

https://theses.gla.ac.uk/

Theses Digitisation:

https://www.gla.ac.uk/myglasgow/research/enlighten/theses/digitisation/

This is a digitised version of the original print thesis.

Copyright and moral rights for this work are retained by the author

A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge

This work cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the author

The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the author

When referring to this work, full bibliographic details including the author, title, awarding institution and date of the thesis must be given

Enlighten: Theses <u>https://theses.gla.ac.uk/</u> research-enlighten@glasgow.ac.uk

MOLECULAR INVESTIGATION OF G-PROTEIN LINKED RECEPTORS IN THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

,

ALISON JEAN MORRIS

Thesis presented for the degree of Master of Science

The University of Glasgow 1997

ProQuest Number: 10992044

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10992044

Published by ProQuest LLC (2018). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

> ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346



Thesis 10936 copy1

DECLARATION

All of the investigations and procedures presented in this thesis were performed by the author unless otherwise stated.

This thesis was composed by the author.

ABSTRACT

The initial aim of this research was to discover and characterise new members of the G-protein linked receptor (GPLR) superfamily. There is an abundance of molecules which elicit a physiological response in the central nervous system (CNS) but have not yet had their mechanism elucidated. Because of recent work in this area there have been many new GPLRs discovered corresponding to many of these potential ligands and this area of research remains a rapidly expanding area of great interest in medical and physiological research.

Using sequence homology the receptors were grouped and degenerate primers were designed towards motifs specific to certain groups. These primers were then used to amplify sequences via the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) which could then be characterised.

The dopamine receptors are a subgroup of the GPLR superfamily containing five main members (D1 - D5) all related by sequence homology and their recognition of dopamine as the major ligand. They are of particular interest because they are the primary targets of drugs used in the treatment of psychomotor disorders (eg Parkinson's disease); however the reason for the range of the members with their different affinities for dopamine and different distributions within tissues still remains to be discovered.

This project aims to isolate members of this family by PCR and investigate their pharmacological properties in relation to each other.

ABBREVIATIONS

(β-)AR	(β-) adrenergic receptor			
ATP	adenosine triphosphate			
B _{max}	maximum amount of ligand that can bind to receptors in a			
bp	base pair membrane preparation			
BSA	bovine serum albumin			
cDNA	complementary DNA			
CNS	central nervous system			
D _{2 S}	short-form dopamine D_2 receptor			
DEPC	diethylpyrocarbonate			
DMF	dimethyl formamide			
dopamine	3,4-dihydroxyphenylethylamine			
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid			
dpm	disintegrations per minute			
EDTA	ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid			
EtBr	ethidium bromide			
EtOH	ethanol			
fmol	femtomole			
g	gram			
GPLR	G-protein linked receptor			
GTP	guanine triphosphate			
3H	tritium			
IC50	inhibitory concentration			
IPTG	β-D-isopropyl-thiogalactopyranoside			
kb	kilobase			
KD	ligand dissociation constant (mol l ⁻¹)			
Ki	competing ligand dissociation constant (mol l ⁻¹)			
1	litre			
Μ	molar			
ml	millilitre			
μl	microlitre			
NaOAc	sodium acetate			
NK-1	neurokinin-1 (substance P)			
nM	nanomolar			
oligos	oligonucliotides			
ORF	open reading frame			
7-OH DPAT	7-hydroxy-N,N-di-n-propyl-2-aminotetralin			

PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PEG	polyethylene glycol
pfu	plaque forming units
PH/PTH	parathyroid hormone
pKi	negative log K _i
рM	picomolar
RNA	ribonuleic acid
RNase	ribonuclease
SAP	shrimp alkaline phosphatase
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
ТАСН	tachykinin
TE	Tris-EDTA buffer
TM	transmembrane domain
u	unit
VIP	vassoactive intestinal peptide
X-Gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -galactopyranoside

•

CONTENTS

Declaration Abstract Abbreviations Contents

SECTION A: Research into Novel G Protein Linked Receptors

			Page
1.0	Intro	oduction	1
1.1	Gener	al Perspective on G Protein Linked Receptors (GPLRs)	
	1.11	Ion Channels	2
	1.12	Receptors	
1.2	Drug I	Development	3
1.3	Histor	ry of G-Protein Linked Receptor Research	4
	1.31	Adrenoceptors as Model Receptors	6
1.4	G-Pro	tein Interactions and Second Messenger Systems	7
1.5	Recep	tor Topology	10
1.6	Evolu	tion	12
1.7	Assoc	iating Structure to Function	13
	1.71	Transmembrane Domains	14
	1.72	Intra and Extra-cellular Loops	15
	1.73	Terminal Regions	16
	1.74	Chimeric Experiments and Ligand Binding	17
	1.75	Peptide Receptors	18
1.8	Struct	ural Modifications	18
	1.81	Glycosylation	19
	1.82	Palmitoylation	19
	1.83	Disulphide Bridges	19
	1.84	Phosphorylation	20
1.9	Aims	of Research	20

2.0	Sequence Analysis of G Protein			
	Linked Receptors	23		
2.1	Classification of Receptors	24		
2.2	Computer Analysis	25		
2.3	Polymerase Chain Reaction	27		
	2.31 Experimental Design	27		
	2.311 Degenerate PCR	28		
	2.32 Technical Considerations	29		
	2.33 Reaction Composition	30		
	2.34 Amplification	30		
2.4	Sequence Analysis Results	32		
2.5	Polymerase Chain Reaction	36		
2.6	PCR Results	38		
3.0	Section A: Discussion	40		

3.0 Section A: Discussion

SECTION B: Research into the Dopamine $D_{2:S}$ Receptor

4.0	Introduction - Dopamine Receptor Biology	44
4.1	Dopamine Receptors	45
	4.11 D ₂ -like Receptors	45
	4.12 D ₁ -like Receptors	46
4.2	Importance of Dopamine Receptor Research	47
4.3	Dopamine Receptor Pharmacology	48
	4.31 D ₁ -like Receptor Pharmacology	48
	4.32 D ₂ -Like Receptor Pharmacology	48
4.4	Antipsychotic Drugs	49
4.5	Aims of Research	50
5.0	Cloning of the Mouse D _{2S} Receptor	
5.1	Background	52
5.2	Experimental Design	53
	5.21 Overview	53
	5.22 Polymerase Chain Reaction	53
	5.23 Cloning and Sequencing	55
5.3	Results	57
	5.31 PCR	57
	5.32 Cloning and Restriction	57
	5.33 Sequencing	63
	5.34 Subcloning into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen)	63
5.4	Discussion	65
6.0	Pharmacological Investigation of the Mouse	
	D _{2S} (Short Form) Dopamine Receptor	66
6.1	Saturation Assay	66
6.2	Competition Assay	69
	6.21 Receptor Activation State	70
6.3	Protein Assay	70
6.4	Results	71
	6.41 Saturation Binding of [³ H]-spiperome	71
	6.42 Receptor Number	73
	6.43 Competition of [³ H]-spiperone binding	73
	6.44 Statistical Analysis of Competition Assay Results	75

6.5	Discussion of Pharmacological Results 78			
	6.51	Saturati	on Binding	79
	6.52	Compet	ition Binding	81
	6.53	Statistic	al Analysis	82
7.0	Sect	ion B:	Discussion	87
8.0	Metl	nods		89
App	endix	I		106
App	endix	II		112
App	endix	III		127
App	endix	IV		128
Refe	References			

FIGURES & TABLES

,

SECTION A: TABLES

1.7a	Key sites for ligand interaction with biogenic amine receptors	17a
1.7b	Key sites for ligand interaction with neuropeptide receptors	18a
2.11	Neuropeptide receptors analysed for sequence homology	23
2.40	Pairwise homology scores	32a
2.41	Receptors grouped by homology at TM1	34
2.42	Receptors grouped by homology at TM2	34
2.43	Receptors grouped by homology at TM3	34
2.44	Receptors grouped by homology at TM6	35
2.45	Receptors grouped by homology at TM7	35
2.46	Receptors grouped by overall homology	35
2.51	Mouse neurokinin-1 receptor control primers	36
2.52	Tachykinin group degenerate primers	36
2.53	Parathyroid hormone receptor control primers	36
2.54	Secretin group degenerate primers	37
2.55	Thermal cycling conditions for amplification using mouse NK-1 control primers	37
2.56	Thermal cycling conditions for amplification using degenerate tachykinin receptor primers	37

FIGURES

1.3	Proposed membrane topography of G-protein linked receptors	6a
2.50	Alignment of mouse tachykinin receptors	36a/b
2.505	Rat parathyroid hormone receptor with primer alignment	36c/d
2.61	Optimising reaction conditions	38a
2.62	Confirmation of template quality	38b
2.63	Positive PCR controls	38c
2.64	Products of degenerate PCR	38d

2.71	Alignment of ~800bp PCR product to Golden Syrian Hamster Prp gene	41a
2.72	Alignment of ~350bp PCR product to mouse PAP homologous protein gene	41a
2.73	Alignment of 563bp PCR product with mouse NK-1 receptor gene	41b

SECTION B: TABLES

4.321	Selective ligands for the D ₂ -like receptors		
5.223	Sequence of nested D ₂ receptor PCR primers	54	
5.224	PCR reaction conditions	54	
5.322	Restriction fragment sizes	57	
6.411	Protein concentrations of membrane homogenates	71	
6.416	K_D and B_{max} values for D_2 receptor preparations binding		
	[³ H] spiperone	72	
6.431	Competition assay data for rat striatal D_2 dopamine receptors	74	
6.432	Competition assay data for D_{2S} dopamine receptors	74	
6.441	Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon analysis of pKi values between striatal an	d	
	cloned D ₂ receptors	76	
6.442	Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon analysis of pKi values for D_{2S} receptors		
	in the presence and absence of GTP	77	
6.443	Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon analysis of pKi values for striatal D_2		
	receptors in the presence and absence of GTP	77	
6.531	Comparison of pKi values for D_2 dopamine receptor antagonists	82	
8.931	Saturation assay composition	102	
8.941	Competition assay composition	104	

FIGURES

,

4.01	Dopamine synthesis pathway			
5.11	Alignment of D_2 dopamine receptor peptide sequences			
5.221	Mouse D _{2L} dopamine receptor nucleotide sequence			
5.222	Diagram showing nested primer position	54		
5.311	Products of nested D ₂ dopamine receptor PCR	58		
5.321	Mouse D _{2S} clone in pT7Blue vector	5 9		
5.323	Identification of D_2 receptor clone by restriction	60		
5.331	Sequence of mouse D_{2S} dopamine receptor	61		
5.341	Mouse D _{2S} clone in pcDNA3 vector	64		
6.412	5.412 Saturation curve for striatal D ₂ dopamine receptors binding [³ H] spiperone			
6.413	5.413 Scatchard Plot for striatal D ₂ dopamine receptors binding [³ H] spiperone			
6.414	6.414 Saturation curve for D _{2S} dopamine receptors binding [³ H] spiperone			
6.415	Scatchard Plot for D _{2S} dopamine receptors binding [³ H] spiperone	71b		
6.433	Competition curves for striatal D ₂ receptors	77a		
6.434	Competition curves for D_{2S} dopamine receptors	77b		
Appendi	ix I Materials	106		
Appendi	ix II Alignments from Ch 2	113		
Appendi	ix III Vectors	127		
Appendi	x IV Nucleotide degeneracy codes; marker size key	128		

SECTION A

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Research into novel G Protein Linked Receptors

G-protein linked receptors (GPLRs) are crucial components of intercellular communication and important targets for drug development. The work described in this thesis was aimed at:

a) the discovery of novel GPLRs, and

 b) the investigation of the molecular biology of specific drug interactions on a particular subset of GPLRs, the dopamine D₂-like receptors

Therefore it is necessary to outline both the general properties and importance of GPLRs, and to describe the specific features of dopamine receptor biology and pharmacology.

Communication between cells and external factors is vital for the cells to respond in the appropriate way to allow development, co-ordinate growth, differentiation, perception, adaptation and ultimately survival of the organism. For this communication to occur there has to be a link between the exterior and interior of the cell to allow information to be relayed through the biological surface membrane which surrounds each cell. This transfer of information can be both by the physical movement of a substance in either direction, by diffusion for gases such as oxygen and carbon dioxide or via more complex systems, such as ion channels and surface receptors. Such highly specialised transmembrane signalling systems, along with a vast number of specific messenger molecules eliciting specific responses, enables the immense and complex functioning of the central nervous system (CNS).

1.11 Ion Channels

Ion channels are protein channels spanning membranes, which allow specific ion types (eg K^+ or Na^+) to pass through in a direction determined by their electrochemical gradient. Therefore, unlike diffusion, their action is controlled and the channels may be either open or closed depending upon triggers such as a change in voltage (voltage-sensitive channel), or a signal created by the binding of a ligand to an adjacent receptor molecule (chemically activated channel).

Ion channels are necessary because the lipid bilayer of the cell membrane has a high electrical resistance; therefore charged particles such as ions require a special passage which allows rapid transfer and give neurons the property of excitability (Barker *et al*, 1991).

1.12 Receptors

'Cell surface receptors are primary targets for pharmacological interference with physiological processes' (Burbach & Meijer, 1992); they are membrane spanning proteins which are components of a signal transduction system. The receptor receives extracellular signals, by the binding of a specific ligand, and relays the signal through the membrane (probably by a conformational change) to an intracellular component which effects a cascade of events, in response to the initial stimulus; therefore the receptor also amplifies and integrates extracellular signals (Dohlman *et al*, 1991).

Nearly all receptors and their subtypes are expressed in the brain and this is thought to provide the cells with a greater potential adaptive function for CNS information handling capabilities (Schofield *et al*, 1990). CNS receptors are situated mainly in the post and pre-synaptic membranes and can be classified into three main families according to the components of their signal transduction mechanism, however their classification is becoming more difficult as the range increases with the discovery of new members. The main classes are:

- receptors with an intrinsic ligand-gated ion channel (eg nicotinic acetylcholine receptors)
- 2) those containing an intracellular enzymatic domain (eg insulin receptors)
- 3) those coupled to a guanine nucleotide-binding regulatory protein (G-protein) which in turn triggers the appropriate second messenger system or ion channel (Burbach & Meijer, 1992).

This scientific study focuses on the latter group of G-protein linked receptors (GPLR). This is a fast expanding superfamily of receptors found as different populations in most tissues of most organisms from viruses and bacteria to humans. They are of great significance in the elucidation of CNS function and control.

1.2 Drug Development

GPLRs are involved in the transduction of many diverse extracellular signals including light, odorants, biogenic amines (products of cellular metabolism) and peptides. They consequently are of great interest from the view of drug development for major human disorders including those of the digestive system, heart and circulatory system, and of the central nervous system (CNS) (Dohlman *et al*, 1991).

Discovery of new receptor subtypes and specific ligand tests have become the basis of drug development. In the past few years this area has expanded greatly, especially on the molecular front. This opens up the possibility of great advances in the development of drugs for the associated physiological diseases. The increasing speed of discovery of new receptors and the increase in understanding

of their functions and interactions illustrate the impact of molecular research in this field. Technical advances have allowed the pharmacology, biochemistry and molecular genetics of the whole of mechanism of signal transduction and its associated effector processes to be studied.

It has been discovered that the involvement of GPLR in disease aetiology can be direct when sequence mutations cause the receptor to be constitutively active. An example of this is a somatic mutation in the thyrotropin receptor which causes constitutive stimulation of adenylyl cyclase and produces hyperfunctioning thyroid adenomas leading to hyperthyroidism. This occurs because the mutation is at a region of association with the G protein (G_s) and seems to eliminate the desensitisation mechanism. The receptors therefore are acting as proto-oncogenes producing excess cAMP which stimulates function and growth in the thyroid tissues (Parma *et al*, 1993).

Alternatively the receptor may not be the direct cause of illness but acts as a convenient point for drug intervention as with the treatment of Parkinson's Disease with L-Dopa.

Those GPLR found in the CNS are of particular interest in the study and treatment of neurological and psychiatric disorders such as Parkinsonism, Alzheimer's Disease and schizophrenia. The cloning of these receptors is an important advance in improving existing and developing new drug synthesis programs and is vital in discovering the extent of CNS involvement in the way the drugs have their action.

1.3 History Of G-Protein Linked Receptor Research

The field of receptor research has evolved from the early work of people such as Ahlquist (1948), who first divided the adrenergic receptors into pharmacological subtypes. During recent years great advances have been made, with the cloning of

increasing numbers of new receptors and additional subtypes of previously discovered receptors. Subtypes are defined as those members of a receptor family with significant structural and sequence homology but differences in the coupled effector pathway and ligand binding pharmacological profiles (Schofield *et al.* 1990). As new subtypes are detected, the number and size of subclasses in the GPLR superfamily increases, in some cases leading to new groups being defined, for example the 'VIP-like' class, (including the secretin, bombesin, and VIP (vassoactive intestinal peptide) receptors). This increase in number can cause problems with nomenclature and classification.

Now that the sequences of many members of the GPLR superfamily have been obtained, the DNA directed approach is the principal area of new advances. Cross-species screening has revealed equivalent receptor genes in different species and new related receptors are constantly being discovered using degenerate oligos for conserved regions in the transmembrane (TM) regions (Libert *et al*, 1989). Originally the technique of library screening was sufficient to uncover relatives however PCR has become increasingly important in uncovering those receptors present at very low levels in tissue (Burbach & Meijer, 1992).

Two receptors of the GPL type which have been of particular significance in the study of this superfamily, are rhodopsin and the β -adrenergic receptors (β -AR). Rhodopsin mediates conversion of light energy into a neurochemical signal (phototransduction). It is synthesised only in the retinal rod cells, at very high concentrations, which has facilitated its purification and subsequent characterisation. The bovine gene was cloned first in 1983 followed by the human gene the following year (Nathans & Hogness, 1984). Analysis of the sequence revealed seven stretches of hydrophobic amino acids representing possible membrane-spanning domains. This hypothesis was derived using the hydropathy analysis of Kyte and Dolittle (1982), which indicated a very similar profile to that

of bacteriorhodopsin - a non-G-protein linked membrane proton pump protein from *Halobacterium halobium*. This protein structure had previously been determined by image reconstruction from electron microscopy and found to contain seven α -helices spanning the lipid bilayer (Henderson *et al*, 1990). Proteolytic mapping of rhodopsin and β_2 -adrenoceptor supports this topography (Ovinnikov, 1982) and although still the subject of controversy due to the lack of structural evidence for other GPLR this structure has become a characteristic of GPLRs (Hoflack *et al*, 1994), (Figure 1.3, p6a).

1.31 Adrenoceptors as Model Receptors

The adrenoceptors (AR) have been studied as the model system for the mechanisms of GPLRs since the first of their class, the mammalian β_2 -adrenoceptor (β_2 -AR), was cloned and found to be homologous to rhodopsin, relatively early on (Dixon *et al*, 1986). It has since been particularly useful for relating structural information to biological function.

The previous attempts to clone these receptors had encountered difficulty, due to the problem of purification. β -AR are only present at very low concentrations in most mammalian tissues (~0.001% mRNA) which has been a difficulty common in all GPLR studies (Lefkowitz *et al*, 1983). This has now been overcome by advanced techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Initially, the production of cAMP had been demonstrated to be controlled by the catecholamine neurotransmitters adrenaline and noradrenaline binding to receptors distinct from the effector enzyme adenylyl cyclase (Robison *et al*, 1967). A third component of the system was discovered when the requirement of guanine triphosphate (GTP) was observed for hormonal activation of adenylyl cyclase, in vitro (Rodbell *et al*, 1980) and subsequently an adenylyl cyclase-stimulatory, GTP-binding protein (G_s) was purified and cloned (Gilman, 1987). Prior to

Figure 1.3 Proposed membrane topography of G-protein linked receptors. Sites marked a-g indicate proposed interaction sites for ligand binding to B-adrenergic receptors (table 1.7a).



The putative disulphide bridge between cysteine residues on E1 and E2 is shown as are the glycosylation sites on the N-terminus (N6 & N15) and the palmytolation site on the C-terminus (C341)

cloning the receptors involved had already been identified and subdivided into α , β_1 and β_2 types, using ligand binding studies (Lands *et al*, 1967).

Today there are nine distinct cloned AR subtypes, six alpha and three beta, alike in sequence homology but pharmacologically discrete. Research has revealed a great deal about their signal transduction mechanisms which can be applied through structural homology to GPLR in general.

The β_1 and β_2 adrenoceptors were the first GPLR to have their signalling pathway elucidated (Kobilka *et al*; 1987; Frielle *et al*, 1987), from the involvement of the stimulatory G-protein intermediary, to the coupling to the second messenger/effector system adenylate cyclase which brings about a cascade of further events including cAMP dependent phosphorylation of many target proteins (Summers & McMartin, 1993).

1.4 G-Protein Interactions and Second Messenger Systems

Kobilka *et al* (1988) produced chimeras from $\alpha_2 \& \beta_2$ adrenoceptors to study receptor-G-protein interactions. The receptors respectively inhibit (via G_i) and stimulate (via G_s) adenylyl cyclase but are both activated by adrenaline. By studying the ligand binding and effector activating properties of these chimeras within cell systems, structural domains were deduced, determining the specificity of ligand binding and effector coupling.

The results showed that the 5th and 6th TM domains, the 3rd cytoplasmic loop and the C terminus contained specificity for the G_s coupling to the β_2 adrenoceptor, confirming that G-protein interaction with the receptor is complex and can not be isolated to one particular domain, preventing allocation of specific G-protein interactions by identification of specific receptor consensus sequences. The present knowledge of the transmembrane signalling system indicates the following situation:

- 1) a receptor spanning the cell surface membrane
- a G-protein situated on the inside surface of the membrane and able to interact with both the receptor and effector
- an effector/second messenger system eg adenylyl cyclase, phospholipase
 C, ion channels or other enzymes such as cGMP phosphodiesterase (as in the case of rhodopsin) (Dohlman *et al*, 1991).

All three peptides in the pathway are membrane associated. Binding of the extracellular agonist turns the intracellular face of the receptor into a catalyst that can dock with the G-protein and promote the exchange of cytoplasmic GTP for the GDP usually bound to the G-protein at rest. (Sternweis & Pang, 1990; Hille, 1992).

Following the discovery from adrenergic receptor research that control of cAMP production was due to the binding of extracellular catecholamines to a receptor discreet from adenylyl cyclase, it was found that receptor signal transduction was regulated by GTP (Rodbell *et al*, 1971). This initial work was performed on hormone receptors and was the first indication of the existence of G-proteins. Now it is recognised that the action of many stimuli including odorants, photons, cytokines, peptides, neurotransmitters and even sensory stimuli is mediated by receptor signal transduction pathway involving G-proteins.

Since the cloning of the first G protein (G_s), which stimulates the adenylyl cyclase system (Gilman, 1987), many forms of G-proteins have been discovered. They fall into several groups as classified by their α subunit:

- a) G_s stimulates adenylyl cyclase and regulates calcium channels
- b) G_i and G_o affect several secondary messenger systems including inhibition of adenylyl cyclase, stimulation of cGMP phosphodiesterase and regulation of ion channels
- c) G_q stimulates phospholipase C

Unstimulated, the G complex is a stable heterotrimer of three subunits ($\alpha,\beta \& \gamma$) which dissociates into two parts when GDP is replaced. The α -GTP moiety associates with the effector (eg adenylyl cyclase, phospholipase C) and influences second messenger production (eg cAMP, inositol phosphate (IP₃)). The $\beta\gamma$ moiety also acts as a signal for different effector subtypes, in the case of rhodopsin triggering an alternative primary effector for rhodopsin (Sternweis & Pang, 1990).

The point at which the receptor couples to the G protein is amplificatory and pleiotropic. Many G protein molecules of the same species are stimulated by the receptor (when bound to an agonist) and multiple systems are subsequently affected. However, different receptors have the capability to bind to several different species of G-protein in certain orders of selectivity depending upon their primary sequence, secondary structure and host cell environment (Watson & Arkinstall, 1994).

The α , β and γ subunits of G proteins are encoded by separate genes. To date there are at least 17 α , 4 β and 6 γ genes, with more products possibly produced by alternative splicing (Simon *et al*, 1991). The greatest diversity is found in the α subunit group, which is expected as this subunit performs the physical interaction with the receptor. This subunit also contains an integral GTPase activity and carries the GDP moiety associated when the G-protein is at rest. The receptor, when stimulated by agonist binding, physically couples to the α subunit of the appropriate heterotrimeric G-protein. This triggers the exchange of bound GDP for cytoplasmic GTP raising the G-protein momentarily to an activated state. Whilst activated the α subunit dissociates from the receptor and from the β and γ subunits which remain as one unit, and these then regulate effector activities. The α subunit's innate GTPase activity hydrolyses the GTP, returning the subunit to the inactive state allowing reassociation with the $\beta\gamma$ unit. Antagonist binding does not trigger the exchange of GDP to GTP and hence does not trigger signal transduction (Hille, 1992).

1.5 Receptor Topology

The problem in obtaining a 3D model of any of the GPLR superfamily is that due to their low abundance and membrane association. Manipulation is difficult and it has not been possible to generate ordered 2D and 3D structures from the proteins or to carry out X-ray crystallographic analysis. This however has been performed for bacteriorhodopsin (Henderson *et al*, 1990), an integral membrane protein which is a light-driven proton pump from the prokaryote *Halobacterium halobium*. Although not G-protein linked, this protein bears a functional resemblance to the mammalian membrane bound photoreceptor rhodopsin (they are both involved in photoisomerization of a covalently linked retinal chromophore) and a 3D folding pattern identical to that predicted for rhodopsin and other GPLR (Savarese & Fraser, 1992). Through further structural studies a topological model for bacteriorhodopsin was established, which could be applied to rhodopsin and in turn to GPLR in general (Ovchinnikov *et al*, 1979 & 1982).

Much of the current structural information has been deduced from rhodopsin and β -adrenergic receptors and applied to the many other members of the family using hydrophobicity profiles (Lentes *et al*, 1993) and primary sequence homology analysis (Dixon *et al*, 1986). However, there have been other modelling

10

approaches using amino acid side chain interaction energies (Roper *et al*, 1994) and computer generated 3D models (Hibert *et al*, 1991; Probst *et al*, 1992; Baldwin, 1993) using combined sequence and structural information. All methods result in the same general structural conclusions:

- 1) The general structure consists of 7 segments of 20-28 hydrophobic amino acids, which are predicted to form α -helical structures.
- These α-helices are arranged through the lipid bilayer and linked by 3 extracellular and 3 intracellular loops of residues.
- 3) The α-helices are orientated so that polar residues, conserved residues and any residues known to be involved in ligand binding, are located towards the inner core of the model, so a 'binding-pocket' is formed.
- The N terminus of the protein lies to the exterior of the cell membrane and the C terminus to the interior (cytoplasmic side).

