

Maclean, Kirsten (2013) ACT at Work: Feasibility study of an acceptance based intervention to promote mental health well-being and work engagement in mental health service staff. D Clin Psy thesis.

http://theses.gla.ac.uk/4630/

Copyright and moral rights for this thesis are retained by the author

A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge

This thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the Author

The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the Author

When referring to this work, full bibliographic details including the author, title, awarding institution and date of the thesis must be given

Glasgow Theses Service http://theses.gla.ac.uk/ theses@gla.ac.uk



ACT at Work: Feasibility Study of an Acceptance Based Intervention to Promote Mental Well-being and Work Engagement in Mental Health Service Staff.

CLINICAL RESEARCH PORTFOLIO

VOLUME I

(VOLUME II bound separately)

Kirsten Maclean

MA(SocSci Hons), MSc

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (D ClinPsy)

> Academic Unit of Mental Health and Wellbeing, Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow

> > July 2013

© Kirsten Maclean, 2013

Acknowledgments

Firstly, I would like to thank Dr Ross White for his mentoring and supervision. Thank you for your continuing guidance, encouragement and commitment to this research. I would also like to thank Dr Nicola Cogan for her help and support.

Most importantly my sincerest thanks go to those who gave up their time in their extremely busy schedules to participate in the research. It was a privilege to work alongside you.

I would like to thank my placement supervisors, Dr Colin Robertson, Dr Gillian Anderson, Dr Eileen Boyes, Dr Chris Harding and Dr Elaine Carr for their influential clinical supervision over my doctorate training. I have been fortunate to have afforded excellent learning opportunities and gained great knowledge and experience.

I would also like to thank my partner, family and friends for their love, support and perspective. Their unfaltering belief in me has been a tremendous source of strength through all my times of self-doubt. Finally, I would like to thank Laura Brown for her patience, guidance and editorial skills.



Declaration of Originality Form

This form **must** be completed and signed and submitted with all assignments.

Please complete the information below (using BLOCK CAPITALS).									
Name:	KIRSTEN MACLEAN								
Student Number	1004592								
Course Name	DOCTORATE IN CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY								
Assignment Number/Name	RESEARCH PORTFOLIO								

An extract from the University's Statement on Plagiarism is provided overleaf. Please read carefully THEN read and sign the declaration below.

I confirm that this assignment is my own work and that I have:	
Read and understood the guidance on plagiarism in the Student Handbook, including the University of Glasgow Statement on Plagiarism	✓
Clearly referenced, in both the text and the bibliography or references, all sources used in the work	✓
Fully referenced (including page numbers) and used inverted commas for all text quoted from books, journals, web etc. (Please check with the Department which referencing style is to be used)	√
Provided the sources for all tables, figures, data etc. that are not my own work	\checkmark
Not made use of the work of any other student(s) past or present without acknowledgement. This includes any of my own work, that has been previously, or concurrently, submitted for assessment, either at this or any other educational institution, including school (see overleaf at 31.2)	✓
Not sought or used the services of any professional agencies to produce this work	\checkmark
In addition, I understand that any false claim in respect of this work will result in disciplinary action in accordance with University regulations	✓

DECLARATION:

I am aware of and understand the University's policy on plagiarism and I certify that this assignment is my own work, except where indicated by referencing, and that I have followed the good academic practices noted above

Signed: Kirsten Maclean

The University of Glasgow Plagiarism Statement

The following is an extract from the University of Glasgow Plagiarism Statement. The full statement can be found in the University Calendar at <u>http://www.gla.ac.uk/media/media_238045_en.pdf#page=51&view=fitH,340</u>. This should be read in conjunction with the discipline specific guidance provided by the School at Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences.

31.1 The University's degrees and other academic awards are given in recognition of a student's **personal achievement**. All work submitted by students for assessment is accepted on the understanding that it is the student's own effort.

31.2 Plagiarism is defined as the submission or presentation of work, in any form, which is not one's own, without **acknowledgement of the sources**. Plagiarism includes inappropriate collaboration with others. Special cases of plagiarism can arise from a student using his or her own previous work (termed auto-plagiarism or self-plagiarism). Auto-plagiarism includes using work that has already been submitted for assessment at this University or for any other academic award.

31.3 The incorporation of material without formal and proper acknowledgement (even with no deliberate intent to cheat) can constitute plagiarism.

Work may be considered to be plagiarised if it consists of:

- a direct quotation;
- a close paraphrase;
- an unacknowledged summary of a source;
- direct copying or transcription.

With regard to essays, reports and dissertations, the rule is: if information **or ideas** are obtained from any source, that source must be acknowledged according to the appropriate convention in that discipline; and **any direct quotation must be placed in quotation marks** and the source cited immediately. Any failure to acknowledge adequately or to cite properly other sources in submitted work is plagiarism. Under examination conditions, material learnt by rote or close paraphrase will be expected to follow the usual rules of reference citation otherwise it will be considered as plagiarism. Departments should provide guidance on other appropriate use of references in examination conditions.

31.4 Plagiarism is considered to be an act of fraudulence and an offence against University discipline. Alleged plagiarism, at whatever stage of a student's studies, whether before or after graduation, will be investigated and dealt with appropriately by the University.

31.5 The University reserves the right to use plagiarism detection systems, which may be externally based, in the interests of improving academic standards when assessing student work.

Table of Contents

Volume 1	Page
Chapter One: Systematic Review	1 - 33
A Systematic Review Assessing 'Risk of Bias' in Studies of Mindfulness-based Group Interventions for Health Professionals	
Chapter Two: Major Research Proposal	34 - 72
ACT at Work: Feasibility Trial of an Acceptance Based Intervention to Promote Mental Well-being and Work Engagement in Mental Health Service Staff.	
Chapter Three: Advance Clinical Practice I. Critical Reflective Account (Abstract Only)	73 - 74
Reflection on Communication and Clinical Practice: Practicing Mindfulness for Two.	
Chapter Four: Advance Clinical Practice II Critical Reflective Account (Abstract Only)	75 - 76
Integrating New Knowledge into Clinical Practice and Experiencing the Role of a Trainer.	
Appendices	
Systematic Review Appendix 1.1: Submission Requirements for Clinical Psychological Review	77 - 87
Appendix 1.2: The Cochrane Collaboration Tool For Assessing 'Risk of Bias'	88
Appendix 1.3: Criteria for Judging 'Risk of Bias'	89 - 92
Major Research Project	
Appendix 2.1: Submission Requirements for Journal of Contextual Behavioural	93 - 104
Appendix 2.2: Recruitment Poster	105
Appendix 2.3: Participant Information Sheet	106 - 108
Appendix 2.4: Participant Consent Form	109
Appendix 2.5: Control Participant Information Sheet	110 - 112
Appendix 2.6: Control Participant Consent Form	113
Appendix 2.7: Lanarkshire Research and Design Ethics	114 - 115
Appendix 2.8: Glasgow University Ethics	116
Appendix 2.9: ACT at Work Training Content	117 – 120
Appendix 2.10: Valuing Questionnaire Measure (VQ-8)	121
Appendix 2.11: ACT _w Training Evaluation Form	122
Appendix 2.12: Major Research Proposal	123 - 131

Table of Contents

Volume II (Bound Separately)	Page
Chapter Three: Advance Clinical Practice I. Critical Reflective Account	132 - 145
Reflection on Communication and Clinical Practice: Practicing Mindfulness for	
Two.	
Chapter Four: Advance Clinical Practice II Critical Reflective Account	146 - 157
Integrating new knowledge into clinical practice and experiencing the Role of a	
Trainer.	

CHAPTER 1: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

A Systematic Review Assessing 'Risk of Bias' in Studies of Mindfulness-based Group Interventions for Health Professionals

Kirsten Maclean¹

¹Academic Unit of Mental Health and Well-being, Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Glasgow.

Correspondence Address:

Academic Unit of Mental Health and Wellbeing Academic Centre Gartnavel Royal Hospital 1055 Great Western Road Glasgow G12 0XH

E-mail: Kmaclean1@nhs.ac.uk

Declaration of conflicts of interest: none

Prepared in accordance with submission requirements for Clinical Psychological Review (See Appendix 1.1)

Abstract

Background: Literature identifies stressors and contextual challenges health professionals experience as a part of their job. Stress and burnout are widely recognised, impacting negatively on the individual and the organisation. Literature underscores the need for stress management initiatives. Mindfulness interventions have been administered as a means of decreasing burnout, increasing satisfaction and improving patient care.

Objective: This systematic review aimed to critically appraise published studies evaluating mindfulness-based group interventions in health professionals. There is a focus on evaluating the potential risk of bias in each study's methodology, through administration of the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool, advocated by PRISMA.

Method: Research literature published between 2000 and 2013 was searched and the results screened against inclusion criteria to identify mindfulness group interventions implemented with health professionals. Eighteen studies were suitable for inclusion, including, both randomised and non-randomised designs.

Results/Conclusions: The studies had a high degree of risk of bias across all domains (selection, performance, detection, attrition & reporting). There was a high risk of bias for participant selection, intervention implementation, and how outcomes are measured and reported. Relatively speaking, studies' reporting of results appears to be more rigorous. This review provides recommendations to increase the methodological rigour of future research.

Highlights

- Evaluated risk of bias in studies focussed on administering mindfulness interventions with health professionals.
- Evaluation was conducted utilising the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool.
- Studies reveal a high degree of risk across all domains.
- Recommendations suggest ways to enhance future research through methodological rigour.

Key Words: Mindfulness, occupation, work-based, stress; burnout; work engagement.

Introduction

There is a plethora of literature identifying the stressors and contextual challenges health professionals experience as part of their job. Studies highlight stressors intrinsic to a health professional's caring role, such as providing intense emotional support, and dealing daily with pain, loss and traumatic life events (Cohen-Katz, Wiley, Capuano, Baker & Shapiro, 2004; Aiken, Clarke & Sloane, 2001; Aiken, Clarke, Sloane, Sochalski & Silber, 2002; Decker, 1997). In addition, they note the difficult contextual demands experienced, such as extended working hours, heavy workload, and feelings of a lack of power and control (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Aiken et al., 2001: Aiken et al., 2002; Decker, 1997). Other studies highlight the lack of legalistic frameworks, absence of supervision, role conflict and ambiguity (Korkeila et al., 2003; Edwards, Burnard, Coyle, Fothergill & Hannigan, 2000). Additional challenges relate to the way in which service users present i.e. enduring relapsing illness, suicide risk, threatened and actual violence (Korkeila et al., 2003; Edwards et al., 2000). These stressors are present across professional disciplines and staff levels (Pipe, et al., 2009; Shirey, 2006). Idiosyncratic stressors faced by those in clinical training include feeling burdened with the responsibility of patients, while suffering anxiety and tension due to their perceived lack of knowledge and experience (Randle, 2003; Kang, Choi & Ryu, 2009). Rosenzweig, Reibel, Greeson, Brainard and Hojat (2003) highlight the co-occurring academic pressures evident while encountering human suffering and mortality. Furthermore, the frequently changing health care environment can be a stressor. Staff are required to maintain highquality care while adapting to an evolving system. Time pressures and increasing demands create additional stress (Aiken et al., 2001; Galantino, Baime, Maguire, Szapary & Farrar, 2005; Goodman & Schorling, 2012), which can be exacerbated by staff shortages (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004).

Clinician Stress and Burnout

One area linked to stress that has received particular attention is 'burnout'. The term burnout was introduced to describe physical and emotional exhaustion in healthcare facilities (Freudenberger, 1974; Wood & Killion, 2007). The literature is replete with claims that burnout is an endemic problem in health professionals. It is widely recognised in occupations that have intense involvement with people who have psychological, social and/or physical problems (Maslach & Jackson, 1981). It is reported that 25% of health professionals experience it (Da Silva & Menezes, 2008). Burnout is a syndrome with three dimensions: emotional exhaustion, feelings of cynicism and detachment, and a sense of ineffectiveness and lack of accomplishment (Maslach, Schaufeli & Lieter, 2001). It can impact on individuals from across the health professions including physicians (Shanafelt, Sloan & Habberman, 2003), nurses (Vahey, Aitken, Sloane, Clarke & Vargas, 2004) and psychologists (Rupert & Morgan, 2005). Research has indicated that over 40% of nurses report burnout and 60% of psychologists admit to working when they have viewed themselves as distressed to the point of clinical ineffectiveness (Irving, Dobkin & Park, 2009).

The widely noted consequences of stress and burnout are devastating at an organisational and individual level. Burnout is associated with job turnover, absenteeism (Ducharme, Knudsen & Roman, 2008; Maslach & Jackson, 1981) and a premature exit from the profession (Aiken et al., 2001; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004). Consequently, this can cause a decline in the stability of the workforce (Krasner et al., 2009). Of those who remain in employment, burnout has been linked to poorer quality of life (Krasner et al., 2009). Research has identified a link between burnout, personal distress and physical illness i.e. physical exhaustion, insomnia, drug/alcohol use, and marital/family problems (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Irving et al., 2009; Maslach et al., 2001; Maslach & Jackson, 1981). There is also evidence of an associated decrease in the quality of care and service, lower productivity, reduced commitment to the job, negative impact on colleagues (Maslach et al., 2001; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004), and low morale (Maslach & Jackson, 1981; Cushway & Tyler, 1996). In terms of a clinician's skills, burnout is associated with impaired attention and concentration, and a reduced capacity to make decisions, communicate effectively, convey empathy, and establish meaningful relationships (Irving et al., 2009). Research has also highlighted an association with burnout and decreased patient satisfaction (Irving et al., 2009; Leiter, Harvie & Frizzell, 1998; Vahey et al., 2004) with suboptimal patient care and longer patient-reported recovery (Irving et al., 2009).

Health and Well-being

Initial conceptualisations of burnout suggested that it is the product of personal and environmental factors (Leiter & Maslach, 1988). However, research has highlighted that burnout is more of a function of the situation than the person (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Maslach, 2003; Poulin, Mackenzie, Soloway & Karayolas, 2008). Maslach (2003) consistently finds burnout alongside work factors such as difficult job demands, imbalance between high demands and low resources, and presence of conflict between people, role demands and/or values. The most common stress management approaches reported are person-centred i.e. removing individuals from jobs, changing work behaviour and training to strengthen interpersonal responses (Maslach, 2003). This review focuses on person-centred strategies; however, it is also important to be mindful of the contextual and organisational factors.

Research highlights few programmes aimed at preventing stress and/or promoting mental wellbeing. Of those that do exist, few evaluate the intervention efficacy (Krasner et al., 2009; Mackenzie, Poulin & Seidman-Carlson, 2006; Maslach, 2003; Poulin et al., 2008). Literature highlighting the stressors encountered by health practitioners underscores the need to include stress management initiatives (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Irving et al., 2009; Kang et al., 2009; Shapiro, Astin, Bishop & Cordova, 2005).

Mindfulness

In recent years, 'mindfulness' has been proposed as a means of decreasing burnout, increasing satisfaction and improving patient care (Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Epstein, 1999; Ludwig & Kabat-Zinn, 2008; Shanafelt, 2009). To date, most mindfulness interventions used in the workplace have been delivered in group format. Mindfulness is a way of 'paying attention' originating in Eastern meditation practices (Baer, 2003). Kabat-Zinn (2003) defines mindfulness as: "the awareness that emerges through paying attention on purpose, in the present moment, and nonjudgmentally to the unfolding of experience moment by moment" (p. 145). Mindfulness "includes an affectionate, compassionate quality within the attending, a sense of openhearted, friendly presence and interest" (Kabat-Zinn, 2003, p. 145). Irving et al. (2009) added: "attention rests with various stimuli, including breath, bodily sensations, perceptions (sights and sounds) as well as cognitions and emotions", highlighting the importance of formally learning "how to be awake or fully present in the now" (p. 62). This is developed primarily through practising meditation, defined as the intentional self-regulation of attention from moment to moment (Baer, 2003; Irving et al., 2009; Kabat-Zinn, 1982). There are formal and informal practices which engender sustained attention, whilst provoking qualities such as patience, trust and acceptance (Baer, 2003; Poulin et al., 2008).

In the last 40 years, Buddhist traditions have become common in the West (Baer, 2003; Collard, Avny & Boniwell, 2008; Kabat-Zinn, 2003; Poulin et al., 2008). The purpose is to alleviate suffering and cultivate compassion; therefore, it could play a helpful role for health professionals (Ludwig & Kabat-Zinn, 2008).

Rationale for Current Review

A systematic review of the methodological strengths and weaknesses of research investigating mindfulness-based group intervention for health professionals has not been conducted. It is important to evaluate the credibility of claims made about the efficacy of mindfulness for reducing work-related stress and improving work performance. A review can inform methodological design for future research (Higgins, Altman & Sterne, 2008). This review will evaluate the internal validity of the various methodological designs. That is, whether each study answers the research questions posed and whether it is free from bias or not.

The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews) outlines the importance of assessing 'risk of bias' when evaluating studies included in a systematic review (Liberati et al., 2009). Reviewers are encouraged to consider which risks of bias have a bearing on results. Caution is identified with utilising individual components, checklists and scales to assess the risk of bias (Deeks et al., 2003; Higgins et al., 2008; Liberti et al., 2009). PRISMA advocate the Cochrane risk of bias tool (Higgins et al., 2008). This consists of five domains for which there is empirical evidence of their biasing influence on the estimates of an intervention's effectiveness in clinical

trials i.e. selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias and reporting bias (Liberti et al., 2009; Higgins et al., 2008).

Aims and Objectives

To critically appraise current published studies evaluating mindfulness-based group interventions in health professionals. Specifically, to evaluate the potential risk of bias inherent in each study's methodology.

Search Strategy

Firstly, a search of the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews was completed to identify existing evidence-based guidelines, literature reviews, systematic reviews or meta-analyses. Thereafter, a systematic, explicit and vigorous search of databases; CINAHL, EBSCO (including psychological databases, Psychinfo & Psychological and Behavioural Science Collection) and MEDLINE (Web of Knowledge) was conducted utilising pre-determined criteria. A search was completed for studies published in English (or interpreted versions) between January 2000 and March 2013. The following search criteria was utilised: *mindful** combined with *employ** or *work based* or *work site* or *occupation** combined with *burnout* or *stress* or *work engagement*. An additional search of the reference lists on identified articles was conducted. Finally, the 'Mindfulnet' website (www.mindfulnet.org) was reviewed for relevant research. All titles and abstracts were reviewed. If studies met inclusion criteria they were read in full.

Eligibility

All papers retrieved from database and journal searches were examined using the following inclusion criteria. Those not meeting criteria were excluded.

Studies were eligible if they:

- 1. Implemented and evaluated a mindfulness-based intervention, where mindfulness was operationalised as:
 - a. Moment to moment awareness
 - b. Non-judgmental attitude
 - c. Teaching of formal meditation techniques
 - d. Stressing the importance of daily and systematic practice
- 2. Included a health profession population (including post-graduate health professionals in training).
- 3. Completed intervention in a group format.
- 4. Used standardised and validated quantitative outcome measures.
- 5. Provided and assessed post-intervention data.
- 6. Were published.

7. Utilised an experimental design (including quantitative sections of mixed methodological studies).

Studies were excluded if:

- 1. The sample included work populations other than health professionals i.e. teachers.
- 2. The sample included undergraduate health-related students, mixed populations i.e. health professionals and university staff.
- 3. Measures were exclusively administered on patient population.
- 4. There was no data, included preliminary data or employed a single qualitative methodology.
- 5. Not published in a peer-reviewed publication, i.e. conference abstracts, book chapters and dissertations.
- 6. Not reported in English.
- **7.** Mindfulness was delivered in the broader context of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy.

Assessing Risk of Bias

The Cochrane 'Risk of Bias' Tool (Higgins et al., 2008) was used to evaluate the methodologies of eligible studies. It is a two-part tool, addressing five domains, in which there are seven areas: sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting and 'other issues'. In this review, 'other issues' included 'fidelity of intervention' under the domain of performance bias. The tool is summarised in Appendix 1.2. Each domain has a specific section in the risk of bias table. Each section outlines the factors to report to support a judgement and a space to assign a judgement of 'low', 'high' or 'unclear' risk of bias for that entry. Please see Appendix 1.3 for judgement criteria.

The author and additional second rater independently screened studies for risk of bias utilising the same rating tool. The second rater received clinical doctorate training to conduct a systematic review. Agreement between raters reached 93%. Disagreement was resolved through discussion and 100% agreement was reached.

Description of Included Studies

A study selection flow diagram is outlined in Figure 1. Of the 59 studies identified through the database and three through other sources, 18 met inclusion criteria. Overall, studies represented a total sample of n=1006. The mean was 38 years of age (Range: 22 - 50, SD = 10.10) for studies that recorded age (n=10). Characteristics of included studies are shown in summary Table 1.

[Insert Table 1 here]

Study Design: Three studies were randomised controlled trials (RCTs) (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Pipe et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2005); one of which (Shapiro et al., 2005) was described as a pilot study. Two were quasi-experimental studies (Kang et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006), one noting it is a pilot study (Mackenzie et al., 2006). The remaining 13 are non-randomised studies. Nine used a pre-post design (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady, O'Connor, Burgermeister & Hanson, 2012; Galantino et al., 2005; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Krasner et al., 2009; Poulin et al., 2008; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Shapiro, Brown & Biegel, 2007) and four used a repeated measure design (Collard et al., 2008; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström, Ronnberg & Bodlund, 2006; Zoysa, Ruths, Walsh & Hutton, 2012); of these, two indicated they are pilot studies (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Schenström et al., 2006).

Ten studies did not utilise a control group (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Collard et al., 2008; Galantino et al., 2005; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Krasner et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Zoysa et al., 2012). Schenström et al. (2006) indicated that a planned controlled comparison could not be carried out due to "practical difficulties", which they did not elaborate on. Eight studies employed a control group, see Table 1, Column C, for various methods. Two studies highlighted specific difficulties with recruitment and randomisation of a control group (Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008). Poulin et al. (2008) could not randomise clients due to scheduling constraints and shift patterns. Mackenzie et al. (2006) reported difficulties adhering to leave requirements and had to "add controls". Therefore, applying rigorous research methodology to a real world setting can be challenging.

Recruitment: Of the 18 studies, six did not describe recruitment procedures (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Galantino et al., 2005; Kang et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008). Five (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Collard et al., 2008; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2007) recruited health professionals in training. Collard et al. (2008) offered students the intervention as a continuation to training. Other studies offered it as one option from a choice of available subjects (Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2007). Whereas, Rimes & Wingrove (2011, noted recruiting trainee psychologists by e-mail and accepting on a "first come – first served basis."

Studies reported a range of recruitment procedures, such as: e-mail announcements (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Krasner et al., 2009; Pipe et al., 2009; Ruths et al., 2012; Shapiro et al., 2005; Zoysa et al., 2012), local print, flyers and magazines (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Shapiro et al., 2005), phone calls (Krasner et al., 2009) and meetings (Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Ruths et al., 2012).

All 18 studies are based on participants self-selecting to participate in the research. Furthermore, in 14 studies, participants were able to self-select to receive the mindfulness intervention (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Collard et al., 2008; Galantino et al., 2005; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Krasner et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007; Zoysa et al., 2012). Ruths et al. (2012) highlighted individuals volunteering to participate may have different rationale for doing so. Beddoe & Murphy (2004), Brady et al. (2012), Shapiro et al. (2007) and Krasner et al. (2009) suggested individuals may have selected on the basis that mindfulness was attractive to them. Potential confounding variables include participants who perceive themselves as struggling to cope with stress (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004) or in the greatest need of help (Rimes & Wingrove, 2011). On the other hand, it may appeal to those interested in mindfulness (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2007; Krasner et al., 2009) or those more enthusiastic (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011).

Professional groups: Participants were health professionals employed in various departments and in training (see Table 1, Column D).

Outcome Measures: Table 1, Column M provides details of the outcome measures administered. These include stress measures (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2009; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007; Zoysa et al., 2012), physical and psychological distress measures (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Collard et al., 2008; Galantino et al., 2005; Kang et al., 2009; Krasner et al., 2009; Pipe et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Ruths et al., 2012; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007; Zoysa et al., 2012), physical measures (Galantino et al., 2005), burnout measures (Brady et al., 2012; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Galantino et al., 2005; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Krasner et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008; Shapiro et al., 2005) and quality of life measures (Collard et al., 2008; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2008; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2008; Shapiro et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2007; Poulin et al., 2008; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2008; Shapiro et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005; Zoysa et al., 2012).

Process Measures: A range of candidate *process of change* measures were used (see Table 1, Column N). Studies evaluated empathy (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Galantino et al., 2005; Krasner et al., 2009; Pipe et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Shapiro et al., 2005), rumination and worry (Rimes & Wingrove, 2011, Ruths et al., 2012; Shapiro et al., 2007; Zoysa et al., 2012) and mindfulness measures (Brady et al., 2012; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Collard et al., 2008; Krasner et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2007; Zoysa et al., 2012).

Results: Research has indicated the potential effectiveness of utilising mindfulness programmes on health professionals. Different forms of mindfulness i.e. Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction

(MBSR) and Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT) (including shortened versions) are effective in increasing individual levels of mindfulness (Collard et al., 2008; Krasner et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2007). Studies have noted that mindfulness is effective in reducing stress (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2009; Pipe et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007), reducing burnout (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Galantino et al., 2005; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Krasner et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008), increasing empathy (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Krasner et al., 2009), improving self-care (Brady et al., 2012), improving quality of life (Schenström et al., 2006) and improving satisfaction with life (Collard et al., 2008; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008; Shapiro et al., 2005). Epstein (1999) concluded mindfulness can be integral to professional competence, promoting effective clinical decision making and reducing errors. These studies indicate mindfulness improves psychological well-being (Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Ruths et al., 2012) including reducing anxiety (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Kang et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2007), reducing rumination (Shapiro et al., 2007; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011), improving mood (Galantino et al., 2005; Rosenzweig et al., 2003), decreasing negative affect (Collard et al., 2008; Shapiro et al., 2007), increasing positive affect (Shapiro et al., 2007) and improving relaxation (Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008). Furthermore, studies concluded that individuals practising mindfulness more often benefit from increased improvements (Collard et al., 2008; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Ruths et al., 2012). Improvements were maintained for three months (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Schenström et al., 2006), 20 weeks (Ruths et al., 2012) and 18 months (Zoysa et al., 2012).

Selection Bias: Biased allocation to treatment.

Use of a Control and Recruitment Process

As mentioned above, the majority of studies did not utilise a control group. In addition, recruitment was often completed in a self-selecting nature. Therefore, there is potential bias in the selection of participants for the mindfulness and control groups.

Random Sequence Generation

Of the eight studies incorporating a control group, five utilised a randomisation procedure (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Kang et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Pipe et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2005). Two studies did not indicate the randomisation procedure utilised (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Shapiro et al., 2005). Therefore, the risk of bias is unclear. Mackenzie et al. (2006) indicated use of randomisation procedure, but also highlighted additional recruitment of controls, therefore rendering the process insufficient. Of the remaining two studies, one utilised an appropriate randomisation technique (Pipe et al., 2009). The other study utilised a method based on alternate allocation which is likely to be predictable (Kang et al., 2009).

Allocation Concealment

Out of the five studies using a randomisation procedure, three did not describe a method of concealment indicating an unclear risk of bias (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Pipe et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2005). It is apparent Mackenzie et al. (2009) did not utilise allocation concealment, recruiting controls at a later date due to diminishing numbers. Kang et al. (2009) utilised a number randomisation procedure, which was not sufficient to meet criteria for a low risk of bias.

All eight studies utilising a control group examined demographic and/or outcome measure differences at baseline (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Kang et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Pipe et al., 2009; Poulin et al., 2008; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007). Six noted significant differences between groups (Kang et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2003; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007). Four studies controlled for these differences in the statistical analysis (Kang et al., 2009; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2007). However, these studies noted that other confounding factors were not considered i.e. participant motivation (Pipe et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2007), heterogeneity of sample, in terms of autonomy, control and work responsibilities (Mackenzie et al., 2006) and concerns about stress and burnout (Poulin et al., 2008).

Performance Bias: bias due to knowledge of allocated intervention, including fidelity of interventions and blinding of participants and personnel.

Fidelity of Interventions

Table 1, Columns J, K and L provide details about the intervention implemented in studies. Nine studies described an MBSR informed intervention (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Kang et al., 2009; Krasner et al., 2009; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007). Four studies shortened the MBSR protocol (Brady et al., 2012; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Pipe et al., 2009; Poulin et al., 2008). Four studies described following MBCT protocol (Collard et al., 2008; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Ruths et al., 2012; Zoysa et al., 2012). One study combined both MBSR and MBCT (Galantino et al., 2005). Despite adaptations being made, only one study outlined how changes were made (Galantino et al., 2005). Of the studies that highlighted total training time (n=16) the mean number of hours offered in training was 19.8 (range = 2 - 50).

Although indicating the utilisation of a mindfulness programme, four studies did not specifically outline protocol (Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Collard et al, 2008; Pipe et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011). Only seven studies noted facilitator qualifications (Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Pipe et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005; Zoysa et al., 2012) and only two studies highlighted a procedure to ensure programme integrity (Ruths et al., 2012; Zoysa et al., 2012). Therefore, only the latter two studies fulfil criteria for low risk of bias. The remaining studies were classified as having a high risk of bias.

