Christian hymns. The Reformation, however, _did_ rediscover the idea (it was, after all, the age which enthroned the Old Testament and St. Paul.) Erasmus's _Enchiridion Militis Christianæ_ (Handbook of the Christian Soldier), a cult work in the sixteenth century, was not idly named. This work went through two editions in 1503 and 1509, to a rather luke-warm reception, but suddenly found a vast audience on the eve of the continental Reformation. Knox must have encountered this sort of language as a student of the Vulgate Bible in his youth, but he probably first heard it in action, in the vernacular, on the lips of his mentor, George Wishart, in the 1540s. Chapter five deals in greater detail with Wishart's enduring influence on Knox, but even after
his very first sermon, which of course, predates the above letter, Knox was calling himself 'God's weak soldeour' (Laing I:201). The attractions of this kind of thing are obvious; militancy has a wide appeal, it transforms religious belief into high drama and allows the intermingling of two different facets of human experience.

In addition to this, like St. Paul in Acts 27:22 ('and now I exhorte you to be of good cheare, for there shal none of our lyues perishe . . .') (Coverdale), Knox is using boni ominis captatio, or predictions of troubles soon to be overpowered by good, and by rewards for endurance. This fits in nicely with his perceived role as a prophet who has secret access to future events. The syntax too is made to work for good effect in these passages. Verbs come in a memorable pattern of three in the first ('abide, stand and call') evoking different yet parallel responses to danger, while in the second Knox parenthetically splits verb and adverb in a phrase which draws upon the Sermon on the Mount 'Rejoyse (yet I say) spiritually, and be glad . . .' (Matthew 5:12). Knox was apparently afraid that his readers would rejoice in a all-too-worldly fashion, which of course, wouldn't do. At any rate we have seen that An Epistle to the Congregation at St. Andrews contains a rich abundance of deliberative rhetorical techniques, certainly enough to captivate and motivate his first readers.

Knox was released from the galleys in 1549 and, as he says in his Historie 'was first appointed preacher to
Berwick, then to Newcastle; last he was called to London. . . .' (Laing I:231). His second epistolary production, an unpublished letter to his new congregation at Berwick (as we have seen, one of the texts which was unknown to Laing) has never received any literary attention because it is so little known, but this text is a very valuable one, not only in its own right, but also because it allows us access to Knox's hitherto unknown thoughts at a significant phase in his development as a preacher, and because it contains one passage, at least, of profound literary importance (more of this, however, in chapter five).

This text seems to comprise four or five sections (one dealing with the pervasive powers of Satan, one with the disparity between real and merely nominal piety, with the failure of the prophets to move the people, a Pauline definition of the gospel and some personal details about Knox; his physical and mental condition). As we might expect given his changed life-situation he is much less concerned with suffering than he was in his previous epistle, and in his second section he develops a more judgmental theme:

The wheit and dornall growing in one ground, and nurissed with lik moistour and rayne, albeit they be permitted to grow togydder, yitt before the tyms of harvest do bothe shew to the faytheful and vigilant servands of their lorde some tokins and signs how the one is known distinclie from the other. Even so it is in Christis church; for albeit the wicked shall remane in the Lord's fielde and husbandrie, even to the end, yit be synes of some that somtymes appeared godlie so manefest and plane, that we shall cease to wunder when
we shall see them gadered, bound in faggots, and so cast in the fyyr to burn for euir (4).

This shows that as early as 1550 Knox was constructing his homiletic material around Christ's parables; this time it is the 'parable of the tares' in Matthew 13:24-30, 37-43, and we can be certain that many of its symbols such as 'the tyme of harvest' had a lasting influence on his thought (according to Matthew 13:39 this is '... the ende of the worlde' (Coverdale)). (Indeed, in chapter three we shall encounter the same image in his personal correspondence with Mrs. Elizabeth Bowes). Knox's method here is especially important because it unites two strands of rhetorical practice which we have already examined. He combines a parable, such as we find in Gau, with a similitude, such as we find in Hamilton's Catechism. As we shall see in chapter five, Knox had his own sources and models, but here literary facets have surely come together to produce an appeal on two levels: narrative and figurative.

In his third section we can also find formative material, notably it reveals Knox's early preoccupation with two major and related subjects: history and prophecy:

These things are to be seen in the histories and prophecies from the beginning. For in the first aige God cryed 'My spreit shall not strive with men for euir. I will appoint unto him a hundreth and twantye yeres', as God would say, 'I cry by my prophets, I threaten and strive, even as man wer able to resist me; so will I do no more; my worde shall not thus be mokked; I will revenge the dishonour done against my verities, I shall destroy all fleshe by
watter'. Butt, 0 bretherne, who feared these threatnynges and eschaipped the plagues? Noe and his familie — aught persons of the holle world (5).

He is contrasting Genesis 6:3 with 7:23 ('I wil yet geue him respyte an hundreth and twenty yeares' with 'all these were destroyed from the earth, saue Noe onely remained, and they that were with him in the Arke' (Coverdale) but the most striking feature is the prophetic Knoxian paraphrase of God's words, presumably included to clarify things for the benefit of his readers. (This is a feature of his preaching which recurs in similar forms and we shall consider these in his published sermons in chapter four). Knox's 'Butt, I brethrene . . .' in contrast to the affected naivete in the passage that we considered in the introduction, is straightforward in its function and demands an inner response to Knox's personal appeal.

The most compelling passages in John Knox to the Congregation at Berwick, however, are those which deal with Knox's personal thoughts and his self-conception. For instance, he says:

These words I writte with dolor of hart. Amongst my others grevous offences daylie committed against Godd's majestie, no ane do I more lament than yat I for man's pleasure so long resisted the godlie and just request of such as sought my companye, neither for pleasure of flesche nor worldlie dignitie, but onlie for increase of hope and desyre of Godd's everlasting Kingdom . . . Wythe life and deathe, deir brethrene, I am at poynt, they before me in equalle balances. Transitorie life is not so sweet to me that, for defence thairof, I will jeopardy to lose the life everlasting. Nor yit is corporall deathe to me so fearful that, albeit most certainlie I understand
the same to follow my godlie purpose, that yet, thairfore, I wold depone myself to dee in Godd's wrath and anger for euer and euer, which, no doubt, I did yf for manis pleasur I refused Godd's perfitt ordinance . . . This day I am more vile and of low reputation in my awin ees than I was either that day that my feitt was cheyned in the presen of dolor (the galeis I meane), or yit that day that I was delivered by his only providence from the same (6).

For the most part this passage is a confessio; in a purely rhetorical context also known as paramologia or paralogia, but there is much else at work. With this example we can break through to behind the written word and perhaps catch just a glimpse of Knox as he was before he entered the pages of literature. As we shall see in chapter five, Knox began his life in all probability as a devout Catholic (this of course he shares with such figures as Luther, Calvin, Zwingli, Bucer, Bullinger, Melanchthon, Beza, Oecolampadius, Musculus, Pellikan and many more 'fathers' of Protestantism). Orthodox sacramental confession would thus have formed part of his early routine. We do not know how long it took for him to reject Catholicism; perhaps he spent a number of years sub-consciously kicking against the pricks of a new religion. According to Calderwood's History of the Kirk of Scotland it was in 1543 that Knox first 'receaved anie taste of the truthe', from 'Thomas Gwilliam . . . a Blacke frier, borne beside Elstone-furde, in East Lothiane, and provinciall of the Blacke friers of Scotland' (7) and by 1545 he had become Wishart's disciple, to make his own rather splendid entrance into the pages of his Historie
carrying the double-handed sword (see Laing I:137, 139) with which he was entrusted, presumably as a mark of his special zeal. At any rate, it is unlikely that Knox underwent a Lutheran Turmerlebnis experience, since he would probably have told us about it if he had. And this is about all we can say of his conversion. Whatever happened, when his incipient Protestantism deprived him of confession, he probably found himself with a newly awakened belief in salvation through 'faith alone' ('durch Glauben allein / sola fide'). For all his confidence in this redemptive power, however, he seems to have retained vestiges of his old practices. The psychological need to confess, to reach out for a spiritual panacea, has perhaps found its way into prose like the above, and its fullest expression in Knox's letters to Elizabeth Bowes, with whom his relationship was especially intimate. Penance too, which is a logical corollary of confession, may find an 'outgate' in phrases like 'dolour of hart' and 'I lament!'. From these lines we can also tell how deeply Knox had meditated upon his own apparent unwillingness to become a preacher, and when we come to look at The Historie these emotions should become more understandable.

Knox moves into another rhetorically appealing phase, by combining metaphoric language with a partitio: 'With life and deathe, deir brethrene, I am at poynt, they befoir me in equalle balances'. The structure and something of the sentiment reminds us very much of Brutus's famous comment to
Cassius: 'Set honour in one eye, and death i' th' other, / and I will look on both indifferently' (Julius Caesar 1.2.86-87), but Knox's vocabulary comes from a different register. 'At poynt' for instance refers to a position on a scale measuring weight, and this comes to represent any crucial juncture in one's life: 'when it cometh to the poynt ther as strokes shall be gyuen' (8). We have already met something similar in Hamilton's Catechism (see ch. 1, p. 72).

Our next two sentences are made up of interlocking but contrasting statements which we might paraphrase (excluding subordinate clauses) thus: 'Transitory life is not so sweet to me that I will lose everlasting life because of its sweetness / 'Corporal death is not so fearful that I will die under God's wrath because of my fear of it'. Here we have commutatio, a device which was defined in, say, Publius Rutilius Lupus's De Figuris Sententiarum et Elocutionis and later in Angel Day's The English Secretarie.

Knox finishes, as he had begun, in self-deprecation, by providing information about his suffering in the galleys, which is probably more interesting to modern readers than to his contemporaries, and this information probably constitutes some of the evidence which Peter Lorimer regarded on irrefutable proof of Knoxian authorship here.

The Morrice collection also contains Knox's Memorial to the Privy Council, a text which is less impressive than the above, but in it we can detect almost for the first time, Knox's sarcasm. It comes out very clearly in these lines,
in which he ponders on the issue of sitting at the Lord's Supper (echoing *Colossians* 2:3): 

The contempt of Christ's institution and dangers that may ensue if men should sit in the Lord's Table, Jesus Christ, our Lord and Master, in whom all treasures of wisdom and knowledge be hid, did never see nor suspect; for no mention is made in his holy Scriptures that sitting at the table should bring contempt of his institution. Wonder it is that men are become more circumspect and wise than God himself (9).

Using *attributio*, (ironically) attributing the prerogatives of God to man, Knox completes his argument with a dry touch, which, although we have met it briefly in our introduction, we will come to recognise as his most distinctive motif. Of course his real concerns were theological, but words are his weapons; the more deadly that these are the more chance his theology has of establishing itself and surviving in the hostile world of sixteenth century religious controversy.

It was not until mid-1554, however, that Knox's first major admonitory epistles were published. They were *An Admonition or Warning that the faithful Christians in London, Newcastel, Berwycke & others, may avoid God's vengeance, both in this life and in the life to come* (S.T.C. 15059), which includes a colophon 'from Wittomбурge by Nicholas Dorcaster, Anno M.D.liiiii, the viii of May' and *A Godly letter sent to the faithful in London / Newcastell / Barwycke / and to all other within the realme of Englande/ that love the cominge ofoure Lorde Jesus by
This text carries the further information: 'imprinted in Rome, before the Castel of S. Angel, at the signe of saint Peter. In the month of July / in the year of our Lord 1554'. Of course, only the date is reliable: the work was in fact printed in London by Hugh Singleton, and perhaps we should be wary of attributing the 'sardonic imprint' to Knox himself (10). The second of these texts is in fact a revision of the first, and it was the former which found its way into the M'Crie Manuscript and subsequently into Laing's text. The editor refrained from detailed collation and, given the nature of this study, literary criticism of a reasonably reliable single version (Laing's) is better than detailed textual comparisons.

As Knox says in his Historie 'Edward the Saxt, that most godly and most verteous King ... departed the miserie of this lyef the vj of Julij, Anno, & .C. 1553' and thereafter:

Sathan intended nothing less than the light of Jesus Christ utterly to have been extinguished, within the hole Ile of Britannye; for after him was rased up in Goddis hote displeasur, that idolatress Jesabel, mischeuous Marie, of the Spaynyardis bloode; a cruell persecutrix of Goddis people, as the actes of hir unhappy regne can sufficiently witnesse. And into Scotland, that same tyme, (as we have hard,) rang that crafty practisar, Marie of Lorane, than named Regent of Scotland; who, bound to the devocioun of hir two brethrein, the Duck of Gueise, and Cardinall of Lorane, did onlie abyd the opportunitie to cutt the throttis of all those in whom she suspected any knowledge of God to be, within the realme of Scotland. (Laing I: 244).
It was in such a climate and with little option that many Protestants in England, Knox among them, had left the country for the comparative safety of the continent, and ultimately, of Geneva. A Godly Letter itself was addressed from Dieppe where, as Laing says, Knox 'remained until the end of February, 1554' (11). It is hardly surprising that one of his main themes in this work was that the persistence of idolatry under the reign of Edward 'of whome the godless people of England, (for the moste parte,) was not worthy' (Laing I: 244) had brought punishment in the shape of Mary Tudor.

A Godly Letter is both a more carefully constructed and stylistically accomplished text than An Epistle to the Congregation of St. Andrews and the other letters which we have considered, but between 1548 and 1554, Knox had ample opportunity to enhance his literary techniques. To this period one can assign A Vindication of the Doctrine the Sacrifice of the Mass is Idolatry and An Exposition on the Sixth Psalm of David. Again, the best way to approach the text is to examine its basic structure before isolating passages of prose. Knox uses a more complex biblical conceit, in this instance linking the events in Endland, under Edward, with those in Judæa, under Josiah (2 Chronicles 33:25-35). Inherent in such a parallel is the association between reformers and the prophet Jeremiah, who, as Elizabeth Whitley observes, with some enthusiasm, 'also
was a prophet in troubled times, whose book, so full of battle-cries and trumpets had come alive to Knox' (12).

The first phase of the work apparently came about as a refutation of arguments propounded by John Dudley, Earl of Warwick and Duke of Northumberland (13), while the second outlines the dangers inherent in idolatry. As we might expect, 'the Masse' is the subject which triggers this section.

We began our analysis of the St. Andrews epistle by examining Knox's highly contrived (but impressive) opening sentence. Since the kernel of his public letters is often contained in the opening lines, it is logical to begin our analysis of A Godly Letter the same way. It opens:

> When I remember the feirfull threatnyngis of God, pronounced againis realmes and nationis, to whom the lycht of Goddis Word hath bene offerit and contemptuouslie be thame refusit; as my heart unfeanedlie mourneth for your present estaite, Deirlie Belouit in our Saviour Jesus Chryst, so saith the haill poweris of bodie and saull, trembill and schaik for the plagues that ar to cum (Laing II1: 165).

According to the marginal note to the text, added perhaps by the printer, these lines refer to Matthew 10 and Leviticus 26 (presumably 'yf no man wil recaue you, ner heare youre preachinge, departe out of that house or that cite . . . it shall be easyr for ye lande of Sodoma and Gomorra in ye day of judgement' and 'I wyl vyset you shortly with swellynges and feuers . . . ', v.14 and 16 respectively. Knox is sounding a new note. This is not like the highly conceptual
introduction we found in his first letter: he is writing now about what he sees as an imminent crisis of dire proportions and the prose reflects his urgency. Adjectives like 'feirfull' and verbs 'trembill and schaik' are less overstated than we might at first expect. For Knox, like causes produce like effects, and he had scripture as substantiation of that. This is a predictio, though one might argue that it is not specific enough to constitute full blown prophecy, and this is the position we shall adopt (more of this in our final chapter).

Knox becomes more convincing when the parallelism implicit in his choice of biblical conceit becomes antithetical parallelism explicit in his language. Here is one example, although there is a whole host of such passages from which to choose:

Thair King was led by pestilent preistis; who guydis your Quene it is not unknown. Under Zeddekias and his cousaill, the ydolatrie whilk be Josia was suppressit came to the light agane. But more abominabill idolatrie was never in the earth than is that whilk of lait is now set up agane by your Papistis amangis yow . . . (Laing III:187).

In the first sentence Knox uses opposites in terms of possessive adjectives 'thair / your' and main nouns 'King / Quene'. Towards the end he brings in a double negative 'not unknown' (which incidentally, he also uses in the opening to The Historie: 'It is not unknownen, Christian Readar . . .').
to achieve, first of all, litotes, and then brachiepeia, a figure which exploits omission and brevity. In the second sentence he makes a simple, definitive statement concerning Zedekiah's discovery of idolatry. Then, for added emphasis, he points out that the idolatry of the 'papistes', although it is much worse, is (implicitly) encouraged.

In terms of imagery, *A Godly Letter* is one of Knox's most sophisticated pamphlets. This of course is a sweeping statement and demands justification. The following two extracts should supply the deficit. In his *Letter to Berwick*, we saw Knox adapting biblical similitudes, in *A Godly Letter* he fashions his own. These can be deeply attractive (and illustrative at the same time).

> The sunne keipeth his ordinare course, and starteth not back from the West to the South; but when it goeth doune, we lack lycht of the same till it ryse the nixt day towards the Eist agane. And sa it is with the lycht of the Gospell . . . *(Laing III:206).*

Knox goes on to warn, with a sinister fatalism, that if the gospel 'be contempnit, darkness suddenlie followeth' and E.G. Rupp has drawn some significant parallels between this kind of observation and those of Martin Luther, who likewise believed that nations did not have 'infinite last chances' (14). Knox, however, excels the above passage shortly afterwards. He suggests to his audience that only those
devoid of practically every worthwhile human quality ('naturall love', 'fatherlie affection', 'reverence of God . . .' to name but a few) will worship at the Mass. Nevertheless, if such appallingly wretched creatures do exist, and the Mass is maintained (cf. Isaiah 30:26):

Allace! the sunne is gone doune and the lyght is quyte loste; the trompet is ceissit, and idolatrie is placeit in quyetness and rest. But gif God sail strenthen yow . . . then is thair but ane dark mistye cloude overspreid the sunne for ane moment, whilk schortlie sail vanische so that the beames efter sal be seavinfeld mair brycht and amiabill nor thai were befoir. Your patience and constancie sal be a louder trompet to your posteritie than wer all the voyces of the prophets . . . (Laing III:207-208).

Our first passage fulfils all the demands of tempus or chronographia, outlined in Erasmus's De Duplaci Copia Verborum Rerum Commentari Duo as 'the description of time [season], sometimes . . . employed simply for the sake of giving pleasure' (15). The vividness of Knox's description does of course manage to meet this criterion, but that is not the point, as we know. If Knox had thought his works would have been read for pleasure he might have indulged in one of his occasional Pauline ejaculations 'God forbid!' (see Romans 3:4, 6:31, 1 Corinthians 6:15, etc.) but the periodically re-surfacing strands of imagery do stimulate. In the first extract from A Godly Letter we met 'lycht' as a metaphor, then Knox combined it with the 'sun', then with the 'trompet' (our first encounter with one of Knox's central metaphors and one we shall meet again, to be sure)
and finally, with 'cloudes' and 'voyces'. In addition to all this rich and complex, figurative language, Knox sets up a balance between *lamentatio* on the one hand ("allace, the sunne is gone . . .") and *consolatio* on the other ("gif God sail strenthen yow . . ."). Ultimately, it was up to Knox's original readers, which mood would prevail.

Having considered such an impressive literary project as *A Godly Letter* it is something of a disappointment to turn to two shorter letters which took shape around the same time. The first, *An Epistle to his Afflicted Brethren in England*, existed only in manuscript till Laing printed it, and is dated the tenth of May 1554; the second, *A Comfortable Epistell sente to the afflicted Church of Chryst exhortyng them to beare hys crosse wyth pacience, lokyng every houre for hys commyng agayne to the great comfort and consolation of hys chosen, with a prophecy of the destruction of the wycked* was published some three weeks later. There is nothing about it to indicate place of publication or identity of the printer.

As we saw in the introduction, these texts begin in very similar fashion, although as they progress, significant disparities in their argument and method begin to emerge. The former for example sounds at times almost like a diary of travelogue. It is especially useful to biographers since it provides information about a period of Knox's life not very satisfactorily covered in *The Historie* (see Laing I:244) (cf. 3 John 13, Judges 5:18, 2 Samuel 23:7).
My awn estait is this: Since the 28th of Januar, I have travellit through all the congregations of Helvetia, and hes reasonit with all the pastouris and mony other excellentlie learnit men upon sic matters as now I can not commit to wrytting; gladlie I wold be toung or be pen utter the same to Godis glorie, gif I thocht that I myght haue your presence, and the presence of sum other assured men, I wald jeopard my own lyfe to let men see what may be done with a saif conscience in theis dolorous and dangerous dayis; but seing that it can not be done instantlie without danger to utheris than me, I will abyd the tyme that God sail apoynt (Laing III:235).

This is a subtle passage which draws upon prescriptio; description of facts and circumstances apparently not always necessary. Similarly, Knox employs negatio in the latter part, where he tells much by implication, and in fact, by declining to speak. We met a related device in our analysis of the opening passage to An Epistle to the Congregation of St Andrews but here Knox's method is different. There is a veiled compliment in the phrase 'other assured men' and he keeps his readers under the illusion that they are on tenter-hooks. The topics discussed during Knox's many devout and learned conversations with the crème de la crème of Reformation Europe (one of whom was probably Bullinger) (16) must indeed be worth waiting for.

From A Comfortable Epistle, the later text, I have selected a passage which is more declamatory than anything we have encountered so far, because it brings out something of the contrast between the two texts and because it prepares us for the better known Faythfull Admonition made.

For sufficiently they have declared the malice of their myndes. They have violated the law and holy ordinances of the Lord our God. They have opened their mouthes agaynst his eternal veritie. They have exyled his trueth, and established their own lyes. They daylie persecute the innocentes, and stoutly maintaine open murtherers. Their heartes are obdurate, and their faces are become shameles like harlots. (Laing III:244).

This is the first time we have observed Knox consistently using short, terse sentences and here he achieves a staccato effect. Previously, we have focused on his concision as it functions in order to achieve contrast with longer, more prolix sentences. Moreover, placed together like this, they constitute disjunctio or diazeugma. The use of related verbs, particularly, contributes to this effect ('declared', 'violated', 'opened', 'exyled', 'established', 'persecute', 'maintaine'); each occurs at the same place in its respective clause, and helps illustrate 'some gross crime or another' (King Lear Act 1., Sc. 3., 1.5). There is plenty more of this in A Faithful Admonition.

The Admonition is one of the few Knox texts apart from The Historie and The First Blast of the Trumpet ever to have received any critical attention from Scottish critics. This is so, because, historically speaking, it is regarded as one of Knox's most controversial exercises, ultimately
exacerbating the persecution of Protestants who had chosen to remain in England under Mary Tudor. This, however, is a matter more proper for historians who are less intent on sensationalism than their literary colleagues. For now, we are concerned neither with history nor muckraking, but with literature.

In terms of its literary structure, An Admonition is, in fact, Knox's first short masterpiece. Undoubtedly, it contains his most concentrated, sustained and remarkable use of the biblical conceit so far (and more besides, as we shall see). It is built around the account of Christ feeding the five thousand in the Gospels (see Matthew 14:15-21, Mark 6:35-44, Luke 9:12-17, John 6:5-13) with Knox himself portrayed as a disciple entrusted with distributing the bread. (And this is presumably not just a rhetorical figure but also revealing of Knox's fundamental convictions about God's role in history). Complementing this are the narratives of the tempest on the sea of Galilee, of Christ walking on the water and St. Peter's partially successful attempt to do the same (see Matthew 14:24-32). As if this in itself were not enough of a challenging literary task, Knox identifies several 'trigger points' in the narrative at which he finds a way to bring in highly relevant material from the Old Testament to reinforce his overall argument. Consider how he identifies Peter's inability to walk on water as symptomatic of man's lack of faith in general, and then further observes:
And this is a synne common to al the electe and chosen children of God, that when so ever they see a vehement trouble appearing to let them and dryve them backe from the obedience of God, then begynne they to feare and to doute of Goddes power and good wyll . . . With this feare was Abraham stricken . . . This storme saw Moses when . . . And Ezechias sore complaint declareth . . . (Laing III: 313-314).

This piling up of examples represents a technique which we shall examine when we come to the prose contained in The Historie relating Knox's interview with Mary, Queen of Scots, at Loch Leven, in the 1560s. For the time being, however, we shall content ourselves with the observation that Knox's transitions from New to Old Testament never seem too contrived but came across as natural and spontaneous diversions.

Also working within the rhetorical framework of the pamphlet is a vehemence which is extremely compelling, especially when it finds expression like this:

But, O, thou beast! I speake to you Winchester, more cruel than any tygre, Shal neither shame, neyther feare, neither benefytes receyued brydel thy tyrannous crueltie? Aschamest thou not, bloudi beast, to betraye thy natyve countray, and the liberties of the same? (Laing III: 467).

Janton has identified some of the forms at work here (17) but he does not mention the most obvious: communicatio or anachinosis. This is a sudden address to a member of the audience (in fact to 'wyly Winchester' himself; see Laing
III:284-5). The effect is to engage forcefully the renewed attention of not just one, but all, the readers.

However, Knox is at his best in An Admonition when he combines genuinely self-interpreting autobiography, with the governing metaphors which run through the text like quartz through a rock. As C.S. Lewis says, he apparently 'thought himself a timid, temporizing, culpably gentle preacher' (18). This comes out in the following passage:

The blynd love that I did beare to this my wicked carcasse, was the chefe cause that I was not fervent and faithful enoughe in that behalf: for I had no wil to provoke the hatred of all men against me; and therefore so touched I the vices of men in the presence of the greatest, that they might see themselves to be offenders; (I dare not saye that I was the greatest flatterer); but yet, nevertheless, I wold not be sene to proclaime manifest warre against the manifest wicked... As I was not so fervent in rebuking manifest iniquitie, as it became me to have been, so was I not so indifferent a feeder as is required of Christes stewarde (Laing III:270).

Lewis, however, is wrong when he says that 'no equal instance of self-ignorance is recorded until the moment at which [Samuel] Johnson pronounced himself 'a very polite man'' (19). When we come to examine Knox's autobiography contained in The Historie we shall see that there was a real basis for the above comments, and, as Donaldson says 'Knox may have been less of a lion than is often believed' (20). Even David Laing concurs: 'on more than one occasion, Knox displayed a timidity, or shrinking from danger, scarcely to have been expected from one who boasted his
willingness to endure the utmost torture or suffer death in his master's cause' (Laing VI:1xxx1)(21). Of course Donaldson and Laing are discussing Knox's personality, not his preaching, but we cannot absolutely separate the two; they interface. Perhaps Knox's vehemence as a preacher comes from an attempt to counterbalance his acknowledged personal weakness. Psychology often indicates that judgement goes awry when we feel inadequate. Surely something like this has happened in Knox's case. He has gone too far in the opposite direction.

Lewis's observations, however, have distracted us from the real rhetorical and stylistic issues (and one can only lament that Lewis paid far too little attention to these in the first place). In the above passage, we can see Knox becoming more rhetorical as he becomes more personal. The most obvious flourish comes with the phrases 'manifest warre / manifest wicked / manifest iniquitie' and when Knox levels the most damning charge against himself he has returned to his literary conceit.

As much as A Faithful Admonition tells us about Knox we should not overlook the fact that it is addressed to others in order to encourage them. Elizabeth Whitley has, rightly, called it 'a rather beautiful sermon' in which Knox writes 'very movingly of those whose faith wavers through fear' (22). In the following passage we can see how he does this (cf. Psalm 107:30):
Consider and marke, beloved in the Lord, what we reade here to have chaunse, to Christes disciples, and to their poore bote, and you shall wel perceave, that the same thynge hath chaunse, dothe, and shal chaunse, to the true churche and congregation of Christe (which is nothing els in this miserable lyfe but a poore bote) travelyng in the seas of this unstable and troublesome world, toward the heavenly porte and haven of eternal felicitie which Christ Jesus to his electe hath appointed. (Laing III:274).

What is admirable about this is the way the image of the boat builds into rich metaphors which follow the implications of the story through to a natural and imaginative conclusion, designed specifically to encourage rather than to warn or explain.

Knox reveals his ability to evoke pathos; 'poore' recurs, and complements 'miserable' and 'troublesome', but more than this he is using a device which I call the synoptic vision: 'hath chaunse, dothe and shal chaunse'. It allows him to embrace past, present and future. The phrase is of course memorable, and allows us to posit much about Knox's thoroughness. Modern, media-conscious politicians can often be heard using the same technique, whether it forms part of a spontaneous discussion or functions as part of a set speech. They know its value and so did Knox. Also, we should notice earlier lines such as 'you shall wel perceave'. Knox is really offering his readers a pat on the back if they happen to formulate a reading of the gospel similar or identical to his own.
An Admonition also bears witness to Knox's range of metaphorical choice. Much later on in the text he observes:

... the Heathen ... have defiled the holye Temple and have prophaned thy blessed ordinance. In place of thy joyfull synges, they have erected their abominable ydoles deadly cuppe of al blasphemy is restored agayne to their harlottes hand. Thy prophetes are persecuted and none are permitted to speak thy worde frelye. The poore sheepe of thy poore pasture are commaunded to dryncke the venemouse waters of mennes traditions. (Laing III:327).

Knox is still intent on at least a degree of pathos, but the passage is dominated by mixed metaphors. His primary source is probably Revelation 17:4 which describes the Whore of Babylon as 'having a golden cup in her hand ful of abominations and filthiness of her fornication' (Great Bible) but the metaphors run through to 'harlottes hand', 'the poore sheepe of thy poore pasture' and the drinking of 'venemouse waters of mens traditions' (compare Matthew 15:3 15:6). Psalms 74 and 79 seem to lie behind much of the text here but even so, Knox thoroughly intergrates the imagery into a coherent, indestructible whole.

Two years separate An Admonition and Knox's next admonitory public letter The Copie of a letter / sent to the ladye Mary dowagire, a work which was 'newe augmented and explained by the Author, in the year of our Lord 1558', and published at Geneva by Poullain and Rebul (see S.T.C. 15067). Much had happened to Knox between An Admonition and his letter to the Regent, most notably that he had been
called to, and expelled from, Frankfurt. He had presumably resumed pastoral duties in Geneva before returning to England and Scotland for a flying visit in 1555-56. Much impressed by the new religious zeal he found in Scotland (evidenced in his private correspondence, as we shall see in a subsequent chapter), he undertook to write at the instigation of 'the Earls Marischal and Glencairne' (23). David Murison comments on Knox's apparent naivety when he notes that the Reformer 'imagined he could really talk the Queen Regent, who was a Guise, into support of the Reformation' (24). Less naïve is his review of the Queen's response, as it is recorded in The Historie of the Reformation (cf. 2 Chronicles 36:16):

[it] was delivered into hir awin handis by the said Alexander Erle of Glencarne. Which letter, when sche had redd, within a day or two she delivered it to that proud Prelate, Betoun, Bischope of Glasgow, and said in mockage, 'Please yow, my Lord, to reid a pasquill', Which woordis cuming to the earis of the said Johne, war the occasioun that his letter he maid his additionis, as yitt may be sein (Laing I:252).

In the augmented letter Knox further refers to these events and rejoins (cf. Ezekiel 30:9, Isaiah 18:2):

if no more ye esteme the admonition of God nor the Cardinalls do the scoffing of Pasquilles, that then he shall schortlie send you messagers with whom ye shall not be able on that maner to jest (Laing IV:458).
It is too often overlooked that these lines merely reiterate 'the woordis of Maister George Wisharte in Dondye' in 1545, although Knox's Wishart speaks more generally:

... I am assured that to refuse Goddis Word, and to chase from yow his messinger shall not preserve you frome truble, but it shall bring yow into it. For God shall send unto yow messingeris, who will not be effrayed of hornynge, nor yitt for banishment (Laing I:126).

It is possible to argue that Knox saw in Wishart's words a reference to himself, but the (perhaps apocalyptic) identification of other godly 'messagers' seems to preclude this. Alternatively one may say that Knox's prophecy, as derivative as it is, was right, and contains an unconscious reference to John Willock 'ane of the principall ministeris within the realme' (Laing II:71) who attended the Regent at her death-bed. Knox, however, ends the controversy over the letters by pointing out, that:

As concernyng the threatnyngis pronounced against hir awin persone, and the most principale of hir freindis, lett thare verray flatteraris see what hath failled of all that he hes writtin. And tharefor it war expedient that hir Dochtter . . . should look to that which hath passed befoir, least that in following the counsallis of the wicked, she end more miserablie then her crafty Mother did (Laing I:252).

(Cf. Ezekiel 11:2). We can well credit Dr. Murison's further point that the Regent's 'jibe' was one 'for which Knox never forgave her' (25).
The augmented letter additionally contains an explanatory introduction and eight new sections of various lengths appended to the original. Dr. Murison admires how the texts use paradox to stimulate thought, but this is a feature which we have already explored in some detail. Notably they are devoid of the lengthy conceits which the other admonitory works exhibit. Admittedly this lack partially deprives them of the sustained argumentative thrust at all times augmented by useful biblical imagery which we noted in his earlier works, but Knox compensates in other ways. For instance, he persistently presents the Queen with a straight-forward choice (straight-forward to him, at any rate). Either she can choose to maintain the Catholic religion to the peril of her soul, or she can choose the Protestant faith, and be saved. Moreover, there are multifarious appeals to an impressive range of authors: Josephus, Tertullian, Democritus and Themistius among them. (This anticipates the considerable erudition which Knox displays in The First Blast of the Trumpet, a work published in the same year as the augmented letters.

Thomas M'Crie says of the first; 'though Knox's pen was not the most smooth nor delicate . . . the letter is far from uncourtey' and contrasts this with the second, in which he used 'a more pointed and severe style' (26). In what follows we shall see that M'Crie's judgements are broadly justifiable. Knox, at his most conciliatory sounds like this:
I am not ignorant how dangerous a thing it appeareth to the natural man, to innovate anything in matters of religion. And, partlie, I consider that your grace's power is not so fre as a publik reformation perchance would require . . . But if your Grace shal consider the danger and damnation perpetuall which inevytably hangeth upon all mainteynars of a fals religion than shall the greatest danger easely devoure and swallow up the smaller (Laing IV:82).

When one considers this passage it becomes apparent that Knox is actually asking the Regent to accept the lesser of two evils, on the one hand the 'dangerous' necessity of introducing a change of religion (ordinarily a bad thing, says Knox, but not so in this instance), on the other, of maintaining a corrupt system (and it should be noted that, in the sixteenth century, Knox was by no means alone in believing that the wrong faith would entail hell-fire; witness John Annand and Richard Marshall). He begins with a concessio (epitrope) which simultaneously evokes a mood of caution. Indeed, he at first gives the impression that he is as much addressing himself as the recipient of his letter. Thus he assures the reader that he is fully conscious of the magnitude of his words and has thought deeply on the matter in question (presumably to the complete satisfaction of his conscience). His final metaphors 'devoure' and 'swallow' are integrally related but not at all tautological (unlike some of the stylistic features in prose such as Ireland's). Abraham Fraunce's The Arcadian Rhetorike provides a definition of the function of metaphor
(translatio) with which Knox's use seems to be in line: 'a metaphor is nothing but a similitude contracted into one word. There is no trope more flourishing than a metaphor, especially if it be applied to the senses . . .' (27).

When Knox strikes back at the Regent in the second letter he more blatantly justifies his own (genuine) attempt to get through to her:

For what hath my life and conversation bene, since it hath pleased God to call me frome the puddle of papistrie, let my verie enemies speak; and what learning I have, they may prove when they please. The report of your Grace's moderation, as well at that time as after, when sute was made for my apprehension, moved me to write this my other lettre . . . with simplicitie I have advertised you of a mortall danger . . . (Laing IV: 439).

Percontatio, or an appeal to the audience to present the speaker's own opinion, charges these lines, with Knox employing a neat, additional twist in that he is talking, for a moment, to his 'verie enemies'. If one's enemies will not deny the truth of a certain proposition, that seems almost to be a complete guarantee of honesty. The more subtle the appeal is, the more effective the impression of truthfulness. Noticeably, Knox seems to have moved on from the diffidence evident in A Faithfull Admonition to a much more confident and mature position. The prose is, however, still carefully explanatory even if the 'simplicitie' with which Knox claims to write, is deceptive.
In his 1556 epistle *A Letter of Wholesome counsell how to behave ourselves in the myddes of thys wycked generacion* we find Knox dealing with very different issues. Knox was still in Scotland when he received communication from Geneva, and decided to return to the continental city. It was written for the Scots, not the English in Geneva, as Professor Jack mistakenly thinks, but he is right in that it was composed 'to encourage those who [felt] themselves thwarted in the pursuit of truth' (28). However, the letter is at times rather varied; now lively, challenging, even humorous, now serious, hopeful, thorough and full of spiritual wisdom born of, and justified by, experience. Particularly striking is the evidence which it provides of Knox the humanist:

> Multiplication of wordes, prolixet interpretationes, and wilfulnesse in reasonyng, is to be avoyded at all tymes, and in all places . . . (Laing IV:138).

The above presuppositions lie behind all of Knox's prose, and give us an insight into the 'mechanics' of his compositional process *in nuce*.

M'Crie observes that the letter is 'an important document regarding the state of the Protestant Church in Scotland prior to the Reformation' (29) and although this is unimaginative, it is solid. One of the issues which Knox addressed was an apparent lack of enthusiasm for the Scriptures.
But if such men as havyng libertye to reade and exercise them selfs in God's holy Scriptures and yet begin to weary because from tyme to tyme they read but one thing, I aske why weary they not also every daye to eate breade? Every daye to drincke wine? (Laing IV:136).

It is difficult for modern readers to appreciate just how crucial an issue this was for Knox, but historical information can clarify things. In the early 1540s an Act of Parliament was passed allowing the Bible to be translated 'in the vulgar tongue, in the English or Scotish' (30), but this had been a long time coming. Of course, Tyndale's New Testament had been circulating in Scotland as early as 1526 and we have already seen that John Gau and John Johnson were deeply influenced by it, but possession of such literature often resulted in its owner being hereticated. In The Historie, for instance, Knox records how 'thare was one Furress of Lynlythquo tacken, who, after long empreasonment in the Sea toure of Sanctandross [a place which acquired a special significance for Knox, as we shall see in chapter five], was adjudgeit to the fyre by the said Bischop James Betoun, and his doctouris, for non other cryme but becaus he had ane New Testament in Engliss' (Laing I:53). Thus when the vernacular Bible was legally sanctioned, Knox recorded the response with much enthusiasm in a passage which friend and foe alike have characterised as 'wonderful'. Whereas before, many:

war holdin in such bondage, that thei durst not have red the Lordis Prayer, the Ten Commandmentie, nor
Articules of thare fayth, in the Engliss toung, but thei should have bene accused of heresye. Then mycht have bene sein the Byble lying almaist upoun euerie gentilmanis table. The New Testament was borne about in many manis handes. We grant, that some (alace!) prophaned that blessed wourd; for some that, perchance, had never red ten sentences of it, had it maist common in thare hand; thei wold chope thare familiaris on the cheak with it, and say, 'This hes lyne hyd under my bed-feit these ten yearis'; Otheris wold glorie, '0! how oft have I bein in danger for this booke: How secreatlie have I stollen fra my wyff at mydnycht to reid upoun it'. (Laing I:100-101)

One cannot overestimate the influence of the Bible on the Reformation, but Knox, like Luther, was apparently ambivalent. For Luther, subjective interpretation would lead to a 'new Babel' and much later John Dryden lamented 'The Book thus put in every vulgar hand / . . . at the mercy of the rabble lay' (31). On the whole, however, Knox genuinely rejoiced that 'yitt thairby did the knowledge of God wonderouslie increase, and God gave his Holy Spreit to sempill men in great aboundance' (Laing I:101). In light of this information, we can see why reading the Bible was so important to Knox, and why it takes up part of his Letter of Wholesome Counsell. Perhaps the most readily comprehensible modern parallel to those who did not read, is evident at a political level in today's society. Those who rather cynically refuse to vote in a free environment under tolerant laws, when in other, less stable countries, many are daily facing torture and death for demanding the right to have a say in their own future, seem to fit the bill. Just as responsible members of a democracy have little
sympathy for such individuals, Knox had none for the complaining Scottish Protestants. That is why his challenge to them is extremely pithy. His aim is to discredit their 'argument' (if indeed it deserves such a definition) as quickly and efficiently as possible, and having buried it, to get on with more important concerns. He succeeds by ridicule (often a great motivating force) and one cannot help but place a seal of approval on his method.

When he does address serious matters, such as the relationship between the law and the gospel, the tone is altogether different:

Now, if the law which by reason of our weakness can worke nothing but wrath and anger was so effectual that remembered and rehearsed of purpose to do it, it brought to the people a corporal benediction, what shall we say that the glorious Gospel of Christ Jesus doeth the worke? (Laing IV:134).

There is no ridicule here, but Knox is implicitly using argument a fortiori, sometimes called 'from minor to major'. I say 'implicitly using' because Knox avoids the standard formula 'if . . . then . . . how much more' in favour of 'if . . . what shall we say' (cf. Romans 3:5, 4:1, 6:1, 7:7, Hebrews 11:32), which is rarer, but not unique. Less prominent is the presence of a temporal pronoun 'now' at the beginning of the argument, which implies that an earlier premise has already been granted. Knox carries his readers towards his point of view on a wave of logic (we shall see just how useful logic was to Knox in later texts such as Thа.
Appellation of John Knox). Also, there is an implicit contrast between corporal benediction (of the law) with the (spiritual) benediction of the 'glorious Gospel' (cf. 2 Corinthians 4:4, 1 Timothy 1:11). Knox is indulging in a rather splendid vision (although it is much inferior to that of A Faithful Admonition).

The letter to the Scots is the first in the series of four such, even if it is much more moderate in tone than the subsequent three. Laing groups them under the heading Letters to his Brethren and the Lords Professing the Truth in Scotland. Knox himself includes one of them in The Historie (see Laing I:269-272), with some explanatory comments, to give his readers an idea of the tenor of his epistolary writings at that time. Of the year 1557, Knox writes:

At this same tyme, some of the nobilite direct thare letteris to call JOHNS KNOX from Geneva, for thare conforte, and for the conforte of thare brethrein the preachearis, and otheris that then couragiouslye fought against the ennemyes of Goddis trewth (Laing I:267).

Knox further notes how, after a thorough and solemn consultation between himself, Calvin and 'other godlie ministeris', they 'all with ane consent, said, 'That he could nott refuise that Vocatioun, unless he wald declar him self rebellious unto his God, and unmercyfull to his contrie' (Laing I:268). However, to his dismay he found that after setting off and reaching Dieppe 'thare mett him
contrare letteris' (Laing I:269). This was an unexpected setback, and granted the deep seriousness with which Knox regarded his vocation, one which inevitably convinced him of vacillating tendencies among those who had approached him. In response he was at his most sardonic:

To some it may appear ane small and lycht mater, that I have cast of, and as it war abandoned, as weall my particulare care, as my publict office and charge, leaving my house and poore familie destitut of all head, save God only, and committing that small (but to Christ deirlie belovit) flock, ower the which I was appointed one of the ministeris, to the charge of ane other. This, I say, to worldly men may appear a small mater, but to me it was . . . such, that more worldly sustance then I will expresse, could have caused me willinglie behold the eies of so many grave men weape at ones for my cause, as that I did, in tackin of my last good nycht frome thame. To whome, yf it please God that I returne, and questioun be demanded, what was the impediment of my purposed jorney? judge yow what I shall answer (Laing I:270).

Edwin Muir, Knox's most bitter (and, it must be said, least competent) biographer, selects this passage as evidence of what he sees as the Reformer's pious dishonesty, and attributes to him a tendency to exaggerate: 'the brethren in Geneva, it appeared, had been led to expect that he was going to the glorious dangers of a possible martyrdom in Scotland, and after all he had only gone to Dieppe!' (32). Muir, in fact, finds a good deal of satisfaction in the belief that Knox had skewered himself between former exaggerations and his present embarrassing situation. This reading, however, is deeply unfair. Admittedly, Knox does emphasise his own sacrifices, but almost certainly there was
a core of real feeling behind this, and he works it out in the rhetorical context of the letter. It is there, not to prompt pity, or even as a reflection of Knox's putative aura of piety at the sombre Geneva conference, but to prick the conscience of the letter's recipients. One can scarcely come away with the impression that it did not do so.

There are a lot of other rhetorical devices at work (some of which we shall pass over for later consideration in a different context). The three central features, however, are the use of demonstratio, anaphora and the Scots concept of the 'lang guid nycht'. The first involves the creating of a clear mental image and placing it before the eyes of the hearers. Knox offers a vivid picture of his own family thrown to the winds of chance, but Knox has not only abandoned his family, (as if that were not enough) he has abandoned 'as weall his public office and his flock'. The anaphora comes in when he catches the whole content of his first sentence with 'this' (a device which he was to use in one of his most famous post-Reformation sermons and one we shall be exploring in much greater detail in the final chapter. For now, we shall note its use as early(-ish) evidence of the recurrence of fundamental techniques in Knox's writing / preaching). The 'lang guid nycht' concept is integrally related to Knox's demonstratio (33). He introduces it first of all by differentiating between 'me' and 'worldly men' (a real opposition!) and then paints the picture of weeping reformers, with whom he must part company.
for ever. The concept has all the compelling qualities of a valediction; it draws dynamic from the fact that it is absolutely final (although this effect for Knox, was apparently spoiled by those reeds blown in the wind in Scotland); it exhibits dignity in its resignation to fate and creates a mood of high seriousness. With all this working within the text, Knox trenchant final questions cut to the heart of the matter like a knife.

The remaining two letters in this series are much less autobiographical than the above, although Knox does say that his purpose was to 'renew somewhat of my former rude wrytting' (Laing IV:262) in a form 'mair ampill than was that whilk I sent first' (Laing IV:275). He is much more conciliatory however, and he even touches on the theme which must have angered him when he read the letters he had received on reaching Dieppe (cf. 2 Corinthians 1:7, Romans 11:22, Colossians 1:27).

But my hoip is gud of yow, deirlie belouit in the Lord Jesus, that evin to the end ye sall continew in that doctrine whilk anis ye haif professit, notwithstanding that the windis of unstabbill and disavibill opinions be blowin in your earis, and also, that ye shall proceid and marche forward in the batell begun, (Remember, I beseik yow, with what conditionis we did first break bread togidder in the name of the Lord Jesus) whatsoever impedimentis be preparit in yow contrair . . . (Laing IV:275).

There is perhaps just a trace of criticism aimed at the nobles' inconstancy, but he balances this with tokens of
intimacy, particularly former celebration of the Supper (a similar allusion is also found in The Historie 'a great number of the toune [St. Andrews] openlie professed, by participatioun of the Lordis Table, in the same puritie that now it is ministrat in the churches of Scotland, wyth the same doctrin, that he had taught unto thame' (Laing I:202). The parenthesis, which contains quite a tender recollection, is, moreover, strategically placed, at the point where Knox is outlining the most difficult phase of the Christian experience. (Since the two letters are tonally similar, and spring from the same life-situation which Knox found himself in, we need only consider one of them, the above.)

These texts, indeed, were not the only product of Knox's unplanned stay in Dieppe. We can assign the composition of two texts to this period, An Apology for the Protestants Holden in Prison at Paris and a much better known work The First Blast of the Trumpet against the Monstrous Regiment of Women (S.T.C. 15070). Our understanding of both requires that we set them in their historical context.

In France in 1557 Parisian priests connected with the Sorbonne had repeatedly observed large gatherings of Huguenots at a private residence in the Rue St. Jacques, presumably for reformed religious worship. A mob-attack on the participants led to the Civil Authorities interrupting the tumult, and ultimately over a hundred Protestants were imprisoned. (One can read Christopher Marlowe's Massacre in
Paris for a later interpretation of events; a work in which the Duke of Guise and the Cardinal of Lorraine figure prominently. As we shall see in chapter five, it would be an understatement to say that Knox had no sympathy for either of the two. Three of these Protestants were eventually martyred while the rest remained in prison. The remainder prepared the text known as the Apologie des Chrestiens for purposes of self-vindication (something which Knox found himself doing more and more), and it was subsequently published. A copy seems to have come Knox’s way at Dieppe, and he conceived of a translation which could be sent to his countrymen. Janton has examined the nature of the final product in much detail (34), even if, as Laing points out, most of the text was probably translated by an anonymous companion of Knox at that time (35). Knox did, however, definitely contribute to the overall package. I have chosen the following passage, which is from Knox’s own additions, because its significance will become obvious, when, in chapter five, we consider Knox’s descriptions of George Wishart’s mission to Scotland. He writes of the French mob (cf. Matthew 7:15):

But theis. as ravisching wolves rageing for blud, murderit sum, oppressit all, and schamfullie intreatit both men and wemen of great blude and knowin honestie. Thay finallie careit Chryst, whome they had apprehendit, first to the presence of thair preistis, and eftir did present him befoir a judge, whair libertie was permittit to declair his innocencie . . . (Laing IV:300).
We have already met traces of the passion narrative in Knox's version of the Paul Craw martyrdom, and, as I say, the most highly developed use of this form occurs in the stories about Wishart contained in *The Historie*. The above example, however, is more sophisticated than the Craw story. Knox's 'ravisching wolves rageing for blud' reminds us, perhaps, of Henryson's 'cruell wolf, richt ravenous and fell' who comes 'rampand . . . / with girnand teith and awful angrie luke' (36) in *The Taill of the Wolf and the Lamb*, and although Knox is not using the figure of a lamb in this instance, Christ Himself of course is the Lamb *A per se*. Knox employs the oldest and simplest opposition of world-history, good against evil.

*The First Blast* has proved itself a more objectionable pamphlet. It has now become a cliché of Knox criticism to point out that it was the most ill-received of his works. C.S. Lewis spent some time getting to the bottom of why he thought this was so:

It was embarassing because in a certain sense nearly everyone (except regnant queens) agreed with Knox. Everyone knew that it was contrary to natural and divine law that a woman should rule men. But then a great many political arrangements as the world now is are equally contrary to Natural Law. We are fallen. It is no use trying to make a sudden return to the Golden Age . . . Of course, if you press it women should be subject to men. But if positive laws have in fact placed the crown on a woman's head, pious persons had better mind their own business and say nothing about it (37).
Lewis, who (probably following Muir) is consistently unsympathetic towards Knox, gives the impression that the Reformer was almost completely alone in voicing such opinions, but this is far from true. Lewis was warm in his praise of David Lindsay, but the same Lindsay writes in his Dialogue betwix Experience and ane Courteour (a text which we shall meet again later): 'Ladyis no way I can commend / presumptuouslye qhilk doith pretend / Tyll use the office of ane kyng / or Realmes tak in governyng' (38). Similarly, Bishop Ponet's A Short Treatise of Politique Power and of true obedience which subjects owe to Kings and other Civill Governers, Christopher Goodman's How Superior Powers Oght to be obeyd of their subjects and wherein they may lawfully by Godes Worde be disobeyed and resisted, and Jean Bodin's (admittedly later) Les Six Livres De La Republique (De Republica Libri Sex) all contain parallels (39).

In Studies in the Thought of John Knox, Richard L. Greaves concentrates (more objectively) on Knox's motivation (and Greaves, who is a theologian, comes closer to writing literary criticism than Lewis himself does):

The First Blast is, in a special sense, a personal tract, revealing [Knox's] passionate but usually controlled temperament. The explosive passion which gave birth to the tract seethes just below the surface. The passion is a confused mixture of righteous indignation, personal bitterness, animosity and frustration. All of this is focused not only on Mary Tudor, but also on Mary of Lorraine, and Mary the Virgin, who together formed a trinity of evil in Knox's mind (40).
Knox of course was troubled by 'Marys' throughout his life, and he was later to add a fourth to his trinity, Mary, Queen of Scots. When telling his 'familiaris' what he thought of her, he tries to give the impression that he is a 'fellow of exceeding honesty' who 'knows all qualities with a learnèd spirit of human dealings' (Othello Act 3., Sc. 3., 1.256-57).

'Yf thair be not in hir (said he) a proud mynd, a crafty witt, and ane indurate hearte against God and his treuth, my judgement faileth me' (Laing II:286).

This, Knox tells us, with characteristic finality, was his judgement at first 'and ever after'.

The First Blast causes so much controversy that most critics (like Lewis) lose sight of the fact that, whatever its orientation, it is still a work of literature (or propaganda, if you like). Even those more enlightened and fair-minded writers (like David Murison), who do consider the tract from the literary point of view, belittle its value in this field (41). One wonders how we can make such judgements. The fact is that, in terms of rhetoric, the work contains just about every kind of deliberative device one can imagine.

One cannot accuse Knox of being slow to come to the point, because he does so straight away. He states his fundamental thesis with brevity and thoroughness:
To promote a woman to beare rule, superiortie, dominion or empire above any Realme, Nation or Citie; is repugnant to Nature, contumelie to God, a thing most contrarious to his revealed will and approved ordinance, and finallie, it is the subversion of good order, of all equitie and justice (Laing IV: 373).

With partial modifications (see for example Laing IV: 389, 410), Knox returns to this thesis time and time again (perhaps, one feels, too often). His theme of course is REBELLION; man's three-fold revolt against natural law, human law and divine law (in the final example he further introduces the key ideas of profanation and pollution). All the oppositions are mirrored in the actual structure of the language; there is emphasis on 'to' which signals the contrasts, for instance. In fact this first sentence is a definitio which works on a multiplicity of levels.

The First Blast, along with The Appellation of John Knox, is among the most 'logical' or 'scholastic' of Knox's works. Of course, one should not confuse 'reason' and 'objectivity' (very little that Knox wrote is objective or 'disinterested' in the way that we understand the words). Even so, Knox's appeal is to the intellect. Knox would not have agreed with Hamlet's theory about the 'nobleness' of man, but he would concur in saying 'He that made us with such large discourse, / looking before and after / gave us not that capability and godlike reason / to fust in us unused' (Hamlet Act. 4., Sc.4., 1.36-39). Dr. Murison's comments are particularly relevant in this overall context:
Knox was in any case obsessed by the logic of God in history, and I use the word 'logic' advisedly because Knox's mental training was medieval and Aristotelian, and according to Beza, he rivalled his master [Major] in metaphysics. One might indeed say that he had been brought up on the syllogism (42).

Here is one of his syllogisms, contained in The First Blast:

First, I say, if justice be a constant and perpetuall will to geve to everie person their own right, (as the most learned in all ages have defined it to be,) then to geve, or to will to geve, to any person that whiche is not their right, must repugn to justice. But to reigne above man can never be the right to woman, because it is a thing denied to her by God, as is before declared. Therefore, to promote her to that estat or dignite can be no thing els but repugnancie to Justice (Laing IV:400).

This is the type of reasoning which originated in Aristotle's the Organon / De Interpretatione: a four-step hypothetical syllogism, the conditional premise being the first, consequential the second, adversative third and conclusio in end-position. Knox, moreover touches two bases in the context of the second premise ('to give' and even 'to will to give' authority to women, are both unjust). He also uses exclusio in conjunction with his conclusion; it is 'no thing els but ...'. As Roland Bainton says 'Aristotelian physics was regarded as an exercise in thinking God's thoughts after him' (43).
In *The Appellation*, Knox responds to being accused of sedition by addressing the magistrates in the following manner:

... if you be powers ordained by God (and that I hope all men will grant) then, by the plain words of the Apostle is the sword given unto you by God for maintenance of the innocent, and for punishment of malefactors. But I and my brethren with me accused do offer not only to prove ourselves innocent in all things laid to us, but also we offer most evidently to prove your Bishops to be the very pestilence who have infected all Christianitie. And therefore, by the plain doctrine of the Apostle, you are bound to maintain us, and to punish the other, being evidently convicted and proved criminal (Laing IV:482).