However they differ in the finer details of helical arrangement (Donnelly *et al*, 1994).

It is important that work continues into verifying bacteriorhodopsin as a template for GPLR modelling as this is crucial in understanding ligand binding and rationalising drug design (Hoflack *et al*, 1994). Some controversy over the validity of bacteriorhodopsin appears to be regarding the differences in electron diffraction projection maps for bovine rhodopsin and bacteriorhodopsin (Hibert *et al*, 1993). This confirms that both proteins have seven transmembrane α -helices, but that they may not have the same topology. However the situation appears to be explained by differences in packaging of the helices and the angles with which they penetrate the membrane (Baldwin, 1993; Hoflack *et al*, 1994). Also it has been discovered that bacteriorhodopsin has different crystal forms depending on the crystallisation conditions, which affects the protein packaging and may explain the differences in projection maps (Schertler *et al*, 1993).

A further general feature is the mechanism of signal transduction, elucidated by work on the β 2-adrenoreceptor (O'Dowd *et al*, 1989; Summers & McMartin, 1993). Members of the GPLR superfamily have been shown to be analogous to these model receptors in both structure and function.

1.6 Evolution

The conservation of amino acid sequence and domain organisation suggests that these mechanistically related receptors have evolved by gene duplication from a common ancestral gene. The existence of so many genes for this information handling capacity indicates the biological importance of their function for evolutionary survival and species adaptation presumably by increasing the information handing capacities of tissues. This adaptive system offers the greatest flexibility for the vast heterogeneity of receptor subtypes (Schofield *et al*, 1990).

Many of the genes encoding GPLR have been mapped in both rodents, and more recently humans, and the results show that the loci are well dispersed throughout the genome. Interspecific backcross analysis was used and the data was used to predict human chromosomal locations based on linkage homologies identified between human and mouse. Even close family members are at distant loci, for example the dopamine receptors were mapped to human chromosomes 11 (D₂ & D₄), 3 (D₃), 4 (D₅) and 5 (D₁) (Wilkie *et al*, 1993).

It is known that basic cellular transmitters and their receptors developed very early phylogenetically (Walker & Holden-Dye, 1989). Subsequent evolution of many more receptor subtypes was required as the nervous system became more complex. To explore the relationship among the GPLR superfamily, a

12

phylogenetic tree has been constructed using nucleotide sequence information, which suggests that two of the major groups the opsin and catecholamine receptors diverged from a common ancestor over 1 billion years ago (Yokoyama *et al*, 1989) and the fact that these receptors are present in such a wide range of species including yeasts, indicates the importance of their function.

There are two mechanisms by which the superfamily could evolved to such a size and complexity. Duplication of existing genes appears to be likely (Onho, 1970) and is indicated by the relative preservation of intron location, which tend to be between TM domains. The D₂-like dopamine receptors are a good example of this, but there is also positional conservation to a lesser extent between this group and the tachykinins (Probst *et al*, 1992). The second mechanism is retroposition, as most of the receptor genes are intronless, indicating that they arose through reverse transcription of mRNA and incorporation into the genome (Brosius *et al*, 1991). However, convergent evolution is also evident as some receptors show no sequence relation to others (eg the secretin/VIP group).

Alternative splicing is also involved to a lesser extent in producing separate receptor isoforms. An example of this is the D_2 receptor gene which produces a splice variant lacking 29 amino acids of the third cytoplasmic loop (D_{2short} and D_{2long} , see below), (Grandy *et al*, 1989).

1.7 Associating Structure to Function

With respect to amino acid sequence the transmembrane domains have been found to be the most conserved regions and the remaining loops and termini more divergent. Together with the structural information available this has led to investigation of various receptor structure/function relationships (Attwood *et al*, 1991). From a comparison of different areas of coding sequences it appears that genes from different receptor classes are subject to different selective pressures. For example the two groups adrenergic and muscarinic acetylcholinic receptors have some shared conservation especially in the transmembrane domain sequences, but also different patterns of conservation within their own groups involving the loop regions (Burbach & Meijer 1992). The same occurrence has been recorded for neuropeptide GPLR, when sub-grouped as secretin/VIP-like and neurokinin-like receptors.

For ease of comparison the amino acid sequences have generally been divided into domains E1-3 (the three extracellular loops), I1-3 (the three intracellular loops), TM1-7 (the seven transmembrane a-helices) and the C (carboxy, internal) and N (amino, external) termini. This overcomes the difficulties caused by differences in the length of receptor sequences, allowing for a more accurate alignment.

1.71 Transmembrane Domains

Even though these are the most conserved domains the degree of homology depends on how closely receptors are related. A study comparing the β_2 -AR and muscarinic receptors revealed that the most conserved regions within these domains were those nearest to the cytoplasmic side of the membrane. This may be due to interactions with common cytoplasmic effectors, ie G proteins which have highly conserved structures. The extracellular sides are less conserved, and as the ligand interaction is likely here, this may possibly be due to the individual ligand binding profiles (Dohlman *et al*, 1988).

A great deal of work has been done by many groups on sequence conservation and this has identified a variety of conserved residues specific to different subclasses, which are possibly involved in ligand binding. (Strader *et al*, 1994; Probst *et al*, 1992) (Tables 1.7a & 1.7b). Several proline residues are conserved in TM4, 5, 6 and 7 between many groups within the GPLR superfamily. These residues aren't commonly found in α helices as they cause kinks to the structure. It is expected that such conservation indicates an involvement in the tertiary structure required for functional activity. Brandl & Deber (1986) proposed that they may be responsible for conformational changes, triggered by ligand binding, whilst Applebury & Hargrave (1986) suggest that the bent helices may interlock to create a ligand accommodating pocket.

The transmembrane domains are demarcated by positively charged residues at the internal surface. This is common in membrane integrated proteins and may determine the correct orientation of the protein in the membrane and secure it there (O'Dowd *et al*, 1989).

1.72 Intra and Extracellular Loops

The loops of residues linking the transmembrane regions of the GPLRs have variable lengths between receptor types, however the functions they perform seem to be conserved. The extracellular loops appear not to contain residues directly associated with ligand binding, but rather have a conformational role. Most receptors contain conserved cysteine residues in the extracellular loops E1 & E2 which form a disulphide bond essential for the correct interaction of ligands (Dixon *et al*, 1987). (See '1.8 Structural Modifications')

On the intracellular side of the receptor all three loops, along with the C terminus, are responsible for the G-protein interaction. Charged residues have been identified in several receptors at the membrane proximal regions of loop I2 (in the highly conserved DRY sequence) and I3 which when mutated reduce dramatically G-protein coupling. These sites along with other residues in the C terminus and TM2 and 6 permit the complex association to the G-protein (Probst *et al*, 1992).

Putative phosphorylation sites have also been discovered on I3, which are thought to be utilised in the process of desensitisation (O'Dowd *et al*, 1989).

1.73 Terminal Regions

There appears to be no general functional role for the amino terminus as it lacks homology in sequence of length. It has been suggested that it may be an uncleaved signal sequence (Singer *et al*, 1987) and may protect this end form proteolytic attack due to its possession of putative glycosylation sites.

The C terminus is thought to be involved in modulation of receptor sensitivity, in response to the intensity or frequency of stimulation. This is known as desensitisation and is a negative feedback regulation of receptor function involving a rapid reduction in response to continuation of the same stimulus, displayed by all characterised GPLRs (Dohlman et al, 1991). There are two forms of desensitisation. The homologous mechanism involves a single receptor molecule which becomes modified by phosphorylation at the serine and threonine sites on the C terminus (Thompson & Findlay, 1984) and the binding of inhibitory proteins to decrease activity. In extreme cases of prolonged agonist exposure the receptor may be 'down-regulated' where it is endocytosed from the membrane and destroyed. Heterologous desensitisation is thought to be a more general mechanism, initiated by the over stimulated second messenger (eg cAMP initiates desensitisation of adenylyl cyclase systems), (Perkins, 1991). The result of both mechanisms is attenuation of the G protein coupling stage of the pathway and mutational work on the TM7 adjacent region of the C terminus indicates it as an interaction site for the G protein (Ostrowski et al, 1992).

A significant number of serine and threonine residues in the C terminus of most GPLRs are substrates for specific phosphorylases, the protein kinases PKC and PKA, which act when the receptor is stimulated (Benovic *et al*, 1986). Mutants

for these residues have been shown to eliminate desensitisation but there is still argument against the theory as the pattern of conservation in this region is poor. (O'Dowd *et al*, 1989; Dohlman *et al*, 1987)

1.74 Chimeric Experiments and Ligand Binding

This approach has become more popular for the study of these functionally complex molecules, as conclusions can be drawn from qualitative changes in receptor function such as acquisition of new functions that can be correlated with specific protein sequences. The mutagenesis approach provides more limited information as the end result is loss of function however it can be used to pinpoint the involvement of an area as small as one residue. Substitution mutagenesis also has be carefully evaluated as the result depends greatly on the nature of the replacement residue.

The structure receptors when modelled on bacteriorhodopsin appear to form a hydrophobic 'pocket' which would allow ligand binding (Baldwin, 1993). Ligand interaction sites occuring within TM regions of receptors provides more evidence for a binding 'pocket'. These conserved residues, when mutated, affect ligand binding and signal transduction (see Table 1.7a, p17a).

Although certain receptors are used as models when investigating certain aspects such as ligand binding it should be noted that this does not always give a realistic view not least because of the range of different ligands involved (Neve & Wiens, 1995). This is particularly obvious in the case of peptide receptors.

The proposed models for ligand binding in different classes of GPLR all take into account charged and aromatic residues within the 'pocket' and propose an interaction of ligand to receptor which could form a network of aromatic-aromatic

Receptor	ТМ	Residue	Structure	Function
Rhodopsin	TM3	Glu113	side-chain	counterion for Schiff base
	TM7	Lys296	E-amino group side-chain	Schiff base formation with rhodopsin
β -adrenoceptor a	TM2	Asp79 ^b		agonist efficacy, transduction of signal
	TM3	Asp113 ^c	side-chain	counterion for ligand binding
	TM4	Ser165	OH side-chain	ligand binding site ^d
	TM5	Ser204 ^e Ser204	OH side-chain	H-bond formation for agonists and signal transduction
	TM6	Phe290e		stabilizes aromatic ring of catecholamine ligands
	TM7	Asn312	side-chain	phenoxypropanolamine antagonist binding site ^f
	TM4 & TM5		binding pocket	multiple residue interactions determining agonist specificity ^g
D ₂ dopamine	TM2	Asp80		ligand binding and signal transduction ¹
	TM3	Asp114		ligand binding site
	TM5	Ser194 Ser197		H-bond formation for agonists and signal transduction
	TM6	Leu387 Phe389 Phe390		conformational change for signal transduction
	TM7	Phe411		signal transduction

Table 1.7a Key sites for ligand interaction with biogenic amine binding GPLRs

[Reviewed in Strader et al 1994]

- ^a β-adrenoceptor is a model receptor for all biogenic amine GPLRs and members of the GPLR family in general
- ^b a corresponding aspartic acid residue occurs in all biogenic amine receptors and has been shown in several to have the same function (eg α -AR, muscarinic, histamine)
- ^c most GPLRs have this highly conserved residue which has been shown to have the same function in many (eg α -AR, angiotensin, 5HT₂, NK1, D₂)
- d this residue is hypothesised to have this function as it mutation results in processing error and non-expression
- e these residues are conserved in all catecholamine receptor which suggests co-evolution with the ligands
- f conserved in biogenic amine receptors which bind phenoxypropanolamine antagonists with high affinity
- g region determined by chimeric work no single residue determined specificity
- 1 Neve et al, 1991

and hydrogen bonding interactions possibly leading to the proposed conformational change (Trumpp-Kallmeyer *et al* 1994).

Work on the tachykinin receptors (neuropeptides) has discovered that binding for peptide receptors appears to be much more complex.

1.75 Peptide Receptors

The size of ligands for this group of receptors is generally much larger than for the biogenic amines. Some peptides can be up to 40 residues in size (eg glucagon) and therefore modelling suggests that binding can not be supported by TM interactions alone (Strader et al, 1994). Mutagenic and chimeric studies have revealed that extracellular regions are involved, including the N terminus (Regoli, et al 1989) and all three extracellular loops (Fong et al, 1992). Research has been unable to pinpoint interaction sites to individual residues in many areas. The discovery that the neurokinin peptides occur as different conformations when in solution has lead to the hypothesis that selectivity may be determined by the the degree of conformational compatability between each peptide and the binding pocket of the receptor (Savian et al, 1992). Some individual residues involved have been discovered both in the extracellular domains and in the TM regions. However the situation is further complicated by the seperate but overlapping binding sites for peptide agonists and non-peptide antagonists. The peptide agonists have essential residues for binding in TM2, TM7 and E1, 2 & 3 whilst antagonist binding sites occur in TM4, 5 & 6 (Table 1.7b, p18a).

1.8 Structural modifications

There are several biochemical modifications which are important in defining and controlling receptor function:
Table 1.7b	Key sites for ligand interaction with peptide binding GPLRs based
	on NK1 receptor work

Receptor	Residue	Region	Function
NK1 ¹ (substance P)	FXGL N-ter	M-NH ₂ minus	required for agonist activity in all tachykinin receptors
	Asn23 Gln24 Phe25	E1	required for high affinity endogenous agonist binding ² (no individual residues show this effect)
	Asn96 His108	E2	
	Asn85 ³ Asn89 Tyr92	TM2	agonist binding via H-bonds
	Tyr287	TM7	agonist & antagonist binding
	Glu78 4	TM2	agonist binding, essential for
	Tyr205	TM5	receptor activation
	Val116	TM3	responsible for conformation of
	Ile290	TM7	non-peptide antagonist binding pocket
	Gln165	TM4	binding sites for non-peptide
	His197	TM5	antagonists
	His265	TM6	
	Туг287	TM7	
	Exterior TM5 d	r ends of & TM6	important for non-peptide antagonist binding

[Reviewed in Strader et al 1994]

¹ NK1 (substance P receptor) is a model receptor for all tachykinin and most

other peptide GPLRs (eg CCK and angiotensin)

- ² this indicates that the situation for peptide receptors is more complex than for biogenic amine receptors with some regions having functions which can not be assigned to individual residues
- ³ conserved in NK1, 2 & 3 receptors
- ⁴ conserved as glutamic acid/aspartic acid and tyrosine/phenylalanine in many GPLRs

1.81 Glycosylation

G-protein linked receptors contain putative glycosylation sites usually in the N terminal region but they may also be present in the carboxy terminus. These sites are consensus sequences containing asparagine residues which may become glycosylated. Work on the β_2 -adrenergic receptor showed that endoglycosidase treatment decreased its molecular weight from 65kDa to the expected 49kDa confirming that the protein was glycosylated (Rands *et al*, 1990). It was also shown that of the 4 sites in hamster β_2 -AR the 2 in the N-terminus were utilised and glycosylated whilst the 2 in the C-terminus were not (Dohlman *et al*, 1987²). Removal of glycosylation does not affect ligand binding of function of receptors but may be involved in determining the distribution of the receptor, or its level of expression (Saverese & Fraser, 1992). However disruption of glycosylation can be detrimental in some cases. Retinitis pigmentosa is a retinal degenerative disease caused by a mutation causing destruction of a glycosylation site in rhodopsin (Sung *et al*, 1991).

1.82 Palmitoylation

Most GPLR have one or more cysteine residue in the C-terminal region. The single residue present in β 2-AR has been show to covalently bind palmitate, which may insert into the lipid bilayer forming a small fourth cytoplasmic loop. Site directed mutagenesis of this cysteine residue interferes with coupling of the receptor to its associated G-protein (Gs), (O'Dowd *et al*, 1989²).

1.83 Disulphide Bridges

Yet another conserved feature of GPLR is the presence of two further cysteine residues at conserved sites on the first and second extracellular loops (E1 & E2). In rhodopsin it has been biochemically demonstrated that these form a disulphide bond ('bridge') which is necessary for arranging the receptor in the correct 3D

conformation (Karnik & Khorana, 1990). Dixon (1987) also demonstrated that ligand interactions in β_2 -AR are disturbed by mutagenesis of these residues.

1.84 Phosphorylation

As mentioned previously GPLR can be negatively controlled by phosphorylation in the process of desensitisation, where G-protein coupling is disrupted. The phosphorylation occurs as consensus sites rich in serine and threonine which are targets for protein kinases such as PKC and PKA. These sites have been found on all of the three cytoplasmic loops as well as the C terminus which may point to multiple interaction sites for G-protein coupling.

1.9 Aims of Research

The superfamily of GPLRs is increasing in size rapidly as new members and subgroups are discovered. They are being uncovered via various pathways homology to existing, cloned GPLR is being taken advantage of, with cDNA library screening (Van Tol *et al*, 1991) and more extensively PCR is being used to discover further members of existing sub-groups (Libert *et al*, 1989).

In addition, receptor pharmacology has been used to uncover totally new subtypes of GPLR, which do not appear to be related to other groups by sequence homology but are transmembrane receptors baring all of the topological characteristics of GPLR. An example of this is the secretin receptor (Ishihara *et* d, 1991) which was isolated by direct expression cloning.

This project aims to discover new members of the neuropeptide receptor subfamily using the property of sequence homology to existing receptors. Degenerate primer PCR will be used. This approach has previously been used successfully by several groups to extend receptor sub-groups, for example Zastawny et al, (1994) discovered further members of the opioid sub-group in this way.

A selection of published peptide sequences for known neuropeptide receptor sequences will be taken and sub-divided by peptide sequence homology, then the degenerate primer sequences created from the consensus' of these sub-groups. This will allow design of primers with a limited degeneracy, retaining a certain degree of specificity for the sub-group.

If this approach is successful and new members are discovered these will be cloned and further characterised both molecularly and pharmacologically.

A particular area of interest for the discovery of new receptors are the ligands they bind and the binding process, which is important for the understanding of physiological processes and the development of drugs to treat disorders involving GPLRs.

An area that particularly interests me is the selectivity of binding which a receptor has for a specific drug, and the difference in selectivity between receptors which differ very little in peptide sequence, such as the tachykinin receptors. As all of the evidence indicates that the receptors have the same intrinsic topological structure, therefore indicating that the alteration in selectivity must be due to the residue differences either directly or via a conformational change.

To investigate this, it is hoped that any new receptor cloned will have high enough homology to an existing member of it's sub-group (from which the degenerate primers towards it were designed) to create functional chimeric molecules from the pair. Chimeras for different regions of the receptors could be expressed and tested pharmacologically for binding specificity, in comparison with the pharmacological

21

profiles of the uncombined receptors, and in this way a binding profile for the new receptor could be built up, along with information about the regions important for its ligand interaction and specificity.

It is hoped that the chimeras will be created using a PCR method involving recombinant primers along with both receptors as templates. This technique has successfully been used by England *et al* (1991) to produce m1 muscarinic/ D_2 dopamine receptor chimeras.

SECTION A

CHAPTER 2

SEQUENCE ANALYSIS

2.0 Sequence Analysis Of G-Protein Linked Receptors

In order to design degenerate PCR primers, with the aim of amplifying novel neuropeptide receptors, it was necessary to analyse a selection of neuropeptide receptor amino acid sequences to see if they could be further sub-grouped according to peptide sequence homology. The peptide sequence was used, as residue differences and similarities are more obvious and also the degeneracy can be designed with mouse codon bias (as the PCR template is mouse cDNA).

The sequences were randomly selected from those published on the GenEMBL database at that time (http://www2.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank). Eighteen sequences in total were chosen with the proviso that they were all neuropeptide binding GPLRs and that they were derived from rodents if possible, as the template for PCR was prepared from mouse brain tissue. Unfortunately, at this time, it was impossible to obtain the whole range of receptors from the mouse however, the selection was narrowed to human, and rodent sequences, which have reasonable homology.

Receptor	Species	Accession N°
thyrotropin releasing hormone	mouse	M59811
bombesin	mouse	M61000
NK-1	mouse	X62934
somatostatin	mouse	M91000
neurotensin	rat	P20789
δ-opioid	rat	L07271
parathyroid hormone	rat	M77184
secretin	rat	X59132
NK-2	rat	M31838
bradykinin	rat	X69681
cholecystokinin	rat	X01032
endothelinB	human	M74921
calcitonin	human	L00587
angiotensin	human	M93394
NK-3	human	M89473
neuropeptide Y	human	M84755
vasoactive intestinal peptide	human	L13288
N-formyl peptide	human	M60627

Table 2.11 Neuropeptide receptors analysed for sequence homology

2.1 Classification of Receptors

The advent of computer software to analyse sequence data has proved revolutionary in molecular genetics as now huge amounts of data can be compared and regions of sequence conservation which may be important to function or structure can be discovered and subsequently examined experimentally. Also established motif patterns (eg promoters, zinc fingers etc.) can be recognised allowing ease of elucidation of the function of a newly discovered gene or protein.

Analysis of this type has helped to elucidate the molecular structure of the GPLRs (G-protein linked receptors) and has been important in determining the relationship between different members of the superfamily, establishing their evolutionary and functional relationships.

The University of Wisconsin Genetic Computer Group Software package (GCG) contains many useful molecular analysis programs. Some of these were used to create multiple sequence alignments of various neuropeptide receptor amino acid sequences. The peptide sequences were chosen as these allow a more clear comparison of the differences or similarities between receptors at a structural level, as this affects ligand interactions and receptors are usually classified according to their ligand binding character.

At present a certain group of GPLRs may be allocated into different subset depending on which properties of the receptor are considered. For example this may be its major ligand type (eg catecholamines, peptides, hormones etc), its physiological effects or tissue specificity, conservation of structural features or sequence motifs or by sequence homology, leading to confusion and overlap between subgroups of GPLRs. Much of the early confusion arose because of the naming system, whereby one receptor could have two or even three names, depending upon the number of groups which cloned it. For example the neurokinin-1 receptor (NK-1R) was known until relatively recently as the substance P or neurokinin A receptor and the bombesin receptor was also known as the gastrin releasing hormone receptor (Watson & Arkinstall, 1994).

The situation has now been clarified by the intervention of the International Union of Pharmacology (IUPHAR), a committee producing an annual supplement in the journal Trends in Pharmacology, reviewing and classifying receptors according to a standard nomenclature.

The analysis in this study compares the entire peptide sequence of several neuropeptide receptors allowing allocation of receptors into subsets by sequence homology. This type of analysis is useful from a molecular point of view and is important in the study of evolutionary relationships. Several homology studies on GPLRs have only analysed the transmembrane (TM) regions of the receptor sequence in order to eliminate the variation in length between receptors. This variation can occur both in the terminal and loop regions of the proteins and therefore could cause problems when trying to align two receptor sequences of different lengths. The multiple sequence alignment program on GCG, 'PILEUP' allows for this variation, producing the overall best alignment by introducing gaps into shorter sequences at positions calculated to give the least disruption and shift the sequence to the best alignment with its longer counterpart; it also allows for varying termini lengths.

2.2 Computer Analysis

The peptide sequences of 18 neuropeptide receptors were obtained from the GenEMBL database and converted into open-reading frame peptide sequences

using TRANSLATE (Wisconsin GCG). These were entered into the 'PILEUP' program which produced a multiple sequence alignment using the progressive, pairwise alignment method of Feng and Doolittle (1990). These sequences were chosen as a selection of neuropeptide receptor sequences on the GenEMBL database at the time of analysis. Translation to amino acid sequence was necessary in some cases, as many were not present on the SwissPROT database.

The method 'clusters' most alike sequences to create a dendrogram which is not phylogenetic but determines the likeness of sequences to each other and directs the order in which sequences are aligned. A total pairwise alignment of the sequences is then produced starting with the two sequences with highest homology, proceeding to next most homologous sequence and so on until all sequences have been aligned. The final output alignment therefore displays a multiple sequence alignment with the most similar sequences adjacent to each other.

The total alignment of all of the receptor sequences studied allowed the sequences to be subgrouped according to their 'pairwise similarity score' and aligned into a multiple sequence file. The program 'PRETTY' could then used to derive a consensus from the alignment and display it below.

2.3 Polymerase Chain Reaction

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a powerful technique which amplifies a specific sequence from an initial low concentration in a complex mixture (Mullis *et al*, 1987). Its success relies upon the careful design of experimental primers and calculation amplification conditions.

2.31 Experimental Design

The primers are the most crucial part of the technique as they are the key to detecting and amplifying the target sequence. It is important that each primer in the pair is designed with equal care as amplification will only occur when these two independent probes hybridise specifically and close enough to specify a target sequence. There are some general rules on the design of primer pairs:

- primers should contain at least 15 bases of homology
- the T_m s of the primer pair should be balanced and ideally lie between 55-80°C
- the last three 3' bases of the primers should be totally homologous to the target sequence
- the primers should have little or no complementarity to each other at the 3' ends to avoid primer-dimer formation (Innis & Gelfand, 1990)

Once designed the primer sequences were checked to confirm homology to the target sequences on the correct coding strand using the GCG program 'BESTFIT'. Also the primer sequences were checked to avoid homology between opposite members of each primer pair or palindromic/secondary structures within the primers. Secondary structures were checked for using the 'FOLD' program on GCG (Eberhardt, 1992).

2.311 Degenerate PCR

The situation becomes more complicated with the use of degenerate primers as the reaction must be specific enough to amplify related sequences without being indiscriminate. When an oligonucleotide is designed from an amino acid sequence, the occurrence of several codons for each residue means that a mixture of nucleotide sequences of the same length are created. These primers are useful for detecting the gene of a novel peptide or to amplify new genes, related to those from which the primers are designed.

Degenerate PCR has been successfully used in several cases to discover new members of the GPLR family. A typical example this is shown by the discovery of a somatostatin receptor by O'Carroll *et al* (1992) using degenerate PCR primers based on conserved regions of other related receptors, on a rat pituitary cDNA library. The method relies on the structural conservation of the different receptors in the GPLR family. The seven transmembrane domains offer regions of sequence conservation which can be aligned to provide an amino acid motif particular to a subgroup of receptors; these regions can then be used for degenerate primer design specifically towards that group. Therefore primer pairs are commonly designed towards the most highly conserved regions at an easily amplified interval from each other, eg TM domains 3 & 7 or 2 & 6 (O'Carroll *et al*, 1992). Amplified products can then be sequenced and libraries probed using any putative novel receptor sequences, to isolate full length cDNAs.