Blinding

As tends to be the case with psychological intervention trials, the individuals delivering the mindfulness groups were not blinded to whether or not participants received the intervention (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Collard et al., 2008; Galantino et al., 2005; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Kang et al., 2009; Krasner et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Pipe et al., 2009; Poulin et al., 2008; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007; Zoysa et al., 2012). Only two studies attempted to blind participants. Pipe et al. (2009) presented the project as content related to stress management and the control group was matched to have the same contact with facilitated learning experiences. Similarly, Poulin et al. (2008) noted that both their control and treatment arm focussed on stress management and were matched for didactic and experiential focus, homework and support material. Despite these attempts, the criteria for low risk of bias were not met. Therefore, all studies were classified as a high risk of bias.

Blinding of Outcome Assessment

Only one of the eight controlled studies ensured that assessments were completed blind to the outcome of allocation (Kang et al., 2009). Pre- and post-intervention measures were performed by research assistants who were blind to experimental and control groups.

Attrition Bias: bias due to amount, nature of handling of incomplete outcome data.

Incomplete Outcome Data

Three of the 18 studies did not record attrition information (Mackenzie et al., 2006; Poulin et al., 2008; Rosenzweig et al., 2003). Therefore, there is insufficient data to permit judgement of high or low risk of bias for incomplete outcome data.

The remaining 15 studies noted attrition in various forms (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Collard et al., 2008; Galantino et al., 2005; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Kang et al., 2009; Krasner et al., 2009; Pipe et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2007; Zoysa et al., 2012). Twelve studies highlighted individual session attendance. Two studies noted the number of participants that completed training, however they did not define completion i.e. attendance at all sessions or at a certain amount (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004). Four studies identified individuals that did not attend all sessions (Collard et al., 2008; Kang et al., 2009; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2005). Pipe et al. (2009) outlined those who attended at least three quarters of the sessions. Goodman & Schorling (2012) and Ruths et al. (2012) noted those who attended half the sessions. Krasner et al. (2009) noted the number of participants that attended at least one session. Brady et al. (2012) and Rimes & Wingrove, 2011 definitively outlined the number of sessions each participant attended. Overall, studies highlighted varying attendance and drop-out rates (see Table 1, Column F).

Whilst considering the 15 studies noting attrition, 11 assessed change over two time points (i.e. before and after) and four evaluated change over three or more time points (Collard et al., 2008; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Zoysa et al., 2012). All 15 studies remarked on the number of individuals recruited and the number who completed the outcome measures at various time points (see Table 1, Columns E, G and H). The 15 studies indicating sample size at baseline and at the point of final questionnaire completion noted a total recruited sample of n=634. Of these n=497 completed final assessment point outcome measures, which is an overall attrition rate of

21%. Attrition rates range from 0% (Rimes & Wingrove, 2012) to 30% (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012, Ruths et al., 2012) to a further 44% in a longer-term follow-up study (Zoysa et al., 2012).

Four studies recorded reasons for attrition (Brady et al., 2012; Galantino et al., 2005; Pipe et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2005) including work scheduling conflicts (Brady et al., 2012; Pipe et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2005), increased work responsibility (Shapiro et al., 2005), illness (Brady et al., 2012; Shapiro et al., 2005), family problems, resignation (Shapiro et al., 2005) and 'other life events' preventing participation (Galantino et al., 2005). Given the number of work-related factors, Shapiro et al. (2005) extrapolate that adding an intervention plus daily practice to an already demanding schedule may not be feasible for health care professionals. Some studies explicitly identified high attrition and the problematic consequences (Brady et al., 2012; Collard et al., 2008; Galantino et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2005). Brady et al. (2012) outlined their uncertainty of how drop-outs would influence the results, while Collard et al. (2008) highlighted the potential favourable direction of confirming the efficacy of intervention. Although these studies identified attrition in a comprehensive manner, they still failed to consider it in the statistical analysis. Therefore, these studies reach a high risk of bias.

Overall, seven studies did not report reasons for attrition nor consider attrition data in analysis, indicating a high risk of bias (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Krasner et al., 2009; Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Shapiro et al., 2007; Zoysa et al., 2012).

Galantino et al. (2005), Goodman & Schorling (2012) and Shapiro et al. (2005) completed analysis to report differences between those who completed and those who did not complete the surveys across age, sex, ethnicity or any outcome measures. Although completing some analysis on attrition data, these studies failed to incorporate attrition data into statistical analysis. Therefore these studies also indicate a high risk of bias.

Two studies, noted their attrition data was low (Rimes & Wingrove, 2011; Pipe et al. 2009), therefore it is unlikely that the missing data is related to the true outcome; consequently, these studies reach a low risk of bias.

Overall, studies noted attendance, attrition in outcome measures, and the reasons for attrition; some even noted the related difficulties when this data is not considered. However, studies ultimately failed to consider attrition data in statistical analysis. It would appear all studies' statistical analysis excluded individuals who did not complete follow-up measures. No studies conducted intent-to-treat analysis. Therefore, the majority of studies reached a high risk of bias. However, as reported attrition was low for Rimes and Wingrove (2012) and Pipe et al. (2009), it is unlikely that attrition would have biased the results; therefore, these studies receive a low risk of bias rating.

Reporting bias: bias due to selective reporting of results.

Selective Reporting

No studies outlined an explicit protocol (i.e. initial protocol prior to write up). 13 studies met low risk of bias, as although the study protocol is not available, the published reports include all expected outcomes, including those that were pre-specified in the aims and hypotheses (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004; Brady et al., 2012; Cohen-Katz et al., 2004; Collard et al., 2008; Goodman & Schorling, 2012; Kang et al., 2009; Krasner et al., 2009; Mackenzie et al., 2006; Pipe et al., 2009; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Ruths et al., 2012; Schenström et al., 2006; Shapiro et al., 2007). However, four studies met criteria for high risk of bias. Rimes & Wingrove (2011) and Galantino et al. (2005) failed to report all pre-specified primary outcomes, only reporting significant positive outcomes. Shapiro et al. (2005) and Zoysa et al. (2012) included post-hoc analysis that was non-specified. Poulin et al. (2008) did not define the projected statistical analysis nor indicate clear hypothesis, therefore, it was not possible to identify the risk of bias.

Risk of Bias Graph 1 and *Risk of Bias Summary* Graph 2 respectively outline the overall quality across the studies as a whole and the risk of bias ratings calculated for each study.

[Insert Graph 1 here]

[Insert Graph 2 here]

Discussion

Main Findings and Conclusion

The aim of this systematic review was to critically appraise current published studies evaluating mindfulness-based group interventions for health professionals. Overall, all studies reviewed indicated the positive impact of implementing mindfulness in the work arena. This review evaluated the potential risk of bias inherent in each study's methodology. It was hoped that this would help to increase the methodological rigour of future research in this area. Sources of bias have important implications for the internal validity of the research process and whether the studies have appropriately addressed the research questions. This review adhered to the PRISMA and

Cochrane guidance and recommendations on how to critique methodology. PRISMA and Cochrane both advocate the assessment of risk of bias utilising the Cochrane 'Risk of Bias' Tool (Higgins et al., 2008). This is the first review to utilise this approach with mindfulness-based group interventions. It is apparent from Graphs 1 and 2 that studies show a high risk of bias across the majority of domains.

A number of key issues emerged from the review. Firstly, the recruitment procedures used by studies may have increased the risk of selection bias and may have limited the extent to which the results of the studies can be generalised. Few studies clearly demarcate the size of the population source from which they recruited. However, it seems that 13 out of 18 studies recruited from large source samples i.e. a whole hospital (Ruths et al., 2012) and large professional groups i.e. primary care physicians (Krasner et al., 2009). Despite such large sources, the number of individuals selecting mindfulness was remarkably small. For instance, Krasner et al. (2009) in a non-controlled study noted that only 70 of the 871 individuals approached (8%) volunteered to participate in mindfulness training.

All studies are based on staff self-selecting to participate in the research, with participants specifically self-selecting to take part in the mindfulness intervention in 14 studies. This raises two questions:

- A. Why, given the large recruitment sites, did such a small percentage of people participate, given the high stress and burnout levels indicated in the literature?
- B. Given this potential recruitment bias, what confounding factors come into play? Could there be an inherent difference between individuals participating and those who did not?

In terms of selection bias, the majority of studies did not have a control group. Lack of a control group prohibits the assurance that no confounding variables were involved in the results. In addition, it questions the causal relationship between the intervention and the outcome measures. Therefore, results can only be tentatively linked to the mindfulness intervention. It also precludes comparison to other stress reduction programmes. In terms of selection bias for studies incorporating a control group, only one implemented an appropriate randomisation procedure (Pipe et al., 2009) and only one indicated allocation concealment, which did not meet criteria (Kang et al., 2009). Selection bias can lead to systematic differences between characteristics of participants in different intervention groups. A majority of the studies (six out of eight) noted significant differences at baseline. Differences at baseline indicate the potential for confounding factors coming into play. Statistical methods should be used to counter the bias introduced from confounding; however, only four studies did so (Kang et al., 2009; Rosenzweig et al., 2003; Shapiro et al., 2007). These aforementioned studies accounted for basic

demographic differences; however, they did not consider the possibility of other confounding factors i.e. motivation (Pipe et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2007), work differences (Mackenzie et al., 2006) and individuals' concerns regarding stress (Poulin et al., 2008).

In terms of performance bias and fidelity of intervention, all studies followed a well-researched mindfulness programme, with the majority outlining protocol. However, less than half outlined facilitator skills and only two ensured assessment of adherence to protocol (Ruths et al., 2012; Zoysa et al., 2012). Furthermore, all studies failed to blind participants and personnel in regard to the treatment received by participants. In terms of detection bias, only one study successfully achieved blinding of the outcome assessment (Kang et al., 2009).

Overall, there was a high risk of attrition bias, with studies reporting incomplete outcome data and some failing to report attrition data at all. Studies reporting attrition numbers did not always consider the potential reasons for attrition. The attrition rate varied between studies, although overall, there appears to be a high rate of attrition. Few studies provided rationale for dropout; of those that did, it was indicative that a number of work- and life-related factors precluded attendance (Brady et al., 2012; Pipe et al., 2009; Shapiro et al., 2005). Interestingly, one study questioned the feasibility of administering a stress intervention, in addition to a demanding work schedule (Shapiro et al., 2005). Studies may be recruiting a specific population i.e. those whose job and life pressures do not prevent involvement. Mindfulness interventions may not be reaching all individuals who could benefit from participating. Some individuals may feel their job and life pressures diminish their opportunity to attend. Furthermore, the attrition data was not incorporated into the results and intent-to-treat analyses were not conducted in any study. The extent to which health professionals are able to complete the intervention is an indication of the extent to which they will engage with the intervention and how acceptable they find the approach. The resultant bias in attrition reporting precludes definitive conclusions about how acceptable mindfulness is to health professionals.

In terms of reporting bias, no studies outlined an explicit protocol. However, a large quantity appeared to report pre-specified outcomes as indicated by their aims and hypothesis. A small but significant minority failed to report all pre-specified primary outcomes, only reporting positive outcomes and including retrospective analysis i.e. utilising analysis not pre-specified. One study failed to define planned statistical analysis and indicate clear hypothesis (Poulin, et al., 2008).

Overall, the studies had a high degree of risk of bias spanning across all domains (see Graph 2). Therefore, there is a high risk of bias for participant selection, intervention implementation, and how outcomes are measured and reported. Relatively speaking, studies' reporting of results appears to be more rigorous. However, the results remain questionable due to the methodologies utilised prior to analysis. Galantino et al. (2005) scored highly for every risk of bias domain.

Comparatively, the study conducted by Pipe et al. (2009) incorporated randomisation and attrition data, while completing a fair report; it was, therefore, more methodologically rigorous and likely to reduce the likelihood of bias.

Limitations

There are a number of limitations to be taken into account when considering the conclusions and recommendations. It is important to highlight this review's limited scope. It looks at mindfulness-based group interventions for health professionals and professionals in training. No attempt is made to compare different types of health professional (e.g. nurses, psychologists, and those in training). Although the review focuses on the methodological rigour of selected studies, it does not consider whether this methodological quality impacts on the efficacy reported. Unfortunately, the scope and size of this review does not permit this analysis, but it is important to consider this in the future.

Implications for Future Practice

This review highlights the important aspects that should be incorporated in future practice.

Methodology and study design: Overall, the poor methodological rigour noted in studies questions the veracity of their results. There is a clear indication that studies need to implement research strategies to ensure rigour. There are few RCTs conducted. Researchers need to consider how to implement RCTs while reducing potential sources of bias.

Implementation: Studies need to consider the confounding factors and contemplate the logistics of ensuring fair recruitment to optimise potential uptake and sustainability. There is a need to explore possible ways to implement stress management programmes without adding additional time commitment and strain.

Attrition: In addition, intent-to-treat analysis should be conducted in future studies. Attrition rate data needs to be considered in analysis. In addition, it would be helpful to evaluate why there is such a poor uptake considering the high stress and burnout levels indicated in the literature, and why people feel unable or unwilling to complete the treatment.

The mental health and well-being of health professional staff is paramount. This review highlights the importance of considering the implementation of this type of intervention within a health professional context, and it also considers how studies' results can be more valid, reliable, accurate, generalisable and free from bias.

References

- Aiken, L., Clarke, S., and Sloane, D. (2001). Nurses' Reports on Hospital Care in Five Countries. *Health Affairs*, 20 (3), 43 – 53. doi 10.1377/hlthaff.20.3.43
- Aiken, L., Clarke, S., Sloane, D, Sochalski, J. and Silber, J. (2002). Hospital Nurse
 Staffing and Patient Mortality, Nurse Burnout and Job Dissatisfaction. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 288 (16), 1987–1993. doi: 10.1001/jama.288.16.1987
- Baer, R. (2003). Mindfulness Training as a Clinical Intervention: A Conceptual and Empirical Review. American Association, 10(2), 125-143. doi: 10.1093/clipsy/bpg015
- Beddoe, A., and Murphy, S. (2004). Does mindfulness decrease stress and foster
 empathy among nursing students? *Journal of Nursing Education*, 43(7), 305-312.
 PMid:15303583
- Brady, S., O'Connor, N., Burgermeister, D., and Hanson, P. (2012). The Impact of Mindfulness Meditation in Promoting a Culture of Safety on an Acute Psychiatric Unit. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 48, 129 – 137. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6163.2011.00315.x
- Cohen-Katz, J., Wiley, S., Capuano, T., Baker, D., and Shapiro, S. (2004). The Effects of Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction on Nurse Stress and Burnout, Part II: A Quantitative and Qualitative Study. *Holistic Nursing Practice*, 19(1), 26-35. PMID: 15736727
- Collard, P., Avny, N., and Boniwell, I. (2008). Teaching Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT) to students: The effects of MBCT on the levels of Mindfulness and Subjective Well-Being. *Counselling Psychology Quarterly*, 21(4), 323-336. <u>doi:</u> 10.1080/09515070802602112
- Cushway, D., and Tyler, P. (1996). Stress in Clinical Psychologists. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 42, 141 149. doi: 10.1177/002076409604200208
- Da Silva, A., and Menezes, P. (2008). Burnout Syndrome and Common Mental
 Disorders among Community Based Health Agents. *Revista Saude De Publica*, 42 (5).
 PMID: 18833390

- Decker, F. (1997). Occupational and Non-occupational Factors in Job Satisfaction and Psychological Distress among Nurses. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 20, 453–464. PMID: 9334799.
- Deeks, J., Dinnes, J., D'Amico, R., Sowden, A., Sakarovitch, C., Song, S., Petticrew,
 M. and Altman, D. (2003). Evaluating Non-Randomised Intervention Studies, Health Technology Assessment 2003, Vol 7, Number 27. PMID:14499048
- Ducharme, L., Knudsen, H and Roman, P. (2008). Emotional Exhaustion and Turnover Intention in Human Service Occupations: the Protective Role of Co-worker Support. *Sociological Spectrum*, 28, 81 – 104. doi: 10.1080/02732170701675268
- Edwards, Burnard, Coyle, Fothergill and Hannigan (2000). Stress and Burnout in
 Community Mental Health Nursing: Review of the Literature. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 7 (1), 7-14. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2850.2000.00258.x
- Epstein R. (1999). Mindful practice. *Journal of American Medical Association*, 282(9), 833-839. doi:10.1001/jama.282.9.833
- Freudenberger, H. (1974) Staff burn-out. *Journal of Social Issues*, 30, 159–165. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-4560.1974.tb00706.x
- Galantino, M., Baime, M., Maguire, M., Szapary, P. and Farrar, J. (2005). Association of psychological and physiological measures of stress in health-care professionals during an 8-week mindfulness meditation program: Mindfulness in practice. *Stress and Health: Journal of the International Society for the Investigation of Stress* 21(4), 255-261. doi:10.1002/smi.1062
- Goodman, M., and Schorling, J. (2012). A mindfulness course decreases burnout and improves well-being among healthcare providers, *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 43(2): 119-128. <u>doi:10.2190/PM.43.2.b</u>
- Higgins, J., Altman, D., and Sterne, J. (2008). Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Higgins JPT, Green S, eds. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.0.0 [Online] [Accessed April 2013] Available from: <u>http://www.cochrane-handbook.org/</u>.

 Irving, J., Dobkin, P., and Park, J. (2009). Cultivating Mindfulness in Health Care
 Professionals: A Review of Empirical Studies of Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR). Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice, 15, 61-66. doi:10.1016/j.ctcp.2009.01.002

- Kabat-Zinn, J. (1982). An Outpatient Program in Behavioural Medicine for Chronic
 Pain Patients Based on the Practice of Mindfulness Meditation: Theoretical Considerations and Preliminary Results, *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 4, 33-47. <u>doi:10.1016/0163-8343(82)90026-3</u>
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-Based Interventions in Context: Past, Present and
 Future. American Psychological Association, 10 (2), 144 156. DOI: 10.1093/clipsy/bpg016
- Kang,Y., Choi, S., and Ryu, E. (2009). The Effectiveness of a Stress Coping Program
 Based on Mindfulness Meditation on the Stress, Anxiety, and Depression Experienced by
 Nursing Students in Korea. *Nurse Education Today*, 29(5), 538-543.
 doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2008.12.003
- Korkeila, Toyra, Kumpulainen, Toivola, Rasanen and Kalimo (2003). Burnout and Self
 Perceived Health among Finnish Psychiatrists and Child Psychiatrists a Natural Survey.
 Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 31, 85 91. doi:10.1080/14034940210133880
- Krasner, M., Epstein, R., Beckman, H., Suchman, A., Chapman, B., Mooney., and Quill, T. (2009). Association of an Educational Program in Mindful Communication with Burnout, Empathy, and Attitudes among Primary Care Physicians, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 302 (12) 1284 – 1293. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1384
- Liberati, A., Altman, D., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gotzsche, P., Loannidis, J., Clarke, M.,
 Devereaux, P., Kleijnen, J., and Moher, D. (2009). Research Methods and Reporting: The
 PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that
 evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration, *British Medical Journal*, *339.* [Online] [Accessed on February 2013] Available from:
 http://www.bmj.com/content/339/bmj.b2700).

Leiter, M., Harvie, P., and Frizzell, C. (1998). The Correspondence of Patient

Satisfaction and Nurse Burnout. *Social Science and Medicine*, 47, 1611–1617. doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(98)00207-X

- Leiter, M., and Maslach, C. (1988). The impact of interpersonal environment on burnout and organizational commitment. *Journal or Organizational Behaviour*, 9, 297 – 308. doi:10.1002/job.4030090402
- Ludwig and Kabatt-Zinn (2008) Mindfulness in Medicine. *Journal of American Medical Association*, 300 (11), 1350 -1352. doi:10.1001/jama.300.11.1350
- Mackenzie, C., Poulin, P., and Seidman-Carlson, R. (2006). A Brief Mindfulness-Based Stress reduction Intervention for Nurses and Nurses Aides, *Applied Nursing Research*, 19, 105-109. <u>doi:10.1016/j.apnr.2005.08.002</u>
- Maslach, C., and Jackson, S (1981). The Measurement of experienced burn-out. *Journal of Occupational Behaviour*, 2, 99 -113. <u>doi:10.1002/job.4030020205</u>
- Maslach, C., Schauefeli, W and Leiter, M. (2001). Job Burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 397 – 422. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397
- Maslach, C. (2003). Job Burnout: New Directions in Research and Interventions.
 Current Directions in Psychological Science, 12(5), 189-192. <u>doi:10.1111/1467-8721.01258</u>
- Pipe, T., Bortz, J., Dueck, A., Pendergast, D., Buchda, V. and Summers, J. (2009).
 Nurse Leader Mindfulness Meditation Program for Stress Management A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 39(3), 130-137. <u>doi:10.1097/NNA.0b013e31819894a0</u>
- Poulin, P., Mackenzie, C., Soloway, G., and Karayolas, E (2008). Mindfulness training as an evidenced-based approach to reducing stress and promoting well-being among human services professionals. *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education*, 46(2), 72-80. doi:10.1080/14635240.2008.10708132
- Randle, J. (2003). Bullying in the Nursing Profession. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 43(4), 395–401. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2648.2003.02728.x

Rimes, K., and Wingrove, J. (2011). Pilot Study of Mindfulness-Based Cognitive

Therapy for Trainee Clinical Psychologists. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 39, 235 – 241. doi:10.1017/S1352465810000731

Rosenzweig, S., Reibel, D., Greeson., J., Brainard, G., and Hojat, M. (2003).
 Mindfulness-based stress reduction lowers psychological distress in medical students.
 Teaching and Learning in Medicine, 15(2), 88-92. doi:10.1207/S15328015TLM1502_03

- Rupert, P., and Morgan, J. (2005). Work Setting and Burnout among Professional Psychologists. *Professional Psychology Research and Practice*, 36 (5), 544 – 550. <u>doi:10.1037/0735-7028.36.5.544</u>
- Ruths, F., Zoysa, N., Frearson, S., Hutton., Williams, M., and Walsh, J. (2012).
 Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for Mental Health Professionals a Pilot Study. *Mindfulness*, doi: 10.1007/s12671-012-0127-0.
- Schenström, A., Ronnberg, S., and Bodlund, O. (2006). Mindfulness-Based Cognitive
 Attitude Training for Primary Care Staff: A Pilot Study. *Complementary Health Practice Review*, 11, 144 -152. doi: 10.1177/1533210106297033
- Shanafelt, T., Sloan J., and Habermann T. (2003). The well-being of physicians. *American Journal of Medicine*. 2003, 114(6), 513-517. <u>doi: 10.1016/S0002-9343(03)00117-7</u>
- Shanafelt, T. (2009) Enhancing Meaning in Work: A Prescription for Preventing
 Physician Burnout and Promoting Patient-Centred Care. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 302(12), 1338-1340. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.1385
- Shapiro, S., Astin, J., Bishop., and Cordova, M. (2005). Mindfulness-Based Stress
 Reduction for Health Care Professionals: Results From a Randomized Trial., *International Journal of Stress Management*, 12(2), 164-176.
 <u>doi: 10.1037/1072-5245.12.2.164</u>
- Shapiro, S., Brown, K., and Biegel, G. (2007). Teaching Self-Care to Caregivers:
 Effects of Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction on the Mental Health of Therapists in Training. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 2, 105 115. doi:10.1037/1931-3918.1.2.105

- Shirey, M. (2006). Stress and Coping in Nurse Managers: Two Decades of Research, Nursing Economics, 24(4), 193-203. PMid:16967890
- Vahey, D., Aiken, L., Sloane, D., Clarke, S., and Vargas, D. (2004). Nurse Burnout and Patient Satisfaction, *Medical Care*, 42 (S2), 57 – 66. doi: <u>10.1097/01.mlr.0000109126.50398.5a</u>
- Wood, B., and Killion, J. (2007). Burnout Among Health Care Professionals, *Radiology Management*, 29 (6), 30 -34. PMid:18283973
- Zoysa, N., Ruths, F., Walsh, J., and Hutton, J. (2012). Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for Mental Health Professionals: A Long-Term Quantitative Follow-Up Study. *Mindfulness*, doi: 10.1007/s12762-012-0176-4.



Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М	Ν
						e				u		Measures	Utilised**
Study	Design	Control	Population	No Recruited	Attendance	No Completed Outcome Measur	Attrition (%)	Mean Age	Type of Mindfulness Intervention	No of Interventio Sessions	Homework Task Assigned	Outcome	Process
Beddoe & Murphy (2004)	Pilot Study Pre-Post Test	No	Nursing students	23	18 completed course	16	30	25	MBSR	8 x 2hr	Yes	DSP	IRI
Brady et al. (2012)	Pre-Post Test	No	Behavioural Health Staff	23	16 attended all classes	16	30	N/ A	Modified MBSR	4 x 1hr	Yes	MHPSS MBI SOSS	TMS
Cohen-Katz et al. (2004)	RCT	Yes: Wait- list	Nurses	27	12 completed treatment	21*	22	46	MBSR	8 x 2.5hr + 6hr retreat.	Yes	MBI BSI	MAAS
Collard et al. (2008)	Repeated Measures (Test-Re-test) Within participants.	No	Counselling & Psychotherapy students	20	3 did not attend final session	16*	20	N/ A	МВСТ	8 wk course	N/A	SWLS PANAS	FMI
Galantino et al. (2005)	Pre- Post Test	No	University hospital staff	84	N/A	69	18	43	Mindfulness Meditation: (MBSR & MBCT)	8 x 2 hr	Yes	POMS-SF MBI Salivary cortisol	IRI

Table 1: Characteristics of Included Studies

Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	Μ	Ν
						ė				ų		Measures	Utilised**
Study	Design	Control	Population	No Recruited	Attendance	No Completed Outcome Measur	Attrition (%)	Mean Age	Type of Mindfulness Intervention	No of Interventio Sessions	Homework Task Assigned	Outcome	Process
Goodman & Schorling (2012)	Pre-Post Observationa 1	No	Physician & Health Care Providers	93	90 participated in at least 4 sessions	73	22	N/ A	MBSR	8 x 2.5hr + 7hr retreat	Yes	MBI SF-12v2	N/A
Kang et al. (2009).	Non- equivalent, control group, pre- post-test.	Yes: No treatment.	Nursing	41	9 eliminated for missing group twice	32	22	22	Modified MBSR	8 x 1.5-2 hr	N/A	PWI-SF STAI BDI	N/A
Krasner et al. (2009)	Pre-Post Test	No	Primary care physicians.	70	68 participated in at least 1 session	51	27*	N/ A	Continuing Medical Education (CME) Mindfulness narrative medicine & appreciative inquiry.	8 x 2.5 hr + 7 hr retreat. 10 mth 2.5 hr session follow up	N/A	MBI POMS MMBFF	2-FMS JSPE
Mackenzie, et al. (2006)	Pilot study, Pre - post test	Wait-list	Nurses & nurses aides.	N/ A	N/A	30	N/ A	47	MBSR Shortened version	4 x 30 min	Yes	MBI SRDI IJSS SWLS OLQ	Qualitative Evaluation

Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	Μ	Ν
						e						Measures	Utilised**
Study	Design	Control	Population	No Recruited	Attendance	No Completed Outcom Measure	Attrition (%)	Mean Age	Type of Mindfulness Intervention	No of Intervention Sessions	Homework Task Assigned	Outcome	Process
Pipe et al. (2009)	RCT	Wait-list	Nursing leaders	34	 33 completed group. 11/15 training participants attended 3/4 sessions. 16/17 controls attended 3/4 sessions. 	32	6	50	MBSR Shortened version	5 x 2hr	Yes	SCL-90-R CES	N/A
Poulin et al. (2008)	Pre-post test Quasi- experimental	Yes: Another treatment	Nurses & nursing aides	N/ A	N/A	40	N/ A	47	MBSR	4 x 30 min.	Yes	MBI SLS SRDS	N/A
Rimes & Wingrove (2011)	Pre-Post Test	No	Trainee Clinical Psychologists	20	7 attended 8 6 attended 7 5 attended 6 and 2 attended 5 sessions	20	0	N/ A	MBCT	8 weekly sessions	Yes	PSS HADS IRI SCS RRQ	FFMQ A mechanism of mindfulness questionnaire

Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	Μ	Ν
									×.			Measures	Utilised**
Study	Design	Control	Population	No Recruited	Attendance	No Completed Outcome Measure	Attrition (%)	Mean Age	Type of Mindfulnes Intervention	No of Intervention Sessions	Homework Task Assigned	Outcome	Process
Rosenzweig, et al. (2003)	Prospected, non- randomized, controlled trial. (Pre- post)	Yes: Structurally equivalent program	Medical Students	302	N/A	N/A	N/ A	N/ A	MBSR	10 x 90 mins.	Yes	POMS	N/A
Ruths, et al. (2012)	Prospective, uncontrolled study, using a repeated measures design.	No	Mental health & research staff	27	Mean number attended = 7 sessions 24/27 attended 4 sessions +	18*	33	35	MBCT	Eight x 2hr + two follow-up sessions at weeks 14 & 20	Yes	GHQ SWLS BSI PSWQ STAI	MAAS
Schenström, et al. (2006).	Prospective pilot study, repeated measures.	No	Mixed primary care staff	52	4 dropped out	41*	21	N/ A	MBSR	3 x 2 day training 1 x 1 day workshop 2-4 wk intervals in between	Yes	WHO-5 VAS	MAAS
Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М	Ν
---------------------------	---	--	--	--------------	--	---------------------------------	---------------	----------	--	---	---------------------------	------------------------------------	------------
										-		Measures	Utilised**
Study	Design	Control	Population	No Recruited	Attendance	No Completed Outcome Measure	Attrition (%)	Mean Age	Type of Mindfulness Intervention	No of Intervention Sessions	Homework Task Assigned	Outcome	Process
Shapiro et al. (2005).	Pilot RCT	Yes: Wait- list	Health care professionals	38	8/18 did not complete training 2/20 controls did not complete	28	26	N/ A	MBSR	8 x 2hr	N/A	BSI MBI PSS SWLS SCS	N/A
Shapiro et al. (2007).	Prospective, non- randomized, cohort controlled design. Pre- post.	Yes: Structurally equivalent program.	Masters level counselling students	64	N/A	54	15	29	MBSR	10 x 3 hr	Yes	PANAS PSS RRQ	MAAS
Zoysa et al. (2012).	Prospective, uncontrolled study, using a repeated measures design. Extended Follow Up to 18mths	No	Mental health & research staff	18	N/A	10	10	44	MBCT	Eight x 2hr + two follow-up sessions at weeks 14 and 20	Yes	GHQ SWLS BSI PSWQ STAI	MAAS

*Denotes longitudinal studies where final questionnaire time point has been considered. **Glossary attached

Glossary

Outcome Measures

Abbreviation	Full Questionnaire Name and (author)					
BDI	Beck Depression Inventory (Beck et al., 1961)					
BSI	Brief Symptom Inventory (Psychological Distress) (Derogatis, 1993)					
CES	Caring Efficacy Scale (Coates, 1997)					
DSP	Derogatis Stress Profile (Derogatis, 1987)					
GHQ	General Health Questionnaire (Goldberg & Williams, 1988).					
HADS	Hospital Anxiety & Depression Scale (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983)					
IJSS	Intrinsic Job satisfaction Scale from the Job Satisfaction Subscale (Koeske, Kirk, Koeske & Rauktis, 1994)					
MMBFF	Mini-markers of the Big Five Factor Structure (Saucier, 1994)					
MHPSS	Mental Health Professionals Stress Scale (Cushway, Tyler & Nolan, 1996)					
MBI	Maslach Burnout Inventory (Maslach & Jackson, 1981)					
OLQ	Orientation to Life Questionnaire (Antonovsky's, 1987)					
PANAS	Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (Watson, Clarke & Tellegen, 1988)					
POMS	Profile of Moods Scale (McNair, Lorr & Droppelman, 1971)					
POMS-SF	Profile of Moods States:Short Form (McNair, Lorr & Droppelman, 1992)					
PSS	Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen, Kamarck & Mermelstein, 1983)					
PSWQ	The Penn State Worry Questionnaire (Meyer et al., 1990).					
PWI-SF	Psycho-Social Wellbeing Index – Short Form (Chang, 2000)					
RRQ	Rumination Reflection Scale (Trapnell & Campbell, 1999).					
SCL-90-R	Symptom Checklist 90-Revised (Derogatis & Lazarus, 1994)					
SCS	Self Compassion Scale (Neff, 2003)					
SF-12v2	Health Survey: Version 2 (Ware, Kosinksi, Turner-Bowker & Gandek, 2005)					
SOSS	The Sense of Self Scale (O'Connor, 1995)					
SRDI	Smith Relaxation Dispositions Inventory (Smith 2001)					
STAI	State Trait Anxiety Inventory (Spieberger, 1983)					
SWLS	Satisfaction with Life Scale (Diener Emmons Larsen & Griffin 1985)					
VAS	Visual Analogue Scale for Perceived Stress (Wewers and Lowe, 1990)					
WHO-5	WHO-5 Well-being Questionnaire (Bech, 2004)					

Process Measures

Abbreviation	Full Questionnaire Name and (author)					
IRI	Interpersonal Reactivity Index (Davis, 1980)					
FFMQ	Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (Baer, Smith,					
	Hopkins, Krietemeyer & Toney, 2006)					
FMI	Freiberg Mindfulness Inventory (Walach et al., 2006)					
JSPE	Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy (Hojat, Mangione,					
	Nasca et al., 2001).					
MAAS	Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale (Brown & Ryan,					
	2003)					
TMS	Toronto Mindfulness Scale (Lau et al., 2006)					
2-FMS	2-factor Mindfulness Scale (Baer, Smith, Hopkins,					
	Krietemeyer &Toney, 2006)					
A Mechanism of	A mechanism of mindfulness questionnaire also devised to					
Mindfulness	investigate other possible processes.					
Questionnaire.						