The same four-step structure ('if' / 'then' / 'but' / 'therefore') permeates this syllogism as it did the last one, but it works alongside a different rhetorical trope. Knox is aiming for *epilexis* or *inrepatio*, vehemently turning an argument upside down and back on the accusers. Within this there is the use of 'not only . . . but also', which suggests to readers that for Knox to be so accommodating, he must be right and have nothing to hide (and Knox did not believe he was bluffing either). His most virulent touch comes with the items 'pestilence' and 'infested'.

Knox's *A Vindication of the Doctrine that the Sacrifices of the Mass is Idolatrie*, however, contains what is probably his most powerful series of syllogisms, and we might as well deal with the feature here, rather than bring it up in each chapter (although inevitably we shall run into it again,
albeit briefly, in our analysis of *The Historie*. Knox's *Vindication* is built around the following propositions:

THE MASSE IS IDOLATRIE. All worshipping, honoring, or service inventit be the braine of man in the religion of God, without his own express commandment, is Idolatrie: The Masse is inventit be the braine of man, without any commandment of God. Thairfoir it is Idolatrie (Laing III: 34, 47).

The second syllogisme. All honoring of service of God, whairunto is addit a wickit opinicion is abomination. Unto the Masse is addit a wickit opinicion. Thairfoir it is abominioun (Laing III:52).

Unlike the type of syllogism we find in *The First Blast* and *The Appellation*, these are three-step, although in the first at least, Knox really places the conclusion in front, as well as at the end, of the manoeuvre. Moreover, they are both categorical; they constitute *syllogism in darii*, the third mood in the first figure of the Aristotelian system. In *The Vindication*, however, the logical device has a different function from those in the other texts. In the former, Knox constructs great arguments on their basis, and having proved the point to his own satisfaction, he restates his fundamental thesis again, and subsequently each premise takes on a much greater import. In fact, Knox combines the repetitive techniques of *The First Blast*, with logistic techniques. He does not always achieve the same fusion in other works.

Nor is the syllogism confined to public displays. In chapter three we shall be looking at Knox's private letters.
It is not out of order to give here a taste of these. In Letter III, he ponders:

... the office of the faithfull is to keip promeis; but God is faithfull, erga, He man keip promeis ... let this collection of promissis be maid; God promisses remissioun of synis to all that confessis the same, but I confess my synnis for I sie the filthines thairof, and how justlie God may condemp me for my iniquities. I sob and I lament for that I can not be quyt and red of syn; I desyre to leif a mair perfyt lyfe. Thir ar infallible signis, seillis and takinis, that God hes remittit the syn (Laing III: 341-342, Letter III).

There are actually two syllogisms here. Knox knew of the distinction between a preceding prosyllogism ('the office ... be maid') which, like the examples from The Vindication, is in _darii_, and a subsequent episylogism, which stands in relation to it ('God promisses ... the syn'). Notably, however, the members of the latter are more loosely connected than any of the others, but this is because Knox works in a good deal of autobiographical detail about his own experience of repentance. These, then, are his most spectacular uses of the device (but see also Laing III: 342, IV: 400, V: 91, 110, 218, 224, VI: 218).

Obviously, the logical rhetoric of texts such as The Vindication, The Appellation and Letter III grow out of different circumstances from The First Blast, to which we now ought to return and say something further. Initially, it seems, Knox did not envisage the tract becoming the notorious political _faux pas_ that it turned out to be. He
even considered a second and third blast, concealing his name until the publication of the final work. As elaborate as the scheme was, it never came off, and Knox left only a summary of the proposed Second Blast (see Laing IV:539-540). This text is very short, but in it Knox can be seen adopting an even more radical politico-religious stance. He was preparing to speak out not only against female rulers, but non-Protestant Sovereigns in general. He may have found some consolation in the fact that less than a year after prophesying that woman's reign was a 'proppe without foundation' (Laing IV:420) Mary Tudor was dead. Some years later, Ninian Winzet busied himself in preparing the comically entitled Last Blast of the Trompet, but when he tried to have the work printed, the government intervened. (44).

At any rate, having composed The First Blast, Knox made his way back to Geneva, perhaps to face the questions he had envisaged to his Scots brethren, perhaps not. We have no way of knowing if he was embarrassed because he had nothing whatsoever to say about these events in The Historie (see Laing I:274). It was probably on his return to the city that he received news of events concerning himself in Scotland, dating back to his 1555 visit. About all this, however, The Historie is brimful of information. During his preaching tour in 1555-56, Knox had received a summons from 'the Black Freiris' (the 'Fratres Predicatores') challenging him to appear before them in Edinburgh, presumably with a
view to discredit him as a heretic. Knox relates how he both kept the summons and got the better of the Friars:

Butt that dyet held nott; for whither that the Bischoppis perceaved informalitie in their awin proceedinges, or yf they feared danger to ensew upoun their extremetie, it was unknown unto us. Butt the Setterday befoir the day appointed, thei cast thare awin summondis and the said Johne, the same day of the summondis, tawght in Edinburgh in a greattar audience than ever befoir he had done in that toune: The place was the Bishoppe of Dunkellis his great lodging, where he continewed in doctrin ten dayis, boyth befoir and after nune (Laing I: 251).

The charge however was renewed when Knox had left the country and when he was in no position to answer. Like the Mare in Henryson's Trial of the Fox he was 'callit ... contumax (45) and in absentia the Provincial council sentenced him to death and burned him in effigy, for, as he says, 'none compeirance':

Fra the which injust sentence the said Johne made his APPELLATIOUN, and caused to print the same, and direct it to the Nobilities and Communes of Scotland, as yitt may be redd (Laing I: 254).

The humour which characterises the original narrative is nowhere evident in The Appellation. It is a long, serious, angry, political and theological treatise dealing at length with the right of the people to rebel against unjust figures of authority, be they King, Queen, Magistrate or commoner. Again Richard Greaves perceptively assesses Knox's emotional state: 'Consumed with prophetic indignation, he poured his
feelings into his summer tracts of 1558. God must be avenged. Knox his prophet must be avenged' (46).

Knox is at his most scornful when he writes of Mary Tudor's English subjects, who, according to him, must stand servile before her:

He whome, in his membres, for the pleasure of a wicked woman, they have exiled, persecuted and blasphemed, doth now laught them to skorn; suffereth them to by pyned in bondage of most wicked men, and finally, shall adjuge them to the feir everlasting (Laing IV:517).

Knox obviously takes much comfort from the fact that the Englishmen, who were ultimately responsible for his own leave-taking, must now endure that which he warned them against, the 'regiment of a woman'. Of course, Knox is not playing games but we must remember that by this time the daggers were out he is striking a blow in retaliation. And for all his anger, the above passage still works within the rhetorical framework whereby he turns everything on its head.

Furthermore, we saw earlier that Knox uses figures of omission. In The Appellation he can be seen combining such a figure with others which we have not yet encountered. Take this single sentence which comes towards the conclusion of the work:

The pride, ambition, envie, excess, fraude, spoile, oppression, murther, filthie life, and incest, that is used and maintained amangest that rabble of preastes, freers, monkes, channons, byshopps and cardinale, can not be expressed (Laing IV:513).
Anyone familiar with Knox's biography knows that before he was forced to become an active preacher, he was initially a priest and papal notary. In the latter capacity he drew up legal documents (all now collected by Thomas Thomson) (47), and something of their structure can be seen in this passage. It follows the pattern, perhaps, of a bill of sale complete with rights of ownership. The specificatory list of nouns (and this time they are far from abstract) is especially prominent. In rhetoric this would come closest to congeries or 'piling up' towards a climax.

The printed text of The Appellation must still have been doing the rounds in 1566 when Knox referred to it in his Historie, but C.H. Kuipers states that even before its publication it 'had been circulating in manuscript' (48). Certainly it must have shaken its recipients, and as Janton observes, the text 'meant a great deal more for them than for us' (49).

The much shorter Letter Addressed to the Commonalty of Scotland, (1558) represents the last of Knox's pre-Reformation admonitory works written to Scotland. Of the four remaining letters, two are written to England (An Epistle to the Inhabitants of Newcastle and Berwick and A Brief Exhortation for the Speedy Embracing of the Gospel) and two (The Reasoning Betwixt John Knox and the Abbot of Crossraguel and The Epistle to Tyrie the Jesuit) are post-Reformation. The text of the Letter to the Commonalty was
published along with The Appellation to which it refers the reader, and we can date its composition to mid-July 1558. Knox of course was not conscious of discontinuing a series in any sense.

This letter is a particularly compelling one. Its real strength lies in the way it addresses the whole of the commonalty but gives the impression, at the same time, of speaking directly to each individual. It has the evocative power of a finger-pointing recruitment poster, and in a sense this is exactly what it is; a call to rally around the Protestant cause as it comes to a head. In chapter one we saw how John Ireland used mimesis to penetrate the minds of his congregation. In the passage to come, Knox shows that he has fully inherited this preaching trend (and expanded on it considerably). He characterises what he sees as the evasiveness of the commoners, like this:

We were but simple subjects, we could not redresse the faultes and crimes of rulers, bishoppes and clergie: we called for Reformation and wished for the same, but Lords brethren were Byshoppes, theyr sonnes were Abbotes, and the frendes of greate men had the possession of the Churche and so we were compelled to give obedience to all that they demaunded. (Laing IV:535)

In his subsequent Epistle to the Inhabitants of Newcastle and Berwick, Knox says 'ye judge me rather to mock you then to exhort you to repentance by this means' (Laing V:493), and mockery is what he is about here. This prose is perhaps
at its best when it is read in a simpering, insipid voice. An imaginative way of assessing these lines is to think of them functioning as a kind of mirror into which contemporary readers were invited to look. If they saw their own 'reflection', or thought they did, Knox had all but succeeded in moving them. Only those without self-respect could remain idle.

Professor Jack has admired the Epistle to Newcastle and Berwick (S.T.C. 15065) 'as the finest short literary piece [Knox] composed' (50) and certainly the Reformer draws on all his previous literary experience (which by this time was quite considerable) but perhaps A Godly Letter more properly deserves this description. However, the Epistle to Newcastle is unique in that it shows Knox dealing for the first time with the problem of 'back-sliding'; apparently the erstwhile Protestants he once knew were returning to Catholicism. Knox's sense of betrayal and alarm are so strong in this letter that it siezes attention immediately (and won't let go). In his earlier Letter of Wholesome Counsell we observed how Knox used the item 'now' as part of the reasoning process, as a signifier of consent in accepting previous propositions. In this pamphlet he uses it in an entirely different way (cf. Proverbs 7:12):

Nowe he offereth himself unto you; now he is neare; now he is to be founde, whyle he calleth you to repentance by his Messingers and Worde (Laing V:490)
Each use has the effect of crystallising a fleeting moment in time which quickly gives way to another. Readers may be reminded of a child's visual toy with synthetic surface, which alternatively shows one image, then another.

Knox, however, is at his most deadly when he makes use of his own personal authority:

My conscience dothe neither accuse me that amongst you was I a false prophete, mercenary nor idle person, and yet I quake, feare and tremble, remembering your horrible fall, and ought you to rest, be quyet and rejoyse, against whom God's vengeance is so plainly pronounced? (Laing V:482)

In that second 'I', Knox has invested his own personality (it is rather like Julius Caesar's 'I could well be moved, if I were as you' (Act 3.,Sc., 1.58) or Brutus's 'Must I give way and room to your rash choler?' (Act 4., Sc. 3., 1.39). If Knox himself, a true preacher and no deceiver, is afraid (and he is not just afraid; he is quaking, fearing and trembling) the sinful, complacent turncoats ought to awaken to the danger immediately (and they are not just complacent, they are resting and rejoicing). Knox is telling them that they are fiddling while Rome burns (not that he would be altogether hostile to the latter part of such a prospect). A Brief Exhortation is a companion piece to An Epistle and it is remarkably similar (indeed they were printed together in Geneva), so much so that we can pass it by with this the briefest of mentions.
Only two texts now remain; _The Reasoning_ and _To Tyrie the Jesuit_. The former has left its traces in the narrative of _The Historie_ in the same way as _The Appellation_ and _The Letter to the Regent_ (and _The First Blast_). In 1562:

The Abbot of Crossraguelle required disputation of John Knox for maintenance of the Messe, which was granted unto him, and which held in Mayboll three days. The Abbot had the advantage that he required, to wit, He took upon him to prove that Melchisedeck offered bread and wyne unto God, which was the ground that the Messe was builded upon to be a Sacrifice & C. But in the travaill of three days their could no proof be produced for Melchisedeckis oblatioun, as in the same disputatioun (which is to be had in print) clearlie may appear. The Papistis constantlie looked for a wolter, and thairfor thei wold maid some brag of reasonyng. The Abbote farther presented him self to the pulpit, but the voice of Maister George Hay so effrayed him, that efter anes he wearyed of that exercise (Laing II:351-352).

_The Coppie of the Reasoning_ to which Knox alludes was printed by Robert Leprevik in Edinburgh in 1563 (S.T.C. 15074), apparently the first of Knox's works to be published by a Scottish printer. Knox himself made the work public apparently to dispel the rumour, currently circulating, that Kennedy had soundly vanquished him in debate. Indeed, he stimulates in _The Historie_ the counter-rumour that Kennedy was much 'effrayed' of George Hay. The reality of the matter, is, however, as Kuipers observes. The debate 'ended in complete deadlock' (51). One can still assess the merits of Kennedy's arguments in _The Coppie_ made available by Knox, and additionally in the Abbot's Two Eucharistic Tracts: _Ane Litil breif Tracteit maid be master_.
Quintine Kennedy, abbot of Crosraguel, prevand clerly the real body of Iesu Crist to be present in the sacrament of the altare, contrar the vickit opinion and heresy of Ecolampadius and otheris divers in thir miserabil dais falslye demand the Samyne and Ane Compendious Ressonyng. be the quhilk ismaid manifest, truelie, and propirlie. conforme to the scripturis of almychtie God (bayth new Testament and auld.) the messe to be institute be Iesu Christ cure salveur . . . These are both fine works which have been utterly neglected by literary critics, and which (unfortunately) fall outside the range of this study. However, in chapter four we shall have the good fortune to meet one of them again.

Knox's version of The Coppie is made up initially of letters between the two potential participants, arranging for the debate to take place. This is from Knox's response to 'the Abbote's first letter':

That ye offer unto me familiar, formall and gentill reasoning, with my whole hart I accept the condition, for assuredlie . . . chiding and brawling I utterlie abhor, but that ye require it to be secrete I nether se just caus why that we should require in nether yet good reason why that I should grant it . . . (Laing VI:177).

As we can see, the correspondence is mainly taken up with practical matters, but nonetheless it does contain some valuable information. Knox for instance asserts his own gentility in a way which recalls William Dunbar's address to
another Kennedy: 'For to flyte gritlie I eschame'. Knox even sounds amiable. As we might expect by now, he is deeply distrustful of clandestine theological reasoning. He wants things 'out in the open' (so to speak) and 'above-board'. This, perhaps, is more in keeping with the openness which the Reformation stimulated and demanded.

In the actual debate, Knox is as mannered (but this contrasts markedly with the sarcasm of his introduction, as we have seen). Our treatment of this encounter anticipates assessment of the debate between Knox and Friar Arbuckle contained in The Historie, which comes in for extensive treatment in chapter five, so we shall content ourselves with a swift look at Knox's contribution, and reserve the more detailed work in a similar area for later on. Knox was very good at debating. Here he is in action:

Your Lordschip is not ignorant that in every definition there ought to be genus, which I take your Lordschip here maketh this term Sacrificium, but because the term is general and in the Scriptures of God is diversely taken, therefore it must be brought to a certain kind (Laing VI:198).

This represents, Knox's most explicit use of logical forms which we have yet encountered. In The First Blast and The Appellation the scholarship really belonged to the sub-text. It functioned subtly and our attention was diverted by the progression of the arguments which were rolling by us, like a circus coming to town. Here, the schoolmanship is of supreme importance (and perhaps at this point it is apt to
quote some phrases from the remainder of the Knox canon to assess the contribution which this kind of language brought to his work. Just a smattering of them will suffice: 'paralogism' (Laing V: 109), 'equivocation' (Laing V: 132), 'sorite' (Laing V: 195), 'preterit, future' (Laing V: 153), 'conditional' (Laing V: 190), 'causa propinqua' (Laing V: 99), 'causa causae et causa causata' (Laing V: 113), 'agens et patiens' (Laing V: 139), 'scope dissolute' (Laing V: 132), 'necessaria concludentia' (Laing VI: 205) and so on. Janton has gathered many of these together in his analysis of 'la langue et le style' of Knox (52) and he expands; 'Le vocabulaire reflète le vaste champ d'activité du réformateur' (53). In the Reasonyng Knox is objective and disinterested and this too has its useful function (more of this, however, when we see Knox in conflict with Arbuckle in The Historie.)

Ten years separate The Reasonyng and the publication of Knox's final pamphlet An Answer to a Letter of a Jesuit named Tyrie (S.T.C. 15062). Apparently, Knox had composed his answer in 1566, but refrained from publishing the material until July 1572, because at that time Tyrie had become particularly active as a pamphleteer in France (54).

This letter, like the earlier An Answer to an Anabaptist is especially valuable because it indicates much about Knox's knowledge of continental historiography, and his erudition in general. Katharine Firth has pointed out that Tyrie, in his original letter, refers to a certain
writer of the twelfth century, and Knox picks up the reference (55). The author was Abbas Joachimi of Corazzo (c.1135-1202) (56), 'a man', according to Knox, 'sometime of great authoritie and reputation amanges the papistes' (Laing VI:505) and the work was (I think) his *Expositio magni prophete Abbatis Joachimi in Apocalypsim . . . de statu universali reipublicz Christianz* (first published 1527). Knox additionally refers to 'Joannes Aventinus' or, more accurately, Johann Turmair, the so-called 'Bavarian Herodotus' and author of *Annalium Baiorum libri septem cum doctissimorum virorum quibuscumque editionibus collati . . .* (known in the vernacular as *Bayersche Chronik*). A special story lies behind Knox's knowledge of this book.

In the late 1950s Dr. John Durkan discovered a copy in Edinburgh University Library, which was certainly owned by Knox, and had been annotated, on his instructions, by his nephew Paul. C.P. Finlayson subsequently published a short study of the material in *The Scottish Historical Review* of 1959 entitled 'A Volume Associated with John Knox' (57). The book, it seems, once belonged to the same George Hay that we have just met (in connection with the Maybole reasoning). The above information becomes significant again when we examine the manuscript of *The Historie* (58).

Anyhow, here is Knox combining the double authority of these two authors to confute Tyrie:

Let the wrytinges, we say, of these two, beare witness what has bene the judgement of divers men in divers ages, of Rome, of the pryde of the prelacie, of their
corruption in lyfe and doctrine, and finally of their defectioun from the truth.

Abbas Joachim, wrytting upon the wordes of the Revelation of Sanct Johne, 'the sext angel powred furth his phyole upon the great flood Euphrates', hes this sentence *Si autem aqua hujus fluminis quod vocatur Euphrates populi sunt, et gentes, et lingum, que parent Romano imperio, si quidem Civitas Romano ipsa, est nova Babilon . . . This place, and that which ensewes of the drying up of the watters, evidently showes what was the judgement of the wryter in his dayes of Rome . . . (Laing VI:505-506).

To find anything like such an effective use of sources, we have to think back to the Scots merchant in Lamb's *An Rassonyng of ane Scottis and Inglis Merchand*, but in this instance, the debate is for real, and Knox's response more or less spontaneous. He probably considered it part of his duty to keep in touch with the opinions of 'diverse men in divers ages' in case an opponent like Tyrie cited evidence from antiquity, and as we have seen, his learning paid off (more than once).

We have now considered the majority of Knox's admonitory prose in texts which are not as similar as many (not very competent) critics have usually suggested. In Knox's work, we can see continuity between his own and pre-Knoxian prose, and an enormous range of rhetorical devices which we have not begun to do justice to, and we have built up a mental picture of the techiques which find their finest expression in *The Historie*. Chapter three deals with Knox's personal correspondence.
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Chapter Three

KNOX’S PERSONAL CORRESPONDENCE

In his essay 'Knox the Man', the historian Gordon Donaldson points out that 'with Knox, we have, almost for the first time in Scotland, a quantity of intimate personal letters' (1). Laing divided this private correspondence into four divisions: epistles to Mrs. Bowes and her daughter Marjory; 'familiar epistles' to other close friends; letters concerning the progress of the Reformation in Scotland and finally, those relating to the later period of Knox's life. However, the literary critic can dispense with two categories, grouping the epistles to Mrs. and Miss Bowes together with the 'familiar epistles', and merging the final two categories also. Thus we have letters of SPIRITUAL COUNSEL and letters relating to HISTORICAL MATTERS.

As a religious adviser and pastor, Knox undoubtedly excelled, and we shall see how and why in this chapter. First of all, however, his relationship with the two most important recipients of his letters needs a little explanation. When Knox was appointed preacher in Berwick he had become attached to three members of the Bowes family, primarily Elizabeth (who became his mother-in-law), Marjory (subsequently Knox's first wife) and George (son to Mrs. Bowes) (2). As we would naturally expect Knox was much concerned with the two devout ladies, although it is to Mrs.
Bowes that the bulk of his letters are addressed, (they were often separated). He records only one such instance in The Historie. On leaving Scotland in 1556 'he send befoir him to Deippe his mother-in-law Elizabeth Bowes, and his wyef Marjory, with no small dolour to thare hartes, and unto many of us' (Laing 1:253). (In an impressive article in a recent edition of Manuscripta 'The Chronology of the Knox-Bowes Letters' (3), A. Daniel Frankforter has broken new ground in dating these texts, although matters of chronology are only of peripheral interest to the literary critic).

Among his other correspondents, Knox can number Jean Calvin (in Latin), John Foxe, Thomas Upcher, William Cecil, Randolph, Harry Wycliffe, John Wishart, Goodman, Moray, Chatelherault, William Douglas, Gregory Railton, Queen Elizabeth of England (to name one of the more important recipients) and so on. Knox knew, and had dealings with, a great many people.

What differentiates Knox's personal correspondence from the public letters that we have just considered is the size of his audience, and audience, after all, is the major concern of a rhetorician like Knox. In the personal texts the audience is of one, or at most, four or five. At the risk of presenting a truism, one can say that such a situation shows Knox in a more intimate light. But this intimacy has a crucial effect on many features of his writings. Moreover, it allows us to perceive Knox's innermost fears as the dramatic events of his life are
reflected in the texts. We can illustrate this quite easily. Here are samples from letters written in circumstances of comparative ease, and some danger, respectively. The first comes from Geneva just before Knox and Christopher Goodman were re-elected as ministers to the English Congregation (see Acts 9:19, 1 Thessalonians 2:18) (4).

The chosen weschell of Chryst Jesus, St. Paule, appoyntit to his ministrie and preaching, not be man, but be the imperialisl voce of the Sone of God speiking unto him from heavin, ashamit not to confesse (deirlie Belovit), that albeit he had an ernist zeall and desyre to have visitit the congregatioun of Thessalonica in their greatest necessitie, that yit he was impeidit be Sathan of his journey and purpois. (Laing IV: 248-249).

When writing to Anne Locke, one of his most trusted confidants and, for her time, a distinguished woman (who later translated Sermons of John Calvin upon the Songe that Ezechias made after he had bene sicke and afflicted by the hand of God, conteyned in the 38 chapter of Esay and Jean Taffin's Of the markes of the children of God, and of their comfort in Afflictions) (5), Knox is altogether less mannered in his approach:

Oure deere brethrein and sisters of Edinburgh and Lothian, who ly neerest these blood thristie tyrannes, are so trubled and vexed, that it is pitie to remember their estate. Our God comfort them. We stand universallie in great feare, and yit we hope deliverance. (Laing VI:100-101).
The first, which is 'to his sisteris in Edinburgh' makes use of the same elaborate introduction that we met in the public letters, but Knox also relies occasionally on Romance words ('imperiall voce') and creates the air of sophistication. But for all his artifice, indeed because of his artifice, the passage is nothing like as compelling as the second.

A binary phrase like 'jurney and purpose' from the first may not be repetitive, but 'trubled and vexed' from the second arouses much greater emotive effect ('vexed' in particular is a striking word, used in the early translations of the Bible to stress tribulation, see for example Psalm 6:2 or 2 Peter 2:8, Great Bible). Moreover, the hurried section beginning 'it is pitie to remember their estate' conveys a wealth of compassion. And the short, one-clause sentence ('our God comfort them') is, to say the least, not a very recurrent feature in the epistolary writings we have so far examined. Fatalistic realism is mitigated by a glimmer of hope in 'we stand universallie in greate feare, and yit we hope deliverance'. This gives a vivid impression of Knox's mood. And yet clearly Knox is not aiming for an aesthetic response.

Here we have surely hit on an intrinsic paradox in Knox's private correspondence. Sometimes, when he has no intention of applying consciously artistic devices, he reaches a stage at which he reveals psychological honesty and deep emotions, without any kind of artifice. The paradox lies in the fact that the crystallisation of these
mental patterns is, in itself, thoroughly compelling. This perhaps suggests that, for Knox, real feeling and rhetoric do not always go together. This may seem like an obvious point, but we must remember that we are dealing with Knox; a man immersed in oratory, who used it nearly always as his natural medium. This brings us to a related point. C.S. Lewis says that Knox's rhetoric is 'the rhetoric of passion, not affectation' (6). This is a very curious thing for Lewis to say. If by 'affectation' he means 'decoration' then he is partly right. But the logical implications of his remark, as it stands, are that there are two types of rhetoric. The latter requires skill, the former only emotion. Any such conclusion is extremely tenuous. After all, one can be passionate about a great many things and not be at all eloquent. Still less do we need a knowledge of rhetorical practice to be passionate. We can clarify the matter like this. W.B. Yeats once said 'Irish poets, learn your trade'. As a sixteenth century preacher Knox also learned his trade, and it was a difficult but worthwhile 'trade'. In fact, he learned it so well that it almost became part of him. It is surely a fundamental mistake to talk of passion as if it were the source of one's eloquence. If they come together, so much the better. But one is not a guarantee of the other. Our passage from the letter to Mrs. Locke makes this clear. Knox, for a moment drops his rhetoric, and we see a subconsciously self-expressive side of his character. Even so, it must frankly be admitted that
such insights are comparatively rare. In the very same letter we can trace overt rhetorical control:

Least that the rumours of our trubles truble you above measure, deere Sister, I thought good in these few words to signifie unto you, that our esperence is yit good in our God . . . (Laing VI:100).

Knox apparently knew that 'rumour is a pipe / blown by surmises, jealousies, conjectures . . .' (2 Henry Act 4: Induction 15-16) and he was out to scupper it. The most obvious devices here are the switch from 'trubles' to 'truble' (which effectively expresses the infectious nature of rumour) and the counter-balancing word 'esperance' (which again seems to come from the catalogue of Romance).

At any rate, this introduction ought to give us some idea of the riches to be found in the epistles. It is without further ado that we ought to turn to this body of texts. They often begin with the standardised expression, 'une phrase de type' as Janton calls it (7). For instance, Knox's letters to Mrs. Bowes generally open 'rycht deirly belovit Mother in oure Saviour' (Laing III:337,343), 'Belovit Sister, efter maist hartlie commendation' (Laing III: 348, 352, 362, 397, IV: 218) 'Deirlie belovit Sister in Jesus oure Lorde' (Laing III: 350, 353, 356 '... Jesus our Soverane', 360, 361, 364 'in our Savior Jesus . . .', 365, 369, 372), 'Deirlie belovit Sister in our Saviour and onlie Mediatour Jesus' (Laing III:355), 'Deirlie belovit Sister in the commoun faith of Jesus' (Laing III:394), 'Most deir
Sister, whom I reverence as it becomis in all godlines (Laing III:358), 'Deir Mother and Spous' (Laing III:369), 'Deirlie belovit Sister, whom I no less tendir than it becomes a weak member' (Laing III:387). In some of the letters, for example XX111 (Laing III:392-394), XXV (Laing III:396-397) and XXVII (Laing IV:217-218), Knox is apparently so eager to communicate that he omits the introduction (8). His conclusions too are worth exploring; 'your sone (Laing III: 372, 343 '... unfeaned') IV:218, 'your sone, with trubillit hart' (Laing III:348 ), 'Your brother' (Laing III: 350, 352 '... in Chryst', 353, in greit haist ...), 355, 356 '... in Jesus Chryst', 358, 360, 361,'... unfeanid', 362, 364, 365, '... in Chryst Jesus', 368, 369), 'youris to his power' (Laing III:374, 382, 387) and 'be youris unfeanid in Chryst' (Laing III:379). Sometimes he even used a pre-arranged pseudonym 'John Sinclair, whilk was his mother's surname' (8) (see Laing IV:245).

The real value of these letters, however, is in their contents. Perhaps at this point it is necessary to say something about Mrs. Bowes's character, since this information will help us understand why Knox wrote to her so frequently. She might fairly be called a spiritual hypochondriac. As we saw in the introduction, Knox mentions her in an Appendix to his Answer to Tyrie and there he says that she had 'a troubled conscience upon her part, which never suffered her to rest but when she was in the company
of the faithful, of whome (fra the first hearing of the word of my mouth) she judged me to be one' (Laing VI: 513 and also Laing III:333). Thus Knox was forever being called upon by her to provide comfort and solace. A few examples from his frequent replies should give us an idea of what she was like and of the lengths to which he would go to calm her spirit. Here, Knox is exasperated with her, since she has evidently been importuning him in solemn terms about something of no real consequence whatsoever. It is hardly surprising that, for once, he deals with her rather testily:

Allace, Sister! your imbecilitie trubillis me, that I suld know you sa weak that ye suld be moveit for sa small a matter. (Laing III:361, LETTER X)

Or here he is assuring her that her union with Christ is inviolable (compare Romans 11:29, 1 Corinthians 12:27):

To embrace Chryst, to refuse idolatrie, to confes the truth, to love the memberis of Chrystis bodie, ar the giftis of God; thairfor he can not repent that he hath maid you pertaker thairof. (Laing III:349-350, LETTER III).

Knox is using a four-fold series of infinitive constructions ('to embrace', 'to refuse', 'to confes', 'to love') which is incantatory as well as inculcatory, in order to placate her. Or again, she has apparently suggested to him in one of her own letters that he has been lying to her all along. In response, Knox posits Satanic subterfuge, (of course), (see Revelation 12:9, 1 Corinthians 1:23) and says:
That fals and leying spreit dois according to his wickit and dissavibill craft when he wald caus you belief that I knaw your rejectioun. Na, fals Devill! he leis: I am evin equallie certified of your electioun in Christ as that I am that I myself preacheth Chryst to be the onlie Saviour. (Laing III: 369, LETTER XIV).

Knox realises the presence of Satan in terms of pneumatology ('fals and leying spreit', compare 1 Kings 22:22) but he is also using forceful exclamation ('fals Devill!'), and a kind of formula with which he expresses absolute truth. For Knox, the fact that he preached Christ truly was the core, the very heart, of his existence. If that wasn't true, then nothing was. He didn't use the expression lightly, and anyone who knew him would know this. Additionally, he equates his most solemn pronunciation with his assurance of Mrs. Bowes worthiness in the phrase 'evin equallie certified' (cf. Galatians 1:11). The two adverbs lend a special weight to his remark.

However, I am not suggesting that Knox always indulged her (and this was probably the right thing to do in the circumstances. Individuals like Mrs. Bowes often want attention, which they would not otherwise get, rather than advice). Once or twice, it seems, he got rather fed up with her persistent demands on his time:

... my daylie labouris must now increas, and thatfor spair me sa mekill as ye may. My ald maladie trubillis me sair, and na thing is mair contrarious to my helth then wrytting (Laing III:364, LETTER XI).
Yit, Mother, depend not upon me too muche for what am I but a wreachit synner? (Laing III:376, LETTER XVII).

In the first of these Knox points out that he is a busy man, which, of course, is laudable, but he is still trying to duck her. In the second, he combines self-deprecation with a point of doctrine (he is a sinner), but his idea is the same in both.

This brings us to a recognition of the fact that the correspondence tells us as much about Knox as it does Mrs. Bowes. He is a counterpart to her, and vice versa. This finds its most engaging expression in lines like these, which deal with the prospect of Knox and she, meeting:

Your messinger faund me in bed, efter a sair trubill and maist dolorous nyght, and sa dolour may compleane to dolour when we twa melt. (Laing III:352, LETTER V).

If Knox had known the expression 'misery loves company' he would have endorsed it, but he is writing here with just a touch of wry humour, and is building on the fact that, for all their complaining, he and she, both, actually enjoy it. Along the same lines Hardy's wife could comment that the poet was never happier than when writing melancholy poems like 'The Darkling Thrush'.

Nor was Mrs. Bowes the only one to make mountains out of mole-hills. Knox's introduction to Letter XIII, a very
accomplished work, shows him moving ever so much closer to a bombastic style (cf. Philippians 2:1):

Albeit I do thrist, deirlie belovit Mother, no less to sie you than sumythes I have thristit to sie that whilk of erthlie creaturis is maist deir unto me, yit do the daylie trubills discorage me in a part, that oure temporall meiting sall not be sa suddane as we baith requyre, and yit my esperance and hoip is in God that we sall meit, evin in this lyfe, to baith oure comfortis; and thairfoir I hartlie requyre yow, in the bowells of Chryst Jesus, to be of gud comfort, and pacientlie to beir this distance of oure bodies. (Laing III:392, LETTER XIII).

All this is to say that Knox longs to see his loved ones, but certain difficulties hinder him. The Bowes ladies must resign themselves to the fact that he will not be able to do so for some time, if at all. The phrase 'that whilk of erthlie creaturis is maist deir to me' is as decoratively formal as, say, Macbeth's use of 'dearest partner in greatness' (Macbeth Act 1., Sc.5., 1.9-10). Knox might even have been capable of an epistula amatoria. His most striking metaphor is 'thrist' which he applies to his desire to see both women. However, he writes at a moment when his thoughts are towards Elizabeth, and when those for Marjory are in the past ('I have thristit . . .'). Elsewhere, he reveals that he could not remember having written to the younger woman: 'I think that this be the first letter that ever I wrait to yow' (Laing III:395). This is certainly odd, but disarming, for all that.
This, then, ought to suffice as a general introduction to Knox's correspondence with his close friends. In chapter two, our analysis of *A Faithfull Admonition* touched on the fact, that Knox's prose was, at least in places, tentatively confessional. In these 'familiar epistles' we find, broadly speaking, three types of prose: Knox comforting Mrs. Bowes, Knox 'confessing' to Mrs. Bowes (among others) and Knox apparently responding to her epistolary confessions. Two of these divisions, the first and third, deserve sub-division, or at least, further clarifying comment. Knox 'comforts' Mrs. Bowes in two ways: by offering straight-forward, sober, even-toned religious advice on the one hand, and more authoritative exhortations on the other. When Knox responds to Mrs. Bowes's confessions, he combines self-revelatory comments with the more straight-forward advice which constitutes our second division. When he does this, he is at his most compelling, since the rhetoric of a truly great preacher perfectly complements material which is double-edged: both confessional and consolatory. However, in what follows I hope to show that whatever Knox is about in his letters, analysis of the prose itself can often tell us more about him than he means to reveal.

We can work through the divisions exploring the kind of language which is at work to build up this picture. Take letter IV, for instance: Knox tells Mrs. Bowes that he was sitting at his book and 'contemplating Mathowis Gospell . . .'
in the instant moment' that her messenger delivered her letter to him. This letter (presumably) posed spiritual dilemmas to which the very passage that he had been meditating upon (Matthew 13:1-8, 19) offers the solutions. Knox is so impressed by the incident that he evidently considers it something of a minor miracle and, judging by his subsequent comments, he was inspired to turn to his copy of St. John Chrysostom's *In Evangelium Sancti Matthei brevis enarratio, nunc primum in lucem edita* (*Homilies on Matthew*) for further clarification and enlightenment. Knox continues:

In reiding of this his halie judgement, your battel and dolour was befoir my eis; and as I prayit God that ye might be assistit to the end, sa wissit I that ye might be present with me and even at the same instant callit your servant, whairof I praisit my God and addressit me to wryte efter the reiding of your letter as I myght (Laing III:351, LETTER IV).

Although there is no actual advice in this passage, the above lines belong to a train of thought which culminates in orthodox spiritual counsel, so we ought not to cavil. Clearly this belongs to our first division (and falls short of 'authoritative exhortation'). But it still tells us much. For example, there is emphasis on 'instant'. We remember that the 'miracle' which Knox is celebrating depended on his reading the gospel at the 'instant' Mrs. Bowes's letter arrived. Knox, then, carries over the
language which makes the miracle what it is. Moreover, the phrase 'sa wissit I' with its first person pronoun situated after the verb, stands out in contrast with the more common constructions ('I prayit', 'I praisit' and 'I myght'). Even in the sixteenth century, this was an elevated way of talking. We might think it pompous, but for Knox it was probably a (sub-conscious) means of expressing his own dignity. Today, telephone conversations have an uncanny knack of stimulating changes in register. There are codes at work in these letters, there is no doubt.

If we step back from the actual words on the page it is also possible to assess the general effect of this passage on its reader (and we may have to think ourselves, as far as we may, into a frame of mind consistent with sixteenth century religious thought). Mrs. Bowes, we know, was by all accounts a very foolish woman, but even she must have got the impression from Knox's observations that, if she is diligent in Bible-study, she too will reach that plane of spiritual knowledge which posits the hand of God in all things, no matter how trivial, and subsequently find consolation. It is because Knox thinks along these lines that he can respond to her letter as he does.

We can trace some of the above features recurring in other letters and one of these in particular has great potential for Knoxian apologists:

The verie instant houre that youre letteris was presentit unto me, was I talking of yow, be reassone that thrie honest pure wemen wer cum to me, and was
compleaning their great infirmitie, and was schawing unto me the greit assaultis of the enemy, and I was oppinnying the cause and commodities thereof, whereby all our eis wypit at anis .. . (Laing III:379-380, LETTER XVIII.2.

In The Literature of Scotland, Dr. Roderick Watson implicitly condemns 'Knox's cantankerous views about the female sex' (10), but, as I suggested in my general introduction, in some respects, Dr. Watson's work is inaccurate, and here he has evidently presented an unnecessarily hostile generalisation. Although this epistle probably predates The First Blast of The Trumpet by four or five years (11), the way in which godly 'wemen' gravitate towards Knox is remarkable (and they would not do so if Dr. Watson's comments came anywhere near the mark). Professor Gordon Donaldson's observation is much better: 'It would certainly not be an overstatement to say that Knox was not indifferent to women or to say that in his own way he was also attractive to women' (12).

Cynics among us might view the passage with suspicion, but as Knox says to Mrs. Bowes 'I wryt na lie unto yow, but the verie treuth ofoure communication what tyme I ressavit youre letteris' (Laing III:380). Nor is there any trace of hyperbole in statements like 'all our eis wypit at anis'. I would not go so far as to say that Knox was emotionally weak (that would be lèse-maiesté) but he is known to have wept, publicly, on more than one occasion (more of this, however, in The Historie chapter).
The stylistic features which impress us now are past-progressive tenses: 'was compleaning', 'wes schawing' and 'was oppinyng', and in one case, the past-progressive links up with the kind of inversion we met in Letter IV: 'was I talking'. Knox in fact seems to be suggesting that religious experience is sometimes a matter of gradual illumination. As with the experience recorded in Letter IV, Knox finds a miracle in this encounter, but when he mentions the 'verie instant houre', divine intervention, at least initially, seems less credible (but see Laing III:380).

Knox's advice is not just quirky and codified. It is often full of memorable, even charming, insights. Letters VII and XX can provide the evidence. The former ponders the theological problem of why the elect are allowed to suffer:

... sumtyme He dois turne away his face appeirandlie evin from His elect, and than ar thei in anguische and cair, but mercifulliere turnis He unto thame and gevis gladnes and consolatioun; albeit it remane but the twinkling of an eie, yit it is the arlis-penny of his eternall presence. Rejois, Sister, and continew. (Laing III:356, Letter VII).

Here we can imagine Knox at first speaking *sotto voce* and then warming up to his task of encouraging Mrs. Bowes to believe in the certainty of her salvation. And there is also a deep tenderness about the lines. The image of a 'twinkling eie' is from 1 Corinthians 15:52 where it precedes the Last Trumpet on Judgement Day, but the 'arlis-penny' which is from a financial register (not frequent in
Knox), has no scriptural source. As Laing says it is 'a piece of money given in earnest of a bargain or mutual agreement' (13). The use of such a figure is surely pleasing (just as it is in, say, Burns's poem 'My Tocher's the Jewel' (14)). Knox's final sentence seems to be 'invested' with a new significance. It is a clarion-call full of reassurance. This section from Letter VII is also noteworthy in other ways. For example, Knox uses an adverb in the comparative degree, (which, therefore, shares some of the properties of an adjective, and which is almost totally unexpected): 'but mercifulliere turnis He unto thame'. (George Buchanan does something similar in that memorable piece of contorted prose The Chameleon: '.. for yis monstre being vnder coverture [of a] manis figure, may easelie endommage .. .') (15). Knox is not known for his use of neologisms but this (perhaps together with 'monstiferous' in The First Blast) is one of them.

Letter XX contains one passage at least which is even richer than the above. Towards the conclusion of the letter he tells Mrs. Bowes:

Abyd, Mother, the tyme of harvest, befoir whilk must neidis goe the cald of winter, the temperat and unstabbill spring, and the fervent heit of summer, to be plane, ye must neidis saw with teiris or ye reap with gladnes; sym must in yow ga befoir justice, deth befoir lyfe, weaknes befoir strength, unstabilines before stabilitie and bitterness before comfort. (Laing III:385, Letter XX).
This is perhaps one of the gentlest expressions of the Christian message that any reader will encounter. It is even more attractive than our samples from John Johnson's work. Again we meet the image of 'harvest' time (first encountered in Knox's Letter to the Congregation of Berwick and drawn from Matthew's Gospel). We may indeed, then, have another (veiled) apocalyptic reference. But the antithetical structure of this section seems to suggest Genesis 8:22 ('Neither shall sowynge tyme and harvest, calde and beate, summer and wynter, daye and night ceasse so longe as the earthe endureth'), Proverbs 25:13 ('Like as the wynter coole in the harvest, so is a faithfull messanger to him that sent him'), Psalm 126 (125):5 ('they that sowe in teeres, shal reepe in ioye') and perhaps 1 Corinthians 15:43 ('It is sowne in corrupcion and shal ryse in uncorrupcion, it is sowne in dishonoure and shal ryse in glory, it is sowne in weekness and shal ryse in power') (Coverdale)(cf. also Joshua 3:15, 2 Samuel 23:3, Jeremiah 8:20, 50:16, 51:30, Isaiah 33:6, Zechariah 14:8, Psalm 74:7, Solomon's Song 2:1, 2 Peter 3:10, 12). It may have been passages like this, more than any in The Historie of the Reformatioun, that Carlyle had in mind when he said of Knox: 'it must be a little mind that cannot see that he was a poet - one of the wild Saxon kind, full of deep religious melody that sounds like Cathedral music' (16). (It need hardly be said, however, that Carlyle's estimation of responses to Knox has been optimistic).
More than this, the above lines contain language at its most functional. Knox uses disjunction ('Abyd, Mother, the tyme of . . .'), and antonyms ('cald / heit', 'sow / reap', 'syn/justice', 'weakness/strength', 'unstability/stability' and 'bitterness / comfort') all of which revolve around the same preposition ('befoir'). Additionally, there is some (pardonable) deception, since the phrase 'to be plane' really leads into a passage which is, in terms of imagery and structure, no simpler. But even St. Paul approved of deceiving people into the truth (see 1 Corinthians 9:20).

Competent and subtle homiletics continue in Letters XXVI and XIII. Knox expounds the story of Jacob wrestling with an angel in Genesis 33:24-32.

The Angell touchit the marie or principall synow of Jacobis thigh, whairby he became cruikit, and did halt, to witness unto him, that it was not be his own power that sa lang he had resistit. The thigh, ye knaw, is the principall part that susteaneis man to stand; and thairfoir being mamyit or cruikit in that part, he is unabill to wersill: And yit (a matter greatlie to be wonderit), Jacob wald not suffer the Angell to depart whill he gave him the benedictioun (a response befoir written,) and this was done at the spring of the morning. Heirby is signifiet . . . (Laing III:399, Letter XXVI).

As well as explaining all the symbolic meanings of the narrative, Knox poses his reader (presumably Mrs. Bowes) a problem, which he pretends to wonder at, while all along he knows the solution. And Mrs. Bowes gets from Dr. Knox an anatomy lesson into the bargain. Furthermore, Knox is using a conversational tone ('ye knaw' marks this clearly,) which
very effectively narrows the gap between teacher and pupil. Professor Ronald Jack is obviously right when he notes: 'Knox appears to have known that the orator who talked down to his audience or bored them with extended grave analyses would soon have no audience to bore or condescend to . . . .' (17). Professor Janton makes the same point (perhaps just a little more memorably) when he draws attention to a reference in Leonard Cox's Arte or Crafte of Rhetoryke: 'for lack of invencion and order with due elocucion, great tediousnes is engendred. . . by occasion whereof the speker is many times ere he have ended his tale, either left almost aloon to his no litle confusion, or else (which is a lyke rebuke to him) the audience falleth for werynes . . . fast on slepe' (18).

One of the most impressive pieces of advice to Mrs. Bowes (in our first category) comes in Letter XIII. Knox has to address two issues in this text. Mrs. Bowes has written to tell him that she finds herself doubting the existence of a Saviour and she has apparently been reading Nathaniel Bacon's edition of A Relation of the Estate of Francis Spira, in the year 1548. As Laing notes, Spira was 'a lawyer of Padua, whose case is well known: He died under great remorse of conscience, in consequence of having, by terror of the Inquisition, abjured the Protestant faith' (19). The way Knox destroys these (silly) notions reveals him as a true master of dialectic art. As we saw in Letter
XIV, the devil gets the blame for all things, but Knox does more than knock him on the head. He tells Mrs. Bowes:

Do ye not espy ye are not within his girne, for gif ye wer to what purpois suld he trubill yow? He is a roaring lyon, seiking whome he may devour, whome he hes devorit alredie he seikis na mair. Befoir he trubillit yow that thair is not a Saviour and now he affirms that ye salbe lyke to Francis Spera wha denyit Chrystis doctrine; doth not the one of theis tentations mak the uthir a lie, sa that ye may espy thame baith to be leis? (Laing III:368, Letter XIII).

V. Stanford Reid admires Knox's 'mixture of scriptural principles [the reference which forms the core of the argument here is, of course, to 1 Peter 5:8] and [the] hard lowland common sense that he manifested' (20), but like most biographers, Reid is not really interested in things literary. Knox takes both of Mrs. Bowes's complaints, has a good look at them, decides (rightly) that they are mutually contradictory, and subsequently sets them against each other. In this 'pincer' movement he creates reductio ad impossibile. (One cannot help but think of John Bunyan, who often did something similar with apparently contradictory scriptural passages. Bunyan was forever matching them off in great imaginary controversies, until one emerged the victor. In Knox's passage, however, both propositions are vanquished, each by the other).

But Knox did not always need elaborate methods to make his points in spiritual matters. He was also capable of lightning strokes. Take Letter XXII. This provides us with
fine examples of what Professor Jack would call sudden incongruity (21). Knox talks of those desirous of salvation, who cry out:

'Brethren, what shall we do?' Whilk wordis declair thame to be lambis weak, yea deid, and yit desyrous to be fed (Laing III:388, Letter XXII).

The image of a dead lamb which, nevertheless, is still desirous to be fed, is a striking one, and yet, given Knox's theological standpoint and religious vocabulary, one can understand how he arrived at it. But it also trips up any reader who may be mentally snoozing (Mrs. Bowes would not be doing so; she, no doubt, was too absorbed in assimilating the information which, after all, meant a lot to her) and returns him or her to the complex issues under discussion. Knox then goes on to clarify things by indulging in a more conventional similitude (which, incidentally, also guarantees his own job):

For lyke as scheip hes no judgement to descerne betwix the hailsum and noysum gers (but be the regement of thai pastour), sa hath not the flock of Chryst wisdome to avoyd sectis and heresyes . . . (Laing III:389, Letter XXII).

Although the imagery is consonant with that which went previously, none could have any difficulty seeing Knox's point in this instance.
Or in Letter XXXI (which is not to Mrs. Bowes), he reveals a mastery of alliteration when he is requested to arbitrate on the topic of proper 'apparell of wemen' by some Edinburgh worthies. It:

is verie difficill and dangerous to apoynt any certantie, leist in sa doing we either restrane Christiane libertie, or else loose the brydill to far to the folische fantasie of facill flesche. (Laing IV:225-226, Letter XXXI).

David Murison calls this manoeuvre 'a masterpiece of tact and diplomacy, or, if you like, wilines, a quality which was to stand him in good stead later in many a weightier matter' (22). And, in the same letter, for the first time in this chapter, we run across moods which anticipate The Historie:

Thair be sum whilk will not be sene altogether ignorant of Godis word, and yit, nevertheless, armit as it wer with the exampill of the multitude in apparell, ar mair lyke to courtesinis than to grave matronis. (Laing IV:226).

(It is in this chapter more than any other that we can see 'bits' of Knox's major work emerging ever so gradually, and we shall meet similar signs later on).

When Knox is addressing his close friends (apart from the Bowes women), he is just as compelling. Sometimes the prose even takes on the persuasiveness of the devil (although this is part of Knox's plan). In Letter XXX, to a 'Sister' we can see this:
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For all concerned, Satan is obviously a very insidious character, tireless and super-subtle in his machinations. Knox, in fact, pictures him persuading this particular lady that (like Lady Macbeth) to beguile the time by looking like the time (Macbeth Act 1., Sc. 5, 1.61-62) is perfectly acceptable. According to Knox, Satan also 'clothes' himself, 'allures' and 'solicits' and generally tempts the 'natural man'. Knox also thinks in terms of 'kingdoms' and he introduces earthly and heavenly figures ('sonis of unbelief' and 'Angel of lycht'). Alliteration, however, like that of Letter XXXI, is the most impressive feature, and here it has a more definite purpose. Prevalent 's' sounds come in when Knox is outlining the devil's most fiendish designs and these have a relaxing effect. But Knox kicks the effect into touch by bringing in plosive 'd's ('danger and displeasure') to create dissonance and to warn his reader that temptations are only superficially attractive. It is with full appreciation of his own skills that Knox later observes 'Be yow assured, deir Sister, that
all these persuasionis are nothing ellis but sweit songis
... to bring your saule asleip'. 'Sleeping', obviously,
is not just a metaphor.

I said earlier that Knox's conventional spiritual
guidance fell into two divisions; advice and exhortation.
We have now fully explored the first of these. The second
involves a more familiar picture of Knox. No one can deny
that passages like the following sound a different note to
that which we have just heard. In Letter II for example,
Knox tells Mrs. Bowes:

But, O, Mother! thocht na erthlie creature suld be
offendit with yow, yit feir ye the presence and
offence of Him, who, present in all places, searcheth
the very hart and reynis; whais indignatioun, anis
kendillit aganis the inobedient, (and na syn mair
inflameth his wraith than idolatrie doith,) na creatur
in heavin nor in earth, that onlie is creatur, is
abill to appais the same (Laing III:346, Letter II).

I have taken this out of its context, and indeed, Knox goes
on immediately to comfort his reader, after warning her to
flee idolatry as from eternal death. Even so, it is clear
that Knox is 'thundering'. He draws primarily on Psalm 7:9
('manteyne the iuste, thou rightous God, that triest the
very herstes & the reynes') and Hebrews 4:13 ('ye worde of
God is a iudger of the thoughtes & intentes of the hert,
neither is there any creature invisible in ye sight of
him'). Moreover, the idea of 'kindling' or 'inflaming'
God's wrath is a common biblical notion. Just as the Deity
is present in all places, He is represented in nearly every
clause of Knox's warning ('Him', 'who', 'whais', 'his', 'the same' are appositives of God). For Mrs. Bowes, Knox makes His presence compellingly real.

Similarly in Letter VIII we can see Knox adopting vehement language. This time, he is in a very apocalyptic vein:

O miserable, unthankfull, and maist mischevous warld! What salbe thy condemmnatioun, when He, that hes sa oft gentillie provokit ye to obey his treuth, sall cum in his glorie, to punish thy contempt? Wha sall hyd thee from the presence of that lyoun whom thou did persecut in everie age? What sall excuse thee, that sa tiranfillie hath sched the bluid of sic as faithfullie labourit to bring thee from blind ignorance and idolatrie, when that stubborne contempereris sall cry 'Mountanis fall on us, and hyd us fra the presence of the Lord!' (Laing III:357, Letter VIII).

As before, Knox goes on to reassure Mrs. Bowes that in contrast 'Deir Sister, we salbe placeit in maist securitie with the Lamb, in whais blud we are purgeit' (cf. Hebrews 9:22, 1 John 1:7, Revelation 5:9, 7:14, 12:11).

For anyone interested in apocalyptic typologies this is a splendid passage. The framework constitutes interrogatio and the central image is that of Christ's second coming as a 'lyoun' (the enthusiasm and zeal may even remind us of Dunbar's Surrexit Dominus de Sepulchro). We saw previously that Knox borrowed the 'roaring lion' from 1 Peter and there it referred to Satan. Here, then, we have a complete contrast. Of course, Knox may be thinking of Revelation 5:5 'the Lyon which is off the trybe of Iuda, ye rote of David'.
(Coverdale), the only one who is worthy to open the Book of Seven Seals, and indeed, Knox actually quotes Revelation 6:16 directly.

For all this, it would be wrong to give the impression that the stern tones in the above selections are really typical of Knox's letters. We ought to move on to more prominent features; to the confessional aspects of the prose. If we are still astonished at the idea of Knox being partial to 'confession' what is to come should dispel disbelief entirely, but it should perhaps be noted that, in this respect, Reformation theology transferred emphasis away from obsession with particular individual sins, and involved a more radical concern for inescapable original sin, which only Christ could cure and which is harder to confess.

First of all, we might consider a passage where he actually uses the term himself. Here he is telling Mrs. Locke about his experiences in Geneva:

In other places, I confess Chryst to be trewlie preachit, but maneris and religion so sincerelie reformat, I have not yit sene . . . besydes. Sathan, I confess rageth aganis the ane and the other . . .'. (Laing IV:240, Letter XXXIII).

We cannot and should not make much of this, but surely his use must signify something about his frame of mind and natural associations between language and subject matter.
On other occasions, we can observe him revealing intimate truths in the presence of God. Two instances come to mind. He tells Mrs. Bowes and Mrs. Locke respectively:

*God I take to recorde in my conscience that none is this day within the Realme of Ingland, with whome I wald mair gladlie speik onlie sche whome God hath offirit unto me and commandit me to lufe as my own flesche exceptit) than with you (Laing III:370, Letter XIV).*

*God I tak to recorde in my conscience that or I suld knaw yow so far convictit as to bow to idolatrie and daylie confirm the same be your presence, I rather wold chuse unto your company to beg my breid . . . (Laing IV:219, Letter XXIX).*

(Cf. 2 Corinthians 1:23, Philippians 1:8). We meet the same sort of type-phrase as those in Knox's introductions (23), but he combines with them the 'truth-guarantee' of comparisons such as 'evin . . . as I preach Chryst to be the onlie Saviour' (see Letter XIV). Despite the surface similarities between the two sections, they in fact reflect different sides of the same coin. In XV Knox is expressing what holds he and his correspondent together, in XXIX he describes what would keep them apart. And for good measure he adds 'I wald rather chuse . . .' (cf. 'I would rather be a dog . . . than such a Roman' Julius Caesar Act.4, Sc.3, 1.27-28, 'I had rather be a toad and live upon the vapour of a dungeon' Othello Act 3, Sc.3, 1.267-269, Psalm 109:10).