As primer design is more complicated than in non-degenerate PCR, there are further steps which may be taken to reduce primer complexity and hence increase specificity. In this experiment the specificity was increased by designing primers with a low degeneracy (below 516-fold). This was done by avoiding peptide regions containing amino acids with high codon usage and by using mammalian codon bias (Maruyama *et al*, 1986). Also the substitution of the nucleotide inosine (which will pair with any base) at points where there is four-fold base redundancy, was used to reduce overall degeneracy (Compton, 1990). Degeneracy specifically at the 3' end of the primers was avoided where possible, as a mismatch in this region can impede extension of the primer (McPherson *et al*, 1990)

The primers were designed towards TM3 & TM7 because these regions have successfully been used by other groups and produce an easily amplifiable fragment (\approx 500-600bp), which is long enough to contain many of the major ligand binding residues (Figure 1.3, p6a) and the I3 loop which is likely to contain any variation in G-protein linkage residues.

The 3' region of homology was designed to be >15 nucleotides so that the primer could accurately anneal but a Kpn1 restriction site could be incorporated into a non-homologous 5' end. Using the program 'MAP' on GCG, it was shown that Kpn1 did not cut within the template receptors but will cut near the ends of DNA fragments.

2.32 Technical Considerations

The other major element in PCR is the template. It is possible to use either a preconstructed library (usually cDNA) or uncloned single stranded cDNA. The important fact is to be sure that the template complex contains the target sequence. In this experiment cortex and striatum RNAs were extracted and reverse transcribed. It was know that within brain regions all of the receptors used to design the primers were represented and there was evidence of further subtypes (Watson & Arkinstall, 1994).

2.33 Reaction Composition

Once the primers were made it was important to optimise the conditions for amplification. There are certain guidelines, but optimisation of a new set of primers requires much trial and error. Factors to consider include mainly primer & Mg²⁺ concentration and annealing temperatures:

- primer concentration should be 100-200pM; too high a concentration leads to mispriming
- the annealing temperature should be around 5-10°C below the T_m (melting temperature) of the primer pair
- magnesium ion concentration should be between 0.5-2.5mM; concentration can affect primer annealing, primer-dimer formation and enzyme activity and fidelity
- deoxynucleotide triphosphates have to be at equal concentrations between 20-200µM; for ease a 2mM stock mix of all four nucleotide was used, care was taken to avoid multiple freeze-thaws as this can reduce efficiency.
- *Taq* concentration should be between 1-2.5 units/100µl reaction; too high a concentration causes accumulation of non-specific products

2.34 Amplification

There are three main steps:

a) Denaturation

Heating the template DNA to around 95° C for about 30 seconds is usually sufficient to denature duplexes to single strands ready for the next primer annealing step, any longer will lead to loss of enzyme activity. A 'hot-start' (94-96°C for 1-2mins) was incorporated into to the reaction prior to the first cycle. The *Taq* enzyme is added when the high temperature is reached in order to prevent extension of early non-specific primer hybridisations which could seriously reduce specificity.

b) Annealing

Conditions required for annealing of primers to the template depend upon the nature of the primer and it advisable to optimise the reaction for a particular pair of primers by trying different annealing temperatures, and varying Mg^{2+} , primer and enzyme concentrations (Wages & Fowler, 1993). A guide annealing temperature is 5°C below the T_m of the primers. At temperatures between 55 & 72°C with average primer concentrations (200pM) annealing should only require a few seconds (Innis & Gelfand, 1990). Increasing the annealing temperature increases the stringency and therefore the specificity of the reaction.

c) Extension

This is dependant upon the length and concentration of the target sequence. The usual temperature is 72°C as this has been shown to give the most efficient primer extension (Innis *et al*, 1988). One minute at this temperature is sufficient for a distance of up to 2 kb, but longer times are usually used to allow for low template concentrations.

Cycle number is also important. After a certain number of cycles (specific to the reaction) the exponential reaction stops and the amount of product plateaus. The problem with continued cycling after this point is that the level of non-specific products continues to increase (Wages & Fowler, 1993). It is suggested that 25-40 cycles should be used depending upon initial target molecule concentration, more than 40 cycles should be avoided for the above reason and also because even a single copy template should be amplified in 40 cycles.

RESULTS

2.4 Sequence Analysis

From the analysis of the amino acid sequences the neuropeptide receptors were assigned to groups according to a homology value determined using 'PILEUP'. This is a score of homology between each pair of receptors in every combination, with higher scores indicating greater homology up to a value of 1.0 which shows total homology.

Tables 2.41 to 2.45 (p34 & 35) show the sub-grouping according to peptide sequence analysis at TM domains 1-3 & 6-7. Domains 4 and 5 show much lower levels of homology and therefore have been omitted as it is difficult to define groups from these regions. Table 2.46 (p35) shows the sub grouping according to entire length sequence comparisons.

The sub grouping within the tables is determined by the homology of each peptide sequence for each other sequence, as derived by the 'PILEUP' application (Wisconsin GCG) and shown in Table 2.40, p32a.

The results of grouping at different TM domains (Tables 2.41 - 2.45, p34 - 35) show that the sub-group which a receptor falls into differs, depending upon which region is being examined. In some studies receptors have been assigned to sub-groups according to their homology only at TM regions, however, these results show that in this case it is more reasonable to assign grouping by sequence analysis of the entire reading frame so that the ambiguity of grouping by TM region sequences is eliminated.

In Table 2.46, as expected some established groups appear such as the tachykinins (group ii) and the 'secretin-like' receptors (group i). However there are some

Table 2.40

Qual	ity ratic	os for al	'l possit	nle pain	vise am	ino acie	t sequei	<i>rce alig</i>	nments	- derive	ed using	HILE,	UP'GC	$(Ch_{i}) - D$	apter 3)	_		
	sec	cal	pth	nk l	nk2	nk3	nt	лрҮ	trh	bom	cck	end	ang	brad	Ŋţ	∂-op	soma	vip
sec																		
cal	0.48																	
pth	0.59	0.46																
nk l	0.34	0.32	0.33															
nk2	0.32	0.28	0.32	0.73														
nk3	0.31	0.31	0.35	0.84	0.66													
nt	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.4	0.42	0.42												
ηpΥ	0.30	0.32	0.30	0.41	0.38	0.38	0.42											
trh	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.45	0.38	0.39	0.45	0.35										
bom	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.4	0.39	0.4	0.43	0.37	0.4									
cck	0.30	0.33	0.32	0.4	0.44	0.45	0.44	0.36	0.43	0.44								
end	0.34	0.31	0.34	0.36	0.4	0.34	0.44	0.35	0.41	0.54	0.4							
ang	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.4	0.5	0.41	0.41	0.38	0.4						
brad	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.38	0.41	0.41	0.38	0.43	0.39	0.4	0.38	0.37	0.5					
Nfp	0.30	0.31	0.29	0.41	0.37	0.34	0.37	0.45	0.33	0.31	0.35	0.32	0.47	0.42				
∂-op	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.40	0.39	0.41	0.44	0.42	0.38	0.35	0.4	0.38	0.47	0.43	0.46			
som	0.30	0.32	0.34	0.45	0.44	0.47	0.42	0.47	0.43	0.42	0.42	0.38	0.47	0,45	0.47	0.6		
vip	0.36	0.34	0.37	0.47	0.44	0.46	0.45	0.58	0.41	0.49	0.45	0.47	0.59	0.48	0.52	0.48	0.51	

unexpected results.

Chang *et al* (1993) suggest that the 'secretin' subgroup also includes the bombesin and VIP receptors, as they show by peptide sequence analysis. However, in this analysis no such grouping was obvious, even at TM regions.

The actual sequence alignments for each group as determined in Table 2.46 are shown in Appendix II. If these figures are studied it can be seen how the consensus sequence varies from group to group in each case providing a unique peptide sequence specific for that group. From these sequences PCR primers were designed, with the aim of discovering new receptors which would cluster, by sequence, with that sub-group.

By initially selecting groups with high homology (high pairwise alignment scores) and choosing regions in the consensus which are highly conserved and unique to that sub-group, primers can be designed which are selective for that group and with the introduction of limited degeneracy also capable of amplifying related receptors with similar sequence conservation.

i	ü	iii	iv
parathyroid hormone secretin calcitonin	neurokinin 1 neurokinin 2 neurokinin 3 bombesin	neurotensin endothelin B cholecystokinin neuropeptide Y N-formyl peptide	vasoactive intestinal peptide & opioid somatostatin thyrotropin
		angiotensin 2	releasing hormone
		bradykinin 3	

Table 2.41Receptors grouped by homology at TM 1

Table 2.42Receptors grouped by homology at TM 2

i	ü	iii	iv	v
parathyroid hormone secretin calcitonin	neurokinin 1 neurokinin 2 neurokinin 3	neurotensin thyrotropin releasing hormone	endothelin B cholecystokinin bombesin	neuropeptide Y N-formyl peptide angiotensin 2 bradykinin 3 vasoactive intestinal peptide ð opioid somatostatin

Table 2.43Receptors grouped by homology at TM 3

i	ii	iii	iv	v
parathyroid hormone secretin calcitonin	neurokinin 1 neurokinin 2 neurokinin 3 thyrotropin releasing hormone	neurotensin	bombesin endothelin B cholecystokinin neuropeptide Y	N-formyl peptide angiotensin 2 bradykinin 3 vasoactive intestinal peptide ð opioid somatostatin

i	ü	iii	iv	v
parathyroid hormone secretin calcitonin	neurokinin 1 neurokinin 2 neurokinin 3 thyrotropin releasing hormone neurotensin δ opioid	bombesin endothelin B cholecystokinin neuropeptide Y	N-formyl peptide angiotensin 2 bradykinin 3	vasoactive intestinal peptide somatostatin

Table 2.44Receptors grouped by homology at TM6

Table 2.45Receptors grouped by homology at TM7

i	ii	iii	iv	v	vi
secretin	neurokinin 1	neurotensin	bombesin	angiotensin 2	δ opioid
calcitonin	neurokinin 2	neuropeptide Y	endothelin B	bradykinin 3	somatostatin
parathyroid hormone	neurokinin 3	thyrotropin releasing hormone	cholecystokinin	N-formyl peptide	vasoactive intestinal peptide

Table 2.46Receptors grouped by overall homology

i	ii	iii	iv	v	vi
secretin calcitonin parathyroid hormone	neurokinin 1 neurokinin 2 neurokinin 3	neurotensin neuropeptide Y thyrotropin releasing hormone	bombesin cholecystokinin endothelin	angiotensin 2 bradykinin 3 N-formyl peptide	δ opioid somatostatin vasoactive intestinal peptide

2.5 Polymerase Chain Reaction

Tables 2.51-2.54 show the sequences of the primers designed as a result of the sequence analysis work, and Tables 2.55 & 2.56 the thermal cycling conditions that they were used at. Figure 2.50 & 2.51 (p36a-d) show the primer alignments.

These particular receptor groups were chosen as they were the most distinctive sets from the entire sequence comparison, always clustering together, and this close sequence homology allowed the design of degenerate primers with minimal complexity, so that they retained some specificity.

Table 2.51Mouse neurokinin-1 receptor control primers

primer	5' primer sequence 3'	length	TM	Tm	sense
name		bp			
MNK1-3	tatcgcggtaccTGCAAGTTTCACAATTCTTCCC	35	3	66° C	sense
MNK1-7	tatcgcggtaccCCACATGCTGGCCAGGTAGACCT	35	7	74°C	anti

Table 2.52Tachykinin group degenerate primers, based on the alignment of
sequences in Table 2.46, group ii

primer	5' primer sequence 3'	length	TM	degeneracy	T _m
name		bp			
TACH-3	tatcgcggtaccGCY STSTTCGYCWSYATITACTC	35	3	$2^7 = 128$	64-70° C
TACH-7	tategeggtaccCCAIAWISKIGCCARRATSACCT	35	7	$2^6 = 64$	58-62° C

 Table 2.53
 Rat parathyroid hormone receptor control primers

primer	5' primer sequence 3'	length	TM	T _m	sense
name		bp			
PATH-3	tatcgcggtaccAACTACTACTGGATTCTGGTGGAG	36	3	70° С	sense
PATH-7	tatcgcggtaccGCCTGCACCTCACCATTGCAGAA	36	7	72°C	anti

Figure 2.50	Alignment of mouse tachykinin receptors showing the sites at
Ç	which the primers were designed.

	1				50
nk1	~~~~~~~~~~	~~~TTGCTGC	CTTGCCCGCC	AAAATGGATA	ACGTCCTTCC
nk2	AGACCCTGTG	TTCCAGGCCC	AGGATCAGCC	ATGGGGGGCCC	ACGCCAGCGT
nk3	ATCACTGAGT	GGCTTGCGCT	CCAGGCTGGC	AACTTCTCCT	CCGCGCTGGG
					100
nk1	TGTGGACTCT	GATCTCTTCC	CCAACACCTC	CACCAACACT	TCTGAGTCTA
nk2	TACCGACACC	AACATCTTGT	CTGGCCTTGA	GAGTAACGCA	ACAGGCGTTA
nk3	CTTGCCAGTG	ACATCCCAGG	CACCTTCCCA	AGTCCGGGAC	AACCTGACGA
					1.5.0
- 1- 1				maammmaaaaa	
nKI nki	ACCAGTTTGT	GCAACCTACC	TGGCAAATTG	TCCTTTGGGC	AGCCGCCTAT
nk2	CAGCCTTCTC	TATGCCTGGC	TGGCAGCTGG	CGCTATGGGC	CACAGCCTAC
nĸЗ	ACCAGTTCGT	GCAGCCCTCC	TGGCGAATCG	CGCTCTGGTC	GCTGGCCTAT
					200
nk1	accorcarco	ሞርርሞርልሮሞሞር	CGTGGTGGGC	ልልሮርሞልርሞርር	TCATATCCAT
nk2	CTCCCCCTCC	TECTECTEC	TGTAACAGGC	AACGCCACAG	TCATCTCCAT
nk2	CIGGCCCIGG	TGCTGGTGGC	ACTICTUTCCCA	AACCTCATCA	TCATCIGGAT
IIKS	GGCTIAGIGG	1000001000	_AGICIICOGA	AACCICATCO	<u>IIAII</u> IGGAI
		•	IMI		250
nk1	ሮልምምምምርርሮሮ	CACAAGAGAA	телеследат	GACCAATTAT	TUCCTCCTC
nk2	CATTIGGCC	CATCACAGAA	TGAGGACAGI	CACCAACTAT	TICCIGGIGA TTCCIGGIGA
nk3	CATCTTCCCC	CACAACCCCA	TGEGCACCGT	CACCAACTAT	TTCATCATCA
117.5	CATCITODCC	CACHIGCOCA	IGNOG	<u>encementi</u>	TICCIOUM
					300
nk1	ACCTGGCCTT	CGCTGAGGCC	TGCATGGCTG	CATTCAATAC	AGTGGTGAAC
nk2	ACCTGGCCTT	GGCAGACCTC	TGCATGGCGG	CCTTCAATGC	CACCTTCAAC
nk3	ACCTGGCTTT	CTCCGACGCC	TCCGTGGCTG	CCTTCAACAC	CTTGGTCAAT
			TM2		
					350
nk1	TTCACCTACG	CAGTCCACAA	CGTGTGGTAC	TACGGCCTCT	TTTAC <u>TGCAA</u>
nk2	TTCATCTATG	CCAGTCACAA	CATCTGGTAC	TTCGGCAGCA	CCTTCTGCTA
nk3	TTCATCTATG	GTGTTCACAG	CGAGTGGTAC	TTTGGCGCCA	ACTACTGCCG
	mnk1-3	3			400
nk1	GTTTCACAAC	TTCTTCCCCA	TTGCTGCTCT	CTTCGCCAGT	ATCTACTCCA
nk2	CTTCCAGAAC	CTCTTTCCTG	TCACAGCCAT	GTTCGTCAGC	ATCTACTCCA
nk3	CTTCCAGAAC	TTCTTTCCCA	TCACAGCGGT	GTTTGCCAGC	ATCTACTCTA
			л м3	tach-3	
			1110	Cuch-J	450
nkl	TGACAGCTGT	GGCCTTTGAC	AGATACATCC	CCATCATCCA	CCCTCTTCAG
nk2	TGACCGCCAT	CGCCGCTGAC	AGGTACATGG	CCATTGTCCA	CCCTTTCCAG
nk3	TGACAGCCAT	TGCAGTGGAC	AGGTATATGG	CCATTATCGA	TCCTTTGAAA
mes	101101000111	1001010010	1100111111100	<u></u>	1001110100
					500
nk1	CCCCGGCTGT	CGGCCACTGC	TACCAAAGTG	GTCATCTTTG	TCATCTGGGT
nk2	CCACGGCTCT	CCGCCCCCAG	CACCAAGGCG	GTTATTGCTG	TCATCTGGCT
nk3	CCCAGACTAT	CTGCCACAGC	CACTAAGATT	GTCATCGGAA	GTATTTGGAT
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
					550
nk1	CCTGGCTCTC	CTGCTGGCCT	TTCCACAGGG	CTACTACTCC	ACCACAGAGA
nk2	GGTAGCCCTG	GCTCTCGCCT	CCCCACAATG	TTTCTACTCC	ACCATCACTG
nk?	<u>ምምም</u> ርር <i>ር</i> <u>እ</u> ምምም	CTACTTGCCT	TCCCTCAATG	TCTTTATTCC	аааатаааас

TGGACCAGGG GGCCACCAAG TGTGTGGTGG CCTGGCCCAA TGACAACGGA nk2 nk3 TCATGCCAGG CCGTACCCTT TGCTATGTGC AGTGGCCAGA AGGTCCCAAG 650 AGGACTTACG AGAAAGCGTA CCACATCTGT GTGACTGTGC TGATCTACTT nk1 GGCAAGATGC TCCTACTGTA TCATCTGGTG GTGTTTGTCC TCATCTACTT nk2 CAACATTTC.ACGTA_CCACATCATT_GTTATCATCC_TGGTGTACTG nk3 700 CCTGCCTCTG CTGGTGATTG GCTATGCATA CACTGTGGTA GGGATTACAC nk1 CCTGCCTCTA GTGGTGATGT TTGCAGCTTA CAGTGTCATT GGCCTCACAC nk2 TTTCCCATTG CTCATCATGG GTGTCACCTA CACCATCGTT GGAATTACTC nk3 TM5 750 TGTGGGCCAG TGAGATCCCC GGTGAC...T CCTCTGACCG TTACCATGAG nk1 TGTGGAAACG CGCCGTACCC AGACACCAGG CTCATGGAGC TAACCTGCGC nk2 nk3 TCTGGGGAGG AGAGATCCTA GGAGAC...A CCTGTGACAA GTACCATGAG 800 CAAGTCTCTG CCAAGCGCAA GGTGGTCAAA ATGATGATCG TGGTTGTGTG nk1 nk2 CATCTACAGG CCAAGAAGAA GTTTGTGAAG GCCATGGTAC TGGTGGTGGT nk3 CAGCTTAAGG CTAAACGAAA GGTTGTAAAA ATGATGATTA TTGTGGTGGT 850 nk1 TACCTTCGCC ATCTGCTGGC TGCCCTTCCA CATCTTCTTC CTCCTGCCCT GACATTTGCC ATCTGCTGGC TGCCCTACCA CCTCTACTTC ATCCTGGGGA nk2 nk3 GACATTTGCC ATCTGCTGGC TACCCTACCA TGTGTATTTC ATTCTCACTG TM6 900 ACATCAACCC AGATCTCTAC CTTAAGAAGT TCATCCAGCA GGTCTACCTG nk1 CCTTCCAAGA GGACATCTAC TACCGCAAGT TTATCCAGCA GGTCTACCTG nk2 CGATCTACCA ACAGTTAAAC AGGTGGAAAT ACATCCAGCA GGTCTACCTG nk3 tach-7 mnk1-7 950 GCCAGCATGT GGCTGGCCAT GAGTTCTACC ATGTACAACC CCATCATCTA nk1 GCACTCTTCT GGCTGGCCAT GAGTTCCACC ATGTACAACC CCATCATTTA nk2 nk3 GCTAGCTTCT GGCTGGCCAT GAGCTCAACC ATGTACAACC CCATCATCTA TM7 1000 CTGCTGCCTC AATGACAGGT TCCGTCTGGG CTTCAAGCAT GCCTTTCGCT nk1 nk2 TTGCTGCCTT AACCACAGGT TTCGCTCTGG ATTCCGGCTT GCTTTCCGGT nk3 CTGCTGTTTG AACAAAAGAT TTCGTGCAGG CTTCAAGAGA GCATTTCGCT 1050 nk1 GCTGCCCTTT CATCAGTGCT GGTGATTATG AGGGGCTGGA AATGAAATCC nk2 GCTGCCCCTG GGGGACACCA ACCGAGGAAG ACAGGCTGGA GCTGACCCAC nk3 GGTGTCCTTT CATCCAAGTC TCCAGCTACG ATGAGCTGGA GCTCAAGACC 1100 nk1 ACCCGATACC TCCAGACCCA GAGCAGCGTG TACAAGGTCA GCCGCCTGGA ACTCCGTCCA TCTCCAGGAG AGTCAACCGG TGTCACACCA AGGAGACTTT nk2 nk3 1150 GACCACCATC TCCACTGTGG TGGGAGCCCA TGAAGATGAG CCAGAGGAAG nk1 GTTCATGACA GGGGATATGA CCCACTCTGA GGCTACCAAT GGGCAGGTTG nk2 nk3

CCATGCCCAG CAGAGTAGTG TGCATGATAG AGTGGCCAGA ACATCCCAAC

nk1

TM domains are labelled and indicated by a single underline. Primers are labelled and indicated by a double underline.

600

Figure 2.505 Rat parathyroid hormone receptor sequence showing the points at which the primers align.

			ATGGGGGC	CGCCCGGATC	GCACCCAGCC
29	TGGCGCTCCT	ACTCTGCTGC	CCAGTGCTCA	GCTCCGCATA	TGCGCTGGTG
79	GATGCGGACG	ATGTCTTTAC	CAAAGAGGAA	CAGATTTTCC	TGCTGCACCG
129	TGCCCAGGCG	CAATGTGACA	AGCTGCTCAA	GGAAGTTCTG	CACACAGCAG
179	CCAACATAAT	GGAGTCAGAC	AAGGGCTGGA	CACCAGCATC	TACGTCAGGG
229	AAGCCCAGGA	AAGAGAAGGC	ATCGGGAAAG	TTCTACCCTG	AGTCTAAAGA
279	GAACAAGGAC	GTGCCCACCG	GCAGCAGGCG	CAGAGGGGCGT	CCCTGTCTGC
329	CCGAGTGGGA	CAACATCGTT	TGCTGGCCAT	TAGGGGCACC	AGGTGAAGTG
379	GTGGCAGTAC	CTTGTCCCGA	TTACATTTAT	GACTTCAATC	ACAAAGGCCA
429	TGCCTACAGA	CGCTGTGACC	GCAATGGCAG	CTGGGAGGTG	GTTCCAGGGC
479	ACAACCGGAC	GTGGGCCAAC	TACAGCGAGT	GCCTCAAGTT	CATGACCAAT
529	GAGACGCGGG	AACGG <u>GAGGT</u>	ATTTGACCGC	CTAGGCATGA	TCTACACCGT
579	GGGATACTCC	ATGTCTCTCG	CCTCCCTCAC	GGTGGCTGTG	CTCATCCTGG
629	<u>CC</u> TATTTTAG	GCGGCTGCAC	TM1 TGCACGCGCA	ACTACATC <u>CA</u>	CATGCACATG
679	TTCCTGTCGT	TTATGCTGCG	CGCCGCGAGC	ATCTTCGTGA	AGGACGCTGT
729	<u>GCTCTACTCT</u>	GGCTTCACGC	TM2 <u>TG</u> GATGAGGC	CGAGCGCCTC	ACAGAGGAAG
779	AGTTGCACAT	CATCGCGCAG	GTGCCACCTC	CGCCGGCCGC	TGCCGCCGTA
829	GGCTACGCTG	GCTGCCGCGT	GGCGGTGACC	TTCTTCCTCT	ACTTCCTGGC
879	TACCAACTAC	TACTGGATTC	TGGTGGAGGG	GCTGTACTTG	CACAGCCTCA
020	mamaamaaaa	path-3	CACAACAAC	EM3	
929	TUTTUATGGU	<u>CTITITC</u> ICA	GAGAAGAAGT	ACCIGIGGGG	CTICACC <u>ATC</u>
979	TTTGGCTGGG	GTCTACCGGC	TGTCTTCGTG	GCTGTGTGGG	TCGGTGTCAG
1029	AGCAACCTTG	GCCAACACTG	TM4 GGTGCTGGGA	TCTGAGCTCC	GGGCACAAGA
1079	AGTGGATCAT	C <u>CAGGTGCCC</u>	ATCCTGGCAT	CTGTTGTGCT	CAACTTCATC
1129	CTTTTTATCA	ACATCATCCG	TM5 GGTGCTTGCC	ACTAAGCTTC	GGGAGACCAA
1179	TGCGGGCCGG	TGTGACACCA	GGCAGCAGTA	CCGGAAG <u>CTG</u>	CTCAGGTCCA
1229	CGTTGGTGCT	CGTGCCGCTC	TTTGGTGTCC	ACTACACCGT	CTTCATGGCC
			TM6		
1279	<u>TTG</u> CCGTACA	CCGAGGTCTC	AGGGACATTG	TGGCAGATCC	AGATGCATTA
1329	TGAG <u>ATGCTC</u>	TTCAACTCCT	TCCAGGGATT TM7	TTTTGTTGCC	ATCATATACT

1379	<u>GT</u> TTCTGCAA	TGGTGAGGTG	CAGGCAGAGA	TTAGGAAGTC	ATGGAGCCGC	
	pat					
1429	TGGACACTGG	CGTTGGACTT	CAAGCGCAAA	GCACGAAGTG	GGAGTAGCAG	
1479	CTACAGCTAT	GGCCCAATGG	TGTCTCACAC	GAGTGTGACC	AATGTGGGCC	
1529	CCCGTCCACC	አርሞርአርርርሞር	CCCCTCACCC	CCCCCCTCCC	Ͳሮሮሞሬሮሮእሮሞ	
1727	CCCGIGCAGG	ACICAGCCIC	CCCCICAGCC	CCCGCCIGCC	ICCIGCCACI	
1579	ACCAATGGCC	ACTCCCAGCT	GCCTGGCCAT	GCCAAGCCAG	GGGCTCCAGC	
1629	CACTGAGACT	GAAACCCTAC	CAGTCACTAT	GGCGGTTCCC	AAGGACGATG	
1670	C D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	accamacmea	manacaamaa		amaaaaamam	
10/9	GATTCCTTAA	CGGCTCCTGC	TCAGGCCTGG	ATGAGGAGGC	CICCGGGICI	
1729	GCGCGGCCGC	CTCCATTGTT	GCAGGAAGAA	TGGGAAACAG	TCATG	
Tm domains are labelled and indicated by a single underline						
Primers are labelled and souble underlined						

primer	5' primer sequence 3'	length	TM	degeneracy	Tm
name		bp			
SEC-3	tatcgcggtaccAACTAYKIMTGGMTICTSKKKG	34	3	$2^8 = 256$	56-66° C
SEC-7	tatcgcggtaccITGSACCTCIYYRTTIMRGAA	33	7	$2^6 = 64$	58-62° C

Table 2.54Secretin group degenerate primers, based on the alignment of
sequences in Table 2.46, group i

Key:	TM	-transmembrane domain	
	Tm	-melting temperature	
degen	eracy	-number of different unique primer sequences present	
lower	case	-non-homologous sequence, bold type indicates KpnI site	
uppercase		ercase -homologous sequence, bold type indicates degeneracy	

Codes for nucleotide sequence degeneracy:-

M = A or C	R = A or G	W = A or T	S = G or C	K = G or T
Y = C or T	D = A, G or T	H = A	, C or T	N = A, C, G or T

Tables 2.55-2.56Thermal cycling conditions

2.55 Amp	2.55 Amplification using mouse NK-1 receptor control primers						
temperature	94°C	94°C	60°C	72°C	4°C		
time (minutes)	1	$\frac{1}{30 \text{ c}}$	2 cycles	3	storage		
2.56 Amp	plification using	degenera	ate tachy	kinin rec	eptor primers		
temperature	94°C	94°C	55°C	72°C	4°C		
time (minutes)	1	$\frac{1}{30 c}$	2 ycles	3	storage		

For the parathyroid hormone receptor (PHR) control primers and degenerate secretin group primers, various annealing temperatures ranging from 50-65°C were tried but they failed to amplify the correct product. In the case of the degenerate primers no products were obtained. Therefore the work was concentrated on the NK-1 receptor primers and the degenerate tachykinin receptor primers.