Graph 1: Risk of Bias summary

Graph 2: Risk of Bias Graph



CHAPTER 2: MAJOR RESEARCH PROJECT

ACT at Work: Feasibility Trial of an Acceptance Based Intervention to Promote Mental Well-being and Work Engagement in Mental Health Service Staff.

Kirsten Maclean¹

¹Academic Unit of Mental Health and Well-being, Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Glasgow.

Correspondence Address:

Academic Unit of Mental Health and Wellbeing Academic Centre Gartnavel Royal Hospital 1055 Great Western Road Glasgow G12 0XH

E-mail: Kmaclean1@nhs.ac.uk

Declaration of conflicts of interest: none

Prepared in accordance with submission requirements for Journal of Contextual Behavioural Science (See Appendix 2.1)

Plain Language Summary

Background: NHS mental health staff have a number of work related stresses. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) is a psychological therapy aimed to teach us to accept what is out-with our personal control and commit to take action that enriches our life. ACT teaches psychological skills to handle painful thoughts and feelings effectively, in such a way that they have less impact and influence. These are known as mindfulness skills. It also helps clarify what is truly important and meaningful – that is, clarify our values – and use that knowledge to guide, inspire, and motivate us to set goals and take action. Work-based ACT has been shown to improve mental health, reduce stress and help individuals learn and work more effectively. Work engagement is defined as being energetic and connected to activity at work. No studies have looked at whether ACT interventions impact on work engagement.

Aims: This study looks at whether ACT at Work Training (ACT_w) could be implemented with mental health staff in Lanarkshire. It will also assess whether ACT_w can improve mental wellbeing and foster work engagement. Staff were recruited to take part in ACT_w . Another group took part in the study, who did not receive ACT_w , to act as a comparison group.

Results: Despite some initial problems recruiting participants, participants for training and participants to act as a comparison group were successfully recruited. Individuals who completed the training gave positive feedback and suggested the intervention was acceptable. However, a third of ACT_w participants missed training sessions, which was related to work and personal stress factors. Results did not show a difference between individuals who received ACT_w and those who did not. However, this may be due to the low numbers of individuals recruited with high stress or low work engagement, meaning that there was little room for improvement. Results did show an association between the skills taught in training i.e. psychological mindedness and valued living and the outcome measures the study aimed to improve i.e. mental well-being and work-engagement.

Conclusion: Results of this study highlight helpful ways to proceed with future research in this area. It would be helpful to conduct future studies with more participants, therefore, to offer training to a larger amount of people. Furthermore, it is important to try and ensure individuals who are stressed receive training; this may mean working with organisations to consider the problems with participants attending.

Abstract

Background: Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) aids individuals to accept difficult experiences that may be beyond their control and commit to behaviour that is consistent with their values. Previous research highlights that ACT interventions can: improve mental health, reduce worker stress and engender effective learning and performance. Work engagement has been defined as having an energetic and effective connection to work activity. As yet, no studies have investigated whether ACT interventions lead to improvements in work engagement.

Aim: To investigate the feasibility of using ACT at Work Training (ACT_w) to improve mental well-being and foster work engagement in staff working in mental health services.

Method: A prospective, non-randomised, cohort controlled, repeated measures design was utilised. The parameters of this feasibility trial were formulated around the PICO (population, intervention, control, outcome) framework. 25 staff were recruited to take part in ACT_w . 20 staff were recruited separately to a control group. The control group did not receive any input. ACT_w was implemented over three sessions. The Utrecht Work Engagement Scale, General Health Questionnaire, Michigan Job Satisfaction Scale and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale were administered as outcome measures, while the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire - measuring psychological flexibility - and the Valuing Questionnaire - measuring value based living - were administered as therapy-specific measures. Following the completion of baseline assessments, measures were conducted 6 and 10 weeks post-baseline.

Results: Despite initial recruitment problems, ACT_w and control group participants were successfully recruited. Positive feedback from those who completed ACT_w , suggested the intervention was acceptable. However, a third of ACT_w participants missed training sessions, which was related to work and personal stress factors. The lack of significant differences between ACT_w and control participants' in scores on outcome and therapy-specific measures across the time points does not provide support for treatment signal changes in these measures. However, the lack of significant differences in outcome measures may be due to the low number of individuals presenting with high stress and low work engagement levels. For the group as a whole, changes in stress, anxiety, depression and work engagement were significantly correlated with changes in therapy-specific measures i.e. measures of psychological flexibility and value based living.

Conclusions: Results of this study highlight factors that will help inform a larger trial of ACT_w for health professional staff. Suggestions for future implementation include considering a larger sample and catchment area, staff stress level, potential barriers to participation and implementation of change at an organisation level.

Highlights

- Feasibility trial assessing implementation of ACT_w on mental health staff, in order to improve mental well-being and foster work engagement.
- Successful recruitment of ACT_w and control participants despite low rate of recruitment precluding utilisation of a randomised control trial.
- Successful implementation and acceptability of ACT_w.
- Little evidence of treatment signals in outcome and therapy-specific measures. Nonsignificant results potentially indicative of low baseline stress levels identified in the staff recruited.
- Significant correlations noted between outcomes measures of stress, mental health, work engagement and therapy-specific measures, psychological flexibility and value based living.
- Study outlines suggestions for the future implementation of a larger trial.

Key words: Acceptance and Commitment Therapy; work, stress; work engagement; wellbeing.

Introduction

Health and Well-being

A plethora of literature identifies the stressors and contextual challenges experienced by healthcare professionals in their job. The Scottish Government (2011) '*Safe and Well at Work: Occupational Health and Safety Strategic Framework for NHS Scotland*' document identifies that an estimated 1.3 million people working in 2009/10 suffered from work-related ill health, of which 435,000 were indicated to be stress-related. The Scottish Government (2013) recorded the 2012/13 NHS staff sickness rate as 4.8%, equating to almost 6400 staff on leave at any one time (Information Service Division, 2013). Health professionals have a number of stressors intrinsic to their caring role (Aiken, Clarke & Sloane, 2001; Aiken, Clarke, Sloane, Sochalski & Silber, 2002), which occur alongside contextual demands (Aiken, et al., 2001; Aiken et al., 2002). Studies highlight the emotional toll on mental health professionals (Brady, O'Connor, Burgermeister & Hanson, 2012). If staff members do not learn to effectively deal with another person's stress or suffering this may lead to increased levels of interpersonal stress (Beddoe & Murphy, 2004).

The Scottish Government identified the mental health and well-being of NHS staff as a priority. NHS staff health and well-being services have been criticised as being reactive; responding to ill-health rather than actively promoting good health and well-being (NHS, 2009). The Scottish Centre for Healthy Working Lives introduced the *Healthy Working Lives (HWL)* awards programme to help employers understand, protect and improve their employees' health. The Chief Medical Officer outlines NHS Scotland's commitment to attaining HWL awards for all acute services; working to attain the Gold Award and the HWL Mental Health Commendation Award (Scottish Government, 2012). To obtain the gold award, NHS boards have to demonstrate that policy, training and support are in place to promote staff mental health. However, there has been no evaluation of interventions aimed to promote mental well-being in NHS staff.

Work Engagement

Burnout is a syndrome with three dimensions; emotional exhaustion, feelings of cynicism and detachment, and a sense of ineffectiveness and lack of accomplishment (Maslach, Schaufeli & Lieter, 2001). The literature is replete with claims that burnout is an endemic problem in health professionals (Maslach & Jackson, 1981). Research identifies mental health professionals are vulnerable to increased stress levels (Margison, 1987; Ruths, et al., 2012), maladaptive coping mechanisms (Ruths et al., 2012) and burnout (Farber & Heifetz, 1982; Ruths et al., 2012).

According to Maslach and Leiter (1997), burnout is the negative antithesis of the energy, involvement, and efficacy that characterises *work engagement*. Work engagement is a positive, fulfilling, work-related state of mind that is characterised by vigor, dedication and absorption (Schaufeli, Salanova, González-Romá & Bakker, 2002). Work engagement can predict high levels of job performance, client satisfaction (Bakker, Schaufeli, Leiter, & Taris, 2008) and financial return (Bakker, Albrecht, & Leiter, 2011). Employees who feel vital, strong and enthusiastic about their work show better in-role and extra-role performance, resulting in better financial results and satisfied customers (Bakker et al., 2008). Engaged workers tend to have an active coping style (Rothmann & Storm, 2003), believing they can face work demands (high self-efficacy), experience good outcomes in life (optimistic) and satisfy their needs by participating in their organisation role (organisational based self-esteem) (Xanthopolou, Bakker, Demerouti, & Schaufeli, 2007).

Current Work-based Programmes

To date, research highlights few work-based programmes aimed at preventing stress and/or promoting well-being. Of those that exist, few evaluate intervention efficacy (Mackenzie, Poulin & Seidman-Carlson, 2006; Maslach, 2003; Poulin, Mackenzie, Soloway & Karayolas, 2008). Literature highlighting stressors encountered by health practitioners emphasise the need for stress management initiatives (Irving, Dobkin & Park, 2009). Although burnout conceptualisations suggest it is the product of both personal and environmental factors (Leiter & Maslach, 1988; Lloyd, Bond & Flaxman, 2013), research has revealed that burnout is more a function of the situation than the person (Maslach, 2003; Poulin et al., 2008). Nevertheless, the most common stress management approaches are person-centred (Maslach, 2003), often disregarding organisation change (Schaufeli, 2003; Bond & Bunce, 2000).

Stress management training (SMT) is commonly used to improve mental health at work (Flaxman & Bond, 2010a), providing cognitive restructuring, muscle relaxation and behavioural skills (i.e. problem solving). Reviews highlight that SMT has a moderate effect in improving employee health (Flaxman & Bond, 2010a). However, reviews highlight design and methodological limitations (Bunce, 1997; Flaxman & Bond, 2010a; Schaufeli, 2003). Shortcomings include short-term evaluation, restricted range of outcome variables, and poor operationalisation of these variables (Murphy, 1988). Furthermore, they fail to examine the psychological mechanisms which mediate change (Bunce, 1997; Bond & Bunce, 2000; Brinkborg, Michanek, Hesser & Berglund, 2011; Flaxman & Bond, 2010a; Lloyd et al., 2013; Noone & Hastings, 2010). Studies advocate that before designing an SMT, a greater understanding of the mechanisms, or mediators, by which it helps people change is required to optimise effectiveness and understand the circumstances in which an intervention is appropriate (Bunce, 1997; Bond & Bunce, 2000; Flaxman & Bond, 2010a; Lloyd et al., 2013).

Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT)

ACT is a psychological intervention that uses acceptance and mindfulness exercises, together with commitment and behaviour change strategies, to increase psychological flexibility. Psychological flexibility involves contacting the present moment fully as a conscious human being, and based on what the situation affords, changing or persisting in behaviour in the service of chosen values (Flaxman & Bond, 2010b). ACT aims to teach the following strategies: cognitive defusion (i.e. observing the arbitrary, automatic and programmed challenging events and the private experience they stimulate), mindfulness and conscious contact with the present moment, and the ability to define values and engage in actions that are consistent with those values. A growing literature implies that psychological flexibility may promote sensitivity to, and contact with, contingencies of reinforcement that bear on chosen values, making it useful in the work setting (Bond, Hayes & Barnes-Holmes, 2006). It has been suggested that when workers are more willing to experience their distressing thoughts and feelings, to remain aware and in contact with situations that are present during their work, and to keep track of their chosen values in their behaviour, they are more likely to function effectively and experience better health (McCraken & Yang, 2008). To date nine published studies have investigated the use of work-based ACT interventions (Bond & Bunce, 2000; Brinkborg et al., 2011; Flaxman & Bond, 2010a; Flaxman & Bond, 2010b; Hayes et al., 2004; Kishita & Shimida, 2011; Lloyd et al., 2013, Ruiz, Rios & Martin, 2008; Stafford-Brown & Pakenham, 2012).

Research highlights the fundamental relationship between psychological flexibility and workplace behaviours (Lloyd et al., 2013). Higher levels of psychological flexibility correlate with and predict better mental health (Bond & Bunce, 2003; Bond & Flaxman, 2006; Brinkborg et al., 2011; McCraken & Yang, 2008; Stafford Brown & Pakenham, 2012), improved learning and job performance (Bond & Bunce, 2000; Bond et al., 2006; Bond & Flaxman, 2006; Hayes et al., 2004), reduced worker stress (Bond & Bunce, 2000; Brinkborg et al., 2011; Flaxman & Bond, 2010a; Flaxman & Bond, 2010b; Noone & Hastings, 2010; Stafford-Brown & Pakenham, 2012), and reduced burnout, including emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation and personal accomplishment (Brinkborg et al., 2011; Hayes et al., 2004; Lloyd et al., 2013, Ruiz et al., 2008; Vilardaga et al., 2011).

Research suggests that increased psychological flexibility acts as a mediator to these improvements (Bond & Bunce, 2000; Flaxman & Bond, 2010a; Lloyd et al., 2013; Stafford-Brown & Pakenham, 2012). The effects from ACT-related concepts i.e. acceptance, mindfulness and value based processes have been found even after controlling for other work factors i.e. job control, negative affectivity and locus of control (Bond & Bunce, 2003; Vilardaga et al., 2011).

Research has not investigated whether a work-based ACT intervention can enhance work engagement. This study investigates the feasibility of using ACT at Work Training (ACT_w) to improve mental well-being and enhance work engagement in mental health staff working in NHS Lanarkshire (NHSL). In accordance with the Medical Research Council guidelines, this will be a phase three controlled feasibility trial.

The parameters of this feasibility trial were formulated around the PICO framework (Richardson, Wilson, Nishikawa & Hayward, 1995):

- 1. **Population:** Can an appropriate group from NHSL mental health staff be recruited? This will be determined by ascertaining whether participants can be identified and consented to participate in the trial. Stress levels of individuals will also be considered.
- Intervention: Will ACT_w Training be acceptable to NHSL mental health staff? This will be determined by measuring training attendance and analysing completed training feedback.
- 3. **Control:** Can an appropriate group of NHSL mental health staff be recruited as a control and followed up in parallel to the intervention group; to facilitate as a comparison? Completion of outcomes measures will be considered.
- 4. Outcomes: Can we identify measures to assess the impact of ACT_w on changes in mental well-being and work engagement? Efforts will be made to identify treatment signals in the outcome and therapy-specific measures.

Method

Design

This study was a prospective, non-randomised, cohort controlled, repeated measures design exploring the feasibility of implementing ACT_w to improve mental well-being and foster work engagement in NHSL Mental Health Staff.

Participants

52 staff volunteered, of which 45 completed consent and participated. Participants were included if they worked in a NHSL mental health team; including all occupational groups. Exclusion criteria included a minimum time in post (i.e. 3 months), to minimise the potential confounding impact of transitioning into a new post. The mean age of the participants was 45 years (range = 24 - 61, SD = 9.179), 41 were female (91.1%) and 4 male (8.9%). The professional breakdown of participants was as follows; 12 Nursing (26.7%), nine Physiotherapy (20%), nine Occupational Health (20%), five Speech and Language (5%), four Occupational Therapy (8.9%), three Dietetics (6.7%) and three Psychology (6.7%).

Table 1 compares the participants who were allocated to ACT_w with the control group. There were no significant differences between groups in terms of age or gender.

[Table 1]

Recruitment Procedures

Researchers met with Mental Health Managers in NHSL to present proposed research, highlighting the efficacy of ACT_w on mental well-being and burnout. Managers endorsed potential staff involvement and identified departments. Initially this study was proposed as a Randomised Controlled Trial (RCT), with the plan to recruit participants and randomly allocate them to ACT_w or a wait-list control. Therefore, all employees in identified departments were invited to participate. Information about the research was advertised using posters in the workplace (see App 2.2). E-mails disseminating research information and inviting individuals to participate were sent to all managers for circulation to other staff. The researcher attended multi-disciplinary team meetings to promote research and answer questions. A participant information sheet (see App 2.3) was circulated to all interested individuals and participants signed informed consent (see App 2.4).

Due to recruitment problems, the study design changed to a quasi-experimental design, incorporating a cohort control. Feedback in the initial stages of recruitment identified genuine interest in the training coupled with an inability to commit to training due to work demands. It

was envisaged that individuals may have been willing to act as a control (i.e. opting to spend a short time completing questionnaires opposed to committing to nine hours of training). Therefore, all of the individuals recruited at that point were assigned to the ACT_w intervention. Following an amendment to ethics, additional individuals were recruited to the control arm. E-mails disseminating research information and inviting individuals to participate as controls were sent to all managers for circulation to other staff. A control participant information sheet (see App 2.5) was circulated to all individuals who voiced an interest and participants signed informed consent (see App 2.6).

Ethical Approval

Research approval was gained from NHSL Research and Development Department (Ref: L12049) (see App 2.7) and Glasgow University College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Science Ethical Committee (Ref: 200120003) (see App 2.8). Participants' anonymity and confidentiality was paramount. Individuals were reminded that they could withdraw from the study at any point. It was emphasised that participation, non-participation and withdrawal would not impact on current/future employment. If individuals presented with elevated stress they were sign-posted to their GP and/or to seek guidance within the organisation.

Arms of the Study

ACT_w: was delivered in a group format over three sessions (two on consecutive weeks, the third a month later). The training was delivered to groups between 8-10 employees during working hours. Participants worked in different geographical locations, therefore a central training location was selected. ACT_w was led by researcher (KM) plus one other co-facilitator. Training adhered to standardised protocol designed for group worksite interventions: ACT at *Work* by Bond & Hayes (2002), which aims to teach people the following psychologically flexible strategies: cognitive defusion (i.e. observing the arbitrary, automatic and programmed nature of thinking); the acceptance of, rather than the avoidance of challenging events and associated private experiences (e.g. anxiety); mindfulness and conscious contact with the present moment; and the ability to define values and engage in actions consistent with those Training consisted of various metaphors, mindfulness and cognitive defusion values). techniques, as well as values exploration and goal clarification in order to establish what is important in their lives and help individuals to behave in a way that promotes this (see App 2.9 for training content). Training was accompanied by homework assignments, handouts and a CD of experiential exercises to practise. Participants were asked not to discuss the training with anybody in their organisation for the study duration. Facilitator (KM) attended training outlining the Bond and Hayes (2002) programme. All sessions were audio recorded and competence and fidelity were assessed by an ACT expert.

Control subjects: These individuals did not receive ACT_w . They were assessed in the same format, in parallel, at the same time points as those receiving ACT_w .

Procedure

Once individuals consented to participate, those recruited to the ACT_w arm were scheduled to attend a particular training group and were e-mailed details of training dates and location. All participants were allocated a number at recruitment to ensure anonymity. Participants allocated to ACT_w met with the researcher and co-facilitator for three 3-hour sessions. The ACT_w groups ran over a five-month period. Assessment measures were completed with all participants at Baseline, 6 weeks (Time 2) and 10 weeks post-baseline (Time 3). Participants allocated to ACT_w completed the Baseline measures prior to session one and the Time 2 measures prior to session 2. Time 3 assessments were distributed and returned via NHSL internal mail. If an individual in the treatment arm missed session three, questionnaires were sent via internal mail. Assessment measures for the control participants at each of the three time-points were distributed and returned via internal mail.

Measures

Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES-17; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2003). This 17-item scale measures vigor, dedication and absorption. Respondents are asked to consider how they feel about their current employment. Items include "At my work, I feel that I am bursting with energy" (vigor), "I am enthusiastic about my job" (dedication) and "I am immersed in my work" (absorption). Respondents indicate how often they feel this way on a seven-point Likert scale from 0 (never) to 6 (always every day). Cronbach's α range between .80 and .90 (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2003).

Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire: Job Satisfaction Subscale (MOAQ:JSS, Cummann, Fichman, Jenkins & Klesh, 1979) was used to measure participants' intention to seek a new post. Responses are rated on a five-point scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Internal consistency reliability is .84 and the mean test–retest reliability is .50 (Bowling & Hammond, 2008).

General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12; Goldberg & Williams, 1988). This 12-item selfreport scale measures mental health. Respondents are asked to indicate whether they have recently experienced a range of common symptoms of distress (e.g., "Have you recently... lost much sleep over worry?). Responses are rated on a four-point scale (e.g. not at all to much more than usual). A higher score indicates more mental health problems (0 - 36 points). Cronbach α are 0.90 and 0.93 (Flaxman & Bond, 2010b). The Likert scoring method was used to optimise statistical comparison with other measures. In order to determine the caseness of the population, the binary scoring methodology (0,0,1,1) and the cut-off '6' advocated by Goldberg et al., (1997) was utilised.

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983) identifies caseness of anxiety and depression. The HADS has an anxiety (HADS-A) and a depression (HADS-D) subscale both containing seven intermingled items. Respondents are asked whether they have recently experienced anxiety (i.e. worrying thoughts constantly go through my mind) or depressive (i.e. I still enjoy the things I used to) symptoms on a four-point scale (e.g. not at all to most of the time). A higher score is indicative of anxiety/depression. Cronbach's α for HADS-A varied from .68 to .93 (mean .83) and for HADS-D from .67 to .90 (mean .82) (Bjelland, Dahl, Haug & Necklemann, 2001).

Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ-II; Bond et al., 2011). This seven item scale measures psychological flexibility. Respondents are asked to rate how true each statement is for them (i.e. I'm afraid of my feelings) on a seven-point Likert scale from 1 (never true) to 7 (always true). High scores on the AAQ-II reflect greater experiential avoidance and immobility,

while low scores reflect greater acceptance and action. The mean α coefficient is 0.84 (0.78 - 0.88), and the 3 and 12 month test-retest reliability is 0.81 and 0.79 (Bond et al., 2011).

Valuing Questionnaire (VQ: 8 item; Smout, Burns & Christie, 2011). This measures the extent to which people think they have lived their values in the last week: "I continued to get better at being the kind of person I want to be" and the extent to which cognitive and emotional barriers interfered with enacting values in past week: "I tried to work towards important goals, but something always got in the way". Respondents are asked to rate statements on a seven-point Likert scale from 0 (not at all true) to 6 (completely true). Reliability data not published (see App 2.10).

Absenteeism: Absence rates measured for the two months before and after baseline measures.

Training Evaluation: A self-administered questionnaire (see App 2.11) developed specifically for this study was completed by ACT_w participants to gain feedback in regard to their thoughts about training. Questionnaire consists of six questions to be answered on a rating scale and five open-ended questions.

Sample Size Justification

No previous research exists using ACT_w for work engagement to complete sample size justification. As this is a feasibility study, we are keen to establish parameters for power and sample size for future studies. However, a sensitivity analysis of sample size requirement was completed, utilising a study by Lloyd et al. (2013). This study measured the effect of ACT_w on stress levels and psychological flexibility. Stress was measured with the GHQ-12. This study documented a statistically significant reduction in stress ($\mu = 0.2526456$), therefore this was inputted as the effect size. G-power 3 software (Faul, 2010) established the following sample sizes would be required for the study to reach the following statistical power values (assuming $\alpha = 0.05$):

Power	0.80	0.85	0.90	0.95
Sample Size	34	38	44	54

Data Analyses

Kolmogorov-Smirnov analyses were conducted to determine if variables were normally distributed. Where normality assumptions were not met, data was transformed. Independent group tests and Chi Squared analysis were administered to compare between-group differences between the ACT_w group and controls at Baseline for normally distributed variables. The non-

parametric equivalent of an independent t-test (Mann Whitney U) was used to determine differences between the ACT_w group and control group on Baseline variables not normally distributed. Feedback-form data was collated utilising descriptive statistics. A mixed factor 2 x 3 ANOVA was used to determine whether there were significant differences between how individuals from the two groups (ACT_w vs control) changed in the various outcomes and therapy-specific measures, across the three time points. Arm of study (ACT_w vs. control) served as the between-subjects factor and time (Baseline vs. Time 2 vs. Time 3) as the within-subjects factor. Where main or interaction effects were found, within- and between-subjects planned contrasts were analysed (simple effect tests were carried out). As some data did not meet normality assumptions, results should be interpreted with caution. The Spearman's Correlation co-efficient (one-tailed) was used to test associations between the outcome and therapy-specific measures at Baseline and change scores for these variables between Baseline and Time 3 for all participants. In order to reduce the risk of Type 1 errors the Bonferroni correction was applied to the correlation analyses. Missing data was imputed utilising the SPSS multiple data imputation and analysis programme which generates possible values for missing data. Analytic procedures produce output for each "complete" dataset, plus pooled output that estimates what the results would have been if the original dataset had no missing values. These pooled results are generally more accurate than those provided by single imputation methods (IBM Statistics, 2013).

Results

Recruitment and Attrition

Figure 1 outlines the number of individuals invited to participate, the number who volunteered, consented and thereafter the number who completed training and outcome measures.

Initially, 52 individuals volunteered to participate, 30 in ACT_w and 22 as a control. Forty-five participants (25 ACT_w & 20 control) provided informed consent to participate (86.5%). Of those who volunteered but did not consent, reasons included; unable to swap shift, scheduled annual leave, training dates unsuitable, limited notice, and heavy work-load.

Attrition criteria included non-attendance at one or more of the three training sessions, and/or failure to return post-intervention measures. Nine (36%) of the 25 ACT_w participants did not complete the full complement of training, with six (24%) missing one session and three (12%) missing two. Reasons included sickness, bad weather, bereavement, heavy work-load, and competing work demands.

Altogether, eleven participants (24%) (six ACT_w & five controls), failed to return Time 2 and Time 3 measures. Seven (16%) (four ACT_w & three controls) missed one time point and four (9%) (two ACT_w & two controls) missed two time points.