Real and deep confession, however, only comes into play when Knox says things like this. He finds himself stung by his own preaching and concludes:
But now, albeit that I never lack the presence and plane image of my own wreachit infirmitie, yit seing syn sa manifestlie abound in all estaitis, I am compellit to thounder out the threattyngis of God aganis obstinat rebellaris; in doing whairof (albeit as God knaweth I am no malicious nor obstinat synner) I sumtymes am woundit, knawing myself criminall and gilitie in many, yea in all (malicious obstinacie laid asyd) thingis that in utheris I reprehend. Judge not, Mother, that I wrait theis thingis, debassing myself uther wayis than I am . . . thus in conclusion, thair is na vyce repugnyng to Godis balie will, expressit in his law, whairwith my hart is not infectit (Laing III:338-339, Letter 1).

Modern Scots, who are accustomed to think of Knox as the supreme 'whited wall' (Acts 23:3), must accept the fact that he fully recognised canting, self-righteous hypocrisy as the most insidious sign of complete corruption that there is. Of course, loud professions of humility may themselves fit into the arsenal of pompous clap-trap that quasi-pious individuals exhibit, but this is certainly not so in Knox's case. David Murison is quite right when he selects this passage as evidence that Knox was a 'sincere and thoughtful preacher' (24).

What makes these lines so interesting is the fact that they reveal Knox caught between his (necessarily high) religious and moral standards and the realities of his own being. He may well be a reformed preacher, schooled in theology, but when it comes right down to it, he is no different from anybody else, he says, except for the fact that his vocation compels him to reprehend others. For what it's worth, Knox would never have considered himself a
burning and a shining light, to anyone, or to any place. He is surely writing with a deep concern to be properly understood (after all he is making quite a difficult point) but also, in a state of some agitation. He means what he says; he is not 'debassing' himself 'uther wayis' than he is, and he is constantly reminded of his frailty. Most of these things are evidenced in the structure and syntax of the text. For example the frequency of parentheses at times makes the letter rather hard to follow (and that is why Dr. Murison in his quotation leaves some of it out). The phrase 'malicious obstinacie laid asyd' coming as it does between 'all' and 'thingis' may be tentatively labelled as awkward, but there is no doubt that it is introduced spontaneously, at the most crucial point of the argument. The other non-essential 'albeit as God knaweth, I am no malicious nor obstinat synner', together with 'obstinat rebellaris', allows us to conclude that Knox was rather concerned with 'malice' and 'obstinacy'. He wants, in fact, to suggest that he is guilty of neither. (Mary Stuart, one feels, would not have agreed with him, to be sure. Perhaps she would have found in these remarks one of the richest unconscious ironies that he ever achieved. Indeed, intransigence is a charge which modern minds must level at Knox, justifiably, I think, but let us not forget that what we regard as tolerant and accommodating would often be seen as weak and puny in the sixteenth century). At any rate, we seem to have linguistic evidence of definite psychological
patterns here. Just as Shakespeare's Edmund in *King Lear* is obsessed with 'baseness' and 'bastardy' (see *Lear* Act 1, Sc. 2., 1-22), so too is Knox with the subjects outlined above. A good parallel in religious literature is perhaps Paul's *Epistle to the Galatians*, one of his most hurried and mentally revealing texts.

Other engaging features are Knox's use of the 'thounder' metaphor, and we meet with judicial, legal terminology ('criminall', 'giltie', 'judge') as opposed to contractual, which we discussed earlier. However, it is undeniable that the whole piece is charged with vitality and is highly attractive to any fair-minded and responsible literary critic.

We have seen how Knox the preacher impinges upon and affects the character of, Knox the revealing letter-writer. But he was also, as he thought, a prophet. When prophecy begins to mingle with confession we get what is, in anyone's terms, a quite extraordinary mixture. Letter XXIX to Mrs. Locke and Mrs. Hickman, among others (for example XXXII), contains something of this mixture. After detailing his relationship with the women, Knox asks them if they recall how, once he had established a bond of trust with them, he felt it necessary to reveal certain 'secret' prophetic truths:

But when I consider and call to mynd how God, I doubt not, brocht us in familiar acquaintance . . . and how my hart was opinit and compellit in your presence to be mair plane in suche matteris as afer hath cum to
pass, then ever I was to any. For ye remember, as I
suppois, how efter great angusche and sorrow of hart,
whilk many dayis I susteanit, at last I was compellit,
with weiping teiris, to oppin unto you that whilk
almaist no man culd haif beleiffit. Ye remember my
judgement, and what communicatioun we had upon the
same (Laing IV:220, Letter XXIX).

In the colophon, Knox further defines himself as 'your
brother, that shewit this visioun unto yow when no such
thing was suspectit' (Laing IV:222). We saw the author of
The Complaynt using prophecy, but not like this. In fact,
The Complaynt stands more in line with the type of
prediction found in the public letters and Knox's Historie.
Here, however, we get a much clearer insight into Knox's
mind. If we are to be rigorously and ruthlessly objective
we must allow for the possibility that Knox the prophet was
the product only of his own mythopoeic self-image (although
we should also bear in mind that a belief in genuinely
predictive prophecy is not at all naïve; St. Paul calls it
one of the gifts of the Spirit (1 Corinthians 13:2 and
elsewhere) and it is a major strand of thought in the
Gospels as well as the Old Testament). But in this instance
we do not need to address the issue of prophecy in itself;
rather, we should explore how Knox uses the concept as a
factor working within his personal relationships. Obviously
he did not want to reveal his terrible 'visions' to the
ladies for fear that it would upset them, but he felt
himself 'compellit' (by God, presumably) to do so. He ended
up weeping, yet again, but the women probably came away with
a sense of his magnetic and charismatic qualities. When he wrote this letter, sometime later, he may have been worried that the dazzling impression which he had made was fading away, so he took the opportunity to remind them of it. And he now has the 'proof' of what had 'after cum to pass' to boot. 'Almaist no man culd haif beleiffit', but Knox believed, and true enough, he was no ordinary man.

This brings us on to his most explicitly situation-conditioned, confessional prose. Take for example the letter in which Knox tells Mrs. Bowes how he approached the brother of her husband, Robert Bowes, to ask for his permission, which he didn't get, to marry Marjory Bowes:

Amangis utheris his maist unpleasing words, whill that I was about to have declarit my hart in the hail matter, he said 'Away with youre rethorical reasonse! for I will not be persuadit with thame! God knawis I did use no rethorik nor collourit speach; but wald haif spokin the treuth and that in maist simpill maner . . . . I am not a gud oratur in my awn caus: but what he wald not be content to heir of me, God sail declar to him a day till his displeasure, unles he repent (Laing III:378, Letter XVIII).

In his biographical analysis of this encounter, Muir selected the words 'I am not a gud orator in my own caus' as evidence that Knox was again being untruthful, and went on to contrast them with the brilliant rhetoric of Knox's A Vindication of the Doctrine that the Sacrifice of the Mass is Idolatry (published a few years before) in which he does speak in his own cause (25). But Muir is so eager to find fault that he completely blinds himself to the fact that
there is no contradiction. To denounce the use of rhetoric is the supreme sign of the rhetorician. One would have to be remarkably credulous to take Mark Anthony at face value when he says 'I am no orator as Brutus is / But, as you know me all, a plain, blunt man' (Julius Caesar Act 3., Sc.2, 1.218-219). Robert Bowes, in fact, is a lot closer to that cynical, worldly-wise old politician Caius Cassius, who says of Anthony's words 'they rob the Hybla bees / And leave them honeyless' (Act 5, Sc. 1, 1.35-36). Similarly, Bowes will not be persuaded with Knox's 'rethorical reasonis'. Moreover, this is almost the first time that we see Knox using reported speech and he was to go on to develop this technique a great deal more, as The Historie shows. He winds up his presentation of Mr. Bowes by accusing him of wickedness, but certainly Knox himself was much stung by the encounter, and he lashes out.

We see Knox, as it were, on the 'edge', again, in texts such as Letter XXXVI in which he ponders the reasons why he fled the country at the succession of Mary Tudor. He exploits ante occupatio to anticipate putative counter-arguments and to answer them in advance. Thus preocupatio gives way to prosopopoeia (26):

Gif any object, I follow not the counsell whilk I gif to uthiris, for my fleing the contrey declareth my feir; I answer, I bind na man to my exampill, and yit I trust to God that I do not expressedlie against the word, whilk God uttereth be me. Gif the lufe of this lyfe or the feir of corporall deith, causit me to deny the knawin veritie ... then wo be to me for ever, for I wer nathing but a tratour to Chryst and his religioun (Laing IV:247, Letter XXXVI).
David Murison selects a similar letter as evidence that Knox could display 'the frankness of a man in an agonising re-appraisal of himself' (27), and indeed Knox does not hesitate to pronounce his own condemnation if he were to abandon Christ. He has not backed himself into a corner, but he has come perilously close to it. The whole thing rests, however, on a series of hypotheses ('gif any . . .' 'gif the . . .') and self-deprecation comes in ('for I werenathing but . . .') only if we grant the conditions. The mathematicians, of course, will tell us that the more variables we introduce into a hypothesis, the less chance the conclusion has of coming into play.

Elsewhere, Knox went further, by facing up to the possibility of martyrdom (and, in fact, flinching from it):

As for my self, albeit the extremitie suld now apprehend me, it is not cumin unlukit for. But, allace! I feir that yit I be not rype, nor abill to glorifie Christ be my death, but what lacketh now, God sall performe in his awn tyme (Laing III:358, Letter VIII).

We should not lose sight of the fact that, as a sixteenth century Protestant, in pre-Reformation times, Knox himself was in some senses a 'victim'. In The Historie he tells us that he entered St. Andrews Castle because he was 'weareid of removing from place to place', and 'be reassone of the persecutioun that came upoun him . . . ' (Laing I:185). Indeed, Knox wrote the above letter in dangerous times and
his fear is not ill-founded. Evidently, he was becoming quite used to having fear as a constant companion (martyrdom 'is not cumin unlukit for'). Knox does end on a positive note of trust in the Deity which is truly impressive under the circumstances.

Knox, we know, was never called upon to make the supreme sacrifice, mainly because of his own shrewd or common-sense manoeuvres. On one occasion, though, he did take a risk against the better judgement which had probably saved his life in the past. The occasion, of course, was the journey to Scotland in 1555. The impression that this has made on his private letters is far different from that on the open epistles. After all his fears and misgivings, he got, on arrival, what seems to have been the surprise of his life. Letters XXVII and XXVIII reflect his enthusiasm when he found many Scots sympathetically disposed towards Protestantism:

Albeit my jurney towards Scotland, belovit Moother, was maist contrarious to my awn judgement, befoir I did interpryre the same yit this day I prais God for thame wha was the cause externall of my resort to theis quarteris . . . Gif I had nocht sene it with my eyis, in my own contrey, I culd not have believit it (Lang IV:217, Letter XXVII).

In fact, it seems that Mrs. Bowes herself was one of the 'cause(s) externall' that persuaded him to make the trip, and now he marvels that God should have chosen to work through her. Certainly the prose captures signs of a
disbelief which has been overcome, but it is not long before
remorse works its way in. Knox subsequently speaks of his
exile as the 'den' of his 'awin ease'. On the whole,
however, the sense of triumph is over-powering. Knox even
indulges in an almost romantic death-wish:

The trumpet blew the ald sound thrie dayis together,
till privat houssis of indifferent largeness culd not
conteane the voice of it. O! Sueit war the death that
suld follow sic fortie dayis in Edinburgh, as heir I
have had thrie . . . (Laing IV:218, Letter XXVIII).

As we saw in chapter two, Knox's joy was to be short lived,
but these two letters testify to his enormous capacity for
emotional self-expression.

Only one division within the 'familiar epistles' now
remains; that of combined counsel and confession. The
combination of the two features gives the writing both an
intimacy and an added edge to the counsel. One might say
that Knox places the coping stone on his former efforts. He
emphasises the special relationship with Mrs. Bowes in
Letter XXII, and describes himself to her as one:

... knawing your sair anguischis, and the rare
number of them that can rychtlie lay the medecine to
the wound; my conscience, prickit with verie pitie
compellit me to remane. (Laing III:391, Letter
XXII).

Like the Psalmist (73:21) Knox is 'pricked to ye reins'
(Great Bible) by his compassion, and he presents himself as
a doctor (metaphorically speaking, but our earlier analogy
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was not far off, see p.172). In Letter XXI the link between
the facets is more evident, with Knox setting himself up as
an exemplar, or if you like, travelling ahead of Mrs. Bowes
down the spiritual paths, that he might return and warn her
of the dangers which lie in any particular direction (cf.
Job 6:4, Psalm 39:1, Lamentations 3:15):

I drank, schortlie efter this flatterie of myself, a
cupe of contra poysone, the bitterness whairof doith
yit sa remane in my breist . . . The lyke, Mother,
mycht have cumin to yow, gif the secreit brydill of
afflictioun did not refrane . . . (Laing III:387,
Letter XXI).

Drinking from the bitter cup, of course, has its precedents
in Isaiah and Christ's passion narrative, but the main thing
to note here is how Knox deflects Mrs. Bowes from making
the same mistakes he has.

However, perhaps the most remarkable of all the
combinations is the one which we find in Letter III. He
asks Mrs. Bowes:

Call to your mynd what I did standing at the copburd
at Anwik: in verie deid I thought that na creature had
bene temptit as I wes. And when that I heard proceid
fra your mouth the verie same wordis that he trubillis
me with, I did wonder, and fra my hart lament your
sair trubill, knowing in myself the dolour thairof.
And na uthir thing, deir Sister, meant I . . . (Laing

Gordon Donaldson laments that this is 'all too cryptic' (28)
and W. Stanford Reid points out at length why it is wrong to
build innuendos from the material; 'from the fact that Mrs.
Bowes was considerably older than Knox and had already borne many children, we must conclude that by this time she had lost most of her physical attractiveness' (29). There are certainly no sexual connotations, then. Indeed, together with Reid, one is inclined to think that Knox is alluding to something altogether mundane, although it obviously signified much to both of them. We are reading someone else's mail, after all; we should anticipate that it will contain topics meaningful only to writer and reader.

However, in its context as part of the letter, this undoubtedly banal event, whatever it may have been (and we will never know), has become part of a reasoning process. With the same skill that we saw in Letter XIII (see p. 173-174), Knox tracks down and fuses together two strands of individual experience (his and her's), and with this fusion, forges his argument. Repeated items like 'trubill / trubillis' tell us something too. For Knox, without trouble there will be no triumph. This is perhaps the Christian tenet which he most took to heart (see for instance 2 Timothy 2:9).

When we turn from the 'familiar epistles' to the letters relating to the Reformation and to Knox's later life, we must look for another, underlying but unifying principle, since the confessional and pastoral concerns are much less pronounced, if they are there at all. Three striking strands of material emerge from these texts; material which constitutes the first draft of passages in
The Historie, which supplements The Historie, and finally, which exceeds the bounds of The Historie. Take the first of these strands. Letter IV to Mrs. Locke is perhaps the best of all the examples which could be cited:

In the meane time, came the Bishop of Sanct Andrewes to the towne, accompanied with a great band of warriours, and gave a strait commandement, that no preaching sould be made by me, who was both brunt in figure and horned, assuring the Lords, that if they suffered me to preache, that twelve hacque buttis sould light upoun my nose at once (O burning charitie of a bloodie bishop!) (Laing VI:25, Letter IV).

When composing Book II of The Historie, probably completed by the end of the same year (1559 that is), Knox used the same approach and humour, but we get a much more involved, vigorous and interesting version:

The Bishope, hearing of Reformatioun to be maid in his Cathedrall Churche, thought tyme to sturr, or ellis never; and thair fair assembled his collegis and confederat fellowis, besydis his uther freindis, and came to the town upoun the Setterday at night, accompanied with a hundreth spearis, of mynd to have stopped Johne Knox to have preached. The two Lordis and gentilmen foirsaid war onlie accompanied with thair quyet housholdis, and thairfoir was the suddane cuming of the Bischope the more fearfull; for than was the Quene and hir Frenchmen departed from Sanct Johnestoun, and war lying in Falkland, within twelf myles of Sanctandrois; and the town at that tyme had not gevin professioun of Christ, and thairfoir could nocht the Lordis be assured of thair freindship. Consultatioun being had, many war of mynd that the preaching should be delayed for that day, and especiallie that Johne Knox should nocht preache, for that did the Bischope affirme that he wald nocht suffer, considdering that by his commandiment the picture of the said Johne was befoir brunt. He willed, thairfoir, ane honest gentillman, Robert Colvile of Cleishe, to say to the Lordis, 'That in case Johne Knox presented him selff to the preaching place, in his town and principall Churche, he should
gar him be saluted with a dosane of culveringis, quherof the most parte should lyght upoun his nose'. After long deliberatioun, the said Johne was called . . . (Laing I:347-348).

In his marginal note, Knox cannot resist the summarising observation that this was 'the Bishophe his good mynde toward Johne Knox' which is a gentler, but still ironic re-statement of '0 burning charitie of a bloodie bishop!' and one of Knox's best jokes. In fact Knox did go on to preach and 'the Bishophe advertisshed heirof' told the Regent and 'did so kendill bhir choler' even if 'the luif was verrie cold betuix thame' (Laing I:350). Readers of The Historie will recognise a connection between this narrative and the reconciliation of Cardinal Beaton and Archbishop Dunbar in Book I. Knox, however, emerged from the maelstrom of activity which ensued with his nose in tact and very much unpunctured.

It is undeniable that the letter contains the seeds of a very fine story (which would fall somewhere between 'dramatic narrative' and 'merry tale' according to the categories in chapter five). Of course, there are minor differences in detail between the two. Knox replaces 'in the meane time' with a non-restrictive and active line 'hearing of Reformation to be maid, thought tyme to sturr', and he adds a statement of sardonic finality 'or ellis never'. The 'great band of warriours' are further
classified as 'collegis and confederat fellowis', which makes some of them, at least, sound like criminals. Knoxian revision between texts continues. For example, in The Historie he tells readers that it was by the Bishop's commandment that he had been outlawed and publicly disgraced. Neither is the participation of 'ane honest gentillman', Robert Colville of Cleish, mentioned in the letter, and the 'twelve hacquebuttis' which are to light upon Knox's nose 'at once', become 'a dosane of culveringis' which, for the 'most parte' will puncture his most prominent facial feature. The image of the spears flying through the air and descending in unison is indeed a delightfully comical spectacle, in both texts.

Then there is the strand which adds to our knowledge of events in The Historie. Consider Letter XCVI, written as late as July 1571. Knox writes to the now Reformed Church in Edinburgh, and advises:

Be not ye sclandered at the multitude of them that have joyned handes with impietie: 'For if they had bene of us (as Sanct Johne sayeth) they had remaned with us'. But now this their defection doeth planelie declare, that when they were with us, they were but as corrupted humoures within the body, which behoved to be expelled furthe, before the body coulde convalesce, and come to perfection againe. Lament their fall, but follow not their trade . . . (Laing VI:603, Letter XCVI).

In Book I of The Historie, Knox includes a narrative about one of his fellow-prisoners in the galleys, James Balfour, who at the time of writing, had become a 'principall
mysgyder' of Scotland (Laing I:204), and who had apparently abandoned Knox's version of Reformed, Evangelical Christianity. The way in which Knox deals with Balfour is fundamentally the same as the way he deals with apostates in the above letter:

This we wryte, because we have heard that the said Maister James alledgeis, that he was never of this our religioun; but that he was brought up in Martine's opinioyn of the sacrament, and tharefoyr he can nott communicat with us. But his awin conscience, and two hundreth witness besydes, know that he lyes; and that he was one of the cheaff, (Yf he had not bein after Coppis,) that wold have gevin his lyef, yf men mycht credite his wordis, for defence of the doctrin that the said John Knox tawght. But albeit, that those that neuir war of us, (as none of Monquhanye's sones have schawin thame selfis to be,) departe from us, it is no great wonder; for it is propir and naturall that the children follow the father; and lett the godly levar of that rase and progeny be schawen; for yf in them be eather fear of God, or luf of vertew, further then the present commodite persuades thame, men of judgement ar deceaved (Laing I:202).

In this case, The Historie text precedes the letter, but Knox (like David Lindsay) was never a man to let a good idea go to waste. Moreover, in one sense at least, revision had taken place in the letter. Knox quotes and acknowledges as his source the scriptural passage (1 John 2.18-19) which only forms part of an allusion in his earlier writing. The verses read: 'Litle children, it is nowe the laste houre, and (as ye haye herde that Antechrist shal come) even now are ther many become Antechrists. They went out from us, but they were not of us, for yf they had bene of us, they wolde no doubte haye contynued with us' (Coverdale).
(John's epistles provide many passages which are useful for dealing with secessionists; ironically however, European Catholic polemicists used these verses to stigmatise the Protestant defectors themselves).

Of course, there is much more at work in The Historie section (the intimate reference to 'Martine' (Balfour, not Luther), the appeal to witnesses to godly readers) but the letter brings in a developed metaphor ('humours within the body') and is more positive in that it envisages the body (of Christ: the Church) returning to health.

Sometimes the correspondence noticeably and definitely exceeds The Historie in dramatic sweep. The finest example of this is in Letter XXXIV to Mrs. Locke, which relates to a period immediately prior to September, 1559:

We doe nothing but goe about Jericho, blowing with trumpets, as God giveth streth, hoping victory by his power alone. Christ Jesus is preached even in Edinburgh, and his blessed sacraments rightlie ministred in all congregations where the ministrie is established; and they be these: - Edinburgh, Sanct Andrewes, Dundie, Sanct Johnstoun, Brechin, Montrose, Stirline, Air. And now, Christ Jesus is begunne to be preached upon the south borders nixt unto you, in Jedburgh and Kelso, so that the trumpet soundeth all over, blessed be our God. We laike labourers, alas! (Laing VI:78, Letter XXXIV).

E.G. Rupp finds 'top secret gossip' in these lines (30), but, really, there is hardly anything muted about Knox's tones. He is not whispering, but shouting from the rooftops. Had this passage worked its way into The Historie however, it would have had great potential, perhaps with the
story of Joshua and the Siege of Jericho (see *Joshua* 6) combining with a use of *Matthew* 9:37 'ye hervest is greate by ye labourers are few; therefore praye the LORDE of the hervest to send for labourers into his harvest' (We need not point out the significance of the image by this time). The most important thing about the lines is, however, their use of place-names (and if this does not seem especially significant here, it will in chapter five).

Then, there are passages which draw upon comparatively recent traditions, which *The Historie*, for all its continuity with previous Scottish cultural trends, does not. In a letter to Thomas Randolph, written in mid-1564 when Knox was much occupied with the composition of his major work, he says:

Rolletis tydingis ar as yit buried in the breastis of two within this realme, butt Maddye telleth us many newes: 'The mess shall up, The Bischope of Glaskier and Abbot of Dunfermyyne come as ambassadouris from the Generall Counsall, my Lord Bothwell shall follow, with power to putt in execution whatsoever is demanded, and our Soveraine will have done and then shall Knox and his preaching be pulled by the eares'. Thus with us ravis Maddye every day, but heirupon I greatlie pans not (Laing VI:541, Letter LXXVIII).

The short satirical poems 'Maddie's Lamentatioun', 'Maddie's Proclamatioun' and 'The Bird in The Cage' written by Robert Sempill and published by Lekprevik in 1570, also make use of the popular fun-figure 'Maddie prioress of the Kaill-market' and Richard Bannatyne's *Memorials* even mention Maddie 'of our fisch merkatt' (31). As in the narrative dealing with
the Archbishop of St. Andrews and his spearmen, Knox pictures features of his physiognomy as the object of violence. Again, he is quick to assure his reader that he is nothing moved by the prospect (although he probably was).

The later epistles also provide us with much information about the relationship between Knox, and William Maitland of Lethington, who was, according to Knox himself 'a man of good learnyng, and of scharpe witt and reasonyng' (Laing I:247), whatever else. Lethington, of course, has gone down in history as the 'Chamæleon' of Buchanan's short prose piece, and Knox seems to have combined what amounts to a deep respect for his shrewdness with just as deep a mistrust of his person. In the final chapter we shall be looking at their major confrontation, as outlined in Knox's Historie, but as I said, the epistles provide interesting information about yet another, and later, conflict between the two. In 1572, it seems, Lethington wrote to the Session of Edinburgh, complaining:

It is cum to our eires be credible report, that your minister, Johne Knox, asweill publict in his sermondis as utherwayis, hes slanderit me as ane atheist, and enemie to all religione, in direct speiches, that I have plainlie spoken in the Castell, that 'thair is nather heavin nor hell', and that thai ar thingis devysed to fray bairnes', with uther sic language tending to the lyke effect, unworthie of Christiane earis to be rehersit in the hearing of men, which wordis, befoir God, never at any tyme proceidit from my mouth . . . (Laing VI:635).
Like Ninian Vinzet, Lethington must have had contacts with Knox's congregation (32) for him to be able to report such material (if it is accurate) and Lethington goes on to desire the Session not to receive Knox's 'every word as oracles; and knaw that he is but a man subject to vanitie; and that many tymes dois utter his awin passiones' (Laing VI:635). By the time Knox received news of this challenge, he was too ill to respond by letter, and we owe to Richard Bannatyne's Memorials the account of how he reacted. Knox apparently cited Lethington's 'workis' in troubling the commonwealth and the Kirk as evidence, for him, and the whole world, of his atheism (rather too general a response, perhaps), and:

Whair the bill said that 'Johne Knox was a man subject to vanitie, and all is not oracles that comes out of his mouth'. Whairto the said Mr. Knox answeris, That he confessit he was but a most vile creature and a wretched man; nochtheles the thingis that he hes spoken sould be fund als trew as these oracles that have bene spocken be any of the servandis of God befoir .... (Laing VI:639).

As always, Knox seized the opportunity to express his own unworthiness, and on the strength of this, lends weight to the reaffirmation of his prophetic capacity. Obviously, he retained his oracular hat right to the very end.

Such, then, are Knox's private letters. Of course, Laing's text contains many more, but the body which we have considered is a representative cross-section. They are surely fascinating works; indeed many of them are minor
literary masterpieces in their own right, and abiding testimony to Knox's skill, patience, compassion and candour. Furthermore, a three-fold structure emerges from the 'familiar epistles' which shows Knox at his most compelling when he unites two strands of thought, but also remarkably varied throughout. The later letters are less self-expressive, but their chief value lies in their intertextuality with The Historie, whether they contain first-drafts or supplementary material. In nearly every case, however, they help clarify our understanding of Knox and his literary methods. But the one really extraordinary thing which emerges from this chapter is the fact that literary critics have been completely wrong to ignore utterly Knox's private letters.
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Chapter Four
KNOX'S THEOLOGICAL WORKS

Nearly all of Knox's critics conclude that, as a theologian, he was not an original thinker. In the epilogue to his Studies in the Thought of John Knox, Richard Greaves puts the matter succinctly, but he is careful enough to present a fair and balanced judgement:

... Knox displayed virtually no ability to develop dramatically fresh interpretations, nor will he ever be accorded a place among the theologians of the first rank in Western Civilization. Yet he was a man of no mean ability, and as the principal formulator of the theology, liturgy and polity of the Reformed Kirk in Scotland, he drew upon a wide variety of sources, ranging from native Scottish elements, to Lutheran, Reformed and English traditions.

For what they are worth to the literary critic, these statements are true and one should probably make them before going any further. But it need hardly be said that theology is not our business. Like C.S. Lewis, in his English Literature of the Sixteenth Century, we must concern ourselves with it only in so far as it affects our understanding of literature, and Knox's literary personality.

This chapter, then, analyses how Knox's literary and rhetorical machinery enables him to make his theological points, but we shall also keep an eye open to detect material which falls under our concepts of Knoxian 'intertextuality' and psychological revelation. On examining the
theological division, straight-away the first of these concepts becomes relevant. In his most lengthy theological treatise, An Answer to An Anabaptist (1558), Knox combines the thought of John Bale's The Image of bothe Churches (2) with that of Augustine's De Civitate Dei and copious biblical references (Daniel 4:35, Isaiah 31:2,32-6, Hosea 6:8, Psalm 141:4, Acts 8:32, 1 Peter 2:8, Revelation 2:9, 14:9, 1 John 3:10, Matthew 7:23, 23, 33) so that two cities becomes two armies:

The one of these armies; is called the Church of God, the elect spouse of Christ Jesus, the shepe appointed to slaughter, the Kingly priest-hede, the sonnes of God and the people redeemed: by ancient writers it is termed the Citie of God. The other is called the Synagoge of Satan, the Church malignant; cruell, deceitfull and blood-thirstie wolves, progenie of vipers, sonnes of the Devill; workers of iniquitie and such as worship the Beast and his image (Laing V:413).

However, if we believe Book I of The Historie, Knox did not arrive at these conclusions after a long period of thought, but had held them even before the beginning of his preaching career. In chapter two, we touched on the fact that his clash with Dean John Annand constituted what was probably his first literary exercise (at that time Knox was still too timid to indulge in an open, oral debate). It is in this challenge to Annand, as early as 1547, that we find him formulating the above concepts and using exactly the same kind of imagery and structure:

We must discerne the Immaculat Spouse of Jesus Christ, frome the Mother of Confusion, Spiritual Babylon,
least that imprudently we embrace a harlot instead of the chaste Spouse; yea, to speak in plain words, least that we subject ourselves to Satan thinking that we submit our selves to Jesus Christ. For, as your Romane Kirk, as it is now corrupted, and the authority thereof, wherein stands the hope of your victorie, I no more doubt but that it is the Synagog of Satan, and the head thereof, called the Pope, to be that man of syne, of whom the Apostle speakis then that I doubt that Jesus Christ suffered by the procurement of the Visible Kirk of Hierusalem. (Laing I:189).

(See 2 Thessalonians 2:3). And this is not the only other instance. In the presence of Mary Stuart in 1561 (see Laing II:283-284) and in The Confession of Faythe (Laing II:109-112) we find Knox forwarding the same arguments. When confronted with the above similarities, experienced textual critics might legitimately suspect that The Historie passage, written in 1566 but referring to events twenty years earlier, contains a 'backward-projection' of the 1558 material, and indeed, we should not dismiss the theory too lightly given the fact that Knox would not be averse to the idea that he was ahead of his time, and generally much cleverer than everybody else. But we can see other ideas, such as his belief in the legitimacy of resistance, developing over a period of years, so there is no reason to suppose that Knox, in this instance, deliberately short-circuited the process. In any case, Dr. John Durkan has shown that the figure of Martin Luther looms large behind even the earliest of Knox's controversies (3). (And to appreciate just how vituperative Luther could be, one need
only consult pamphlets such as the one *Wider das Papstumm zu Rom. vom Teufel gestiftet*. Anyhow, here we have evidence that the 'relatedness' of Knox's various prose-works, spills over from the private letters and *The Historie*, to the theological division and *The Historie*.

But this is not all, in general terms, that we can glean from the present category. Take the preface to Knox's *Sermon on Isaiah*; this contains what is certainly the longest existing statement of how Knox perceived his own vocation, and this makes it extremely interesting for critics who want to discover what motivated him. Knox says:

Wonder not, Christeane Reader, that of all my studye and travayle within the Scriptures of God these twentye years, I have set forth nothing in exponing anye portione of Scripture, except the onely rude and indigest sermons preached be me in the publike audience of the Church of Edinburgh, the day and yeare above mentioned. That I did not in writ communicat my judgement upon the Scriptures, I have ever thought and yet thinke myself to have most just reason. For considering myself rather cald of my God to instruct the ignorant, comfort the sorrowfull, confirme the wecke, and rebuke the proud, by tong and livelye voyce in these most corrupt dayes, than to compose bokes for the age to come, seeing that so much is written (and that by men of most singular condition), and yet so little well observed; I decreed to containe my selfe with the bonds of that vocation, whereunto I founde my selfe especially called (Laing VI:229).

It is Knox himself who brings us to the recognition that unlike other major reformers, he refrained from dedicating his life to scriptural commentaries and doctrinal works (as, say, Calvin did) (4). Against this, however, we must balance Pierre Janton's assertion that, in spite of Knox's
disclaimer, 'no British reformer of that period published so much in the vernacular' (5). Perhaps it is at this point that we can fully appreciate Knox's comments in his Epistle to the Congregation of St. Andrews (see supra p.89, ch.2).

Approaching from a rather different angle, David Murison cites this passage as evidence that Knox 'never claimed to be a literary man at all' (6), but we need not, in fact, take Knox's comments as literally as Dr. Murison does. After all, by the 19th of September 1565, when Knox wrote the above preface, he had certainly completed Book II of The Historia. More probably, the passage expresses a train of Knoxian thought, designed to refute charges such as those Ninian Winzet had brought before him in 1562-63:

[Authority] . . . wes geuin to yow in the sacrament of ordinatioun be auctoritie of preisthed. Qhillk auctoritie geue ye esteme as nochtis, be reason it wes geuin yow (as ye speik) be ane papiste bischope, and thairfor renunceis it, and seikis ane uther ordination of secularis - it followis consequentlie that ye (qhillk God forbid) sulde renunce your baptism also, geuin to yow be ane papist preist . . . (7).

In the light of charges like this Knox had to respond, that like John the Baptist, or even Christ Himself, he was 'especially called'. Winzet responded that an especial calling was always accompanied by miracles (although the Baptist's wasn't); where were Knox's miracles? Knox made a reply of sorts from the pulpit at St. Giles, but thereafter, he let the matter slide, not, as C.S. Lewis has said,
because he 'was learning the shabbiest of all arcana
imperii - never answer' (8), but because, by that time,
Vinzet was in exile. Only a very stupid opponent would re-
open a controversy which had been terminated so finally by
circumstance (9).

So far, then, this general introduction to the
theological material has established two main points; in
Knox's case, writing theology and writing literature are not
mutually exclusive, often quite the reverse is true.
Moreover, texts like the Isaiah sermon allow us vivid and
unique insights into Knox's self-view, such as we do not get
from the admonitory public or private epistles.

We have seen Knox at work revising his own thoughts and
also adapting them to suit the changing situation. In this
chapter we can see Knox revising the work of another
theologian, Henry Balnaves. Balnaves is one of those
curious but crucial figures of the Scottish Reformation. He
is mentioned frequently in the early part of The Historie
(see Laing I:70,99,102,114-116,182) and was one of those who
tried, and failed, in an attempt to impose the role of
Preacher upon Knox. Knox tells how, in St. Andrews Castle,
he was approached by:

thei of the place, but especiallie Maister Henry
Balnaves and Johne Rought, preacher, perceiving the
maner of his doctrin, begane earnestlie to travaill
with him, that he wold tack the preaching place upoun
him. But he utterlie refuissed, alledgeing that he
wold nott ryrne where God had nott called him; meaning
that he wald do nothing without a lauchful vocation
(Laing I:186).
Working on the basis of one of his taunts, we can conclude that Ninian Vinzet evidently knew that Knox carried Wishart's double-handed sword (10), see supra ch. l, p. 87,) but it is less probable that he knew of the subsequent charge to Knox, perhaps devised by David Lindsay, which Knox did find 'lauchfull' (this forms an important topic in The Historie chapter (11).)

But Knox's most important reference to Balnaves (at least in the present context) comes when he tells us of Balnaves's experiences as a fellow-prisoner of Knox in the French galleys. Balnaves was taken to Rouen for more subtle interrogation:

Maister Henry Balnaves, who was in the Castell of Rowane, was most sharplie assaulted of all; for because he was judged learned, (as he was, and is, in deid) tharefoir learned men war appointed to travall with him, with whom he had many conflicts, but God so ever assisted him, that thei departed confounded, and he, by the power of Goddis Spreit, remaned constant in the trewth and professioun of the same, without any wavering or declynyng to idolatrie. In the preasane he wrait a most profitable Treatis on Justificatioun and of the workis and conversatioun of a justified man; but how it is suppressed, we know nott (Laing I:226-227).

In fact, Balnaves's text was not made generally available until after Knox's death when it was printed by Thomas Vautrollier in 1584. Indeed, the 'epistle dedicatory' to this edition contains a remarkable story of how the last text was discovered by Richard Bannatyne 'in the towne of
Hormistoune . . . in the handes of a child, as it were serving to the childe to playe with him' (Laing III:434-435).

Knox tells us how he received the work when he was in chains in a galley named Nostre Dame and how it afforded him 'great comfort and consolation' (Laing III:8). In fact Knox decided to summarise the treatise, for the use of his brethren. In what follows we get a rare insight into Knox's thoughts as an editor (although as E.G. Rupp points out 'he does scant justice to Balnaves's remarkable work' (12)).

I thought expedient it should be digested in chapters; and to the better memory of the Reader, the contents of every chapter proponed briefly unto them, with certaine annotations, to the more instruction of the simple in the margent. And also that an Epitome of the same work should be shortly collected, wee have likewise digested the same in chapters . . . Which thing I have done, as imbecilite of ingine and incommoditie of place would permit . . . (Laing III:8-9).

Prose like this reminds one very much of, say, John Gau's introduction and concluding epistle to the reader and nobles in The Richt Vay (13), in which he outlines what his text is about, what it is for, and how to use it. Knox, moreover, is very much concerned to aid the reader's memory, with marginal notes for greater clarification of difficult points and with a careful technique of selection which preserves salient details in a palatable form. We are now in a position to assess exactly what sort of an editor Knox was, by comparing the original work with his version of it;
and this analysis ought not to be disparaged, since its results do tell us something.

Balnaves, in his Twentieth Chapter, writes:

Here is a cleare solution to all the objections of workes, made by the adversaries of faith; for, seing the Apostle saith, 'It is impossible to please God without faith', and move God to give grace and favour, (which ye call De Congruo). And then ye worke of your own strength and power, as yee say, the workes which deserve remission of sinnes and ever-lasting life; yea, not only sufficent to your selfe, but also super aboundant to save others (which yee call De condigno, et opera supererogationis).

The scriptures are plaine against your false superstitions and sophisticall arguments: concluding that neither workes preceeding nor following faith have entres in making of a wicked man just, nor yet may save you. It is written, 'All which is not of faith is sinne' (Laing III:504-505).

Balnaves's technique and his argumentative skills are matters which I shall return to. First of all, we shall concern ourselves with Knox's 'sommarie' of this particular section. He writes:

And that proveth Paull, saying, 'Without faith it is impossible to please God: and also 'all which is not of faith is sin. Whereof it is plaine, that Sophistes alleging that works preceeding faith deserve the grace of God de congruo, say as much as sin deserveth the grace of God: for all workes preceeding faith, is Sinne (Laing III:22).

Knox's job, here, of course, is to condense; to select the kernel of the original and to shed the rest, but he has actually done more than this. For example, Balnaves places a strong, initial emphasis on clarity ('here is a clear
solution'); an emphasis which he reinforces in the second paragraph ('the Scriptures are plaine against . . . '). Indeed the latter instance sets up a contrast or opposition with the Scriptures on one side and 'false superstitions and sophisticall arguments' on the other. Knox cuts the double emphasis and turns it into a single one 'whereof it is plaine . . . '. He takes Balnaves's two scriptural examples ('It is impossible to please God without faith' Hebrews 11:6, and 'All which is not faith is sin' Romans 14:23) which occur quite far apart from each other, and juxtaposes them more closely. This is in line with synonymia / paraphrasis, whereby 'thoughts of a similar content' are grouped together for greater emphasis (14). Significantly (for Knox), he dispenses with Balnaves's oppositions and changes 'sophisticall arguments' to a mere mention of 'sophistes'. Balnaves is addressing a putative adversary, who presumably argues from the Catholic point of view; ('ye', 'your' and 'you' invoke the personality of the opponent). Knox edits this feature because the purpose of his revised text is more edificatory than refutatory. His anticipated audience, after all, is Protestant. Balnaves makes good use of scholastic Latin (De Congruo and De Condigno) and gradatio / concatenatio to build up to the point where he introduces his own argument. Knox retains only vestiges of the Latin, and concludes with a contradiction which cannot be true; sin cannot deserve God's grace. He was to do much the same thing in a later letter.
to Mrs. Bowes: 'And na mair can God deny his mercie and grace to sic as asketh, than he can ceas to be God' (Laing III:374, Letter XVI). He has performed his task well.

Not only does Knox revise the work of a contemporary theologian, he translates the work of a contemporary, though continental, historian in An Answer to An Anabaptist, which we have already touched on. The historian in question is, of course, John Sleidan, and it is to Knox's version of his work that we now turn. One may, perhaps, be puzzled as to how such material found its way into our theological category but the translation actually forms part of Knox's refutation of anabaptism, prevalent in the treatise. It outlines what the less radical reformers thought of the anabaptists' apparently outrageous behaviour, and hence, discredits them. But the translation is of far greater significance to our understanding of Knox's Historie. (Sleidan's history, in fact, went on to become a popular university text-book. James Melvill for instance recalls how 'Mr Piter Blackburn, a guid man' taught at St. Andrews 'the Historie, with the twa lights thairof, Chronologie and Chirographie, out of Sleidan, Menarthes and Melanchthon' (15). Furthermore, Milton mentions him in An Apology for Smectymnuus and elsewhere). Knox, indeed, had other models, and this we know from Buchanan:

as to maister Knokis his historie is in his freindis handis and thai are in consultacion to mitigat sum part of the acerbite of certaine wordis and sum taintis quhair in he has followit to muehe sum of your
The allusion of course is to Edward Hall's *The Union of the Noble and Illustre Families and Lancastre and York* (Hall's Chronicle) and Richard Grafton's continuation, but we should not overlook the possible influence of more ancient works such as the *Historiae* of Cornelius Tacitus and Gaius Sallustius Crispus (Sallust). For all this the influence of Sleidan has been almost completely ignored. Here, and in chapter five, we examine his influence. As E.G. Rupp points out, Sleidan's work contains 'an account of the decline and fall of Thomas Müntzer, the radical reformer of Saxony, who was a leader in the Thuringian sector of the Peasant war, and was executed by the Princes after the Catastrophe of Frankenhausen in the summer of 1525' (17). (It was this revolt, incidently, that led Luther to write *Against the murderous and Thieving Hordes of Peasants*, and for Luther, Müntzer was 'the Satan of Alstedt' (18). Sleidan describes one of Müntzer's atrocities:

Missus antea suerat ad ipsos adolescentis quidam nobili genere natus. Hunc Muncerus, contra militis morem, contraque ius gentium interfecerat. Eo facto magis exacerbati Principes, comittendi prælii dant signum, & copias instruunt (19).

Knox's translation of this is almost exactly contemporaneous with a complete version of Sleidan's work, made by a certain John Daws and entitled *A Famous Chronicle of our Time called*
Sleidan's Commentaries (1560). A comparison of the two translations is illuminating:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knox's Version</th>
<th>Daws's Version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>But before this, a certain yong man, of noble birth, was sent unto them, whom Muncer (contrary to the lawes of armes, and to the custom of any nation slew; wherewith the Princes were so provoked, that they blew their trompettes to batell, and set their men to arraye (Laing V:430)</td>
<td>Ther was sent unto them before a noble yong man: whom Muncer (against the law of Armes) had slayne, wherewith the Princes being more displeased caused the trumpettes to sounde to the battel &amp; set their men in order (20).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Commentaries went through two editions, one in 1555 and one in 1559. The texts are identical, but the later version contains summarising marginal notes. The earlier text does not, at least in the editions that I have seen. Knox had access only to the text of 1555 (21). Daws, conceivably, could have had access to both. Thus, it is all the more significant, that Knox, independent of the 1559 text, notes in passing that these events are symptomatic of 'the Anabaptist's great mercie'. Daws's marginal note concerns itself only with 'a messenger slain against the Lawe of Armes', following the marginal 'Legatus contra gentium census' of the 1559 edition).

The relative merits of the two translations are not to be overlooked, but first, attention should be drawn to the striking similarities between Knox's version of Sleidan and the following passage from Book II of The Historie. Knox tells how the house of one Patrick Murray 'a man fervent in
"religion" was destroyed during the siege of St. Johnstone, and records the Queen Regent's putative response:

All man eschaped, except the sone of the said Patrik, a boy of ten or tuelf yearis of aige, who being slayne, was had to the Quenis presence. Bot sche, understanding whose sone it was, said in mokage; 'It is a pitie it chanced on the sone, and nocht on the father; but seing that so it chanced, me can nocht be against fortune'. This was hir happy entrance to Sanct Johnestoun, and the great zealle sche tendeth to Justice (Laing I:345) (22).

The powerful irony of the two Knox passages is identical (if we allow the marginal note to the former), and he may well have recalled the Sleidan work when he was writing. Sleidan's sense of injustice is perhaps the most compelling aspect of his passage, and he makes his lines all the more inflammatory by stressing that the victim was young, noble and slain against international custom, for no legitimate military reason. All of these points come out in Knox's translation of Sleidan and some of them in his Historie narrative. Daws's version is less successful, but only Knox presents devastatingly scathing material in both.

Knox's translation is, without doubt, stylistically superior to Daws's. It is in the closing sections (my text) that this becomes apparent. Knox's 'wherewith the Princes were so provoked, that they blew their trompettes to batell' is much better than the truly awkward 'wherewith the Princes being more displeased caused the trompettes to sound to the battel'. Knox gets his information across in a more direct,
even relaxed, manner, without the jerky rhythms ('to sounde to the battel') we find in Daws. One very curious similarity between the two translations, however, emerges (although its significance, if it has any, lies outside this study). So far as I can see, Sleidan at no time mentions trumpets ('tubæ'); his 'commitendi prælii dant signum' denotes the sign for battle. We have known, since chapter two at least, that trumpets were among Knox's favourite things (all the better to blast with).

To both Knox and Sleidan (together with the Lutherans), Münzer's extravagances were symptomatic of the fulfilment of Divine prophecy.

there shal many come under my name, and says, I am Christ, & shal deceave many: But when ye shal heare the noyse of warres, be not ye afrayed; for so it must be: but ye end is not yet (Mark 6:13, Coverdale).

To Knox, Münzer, as much as Cardinal Beaton, is a limb of Antichrist, which God will lop off, according to His ultimate plan. To make interesting reading of all this, a degree of irony is useful, since it allows both the author and reader a chance to appreciate, and be a part of, the secret plan (23). (It need hardly be said, however, that the Reformation historians always did this with the advantage of hinsight; if Knox is to be accused of 'reading-back' in his Historie, it is here he must answer the charge).
Quite an exquisite irony, then, works its way into Sleidan's text, and Knox learned from it. Here is the former's description of one of Müntzer's irresponsible companions, called 'Phifer' ("Phiferus Munceri socius"):

Socius erat consiliorum omnium, quidam in signi præditus audacia, Phiferus, qui somnii nocturnisque spectris plurimum tribuebat, ac interalia tum forte factabat, per quietam se videsse quodam in stabulo maximam uim copiam que murium, quos fugasset omnis. hoc sic accipiebat, a Deo sibi esse mandatum, ut sumptis armis & eductis coppiis, nobilibatem omnem exturbat (24).

Again, both Knox and Daws have versions of this narrative:

**Knox**
He had a companion of mervelous audacitie who was of all his counsall, whose name was Phifer. This man attributed much to dreames and visions of the night, and amongst other things, he chanced to boast that he saw in his slepe, in a certane stable, a mervelous great multitude of mice, and that he did drive them all away. By which dreame he understude that God commanded him to take his armour, and go fourth with an armie to destroy all the nobilitie (Laing V:425).

**Daws**
He had of his counsell one Phifer, a bold and desperate fellow, which was much given to dreams and visions in the nighte who dreamed that he saw in a certane stable, an innumerable host of myse, which he put to flight al. This toke he, as yt God had commaundd him to lead forth his armie against the nobilitie, & to scatter them . . . (25)

The characterisation in the three versions is acidic and deeply telling. Sleidan achieves his subtle critique of absurd behaviour almost without effort; he steps back and
has a cold look at 'Phifer' and the 'fellow' more-or-less condemns himself. Satirists seldom find such easy prey. In chapter five, we shall see that Knox did not find Cardinal Beaton so accommodating. In The Historie, the closest Knox comes to letting ludicrous action condemn itself is with the following:

from glowmyng thei come to schuldering; frome schouldering, thei go to buffetis, and from dry blawes, by neffis and neffeling, and then for cheriteis saik, thei crye Dispersit dedit pauperibus . . . (Laing I:146).

There are other instances, of course, but that material more properly belongs to the final chapter. We can see that Sleidan's method, and Knox's, are the same. But be that as it may, it is worth remembering that Knox did not deny the significance of visions; he was, after all, a product of the sixteenth century. At times he in fact shows himself to be deeply superstitious. It is not hard to find episodes in The Historie which are very similar to the Sleidan narrative; for instance, Knox writes of James V's troubles in 1540:

And yit did not God cease to give to that blynded Prince documentis, that some suddane plague was to fall upoun him, in case hie did not reapent his wicked lief; and that his awin mouth did confesse. For after that Sir James Hammyltoun was beheaded, (justlie or injustlie we disput nott) this visioun came unto him, as to his familiaris him self did declare: The said Sir James appeared unto him, having in his handis a drawin sworde, by the which fra the King hie stroke baith the armes, saying to him these wourdis, 'Tak that, whill thow receave a finall payment for all thy impietie'. This visioun, with sorrowfull conteanance,
hie schew on the morow; and schortlie thairefter deid his two sonnes, baith within the space of 24 houris; yea, some say, within the space of sex houris (Laing I:67-68).

Obviously it is not Phifer's vision which Knox objects to, since James's is clearly the same sort of thing. Indeed, Knox sees the events of the latter as having symbolic significance, which works its way into history in a very concrete sense. It is Phifer's interpretation of his dream, on the other hand, which is faulty, and more than bordering on the ridiculous.

Both translations rely rather too much on adjectivals ('who was of all his counsall' / 'which was much given ...' 'whose name was ...' 'who dreamed that ...'). Knox's is rather like the 'Mar Lodge' version of Boece; too literal, while Daws omits details such as Phifer taking his armour ('armis'). However, Sleidan says '... nobilitatem omnem exturbat'; Knox (and Bohun) translate this as to 'destroy / extirpate' the nobility, (from 'exstirpa'). Daws's version implies only a rout ('to scatter them ... .'), (from 'exturba'), which is less powerful, but closer.

At any rate, we have established the quality of Knox's translation by assessing it alongside another contemporary version. We need no longer quote Daws's text in juxtaposition with Knox's, but rather, examine our one remaining Sleidan narrative, keeping in mind only what it meant to Knox. When Sleidan turned to the central
character, the infamous Müntzer himself, Knox must have studied the text and absorbed all of his literary techniques. According to Sleidan, after Frankenhausen:

Sleidan
Profugerat in oppidum
Muncerius, inque domum
non procul a porta sese
abdiderat. Huc forte
nobilis quidam divertit.
Eius famulus quum in
superiorum médium
partem ascendisset,
spectandi causa domicilii,
reperit quempiem
decumbentem in lecto.
Rogat qui sit an ex
tumulto profugerit, an
sit é seditiosis unus.
Insiciatur ille, seque
iampridem ait febricatare.
Forte incebat ad lectum
crumena, corripit eam
alter, ut aliquid auserrat
prædix (26).

Knox
Muncer fled into the
towne, and hid himself
in a house not farre
from the gate; into the
which it happened, that
a certan gentilman
entered, whose servant
going up into the upper
part of the house, of
purpose to view the
dwelling, he found a
certain manne lying upon
a bedde, of whom he
demanded what he was, and
whether he were one of
the rebelles which fled
out of the tumult. That
he denied, saying That
even then he was sicke of
the agew'. It happened
that besides the bedde
there lay a purse, which
the other snatched up,
hoping to get some pray
in it . . . (Laing V:430)

Instead of money, however, the dishonest servant found letters addressed to Müntzer, and thus the fanatical preacher was discovered. Knox probably considered the thief as much an agent of the Deity as the 'meary Englishman' who taunted the fleeing Catholic celebrants after a very famous but unsuccessful St. Giles Day procession:

'Fy upoun yow, hoorsones, why have ye brockin ordour!
Doun the streat ye passed in array and great myrth.
Why flie ye, vilanes, now, without ordour? Turne and
stryk everie one a strok for the honour of his god.
Fy, cowardis, fy, ye shall never be judged worthy of your wages agane! (Laing I:261).

(As we saw in chapter two, Knox marvelled that Mrs. Bowes was the Divine agent who brought him back to a Scotland hungry for Protestantism). Elsewhere in Knox's writings, we find him dealing with the theme of mercenary inclination, like the servant's. For example, when John Leslie, James Melvill and Peter Carmichael are busy hammering at the door of Cardinal Beaton's castle strong-hold, with righteous godly zeal (murder) in their hearts, we have prose like this:

In this meanetyme, whill thei force at the doore, the Cardinall hydis a box of gold under coalis that war laide in a secreat corner. At length, he asked 'Will ye save my lyef . . .? (Laing I:176).

The implication here seems to be that Knox's Beaton is so avaricious that he values his box of gold more than his life. This, of course, is slander (there's no getting away from it) and as such it performs a different function from the detail in Sleidan's narrative; a general similarity, however, deserves to be noted.

Returning to the Latin text and Knox's rendering of it, we can see that they both contain a whole tapestry of fine details presented as if they were coincidental but, which, in retrospect, seem to correspond to a (Divinely inspired) pattern. Some features imply chance occurrence ('forte',
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translated as 'it happened') or lack of precision ('quidam', 'quempiam'; 'a certen gentilman'), but each version retains the intrinsic excitement of a good story by not connecting the man in the bed with the fleeing Müntzer until the narrative naturally unfolds the fact.

We have now seen Knox as an editor and a translator of material which, to him, had deep theological relevance. We have yet to examine Knox's own literary theological methods. One of the earliest and most important works in this respect is his A Vindication of the Doctrine that the Sacrifice of the Mass is Idolatry (1550); a text which belongs to Knox's Newcastle period. The title is self-explanatory and lacks the skilfully deceptive nature of, say, Antoine Marcourt's Declaration de la Messe, le fruit, dicelle, la cause, et le moyen, pour quoy et comment on la doit maintenir (1534), which represents an earlier Reformation work on exactly the same theme (27), although it is not as aggressive as the same author's anonymous Articles véritables sur les horribles, grands & importables abuz de le Messe papalle (28). However, Knox's work was intended neither for 'clandestine circulation among the unsuspecting populace' (29) nor for shock value. Rather, the work was presented in public before the 'Council of the North for public affairs', spear-headed by Dr. Cuthbert Tunstall, who also appears in Sleidan's history 'as one of the commissioners at the consultations with Franz Burkhardt, Georg von Boyneburg and Francis Myconius . . . which produced the XIII Articles of
1538'. Indeed, Tunstall was sufficiently interested in the doctrine of the Lord's Supper to write a tract entitled De Veritate corporis et Sanguinis domini nostri Jesu Christi in eucharistia, published in Paris in 1554 (30).

A Vindication is one of Knox's most skilfull works, as Muir's testimony has already hinted (and the more obvious logistic devices we have already touched on). For instance, near the introduction, Knox observes:

And because men of great eruditionn in your audience affirmed the contrarie, most gladlie wold I that heir thai wer present, ether in proper personne, or ellis by thair learnit men, to ponder and wey the causis mouyng me thairto, for unles I evidentlie prufe myne intente be Godis halie Scriptures, I will recant it as wickit doctrine, and confess my self maist worthie of grevous punishment (Laing III:33).

This is libera vox or synchoresis. In The Garden of Eloquence, Henry Peacham provides a very full definition of the situation which calls for its use and the effect it is designed to achieve:

The orator speaking before those whom he feareth, or ought to reverence, and having some-what to say that may either touch themselves, or those that they favour, preventeth the displeasure and offence that might be taken, as by craving pardon afore hand, and by showing the necessity of free speech in that behalf (31).

Knox's passage follows the scheme exactly. He nods to 'men of great eruditiounn' in his audience, differentiates between 'proper personnes' and 'thair learnit men' and
places 'Godis halle Scriptures' between himself and criticism (cf. p.213). However, if he is proved to be in the wrong, he will recant and subject himself to punishment as a heretic. Here we see him as a defendant. But Knox also knew that the best means of defence was attack. As counsel for the prosecution he levels a 'j' accuse':

O Preistis! hath their not as great iniquitie aboundit in your dayis as ever did from the beginning. Have ye not bene intyseris and leidaris of the pepill to all idolatrie? Yea, hath not the mischeivous exampl of your abominabill lyves provokit thousandis into iniquitie? . . . Hears ye not that God never will accept prayeris nor sacrifice whillis trew repentance wer found? (Laing III:60).