The control primers were non-degenerate primer pairs of approximately equivalent size and position as the degenerate pairs, but designed towards one member of a group in each case (ie the NK-1 and PH receptors). It was hoped that the use of these primers would allow reaction conditions to be optimised before introducing the added complication of degeneracy.

2.6 PCR Results

PCR reactions were carried out as in the 'Methods' Chapter 8, section 8.6, p96. Figure 2.61 shows the result of an initial optimisation experiment. No amplification occurred as the annealing temperature was too high and also the concentrations of primer used were 10 fold too high. Reaction conditions were altered accordingly. The Figure also shows 10ng of striatal template cDNA which appears to span a good size range and not be degraded.

In Figure 2.62 (p38b) the template was tested using an established, successful primer pair. Primers for the α -subunit of the GABA_A receptor gene (designed by Dr D Livingstone) were used, at the conditions in Table 2.56 (p37), as a positive control amplifying a 480bp product.

Figure 2.63 (p38c) displays the result of successful amplification with the NK-1 receptor control primers, at the thermal cycling conditions indicated. The product was of the correct size (\approx 563bp) and cloning and sequencing confirmed its identity. The use of the GABA_A primers here shows the difference between the striatal and cortex template, with the striatal template giving a much stronger band, reflecting the relative mRNA abundance.

Once reaction conditions had been established using the control primers the degenerate primers were used with the same conditions but at successively lower temperatures. At 55°C a small amount of product was visible of about 550bp, a

Figure 2.61 Optimising reaction conditions: Assessing primer concentration and confirmation of cDNA product.



Products were electrophoresed on a $1\,\%$ agarose gel at 50V for 1 hour

PCR Programme

94°C - 1 min		
66°C - 2 min	Х	30 cycles
72°C - 3 min		2

Figure 2.62 Confirmation of template quality and reaction conditions: Specific amplification of $GABA_A \alpha$ -subunit fragment by $GABA_{A\alpha}$ specific primers



PCR Programme $95^{\circ}C - 4 \min$ $4^{\circ}C$ $95^{\circ}C - 1 \min$ $55^{\circ}C - 2 \min$ $72^{\circ}C - 2 \min$ $4^{\circ}C$ soak

Figure 2.63 Positive PCR controls at optimised conditions: products produced by primers designed towards the mouse neurokinin-1 receptor and the α -subunit of the GABA_A receptor gene.



Figure 2.64 Products of PCR using the neurokinin-1 control and degenerate tachykinin primer pairs with striatal cDNA template.



second round at the same conditions using 1µl of the first reaction produced a stronger band (Figure 2.64, p38d). The reaction produced three major products of approximately 800, 550 and 350bp which were individually cloned and sequenced (Chapter 8). Very few clones were obtained for the larger and smaller bands, and sequencing did not produce anything related to the tachykinin receptors. The larger product matched the database sequence for the Prp gene from the Golden Syrian hamster (GenEMBL, accession no. J02686) whilst the sequence from smaller product matched that from the mouse PAP homologous protein gene (GenEMBL, accession no. D13509), (Figures 2.66 & 2.67, p41a). These non-specific products probably arose due to the degenerate nature of the primers allowing these genes to be amplified.

The product at ~550bp proved to be the NK-1 receptor (Figure 2.68, p41b) and no new receptors were isolated.

2.65 Lack of novel products using tachykinin degenerate primers

The PCR reactions were repeated many times at lower annealing temperatures however no new products were obtained. These preliminary experiments suggest that either there are no close relatives of the tachykinin receptors or that the primers used could not detect them. Nevertheless, the general technology was shown to work well with the technique amplifying original members of the group. SECTION A

CHAPTER 3

DISCUSSION

3.0 Discussion

Sequence analysis

From the Tables 2.41-2.45 (p34 -35) showing grouping of neuropeptide receptors according to peptide sequence, it can be seen that the homology at transmembrane domain seven (TM7) (Table 2.45, p35) best represents the overall homology between these peptide receptors (Table 2.46, p35), but that the other transmembrane regions give different results.

It appears that further towards the 3' end of the sequence there are more group distinguishing motifs. At TM1 the sequences divide into only 4 main groups, at TM2, TM3 & TM5 they can be distinguished into 5 groups and TM7 sequences split the receptors into 6 groups. Six groups can also be defined from the analysis of the whole sequences. Also the group allocation varies between TM domains, eg for the thyrotropin releasing hormone receptor (TRH). It groups with the somatostatin, VIP and δ -opioid receptors at TM1, with neurotensin at TM2, and the tachykinin receptors at TM3 & 6. however, the overall homology agrees with the grouping at TM7 along with the neurotensin and neuropeptide Y receptors.

This result suggests that analysing the homology at the TM regions alone could give a false impression of the subgroups within the neuropeptide receptor family.

The results here contrast with previous classification; for example Chang *et al* (1993) suggest that the 'secretin' subgroup also includes the bombesin and VIP receptors, as they show by peptide sequence analysis. However, in this analysis no such grouping was obvious, even at TM regions. This could possibly be explained if Chang *et al* used only a few sequences in their comparison. A reasonable amount of homology exists between all GPLRs, no matter how distantly related, and therefore any selection of GPLRs once aligned will have

3.0 Discussion

Sequence analysis

From the Tables 2.41-2.45 (p34 -35) showing grouping of neuropeptide receptors according to peptide sequence, it can be seen that the homology at transmembrane domain seven (TM7) (Table 2.45, p35) best represents the overall homology between these peptide receptors (Table 2.46, p35), but that the other transmembrane regions give different results.

It appears that further towards the 3' end of the sequence there are more group distinguishing motifs. At TM1 the sequences divide into only 4 main groups, at TM2, TM3 & TM5 they can be distinguished into 5 groups and TM7 sequences split the receptors into 6 groups. Six groups can also be defined from the analysis of the whole sequences. Also the group allocation varies between TM domains, eg for the thyrotropin releasing hormone receptor (TRH). It groups with the somatostatin, VIP and δ -opioid receptors at TM1, with neurotensin at TM2, and the tachykinin receptors at TM3 & 6. however, the overall homology agrees with the grouping at TM7 along with the neurotensin and neuropeptide Y receptors.

This result suggests that analysing the homology at the TM regions alone could give a false impression of the subgroups within the neuropeptide receptor family.

The results here contrast with previous classification; for example Chang *et al* (1993) suggest that the 'secretin' subgroup also includes the bombesin and VIP receptors, as they show by peptide sequence analysis. However, in this analysis no such grouping was obvious, even at TM regions. This could possibly be explained if Chang *et al* used only a few sequences in their comparison. A reasonable amount of homology exists between all GPLRs, no matter how distantly related, and therefore any selection of GPLRs once aligned will have

some points of homology. However, the study of a larger range of receptor sequences using alignment and statistical analysis of the homology actually indicates a different, and perhaps more accurate conclusion of the true sub-groups.

To allocate groups by sequence homology seems a reasonable system. The groups indicate evolutionary relationships, as those with a higher degree of sequence homology are more likely to have diverged from a more recent common ancestor than those with less homology. Also those groups which have little or no sequence homology, but have structural homology as members of the GPLR superfamily, may have convergently evolved.

This study illustrates a simple an definitive method of receptor subgroup allocation by amino acid sequence homology.

2.7 Polymerase Chain Reaction

The amplification of the control fragments had a limited success. In the case of the neurokinin-1 receptor (NK-1R) the primers were successful. The reaction was able to be optimised and the product cloned and confirmed by sequence analysis. This optimisation was aided by the use of a positive control primer pair towards the GABA_A receptor, previously designed and successfully used by Dr David Livingstone.

Once it had been confirmed that the NK-1 receptor had been amplified, equivalent conditions were used for the degenerate primers for this group of receptors (TACH-3 & TACH-7). Initially this produced a very low level of product which may have been due to the successful primer species being at a lower concentration in the population of primers. However, a second round of PCR using the initial product as template produced three individual products. One appeared to be the same size as the NK-1R product (563bp); the second larger at about 800bp and the
Figure 2.71 Alignment of large PCR product to Golden Syrian Hamster Prp gene (J02686), result of a 'Fasta' search on GCG. The sequences have 83.4% identity over a 175 bp overlap

		60	70	80	90	100
Seqrta	CACT-	-CGC-ACTGT	TGGAAGGCO	ATCGGTGCGG	G-CTCTTCGCG	ATTGCAGCTG
Hamprp	CAGGCTO	GCGCAACTGT	TGGGAAGGGC	GATCGGTGCGG	GCCTCTTCGCT	ATTAGCCAGCTG
4	720	4730	4740	4750	4760	4770
	110	120	130	140	150	160
Seqrta	GCGAAA-	-GGGGATGTG	CTGCAAGGCG	ATTAAGTTGGG	TAACGCCAGGG	TTTTCCCAGTCA
Hamprp	GCGAAAG	GGGGGATGTG	CTGTAAGGCG	TTAAGTTGGGG	CAACGCTAGGG	TITTCCCAGTCA
- 4	780	4790	4800	4810	4820	4830
	170) 18	0 190	200	210	220
Seqrta	CGACG-1	GTAAAACGA	CGGCCAGTGA	CTGCGTAATA	CGACTCACTAT	AGGGCGAATTGG
-						
Hamprp	CGACGTI	GTAAAACGA	CGGCCAGTG			
- 4	840	4850	4860			

Figure 2.72 Alignment of small PCR product to mouse PAP homologous protein gene (D13509), result of a 'Fasta' search on GCG. The sequences have 87.1% identity over a 155 bp overlap

	30	40		50	60	70	80
Seqrta	AGGCCACAGC	IGTCATGGA	TACATGC	AGACGAACA	CGGCGGTAC	-CCAATTCGC	CCTAT
-							
Mmpap1	CCGGGGGGATC	CACTAGTTC	TAGAGCGG	CCGCCACCG	CGGTGGAGC	TCCAATTCGC	CCTAT
	570	580	590	600	610	620	
	90	100)	110	120	130	
Seqrta	AGTGAGTCGT	ATTACGCAG	TCACTGG	CCGTCGTTI	TAC-ACGTC	GTGACTGGGA	AAACC

Mmpap1	AGTGAGTCGT.	ATTACAA	TCACTGG	CCGTCGTTI	TACAACGTC	GTGACTGGGA	AAACC
	630	640	650	66	6 6	70 6	80
						100	
14	10 15	0 10	50 	170	180	190	
Seqrta	CTGGCGTTAC	CCAACTTAA	CGCCTTG	CAGCACATC	CCCTTTCGC	CAGCTGC	AATCG
Mmpapi	CIGGCGITAC	CCAACTTAA	PCGCCTT-	GCACATC	CCCTTTCGC	CAGCTGGCGT	AATAG
	690	700		/10	720	/30	740
	200	210	220	220	240	25.0	
C a smat a	200	210		230	240 CTC222		
Seqrta		GLACCGATCO	AUTICUA	ACAGIGUGA	GIGAAIGCA	ATGAATGTAG	CGITA
Mmnor 1							
rmpapi	Canna						





third smaller at approximately 350bp.

On further analysis the sequences revealed that the 563bp product was indeed NK-1R (Figure 2.73, p41b) but the other two products were not related to tachykinin receptors. The larger product matched the database sequence for the Prp gene from the Golden Syrian hamster (GenEMBL, accession no. J02686) whilst the sequence from smaller product matched that from the mouse PAP homologous protein gene (GenEMBL, accession no. D13509), (Figures 2.71 & 2.72, p41a). These non-specific products probably arose due to the degenerate nature of the primers and also the high number of cycles created by performing a secondary round of PCR (Innis & Gelfand,1990).

As these primers had given these initially promising results, the next step was to alter the conditions so as to increase the probability of amplifying a related receptor. To use slower ramping times between the annealing and extension temperatures and to perform the initial 2-5 cycles at a low nonstringent annealing temperature. These conditions should allow a greater proportion of the population degenerate of primers to anneal, therefore yeilding a greater number of products, which when screened could include a new tachykinin receptor group member (Compton, 1990).

Work on this optimisation was started, however, being an MSc project with a limited time-span it was decided to shift the focus of to an area which would give guaranteed results. The D_2 -like dopamine receptors were of considerable interest to my industrial sponsors and therefore it was decided to concentrate on this group by cloning and further characterising these receptors.

At the time that this research began this was a rapidly expanding area with evidence for many GPLR receptors as yet uncloned. Although research has advanced greatly since this time, the work contained in this project is still of interest and use in currect research. The method of sub-grouping receptors by sequence and subsequently using the consensus produced for degenerate primer design has great potential in the discovery of new GPLRs.

SECTION B

CHAPTER 4

INTRODUCTION

Investigation of Dopamine D_{2 S} Receptors

The cationic amine dopamine is an intermediate in the biosynthetic pathway of the neurotransmitters adrenaline and noradrenaline, it is also an important neurotransmitter itself although it is much less widely distributed in brain tissue. Dopamine neurons lack the enzyme dopamine β -hydroxylase and therefore dopamine is the final product of the pathway.





In the central nervous system (CNS) dopamine is involved in the control of motor, cognitive, affective and neuroendocrine processes and in the peripheral nervous system dopamine regulates hormone synthesis and secretion, vascular tone and renal function.

Dopaminergic systems are of particular interest because of their role in the aetiology and management of various disorders such as the motor disorders, Parkinson's disease and Huntington's disease. Both involve nigrostriatal degeneration and an alteration in dopamine neurotransmission, decreased in Parkinsonism leading to rigidity, tremor and hypokinesia and in Huntington's an increase causing severe involuntary movements.

In the mesolimbic system which is involved with cognitive and emotional responses, dopamine also acts as a neurotransmitter and it is believed that it may be involved with the etiology of schizophrenia although there has been no evidence of altered transmission.

Most dopamine in the brain is located in the nigrostriatal pathway and the axons extending into the striatum, it is also found to varying extents in the nucleus accumbens, hypthalamus, pituitary gland, olfactory bulb and retina. In the periphery it is present in some sympathetic neurons, mainly in the kidney.

4.1 Dopamine Receptors

Initial research concluded that the effects of dopamine could be accounted for by the existence of two receptor subtypes which were named the D_1 and D_2 dopamine receptors (Kebabian & Calne, 1979). Recent developments however have demonstrated that the family is larger, with six distinct dopamine receptor subtypes isolated at present and indications of further, as yet unidentified members.

4.11 D₂-like Receptors

The rat D_2 receptor was the first member cloned (Bunzow *et al*, 1988) and was confirmed as possessing the functional properties originally predicted by Sasaki & Sato (1987) of coupling inhibitorally to adenylate cyclase. In addition the high affinity for benzamides and low affinity for benzazepines discovered by Stoof & Kebabian (1984) was shown to conform (Albert *et al*, 1990).

The D_2 dopamine receptor is typical of the classic GPLR structure however it has been found to exist in two forms derived from the same gene by alternative splicing (Dal Toso *et al*, 1989). The long form (D_{2L}) contains an additional 29 amino acids in the third cytoplasmic loop and has slight pharmacological differences from the short form (D_{2S}) . The major difference so far reported is that D_{2S} inhibits adenylyl cyclase to a greater extent (Dal Toso *et al*, 1989). The long form predominates in rodents and the short in humans.

Additional 'D₂-like' receptors were subsequently cloned, D₃ (Sokoloff *et al*, 1990; Giros *et al*, 1990) and D₄ (Van Tol *et al*, 1991). Both bind the benzamide and butyrophenone ligands as D₂ does but also possess distinguishing pharmacological characteristics. Structurally they are also similar, having a classic GPLR arrangement, with short C terminal and long I3 loops, characteristic of GPLRs which are coupled to G_i leading to inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and Ca²⁺ channels and activation of K⁺ channels.

Subsequently, a second spliced isoform of the D_3 receptor has been isolated and also many variants of the human D_4 receptor. The D_4 receptor varies at the third cytoplasmic loop region and was the first catecholamine receptor to be discovered with polymorphic variation involving the repeat of a 48 base pair sequence from two to seven times ($D_{4.2}$, $D_{4.3}$ etc.), in human populations. Each has a different pharmacological profile for spiperone and clozapine binding (Van Tol *et al*, 1992). The fact that this gene shows polymorphism has implications for neuropsychiatric disease (such as schizophrenia) as other occurances of repeated sequences have been implicated in disease (eg fragile X syndrome and myotonic dystrophy).

4.12 D₁-Like Receptors

Using strategies based on the nucleotide sequence of the cloned D_2 receptor several groups discovered other slightly less homologous dopamine receptors. The first of these was named the D_1 receptor as it conformed well to the expected second messenger coupling and pharmacological properties of the classical D_1 described by Kebabian & Calne 1979. Structurally this receptor also had the GPLR topology, with 40 - 45% homology at the residue level to D_2 , it also had homology to the β -adrenergic and 5HT receptors. (Dearry *et al*, 1990; Monsma *et al*, 1990; Sunhara *et al*, 1990; Zhou *et al*, 1990). The coupling of this receptor to the adenylyl cyclase system was found to be stimulatory via coupling to the Gs protein.

Subsequently other D_1 -like dopamine receptors were cloned and characterised from rat and human (Sunhara *et al*, 1991; Tiberi *et al*, 1991). They shared a high TM residue identity of ~80% with the D_1 receptor, the same coupling to Gs and also the absence of introns within their coding regions, as with the D_1 receptor gene. They have patterns of mRNA in the same regions as D_1 but at a 10x lower level of expression but also a high level of expression in the hippocampus, anterior pretectal nuclei and mammalian nuclei (Tiberi *et al*, 1991) unlike D_1 .

Problems with inconsistent nomenclature have lead these D_1 -like receptors to be known as D_5 or less confusingly D_{1B} with D_1 being renamed D_{1A} (Tiberi *et al*, 1991).

4.2 Importance Of Dopamine Receptor Research

The discovery of new dopamine receptors has been due to the use of molecular biology making it possible to define distinct receptor subtypes previously indistinguishable by biochemical and pharmacological properties. Difficulties such as large variances in the expression of different subtypes and individual expression patterns made further biochemical discovery difficult. However, pharmacology has been vital in providing the initial essential evidence for the existence of such receptors and points to evidence for additional dopamine receptor subtypes.

4.3 Dopamine Receptor Pharmacology

Assessing the binding affinities of certain compounds for receptors is usually performed using radioligands in saturation and competition assays, this is fine for antagonists which block the action of the receptor, however agonists (which stimulate action) are more complex to assess. In tissue, the receptor can be in either of two states, high or low affinity for the agonist. Low affinity receptors are not linked to a G protein, whereas high affinity are which is though to give a conformational change making the receptor more receptive to agonist binding. This situation must be mimicked in vitro to give a true measurement of agonist binding and this is done by the addition of GTP and Mg²⁺ ions, which causes the receptors to dissociate from linked G proteins leaving all in a standard low affinity state.

4.31 D₁-like Receptor Pharmacology

As mentioned previously the D_1 and D_5 receptors share high amino acid homology in the TM domains and as these regions are thought to be involved in ligand binding it therefore follows that these receptors have a very similar ligand binding profile. They both have a high affinity for benzazepines (eg SKF 38393, a partial agonist and SCH 23390 an antagonist) and low affinity for butyrophenones (eg spiperone and halperidol). However there are presently only two notable binding differences, dopamine has a tenfold greater affinity for D_5 (Tiberi *et al*, 1991; Sunahara *et al*, 1991) and the antagonists (+) butaclamol and SKF83566 have greater affinity for D_1 (Gingrich & Caron, 1993).

4.32 D₂-Like Receptor Pharmacology

Of the three full length receptors, D_4 has the most distinctive pharmacological profile, as would be expected as it also has the most differences in sequence at the TM regions.

A general shared characteristic, in contrast to the D_1 -like receptors, is that they each have a high affinity for butyrophenones and a low affinity for some benzazepines (eg SKF 38393. However, D_2 and D_3 have relatively high affinity for raclopride (another benzazepine) whereas D_4 has a much lower affinity. An antagonist which appears to have selectively higher affinity for D_4 is clozapine. There is much debate about whether this is true, but it remains the only selective ligand for separating the D_2 -like group members (Van Tol *et d*, 1991). This information is summarised in Table 4.321.

Receptor ⇒	D _{2L}	D3	D ₄
Selective Ligands			
Agonists	N-0437 bromocriptine	7-OH-DPAT* quinperole quinerolane	none
Antagonists	(+) butaclamol YM091512 domperidone haloperidol remoxipride	all D2 ligands but with lower affinity eg remoxipride binds with ~100x less affinity	clozapine (binds with a 10x higher affinity than for D2/3)
Radiolabels	[³ H]-spiperone (also binds 5HT) [³ H]-YM091512 [¹²⁵ I]-rodosulpiride	[³ H]-YM091512 [³ H]-7-OH-DPAT	[³ H]-YM091512 [³ H]-clozapine

Table 4.321D2-Like Selective Ligands

* 7-hydroxy-N,N-di-n-propyl-2-aminotetralin

4.4 Antipsychotic Drugs

The discovery of dopamine receptors was due to research into the mechanism of antipsychotic drugs (Seeman & Van Tol, 1994). It was shown using active and inactive enantiomers (eg + & - butaclamol), that neuroleptics (antipsychotics) specifically blocked dopamine receptors (Seeman *et al*, 1975). Now that these receptors have been cloned, this is facilitating the discovery of selective drugs for the treatment of diseases of the motor and cognition systems such as Parkinson's disease (dopaminergic degeneration), Huntington's chorea, and schizophrenia (type I, due to dopaminergic overactivity) (Seeman, 1987).

All neuroleptics except clozapine have been shown to occupy >60% of D₂ receptors under therapeutic conditions, however clozapine occupies only 20% (Karbe, 1991). Clozapine is an antipsychotic drug used in the treatment of type I schizophrenia, proposed to involve overactivity in dopaminergic systems in the CNS. Evidence for this includes the increase in density of the D₂ and D₃ receptors by 10% and D₄ by 600% (Seeman *et al*, 1993). Clozapine is classed as an atypical antipsychotic because it doesn't cause the Parkinson's-like side effects, which appear with other antipsychotic drug treatments. This is thought to be because the D₄ receptor is present at low levels in the basal ganglia (where the degeneration of the dopaminergic systems of Parkinson's sufferers occurs), and higher levels in the limbic system associated with the dopaminergic system overaction, (nigrostriatal, mesolimbic and mesocortical dopaminergic pathways are involved) (Watson & Arkinstall, 1994).

4.5 Aims of Research

This section of work arose from the need to persue a line of research with guarenteed results within the time-span of an MSc project. Although section A involved an interesting and valid area of research it was covering new ground and may not have produced satisfactory results within the given time. Therefore, it was decided, along with my CASE sponsors 'Syntex Ltd.' that the area of research should be altered slightly.

As they had a particular interest in the D_2 -like dopamine receptors it was decided that this should be the area of focus.

The aim was to clone two of the D_2 -like dopamine receptors, then to express them and perform ligand-binding assays, to build up a pharmacological profile. It was then hoped that chimera between the two could be created (as mentioned in section A, 1.9) to investigate the molecular basis of the differential pharmacology of receptor type. Ideally D_2 and D_3 receptors would be used as these share the most homology, differing at very few residues for the same species and are therefore more suitable for chimera design.

SECTION B

CHAPTER 5

CLONING OF MOUSE D2_S RECEPTOR

52Cloning of the short-form mouse D₂ receptor

5.1 Background

Rodents, particularly rats and mice are frequently used in molecular experimentation, both for general research into molecular biology and also for specific species research to discover their unique biology and the similarities and differences in relation to other species. This has lead to a spectrum of similarities to humans being discovered and utilised, particularly in the field of molecular biology. They are utilised as models for the human systems with which they share homology and the results have become more applicable to humans as strains have been developed with certain physical and genetic characteristics to facilitate their use. Ethically, some of the most useful molecular genetic experiments such as transgenic work can not be performed on human subjects, the use of laboratory animal such as mice allows research in this ground breaking area.