Table 2 provides a comparison between the two groups on baseline measures. There were two significant differences. The median MOAQ-JSS score in the ACT_w group (Median = 5, IQR = 3 – 8) was significantly higher than the control group (Median = 3, IQR = 3 – 4.75) at baseline, U = 156.500, z = -2.258, p = 0.024 <0.05. The median number of days' absence in the ACT_w group (median = 0, IQR = 0 – 2.25) was significantly higher than the control group (median = 0, IQR = 0 – 0), U = 71.5, z = -2.411, p = 0.16 < 0.05, r = -0.42.

[Insert Table 2]

Table 3 provides a comparison between those who completed questionnaires and those who did not. There were no significant differences between the groups.

[Insert Table 3]

Level of Stress Exhibited by Staff

At baseline assessment, the mean GHQ-12 scaled score was 2.4 (SD = 2.417) for the ACT_w participants and 1.9 (SD = 3.3) for control participants. Thus, two ACT_w (8%) and two control (10%) participants met threshold for stress when the advocated binary scoring system and cut-off score of '6' was administered (Goldberg et al., 1997).

At baseline assessment, the mean UWES-17 scaled score was 4.2 (SD = .7756) for the ACT_w participants and 4.4 (SD = .7956) for control participants. Thus, two ACT_w (8%) and one control (5%) met threshold for 'low' and 'very-low' according to norm data (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2003).

Staff Feedback: Eighteen out of 25 (72%) ACT_w participants completed feedback. Three participants (17%) noted that the room facilities were "excellent", 13 (72%) noted that they were "good" and two (11%) indicated a "neutral response". Thirteen participants (72%) noted the facilitation was excellent, five (28%) indicated it was good. All participants indicated information quantity was "just right" and the quality was at the "right level". Overall, 14 participants (77%) summarised the training as "very useful" and four (23%) as "slightly useful". When asked whether they would recommend training to a friend, 17 (94%) indicated "yes". No participants indicated anything had been missed from the sessions. Participants highlighted that they would like more time for: "discussion", "mindfulness and value based exercises", "the background to ACT", "examples of its efficacy" and "more training and/or regular follow up". Participants found the "practical experiential exercises", "acceptance skills", "discussing values", "goal setting" and "CD practice" most helpful. One participant indicated a particular acceptance exercise as unhelpful. Other comments indicating that participants enjoyed the group, some identified the need for more prompting in some exercises and others highlighted problems with venue. Finally, two individuals commented that facilitation of experiential exercises was "too formal and read too quickly".

Comparisons of Change in Measures between ACT and Control Group

Intervention effects

ANOVA analyses indicated that there were no significant main effects of time x arm of study, indicating that the outcome and therapy-specific measures across the three time points for the ACT_w and control group were not significantly different. However, there were two significant simple main effects of time x arm of study in the mean scores between Time 2 and Time 3 for GHQ-12, F (1,43) = 5.48, p .024, r = .34, η_p^2 =.1, and VQ-8, F (1,43) = 5.542, p 0.023, r = .34, η_p^2 =.11. Annotated graphs display the ACT_w and control group's mean scores over three time points, indicating that the ACT_w group's mean outcome scores decreased between Baseline to Time 2 and Time 3 (post-treatment) for the MOAQ-JSS, GHQ-12, HADS-A, HADS-D and

AAQ-II. While overall increases were noted in the UWES-17 total, UWES-17 subscales and VQ-8 scores. The control group's mean scores increased over the three time points for the MOAQ-JSS. The GHQ-12 mean score initially decreased between Baseline and Time 2, then increased between Time 2 and Time 3. HADS-A and HADS-D mean scores decreased over the three time points. The UWES-17 total and subscales vigor, dedication and absorption mean scores, initially decreased between Baseline and Time 2 and Time 3 (however, never returning to initial score). Finally, the AAQ-II mean score decreased over the three time points, while the VQ-8 mean score initially increased between Baseline and Time 2.

[Insert Annotated Graphs]

There were significant main effects of time on GHQ-12, HADS-A, AAQ-II, VQ-8 and absence rates, which indicate significant differences on all participant outcome scores between time points.

GHQ-12: Analyses revealed a significant main effect for time, F (2,86) = 8.781, p =.001. Simple effects tests indicated individuals scored higher at Baseline, than at Time 2. Furthermore, simple effect tests denote a significant time x arm of study interaction (F (1,43) = 5.48, p = 0.024, r = 0.11) indicating the control participants' mean scores increased between Time 2 and Time 3, while the ACT_w participants' mean scores decreased.

HADS-A: Analyses revealed a significant main effect for time, F (2,86) = 7.906, p = .001. Simple effect contrasts highlight a significant decrease between Time 2 and Time 3, F (1,43) = 11.416, p = .002, r = 0.21. However, there was no significant main effect of time x arm of study interaction.

AAQ-II: Analyses revealed a main effect for time, F (2,86) = 5.090, p = .011. Simple effect tests noted a significant reduction between Baseline and Time 3, F (1,43) = 10.638, p = .002, r = 0.2, and Time 2 and Time 3, F(1, 43) = 8.906, p = 0.005, r = 0.17. Both ACT_w and control groups' mean AAQ-II score decreased over both time points. However, there was no significant main effect of time x arm of study interaction.

VQ-8: Analyses did not reveal any significant main effects, however, the simple effect tests highlighted a significant difference between the groups on mean VQ-8 scores between Time 2 and Time 3, F(1,43) = 5.542, p = .023, r = 0.12. The ACT_w group's mean VQ-8 scores increased between Time 2 and Time 3, whereas the control group's decreased.

Absence: Analyses did not reveal significant difference between the average absence rates of ACT_w and control over the two time points.

Despite the lack of significant results in all outcome and therapy-specific measures for the time x arm of study interaction, estimates of effect size (partial eta square, η_p^2) have been considered. Noted η_p^2 values indicate small effect sizes in the GHQ-12, HADS-A, HADS-D, UWES-17 Total, dedication, absorption, and VQ-8 measures. Medium effects were noted in MOAQ-JSS and vigor (see Table 4).

Correlation Analyses

[Insert Table 5]

Baseline correlations between outcome and therapy specific measures: Table 5 outlines the associations between outcome and therapy-specific measures. The AAQ-II had significant positive correlations with the MOAQ ($\rho = .64$, p = .000), GHQ-12 ($\rho = .56$, p = .000), HADS-A ($\rho = .35$, p = .009) and HADS-D ($\rho = .35$, p = .000). Furthermore, the AAQ-II had significant negative correlations with the UWES-17 ($\rho = -.29$, p = .025) including vigor ($\rho = -.35$, p = .009) and dedication ($\rho = -.41$, p = .003). The VQ-8 had significant negative correlations with MOAQ ($\rho = -.54$, p = .000), GHQ-12 ($\rho = -.64$, p = .000), HADS-A ($\rho = -.38$, p = .005) and HADS-D ($\rho = -.50$, p = .000). Additionally, the VQ had significant positive correlations with the UWES-17 ($\rho = .49$, p = .000) including vigor ($\rho = .57$, p = .000) and dedication ($\rho = .54$, p = .000).

Correlations between outcome and therapy-specific measure change scores (baseline to Time 3): Analyses revealed changes in AAQ-II scores were significantly positively correlated to changes in GHQ-12 ($\rho = .29$, p = .025) and HADS-D ($\rho = .39$, p = .004). Furthermore, changes in AAQ-II scores were significantly negatively correlated with changes in UWES-17 dedication ($\rho = -.3$, p = .023). Changes in VQ-8 scores were significantly negatively correlated with changes in GHQ-12 ($\rho = -.44$, p = .001), HADS-A ($\rho = -.35$, p = .009) and HADS-D ($\rho = -.44$, p = .001). Furthermore, changes in VQ-8 scores were significantly positively correlated with changes in the UWES-17 total score ($\rho = .52$, p = .000), including vigor ($\rho = .59$, p = .000), dedication ($\rho = .51$, p = .000) and absorption ($\rho = .43$, p = .002).

Calculating numerous correlations increases the risk of a type I error, i.e. to erroneously conclude the presence of a significant correlation. To avoid this, threshold levels of significance for correlation co-efficients were adjusted for multiple comparisons utilising Bonferroni's correction (i.e. p-value <0.003, indicated in bold in Table 5). Overall, 25 of the 32 correlation co-efficients were significant, 15 at p-value <0.003, 22 at p-value <0.01 and 25 at p<0.05.

Discussion

This feasibility trial of ACT_w for mental well-being and work engagement is the first to explore the implementation of ACT_w within NHS Scotland, with previous research predominantly focussing around private sector working life (Brinkborg et al., 2011). It is also the first study to examine the impact ACT_w has on work engagement.

Population: Can an appropriate group from NHSL mental health staff be recruited?

This study has evidenced that NHSL management were agreeable to the implementation of ACT_w with employed staff. Management highlighted specific services where the study could recruit, however a low proportion of individuals initially volunteered to participate in the training. The low rate of recruitment prevented the randomisation of participants into the trial. Of individuals who initially volunteered, 13.5% were unable to participate due to work-related demands, and upcoming annual leave. ACT_w sought to enhance an individual's ability to deal with work-related stress; however, there is less focus on addressing organisational factors that give rise to this work-related stress (Bond & Bunce, 2000). There is a potential impact on individuals' motivation to participate in training if it does not combat both individual and organisation change (Flaxman & Bond, 2010b). Furthermore, Flaxman and Bond (2010b) deem it unethical to conduct SMI to teach individuals to cope, and then return them to a toxic situation. Future research may wish to explore why uptake was low given the high stress levels identified in the literature (Brady et al. 2012; Maslach & Jackson, 1981). It may be helpful to consider a more comprehensive approach incorporating change at an organisation level (Flaxman & Bond, 2010b; Brinkborg et al., 2011), at least in terms of helping staff access training.

Four (9%) of participants recruited met criteria for high stress, as indicated by threshold levels advocated by Goldberg et al. (1997). Furthermore, only three participants (7%) met criteria for 'low' or 'very low' criteria in the work engagement scale indicated by 'norm' data advocated by Schaufeli & Bakker (2003). Future research may wish to consider how to engage individuals who have elevated stress levels or low levels of work engagement. For example, ACT_w could be offered through Occupational Health Departments to individuals who have been identified as stressed.

Intervention: Was ACT_w acceptable?

Almost two-thirds (64%) of the ACT_w participants attended all sessions, with 24% missing one and 12% missing two of the three sessions. Missed sessions were related to personal factors (i.e. sickness and bereavement), and work-related factors (i.e. heavy work-load and competing work demands). Participants responded favourably to the facilitation and the quantity and quality of the information provided in training. Furthermore, over three-quarters stated the training was 'very useful' and 94% would recommend the training to a friend. Fewer participants were favourable towards the training venue. Participants made helpful suggestions about how the ACT_w intervention could be improved e.g. allocating more time to spend on exercises and discussion, additional training and/or follow-up sessions. Overall however, it seems that ACT_w was acceptable to participants who completed training.

Control: Can an appropriate control be recruited?

Recruited issues at the outset of the trial meant that participants could not be randomly assigned to the control arm of the study. Control participants were subsequently recruited in parallel to those assigned to ACT_w . There were no significant differences between groups in terms of age and gender. In regard to baseline outcome measures, there were two significant differences noted in job satisfaction and absence rates. In terms of questionnaire completion, 75% of control participants completed outcomes measures at the three time points, a comparable amount to the ACT_w group. In summary, despite minor differences at baseline, the control group acted as a reasonable comparison to the ACT_w group, with similar levels of success in retaining participants for post-baseline assessments in both groups. Further exploratory analysis could be conducted to ascertain whether significant differences noted at baseline had an influential impact on non-significant differences noted in the mixed ANOVA analysis.

Participant attrition is not uncommon in evaluations of worksite SMT and a number of factors can influence attendance and questionnaire response rates (e.g. work scheduling, workload, leave and sickness). Barriers to participation have not been widely examined and may warrant further investigation (Bond & Flaxman, 2010a).

Outcome: Can measures be identified to assess impact of ACT_w? Are there identified treatment signals in outcome and therapy-specific measures?

Following a review of ACT work-related stress literature (Bond et al. 2006) a range of candidate outcome measures were included in the trial that assessed job satisfaction, stress, anxiety, depression, and work engagement. Therapy-specific measures assessing psychological flexibility and value based living were also included.

Analyses indicated that there were no significant differences between the two arms of the study in how participants scored on the outcome and therapy specific measures across the three time points. However, between Time 2 and Time 3 the ACT_w participants noted a significant reduction in stress scores and a significant increase in valued living relative to the control group. The significant improvement noted in stress scores between Time 2 and Time 3 is similar to previous research identifying that participation in ACT_w predicts better mental health (Bond & Bunce, 2003; Bond & Flaxman, 2006; Brinkborg et al., 2011; McCraken & Yang, 2008; Stafford Brown & Pakenham, 2012). However, the overall lack of statistically significant differences between groups changes in work engagement across the study is not consistent with results which highlight a reduction in burnout (Brinkborg et al., 2011; Hayes et al., 2004; Lloyd et al., 2013, Ruiz, et al., 2008; Vilardaga et al., 2011). It may be that changes in the control group indicative of 'spontaneous' improvement' may be obscuring treatment effects in the ACT_w group. Flaxman and Bond (2010a) indicate possibilities for this phenomenon including; seasonal effects and the potential effect of responding to stress and coping measures. The impact of potential confounds (such as changes in work and personal behaviours) also cannot be excluded (Stafford-Brown & Packenham, 2012).

Forty-five individuals participated in this trial, according to the power calculation this would lead to a power value of 0.90. A larger sample recruiting 54 people would reach a higher level of statistical power ($\alpha = 0.05$). The small sample may have prevented possible differences reaching statistical significance. Analyses indicated that only a small proportion of participants recruited to the trial were stressed at baseline. This may have given rise to 'flooring effects' making it difficult to evidence an intervention effect. Previous studies have outlined that the ACT_w effect can be moderated (or diluted) by participants' level of stress at baseline (Bunce & Stephenson, 2000; Brinkborg et al., 2011; Flaxman & Bond, 2010a). Sample heterogeneity implicit in work-site samples is often neglected and an increased awareness may be helpful in this field (Bunce, 1997). Future trials should consider screening individuals to determine if they meet particular criteria for high stress levels and/or low levels of work engagement. If individuals are included in a future trial on this basis, it will be important to guard against the risk of individuals feeling stigmatised.

Overall, few significant results are provided in support of potential treatment signals in changes in outcome and therapy-specific measures. However, there was a direction of change indicative of ACT_w having a potential impact on outcome and therapy-specific measures. Furthermore, although p-values did not note significance, η_p^2 scores indicated small and medium effect sizes in the time x arm of study interaction. These effect sizes must be interpreted with caution, as they cannot be applied to the wider population, however, within this study there is some indication of treatment signal, including the effect of treatment on work engagement factors. It is possible that many individuals with elevated levels of work-related stress, and/or low levels of work engagement, felt unable to consent to participate in the research. Future research should focus on identifying and engaging individuals of this type in the research.

The relationship between changes in outcome and therapy-specific measures was also considered. The therapy-specific measures, psychological flexibility and value based living were significantly correlated with ratings of work, stress, mental health and work engagement at baseline. As expected, psychological flexibility was significantly negatively correlated with job dissatisfaction, poorer mental health, and higher levels of depression and anxiety; it was significantly positively correlated with higher work engagement, including vigor and dedication. Similarly, higher scores indicating living life more fully in accordance with values significantly positively correlated with job satisfaction, and significantly negatively correlated with higher levels of stress, anxiety and depression. These high scores also significantly positively correlated with higher work engagement, including vigor and dedication. Furthermore, change scores for the outcome measures between Baseline and Time 3 continued to correlate with therapy-specific measures in the expected direction as highlighted above. Results remained significant at the Bonferonni corrected level. It is important to remain mindful of the limitations when administering the Bonferroni correction, as there can be an increased risk of type 2 errors i.e. the risk that significant correlations are adjusted to be non-significant.

Despite significant correlations outlined, no causal conclusions can be confirmed on the direction of these relationships. Limitations due to sample size preclude the ability to conduct multiple linear regression to determine which variables predict variance in work engagement and mental well-being. This could be a useful analysis in future research.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the numbers of participants recruited were small. This had implications for the statistical analyses that could be undertaken and the associated conclusions that could be drawn. A further drawback in the study is that few participants met caseness for high stress and low work engagement at baseline, leaving little scope for improvement. Secondly, despite initial intentions, this study could not be conducted as an RCT due to recruitment problems; therefore, participants were not randomised to control or treatment. Although there were no significant differences in terms of age and gender, and minimal differences on baseline measures, the lack of randomisation means that other unmeasured potential differences may have affected outcome. Thirdly, it is important to be mindful that some individuals attending the ACT_w groups missed sessions. In addition, a proportion of individuals in both arms of the study missed assessment points. As such, data had to be imputed. Data imputation has important implications for the veracity of subsequent analyses that are conducted. Multiple imputation is considered superior to other approaches for analysing complete data sets as it takes into the account the uncertainty due to missing data (Flaxman & Bond, 2010a; IBM Statistics, 2013). However, attrition rates, and reasons for attrition, warrant consideration when interpreting findings. It may be beneficial for future studies to consider ways to optimise retention of individuals in trials of this nature. For

example, optimising questionnaire feedback may include introducing an electronic version for those with remote access to a computer.

Conclusions

This feasibility trial evidenced that despite challenges, individuals could be recruited to ACT_w; that ACT_w was an acceptable intervention for this population and that a control group could be successfully recruited. The ability to conduct an RCT was hampered by initially slow rates of recruitment, but future research could address this by agreeing with the organisation to ringfence time for employees to participate. This study noted two significant differences between the ACT_w and control group between Time 2 and Time 3 on stress and valued living, with the ACT_w group indicating a positive reduction in stress and increase in value based living. Unfortunately, no other statistical results indicated efficacy of ACT_w. It is important to remain mindful that the individuals recruited had relatively low levels of stress and high levels of work engagement, minimising room for improvement. There is some evidence that changes in mental well-being and work engagement for all participants were correlated with changes in therapyspecific measures assessing psychological flexibility and value based living. Further research is needed to assess the meditational relationship between these measures. We believe that the results of this current study merit conducting a larger trial of ACT_w for health professional staff. Such a trial may benefit from expanding recruitment to a number of services, to maximise Future studies may wish to target services with notably higher rates of stress, uptake. potentially through actively targeting Occupational Health Department.

Table 1: Demographic Information about Participants

Demograp	nic Variable	ACT _w	Control	P-value
		(n=25)	(n=20)	
Gender	Female	23	18	1.000
	Male	2	2	
Mean Age (years)		48.32	41.90	0.549





		ACT _w	Control	P-value
		(n = 25)	(n=20)	
MOAQ:JSS ^Ψ	Total	5 (3-8)	3(3-3.475)	.024*
GHQ-12	Total	12.2 (3.42)	11.35(5.11)	.834
	HADS-A	4.36(3.43)	5.00(4.30)	.444
HADS	HADS-D	3.12 (2.26)	4.05 (3.49)	.737
UWES-17	Total	70.96 (13.08)	74.1 (18.21)	.900
	Vigor	24.8 (5.08)	26.25 (5.05)	.975
	Dedication	21.6 (4.85)	23.8(5.22)	.966
	Absorption	24.48 (4.56)	24.1(6.26)	.270
AAQ-II	Total	15.6 (6.79)	14.7(7.02)	.746
VQ-8	Total	31.32(9.57)	34.40(8.04)	1.834
		ACTw	Control	P-value
		(n = 22)	(n=11)	
Absence Rate ^Ψ	Total	0 (0-2.25)	0 (0-0)	.016*

Table 2: Mean (SD) and median (IQR) scores on baseline outcome and therapy-specific measures

^{Ψ} Non-parametric tests used, therefore, medians noted. * Denotes p < 0.05.

Notes: MOAQ-JSS = Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire: Job Satisfaction Subscale; GHQ-12 = General Health Questionnaire; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; UWES-17 = Utrecht Work Engagement Scale; AAQ-II = Acceptance and Action Questionnaire; VQ-8 = Valuing Questionnaire.

 Table 3: Mean (SD) and median (IQR) scores on baseline outcome and therapy-specific measures for questionnaire completers and non-completers.

		Questionnaire	Missed 1 or 2	P-value
		completers	questionnaires	
		(n = 34)	(n = 11)	
MOAQ:JSS ^Ψ	Total	4 (3-8)	3(3-7)	0.485
GHQ-12 ^Ψ	Total	11.5 (9-14.25)	12.00 (11-14)	0.614
	HADS-A ^Ψ	4(1-7.25)	7 (2-9)	0.188
HADS	HADS-D ^Ψ	2 (1-4.25)	5(2-8)	0.79
UWES-17	Total	70.53 (12.62)	78 (14.20)	0.735
	Vigor	24.91(4.69)	27.27 (6.04)	0.313
	Dedication	22.06 (4.84)	24.18 (5.23)	0.832
	Absorption	23.59 (5.01)	26.5 (5.63)	0.485
AAQ-11 ^Ψ	Total	14.5 (9.75 – 23.50)	11 (9-15)	0.135
Valuing Questionnaire	Total	33 (7.99)	31.73 (12.08)	0.059

 Ψ Non-parametric tests used, therefore, medians noted.

Notes: MOAQ-JSS = Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire: Job Satisfaction Subscale; GHQ-12 = General Health Questionnaire; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; UWES-17 = Utrecht Work Engagement Scale; AAQ-II = Acceptance and Action Questionnaire; VQ-8 = Valuing Questionnaire.



Annotated Graphs: Mean Outcomes Scores at Three Time Points



*Time F (2,86) = 5.090, p = 0.011



Outcome measures	η_p^2
MOAQ-JSS	.07
GHQ-12	.04
HADS-A	.03
HADS-D	.01
UWES total	.05
Vigor	.08
Dedication	.05
Therapy-specific measures	η_p^2
Absorption	.02
AAQ-II	.01
VQ-8	.04

Table 4: $\eta_p{}^2$ for the time x arm of study interaction for outcome and therapy-specific measures

Notes: η_p^2 = partial eta squared; Small effect = 0.01, Medium = 0.06, Large = 0.14 (Cohen, 1988); MOAQ-JSS = Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire: Job Satisfaction Subscale; GHQ-12 = General Health Questionnaire; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; UWES-17 = Utrecht Work Engagement Scale; AAQ-II = Acceptance and Action Questionnaire; VQ-8 = Valuing Questionnaire.

		Therapy-specific measures					
Outcome mea	asures	AAQ-II	VQ-*				
MOAQ-JSS		.64***	54***				
GHQ-12		.56***	64***				
HADS	Anxiety	.35**	38**				
	Depression	.35**	50***				
	Total	29*	.49***				
UWES-17	Vigor	35**	.57***				
	Dedication	41***	.54***				
	Absorption	09	.16				

 Table 5: Correlations between outcome and therapy-specific measures at baseline

*correlation significant at 0.05 level (1 tailed) ** correlation significant at 0.01 level (1 tailed) ***correlation significant at 0.003 (Bonferroni correction)