The vehemence here anticipates that of The Appellation (and specifically the passage we examined in chapter 2, p.138) and the method, that of Letter VIII (chapter 3, p.178). But in the latter, Knox was using questio quid and quando; here he introduces questio comparativus (32) and conjuncta (33), 'Have ye not . . .' gives way to 'heir ye not . . .' while pejorative items ('iniquitie', 'intyseris' mischievous' 'abominabill', 'provokit') come in with consistent force.

This brings us to one of Knox's favourite tools; abominatio. An Vindication contains the most memorable instances in all of Knox's writings. According to Melanchthon, this feature is a sub-species of exclamatio, used primarily to express revulsion and severe aversion to things base, lowly or disgusting. Jean Calvin was an adept.
His influence on Knox is evident:

And that sail testifie dyvers Massis celebratit for divers caussis. Sum for peace in tyme of war; sum for raine; sum for fair weather; yea, and (allace, my hart abhorreth sic abomination!) sum for sicknes of bestial (Laing III:65).

Then suld it not be useit to pray that the tutheache be tackin away from us; that our oxen suld not tak the lowing ill, our horse the spavin or fersie, and so of all maner diseases for cure cattell (Laing III:65).

In the Papisticall Masse, the congregation getteth nothing except the beholding of your jukingis, noddingis, crossingis, turnyng, uplifting, whilk all ar nothing but a diabolical prophanation of Chrystis Supper. Now juke, cross and nod as ye list, thai ar but your awin inventionis. And finallie, Brethren, ye gat nothing, but gaseit and beheld whill that ane did eat and drink all (Laing III:67).

In each case, but especially the third, Knox is fiercely critical (and cf. p.6) . The first two draw upon the cycle of seasons, political events, banal human experience and the farmyard. In his article 'D.O.S.T: How we make it and what's in it', Professor A. Jack Aitken tells us that the phrase 'lowing [or lowand] ill' is unique to Knox and the Haddington burgh records (34) and this in itself makes the example stimulating. What Professor Aitken does not say, and what is worth remembering, is that Knox himself was from farming stock (35); hence his deep knowledge. But as I have said, the final passage is the most impressive .

Knox's best literary critics (Professors Jack and Janton) have had something to say about it. The latter points out: 'Knox sait tirer profit des images radicales qu'il rehausse au moyen l'accumulation, de la répétition et
du polyptote' (36). (He is presumably referring to polyýptoton, whereby words are repeated with different inflexions). Although I have shown in my introduction that some of Professor Jack's evidence is inadmissible (even Laing points out that in the original edition of 1550, the word 'duck', not 'juke', is used (37), he does draw attention to 'the initial list of nouns' (38). In fact (and this is my contribution), they are a special kind of noun: verbal-nouns or gerunds (jukingis', 'noddingis', 'crossingis', 'turnyng', 'uplifting'), which are used for purposes of derision.

In chapter two we encountered a formidable Knoxian adversary, Quintin Kennedy (and I promised we would meet him again). Kennedy, it seems, was familiar with Knox's Vindication, and Ane Compendious Reassonyng contains the proof. As we know, Knox had stated that Mass was said for 'sycknesse of bestyall'. Kennedy's text was ostensibly a debate 'betwix twa brethir' (Quintin himself (Q) and James (I)). James is given the role of aspiring Protestant (one hesitates to say Devil's advocate, but that's the general idea). It is James who echoes Knox's tract: 'I harde sum ofoure preacheouris preche that the papistes will say mess for ane kow and als for ane sow' (39). Quintin calls these statements 'intollerable mokkis and leys', as well he might, while James goes on to level the charges implicit in the last Knox passage:
We of the new learning are heichtlie offendit with your ceremoniis, sic as bekking, kneylling, blissing, crocesing, gapeyng, glowring, lyftynge up of your handis and knokking on your breist (40).

The phrase 'we of the new learning', like Ninian Winzet's 'through curiositie of novationis'(41) catches, with superb precision, what the faithful Catholics saw as the pomposity of Protestantism. Those who subscribed to it were upstarts, Johnny-come-latelys and too sure of themselves by half. Like Knox, Kennedy obviously had an ear for the absurd, only this time it is Knox who is being caricatured. But obviously, his contemporaries were fully aware of Knox's literary methods as well as his message (42). It is significant that his primary rhetorical tools, the gerunds ('bekking', 'kneylling', 'blissing', 'crocesing', 'gapeyng', 'glowring', 'lyfting'), survive more-or-less unchanged in Kennedy's text.

A Declaration of the True Nature and Object of Prayer (1553-54), one of Knox's most attractive theological works, has just as much to offer as A Vindication. For instance, Knox's printer, Hugh Singleton, mentions on the title page that it was composed:

upon the death of that moste verteous and moste famous king /Edward the VI. Kynge of Englande / Fraunce and Ireland / in which confession / the sayde Jhon doth accuse no lesse his owne offences / then the offences of others / to be the cause of the away takinge of that most godly prince / now raininge with Christ whyle we abyde plagues for our untankfulnesse (Laing III:89).
Material such as this, coming from the printer himself, suggests that authorial self-deprecation was becoming a selling-point, for Protestant, or at least for Knoxian prose. Catholicism spoke with authority, from a hierarchy; Protestantism did away with all that. As one French Protestant put it (in a way that reminds us strikingly of Andrew Melvill's address to James VI (43): 'In church . . . there is no head save Jesus Christ . . . the least person is equal to the greatest; all are equal; the prayers of a layman are as good as those of a priest' (44). The issues which Knox discussed with Mrs. Bowes (Letter I, ch.3, p.182) become more understandable when we take in the above information.

Unlike A Vindication and the other theological works in this chapter, A Declaration of the True Nature and Object of Prayer is non-polemical. Indeed, it has more in common with a catechism than anything else. It belongs to the species of apologia, that which deals with exegetical commentary: exergasia (45). Its structure is simple. It exhibits a series of headings mainly in the form of propositions and queries, and there is a clear movement towards climax in the final passages. A sample of the headings offers: 'WHAT SULD BE PRAYIT FOR' (Laing III:99); 'IMPEDEMENTS CUMMETH OF THE WEAKNESS OF THE FLESCH' (Laing III:101) 'WHAT IS TO BE GATHERED IN THE NAME OF CHRIST' (Laing III:103). In short, what we have is a kit designed
to ensure salvation in terms of a Protestant understanding of the Word. Knox even uses the phrase 'the rycht way' (Laing III:95), and of course John Gau's work comes to mind. Indeed, comparison of the two texts is very helpful. Gau for example outlines 'quho ve suld pray' and 'quhat ve suld pray' and he is very much concerned with sincerity and simple practice set over against hypocrisy and elaborate practice:

to pray in Spreit yat is said aganis thayme quhilk prays utuerlie with ye mutht and notht ye hart / to pray in veritie yat is said aganis ye prayer quhilk is lik to ane schacdou quhilk aperis utuerlie in ye air as it var ane oder thinge in veritie / sua quhen men muvis the mutht and the lippis and the tunge utuerlie without ye hart and mind thair apone / this prayer aperis utuerlie to be for men (46).

Knox is rather more tolerant than Gau, although he tackles similar problems:

we suld expell furth of oure myndis in tyme of our prayer, all vane cogitationis ... I mene not to heir pyping, singing or playing, nor to patter upon beiddis, or bukis whariof thai haif no understanding ... Also, in this congregatioun suld be maid commoun prayeris, such as all men heiring mycht understand; that the hartis of all, subscryving to the voyce of one, mycht, with unfeaned mynd, say, Amen (Laing III:102-103).

Gau is making careful use of John 4:24 'God is a sprete / and they that worshippe hym / must honour in sprete and veritie' and combines it with Matthew 5:7-8: 'But when ye praye / bable not moche / as the gentylis do: for they
thincke they shalbe herde /ffor there moche bablynges sake.
Be ye not like them therefore' (Tyndale 1526). Knox too
presumably had this latter example in mind, but much of his
passage is a response to 1 Corinthians 14:16: 'But when
thou gevest thankes with the sprete, how shal they that
occupieth the rowme of the unlearned, say Amen at thy
geyynge of thankes, seynge he knoweth not what thou sayest?'
(Coverdale).

Both extracts are in a plain style: claritas or
perspicuitas. Gau's is marginally more elaborate, since we
find a simile ('quhilk is lik to ane schadou') in the text,
preumably designed to stress the fleeting, intangible
nature of false prayer. Knox avoids figurative language but
he is still listing gerunds ('pyping', 'singing', 'playing')
as he did in A Vindication. There may also be a use of
incrementum; Knox's enhances the gerunds by presenting
progressively more elaborate ritualistic behaviour;
'patter[ing] on beidis' and use of 'bukis whairof thai haif
no understanding'.

Although twenty years separate The Richt Vay and A
Declaration; years which saw the publication of Calvin's
celebrated religious text-book Christiane Religionis
Institutio, there is little, theologically speaking, to set
them apart. Both texts contain similar opinions on
religious mediation:

Sancte Brigitteis prayer and ye xv o and S Gregoriis
vii and alexandri and sixti and ivlii and oder papis
prayeris hes beyne baldine mair precious na our Lord

-232-
Jesus Christie prayer for cause thay haiff giffine sic leinge and pardonne to thame ye quhilk hesz blindit ye pepil . . . / Mony prayis ye psalter of our ladie part with queral bedis for causz thai suld thairfor haiff greit pardone . . . (47).

After outlining the function of Redeemer under the heading of 'WHO MAKETH OTHIR MEDIATOURIS NOR JESUS CHRYS TAKETH HONOUR FRA HIM' (Laing III:96) Knox asks (cf. 1 Timothy 2:5, 2 Timothy 2:2):

May any other (Jesus Chryst exceptit) in theis wordis mak intercessioun for synneris/ Yf thai may not, than ar thai neither mediatouris nor yit intercessouris; 'for albeith (sayith Augustine) Christianis do commend ane another unto God in thair prayeris, yit mak thei not intercessioun, neither dar thai usurpe the office of a Mediatour; no, not Paule, albeit under the Heid he was a principall member, because he commendeth himself to the prayeris of faithfull men' (Laing III:97).

Gau attacks the Popes Alexander and Julius, the Saints Bridget and Gregory, liars and false teachers, and finally undermines the authority of the Virgin Mary. Knox, on the other hand, builds up to a quotation from Liber II of Augustine's Contra Epistolam Parmeniani, and if he has done some editing, it was not in his interests to pervert the original. This is the second time we have found Knox using the Fathers (compare Letter IV, ch.3, p.166) and there are many more instances. Perhaps at this point it is fitting to say something about the Reformer's attitude to, and use of, Patristic authority. Medieval theologians of course had relied heavily on Augustine and others such as Jerome
(Erasmus's favourite), Origen and Chrysostom, usually quoting them in short sentences from *florilegia* or *summa* as substantiation of a particular point. Thus, it was often almost impossible to check the quotation against the original work, since the only existing manuscripts at that time remained obscure within their libraries. But the printed Amerbach edition of the *Augustini Opera Omnia* (1506) (and Augustine was the Father to the Reformers) (48) allowed theologians to verify that the Patristic writer had been reliably represented. As we saw in chapter one, the earliest Scottish Protestants were referring to Augustine and both George Wishart's *Confession of Fayth* (49) and letters contained in Knox's *Historie* present fairly significant statements of support for the 'ancient Fatheris' (Laing I:305) on the part of Scottish Protestants.

Their purpose in citing the writers was obvious; if it could be shown that the Catholic faith was belied by its own ancient champions (as well as by Scripture) the Catholics would be left 'high-and-dry'. Quintin Kennedy, for one, recognised the danger, and set about countering it to the best of his ability (50). Knox's use of Augustine, however, has a rhetorical as well as theological function. It allows him to achieve *dictu commeratio* or *dictu memorabilis*, whereby 'the orator reciteth some saying or sentence of another, wholly worthy of rememberance and observation' (51).
Again Knox's text seems more moderate in tone than Gau's and Knox only finds a more familiar voice in his final climactic passage (this is vintage Knox, and a full dissertation in the apocalyptic mode which we first identified his Letter to the Congregation of Berwick, see ch.2, p. 92-93, and in Letter VIII to Mrs. Bowes; see ch. 3, p. 178-179):

The multitude sall not eschape but sall drink the dregis, and have the cupe brokin on thair heidis. For judgement begyneth in the house of the Lord, and commonlie the leist offender is first punissit, to provoke the mair wickit to repentance. But, O Lord! infinit in mercie, yf thow salt puniss, mak not consummatioun, but cut away the proude and luxuriant branches, whilk beir no frute: and preserve the Commonweillis of sic as gif succour and harbour to thy contempnit messingeris, whilk lang have sufferit exyle in desert. And lat the Kingdome schortlie cum that sin may be endit, death devorit, thy enemyis confoundit; that we thy pepill, be thy Majestie delyverit may obteane ever-lasting joy and felicitie, throw Jesus Chryst oure Savioure, to whom be all honour and prais, for ever. Amen (Laing III:105)(52).

Clearly, this belongs more to a sermon than catechism. It begins with a vehement denunciation ('The multitude . . . repentance') but then it becomes a prayer (an imploratio) which shades into liturgy, especially towards the end. The opening image is extraordinary; it borrows from Isaiah 51:17, but the scriptures say nothing about having the cup broken on the heads of the multitude. We may well have a joke here, although it is not all that obvious at first sight. Likewise, Knox seems to have adapted 1 Peter 4:17-
'For ye tyme is come, that judgement must begynne at vs, what shal the end be of them which beleue not the Gospell of God? And yf the righteous scarcely be saved, where shal ye vngodly synner apare' (Coverdale). The adaption this time, however, is positive, it envisages possible repentance where the Scriptures do not. The remainder of the images: fruit, branches, desert, and afflicted messengers are used with less innovation (compare Daniel 4:14, Job 18:16, Isaiah 9:14, 18:5, Hosea 9:16, Luke 3:9, 13:9, John 15:2 and 2 Chronicles 13:16, to name but some of the likely sources), while the final sentence echoes the third petition of the Lord's Prayer, although there is an extraneous adverb ('shortlie').

Knox's *Exposition upon the Sixth Psalm of David*, Addressed to Mrs Bowes, also has parallels with the 'Familiar Epistles', as the title implies. Unlike the epistles, however, it seems that The Exposition may have been intended for publication, perhaps as a model of how pious Protestants ought to give spiritual comfort. It was probably the last work which Knox wrote before fleeing from England and it just predates *A Godly Letter* and *A Faithfull Admonition*. Rhetorical foretastes of both, without the bitterness, surface in The Exposition. Thus, in a sense, the text is doubly important. It represents a bridge between the familiar and public letters, having much in common with both. One cannot read the following without
thinking of the passage from *A Godly Letter* which we examined in ch. 2, see p. 103):

... even as the beames of the brycht sone perseth through the mistie and thick cloudis and bringeth doun his natural heat, to confort and quicken suche hearbis and creaturis as, through violence of cold, wer almost fallin into deadlie decay; and thus the onlie gudness of God remaneth in all stormes, the sure fundation to the afflictit, aganis whilk the Devill is never abill to prevale. (Laing III:147-148).

In *The Style of John Calvin in his French Polemical Treatises*, Francis Higman states that Calvin's imagery exists only in a rhetorical context (53). It has no existence outside the texts themselves. The same, however, cannot be said for Knox. There is evidence to suggest that his imagery is drawn from experience and observation. He could indeed 'find / sermons in stones' (*As You Like it* Act 2., Sc. 1., l.17, l.17). In the above passage he mentions 'hearbis' and 'creaturis' which 'through violence of cold, wer almost fallin in deadlie decay'. Writing in *The Historie* of the 'gret weit and frost in Januare 1563', Knox observes (cf. *Job* 38:29):

... upone the 20th day of Januare thair fell weit in grit abundance, quhilk in the falling freisit so vehementlie, that the eirth was bot ane scheit of ysce. The foules baith grit and small freisit, and mycht not flee: monie deyit, and sum wer tackin and laid besyde the fyre, that thair fetheris mycht resolve (Laing II:417).
One automatically thinks of the powerful lines in The Preaching of the Swallow 'bewis bene ar bethit bair off blis / Be wickit windis off the winter wair . . . The foulis fair, for falt thay fell off feit / on bewis bair it wes na bute to byde' (54). Knox, however, makes a theological point in both passages; in the first, nature functions as a sign of God's beneficence, in The Historie it is God's 'declaratioun that he wes offendit at the iniquitie that wes committet evin within this Realme' (Laing II:417). In each case, Knox uses ratiocinatio; in The Exposition he argues from effect to cause (argument a posteriori) and in The Historie from cause to effect (a priori).

Interestingly, in The Exposition, Knox abandons the familiar Pauline introductory formula:

The desire that I have to heir of your continuence with Chryst Jesus, in the day of this his battell, whilk schortlie sail end to the confusioun of his proude enemyis, neither by toung nor by pen can I express, Beloved Mother (Laing III:119).

In Elementorum Rhetorices Libri Duo, Melanchthon calls the admission 'that our message is beyond the power of words to convey' adynaton (55); Knox covers both oral expression ('by toung') and written communication ('by pen'). Moreover, 'desire' quite deliberately suggests his fervency.

Of more interest is the following passage, in which Knox reveals his nationalistic feelings:

Sometime I have thought that impossible it had bene, so to have removed my affection from the Realme of
Scotland, that eny Realme or Nation coulde have bene equall dears unto me. But . . . the trubles present . . . in the Realme of England, are double more dolorous unto me than ever were the troubles of Scotland (Laing III:133) (56).

His tools are now laudatio and antapodosis, involving praise and comparison. Rhetorically, if not ideologically, there are striking similarities in The Complaynt of Scotland which is roughly contemporaneous with The Expositioun.

Inglis men ar humil quhen thai ar subjeckit be force and violence, and Scottis men ar furious quhen thai ar violently subjeckit. Inglismen ar cruel quhene thai get victorie, and Scottis men are merciful quhen thai get victorie. And to conclude, it is onpossibill that Scottis men and Inglis men can remane in concord undir ane monarch or ane prince, because there naturis and conditions ar as indifferent as the nature of scheip and volvis (57).

Knox evidently, did not agree, and he himself is proof against 'The Complayner's' absolute rule that 'it is onpossibill' for Scotsmen and Englishmen to remain in concord (The Complayner, moreover, could not have foreseen the advent of James VI and I). But both writers are using the same sort of juxtaposition to argue their case (The Complayner, of course, is also using the type of parallelism which we have associated with Knox's A Godly Letter (see ch.2, p. 102).

The Exposition also contains some profound religious advice which is, at times, noticeably superior to that of Knox's shorter letters to Mrs. Bowes. However, in the
shorter texts, he was applying himself to immediate religious problems. In this instance, he can find spiritual meaning which is more contemplative, and independent of the specific issues which concerned Mrs. Bowes at any one time. Sustained *incipit* is the trope he chooses:

Evident it is that David in thes his prayers susteauait and felt the verie sense of Godis wraith, and also that he understode cleirlie that it was God onlie that trubillit him, and that had laid that soir scourge upon him. And yit no whair else but at God alone (who appeireth to be angrie with him) seeketh he support or ayde. This is easie to be spokin, and the maist part of men will judge it but a lycht matter to flie to God in thair trubillis. I confes, in deid, that yf our trubillis cum be mannis tyranny, that then the maist eure and maist easie way is to rin to God for defence and abyd. But lat God appeir to be oure enemye, to be angrie with us, and to haif left us, how hard and difficill it is then to call for his grace and for his assistance none knaweth, except suche as have learnt it in experience . . . (Laing III:135).

Knox himself was very much attached to the figure of King David (58), and the counsel he gives here certainly shows that he had thought deeply on the meaning, and the situations, behind the Psalms. And he goes on to conclude that this kind of experience is a guarantee of election 'neither yet can any man do so, except the elect children of God' (Laing III:135; see also ch.2, p. 169). Janton identifies these factors as characteristic of Knox's 'agonistic view of life' (59). Moreover, we saw in chapter three and in the present chapter, that Knox often reworked existing ideas, and this example is no exception; see also Laing I:468, and VI:265).
Knox's exegetical work on the Psalms was succeeded by another exposition: *An Exposition upon Matthew IV. Concerning the Temptation of Christ in the Wilderness*, a text which 'was first had in the publique Church and then afterwards written for the comfort of certaine private friends' (Laing IV:88). Since the *Matthew* work is a sermon, it deserves analysis alongside Knox's only other surviving example of the genre, the *Sermon on Isaiah 26*. Of the two, the latter certainly has the more compelling introduction.

Writing in 1565, Knox observes:

> As the cunnynge maryner, beinge maister, having his ship tossed with vehement tempest, and windes contrarious, is compelled oft to traverse, leaste that eyther by too muche resisting to the violence of the waves, his vessel might be overwhelmed; or by too much liberty granted, to be carried whither the furie of the tempest would, his ship should be driven upon the shore and so make ship-wreck. Even so doth our Prophet Isaiah . . . (Laing VI:234).

The *Matthew* text, which of course, is ten years earlier, opens in a much less inspired way:

> The caus moving me to intreat this place of Scripture is, that sic as be the inscrutabill providence of God do fall in dyvers tentationis, judge not thame selves be reasone thairof less acceptabill in Godis presence; but contrariwyse, having the way preparit to victorie be Chryst Jesus, sall not feir above measure the craftie assaltie of that subtil serpant, Sathan; but with joy and bold corage, having sic a gyd as heir is payntit furth, such a champioun, and sic weaponis as heir ar to be found (yf with obedience we will heir, and with unfeaned faith beleive) may assure ourselves of God's present favour, and of finall victorie, by the means of Him, who, for cure saigaird and delyverance, hath enterit in the battell, and triumphed over his adversarie, and all his rageing furie (Laing IV:95).
There is no need to identify Knox's literary medium with the
Isaiah text, but in The Exposition of Matthew he begins with
preparatio, which outlines why he has undertaken his current
task (the preparatio moreover, ties in with Knox's
subsequent use of systematic rhetoric. On the very same
page he further notes: 'we purpos to observe (1) First,
what this word Temtation meaneth, . . . (2) Secondlie, Wha
is heir temptit, and at what tyme this temptatioun happinit,
(3) Thridlie, How and be what meanis he was temptit. (4) And
last, Why he suld suffer theis temptationis . . . .' (Laing
IV: 95). These methods have been characterised as arguments
a persona, a tempore, a loco, a modo and a causa (60),
working within the auspices of enumeratio).

With the Isaiah introduction, we meet the 'sermon as
organ of a virile, picturesque speech' and a 'medium for
vivid illustration' (61), but most commentators are too
surprised by its content; its 'tendance quietiste' (62), to
do justice to its form and structure. W.C. Dickinson
exemplifies this: 'Is this the Knox we knew? The Knox to
who all temporizing was abomination before the Lord' (63).
Dickinson's comments, however, are thoroughly unedifying,
and only the most superficial conception of Knox would posit
that the text-book image of him was a satisfactory reality.
That he was a seasoned 'survivor' is almost a platitude.
What we should be focusing upon is Knox's illustration, the
cunning mariner with his attempts to find the middle course in a storm. (The Historie also bears witness to the fact that Knox was fond of naval language: 'navigation' (Laing I:82), 'assault by sey' (Laing I:203), 'galayis', 'rowaris' (Laing I:204), 'schopped' (Laing I:206), 'playne seas' (Laing I:216), 'the sitting down of a schip called the Cardinall' (Laing I:220), 'forsaris' (Laing I:227), 'streicking sail', 'making as thai wald cast anker', 'burded thame' (Laing II:12), and so forth (64)).

There is nothing quite so vivacious in the second introduction, although there are appealing metaphoric touches; Christ as a 'Champioun' (think again of Dunbar 'Our campioun Christ confoundit hes his force') who is 'payntit furth', a recurrent phrase, (and Knox may be thinking of the Latin verb 'exhiben', to present, display). But for all the dissimilarities between the two sermons, they are similar in one significant, fundamental and striking way. Take these three passages (two from the Isaiah and one from Matthew):

Shal ye then thinke that the dew of God's heavenly grace shall not be as effectuall in you to whom he hath made his promises, as that it is in the herbes and fruites that from yeare to yeare buddeth forth and decayeth? If ye doe so, the Prophet would say your incredibility is inexcusables . . . (Laing VI:262).

After this same maner God speakeyth to his people; as he shoulde say, The tempest that shall come upon this whole nation shall be so terrible, that nothing shall appeare but extermination to come upon the whole body. But thou, my people, thou I says, that hearest my worde, belevest the same, and tremblest at the
threatenings of my Prophets, now when the world doth insolently resist, let such, I saye, enter within the secrete chamber of my promises . . . (Laing VI:265).

In the Gospel sermon we find:

For thus, me thinke our Maister and champioun, Chryst Jesus, doth provoke our enemy to battel: - 'Sathan, thou gloriest of thy power and victorie over mankynd, that thair is none abill to withstand thy assaltis nor escrape thy dartis, but at a tyme or uthir thou givest him a wound! Lo, I am a man lyke to my brethren, having flesche and blude and all properteis of manis nature (Sin, whilk is thy vennoume exceptit:) tempt, try and assalt me: I offer thee heir a place most convenient (the wilderness); thair salbe na mortall creature to comfort me against thy assaltis; thou shall have tyme sufficient, do what thou canst, I sall not flie the place of battell: Yf thow becum victour, thow may still continue in possessioun of thy kingdome in this wreacht wodd. But yf thow can not prevail aganis me, then must thy pray and unjust spoyle be tackin from thee: Thow maun grant thy self vanquischit and confoundit, and must be compellit to leif off from all accusatioun of the memberis of my bodie, for to thame doith apperteane the frute of my battell; my victorie is thairs, as I am apoyntit to take the punishment of thair synnis in my bodie (Laing IV:103-104).

For a radical Protestant like Knox such passages exhibit what, at first sight, seems to be an extraordinary licence. After reading them we can appreciate why Thomas Randolph wrote to Cecil, saying of Knox that he appeared 'as though he were . . . of God's privie consell' (see Laing VI:146), but there is nothing arrogant about Knox's utterances. He responded in general terms to such an implication: 'Gif thay understoude how fearfull my conscience is, and ever hes bene, to exceed the boundis of my vocatioune, they wold
noch als boldlie have accused me' (Laing VI:592) and more specifically: 'in the publike place I consulte not with flesh and bloud . . . but as the Spirite of my God moveth me . . . so I speke' (Laing VI:230). When Milton wrote in Paradise Regain'd 'Thou Spirit who ledst this glorious Eremite / . . . inspire / as thou art wont, my prompted song else mute' (Bk. I, 1. 8, 11-12), he believed, like Knox, that he was a vehicle of the Holy Spirit. The whole notion is scriptural; in the Gospels it constitutes the very essence of Apostolic preaching, and Presbyterianism stands in this tradition. In practice, however, this did not mean extempore ad lib discourses, that was an anabaptist heresy; material was often highly prepared. However, readers of Sir Walter Scott's The Heart of Midlothian will recall that Jeanie Deans was very much surprised to discover that an English Clergyman preached from a script.

But even allowing for the orthodoxy behind the above passages, they show Knox's Protestantism at its most dynamic, visionary and audacious. Both of the Isaiah extracts are somewhat derivative. The metaphor 'dew of God's heavenly grace' borrows its vehicle from Isaiah 26:19 'thy dewe is a dew of herbes' (Coverdale) but it owes more to the marginalia which accompanied that 'most remarkable book' the Geneva Bible (65). The Geneva translators have appended to the same verse:

As the herbes, dead in winter, flourish agane by the raine in the spring time, so they that lie in the
Knox himself goes on to chastise those who would draw any silly conclusions from the verses ('If ye doe so, your imbecility is inexcusable'). The second passage perhaps reminds us of the lines from Knox's *Letter to Berwick* (see ch.2, p.93) where he concludes 'as God wold say . . .'. Professor Jack is right when he observes that the Deity acts almost 'as an energetic second in the Reformer's corner' (67) (even if the remainder of the extract draws more or less straightforwardly upon Isaiah 26:16).

It is the *Matthew* passage which is really astonishing. Christ, speaking through the mouth of Knox, is presented as infinitely more accommodating than He is in the Gospel (see *Matthew* 4:1-11), and enters into a provisional pact with the Devil rather like that between King Hamlet and Old Fortinbras in Act 1, Scene 1 of *Hamlet* (l.80-95). In a scene worthy of *Paradise Regain'd*, the Saviour removes all possible barriers between himself and Satan; He is 'a man' like 'to his brethren', He 'offers' a place of combat which is suitably 'convenient', the adversary shall 'have tyme sufficient' (and here Christ, like Knox, is very intent on *loco* and *tempore*); if He loses the contest, Satan may 'still continue' at his old tricks. However, should Christ emerge the victor, stipulations come into play (and this is where Knox's idea reaches an effective crux). Satan will be
'confoundit', 'vanquischit' and 'must be compellit to leif off . . .' To be sure, this constitutes a great deal to hang upon 'me thinke' but, as Knox presents Him, Christ reveals an interesting sense of fair play. Not only this, however, He evidently has an astute legal mind, and reveals a propensity for verb-triplets ('tempt, try and assault me') and existential phrases ('I am appoyntit . . .'). Knox, then, interweaves traditional material with more challenging qualities; imagination and originality.

After the inspired and inspiring preaching in An Exposition of Matthew IV, concerning Christ's Temptation in the Wilderness Knox apparently turned to more procedural topics, and he wrote certain Answers to Some Questions concerning Baptism (see Laing IV:119-128). Although he was not alone in addressing these issues (for instance, Tyndale composed A Fruitful and Godly Treatise expressing the Right Institution and Usage of the Sacrament of Baptism, and in Scotland John Ireland treated it in some detail in The Mercure of Wyssdome), the work is one of Knox's least interesting as literature.

A much more significant exercise is his Answer to an Anabaptist; Knox's only attempt at systematic theology and a text which we have already considered as a translation. It was apparently written for two reasons. An anonymous tract entitled Against the Careless by Necessity (possibly composed by Robert Cooke who previously had connections in Edward VI's court) (68) had been circulating in Geneva, and
on request, Knox undertook to answer its charges. Secondly, Knox's relationship with Calvin, at that time, needed mending, and he saw his work against anabaptism as a means of achieving this. As Alister McGrath notes, despite an evident mistrust of monarchy in itself, 'Calvin was in the habit of dedicating works to European monarchs, in the hope of winning them to the Reformation cause. Among the dedicatees of Calvin's published works were Edward VI and Elizabeth I of England and Christoper III [sic] of Denmark' (69). However, because of Knox's First Blast of the Trumpet, which was published in Geneva without the French Reformer's knowledge, Calvin's own Commentaries on Isaiah had been coolly received in England by Elizabeth. When he had established the reasons for this, Calvin upbraided Knox's rashness and (extreme) lack of foresight. (Calvin, indeed, lamented that his difficulties with the Queen had come about solely 'ob inconsideratum unius hominis fustum'; on account of one arrogant man's lack of consideration (70)). To soothe this indignation, Knox set about writing the 170,000 word theological dissertation, which, as Katharine Firth observes, eventually constituted 'a substantial defence of Calvin's doctrine of predestination' (71). (This belief of course also comes from certain parts of the Gospels, it was held by St. Paul, St. Augustine, Duns Scotus, Wycliffe, Hus, Luther, Zwingli and then Calvin; indeed it meant more to Beza than to Calvin) (72). In The Merour of Wyssdome, even Ireland shows that he was thoroughly familiar with the
concept, although he was necessarily limited to a Catholic understanding of St. Paul and St. Augustine).

An Answer to an Anabaptist marks Knox's first published debate (the Genevan printer, Jean Crespin, undertook to publish it, but only after receiving assurances that 'nothing unorthodox was expressed' (73), and this was indeed the case). It follows a set polemical form; the opponent's work is quoted, then his points are subsequently disputed. All written controversies in the sixteenth century were carried out in this manner (compare, for example John Davidson's Ane Answer to the Tractiue set furth in the zeir of God. 1558, be Maister Quintine Kennedy, Abbote of Crosraguell, for the establishing of ane Christiane mannis conscience, .... (1563), or William Fowler's An Answer to the Calumnious Letter and Erroneous Propositions of An Apostat named M. Jo. Hammilton (1581).

As we have already established, An Answer to an Anabaptist has little value as original theology, but at times it contains what is perhaps Knox's most deeply penetrating rhetoric. Here he is challenging the anabaptist belief that all mankind would be saved, and inviting proof of the same:

For ye must prove all to be saintes by vocation, all to be blessed with spiritual benediction and to have obeyed, and all to be builded upon the foundation of the Prophetes and Apostles, before that ye be able to prove that all were Electe and Predestinate in Christe Jesus before all tymes (Laing V:109).
Knox plays the role of operis exactor; moreover, the task which he presents is a mountainous one and he also knows all too well that 'nullo modo fieri potest'; it is quite impossible.

Or again, he responds to another specific charge:

And farther, how ye be able to prove that Sardanapalus, Croesus, and Tarquinus the proude can be placed in this rank of your Especially Elected, I can see no good reason; for except that calamatie and God's just vengeance did follow their insolencie, filthie life and pride, I find in histories no speciall message sent unto them from God. But this ye may understand by some secrete revelation, which ye have received of late in your perfection (Laing V:119).

Ironically, Knox accuses his opponent of assuming exactly the same kind of charismatic qualities which he himself had adopted, but as ever, he was unaware of any inconsistencies in his thought. He gives the impression that he has had a quick glance through Cicero, Livy or Herodotus, and the passage embodies inter se pugnantia, which is used to reprimand the opponent's insolence.

Although An Answer to an Anabaptist is a very long text, it is Knox's least representative and it ought not to be juxtaposed with The Historie, simply because of its length (74). Earlier in the present chapter I have suggested more fruitful ways of making the connection. Moreover, although David Calderwood recommends the work to readers as evidence of 'how profound' the Reformer was 'in divinitie' (75), it was not known in Scotland until many
years after Knox's death, and in any case, Calderwood's assessment is rather too generous.

Only two works remain, then, to be mentioned in the present division: The Order and Doctrine of the General Faste (1566) and The Order of Excommunication and of Public Repentance (1569). The latter may even be excluded from further discussion since it is almost wholly procedural. Both, admittedly, are what Janton calls 'les traités doctrinaux' (76), but the former, of which Knox is only a co-author, contains the more memorable of literary strokes. Knox's influence is surely discernible in the passage which anticipates a response to the proposed implementation of fasting:

But now we knowe, that suche as neither lufe God, nor trewly feare his judgements (for mo Atheistes we have nor consummate Papistes within this Realme) shall grudge and crye, what new ceremonie is this that now we here of? Wherefore shall we Faste! and who hath power to command us so to do? A Feg for their Fasting! We will fill and farse our bellies upone the oulde fassion . . . (Laing VI:406).

We may think of Henryson's description of Mercury as the poet of 'the auld fassoun' but the imagery, the 'feg', is Knoxian. At the time of composing The Order and Doctrine of the General Faste, Knox may not yet have written his famous account of Beaton, so again we get a revealing insight into his thought and how it developed.

This brings us to the end of the theological division and hopefully to an appreciation of the variety it entails:
revisions, translations, sermons, disputations, expositions, vindications and statements concerning ecclesiastical procedure. It may not be possible for late twentieth century readers to appreciate just how important such matters were to Knox's contemporaries, but theology and religious doctrine, to them, ranked a long way ahead of, say, politics or economic issues. Knox himself dismisses these as 'trifeling questionis' (Laing I:77), and after we have perused his theological works, we can perhaps understand why. And at any rate, fine literary qualities are just as evident here, as they are in The Historie and the remainder of Knox's work.
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Chapter Five

KNOX'S HISTORIE OF THE REFORMATIOUN.

This chapter isolates nine topics relevant to the study of Knox's Historie. The early part, which incorporates three divisions, deals mainly with textual problems and with manuscript evidence, although there is an attempt to set Knox back in his proper literary environment, with specific reference to the writing of histories. Indeed, Janton is the only critic to have done this satisfactorily, but he treats The Historie only as part of his general literary analysis of Knox; in passing, and without the extensive commentary that it deserves (1). The present study is intended to rectify this situation.

Dr. Murison calls Knox's major work 'a collection of selective and frequently impressionistic memoirs' (2). This suggests (rightly) that autobiography is a fairly major strand of The Historie, and as such, it forms the first topic selected for detailed literary criticism. More controversial is the subsequent division which explores Knox's polemical tools with a view to establishing just how they impinge upon the perception and conscience of his original listeners.

A complete change of mood becomes evident when we turn to the Reformer's humorous tales and narratives, although these too contain material just as inflammatory, if more
palatable, than that of the preceding section. There is an
obvious connection between humorous and dramatic narratives,
and the latter category follows on. Humour is often a
common element in both, but in many instances the impression
of high drama rather than amusement dominates our response
to The Historie. Hence, the separate classification. Then
comes an examination of Knox's powerful forensic rhetoric;
the rhetoric of a turbulent age. Finally, I shall deal with
Knox's unrivalled talent as a descriptive writer. However,
our first task is to 'contextualise'.

THE HISTORICAL GENRE

We have already seen in chapter one the intellectual climate
which Knox inherited, but there we set certain necessary
parameters. Our task here is to trace the historiographical
genre in Scotland, pre-and post-Knox, in order to assess his
overall importance and significance. As Janton observes
'Quand Knox écrit l'histoire ce genre populaire a un passé
jalonné de grand noms' (3). We must place John Barbour and
Blind Harry in this tradition together with Andrew Wyntoun,
although their medium was verse not prose. More in line
with Knox's work but not in the vernacular is John of
Fordun's Chronica Gentis Scotorum which dates from the late
14th century and terminates its narrative at 1153. Fordun's
continuator was Walter Bower who completed the work in 1449.

In the sixteenth century John Major had begun with his
Historia Maioris Britanniam tam Anglie quam Scotiae (1520) and
of course Boece followed with his Scotorum Historia, as we
have seen. Although we have already looked at the latter, more general commentary is called for here since these two works clearly embody two differing literary strands, both of which are relevant to Knox. C. S. Lewis calls Major's work 'dry and annalistic in narrative' and fastens onto his account of Merlin's birth in Book II as an example of scholarly plodding (4) in much the same mode as John Ireland. All this is generally recognised as thoroughly medieval and 'un genre aride' (5), while Boece, who was a humanist, represents not a declining movement but an ascending one. Indeed, historiographical humanism was to reach its literary peak in George Buchanan's *Rerum Scotticarum Historia*, a work which was only slightly later than, and certainly influenced by, Knox's. In contrast to medieval scholasticism, humanism was the 'new learning'. Those who advocated it bowed the knee to Erasmus in the sixteenth century (stylistically rather than historiographically) and to the classical authors of antiquity such as Marcus Tullius Cicero and Titus Livius. Indeed, in his *Defence of Martin Luther against the Furibund Decree of the Parisian Theologasts* (*Ein urteil der theologen Zu Paris vber die lehre d. Luthers*) the reforming humanist Philip Melanchthon attacked Major as the supreme spokesman of scholastic tail-chasing (6).

As we know Boece's work stimulated two fine Scots translations which testify to a popular taste for the new histories and although Knox probably shared Buchanan's dislike of the fantastic elements so evident in Boece, we
know that he was not above turning to *Scotorum Historie*. Knox was far from being a dazzling humanist like Melanchthon, or even a precocious one, like Calvin, but he was not unaware of the movement nor unresponsive to it (see ch 2, p.119) even if his deepest roots, initially, lay in Major's scholasticism. Roughly contemporary with Knox's *Historie* is the anonymous *Historie of the Estate of Scotland from July MDLVIII to April MDLX* which is too slight to have anything but historical significance and *A Diurnal of Remarkable occurents that have passed within the country of Scotland since the death of King James the Fourth till the year 1575*, a useful companion to Knox's work but nothing like as important. After Knox come the works of a Roman Catholic, John Leslie, who first wrote *The History of Scotland, from the death of King James I. to the year 1561*, then turned it into the Latin *De Origine, Moribus et Rebus Scotorum* before it found its final form as *The Historie of Scotland, wrytten first in Latin by the most reverend and worthy Jhone Leslie, Bishop of Rosse, and translated in Scottish by Father James Dalrymple* in 1595. From the Protestant side, Robert Lindsay of Pitscottie's *Historie and Chronikles of Scotland* cannot boast such a complicated origin, but both writers lack the greatness of Knox. Leslie has a wide knowledge of classical writing and English histories, but proceeds schematically and is somewhat didactic, while Lindsay, although he cannot match Leslie's scholarship and range, is more lively and anecdotal. After
these we can see diaries and autobiographies such as James Melvill's *Historie of the Lyff of James Melvill* which shares a similar religious orientation to Knox's but is the work of a man without guile and full of naïve charm (like Nathaneal, an Israelite indeed). His namesake, James Melville of Halhill, wrote *Memoirs of his own Life* and David Moysie produced *Memoirs of the affairs of Scotland* from 1577 to 1603.

It should be easy to see that Knox's position is central and therefore crucial. To be sure, he is not as learned as Buchanan or Leslie, but he brings a genius to his text which is all his own and quite inimitable. His asperity is just as distinctive and only when he combines it with his partisan committedness does he find a parallel in the other histories, most notably in Buchanan, Leslie and Lindsay. As well as sharing some of Boece's subject matter, he has much in common with the others and the bitty *Historie of the Estate of Scotland* provides some striking parallels, which we shall explore later on. In terms of style he probably ranks above all of the sixteenth century historians, or at least those who write in the vernacular, since his prose is comparatively free of Latin antecedents (unlike Boece's translators at their most extreme) and strikingly vivacious to boot. Knox's truest legacy, however, is probably with our first James Melvill, although it need hardly be said that there is an enormous difference in their respective characters.
There are two sixteenth century manuscripts of *The Historie*:
one in Glasgow University Library, one in Edinburgh. The
former is later in date and consequently less valuable to
the critic. It consists of 242 leaves, written in a hand
which varies in neatness throughout. *Book IV of The
Historie* may have been copied out at an earlier date than
the rest of the manuscript. *The Book of Discipline* is
omitted. Conjoined to the text is a title sheet referring
to a separate work entitled *Contextus Historiae Evangelicae
Secundum tres Evangelistas Nat. Mar. et Lucam* which was once
bound together with *The Historie* and which is signed by a
certain 'Mr. Jo. Knox' (probably the Reformer's nephew. He
has no connection whatever with the composition of *The
Historie*). The title sheet is dated 'augusti 18. 1581'.
Until Laing discovered the Edinburgh MS., the Glasgow text
'was long considered to be the earliest and most authentic
copy' (one might say the *textus receptus*) 'and consequently
no small degree of importance was attached to it' (7).

The Edinburgh text formerly belonged to Laing and the
editor has traced its history in a handwritten note,
prefixed to the manuscript. He first alludes to Matthew
Crawford's printed edition of the Glasgow version (1732)
which contains the following notice.

"There is also a compleat MS. copy of the first four
books of this History belonging now to Mr. Gavin
Hamilton, Bookseller in Edinburgh, which formerly
belonged to the late Reverend Mr. Matthew Reid,
Minister of the Gospel and North Berwick; it is
written in a very old hand, the old spelling is kept, and I am informed that it exactly agrees with the Glasgow MS. with which it was collated, during the time this edition was a-printing." (8).

Laing then adds:

Of its subsequent history I don't know any particulars, till I purchased it at the sale of the late Rev. Dr. Jamieson's library. That it should agree with the Glasgow Manuscript, is what was to be expected, inasmuch as I am satisfied, from comparison, that that MS. must have been transcribed from the present one, before the close of the 16th century - but not earlier than the year 1584.

This manuscript I consider to be peculiarly valuable and interesting from two circumstances. The first is that it presents the work in its most genuine and authentick form; being undoubtedly the most ancient copy that exists. The second that it has internal evidence of portions of it having been written in the year 1566, with occasional corrections between that date and 1571, and some of these at least appear to be made by the Reformer himself.

(Signed) David Laing.

Although the 'Laing MS', as it is now called, has been thoroughly examined by Professor Dickinson in his bibliographical note prefixed to his own modern edition of The History (sic), it is to be hoped that this study has at least something new to offer. For the present moment, however, we shall explore some of the evidence which Dickinson, and Laing before him, have already established.

For example, the corrections to which Laing was referring, have all been traced by Dickinson, and he finds no less than twenty-four instances where Knox himself had apparently made some form of emendation to the text. He adds phrases such
as 'to wit Jhon Knox' in order to clarify who was speaking in one narrative (see Laing II:297 folio 312), and at another point he even found it necessary to attach a separate page to the text of The Historie to clear up an oversight. He writes in the margin 'tak in this that is sewed in this place quhar it is scraped out' (see Laing II:392, folio 355). The material on the separate sheet now constitutes the only section of the work which remains in Knox's hand (see Laing II:392-393). The scribe who worked on the Glasgow MS. of The Historie was evidently the first who had to make sense of Knox's directions and to incorporate all the corrections in a fully coherent text.

By-and-large, however, the Glasgow MS. is much less interesting than the other, even though the one fact which consistently emerges from their collation is that the former exhibits an orthographical system which is more Scots in form. If we juxtapose the opening passage to each, we can see this clearly:

**Glasgow MS. (1584?1590?)**
It is not vknownin
(Cristiane Reidar) that the same cloude of ignorance that long hes
darkened many Realmes vnder yis accursed
kingdome of yat Romane antichrist; had also owercoverit this pure Realme, yat idolatrie has bein mainteined,
The bludy of innocentis hatha bein eched, and christ Jesus his eternall

**Edinburgh MS. (1566)**
It is not vknownen
(Christiane Reader),that the same Clud of ignorance that long hath
darkened many realmes under this accursed
kingdome of that Romane Antichrist, hath also owercovered this poore Realme; that idolatrie hath bein mainteined,
the blood of innocentis hath bene eched, and Christ Jesus his eternall
The first thing to point out is that neither version is in Knox's handwriting, but even so, we can see clearly in what forms the first readers of The Historie must have encountered the text. (We know of the existence of a third sixteenth century manuscript, copied 'directly from the MS. of 1566' (11) and used by Thomas Vautrollier for the first printed edition of The Historie in 1586, but since the transcript is lost, we can only speculate as to its orthography. What we can be certainly sure of, is that Vautrollier's final print is in no way an accurate reflection of the original system). Laing himself deprecates the collation of such texts by pointing out that all we can expect to find are 'slight occasional changes in orthography' (12). Laing is right, but such disparities are bound to be more significant to a twentieth century literary critic than they were to him. For instance, it is evident that the Glasgow scribe, whoever he was, occasionally lapses into '-it' suffixes, suggesting that he perhaps preferred this form to the English '-ed', but has, as often as not, retained the suffixes of the Edinburgh MS. More consistently he employs the old Scots form of pronouns 'yis' and 'yat', he uses three distinct spellings of the third person singular present indicative ('hes', 'has', 'hathe') to the Edinburgh MS's one ('hath') and 'ei' or 'ai'
diphthongs usually occur when the other scribe has chosen differently. He also seems to have used the English 'cloude' for Scots 'clud' but Scots 'pure', and 'blud' for the English 'poore' and 'bloode'. At any rate, we have established something about scribal idiosyncrasies.

A more rewarding discipline by far is criticism of the literary features of the text, and speculation as to its possible sources. All the typical Knoxian literary features are here; symmetry and balance, the use of *isocolon*, a proleptic metaphor ('cloud of ignorance') which is applied literally with the verbs 'darkened' and 'over-covered'. The latter belongs to a family of similarly structured verbs ('unknown', 'accursed') while the passage culminates with parallel verbs in the form of a triplet (the Glasgow scribe in fact slightly lessens the force of this strategy). Knox heightens specificity when he moves from the rather general 'many realmes' to 'this realme', and so on. He continues:

But that same God that caused light to shyne out of darkness, in the multitud of his mercyes, hath of long tyme opened the eis of some even within this Realme, to see the vanitie of that which then was universally embraced for trew religioun; and hes gevin unto them strenth to oppone thame selfis unto the same; and now into these last and moist corrupt dayis, hath made his treuth so to triumphe amoniges us, that, in despyte of Sathane, hipochrysye is disclosed and the trew wyrshipping of God is manifested . . . (Laing I:3).

If we juxtapose these two passages with the introductory epistle contained in the *Geneva Bible* we can see a clear similarity:
Besides the manifolde and continual benefites which almightie God bestoweth vpon vs bothe corporal and spiritual, we are especially bound (deare brethren) to give him thankes without ceasing for his great grace and unspeakable mercies, in that it hath pleased him to call vs unto this mervelous light of his gospel, & mercifully to regarde vs after so horrible backesliding and falling away from Christ to Antichrist, from light to darckness, from the liuving God to dumme and dead idoles: & that after so cruel murther of Godes Saintes, as alas, hathe been among vs, we are not altogether cast of, as were the Israelites, and many others, for the like, or not so manifest wickednes, but receyued agayne to grace with moste euident signes and tokens of Gods especial loue and fauour (13).

Janton asks of Knox 'A-t-il, à Genève, modelé son langage sur celui des traducteurs, de la Bible de 1557?'(14) and this is surely perceptive. Knox's own introductory passage in The Historie resembles this in at least seven different ways (address to reader, murder of saints, idolatry / true worship, Christ / Antichrist, God's great mercy, light / darkness and in the chosen nation idea). In fact there is no doubt that the Geneva text was his original model.

If the collation of manuscripts is less worthwhile than literary criticism and source analysis, detailed study of the 'Laing MS' itself is much more rewarding, and allows us to draw significant conclusions about the process of composition. This manuscript is the work of eight scribes, discounting Knox's own sporadic additions. Dickinson labels the hands (A) - (H) and follows them to their termination points using the numbered folios. However, he does not trace these points to Laing's printed text, which
is, in terms of its orthography, still a better and more accurate edition of *The Historie* than his own. This information, with conclusions, is supplied here in tabular form, as a new, if slight, contribution to Knox studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hands and Instances</th>
<th>Folios</th>
<th>Laing Text</th>
<th>Scribe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) 1</td>
<td>1 - 114</td>
<td>I:3.1-I:327.33</td>
<td>John Gray (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) 1</td>
<td>115-136</td>
<td>I:327.33-I:381.13</td>
<td>(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) 1</td>
<td>137-158</td>
<td>I:381.13-I:433.8</td>
<td>Richard Bannatyne?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) 2</td>
<td>159-180</td>
<td>I:433.8-II:7.25</td>
<td>John Gray (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) 1</td>
<td>181-204</td>
<td>II:7.25-II:56.14</td>
<td>(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) 2</td>
<td>205-228</td>
<td>II:56.14-II:103.16</td>
<td>Richard Bannatyne?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) 3</td>
<td>229-249</td>
<td>II:103.16-II:143.8</td>
<td>John Gray (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) 1</td>
<td>250-272</td>
<td>II:143.8-II:201.2</td>
<td>(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F) 1</td>
<td>273-294</td>
<td>II:201.2-II:243.31</td>
<td>(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G) 1</td>
<td>295-309</td>
<td>II:243.31-II:291.12</td>
<td>(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) 4</td>
<td>310-355</td>
<td>II:291.12-II:393.8</td>
<td>John Gray (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H) 1</td>
<td>356-358</td>
<td>II:393.8-II:399.16</td>
<td>Paul Knox (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) 3</td>
<td>359-387</td>
<td>II:399.16-</td>
<td>Richard Bannatyne?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hand (A) has been tentatively identified by Laing as the scribe John Gray (15). An early draft of the manuscript, (folios (1)-(355) probably existed and was written throughout by (A); Knox himself then revised (A)'s work (since all of the remaining corrections occur in this section). The original text was so heavily annotated that he deemed it necessary for a number of scribes to work on a fair copy. The best part of 'original (A)' remained and went on to constitute much of the manuscript while the newly revised sections were copied out and re-integrated into the narrative. Indeed, there is internal evidence to suggest that the other scribes were working from an original. For instance, in folio (126) (Laing I:135), (B) writes of a
signature ('the vther subscriptioun we culd nocht read, but the Simile is this . . .') (see Dickinson I: CIX, Laing I:354 Footnote (2)). Only two other hands have been identified; hand (H) seems to have been that of Knox's young nephew, Paul (16), and (C) that of Richard Bannatyne, his trusty secretary (17). As we can see from the table, three sections belong to Scribe (C); (folios 137-158, 205-228 and 359-387). The third of these is written in a hasty, very untidy manner. Laing dates this section to after December, 1571 and notes that it is 'more like a scroll copy from dictation than an accurate transcript' (18). Dickinson suggests that 'these folios may be an example of part of the History in its earliest form' (19). Thus, they must rank in importance with Knox's 'appended narrative' in folio 355 from a textual point of view. We can conclude from all this that Knox composed The Historie either in his own hand, then turned it over to (A) for tidying up, or perhaps he dictated it to Bannatyne (C) and gave Bannatyne's text to (A). After this, six others worked on the script to incorporate Knox's corrections. If Knox did dictate to Bannatyne, the secretary at times would have found himself reworking sections of (A)'s text, which he himself had taken down originally.

**DATES OF COMPOSITION, CONTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE HISTORIE**

Both Laing and Dickinson trace the dates of Knox's composition using his own references to The Historie in
official correspondence and internal evidence from the text itself as the basis for their conclusions. Again, this work has been done so thoroughly that any new study can hope to add but little, if anything. Yet when and why The Historie came together, as well as how, are fundamental concerns of the literary critic. Moreover, we have placed Knox's text in its proper Scottish context. This section allows us the opportunity to place it more properly in its overall European, as well as Scottish, context. First, however, a brief summary of the evidence which Knox's finest editors have amassed relating to the composition of his text. Knox's first reference to the work is included in a letter to Gregory Railton, dated October, 23, 1559:

The authoritie of the Frenche King and Quen is yet receaved, and wilbe in wourd till thei deny our most just requeastes, which ye shall, God willing, schortlie hereafter understand, together with our hole proceedings from the beginynge of this mater, which we are now to sett furth in maner of Historie (Laing VI:87).

Knox is referring to the raw material which went on to constitute Book II (20). This section was certainly finished by September, 23, 1560, when Thomas Randolph wrote to William Cecil:

I have tawked at large with Mr. Knox concerning his Hystorie. As mykle as is written thereof shall be sent to your Honour, at the comynge of the Lords Embassadours, by Mr. John Woode. He hath written only one Booke. If you lyke that, he shall continue the same, or adde onie more. He sayethe that he must have further help then is to be had in thys contrie, for more assured knowledge of thinges passed than he hathe
Laing himself apparently doubts that the book was actually sent to Cecil, since he found no trace of it among Cecil's papers during a subsequent search (21), yet the information which Randolph presents is invaluable. Moreover, it attests to Knox's future care as a historian (even though writing history is not just about gathering reliable facts; once one has the facts, the more creative acts of interpretation and presentation must be attempted). Indeed, there is further evidence of Knox's efforts to produce a historically accurate text. In Book III for instance, there is a long passage dealing with those 'cruell and conjured ennemyes of God' (Laing II:133), the Duke of Guise and the Cardinal of Lorraine. This passage has apparently been 'corrected be Mr. George' [Buchanan] who was in France at the time of the events narrated. As Dickinson points out, we find Knox 'inviting the criticism of expert witnesses' (22). Moreover, in 1571, the Reformer was evidently in correspondence with Alexander Hay, 'Clerk of the Privy council in 1564 and Director of Chancery in 1577' (see Laing VI:608, Footnote (2)), seeking material to facilitate composition, and Hay apparently sent him Leges Ecclesiastica Anglicana and Reformatio Legum Ecclesiasticarum, 'which is the works of Johne Foxe' together with other 'thingis' more fit 'to decoir the Historie' (Laing VI:610-11).
however, was composed in the midst of events which were happening all around.

In his article 'Knox the Historian' in The Scottish Historical Review, Andrew Lang was the first to suggest that this book 'was apparently intended for instant publication' (23) and this is also the view taken by Dickinson (24). Although it has now become commonplace to cite the opening of the Book as evidence of this assertion, it would be difficult to find a more apposite point at which to introduce it. Here, then, are the lines in question (written originally in Hand (A)).