Mouse and rat receptor genes share a relatively high homology with the human sequences (Figure 5.11, p52a), and as mouse brain tissue is readily available in the laboratory, it was decided to isolate and study the D_2 dopamine receptor from mouse striatal tissue, where this receptor is relatively abundant. At the time of this work only the long form (D_{2L}) of this mouse receptor was available from the GenEMBL database. So sequence from both ends of the ORF (open reading frame) was used to design PCR primers as the short isoform of the receptor is a splice variant and therefore will also be amplified by the same primers.

The total length of the mouse D_2 long isoform is 1419bp (444 aa) and the short form is 1332bp (415 aa) with a 87bp (29 aa) fragment being spliced from the long isoform between 723bp and 811bp at the RNA level. Figure 5.11 Alignment of the mouse, rat and human dopamine D₂ receptor peptide sequences (long isoforms), showing the high level of homology. Differences in sequence are indicated in bold type.

	1				50
mouse	taaggaavrg	cggswkpraa	gafsgpgrpm	a*ravppsgp	tapMDPLNLS
rat	.aaggaavrg	cggswkppaa	gafsgpgrhm	a*ravppsgp	tapMDPLNLS
human				wppsrs	talMDPLNLS
	51				100
mouse	WYDDDLERQN	WSRPFNGSEG	KADRPHYNYY	AMLLTLLIFI	IVFGNVLVCM
rat	WYDDDLERQN	WSRPFNGSEG	KADRPHYNYY	AMLLTLLIFI	IVFGNVLVCM
human	WYDDDLERQN	WSRPFNGSDG	KADRPHYNYY	ATLLTLLIAV	IVFGNVLVCM
	101				150
m ourco	TATEDERATOR	MININT TUCT A	WADTT WADT W	MDUATIVE FAR	CEMERCIAC
mouse	AVSRERALQI	MUNITINE A	VADLLVAILV	MDWWWWILLEWW	COWVEGDIUC
Lac	AVSRERALUI	TINILIVSUA	VADLLVAILV	MDWAAVTEVA	GDWKF SKINC
numan	AVSKERALUI	TINILIVSUA	VADDUVATUV	MPWVVILLEVV	GEWKESKINC
	151				200
mouse	DIFVTLDVMM	CTASILNLCA	ISIDRYTAVA	MPMLYNTRYS	SKRRVTVMIA
rat	DIFVTLDVMM	CTASILNLCA	ISIDRYTAVA	MPMLYNTRYS	SKRRVTVMIA
human	DIFVTLDVMM	CTASILNLCA	ISIDRYTAVA	MPMLYNTRYS	SKRRVTVMIS
	201				250
mouse	IVWVLSFTIS	CPLLFGLNNT	DQNECIIANP	AFVVYSSIVS	FYVPFIVTLL
rat	IVWVLSFTIS	CPLLFRLNNT	DQGECIIANP	AFVVYSSIVS	FYVPFIVTLL
human	IVWVLSFTIS	CPLLFGLNNA	DQNECIIANP	AFVVYSSIVS	FYVPFIVTLL
	251				300
mouse	VYIKIYIVLR	KRRKRVNTKR	SSRAFRANLK	TPLKGNCTHP	EDMKLCTVIM
rat	VYIKIYIVLR	KRRKRVNTKR	SSRAFRANLK	TPLKGNCTHP	EDMKLCTVIM
numan	VYIKIYIVLR	RRKRVNTKR	SSRAFRAHLR	APLKGNCTHP	EDMKLCTVIM
	201				250
moulgo	KCNCCEDUND		ET EMENT COT	CDDFDTDVCD	
rat	KSNGSFFVNR	DEMDAARKAQ	FLEMEMLSST FLEMEMLSST	SPPERIKISP	TDDSHHOLT
human	KSNGSFDUNR	RUFARRA	ELEMENI.SST	STITUTE	TPPSHHOLTT.
maman	NDNGDI I VIII		DUMMENHOOT	DIIBRIKIDI	III SHIQUID
	351				400
mouse	PDPSHHGLHS	NPDSPAKPEK	NGHAKIVNPR	TAKFFEIOTM	PNGKTRTSLK
rat	PDPSHHGLHS	NPDSPAKPEK	NGHAKIVNPR	IAKFFEIOTM	PNGKTRTSLK
human	PDPSHHGLHS	TPDSPAKPEK	NGHAK.DHPK	IAKIFEIOTM	PNGKTRTSLK
				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	401				450
mouse	TMSRRKLSQQ	KEKKATQMLA	IVLGVFIICW	LPFFITHILN	IHCDCNIPPV
rat	TMSRRKLSQQ	KEKKATQMLA	IVLGVFIICW	LPFFITHILN	IHCDCNIPPV
human	TMSRRKLSQQ	KEKKATQMLA	IVLGVFIICW	LPFFITHILN	IHCDCNIPPV
	451				
mouse	LYSAFTWLGY	VNSAVNPIIY	TTFNIEFRKA	FMKILHC*	
rat	LYSAFTWLGY	VNSAVNPIIY	TTFNIEFRKA	FMKILHC*	
human	LYSAFTWLGY	VNSAVNPIIY	TTFNIEFRKA	FLKILHC*	

#### 5.2 Experimental Design

#### 5.21 Overview

Total RNA was isolated from mouse striatal tissue and first strand cDNA was synthesised from this. The cDNA was then used as a template for nested PCR. The initial round of PCR was performed using a pair of primers designed using the sequences immediately 5' and 3' of the  $D_{2L}$  receptor open-reading frame (ORF) sequence (GenEMBL x55674). Then a second round of PCR was performed on the first round product using a second, inner pair of primers designed to encompass the 5' and 3' ends of the  $D_{2L}$  receptor ORF sequence, with restriction enzyme sites incorporated at the primers' 5' ends.

Products of the expected size from the second round of PCR, were purified and cloned into the T-vector 'pT7Blue(R)' (Novagen) where the identity of each clone was determined initially by restriction and those which appeared correct sequenced using United States Biochemical 'Sequenase 2.0' (T7 DNA Polymerase). When a  $D_2$  clone was confirmed it was subcloned into 'pcDNA3' a mammalian expression vector (Invitrogen) and its orientation confirmed by restriction digest.

#### 5.22 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

Nested primers were designed involving two separate pairs of primers. Pair A corresponded to sequence outside of the open reading frame (ORF) of the  $D_{2L}$  sequence (GenEMBL), while pair B corresponded to sequence at either end of the ORF (see Figures 5.221 & 5.222, p52a & 53a).



					B								aao	anc	'cat	acc		rag	taa	cccc
					m								agg	age	.cgc	A1	lace	Jug	699	0000
					H															
	20	+ 77	<b>.</b>	م کر س	I	mcc	<u>א רי</u> ית	C 3 3	ററന	റന്നവ	റന്നു	CTUN	CC.)	መሮ እ	መሮእ	ጦርጣ	CCA	CAC	CCN	2770
		tyc		M	GGA	D	T.	M	T.JJ	GIC	w	U GIA		TGA D	.TGA D	T.	RDD F	DAD. Q	OCA O	N
	Б	1		ы	D	F	ц	IN	ц	3	n	T	D	D	D	ц	11	K	¥	IN
52 10	TG W	GAG	CCG	GCC	CTT:		TGG	GTC	CGA	AGG	GAA	ر GGC	AGA		GCC		CTA		CTA V	CTAT V
10	vv	3	R	r	r	N	G	3	Ľ	G	Г	А	D	К	r	п	T	TA .	Ŧ	т _
112	GC	CAI	GCT	'GC'I	CAC	CCT	CCI	CAT	CTT	TAT	CAT	CGI	CTT	TGG	CAA	ATGI	GCT	GGT	GTG	CATG
38	A	м	Ц	Г	т	Г	Ц	T	F.	T	T	V	Ŀ.	G	N	v	Ц	v	C	м
172	GC	TGI	ATC	ACG	AGA	GAA	GGC	TTT	GCA	GAC	CAC	CAC	CAA	CTA	CCI	GAI	AGT	CAG	CCT	CGCT
58	Α	V	s	R	Ε	K	Α	L	Q	т	т	т	N	Y	L	Ι	V	S	L	Α
232	GT	GGC	CGA	TCT	TCT	GGT	GGC	CAC	ACT	<u>G</u> GT	TAT	GCC	CTG	GGI	CGI	CTA	TCT	GGA	GGT	GGTG
78	v	A	D	$\mathbf{L}$	$\mathbf{L}$	V	A	т	$\mathbf{L}$	V	M	Ρ	W	V	V	Y	L	Ε	V	V
292	GG	TGA	GTG	GAA	ATT	CAG	CAG	GAT	тса	CTG	TGA	CAT	CTT	TGT	CAC	тст	GGA	TGT	САТ	GATG
98	G	Ε	W	K	F	S	R	. I	H	С	D	I	F	V	Г	Ľ	D	V	М	М
																		D		
																		v		
																		u		
352	TG	CAC	AGC	AAG	CAT	CTT	GAA	CCT	GTG	TGC	CAT	CAG	CAT	CGA	CAG	GTA	CAC	AGC	TGT	GGCC
110	C	Т	A	5	Ŧ	Ц	N	ц	C	A	т	5	Ŧ	ע	ĸ	ĭ	т	А	v	А
412	AT	GCC	TAT	GTI	'GTA	TAA	CAC	ACG	CTA	CAG	CTC	CAA	.GCG	CCG	AGI	TAC	TGT	CAT	GAT	CGCC
138	М	₽	M	Г	Y	N	T	R	Y	S	S	K	R	R	v	т	V	М	T	Α
472	<u>AT</u>	TGT	CTG	GGI	CCT	GTC	CTT	CAC	CAT	CTC	TTG	ccc	ACT	GCT	CTI	TGG	ACT	CAA	CAA	CACA
158	I	V	W	V	$\mathbf{L}$	S	F	т	Ι	S	С	Ρ	$\mathbf{L}$	$\mathbf{L}$	F	G	L	N	N	т
532	GA	CCA	GAA	TGA	GTG	ТАТ	CAT	TGC	CAA	ccc	TGC	CTT	CGT	GGT	CTA	CTC	CTC	CAT	CGT	CTCG
178	D	Q	N	Е	С	I	Ι	A	N	Ρ	A	F	V	V	Y	S	S	I	V	S
592	ΤT	СТА	CGT	GCC	CTT	САТ	CGT	CAC	ССТ	GCT	GGT	СТА	TAT	CAA	דאא	CTA	САТ	CGT	тст	CCGC
198	F	Y	v	P	F	I	v	Т	L	L	v	Y	I	K	I	Y	I	v	L	R
652	27	ഭന	ምሮሮ	<u> </u>	CCC	ርርም	<b>777</b>	CAC	<u>ר ג ר</u> י	acc	ጥልሮ	C 7 C	ccc	ACC	mm	יראר	ACC	<u>ር እ እ</u>	ርርሞ	CANC
218	K	R	R	GAA K	R	V	N	UAU T	CHA K	R	S S	S	R	<u>שטע</u> A	<u>тт</u> F	R	A	N N		K
				-•		•		-			-	2			-				-	

M	I	V	Т	C	L	K	M	D	Ε	Р	H	Т	С	N	G	K	L	ΓР	238
S																			
a																			
С																			
TCA	AGC	CCG	CCG	TGC	TGC	<u>G</u> GA	AAT	GAG	GCG	CAG	GAA	AGI	<u>'CCC</u>	TTI	GAC	TGG		AGTO	772
Q	Α	R	R	Α	Α	D	M	<u></u> R	<u>R</u>	<u>R</u>	N	<u>v</u>	<u>P</u>	F	<u>     S</u>	G	<u>N</u>	<u>C</u> S	258
cccd	ፐልር	GTA	CCG	GAC	GAG	AGA	ccc	ccc	CAG	CAC	220	יקייר	'GCT	GAT	GGA	דעב	rgga	- AGC1	832
P	S	Y	R	T	R	Е	P	P	S	Т	S	S	L	M	E	M	E	E L	278
TAG	ACA	TCT	CGG	CCA	CCA	ATC	TCC	C <u>GA</u>	CCC	TCT	CAC	GCI	CCA	ACCA	TCA	CAC	CTCC	ATCCC	892
S	н	$\mathbf{L}$	G	Н	H	S	Ρ	D	Ρ	$\mathbf{L}$	$\mathbf{T}$	L	Q	Н	Н	S	Ρ	E P	298
CAG	ጥሮሮ	C & A	ጥርጥ	ርልጥ	ממיז	TCC	ദവം	ጥርር	<b>67 2</b>	מממ	מממי	ACC	ממיזי	יידים	ישרכ	CAG	ጥር እ	ACCO	952
R	P		V	I	K	A	H	G	N	K	E	P	K	A	P	S	D	I P	318
				-															
TAA	ССТ	CTC	GAC	CCG	AAC	CAA	TGG	CAA	GCC	CAT	GAC	CCA	GAT	TGA	CTI	GTI	CAA	ATTGO	1012
ĸ	L	S	т	R	т	K	G	N	Ρ	M	т	Q	I	Е	F	F	K	E A	338
TGC	GCሞ	GAT	TCA	CAC	AGC	GAA	GAA	GGA	GAA	GCA	CCA	CTTC	GCT	GAA	CAG	CCG	GAG	CGAT	1072
A	T.	M	0	T.	A	R	K	E	K	0	0	S	T.	K	R	R	S	n Mi	358
	-		æ	-				_		*	*	-	_				_		
GAA	CCT	CAT	GCA	CAC	CAT	CTT	CTT	GCC	GCT	CTG	CTG	CAT	CAT	GTT	TGT	TGG	TCT	TTGI	1132
N	L	Ι	H	т	Ι	F	F	P	L	W	С	Ι	Ι	F	V	G	L	v z	378
ሮሞል፣	ccc	ርርጥ	ልጥር-	- <b>a</b> -	ግጥጥ	- - -	CAC	ርጥል	ሮሮሞ	እርሞ	300		ር እጣ	ממכו	പ്രസ്ത	ሞርል	ረጥሮ	מיזמיית	1192
V V	DDD G	T.	W W	URU. T	ידדי ק	Δ	S	v		V	лсс Р	P	T	N	C	n U	C	. H	398
-	-	_	••	-	-		-	-	_	•	-	-	-		-	-	~		

1252 GTCAACAGTGCCGTGAACCCCATCATCTATACCACCTTCAACATTGAGTTCCGCAAGGCC 418 V N S A V N P I I Y T T F N Ε F R K Α Ι 1312 TTCATGAAGATCCTGCACTGCtgagtctgccccttgcctgcacagcagctgcttgccgcc 438 F M K Ι Η С A2  $\mathbf{L}$ * В2

tccctgcctaggcag

The PCR primer sites are double underlined and labelled A1/A2 and B1/B2. The 87bp region underlined from 723bp shows the region spliced to form the short isoform. The five underlined 20mers show the position of sequencing primers used to sequence the clone in both directions over its entire length.

Enzymes that cut - BamHI @ 3 bp PvuII @ 404 bp SacI @ 828 bp (EcoRI does not cut) ORF length - 1332 bp = 444 aa

# Figure 5.222 Schematic diagram showing position of nested primers for amplification of $D2_S$

5' - A1 -> |- B1 ->   
[ ORF ] 
$$(- A2 - 3)$$

#### Table 5.223 Sequence of nested D2 receptor PCR primers

	Primer Nucleotide Sequence	Length	Tm	Sense
primer	5' 3'	(bp)	(°C)	
A1	AGAGCCGTGCCACCCAGTGG	22	68	Sense
A2	CCTAGGCAGGGAGGCGGCAA	22	68	Anti
<b>B</b> 1	CGCG'AATTCTGCCCCAATGGATCCACTGAA	30	64	Sense
B2	GCGG'AATTCGCAGTGCAGGATCTTCATGAAG	30	66	Anti

Table 5.224 PCR reaction conditions

		Primary PCR	Secondary PCR	Duration
Hot-start		94°C	94°C	1 min
Denaturation Annealing Extension	x30	94°C 57°C 72°C	94°C 55°C 72°C	45 sec 1 min 2 min
Soak		4°C	4°C	indefinite

Figure 5.221 shows the exact position of primer annealing to the dopamine  $D_2$  receptor sequence and this is illustrated schematically in Figure 5.222. The actual primer sequences are displayed in Table 5.223 (p54) where it can be seen that the outer pair (A1 & A2) are totally homologous to sequences 5' & 3' of the mouse D2 dopamine receptor. Whereas the inner primers (B1 & B2) have total homology to the sequence at the 3' end but have non-homologous 5' ends which include EcoRI restriction sites to aid cloning.

The design of the primers took into account several basic factors in order to optimise their action. Because each pair was totally matched to the  $D_2$  receptor sequence over at least 15 nucleotides to increase the probability of unique homologous priming, a non-homologous 5' end could be added to the inner primers without affecting their priming ability. The  $T_ms$  (melting temperatures) were balanced within a pair so that both primers annealed at around the same temperature. The 3' ends were designed to be non-homologous to each other to prevent primer-dimer formation and attempts were made to keep the G/C content to below 60% to avoid problems with secondary structures.

The reaction conditions were also designed to help prevent mis-priming by using hot-start PCR and short ramping times and the nested nature of the primers significantly increased the sensitivity of the reaction (McPherson et al, 1990). The reaction components and concentrations are shown in Methods (Chapter 8).

#### 5.23 Cloning and Sequencing

PCR products from the second round of thermal cycling were run on a 1% agarose gel and the DNA collected using NA45 paper (Schleider & Schuell) as described in Chapter 8.

The pT7Blue(R) T-vector (R & D Systems) was used to clone the products, as it is specifically designed for the cloning of DNA fragments produced by PCR. Taq DNA polymerase leaves single 3' A-nucleotide overhangs on the reaction products (Clark, 1988). The vector has been digested with *EcoR1* and single 3' dT residues added at each end, allowing direct ligation of the PCR product, but preventing self-ligation.

After ligation and transformation (Methods, Chapter 8) individual clones were selected and restricted using the enzymes *BamHI*, *SacI*, *PvuII* and *EcoRI* which permit positive identification of a dopamine  $D_2$  receptor clone and determine its orientation within the vector.

#### 5.3 Results

#### 5.31 Polymerase Chain Reaction

The results of the PCR reaction are displayed in Figure 5.311 (p58). Reactions using cortex cDNA as a template were unsuccessful, however striatal cDNA produced four definite bands at approximately 1.3, 0.7, 0.4 and 0.3kb. The expected length of the long  $D_2$  isoform is 1332bp and the short form 1245bp, therefore the largest band (which also had the strongest intensity) was collected.

#### 5.32 Cloning and restriction

Figure 5.321 (p59) is a schematic diagram of the T-vector pT7Blue(R) containing the desired D₂ receptor clone. It illustrates how the restriction enzymes previously mentioned can be used to identify correct inserts.

	Positive Ori	entation (bp)	Negative Or	entation (bp)
	D _{2S}	D _{2L}	D _{2S}	D _{2L}
EcoRI	1258	1345	1258	1345
	2899	2899	2899	2899
BamHI	1251	1338	23	23
	2906	2906	4134	4221
SacI	449	536	780	867
	3708	3708	3377	3377
PvuII	967	1054	1011	1098
	581	581	537	537
	2609	2609	2609	2609

Table 5.322Restriction fragment sizes produced by digesting either  $D_2$  receptor<br/>isoform cloned into pT7Blue(R) with different enzymes

The above table predicts the approximate size of fragments obtained from digestion of mouse  $D_2$  dopamine receptors in pT7Blue. Figure 5.323 (p60) shows the restriction digest result from a clone which appears to contain a  $D_2$  receptor in the correct orientation. This clone was selected and sequenced.

Figure 5.311 Product of second round PCR using the nested dopamine  $D_{2S}$  receptor primer pairs with mouse striatal cDNA template.





Figure 5.321 Mouse D_{2S} (short isoform) dopamine receptor ligated into pT7Blue(R) T-cloning vector, 4.2kb (R & D Systems).

From the map it can be seen how the use of selected restriction enzymes (*BamHI*, *SacI*, *PvuII* and *EcoRI*) can be used to confirm the insert and to determine its orientation within the vector.

Figure 5.323 Identification of a  $D_2$  receptor clone in the plasmid vector pT7 (R & D Systems) by restriction fragment length polymorphism.



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Key to lanes	1&6	Eco RI digestion
-	2&7	Bam HI digestion
	3&8	Pvu II digestion
	4&9	Sac I digestion
	5	250ng 1kb marker (Gibco BRL)

Products were electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel at 50 volts for 1 hour

Product sizes	1	~	3000 & 1300 bp
	2	~	3000 & 1300 bp
	3	*	2500, 1000 & 600 bp
	4	~	3800 & 550 bp

Figure 5.331 Alignment of mouse  $D_{2S}$  dopamine receptor short isoform sequence¹, determined by dideoxy DNA sequencing, to published mouse  $D_{2L}$  receptor² (x55674, Montmayeur *et al*, '91)

- 1ctgccccaATGGATCCACTGAACCTGTCCTGGTACGATGATCTGGAGAGGCAGAAC 51 2ctgccccaATGGATCCACTGAACCTGTCCTGGTACGATGATGATCTGGAGAGGCAGAAC
- TGGAGCCGGCCCTTCAATGGGTCCGAAGGGAAGGCAGACAGGCCCCACTACAACTACTAT 111 TGGAGCCGGCCCTTCAATGGGTCCGAAGGGAAGGCAGACAGGCCCCACTACAACTACTAT
- GCCATGCTGCTCACCCTCCTCATCTTTATCATCGTCTTTGGCAATGTGCTGGTGTGCATG 171 GCCATGCTGCTCACCCTCCTCATCTTTATCATCGTCTTTGGCAATGTGCTGGTGTGCATG
- GCTGTATCACGAGAGAAGGCTTTGCAGACCACCACCAACTACCTGATAGTCAGCCTCGCT 231 GCTGTATCACGAGAGAAGGCTTTGCAGACCACCACCAACTACCTGATAGTCAGCCTCGCT
- GTGGCCGATCTTCTGGTGGCCACACTGGTTATGCCCTGGGTCGTCTATCTGGAGGTGGTG 291 GTGGCCGATCTTCTGGTGGCCACACTGGTTATGCCCTGGGTCGTCTATCTGGAGGTGGTG
- GGTGAGTGGAAATTCAGCAGGATTCACTGTGACATCTTTGTCACTCTGGATGTCATGATG 351 GGTGAGTGGAAATTCAGCAGGATTCACTGTGACATCTTTGTCACTCTGGATGTCATGATG
- TGCACAGCAAGCATCTTGAACCTGTGTGCCATCAGCATCGACAGGTACACAGCTGTGGCC 411 TGCACAGCAAGCATCTTGAACCTGTGTGCCATCAGCATCGACAGGTACACAGCTGTGGCC
- ATGCCTATGTTGTATAACACACGCTACAGCTCCAAGCGCCGAGTTACTGTCATGATCGCC 471 ATGCCTATGTTGTATAACACACGCTACAGCTCCAAGCGCCGAGTTACTGTCATGATCGCC
- ATTGTCTGGGTCCTGTCCTTCACCATCTCTTGCCCACTGCTCTTTGGACTCAACAACACA 531 ATTGTCTGGGTCCTGTCCTTCACCATCTCTTGGCCCACTGCTCTTTGGACTCAACAACACA
- GACCAGAATGAGTGTATCATTGCCAACCCTGCCTTCGTGGTCTACTCCTCCATCGTCTCG 591 GACCAGAATGAGTGTATCATTGCCAACCCTGCCTTCGTGGTCTACTCCTCCATCGTCTCG
- TTCTACGTGCCCTTCATCGTCACCCTGCTGGTCTATATCAAAATCTACATCGTTCTCCGC 651 TTCTACGTGCCCTTCATCGTCACCCTGCTGGTCTATATCAAAATCTACATCGTTCTCCGC
- AAGCGTCGGAAGCGGGTCAACACCAAGCGTAGCAGCCGAGCTTTCAGAGCCAACCTGAAG 711 AAGCGTCGGAAGCGGGTCAACACCAAGCGTAGCAGCCGAGCTTTCAGAGCCAACCTGAAG
- AAGTCTAATGGGAGTTTCCCAGTGAACAGGCGGAGAATGGATGCTGCCCGCCGAGCTCAG 831
- GAGCTGGAAATGGAGATGCTGTCAAGCACCAGCCCCCCAGAGAGGACCCGGTATAGCCCC 804 GAGCTGGAAATGGAGATGCTGTCAAGCACCAGCCCCCCAGAGAGGACCCGGTATAGCCCC 891

ATCCCTCCCAGTCACCACCAGCTCACTCTCCCCGATCCATCC	864 951
AACCCTGACAGTCCTGCCAAACCAGAAAAGAATGGGCATGCCAAGATTGTCAATCCCAGG	924
AACCCTGACAGTCCTGCCAAACCAGAAAAGAATGGGCATGCCAAGATTGTCAATCCCAGG	1011
ATTGCCAAGTTCTTTGAGATCCAGACCATGCCCAATGGCAAAACCCCGGACCTCCCTTAAG	984
ATTGCCAAGTTCTTTGAGATCCAGACCATGCCCAATGGCAAAACCCCGGACCTCCCTTAAG	1071
ACGATGAGCCGCAGGAAGCTCTCCCAGCAGAAGGAGAAGAAAGCCACTCAGATGCTTGCC	1044
ACGATGAGCCGCAGGAAGCTCTCCCAGCAGAAGGAGAAAAGCCACTCAGATGCTTGCC	1131
ATTGTTCTTGGTGTGTTCATCATCTGCTGGCTGCCCTTCTTCATCACGCACATCCTGAAT	1104
ATTGTTCTTGGTGTGTTCATCATCTGCTGGCTGCCCCTTCTTCATCACGCACATCCTGAAT	1191
ATACACTGTGACTGCAACATCCCACCAGTCCTCTACAGCGCCTTCACATGGCTGGGCTAT	1164
ATACACTGTGACTGCAACATCCCACCAGTCCTCTACAGCGCCTTCACATGGCTGGGCTAT	1251
GTCAACAGTGCCGTGAACCCCATCATCTATACCACCTTCAACATTGAGTTCCGCAAGGCC	1224
GTCAACAGTGCCGTGAACCCCCATCATCTATACCACCTTCAACATTGAGTTCCGCAAGGCC	1311
TTCATGAAGATCCTGCACTGCt 1245	

TTCATGAAGATCCTGCACTGCt 1245 TTCATGAAGATCCTGCACTGCt 1332

Short form ORF length - 1245 bp - 87 bp shorter than  $D_{2L}$  (1332bp)

The sequences are totally homologous over their coding regions, indicating that the PCR derived clone of  $D_{2S}$  does not contain any *Taq* introduced errors. The region spliced in the short form can clearly be seen from 723 - 810bp, this does not interrupt the ORF (Figure 5.221, p53a/b)

The frequency of transformation was low and the chromogenic selection (using IPTG and X-Gal), failed to indicate the presence of any clones containing inserts (ie a white colony). However, restriction digestion of one of the apparently 'negative' clones showed that it did in fact contain an insert of the correct size. This phenomenon could be due to the production of a fusion protein containing both the clone and a functional  $\beta$ -galactosidase protein, or possibly another startsite within  $\beta$ -galactosidase downstream from the insert site.