0	1 4	1 4	T T• 1	711•	N 1	•	1.41	• ••	
Corre	elations	perween	l ime i	-1 ime .	3 changes	in outcome	and the	apy-specific measur	es.
		~~~~~							

		Th	erapy-specific measures
Outcome Var	riables	AAQ-II	VQ-8
MOAQ-JSS		.2	14
GHQ-12		.29*	44***
HADS	Anxiety	.10	35**
	Depression	.39**	44***
	Total	24	.52***
UWES-17	Vigor	15	.59***
	Dedication	3*	.51***
	Absorption	22	.43***

*correlation significant at 0.05 level (1 tailed) ** correlation significant at 0.01 level (1 tailed) ***correlation significant at 0.003 (Bonferroni correction)

**Notes:** MOAQ-JSS = Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire: Job Satisfaction Subscale; GHQ-12 = General Health Questionnaire; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; UWES-17 = Utrecht Work Engagement Scale; AAQ-II = Acceptance and Action Questionnaire; VQ-8 = Valuing Questionnaire.

# References

- Aiken, L., Clarke, S., and Sloane, D. (2001). Nurses' Reports on Hospital Care in Five Countries. *Health Affairs*, 20 (3), 43 – 53. doi 10.1377/hlthaff.20.3.43
- Aiken, L., Clarke, S., Sloane, D, Sochalski, J. and Silber, J. (2002). Hospital Nurse
  Staffing and Patient Mortality, Nurse Burnout and Job Dissatisfaction. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 288 (16), 1987–1993. doi: 10.1001/jama.288.16.1987
- Bakker, A., Schaufeli, W., Leiter, M., and Taris, T. (2008). Work Engagement: An Emerging Concept in Occupational Health Psychology. Work and Stress, 22, 3, 187 – 200. doi:10.1080/02678370802393649
- Bakker, A., Albrecht, S., and Leiter, M. (2011). Key Questions Regarding Work Engagement. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 20, 1, 4 – 28. doi:10.1080/1359432X.2010.485352
- Beddoe, A., and Murphy, S. (2004). Does mindfulness decrease stress and foster empathy among nursing students? *Journal of Nursing Education*, 43(7), 305-312. PMid:15303583
- Bjelland, L., Dahl, A., Haug, T., and Necklemann, D. (2001). The Validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. An Updated Literature Review. *Journal of Psychometric Research*, 52, 69 – 77. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3999(01)00296-3
- Bond, F., & Bunce, D. (2000). Mediators of Change in Emotion-focused and Problem Focused Worksite Stress Management Interventions. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 5, 156-163. doi:10.1037/1076-8998.5.1.156
- Bond, F., & Bunce, D. (2003). The Role of Acceptance and Job Control in Mental Health, Job Satisfaction and Work Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 1057 – 1067. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.88.6.1057
- Bond, F., & Hayes, S. (2002). ACT at Work. In F. Bond & W. Dryden (Eds.), Handbook of Brief Cognitive Behaviour Therapy (pp. 117-140). doi.org/10.1002/9780470713020
- Bond, F., Hayes, S., & Barnes-Holmes, D. (2006). Psychological Flexibility, ACT and
  Organizational Behavior. In Hayes, Bond, Barnes-Holmes, & Austin (Eds.),
  Acceptance and Mindfulness at Work: Applying Acceptance and Commitment Therapy
  and Relational Frame Theory to Organizational Behavior Management (pp. 25-54).
  Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Press.
- Bond, F., & Flaxman, P. (2006). The Ability of Psychological Flexibility and Job
   Control to Predict Learning, Job Performance, and Mental Health. *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management*, 26, 113-130. doi:10.1300/J075v26n01_05
- Bond, F., Hayes, S., Baer, A., Carpenter, C., Guenole, N., Orcutt, K., Waltz, T. and
  Zettle, D. (2011). Preliminary Psychometric Properties of the Acceptance and Action
  Questionnaire II: A Revised Measure of Psychological Flexibility and Acceptance.
  Behavior Therapy, 42, 676-688. doi:10.1016/j.beth.2011.03.007
- Bowling, N., and Hammond, G. (2008). A Meta-analytic Examination of the Construct
  Validity of the Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire Job Satisfaction
  Subscale. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 73, 63 77. doi:10.1016/j.jvb.2008.01.004
- Brady, S., O'Connor, N., Burgermeister, D., and Hanson, P. (2012). The Impact of Mindfulness Meditation in Promoting a Culture of Safety on an Acute Psychiatric Unit. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 48, 129 – 137. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6163.2011.00315.x
- Brinkborg, H., Michanek. J., Hesser, H., and Berglund, G. (2011). Acceptance and
  Commitment Therapy for the Treatment of Stress Among Social Workers: A
  Randomizes Controlled Trial. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 49, 389 398.
  doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2011.03.009
- Bunce, D. (1997). What Factors are Associated with the Outcome of Individual-Focused Worksite Stress Management Interventions? *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 70, 1-17. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8325.1997.tb00627.x
- Bunce, D., & Stephenson, K. (2000). Statistical Considerations in the Interpretation of Research of Occupational Stress Management Interventions, *Work and Stress*, 14, 197-212. doi:10.1080/02678370010016126

- Cohen-Katz, J., Wiley, S., Capuano, T., Baker, D., and Shapiro, S. (2004). The Effects of Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction on Nurse Stress and Burnout, Part II: A Quantitative and Qualitative Study. *Holistic Nursing Practice*, 19(1), 26-35. PMID: 15736727
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Cummann, C., Fichman, M., Jenkins, D., and Klesh, J., 1979. The Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire. Unpublished Manuscript, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Edwards, D., Burnard, P., Coyle, D., Fothergill, A., and Hannigan, B. (2000). Stress and Burnout in Community Mental Health Nursing: Review of the Literature. *Journal* of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 7 (1), 7-14. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2850.2000.00258.x
- Farber, B., & Heifetz, L. (1982). The Process and Dimensions of Burnout in Psychotherapist. *Professional Psychologist*, 13, 293 301. doi:10.1037/0735=7028.13.2.293
- Faul, (2010). G Power 3 Software Website. [Online] [Accessed on January 2012]

   Available
   from: <a href="http://www.psycho.uni-duesseldorf.de/abteilungen/aap/gpower3/download-and-register">http://www.psycho.uni-duesseldorf.de/abteilungen/aap/gpower3/download-and-register</a>
- Flaxman, P., & Bond, F. (2010a). A randomized worksite comparison of acceptance and commitment therapy and stress inoculation training. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 48, 816-820. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2010.05.004
- Flaxman, P., & Bond, F. (2010b). Worksite Stress Management Training: Moderated Effects and Clinical Significance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 15(4), 347 – 358. doi:10.1037/a0020522
- Galantino, M., Baime, M., Maguire, M., Szapary, P. and Farrar, J. (2005). Association of psychological and physiological measures of stress in health-care professionals during an 8-week mindfulness meditation program: Mindfulness in practice. *Stress and Health: Journal of the International Society for the Investigation of Stress*, 21(4), 255-261. doi:10.1002/smi.1062

- Goldberg, D., Gater, R., Sartorious, N., Ustun, B., Piccinelli, M., Gureje, O., and Rutter, C. (1997). The validity of two versions of the GHQ in the WHO study of mental illness in general health care, *Psychological Medicine*, 27, 191 – 197. doi:10.1017/S0033291796004242
- Goldberg, D. & Williams, P. (1988) *A users guide to the General Health Questionnaire*. Slough: NFER-Nelson.
- Hayes, S., Bissett, R., Roget, N., Padilla, M., Kohlenberg, B., Fisher, G., Masuda, A.,
  Pistorella, J., Rye,. A., Berry, K., and Nicolls, R. (2004). The impact of Acceptance and
  Commitment Training on Stigmatizing Attitudes and Professional Burnout of Substance
  Abuse Workers. *Behavior Therapy*, 35, 821–836. doi:10.1016/S0005-7894(04)80022-4
- IBM Statistics (2013) IBM Statistics Website. [Online] [Accessed July 2013] Available from:<u>http://publib.boulder.ibm.com/infocenter/spssstat/v20r0m0/index.jsp?topic=%2Fcom.ibm.spss.statistics.cs%2Fmi_telco_intro.htm</u>)
- Information Service Division, NHS (2013) NHS Scotland Workforce Publication [Online] [Accessed 28th May 2013] Available from: http://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Workforce/Publications/2013-05-28/2013-05-28-Workforce-Summary.pdf?52328127623
- Irving, J., Dobkin, P., and Park, J. (2009). Cultivating Mindfulness in Health Care
  Professionals: A Review of Empirical Studies of Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction
  (MBSR). Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice, 15, 61-66.
  doi:10.1016/j.ctcp.2009.01.002
- Kishita N., and Shimada, H. (2011). Effects of acceptance-based coping on task performance and subjective stress. *Journal of Behavioural Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 42(1), 6-12. doi:10.1016/j.jbtep.2010.08.005
- Korkeila, J., Toyra, S., Kumpulainen, R., Toivola, J., Rasanen, K., and Kalimo, R.
  (2003). Burnout and Self Perceived Health Among Finnish Psychiatrists and Child Psychiatrists a Natural Survey. *Scandanivian Journal of Public Health*, 31,85– 91.doi:10.1080/14034940210133880

- Leiter, M., and Maslach, C. (1988). The impact of interpersonal environment on burnout and organizational commitment. *Journal or Organizational Behaviour*, 9, 297 – 308. doi:10.1002/job.4030090402
- Lloyd, J., Bond, F., and Flaxman, P. (2013). The Value of Psychological Flexibility: Examining Psychological Mechanisms Underpinning a Cognitive Behavioural Therapy Intervention for Burnout. Work and Stress: An International Journal of Work, Health & Organisations, 27 (2), 181 – 199. doi:10.1080/02678373.2013.782157
- Mackenzie, C., Poulin, P., and Seidman-Carlson, R. (2006). A Brief Mindfulness-Based Stress reduction Intervention for Nurses and Nurses Aides, *Applied Nursing Research*, 19, 105-109. doi:10.1016/j.apnr.2005.08.002
- Margison, F. (1987). Stress in Psychiatrists. In R. Payne & J. Frith-Cozens (Eds.), *Stress in health professional.* Chichester. Wiley.
- Maslach, C., and Jackson, S (1981). The Measurement of experienced burn-out. Journal of Occupational Behaviour, 2, 99 -113. doi:10.1002/job.4030020205
- Maslach, C. and Leiter, M., P. (1997). The Truth about Burnout: How organisations cause personal stress and what to do about it. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Maslach, C., Schauefeli, W and Leiter, M. (2001). Job Burnout. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 397 – 422. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397
- Maslach, C. (2003). Job Burnout: New Directions in Research and Interventions. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 12(5), 189-192. doi:10.1111/1467-8721.01258
- McCraken, L., and Yang, S. (2008). A Contextual Cognitive Behavioural Analysis of Rehabilitation Workers' Health and Well-Being of Acceptance, Mindfulness, and Values-Based Action. *Rehabilitative Psychology*, 53(4), 479–485. doi:10.1037/a0012854
- Murphy, L. (1988). Workplace Interventions for Stress Reduction and Prevention. InC. L. Cooper and R. Payne (Eds), Causes, Coping and Consequences of Stress AtWork. Chichester, Wiley.

- NHS (2009). NHS Health and Well-being Report, Final Report. [online] [Accessed on July 2013] Available from: http://www.nhshealthandwellbeing.org/pdfs/NHS%20Staff%20H&WB%20Review%20 Final%20Report%20VFinal%2020-11-09.pdf
- Noone, S., and Hastings, R. (2010). Using Acceptance and Mindfulness-Based
   Workshops with Support Staff Caring for Adults with Intellectual Disabilities.
   *Mindfulness*, 1, 67 73. doi: 10.1007/s12671-010-0007-4
- Poulin, P., Mackenzie, C., Soloway, G., and Karayolas, E (2008). Mindfulness training as an evidenced-based approach to reducing stress and promoting well-being among human services professionals. *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education*, 46(2), 72-80. doi:10.1080/14635240.2008.10708132
- Richardson, W., Wilson, M., Nishikawa, J., and Hayward, R. (1995). The Well-built Clinical Question. PMid:7582737
- Rothmann, S., and Storm, K. (2003, May). Work Engagement in the South African
  Police Service. Paper presented at the 11th European Congress of Work and
  Organizational Psychology, Lisbon, Portugal. Cited in Bakker, A., Schaufeli, W.,
  Leiter, M., and Taris, T. (2008). Work Engagement: An emerging concept in
  occupational health psychology. Work and Stress, 22, 3, 187 200.
  doi:10.1080/02678370802393649
- Ruiz, O., Lopez, R., and Martin, G. (2008). Intervencion psicologica sobre el desgate professional de los profesionales sanitoios de la Unidad de Cuidadod palittivos del Hospital Universitario Grogorio Maranon, *Medicina Pallativa*, 15(2), 93-97. [Abstract in English]
- Ruths, F., Zoysa, N., Frearson, S., Hutton., Williams, M., and Walsh, J. (2012).
   Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for Mental Health Professionals a Pilot Study. *Mindfulness*, doi: 10.1007/s12671-012-0127-0.
- Schaufeli,W., and Bakker, A. (2003). Test manual for the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale. Unpublished manuscript, Utrecht University, the Netherlands. [Online] [Accessed on March 2013] Available from: http://www.schaufeli.com.

- Schaufeli, W., Salanova, M., Gonzalez Roma., and Baker, A. (2002). The Measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 3, 71 – 92. doi:10.1023/A:1015630930326
- Schaufeli, W. (2003). Past Performance and Future Perspectives of Burnout Research, Journal of Industrial Psychology, 29 (4), 1-15. [Online] Available from: http://www.sajip.co.za/index.php/sajip/article/viewFile/127/123.
- Scottish Government (2011). Safe and Well at Work: Occupational Health and Safety Strategic Framework for NHS Scotland. [Online] [Accessed on July 2013] Available from http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/346075/0115178.pdf
- Scottish Government (2012). Directorate for Chief Medical Officer, Public Health and Sport, Health Promoting Health Service: Action In Hospital Settings. [Online] [Accessed on July 2013] Available from: http://www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/mels/CEL2012 01.pdf
- Scottish Government (2013). [Online] [Accessed on May 2013] Available from: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/About/Performance/scotPerforms/partnerstories/NHSScotla ndperformance/SicknessStandard )
- Smout, M., Burns, N., and Christie, A. (2011). Evaluating Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: Development of the Valuing Questionnaire (VQ). Manuscript in Preparation.
- Stafford-Brown, J., and Pakenham, K. (2012). The Effectiveness of an ACT Informed Intervention for Managing Stress and Improving Therapist Qualities in Clinical Psychology Trainees, *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, Vol. 68(6), 592–613. doi:10.1002/jclp.21844
- Vilardaga, R., Luoma, J., Hayes, S., Pistorello, J., Levin, L., Hildebrandt, M., Kohlenberg, B., Roget, N. and Bond, F. (2011). Burnout among the addiction counselling workforce: The differential roles of mindfulness and values-based processes and work-site factors, *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 40, 323–335. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2010.11.015

- Xanthopolou, D., Bakker, A., Demerouti, E. and Schaufeli, W. (2007). The role of personal resources in the job demands-resources model. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 14, 121 – 141. doi:10.1037/1072-5245.14.2.121
- Zigmond, A., & Snaith, P. (1983) The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 67(6): 361-370. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716

## CHAPTER 3: ADVANCED CLINICAL PRACTICE 1 REFLECTIVE CRITICAL ACCOUNT

**Reflection on Communication and Clinical Practice: Practicing Mindfulness for Two.** 

Kirsten Maclean¹

¹Academic Unit of Mental Health and Well-being, Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Glasgow.

Correspondence Address:

Academic Unit of Mental Health and Wellbeing Academic Centre Gartnavel Royal Hospital 1055 Great Western Road Glasgow G12 0XH

E-mail: Kmaclean1@nhs.ac.uk

Declaration of conflicts of interest: none

## Abstract

This reflective account focuses on clinical practice and communication. The learning experiences discussed have played a pivotal role in my development as a clinician and reflection has provided me with insight into my clinical practice. My experience to date has led to me building confidence, becoming more autonomous as a clinician, gaining a greater understanding of my learning style and allowing me to fully consider my communication style with patients and colleagues. In addition, these experiences are invaluable in my future practice. I reflect on my progress over the last three years, identifying transitions made using the Integrated Developmental Model (IDM) (Stoltenberg, McNeill, and Delworth, 1998). I also discuss implementing a new therapy in a new service and draw parallels with starting my placement in first year. In addition, I reflect on my integration of mindfulness into clinical practice. I convey two specific examples utilising the Gibbs Model of Reflection (1988). I discuss the importance of being mindful of one's own personal experiences and the importance of being aware of acceptance difficulties I have had as a therapist. I consider the positive consequences of being more mindful and identify how this can lead to being a more competent practitioner. Specifically, being more mindful allows one to be more fully aware of the present moment and commit to appropriate action. Further reflection critiques the reflections model utilised and allows me to consider my experiences idiosyncratically. I consider relevant theoretical, clinical and professional documents which aid reflection on wider issues relevant to the professional development of a Clinical Psychologist. Specifically, I consider interpersonal functioning and outline the importance for Psychologists to be active, autonomous and responsible in implementing a more mindful approach.

# CHAPTER 4: ADVANCED CLINICAL PRACTICE 1 REFLECTIVE CRITICAL ACCOUNT

Integrating new knowledge into clinical practice and experiencing the Role of a Trainer.

Kirsten Maclean¹

¹Academic Unit of Mental Health and Well-being, Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Glasgow.

Correspondence Address:

Academic Unit of Mental Health and Wellbeing Academic Centre Gartnavel Royal Hospital 1055 Great Western Road Glasgow G12 0XH

E-mail: <u>Kmaclean1@nhs.ac.uk</u>

Declaration of conflicts of interest: none

## Abstract

This reflective account focuses on clinical practice and training. The learning experiences discussed have played a pivotal role in my personal development, and my development as a clinician. Working in a dynamic trauma service has afforded me the opportunity to build on my knowledge base, specifically in relation to, violence against women, gender analysis and 'intersectionality'. I reflect on the acquisition of this new knowledge utilising the Rolfe (2001) Model for Reflective Practice. I consider the importance of the integration of this new knowledge in formulation, training and consultancy. While reflecting on this experience, I consider the impact on my future practice. I also consider the impact of my stage of training on this experience, utilising the Integrated Developmental Model (IDM) (Stoltenberg, McNeill & Delworth, 1998). In addition, I define the experience I have had with training staff utilising the Boud, Keogh and Walker Model of reflection (1985). I demarcate how this skill has developed over my training, with me initially assisting others to latterly taking a lead I consider how this experience has allowed me to build confidence, becoming role. more autonomous as a clinician and gain a greater understanding of my learning style. I re-iterate the importance of being mindful of one's own personal experiences and the importance of being aware of acceptance difficulties I have had as a therapist. Further reflection critiques the models utilised and allows me to consider my experiences idiosyncratically. I consider BPS and HCPC best practice guidelines to identify the skills I am developing as core competencies within Psychology. Furthermore, these guidelines aid reflection on wider issues relevant to the professional development of a Clinical Psychologist. Specifically I consider the importance of integrating information for formulation and consultation and consider the evolving role that Psychology has in training others.

Appendix 1.1: Submission Requirements for Clinical Psychological Review

## **CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY REVIEW**

## AUTHOR INFORMATION PACK

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Description	p.1	Marco Alara Nagar (Alar
Audience	p.1	CLINICAL
Impact Factor	p.1	REVIEW
Abstracting and Indexing	p.2	
Editorial Board	p.2	
Guide for Authors	p.3	

**ISSN:** 0272-7358

#### DESCRIPTION

*Clinical Psychology Review* publishes substantive reviews of topics germane to **clinical psychology.** Papers cover diverse issues including: psychopathology, psychotherapy, behavior therapy, cognition and cognitive therapies, behavioral medicine, community mental health, assessment, and child development. Papers should be cutting edge and advance the science and/or practice of clinical psychology. Reviews on other topics, such as psychophysiology, learning therapy, experimental psychopathology, and social psychology often appear if they have a clear relationship to research or practice in **clinical psychology.** Integrative literature reviews and summary reports of innovative ongoing clinical research programs are also sometimes published. Reports on individual research studies and theoretical treatises or clinical guides without an empirical base are not appropriate.

## **Benefits to authors**

We also provide many author benefits, such as free PDFs, a liberal copyright policy, special discounts on Elsevier publications and much more. Please click here for more information on our author services. Please see our Guide for Authors for information on article submission. If you require any further information or help, please visit our support pages: http://support.elsevier.com

## AUDIENCE

Psychologists and Clinicians in Psychopathy

## **IMPACT FACTOR**

2012: 6.696 © Thomson Reuters Journal Citation Reports 2013 AUTHOR INFORMATION PACK 26 Jul 2013 www.elsevier.com/locate/clinpsychrev 2

## ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING

BIOSIS Behavioral Medicine Abstracts Current Contents/Social & Behavioral Sciences EMBASE PsycINFO Psychological Abstracts PsycLIT Psyscan CP Research Alert Scopus Social Sciences Citation Index Social and Behavioural Sciences

## EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief

**Alan Bellack,** Dept. of Psychiatry, University of Maryland, 737 W Lombard St Suite 551, Baltimore, MD 21201,

USA, Email: journals.office@gmail.com

**Co-Editor** 

**W.K. Silverman, Ph.D., ABPP,** Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA, Email:

wendy.silverman@yale.edu

Editorial Board

K. Allison,

D. Bagner,

- A. Bardone-Cone, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA
- H. Berenbaum, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, IL, USA

M. Berman, Mississippi State University, PO Box 6161, MS, USA

L. Booij, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

M. Christopher, Pacific University, Forest Grove, OR, USA

P. Cuijpers, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

M. Cyders,

J.D. Elhai, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH, USA

B. Gaudiano, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

A. Gross Ph.D., University of Mississippi, University, MS, USA

D. Haaga Ph.D., The American University, Washington, DC, USA

G. Haas,

D.J. Hansen, University of Nebraska at Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA

M. Harrow, University of Illinois College of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA

H. Hazlett-Stevens,

R. Heinssen, National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), Bethesda, MD, USA

E.W. Leen-Feldner, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA

C. Lejuez, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

R. Levin, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA

K. Mueser,

D. Munoz, Pacific University, Portland, OR, USA

J. Petit,

S. Pineles, National Center for PTSD, Boston, MA, USA

C. Purdon, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada

W. Robiner, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN, USA

K. Rowa, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

K. Salters-Pedneault, Eastern Connecticut State University, Willimantic, CT, USA

**D. Sharpe,** University of Regina, Regina, SK, Canada

N. Singh, Chesterfield, USA

S. Taylor, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

B. Wampold, University of Wisconsin at Madison, Madison, WI, USA

C.F. Weems, University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, USA

A. Weinstein,

T. Widiger, Lexington, KY, USA

S. Wurtele,

AUTHOR INFORMATION PACK 26 Jul 2013 www.elsevier.com/locate/clinpsychrev 3

#### GUIDE FOR AUTHORS BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see

http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics and

http://www.elsevier.com/ethicalguidelines.

## Conflict of interest

All authors are requested to disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or organizations within three years of beginning the submitted work that could inappropriately influence, or be perceived to influence, their work. See also http://www.elsevier.com/conflictsofinterest. Further information and an example of a Conflict of Interest form can be found at: http://elsevier6.custhelp.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/286/p/7923/.

#### Submission declaration

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or thesis electronic preprint, academic or as an see http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere including electronically in the same form, in English or in any other language, without the written consent of the copyright-holder.

#### Changes to authorship

This policy concerns the addition, deletion, or rearrangement of author names in the authorship of accepted manuscripts: Before the accepted manuscript is published in an online issue: Requests to add or remove an author, or to rearrange the author names, must be sent to the Journal Manager from the corresponding author of the accepted manuscript and must include: (a) the reason the name should be added or removed, or the author names rearranged and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, fax, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Requests that are not sent by the corresponding author will be forwarded by the Journal Manager to the corresponding author, who must follow the procedure as described above. Note that: (1) Journal Managers will inform the Journal Editors of any such requests and (2) publication of the accepted manuscript in an online issue is suspended until authorship has been agreed. After the accepted manuscript is published in an online issue: Any requests to add, delete, or rearrange author names in an article published in an

online issue will follow the same policies as noted above and result in a corrigendum.

## Copyright

This journal offers authors a choice in publishing their research: Open Access and Subscription.

*For Subscription articles* Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (formore information on this and copyright, see http://www.elsevier.com/copyright). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement. Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations (please consult http://www.elsevier.com/permissions). If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission from the copyright owners and credit the source(s) in the article. Elsevier has preprinted forms for use by authors in these cases: please consult http://www.elsevier.com/permissions.

For Open Access articles

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete an 'Exclusive License

Agreement' (for more information see

http://www.elsevier.com/OAauthoragreement). Permitted reuse of open access articles is determined by the author's choice of user license (seehttp://www.elsevier.com/openaccesslicenses).

#### Retained author rights

As an author you (or your employer or institution) retain certain rights. For more information on author rights for:

Subscription articles please see http://www.elsevier.com/authorsrights. Open access articles please see http://www.elsevier.com/OAauthoragreement.

#### Role of the funding source

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated. Please see <a href="http://www.elsevier.com/funding">http://www.elsevier.com/funding</a>.

#### Funding body agreements and policies

Elsevier has established agreements and developed policies to allow authors whose articles appear in journals published by Elsevier, to comply with potential manuscript archiving requirements as specified as conditions of their grant awards. To learn more about existing agreements and policies please visit http://www.elsevier.com/fundingbodies.

#### **Open access**

This journal offers authors a choice in publishing their research:

#### **Open Access**

• Articles are freely available to both subscribers and the wider public with permitted reuse

• An Open Access publication fee is payable by authors or their research funder **Subscription** 

• Articles are made available to subscribers as well as developing countries and patient groups through our access programs (http://www.elsevier.com/access)

• No Open Access publication fee All articles published Open Access will be immediately and permanently free for everyone to read and download. Permitted reuse is defined by your choice of one of the following Creative Commons user licenses:

**Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY)**: lets others distribute and copy the article, to create extracts, abstracts, and other revised versions, adaptations or derivative works of or from an article (such as a translation), to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), to text or data mine the article, even for commercial purposes, as long as they credit the author(s), do not represent the author as endorsing their adaptation of the article, and do not modify the article in such a way as to damage the author's honor or reputation.

**Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike (CC BY-NC-SA)**: for non-commercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, to create extracts, abstracts and other revised versions, adaptations or derivative works of or from an article (such as a translation), to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), to text and data mine the article, as long as they credit the author(s), do not represent the author as endorsing their adaptation of the article, do not modify the article in such a way as to damage the author's honor or reputation, and license their new adaptations or creations under identical terms (CC BY-NC-SA).

## Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND):

for non-commercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, and to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), as long as they credit the author(s) and provided they do not alter or modify the article. To provide Open Access, this journal has a publication fee which needs to be met by the authors or their research funders for each article published Open Access. Your publication choice will have no effect on the peer review process or acceptance of submitted articles. The publication fee for this journal is **\$1800**, excluding taxes. Learn more about Elsevier's pricing policy: <a href="http://www.elsevier.com/openaccesspricing">http://www.elsevier.com/openaccesspricing</a>.

#### Language (usage and editing services)

Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not

a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require

editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct

scientific English may wish to use the English Language Editing service available from

Elsevier's WebShop http://webshop.elsevier.com/languageediting/ or visit our customer support site http://support.elsevier.com for more information.

#### **Submission**

Submission to this journal proceeds totally online and you will be guided stepwise through the creation and uploading of your files. The system automatically converts source files to a single PDF file of the article, which is used in the peer-review process. Please note that even though manuscript source files are converted to PDF files at submission for the review process, these source files are needed for further processing after acceptance. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, takes place by e-mail removing the need for a paper trail.

#### PREPARATION

#### Use of word-processing software

It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the wordprocessor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the wordprocessor's options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier: http://www.elsevier.com/guidepublication). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork. To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar check' functions of your word-processor.

#### Article structure

Manuscripts should be prepared according to the guidelines set forth in the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (6th ed., 2009). Of note, section headings should not be numbered. Manuscripts should ordinarily not exceed 50 pages, *including* references and tabular material. Exceptions may be made with prior approval of the Editor in Chief. Manuscript length can often be managed through the judicious use of appendices. In general the References section should be limited to citations actually discussed in the text. References to articles solely included in meta-analyses should be included in an appendix, which will appear in the on line version of the paper but not in the print copy. Similarly,

extensive Tables describing study characteristics, containing material published elsewhere, or presenting formulas and other technical material should also be included in an appendix. Authors can direct readers to the appendices in appropriate places in the text. It is authors' responsibility to ensure their reviews are comprehensive and as up to date as possible (at least through the prior calendar year) so the data are still current at the time of publication.

Authors are referred to the PRISMA Guidelines (<u>http://www.prisma</u> statement.org/statement.htm)for guidance in conducting reviews and preparing manuscripts. Adherence to the Guidelines is not required, but is recommended to enhance quality of submissions and impact of published papers on the field.

#### Appendices

If there is more than one appendix, they should be identified as A, B, etc. Formulae and equations in appendices should be given separate numbering: Eq. (A.1), Eq. (A.2), etc.; in a subsequent appendix, Eq. (B.1) and so on. Similarly for tables and figures: Table A.1; Fig. A.1, etc.

#### Essential title page information

*Title.* Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible. **Note: The title page should be the first page of the manuscript document indicating the author's names and affiliations and the corresponding author's complete contact information.** *Author names and affiliations.* **Where the family name may be ambiguous (e.g., a double name), please indicate this clearly. Present the authors' affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name, and, if available, the e-mail address of each author within the cover letter.** 

*Corresponding author.* Clearly indicate who is willing to handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. **Ensure that telephone and fax numbers (with country and area code) are provided in addition to the e-mail address and the complete postal address.** *Present/permanent address.* If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a "Present address" (or "Permanent address") may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

Abstract A concise and factual abstract is required (not exceeding 200 words). This should be typed on a separate page following the title page. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions. An abstract is often presented separate from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. References should therefore be avoided, but if essential, they must be cited in full, without reference to the reference list.

#### **Graphical abstract**

A Graphical abstract is optional and should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership online. Authors must provide images that clearly represent the work described in the article. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of  $531 \times$ 1328 pixels (h × w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files. See http://www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts for examples. Authors can make use of Elsevier's Illustration and Enhancement service to ensure the best presentation of their images also in accordance with all technical requirements: Illustration Service.

## Highlights

Highlights are mandatory for this journal. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that convey the core findings of the article and should be submitted in a separate file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point). See http://www.elsevier.com/highlights for examples.

#### Keywords

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

#### **Abbreviations**

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

#### Acknowledgements

Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.).

#### Footnotes

Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article, using superscript Arabic numbers. Many wordprocessors build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Should this not be the case, indicate the position of footnotes in the text and present the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article. Do not include footnotes in the Reference list.

#### Table footnotes

Indicate each footnote in a table with a superscript lowercase letter. *Electronic artwork* 

#### General points

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.
- Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Provide captions to illustrations separately.
- Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the printed version.
- Submit each illustration as a separate file.

A detailed guide on electronic artwork is available on our website:

http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions

# You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

Formats

If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format. Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below): EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.

TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi. TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi. TIFF (or JPEG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (color or grayscale), keep to a minimum of 500 dpi.

## Please do not:

• Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;

• Supply files that are too low in resolution;

• Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content. *Color artwork* 

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color on the Web (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) regardless of whether or not these illustrations are reproduced in color in the printed version. For color reproduction in print, you will receive information regarding the costs from Elsevier after receipt of your accepted article. Please indicate your preference for color: in print or on the Web only. For further information on the preparation of electronic artwork, please see http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Please note: Because of technical complications which can arise by converting color figures to 'gray scale' (for the printed version should you not opt for color in print) please submit in addition usable black and white versions of all the color illustrations.

## Figure captions

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (**not** on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

## **Tables**

Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text. Place footnotes to tables below the table body and indicate them with superscript lowercase letters. Avoid vertical rules. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in tables do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article.