Least that Sathan by our long silence shall tak occasioun to blaspheym, and to sklander us THE PROTESTANTIS OF THE REALME OF SCOTLAND, as that our fact tendit rather to seditioun and rebellioun then to reformatioun of maners and abuses in Religioun; we have thocht expedient, so trewlie and brievlie as we can, to committ to writting the causes moving us, (us we say, ane great parte of the Nobilitie and Baronis of the Realme) to take the sweard of just defence against those that most injustly seak our destructioun (Laing I:297-298).

Professor Jack calls Book II a 'polemical and heated justification of the Protestant cause' (25), and the above passage typifies these qualities while relying heavily on the subjunctive mood to augment the patently apologetic tone.

This, more than the introductory passage prefaced to Book I, represents the point at which The Historie of the Reformation entered into literary history, since the
paragraph introducing Book II as we now have it (see Laing 1:297) is evidently an attempt to link Books I and II together and is therefore of a much later date than the above passage. The above has all the power which we can associate with the best of Knox's deliberative rhetoric; confidence, volatility and an embattled spirit wholly in its familiar element.

Book III seems to have been composed after II, although as Professor Dickinson notes, 'internal evidence for the time of writing is scanty' (26), (for such information, however, see Dickinson's Knox I:IC). Knox later tells us that he intended to conclude The Historie after Book III, having narrated the 'thingis done frome the fyftie-awght year of God, till the arrival of the Quenis Majestie futh of France' (Laing 1:4), but upon the instigation of 'some faythfull', he resumed a task which he 'never minded farther to have travailled in' (Laing 1:4).

The product was of course Book I, which unquestionably represents Knox's finest artistic achievement both from the point of view of style and creative power. David Murison says of its raison d'être.

It was ostensibly meant to tell the story of the Protestant martyrs, Hamilton, Wishart, etc.; and his writing reflects the moods aroused by the events as he remembered them, hope, despair, humour, exasperation, bitterness and rancour, the last exacerbated by his own gloom about the future. Nowhere does Knox reveal his whole character more fully, warts and all, than in the first and last books of The History, and, whether one approves or not of Knox, it should be remembered that most of the evidence against as well as for him comes from the candour of his own writing (27).
It is significant that Dr. Munson links Books I and IV, for they are indeed very similar in temper and mood, although an extraordinarily rich vein of humour is more prevalent in the former. Book I is in fact the longest of the books which Knox contributed to The Historie, and it was written in Kyle, Ayrshire, a district which has the Knoxian distinction of being 'a receptackle of Godis servandis of old' (Laing I:105). At any rate, Professor Dickinson agrees that 'in Book I the narrative runs freely and in Book I Knox's style is at its best' (28). In what follows we shall see why.

In the preface to Book I (see Laing I:5-6) Knox seemed only to envisage three books, but soon after the completion of I, the highly dramatic events which he himself had been involved in, outwith the scope and range of the narrative contained in III, necessitated a fourth book, which is probably Knox's most unrestrained. With reference to Book IV, Professor Jack cites 'a heavy reliance on reported speech, as Knox, Queen Mary and Lethington argue out religious problems in a fashion always designed to give victory to the Protestant side' (29) and Dr. David Reid confirms these observations when he classifies Knox's Historie as 'the outstanding Scottish example of speech based prose' (30). Book IV was finished by the end of 1566, and its concluding phrase, written (probably) in Knox's own hand ('In all that tyme the Erle of Murray was so formed to John Knox, that nowther be word nor write wes there any
communication betwix thame') (Laing II:461) represents his final, definite contribution to that astonishing work, The Historie of the Reformation of Religion within the Realma of Scotland.

Book V was not written by Knox but probably prepared for the publisher, David Buchanan, by an unknown writer from Knox's remaining scrolls and manuscripts gathered together by Richard Bannatyne. Dr. Murison says, however, that 'Book V contains enough to show that Knox had drafted considerable passages' (31) and this can easily be substantiated. For example, early on in this anonymous text, Knox's 'Continuator' writes of the arrival in Scotland of Lord Darnley:

In the meanwhile there was nothing in the Court but banquetting, balling and dancing, and other such pleasures as were meet to provoke the disordered appetitie; and all for the entertainment of the Queen's cousin from England, the Lord Darnley, to whom she did shew all the expressions imaginable of love and kindness (Laing II:473).

Mary's attraction for Darnley came to fruition when:

The next day following, at six hours in the morning, they were married in the Chappel Royall of Halyrod-House, by the Dean of Restailig, the Queen being all clothed in mourning; But immediately, as the Queen went to Masse, the King went not with her, but to his pastime . . .

In the meantime, the Earl Rthesse, the Laird of Grange, the Tutor of Pitcur, with some gentlemen of Fyfe, were put to the horne, for non-appearance . . . (Laing II:496).
Although the first paragraph here resembles very much a passage in *A Diurnal of Remarkable Occurents* (32), the sudden and almost sinister change of mood seems typically Knoxian. Both passages are designed to meditate upon the disparity between appearance and reality, and certainly this does indicate that Knox's world-view lies behind the lines. All the raw material, if not the explosive capacity of expression, is there. Perhaps the best way to express one's overall impression, however, is to say that after reading the first four books of *The Historie*, coming to the fifth book is like coming to a hearth after the fire has been extinguished (33). As an indication of the inferiority of these passages, one need only juxtapose them alongside an authentic Knoxian passage. Consider how he ridicules the effeminacy of the Frenchman Châtelard for example:

In dansing of the purpose, (so terme thei that danse, in the which man and woman talkis secretlie - wyse men wold judge such fassionis more lyke to the bordell than to the comelynes of honest wemen) - in this danse the Quene chosed Chattelet, and Chattelet took the Quene. Chattelet had the best dress (LaingII: 368).

One recalls Gonerill's comment on Lear's hundred knights and his court: 'epicurism and lust / makes it more like a tavern or brothel / than a graced palace' (Act 1, Sc. 4, 1.240-242) and this is evidently how Knox conceived of Mary's activity. It has become a commonplace of Knox criticism to point out that his views on dancing were identical to those of the Catholic church prior to, and
during, the Reformation. Even Erasmus thought it improper for monarchs (although Baldassare Castiglione, in Il Cortegiano, did not) (34). In Scotland the trend is witnessed by Hamilton's Catechism. Under its definition of 'the sact command' ('thou sall nocht commit adultery'), the Catechism reproves 'quhasa prouokis othirs to lechorie, be foule speiche, sangis, taillis and pictouris, quha be foule thinking or feling, fylis thameself, quha eschewis nocht the occasionis of lichorie, drounkynnes, ydilness, owir lang sleeping, wantone & licht company, dancing, singing, with othir siclik prouocationis' (35). Knox evokes the attraction between the two participants which he admits was 'honest yneuch' but he creates a polarity between what he sees as proper and improper behaviour and tops things off with an economical but devastatingly telling final sentence. These flashes of literary genius are notably absent from Book V of The Historie, very much to its detriment.

We have now examined the composition of the work. This leaves us to consider Knox's fullest statement of intent. The preface to Book I, addressed to the 'gentill reader' contains this material and it is here that the general similarity with Sleidan's Commentariorum de Statu Religionis et Reipublicæ. Carolo V. Cesare is at its most pronounced (so much so that Katharine Firth labels Knox 'a poor man's Sleidan' (36), very unfairly, as it happens). Pierre Janton has also noted the resemblance and says additionaly of Knox 'il a beaucoup lu les histories ... il mentionne le nom de
La p us. Julius Capitolinus. Elius Spartianus: il est impregne de l'histoire des dogmes et des institutions ecclésiastiques' (37) (and it seems that he was even familiar with the works of Urbanus Rhegius, see Laing V:446). Like Sleidan, however, he begins his Historie by asking an indulgence of his readers:

And yit, in the begynning, man we crave of all the gentill Readaris, not to look of us such ane History as shall expresse all thingis that have occurred within this Realme, during the tyme of this terrible conflict that hes bene betwix the sanctes of God and these bloody wolves who clame to thame selves the titill of clargie, and to have authority ower the saules of men, for with Pollicey mynd we to medill no further then it hath religioun mixed with it. And thairfair albeit that many thingis wer don be omitted, yitt, yf we invent no leyis, we think our selves blameless in that behalf (Laing I:5).

Here is Sleidan's prefatio to De Statu Religionis et Reipublicae printed by Conrad Badius, together with Edmund Bohun's translation (which is considerably later than that of John Daws, but since Knox had access to neither it hardly matters which version we present).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleidan</th>
<th>Bohun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bellica autem res, &amp;</td>
<td>As to Military actions,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quicquid est eius modi, non quidem omitto, neque sanè potui: sed tamen ex</td>
<td>of what pass'd in the Wars. I have not wholly pass'd them over, nor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professio non sumpti tractandis. Nam vt paulo supra dixi, caussa religios</td>
<td>indeed could I, and yet I have not made them any principall part of my</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hic est potiffimum dedicatus labor itaque Lectorem, quum ad id genus</td>
<td>business, because that of Religion was my main design. And therefore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loca perueniet, admonitum esse volo, ne prolixiorem</td>
<td>when my Reader falls upon any thing of that</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The passages are apologetic, insofar as they are concerned with the expectations of the readers, but one should remember that a history of religious affairs was not a new kind of history (Eusebius of Caesarea established the traditional genre in the fourth century). However, it was new in Scotland. Of course 'military actions' as Bohun calls them, will impinge. But Knox, for all his protestations, was fond of military matters and, as we know, his whole religious personality was built around a battle strategy. Here then we get an indication that we ought not to take his preface too literally. And he did make concessions to aesthetic expectations. We have noted that after Book II was completed Knox 'never mynded further to have travailed in that kynd of writting' (Laing I: 4). However, 'after consultation with some faythfull' he was persuaded to continue. It is not surprising to find that Sleidan visualises a similar pious conference (though with a charm which we do not find in Knox):

Sleidan
Porrô, superioribus aliquot annis nonnulli magna

Bohun
Some years since, many men of eminent learning
virtute & doctrina viri, and virtue, when these things happen'd to be
quon istarum rerum mentio accidentally mention'd,
nonnunquam fierit, began to be earnest
coeperunt mihi esse with me that I should
esse monitores, vt quicquid commit to writing the
in hac tempora, praecupue affairs of our times
vero causam religionis, especially what related
incidisset, litteris madare religion: And this they
& hortabantur quidem, non did, not out of an
quod ipse hoc optime opinion that I was better
pretare possem aut able to do it than
quod non alii multo another, or because there
magis essent idonei. were not abler men to be
sed quod illa me viderent found for that purpose;
exercitatione delectari but because they saw me
cum primis, ideoque particularly fancy and
fore putabant, vt natura love these compositures.
quodam impellente stimulo. (41).
non mala operam in eo
fortasse nauarem (40).

The phrase 'when these things happen'd to be accidentally
mentioned', from 'quon istarum rerum mentio nonnunquam
fierit', is more contrived and transparent than anything in
Knox's preface. Unlike Sleidan, Knox does not write because
he loves composition and he certainly was in a position
which made him more competent than others. Only the vague
but compelling figure of John Willock, whose stature
exceeded Knox's in his own day, can come anywhere close.

The final similarity between Knox and Sleidan which I
wish to draw attention to is the most important since the
passages I shall consider deal with the very concept of
history writing in the sixteenth century. Jean Bodin later
classified such material in his Methodus ad facilem
historiarum cognitionem (1566) (Method for the Easy
Comprehension of History).
Historiam nihil magis
decet quam veritas
atque candor. Ego certe,
nequid in ea parte passet
in me desiderari,
diligenter incubui. Nec
enim ex vano quicquam hausi
vel audizione leui,
sed scribendi materiam
mihi suppeditarant acta,
qua studiose collegi,
de quorum fide nemo
dubitare possit (42).

Candour and Truth are
the two most becoming
Ornaments of an History,
and in truth I have
taken the utmost care
that neither of them
might be wanting here.
To that end I have taken
up nothing upon surmise
or light report, but I
have studiously collected
what I have written from
the publick records &
papers; the Faith of
which can justly be
call'd in question by no
man (43).

This time Knox cuts right through the elaborate aesthetic as
well as historical concerns of Sleidan, but presents a
passage which is nonetheless the child of the above. He
says:

Of one other [thing] we mon foirwarne the discreet
readaris, which is, that thei be not offended that the
sempill trueth be spokin without partialitie; for
seing that of men we neyther hunt for reward, nor yit
for vane glorie; we litill pass by the approbation of
such as seldome judge well of God and his workis
(Laing I:5).

Many critics, of course, reject Knox's claim to complete
veracity, and rightly so. Ralph S. Walker put it simply and
well when he said 'truth is far from absolute when selected
and arranged for purposes of self-justification' (44) and
Andrew Lang warns that as a historian Knox 'needs careful
watching' (45). But innuendos and fanaticism aside, Knox
does present a reasonably reliable body of facts, and his
method of substantiating material with contemporary documents obviously came from his reading of Sleidan.

Katharine R. Firth observes that there were three types of the new religious history, (i) martyrrology, which was especially attractive to Erasmian humanists, (ii) Lutheran and 'eastern German Reformation', which saw the Catholic Church as a corruption of the ancient, and the Reformation as a return to original values, and (iii) the school of Sleidan 'in which public records and documents were consulted . . . this too was a legacy of humanism, but showed the influence of the more southern variety, which followed after Lorenzo Valla and reached maturity in the Italian city histories' (46). Knox, like John Foxe, was familiar with all three strands. It seems an astonishing oversight that Professor Dickinson has not a word to say about any of this, and at no point mentions Sleidan (47). Consequently, Scottish scholars, literary critics especially, have come to regard Knox's Historie not as a expression of European as well as Scottish traditions, but as the amputated limb from a body they have not seen.

Knox's work is of course different in tone and temper from Sleidan's, and we have already noted all of the main similarities. In fact, of the three historiographical strands which Firth isolates, only the first remains to be considered and this we shall do when we come to Knox's treatment of Patrick Hamilton and George Wishart. It is to be hoped, however, that the above material will enable the
literary critic to appreciate not only Knox's method of composition, the textual problems to be faced and the basic shape of The Historie, but also what his sources were and how he conceived of his task.

**AUTobiography in The Historie.**

We know little about the early part of Knox's life, apart from a handful of sketchy details and a good deal of speculation. Certainly, he was at least eight, and perhaps ten, years younger than Laing and M'Crie thought, and this means that he was a relatively young man in his thirties when he entered the Castle of St. Andrews as tutor to 'some gentilmenes childrene' (Laing I:185). The idea that Knox was over forty when he began his ministry has itself been out of date for forty years, even though (and this is remarkable) it still finds its way into very recent literary studies. However, the want of information surrounding his first thirty years seems deliberate, since Knox could so easily have supplied it in his Historie. Perhaps the knowledge that he possessed information denied to future generations appealed to him, but the real reason is that these early years were those of his own Catholicism. It is not our purpose here to write biography however.

This present study will consider the autobiography contained in The Historie simply as literature. With this in mind we shall begin with the passage which describes the moment when Knox's career first began, the fateful 'call' to preach at St. Andrew's Castle. As we know, Knox had at
first refused to accept any such charge (see ch. 4, p.209-210):

Whareupone thei prively amonges thame selfis advising, having with thame in counsall Schir David Lyndesay of the Mont, thei concluded, that thei wold geve a charge to the said Johne, and that publictlie by the mouth of thare preachear. And so upoun a certane day, a sermons had of the electioun of ministeris, what power the congregatioun (how small that ever it was, passing the number of two or three) had above any man, in whome thei supposed and espyed the giftes of God to be, and how dangerous it was to refuse, and not to hear the voce of such as desyre to be instructed. These and other headis (we say,) declaired, the said Johnne Rowght, preachear, directed his wordis to the said Johne Knox, saying 'Brother, ye shall nott be offended, albeit that I speak unto you that which I have in charge, evin from all those that ar hear present, which is this. In the name of God, and of his Sone Jesus Christ, and in the name of these that presentlie calles you by my mouth, I charge yow, that ye refuse not this holy vocatioun, but that as ye tender the glorie of God, the encrease of Christ his kingdome, the edificatiioun of your brethrene, and the conforte of me, whome ye understand weill yneuch to be oppressed by the multitude of laubouris, that ye tack upon yow the publict office and charge of preaching, evin as ye looke to avoid Goddis heavye displeasur, and desyre that he shall multiplye his graces with yow'. And in the end, he said to those that war present, 'Was not this your charge to me? And do ye not approve this vocatioun? Thei answered, 'It was; and we approve it'. Whairat the said Johnne abashed, byrst furth in moist abundand tearis, and withdrew him self to his Chalmer (Laing I:188).

This passage ought to give the lie to those who think of Knox as the insensitive (and fearless) bully-boy of the Scottish Reformation, but at the other extreme Charles Warr used it as an example of a 'yellow streak of enervating cowardice' which 'ran through his complex character' (48).
As Katharine R. Firth observes, Knox evidently faced 'a personal and professional crisis' (49).

This, strictly speaking, does not constitute an official ordination, but Knox included it in his Historie presumably for the benefit of sympathetic readers who wished to understand fully the nature of his mission. He evidently regarded Lindsay with respect and as a figure of considerable personal authority. Indeed, it may have been Lindsay who, in light of Balnaves's and Rough's failure to win Knox over to the pulpit, came up with the idea of a public address. We do not know which passages of Scripture Rough relied upon to carry out the charge, but enough of his sermon survives, at least vestigially, for us to consider Matthew 18:20 a safe bet ('yh tw o of you shal agree vpon earth (for what thinge soeyer it be yat they wolde desyre) they shal haye it of my father which is in heauen. For where two or thre are gathered together in my name, there am I in the myddest amonge them . . .' (Coverdale)). St. Paul too may have figured in it: 'wo vnto me, yf I preache not the Gospell. Yf I do it with a good wyll, I shal haue my rewarde: but yf I do it agaynst my wyll, yet is the office commytted vnto me' (1 Corinthians 9:16-17). Rough's methodology of preaching apparently entailed the dividing of his material into 'headis', a technique adopted by Knox (see for example Laing I:190) but not used by Wishart or any of the pre-Reformation preachers who appear in The Historie.
Laing observes that Rough himself had acquired a considerable reputation as a Preacher (50) and this is borne out in the address to the diffident Knox. After receiving the request from Lindsay, Balnaves and the others, Rough had to preach his sermon, always bearing one thing in mind. When he was done, Knox must not be able, in good conscience, to evade the responsibility thrust upon him. To this end, Rough's words had to be full-proof. They were. Knox burst into tears because he knew they were. Memories of Wishart's death must have loomed large in his mind when he made that particular journey to his solitary 'chalmer'. Whether Rough used conscious art or not, his speech is far from haphazard. For instance, he persistently invokes a hierarchy of authority 'God . . . Jesus Christ . . . . ' and the Congregation. The second time around, he comes at it from a different angle. God is to be 'glorified', Christ's Kingdom must be 'encreased' and the brethren must be 'edified'. Rough finishes up by introducing a personal note which ends with an admonition. Since he is 'oppressed by the multitude of lauboris', Knox must relieve him, and for two reasons. He is more able to do so than any other, and as a Christian, he simultaneously seeks to eschew 'Goddis heavye displeasur'. Rough of course was able to play his cards so confidently because he was thoroughly immersed in the Protestant Christian ideology and he knew that Knox shared his ethic even if he lacked the practical experience. As we noted earlier, perhaps Lindsay pressed the trigger, and it
was especially to him and his close colleagues that Rough turned and, using *questio conjuncta* (cf. ch. 4. p. 226), said 'Is not this your charge? ... And do ye not approve?' When they answered in the affirmative, Knox must have felt like a drowning man without even a straw to cling to.

There are many other episodes in *The Historie* in which Knox's character is revealed in an autobiographical context. Perhaps the finest of them all occurs in 1562 when Knox tells how the Earl of Bothwell came to him, repenting 'his formare inordinate lyef' and seeking reconciliation between himself and Arran:

> To the whiche the said Johne answered, 'My Lord, wold to God that in me war counsall or judgement that mycht conforte and releave you. For albeit that to this hour it hath nott chaunsed me to speik with your Lordship face to face, yit have I borne a good mynd to your house, and have bene sorry at my heart of the troubles that I have heard you to be involved in. For, my Lord, my grandfather, goodsher, and father, have served your Lordshipis predecessoris, and some of thame have died under their standardis, and this is a part of the obligatioun of our Scotische kyndnes; but this is not the cheaf. But as God hes maid me his publict messinger of glaid tydings, so is my will earnest that all men may embrase it, which perfytlie thei can not, so long as that thair remaneth in thame rancour, malice, or envy. I am verray sorry that ye have gevin occasioun unto men to be offended with you, but I am more sory that ye have offended the Majestie of God, who by such meanes oft punished the other sinnes of men. And thatfoir my counsall is, that ye begyn at God, with whom yf ye ye will enter in perfyte reconciliatioun, I doubt not but he shall bow the heartis of men to forget all offenses. And as for me, yf ye shall continue in godlynes, your Lordship shall command me als boldlie as any that serves your Lordship (Laing II:323-324).
From these remarks, Gordon Donaldson finds in Knox 'the man . . . who expressed more clearly than any other, the traditional Scottish bands of kinship and service, which were assuredly medieval and not modern . . . perhaps paralleled only by that of a Borderer who said that if his Chief would turn him out at the front door he would come in again at the back . . .'

Laing too values the passage because 'it furnishes the only information that can be relied upon respecting . . . [Knox's] ancestors' (52).

Certainly this is a fascinating encounter in which Knox is able to indulge his preference for face to face relationships and he was also able to draw upon his experience as a spiritual counsellor to get straight to the core of the problem. He specifically invokes his role as a preacher of the Gospel, the 'glaid tydingis', to reinforce his own authority but on the whole the impression is one of moderation, goodwill, loyalty and sound common sense on Knox's part (all qualities which he revealed to Mrs. Bowes in his earlier correspondence). Here we also have the key to his religious assurance, which was so effective because of its very simplicity; trust wholly in and be reconciled to, God, and success will follow.

It is to Knox's credit that he practised what he preached, and this comes out during the run up to his trial for treason. It was Lethington himself who drove Knox to the following assertion, which gives rise to another autobiographical narrative:
'Gif God stand my freind' said the uther, 'as I am assurit he of his mercie will, so long as I depend upon his promise, and prefer his glorie to my life and warldlie proffett, I litill regard how men behave than selfsis towardis me; nether yit knaw I quhairin till any man hes borne with me in times past, unles it be, that of my mouth thay haif heard the word of God, quhilk in times to cum, gif thay refuse, my hairst wilbe persit, and for ane seasone will lament; but the incommoditie wilbe their awne'.

The bruict of the accusatioun of Johne Knox being divulged, Mr. Johne Spens of Condie, Advocat, a man of gentill nature, and ane that professit the doctrine of the Evangell, came, as it wer, in secreit to Johne Knox, to inquyre the cause of that grit bruict. To whom the said Johne wes plane in all thingis, and schew unto him the dowbill of the letter. Quhilk heard and consydderit, he said, 'I thank my God, I came to you with ane feirfull and sorrowfull hairst, feiring that ye had done sick ane cryme as lawis mycht haif punicshit, quhilk walid half bene na small trubill to the hairitos of all sik as hes ressaught the worde of life quhilk ye haif preichit; but I depairt grittli rejosit, alsweill because I persaif your awin comfort, evin in the myddis of your trubillis, as that I clerly understand, that ye haif committit no sik cryme as ye ar burdenit with. Ye wilbe accusit, (said he,) but God will assist you' (Laing II:400-401).

The image of Knox glorying in the evangel regardless of his own discomfort is one which emerges consistently throughout his writing. It is, after all, a positively Pauline office. But Knox makes it relevant politically as well as spiritually, and this, Lethington must have realised. Knox's purpose in including the Spence episode is to indicate the mood of mainstream Protestants, but it also suggests the extent of the congruence between his own world-view and theirs. With these two episodes, closely juxtaposed as they are, it is hard to decide who sounds more pious, Knox or
Spence (and 'pious' need not be a wholly pejorative adjective here) but again Knox is able to draw upon his position as a Preacher to get one up on his godly comrade. The governing neatness and the interlocking of the two narratives makes the passage more compelling when taken as a whole, because Spence furnishes Knox (and the reader) with the Preacher's counterpart, the Congregation. If Knox's sermons offer 'the word of God', Spence speaks for 'all sik as hes ressavit' it. In both passages certain similarities emerge not only in ideology, but in vocabulary. There is emphasis on the 'hairt' being pierced or troubled. The collocation is not strictly scriptural but it does create pathos of sorts, which is augmented by such items as 'lament', 'sorrowfull' and 'burdenit', which are Scriptural.

I wish to consider one more autobiographical incident, which has fascinated critics, among them Dr. Murison and Professor Donaldson. It has something in common, at least superficially, with an episode, which we shall consider later, in which Knox encounters the courtiers after one of his interviews with the Queen. The pattern certainly is the same; an offended Mary dismisses Knox from her presence, he subsequently encounters one or two stray members of the court and cracks a joke which toys with their expectations (and he was always good at that):

'Yow will not alwaysis' said sche 'be at your book' and so turned her back. And the said Johne Knox departed with a reasonable meary countenance; whairat some Papists offended said, 'He is not effrayed'. Which heard of him, he answered, 'Why should the pleasing
face of a gentill woman affray me? I have looked in the faces of many angrie men, and yit have nott bene effrayed above measure'. And so left he the Quene and the Courte for that tyme (Laing II:334-335).

Dr. Murison calls this 'an occasion for one of Knox's rare pleasant jokes', but Donaldson penetrates further when he considers its significance in relation to Knox's 'attitude to physical danger' (53). He finds the phrase 'above measure' of crucial importance because it suggests that Knox was often fearful, but had the capacity to overcome the emotion. Acting in his capacity as a historian, Professor Donaldson has moved into the domain of the literary critic. Such a critic can of course cite supporting authorities to colour Donaldson's observation. For instance, in Discoveries, Ben Jonson observes 'Language most shows a man: Speak that I may see thee. It springs out of the most retired and inmost parts of us, and is the image of the parent of it, the mind. No glass renders a man's form, or likeness, so true as his speech' (54). No observation could be more crucial to this study.

PREACHING, PROPHECY AND POLEMICS

The Reformation as a world-movement undoubtedly had a lasting effect on the sermon as a literary genre and as a force within society. Only as society became increasingly secularised did this force lessen, for better or for worse. However, it would be both naïve and unfair to think that the Reformers invented preaching. This is certainly not the
case, but where their real contribution lies is in their undoubted exaltation of the genre. Like the Word of John's Gospel, it was made flesh and came alive in a very relevant way (see John 1:14).

This did not, of course, come about overnight. In his major work on Knox, and in a later, equally fine, but more exclusively literary study, L'éloquence et la rhétorique dans les Sermons de Hugh Latimer, Professor Janton has traced how the sermon in the sixteenth century took shape through the continual publication of practical handbooks such as Andreas Gerardus Hyperius's De Formandis Concionibus Sacris and De Tradendis Discipinis of Juan Luis Vives, and Knox was in the right place at the right time to inherit the best of the new learning, and apply it to his preaching. The textbooks gradually found their way into English translations such as Thomas Wilson's The Arte of Rhetorique (London 1553), Richard Rainolde's A Booke Called the Foundation of Rhetorike (London 1563) and Hyperius's own The Practice of Preaching (1577) during and after the Reformation, and augmented the rhetorical training which the university educated Reformers already had. Just as Knox's conception of history and how to write it involved detailed knowledge of complex strands of thought and experience, so too his knowledge of how to preach came from a milieu of highly intellectual material. Only when one properly appreciates this can one approach Knox's sermons. I propose to examine five samples in order to give a fair indication
as to the range of Knox's preaching. They are (i) his first sermon preached at the Castle of St. Andrews in 1547 (Laing I:189-192), (ii) at Stirling in 1559 'in the greatest of our trubles' (Laing I:465-473), (iii) at Cowper (Laing II:8 'when the Reformers were in full retreat' (55), (iv) in 'Sanct Geilis Kirk' before 'the haill nobilitie' in 1560 (Laing II:84-87) and finally (v) before the dissolution of Parliament in 1563 when Knox preached against the Queen's proposed marriage (see Laing II:386). Janton has developed useful categories, under which sermons (i) and (v) are classified as controversy, (ii) and (iii) as exhortation, and (iv) as a sermon de circonstance (56). In one way or another, all of the above differ from Knox's published works on Isaiah and Matthew, which are commentaries. With the sole exception of (iv), the sermons in The Historie are in summary form, although upon occasion Knox does appear to be quoting directly from an original text, or from memory. Enough survives, however, for us to appreciate why Knox was so successful and why the Reformers on the front line turned eagerly to him for encouragement and support, and also why he caused controversy after the return of Mary. He does not claim authorship of sermon (iv), but as Laing says, it 'was undoubtedly conducted by Knox himself' (57).

The St. Andrews text marks the beginning of Knox's career (and we have already explored the episode in which he received his call in the earlier autobiographical section). For a first effort it was remarkably confident, although as
W. Stanford Reid observes 'that Knox was very nervous . . .
goes without saying' (58). His courage seems to have
mounted with the occasion, and after he had finished 'Some
said, 'otheris sned the branches of the Papistrie, but he
stryckis at the roote, to destroy the hole' (Laing I:192)
(59). His text, significantly enough, was from the prophet
Daniel 7:24 (later, he was to preach effectively on Haggai,
see Laing II:88, but he was still teaching on Daniel twenty-
four years after his first sermon, when James Melvill saw
him on that famous occasion in 1571 (60). In this instance,
he combined Old Testament prophecy with Revelation 13:5, to
produce the following radical rejection of papal authority:

... he willed men to consider yf these notes 'Thare
shall ane arise unlyk to the other, having a mouth
speaking great things and blasphemous' could be
applied to any other, but to the Pope and his
kingdome; for 'yf these' (said he,) 'be not great
wordis and blasphemous', 'the Successor of Petir',
'the Vicare of Christ', 'the Head of the Kirk', 'most
holy', 'most blessed', . . . yea, 'that has power of
all, and none power of him'; Nay 'not to say that he
dois wrong' . . . 'Yf these' (said he) 'and many
other, able to be shawin in his own Canone Law, be not
great and blasphemous woordis, and such as never
mortall man spak befoir, lett the world judge (Laing
I:191).

The relationship between this sermon and Sir David Lindsay's
Ane Dialog betwix Experience and ane Courtipour, has been
noted from time to time, although different conclusions have
been drawn. In 'Sir David Lindsay, Reformer', an article in
The Innes Review of 1950, Brother Kenneth states the one
recognised fact, that 'the general resemblance is too close
to be accidental' (61). Lindsay, of course, was present in the Castle at the time of Knox's sermon). In a highly readable, but unscholarly and altogether naive work John Knox in Controversy, Hugh Watt posits two things; that Knox ultimately inspired Lindsay to write part of his poem, and that the particular juxtaposition of Daniel and Revelation produced a reading of history which was unique to Knox at that time (62). Both statements have been challenged.

Brother Kenneth suggests that 'it is more likely that the scholar and humanist presiding at the council suggested the line of thought to the diffident young preacher' (63). As to Watt's second point, Katharine Firth argues that while 'one cannot be quite certain that Knox did not arrive at the thesis independently . . . possibly [he] had a copy of [George] Joye's The Exposicion of Daniel the prophete gathered out of Philip Melanchthon, Johan Ecolampadius, Chonrade Pellicane, and out of John Draconite & C., (Geneva 1545), or else access to some of the German authors. Another source could have been Frith's translation of Luther's De Antichristo' (64). This would mean that texts such as Melanchthon's In Danielam Prophetam Commentarius or Oecolampadius's more general Commentariorum in Prophetam ultimately lie behind the sermon. Nor should we rule out Chronicon Carionis Expositum et auctum multus et re teribus et recentibus historiis (1532) by John Carion, in a Latin or German version, although it is still too early for the
English translation by Walter Lynne and John Funcke (London, 1550). Indeed, by his own admission, Knox was relying on an 'originall where my testimonies ar written' and he only offers to prove 'that the wrettaris ment as I have spokin' (Laing I: 192).

In places, the sermon itself draws heavily from the Lux Canonicum of orthodox Catholicism (and it is in this the resemblance with Lindsay's poem is at its most pronounced). Knox is presumably alluding to such common papal titles as Romanus Pontifex Sanctissimus, Sanctissimus Dominus Noster and Servus Servorum Dei, and after listing some of these in translation he introduces a 'yea', then a 'nay' section which recalls Matthew 5:37 (or 2 Corinthians 1:17-20): 'your communicacion shalbe, yee, yee; nay, nay. For whatsoever is more then that, commeth of euel' (Coverdale). This is presumably to ensure that the Congregation (and, later, the readers of The Historie) fully appreciated that he was rejecting every aspect of the papacy. He evidently succeeded in creating that impression. (This brings us incidentally to a recognition of the fact that, ultimately, Knox had two audiences, which each wanted different things). As he says in The Historie, his audience at St. Andrews specifically wanted 'the probatioun' of that which, previously, he had affirmed (that the Catholic Church was hopelessly corrupt): 'For yf it be trew we have bene miserable deceaved' (Laing I:189). The original readers of Knox's memoirs may have been more interested in his autobiography than in the
veracity of such issues, which by that time had been decided by most Scots anyway, for better or for worse. Not a few of Knox's works, as we now have them, deal with this dual audience. For instance, his *Exposition upon the Sixth Psalm of David*, which we considered in chapter four (see p. 236) is one such text.

Aside from catering to a range of listeners and readers, Knox writes at a level where grammatical structure and rhetorical design smoothly interface. For example, his *apodosis*, ('leth the world judge'), is crucially important. It is used in the imperative rather than the optative mood, but at the same time fulfils all the requirements of *permissio*. In using this figure, the orator leaves to his audience the final pronunciation of judgement on a matter which has been more-or-less decided by him. But at least it invokes the participation of the listeners if it is properly used. Knox's understanding of rhetorical practice was at this stage probably influenced by what he calls the 'humane authoris' (Laing I: 186). This would mean Cicero and the pseudo-Ciceronian textbook *Rhetorica ad Herrenium*. Quintillian's *Institutio Oratoria* also contains a definition of the above device. The *apodosis*, moreover, has more than one *protasis*, or antecedent conditional clause. The phrase 'yf these', accompanied by a parenthetical 'said he', for the benefit of *The Historie* readers, occurs twice and helps to tease one's sense of expectation. The above sermon was delivered before the Reformation gathered any strength in
Scotland, so Knox had no guarantee of support. That he summoned eloquent resources in order to persuade is not surprising.

The Cowper Sermon as it exists in The Historie, is only barely salvageable, and certainly it is more fragmentary than the earlier work. Indeed, it is better to get it out of the way quickly and move on to the Stirling text of 1559. It appears to be a variation of a theme which Knox first considered in A Faithful Admonition to the Professors of God's Truth in England (see ch. 2, pp. 107-113), and there are parallels in the Familiar Epistles. It is based on a combination of texts (Matthew 14 and John 6). Again Knox employs the same mode of presentation; the combination of narrative and quotation:

His exhortation was, 'That we should not faint, but that we should still row against these contrary blastis, till that Jesus Christ should come; for (said he) I am assuredlie persuaded that God shall deliver us from the extreme troubl, as that I am assured that this in the Evangel of Jesus Christ whiche I preche unto (you) this day. 'The fourth watche is nocht yet come; abyde a lytill: the boit salbe saved, and Peter, which has left the boit, sall not droune. I am assured, albeit I cannot assure you, be reason of this present rage; God grant that ye may acknowledge his hand, after that your eyes hes seen his delyverance (Laing II:8).

Like his sermon at St. Andrews in June 1559 (see Laing I:349) which only survives in narrative form, what impresses about this is its relevance and applicability to the
situation which it addresses head on. In a famous remark Knox's value to the reformers had been assessed: 'the voice of one man is able in one hour to put more life in us than five hundred trumpets continually blustering in our ears' (65).

Knox places great emphasis on his own personal vision. The item 'assured' in one form or another occurs four times and is accompanied by 'delyver' and 'delyverance'. He is not altogether convinced that he can communicate his faith to the Congregation 'be reason of this present rage', (that is, political turmoil, not personal anger) but he asks them to accept his judgement until relief, in the symbolic shape of the 'fourth watch' ultimately arrives. The allusion is presumably to Matthew 14:25, (and the same reference occurs at least twice in A Faithful Admonition, cf. Laing III:273 and 288, the first implicitly). Here, the notion of the 'fourth watch', which by the Roman reckoning, denotes the last quarter of the day (we might say the 'eleventh hour'), is especially important. Aside from its literal meaning it has a metaphorical function signifying the very depths of despair. Knox calls the overall technique here specialis applicatio (Laing I:469, marginal note) and his use of it reached a literary peak at Stirling in 1559, and it is to this superb text that we now turn.

David Murison calls it 'Knox's greatest sermon as padre in chief to the army' (66) but it is worth noting that Knox preached only because 'Johne Willock was departed to
England, as before he had appointed' (Laing I:469). When we add to this the fact that our old friend Quintin Kennedy called Willock the 'Chosen primat' of Protestantism in Scotland (67), Knox begins to occupy his proper place in our perception. (Even if we treat Kennedy's information with caution it is difficult to see why he would make such a point if it weren't a true reflection, since he would only be exalting one enemy at the expense of another. Arthur Scargill would not say that Norman Tebbit was the Leader of the Conservative party to slight Margaret Thatcher).

The sermon itself was initially based on Psalm 80, and as E.G. Rupp suggests (admittedly, with reference to Knox's Isaiah 26 text), Knox adheres to 'the expository method... of following through a passage, breaking the text in pieces, feeding the flock with the bread of life' (68). Knox concentrated at first on verses four to eight, then tells how he had been studying the Psalm during his recent stay in Edinburgh and in doing so 'he was assured of troubles suddanlie to come' (Laing I:466). After some references to such figures as Saul, Nebuchadnezzar and Balthasar, Knox quotes directly, and gives us access to prose like this:

Our faces are this day confounded, our enemies triumph, our hearts have quailed for fear, and yitt thei remaine oppressed with sorrow and shame. But what shall we think to be the verray cause that God hath thus dejected us? Yf I shall say, our synnes and formar unthankfulness to God, I speik the truthe. But yitt I spack more generalie then necessitie required; for when the synnes of men ar rebucked in general, seldome it is that man discendeth within himself, accusing and dampnyng in him self that which most displeaseth God. (Laing I:469).
The power and intensity of the language here matches that of the passage dealing with Mary's arrival in Scotland (see pp. 430-431, below). The mood is identical and so too is some of the language. But nowhere does Knox come closer to the above passage than in his Preface to Book IV which was written some seven years after the crisis described above. Indeed, it was on the heels of another crisis, after the murder of Rizzio, that it was written:

But frome whence (allace) cumeth this miserable dispersioun of Goddis people within this Realme, this day, Anno 1566, in Maij? And what is the cause that now the just is compelled to keap silence? good men ar banished, murtheraris, and such as ar knowin unworthie of the commoun societie, (yf just lawis war put in deu executioun) bear the haill regiment and swynge within this Realme? We answere, Becaus that suddandlie the most part of us declyned from the puritie of Goddis word . . . ' (Laing II:265).

Strictly speaking this is not part of a sermon, for when Knox wrote he had no audience and the popular support which he undoubtedly enjoyed when he preached in 1559 had been almost wholly dissipated. Even so, the general similarities in content and form are undeniable. In the first, and for the benefit of his Congregation, Knox attempts to make sense of an ostensibly inexplicable situation, with some considerable success, and he moves on to ponder the dubious efficacy of preaching based on general rather than particular examples. Obviously, in the second passage, Knox
has gone beyond the desire to encourage. He had burst into a desolate attic where his hopes for, and dreams of, a theocracy were crushed. Things are topsyturvy, as indeed they were in 1559, but then there was in Knox's mind a solution which people were willing to explore. By 'anno 1566, in Maij' he was not confident that they would do so again.

Two stylistic features emerge in these texts which Knox also used in his outstanding sermon of 1563 (as we shall see). In the Stirling text he uses both of them; rhetorical questions and a sense of shared experience. His frequent use of the genitive plural ('our') creates a feeling of solidarity, while the series of past-participles ('confounded', 'quaiked' and 'oppressed') outlines precisely what this experience has entailed. The first comes from an imprecatory register which is nevertheless a Scriptural one, the second is more visually suggestive (and may owe something to Daniel 10:7 'a greate quaking fell vpon them, soe that thay fled to hyde themselues') (Great Bible) and the third perhaps carries just a hint of injustice, or apparent injustice (which in Protestant thought is not the same thing at all). Knox's rhetorical question 'Yf I shall say . . . I speik the treuth' is designed to invoke humility, and the noun-couplet 'sorrow and schame' in the previous sentence adds not a little poignancy. Knox also dwells on the fact that the Protestants are wholly 'dejected'. One may argue that his reminders were more likely to depress the
Congregation than to encourage them, but this notion would be fundamentally alien to Knox's way of thinking, and probably to theirs as well. Self-criticism, (the more the better) was, in Knox's view, the only way to convince God of one's penitence. Thereafter, the Deity is more likely to respond favourably to requests and prayers, and will unfold His divine plan in a more readily comprehensible way. Once the brethren got that straight, they were by no means home and dry, but they were on the way to ultimate victory. Knox concluded by vehemently exhorting 'all man to amendment of lyffe, to prayaris, and to warkis of charitie'. He tells us that subsequently 'the myndis of men began wonderously to be erected' (Laing I:437). Knox had evidently passed the acid test which all orators must undertake if they are to succeed in moving men to action. Here, indeed, was an Anthony to 'ruffle up their spirits' (Julius Caesar Act.3, Sc.2, 1.229).

In the second passage, Knox laments 'this miserable dispersion' as he lamented the 'dejection' of the Protestants in 1559, but the language is less geared to persuasion. He does not attempt to identify with any particular group, but he does answer his own three-fold series of questions in a similar way to the previous passage. When we come to our final sermon, Knox's appearance before the Lords in 1563, we shall see how he harnessed some of his best and shrewdest rhetoric, but for
the moment we shall have to consider some rather different material.

When we turn to the sermon at St. Giles in 1560, Knox is neither in despair nor seeking to enliven the reformers. The Reformation had been established, and Knox turned to devout thanks-giving and prayer; thus we find a very different kind of exhortation. In what follows, however, I want to suggest that the form of Knox's service was one which he had established some time earlier. The document known as 'The practies of the Lorde's supper yewsed in Barvike-upon-Twyed by John Knoxe, precher to that congregation in the Church there' is useful in this attempt. We can juxtapose material like the following:

St. Giles Sermon (1560)
O Eternall and Everlasting God, Father of oure Lord Jesus Chryst, quha hes nocht onlie commandit us to pray, and promeisit to heir us, but alsua willis us to magnifie thy mercies, and to glorifie thy name quhen thou schawis thy self pitiefull and favoribill unto us, especialmente quhen thou delyveris us frome disperatt daingearis ... Out of thir miseries, 0 Lord, could nother our witt, policey, nor strength delyver us, yea did schaw unto us how vayne was the help of man, quhair thy blessing gevis not victorie. In thir our anguischeis, 0 Lord, we suttit unto thee, we cryit for thy help, and we reclamit thy name,

Berwick text (1550?)
Allmyghtie and ever lastynge Lord, unyversall yet most mercifull Father we have offended and daylye do offend the ees of thy majestie in all the actions of our lyffe. Just cause hast Thou, 0 Lord, to thrist us into hell for our manyfold offencis, the remembrance wherof is grevous to our conscience, so paynfull and dollorous that ease nor relaxation in our-selves can we fynd none. Whome to shall we call? Whom shall we seke? Who maye release our sorrow and restore gladnes but Thou alone, 0 mercyful Lorde? ... We are encoraged to aske mercie of Thee, for when we were Thine enimies, dead by synne, and colde do
as thy trubillit flock
 persecutit for thy treuth
 saik. Mercifullie hes
 thow hard us, O Lord,
 mercifullie, we say,
 becaus that neither in
 us, neither yitt in our
 confederatis was their
 any caus quhy thou
 souldest have gevin
 unto us sa joyfull and
 suddane a delyverance.
 for neither of us ceassit
to do wickitlie, evin in
 the myddis ofoure greitest
 trubillis (Laing II:84-85).

nothyng but blaspheme
 Thee in thy face, Thou
 wast to have mercie;
 Thou loved and colde
 not hait us; and so Thou
 loved us that Thou gave
 thy onlys-begotten Sonne
 Jesus Chryst for our
 redemption and by Him
 hast thou mayd unto us
 one promysse that when-
 so-ever two or three
gathered in his name asks
 anything of thee, the
 same they shall obtain by
 Him (69).

The Berwick text pre-dates the St. Giles Sermon by ten,
perhaps even eleven, years (70), and we can see in it how
Knox first constructed his prayers, and that he did not
alter the basic framework very much in the latter, although
he has obviously worked in some contemporary references. A
notable similarity is the reference to God's command for
prayer and guarantee of acceptance when man is at his least
acceptable ('quha hes nocht onlie commandit us to pray, and
promesit to heir us / We are encoraged to aske mercie of
Thee . . . when we were Thine enymies'). Then there is the
reference to 'thir our anguisheis' which takes the form of
urgent questions in the earlier text: 'Whome to shall we
calle? Whom shall we seke? Who may . . .? ' although Knox may
be referring to particular events in the 1560 version (which
he does not develop). In the St. Giles text there is some
emphasis placed on martyrdom ('persecutit for thy treuth'),
one in the Berwick thanks-giving. Of course, we can
account for this difference by remembering that Knox's congregation at Berwick had another world-view and had inherited different experiences from those of the Scottish reformers in 1560. There is equal stress on God's 'mercy' and mercifulnes in both passages, with a high concentration of reverential pronouns used vocatively and genitively (thirteen instances in each case). Only in the sermon of 1560 does Knox use an ordinary pronoun to refer directly to God ('quha'). It is noticeable that the St. Giles Sermon builds in intensity and is more immediately compelling than the Berwick text, since it uses a 'nocht onlie . . . but ... especiallie' form, while the sentences in the latter are generally shorter and more frequent (four in the St. Giles, six in the Berwick). To be sure, there are many further similarities and contrasts, but we have established that the groundwork of the 1560 passage already existed in Knox's mind long before. When he preached in 1563, his topic, the Queen's marriage, was much more inflammatory.

Knox was evidently worried that Mary's marriage to another Catholic Monarch would be enough to stimulate a counter-Reformation, but we should also note that he was modelling himself on John the Baptist who rebuked Herod over his proposed marriage to Hedrodias in the Gospels (compare for example Luke 3:19 'But Herode the Tetrarcha, whan he was rebuked of him because of Herodias his brother's wife . . . ' Coverdale). Most of the text of this sermon survives, although there has been some editing for final
inclusion in The Historie, how much we cannot really be
sure. But Knox of course quotes the best parts directly and
thus saves the reader some effort. There are some justly
famous and truly memorable moments, of which the most
impressive is this:

In your most extrem,e danegaris I have bein with you:
Sanct Jonnestoun, Cowper Mure, and the Craiggis of
Edinburgh, ar yitt recent in my heart; yea, that dark
and dolorous nyght whairin all ye, my Lordis, with
schame and fear left this toune, is yitt in my mynd;
and God forbid that ever I forgett it. What was (I
say) my exhortatioun unto you, and what is fallen in
vain of all that ever God promised unto you by my
mouth, ye your selfis yitt lyve to testifie. Thair is
nott one of you against whom was death and
destructioun threatened, perished in that danger: And
how many of your ennemyes hes God plagued befoir your
eyes. Shall this be the thankfulness that ye shall
render unto your God . . . (Laing II:384-385).

This is supremely accomplished rhetoric from a wily, shrewd
politician par excellence (even if The First Blast had been
politically disastrous). Knox reinforces former ties,
exploits loyalties, invokes commitment and hints at
punishment to come, all by turns. For a sermon it is
strikingly autobiographical, rather than theological, and
it is a far cry from the sometimes confusing doctrinal
excesses of the Matthew sermon or An Epistle to the
Congregation of St. Andrews.

When this text was delivered, Knox no doubt saw his
reforms slipping into oblivion and much hard, bitter effort
being wasted by an insidious process precipitated by the
presence of the Queen. But its most remarkable literary
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feature is one which we have met before (in Knox's letter from Dieppe to Scotland during one of the most frustrating episodes in his life: see ch. 2, p.123-125). It is the ability to load just one word, a demonstrative pronoun 'this', with the whole import of a passage. 'Shall this' says Knox, with what must have been more than a trace of incredulity, 'be the thankfulness ye shall render'. Remembering always that a sermon is designed for oral delivery, we can only speculate as to how much vocal emphasis Knox put on the item, but we can be fairly sure that it was considerable (and it may be significant that the famous tribute to Knox in the Calendar of State Papers (see ch.5, p.300) refers not to his words, but to his 'voice'). Indeed, if we read the lines aloud once or twice, the summarising pronoun can be used with such power that it becomes easy to imagine how deeply the Lords must have been stung by Knox. His *cri de coeur* involves the same kind of lumbering rhetorical question which we examined earlier but this time the answer remains implicit. In fact, if the Lords did not come away with the impression that they were betraying the trust placed in them by God, through Knox, it could only be because the Reformer's rhetoric had failed.

But all this was by way of preamble. By comparison Knox delivers his *coup de grâce* quite casually:

And now, my Lordis, to putt end to all, I hear of the Quenis marriage: Duckis, brethren to Empeouris, and Kingis: stryve all for the best game, but this my Lordis, will I say (note the day, and beare witnesse efter), whensoever the Nobilitie of Scotland
professing the Lord Jesus, consentis that ane infidell (and all Papistes are infidellis) shalbe head to your Soverane, ye do so far as in ye lyeth to banishe Christe Jesus from this Realme, ye bring Goddis vengeance upoun the countrey, a plague upoun your self, and perchaunse ye do no small confort to your Soverane (Laing II:385-386).

Knox seems to have foreseen that both 'Papists and Protestantis' would be offended, but that hardly needed supernatural, prophetic powers. As he says 'even his familiaris disdained him for that speaking' (Laing II:386), but Knox, perhaps more than any other, had no faith in a so-called simulaneum, or a society in which two religions co-existed. Moreover, there is much evidence to suggest that he knew exactly what he was doing. W. Stanford Reid is not wrong when he says that, long before, Knox had learned 'to count the cost before he made a move' (71). Having already been summoned to the Queen's presence thrice, the liklihood of fourth encounter must have been on his mind. Already he seems to be preparing. Knox the notary surfaces ('note the day, and beare witness efter'), and he makes sure of the time and his witnesses before he gives his final inflammatory judgement.

As in the Stirling sermon of 1559, Knox's logic comes across as rather alien to modern minds, but once the reader begins to comprehend how, and according to what principles, he thought, it is extremely simple. It goes something like
this. Join a 'papist' to a 'papist Queen', then an infidel will be the supreme ruler (even if Mary was already an infidel), ergo, Christ will be banished from Scotland. This could only lead to Divine punishment. Knox then played his final card; perhaps Mary herself would ultimately be the worse for it. Of course, that was the way things actually worked out in the event, but as Professor Dickinson observes 'Mary's own actions and character' rather than the efforts of Knox were 'to deprive the Roman Church of any victorious recovery' (72).

The analysis of these five sermons, then, hopefully brings us to an appreciation of the literary merits inherent in Knox's preaching and to an understanding of his success. Dr. R. Watson adds nothing to the debate and makes further study more difficult when he declaims that 'it is impossible to warm to Knox's harsh, authoritarian nature' (73), and he does do the Reformer something of an injustice, perhaps because his notions about Knox do not seem to have been adequately 'de-mythologised'. It is not enough to say that Scotland was fertile ground for Knox's preaching if we do not know what it entailed.

There are many other traces of the sermon in The Historie, especially in the Wishart narrative. In fact, Knox recorded several of Wishart's sermons and he invariably commented on them. Certainly they provide useful material for comparison with Knox's own preaching, and that they influenced him greatly, there is no doubt. And since
Wishart's only other literary production was the Zwinglian Confession of Faith now contained in The Miscellany of the Vodrow Society and printed posthumously in 1548, we should be grateful to Knox for preserving these literary reminders.

During what Jenny Wormald has called Wishart's 'peripatetic ministry to Ayrshire, Fife, Lothian and Perth in 1544' (74), he preached on Romans (Laing I:125), Psalm 107 (Laing I:130) and 'The Parable of the Sowar' from Matthew 13 (Laing I:134). (It will be recalled that 'The Parable of the Unforgiving Servant' was John Gau's most lengthy exercise in Scriptural quotation (see ch. 1, p.40-41) and similarly in John Johnson's An Comfortable Exhortation of oure Mooste Holy Christen Faith there we find 'The Rich man and Lazarus' (Luke 16) and 'the Parable of the Rich Fool' (Luke 12) (both lifted verbatim from Tyndale's 1526 New Testament). Knox too may have been attached to the figure, since his account of the murder of Beaton is based at least partly on the same parable: 'Eit and be glade my saule, for thou hast great riches laid up in store for many dayis' (cf. Luke 12:19, Laing I:178).

Now all this is not to say that the use of parables was a peculiary Protestant phenomenon; there are examples of 'The Good Samaritan' and the 'Rich Gluttone' in Archbishop John Hamilton's Catechism of 1551 (75), but with the Reformation's renewed emphasis on preaching, it is not all that surprising that homiletic genres made something of a come-back in vernacular religious prose. And there
were difficulties too. As early as 1528, William Tyndale warned against a 'good-works' orientated interpretation of The Parable of the Wicked Mammon in Luke 19 and re-asserted the Lutheran / Pauline Gospel of Justification by Faith alone.

Unlike Knox, however, Wishart preached from 'a bill conteanyng the purpose' (Laing I:137) but he often extemporised, as in the following sermon preached in Haddington when his support was waning. Knox tells how Wishart, as it were, 'walked that room and issued thence / in Galilean turbulence'. The latter remonstrated thus, using perclusio / cataplexis:

O Lord, how long shall it be, that thy holy woorde shalbe despysed, and men shall not regard thare awin salvatioun. I have heard of thee, Hadingtoun, that in thee wold have been at ane vane Clerk play two or three thousand people, and now to hear the messinger of the Eternal God, of all thy toune nor parische can not be nombred a hundreth personis. Sore and feirfull shall the plagues be that shall ensew this thy contempt. With fyre and swerd thow shalt be plagued; yea, thow Haddington, in speciall, strangearis shall possesse thee, and yow, the present inhabitantes shall eyther in bondage serve your ennemyes, or ellis ye shalbe chassed fra your awin habitationis; and that becaus ye have not knawin, nor will not knaw the tyme of Goddis mercifull visitatioun (Laing I:138).

Knox tells us that 'in such vehemency and threatnyng continewed that servand of God neyr ane hour and ane half' (Laing I:138). If Knox is not exaggerating, it is clear that he edited and shortened Wishart's speech for The Historie, but it still holds our attention by virtue of its
ominous strength. In her biography *Mary Queen of Scots* (a book 'full of intrigue ... full of romance'), Antonia Fraser calls Wishart 'a leading Protestant preacher of outstanding gentle character, in an age not over-endowed with the pure in heart' (76) and although there is some evidence to confirm this (as we shall see in a later section dealing with Knox's dramatic narratives), obviously her statement is stereotypical, ill-founded and naively superficial (like so many of her observations about Knox himself). W. Stanford Reid understates things when he says that 'Wishart could, from what we know of his sermons, be quite violent and fiery, and the fact that he went around with a body guard carrying a double-handed sword hardly bespeaks a man wholly devoted to peace' (77). Furthermore, since he was known to favour the Swiss theology of Huldreich Zwingli, he could hardly have been unaware of the Reformer's violent death on the battlefield of Kappel, where he fell with sword in hand, nearly fifteen years before Wishart's return to Scotland. Even Luther, who in any case is known to have personally disliked Zwingli, had condemned his actions.