#### 5.33 Sequencing

This positive clone was sequenced using the dideoxy method (Chapter 8). The T7 5' primer and U-19 3' primer were used to obtain the terminal sequences of the clone, then the inner PCR primers (B1 & B2) were used to sequence further into the clone. To obtain the central sequence five pairs of internal primers were synthesised so that the entire length of the clone could be sequenced in both directions (Figure 5.221, p53a/b). The resulting sequence (Figure 5.331,p61) shows that the short isoform of the mouse  $D_2$  dopamine receptor has been cloned.

#### 5.34 Subcloning into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen)

The EcoRI sites incorporated into the inner PCR primers were used to subclone the receptor into an expression vector, pcDNA3 (Invitrogen). This vector contains various features including the CMV (cytomegalovirus) promoter for high-level expression, the bovine growth hormone polyadenylation signal for polyadenylation of transcribed mRNAs, neomycin resistance for G418 selection and an EcoRI site in the polylinker. The subclone could insert in either orientation and therefore restriction enzymes were again used to orientate resulting clones. Figure 5.341 illustrates how *PvuII* was used to select clones in the positive orientation ready for expression in rat-1 fibroblasts.



Figure 5.341 Mouse D_{2S} (short isoform) dopamine receptor ligated into pcDNA3 mammalian expression vector, 5.6kb (Invitrogen), using *EcoRI* sites engineered into the PCR primer 5' ends.

From the map it can be seen that restriction with the enzyme PvuII allows orientation of clones so that the ORF reads forward.

Correct orientation 3284 bp 1410 bp 1097 bp 1069 bp Incorrect orientation 3944 bp 1097 bp 1069 bp 750 bp

#### 5.4 Discussion

The use of cortex cDNA template was unsuccessful which was probably due to  $D_2$  receptors being expressed at a lower level in this region. It may also be that the cortex template is of a lower quality as when it was used with control primers for the  $\alpha$ -subunit of the GABA_A receptor (Chapter 2), the amount of product seen when using the cortex template was less than that produced from striatal template at the same conditions (Figure 2.62).

It was also suprising that more copies of the  $D_2$  dopamine receptor were not cloned as it had obviously amplified successfully. The transformation frequency was consistently low and of the few clones which were isolated only one gave the correct digest pattern. The situation was also complicated by the failure of blue white selection as the eventual positive clone had a blue phenotype which was probably caused by the fragment inserting in frame and causing a *lacZ* fusion protein or transcription restart.

It is clear that the dopamine  $D_{2S}$  receptor has been successfully cloned and can now be expressed in order to study its pharmacology. SECTION B

## CHAPTER 6

PHARMACOLOGY

### Pharmacological Investigation of the Mouse D2S (Short Form) Dopamine Receptor

The cloned  $D_{2S}$  (short-isoform) dopamine receptor from mouse striatum was investigated for its ligand binding properties when expressed in rat-1 fibroblast cells. The same binding assays were also carried out on rat striatal tissue containing both  $D_{2S}$  and  $D_{2L}$  receptors as a comparison of pharmacological profiles.

A rapid membrane filtration technique was employed, along with a specific radioligand. This is a relatively simple method for investigating direct binding of compounds to receptors and is therefore frequently used for many receptor based systems such as neurotransmittion and hormone action.

#### 6.1 Saturation Assay

This assay determines the amount of a radioligand specifically bound to a receptor species for varying concentrations of the ligand. From the graphical interpretation of this data the total number of binding sites  $(B_{max})$  can be calculated and also the ligand's dissociation constant value  $(K_D)$ .  $K_D$  is defined as the concentration of radioligand at which 50% of the total number of receptors are labelled and is indicative of the affinity of the ligand for the receptor. A known quantity of protein (ie membrane homogenate) was incubated with a range of different radioligand concentrations. Disintegration per minute (dpm) of the tritium isotope was measured using a scintillation counter. Using the specific activity of the radioligand the recorded counts could be converted to radioligand concentrations using equation 1, therefore allowing quantitative measurement of the ligand by its radioactive nature.

$$1 \text{ Ci} = 2.197 \times 10^{12} \text{ dpm}$$
 (equation 1)

A commercially available tritiated ligand was used, [³H]-spiperone (95 Ci/mmol) (DuPont) which is a selective dopamine  $D_2$  receptor antagonist. It is ideal as it appears to interact with the receptor in the same way as the untritiated form and has a long half-life of 12 years.

A saturation assay is composed of several assay forms measuring total and nonspecific binding so that the specific binding of  $[^{3}H]$ -spiperone to D₂ receptors can be calculated;

#### a) Total binding assay

This is filtered after incubation in order to collect counts representing total binding of radioligand to both specific ( $D_2$  receptors) and non-specific sites, over the range of radioligand concentrations used.

#### b) Non-specific binding assay (NSB)

These tubes include the drug (+)butaclamol at  $10^{-6}$  M. This is a D₂ receptor specific ligand at a high concentration (100 fold excess) in order to ensure that it occupies all of the D₂ receptor sites present preferentially over [³H]-spiperone. Therefore any radioligand which remains after filtration can be assumed to be non-specifically bound to the membrane or filter.

3) Unfiltered assay

This is identical to the total assay but remains unfiltered after incubation. Aliquots are counted to determine the concentration of radioligand used in the assay.

Specific binding of the radioligand to the receptor under investigation  $(D_2)$  is calculated by subtraction of the counts of the NSB assay from that of the total

$$B_{max} = R_1 dpm x \underbrace{1}_{2 x 10^{12} dpm/Ci} x \underbrace{1}_{95Ci(mmol^{-1})}$$
$$= \underbrace{R_1 mmol}_{190 x 10^{12}}$$
$$= \underbrace{R_1}_{190} x 10^{-15} mol$$
$$= \underbrace{R_1}_{190} \text{ femtomoles}$$

binding, for each radioligand concentration. The amount of free ligand is calculated by subracting total bound [³H] from the unfiltered assay (ie total [³H]).

When the curve is plotted for the specific binding of the radioligand, against the free concentration (mol  $l^{-1}$ ), for an increasing range of concentrations, binding is shown to increase until it reaches a steady state, indicated by a plateau on the curve. This is the saturation point, where all available receptor molecules are occupied by the radioligand, it is known as R1, representing the concentration of [³H]-spiperone (mol  $l^{-1}$ ) required to saturate the specific binding sites (D₂ receptors). It is required to calculate the B_{max} using the following equation:

$$B_{max} = \underbrace{\frac{R_1}{2000}}_{mg \text{ protein per tube}} = \text{femtomoles receptor per mg protein}$$
(equation 2)

The experimental data was interpreted using the computer programme 'Ligand' (Munson & Rodbard, 1980), a non-linear least squares fitting programme. It determines 'best-fit' curves for the values obtained in the assays (see Figures 6.412 & 6.414, p71a), therefore facilitating calculation of  $K_D$  and  $B_{max}$  values.

The work of Scatchard (1949) on the graphical analysis of saturation data was developed by Rosenthal (1967), who showed that by plotting the specific bound ligand/free ligand against specific bound ligand a linear slope is produced. The negative reciprocal of the gradient produces the  $K_D$  value for the radioligand, whilst the intercept at x-axis gives the  $B_{max}$  value (Figures 6.413 & 6.415, p71b). The drug ketanserin was included in the assays at a final saturating assay concentration (F.A.C.) of 1 x 10⁻⁷M. As [³H]-spiperone also has affinity for 5HT₂ receptors, the addition of ketanserin prevents it binding at these sites. Even though steps were taken to reduce the D₂ non-specific binding of the radioligand, there was unavoidable binding to the membrane and filter which could
reduce the accuracy of the assay. However, this factor was removed by subtraction of NSB from total binding allowing calculation of the specific binding.

### 6.2 Competition Assay

This assay was used to study the relative affinities of various ligands for receptors. As with the saturation assay a standard quantity of protein was used, however the concentration of radioligand was also fixed and ranges of increasing concentrations of various unlabelled competitor ligands were included. The unlabelled ligand competes with the radioligand for the receptor binding sites and at increasing concentrations of unlabelled competitor the binding of the radioligand decreases, ie the competitor is inhibiting the radioligand binding. The ability of each competing compound to inhibit the specific binding of the radioligand can be quantitatively evaluated by determining its  $IC_{50}$  (inhibitory concentration) value. This is the concentration of competing ligand at which 50% of specific radioligand binding is inhibited. Therefore the greater the affinity of the ligand for the receptor the lower its  $IC_{50}$ . It can be calculated using a curve fitting programme, or the following equation:

$$\log \frac{B_i}{B_0 - B_i} = n \log[I] - n \log IC_{50}$$
 (equation 3)

where:  $B_i$  = amount of bound radioligand in presence of competitor  $B_o$  = amount of bound radioligand in absence of competitor I = competitor (inhibitor)

When the first half of this equation is plotted against log[I] the intercept on the x axis gives the IC₅₀ value and the slope of the line, (the slope factor,  $n_{\rm H}$ ) indicates whether binding is simple or complex. An  $n_{\rm H}$  value of one (unity) indicates binding to one site following mass action law, however a value > 1 may indicate

positive cooperativity and < 1 can be due to multiple receptor subtypes, receptor states of multiple step binding.

The IC₅₀ could then be converted to the  $K_i$  (equilibrium dissociation constant) value for the ligand using equation 4, derived by Cheng & Prusoff in 1973.

$$\frac{IC_{50}}{l + [L]} = K_i \pmod{l^{-1}}$$

$$[L] = {}^{3}\text{H-ligand conc.}$$

$$(equation 4:$$

$$Cheng-Prusoff equation)$$

The negative log of this  $(pK_i)$  provides an index which can be used to compare the affinities of different ligands for a receptor.

#### 6.21 Receptor Activation State

Dopamine receptors, like G-protein linked receptors (GPLRs) in general, can occur in two main dynamic states. When in complex with a G protein the receptor has a high affinity for agonists, which is converted to a low affinity when dissociated from the G protein. In normal experimental conditions there are receptors both in the high and low affinity states and therefore a non-hydrolysable analogue of GTP (Gpp(NH)p) was incorporated into the assay. This causes dissociation of G proteins and the convertion of all receptors to the lower affinity state giving a more standard binding result and shifting the competition curve for that drug to the right, (ie K_i increases, pK_i decreases).

#### 6.3 Protein Assay

The membrane and cell homogenates used in the binding assays were themselves assayed before use, using a Pierce BSA Assay Kit, to establish their protein concentration. This allowed standardisation of results from the saturation experiment, as the Bmax is corrected for assay protein concentration.

# RESULTS

## 6.41 Saturation Binding of [³H]-spiperone

Rat-1-fibroblast cells were stably transfected with the wild-type mouse  $D_{2S}$  dopamine receptor, binding assays were performed on membrane preparations from these and compared with  $D_2$  receptor preparations from rat striatal tissue. The results from this assay show the binding of [³H]-spiperone to the  $D_2$  receptor population in rat stiatal tissue and to the cloned mouse  $D_{2S}$  expressed in rat-1 fibroblast cells. Protocols and conditions for the assays have been determined by extensive research at 'Syntex' (unpublished) and are similar to other published conditions for these assays (Guiramand *et al* 1995; Leysen *et al* 1992), (see 'Materials', Appendix I).

The results of the protein assay for the homogenates used in the saturation assays are shown in Table 6.411. The values were calculated using a standard curve generated using bovine serum albumin (BSA).

BSA Standard curve equation for striata homogenate  $y = -2.84e^{-3} + 0.88x$ BSA Standard curve equation for cell homogenate  $y = 9.273e^{-3} + 1.142x$ 

There e. m					
Assay Number (n)	1	2	3		
Striatal Homogenate	0.164	0.158	0.145		
Clone Homogenate	0.149	0.149	0.149		

 Table 6.411
 Membrane Homogenate Protein Concentration (mg protein/tube)

The curves for the binding of the  $D_2$  specific, displaceable radioligand [³H]spiperone (Figures 6.412 & 6.414, p71a), show that binding is saturable, and the corresponding Scatchard plots (Figures 6.413 & 6.415, p71b) indicate a one site



Free Concentration [ 3 H]-Spiperone (10 $^{-9}$ M)

Figure 6.412 Saturation binding curve of  $[^{\beta}H]$ -spiperone binding D₂ dopamine receptors in rat striatal membrane preparation





Figure 6.413 Scatchard plot of rat striatal D dopamine receptors binding [²H]-spiperone



71b

binding model in agreement with previous studies (Woodward et al 1996; Guiramand et al 1995; Leysen et al 1992).

Non-specific binding to both receptor preparations was defined using (+)butaclamol ( $10^{-6}$  M) and represented less than 30% of total binding (at Kd concentration of [³H]-spiperone). Saturation assays on wild-type rat-1 fibroblast cells showed no specific binding of the radioligand.

From this specific saturation binding data, the  $B_{max}$  (quantity of receptors present) and the dissociation constant (K_D) of the radioligand were calculated for each homogenate (Table 6.416).

Table 6.416 $K_D$  and  $B_{max}$  values for striatal and cloned D2 receptors binding<br/>[ 3H ]-spiperone

	Rat Striatal D ₂ Receptors		Mouse D _{2S} Receptor	
Assay Number n	K _D (pM)	<b>B</b> max (fmol/mg protein)	KD (pM)	B _{max} (Fmol/mg protein)
1	21.9	176	28.0	36
2	32.0	255	25.4	25
3	38.0	218	29.6	29
Mean ± S.E.M.	<b>30.6</b> ±4.7	<b>216</b> ± 23	<b>27.7</b> ± 1.2	<b>30</b> ±3

The dissociation constants (K_D) of both receptor preparations for [³H]-spiperone were very similar (ie not statistically different) in each case (Table 6.416), and agreed with those determined by Falardeau et al (1994), (human  $D_{2L} = 34 \pm 13$ pM;  $D_{2S} = 30 \pm 12$  pM) and Woodward *et al* (1996), (rat  $D_{2L} = 33 \pm 8$  pM). K_D values determined by other groups range from 20-90 pM higher, which could be due to slight differences in assay conditions, however they were still generally in agreement with these values (Castro & Strange 1993; Leysen *et al* 1992; Montmayeur *et al* 1993). The  $B_{max}$  value for the  $D_{2S}$  receptors (30 ± 3) is 7 fold lower than for the striatal  $D_2$  receptors (216 ± 23) (Table 6.416). Both values are lower than expected and significantly lower than  $B_{max}$  values obtained by other groups.

#### 6.42 Receptor Number

By using the cell count (number of transfected cells used), the specific dpm at saturation, the specific activity of the radioligand and 'Avagadros' Number', the number of cell surface expressed D₂ receptors can be calculated:

Number of cells used in saturation assay =  $1.133 \times 10^8$  (ie 1/3 of total cells, p.8) Specific dpm at saturation (approx.) = 1000 Spec. Activity [³H] = 95 Ci/mmol Avagadro's number =  $6.023 \times 10^{23}$ 

$$(2.197 \times 10^{12}) \times 95 = 2.09 \times 10^{14} \text{ dpm/mmol} \quad (\text{equation 1})$$

$$2.09 \times 10^{14} = 208.7 \text{ dpm/fmol}$$

$$\frac{\text{specific dpm}}{\text{dpm/fmol}} = \frac{1000}{208.7} = 4.79 \text{ fmol receptor/1.9 x 10^6 cells}$$

$$P \times 10^{-15} \times (6.023 \times 10^{23}) = 1500 \text{ receptors/cell}$$

 $\frac{(4.79 \times 10^{-15}) \times (6.023 \times 10^{23})}{1.9 \times 10^6} = \frac{1500 \text{ receptors/cell}}{100}$ 

## 6.43 Competition of [³H]-spiperone binding

A series of dopamine antagonists (spiperone, (+)-butaclamol & (-)-butaclamol) and agonists (dopamine, quinperole & 7-OH DPAT) were tested for their ability to inhibit radioligand binding to D₂ receptors in rat striatum and also cloned D_{2S} receptors in rat-1 fibroblast cells. The agonists were tested both with and without the addition of Gpp(NH)p (a non-hydrolysable GTP analog). Tables 6.431 & 6.432 (p74) show the individual drug pK_i values (inhibition constant) and mean slope values ( $n_{\rm H}$ ) for the D₂ receptors in rat striatum and the cloned D_{2S} receptor, respectively.

	рК _і			nH	
Compound	n=1	n=2	n=3	X ± SEM	X ± SEM
(+)butaclamol	9.02	9.06	9.38	9.15 ± 0.11	$0.96 \pm 0.02$
(-)butaclamol	5.58	5.57	5.58	5.58 ± .003	$1.14 \pm 0.03$
spiperone	9.86	10.08	9.57	9.84 ± 0.15	$0.84 \pm 0.13$
quinpirole - Gpp	6.20	6.43	6.17	$6.27 \pm 0.08$	$0.69 \pm 0.02$
quinpirole + Gpp	5.67	5.90	5.54	5.70 ± 0.10	$0.63 \pm 0.03$
7 OH DPAT - Gpp	6.73	6.79	6.48	6.67 ± 0.09	$0.84 \pm 0.06$
7 OH DPAT + Gpp	6.20	6.28	6.31	6.26 ± 0.03	$0.87 \pm 0.06$
$\begin{array}{l} \text{dopamine} & -\text{Gpp} \\ (n=6) \end{array}$	6.42 6.56	6.25 6.66	6.11 6.78	6.46 ± 0.23	$0.70 \pm 0.12$
dopamine + Gpp	6.01	5.76	5.92	5.90 ± 0.07	$0.81 \pm 0.08$

Table 6.431Binding of agonist and antagonist competitors at<br/>ratstriatal D2 receptors

*Table 6.432* 

Binding of agonist and antagonist competitor compounds at mouse  $D_{2S}$  receptors

	рК _i			nH	
n	1	2	3	X ± SEM	$X \pm SEM$
(+)-butaclamol	9.50	9.23	9.24	9.32 ± 0.09	$0.81 \pm 0.02$
(-)-butaclamol	5.70	5.76	5.56	5.67 ± 0.07	$1.15 \pm 0.16$
spiperone	10.06	9.90	9.38	9.78 ± 0.21	0.93 ± 0.14
quinpirole - Gpp	5.74	5.66	5.83	5.74 ± 0.05	$0.86 \pm 0.06$
quinpirole +Gpp	5.13	5.13	5.34	5.20 ± 0.07	$0.99 \pm 0.02$
7 OH DPAT - Gpp	6.61	6.26	6.56	6.48 ± 0.11	$0.77 \pm 0.02$
7 OH DPAT +Gpp	6.07	6.07	6.16	6.10 ± 0.03	0.99 ± 0.04
dopamine - $Gpp$ (n = 6)	5.88 6.03	5.43 6.70	6.05 6.17	6.04 ± 0.38	0.68 ± 0.09
dopamine +Gpp	5.18	5.15	5.31	5.21 ± 0.05	0.89 ± 0.03

[+ Gpp = Gpp(NH)p present in assay; - Gpp = no Gpp(NH)p present]

The antagonists all produced very similar results for both mouse  $D_{2S}$  and rat striatal  $D_2$  receptors. Spiperone, (+) and (-)-butaclamol gave almost identical pK_i values for each receptor preparation ( $\approx 9.8$ ,  $\approx 9.2$  &  $\approx 5.6$  respectively).

All agonist compounds had a lower affinity  $(pK_i)$  for the cloned mouse  $D_{2S}$  receptor than for the rat striatal  $D_2$  receptors, and the addition of Gpp(NH)p also reduced the  $pK_i$  value for all of the agonists. The greatest difference in  $pK_i$  was

for the endogenous agonist dopamine in the presence of Gpp(NH)p. With the rat striatal  $D_2$  receptor, dopamine has a pK_i 12% higher than with the cloned  $D_{2S}$  receptor. Quinpirole also produced a pK_i value 9% higher, for the rat striatal  $D_2$  receptor.

As mentioned, the addition of the GTP analogue Gpp(NH)p to the competing agonist assays reduced  $pK_i$  values in all cases (seen as a shift to the right in the binding curve). The mean  $pK_i$  values for quinpirole in both striatal D₂ receptor and cloned D_{2S} receptor assays were reduced by 9% each and for 7-OH DPAT by 6%. The largest different in  $pK_i$  was for dopamine, where the addition of Gpp(NH)p produced a 10% reduction in its affinity for the striatal D₂ receptors and a 16% reduction for D_{2S} receptors.

From the competition curves produced (Figures 6.433 & 6.434, p77a & b) it can be seen how the shape of the curve for agonists and antagonists varied. The curves were sigmoidal, with the agonists generally producing a shallower curve (slightly lower nH values). The nH values (slope factors) were generally close to 1.0 (unity), consistent with one site binding, however, lower values were obtained for quinpirole with striatal D₂ receptors (0.63), and for dopamine with both receptor preparations (0.68 - 0.7), (Tables 6.431 & 6.432, p74).

# 6.44 Statistical analysis of competition assay results

The  $pK_i$  values (negative log  $K_i$ ) for each competitor compound with each receptor preparation was used to compare binding at the mouse  $D_{2S}$  receptor with that at the rat striatal  $D_2$  receptor in order to determine whether there is a significant difference in pharmacological profile between the two. The non-parametric Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to test the null hypothesis that 'the median  $pK_i$  values of the two  $D_2$  receptor preparations are equal', for each competitor compound.

25 + 25 + 25		
Compound	Plevel	
(+)-butaclamol	0.30	
(-)-butaclamol	0.50	
spiperone	0.50	
- GTP quinpirole	0.05	
+ GTP quinpirole	0.05	
- GTP 7 OH DPAT	0.30	
+ GTP 7 OH DPAT	0.05	
- GTP dopamine	0.50	
+ GTP dopamine	0.05	

Table 6.441Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon analysis of pKi values between<br/> $striatal D_2$  and cloned  $D_{2S}$  receptors

Significance was determined at the 5% probability level ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

From Table 6.441 it can be seen that for the antagonists,  $pK_i$  values for rat striatal  $D_2$  and cloned mouse  $D_{2S}$  receptors are not significantly different therefore the null hypothesis is accepted.

The binding of the agonists however, all show significant results. In the presence of Gpp(NH)p, all three agonists (quinpirole, 7-OH DPAT, and dopamine) showed significantly different  $pK_i$  values for the two  $D_2$  receptor preparations (Table 6.441). Though, in the absence of Gpp(NH)p the situation was slightly different, as dopamine and 7-OH DPAT did not produce significant values.

D251e0	D ₂ s receptor in the presence a		
Compound	Plevel		
quinpirole	0.05		
7 OH DPAT	0.05		
dopamine	0.04		

Table 6.442Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon analysis of pKi values for<br/> $D_{2S}$  receptor in the presence and absence of GTP

Table 6.443Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon analysis of pKi values for<br/>striatalD2 receptor in the presence and absence of GTP

Compound	Plevel
quinpirole	0.05
7 OH DPAT	0.05
dopamine	0.02

Comparison of pK_i values was also made for each agonist in the presence and absence of Gpp(NH)p at the same receptor (Tables 6.442 & 6.443). This analysis showed a significant difference for all agonists with both receptor preparations. The endogenous agonist dopamine produced the greatest significance for binding in the presence verses binding in the absence of Gpp(NH)p, with pK_i values giving  $P \le 0.04$  for D_{2S} and  $P \le 0.02$  for striatal D₂ receptors.





Figure 6.434 Competition curves of all compounds for the binding of  $[^{3}H]$ -spiperone at cloned  $D_{2S}$  receptors



- + (-)-butaclamol
- □ dopamine
- **△** spiperone
- 0 quinperole
- $\oplus$  quinperole +Gpp(NH)p
- 7-OH DPAT +Gpp(NH)p
- ♦ 7-OH DPAT
- dopamine +Gpp(NH)p
- (+)-butaclamol

### 6.5 Discussion of pharmacological results

The aim of these experiments was to examine the pharmacology of a cloned short isoform of the  $D_2$  dopamine receptor ( $D_{2S}$ ) isolated from mouse striatal tissue, and compare this to the pharmacology of the native population of  $D_2$  receptors in rat striatal tissue.

In order to do this the D_{2S} receptor was stably expressed in rat-1 fibroblast cells. This cell line was selected because it was readily available and had been regularly, successfully used for cellular expression of cell surface receptors by Syntex Ltd. Saturation assays using the radiolabel [³H]-spiperone on membrane preparations of the wild-type cells showed no specific binding.

Radioligand binding was performed on membrane preparations of the transfected cultured cell line and also, in parallel, on membrane preparations of striatal tissue from Sprague-Dawley rats. Allowing analysis of the pharmacological profile of the  $D_2$  receptor population normally expressed in this region of the rat brain.

This area of the brain was selected as it has been shown to contain the highest abundance of  $D_2$  receptors and would be expected to consist of approximately 25% short isoform to 75% long isoform (Giros *et al* 1989).

Rat and mouse have very similar  $D_2$  receptor sequences with very few differences at the amino acid level (figure 5.11, p52a) and similar binding profiles making them useful for comparison.

Spiperone is an antagonist of  $D_2$  receptors commonly used in binding experiments. The tritiated nature of the drug does not affect its binding but provides a reasonable specific activity and a long half-life. Its specificity is shown by its displacability by other  $D_2$  receptor specific drugs, as illustrated in the competition assay. [¹²⁵I]-iodospiperone is also sometimes used as it has a higher specific activity but a much shorter half-life.