## References

Citations in the text should follow the referencing style used by the American Psychological Association. You are referred to the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, Sixth Edition, ISBN 1-4338-0559-6, copies of which may be ordered from <u>http://books.apa.org/</u> books.cfm?id=4200067 or APA Order Dept., P.O.B. 2710, Hyattsville, MD 20784, USA or APA, 3 Henrietta Street, London, WC3E 8LU, UK. Details concerning this referencing style can also be found at http://humanities.byu.edu/linguistics/Henrichsen/APA/APA01.html *Citation in text* 

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa). Any references cited in the abstract must be given in full. Unpublished results and personal communications are not recommended in the reference list, but may be mentioned in the text. If these references are included in the reference list they should follow the standard reference style of the journal and should include a substitution of the publication date with either 'Unpublished results' or 'Personal communication'. Citation of a reference as 'in press' implies that the item has been accepted for publication. *Web references*  As a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates, reference to a source publication, etc.), should also be given. Web references can be listed separately (e.g., after the reference list) under a different heading if desired, or can be included in the reference list.

*References in a special issue* Please ensure that the words 'this issue' are added to any references in the list (and any citations in the text) to other articles in the same Special Issue.

Reference management software

This journal has standard templates available in key reference management packages EndNote (http://www.endnote.com/support/enstyles.asp) and Reference Manager

(http://refman.com/support/rmstyles.asp). Using plug-ins to wordprocessing packages, authors only need to select the appropriate journal template when preparing their article and the list of references and citations to these will be formatted according to the journal style which is described below.

#### Reference style

References should be arranged first alphabetically and then further sorted chronologically if necessary. More than one reference from the same author(s) in the same year must be identified by the letters"a", "b", "c", etc., placed after the year of publication. **References should be formatted with a hanging indent** (i.e., the first line of each reference is flush left while the subsequent lines are indented).

*Examples:* Reference to a journal publication: Van der Geer, J., Hanraads, J. A. J., & Lupton R. A. (2000). The art of writing a scientific article. *Journal of Scientific Communications*, 163, 51-59.

Reference to a book: Strunk, W., Jr., &White, E. B. (1979). *The elements of style.* (3rd ed.). New York: Macmillan, (Chapter 4).

Reference to a chapter in an edited book: Mettam, G. R., & Adams, L. B. (1994). How to prepare an electronic version of your article. In B.S. Jones, & R. Z. Smith (Eds.), *Introduction to the electronic age* (pp. 281-304). New York: E-Publishing Inc.

## Video data

Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include links to these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the body text where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the files in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 50 MB. Video and animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including ScienceDirect: http://www.sciencedirect.com.

Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For more detailed instructions please visit our video instruction pages at

http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions. Note: since video and animation cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal, please provide text for both the electronic and the print version for the portions of the article that refer to this content.

#### Supplementary data

Elsevier accepts electronic supplementary material to support and enhance your scientific research. Supplementary files offer the author additional possibilities to publish supporting applications, highresolution images, background datasets, sound

clips and more. Supplementary files supplied will be published online alongside the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including ScienceDirect: http://www.sciencedirect.com. In order to ensure that your submitted material is directly usable, please provide the data in one of our recommended file formats. Authors should submit the material in electronic format together with the article and supply a concise and descriptive caption for each file. For more detailed instructions please visit our artwork instruction pages at http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

#### Submission checklist

The following list will be useful during the final checking of an article prior to sending it to the journal for review. Please consult this Guide for Authors for further details of any item.

#### Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:

- E-mail address
- Full postal address
- Phone numbers
- All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain:
- Keywords
- All figure captions
- All tables (including title, description, footnotes)
- Further considerations
- Manuscript has been 'spell-checked' and 'grammar-checked'
- References are in the correct format for this journal
- All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and vice versa

• Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Web)

• Color figures are clearly marked as being intended for color reproduction on the Web (free of charge) and in print, or to be reproduced in color on the Web (free of charge) and in black-and-white in print

• If only color on the Web is required, black-and-white versions of the figures are also supplied for printing purposes

For any further information please visit our customer support site at http://support.elsevier.com.

### AFTER ACCEPTANCE

## Use of the Digital Object Identifier

The Digital Object Identifier (DOI) may be used to cite and link to electronic documents. The DOI consists of a unique alpha-numeric character string which is assigned to a document by the publisher upon the initial electronic publication. The assigned DOI never changes. Therefore, it is an ideal medium for citing a document, particularly 'Articles in press' because they have not yet received their full bibliographic information. Example of a correctly given DOI (in URL format; here an article in the journal *Physics Letters B*):

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.09.059 When you use a DOI to create links to documents on the web, the DOIs are guaranteed never to change.

#### Proofs

One set of page proofs (as PDF files) will be sent by e-mail to the corresponding author (if we do not have an e-mail address then paper proofs will be sent by post) or, a link will be provided in the e-mail so that authors can download the files themselves. Elsevier now provides authors with PDF proofs which can be annotated; for this you will need to download Adobe Reader version 7 (or higher) available free from http://get.adobe.com/reader. Instructions on how to annotate PDF files will accompany the proofs (also given online). The exact system requirements are given at the Adobe site:

http://www.adobe.com/products/reader/tech-specs.html.

If you do not wish to use the PDF annotations function, you may list the corrections (including replies to the Query Form) and return them to Elsevier in an e-mail. Please list your corrections quoting line number. If, for any reason, this is not possible, then mark the corrections and any other comments (including replies to the Query Form) on a printout of your proof and return by fax, or scan the pages and e-mail, or by post. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately – please let us have all your corrections within 48 hours. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication: please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility. Note that Elsevier may proceed with the publication of your article if no response is received.

#### **Offprints**

The corresponding author, at no cost, will be provided with a PDF file of the article via email (the PDF file is a watermarked version of the published article and includes a cover sheet with the journal cover image and a disclaimer outlining the terms and conditions of use). For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication. Both corresponding and co-authors may order offprints at any time via Elsevier's WebShop (<u>http://webshop.elsevier.com/myarticleservices/offprints</u>). Authors requiring printed copies of multiple articles may use Elsevier WebShop's 'Create Your Own Book' service to collate multiple articles within a single cover (http://webshop.elsevier.com/myarticleservices/offprints/myarticlesservices/bookle ts).

## **AUTHOR INQUIRIES**

For inquiries relating to the submission of articles (including electronic submission) please visit this journal's homepage. For detailed instructions on the preparation of electronic artwork, please visit http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions. Contact details for questions arising after acceptance of an article, especially those relating to proofs, will be provided by the publisher. You can track accepted articles at http://www.elsevier.com/trackarticle. You can also check our Author FAQs at http://www.elsevier.com/authorFAQ and/or contact Customer Support via http://support.elsevier.com.

© Copyright 2012 Elsevier | http://www.elsevier.com

Domain	Support for judgement	<b>Review authors' judgement</b>		
Selection bias: biased allocation to treatment				
Random sequence	Describe the method used to generate the	Selection bias (biased		
generation.	allocation sequence in sufficient detail to	allocation to interventions) due		
	allow an assessment of whether it should	to inadequate generation of a		
	produce comparable groups.	randomised sequence.		
Allocation	Describe the method used to conceal the	Selection bias (biased		
concealment.	allocation sequence in sufficient detail to	allocation to interventions) due		
	determine whether intervention	to inadequate concealment of		
	allocations could have been foreseen in	allocations prior to assignment.		
	advance of, or during, enrolment.	~		
Performance bias: b	bias due to knowledge of the allocated int	erventions by participants		
Other Eidelity of	Consider intervention utilised description	A material source of high		
Other: Fluency of	Consider intervention utilised, description	A potential source of blas		
Intervention	of this intervention, protocol/programme	related to the specific study		
	used, experience of trainer and whether	design used.		
	programme integrity was measured in any			
Dlinding of	Way. (Agreed with supervisor).	De former es biss dus to		
Blinding of	Describe all measures used, if any, to	Performance bias due to		
participants and	blind study participants and personner	knowledge of the anocated		
personner	from knowledge of which intervention a	interventions by participants		
	participant received. Flovide any	and personnel during the study.		
	information relating to whether the			
Detection biggt bigg	Intended blinding was effective.	antions by outcome assessors		
Detection plas: plas	Describe all massures used if any to	Detection bios due to		
	blind outcome assessors from knowledge	Detection bias due to		
assessment	of which intervention a participant	interventions by outcome		
	of which fine venuon a participant			
	received. Frovide any information	assessors.		
	was effective			
Attrition bias: bias d	lue to amount, nature of handling of inco	omplete outcome data.		
Incomplete outcome	Describe the completeness of outcome	Attrition bias due to amount.		
data	data for each main outcome, including	nature or handling of		
uutu	attrition and exclusions from the analysis.	incomplete outcome data.		
	State whether attrition and exclusions			
	were reported the numbers in each			
	intervention group (compared with total			
	randomized participants), reasons for			
	attrition/exclusions where reported, and			
	any re-inclusions in analyses performed			
	by the review authors.			
<b>Reporting bias:</b> bias due to selective outcome reporting.				
Selective reporting.	State how the possibility of selective	Reporting bias due to selective		
	outcome reporting was examined by the	outcome reporting.		
	review authors, and what was found.			
Other bias: bias due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table.				
Other sources of bias.	State any important concerns about bias	Bias due to problems not		
	not addressed in the other domains in the	covered elsewhere in the table.		
	tool. If particular questions/entries were			
	pre-specified in the review's protocol,			
	responses should be provided for each			
	question/entry.			

## Appendix 1.2: The Cochrane Collaboration Tool for Assessing 'Risk of Bias'

## Appendix 1.3: Criteria for Judging Risk of Bias

RANDOM SEQUENCE GENERATION: Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomised sequence.		
Criteria for a judgement of 'Low risk' of bias.	The investigators describe a random component in the sequence generation process such as:	
	• Referring to a random number table;	
	• Using a computer random number generator;	
	Coin tossing;	
	• Shuffling cards or envelopes:	
	• Throwing dice;	
	• Drawing of lots:	
	• Minimization*.	
	*Minimization may be implemented without a random element, and this is considered to be equivalent to being random.	
Criteria for the judgement of 'High risk' of bias.	The investigators describe a non-random component in the sequence generation process. Usually, the description would involve some systematic, non-random approach, for example:	
	• Sequence generated by odd or even date of birth;	
	• Sequence generated by some rule based on date (or day) of admission;	
	• Sequence generated by some rule based on hospital or clinic record number.	
	Other non-random approaches happen much less frequently than the systematic approaches mentioned above and tend to be obvious. They usually involve judgement or some method of non-random categorization of participants, for example:	
	• Allocation by judgement of the clinician;	
	• Allocation by preference of the participant;	
	<ul> <li>Allocation based on the results of a laboratory test or a series of tests:</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Allocation by availability of the intervention.</li> </ul>	
Criteria for the judgement of 'Unclear risk' of bias.	Insufficient information about the sequence generation process to permit judgement of 'Low risk' or 'High risk'.	
ALLOCATION CON to inadequate conceal	<b>CEALMENT: Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due</b> ment of allocations prior to assignment.	
Criteria for a judgement of 'Low risk' of bias.	Participants and investigators enrolling participants could not foresee assignment because one of the following, or an equivalent method, was used to conceal allocation:	
	• Central allocation (including telephone, web-based and pharmacy-controlled randomization);	
	• Sequentially numbered drug containers of identical appearance;	
	• Sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes.	
Criteria for the	Participants or investigators enrolling participants could possibly	
judgement of 'High	foresee assignments and thus introduce selection bias, such as	

risk' of bias.	allocation based on:			
	• Using an open random allocation schedule (e.g. a list of random numbers);			
	• Assignment envelopes were used without appropriate safeguards (e.g. if envelopes were unsealed or non-opaque or not sequentially numbered);			
	Alternation or rotation;			
	• Date of birth;			
	Case record number;			
	• Any other explicitly unconcealed procedure.			
Criteria for the judgement of 'Unclear risk' of bias.	Insufficient information to permit judgement of 'Low risk' or 'High risk'. This is usually the case if the method of concealment is not described or not described in sufficient detail to allow a definite judgement – for example if the use of assignment envelopes is described, but it remains unclear whether envelopes were sequentially numbered, opaque and sealed.			
BLINDING OF PAR' knowledge of the alloc	<b>FICIPANTS AND PERSONNEL:</b> Performance bias due to ated interventions by participants and personnel during the study.			
Criteria for a	Any one of the following:			
judgement of 'Low risk' of bias.	• No blinding or incomplete blinding, but the review authors judge that the outcome is not likely to be influenced by lack of blinding;			
	• Blinding of participants and key study personnel ensured, and unlikely that the blinding could have been broken.			
Criteria for the	Any one of the following:			
judgement of 'High risk' of bias.	• No blinding or incomplete blinding, and the outcome is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding;			
	• Blinding of key study participants and personnel attempted, but likely that the blinding could have been broken, and the outcome is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding.			
Criteria for the	Any one of the following:			
judgement of 'Unclear risk' of bias.	• Insufficient information to permit judgement of 'Low risk' or 'High risk';			
	• The study did not address this outcome.			
BLINDING OF OUTCOME ASSESSMENT: Detection bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by outcome assessors.				
Criteria for a	Any one of the following:			
judgement of 'Low risk' of bias.	• No blinding of outcome assessment, but the review authors judge that the outcome measurement is not likely to be influenced by lack of blinding;			
	• Blinding of outcome assessment ensured, and unlikely that the blinding could have been broken.			
Criteria for the	Any one of the following:			
judgement of 'High risk' of bias.	• No blinding of outcome assessment, and the outcome measurement is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding;			
	• Blinding of outcome assessment, but likely that the blinding could have been broken and the outcome measurement are			

	likely to be influenced by lack of blinding.		
Criteria for the	Any one of the following:		
judgement of 'Unclear risk' of bias.	<ul> <li>Insufficient information to permit judgement of 'Low risk' or 'High risk';</li> </ul>		
	• The study did not address this outcome.		
INCOMPLETE OUT incomplete outcome da	COME DATA : Attrition bias due to amount, nature or handling of ta.		
Criteria for a	Any one of the following:		
judgement of 'Low risk' of bias.	• No missing outcome data;		
	• Reasons for missing outcome data unlikely to be related to true outcome (for survival data, censoring unlikely to be introducing bias);		
	• Missing outcome data balanced in numbers across intervention groups, with similar reasons for missing data across groups;		
	• For dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on the intervention effect estimate;		
	• For continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardized difference in means) among missing outcomes not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on observed effect size;		
	• Missing data have been imputed using appropriate methods.		
Criteria for the	Any one of the following:		
judgement of 'High risk' of bias.	• Reason for missing outcome data likely to be related to true outcome, with either imbalance in numbers or reasons for missing data across intervention groups;		
	• For dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk enough to induce clinically relevant bias in intervention effect estimate;		
	• For continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardized difference in means) among missing outcomes enough to induce clinically relevant bias in observed effect size;		
	• 'As-treated' analysis done with substantial departure of the intervention received from that assigned at randomization;		
	• Potentially inappropriate application of simple imputation.		
Criteria for the	Any one of the following:		
judgement of 'Unclear risk' of bias.	• Insufficient reporting of attrition/exclusions to permit judgement of 'Low risk' or 'High risk' (e.g. number randomized not stated, no reasons for missing data provided);		
	• The study did not address this outcome.		
SELECTIVE REPOR	TING: Reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting.		
Criteria for a	Any of the following:		
judgement of 'Low risk' of bias.	• The study protocol is available and all of the study's pre- specified (primary and secondary) outcomes that are of interest in the review have been reported in the pre-specified way;		

	• The study protocol is not available but it is clear that the published reports include all expected outcomes, including those that were pre-specified (convincing text of this nature may be uncommon).		
Criteria for the	Any one of the following:		
judgement of 'High risk' of bias.	<ul> <li>Not all of the study's pre-specified primary outcomes have been reported;</li> </ul>		
	• One or more primary outcomes is reported using measurements, analysis methods or subsets of the data (e.g. subscales) that were not pre-specified;		
	• One or more reported primary outcomes were not pre-specified (unless clear justification for their reporting is provided, such as an unexpected adverse effect);		
	• One or more outcomes of interest in the review are reported incompletely so that they cannot be entered in a meta-analysis;		
	• The study report fails to include results for a key outcome that would be expected to have been reported for such a study.		
Criteria for the judgement of 'Unclear risk' of bias.	Insufficient information to permit judgement of 'Low risk' or 'High risk'. It is likely that the majority of studies will fall into this category.		
<b>OTHER BIAS:</b> Bias	due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table.		
Criteria for a judgement of 'Low risk' of bias.	The study appears to be free of other sources of bias.		
Criteria for the judgement of 'High risk' of bias.	There is at least one important risk of bias. For example, the study:		
	• Had a potential source of bias related to the specific study design used; or		
	• Has been claimed to have been fraudulent; or		
	• Had some other problem.		
Criteria for the judgement of 'Unclear risk' of bias.	There may be a risk of bias, but there is either:		
	• Insufficient information to assess whether an important risk of bias exists; or		
	• Insufficient rationale or evidence that an identified problem will introduce bias.		

## App 2.1: Submission Requirements for the Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science

AUTHOR INFORMATION PACK 26 Jul 2013 www.elsevier.com/locate/jcbs 1



## **ELSEVIER JOURNAL OF CONTEXTUAL BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE**

#### **AUTHOR INFORMATION PACK**

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Description P.1
- Editorial Board P.2
- Guide for Authors P.3

#### DESCRIPTION



The Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science is the official journal of the Association for Contextual Behavioral Science (ACBS). Contextual Behavioral Science is a systematic and pragmatic approach to the understanding of **behavior**, the solution of human problems, and the promotion of human growth and development. Contextual Behavioral Science uses functional principles and theories to analyze and modify action embedded in its historical and situational context. The goal is to predict and influence behavior, with precision, scope, and depth, across all behavioral domains and all levels of analysis, so as to help create a **behavioural science** that is more adequate to the challenge of the human condition. JCBS welcomes contextual behavioral analyses of phenomena that are relevant to the aims and scope of the society's mission, which is to change behavior at an individual or cultural level, to alleviate human suffering, and to advance human wellbeing. JCBS is also a strategic approach to the analysis of human behavior that proposes the need for a multi-level (e.g. social factors, neurological factors, behavioral factors) and multi-method (e.g., time series analyses, cross-sectional, experimental...) exploration of contextual and manipulable variables relevant to the prediction and influence of human behavior. In addition it places a strong emphasis in theory development and the promotion of effective practices that link back to scientific principles. The journal considers papers relevant to a contextual behavioral approach include empirical studies (without topical restriction - e.g., clinical psychology, psychopathology, education, organizational psychology, etc.), reviews (systematic reviews and metaanalyses are preferred), and conceptual and philosophical papers on contextual behavioral science. We are particularly interested in papers emphasizing the study of core behavioral processes that are relevant to a broad range of human problems, and thus not limited to certain populations. Conceptual papers selected for publication may address a broad range of topics but generally will focus on contextual and functional variables or the philosophical analysis of contextual behavioral science. Papers that challenge a contextual behavioural science approach are always welcome. Papers bridging different approaches (e.g., connecting behavioral approaches with cognitive views; or neurocognitive psychology; or evolutionary science) are particularly encouraged. The journal publishes papers written by researchers, practitioners, and theoreticians from different intellectual traditions. What is distinctive is not a narrowly defined theory or set of applied methods but whether the methodology, conceptualization, or strategy employed is relevant to a contextual

behavioral approach.

#### EDITORIAL BOARD

#### Editor-in-Chief

Joseph Ciarrochi, School of Social Sciences and Psychology, University of Western Sydney, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, NSW 2751, Australia, Email: ciarrochij@gmail.com Associate Editors Paul Atkins, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia Charlotte Dack, University College London (UCL), London, England, UK Simon Dymond, Swansea University, Swansea, UK John Forsyth, State University of New York (SUNY) at Albany, Albany, NY, USA

James Herbert, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, USA Jason Lillis, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA Emily Sandoz, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, LA, USA Miles Thompson, Canterbury Christ Church University, Kent, England, UK Dennis Tirch, American Institute of Cognitive Therapy, New York, NY, USA Roger Vilardaga, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA Matthieu Villatte, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, LA, USA Timothy M. Weil, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA Advisory Board David Barlow, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA Dermot Barnes-Holmes, National University of Ireland, Maynooth, Maynooth, Ireland Jan de Houwer, Universiteit Gent, Gent, Belgium Steven Hayes, University of Nevada, Reno, NV, USA Philip Hineline, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, USA Carmen Luciano, Universidad de Almeria, Almería, Spain David Sloan Wilson, Binghamton University, Binghamton, NY, USA G. Terence Wilson, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA

#### GUIDE FOR AUTHORS Types of article

All manuscripts must clearly and explicitly be of relevance to CBS. You may find the JCBS article "<u>Contextual Behavioral Science: creating a science more adequate to the challenge of the human condition</u>" helpful in assessing whether your manuscript is likely to be of interest to readers of this journal.

Articles should fall into one of seven categories:

- 1. Empirical research (up to 6000 words)
- 2. Brief empirical reports (up to 3000 words)
- 3. Review articles (up to 10,000 words)
- 4. Conceptual articles (up to 6000 words)
- 5. In practice (up to 3000 words)
- 6. Practical innovations (up to 3000 words)
- 7. Professional interest briefs (up to 3000 words)

Word limits exclude references, tables and figures but include the abstract

1. Empirical research. JCBS welcomes manuscripts across a breadth of domains from basic behavioral science to clinical trials. Research concerning the measurement and testing of process of change is particularly welcome. Potential methodologies include but are not limited to: randomized controlled trials, single case experimental designs, cross-sectional and prospective cohort studies, mixed-methods designs, small scale analog studies. Papers reporting null findings are also welcome if their methodology is sound and their power sufficient. Authors of such papers will need to emphasize the implications of their findings for future research and practice.

2. Brief empirical reports. Manuscripts in this section may report preliminary, provocative or replicated results. Empirically sound methodology and adequate power remain important considerations.

3. Review articles. Manuscripts reviewing a wide range of topics are encouraged as long as their content is directly relevant to CBS. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses are particularly welcome. Authors are advised to consult relevant MARS (<u>www.apa.org/pubs/authors/jars.pdf</u>) and PRISMA resources (<u>http://www.prisma-statement.org/</u>) when preparing such manuscripts.

4. Conceptual articles. Manuscripts in this section should address conceptual or theoretical issues relevant to CBS. This may include papers that discuss relevant philosophical assumptions and traditions, or conceptual papers which explore aspects of or inconsistencies in contextual behavioral theory and science.

5. In practice. Manuscripts in this section are designed to make CBS useful to practitioners from a wide variety of areas. Manuscripts must be written in an accessible style and should be easily understood by practitioners who are not experts in research or basic behavioral science.

Manuscripts should provide both clear insights for new practitioners as well as stating the questions that remain to be answered by future research.

6. Practical innovations. Manuscripts in this section seek to apply the findings and applications of CBS to under-studied, under-served or novel areas. The scope of these manuscripts is limited only by the journal's broad mission: creating a science more adequate to the challenge of the human condition.

7. Professional interest briefs. Manuscripts in this section highlight professional issues of relevance to those working in the field of CBS. Examples include manuscripts related to training and supervision, assessment methods in professional settings or opinions on contemporary issues.

#### Contact details for submission

To contact the Editor-in-Chief prior to your submission with any questions, please email <u>ciarrochij@gmail.com</u>



#### Ethics in publishing

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see<u>http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics</u> and <u>http://www.elsevier.com/ethicalguidelines</u>.

#### Policy and ethics

The work described in your article must have been carried out in accordance with *The Code* of *Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving* 

humanshttp://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/index.html; EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal

experiments<u>http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab_animals/legislation_en.htm;</u> Unif orm Requirements for manuscripts submitted to Biomedical journals<u>http://www.icmje.org</u>. This must be stated at an appropriate point in the article.

#### Conflict of interest

All authors are requested to disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or organizations within three years of beginning the submitted work that could inappropriately influence, or be perceived to influence, their work. See also<u>http://www.elsevier.com/conflictsofinterest</u>. Further information and an example of a Conflict of Interest form can be found at: http://elsevier6.custhelp.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/286/p/7923/.

#### Submission declaration and verification

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see<u>http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy</u>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service

CrossCheck http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect.

#### Authorship

All authors should have made substantial contributions to all of the following: (1) the conception and design of the study, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, (2) drafting

the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, (3) final approval of the version to be submitted.

## Changes to authorship

This policy concerns the addition, deletion, or rearrangement of author names in the authorship of accepted manuscripts:

Before the accepted manuscript is published in an online issue: Requests to add or remove an author, or to rearrange the author names, must be sent to the Journal Manager from the corresponding author of the accepted manuscript and must include: (a) the reason the name should be added or removed, or the author names rearranged and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, fax, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed. Requests that are not sent by the corresponding author will be forwarded by the Journal Manager to the corresponding author, who must follow the procedure as described above. Note that: (1) Journal Managers will inform the Journal Editors of any such requests and (2) publication of the accepted manuscript in an online issue is suspended until authorship has been agreed.

After the accepted manuscript is published in an online issue: Any requests to add, delete, or rearrange author names in an article published in an online issue will follow the same policies as noted above and result in a corrigendum.

## Copyright

This journal offers authors a choice in publishing their research: Open Access and Subscription.

## For Subscription articles

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' (for more information on this and copyright, see <a href="http://www.elsevier.com/copyright">http://www.elsevier.com/copyright</a>). An e-mail will be sent to the corresponding author confirming receipt of the manuscript together with a 'Journal Publishing Agreement' form or a link to the online version of this agreement. Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution and for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations (please consult<a href="http://www.elsevier.com/permissions">http://www.elsevier.com/permissions</a>). If excerpts from other copyrighted works are included, the author(s) must obtain written permission for use by authors in these cases: please consult <a href="http://www.elsevier.com/permissions">http://www.elsevier.com/permissions</a>).

## For Open Access articles

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete an 'Exclusive License Agreement' (for more information see <u>http://www.elsevier.com/OAauthoragreement</u>). Permitted reuse of open access articles is determined by the author's choice of user license (see <u>http://www.elsevier.com/openaccesslicenses</u>).

#### **Retained author rights**

As an author you (or your employer or institution) retain certain rights. For more information on author rights for:

Subscription articles please see <u>http://www.elsevier.com/authorsrights</u>. Open access articles please see <u>http://www.elsevier.com/OAauthoragreement</u>.

## Role of the funding source

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement then this should be stated. Please see<u>http://www.elsevier.com/funding</u>.

#### Funding body agreements and policies

Elsevier has established agreements and developed policies to allow authors whose articles appear in journals published by Elsevier, to comply with potential manuscript archiving requirements as specified as conditions of their grant awards. To learn more about existing agreements and policies please visit<u>http://www.elsevier.com/fundingbodies</u>.

#### Open access

This journal offers authors a choice in publishing their research:

#### **Open Access**

- Articles are freely available to both subscribers and the wider public with permitted reuse
- An Open Access publication fee is payable by authors or their research funder **Subscription**

• Articles are made available to subscribers as well as developing countries and patient groups through our access programs (<u>http://www.elsevier.com/access</u>)

No Open Access publication fee

All articles published Open Access will be immediately and permanently free for everyone to read and download. Permitted reuse is defined by your choice of one of the following Creative Commons user licenses:

**Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY)**: lets others distribute and copy the article, to create extracts, abstracts, and other revised versions, adaptations or derivative works of or from an article (such as a translation), to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), to text or data mine the article, even for commercial purposes, as long as they credit the author(s), do not represent the author as endorsing their adaptation of the article, and do not modify the article in such a way as to damage the author's honor or reputation.

**Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike (CC BY-NC-SA)**: for noncommercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, to create extracts, abstracts and other revised versions, adaptations or derivative works of or from an article (such as a translation), to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), to text and data mine the article, as long as they credit the author(s), do not represent the author as endorsing their adaptation of the article, do not modify the article in such a way as to damage the author's honor or reputation, and license their new adaptations or creations under identical terms (CC BY-NC-SA).

**Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)**: for noncommercial purposes, lets others distribute and copy the article, and to include in a collective work (such as an anthology), as long as they credit the author(s) and provided they do not alter or modify the article.

To provide Open Access, this journal has a publication fee which needs to be met by the authors or their research funders for each article published Open Access. Your publication choice will have no effect on the peer review process or acceptance of submitted articles.

The publication fee for this journal is **\$1800**, excluding taxes. Learn more about Elsevier's pricing policy:<u>http://www.elsevier.com/openaccesspricing</u>.

## Language (usage and editing services)

Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the English Language Editing service available from Elsevier's WebShop <a href="http://webshop.elsevier.com/languageediting/">http://webshop.elsevier.com/languageediting/</a> or visit our customer support site<a href="http://webshop.elsevier.com">http://webshop.elsevier.com</a> for more information.

## Patient details

Unless you have written permission from the patient (or, where applicable, the next of kin), the personal details of any patient included in any part of the article and in any supplementary materials (including all illustrations and videos) must be removed before submission. For further information see<u>http://www.elsevier.com/patientphotographs</u>.

#### Submission

Submission to this journal proceeds totally online and you will be guided stepwise through the creation and uploading of your files. The system automatically converts source files to a single PDF file of the article, which is used in the peer-review process. Please note that even though manuscript source files are converted to PDF files at submission for the review process, these source files are needed for further processing after acceptance. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, takes place by e-mail removing the need for a paper trail.

#### Referees

Please submit, with the manuscript, the names, addresses and e-mail addresses of three potential referees. Note that the editor retains the sole right to decide whether or not the suggested reviewers are used.



#### Use of wordprocessing software

It is important that the file be saved in the native format of the wordprocessor used. The text should be in single-column format. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. In particular, do not use the wordprocessor's options to justify text or to hyphenate words. However, do use bold face, italics, subscripts, superscripts etc. When preparing tables, if you are using a table grid, use only one grid for each individual table and not a grid for each row. If no grid is used, use tabs, not spaces, to align columns. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the Guide to Publishing with Elsevier:<u>http://www.elsevier.com/guidepublication</u>). Note that source files of figures, tables and text graphics will be required whether or not you embed your figures in the text. See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammarcheck' functions of your wordprocessor.