These things aside, however, the above passage represents a special kind of preaching. It is, for the most part, prophecy. (And Knox tells us that Wishart's predictions were fulfilled in 1550, when Haddington was devastated by both French and English forces, and by 'a peast so contagious, that with great difficultie could thei
have their dead buryed' (Laing I:236)). Wishart's technique, however, is more important than the veracity of his prophecy. It should be obvious that Wishart makes use of recurrent Scriptural formulae and they create the whole flavour of the passage. The imagery is presumably from the books of Jeremiah and Isaiah. For instance Jeremiah 17:4 reads 'I will subdue you under the heavy bondage of your enemies', while Isaiah 1:7 and 66:15 respectively read: 'Your land lies waste, your cities are burnt up, your enemies devour your land and you must be fain to stand and look upon it: and it is desolate' and 'the Lord shall judge all flesh with the fire and with his sword, and there shall be a great number slain of the Lord' (Coverdale). It is perhaps significant that Wishart suggests two possibilities. After all, the more alternatives the prophet offers, the more chance he has of getting it right.

Of course, in addition to this, there is the speaker's own sensitivity, which manifests itself as righteous Christ-like indignation, and the Scriptural patterns revolve around a core made up of contradistinctions between a rich past and a depleted present, between Clerk Play and Gospel, and by extension, between vanity and truth. Interrogative phrases such as 'how long shall it be ...' invoke duration rather in the fashion of the Psalms, Isaiah or the Gospels. Christ, for instance, often queries 'how longe shall I be with you? how longe shall I suffre you' (Matthew 17:17 Tyndale 1526). Wishart's address to Haddington itself
neatly falls into that category of preaching which E.G. Rupp calls 'geographical expostulation - 'O Manchester, repent. Turn to God O Bolton!' (78), but Rupp says nothing about its probable sources. It recalls Christ's rebuke to the unbelieving towns of Capernaum, Chorazin and Bethsaida (see, for example, Matthew 11:23, Luke 10:15), and His lamentation over Jerusalem, specifically in Luke 19:44; 'because thou hast not known ye tyme, wherein thou hast been visited' (Coverdale).

Wishart uses the phrase 'O, Lord', with its interjection positioned before the substantive in the vocative relation to convey his sudden, devotional vehemence and he relies heavily on pronouns to spike his admonition. 'Thee', 'thou' ('thy') occur seven times in total, and when we compare this with similar passages in Knox we can conclude that Wishart was less prone to this kind of language. Wishart in fact drives his point home relentlessly. The prepositional phrase 'in speciall', which performs adverbially, intensifies the threat to Haddington, and Knox must have thought of the prophet never being accepted in his home country (see Matthew 13:57, Mark 6:4, John 4:44). Such intensification occurs in other ways too. Wishart twice uses the negative particle 'nor' ('toune nor parische' . . . 'have not knawin, nor will not knaw') but in these instances the intensification takes the shape of expansion not particularisation. Professor Janton is right when he says that, in Knox's writings, 'la prédiction.
precise, la véritable ominatio comme celle de Vishart sur Haddington ou Dundee, apparait rarement' (79), but like Wishart, Knox was soon to experience similar despair as his preaching apparently fell upon deaf ears. Even so, rejection is itself often a necessary component of the prophetic experience, as it is outlined in the Bible. It seems bizarre to twentieth century readers that such activity could lead to violent death, but indeed it did.

Just as Knox assumed the role of preacher after Wishart’s death (albeit unwillingly) he took up the fallen prophetic mantle like Elisha after the departure of Elijah (see 2 Kings 2:13, 14). Indeed, no sooner had he embarked on his Reforming career, when he was apparently practising prophecy and the sermon as interrelated strands of the one vocation. This first produced the typically thrasonical style which we so readily associate with him. 'The sentence of John Knox to the Castell' (of St. Andrews) is a good example. When the Castle was being bombarded by French galleys:

he ever said, 'That thare corrupt lyef could not eschape the punishment of God'; and that was his continuall advertisement, fra the tyme that he was called to preache. When thei triumphed of thare victorie, (the first twenty dayis thei had many prosperous chances), he lamented, and ever said, 'Thei saw not what he saw'. When thei bragged of the force and thickness of thare walles, he said, 'Thei should be butt egge schellis'. When thei vanted, 'England will reskew us' he said, 'Ye shall not see thame; but ye shalbe delivered in your ennemyis handis, and shalbe caryed to ane strange countrey' (Laing I:205).
In much the same vein, Knox tells of an incident in the galleys during his subsequent imprisonment.

And lying betwix Dundeye and Sanctandrois the second tyme that the galayis returned to Scotland, the said Johne being so extremlye seak, that few hoped his lyeff, the said Maister James willed him to look to the land, and asked yf he knew it? Who answered, 'Yes, I know it weall; for I see the stepill of that place, where God first in publict opened my mouth to his glorie, and I am fullie persuaded how weak that ever I now appear, that I shall nott departe this lyiff, till that my toung shall glorifie his godlie name in the same place' (Laing 1:228).

Professor Dickinson has pointed out that 'this prophecy may be ex post facto' and in any case 'was not committed to writing until about 1566' (80). Gordon Donaldson expands on these facts when he says 'we all know the kind of man who likes to say 'And I just said to him . . . or 'And I just told him' (81). Anyone with a critical mind, then, would obviously approach Knox's prophecy, like Wishart's, with a good deal of skepticism. But what makes up Knox's prophecy in terms of its literary structure, and how does it differ from Wishart's?

In both Knox passages the prophecy itself relies on an apparent disparity between expectation and reality. Wishart, as we have seen made much of distinctions too, but of a different sort; between obligation, (on the part of man to attend to his own salvation) and reality (that many would rather watch a vain play). Knox's approach allows him to undercut complacency and false beliefs in the first example
and to confound those who had written him off as a dead man in the second. In terms of literary merit, the first passage is by far the better. Knox attacks the pronouncements of the castilians like a boy with a pin puncturing balloons. Each one is inflated, and subsequently meets the same sorry fate as its predecessor. It is certainly significant that his fulminations and vaticinations are given in direct speech, while the remarks of the others survive for the most part only in reported speech (and think again of Ireland's clerk). This gives Knox's words an immediacy with which he does not grace the speech of others. But these are Knox's memoirs after all, not anyone else's. Moreover, the others 'brag' and 'vant'. His use of these verbs is explicitly pejorative, especially the latter, which is an aphetic form of 'avaunt'. Vainglory (one of the Scottish preacher's favourite topics) is clearly suggested, as in this contemporary usage: 'they laude their verses, they boast, they vaunt . . .' (82). Knox, then, sketches in the dispositional qualities of his companions without individualising detail but with the knowledge of an enduring substructure of consistently predictable behaviour. This is the Preacher's knowledge of his flock, which relies on him to keep them straight.

Another sort of characterisation comes into play with Knox's choice of imagery. Admittedly, egg-shells are a common sixteenth century symbol of fragility and
worthlessness ('I gat not so muche ... as ... an poore eggshell' (83), but the juxtaposition of this with brick walls, is powerfully and cynically reductive. He is not as vivid as Wishart, but the notion of a 'strange countrey' is common to both preachers. His sources are probably Acts 7:6 'But thus sayde God unto hym: thy sede salbe a stranger in a strange lande and they shal make bonde men of them', Judges 2:10 'he solde them into the hands of their enemies' and Luke 21:24 'They shall fall thorow the edge of the swerde and be led captyve amongst all nations' (Coverdale). Indeed, the prediction that one's enemies will triumph is common to the prophecy of both Knox and Wishart, and in each case, the prophet apparently suggests that this is because of unthankfulness which thoroughly deserves punishment. Banishment to foreign shores, invasion of foreign powers or enslavement seem to be topics which appeal to the preachers, perhaps because of the politico-religious climate which they found themselves in. The suppression of Protestantism was, after all, imminent. Not only are the images poetic, their vision is realistic.

The second Knox passage is rather different. What was initially and ostensibly a gesture of encouraging kindness to the Reformer, at the lowest point in his career, is turned into something else entirely; a proclamation of his own secret relationship with the Deity. In fact, what we have here is the second phase of Protestant prophecy. The first warns of punishments to come, the second suggests that
the troubles which are subsequently endured are in fact an assurance of ultimate triumph. Wishart was dead before a second phase arrived for him, but such a fate was not for Knox. 'Nay, returne to your barnes, and God blisse you' Wishart had told him, 'One is sufficient for ane sacrifice' (Laing I:139).

Also in the second passage, Knox places much emphasis on 'that place' where he first began preaching (St. Andrews) so evidently he entertained a special affection for the town as a potent symbol of the single, most important turning-point in his life. And Knox does not just hope to return to St. Andrews, he is 'fullie persuaded'. In its deep structure the verb implies dialogue. The transitive 'departs this lyfe' is a rhetorical (and typically Knoxian) synonym for 'die', and Knox concludes by outlining the character of a future sermon.

We have already explored Knox's overt preaching techniques and established that prophecy is an integral and stimulating part. And in spite of the fact that The Historie contains only a handful of such sermons (not all of which we have explored), the art of the sermon never really lies far from the surface of Knox's prose. What follows is an attempt to justify such an assertion.

The moralitas is among the most homiletic of literary devices, and Knox has given us one very famous example of it. After his account of Beaton's murder he says (and cf. 1 Corinthians 1:9, Philippians 3:19 and 1 Thessalonians 5:3):
But we wold, that the Reader should observe Goddis just judgements, and bow that he can deprehend the worldly wyse in thare awin wisdome, mak thare table to be a snare to trape thare awin feit, and thair awin presupposed strenth to be thare awin destructioun. These are the works of our God, whareby he wold admonish the tyrants of this earth, that in the end he will be revenged of thare crueltye, what strenth so ever thei mack in the contrare. But such is the blyndnes of man, (as David speakis,) 'That the posteritie does ever follow the footsteppes of thair wicked fatheris, and principallye in thair impietie' (Laing I:180).

Even if it strikes us as Deus ex machina, this would not sound out of place at the end of, say, one of Henryson's fables, the only difference being that Henryson's characters are (at least ostensibly) fictitious. Quotations from scriptural exemplars of wisdom such as Solomon and David often form part of the morality, and this is clearly the case here. Take the following lines from Henryson's moralitas to The Tail of the Upounlandis Mous and the Burgess Mous:

And Solomon sayis, gif that thow will reid, 'Under the hevin thair can not better be Than ay be blyith and leif in honestie' (84).

It is not really clear what scriptural passage Henryson is actually referring to (Ecclesiastes 3:12, 5:18, 8:15 or Proverbs 16:8, 27:1); he may even have had a poem by Lydgate in mind (85), but the Solomonic authority carries much weight. Even more relevant is a passage in Lindsay's Tragedie of the Cardinall which, judging by internal
evidence, Knox almost certainly knew. Lindsay has the Cardinal's ghost saying:

Now fynd I trew the saw quhilk David said:
Without God of ane hous be maister of wark
He wyrkis in vaine, thocht it be neuer so stark. (86).

Lindsay is thinking of Psalm 127, (126): 1 'Excepte the LORDE byylde the house, their labour is but lost that byylde it' (Coverdale) and he even adds a qualificatory adverbial 'thocht it be neuer so stark' to reinforce the argument. Surely these lines provided Knox with a model for his own narrative, although, as ever, he has incorporated it into a larger scheme which itself functions around a multiplicity of related models. And not only does he integrate Lindsay's idea, he actually expands on it. Knox makes use of two Davidic Psalms, quoting one with acknowledgement of his source, one without. This feature allows one reference to function within the sub-text, the other, on the surface, and in clear view of the reader. The first, Psalm 69:22: 'Lette their table bee made a snare to take themselves with alle, and lette the thynges that should have bene for their wealth be vnto them an occasion of fallynge' (Great Bible), deals with a theme which evidently struck Knox as a good parallel to his Beaton narrative, so he borrowed from it. The psalmist, however, is importuning God, Knox on the other hand, is being wise in retrospect. The second quotation is presumably from Psalm 109, but David in fact says no such
thing. He implores the Deity 'Lette his posteritie be destroid, & in ye generacion following let their name be put out. Let the iniquitie of his father be had in remembrance with the Lord' (Geneva Bible.). Knox, then, has turned the lines into a sententia (although the quotation marks are Laing's and do not occur in either the MS.G. or MS. 1566) (87). None of the contemporary scriptural translations (Coverdale, Matthew, Great, Genevan,) mention 'following in the footsteppes ... ' as a metaphor for guidance, so we can be safe in assuming that Knox is adapting things just a little. How did Knox himself view this kind of preaching?

During one of his celebrated interviews with Mary, he gave the following definition of his vocation (which actually enhances upon the Isaiah 26 sermon):

I am send to preach the Evangell of Jesus Christ, to such as please to hear it, and it hath two partes, Repentance and Fayth. And now, Madam, in preaching repentance, of necessitie it is that the synnes of men be so noted, that thai may know whairin thei offend ... (Laing II:388)

Unfortunately, Knox was prevented from giving his views on the preaching of faith (but see Laing II:103-104) by a 'What have ye to do with my marriage?' (and that's another story). Even so, from this we can conclude that the repentance part of the Knoxian gospel covers the above moralitas. Beaton of course was beyond redemption (as Knox thought anyhow), but he provides a kind of negative examplar to induce repentance in others.
Of course, Knox was not always able to maintain consistency of theme in his preaching of repentance. Indeed, at one time earlier in his career he was apparently forced by circumstance to preach exactly the opposite:

Why did God suffer the men that had professit his name, be ressaving the sign of circumsicioun, sa unmercifullie to be intreatit? I myght answer, God sufferis his own, in all ageis, be the ungodlie to be cruellie tormentit (Laing III:397)

On the one hand, the charge of using ready-made interpretations can be levelled, but must be balanced by the fact that Christ rebuked those who could not read the signs of the times (see Matthew 16:3, Luke 12:56).

Returning to the Beaton passage, however, Dickinson suggests that there is a 'vast stress' on the word 'but' in the moralitas (88). It comes hard on the heels of Knox's most infamous sentence 'these thingis we wreat mearelie' (Laing I:180), which ought to be interpreted in the Henrysonian sense as, 'we have chosen to treat a serious theme with levity for the purpose of entertainment as well as moral edification', not just as 'these things we write gleefully'. (Yet Knox was obviously not sorry that Beaton was murdered, and it would be foolish, even crass, to excuse him overmuch). On the other hand it is utterly essential that modern critics do not apply value judgements here; 'men are as the time is. To be tender-minded does not become a sword' (King Lear Act 5, Sc. 1, 1.32-33).
In fact there is less stress on the conjunctive than Dickinson would have us believe, and his approach perpetuates too rigid a distinction between narrative and moral. Modal verbs are a more reliable guide to interpretation. 'Wold' for instance, implies desire (to inculcate), 'should' duly implies duty (on the reader's part, to be inculcated). It is noticeable that the emphasis on responsibility moves rather neatly from preacher to congregation (or, more accurately, to reader), before the introduction of the final arbiter, who is of course God. 'Just judgements' leaves no doubt about moral rectitude, although it is a borrowing from the Genevan marginalia where it is appended to Psalm 109 (or from Foxe, who also uses it). Knox subsequently introduces the adverb 'how' to pick up the verb of perception 'observe' and the stress is on manner and one's response to it rather than on message. That comes presently. 'Whareby' carries the reader one step closer to the kernel of the morality, while phrases such as 'in the end' and 'what strenth so ever thei mak' reinforce the message by creating an aura of inevitability. One can hardly deny that this is a solid, confident and competent piece of preaching, even a tour de force from a man uniquely qualified to write on such a topic.

In The Historie, Knox the polemicist is just as fascinating as Knox the preacher. Admittedly, nearly all the early texts which we discussed in previous chapters were to a great extent polemical; some have even been oral or
written debates. Indeed, it is debate which particularly interests us here, and there are several instances of it which cannot be overlooked. The first takes place between Knox and one Friar Arbuckle in 1547 (Laing I: 195-200). This encounter is essential because it represents a record of Knox's earliest debate (waiving the twenty year gap between event and actual writing-up). Thus, it provides a fascinating counter-point to Knox's first sermon (89). Next come the series of interviews between Knox and Mary, and Knox's trial scene, since they represent the climax of the whole story and because, as Dr. Murison says, they belong to 'the immortal scenes in Scottish history' (90). Finally, there is the epic confrontation between Knox and Maitland of Lethington, itself another memorable scene and a keener intellectual battle than anything represented in Knox's arguments with the Queen.

So then to the Arbuckle incident. John Hamilton, Abbot of Paisley, had initiated an inquisition into the heresies being preached at St. Andrews, and it was a luckless pair of clergymen who had the misfortune to find themselves opposed to Knox in debate. One was 'Supprior Dean Johne Wynrame' and the other, a certain 'Gray-Freir Arbuckill' (identified by John Durkan as 'F. Alexander Arbuckle, O.F.M., scholar of Latin, Greek and Hebrew', and according to Knox himself 'a learned man' (91). Evidently, the Sub-prior came unprepared for the rigorous contest which Knox demanded and he soon resigned the floor to Arbuckle, who fared little better.
Knox's speeches in The Historie are much longer than those of his opponents; perhaps this is a distortion or perhaps it argues for the fact that he had done his homework. Whatever his faults, one must admit that Knox did not enter into anything less than wholeheartedly.

The controversy began with Winram defending the significance of the symbolic elements in Church Ceremonies. Knox denied their scriptural warrant and provoked this response: 'Will ye bind us so strait, that we may do nothing without the expresse word of God! What? and I ask a drynck? think ye that I synne? And yitt I have nott Goddis word for me' (Laing 1:196). This was his first, and last, mistake. Knox quickly accused him of improprietas 'I wold we should not jest in so grave a matter . . .' Thereafter, he had the upper hand, and the Sub-prior was in retreat'. 'Forgewe me' he says 'I spak it but in mowes and I was dry'. Arbuckle was marginally more potent:

Sayis not Sanct Paule, 'That another fundation then Jesus Christ may no man lay'. But upone this fundatioun some byuld, gold, silver, and pretious stones, some hay, stuble and wood. The gold, syler and precious stones, ar the ceremonyes of the Church, which do abyd the fyre, and consumes nott away. This place of Scripture is most plaine (sayis the foolish Feind) (Laing 1:197-198).

Hugh Watt finds 'signs that Knox was completely nonplussed for the moment' (92) but Knox probably considered this a better argument than the Sub-prior's for two reasons. It is
Scriptural and it places emphasis on unequivocal interpretation. Arbuckle's text was 1 Corinthians 3:11-15, which he rendered faithfully, but Knox wasted no time before addressing the very issue of right understanding. His rejoinder is unquestionably a masterpiece of lucid and intelligent deliberative rhetoric:

I prayse my God, throught Jesus Christ, for I find his promeis suyre, trew, and stable. Christ Jesus biddis us 'Nott fear, when we shalbe called befor men, to geve confessioun of his trewh; for he promisses, 'that it shalbe gevin unto us in that hour, what we shall speak. Now to your argument. The Ceremoneyes of the Kirk, (say ye) ar gold, silver and pretious stonis, because thei ar able to abyde the fyre, but I wold learne of you, what fyre is it which your ceremonies does abyd? And in the meantyme, till that ye be advised to answer, I will schaw my mynd, and make ane argument against youris, upoun the same text. And first, I say, that I have heard this text adduced for proof of purgatory, but for defence of ceremonies, I never heard . . . But omitting whether ye understand the mynd of the Apostill or not, I maik my argument, and say, That which may abyd the fyre, may abyd the word of God. But your Ceremonies may not abyd the word of God: Ergo, Thei may not abyd the fyre, and yf they may not abyd the fyre, then ar they not gold, silver nor precious stones. Now, yf ye find any ambiguite in this terme, Fyre, which I interpret to be the woord, fynd ye me ane other fyre, by the which thingis buyiled upon Christ Jesus should be tryed then God and his woord, which both in the Scriptures ar called fyre, and I shall correct my argument (Laing I:198).

This is a rebuttal designed to vanquish all opposition (which it seems to have done). Knox achieves it first of all by lauding Christ, presumably to convince the audience of his piety (which was real enough). Like his introduction to An Epistle to the Congregation of St. Andrews, composed
the following year, it is praise with a practical application. There is some thanksgiving too of course and Knox displays his knowledge of the Gospels by quoting Matthew 10:19 (or Luke 12:12): 'But when they deliver you up, take no thought how or what ye shall speak, for it shall be given you even in that same hour, what ye shall say' (Coverdale). Knox's use of this scriptural passage explicitly casts him in the mould of a Christian apologist before unfaithful magistrates (despite Sub-prior Vinram's protestation: 'I came not hear as a judge, but only familiarly to talk' (Laing I:195)). Knox, however, did not let him off so easily. (We saw in ch.3, p.174, an example of how Knox was a proto-Bunyanite; here is another. This scene reminds us, perhaps, of Bunyan before Judges Keelin and Cobb in A Relation of the Imprisonment of Mr John Bunyan (93)).

Knox, again, is using syllogisms (and we should remember that in this he is a transition figure; both Beza and Melanchthon followed suit). Here, we have a particularly competent and striking combination. Janton identifies 'That which may . . . Ergo . . . fyre' as a syllogism in baroco, that is to say, a syllogism in which the major premise is a universal affirmative, the minor, a particular negative and the conclusion, similarly, a particular negative. This, in itself is impressive, but on top of it, Knox immediately introduces another, a syllogism in fermo: 'I prove, that abydis not the tryall of Goddis word, which
Goddis word condenpes. But Goddis word condenpes your Ceremonies. Therefor thei do not abyd the tryall thairof' (Laing I:199). This time, the major premise is a universal negative, followed by a minor and a conclusion which are particular affirmative and negative respectively (94). Not to be outdone by our learned French predecessor, however, the present writer has at least something to offer. Knox also introduces two instances of reditus ad prae si gym; neat returns to the main theme after digressions (and they are introduced rather more subtly that my editing allows them to appear). The first comes with 'now to your argument', and the second with 'but omitting', and between these Knox sums up his opponent's arguments quite fairly. There is no simplification such as we find in his work on Balnaves's Treatise on Justification, but Balnaves's ideas were ideologically similar to Knox's own views and he didn't need to spell them out. Here, he does. As in his brief clash with Dean John Annand (see Laing I:189), he demands complete accuracy and precision, which he does not get from Arbuckle. For his own definition of 'fyre', around which his whole argument revolves, he could have turned to a wide range of scriptural sources. Jeremiah 20:9 will suffice (but cf. Jeremiah 23:39): 'ye word of the Lord was a verray burning fire in my heart' (Coverdale). This not only suited his argument, it constituted a memorable manipulation of scriptural semiotics.
Watt notices Knox's remark that he had heard the Pauline text adduced as proof of purgatory, 'probably in his training as a priest' (95), but Watt says nothing further. Archbishop Hamilton's Catechism, however, can shed some light on the matter, since it contains just such an argument from the same passage: 'And the work of ilk man, quhat it is ye fyre sail trie or prief . . . Quha sa will consider diligently this auctoritie of S.Paule, he sail esely find purgatory to be efter yis lyfe' (96). This is compelling evidence that Knox had his finger on the pulse of Catholicism. Furthermore, in his Memorial to the Privy Council (circa 1552) we find unmistakable echoes of the St. Andrews debate, remoulded and re-presented, but still strongly reminiscent (here, and, of course, elsewhere):

So shall your Honors' careful diligence provide that Christs Religion in this realm - all praise and honour be unto God, now tending to perfection and maturitie, - so surely be founded upon Chryste and upon his expresse word, that not only it may abyde the stormy warrs of mens judgement, but also the warfare, the trial of Gods sacrat word we mean, which when it cometh, as it must needs consume and burn away the stuble haye and wood, without respect of persons; so must it try and declare to be fine the gold, silver, and precious stones, how contemned [so ever] that other builder appeared that builded such fine stuff upon the sure foundement (97).

When Knox wrote these lines he must surely have remembered Arbuckle's argument, even if, as he said at St. Andrews, he had been a long time familiar with 1 Corinthians 3:11-15 and its uses. (When we come to analyse the Cardinal Beaton
narrative in Knox's Historie we shall see how an idea that was first formulated in his letter-writing found its way into narrative. Here we have, if not exactly the reverse of that situation, at least a different process of transmission. It is this. An idea which took shape during an academic debate early in Knox's career afterwards found its way into a letter, then into narrative, but it is in that very narrative that we learn of the original debate!)

In this passage there are of course new features. There is a rich metaphor which is not scriptural ('stormy warrs of mens judgement'). 'Warfare' is a common enough scriptural concept (cf. 1 Timothy 1:18 'war a good warfare' K.J.V.) but no passage seems to match Knox's context. However, he evidently returned to the aforementioned Pauline epistle and introduced verse (10): 'Acordynge to the grace of God which is geuen vnto me, as a wyse bylder haue I layed the foundaction, but another byyldethe thereon' (Coverdale). This gives him another chance to identify with Paul, but to suggest that he [Knox] is more wretched.

Returning to the original debate, then, we can see that Knox was not about to let the lessons he had learned go to waste, even if Arbuckle and Winram were no match for his dialectical expertise. Scriptural passages, for Knox, evidently accumulated significance and were to be developed and sharpened for future arguments. But this is not all we can learn from the memorable Arbuckle incident. Thanks to Knox's Historie we know how the controversy ended, although
the narrative is inevitably coloured by his bias. Arbuckle, he tells us, was forced into a *lapsus linguae* of monumental proportions when he concluded that the 'Apostles had not receaved the Holy Ghost, when thei did wryte thare Epistles, but *after*, thei receaved him, and *then* thei did ordeyn the Ceremonies' (few wold have thought that so learned a man wold have given so foolische ane answer; and yit it is as trew as he bayre a gray cowl' (Laing I:199). Apparently, Knox was completely taken aback and gave a 'starte', for he knew as well as the Sub-prior, that if such were the case 'then fayre weall the ground of our fayth' (Laing I:200) and he meant the Christian, not just the Protestant, faith. What's more, there is much colourful figurative language from Knox, although some of it at least belongs to the historical narrative rather than to the debate. In his own opinion the *'optima collatio'* (or best comparison) came when he said 'as the theaf abydis the tryall of the inqueist, and thereby is condempned to be hanged, evin so may your ceremonies abyd the tryall of Goddis word', but perhaps even this is excelled when Knox tells us that the Friar 'wanderis about in the myst' before 'he falles in a fowil myre' (Laing I:199). As we saw in his *Vindication of the Doctrine that the Sacrifice of the Mass is Idolatry*, the imagery is from the farmyard (see ch. 4, p. 227).

Knox's four interviews with Mary some fifteen years later (see Laing II:277-286, 331-335, 371-376, 387-389), and his trial before her in 1563 on charges of treason (Laing
II: 398-412) provide even more compelling reading and again most of the prose is of a dialectical and polemical nature. The issues discussed, moreover, are of greater political import, since, by the time Knox met the Queen he had developed fully his revolutionary belief in lawful resistance to 'ungodly' sovereigns. (And not only is this the topic of his first clash with Mary, it forms the core of his long argument with Lethington, who was, as David Nurison points out 'the chief theorist of absolute monarchy in Scotland' (98) and as Knox himself thought 'ane far better dialectician' (Laing II: 406) than the Queen.

W.J. Anderson has suggested that the interviews were conducted in French (99) but this is unlikely. Of these, the first and fourth are the most memorable, the latter particularly, because of the keenness of its conflict, which was becoming deeply personal, but the former more consistently produced high quality rhetoric throughout. When asked 'think ye . . . that subjectis having power may resist their princes?', Knox produced the following bold similitudo, perhaps suggested by 'Plato, the philosopher' who 'wrote his Bookis of the Commoun wealth, in the which he dampneth many thingis that then war manteaned in the world . . .' (Laing II: 279):

the father may be stricken with a phrensye, in the which he wolde slay his awin childrene. Now, Madame, yf the children aryese, joyne thame selfis together, apprehend the father, tack the sward or other weaponis frome him, and finallie bind his handis, and keape him in preasone, till that his phrenesy be over past; think ye, Madame, that the children do any
wrang? Or, think ye, Madame, that God will be offended with thame that have stayed thair father to committ wickedness? It is even so (said he,) Madam, with Princes that wold murther the children of God that are subject unto thame. Thair blynd zeall is nothing but a verray mad phrenesie; and thairfoir, to tack the swerdd from thame, to bynd thair hands, and to cast thame selfis in preasone, till that thei be brought to a more sober mynd, is no disobedience against princes, but just obedience, because that it aggreith with the will of God (Laing II:282).

As one commentator says 'there was no court flattery in such sentiments' (100) and indeed what this lacks in subtlety it makes up in sheer audacity. Knox probably prepared it according to the principle that the most effective similitudes ought to be simpler than the matter which they seek to illustrate. Another literary criterion is vividness, and if Knox does not really achieve this, there is an intensity of commitment which sculpts the imagery into heavy blocks and ultimately improves clarity. Moreover, there is an almost episodic nature to the remedial steps which Knox outlines ('joyne' 'apprehend', 'tack', 'binde', 'keape').

To make her point, Mary had contrasted the biblical decree that subjects ought to obey their Princes (Romans 13:1 'Let every soule submytte him selfe to the auctorite of the hyer powers ... The powers that be, are ordeyned of God' (Coverdale) with the actions of the reformers under Mary of Lorraine. Knox answers by coming up with a similar contrast, this time presumably between the Fifth Commandment ('Honour thy Father and Mother', see Exodus 20:12) and a
hypothetical situation where its rule is temporarily suspended. His language is overtly didactic. Infinitives occur in the latter part of the analogy ('to tack', 'to bind') and 'keape' has become 'to cast', which was probably even more inflammatory.

Significantly, there is an attempt to turn the analogy into prophecy, since we find in the margin 'when this was written, their was no appearance of Marie's empreasonment'. Apart from allowing scholars to date the transcription of the manuscript to 'between 16 June 1567 and 2 May 1568', (101) this is an example of yoking together heterogeneous things by violence. As we have seen, Knox's prophecy and his polemic do not have all that much in common, and there is nothing whatsoever about this passage to suggest that he originally thought of it as a prediction.

Evidently Mary was astonished by Knox's comparison, even if, as Dr. Duncan Shaw points out, 'she undoubtedly had already a picture in her mind of the person of Knox' (102). She 'stood as it war amased, more then the quarter of ane hour' (Laing II:282). To Mary 'if such actions shall have passage free, / Bondslaves and pagans shall our statesmen be' (Othello Act 1, Sc.2, 1.100-101). 'The Quenis conclusioun' however, exhibits a reductive simplicity which was often the main-stay of Knox's own controversial technique:
'Veall then I perceave that my subjectis shall obey you, and not me, and shall do what thei list and nott what I command: and so man I be subject to thane, and not thei to me (Laing II:383).

And she achieved this sort of effect quite consistently. For example, she assessed the fundamental issue of the whole Reformation thus:

Ye interprete the Scripturis . . . in ane manner, and thei [the Catholic Church] interprete in ane other; Whome shall I beleve? And who shalbe judge? (Laing II:284).

With reference to this passage, J.H. Millar rather inadvisedly awards Mary 'complete dialectical victory' (103), but there is surely more to such victory than simply an expression of the nature of the problem, astute as it is. Millar, moreover, ignores the fact that Knox answers the Queen's questions directly and unequivocally. Like Friar Arbuckle, Mary found him 'ower-sair' but she remains admirably defiant; 'yf thai war here that I have heard, thai wold answer you'. Knox had a reply for that too, but we have already explored this particular debate long enough.

If we pass over their second encounter, to the third and fourth, we can juxtapose prose of a very different character. At Loch Leven, Mary was deferential, later she was bitterly offended. In the first instance, deferential or not, Mary got from Knox the very opposite of what she desired. Was it lawful for the Protestants of the West
Country to take up for themselves the sword of justice which properly belonged to her? "The Sward of Justice' quod he 'Madame, is Goddis'. Furthermore:

The examples ar evident: for Samuell feared not to slay Agag, the fat and delicate King of Amalech, whom King Saule had saved. Heather spared Hellas Jesabellis fale prophettis and Baalis preastis, albeit that King Achab was present. Phyneas was no magistrat, and yit feared he not to stryck Cosby and Zimbrye in the verray act of fylthie fornication (Laing II:372).

Before his Queen one final time prior to his trial, Knox was asked 'What ar ye within this Commonwealth?:

'A subject born within the same' said He 'Madam, and albeit I neather be Erle, Lorde nor Barroun within it, yitt hes God maid me, (how abject that ever I be in your eyes) a profitable member within the same: Yea, Madame, to me it apperteanes no lesse to fairwarne of suche thingis as may hurte it, yf I foresee thame, then it does to any of the nobilitie . . . (Laing II:388).

This of course is Knox's finest moment; his 'Hie stehe ich / ich kan nicht anders' and it transforms what was, to all intents and purposes, a personal attack, into an overtly political statement which is, simultaneously, a personal defence.

The previous passage uses peristasis, a device with which the author enlarges upon an issue by providing copious parallels. Here Knox manages to pack four biblical references (1 Samuel 15:9, 33, 1 Kings 18:40, Numbers 25:14,15) into a comparatively short passage. Each deals
with overcoming fear or hesitation and relies on frequent use of proper nouns ('Samuell', 'Agag', 'Amalech', 'Helias', 'Jesabell', 'Baal', 'Achab', 'Phyneas', 'Cosby', 'Zimbrye'). Indeed, this is the technique used by Knox in the very sermon which so offended the Queen. One recalls references to place names such as 'Cupar Muir' and the 'Craiggis of Edinburgh'.

The second and more famous passage uses necessitas 'the representation of the necessity of a thing' (104), and titles ('Subject', 'Erle', 'Lord', 'Barroun', 'member') if not proper nouns, play the dominant role there. Moreover, Mary had attacked the propriety of Knox's sermon and he responds by using the verb 'appertean' which refers explicitly to fitness and rectitude (105). Again there is an attempt to turn the passage into prophecy ('let the papists judge this day 1567').

In Knox's trial scene, from the latter part of Book IV, there is no such use of marginal comment, but these scenes are doubly interesting because Knox is contending with two opponents, Mary and Lethington, at the one time. And one should not overlook the fact that he is on trial for his life.

Again Knox plays to two audiences, the jury and the readers of The Historie. When he addresses the latter, he often includes asides which are obviously designed to give the impression that he is before a kangaroo court. He makes Mary look vindictive, vengeful and unbecoming: 'Hir pomp
lackit one principall point, to wit, womanlie gravitie; for when sche saw John Knox standing at the uther end of the tabill bair-heided, sche first smyleit, and efter gaif ane gawf lauchter' (Laing II:404) (106). The smiling Lethington too seems more slippery than ever. He and the Queen are often pictured together, whispering secretly, 'what it wes, the tabill hard nocht' (Laing II:409)

For all that, Knox's polemic is even more inspired than ever (no mean achievement by anyone's standards, let alone Knox's). Compelling indeed is this foretaste of things to come, when he engages in pre-trial sparring with Lethington.

'Lat us heir' said thai, 'your defensses; for we wald be glaid that ye mycht be fand innocent'.
'May', said the uther, 'for I am informit, and that be diverse, and evin be you, my Lord Secretarie, that I am allreddie condampnit, and my cause prejudged: Thairfoir I mycht be reputed ane fooll, gif I wald mak you previe to my defensses (Laing II:402).

Knox tells us that 'at thoise wordis thai semeit baith offendit' (Laing II:402). Although he is courteous, it is obvious that the naivety with which he addressed Mary of Lorraine in his first letter to her, is gone completely (see ch. 2, p.114). This comes out again and again during the trial. Knox consistently refuses to take the bait which his antagonists offer him, presumably with his downfall and humiliation in their minds.

Knox had written a letter (see Laing II:395) which subsequently fell 'by the meanis of false brethren' into the
handis of the Quene' (Laing II:397) and this text was construed as treasonable. In the two extracts following, Knox's method in turning aside the charges is similar:

Quhilt that no man gaif answer, Lethingtoun addressit him to John Knox, and said 'Maister Knox, ar ye nocht sore from your hairt, and do ye nocht repent that sick ane letter hes past your pen, and from you is cumin to the knowledge of utheris'.

Johne Knox ansuerit, 'My Lord Secretour, befoir I repent I maun be taucht of my offence' (Laing II:405).

'Ye shall not eschaip so' said the Queue, 'Is it nocht treason, my Lordis, to accuse ane Prince of creweltie? I think thair be Actis of Parliament aganis sick whisperaris'. That wes grantit of monie.

'But whairintill' said Johne Knox 'can I be accusit?' (Laing II:407)

When all seem to agree that Knox is in an impossible situation, with 'eneuch ado' to be getting on with, his rejection of the accusations is not partial or even consensus-seeking, but utterly fundamental. This was undoubtedly a bold course of action, but the only way to secure complete victory. Significantly, the Secretary addresses Knox in religious language, while the Queen's charge is political. For these reasons Knox probably responded more readily to Lethington, and indeed, he picked up the verb 'repent' and carried the vocabulary one stage further in his subsequent response to the Queen: 'Is it lauchfull for me, Madam, to ansuer for myself? Or shall I be damned befoir I be hard' (Laing II:407). Mary on the other hand had belittled Knox, implicitly suggesting that he
was a seditious 'whisperar', certainly not a term designed to be flattering.

Knox went on to deny that the charge of 'creweltie' had been laid against the Queen, and he finally carried the day. After he had been dismissed 'for the nicht' (in the narrative), Knox provides some humour, as he did during and after his debate with Friar Arbuckle back in the early days at St. Leonards. He tells us that Lethington and Master Maxwell were 'the two stoupeis' of the Queen's chair, and no doubt recalling his own comments on William Arth and Sandie Furrour, (see ch. 5, pp. 347-365), he suggested that the corrupt jury which he had faced, were governed by 'this same God reuling thair tounge that sometymeis reulit the toung of Balaam, when gladlie he wald haif cursit Godis pepill' (Laing II:411). It is evidently with some satisfaction that Knox concludes 'that nycht wes nether danssing nor fyddilling in the Courte' (Laing II:412).

His debate with Lethington at the General Assembly in 1564 (see Laing II:425-469) was much less conclusive. David Murison calls it 'tedious' and says that 'like most arguments it got nowhere' (107). Dr. David Reid, on the other hand, emphasises that 'Knox's debate with Lethington, recorded of course as a triumph for himself in his Historie is a good example . . . [of] the controversies between Church and state' and Dr. Reid goes on to assess this kind of polemic in general:

-343-
at least it sets out to make a disinterested appeal to
the mind and is the product of great mental agility
and stamina. Of course asperity comes in and malice,
invective and imprecation. But on the whole what is
striking is the discipline and trust in rationality
the Scottish Presbyterians show in their disputing in
this earlier period (108).

Knox in fact plays down his own love for dialectics, in
favour of his desire to make the 'truth' known (although one
is compelled to ask, with Pilate, 'What is truth?', see
John 18:38). For instance, when Lethington tires of the
debate and George Hay is appointed to take his place, Knox
tells the latter 'I wald be sorie that I and ye suld be
reputed to ressoun as two scolleris of Pythagoras, to schaw
the quicknes of our ingyne, as it wer to ressoune on baith
the pairtis' (Laing II:434).

The reasoning itself is, however, far from tedious, and
Lethington is no Friar Arbuckle or John Annand. He reveals
his wide knowledge not only of Scripture, but a whole range
of recognised authorities, from Luther, Melanchthon and
Bucer, to Musculus and Calvin. It is his cynicism and his
refusal to be forced into a position which has not been
adequately proven, which make him such a good dialectician.
For instance, he says 'prove that and win the play' (Laing
II:438), 'the cases ar nothing lyke' (Laing II:439), 'I
doubt whidder thay did weill or nocht' (Laing II:449) and
'Veill, I think nocht the ground sa sure as I durst builde
my conscience thair upon' (Laing II:449). In this he reveals a world-view which is perhaps more akin to that of the twentieth century than the sixteenth.

Knox of course counters more than adequately. Here is one such example (cf. also 2 Kings 9:10, 36 and 1 Kings 22:38):

That Helias said 'Doggis sail lyck the blude of Achab' said Johne Knox, 'and eat the flesche of Jesabell', the Scripturis assuris me; but that it wes whisperit in thair awin eir or in ane cornar I reid nocht (Laing II:433).

This is an example of *irrisio*, or a bitter taunt full of irony, and Knox gets his own back on the Queen, who had called him a 'whisperar'.

We have now examined Knox's oratorical tools, as he developed them over a period of almost twenty years. They fall into three sections; the three 'P's of preaching, prophecy and polemics. In which area he is the most skilled is difficult to determine since each appeals in a different way. Knox's preaching, at least as it occurs in *The Historie*, is less spiritually-orientated than in his two published texts, but his goal is no longer the consolation of the individual or of a small flock. He aimed always to provoke, to stir men into thought or action. We must look at the prophecy with two things in mind. It tells us, first of all about his own psychology and self-view, but it also supplemented his preaching by lending it charismatic
qualities. Polemics appeal to the intellect, or to prejudices, even if Knox does use scripture as a guide to reason. Their finest qualities are lucidity and intellectual precision, although the debates are often marked by rancour and distrust.

**MERRY TALES AND HUMOROUS NARRATIVES.**

One of Knox's intentions in writing a history was, as he says, 'to enterlase merynes with earnest materis' (Laing I:145). Like Henryson, he knew that 'Clerkis sayis it is richt profitabill / amangis ernist to ming ane merie sport' (109) (and a specific similarity with Henryson is something which one can frequently notice in Knox, as we shall see). Of course the type of humour we find in Knox, especially in his 'merry' moods, has a long tradition behind it, in Scotland and beyond. Chaucer's *fabliaux* come to mind and the apocryphal *Merie Tales* which gathered around the name of Skelton. Anti-clericalism often goes hand-in-hand with such narratives, and Knox fits in well with the combination of two literary strains which were coming together prior to, and indeed during, the Reformation. Even so, the satire became less a laugh at oneself, less of a fond indulgence, when the possibility of real reform and a new Church began to present itself. In Scotland, David Lindsay epitomised the changing mood in poetry and drama, and in France, Francois Rabelais did so in prose. Knox however, was the first to do so in Scots prose.
Although the following narratives overlap with other genres such as preaching, they are of such a fine literary quality that they deserve consideration in themselves and in a special category. This is why I have chosen to isolate them from the more main-stream type of preaching in The Historie which we have just considered in the preceding section. The narratives themselves are masterpieces of their kind and each one constitutes a complete artistic unit in itself, as intrinsically and aesthetically satisfying as, say, MacDiarmid's The Watergaw, but perhaps more attractive because of their medieval origins. The first stories are contained in WILLIAM ARTH'S SERMONS (of which there are four, as retold by Knox) and in the SANDIE FURROUR, RICHTAR CARMICHAEL and ALEXANDER SETOUN narratives, which were shaped by Knox himself.

William Arth was a Friar who had evidently become dissatisfied with the moral conduct of the clergy and who took to preaching critical sermons in the early 1530s. At St. Andrews in the presence of John Major, George Lockhart and Patrick Hepburn (who was the butt of one of Arth's later stories), the Friar preached, and:

The theame of his sermone was 'Veritie is the strongest of all thingis'. His discourse of Cursing was 'That yf it war rychtliie used, it was the moist fearfull thing upon the face of the earth, for it was the verry separatoun of man from God; but that it should nott be used rashlie, and for everie light cause, but onlie against open and incorrigible synnaris. But now (said he) the avarice of preastis, and the ignoraunce of thair office has caused it altogetther to be vilipended; for the preast (said he) whose dewtie and office is to pray for the people,
standis up on Sounday, and cryes, 'Ane hes tynt a
spurtill. Thair is ane flaill stollin from thame
beyound the burne. The goodwyiff of the other syd of
the gait hes tynt a horne spune. Goddis maleson and
myne I geve to thame that knowis of this geyre, and
restoris in not' (Laing 1: 38).

Ostensibly Knox merely recounts the sermon of another
preacher here, but his were the acts of selection and
presentation. These are more important than they at first
seem, since Arth's narrative embodies a sermon (or mock
sermon) within a sermon. In its new context of Knox's
Historie, the telescoping continues. It subsequently
becomes a sermon within a sermon within yet another sermon,
and part of a longer narrative-series than Arth himself
employed. Running parallel to these generic extensions is a
striking use of dialogue, and dialogue within dialogue.
This narrative consists of roughly one hundred and fifty
words, and nearly a third of them fall into the latter
category. It may be no accident that the use of Scots
correspondingly becomes thicker and more richly textured as
the presentation of speech becomes more sophisticated. (The
use of Scots is a point to which I shall return, but for the
moment, structure, rather than content, is our topic).

It is impossible to tell whether Knox touched up the
narrative himself, since Arth's sermons do not survive
independently, but it is unlikely that he tampered with
things. He knew the value of a good narrative and he has
obviously chosen his material well. Knox of course is not
the most self-effacing of reporters, but here he seems to have satisfied himself with the slightest of narratorial interpositions. With his timely and well-placed '(said he)s' Knox pokes in his nose, and thus employs one of the expert story-teller's most functional tools. The tale itself moves from serious observation about a matter of ecclesiastical procedure through to blatant comedy, and this is undoubtedly deliberate (although Arth and not Knox is the real artist here). The phrase 'but now' marks the turning point, and the introduction of low burlesque, or travesty, is unmistakable. Arth's vocabulary is impressive, and like Knox's own, wide-ranging. He does not pull any punches by using 'incorrigible' or 'vilipended', 'avarice' and 'ignorance'. This brings us to his use of Scots, and to an important point about W. Dickinson's edition of Knox's Historie (a point which must necessarily be made to prevent further misunderstandings and because it is long overdue. My reasons for choosing the Arth narratives to do so will become apparent). In his foreword, Dickinson says:

Laing's transcript (corrected here and there from the manuscript) has formed the basis of the text, but the spelling has been modernized throughout . . . the modernization of the spelling should call for no apology . . . This should be said, however, - and the exception is an important one - those vernacular words which still remain vernacular whatever their spelling may be, have been everywhere retained (110).

If one looks at Dickinson's presentation of the above narrative, it will be obvious to literary critics, that he
has almost completely killed the spirit of the original (111). This, I am afraid, does call for an apology. Nor can his claim to have retained vernacular words be fully substantiated, here or in the later Arth narratives which we shall consider presently. For instance, the word 'gait' as used by Arth does not mean 'gate' as in 'an opening in a wall for the purpose of entrance and exit' as Dickinson thinks. Only if Knox had recorded 'yett' or 'yate' would this have been legitimate. 'Gait' is in fact an old Scots word meaning 'street', dating from 1220. D.O.S.T says that the item is 'to be found in the names of streets in various towns, as Horsmangate, Segate, Briggate' (112). Murray's New English Dictionary (O.E.D.) adds that this 'spelling first appears in the 15th century but was almost confined to Scots and northern writers until the beginning of the 17th Century' (113). Thus, in light of this information, the phrase 'on the other syd of the gait' conjures up rather a different mental picture, which Dickinson has distorted for most readers (even for Pierre Janton, presumably, which is a great pity).

Arth makes good use of prepositional phrases, the above being an example, which is complemented by 'beyound the burne'. This is a kind of metaphor like 'the other side of the gait', suggesting things beyond immediate reach or outwith one's immediate circle, although it does have its literal uses too. The Antiquities of the Shires of Aberdeen and Banff of 1493 have an entrance: 'the croftis on the yond
syd of the burn . . . to perteyn to the said wicar' (114).

In the final stages of the tale, the vocabulary nearly all comes from a domestic context ('spurtill', 'flaill', 'horne spune', 'geyre') and is used to make priestly concerns look extremely banal, and the Scots 'goodwyiff' is the most easily visualised of all stock characters. Arth returns to his theme of incongruity, however, when he has the priest combine 'Goddis maleson' with the summarising noun 'geyre'. (Dickinson's 'gear' is again rather misleading), and on the whole, we are left with the impression of vivacity couching a serious and important theme. The following narrative is more entertaining, and increases the comic intensity two-fold.

He [Arth] ferther tauld a meary tale, how, after a sermon that he had maid at Dunfermling, he came to a house whair gossipis was dryncking thair Soundayis penny, and he, being dry, asked drynk. 'Yis, Father,' (said ane of the gossopes) 'ye shall haif drynk, but ye man first resolve ane doubt which is rissen amongis us, to witt, what servand will serve a man beast on least expenssis'. 'The Good Angel', (said I) 'who is manis keaper, who maikis great service without expenssis'. 'Tush', (said the gossope) 'we meane no so heigh materis, we meane, What honest man will do greatest service for least expensses?' And as I was musing, (said the Frear,) what that should meane, he said 'I see, Father, that the greatest clerkis ar nott the wisest men. Know ye nott how the Bischoppis and their officialis servis us husbandmen? Will thei not give to us a lettir of Curssing for a plack, to last a year, to curse all that look over our dyke and that keapis our corne better nor the sleepping boy, that will have three schillings of fye, a sark, a payre of schone in the year. And thairfoir, yf thair cursing dow anything, we hold the Bishoppis beast chaip servandis, in that behalf, that ar within the realme' (Laing I:39).
With this tale it is more difficult to assess Knox's contributions, and we cannot differentiate between literary voices as sharply as we did in the previous example. Probably, the first four lines (my text) (from 'He ... drynck') belong to Knox, who is using Arth's information if not his exact words. The patterning of 'how, after a sermoun ... he came' is caught up again in 'he, being dry, asked drynck' and this has a peculiarly Knoxian ring about it. Furthermore, the parenthetical phrase ('said I') obviously belongs to Arth, although ('said the Frear') must be Knox's, while the double ('said (ane of (the gossopes)') could belong to either of the narrators. Of course, the 'gossops' are never named, and even if they were, the names would probably mean nothing to us, but in all probability, a real encounter lies behind the lines (and we only get at it second or third hand), so a claim of multiple-authorship would have to be sustained.

What is especially impressive is the subtly worked, tongue-in-cheek intellectual confrontations and the deft characterisation. Arth's initial response 'the Good Angel ... .' has a sort of ready-made seriousness about it which is not quite convincing, almost as if he were anticipating an ulterior motive behind the question. The 'gossop's' quick impatience is realised through his dismissal of such 'heigh (presumably theological) materis' before he finally ridicules the 'Bishopps'. When he says 'the greatest
clerks ar nott the wisest men' there may of course be an echo of Chaucer or Henryson or simply an independent use of a popular oral proverb (see Tilley C409, first recorded 1481, Caxton).

One critic who has written perceptively on this passage is Thomas Carlyle, though his historical observations are probably unreliable. He writes: 'Knox never heard this discourse himself, far away, He, from Arth and St. Andrews. But he has contrived to make out of it and the circumstances surrounding, a little picture of old Scotch [sic] life, bright and real looking, as if by Teniers or Ostade' (115). According to Laing's rather out-dated table of the chronological events in Knox's life, he was at this time 'in priest's orders with one of the religious establishments in the neighbourhood of Haddington' (116), but W. Stanford Reid is probably right when he suggests that 'from Knox's detailed account of Arth's speech it would seem that he too had been present at the time' (117). Whatever the case, the most remarkable (and indeed reliable) thing about Carlyle's observation is that it equates Knox's text with the visual arts and focuses on a deep 'Scottishness'. If there is any connection between Knox and Teniers / Ostade it is in their use of satire and coarse peasant types as subject matter, but the second point is more our concern here.

In the Scots of Knox's day, the word 'gossops', for instance, could not possibly have had the meaning which we attach to it today (so again Dickinson is in error (118)).
Even as late as the 1530s it was used to denote a 'god-parent' as in this instance from the *Book of the Thanes of Cawdor*: 'Quhen eyr the caus iniurius to eyther of us the saidis parteis [are to] fulfill the band of gossaprie ... as gossapis suld do' (1533). Not that this was Knox's meaning, however. A second meaning, derived from the first, had entered circulation by Arth's time, signifying 'a familiar acquaintance, friend, chum' as in 'She is to her Gossypes gone to make merry' (119). Its use is not at all pejorative. The use of 'gossip', then, goes along well with 'Soundayis penny'. Of course, D.O.S.T. has not yet covered this term, but it is presumably an allusion to the traditional drinking of one's 'penny (worth)'; as much in quantity as a penny affords (120). Again, as in the preceding Arth narrative, the richest Scots items come towards the end. 'Plack' for instance, denotes, as Dickinson says, 'a small copper coin, originally worth four Scots pennies, but the word was soon used, as here, to indicate a merely nominal sum' (121). The commonest use of the item, however, can probably be traced back to proverbs (Tilley P.379 first recorded 1520, Dunbar) and typical expressions ('not worth a plack', 'plack and boddle', 'never left ane plak') (122). Thus, it ties in both with 'the wisest clerkis . . .' and 'Soundayis penny . . .'). 'Sark' and 'schone' come from items of clothing, of course, and as we saw in Ireland's sermons, this kind of vocabulary did have positive homiletic uses.
On the whole, G.R. Owst would have classified this material 'anecdote based on personal reminiscence, whether at first or second hand', as opposed to 'another variety of the exemplum ... which is really a development of the animated figure, and might be termed hypothetical narration' (123). Indeed Knox seems to have been wholly receptive to creative homiletics. For example, he writes of the years 1538-1539:

Ane Black Freir called Frear Kyllour sett furth in forme of a play, quhilk he baith preached and practised opinlie in Striveling, the King himself being present, upoun a Good Fryday in the mornynge: In the which, all thingis war so levely expressed, that the verray sempill people understood and confessed, that as the Preastis and obstinat Pharisyes persuaded the people to refuise Christ Jesus, and caused Pilatte to condampne him, so did the Bischoppes, and men called religious, blynd the people, and perswaid Princes and Judges to persecute sick as professit Christ Jesus his blessed Evangel (Laing I:62).

Knox's responsiveness here quite distinctly sets him apart from the earlier Wycliffites, who condemned such performances, and he seems to be alluding to a type of late Miracle play, possibly evincing Lutheran sympathies. In the History of the Kirk of Scotland David Calderwood also refers to Kyllour, but he is evidently recalling Knox's words rather than displaying any independent knowledge. Laing says of Kyllour 'unfortunately his play, which probably was represented in 1535 or 1536 has not been preserved. Neither has any information respecting Friar Kyllour himself been discovered' (124). Certainly a printed version or a
manuscript would have been of great interest to Reformation scholars.

Just as Arth originally considered 'cursing' he turned to 'miracles' soon after:

As concernyng miracles, he declaired, what diligence the ancientis took to try trew miracles from false. 'But now' (said he) the greadynes of preastis not onlie receave false miracles, but also thei cherise and feis knaiffis for that purpoise, that their chapellis may be better renouned, and their offerand may be augmented. And thairupon, ar many chapellis founded as that our Lady war mychttiar, and that sche took more pleasour in ane plaice then in ane uther; as of laite dayis our Lady of Karegreng hes hopped fra ane grene hillock to ane uther. But honest men of Sanctandrose, (said he), yf ye luif your wyffis and your doughtaris, baid thame at hame, or ellis send thame in honest companye, for yf ye knew what miracles war kythed thaire, ye wold neyther thank God nor our Lady'. And thus he mearelle tanted thare trystis of hurdome and adulterye used at such devotioun (Laing I:39).

This particular tale is more carefully constructed than the previous two, and can be more readily divided into recognisable sections. Admittedly some of the patterning we detected in the first narrative re-emerges (such as the use of 'but now' to turn the tables) but even so the structure is distinctive. For example, for the first time Knox introduces and concludes, with an 'As concernyng' and an 'and thus' respectively. This hints at the fact that Knox is steadily asserting his own narratorial presence (a presence which will soon take control and pass judgement on Arth, as we shall see). Between introduction and conclusion, there seems to be a further two phases, first of
mounting affectation and high comedy, then of a more sombre warning.

In the first section, the language is initially pejorative. 'Feis' is surely used in its bad sense ('Heire the Inglis knycht feys a tratour' (125)), and 'knaiffis' is blatantly condemnatory. 'Offerand', which is a substantive, is tonally neutral, denoting Church emoluments, but when Arth follows things through we get an image of the Virgin Mary (presumably), hopping from 'ane grene hillock to ane other'. The verb is particularly useful in comedy, as Dunbar, for example, knew ('He hoppit lyk a pillie wantoun' (126)). Arth has no concomitant simile, but still, we laugh. In the next section, filial loyalty is invoked to frustrate the supposedly libidinous 'miracles' of the clergy, with 'kythed' suggesting a gradual realisation of what is going on (compare 'it kythit be his cognisance ane knight that he wes' (127)). In Knox's final summing up, he uses contractual terminology ('trystis') and implies corruption all round.