### 6.51 Saturation binding

In figures 6.412 & 6.414 (p71a) it can be seen that the specific binding of  $[^{3}H]$ spiperone of D₂ receptors is saturable in both membrane preparations. The
Scatchard plots (figures 6.413 & 6.415, p71b) are linear indicating that the
binding is at one site, ie one receptor type. In the case of the D_{2S} receptor clone
saturation occurs at a ligand concentration which is a factor of 10 lower than for
the striatal receptors. The B_{max} values are 10 fold lower, however the K_D values
are the same.

The  $B_{max}$  is the amount of receptor present in the preparation as femtomoles per microgram of protein. The values of 216 (± 23) for rat striatal tissue and 30 (± 3) for the expressed mouse  $D_{2S}$  clone were both low compared with published levels (Leysen *et al*, 1992; Montmayeur *et al*, 1993), possibly due to differing assay conditions.

Expected  $B_{max}$  levels were based on results obtained by other groups. It could be expected that receptor density would be consistent in rat striatal tissue, however Leysen *et al* (1992) reported a level four times higher (864 ± 146 fmol/mg). The clone also had a very low  $B_{max}$  with a value almost seven fold lower than that demonstrated by Montmayeur *et al* (1993) for a mouse  $D_{2S}$  receptor clone, even though the K_D values in each case were comparable.

Montmayeur's group used the eukaryotic expression vector pSG5 to transiently transfect JEG3 (human carcinoma) cells. Whilst in this experiment pcDNA3 was stably transfected into rat-1 fibroblasts.

Could the problem be caused by the type of transfectants analysed or the use of a cell line from a different species? This doesn't appear to be the case, as similar research performed by other groups shows the range of different expression methods used.

Leysen *et al* (1992) used stable transfectants of human  $D_2$  clones in human embryonic kidney cells and produced good  $B_{max}$  levels. Meanwhile Castro & Strange (1993) obtained a high  $B_{max}$  by expressing the rat  $D_{2L}$  clone in mouse fibroblast cells. Therefore the result here appears not to be due to expression in a different species cell line or the difference between stably or transiently transfected lines. However, it has been shown that the same  $D_2$  receptor clone expressed in two different cell lines gives different binding profiles (Castro & Strange, 1993²), therefore it is difficult to compare the results of any two groups using different expression systems.

A possible reason for the low expression is that the clone may require upstream sequences for a high level expression. The rat  $D_{2L}$  clone used by Castro & Strange (1993) is a 2.5 kb cDNA containing the gene which is actually only  $\approx 1.3$  kb, whereas the cDNA expressed in this experiment is solely the ORF (open reading frame) of the gene.

Also the expression was stable therefore the vector construct had integrated into the cell genome (facilitated by the SV40 sequences of the vector). It is possible therefore that the insertion event had occurred at a region of suppressed expression such as a region of heterochromatin. However  $\geq$ 40 transfected lines were tested and all gave relatively low radioligand binding, the line used in the assays had the highest  $B_{max}$  therefore it is unlikely that all lines had suppressed expression unless there was a problem with the vector, which was not apparent.

The K_D value for the cloned D_{2S} and striatal D₂ receptors were very similar and agreed with those previously determined by Falardeau *et al* (1994), (human D_{2L} =  $34 \pm 13$  pM; D_{2S} =  $30 \pm 12$  pM) and Woodward *et al* (1996), (rat D_{2L} =  $33 \pm 8$ pM). However K_D values determined by other groups range from 20-90 pM higher, (Castro & Strange 1993; Leysen *et al* 1992; Montmayeur *et al* 1993) for various D₂ and D_{2S} clones. The variance is probably due to slight differences in assay conditions, but other groups also noted the similarity of K_D between the two D₂ receptor isoforms (Falardeau et al, 1994; Castro & Strange, 1993)

#### 6.52 Competition Binding

Several different agonists and antagonists were investigated for their ability to inhibit the specific binding of  $[^{3}H]$ -spiperone to both the striatal and cloned D₂ receptor preparations.

For each compound at each receptor the  $IC_{50}$  was calculated, (concentration of competitor compound which causes inhibition of 50% specific radioligand binding). This was then converted to K_i (equilibrium dissociation constant) using the Cheng-Prusoff equation, allowing comparison of the pharmacology of competitors as it is independent of ligand concentration; and subsequently to pK_i (negative log K_i) which provides a whole number index of relative affinity of a compound for a receptor sample allowing easy reference and statistical comparison.

The nH value (slope factor) indicates the slope of the curve which were generally close to 1.0 (unity), consistent with one site binding. Values less than unity indicate, in this case, interconverting receptor states.

## 6.53 Statistical Analysis

The antagonist compounds spiperone, (-)-butaclamol and (+)-butaclamol gave  $pK_i$  values that were not significantly different for each of the receptor preparations, which agrees with results from other groups (Table 6.531).

	pK _i (nM)		
Compound	D _{2Long}	D _{2Short}	Reference
Spiperone	10.2	10.1	Montmayeur et al., 1993
	10.2	10.4	Castro & Strange, 1993
	10.8	11.0	Leysen et al., 1993
(+)-Butaclamol	9.0	8.9	Grandy et al., 1989
	8.4	8.4	Castro & Strange, 1993
	10.2	10.3	Leysen et al., 1993

Table 6.531 Comparison of pK_i values for D₂ antagonist compounds

(Source: Falardeau 1994)

These and other groups who have investigated antagonist binding have also not discovered any significant differences in binding of antagonists between the  $D_2$  receptor isoforms, suggesting that the two forms have very similar, if not identical antagonist binding sites.

The agonists however, produced some interesting results. Agonist compounds as discussed in the introduction have their binding affinities affected by the 'state' of the receptor. The normal situation in a membrane preparation is that the receptors are a population of different affinity states; some are coupled to G-proteins and therefore in the 'high-affinity' state whereas others are dissociated and at 'low-affinity'. The population is dynamic with constant cycling of G proteins and GTP

hydrolysation. This complicates the analysis of binding results as ratios of states can differ between assays.

Therefore the addition in this experiment of excess non-hydrolysable GTP analogue Gpp(NH)p disrupts this equilibrium and converts all binding sites to the low-affinity state.

Addition of Gpp(NH)p reduced the pIC₅₀ for each compound. This occurred the low affinity binding state requires a higher concentration of ligand to inhibit the radioligand binding (increased IC₅₀). This can be see by the shift to the right of the agonists competition curves for the Gpp(NH)p assays (Figure 6.433 & 6.434, p77a & b). The fact that this occurs in the case of the clone, shows that the D_{2S} receptor appears to be coupling with endogenous cellular G-proteins.

This decrease in pIC₅₀ was significant for all three agonists both for the cloned  $D_{2S}$  and striatal  $D_2$  population (Tables 6.442 & 6.443, p77a & b) which indicates that the receptor state does influence affinity for agonists (Birnbaumer *et al*, 1990)

The results of the Gpp(NH)p assay were then statistically analysed to compare the binding of each agonist between each receptor preparation. The results show a difference in agonist affinity for the striatal  $D_2$  and  $D_{2S}$  receptor groups.

All of the agonists tested had significantly lower pIC₅₀ values for the mouse  $D_{2S}$  receptor clone. The lower binding affinity of the agonists for the cloned receptor when compared to striatal  $D_2$  receptors agrees with the findings of Leysen *et al.* Their study revealed that dopamine and quinpirole had lower affinity for expressed clones of both the short and long isoform of the human  $D_2$  receptor when compared to rat brain tissue preparations. They discovered that "agonists showed an apparent lower affinity for the cloned receptor than for the striatal form" with

 $IC_{50}$  values differing by up to 6 fold.  $pIC_{50}$  values of dopamine for the cloned receptor were 4-10x lower than for striatal tissue.

Their justification for this was that there may be a difference in the proportion of coupled:uncoupled receptors in each case, ie there was less coupling in the case of the cloned receptor due to coupling being less efficient or the appropriate G proteins weren't present in the chosen cell-line. However this does not explain the difference for binding in the presence of Gpp(NH)p as the point of this assay is to remove the influence of G protein coupling upon receptor affinity state.

It could simply be that the receptors perform better in their native situation; that uncoupling is not totally effective in tissue preparations because of extra material impeding the compounds diffusion; or that there is a genuine difference in agonist binding between the preparations.

Another possibility is that the difference in  $B_{max}$  between the striatal receptors and the cloned  $D_{2S}$  receptors could have affected the result. Castro & Strange (1993²) suggest that differences in expression levels of receptors could affect results because of receptor/G-protein ratio in the cell. Also in this case different G-protein populations are likely to have been present in the membrane preparations due to the different cell types involved. However, these differences involving G-proteins should have been eliminated by the addition of Gpp(NH)p and receptor/G-protein dissociation.

In another study on the pharmacology of dopamine receptors, no significant difference in binding was found between the cloned  $D_{2S}$  &  $D_{2L}$  isoforms (Falardeau et al, 1994). It seems to be that a difference in ligand interaction would not be expected, as the difference (the 29 residue spliced region in the third intracellular loop) is on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane and quite discrete

from the sites implicated in ligand binding (figure 1.3, p6a). However, Castro & Strange (1993) discovered that substituted benzamide antagonists had significantly higher affinity for  $D_{2S}$  dopamine receptors and proposed that difference was due to an overall conformational change caused by the 29 amino acid insertion in the  $D_{2L}$  dopamine receptors interacting with the transmembrane regions in some way.

A difference between the two isoforms which has been discovered involves the spliced region. This segment appears to be involved in the  $D_{2L}$  selective recognition of a specific inhibitory G protein  $\alpha$ -subunit (G $\alpha$ i2) and suggests the possibility of the two isoforms performing separate functions by activating different G-proteins and transduction pathways (Guiramand *et al* 1995).

## 6.6 Conclusion

The mouse  $D_{2S}$  dopamine receptor has been successfully cloned and expressed and behaves much as expected according to previous research.

Both the  $D_{2S}$  receptor clone and the striatal  $D_2$  receptors showed coupling to Gproteins and displayed different affinity states for agonist compounds. Addition of a non-hydrolysable analogue of GTP shifted both receptor preparations to a uniform low-affinity state.

In comparison with striatal  $D_2$  receptors the  $D_{2S}$  clone binds antagonists with the same affinity but appears to have greater affinity for agonist compounds. A similar result was shown by Leysen et al, (1992) who also used rat striatal  $D_2$  receptors as a comparison and discovered that cloned  $D_2$  receptors had a lower affinity for agonists. Unfortunately both isoforms of the  $D_2$  receptor displayed lower affinity than striatal  $D_2$  receptors, but the difference in affinity for agonists between the clones was negligible.

This suggests that striatal material was not the best comparison to look at differences between the long and short isoform and ideally a  $D_{2L}$  receptor clone should have been used (which was not available). However it does show a difference in binding profiles between a cloned receptor and those in their native environment suggesting that in isolation a receptor may behave differently from its native situation. This factor is important when considering the effects of drugs in pharmacological research.

The methods, equipment and materials (with the exception of the clone) were provided by and routinely used in the research laboratories of Syntex Ltd., Heriot-Watt Research Park, and therefore were established and validated. **SECTION B** 

CHAPTER 7

DISCUSSION

### 7.0 Discussion

Although not all of the aims which were set out at the start of this project have been reached, much has been achieved in the time available. The ground-work laid during the work for Section A, proved very useful in designing and carrying out the research in Section B.

The dopamine receptor area has been reasonably well researched and therefore I had a solid foundation on which to base my research and to compair my research. Although the stage of creating chimeras was not reached, this is still a technique of great potential in investigating binding sites. Even though the D₃ receptor was not cloned it would have still been possible to incorporate regions of D₃ sequence within recombinant primers or by point mutations, to determine key residues. Unfortunately, this stage was not reached within the time, however, it is still a valid project.

The mouse dopamine  $D_{2S}$  receptor was successfully cloned and expressed, allowing investigation of its pharmacology and comparisons with previous studies. Although no significant differences were found, it was noted that there is a significant difference in binding profiles between a receptor when cloned and when in its native environment, even though experiments were carried out in standard physiological conditions.

The fact that in isolation a receptor may behave differently from its native situation is important when considering the effects of drugs in pharmacological research, as the ligand-binding assays used in this study tend to be standard for industrial research.

## **Future Research**

If there had been time, much more work could have been carried out on the mouse  $D_{2S}$  dopamine receptor clone. At the time that I finished, work had already begun on designing and making mutants of the  $D_{2S}$  receptor, which would have been pharmacologically profiled in the same way and the results compared to the wild type receptor.

Ultimately it was anticipated that the mouse  $D_3$  dopamine receptor would also be cloned and this receptor could also be profiled. My particular interest would be to mutate key regions shown to be involved in ligand binding on the  $D_2$  dopamine receptor into the corresponding residues on the  $D_3$  dopamine receptor and vice versa, in order to locate the exact regions/residues which differentiate each receptor's individual pharmacological properties.

It would also be interesting to repeat this experiment with a  $D_{2L}$  receptor clone as a comparison. Further ligands could be tested on the  $D_{2S}$  dopamine receptor, particularly substituted benzamide antagonists (eg raclopride and remoxipride) which have been reported to have a higher affinity for the  $D_{2S}$  receptor isoform over the  $D_{2L}$  receptor.

CHAPTER 8

**METHODS** 

### 8.1 Introduction of plasmid DNA into E. coli

# 8.11 Preparation of competent cells (Hanahan, 1983)

An overnight culture of the recipient strain (grown in 2YT broth) was diluted 1 in 100 into 10ml 2YT broth and incubated at 37 °C for 90-120 minutes to a density of approximately 10⁸/ml (OD₆₀₀ 0.45-0.55). The cells were harvested by centrifugation (12000g, 10 mins, 4), resuspended in 1ml ice cold TFB (10mM MES/KOH pH 6.3; 100mM RbCl; 45mM MnCl₂; 10mM CoCl₂; 3mM hexaminecobaltic chloride) and incubated on ice for 15 mins. Next 34µl DMF was added and the cells incubated on ice for 5 more minutes, then 34µl  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (14.4M) and after a further 10 mins on ice another 34µl DMF. The cells remained on ice until use (the same day).

## 8.12 Transformation procedure

Transformations were carried out in sterile 1.5ml microfuge tubes.  $1-5\mu$ l of ligation mix was added to 100-200 $\mu$ l of competent cells and incubated on ice for 45 mins. The tubes were then heat-shocked at 42°C for 3 mins and returned onto ice. The cells were then transferred into sterile universal tubes containing 1ml 2xYT broth and incubated at 37°C for 30 mins. After this time, 200 $\mu$ l of each cell suspension was plated on LB plates containing appropriate antibiotic and chromogenic substances and incubated at 37°C overnight.

### 8.13 Selection of pUC-derived recombinant clones

## 8.131 Antibiotic

A stock solution of ampicillin (20mg/ml in water) was added to molten agar (@ 55°C) to a final concentration of 50µg/ml.

#### 8.132 Chromogenesis

Used in conjunction with IPTG this was used to identify *E. coli* strains containing pUC based vectors with inserts in their multiple cloning sites. Colonies of recombinants are generally white, whilst those lacking inserts are blue. From a stock concentration of 20mg/ml in DMF, X-gal is used at a final concentration of 20 $\mu$ g/ml and IPTG from 24mg/ml in dH₂O stock is used at 50 $\mu$ g/ml on L-agar plates.

### 8.14 Glycerol storage of bacterial cultures

850ml of a fresh overnight culture of the strain (grown with antibiotic if necessary) was placed in a 'Nunc' tube (Gibco BRL) with 150ml sterile glycerol and vortexed. The tube was then labelled and quick frozen in liquid nitrogen before storage at -70°C. When required, the surface of the frozen culture could be scraped with a sterile loop, streaked onto appropriately prepared agar plates and incubated inverted at 37°C.

## 8.2 DNA Purification

#### 8.21 Preparation of plasmid DNA

A 1.5ml aliquot of each overnight culture of the *E. coli* strain containing the transformed plasmid, was taken in a sterile 1.5ml microfuge tube. The cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at high speed in a microcentrifuge. The supernatant was removed and the cells resuspended in 200µl of cell resuspension buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5; 10mM EDTA; 100µg/ml RNAse A). To this 200µl of cell lysis solution (0.2M NaOH; 1% SDS) was added and the tube inverted to mix until the suspension cleared. Then 200µl of neutralisation solution (2.55M KCOOH, pH 4.8) was added and the tube again inverted to mix. The tubes were then microcentrifuged again at high speed for 10 mins and the sticky pellets produced were removed with sterile toothpicks.

To the clear supernatant remaining 750µl of Wizard[™] Miniprep Purification Resin (Promega) was added and mixed by inversion. This mixture was then drawn through a Vac-Man[™] Laboratory Vacuum Manifold (Promega) and followed by 2ml Column Wash solution (200mM NaCl; 20mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5; 5mM EDTA; dilute 1:1 with 95% ethanol). The resin was dried by continuing to draw a vacuum through the column for a further 2 mins then the column was transferred to a 1.5ml microcentrifuge tube and spun at high speed for 20 sec to remove all residual Column Wash solution.

The column was then transferred to a fresh sterile microcentrifuge tube and  $20\mu$ l of 1x TE buffer (@ 70°C) applied to the top of the column. After 1 minute the column and tube were spun at high speed for 30 sec. This process was repeated, and the solution collected in the microfuge tube. The column was discarded and the DNA solution stored at -20°C.

Before use the samples were analysed on a 1% agarose gel, in order to quantify and to check for contamination.

## 8.3 Dideoxy DNA Sequencing

## 8.31 Denaturation of double stranded DNA template

DNA was prepared as in method 8.1, then 18µl was taken in a sterile microfuge tube, 2µl NaOH (2M) was added and the tube incubated at 37°C for 10 mins. Next 50ng of the appropriate sequencing primer was added along with 6µl KCOOH (2.55M, pH 4.8) and the tube vortexed to mix. 180µl of 95% ethanol was added and the tube vortexed, then microcentrifuged at high speed for 15 mins. The supernatant was carefully removed and 500µl 70% ethanol added before recentrifuging. The supernatant was again removed and the tube dried by incubation at 37°C.

### 8.32 Single strand DNA template production

An alternative method of producing DNA template for the sequencing reaction involved using the helper phage M13K07 (Promega).

The required fragment was cloned into a suitable vector containing an origin of single stranded replication (see cloning, method 8.7) and transformed into a F' host strain.

In a sterile universal tube 1.5 ml 2YT broth (bacto-tryptone; bacto-yeast extract; NaCl) containing M13K07 helper phage at  $10^7$  pfu/ml and ampicillin at  $200\mu$ g/ml, was inoculated with a single fresh colony of the clone. This was incubated in a shaking incubator at 37°C for 2 hr then kanyamycin was added to 75µg/ml and the culture was return to the incubator for a further 18 hr.

After this time the culture was transferred to a microfuge tube and spun at 15,000 rpm. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, 200µl PEG solution added (33mM polyethylene glycol 6000; 0.5M NaCl) and vortexed before incubating at room temperature for 20 mins. The mixture was then spun at 15,000 rpm for 5 mins and the PEG solution discarded. Care was taken not to dislodge the pellet but to totally remove the supernatant by pulse spinning the tube and removing the residual PEG solution by vacuum suction through a drawn out Pasteur pipette.

The phage pellet was resuspended in 100µl 1xTE (10mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5; 1mM EDTA), an equal volume of buffer saturated phenol added and the tube vortexed. After 5 mins at room temperature it was again vortexed and spun at 15,000 rpm for 2 mins. The upper aqueous layer was transferred to a new eppendorf tube, 2.5 volumes ethanol and 0.1 volumes NaCOOH (3M) were added and the DNA precipitated at -70°C for 1 hr.

92

The tube was spun at full speed again for 15 mins and the pellet washed in 95% ethanol. The ethanol was removed and the pellet dried before resuspending in 30µl 1x TE, the single stranded DNA could then be used or stored at -20°C.

### 8.33 Sequencing Reaction

The denatured/single stranded DNA template was resuspended in  $7\mu$ l dH₂O and  $2\mu$ l annealing buffer (200mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5; 100mM MgCl₂; 250mM NaCl), then 10ng of the appropriate primer was added. This was incubated at 37°C for 10 mins then kept on ice.

As a mixture; 1µl DTT (100mM), 1.5µl dH₂O and 5µCi [ $\alpha^{35}$ S]dATP were added to the tube and vortexed before replacing on ice. DNA polymerase (Sequenase 2.0, USB) was diluted 1:8 with ice-cold enzyme dilution buffer (10mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5; 5mM DTT; 0.5mg/ml BSA) to 1.5units/µl.

3 units of DNA polymerase was added to the reaction tube containing the DNA and annealed primer and mixed carefully. The labelling reaction was allowed to proceed at room temperature (15-20°C) for 2-5 mins before termination was begun.

Four 0.5ml microfuge tubes were incubated at 42°C. Each contained 2.5ml of one of the dideoxy termination mixes ( $80\mu$ M dGTP;  $80\mu$ M dATP;  $80\mu$ M dCTP;  $80\mu$ M dTTP plus  $8\mu$ M dideoxy-dNTP). After the labelling period, 3.5 $\mu$ l of the reaction was added to each of the four termination tubes and incubated at 42°C for 2-5minutes before  $4\mu$ l stop solution was added to each termination reaction. The sequencing reactions could then be stored at -20°C or immediately run on an acrylamide gel.

### 8.34 Acrylamide Gel Electrophoresis

### 8.341 Preparation of the gel

The gel mix was made by dissolving 420g sequencing grade urea in 250ml  $dH_2O$  and 5xTBE, 125ml 40% bis acrylamide (19:1 Severn Biotechnology) was then added and  $dH_2O$  to 11itre. The mix was filtered through 3mm filter paper (Whatman) and stored at 4°C in the dark.

### 8.342 Electrophoresis

The gel was cast using 'Biorad' sequencing apparatus (the plates being presiliconised with dichlorodimethylsilane), and run with 0.6x TBE buffer in the reservoirs at 100-120W, 1900V and 50-60mA. The sequencing samples were heated to a temperature of 85°C for 2-3mins then placed on ice whilst the gel was pre-run for several minutes to heat the apparatus. Samples were loaded in a set order, using sharkstooth combs ( $3\mu$ l per well) and run for between 2-6hours depending on the distance of run required.

After the required running time the power was disconnected, the gel transferred to 3mm paper and the exposed surface covered with SaranWrap. The gel was dried on a vacuum gel drier then exposed overnight to X-ray film, after removal of the SaranWrap. The sequence could then be read from the developed film.

## 8.4 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

A gel was prepared according to the number and size of products to be analysed. Generally the larger the DNA fragments, the lower the % of agarose used. For example, fragments of >1kb would be best separated on a 0.7% gel, whereas for fragments of <0.6kb a 1.5% gel would be appropriate. Usually a 1% gel was used. This was made by dissolving 1g agarose powder in 99ml 1xTAE buffer by warming in a microwave, then 50µg ethidium bromide was added before pouring into the gel former. When set the gel was run in 1xTAE buffer. The length of time required for the DNA to migrate depended upon the voltage across the gel and its length.

After electrophoresis the DNA was visualised using a UV transilluminator. This allowed photographs to be taken for further reference.

### 8.5 DNA isolation

## 8.51 Activation of NA45 paper (Schleider & Schuell)

The paper was handled carefully using forceps and cut into strips of 5mm width. These were then washed in 10mM EDTA for 10 mins and then transferred to 0.5M NaOH for a further 5 mins. Finally the paper was rinsed rapidly in  $dH_2O$ and stored at 4°C submerged in  $dH_2O$ .

### 8.52 DNA Isolation

DNA was firstly run on an agarose gel and visualised under low wavelength UV (to minimise damage to DNA). A cut was made just below the band required using a sterile scalpel blade, and a piece of NA45 paper of the appropriate length inserted. The gel was reintroduced to the electrophoresis apparatus for 2 mins at a voltage of 100-120 then revisualised to confirm DNA transfer had occurred.

The gel was disposed of and the NA45 paper carrying the DNA was washed briefly 3 times in 500 $\mu$ l aliquots of low salt NET, then transferred to a microfuge tube containing 200 $\mu$ l high salt NET and incubated at 68°C for 15 mins. This was repeated for 30 mins in a fresh 100 $\mu$ l aliquot of high salt NET, then the 2 aliquots of high salt NET were combined, along with 100 $\mu$ l dH₂O, 100 $\mu$ l ammonium acetate and 1ml 95% ethanol. The DNA was precipitated at -20°C and resuspended in 5-10 $\mu$ l 0.1% TE. The paper was checked under UV light to confirm that all of the DNA had been eluted.

#### 8.6 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

## 8.61 Oligonucliotide deprotection

The synthesised oligonuclitides required deprotection to detach them from the synthesis beads and prepare for use.

Firstly the synthesis column containing the oligo was carefully opened and the beads with the oligos attached collected in a 'Nunc' tube (Gibco BRL). 1ml of fresh 30% ammonium hydroxide was added and the tube incubated at RT for 1-2 hours. After vortexing the tubes were quickly centrifuged and the supernatant transferred to a fresh Nunc tube. A further 1ml fresh 30% ammonium hydroxide was added to this new tube and then incubated overnight at 50°C.

The oligo was precipitated by adding 10% ammonium acetate (w/v) and 2 volumes of 95% ethanol and storing overnight at -20°C before resuspending in 1%TE to 10% of the original volume.

#### 8.62 Hot-Start Thermal Cycling

Single stranded cDNA template produced from mouse brain tissue by the reverse transcription method mentioned previously was used along with the appropriate primer pair for the reaction. In a thin-walled PCR tube (Greiner) the following were mixed; 10ng cDNA, 200pM of each primer, 2mM each dNTP, 10x Taq buffer (Promega), (1.5mM MgCl2; 10mM Tris-HCl, pH9 @ 25°C; 50mM KCl; 0.1% Triton X-100) and the volume made up to 30µl with dH₂O. This mix was heated to 96°C for 5 mins in a Perkin-Elmer 9600 PCR machine. Meanwhile 2 units Taq enzyme (Promega), 10x Taq buffer and dH₂O to increase the volume to 20µl, were mixed and added to the PCR reaction at the end of the 5 minute hot-start, increasing the final volume to 50µl.

The PCR was performed in condensation free tubes however if these tube are not available a wax pellet or drop of liquid paraffin should be used to seal the reaction.