#### Article structure

## Subdivision - unnumbered sections

Divide your article into clearly defined sections. Each subsection is given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line. Subsections should be used as much as possible when cross-referencing text: refer to the subsection by heading as opposed to simply 'the text'.

## Introduction

State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

## Material and methods

Provide sufficient detail to allow the work to be reproduced. Methods already published should be indicated by a reference: only relevant modifications should be described.

## Theory/calculation

A Theory section should extend, not repeat, the background to the article already dealt with in the Introduction and lay the foundation for further work. In contrast, a Calculation section represents a practical development from a theoretical basis.

## Results

Results should be clear and concise.

#### Discussion

This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined

Results and Discussion section is often appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

## Conclusions

The main conclusions of the study may be presented in a short Conclusions section, which may stand alone or form a subsection of a Discussion or Results and Discussion section.

## Appendices

If there is more than one appendix, they should be identified as A, B, etc. Formulae and equations in appendices should be given separate numbering: Eq. (A.1), Eq. (A.2), etc.; in a subsequent appendix, Eq. (B.1) and so on. Similarly for tables and figures: Table A.1; Fig. A.1, etc.

## Essential title page information

• **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.

• Author names and affiliations. Where the family name may be ambiguous (e.g., a double name), please indicate this clearly. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.

• Corresponding author. Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. Ensure that phone numbers (with country and area code) are provided in addition to the e-mail address and the complete postal address. Contact details must be kept up to date by the corresponding author.

• **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

## Abstract

A concise and factual abstract is required. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, References should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Also, non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself.

## **Graphical abstract**

A Graphical abstract is optional and should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership online. Authors must provide images that clearly represent the work described in the article. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of 531 x 1328 pixels (h x w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 x 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files.

See http://www.elsevier.com/graphicalabstracts for examples.

Authors can make use of Elsevier's Illustration and Enhancement service to ensure the best presentation of their images also in accordance with all technical requirements: <u>Illustration</u> <u>Service</u>.

## Highlights

Highlights are mandatory for this journal. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that convey the core findings of the article and should be submitted in a separate file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points

(maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point). See <u>http://www.elsevier.com/highlights</u> for examples.

## Keywords

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

#### Abbreviations

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

#### Acknowledgements

Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.).

#### Math formulae

Present simple formulae in the line of normal text where possible and use the solidus (/) instead of a horizontal line for small fractional terms, e.g., X/Y. In principle, variables are to be presented in italics. Powers of e are often more conveniently denoted by exp. Number consecutively any equations that have to be displayed separately from the text (if referred to explicitly in the text).

## Footnotes

Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article, using superscript Arabic numbers. Many wordprocessors build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Should this not be the case, indicate the position of footnotes in the text and present the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article. Do not include footnotes in the Reference list.

## Table footnotes

Indicate each footnote in a table with a superscript lowercase letter.

## Artwork

## Electronic artwork

## General points

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Embed the used fonts if the application provides that option.

• Aim to use the following fonts in your illustrations: Arial, Courier, Times New Roman, Symbol, or use fonts that look similar.

- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Provide captions to illustrations separately.
- Size the illustrations close to the desired dimensions of the printed version.
- Submit each illustration as a separate file.

A detailed guide on electronic artwork is available on our website:

http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions

# You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

## Formats

If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format.

Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):

EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings, embed all used fonts.

TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi. TIFF (or JPEG): Bitmapped (pure black & white pixels) line drawings, keep to a minimum of 1000 dpi.

TIFF (or JPEG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (color or grayscale), keep to a minimum of 500 dpi.

## Please do not:

• Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors;

- Supply files that are too low in resolution;
- Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

## **Color artwork**

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF (or JPEG), EPS (or PDF), or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color on the Web (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) regardless of whether or not these illustrations are reproduced in color in the printed version. For color reproduction in print, you will receive information regarding the costs from Elsevier after receipt of your accepted article. Please indicate your preference for color: in print or on the Web only. For further information on the preparation of electronic artwork, please

see http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Please note: Because of technical complications which can arise by converting color figures to 'gray scale' (for the printed version should you not opt for color in print) please submit in addition usable black and white versions of all the color illustrations.

## Figure captions

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. Supply captions separately, not attached to the figure. A caption should comprise a brief title (**not** on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

## Tables

Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text. Place footnotes to tables below the table body and indicate them with superscript lowercase letters. Avoid vertical rules. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in tables do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article.

## References

## Citation in text

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa). Any references cited in the abstract must be given in full. Unpublished results and personal communications are not recommended in the reference list, but may be mentioned in the text. If these references are included in the reference list they should follow the standard reference style of the journal and should include a substitution of the publication date with either 'Unpublished results' or 'Personal communication'. Citation of a reference as 'in press' implies that the item has been accepted for publication.

## Web references

As a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates, reference to a source publication, etc.), should also be given. Web references can be listed separately (e.g., after the reference list) under a different heading if desired, or can be included in the reference list.
# References in a special issue

Please ensure that the words 'this issue' are added to any references in the list (and any citations in the text) to other articles in the same Special Issue.

# Reference style

*Text:* Citations in the text should follow the referencing style used by the American Psychological Association. You are referred to the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, Sixth Edition, ISBN 978-1-4338-0561-5, copies of which may be ordered from <a href="http://books.apa.org/books.cfm?id=4200067">http://books.apa.org/books.cfm?id=4200067</a> or APA Order Dept., P.O.B. 2710, Hyattsville, MD 20784, USA or APA, 3 Henrietta Street, London, WC3E 8LU, UK. *List:* references should be arranged first alphabetically and then further sorted chronologically if necessary. More than one reference from the same author(s) in the same year must be identified by the letters 'a', 'b', 'c', etc., placed after the year of publication. *Examples:* 

Reference to a journal publication:

Van der Geer, J., Hanraads, J. A. J., & Lupton, R. A. (2010). The art of writing a scientific article. *Journal of Scientific Communications, 163*, 51–59.

Reference to a book:

Strunk, W., Jr., & White, E. B. (2000). *The elements of style.* (4th ed.). New York: Longman, (Chapter 4).

Reference to a chapter in an edited book:

Mettam, G. R., & Adams, L. B. (2009). How to prepare an electronic version of your article. In B. S. Jones, & R. Z. Smith (Eds.), *Introduction to the electronic age* (pp. 281–304). New York: E-Publishing Inc.

# Video data

Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include links to these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the body text where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the files in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 50 MB. Video and animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including ScienceDirect: <a href="http://www.sciencedirect.com">http://www.sciencedirect.com</a>. Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For more detailed instructions please visit our video instruction pages

at <u>http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions</u>. Note: since video and animation cannot be embedded in the print version of the journal, please provide text for both the electronic and the print version for the portions of the article that refer to this content.

# Supplementary data

Elsevier accepts electronic supplementary material to support and enhance your scientific research. Supplementary files offer the author additional possibilities to publish supporting applications, high-resolution images, background datasets, sound clips and more. Supplementary files supplied will be published online alongside the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including ScienceDirect:<u>http://www.sciencedirect.com</u>. In order to ensure that your submitted material is directly usable, please provide the data in one of our recommended file formats. Authors should submit the material in electronic format together with the article and supply a concise and descriptive caption for each file. For more detailed instructions please visit our artwork instruction pages at <a href="http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions">http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions</a>.

# Submission checklist

The following list will be useful during the final checking of an article prior to sending it to the

journal for review. Please consult this Guide for Authors for further details of any item. **Ensure that the following items are present:** 

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:

- E-mail address
- Full postal address
- Phone numbers

All necessary files have been uploaded, and contain:

Keywords

All figure captions

• All tables (including title, description, footnotes)

Further considerations

- · Manuscript has been 'spell-checked' and 'grammar-checked'
- References are in the correct format for this journal
- All references mentioned in the Reference list are cited in the text, and vice versa

• Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Web)

• Color figures are clearly marked as being intended for color reproduction on the Web (free of charge) and in print, or to be reproduced in color on the Web (free of charge) and in black-and-white in print

• If only color on the Web is required, black-and-white versions of the figures are also supplied for printing purposes

For any further information please visit our customer support site at http://support.elsevier.com.

# After Acceptance

# Use of the Digital Object Identifier

The Digital Object Identifier (DOI) may be used to cite and link to electronic documents. The DOI consists of a unique alpha-numeric character string which is assigned to a document by the publisher upon the initial electronic publication. The assigned DOI never changes. Therefore, it is an ideal medium for citing a document, particularly 'Articles in press' because they have not yet received their full bibliographic information. Example of a correctly given DOI (in URL format; here an article in the journal *Physics Letters B*):

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.09.059

When you use a DOI to create links to documents on the web, the DOIs are guaranteed never to change.

# Proofs

One set of page proofs (as PDF files) will be sent by e-mail to the corresponding author (if we do not have an e-mail address then paper proofs will be sent by post) or, a link will be provided in the e-mail so that authors can download the files themselves. Elsevier now provides authors with PDF proofs which can be annotated; for this you will need to download Adobe Reader version 7 (or higher) available free from <a href="http://get.adobe.com/reader">http://get.adobe.com/reader</a>. Instructions on how to annotate PDF files will accompany the proofs (also given online). The exact system requirements are given at the Adobe site: <a href="http://www.adobe.com/products/reader/tech-specs.html">http://www.adobe.com/products/reader/tech-specs.html</a>.

If you do not wish to use the PDF annotations function, you may list the corrections (including replies to the Query Form) and return them to Elsevier in an e-mail. Please list your corrections quoting line number. If, for any reason, this is not possible, then mark the corrections and any other comments (including replies to the Query Form) on a printout of your proof and return by fax, or scan the pages and e-mail, or by post. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately – please let us have all your corrections within 48 hours. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication: please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is

solely your responsibility. Note that Elsevier may proceed with the publication of your article if no response is received.

# Offprints

The corresponding author, at no cost, will be provided with a PDF file of the article via e-mail (the PDF file is a watermarked version of the published article and includes a cover sheet with the journal cover image and a disclaimer outlining the terms and conditions of use). For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication. Both corresponding and co-authors may order offprints at any time via Elsevier's WebShop (<u>http://webshop.elsevier.com/myarticleservices/offprints</u>). Authors requiring printed copies of multiple articles may use Elsevier WebShop's 'Create Your Own Book' service to collate multiple articles within a single cover

(http://webshop.elsevier.com/myarticleservices/offprints/myarticlesservices/booklets).



For inquiries relating to the submission of articles (including electronic submission) please visit this journal's homepage. For detailed instructions on the preparation of electronic artwork, please visit<u>http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions</u>. Contact details for questions arising after acceptance of an article, especially those relating to proofs, will be provided by the publisher. You can track accepted articles at<u>http://www.elsevier.com/trackarticle</u>. You can also check our Author FAQs at <u>http://www.elsevier.com/authorFAQ</u>and/or contact Customer Support via http://support.elsevier.com.





# ACT at WORK

# ACCEPTANCE AND COMMITMENT TRAINING

# **PARTICIPANTS NEEDED**



Consistent with the Healthy Working Lives initiative we are exploring the possibility of piloting ACT: at work, a customised training programme, to help promote well-being in the workplace.

# We are looking for mental health staff working in NHS Lanarkshire to volunteer to take part.

Research has shown ACT can:

- Reduce worker stress
- Improve mental health
- Optimise learning and performance
- Facilitate trust and openness

As a participant in this study, you would be asked to attend training sessions and complete questionnaires. Your participation would involve 3 sessions, each of which is approximately 3 hours. Your employer will allocate time within your shift to complete this training.

For more information or to volunteer for this study, please contact:

Kirsten Maclean (Trainee Clinical Psychologist) Mobile: 07900954436 Email: <u>k.maclean1@nhs.net</u>





## **Research Participant Information Sheet**

# Study Title: ACT at Work: Feasibility Study of an Acceptance Based Intervention for Well-being in the Work Place.

My name is Kirsten Maclean. I work here in NHS Lanarkshire as a Trainee Clinical Psychologist. I have particular interests in Acceptance and Commitment Training (ACT) and staff well-being and I would like to invite you to take part in a research study I am conducting.

Please note that you do not have to participate in this study. If you wish to take part, you need to understand why the research is being done and what it would involve for you.

Please take the time to read the following information carefully. Talk to others about the study if you wish. Feel free to ask me if there is anything that is not clear. Please ask if you would like more information. Take time to decide whether you wish to take part.

#### What is the purpose of the Study?

The Scottish Centre for Healthy Working Lives introduced the Healthy Working Lives (HWL) awards programme to help exemplar employers understand, protect and improve the health of their employees. The Health Works: A Review of the Scottish Government's Healthy Working Lives Strategy (Scottish Government, 2009) document, on which the awards are based, commits public sector bodies to becoming employers by obtaining HWL awards.

Consistent with the Healthy Working Lives initiative we are exploring the possibility of piloting the use of a technique called Acceptance and Commitment Training to help promote mental health and well-being in the workplace.

#### Firstly... it may be useful to explain what Acceptance and Commitment Training is.

ACT aims to teach us to accept what is out with our personal control and commit to take action that enriches our life. Work-based ACT has been shown to have beneficial effects on mental health, occupational constraints, learning at work and propensity to innovate (Bond and Bunce, 2000; Flaxman and Bond, 2010).

#### How does ACT work?

ACT teaches us skills to handle painful thoughts and feelings effectively, in such as way that they have less impact and influence – these are known as mindfulness skills. It also helps us to clarify what is truly important and meaningful to us – that is, clarify our values – and use that knowledge to guide, inspire, and motivate us to set goals and take action that enriches our life.

# Why have you been invited to participate in the study?

The mental health and well-being of all staff is paramount. In the first instance, we are asking **ALL** staff working in certain targeted services in NHS Lanarkshire to take part.

# Do I have to take part?

**NO.** It is up to you to decide. I will describe the study by going through this information sheet. You will also receive your own copy. If you agree to take part, I will ask you to sign a consent form to show you have agreed to take part. Your participation would be greatly appreciated. However, please understand you do not have to take part. You are free to withdraw at any time. You do not have to give a reason. This will not affect your current or future employment within the NHS.

#### What will happen to me if I take part?

Once we have ascertained who would like to part in the study, you will be asked to sign a consent form. You will be given a copy and a signed consent form to keep.

Then, you will be allocated certain dates to participate in the Acceptance and Commitment Training. ACT at Work aims to teach people the following psychologically flexible strategies: cognitive defusion (i.e. observing the automatic and programmed nature of thinking); the acceptance of, rather than the avoidance of challenging events and associated private experiences (e.g. anxiety); mindful contact with the present moment; and the ability to define values and engage in actions that are in keeping with those values.

Training will be delivered, in a group format (approximately 8-10 staff), by a Trainee Clinical Psychologist and a Clinical Psychologist. In total there will be three sessions to attend, each taking three hours. The first two groups are held a week apart, and then the 3rd group follows on a month later. Groups will be held in a central location.

Staff members will be asked to complete questionnaires at different stages. At first they will be asked to complete pre treatment questionnaires one week before the workshop commences. Follow up questionnaires will be conducted before the third session and one month after the third session. In addition, we will contact Human Resources to gain information about your absence record. We will only be collecting information about the number of times you may have been off in the two month period prior to your involvement in the study and the two months after. We will not have access to the reason why you were off as this is confidential information.

Some staff members may be asked to participate in an interview with the researcher to elicit qualitative feedback about what they took from the workshops and how this has impacted on them. This will be done in a focus group format. It will last about an hour.

Sessions will be audio-recorded. This is so an ACT expert can verify adherence and competence of facilitators and their application of the ACT at work protocol. The audio-tape is out of view, but if at any point it makes you feel uncomfortable, just tell me and I will stop it. Following verification, all copies of the audio will be destroyed.

# **Risks and Benefits of Taking Part?**

I cannot promise this training will help you. **However**, taking part in this pilot study does provide access to training and support systems. In addition, previous ACT research has shown it can:

- * Reduce worker stress
- * Improve mental health
- * Optimise learning and performance
- * Facilitate trust and openness

Some people may not like to work in a group setting. However, nobody has to divulge any personal information and any information shared will be kept confidential.

## What will happen if I do not want to carry on with the study? You can stop at any time

This training is completely voluntary. Individuals have the **right to withdraw at any point** in the process.

#### What if there is a problem?

Any complaint about the way you have been dealt with during the study or any possible harm you might suffer will be addressed. Detailed information follows.

#### Complaints

If you have concerns about any aspect of this study, please contact myself or the chief investigator of this research. Contact details follow.

#### Will taking part in this study be kept confidential?

Your confidentiality will be safeguarded during and after the study. All the information you provide in questionnaires during the course of the research will be kept strictly confidential. My supervisor looks at this information to make sure the study is being carried out correctly. We all have a duty of confidentiality to you as a research participant and we will do our best to meet this duty.

The information you complete in the questionnaires will have your personal details removed, so that you cannot be recognised. The group sessions and focus group interview will be recorded on a Dictaphone. However, I will only share this information with the chief investigator who is bound by the same rules and regulations as I am). I will also record, process and store confidential information in a way to avoid disclosure (in line with Data Protection, 1998).

## **Breach of Confidentiality**

All research staff involved in this project are bound by the NHS Lanarkshire rules on Confidentiality.

#### What will happen to the results of the research study?

The results of the research will be written up in a report. If you wish, you can receive a copy of this report. Some of the information you give will be used in the report but no one will know it comes from you, as it will be anonymous.

## Who has reviewed the study?

The research has been reviewed and approved by Lanarkshire R and D and Glasgow University Ethics. The methodology has also been approved by Academic staff in Mental Health and Wellbeing at the University of Glasgow.

#### **Further information and Contact Details**

As I am completing my clinical doctorate at Glasgow University, a piece of research must be completed in order to fulfil course requirements. If you wish to know any more information about my role or about the study, contact details are;

Kirsten Maclean, Trainee Clinical Psychologist, University of Glasgow 1st Floor, Administration Building Gartnavel Royal Hospital, 1055 Great Western Road, Glasgow. G12 OXH. E-mail: k.maclean1@nhs.net

Dr Ross White (Chief Investigator of Research) University Teacher University of Glasgow 1st Floor, Administration Building Gartnavel Royal Hospital, 1055 Great Western Road, Glasgow. G12 OXH.

# App 2.4: Research Participant Consent Form. Version 4: January 2013





Study Number Participant Identification number for this trial:

#### CONSENT FORM

answered satisfactorily.

study.

Title of Project: ACT at Work: Feasibility Study of an Acceptance Based Intervention for Wellbeing in the Work Place.

Name of researcher: Kirsten Maclean

- box 1. I confirm that I have read and understand the information sheet (version 4) for the above 2. I have had the opportunity to consider the information, ask questions and have had these
- 3. I understand that my participation is voluntary and that I am free to withdraw at any time without given any reason, without my current or future employment being affected.
- 4. I understand that information from the questionnaires I complete will be kept strictly confidential, and any information about me will have my personal details removed so that I cannot be recognised.
- 5. I consent to researchers having access to my absence rate over two specific time periods: two months prior to and two months immediately after participation in the study.
- 6. I consent to audio recordings being made of the training sessions that I attend.
- 7. I consent to the researcher sending questionnaires to my NHS email account for me to complete these electronically.
- 8. I agree to take part in the above study

Name of Participant Date Signature

Name of Person Date **Taking Consent** 

Signature

please initial



	-

٦
1
I
I
I

109





# **Research Control Participant Information Sheet**

# Study Title: ACT at Work: Feasibility Study of an Acceptance Based Intervention for Well-being in the Work Place.

My name is Kirsten Maclean. I work here in NHS Lanarkshire as a Trainee Clinical Psychologist. I have particular interests in Acceptance and Commitment Training (ACT) and staff well-being and I would like to invite you to take part in a research study I am conducting.

Please note that you do not have to participate in this study. If you wish to take part, you need to understand why the research is being done and what it would involve for you.

Please take the time to read the following information carefully. Talk to others about the study if you wish. Feel free to ask me if there is anything that is not clear. Please ask if you would like more information. Take time to decide whether you wish to take part.

# What is the purpose of the Study?

The Scottish Centre for Healthy Working Lives introduced the Healthy Working Lives (HWL) awards programme to help exemplar employers understand, protect and improve the health of their employees. The Health Works: A Review of the Scottish Government's Healthy Working Lives Strategy (Scottish Government, 2009) document, on which the awards are based, commits public sector bodies to becoming employers by obtaining HWL awards.

Consistent with the Healthy Working Lives initiative we are exploring the possibility of piloting the use of a technique called Acceptance and Commitment Training to help promote mental health and well-being in the workplace.

# Why have you been invited to participate in the study?

The mental health and well-being of all staff is paramount. In the first instance, we are asking **ALL** mental health staff working in certain targeted services in NHS Lanarkshire to take part. It is important to evaluate the efficacy of the ACT at Work Training. It is useful to compare those who participate in the training to those who are not participating in the training. Therefore, we need a control group. A control group will act as a comparison.

# Do I have to take part?

**NO.** It is up to you to decide. I will describe the study by going through this information sheet. You will also receive your own copy. If you agree to take part, I will ask you to sign a consent form to show you have agreed to take part. Your participation would be greatly appreciated. However, please understand you do not have to take part. You are free to withdraw at any time. You do not have to give a reason. This will not affect your current or future employment within the NHS.

#### What will happen to me if I take part?

Once we have ascertained who would like to part in the study, you will be asked to sign a consent form. You will be given a copy and a signed consent form to keep.

As a control participant, you will be asked to complete questionnaires at different stages. You will be asked to complete the questionnaires at the same time as the individuals completing the group. Therefore, you will be asked to complete the questionnaires three times over 3 months. Questionnaires will take approximately 30-45 minutes to complete each time. Questionnaires can be sent to your work address (with an addressed envelope to return them) or sent electronically to your work e-mail. In addition, we will contact Human Resources to gain information about your absence record. We will only be collecting information about the number of times you may have been off in the two month period prior to your involvement in the study and the two months after. We will not have access to the reason why you were off as this is confidential information.

#### **Risks and Benefits of Taking Part?**

Participating in this study may not directly help you. However, taking part in this pilot research study will help evaluate the efficacy of ACT at Work Training on the mental health and wellbeing of mental health NHS staff. It will help ascertain whether this training has similar effects previous research has shown, i.e:

- * Reduce worker stress
- * Improve mental health
- * Optimise learning and performance
- * Facilitate trust and openness

Some people may not like to divulge information that questions in the questionnaires are pertaining to. However, all information shared will be kept confidential. Individual responses will also be anonymised.

# What will happen if I do not want to carry on with the study? You can stop at any time

This training is completely voluntary. Individuals have the **right to withdraw at any point** in the process.

#### What if there is a problem?

Any complaint about the way you have been dealt with during the study or any possible harm you might suffer will be addressed. Detailed information follows.

#### Complaints

If you have concerns about any aspect of this study, please contact myself or the chief investigator of this research. Contact details follow.

#### Will taking part in this study be kept confidential?

Your confidentiality will be safeguarded during and after the study. All the information you provide in questionnaires during the course of the research will be kept strictly confidential. My supervisor looks at this information to make sure the study is being carried out correctly. We all have a duty of confidentiality to you as a research participant and we will do our best to meet this duty.

The information you complete in the questionnaires will have your personal details removed, so that you cannot be recognised. I will only share this information with the chief investigator who is bound by the same rules and regulations as I am. I will also record, process and store confidential information in a way to avoid disclosure (in line with Data Protection, 1998).

#### **Breach of Confidentiality**

All research staff involved in this project are bound by the NHS Lanarkshire rules on Confidentiality.

### What will happen to the results of the research study?

The results of the research will be written up in a report. If you wish, you can receive a copy of this report. Some of the information you give will be used in the report but no one will know it comes from you, as it will be anonymous.

# Who has reviewed the study?

The research has been reviewed and approved by Lanarkshire R and D and Glasgow University Ethics. The methodology has also been approved by Academic staff in Mental Health and Wellbeing at the University of Glasgow.

#### **Further information and Contact Details**

As I am completing my clinical doctorate at Glasgow University, a piece of research must be completed in order to fulfil course requirements. If you wish to know any more information about my role or about the study, contact details are;

Kirsten Maclean, Trainee Clinical Psychologist, University of Glasgow 1st Floor, Administration Building Gartnavel Royal Hospital, 1055 Great Western Road, Glasgow. G12 OXH.

E-mail: k.maclean1@nhs.net

Dr Ross White (Chief Investigator of Research) University Teacher University of Glasgow 1st Floor, Administration Building Gartnavel Royal Hospital, 1055 Great Western Road, Glasgow. G12 OXH. Appendix 2.6: Control Research Participant Consent Form, Version 1: January 2013.





Study Number Patient Identification number for this trial:

# CONSENT FORM

**Title of Project:** ACT at Work: Feasibility Study of an Acceptance Based Intervention for Wellbeing in the Work Place.

Name of researcher: Kirsten Maclean

1.	I confirm that I have read and understand the information sheet indicating that I will act as
	a control participant for this study.

- 2. I have had the opportunity to consider the information, ask questions and have had these answered satisfactorily.
- 3. I understand that my participation is voluntary and that I am free to withdraw at any time without given any reason, without my current or future employment being affected.
- 4. I understand that information from the questionnaires I complete will be kept strictly confidential, and any information about me will have my personal details removed so that I cannot be recognised.
- 5. I consent to the researcher sending questionnaires to my NHS email account for me to complete these electronically.
- 6. I agree to take part in the above study

Name of Participant	Date	Signature
Name of Person Taking Consent	Date	Signature



Ľ	


1	13	

# App 2.7: Lanarkshire Research and Development Ethics



NHS Lanarkshire Research & Development: Amendment Approval Letter

# Table 1. Documents approved by the NHS REC as part of this amendment

 $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\boxtimes}}$  The following documents were approved as part of the amendment:



		E di la
Document	Version	Date
Notice of Amendment	IRAS 3.4, Amendment 1. February 2013	
Appendix 1: Patient Information Sheet	4	January 2013
Appendix 2: Research Participant Consent Form	4	January 2013
Appendix 3: Recruitment Poster	4	January 2013
Appendix 4: Control Research Participant Consent Form	1	January 2013
Appendix 5: Research Control Patient Information Sheet	1	January 2013
Appendix 6: Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire: Job Satisfaction Subscale (MOAQ:JSS)	1	
Appendix 7: General Health Questionnaire – 12	1	
Appendix 8: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Questionnaire	1	
Appendix 9: Utrecht Work Engagement Scale	1	
Appendix 10: Acceptance and Action Questionnaire	1	
Appendix 11: Valuing Questionnaire	1	
Appendix 12: Training Evaluation Form	1	

#### c.c.

NAME	TITLE	CONTACT ADDRESS	ROLE	
Kirsten MacLean	Trainee Clinical Psychologist	Airbles Road Centre	Principal Investigator / Local Collaborator	
Dr Debra Stuart	Research Governance Officer	University of Glasgow	Sponsor Contact	
Mr Jim Wright	General Manager	Wishaw General Hospital	Named Contact	

L12049_Amendment_1_ManagementApproval_040413.doc

Page 2of 2

# **App 2.8:** Glasgow University Ethics

04 October 2013 Dr Ross White University Teacher University of Glasgow

Dear Dr White

# **MVLS College Ethics Committee**

# *Project Title:* ACT at work: acceptance based intervention for well-being at work *Project No: 200120003*

The College Ethics Committee has reviewed your application and has agreed that there is no objection on ethical grounds to the proposed study. They are happy therefore to approve the project, subject to the following conditions:

- The date on V4 of the Research Participant Consent Form appears incorrect and should be amended.
- The research should be carried out only on the sites, and/or with the groups defined in the application.
- Any proposed changes in the protocol should be submitted for reassessment, except when it is necessary to change the protocol to eliminate hazard to the subjects or where the change involves only the administrative aspects of the project. The Ethics Committee should be informed of any such changes.
- If the study does not start within three years of the date of this letter, the project should be resubmitted.
- You should submit a short end of study report to the Ethics Committee within 3 months of completion.

Yours sincerely

Welli Mart

Professor William Martin College Ethics Officer

> Professor William Martin Professor of Cardiovascular Pharmacology

R507B Level 5 School of Life Sciences West Medical Building Glasgow G12 8QQ Tel: 0141 330 4489 E-mail: <u>William.Martin@glasgow.ac.uk</u>

# App 2.9: ACT_w Content

Session Number &	Learning Objectives and Exercises
Session 1:	To question the workability of popular coping strategies, undermine unhelpful coping mechanisms, introduce acceptance as an alternative strategy & allow practice of acceptance and mindfulness-focused exercises.
Introduction, baseline measures, rapport building & setting the scene.	<ul> <li>Re-iterate that this is research i.e. highlight confidentiality agreement and freedom to withdraw. Reiterate training procedure (e.g. 3 × half days) &amp; identify research design requires no discussion with other colleagues taking part in the study.</li> <li>Attendance &amp; questionnaires</li> <li>Establish ground rules</li> <li>Re-iteration of purpose i.e. awareness of issues faced by working population</li> <li>Establish Participant hopes &amp; expectations; manage these expectations specifically stating that this is training not therapy</li> </ul>
Creative hopelessness	<ul> <li>What is Stress? Consider the signs/symptoms of stress (i.e. discuss physical, mood, thinking, behaviours and organisational factors), and the causes (i.e. individual characteristics and work/organisational factors.) Whilst, re-iterating that workshops are not designed to change the individual or the work related sources of stress; rather, the focus is on changing how individuals react to these types of stressful events.</li> <li>TAP analogy (Bond &amp; Hayes, p. 122)</li> <li>Beginning ACT: Discuss how people deal with your unhappiness, anxieties, worries? (Bond &amp; Hayes, p122/123) Highlight that people try to eradicate this content (i.e. avoid, change, justify, rationalise, deny, ignore or tolerate). Introduce the concept of workability i.e. whether these techniques have worked. Overarching point: Emphasise listening to one's mind is not always effective in relieving the effects of stress, worry, unhappiness etc (nor helping in achieving the goals they would like to accomplish).</li> </ul>