Arth's final tale, the PATRICK HEPBURN narrative is perhaps the finest of the four (and Knox knew about the climatic structure of the tales, there is no doubt). This is the only tale to have a particular individual as the butt of its humour, although as Arth narrated it, he left the name out (and Knox delightedly supplied the deficit):

There was (said he) a Prelatt, or a least a Prelattis peir, a trew servand of the King of Luiff, who, upoun a nycht aftar suppar, asked at his gentillmen, be the
fayth that thei aught to the King of Luiff, that thei should trewlie declare how many syndrie wemen everie ane of thame had haid, and how many of thame war menis wyffis. Ane answered, He had lyne with fyve, and two of thame war maryed. The other answered, I have haid sevin, and three of thame are maryed. It came at last to my Lord himself, who macking it veray nyce for a lytill space, gave in the end ane plane confessioun, and said 'I am the yongest man, and yitt have I haid the round desone; and sevin of thame ar menis wyffis'. Now, (said the Frear), this god and king of luif, to whome our Prelaittis maikis homage, is the maister devill of hell, frome whome such werkis and fruitis do procead'. This Prelatt was knowin by his proper tockenes to have bene Prioour Patrik Hepburne, now Bischop of Murray, who to this day hes continewed in the professioun, that he ones maid to his god and king of luif (Laing I:40-41).

Arth probably refrained from naming names in this instance because Hepburn himself was in the audience, but even so, the 'prelattis peir' could hardly have escaped the reference. More than this, however, the tale is impressive for its shrewd observation, which is matched by a skilful, teasing presentation. For instance, the phrase 'macking it veray nyce for a lytill space' is crucial to the humour and the progression of the narrative. Indeed Knox uses the phrase elsewhere: 'The Bischope, and his band of the exempted sort, maid it nyse to entrye befoir the Erle of Argyle who sat in judgement' (Laing II:379) probably to suggest vanity or even effeminacy: ('Mak nyce and gar the larbair lowne Beleue ye be a mayd' (128). Arth also uses some gentle irony. For instance the use of 'gentillman' must be implicitly ironic. Like Henryson, Arth knew that
'it is contrar the lawis of nature A gentill man to be degenerate' (129).

The whole tale in fact parodies the sacrament of confession ('ane confessioun' is of course not idly chosen) and Arth finishes off by defining the 'king of luiff'. The object of ecclesiastical devotion should be Jesus Christ, but Arth makes it clear that these clerics worship the 'maister devill of Hell' Indeed, with 'now', Arth introduces a little *moralitas*. Janton accurately observes that *'Les anecdotes dont Frère Arth ... témoignent de la vitalité de l'exemplum À la veille de la Réforme'* (130).

Knox himself brings things right up to date (presumably to around 1566) and tells us where Hepburn is and what he is (still) doing. And one cannot have expected Knox to have had any sympathy for Hepburn, who, as W. Stanford Reid observes, was 'well-known as an opponent of the Reformation and a persecutor of the brethren' (131). His assessment of Friar Arth itself is a little harder to swallow, considering that he evidently entertained the young Knox (who would have been barely twenty when he heard the Friar), as much as anyone else:

It was supposed, notwithstanding this kind of preaching, that this Frear remaned papist in his heart; for the rest of the Frearis, fearing to losse the benedictioun of the Bischoppes, to witt, their malt and their maill, and thair other appointed pensioun, cawsed the said Frear to flye to England, whair, for defence of the Paip and Papistrie, hie was cast in preasone at King Hary his commandiment. But so it pleasith God to open up the mouth of Balaames awin asse, to cry out against the vitious lyves of the clergie of that aige (Laing I:41).
Here, Knox has taken over completely, lest he should be eclipsed by the remarkable Friar, and he is using his favourite device; the appeal to scripture. ('And the Lorde opened the mouth of the asse, and she sayde unto Balaam: What haue I done unto thee, that thou hast smitten me now three times?' Numbers 22:28, Great Bible). He even includes a few anti-clerical touches himself, although their flavour is totally different from that of Arth's efforts. He suggests that the Friars' easy lives ('malt', 'maill' and 'pensioun') take precedence over their duty to preach, and this is a theme which he will return to.

The Arth tales apparently allowed Knox a chance to limber-up, and in their context of The Historie of the Reformation, they constitute a kind of literary praxis. After their inclusion Knox himself presents what must be his first, wholly original attempt at telling 'a merry bourd'; the SANDIE FURROUR narrative. He manages it superbly. Knox has saved the best until last, as he cheerfully admits: 'hear followis the moist meary of all' (Laing I:42). This is the longest of the tales, and as such we shall divide it into four sections of roughly equal length. (The tale itself is of such quality that any editing is undesirable).

Sandie Furrow, who had bene empreasoned sevin yearis in the Toure of Londone, Sir John Dignwaill, according to the cheritie of Churchemen, enterteneid his wyiffe, and waisted the poore manes substance. For the which cause, at his returnyng, he spaike more liberalie of preastis then thei could bear, and so was he declared
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to be accused of heresy, and called to his answer to Sanctandrose. He lapp up mearely upon the scaffold, and casting a gawmound, said 'Whair ar the rest of the playaris?'. Maister Andro Olephant, offended theairwyth, said, 'It shalbe no play to yow Sir, befoir that ye depart'. And so began to read his accusatioun. (Laing I:42).

Unlike Friar Arth's anecdotes, this is full-blooded narrative rather than sermon-narrative, although it certainly contains an obvious moral point about the corruption of 'preastis'. Knox, however, does continue the Friar's theme of sexual dalliance, and this time 'John Dignwaill' is the unfortunate (but not innocent) victim. The aggrieved Sandie Furrour, at any rate, is not under any illusions about him (132). Interwoven with the theme of illicit behaviour are metaphors apparently drawn from theatrical sources ('enterteneid his wyiff ...', 'whair ar the rest of the playaris?'. 'He lap up ...', 'casting a gawmound' and 'it shalbe no play to yow, Sir ...'). In fact, compared to Furrour's incorrigibly irreverent remarks, 'Andro Olephant's' attempt to restore order with a threat only makes him sound more ridiculous. When Furrour's hearing properly begins, the jokes come thick and fast:

The first article whareof was, That he dispysed the Messe. His answer was 'I hear mo Messis in awght dayis then the thre Bishopis thair sitting sayis in a year'. Accused secoundarly of contempnion of the sacramentis. 'The preastis' (said he)' war the moist commoun contempnaris, and especially of matrimonye; and that he witnessed by any of the preastis thare present, and named the menis wyffis with whome thei had meddled, and especiallie Sir Johne Dignwaill, who had sevin yearis togither abused his awin wyff, and
consumed his substance; and said 'Because I complayne
of such injuries, I am hear summoned, and accused, as
ane that is worthy to be brunt. 'For Goddis saik'
(said he) 'will ye taick wyeffis of your awin, that I
and utheris, whose wyiffis ye have abused, may be
revenged upoun yow!' (Laing I:43).

Had this part of the tale been narrated orally, the phrases
'that he had dispysed the Messis' and 'especiallie Sir John
Dignwaill' could have been delivered with a mock seriousness
(like Arth's 'the Good Angel . . .') and an exaggerated
emphasis, respectively. Furrow's reply to the first
charge, moreover, relies upon equivocation: 'I hear mo
Messis in awght dayis then thre Bishopis . . . sayis in a
year'. (Evidently he is not such a fool as the clergymen
think). Knox, it seems, is implying that the Bishops say no
Masses at all, and that they are deficient in administering
their own Catholic practices (and one feels that the irony
of that, if it were true, must have particularly amused
Knox). But the fact that Furrow equivocates (and
equivocates successfully) is the more important point,
because it prepares the reader for the evasive rhetoric of
Friar Alexander Seton, who is the major character in a
subsequent narrative.

When the second charge ('contemptioun of the
sacramentis') is levelled, the story moves into its first
major phase. The humour draws its dynamic from the fact
that the accusers are more crooked than the accused (a theme
with which Knox, like Henryson, could work wonders). And
one should remember that Knox is not wholly in sympathy with Mr. Furour either, since he too is evidently a Balaam's ass
(of a cruder kind than William Arth). Even so, Furour has a compelling sense of being mocked by outrageous fortune:
'Because I complain of such injuries, I am here summoned and accused . . . '. When he demands of the priests that they marry, so that he might cheerfully fornicate with their wives and thus revenge his own injuries, another shift in direction is evident. Furour evokes the following response:

Then Bishops Gawin Dunbar, named the Old Bishop of Abirdein, thinking to justify himself before the people, said, 'Carll, thou shalt not know my wyff'. The said Alexander answered, 'My Lord, ye ar too old, but with the grace of God, I shall drynck with your dochter or I departe'. And tharret was smylling of the best, and lowd laughter of some: for the Bishop had a dowghter maryed with Andro Balfour in that same toune. Then the Bishoppis bad 'Away with the Carll'. But he ansured 'Nay: I will not departe this houre; for I have more to speak against the vices of preastis, then I cane expresse this hail day (Laing I:44).

Knox explores the motivation behind Dunbar's pompous action. (Dunbar 'thought to justify himself before the people') while the subsequent phrase 'the said Alexander' perhaps implies that Knox knew, or at least, was familiar with Furour. There is surely a degree of intimacy. The Archbishop's reply 'Carll, thou shalt not know my wyff' demands a riposte, and Furour's response is hilarious. Knox himself evidently provides the information about
Dunbar's daughter, who was 'maryed with Andro Balfour in that same toune'. 'For the . . .', a key justificatory link, shows his concern to keep his readers fully apprised, since it seems that Furourd's comments hit the mark only by accident. That there was 'smylling of the best' and 'loud laughter of some' are attractive details, the first suggesting a sort of grudging but good natured response, the other rather less restrained and perhaps with a touch of mockery about it (aimed at the Archbishop). They are laughing with, not at, Furourd, and we can follow suit. Furthermore, having been dismissed by an understandably offended Dunbar, Furourd can now gainsay with an obvious relish (like the Henrysonian husbandman, he is 'na king'!). 'Nay, I will not departe this houre . . .'. After all, he has enough explosives to last the 'haill day', as he says himself. The tale's climax is as follows:

And so, after diversse purpoises, thei commanded him to burne his bill. And he demanding the caus thei said, 'Because ye have spoken these articles whairof ye ar accused'. His answer was 'The mekill devill bear thame away, that first and last said thame'. And so he tuck the bill, and chewing it, he after spatt it in Mr Andro Oliphantis face, saying 'Now burne it or drune it, whitther ye will; ye heir na mair of me. But I man have somewhat of euerie ane of yow to begyn my pack agane, which a preast, and my wyiff, a preistis hoore, hes spent'. And so, everie prelate and riche preast, glaid to be quyte of his evill, gave him somewhat; and so departed hie, for he understood nothing of religioun (Laing I:45).

In this part of the narrative, one senses that the priests are becoming desperate. Furourd is now 'demanding' of them.
Dialogue such as 'the mekill devill bear thame away', together with Dunbar's 'Carl . . .' rather recalls that of the Sowtar and his wife in David Lindsay’s Ane Satire of the Thrie Estaitis. The detail of Furroun chewing his bill and spitting it in Oliphant’s face is perhaps something too earthy, even if, in terms of vulgarity, it falls a long way short of Lindsay’s 'arse-kissing' divorcees. That the priests end up buying Furroun off, 'glaid to qwyte of his evill' is Knox’s final missile; that Furroun ‘understood nothing of religioun’ is almost superfluous.

The RICHARD CARMICHAEL narrative is much shorter and thus satisfies the reader without relying on a careful build up of humorous material. It seems no coincidence that it comes between the longest anecdotes (Sandie Furroun and the Seton sermons), though of course, Knox may be following the chronological order of events:

But so fearfull it was then to speak anything against preastis, that the least word spokin against thame, yea, albeit it was spokin in a manes sleip, was judged heresye; and that was practised upoun Richart Carmichaell, yet living in Fyfe, who being young, and ane singer in the Chapell Royal of Striveling, happened to say, 'The devill tak away the Preastis, for thei ar a gready pack'. Hie, thairfoir, accused be Sir George Clappertoun, Deane of the said Chapell, was compelled therefore to burne his bill (Laing I:44)

Carmichael has perhaps been reading Isaiah 56:11: 'they are shameless dogges, that be neuer satisfied' (Great Bible). This is a theme which emerges in Seton’s sermons, so Knox is obviously preparing the reader for more detailed material.
Here, however, he concentrates on what he sees as the inquisitorial excesses of the clergy. The first four lines (my text) sketch in a situation which the remainder of the passage substantiates. Thus we have an attempt at confirmatio. The style is of course competent, although like the author of *The Complaynt of Scotland* (see ch.1 p. 65), Knox is drifting towards participial excess in conjunction with his habitual use of absolutes ('yet leving in Fyfe', '... who being young, and 'He . . . accused by Sir George'). (It is occasionally suggested that Knox's prose is un-Latinate, but such statements should not be made without qualification. For instance, he does use ablatives quite frequently: 'Which understood, he ran to the posterne . . .' (Laing I: 175), 'Which perceived, the Cardinall or his chalmer child, (it is uncertane,) opened the doore . . .' (Laing I:177)). In the final sentence, the repetition of 'tharefoir' may be thought of as clumsy, unless perhaps there is some mimicry of Clapperton. Knox might have expected his original audience to share knowledge which modern readers cannot now have access to.

After the Carmichael episode comes Knox's treatment of Seton 'a Black Frear, of good learning and estimatioun'. As Dr. John Durkan says in "Scottish 'Evangelicals' in the Patronage of Thomas Cromwell", Seton preached at St. Andrews '. . . a memorable course of Lenten sermons, softening the stark Lutheran emphases with distinctions borrowed directly or indirectly from Melanchthon' (133), but, like Arth, he
was extremely critical of clerical abuses. For instance, he
condemned idle bishops as dumb dogs, and the report of one
such sermon came to the ears of a bishop who subsequently
summoned the Friar. Seton denied that he had said any such
thing, and, with the audacity of an experienced equivocator,
concluded:

"In verray deid, my Lord, I said that Paul sayis 'It
behoveth a Bishop to be ane teichear'. Isai sayeth
'That thei that feed not the flock ar dum doggis. And
Zacharie sayeth, 'Thei ar idol pastouris'. I of my
awin head affirmed nothing, but declaired what the
Spreitt of God had befoir pronounced; at whome my
Lord, yf ye be nott offended, justly ye cane nott be
offended at me. And so yit agane, my Lord, I say,
that thei are manfest leyaris that reported unto yow,
that I said, That ye and otheris that preach nott ar
no Bischoppis but belly Gods (Laing I:47).

Like Carmichael, Seton appeals to Isaiah 56:11, but also to
1 Timothy 13:2 'a Biszhoppe must be blamelesse ... apte to
teach' and Zechariah 11:17: 'O Idols shepherde, that
leavethe the flocke' before he concludes with a veiled
reference to Philippians 3:9: 'whose God is the bely'
(Coverdale). Seton's successful attempt to avoid being held
responsible for his attacks revolves around his abnegation
of self in favour of the 'Spreitt of God', but as well as
being a shrewd evasion it was also a legitimate use of the
main strand of all Reformation thought. We can also enjoy a
joke at the Bishop's expense but in the end it is Seton's
dexterous intellectual manipulation which impresses us.
We now turn to the richest vein of humour in The Historie; Knox's use of farce. Three narratives concern us, all of which have become justly famous. They are, of course, the tussle for precedence of entry into Glasgow Cathedral Church between the followers of Cardinal Beaton and Archbishop Dunbar in 1546, the incident in the French galleys when an anonymous Scotsman was confronted with an image of the Holy Virgin Mary, and finally, the St. Giles day ceremony with the Queen Regent in 1558. The first comes in the midst of George Wishart's dramatic narrative and changes the mood quite drastically, but again, Knox is not at liberty to re-arrange the order of events to please himself and the artistic sensibilities of his readers. (All historians, however partisan, have responsibilities which cannot be ignored). In the subsequent lines, Knox's glorious sense of humour manifests itself to the fullest extent:

The Cardinall was knowin proude; and Dumbare, Archbischope of Glasgow, was known a glorious foole; and yitt, becaus sometymes he was called the Kingis Maister, he was Chancelour of Scotland. The Cardinall comis evin this same year, in the end of harvest befoir, to Glasgow . . . But whill they remane togethier, the one in the toune, the other in the Castell, question ryses for bearing of thare croces. The Cardinall allledgeid, by reaason of his Cardinall-schip, and that he was Legatus Natus, and Primat within Scotland, in the Kingdome of Antichrist, that he should have pre-eminence, and that his croce should not onlye go befoir, but that also it should onlye be borne, wharesoever he was. Good Gukstoun Glaikstour, the foresaid Archibishop, lacked no reassonis, as he thought, for maintenane of his glorie: He was ane Archibishop in his awin diosey, and in his awin Cathedraill seat and Church, and therefor aught to give place to no man: The power of the Cardinall was but
begged from Rome, and appertained but to his awin persone, and nott to his bischoprik . . . (Laing I:146)

Writing in the traditions of 'folly literature', Knox observes that this indeed is 'a questicun worthy of such two prelattis'. Professor Dickinson enjoys 'the half-humorous, half-serious description' of 'the doubts and difficulties of the case' (134) and it is the extraordinary blend of opposites which flavours the prose. The way in which Knox moves from the above psuedo-intellectual high comedy to physical horse-play, belly laughs and blatant farce is irresistibly compelling:

Many of thane lacked beardis, and that was the more pitie, and tharefore could not bukill other by the byrse, as bold men wold haif doune. Butt fy on the jackmen that did nott thare dewitie; for had the one parte of thame reacontred the other, then all had gone rycht. But the sanctuarye, we suppose, saved the lyves of many. How mearelye that ever this be written, it was bitter bowrding to the Cardinal and his Courte. It was more then irregularitie; yea, it mycht weal have bene judged lease maiestie to the sone of perditioun, the Papes awin persone, and yitt the other in his foly, as proud as a packoke, wold lett the Cardinal know that he was a Bischop when the other was butt Betoun, befoir he gat Abirbrothok. This inemitie was judged mortall, and without all hope of reconsciatioun (Laing I:147)

The passive voice and mock remonstrance ('But fy on . . .'), together with the account of what the 'jackmen' could not do ('bukill by the byrse'), changes this from a violent encounter ('bitter bowrding') to a more jolly occasion which
can be thoroughly enjoyed by the reader. As we can see, the technique here is far more controlled than that of, say, the 'Sandie Furrour' narrative, and even more farcical. Knox uses a more sophisticated vocabulary alongside a rich, homely one. 'The Sone of perditioun' echoes John 17:12 (or 2 Thessalonians 12:3) while the medieval Latin of 'Legatus Natus' competes with the alliterative aptronym 'Good Gukstoun Glaikstour' (Mr. Trifling Folly), and 'lease majestie' with 'Abirbrothok' (Abroath). Alliterative name calling was indeed a frequent device in Reformation polemics. Sir Thomas More, for example, writing on the Catholic side in England, called Tyndale 'the Apostle of the Apostates'. Knox even introduces a neat pun with 'the other was but Betoun'.

The same sense of spectacle and absurd fun re-emerges towards the end of Book I, and again there is an introductory passage which sets things up for the ensuing silliness. The following passage overlaps with sections of an anonymous work entitled A Historie of the Estate of Scotland, from the year 1559 to the year 1566, and this allows us to present parallel columns. The work as we now have it is a late seventeenth century transcript of a much older manuscript, but Laing suggests that it is 'somewhat doubtful whether the first writer was a person living at the time, and describing events as an eye-witness' (135). Even so, the text corroborates Knox's Historie, as we can see:
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Historie of the Reformatioun
Yitt wold nott the preastis and Freiris cease to have that great solemnitie and manifest abhominatioun which thei customabe had upon Sanct Geillis day, to witt, thei wold have that idoll borne; and tharefor was all preparatioun necessar dewly maid. A marmoset idole was borrowed fra the Gray Freiris, (a silver pease of James Carmicheal was laid in pledge:) It was fast fixed with irne nailles upon a barrow, called thare fertour. Thare assembled Preastis, Frearis, Channonis, and rottin Papistes, with Tabornes and trumpettis, banerris and bage-pypes, and who was thare to led the ring, but the Quen Regent hir self, with all hir schaivelingis, for honour of that feast. West about goes it, and cumis doun the Hie strete, and doun to the Canno Croce. The Quein Regent dyne that day in Sandie Carpetyne's housse . . . (Laing I:259).

Historie of the Estate of Scotland.
Always the said first of September, called St. Giles day, loath to want any jott of the devill's service, [they] borrowed of the Gray Friers a litill Idoll of St.Giles and putt on a golden coate upon the same, and presented it in that guise according to the wicked custome, to be carried through the toune. It was soone taken up by the blind ignorant, and carried in procession through the most parte of the Toune, till at length it was pulled doun and broken by some brethren of the toune, who, moved with zeall, could not suffer such manifest idolatrie, (the Queene Regent being neere by,) notwithstanding no man receaved any harme; albeit the number of people wes exceeding great; bot the Papists, priests, ffriers, and Bishops, were altogether dashed and confused and thair array broken: Always the Queene discembled the matter for the tyme . . . (136).

Professor Jack Aitken had Knox very much in mind when he observed that a Middle Scots author who 'thematically fronts motion adverbials, uses successions of minimal simple sentences, prefers present participle subordinate clauses to finite verb clauses, employs the who was there but idiom
[and] uses historic present tense ... may be assumed to be aiming at the effect of a racy, personal narration of folk-tale or popular anecdote' (137). It is obvious from the above juxtaposition that the unknown author is less intent on creating, or less able to create, such an effect. However, he does provide us with material which Knox leaves out, that the 'idoll' has a 'golden coate' for instance. The parenthetical 'the Queene Regent being neere by' cannot match Knox's use of idiom, although both authors use lists to convey the busyness of the scene. From the quality of these stories it should be evident that we are entering a comical phase what perhaps has no equal anywhere in The Historie. As the narrative progresses our expectations are not disappointed. Knox unfolds the episode in a further two distinct phases. The first culminates in a marvellous mot d'esprit:

Some of those that war of the interprise drew nay to the idole, as willing to help to beare him, and getting the fertour upoun thare schulderis, begane to schudder, thinking that thairby the idole should have fallin. But that was provided and prevented by the irne nailles, as we have said; and so, begane ane to cry 'Doun with the idole; doun with it', and so without delay it was pulled doun. Some brag made the Preastis patrons at the first, but when thei saw the febilness of thare God, (for one took him by the heillis, and dadding his head to the calsay, left Dagon without head or handis, and said 'Fye upon thee, thow young Sanct Geill, thy father wold haiff taryed four such ...' (Laing I:260).
Finally we have description like the following: 'le pâle-mâle comique' (138) in which 'the words themselves become the panting, gasping tussle of men in hand-to-hand affray' (139):

this considered, (we say), the Preistis and Frearis fled faster than thei did at Pynckey Clewcht. Thare mycht have bein sein so suddane a fray as seildome hes bein sein amonges the sorte of men within this realme, for doun goes the crosses, of goes the surpleise, round cappes corner with the crounes. The Gray Freiris gapped, the Black Frearis blew, the Preastis panted, and fled, and happy was he that first gate the house, for such ane sudden fray came never amonges the generaticuhn of Antichrist within this Realme befoir (Laing I:260).

Because of their obvious similarities, the previous three Knox passages deserve consideration together, although each offers something unique. The first illustrates just how keenly Knox felt for an occasion. In modern times he might have been especially skilled in the description of a Cup Final Crowd or a Coronation. One can almost hear the tuning of musical instruments and see the surging marchers with the Regent out in front. The second draws upon the narrative contained in 1 Samuel 5:4 as a backdrop to the current story: 'beholde, Dagon laye vpon the grounde befofe the arke of the Lorde, and hys head, and hys two handes cut of vpon the threshold ... .' (Great Bible), but more than this it contains a conclusion which, in terms of wry humour, deserves to rank alongside Henryson's delightful 'methink no man may speik ane word in play / But nowondayis in earnist it is tane', and alongside the immortal scenes in Scottish
literature. It has always been a Scots propensity to measure son off against father, always to the detriment of the former. The ignominious flight of the Friars in the final extract is realised with explosive energy, and Knox turns from Old Testament to Scottish history in his allusion to 'Pynckey Cleucht'. David Murison places this kind of prose in 'the good old Christ's Kirk and Pehlis to the Play tradition in Scottish literature' (140), and it is our third selection which comes closest to the genre. To get some idea of what Dr. Murison means, and to test his theory, we can juxtapose Knox's lines with a passage like this from Christis Kirk on the Green:

With forkis and flalis thay leit grit flappis,
and flang togethger with friggis;
with bougaris of barnis yai brist blew cappis,
Qhill thay of bernis maid briggis
The rerde rais rudlie with the rappis,
Quhen rungis was layd on riggis;
The wyffis come furth with cryis and clappis
lo quhair my lyking liggis Quod scho
at chrystis kirk (141).

Surely there is much, but not absolute, continuity between Knox's writing and the earlier alliterative verse, although in this instance at least, the anonymous poet makes use of matrimonial loyalties to remind us of the fact that there are victims of, and spectators to, the chaos. But the whole tradition has a crazy beauty, full of imaginative qualities, pyrotechnic displays, and is fired by the sheer silliness of its subject matter. Knox, in fact, is probably the finest
exponent of the genre in prose, although in verse (such as Robert Fergusson's) the line continues with as much quality. But Knox also introduces what Ralph Walker calls 'a prevalent asperity of his own' (142). In a phrase such as 'the generatioun of Antichrist' this is clearly evident, while his overall sarcasm does not really have any earlier counterpart in the tradition.

Now that we have seen what each passage contributes in terms of individuality, it seems apt to turn to their stylistic features. In the first, Knox uses the rhetorician's dissimulatio, saying 'one thing' and meaning 'in heart another' (143), when he observes that the 'papists' great solemnity is a manifest abomination. He consistently employs Scots diction; 'marmouset', 'fertour' and 'shaivelingis' being the most elaborate items, and he augments their use by suggesting a strong sense of familiarity. 'James Carmichael' and 'Sandie Carpetyne' were evidently people he knew, or knew of. In the second he relies heavily on alliteration and combines it with verb and noun couplets or triplets ('provided and prevented' . . . 'head or handis', 'Fye . . . father . . . four'). 'Dadding' is onomatopoeic and helps add to the splendidly vivid picture of the scene. Knox varies his technique of anaphora ('as we have said') and introduces a journalistic economy ('and so without delay it was pulled doun'). In the final passage, Knox begins with hypothetical comment ('thare mycht . . . ') but quickly smooths it into the actual narrative.
With just a change of preposition ('down goes' becomes 'of goes') Knox manages to convey each inevitable stage of the brawl. And not only do the priests and Friars battle it out, the items of their clothing seem to do so too ('round cappes cornar with the crounes'). Knox's final touch comes with his use of *similiter desinens* as defined by Quintilian and Johannes Susenbrotus: 'When clauses conclude alike, the same syllables being placed at the end of each' (144). ('The Gray Freiris gappad, the Black Freiris blew, the Preistis panted'). Each clause has no object and ends with a similar past-tense verb which alliterates with the preceding adjective or noun (as in the third phrase).

This brings us to our final jocular narrative, one which is certainly less lively than the above, but again it has something significant to offer. It took place in the galleys in 1548 and is contained in Book I:

Sone after the arrival at Nances, thare great Salve was song, and a glorious painted Lady was brought in to be kissed, and amongis otheris, was presented to one of the Scotish men then cheyned. He gentillye said, 'Trubill me nott; such an idole is accurssed; and therefoir I will not tuich it'. The Patron and the Arguesyn with two officeris, having the cheaff charge of all such materis, said 'Thou salt handill it;' and so thei violentlie thrust it to his face, and putt it betwix his handis, who seing the extremitie, tooke the idole, and advisitlie looking about, he caist it in the rivare, and said, 'Lett our Lady now saif hir self: sche is lycht aneuch, lett hir learne to swyme'. After that was no Scotische man urged with that idolatrie . . . These ar thingis that appear to be of no great importance; and yit yf we do rychtlie considdir, thei expresse the same obedience that God requyred of his people Israel, when that thei should be caryed to Babylon, for he gave charge unto thame . . . that thei should say 'The Goddis that have nott
maid the heavin and the earth shall perish frome the heavin and out of the earth' (Laing I:227-228).

There are two distinct parts to this passage, and one might say that the old separation between tale and *moralitas* applies (and this is something which is also apparent in the Cardinal Beaton narrative, see ch. 5, p.322). If we look at each constituent part of the passage, it is obvious that the story itself is more aesthetically pleasing. It is apparently modelled on Judges 6:31: 'But Joas sayde vnto all them that stode by him: Wyl ye stryve for Baal? He yt stryveth for him shal dye this mornynge. Yf he be God, let him avenge himself, because his altare is broken downe' (Coverdale) (145).

Laing observes that this 'merry fact evidently happened to Knox himself' (146) but there is really no evidence for this at all. Indeed, on the contrary, we know that Knox was seldom slow to claim credit for himself, by name. His failure to do so in this instance may be significant. W.S. Reid observes that 'this has all the earmarks of a typical Knoxian action' (147) but one should not go any further. These things aside, however, the phrase 'advisitlie looking about' is a superb *mot juste* and to find anything comparable, one has to return to the 'making it verray nyce . . .' of Patrick Hepburn's narrative. Then there is the understatement of 'After that was no Scotischeman urged with that idolatorie'.
The homiletic part evidently follows a pattern. Knox becomes self-conscious and reminds us that although the preceding narrative may be very funny, it expresses something profound about God and His relationship with the Chosen People. (Knox seems consistently to have envisaged a reader who was rather more stern than he was himself, and was forever making excuses for such humorous diversions). The Biblical allusion this time is to Jeremiah 10:11 'As for their Goddes, it may well be sayde of them: they are goddes, that made nether heauen nor earth, therefore shal they perish from the earth, and from all thingis vnder heauen' (Coverdale). Again it seems that Knox is citing from memory, since the quotation does not match precisely any of the Scriptural translations which were circulating at the time. Generally speaking, however, it is accurate enough to enhance his point.

**DRAMATIC NARRATIVE.**

Having considered Knox's undoubted ability as a teller of the 'merry tale' we must examine another facet of his work which draws at least partially on similar talents. Certainly, the narratives which this section considers are often, though not always, humorous, but their chief strength is their dramatic power. Indeed, it is perhaps in his dramatic narration that Knox's true literary greatness rests.

I propose to begin with Knox's treatment of Scotland's proto-martyr of the Reformation, Patrick Hamilton. The
inception point of this narrative lies in Knox's description of the high-ranking clergyman James Beaton (uncle of the better known David) 'who was mair cairfull of the warld then he was to preach Christ . . . for it was weill knowin that at onis he was Archbishop of Sanctandrose, Abbot of Dumfermling, Abirbroth, Kylwynnyng, and Chancellare of Scotland' (Laing I:13). Such a disparity between the ideal and the actual is the very stuff of Knox's Juvenalian satire (as it was in Wishart's prophecy). Hamilton on the other hand was only 'providit to reasonable honouris and leving, (he was intitulat Abbot of Ferne' and Knox's special stresses cannot be overlooked. Again unlike Beaton, Hamilton 'hated the world and the vanitie thairof . . .' (Laing I:14-15).

When Knox actually gets down to business, to a description of Hamilton's death scene, he sets the mould for a memorable fusion of tenderness and fierce declamation, and this allows him to prepare for his later treatment of Wishart's martyrdom. He tells us that 'at the plaece of execution', Hamilton 'gave to his servand . . .':

who had bene chalmer-child to him of a long tyme, his gowne, his coilt, bonet and sych lych garmets, saying 'These will nott proffet in the fyre, thei will proffet thee: After this of me thou cane receave no commoditie, except the example of my death, which, I pray thee, bear in mynd: for albeit it be bitter to the flesche, and feirfull befoir men, yet it is the entress unto eternall lyif, quhilk non shall possesse that denyis Christ Jesus befoir this wicked generation (Laing I:17).
Jostling for attention with this, is Knox's description of what happened during Hamilton's torment:

he was greved by certayne wicked men, amongis whome Campbell the Black Freir (of whome we spak befoir) was principall, who continuallie cryed 'Convert, heretick: call upoun our Lady; say Salve Regina' etc. To whome he answered, 'Departe, and truble me not, ye messingeris of Sathan. Bott whill that the fairsaid Freir still roared one thing in great vehemencye, he said unto him, 'Wicked man, thou knowis the contrair, and the contrair to me thou hast confessed. I appeall the befoir the tribunall seat of Jesus Christ!' (Laing I:17).

In the first, Knox shows his ability to captivate the reader's attention with circumstantial details designed simultaneously to exalt Hamilton and to evoke sympathy. This technique, as we shall see is central to his treatment of Wishart. The second is characterised by the pious bantering between Hamilton and Campbell, with each invoking, in contrasting religious language, absolute authority. For Campbell this is the Virgin Mary, for Hamilton it is 'the tribunall seat of Jesus Christ'. Knox gives Hamilton the final word, as we would expect, but the martyr is hardly in a position to relish a dialectical victory even if Knox recalls the precise facts. Knox himself was not present at the St. Andrews martyrdom (see Laing I:500, Appendix III).

What he is about here, is trying to create a Scots Book of Martyrs, which has its English equivalent in Foxe, its French in Crespin's Actes des Martyrs deduits en sept livres.
depuis le temps de Wyclif & Huss, jusques à présent (Geneva 1566), and its Catholic European counterpart in the Legenda Aurea of Jacobus de Voragine. The latter had in fact worked its way into Scottish literature via the popular saints tales which had penetrated the vernacular, and when the Reformation began, there was a need for similar documentation of heroic deeds. The reformers however could not take refuge in what they saw as religiosity and superstition, and the subsequent impression both of realism and veracity permeates their work in this area. What impresses us about Knox's treatment of Hamilton (which is his first foray into martyrology) is the way in which it makes the issues clear from the start by working them out through dramatic dialogue.

When Knox turned to his next major martyr, George Wishart, he was able to bring us into contact with more detailed narratives and more historically reliable material, since he was an acquaintance of the Reformer and an eyewitness to his ministry if not his death. In this instance, it is wholly unlikely that he adapted the events in order to make himself look more important than he actually was. Knox's material on Wishart concerns itself not just with preaching. There is some serious dramatic narrative which demands attention because of its superb quality. For instance, there are two episodes which deal with attempts on Wishart's life. First, one 'disperat preast ... Sir Johne Wightone' tried to assassinate him...
but was thwarted, then a more subtle plan was apparently hatched with which to lure him to Edinburgh. This, as Knox tells us, also fell through. Here is the earlier narrative:

And upoun a day, the sermon ended, and the people departing, no man suspecting danger, and therefore nott heading the said Maister George, the Preast that was corrupted stood wating at the foot of the steppis, his goune lowse, and his whinger drawin into his hand under his gown, the said Maister George, as that he was moste scharpe of eie and judgement, marked him, and as he came neyr, he said 'My freind, what wald ye do?' And tharewith he clapped his hand upoun the Preastis hand, wharein the whingar was, which he tooke from him. The Preast abassed, fell down at his feitt, and openly confessed the veritie as it was. The noyse rising, and cuming to the earis of the seik, thei cried, 'Deliver the tratour to us, or ellis we will tack him by forse,' and so thei birst in at the yett. But Maister George took him in his armes, and said, 'Whosoever troubles him shall trouble me; for he has hurte me in nothing, but he hes done great conforte boyth to yow and me, to witt, he hes lattin us understand what we may feare in tymes to come. We will watch better.' And so he appeased baith the one parte and the other, and saved the lyif of him that soght his (Laing 1:130-131).

The second of two related incidents is much more skilfully narrated, and one says this bearing in mind the very high standard of the first. Knox tells us that Wishart:

. . . passed to Montrose, to salute the Kirk thare; whare he remaned occupyed sometymes in preaching, but most parte in secreat meditatioun, in the which he was so earnest that nycht and day he wold continew in it. Whill he was so occupyed with his God, the Cardinall drew a secreat drawght for his slawchter. He caused to writt unto him a letter, as it had bein frome his most familiare freind, the Lorde of Kynneyre, 'Desyring him with all possible diligence to come unto him, for he was strickin with a suddane seakness'. In this meantyme, had the tratour provided thre score men, with Jackis and spearis, to lye in wate within a myll and a half of the toune of Montrose, for his dispatche. The letter cuming to his hand, he maid
haste at the first, (for the boy had brought a horse,) and so with some honest men, he passes furth of the toune. But suddandlye he stayed, and musing a space, returned back, whereat their wondering, he said, 'I will not go: I am forbidden of God: I am assured thare is treason. Lett some of yow, (sayis he,) go to yonder place, and tell me what ye fynd'. Diligence made, thei fand the treassone as it was . . . (Laing 1:132).

In both passages Wishart is portrayed as a potential Healer, or at least one who attends the sick. Laing suggests that Wishart visited Dundee in August 1545, and at this time there is an entry in The Diurnal of Remarkable Occurents: 'the pest was wonder greit in all burrowis townis of this realme, quhair mony pepill deit with great skant and want of victuallis' (148). In the second there are faint echoes of the Lazarus story in John's Gospel. 'Mary . . . sent unto him sayinge: Lorde, beholde / he whom thou lovest is sicke' (John 11:3, Tyndale 1526). Of course, the only treason in the Gospels is that of Judas Iscariot. In Knox's Wishart narrative, that particular role is reserved not for renegade priests or even Cardinal Beaton, but for the Earl of Bothwell: 'But as gold and wemen have corrupted all worldlye and fleschlye men from the beginnyng, so did thei him' (Laing 1:143).

In spite of all that they have in common, there is a major difference between the two episodes. The first may be said to inculcate a moral, in two senses. Wishart learns 'what we may feare in tymes to come' while his followers (and the readers of Knox's Historie) learn 'for and yff ye
shall forgive other men theare treaspases / youre father in
heven shal also forgive you', (Matthew 6:17, Tyndale 1526)
or something along those lines. In the second, Knox wants
to give the impression that Wishart has supernatural powers
of observation. For Wishart the 'time is not yet full
come' (John 7:6, Tyndale 1526). The language used in these
passages is as fascinating as ever. Knox's choice of
'whinger' for instance is significant. It denotes a short
stabbing-sword well suited to the purpose for which Wighton
intended to use it. Rolland's Book of Seven Sages has a
good parallel: 'incontinent his quhinyear furth he drew'
(149). Knox uses it elsewhere, and one suspects that his
sense of justice as well as his veracity as a reporter have
something to do with it. After Wishart's death 'Johne
Leslye', one of the assassins of Beaton, 'spared not to say
'That same whinger, (schawin furth his dager,) and that same
hand, should be preastis to the Cardinall' (Laing I:172).

Knox consistently calls Wishart 'Maister George' and
this too has a special meaning. The D.O.S.T entries for
'Maister' are extensive. The relevant sense, of course, is
when: 'Prefixed to the Christian name and surname, or
especially when the full name has been given earlier; to the
Christian name alone, chiefly of one who is a master of
Arts. Masters in this use were often clergymen or
schoolmasters and so the occurrences are very numerous
indeed' (150). In 'George Wishart: His Early Life', John
Durkan says that Wishart's 'first appearance in Scottish
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records [was] at Montrose in 1534, when he was already a Master of Arts' and he may have been a graduate not of Aberdeen but 'of the University of Louvain' (151). Laing's notes on the distinction between 'Schir' and 'Maister' are still a good reference point (Laing I:555-8). There are one or two other interesting Scotticisms. For instance Knox tells us that the 'seik', to whom Wishart had presumably been ministering, were so angered by the news of the attempt on his life that they 'birst in at the yett'. In the Glasgow MS. of The Historie 'birst' has been replaced by 'thay thrist in', but in both cases the sense of outrage is vividly realised. In Wishart's subsequent speech he uses the past-participle of 'lat': 'he hes lattin us understand . . .'. Although this is quite a common form, Wishart probably did speak in such a manner.

I implied earlier that the second narrative was a greater artistic success than the first. This is so because it opens with a pair of vignettes. Wishart is pictured at prayer; a man wholly devoted to God and the well-being of his fellow men. Beaton, on the other hand, is plotting how he might kill him (and we may be reminded of Psalm 30 (31) 'they haye gathered a councel together agaynst me, and are purposed to take awaye my life' (Coverdale)). The juxtaposition constitutes synkrisis and the implications of this very subtle pairing-off gradually emerge the more one reads the passage. Again, incidental details such as the fact that 'the boy had brought a horse' keep the story
flowing, and the climax comes when Wishart 'suddanlye . . . stayed'. For Knox, this is the moment when God imparts to Wishart his miraculous knowledge.

Knox's assured control of his medium is something which also comes out in the early part of the first narrative. As we saw with the merry tales, he is an intrusive narrator, but his point of view falls short of omniscience, and if we apply the standard literary distinction between 'showing' and 'telling' it is obvious that he does the latter, but there is still much elaborate scene-setting, and this is accompanied by careful explanatory material. But the most striking feature is Knox's use of terse, climactic dialogue ('My freind, what wald ye do?'). Indeed, it should be noted that Wishart's dialogue itself seems more assured than that of his wild prophesying at Haddington, which we considered earlier in this chapter (see p. 313).

Even so, he does reproduce these tones with great fidelity during a notable incident when he was preaching at 'Inveresk', near Edinburgh. Two gray Friars entered the Church and, according to Knox, Wishart welcomed them; 'It may be that thai be come to learne' (Laing I: 135). The Friars, however, evidently did not appreciate Wishart's offer of edification, and they disrupted his sermon. He subsequently addressed them:

'O Sergeantis of Sathan, and deceavaris of the soules of men, will ye nether hear Goddis trewh, nor suffer otheris to hear it? Departe and tack this for your portiou - God shall schortlie confound and disclose your hipochrisie' . . . This sentence he pronounced
with great vehemencye, in the myddist of the sermoun; and turneuyng to the people, he said 'Yone wicked men have provoked the Spreat of God to angar'. And so he returned to his mater and proceeded to the end (Laing I:136).

Here Vishart acts as Christ rebuking the Pharisees, in Matthew 23:13, 33: 'Wo be vnto you scribes and pharisees dissemblers / for ye sheet up the kyngdom of heven before men: ye youre selves goo not in / nether suffre ye them that come to enter in . . . ye serpents and generation of vipers / how shall ye escape the damnacion of hell?' (Tyndale, 1526, cf. also Matthew 24:51). (We have already seen Knox using similar imagery, see ch. 4, p.205). Wishart's most memorable phrase, however, is the alliterative 'Sergeantis of Sathan'. We can detect something of his Zwinglianism coming through, and can use this passage as evidence that Knox's militant language came to him from Wishart (as I suggested in ch. 2, p.90).

The most blatant biblical parallels in the Vishart narrative occur earlier on in The Historie. When he was residing at 'Inner Gowrye', some two miles from Dundee, with 'Villiame Spadin and Johne Watsoun' ('both men of good credyte') (Laing I:132), he was observed by them to pass 'furth into a yard:'

When he had gone up and doune into one alay a resonable space, with many sobbes and deape grones, he platt upoun his knees, and setling thareon, his grones increassed; and frome his knees he fell upoun
his face; and then the personis fornamed heard weaping and, as it war, ane indigest sound, as it war of prayeris, in the which he continewed neyre ane hour, and after begane to be quiet; and so arrose and came in to his bed. They that awated prevented him, as thei had bein ignorant, till that he came in, and thane begane thei to demand whare he had bein? Butt that nycht he wold answer nothing. Upon the morow, thei urged him agane; and whill that he dissimuled, thei said, 'Maister George, be plaine with us; for we heard your grones; yea, we heard your bitter murning, and saw yow boyth upoun your kneis and upoun your face'. With dejected visage, he said, 'I had rather ye had bein in your beddis, and it had bein more profitable to yow, for I was skarse weall occupyed'. When thei instantlie urged him to lett thame know some conforte; he said, 'I will tell yow, that I am assured that my travall is neir ane end; and tharefor call to God with me, that now I schrink not when the battell waxes maist hoote.' And whill that thei weaped, and said, 'That was small conforte unto thame;' he assured, 'God shall send yow conforte after me' (Laing I:132-133).

This seems to be a fusion of the Gethsemane story and the Last Supper (and the themes are continued in the section of the 'Vishart narrative' attributed to John Foxe, see Laing I:149-171, especially 168-169), while 'Spadin' and Watson act as (rather make-shift) apostles. And, indeed, as Knox himself implies, they must have been the sole source of the story ('as informaticoun was gevin to us ... '). One can read in the Gospels 'he [Christ] was in agony / and prayed somewhat longer. And his sweate was lyke droppes of bloud / tricklynge doune to the grounde' (Luke 22:44), 'the spirite ys willynge / but the fleshe is weeke' (Matthew 26:41, Tyndale). The frequent references to 'conforte' recall the office of the Comforter in John's Gospel (see John 14:16-18). As we have already speculated, Knox himself seems to
be St. Peter in the narrative (although he does not deny Wishart).

As to the language of the above; 'personis fornamed' is straight from a contract, an adjective such as 'indigest' is striking also. Normally it is pejorative, as in this instance from Douglas's Aneid: 'Into counsalys geuyng he was held a man nocht indigest, bot wys and cald' (152). It actually means 'undigested' in figurative and literal applications, and in the former usually refers to sounds, as it does here. A noun like 'travail' moves us back to religious experience and probably echoes Isaiah 53:11: 'he shall see of the travail of his soul' (Great Bible), but the sense that climactic passages of the New Testament are being re-enacted before us is over-powering. Even so, The Historie is none the worse for that.

Not only do we find blatant biblical parallels during those narratives in which Wishart himself appears. They also permeate the plot-structure of The Historie. For example, Knox says of Archbishop Gavin Dunbar and Cardinal Beaton, who were engaged in bringing Wishart to the stake, but were not themselves on the friendliest of terms (cf. Luke 23:12):

In that day was wrought no less a wonder than was at the accusatioun and death of Jesus Christ, when that Pilate and Herode, who befoir war enemyes, war maid Freindis, by consenting of thame boith to Christis condemnaition, differis nothing, except that Pilate and Herode war brethren under thare father the devill, in the Estate called Temporal, and these two, of whome we ar to speak, war brethren (sonnes of the...
This puts the final touches to the Christ-parallels and places Knox firmly within a Lutheran tradition. Luther's contemporaries had viewed the German Reformer's hearing at Worms along exactly the same lines, linking Albert of Mainz with Caiaphas, Cardinal Lang with Annas, Frederick the Wise with Peter and Charles V with Pilate. Knox is obviously entering the final scenes of his passion play. The tone is typically satirical, and Knox exhibits aesthetic precision when he first outlines the similarities between the figures, then the differences. Even if the 'Estaites' differ, 'all are sonnes of the same father').

The culmination of the Wishart story comes of course with his martyrdom, but here we encounter problems over authorship. Knox himself says that the manner of Wishart's 'Accusation, Process, and Answers as we have receaved from the Book of Martyres, which, woord by woord, we have inserted' and the reasons he gives are that Foxe's book is both too expensive and a collector's item (Laing I:148). Laing is non-committal and his suggestions are tentative, but as always he gives us the reliable facts with the assurance of a responsible scholar. Foxe enlarged and revised his own work for an edition of 1570 and added material relating to Scottish martyrs. He says that his information is taken 'Ex Scripto Testimonio Scotorum'. Laing adds that:
In many places of these additions, the details are more minute than the corresponding passages in Knox's History, yet there is such a coincidence in the information, that Foxe may be indebted for some of them to the Scottish Reformer. The account of Wishart, however, is copied from a printed book (153).

Since there is such uncertainty it seems advisable to pass on to the more authentic material which follows. This of course is the Beaton narrative in which Knox 'attains his highest eminence as a writer' (154).

Knox tells of the Cardinal's castle stronghold, and we should read the following with 1 Corinthians 3:19 (or Job 5:13) in mind. 'For it is written 'He compasseth the wyse in their craftynesse' (Coverdale):

And thare he remained without all fear of death, promissing unto himself no less pleuor, nor did the riche man, of whom mention is maid by our Maister in the Evangell; for he did nott onlie rejois and say, 'Eitt and be glade, my saule, for thow hast great riches laid up in store for many dayis' but also he said 'Tush, a feg for the fead, and a buttoune for the braggyne of all the heretikis and thair assistance in Scotland. Is nott my Lord Governour myne? Witness his eldest sone thare pledge at my table? Have I not the Quene at my awin devotioun? (He ment of the mother to Mary that now myscheyvouslie regnes). Is not France my freind, and I freind to France? What danger should I fear? But yit he had devised to have cutt of such as he thought mycht cummer him; for he had appointed the haill gentillmen of Fyff to have mett him at Falkland, the Mononday after that he was slane upoun the Setterday (Laing I:173).

This remarkable passage, which presents the Cardinal trying his best to 'out-Herod Herod', involves a more sophisticated use of the Scots language, of rhetoric and the Bible than
anywhere else in Knox's writings. As I said earlier in the section dedicated to preaching, the narrative is based on one of Luke's parables. (Just as Shakespeare could create comedy using Falstaff and the parable of Dives and Lazarus, Knox could do something similar). Yet he had another model for Beaton. The Cardinal sounds much like the unrepentant children of Judah in Jeremiah 5:11-31, who are subsequently punished by God. This long section, incidentally, makes use of the 'fig' image. In Miles Coverdale's version, verse (11) reads 'Tush, there shall no misery come upon us'. In the 'Knox-Papers', collected by Peter Lorimer in the 1870s, the letter John Knox to the Congregation of Berwick (circa 1552) contains what must be a literary embryo of the above passage, and enables us to reconstruct something of its genesis (see also Laing V: 483. and cf. Isaiah 22:13). Originally, it seems, Knox combined Jeremiah 5:11-13, Isaiah 5:19 and 1 Thessalonians 5:3 to produce the following:

In this most feirfull prayer of the propheit wer his eyes oppined that he assuredlye saw all these plagues which short after apprehended this obstinat natione, albeit in the meane season with contempt they cried, 'Tushe, their words be but wynde. Latt the counsell of the Holy One of Israel cum to pass. We shall have peace and wealthe in our dayes, for we are the pepill yat call upon the Lorde. His law and holte temple are with us'. By these meanes did this sinfull natione persuade themselves to rest, pease and tranquillitie, when suddane destructioun approched at hand (155).

(Cf. also Job 6:26). Given what we know about Knox's writing, this letter is self-authenticating, and Lorimer is
right when he says that its 'genuineness ... does not admit of doubt. It bears the strong impress, throughout, of his well-known style, both of thought and language' (156).

We can see that when Knox came to incorporate the idea into his Beaton-narrative (an idea, which, as we now know, he first formulated some years earlier), he dropped, or replaced the references to *Isaiah*. One (5:19) implied self-righteousness; he no doubt felt that the Cardinal did not even make the pretence of piety, and the other (22:13) could be superseded. But the *Jeremiah* text has survived the transition from earlier letter (1552) to later narrative (1566), although the only specific trace is the tell-tale interjection 'Tush(e)' (cf. Laing III:204 etc). This word no longer occurs in the Bible as we now know it, finally edited by the compilers of the *King James Version* of 1611. Thus, Knox's audience would recognise it immediately where we cannot, unless we have special access. There are also non-scriptural traditions and no comparable difficulties prevent us tracing them, but before doing this, it may be helpful to compare Knox's version of things with that of the only pre-existing, highly literary treatment of the same material; David Lindsay's. In *The Tragedie of the Umquhyle maist Reverend Father David* ... the Cardinal says:

```
Our Gouernor, to mak hym to me sure
With sweit and subtell wordis I did him syle,
Tyll I his Sone and Air gat in my cure.
To that effect, I fand that crafty wyle,
That he no maner of waye mycht me begyle
Than leuch I, quhen his liegis did allege
Quhow I his sone had gottin in to plege.
```

-393-
Shortly afterwards, he says that through God's 'hie power Deuine'.

... I was ...
Richt dulefulliye doung amange the asse
Quhilk culd not be throch mortal mannis ingyne
Bot, as David did slay the gret Gollyasse,
Or Holopharne be Judeth keillit wasse.
In myd amang his trymphant Armye,
So was I slane in to my cheiff Cietie (157).

The first similarity to note between Knox's and Lindsay's respective presentations is that they both use leitmotifs. There is Knox's 'tush' which in English and Scottish literature more often than not suggests arrogance and silliness. For instance, one can read in Bunyan's Pilgrim's Progress 'Tush' said Obstinate, 'away with your book'. In Shakespeare's Othello, the vain and stupid Roderigo opens the play by saying 'Tush, never tell me that thou Iago ... .' (Act 1, Sc.1, 1.1). Robert Louis Stevenson could mock, that 'every tusher tushes me so free that I may be tushed if the whole thing be worth a tush', and so on. On Lindsay's part there is the Cardinal's 'than leuch I ... '. This often implies imminent disaster and is probably derived from the Gospels: 'Vo vnto you that laugh here, for ye shal wepe and wayle' (Luke 6:25, Coverdale). In the Preiching of the Swallow Henryson's silly lark says 'Sir Swallow' ... and leuch' and is later pictured 'lauchand', only to end up, with the other birds, in the fowler's net. Of course,
Lindsay's Cardinal lacks the magnificent insolence of Knox's and the latter manages to pack all of Lindsay's information about Beaton's dealings with Arran and the Governor's son into two questions: 'Is nott my Lord Governour myne? Witness his eldest sone thare pledge at my table . . . ?'. It is hard to decide which author presents Beaton as more Machiavellian, however. Lindsay's 'sweit and subtell wordis' and 'crafty wyle' have no equivalent in Knox, but Knox's '... yit he had devised . . .' coming, as it does after a vivid picture of the Cardinal's complete disregard for danger, suggests a manipulative and comprehensive subtlety which would be hard to match.

The most rewarding comparison between the two authors, comes, however, when we analyse their use of the Bible. Lindsay places Beaton's temporary resting place as 'amange the asse' whereas Knox indulges in some cosmic irony by putting him in 'a nuk in the boddome of the sea-toore, ... where many of Goddis childrene had been empreasoned befoir' (Laing I:179). In this instance only Lindsay's information is biblical. Douglas Hamer lists four examples (158) but only one (Job 30:19) seems to have been definitely in Lindsay's mind: 'I am euen as it were claye & am become like aszshes & dust' (Coverdale). In place of Knox's Jeremiah quotation, Lindsay has an allusion to David and Goliath (1 Samuel 17:51). This is a narrative, with Beaton as Goliath, while Knox's material is part of a prophecy. Lindsay's
reference to the apocryphal book of Judith (13:1-10, Coverdale) is much in the same spirit as Knox’s report, but he seldom mentions the apocrypha (in the Protestant tradition, although he would have recognised the allusion since, as we have seen, the story is in Coverdale’s Bible). And of course, Holopharne’s ‘cheiff cietie’ (Bethulia) has its parallel in Knox’s ‘Babylon’.