Control is the problem	<ul> <li>Control Agenda Excerpt (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.124): Solution is not deliberate control: the problem is control. Cannot control our thoughts and feelings or anything that happened under our skin, in our minds in our body.</li> <li>Polygraph Exercise (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.124.)</li> </ul>
Defusion/Acceptance	Control Doesn't Work Explanation (Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.125). Clean Versus Dirty Discomfort (Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.126): Clean discomfort is the discomfort that we all experience in our lives as a function of living. Dirty discomfort is emotional pain created by our efforts to control the normal, natural clean discomfort that we experience. Introduction of Acceptance and Willingness – Quicksand Example

	(Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.126): Acceptance and willingness, involves
	from it, towards the emotions, thoughts and feelings that we dislike.
	(Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.126/7.)
	<b>Exercise followed by inquiry i.e.</b> How did you find this exercise? How does this exercise relate to what we have been discussing? This exercise shows participants they can view/watch their thoughts and bodily sensations without having to alter them or stop them. The trainer notes the usefulness of this exercise when people start to feel stress.
	<b>Buying Thoughts:</b> Insight into the automaticity of thoughts. Link to personal histories and the things we think in particular situations.
	<b>Identify "Stress Buttons" (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.127/8):</b> Indicate situations, thoughts, emotions, or sensations that cause stress.
	Willingness Exercise 2: Face to Face (Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.128): Exercise consists of simply looking at another person for about two minutes.
Homework Task and End Point	• Notice how cognitive avoidance, cognitive struggle, and cognitive fusion promote stress, when stress buttons are
	<ul> <li>pressed.</li> <li>Daily practice: 10 minutes doing the "just noticing" exercise</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Participants asked not to discuss info with colleagues i.e.</li> </ul>
Session 2	To further explore acceptance, and how lack of awareness and
	automatic thinking can cause internal struggles, to identify and
	record participants most important goals and values, and to highlight how acceptance and mindfulness facilitate values-based actions.
Introduction	
	Confidentiality and right to withdraw.
	<ul><li>Confidentiality and right to withdraw.</li><li>Homework discussion</li></ul>
Defusion/Acceptance	<ul> <li>Confidentiality and right to withdraw.</li> <li>Homework discussion</li> <li>Right Versus Wrong (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.129): Individuals have the ability to make life bigger, richer, less stressful –nothing has to change before that can start. You are not to blame for the stress of painful emotions that you feel, but you are responsible to how you respond to stressful situations.</li> </ul>
Defusion/Acceptance	<ul> <li>Confidentiality and right to withdraw.</li> <li>Homework discussion</li> <li>Right Versus Wrong (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.129): Individuals have the ability to make life bigger, richer, less stressful –nothing has to change before that can start. You are not to blame for the stress of painful emotions that you feel, but you are responsible to how you respond to stressful situations.</li> <li>But exercise (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.130: awareness of the word "but" and substitute it for the word, "and", because this switch may make you more sensitive to one of the ways that we get pulled into the struggle with ourselves that makes us more stressed</li> </ul>
Defusion/Acceptance	<ul> <li>Confidentiality and right to withdraw.</li> <li>Homework discussion</li> <li>Right Versus Wrong (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.129): Individuals have the ability to make life bigger, richer, less stressful –nothing has to change before that can start. You are not to blame for the stress of painful emotions that you feel, but you are responsible to how you respond to stressful situations.</li> <li>But exercise (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.130: awareness of the word "but" and substitute it for the word, "and", because this switch may make you more sensitive to one of the ways that we get pulled into the struggle with ourselves that makes us more stressed.</li> <li>The Observer Exercise (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.131): Followed with an inquiry. This exercise demonstrates, among other things, that you, the observer you, can take a direction in your work, in your life, regardless of what your thoughts and feelings are saying to you. Your observer you, the true you, can see what is there and still say "This is what I need I need to do to get where I want to go!"</li> </ul>

	Remember while words can trigger fearful thoughts and feeling, they are, at the end of the day, just words just symbols of the fear, and not the fear itself, and sine they are just symbols, just holograms, why must they be resisted and fought? <b>The Tin Can Monster Exercise (Bond &amp; Hayes, 2002, p.134/5):</b> Willingness is not an outcome it is a process. Willingness is a choice
	to do something, and in that context, to have happen whatever it is that is going to happen. The exercise, is intended to help you give up the struggle with emotional discomfort and disturbing thoughts by dissembling them. It is also designed to give you the experience of the ebb and flow of willingness, to realise that it is not something that you will "get" and "have" forever.
Values-based action	Letting go of the struggle, in order to achieve your values and goals: Values discussion. Clarify what the trainee values for its own sake: what gives your life meaning. Followed by identifying a value that has been interfered by the struggles with stress. Then make a public commitment to letting go of the struggle that is getting in your way of achieving the values and goals that you have.
Homework	• Daily practice of tin can monster for a week, then weekly until next session, utilising, "stress buttons" material that you wrote down last week.
Session 3	To further practice acceptance and mindfulness, discuss barrier and stumbling blocks to value-based actions, and troubleshoot any questions or issues participants have after practice these techniques over the last month.
Introduction	<ul><li>Recap</li><li>Confidentiality and Right to Withdraw</li><li>Homework discussion.</li></ul>
Practice willingness techniques, establish more value directed goals & address any	Willingness Exercise 1: "Just Noticing" – Leaves on a stream (Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.126/7): Participants asked to reveal biggest hooks or internal events that they had a hard time letting go off.
questions participants may have.	The Tin Can Monster Exercise (Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.134/5): Consider hooks given as material, elicit responses and answer questions.
Willingness as a values-based action	Willingness Exercise 2: Face to Face (Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.128): Comments and questions
	<b>Group Sharing Exercise:</b> discussion about how previous goal directed behaviour went and establish new goals.
	The Observer Exercise (Bond & Hayes, 2002, p.131): Comments and questions addressed.
	Participants are encouraged to continue to employ willingness exercises 3 times a week. Participants reminded acceptance is a process, not an end state; no one will reach it permanently. It is necessary, therefore, to be constantly mindful and aware that thoughts and feelings are just that; thoughts and feelings, and not the events that

	they represent (i.e. internal events are not to be taken literally).
Ending	Thanks for participation
	• Remind about any further outcome measures
	Encourage practice of exercises
	• Remind participants not to discuss training with colleagues
	• Who to contact within the organisation should they have
	questions/issues
	• Facilitator contact details.

# App 2.10: Valuing Questionnaire (VQ-8)

Name ____ Date:___ VALUING QUESTIONNAIRE Please read each statement carefully and then circle the number which best describes how much the statement was true for you DURING THE PAST WEEK, INCLUDING TODAY. 2 5 0 1 3 4 6 Not at all Completely true true 1) It seemed like I was just 'going through the motions', rather 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 than focusing on what was important to me 2) I continued to get better at being the kind of person I want to 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 be 3) I made progress in the areas of my life I care most about 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 4) I tried to work towards important goals, but something always 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 R got in the way 5) Difficult thoughts, feelings or memories got in the way of what 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 R I really wanted to do 6) I was proud about how I lived my life 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7) I was basically on "auto-pilot" most of the time 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 R 8) My behaviour was a good example of what I stand for in life 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 Progress: Obstruction: Total:

# **App 2.11: ACT**_w **Training Evaluation Form**

# **Training Evaluation Form**

# Please take the time to read the following questions and tick one of the possible responses.

	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Excellent
The room used for training					
was					

	Very Poor	Poor	Neutral	Good	Excellent
The group facilitators were					

	Too Little	Just right	Too much
The amount of information given was			

	Too Easy	At the right level	Too complicated
The information given was			

	Not useful	Slightly useful	Very Useful
Overall, I feel the training was			

	No	Not Sure	Yes
I would recommend this training to			
NHS colleagues			

# Please answer the following questions.

Do you feel there was anything in the training that could be missed out?

Is there anything in the training you would like more off?

What part do you think was most helpful for you?

What part, if any, do you think was least useful for you?

Any other comments?

## App 2.12: Major Research Proposal

## Abstract

**Background:** Research suggests that 25% of health care professionals can experience 'burnout' (Da Silva & Menezes, 2008). Burn-out is the negative antithesis of the energy, involvement and efficacy that characterises work engagement (Maslach and Leiter, 1997). The NHS has a number of policies and targets to promote mental health and well-being for all staff. Despite these policies, no interventions which target mental health and well-being have been evaluated. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) promotes psychological flexibility, which may promote sensitivity to, and contact with, contingencies of reinforcement that bear on chosen values making it useful in the work setting (Bond et al, 2006). Research highlights that ACT interventions can improve mental health, reduce worker stress (Bond and Bunce, 2000, Flaxman and Bond, 2010) and engender individuals to be more willing and able to learn and perform effectively (Bond et al, 2006).

**Aim:** Investigate the feasibility of using ACT to improve mental health and well-being and foster work engagement in NHS mental health staff.

Method: Mixed methods (qualitative and quantitative) will be used. A repeated measures design will be used. Staff will be recruited to take part in ACT at work (Bond and Hayes, 2002). Additional staff will also be recruited in order to act as a control group and will be assessed in parallel to the intervention group. ACT at work will be implemented in 3 x 3 hour sessions (two sessions on consecutive weeks and a third a month later). Psychometrics will be completed one week prior to the workshop. Follow up measures will be conducted before the third session and one month after. A mixed Factor ANOVA will be conducted to determine if there are significant differences between how individuals from the two groups (ACT vs Control group) change in levels of well-being and work engagement change from baseline to post treatment. Regression analyses will examine the potential meditational relationship that the process measures (psychological flexibility and value consistent behaviour) might play in changes in outcome measures (work engagement and stress). In addition, a qualitative arm will be undertaken. A sub-sample of staff participating in the research will be selected to participate in interviews with the researcher to elicit qualitative feedback. This will be done utilising a focus group format. Qualitative exploration, utilising Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis, will seek to establish the stressors (if any) present in the workplace, the staff's perception of the applicability of this intervention and their views on how acceptable the training was.

**Applications:** This feasibility study will assess the practical implementation and utilisation of ACT at Work on mental health staff, providing information for further research.

### Introduction

#### Health and Well-Being

The 2009-10 NHS report for Scotland recorded a staff sickness rate of 4.75% equating to around 6500 staff on sick leave at any one time (NHS Scotland Chief Executive's Annual Report, 2009/10). The Scottish Government has identified the mental health and well-being of NHS staff as a priority area. Working in mental health settings presents particular challenges for health care professionals. They support individuals with enduring relapsing illness and high suicide risk, they are threatened and experience actual violence, they endure heavy workloads, lack legalistic frameworks and supervision, and there is often role conflict and ambiguity (Korkeila et al., 2003 and Edwards et al, 2000). Staff health and well-being services within the

NHS have been criticised as being reactive; responding to ill-health rather than actively promoting good health and well-being (NHS Health and Well-being, Final Report 2009). The Scottish Centre for Healthy Working Lives introduced the Healthy Working Lives (HWL) awards programme to help employers understand, protect and improve their employee's health. The Health Works: A Review of the Scottish Government's Healthy Working Lives Strategy (Scottish Government, 2009) document, on which the awards are based, commits public sector bodies to becoming exemplar employers by obtaining HWL awards. The Chief Executive's (http://www.sehd.scot.nhs.uk/mels/CEL2012 01.pdf) outlines NHS Scotland's Letter commitment to attaining HWL awards for all acute services; working to attain the Gold Award and the HWL Mental Health Commendation Award. To obtain the gold award, NHS boards are required to demonstrate that appropriate policy, training and support are in place to promote staff mental health. However, there has been no evaluation of interventions aimed at promoting mental health and well-being in NHS staff.

#### **Burn-out**

Burnout is recognised in individuals with occupations that have intense involvement with people who have psychological, social and/or physical problems (Maslach and Jackson, 1981). Burnout is a syndrome which has 3 dimensions; emotional exhaustion, feelings of cynicism and detachment and a sense of ineffectiveness and lack of accomplishment (Maslach, Schaufeli and Lieter, 2001). Research suggests that 25% of health-care workers experience 'burnout' (Da Silva & Menezes, 2008). Burnout is associated with high job turnover and absenteeism (Maslach and Jackson 1981 and Duchame, Knudsen and Roman, 2008). Of those who stay in employment there is a decrease in quality of care and service, lower productivity, reduced commitment to the job, negative impact on colleagues (Maslach et al, 2001), low morale (Maslach and Jackson, 1981, Cushway and Tyler, 1996) and poorer therapeutic rapport (Garner, 2006). In terms of health there is a link between burnout and personal distress i.e. physical exhaustion, insomnia, drug/alcohol use and marital/family problems (Maslach et al, 2001 and Maslach and Jackson, 1981).

### Work Engagement

According to Maslach and Leiter (1997) burn-out is the negative antithesis of the energy, involvement, and efficacy that characterises work engagement. Work engagement has been defined as a positive, fulfilling, work-related state of mind that is characterised by vigour, dedication, and absorption (Schaufeli, Salanova, González-Romá & Bakker, 2002). Work engagement is predictive of high levels of job performance, client satisfaction (Bakker et al., 2008) and financial return (Bakker et al, 2011). Bakker et al (2008) identified that employees who feel vital, strong and enthusiastic about their work, show better in-role and extra-role performance, resulting in better financial results and satisfied customers. Engaged workers tend to have an active coping style (Rothmann and Storm, 2003), believe they can face work demands (have high self efficacy), experience good outcomes in life (optimistic) and believe they can satisfy their needs by participating in their organisation role (organisational based self-esteem) (Xanthopoulou et al., 2007).

#### **Current Programmes**

Stress management training (SMT) has commonly been used to improve mental health in the workplace (Flaxman and Bond, 2010). These interventions provide a combination of cognitive restructuring, muscle relaxation and behavioural skills (i.e. problem solving). Reviews highlight that SMT has a moderate effect in improving employee health (Flaxman and Bond, 2011). However, SMT reviews often conclude by indicating the design and methodological limitations (Bunce, 1997). For instance, research shortcomings include short term evaluation periods, a restricted range and poor operationalization of outcome variables (Murphy, 1988). Furthermore, SMT studies fail to examine the psychological mechanisms which mediate change (Bunce, 1997). Bunce (1997) advocates that before one can design an SMT to achieve maximum effectiveness, a greater understanding of the mechanisms, or mediators, by which it helps people change is required. Research needs to identify the mediators of change to understand the circumstances in which an intervention is appropriate (Bunce, 1997).

## Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT)

ACT is a psychological intervention that uses acceptance and mindfulness exercises, together with commitment and behaviour change strategies, to increase psychological flexibility. Psychological flexibility involves contacting the present moment fully as a conscious human being, and based on what the situation affords, changing or persisting in behaviour in the service of chosen values (Flaxman and Bond, 2010). The use of ACT to promote psychological flexibility, has primarily been discussed in the context of mental health difficulties (Bond et al., 2006), however, there is a growing literature implying that psychological flexibility may promote sensitivity to, and contact with, contingencies of reinforcement that bear on chosen values, making it useful in the work setting (Bond et al, 2006). ACT aims to teach the following strategies: cognitive defusion (i.e. observing the arbitrary, automatic and programmed challenging events and the private experience they stimulate), mindfulness and conscious contact with the present moment, and the ability to define values and engage in actions that are consistent with those values.

Poor psychological acceptance predicts poor mental health and productivity across various work settings i.e. nursing, advertising, addiction counselling and civil service (Bond et al, 2006). Research highlights that ACT can improve mental health and reduce worker stress (Bond and Bunce, 2000, Flaxman and Bond, 2010). ACT engenders individuals to be more willing and able to learn and perform effectively (Bond et al, 2006). In addition, Hayes et al, (2004) documented that ACT can change attitudes, stereotypes, and facilitate trust and openness. Consistent with the ACT model, process analyses have demonstrated that increased psychological flexibility leads to better mental health, job performance and learning (Bond and Bunce, 2003; Flaxman and Bond, 2010). To date, no research has investigated whether there is an association between psychological flexibility and work engagement.

**Aims and Hypotheses**: Investigate the feasibility of using ACT to facilitate well-being and work engagement in NHS mental health staff.

Specifically,

- Primary Aim: Compared to control participants, individuals allocated to ACT will evidence a significant increase in work engagement across the duration of the trial
- Secondary Aim: Explorative investigation of the effect of ACT on stress levels.
- Tertiary Aim: Qualitative exploration of the stressors (if any) present in the workplace, the staff's perception of the applicability of this intervention and how acceptable they found the intervention.

The following hypotheses will be assessed utilising the PICO framework (Richardson et al., 1995):

- 1. Population: A group of NHS Lanarkshire (NHSL) mental health staff will be recruited
- 2. Intervention: A work-based ACT intervention will be acceptable to NHSL mental health staff.
- 3. Control: A group of NHSL mental health staff will be recruited as a control and followed up in parallel to the intervention group.
- 4. Outcomes: Changes in work engagement and stress levels are the outcome measures. Pre-post changes are predicted to be mediated by changes in psychological flexibility and value based living; hypothesised process measures.

# **Plan of Investigation**

**Participants:** NHSL mental health staff will be asked to participate. Staff will be recruited with the co-operation of management. Staff will be recruited from participating services i.e. Learning Disability and staff who have been referred to the Occupational Health department at Lanarkshire NHS. Individuals who have volunteered will be allocated to ACT group.

Additional individuals will also be recruited to participate as a control group. The number of controls will match the number of individuals participating in the training. The age range of participants will be 18 - 65 years.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:** All occupational groups will be asked to participate (including administration staff). Exclusion criteria will include minimum time in post i.e. 3 months.

## **Recruitment Procedures**

Approval and support for the research will be sought from Mental Health managers at NHSL. The research will be advertised using a number of modalities: posters in resource centres, information will be circulated via e-mail. In addition, the researcher will meet with occupational health staff to inform them of the study. The time frame of the study will be explicitly highlighted. These staff will provide information to all staff attending their service. Individuals will be able to contact researcher if interested to participate. Contact information will be available if staff wish to participate.

The researcher will attend team meetings and be available via e-mail and telephone to describe research and answer questions. A participant information sheet will be circulated to individuals interested and the researcher will meet with potential participants to gain informed consent. (Appendix 2).

# Measures

**Absenteeism:** Absence rates for the two months before randomisation to treatment, and two months following the completion of the initial ACT intervention session.

**Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire: Job Satisfaction Subscale** (MOAQ:JSS, Cummann et al., 1979) will be used to measure the individuals' intention to seek a new post. Internal consistency reliability is .84 and the mean test–retest reliability is .50 (Bowling and Hammond, 2008).

**General Health Questionnaire** (GHQ - 12; Goldberg and Williams, 1988) is a 12 item selfreport scale measuring mental health. Respondents are asked to indicate whether they have recently experienced a range of common symptoms or distress. Cronbach alphas are 0.90 and 0.93 (Flaxman and Bond, 2011).

**Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale** (HADS; Zigmond and Snaith, 1983) identifies caseness of anxiety disorders and depression. A higher score is indicative of anxiety or depression. Cronbach's alpha for HADS-A varied from .68 to .93 (mean .83) and for HADS-D from .67 to .90 (mean .82) (Bjelland, Dahl, Haug and Necklemann, 2001).

**Utrecht Work Engagement Scale** (UWES-9; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2003). This is a 9-item scale measuring vigour, dedication and absorption. Cronbach alphas varied between 0.82 and 0.86 (Seppela, Mauno, Feldt, Hakanen, Kinnunen, Tolvanen and Schaufeli, 2009).

Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ-II; Bond, Hayes, Baer, Carpenter, Guenole, Orcutt, Waltz and Zettle, 2011). The AAQ-II is a seven item scale which measures psychological flexibility. The mean alpha coefficient is 0.84 (0.78 - 0.88), and the 3- and 12-month test-retest reliability is 0.81 and 0.79 (Bond et al, 2011).

**Valuing Questionnaire** (VQ: 8 item; Davies and Smout, 2011). This measures the extent to which people think they have lived their values in the last week. Reliability data not published.

Training Evaluation Form: brief form compiled to gain feedback about the workshop.

# Design

Initially, discussion will take place with Mental Health Managers from NHSL to permit staff involvement. Mixed methods (qualitative and quantitative) will be used. A repeated measures design will be used. Staff will be recruited to take part in ACT at work training (Bond and Hayes, 2002). Staff will also be recruited in order to act as a control group and will be assessed in parallel to the intervention group. Questionnaire information will only have individual's assigned number and will not have any distinguishable information such as their name.

ACT at Work aims to teach people the following psychologically flexible strategies: cognitive defusion (i.e. observing the arbitrary, automatic and programmed nature of thinking); the acceptance of, rather than the avoidance of challenging events and associated private experiences (e.g. anxiety); mindfulness and conscious contact with the present moment; and the ability to define values and engage in actions consistent with those values.

Pre measure questionnaires will be completed one week before the workshop commences Follow up measures will be conducted before the third session and one month after the third session. The control group will complete the follow up measures at the same time points as the ACT at Work group.

A sub-sample of individuals attending the training workshops will be selected to participate in interviews with the researcher to elicit qualitative feedback about what they took from the workshops and how this has impacted on them. This will be done in a focus group format. It will last about an hour; the researcher will prompt discussion with broad questions. Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis, which has its theoretical roots in phenomenology, hermeneutics and idiography (Smith, Flowers and Larkin, 2009). IPA is concerned with the detailed examination of personal lived experience, the meaning of the experience to participants and how participants make sense of that experience (Smith, 2011). Qualitative exploration will seek to establish the stressors (if any) present in the workplace, the staff's perception of the applicability of this intervention and their views on how acceptable the training was.

# **Research Procedures**

ACT at work will be implemented by researcher Kirsten Maclean plus another therapist/researcher (Lanarkshire colleague), following a specific manualised protocol (Bond & Hayes, 2002). The ACT at Work group will implement 3 x 3 hour sessions (2 on consecutive weeks and the third a month later.) Allowing practice and troubleshooting problems in the final session. Groups will run over six months. Adherence and competence will be evaluated by an ACT expert.

A sub-sample of staff participating in the research will be selected to participate in interviews with the researcher to elicit qualitative feedback. This will be done in a focus group format lasting approximately an hour. The Focus Group will employ a semi-structured approach using topic guides, which will facilitate flexibility within the interview. A non-directive approach will be adopted by the interviewer, thus allowing the participants to address areas, they deem important. Prompts such as 'can you tell me more about that' will be used to encourage elaboration. The development of topic guides will follow the PICO framework noted above.

Interviews will be recorded and then transcribed and anonymised by the researcher.

# **Data Analysis**

A mixed factor ANOVA will be conducted. This will determine whether there are significant differences between how individuals from the two groups (ACT vs Waiting List Control) change in the outcomes variables, work engagement (UWES-9) and Stress levels (GHQ-12),

across the three time points. Change scores on the outcome and process measures from time 1 to time 2 as well as from time 1 to time 3 will also be calculated and correlated with each other to determine associations between how the process and outcome measures change over time. Consistent with Baron & Kenny's (1986) methodology, regression analyses will be used to examine the potential meditational relationship that the process measures might play in changes in outcome measures.

Post-hoc analysis will ascertain the number of participants who were stressed at baseline and isolate these individuals to determine whether the individuals who were stressed at baseline and subsequently received ACT had a significantly greater change in work engagement and stress scores relative to the waiting list control participants who were stressed at baseline.

Interviews will be recorded and transcribed. Data may be utilised in project, or left for future research. IPA will be utilised to interpret the data. Transcribed interviews will be analysed using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis. Inter-rater reliability will be verified by a NHS Lanarkshire (NHSL)colleague (Dr Nicola Cogan) with experience in IPA analysis. Focus Group transcripts will be analysed to ensure reliability of the analysis from the interview transcripts.

#### Justification of sample size

A sensitivity analysis of sample size requirement was completed, utilising a study by Lloyd et al (In Press). This study measured the effect of ACT at Work on stress levels and psychological flexibility. They measured levels of stress with the GHQ-12. This study documented a statistically significant reduction in stress ( $\mu = 0.2526456$ ). G-power 3 software (Faul, 2010) was utilised and established that the following sample sizes would be required for the study to reach the following statistical power values (assuming  $\alpha = 0.05$ ):

Power	0.80	0.85	0.90	0.95
Sample Size	34	38	44	54

Due to the possible high attrition rate (20%) 70 staff will be recruited to ensure optimum power for the study.

Important to remain mindful that this is a feasibility study and therefore focus will also be on any potential difficulties there may be in recruiting from NHSL.

#### **Settings and Equipment**

Research will be conducted in NHSL resource centres. A therapeutic room will be located for 8-10 individuals (approx. group size). This will be in a suitable location to participants (i.e. central). ACT at Work manualised protocol (Bond, F., & Hayes, S. C., 2002) will be utilised.

#### Health and Safety Issues

#### **Researcher and Participant Safety Issues**

Location and safety of room will be assessed to identify any risk factors for researcher and participants. The room selected will meet fire regulations.

#### Ethical Issues (including where submissions will be made)

Individuals may present elevated levels of stress. The researcher will work with NHSL occupational health to ensure all participants are aware of sources of support available within the organisation. If individuals present or divulge elevated levels of stress in the training session, they will be sign-posted to contact their GP.

Informed consent will be obtained prior to participation in the research. Participants' anonymity and confidentiality will be paramount. Confidentiality will be breached if anyone expressed harm to themselves or others. Individuals will be reminded that they can withdraw from the study at any point. It will be emphasised that participation, non-participation and withdrawal will not impact on their current/future employment. The research will gain ethical approval from NHSL and IRAS ethical committees.

#### Financial Issues

I personally funded an ACT course  $(12^{th}/13^{th} \text{ November}) = \text{\pounds}180$ . No cost incurred for psychometrics.

### Timetable

See attached.

### **Practical Applications**

Findings will assess the utilisation of ACT at Work on mental health staff. This will be the first research to be conducted within NHS Scotland and a mental health profession. This feasibility study will provide information for further research.

#### References

Bakker, A., Schaufeli, W., Leiter, M., and Taris, T. (2008). Work Engagement: An emerging concept in occupational health psychology. Work and Stress, 22, 3, 187 – 200.

Bakker, A., Albrecht, S., and Leiter, M. (2011). Key Questions Regarding Work Engagement. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 20, 1, 4 - 28.

Baron, M., and D., Kenny (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic and Statistical Considerations. Journal of Personality and Social Pscyhology, 51, 6, 1173 – 1182.

Bjelland, L., Dahl, A., Haug, T., and Necklemann, D. (2001). The Validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. An Updated Literature Review. Journal of Psychometric Research, 52, 69 – 77.

Bond, F. W., & Bunce, D. (2000). Mediators of change in emotion-focused and problemfocused worksite stress management interventions. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 5, 156-163.

Bond, F. W., & Bunce, D. (2003). The role of acceptance and job control in mental health, job satisfaction and work performance. Journal of Applied Psychology, 88, 1057 – 1067.

Bond, F., & Hayes, S. C. (2002). ACT at work. In F. Bond & W. Dryden (Eds.), *Handbook of Brief Cognitive Behaviour Therapy* (pp. 117-140). Chichester, England: Wiley.

Bond, F. W., Hayes, S. C., & Barnes-Holmes, D. (2006). Psychological Flexibility, ACT and Organizational Behavior. In S. C. Hayes, F. W. Bond, D. Barnes-Holmes, & J. Austin (Eds.), Acceptance and Mindfulness at Work: Applying Acceptance and Commitment Therapy and Relational Frame Theory to Organizational Behavior Management (pp. 25-54). Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Press.

Bond, Hayes, Baer, Carpenter, Guenole, Orcutt, Waltz and Zettle (In Press). Preliminary Psychometric Properties of the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire II: A Revised Measure of Psychological Inflexibility and Experiential Avoidance.

Bowling, N., and Hammond, G (2008). A meta-analytic examination of the construct validity of the Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire Job Satisfaction Subscale. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 73, 63 - 77.

Bunce, D. (1997). What factors are associated with the outcome of individual-focused worksite stress management interventions? Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 70, 1-17.

Cummann, C., Fichman, M., Jenkins, D., Klesh, J., 1979. The Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire. Unpublished Manuscript, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Cushway, D., and Tyler, P. (1996). Stress in Clinical Psychologists. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 42, 141 – 149.

Da Silva, A., and Menezes, P. (2008). Burnout Syndrome and Common Mental Disorders Among Community Based Health Agents. Rev Saude Publica, 42 (5).

Ducharme, L., Knudsen, H and Roman, P. (2008). Emotional Exhaustion and turnover intention in human service occupations: the protective role of co-worker support. Sociological Spectrum, 28, 81 - 104.

Edwards, Burnard, Coyle, Fothergill and Hannigan (2000). Stress and Burnout in Community Mental Health Nursing: Review of the Literature. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 7 (1), 7-14.

Garner, B. (2006). The impact of counsellor burnout on therapeutic relationships. A Multilevel analytic approach. Dissertation Abstracts International, 67, 581.

Flaxman, P. E., & Bond, F. (2010). A randomized worksite comparison of acceptance and commitment therapy and stress inoculation training. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 48, 816-820.

Hayes, S. C., Bissett, R., Roget, N., Padilla, M., Kohlenberg, B. C., Fisher, G., Masuda, a., Pistorella, J., Rye, A. K., Berry, K., and Niccolls, R. (2004). The impact of Acceptance and Commitment Training on stigmatizing attitudes and professional burnout of substance abuse workers. Behavior Therapy, 35, 821 – 836.

Hardy, G., Woods, D and Wall, T. (2003). The Impact of Psychological Distress on Absence From Work. Journal of Applied Psychology, 88, 306 – 314.

Kessler, R and Frank, R. (1997). The Impact of Psychiatric Disorders on Work Loss Days. Psychological Medicine, 27, 861 – 873.

Korkeila, Toyra, Kumpulainen, Toivola, Rasanen and Kalimo (2003). Burnout and Self Percieved Health Among Finnish Psychiatrists and Child Psychiatrists a Natural Survey. Scandanivian Journal of Public Health, 31, 85 - 91.

Maslach, C., and Jackson, S (1981). The Measurement of experienced burn-out. Journal of Occupational Behaviour, 2, 99 -113.

Maslach, C. and Leiter, M., P. (1997). The Truth about Burnout: How organisations cause personal stress and what to do about it. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Maslach, C., Schauefeli, W and Leiter, M. (2001). Job Burnout. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 397 – 422.

Murphy, L.R. (1988). Workplace Interventions for Stress Reduction and Prevention. In C. L. Cooper and R. Payne (Eds), Causes, Coping and Consequences of Stress At Work. Chichester, Wiley.

Richardson, W., Wilson, M., Nishikawa, J., and Hayward, R. (1995). The Well-built Clinical Question. A Key to Evidence Based Decisions. ACP J Club, 1995, 123, A12-3.

Rothmann, S., and Storm, K. (2003, May). Work Engagement in the South African Police Service. Paper presented at the 11th European Congress of Work and Organizational Psychology, Lisbon, Portugal. Cited in Bakker, A., Schaufeli, W., Leiter, M., and Taris, T. (2008). Work Engagement: An emerging concept in occupational health psychology. Work and Stress, 22, 3, 187 – 200.

Seppela, Mauno, Feldt, Hakanen, Kinnunen, Tolvanen and Schaufeli (2009). The Construct Validity of the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale: Multisample and Longitudinal Evidence. Journal of Happiness Studies, 10, 459 – 481.

Schaufeli, W., Salanova, M., Gonzalez – Roma., and Baker, A. (2002). The Measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory analytic approach. Journal of Happiness Studies, 3, 71 - 92.

Scottish Government (2011) Safe and Well at Work: Occupational Health and Safety Strategic Framework for NHS Scotland.

J.A. Smith, P. Flower and M. Larkin (2009), Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research. Qualitative Research in Psychology, <u>Volume 6</u>, <u>Issue 4</u>, 2009

Smout, M., Davies, M., Burns, N., and Christie, A. (2011). Evaluating Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: Development of the Valuing Questionnaire (VQ). Manuscript in Preparation.

Department of Health (2009). NHS Health and Well-being Final Report November 2009:<u>http://www.nhshealthandwellbeing.org/pdfs/NHS%20Staff%20H&WB%20Review%20Final%20Report%20VFinal%2020-11-09.pdf</u>

Xanthopolou, D., Bakker, A., Demerouti, E. and Schaufeli, W. (2007). The role of personal resources in the job demands-resources model. International Journal of Stress Management, 14, 121–141.