Lindsay, however, cannot match Knox’s use of alliteration and of Scots traditions. In Scotland ‘fegs’ and ‘buttouns’ are the standard types for worthlessness. For instance, the Satirical Poems of the Reformation mention ‘Ane feirfull traitour . . . to crucifie Christ that comptis not a feg’ (159), and Wyntoun says ‘I set nowcht thereby a buttowme’ (160). As his published correspondence shows, the Cardinal had a vigorous and lively command of Scots (161) but he is no more likely to have said this than Napoleon is ‘Not tonight, Josephine’ or Harold MacMillan ‘You’ve never had it so good’, and Knox’s intention is obviously to stimulate hubris. In her Cardinal of Scotland, M.H.B. Sanderson has written well on this point: ‘Knox was preaching a sermon with the Cardinal as object lesson, to accentuate his self-reliance made his fall all the more salutary’ (162). Knox, of course, is writing, like Lindsay, in the best fall-of-princes tradition, and our new knowledge of the literary history behind his observations, makes his motivation a lot clearer. But however compelling he is, Knox’s Beaton has no real existence. He is not like
Shakespeare's Cardinal Wolsey in *Henry VIII*. Moreover, Knox gives him a series of rhetorical questions and the most memorable involves a chiasmus: 'Is not France [A] my freind [B], and I freind [B] to France [A]?'. The impression of patness overpowers any credibility we may give to Knox. But credibility and great writing are not mutually dependent. He subsequently digresses but not much further into the text he achieves similar heights. He tells how the Conspirators gain access to the Castle:

But arie upoun the Setterday, in the mornyng, the 29 of Maij, war thei in syndree cumpanyes in the Abbay Kirkyard, not far distant from the Castell. First, the yettis being oppin, and the draw-brig lettin doun, for receaying of lyme and stanes, and othir thingis necessar for buylding, (for Babylon was almost finished,) - first we say, assayed Williame Kirkcaldy of Grange younger, and with him sex personis, and gottin enteress, held purpose with the portare 'Yf My Lord was walking?' who answered, 'No'. (And so it was in dead, for he had bene busy at his comptis with Maistres Marioun Ogilbye that nycht, who was espayed to departe from him by the previe posterne that morning, and tharefor qwetness, after the reullis of phisick, and a morne sleap was requisite for my Lord) (Laing I:175).

Here Knox moves from routine details such as an outline of day and date, to explanatory narrative, which is topped by an allusion to *Jeremiah* 51:53: 'though Babilon clymmed up into heavyen and kepte her power on hie, yet shal I sende her destroyers, saith the LORDE' (Coverdale). A short letter (now contained in the *Papers of the Reign of Henry VIII*) from one James Lyndesay to an unknown recipient, dated the 29th of May 1546, seems independently to confirm much that
Knox says. (The following extracts, however, are taken not from the Brewer and Gairdner edition (London, 1862-1910), but from the original manuscript) (163). For instance, it opens: 'this Satterday be tuix V howris and vj in ye mornynge ye cardinale is elane in ye castell of Sanctandros be Normond Leslie in this manner // at ye cumin in of ye masonis & wirk men in ye place to yair wirk, Normond Leslie, and thre wyth hym enterit & eftir hym James melwin & thre men wyth hym // fenyit yair selfis to haue spokin wyth ye Cardinale // & eftir yame, come ye young Lard of Grange, and viij men wyth hym, all in geyr' (164).

Although this account is twenty years earlier than Knox's, as literature, it cannot compare in value. There is no innuendo of course but it lacks the vigour and force of The Historie. Where Knox really scores against the earlier letter is in his use of metaphor. 'Comptis', which presumably alludes to illicit sexual activity, comes from a financial vocabulary (see, Letter VII, ch.3, p.169), and adds to the humour by implying whoredom.

From a humorous use of language, Knox switches to an equally tendentious, but more subtle one:

The portar, fearing, wold have drawin the brig; but the said Johne, being entered thairon, stayed, and lap in. And whill the porter maid him for defence, his head was brokin, the keyis tackin from him, and he castin in the fowsea; and so the place was seased. The schowt arises: the workmen, to the nomber of mo then a hundreth, ran to the wallis, and war without hurte put furth at the wicked yett (Laing I:175).
Professor Janton notes that 'la brièveté des phrases, le nombre des verbes et l'alternance des temps augmentent la tension dramatique' (165), but says nothing about the passive phrase, 'his head was brokin'. The phrase seems to be a disguised attempt to eschew responsibility. The letter contains no such attempt: the portar refused admittance 'quhill ane of yame straik hym wyth ane knyff', although the two texts concur in their secondary details. The letter reads '[they] kest hym in ye fowse', and its author goes on 'incontinent ya shote furht all ye wirkmen and closit ye yet, syne sowght ye chalmeris, & schote furth all ye howsald men, as yai gat yame, naikit //'. Knox, however, emphasises that the workmen were put out unharmed (even if they were in collusion with the assassins), and this remark is probably designed to counterpoint the treatment of the unfortunate porter. But the crucial difference between the versions is abundantly clear. The letter says that the porter was murdered, Knox says that he somehow got himself killed.

The assassination scene itself is, of course, far more vividly realised in Knox's Historie than in the letter. Here it is. Cardinal Beaton has confronted his ruthless assailants:

The said Johne Leslye, (according to his formar vowes) strook him first anes ar twyse, and so did the said Petir. But James Melven, (a man of nature most gentill and most modest) perceaving thame boyth in cholere, withdrew thame, and said, 'This worke and judgement of God, (althought it be secreit,) aught to be done with greattar gravitie;' and presenting unto him the point of the sward, said, 'Repent thee of thy formar wicked lyef, but especiallie of the shedding of the blood of
that notable instrument of God, Maister George
Visharte, which albeit the flame of fyre consumed
befoir men, yitt cryes it, a vengeance upoun thee, and
we from God ar sent to revenge it: For heir, befoir
my God, I protest, that nether the hetterent of thy
person, the luif of thy riches, nor the fear of any
truble thow could have done to me in particulare,
moved, nor movis me to stryk thee; but only because
thow hast bein, and remanes ane obstinat ennemye
against Christ Jesus and his holy Evangell'. And so
he stroke him twyse or thrise trowght with a stog
sweed; and so he fell, never word heard out of his
mouth, but 'I am a preast, I am a preast: fy, fy: all
is gone' (Laing I:177).

One can understand why Melvill's apocalyptic, Messianic
language appealed to Knox. After all, he was fond of making
similar statements himself. Words like 'judgement',
'gravitie', 'repent', 'wicked' and 'obstinat' were his own
everyday tools. But Knox was probably more interested in
Beaton's final comments 'I am a preast . . . fy, fy; all is
gone'. The modern reader has to take Knox's (and Foxe's)
word that this is actually what the Cardinal said. That
Beaton used his priesthood as a last line of defence is
surely credible. But Knox almost certainly saw the remarks
as a fulfilment of the prophecy contained in Jeremiah
51:54: 'A piteous crie shall be herde from Babilon
'(Coverdale).

This brings us to the only passage from Knox's works
which is quoted ad nauseam by the literary critics. In
fact, it seems to be the only passage they know at all. (One
recalls Rutland's Ovidian curse on Clifford in Henry VI Part
Whill they war thus occupyed with the Cardinall, the fray rises in the toune. The Provost assembles the communitie, and cumis to the fowseis syd, crying, 'What have ye done with my Lord Cardinall? Whare is my Lord Cardinall? Have ye slayne my Lord Cardinall? Lett us see my Lord Cardinal? Thei that war within answered gentilye, 'Best it war unto yow to returne to your awin housses; for the man ye call the Cardinall has receaved his reward, and in his awin persone will truble the warld no more'. But then, more enraigedly, thei cry, 'We shall never departe till that we see him'. And so he was brought to the East blockhouse head, and schawen dead ower the wall to the faythless multitude, which wold not beleye befoir it saw: How miserably lay David Betoun, cairfull Cardinall. And so thei departed without Requiem eternam and Requiescant [sic] in pace, song for his saule (Laing I:178-179).

Lyndesay's account does not differ in any major detail, although it is notable that it contains derisory references to Beaton as a false god: 'Ye commoun bell of ye tovn rang, ye provust and tovn gaderit in ye nowmer of thre or fower hundreth men, & come to ye castell, quhill Normand Leslie & his companye come to ye wall heid, and sperit quhat ya desirit to se, ane deid man // Incontinent ya brocht ye Cardinale deid to ye wall heid, in ane payr of schetis & hang him owr the wall be ye tane arme & ye tane fute / & bad ye pepill se yer thar god'. Towards the end of his letter he includes an observation (of which Knox, no doubt, would have approved): 'I find few displesit of his deid'.

Knox, however, is much better in capturing the hysteria of the crowd and their loyalty to 'My Lord Cardinall', and
he does so by invoking empathy, but certainly not sympathy (or only unintentionally, and this is an important distinction). And after the insistent questioning of the Provost comes the sombre reply 'Best it war to return to your awin houssis . . .'. The 'faithless multitude' moreover will 'not believe without seeing', a phrase which recalls John 20:25-29. Knox's Latin phrases (one of which J.H. Millar misquotes (166) are, of course, taken from the Mass for the Souls of the Dead (the Officium Defunctorum) in the Catholic tradition, and we have already established that this kind of borrowing (for the purpose of mockery) was quite common among Knox and his contemporaries.

We have seen then, that the whole Beaton episode is a literary tour de force superior to the Wishart story in its humour and dramatic strength. But perhaps the most sophisticated literary manoeuvres come not with Knox's great broad-sides, but in quieter moments such as this:

The death of this fairsaid tyrant was dolorous to the preestis, dolorous to the Governor, most dolorous to the Quene Dowager, for in him perished faithfulness to France, and the conforte to all gentilwemen, and especiallie to wantoun wedowis. His death must be revenged (Laing I:180).

From a stylistic point of view this short passage probably constitutes Knox's finest use of building forensic rhetoric, adjectival parallelism and mimesis anywhere in the canon. At the third step, 'dolorous' becomes 'most dolorous' almost imperceptibly and there is an ascendancy of rank from
'preastis' to 'Governor' to 'Quene'. Janton observes that 'Emphatique, la répétition devient épizeuxis' (167) while a touch of alliteration surfaces with 'wanton wedowis' and Knox winds things up by using **FREE INDIRECT SPEECH**. This comes close to stream-of-consciousness narrative or interior monologue (terms not invented before modern criticism) but is one of those techniques which allows progression to stay within the control of the reporter of the action: Knox himself.

In the latter part of *The Historie*, the dramatic narratives which most resemble the assassination of Beaton, almost invariably involve Mary of Guise, the Queen Regent. This is because, in Knox's eyes, both were 'tyrans'. The same sort of hubris which dominates the Beaton incidents comes out in 'the delightful tale of what happened when the Reformers were in full retreat in Fife at the beginning of 1560' (168) (compare the following with Psalm 42 (41) 'myne enemies cast me in the tethe, daylie sayenge vnto me; where is now thy God?' (Coverdale):

The Quene Regent, proude of this victorie, burst forth in hir blasphemous rayling, and said, 'Whair is now Johne Knox his God? My god is now stronger than his, yea even in Fyff' (Laing II:88).

We might juxtapose beside this, the narrative of the Queen Regent at Edinburgh Castle:

The Queen Regent satt all the tyme of the assualt (quhilk wes baith terribill and lang) upon the foirwall of the Castell of Edinburgh; and quhen sche
perceivit the overthrow of us, and that the ensenyels of the Frenche war agane displayit upoun the wallis, sche gaif ane gawfe of lauchter, and said, 'Now will I go to the Messe, and prayse God for that quhilk my eyes have sene! And sa was Freir Black reddy for that purpose, quhom sche hir self a little of befoir had deprehendit with his harlott in the chapell. But huredome and idolatyre aggre weill togidder . . . (Laing II:67-68).

The similarity between the first of these and the Psalm (but see also Deuteronomy 32:37, Joel 22:17, Jeremiah 2:6, 8 and two other Psalms 79:10, 115:2) does perhaps arouse suspicion. However, the fact that both of these stories are probably untrue or at best, salacious and highly exaggerated, should not detract from their value to the literary critic. Even so, Gordon Donaldson's remarks are worth bearing in mind: 'in all her quite voluminous correspondence Mary of Guise shows no sign of being aware of Knox's existence at all' (169). But the fact that the Regent did not behave in a swaggering, arrogant manner, taunt Knox by name and thus call down upon herself Divine Correction, would spoil the story, or rather, render it non-existent, and if she were not a thorough-bred idolater who very naturally sanctioned whoredom (among priests) the second episode would likewise have no substance. Knox's propaganda is sophisticated, witty and carefully orchestrated, but it is still propaganda. That 'gawfe of lauchter' is a tell-tale sign. It was there during Knox's
trial for treason before another Mary, and it was intended to reflect just as unfavourably upon its author as it is here (see ch. 5, p. 341).

A dramatic narrative also involving the Regent which is altogether more credible though perhaps less entertaining occurs somewhat earlier in The Historie, (in Book I, just before the episode of St. Giles). The Protestants of the West Country are in confrontation with the Queen:

The Gentilmen begane to complane upoun thare strange internement, considerring that hir Grace had found into thame so faithfull obedience in all thingis lauchfull. Whill that the Quein begane to craft, a zelous and a bold man, James Chalmeris of Gaitgyrth, said 'Madame, we know that this is the malice and devise of thei Jefwellis, and of that Bastard, (meaning the Bischope of Sanctandrois) that standis by yow: We avow to God we shall maik ane day of it. Thei oppresse us and our tennantis for feeding of thare idill bellyes: thei truble our preacheris, and wold murther them and us: Shall we suffer this any longare? Na, Madame: It shall not be'. And tharewith everie man putt on his steill bonet (Laing I:258).

Here, Knox describes a scene in which emotions have reached flash-point and violence seems imminent. His ability to capture the crisis-feeling of the moment is incomparable. The final sentence in fact is remarkable not only for its sinister concision but for its complete simplicity. The adverb 'tharewith' is especially useful since it focuses specifically upon that point of time at which there is nothing more to be said and action must inevitably be taken. 'Chalmer's' final words on the matter ('It shall not be') are dominated by the beat of monosyllables and this too
augments the tension, but his address to the Regent is bristling with powerful accusations. The priests are 'Jefwellis', or worthless fellows, and the Bishop receives a harsher appellation 'that Bastard'. The phrase 'We avow to God we shall maik ane day of it' typifies a familiar Scots hard-headedness in cold, lucid, confessional language, which is impossible to ignore if one is on the receiving end of it. This particular incident ended peacefully, and in compromise, but others did not.

In Book II, for instance, the flash-point came and an explosion followed:

It chanced, that the nixt day, whiche was the ellevint of Maij, after that the Preachearis wer exyled, that after the sermoun which was vehement against idolatrie, that a preast in contempt wold go to the Messe; and to declair his malapert presumptiouen, he wold opin up ane glorious tabernacle which stoode upoun the Hie Altare. Thare stoode besyde, certane godly men, and amonges otheris a young boy, who cryed with a lowd voce, 'This is intollerable, that when God by his Worde hath plainlie damned Idolatrie, we shall stand and see it used in dispyte'. The preast heirat offended, gave the chyld a great blow, who in anger took up a stone, and casting at the preast, did hytt the tabernacle and brack down ane ymage; and immediatelie the hole multitude that war about cast stones ... (Laing I:321-322).

One wonders if the 'young boy' is a King David or a football hooligan, and Knox himself probably shared the reader's dilemma, but the boy, like Mrs. Bowes, William Arth, Alexander Seton or even Beaton's murderers, has a place in God's operations, however small and apparently insignificant.
Again the key-stylistic feature is Knox's use of adverbs ('heirat' and 'immediatlie'). The first assesses the situation and updates it, while the second triggers off the wild-fire action. One can well understand the priest taking offence and delivering his 'great blow' but the boy's comment makes the contrast between scriptural admonition and contemporary religious practice which was so fundamentally an issue of the Reformation. The boy's own anger, however, seems to have little to do with any point of religious doctrine and more to do with getting his own back, but this too was possibly symptomatic of the Reformation.

Nowhere in The Historie does Knox achieve a richer narrative than in the incident which he describes as 'the speaking of ane ancient matrone when Scone was birning' (Laing I:361), which took place during the same year as the previous episode. Beginning with an obvious pun, Knox tells how:

The multitude, easilie inflambed, gave the alarme, and so was that Abbay and Palace appointit to saccage; in doing whairof thay took no lang deliberatioun, but committed the whole to the merciment of Eyre; whairat no small nomber of us war offended, that patientlie we culd nocht speak till any that war of Dundie or Sanct Johnestoun. A poore aged matrone, seing the flambe of fyre pass up sa mychtilie, and perceaving that many war thairat offended, in plane and sober maner of speaking, said 'Now I see and understand that Goddis judgementis ar just, and that no man is able to save whare he will punische. Since my rememberance, this place hath bein nothing else but a den of hooremongaris. It is incredible to believe how many wyffes hath bein adulterat, and virginis deflored, by the fylthie beastis whiche hath bein fostered in this den, bot especiallie by that wicked man who is called the Bischope. Yf all men knew alsmuche as I, thay wald praise God and no man wald be offended'. This
woman duelt into the toune, neye unto the Abbay; at whose wordis war many pacified: affirming with hir that it was Goddis just judgement. And assuredlie, yf the laubouris or travell of any man culd have saved that place, it had nocht bein at that tyme destroyed; for men of greatest estimatioun lawboured with all diligence for the savetie of it (Laing I:362).

David Murison detects 'the ring of realism in the story as we stand in the crowd with Knox . . . and overhear with him . . . .’ (170). Dr. Murison however does not do justice to the passage. There are some formulaic expressions, to be sure, but they detract little. The 'lang' of 'lang deliberatioun' is not unusual but one might have expected 'mature' or 'wyse'. The woman's denunciation of 'hooremongaris', however, epitomises one strand of Reformation thought with complete precision. Three decades earlier, John Gau had written aginst 'thay . . . that . . . committis fornicatione with hwris or any licht personis' (171) and in the wake of the Reformation, Ninian Winzet advocated 'renuncing . . . of plesouris of the body . . . fra unlesum huirdom' (172). 'Hooremongaris' is a less common form but it does occur quite frequently. In The Register of the Minister, Elders and Deacons of the Christian Congregation of St. Andrews there is a reference which is almost exactly contemporaneous with the Scone incident: 'Schyr Alexander Gaw and Catren Nesche' are called 'huyrmongaris and adulteraris' (173).
Knox's own words, however, provide the most characteristic example of a Scots idiom. The phrase 'this woman duelt into the toune, neye unto the Abbey' is identical in spirit and syntactical construction to 'Ane knicht dwelt neir hand by' (174) in Lindsay's *Squire Weldon* or 'the eldest dwelt in ane Borows town ... the uthir ... uponland weill neir' from Henryson's *Two Maice* (175). Knox of course has a special reason for using such a phrase. It assures us that this woman is uniquely qualified to pass judgement on the priests and especially on 'that wicked man', the Bishop himself. The juxtaposition of 'lauboris or travell' and of the former with 'diligence' again catches an idiom. One need only compare John Ireland's 'puttand all labour and deligence to his ... service' (176) or Gilbert Haye's 'with the corporale labore and travaill of his members' (177). Strictly speaking, nearly all of these terms refer to physical exertion, which is not Knox's meaning, be he often exaggerated and this is perhaps an example.

For sheer dramatic intensity, the closest Knox comes to the splendour of this narrative is with his descriptions of the battle of Solway Moss, which of course took place some time before the Reformation itself (although Knox's account of the battle was in fact written after the above passages). As we shall see, he makes use of the techniques he developed in his earlier writing. He tells us:
The forrow goes furth, fyre rises, hereschip mycht have been sein of everie syd. The unprovedeid people war all together amased; for brycht day appeiring, thei saw ane army of ten thousand men; thare cornes and housis upoun every syd send flambes of fyre unto the heaven . . . The soldeouris caist frome thair pickis, culveringis, and utheris weaponis fensable, the horsemen left thair spearis, and so, without judgement, all man fled. The sea was filling, and so the watter maid greate stope; but the fear was such as happy was hie that mycht gett a tacker. Such as passed the watter and eschaped that danger, not well acquainted with the ground, fell into the Sollen Moss. The entrie thairof was pleasing yneuch, but as thei proceeded, all that took that way, eyther lost thare horse, or ellis thame selfis and horse boith. To be schort, a greater feir and disconfiture without cause, hes seldom been sein (Laing I:85,87).

There are parallels in romance narrative, but Knox's almost cinematographic visual perception puts him about four centuries ahead of his time. David Murison improves considerably on C.S. Lewis's criticism when he says that 'Knox is very good at battles . . . the scurrying to and fro . . . the confusion and the panic' (178), but to appreciate just how good one can compare this compelling passage with, say, the studied elegance and coldness of Buchanan in Rerum Scotticarum Historia. Professor Dickinson has observed of Knox's Historie, that 'we are present in the times of which we read' (179). The reason for this sense of being there can be traced of course to style. Again, Knox deliberately uses historic present tenses (a technique which was not used by any other contemporary prose historian, not even John Sleidan), to give us the vivid impression that the action is happening here and now, and the 'seldom hes been sein'
formula, which we first encountered in our analysis of the merry tales (see ch. 5, p.373) also recurs. Knox thus highlights one's sense of occasion. Shortly after this, he employs rather more satirical methods.

For instance, in the section which leads up to Solway Moss in The Historie and in passages which come immediately after the Scone incident with the matron, he employs devices which remind one very much of dialogue in, say, Erasmus's Encomium Moræ, or The Praise of Folly.

All man, (foolis we meane,) bragged of victorie; and in verray deid the beginnyng gave us a fayr schaw . . . Stout Oliver was without strack tackin, fleing full manfully; and so was his glorie (stinking and foolishe proudnes we should call it,) suddandy turned to confusion and schaure (Laing 1:88).

In the earlier passage, he had written:

befoir whose cuming the rascheall multitude put handis in the thevis, I should say, Frearis places and utterlie distroyed thame . . . (Laing I:362) (180).

(With the latter example we perhaps have evidence to indicate that originally, Knox was dictating). His technique here is a simple one. He makes a statement in which he apparently forgets himself, and then immediately redefines his previous comment completely. There are many more examples of this throughout The Historie and, even if they do not always occur in the scenes of riotous intensity such as the above, they add nonetheless to the rich array of humorous methods which Knox employed.
We saw earlier that Knox's unreservedly humorous tales had much in common with preaching, and the same is undoubtedly true of his dramatic narratives. One passage in which this comes out and which shares the same control of language and Scots forms that emerged earlier, is the following:

As the Frenche spoilyied the countrye in thair retourning, one capitaine or soldiour, we cannot tell, but he had a reid cloik and a gilt morrion, entered upoun a poore woman, that dwelt in the Whyteyed, and began to spoyle. The poore woman offered unto him suche braid as sche hadreddy prepared. But he, in no ways thairwith content, wald have the meill and a lytill salt beif whiche the poore woman had to susteine hir awin lyfe, and the lyves of hir poore chylderein, neather could tearis, nor pitifull wourdis, mittigat the merciles man, but he wald have quhatsoever he mycht carie. The poore woman perceiving him so bent, and he stoupped doun in hir tub, for the taking fouroc of suche stufe as was within it, first cowped up his heillis, so that his heid went doun; and thairefter, outher by hirself, or if ony uther companie came to help hir, but thair he endit his unhappie lyfe; God so punissing his crewell hairt, quho could nocht spair a misserable woman in that extremitie, 'Let all suche soldiouris receve suche rewarid, 0 Lord, seing that thou art the revenger of the oppressed' (Laing II:15).

The lesson here is an old one and recognisably part of a Scottish tradition. Knox's reference is presumably to Romans 13:4 'But yf ye do that whiche is euil, bee afrayde; for he beareth not the sworde in vayne; for hee is the mynister of God, a reuenger to execute wrathe vpon hym that doethe euil' (Great Bible). Henryson had chastised 'mychtie men' who 'will not thoill in pece ane pureman be' and warned 'for till oppres, thow sall halfr als grit pane / as thow the
pure with thy awin hand had slane' (181). So it is with Knox's French soldier. And there is some detailed observation coupled with old Scots humour. Knox notices that the Frenchman has a 'gilt morrion' (or 'morrow' as the MS. 1566 would have it) (182). This presumably refers to a variety of helmet. The 'reid cloik', moreover, is probably intended to suggest the soldier's physical splendour, which contrasts markedly with the woman's poverty.

The phrase 'but he is no wayis thairwith content, wald have the meill . . .' sketches in, with precision, a good deal of information, and helps get the action underway. The alliterative 'mittigat the merciless man' uses a common collocation and heightens the tension by taking things one step further towards confrontation. As to Knox's lexical choice, one can read similar expressions in the Bannatyne MS ('and mak hir hairt with mercy mytigat' (183)). Then comes the most splendid expression of all. The woman 'cowped up his heillis, so that his held went doun . . .'. The same phrase occurs at least once in Cranstoun's Satirical Poems of the time of the Reformation (184), so we can be fairly sure that this was a typical Scots form. Despite the ideological sympathies between the two texts in which it occurs, we cannot, of course, say that the phrase was used exclusively in Reforming circles.

Pierre Janton has suggested that Knox's concept of preaching (which, as we have seen, permeates his Historie no less than his other texts) was similar to Hugh Latimer's.
Two fundamental tenets gird the latter's sermons (and Knox's too). The first is to teach sound doctrine (Exorti per sanam doctrinan) and the second is to contradict spurners (Contradicentes convicere) (185). The second office, which concerns us here, ties in with Ezekiel 33:9 'if thou warne the wicked off his ways, to turne from it, where as he yet wil not be turned from it: than shal he dye because of his synne, but thow hast delyuered thy soule' (Coverdale) (186). The remainder of the narratives which this section considers, concern themselves with this office.

The following incident occurred after one of his final appearances before Queen Mary, when Knox was 'commanded' by her 'to pass furth of the Cabinet', and 'to abyd hir pleaur in the chalmer' (Laing II:389) (cf. ch.5, p.291-292). When the 'Lord Ochiltree' came to keep him company, Knox introduced a remarkable narrative, but one which, like the previous passages, is deeply Scottish and has its origins in traditions well established before Knox's time.

... thairfoir began he to forge talking of the ladies who war thair sitting in all thair gorgiouse apparell; whiche espyed, he mearellie said, 'O fayre ladies, how pleasing war this lyeff of youris, yf it should ever abyd and then in the end that we myght passe to heaven with all this gay gear. But fye upon that knave Death, that will com whith wher we will or not! And when he hes laid on his areist, the foull wormes wilbe busye with this flesche, be it never so fayre and so tender, and the seally soul, I fear, shalbe so feable, that can neather cary with it gold, garnassing, targetting, pearle nor pretious stones'. And by suche meanes procured he the cumpany of wemen, and so past the tyme till that the Laird of Dun willed advertisement (Laing II:389).
T. F. Henderson and M. P. MacDiarmid are about the only Scottish critics to notice how 'various allusions' show that Knox's literary art owed something to his acquaintanceship with the works of the old 'makaris' (187) but in his second work on Knox, Concept et Sentiment de L'Eglise chez John Knox, Professor Janton observes, rather more specifically, 'Quand Knox moralise sur l'éphémère beauté des dames de la cour, il continue la tradition des pessimistes du XVe siècle. Quelques exemples suffiront' (188). He then cites Henryson and Dunbar, but the above passage contains vestigal traces of a number of literary genres. Of course, the 'all is vanity' theme of Ecclesiastes is the earliest, but recognisably medieval ideas such as the danse macabre, memento mori, Three Living / Three Dead (although Knox is very much alive), the reasonings between Age and Youth and Everyman, are all present. Although earlier Protestants like Gau had condemned 'thay that payntis thair body with precious clais or silver or gold precious stenis or gold ringis' (189), the traditions all belong originally to a unified Catholic Christendom. They stress in general terms the fallibility of wealth, decoration and beauty over against the fact that 'all mon thole the deid' and that the body will inevitably decay through natural processes. (The sixteenth century, on the whole, was not a good time to be squeamish).
The humour on the other hand is distinctively that of the Reformer. V.C. Dickinson suggests that we may have a hard time believing that 'by suche meanes procured he the company of wemen' (190) but the whole scene is a broad belly laugh at his own excesses and, apparently, one he could not resist. It is a double standard of the worst kind which can label an old Scots poet like Henryson 'unusually attractive' and denigrate Knox as puritanical (in the pejorative rather than the historical sense), when, between them, there is clearly a 'relation of pedigree' and a shared subject matter (191).

The subsequent selections are both from Book IV of The Historie and although they have much in common with the above, a clear shift of emphasis is discernible. Knox is moving away from the type of universalism so patently evident in his preaching on temporality, and towards a very specific kind of denunciation. He is still concerned with royalty and with royal courts, but individuals bear the brunt of his attack both directly and indirectly. This kind of thing was new, and E.G. Rupp traces how it was prevalent among the leading Reformers (192) who were sure that the Gospel must take precedence over all else. The first Knox passage denounces the French King:

At the entrie of King Harie of France, in the towne of Orleance, the matrones, virgenis, and menis wyffis, war commanded to present thame selfis in the Kingis palice at nycht, to daunse: and thei obeyed; for communlie the Frenche natioune is not hard to be entreated to vanitie. After fidling and flyngyng, and when the Cardinall of Lorane had espyed his pray, he
said to the King, 'Sire, la primere est vostre, et faut que je suis le second'. That is to say, 'Sire, the first choice is yours, and I must be the second!' And so the King got the preeminence, that he had his first election. But because Cardinalis ar companionis to Kingis, the Cardinall of Lorane has the next: And thairafter the torches war putt out, and euerie man commanded to provid for his self the best be myght. What cry was thair of husbandis for thair wyeffis; of wyiffis, for thair husbandis; of auncient matronis, for thair dochteris; and of virgenis for thair freindis; or for some honest man to defend thair pudicitie, Orleance will remember mo Kingis dayis then one (Laing II:318-319).

Knox is using exprobatio, defined by Franciscus Robortellus as 'something . . . neatly criticised and derided by exposing to attack things in it that are disgusting', (193) (and Knox wasn't alone if attacking the Guises; Francois Hotman's Le Tygre: Satire sur les gestes memorables des Guisards (1560) is a notable example. Knox has a sly dig at the Catholic hierarchy, and the general impression (194) is of the tight interlocking of narrative events. There is a kind of hierarchy among the victims too, since Knox mentions first husbands and wives, mothers and daughters, then virgins and their friends. Moreover, to make sure the reader understands how uncharitable the Cardinal of Lorraine is, Knox provides an instant translation of his remarks. In short the whole thing is an attack on immoral behaviour and this must surely be where the role of the preacher comes in. It is his job to reprehend the wicked man 'off his waye, to turne from it' (and one should re-consult Knox's comments on the gospel of repentance, to appreciate fully
what he is about here, see ch. 5, p.324). But he has another reason for including such a narrative. If he simply wanted to warn against sinful behaviour he could easily have drawn upon many scriptural examples. But he did not. He deliberately chose contemporary events and the Court of France as his subject matter, and their link with Mary is inescapable.

Knox of course often preached openly against her behaviour. How much of this was personal and how much vocational is a matter for speculation, but we have already recognised that the Scottish tradition lies behind at least some of his sermonising. The Chatelard story (which we have already touched on, see ch. 5, p.277) must then be classified with the above, since it is designed along the same lines with the same purpose. As Dr. Murison says 'he uses it as a stick against Mary' (195). Chatelard, as the familiar story goes, was apprehended in the Queen's bedchamber, and for this he was, ultimately, and by due order of law, executed. Knox's version runs thus:

Poor Chattelett was brocht back from Kinghorne to Sanctandrois, examinat, putt to ane assise, and so beheaded, the twenty-twa day of Februar, the year of God jmvc threscoir twa. He begged licence to wryte to Fraunce the cause of his death, which, said he in his toung, was, 'Pour estre trouvé en lieu trop suspect; that is, 'Becaus I was found in a place too much suspect'. At the place of execution, when he saw that thair was no remeady but death, he maid a godly confessioun, and granted, that his declyning from the treuth of God, and following of vanitie and impietie, was justlie recompensed upoun him. But in the end he concluded, looking into the heavenis, with these words, 'O cruelle Dame', that is, 'Cruell Maistress'. What that complaint imported, luvaris may devine. And
so receaved Chattelett the reward of his danssing; for he lacked his head, that his toung should nott utter the secreattis of our Quene. 'Deliver us, O Lord, from the raige of such inordinat reullaris' (Laing II:369).

A dispassionate matter-of-factness blends with at least some compassion for 'poor Chattelett'. Like the small birds 'who wist weill to die' in Henryson, Chätelard saw that 'thair was no remeady but death', but at least he is not compelled to 'die as beastis without confessiou'n. Knox's artistry emerges best, however, in that devastating and apparently throw-away line: 'what that complaint imported . . . .' Furthermore, he carefully juxtaposes two clauses which imply the unfitness of the punishment to the crime. Lacking one's head is of course fatal, but the reason given for this action is deliberately presented as petty and trivial. Knox concludes with an example of amplificatio (quo	 nation not identified) which, as Professor Janton says 'marshals all rhetorical techniques of development' (196).

The same techniques are at work in that compelling narrative which comes after Knox's trial when the Nobility unanimously acquitted him, much to Mary's displeasure:

The Lordis voteit uniformelie thai coulde find no offence. The Quene wes past to her cabinet. The flatteris of the Courte, and Ledintoune prynicipally, raged. The Quene wes brocht agane, and placeit in hir chyre, and thai commandit to vote oure agane: quhilk thing heichlie offendit the hall Nobbyttie, and began to speik in opin audience, 'What! sail the Laird of Lethingtoune haif power to controle us; or sail the presence of ane woman caus us to offend God, and to dampne ane innocent againis oure conscience for plesour of onie creatour? And so the hail Nobylattie
absolved John Knox again, and praised God for his modesty, and for his plain and sensible answers.

This persisted, the Queen began to upbraid Mr. Henrie Synclair, then Bishop of Ross, and said, hearing his vote to agree with the rest, 'Trubill nocht the barne: I pray you trubill him nocht; for he is newlie walknit out of his sleip. Why soulde nocht the aulde fule follow the futestaples of thame that haif passit befoir him' (Laing II:411).

What Knox chooses to present as remarkable is the fact that he was acquitted not once, but twice, and that Mary was hell-bent on having him 'in hir will be vote of hir Nobyllattie' (Laing II:412). This satisfaction at the frustrated expectations of the Queen's party charges the whole passage with dynamism, and this comes out best in 'the tant of the Quene to Mr. Henrie Synclair'. If Mary did in fact utter these words she reveals a talent for scathing mockery and a withering distaste for what she saw as weak, inconsistent behaviour (Sinclair had initially backed the Queen's party, see Laing II:402). Certainly we know enough about her astuteness and personal attributes to admit that there must be some truth in Knox's presentation. This granted, her vigorous use of Scots brings the sting of saltiness to her remarks in a way which is wholly memorable. Her sudden contrast between the 'newlie walknit barne' and 'the aulde fule' following stupidly in the footsteps of others, is extremely effective. It is not surprising that Sinclair was driven to answer 'cauldlie'. Knox's own characteristic strain of mockery emerges in the phrase 'for
Madame wes disappoyntit of hir purpois' which makes Mary look both pompous and peevish. This was one prophet's head which was not going to be delivered on a platter to a dancing girl (see Matthew 14:8, Mark 6:25).

We have now looked at over a dozen of Knox's dramatic narratives and one cannot help but be impressed by their range and variety as literature. From the descriptive richness and realism of the Perth incident, the humour and the Scottish moralising of the episode with the woman and French soldier, the extraordinary Christ parallels in Wishart's narrative, the licence, the dramatic power and also the mendacity of the passages describing the behaviour of Cardinal Beaton and the Queen Regent, to the tense, highly wrought confrontation scenes between the opposing forces of Reformation and status quo, the earlier descriptions of the rout at Solway Moss, the French Court and finally the French influence on the Scottish court, one derives a sense of Knox's remarkable resourcefulness as a writer and as an advocate prepared to go to great lengths to amass evidence for his partisan cause. Ironically, and rather pathetically, he seems only to have succeeded in condemning himself by so doing, but his efforts were not wholly in vain. Their legacy is fine literature.

THE BARBED PEN - KNOX'S FORENSIC RHETORIC

Forensic rhetoric is an important aspect of Knox's work, and it would be difficult to overlook. Again the literary value of his efforts in this field is high, and there is much
variety both in expression and content. The blurring of
genres again creates a problem, however, since Knox's
talents and experience all come together, making
classification difficult. The present section proposes to
examine a number of fairly long passages of forensic
rhetoric, which reveal a strikingly impressive technique,
rather than to amass a collection of Knox's more terse,
trenchant strokes. The first is his assessment of James V,
who died in 1542 (when Knox was in his late twenties). What
follows may be in fact a genuine recollection of the
opinions which he heard expressed at the time, and thus it
pre-dates his own earliest appearance in The Historie by a
few years. We can almost perceive Knox the young priest,
weighing up the pros and cons:

All man lamented that the realme was left without a
male to succeed; yit some rejoised that such an enemy
to Goddis treuth was tacking away. He was called of
some, a good poore manis King, of otheris hie was
termed a murtherar of the nobilitie, and ane that had
decreed their hole destruction. Some prased him for
the repressing of thyft and oppressioun; otheris
disprase him for the defoulling of menis wyffis and
virgines. And thus men spak evin as affectionis led
thame. And yitt none spack all together besydis the
treuth; for a parte of all these foresaidis war so
manifest, that as the verteuis cold nott be denied, so
could nott the vices by any craft be clocked (Laing
I:92-93).

The impression here is one of balance, even moderation and
objectivity. 'Yitt' and 'some' are recurrent items, while
'prase' on one hand is matched by 'disprase' on the other.
And when Knox says that 'all man lamented', he plays perhaps
unconsciously, a card in his own favour, since it gives us an insight into the general opinion on female rulers at that time. More striking are his remarks on what might be called freedom of speech. Indeed, it is in these comments that Knox the proto-democrat begins to emerge, to find a better platform during his interviews with the Queen. It may be significant that it was the death of her father that gave him his first opportunity to form his belief in the right to speak as he chose, but one should probably be wary of reading too much into historical coincidence.

Knox also uses material relating to James's character to assess others, notably Cardinal Beaton. For instance, after outlining the events which were ultimately to lead to the Battle of Solway Moss (see, ch. 5, p. 410), Knox observes:

Our King perceaving that the warr wold ryse, asked the Prelattis and Kirkmen, what support thei wald maik to the susteanyng of the same; for rather wald he yitt satisfie the desyre of his Uncle, then he wald hasard warr, whare hie saw not his force able to resist. Thei promissed montanes of gold, (as Sathan thaire father did to Christ Jesus yf he wald worshippe him;) for rather thei wald have gone to hell, or he should have mett wyth King Hary: for then, thought thei, Fayr weill our Kingdome, and fayr weill thought the Cardinall, his credite and glorie in France (Laing I:77).

This attack revolves around Matthew 4:8-9: 'the deyyll toke hym vp and led him to an excedynghe hye mountayne, and shewed hym all the kyngdomes of the worlde, and all the glorie of them, and sayde vnto hym: all these wil l geue the, yf thou wilt fall downe and worship me' (Coverdale). The
association of James V with a false Christ admirably epitomises what Knox sees as the King's failure to fulfil the duties of his high office. (Slightly later, when James says 'Pack you, Jefwellis' it is 'an answere worthie of ane prince' see Laing I:82, cf. ch.5, p.369). The impression here, however, is of wasted potential rather than of worthlessness, and this ties in well with the moderation of the first passage we considered. More striking than any of this is Knox's use of pithy cartoon-like thought-bubbles to outline the opinions of the clergy and Beaton. The cinema or television screen again comes to mind (cf. p.410).

Even more impressive is Knox's treatment of James's death scene and the following passage is aimed not just at the Cardinal, but also at Mary of Lorraine. Knox calls it the 'Regis exitus'.

In the meantime, in his [the King's] great extremity, comes the Cardinal, (ane apt confortare for a desperat man). He cryes in his ear, 'Tak ordour, Schir, with your realme, who shall rewill during the minoritie of your Dowghter? Ye have knawin my service: what will ye have done? Shall thare nott be four Regentes chosyn? and shall nott I be the principall of thame? What the King answered, documentis war tackin that so should be . . . This finissed, the Cardinall posted to the Quene, laitly befoir delivered [Regis Nativitas], as said is. At the first sight of the Cardinall, sche said, 'Welcome, my Lord: Is nott the King dead?' What moved her so to conjecture, diverse men ar of diverse judgementis (Laing I:92).

Laing says that 'this story of Cardinal Beaton having forged . . . a will constituting Beaton Regent during the minority
of Mary has been discredited, but it undoubtedly obtained credence at this time' (197). Certainly David Lindsay was familiar with it, since, in The Tragedie of the Late Cardinal Beaton, he has him say: 'Ane paper blank his grace I gart subscrive / In to the quhilk I wrait all that I plesit' (198). Knox's insinuations about Mary of Lorraine are hilariously funny, but again a great degree of reservation is necessary.

Professor Dickinson says that Pitscottie's version of the above scene is more pleasing because of that famous phrase 'it came with a lass, it will pass with a lass' (199). (Knox has 'it came from a woman and it will end in a woman . . .' and considering the fact that he had such a good ear for the Scots phrase, we must admit that this is a rather curious instance of anglicising). Even so, the Reformer presents an accomplished version nonetheless. He uses sermocinatio and ends in intimatio. Desiderius Erasmus defines the former as 'the attribution to an individual of language in harmony with his age, birth, country, life, spirit and behaviour. This figure is found in histories, but is more common in the poets'. Knox's use, however, is closer to the definition given by Julius Caesar Scaliger in his Epitome Troporum ac Schematum et Grammaticorum et Rhetorum: 'When a particular direct speech is attributed to a character . . . [as] a means of portraying his character' (200). Knox uses this device on both characters, making each look callous and thoroughly
self-centred. Knox's Beaton (not the real Beaton) is more vividly characterised since his questions suggest great urgency and fervour.

The latter device comes in with Knox's parting shot. 'What moved her so to conjecture, diverse men are of diverse judgementis'. In his Directions for Speech and Style John Hoskin's later defined the scheme as 'a way of amplifying that leaves the collection of greatness to our understanding . . . and makes our meaning more palpable by a touch than by direct handling' (201).

Professor Dickinson points out that 'in neither Mary Stewart nor her mother, Mary of Guise, could Knox find one redeeming virtue' (202) and the following passage probably represents him at his most outspoken. His subject is of course the woman he loved to hate (Mary, Queen of Scots):

So was sche sold to go to France . . . for a plague to this realme. And thairfoir, albeit that now a fyre comes out frome hir, that consumes many, let no man wonder, she is Goddis hand, in his displeasour punishing our formare ingratitude. Let men patientlie abyd, and turne unto thar God, and then shall he eyther destroy that hoore in hir hoordome, or ellis he shall put it in the harttis of the multitude, to tak the same vengeance upoun hir, that hes bein tane of Jesabell and Athalia, yea, and of otheris, of whome prophane historyis mak mentioun; for geattar abominatioun was never in the nature of any woman, then is in hir, whareof we have but sein only the buddis; but we will after taist of the rype fruitt of hir impietie, yf God cutt not hir dayis schorte (Laing I:218).
David Murison rightly calls these 'strong intemperate words' and indeed they are powerful enough to place Knox alongside, say, Shakespeare's great cursers; King Lear, Queen Margaret or Timon of Athens. Dr. Murison however, includes a proviso. They were, he says, written, 'when Mary was in the midst of her amour with the man who had murdered her husband about six weeks before, and was riding headlong for disaster. Like it or not, our prophet was proved sadly right again' (203). Laing himself says that Knox's language 'is impossible to vindicate' (204) but the present writer feels no inclination to do so. Dr. Murison has said enough, even if one can only agree cum grano salis. What is surprising, and what both Laing and Dr. Murison seem to have missed is the fact that, in terms both of sentiment and vocabulary, Knox is manifestly the debtor of the book of Revelation 18:3-8 (with one major difference; Knox is milder). Here are the verses, from Coverdale's Bible:

for all nacions have dronken in the wine of the wrath of her whoredome . . . come away from her my people, that ye be not partakers of her synnes, lest ye receaue of her plages. For her synnes are gone up to heauen, and the Lorde hath remembred her wyckedness. Rewarde her even as she rewarded you, and geue her double accordinge to her workes. And poure in dubble to her in the same cuppe, which sche fylled unto you. And as moche as she gloryfied her seife and lyyed wantonly, so moch poure ye in for her punysment, and sorowe, for she sayeth in her herte: I syt beinge a quene, and am no wyddowe, and shall se no sorrowe. Therefore shal her plages come at one daye, death and sorrow, and honger, and shalbe brent with fyre . . . .'
Knox must have been struck by the apparent relevance of this prophecy to the situation with Mary and Bothwell, even if there was a good deal of wishful thinking in the parallel. This time the source of the parallel is especially significant because it again places Knox's prophecy in the apocalyptic tradition (see ch. 3, p. 179, ch. 4, p. 235). His other references to Jezebel and Athalia are presumably based on 2 Kings 9:33 and 11:20 respectively, but these figures, together with other biblical villains such as Ahab and Delilah, were types, commonly used in Scotland and elsewhere during the Reformation. And for all this fiery intemperance, one should not overlook the fact that Knox is offering advice. Buchanan's own assessment of Mary in Rerum Scoticarum Historia was more subtle, more scholarly, more moderate and less biblical, but his intentions are evidently very similar to Knox's (205).

DESCRIPTIVE WRITING

I wish now to consider two passages from Knox's Historie which we might aptly classify as descriptive writing. Yet this is not all they have in common, and I have chosen to juxtapose them for two other reasons. They reveal, very strikingly, a sixteenth century world-view which posits a relationship between natural phenomena (des prodiges célestes) (206) and world events. And Knox's Historie is not alone in this view, as I propose to show by comparing his text with the earlier history of Hector Boece. The first example describes the arrival of a comet known as
the 'fiery bosoome' which was seen over Scotland in 1558, the second deals with the arrival of Mary Stuart, a few years later. Hopefully their similarities will emerge in due course. The first reads:

In the wynter the said Johne aboad in Scotland appereid a comet, the course whairof was from the south and southwest, to the north and north-east. It was seine in the monethis of November, December, and Januare. It was called 'The fyrie bosoome'. Sone after dyed Christeane, King of Denmark: And warr raise betuix Scotland and England . . . In the end of the nixt harvest, was sein on the Bourderis of England and Scotland a strange fyre, which descended from heavin, and brunt diverse cornes in boyth realmes but most in England. Thare was presented to the Quein by Robert Ormestoun, a calf having two headdis, whareat eche scripped, and said 'It was but a commoun thing'. The warr begane in the end of the harvest . . . (Laing I:255).

It is not difficult to find many similar passages in Boece. Here is one such, accompanied by Bellenden's translation:

Boece
Duo eodem anno Comets apparuisse dicintur, vterque ingenti mole, humano aspectui horrendus: orientem solem in autumno vnus priecedebat, occidentem in vere alter sequabatur. Conspectæ sunt & frequentis sub noctem ignitor acies ardentibus hastis coelo concurrere subito euanescre. Lituus (ita incurum sacri magistratus ecclesiastici baculum appellant nonnullis in pontificus manu dom sacra ageret Camelodunici repente igne correptus ita pertinaciter arsit, vt nulla prorsus arte

Bellenden
The samyn yere apperit two cometics, richt horribil to the sicht of man. The tane apperit befoir the sone rysing in weir, and the tothir eftir the son ganging to in hervist. Offt tymes wes sene in the nict ane fyry ordinance of armit men rusching to gidder with speris in the air, and quhen the tane of thame was wincust the tothir sone evanist Quhen the Bischop of Camelon wes doand dyvyne service in his pontificall, his staff tuke neyd fyre, and mycht be slokynnit be na craft quhill it was
Obviously, if one undertook to write a history, it was necessary to make such comparisons. The comet episodes move from heavenly portents to earthly events, preparing the reader for the type of interpretation outlined above. Knox, more effectively than Bellenden, suggests the inevitability of war by his extreme concision in moving from each portent to what he sees as its indirect consequence. Bellenden's language is, however, as tightly controlled in other ways. He makes use of a 'tane . . . tothir' construction, presumably suggested by *vns* and *alter*. Bellenden's 'son rysing' and 'son ganging' (from *orientam* and *occidentem*) create symmetry which in Knox's passage is likewise to be found with reference to the poles ('south', 'south-west', 'north', 'north-east') and the passages share a common vocabulary. For example, the following items play an important part in invoking mood: 'appeired / apparuisse / apperit, 'was seine / aspectui / to the sicht' , 'end of harvest / in *autumn* / ganging to in heruist', 'strange / *horrendus* / *horribil*', 'warr raise / *mole* / rysing in weir' and finally 'fyrie / *ignite* / *fyry*'. Obviously sixteenth century historians had stock phrases with which to describe such events, and as to interpretation, even Shakespeare's generation inherited something similar. In *King Lear*, Gloucester puts things in a nutshell when he says 'these
late eclipses of the sun and moon portend no good to us' (King Lear Act 1, Sc. 2, l.103-104). All three finish off with an example of supernormal occurrences closer to hand; but Knox uses his to make the Queen regent sound arrogant whereas the others have no comparable intention.

This brings us to our second sample of Knox's descriptive writing. He tells us:

The nyntene day of August, the year of God jmvc three scoir ane yearis, betwix sevin and aught houris befoir noon, arrayved MARIE QUENE OF SCOTLAND, then widow, with two galayis furth of France . . . The verray Face of heavin, the time of hir arryvall, did manifestlie speak what comfort was brought unto this cuntrey with hir, to wit, sorrow, dolour, darkness, and all impletie; for in the memorie of man, that day of the year, was never seyn a more dolorous face of the heavin, which two days after did so contineu, for besides the surfett weat, and corruption of the air, that skairse mycht any man espy ane other the lenth of two pair of buttis. The Sun was not seyn to schyne two dayis befoir nor two dayis after (Laing II:209).

This is unmistakably Knoxian. Professor Ronald Jack has particularly admired its literary qualities, especially 'the slow building up of atmosphere' (209). But Knox has built imaginatively on a real meteorological occurrence. One of Mary's French companions who came with her to Scotland, the Chronicler Pierre de Bourdeilles Brantôme, complained that on arrival, he encountered 'grand brouillard', and there is no reason to doubt him.

The most rhetorically impressive features occur in the second and middle sentence (my text). It is packed full (but not overloaded) with detail, and Knox's complete
control of language ensures that the reader's attention does not falter. Disjunctive subordination is used mainly to sketch in time while Knox interweaves justificatory phrases with adjectivals. However, his definitive 'to wit, sorrow, dolour, darkness and all impietie' constitutes prose composition of the highest order. Knox obviously regards bad weather as a sign of God's profound displeasure and this is not all that far from the world-view implicit in the first passage.

Moreover, Knox's registers are strikingly idiomatic. For example, 'verray', 'manifestlie' and 'impietie' are obviously drawn from the biblical register. The first is used as an intensive to denote the exceptional prominence of an otherwise ordinary thing, as in 'the verie heuens declar his righteousness' (Coverdale, Psalm 96:6). The language is consistently metaphoric, with a switch from noun to verb ('Face' to 'speak'). One might legitimately label these effects personification or pathetic fallacy. Knox also mentions 'two pair of buttis'. The substantive here (erroneously translated by W. C. Dickinson as 'boots') (210) is presumably drawn not from the vocabulary of clothing but from that of contemporary sport. D.O.S.T. defines the item as 'a mark for practice in achery', as in 'he wan the pryse abone them all . . . baith at the buttis and the futeball' from Lindsay's Squire Meldum (211). The intense power of the prose is heightened in other ways. The adverb 'skairse' qualifies the degree of the speaker's belief, thus adding
just a trace of doubt and helping to create an almost surreal impression. A phrase like 'corruption of the air' suggests a Macbeth-like scene, and evidently for Knox, Mary's arrival ushers in a world where 'fair is foul and foul is fair' (Macbeth Act 1., Sc. 1, 1.9). Symmetry, such as we found in the 'comet' descriptions, exists here in the phrases 'two dayis befoir' and 'two dayis after'. It may be fanciful to suppose that this passage was first written at much greater length and selectively condensed to give it such an electrical charge, but we do know that in the MS. 1566 it occurs in one of the heavily revised sections.

This, then, brings us to the end of the material from Knox's Historie. Like his private epistles, The Historie has been much neglected by critics who show little imagination, and still less desire to break away from that crippling, but all-too-useful, one-quotatation-stereotype. It is to be hoped that this chapter has rediscovered many fine areas in the work, and interpreted Knox in a more original way than the run-of-the-mill studies. But, in any case, the same old story was not what we went out into the wilderness for to hear.
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CONCLUSION

This dissertation has served to emphasise that Knox was, and is, a crucially important literary voice; he stands at the end of the medieval period as one who has absorbed its teaching and methods as well as its traditions, but who also came under the influence of humanism, and of onward-marching Reformation doctrine. He is regarded by historians as a supremely influential figure, although recently it has been recognised that he was not the sole architect of the Scottish Reformation, and although a man of firm conviction, was not always a fearless champion of his beliefs. He was never 'in power' and it is improper to speak of him 'permitting' things as if he held political office (1).

Literary critics nearly always present readers with a distorted historical image, and historians seldom bother to recognise the relevance of rhetorical technique as an agent of change in belief and therefore in history. However, Pierre Janton has argued very cogently that Knox 'could not have become the historic figure he is without great literary talent' (1) and this is a fact all too seldom recognised. Knox, of course, did not conceive of his literary talent as a means of achieving a historical reputation, even if he was occasionally egotistical. After his own conversion to Protestantism, he was first, last, and always, a preacher, fishing for the souls of men in the time honoured fashion. As an intensely practical man, he knew that eloquence and
rhetoric were essential tools for a preacher, but only if carefully used. Properly appreciated, his words should fall away and become irrelevant once the religious point is taken. To admire words at the expense of doctrine is to misunderstand the preaching altogether (and this is true with all religious teaching, the language of the Bible being the supreme example). In line with these notions is Knox's own denial of rhetoric, as a vehicle of sophistry, hypocrisy or both. For the literary critic to stop with such a recognition, however, would be to exhibit an astonishing credulity. The deceptive straight-forwardness of Knox's writings paradoxically adds another layer of complexity to his literary work. As I have illustrated in this study, the sixteenth century was a period of rhetorical fecundity and of intense commitment. The combination of these two strands produced sparks of fire, in Knox's works no less than in, say, Martin Luther's.

This dissertation has also shown that language is not simply a means to an end, however important that end. Language itself is often defined and shaped by religion (or any strong belief, for that matter) and also reveals independently the psychological patterns of those who use it. This is true in Knox's case. The correlation is especially pronounced in his private letters (chapter three) and in The Historie (chapter five) and, surprisingly, rhetorical convention seems neither to undermine his impression of sincerity, nor to diminish our image of Knox's
mind. We cannot always say the same about his public epistles and the theological writings, probably because these were intended for publication and Knox was more keenly aware of his audience. Even so, texts such as The First Blast of the Trumpet, The Appellation and A Godly Letter do provide compelling evidence that all of Knox's skills, together with the vehement side of his character, at least, are interacting in an electrifying sense.

The Historie of the Reformation, however, is Knox's greatest literary achievement and all of his other works, for all their intrinsic interest, look pale beside it. In this work, he has to subordinate his fundamental concerns, so evident elsewhere, to the flow of narrative, but this does not prevent him manifesting his own character and thoughts, consciously or unconsciously. We can divide The Historie into sections which reveal the contrasting literary strands operating within it, and having isolated these, establish the revelatory and functional qualities of language within each. It is not possible to read The Historie without appreciating the multi-faceted character of its author or without making some kind of decision about sixteenth century Protestantism, and perhaps even about modern Protestantism.

This study, then, has argued for a more mature, realistic and informed recognition of Knox's literary legacy, but it is still true that his voice will probably never reach a great number of readers, simply because his
public image, peddled in the thoroughfares, puts them off. More problematically, he will always, and immediately, alienate those, who, with good reason, do not stand in a Protestant tradition, however loosely we use the terms. (This is why The Historie is a tragically flawed masterpiece). Catholic readers face difficulties which are not easily surmounted. Indeed, even those who share Knox's Protestantism (again with the necessary provisos) must exercise special caution in their analysis of his work. Finally, as W.S. Reid has observed (3), there will perhaps always be a small number who approve unreservedly of Knox, more because of his world-view and perceived ecclesiastical achievements than literary ones, but it is worth remembering that excessive enthusiasm is often as great a threat to objectivity as is hostility. Knox is forever controversial, but we ought to stop just reacting to him, and start thinking about him; a difficult task, to be sure, but not an impossible one. It is a sobering thought, and amazingly ironic, but perhaps the literary Knox can only be appreciated, for the right reasons, in a secular society. When all is said and done, one knows instinctively that he would readily have sacrificed his undoubted literary talents to prevent this.
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