The reaction then proceeded through 25-35 cycles of amplification according to the program used (see appendix II). The basic program involves approximately 30 sec of denaturation  $\geq$  94°C, up to 1 min at the annealing temperature (approximated at 5°C below the T_m of the primers), and 1-2 mins at 72°C for optimum nucleotide incorporation by Taq. For the specific PCR cycle program used in each case see that chapter or appendix II.

#### 8.7 DNA Cloning

### 8.71 Ligation

A suitable vector was selected according to various factors including the function of the clone (ie sequencing, expression); available restriction sites; size and nature of insert etc. The pcDNA3 vector was used as an expression vector for the mouse  $D_{2S}$  receptor clone. It was firstly cut with the restriction enzyme *Eco RI* which cuts the vector once in the polylinker (5µg vector; 10-20u *Eco RI*; 11µl dH₂O; 2µl 'React 3' buffer (Gibco BRL) at 37°C for 90 mins, at this point 0.5µl Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (SAP), was added to the reaction and the tube was kept at 37°C for a further 30 mins. The SAP was then deactivated by heating to 68°C for 15 mins.

## 8.711 'Ready-To-Go' Ligation Kit (Promega)

50-250ng vector (5.4kb) was mixed with the 250ng of mouse D2 receptor (1.3kb), (1:4 ratio) in a Promega 'Ready-To-Go' ligation tube. The final volume was made up to 20 $\mu$ l using dH₂O. This was gently mixed and incubated at 16°C for 1 hour.

Methods: Chapter 8

### 8.72 PCR products

The pT7Blue plasmid (AMS Biotechnology) is constructed with single 3' dT overhangs, specifically for the purpose of ligation to DNA amplified by DNA polymerase which leaves single 3' dA overhangs.

In a standard reaction 50ng pT7Blue T-vector was ligated with 0.2pmol amplified product in a volume of 10 $\mu$ l. Typically this consisted of combining, in a 1.5ml microfuge tube 1 $\mu$ l 10x ligation buffer (200mM Tris-HCl, pH7; 50mM MgCl₂), 0.5 $\mu$ l 100mM DTT, 0.5 $\mu$ l 10mM ATP, 1 $\mu$ l amplified product (~0.2pmol) in 0.1% TE, 4.5 $\mu$ l dH₂O and finally 0.5 $\mu$ l (2-3 units) T4 DNA ligase. This was gently mixed and incubated at 16°C overnight.

A positive control was included by repeating the ligation using 5ng of a 50bp control insert with single 3'dA residues (AMS Biotechnology) which gave an insert to vector molar ratio of 5:1.

#### 8.721 Transformation of pT7Blue(R) constructs

Once ligated, the vector was transformed into an appropriate strain which had been rendered competent.

The standard transformation method (8.2) using TG1cells was generally used, however if a particularly high frequency was required (eg >4x10⁸) then NovaBlue competent cells (AMS Biotechnology) were used.

The cells were stored at -70°C until required, as  $20\mu$ l aliquots in 1.5ml microfuge tubes. The cells were then thawed on ice and mixed gently to ensure even suspension of the cells.  $1\mu$ l of the overnight ligation mix was added to a  $20\mu$ l aliquot and the tube gently flicked to mix, this was repeated for  $1\mu$ l of the positive control ligation and  $1\mu$ l (0.2ng) test plasmid. The tubes remained on ice for a
further 30 mins and were then heat shocked at 42°C for 40 sec and replaced on ice for 2 mins. 80µl of 2YT medium at RT was added and the tubes transferred to incubate at 37°C, shaking at 200-250rpm for 1 hr.

After this time 50µl of each transformation was plated on LB agar plates containing 50µg/ml ampicillin, 15µg/ml tetracycline (to select for F) and IPTG/X-gal for chromogenic selection. The plates were inverted and incubated at 37°C overnight.

#### 8.8 cDNA Synthesis

#### 8.81 RNA extraction from brain tissue

Brain tissue had previously been dissected from freshly sacrificed mice, dissected and frozen to -70°C within mins of the animal's death. Approximately 1g of frozen brain tissue was immersed in liquid nitrogen in a DEPC treated mortar and a pestle used to crush the tissue to a fine powder. More liquid nitrogen was added as required to prevent the tissue thawing. When a powder had been achieved the powder was emptied into a RNAse free 50ml Falcon tube (Corning) and 2ml guanidinium thiocyanate solution (50µl 1M sodium citrate, 0.95g guanidinium thiocyanate, 0.02g Sarcosyl (Sigma)), the tube was inverted to mix. To this mixture 14µl b-mercaptoethanol, 2ml of 2M NaCOOH were added and mixed.

#### 8.82 RNA Extraction

An equal volume (2ml) phenol (H₂O saturated) was added to the tissue mixture and the tube vortexed and incubated on ice, for 20 mins. After this time it was spun at 5k for 10 mins and the upper, aqueous layer removed into a fresh RNAse free universal tube where an equal volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (50:1) was added vortexed and the mixture again incubated on ice for 5 mins. The 5k spin was repeated and the upper aqueous layer again removed into a fresh RNAse free tube, where two volumes of isopropanol were added and the sample stored at -70°C until required.

When required the sample was aliquoted into 1.5ml microfuge tubes and spun at 15k for 30 mins at 4°C, The pellet produced was washed with 70% ethanol and resuspended in RNAse free TE buffer, before immediate use in the synthesis of cDNA.

Any unused RNA could be stored at -70°C in RNAse free ethanol and NaCOOH (10%).

#### 8.82 cDNA Synthesis

Total RNA extracted from mouse brain tissue was used to produce single stranded cDNA by reverse transcription. 1µg RNA in DEPC treated dH₂O was heated to 90°C for 5 mins and cooled quickly on ice in order to denature any secondary structure. To this 1.5ml microfuge tube, 100pM random hexamers (Gibco BRL); 5mM of each dATP, dGTP, dCTP, dTTP; 1x RT buffer (Promega); 20u RNAsin (Promega) and 5u (0.5µl) Avian Myeloblastosis Virus reverse transcriptase (AMV RT), (Promega), were added and the volume increased to 20µl with dH₂O. This mixture was then heated to 23°C for 10 mins, then 42°C for 45 mins and finally 95°C for 10 mins to stop the reaction. The cDNA was stored at -20°C until use.

#### 8.9 Pharmacological Methods

#### 8.91 Membrane Preparation of Rat Striata

Striata were dissected from whole rat brains (Sprague Dawley, Charles River UK Ltd.) and each pair suspended in 60 volumes (w/v) of buffer (50mM Tris HCl; 120mM NaCl; 2mM CaCl₂; 1mM Mg₂SO₄; 1mM EDTA) at 4°C on ice. The buffer was adjusted to pH7.4 which corresponds approximately to the

physiological pH. A Polytron P10 tissue disrupter was washed twice with 500ml distilled water and then used to homogenise the tissue at setting 10, for two 10 second periods. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 48 000g (SS34 rotor in a Du Pont RC5B centrifuge) for 15 mins to wash the membrane preparation and remove any endogenous neurotransmitters.

The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in the same amount of fresh buffer using the Polytron P10 disrupter at setting 5 for 5 sec. The tissue was then recentrifuged using the same conditions. This process was repeated twice more.

Finally each paired striatal pellet was resuspended in 3ml buffer using the Polytron P10 disrupter. Care was taken to recover any homogenate adhering to the disrupter by washing with a small amount of buffer.

The membrane preparation was then ready to be used in assays or could be frozen in liquid nitrogen before storing at -70°C.

#### 8.92 Cell Culture and Membrane Preparation

Rat-1 fibroblast cells were transfected with the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3 (Invitrogen) containing the mouse  $D_{2S}$  receptor cDNA and grown to a cell density of  $\approx 3.4 \times 10^8$ . The cell count allowed calculation of the cell number per assay so that receptor number per cell could be determined. The cells were then harvested in phosphate buffered saline (1x PBS) containing 2mM EDTA. (This work was performed by Mr Bill Rowand of Syntex Research, Scotland.)

The cells were then homogenised and washed in the same way as the striatal preparations. These were resuspended in 6ml buffer and 2ml (~1.1 x 10⁸ cells) was used per assay. This was then diluted 1:15 with buffer (~7.5 x 10⁶ cells/ml) and 250µl cells used per assay tube (~1.9 x 10⁶ cells/tube).

#### 8.93 Saturation Assay

Triplicate total binding tubes, duplicate NSB tubes and duplicate total counts (unfiltered) tubes were used in order to reduce handling error, the experiment was carried out three times (n=3) and means and standard errors (S.E.M.) were calculated. The radioligand was diluted over a range of concentrations which was calculated to span from approximately 10 fold higher to 10 fold lower than the  $K_D$ . The highest concentration of ligand ([³H]-spiperone, 95Ci/mmol, Amersham) was 2nM (1mM stock diluted 1:500, ie 6µl in 3ml assay buffer) plus 7(1:1) serial dilutions to 0.016nM.

Assays were set up in 4.9ml Sterilin assay tubes with constituents added in the order and quantities shown in Table 8.931.

	total binding	NS binding	total counts
Buffer	100	50	100
Buffer + 10 ⁻⁷ M ketanserin	100	100	100
[ ³ H]-spiperone	50	50	50
Membrane homogenate	250	250	250
(+)butaclamol (10 ⁻⁶ M)	-	50	_
TOTAL VOLUME	500µl	500µl	500µl

Table 8.931Saturation Assay Composition

The assays were then vortexed and incubated at 37°C (physiological temperature) for 30 mins before being filtered over Whatman GF/B (glass fibre) filters. The total count tubes (unfiltered) were spotted onto the filters in 25µl aliquots (duplicated for each tube). The total binding and NSB tubes were transferred to filters using a Brandell Cell Harvester (M24, Semat) which was washed through twice with distilled water and then with buffer, before suction of the cells onto a filter at 22mmHg vacuum pressure. Suction filtration removes any free ligand, leaving only bound [³H]-spiperone on the filter. The advantage of this method is that it is rapid therefore preventing significant receptor-radioligand dissociation.

Once on the filter the samples were washed by drawing buffer over the filter twice for 5 sec to remove radioligand non-specifically bound to the filter and membrane, and dried for one hour in an LEEC drying oven, at full setting.

When dry, a Wallac Melt-on scintillation sheet was heat sealed to the filter, within a plastic cover, and the filter counted in a Wallac 1204 Betaplate BS liquid scintillation counter.

The disintegrations per minute (dpm) values obtained were converted into  $[^{3}H]$ spiperone concentration, to give total binding, NSB, and free radioligand
concentration. Therefore specific binding could be calculated (total - NSB) and
plotted against free concentration to calculate B_{max} and K_D.

#### 8.94 Competition Assay

Duplicate total binding tubes, duplicate NSB tubes and duplicate total counts (unfiltered) tubes were set up (4.9ml assay tubes, Sterilin), along with twelve competing drug concentration duplicates. A final assay concentration (F.A.C.) of 0.1nM [³H]spiperone was used. The F.A.C. of the cold competitive ligand ranged from 1 x 10⁻⁶ to 3 x 10⁻¹² M for spiperone, (+)butaclamol, quinperole and 7 OH-DPAT; and from 1 x 10⁻⁴ to 3 x 10⁻¹⁰ M for dopamine and (-)butaclamol. The drugs were weighed in powder form and then dissolved in ethanol and distilled water by vortexing and sonification to give 1mM solutions. Constituents were added in the order and quantities shown in Table 8.941.

The buffer used was the same as that in the saturation assay and the drug ketanserin was once again added at F.A.C.  $1 \times 10^{-7}$ M to block 5HT₂ receptors.

	total binding	NS binding	total counts	competition binding
Buffer	100	50	100	50
Buffer (10 ⁻⁷ M ketanserin)	100	100	100	100
[ ³ H]-spiperone	50	50	50	50
Membrane homogenate	250	250	250	250
Test drug	-	-	-	50
(+)butaclamol (10 ⁻⁶ M)	-	50	-	-
TOTAL VOLUME	500µl	500µl	500µl	500µl

Table 8.941Competition Assay Composition

The tubes were then vortexed and incubated at 37°C for 30 mins before being transferred to Whatman GF/B filters as described in the saturation assay method.

Dpm (disintegrations per minute) values were plotted against drug concentration and from the curve produced  $IC_{50}$ , and nH (Hill Coefficient) values were determined by a non-linear regression method.  $IC_{50}$  values for each cold competitor ligand were converted to  $pK_i$  to allow comparison of results. Competition assays were repeated three times (n=3) for each drug tested and mean and standard error values were calculated.

#### 8.942 GTP Competition Assay

The competition assay was repeated for the agonist compounds (quinpirole, 7-hydroxy-DPAT and dopamine) using Gpp(NH)p, a non-hydrolysable GTP analogue. The competition assay method was repeated for each of the agonist ligands with the addition of Gpp(NH)p at a F.A.C. of 1 x  $10^{-4}$ M.

#### 8.95 Protein Assay Method

A Pierce BSA assay kit was used to determine the protein concentrations of the diluted membrane homogenate used in the assays.

Bovine serum albumin (BSA) at a stock concentration of 2mg/ml was serially diluted to F.A.C.s 1.0, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2 and 0.1mg/ml. Then in 4.9ml Sterilin assay tubes, duplicate 50µl aliquots of these standard concentrations were assayed using the kit. Triplicate tubes of 50µl aliquots of the membrane homogenate were also assayed in this way.

After incubation the samples were measured for optical density ( $OD_{562}$ nm), and a standard curve plotted for the BSA assays (using buffer as zero). From the mean  $OD_{562}$  of the membrane samples a protein concentration could be calculated, using the curve, to give the milligrams of protein per millilitre of diluted homogenate and therefore the mg of protein per saturation assay tube. This was calculated using the Apple Macintosh application 'Cricket Graph' (Computer Associates).

## APPENDIX I

## DNA Miniprep Solutions Cell Suspention Buffer 50mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5

10mM EDTA 100mg/ml RNase A

### **Cell Lysis Solution**

0.2M NaOH(aq) 1% S.D.S.

### **Neutralization** Solution

2.55M Potassium acetate, pH 4.8
60ml 5M CH₃COOK
11.5ml glacial acetic acid
28.5ml dH₂O

## **Column Wash Solution**

200mM NaCl 20mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5 5mM EDTA Dilute 1:1 with 95% ethanol.

Sequencing Solutions (Sequenase USB) Enzyme Dilution Buffer 10mM Tris HCl, pH7.5 5mM DTT 0.5mg/ml Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)

## **Primer Annealing Buffer**

200mM Tris HCl, pH7.5 100mM MgCl₂ 250mM NaCl

## Labelling Mixture

1.5μM dTTP 1.5μM dGTP 1.5μM dCTP 1.5μM [α ³⁵S] dATP (1500 Ci/mmol)

#### Molecular Experimental Solutions

Tris [hydroymethyl] aminomethane hydrochloride (Tris HCl) (1M) 121.1g Tris base was dissolved in 800ml  $dH_2O$  and the pH adjusted using conc. HCl (pH 7.4 = 70ml; pH7.6 = 60ml; pH8.0 = 42ml). The solution was then autoclaved. N.B. The pH of this solution decreases with increasing temperature, keep at RT.

Tris / EDTA (T.E.) (1x stock solution) 10mM Tris HCl, pH7.5 1mM EDTA

### Ligand Binding Buffer (pH7.4 @ 4°C)

50mM Tris HCl (33.5g HCl; 4.85g Tris base)

 120mM
 NaCl
 (34.8g)

 2mM
 CaCl2
 (10ml)

 1mM
 Mg2SO4
 (1.23g)

 1mM
 EDTA
 (1.46g)

All components were dissolved in 4.5 litres  $dH_2O$  and Tris base added to adjust the pH to 7.4 then the volume was made up to 5 litres.

### Electrophoresis Buffers

T.A.E.(50x)

242g Tris base was dissolved in 57.1ml glacial acetic acid and 100ml 0.5M EDTA pH8

The working concentration of 1x contains 0.04M Tris - acetate and 0.001M EDTA.

## **T.B.E.** (5x)

54g Tris base and 27.5g boric acid were dissolved in 20ml 0.5M EDTA pH8. The working solution of 0.5x contains 0.045M Tris - borate and 0.001M EDTA. N.B. If the solution precipitates on storage it should be disguarded. Termination Mixtures80μM dGTP80μM dATP80μM dCTP80μM dTTPplus 8μM dideoxy-dNTP

#### **Stop Solution**

20mM EDTA95% Formamide0.05% Bromophenol Blue0.05% Xylene Cyanol FF

### Acrylamide Gel Solution

420g Urea (sequencing grade)
250ml dH₂O
240ml 5x TBE
40% acrylamide colution (19:1 bis, Severn Biotechnology)
Make up to 11 with dH₂O and filter through Whatman No. 3 paper.

#### Stock Solutions

**Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (E.D.T.A.)** (0.5M stock solution) 186.1g disodium EDTA.2H2O was dissolved in 800ml dH₂O at room temperature (RT) by vigorous stirring and the pH was adjusted to 8 (using approx. 20g NaOH pellets). The solution was then autoclaved on the standard cycle.

Sodium Dodecyl/Lauryl Sulphate (S.D.S.) (10% stock solution) 100g electrophoresis grade S.D.S. was dissolved in 900ml dH₂O by strring at 68oC. The a pH of 7.2 was obtained by adding conc. HCl dropwise and dH₂O added to 11.

### **ATP** (0.1M stock solution)

60 mg ATP was dissolved in 0.8ml dH₂O and the pH adjusted to 7 by dropwise addition of 0.1M NaOH. The volume was increased to 1ml with dH₂O and the solution aliquoted and stored at -70°C.

#### dNTPs

Were provided as individual 100mM stocks at pH7 (Pharmacia)

#### Sample Loading Buffer

This was used to aid loading of the sample into an agarose gel well by adding colour, increasing the density and to indicate the distance moved by the sample. Bromophenol blue dye moves towards the anode with approximately the same velocity as linear ds DNA of 300bp length and Xylene Cyanol FF moves similarly with the velocity of linear ds DNA of 4kb length (in 0.5x TBE).

4g sucrose was dissolved in 6ml dH₂O along with 2.5mg bromophenol blue and 1mg Xylene cyanol FF. The volume was increased to 10ml with dH₂O and stored at RT.

#### Sodium Acetate (3M stock solution, pH7)

408.1g sodium ?  $.3H_2O$  was dissolved in 800ml dH₂O and dilute acetic acid used to bring the pH to 7. The volume was increased to 11 with dH₂O and the solution autoclaved.

#### Ammonium acetate (10M stock solution)

770g ammonium acetate was dissolved in 800ml  $dH_2O$  and the volume made up to 11 before filter sterilization.

#### **Dithiothreitol (DTT)**

3.09g DTT was dissolved in 20ml 0.01M sodium acetate (pH5.2), this was filter sterilised and stored at -20°C in 1ml aliquots.

#### Magnesium Chloride (MgCl₂) (2M stock solution)

19g MgCl₂ was dissolved in 90ml dH₂O, then the volume was made up to 100ml and autoclaved.

#### Na45 Paper - Activation solution

The strip of paper was taken using forceps and soaked for 10mins in 10mM EDTA, then transferred to 0.5M NaOH for 5mins. It was then washed quickly in dH₂O before submerging in fresh dH₂O and storing at 4°C. It was cut into appropriately sized strips for use and if not used disguarded after 4 months.

Low salt NET (for use with Na45 paper) 100mM NaCl(aq) 0.1mM EDTA 20mM Tris-HCl, pH8

High salt NET (for use with Na45 paper) 1M NaCl 0.1mM EDTA 20mM Tris-HCl, pH8

Microbiological solutions (prepared and used aseptically) Isopropylthio-b-D-galactoside (IPTG) MW 238.3 (powder at -20°C) Stock solution at 100mM 0.024g dissolved in 1ml dH₂0 at RT Filter sterilize using a sterile 0.22 µm filter unit (Sartorius, Minisart NML). Store at 4°C. Use 4mM (40µl) per ?mm diameter petri dish.

5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl-b-D-galactopyranoside (X gal) MW 408.6 (Store as powder in dry and dark conditions at 4°C)
Stock solution at 50mg/ml
0.01g dissolved in 1ml di-methyl formamide (DMF)

Store away from light at -20°C

### Liquid Media

#### LB

10g bacto-tryptone

5g bacto-yeast extract

10g NaCl

#### 950ml $dH_2O$

This was shaken till dissolved and the pH adjusted to 7 by the addition of approximately 0.2ml 5M NaOH solution. The volume was increased to 11 using  $dH_2O$  and autoclaved on liquid cycle at 15lb/sq in for 20mins.

## 2xYT (Yeast Tryptone) Broth

16g bacto-tryptone

10g bacto-yeast extract

5g NaCl

 $900ml \ dH_2O$ 

This solution was shaken until all solids were dissolved and the pH adjusted to 7 by the addition of approximately 0.2ml 5M NaOH. The volume was increased to 11 using  $dH_2O$  and autoclaved on liquid cycle at 15lb/sq in for 20mins.

## SOC Media

20g bacto-tryptone

5g bacto-yeast extract

0.5g NaCl

950ml dH₂O

This solution was shaken until all solids were dissolved then 10ml 250mM KCl (1.86g KCl in 100ml dH₂0) was added. The pH was adjusted to 7 by the addition of approximately 0.2ml 5M NaOH and the volume was increased to 11 using dH₂O and autoclaved on liquid cycle at 15lb/sq in for 20mins. On cooling to  $60^{\circ}$ C, 1M glucose (18g dissolved in 100ml dH₂O and filter sterilized through a 0.22 micron filter) was added to a final concentration of 20mM and 2M MgCl₂ (19g MgCl₂ dissolved in 100ml dH₂O and autoclaved) added to 10mM.

## Solid Media

## L Agar

Bacto-agar was added to LB media at 15g/l before autoclaving. This solid media could then be heated till molten when required for pouring into plates.

Antibiotics	stock conc.	<u>working conc.</u>
Ampicillin	50mg/ml in dH ₂ O	20 - 60mg/ml
Tetracyclin	5mg/ml in EtOH	10 - 50mg/ml
Kanamycin	10mg/ml in dH ₂ O	10 - 50mg/ml

## APPENDIX II

# Alignment of full length amino acid sequences for all neuropeptide receptors investigated in Chapter 3

.

	1 50
PTH	GGGRGGELGG
secretin	C* QSCHLLQGAA ASSLAVDLIG
calcitonin	CAR PHLTLRLTSP AOEMGAEA*A
somatostatin	
d-opioid	
rangiotensinTT	······································
VTP	
neuropentide V	
bradykinin 3	
N-formul nen	
M-IOIMYI pep	
IRA	
heurotensin	
bombesin	
endochein	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
NK-1	
NK-3	IAVSFS FQSYLKTPAP
NK-2	AHRIGTLCTS VIWDVP*IAL REI*IQIRVC HQLPECLLLD ASFL*LSAF*
CCK	••••••••••
Consensus	LL ASSLGVEA
	51 100
PTH	RRRL PRGTRP* AVAMGAARIA PSLALLLCCP VLSSAYALVD
secretin	LGRSSHGLSGL GGRPGSWITC RSLSWIQNRP PSS*RG
calcitonin	PESLEPHLRT PFASIELCPA AQ*QNSRIND SH*STHFCHP RMQFSGEKIS
somatostatin	••••••••••
d-opioid	••••••••••
angiotensin	PA
VIP	
neuropeptide Y	
bradykinin	RRVS STVGDGVAGS R*PWTEGLPT
N-formyl pep	
TRH	E FRRV*RNCRS ED*ASAK*SS COTDWTRFLL
neurotensin	
bombesin	*LSECTDVLL AGGVKAGTEP TSTN*ARVWN TSVCVCVCVC VCVCEFRVF*
endothelin	KLR TGHRTPSGAG SSMOPPPSLC GRALVALVLA CGLSRTWGEE
NK-1	
NK-3	K*PGGR
NK-2	INCEREEDIO RATEMPEALE LEFEESISKE CINSLICTLL PAOPOSELPE
CCK	DOT DDC SENGET KI DI CHANKEDTOCH
Consensus	
consensus	
	101 150
זוחת	
Pin	ADDVFILLEQ IFLERADAQ CONLILAEVER T.AANIMESD RGWIPASISG
secretin	QNAKGSNSGR SMLSTMRPRL SLLLLRLLLL TKAAHTVGVP PRICOVRRVL
calcitonin	GORDLOKSKM RETETSRCLA LELLINHPTP ILPAFSNOTY PTIEPKPFLY
somatostatin	DL* AAWF*TE WKAAMEMSSEQLNGSQ
d-opioid	SKGWV PAPRAHGGDG HGGAMELVPS ARAELQSSPL
angiotensin	LGGT*RSARG AGLIFDKLI* NGWVFI.*IT H*CHPRKSAP GVFDIVFATN
VIP	MDLHLFDYAE
neuropeptide Y	*QS DAEGLSAPVA TASGEPAVTM EGISIYTSDN
bradykinin	TQNRLSREKD DPHSSLS*VQ MHCSWKRPVL LSVHEPMPTT ASLGIEMFNI
N-formyl pep	
TRH	QDWKLGPIST SSTRETGSVT E*RGERTTAK TNRQKGEGWK DVLESPCQRS
neurotensin	HLNSSVPQGT PGEPDAQPFS GPQSEMEAT
bombesin	REIKRLTQIS EPN*QTFSA* LKNPEVTKQH LEGAFEERSF EMAPNNCSHL
endothelin	RGFPPDRATPL LQTAEIMTPP TKTLWPKGSN ASLARSLAPA
NK-1	
NK-3	WIDGGGGVGA DAVNLTASLA AGAATGAVET GWLOLLDOA. GNLSSSPSAL
NK-2	L*AWPSGYTC LLLNRPSPLK STHRRGFLFC GNSRRNCRAR PCVPGPESVM
CCK	LPLPAPP ROVAFGRPCD H*RRETGMSH SPAROHLVES SRMDVVDSLL
5010	

	151 .				200
PTH	<b>KPRKEKASGK</b>	FYPESKENKD	VPTGSRRRGR	PCLPEWDNIV	CWPLGAPGEV
secretin	LEERAHCLOO	LSKEKKGALG	PETAS	GCEGLWDNMS	CWPSSAPART
calcitonin	VVGRKKMMDA	QYK.CYDRMQ	<b>OLPAYOGEGP</b>	YCNRTWDGWL	CWDDIPAGVL
d-opioid	VNLSDAFPSA	FPSAGANASG	SPGARSASSL	.ALAIAITAL	YSAVCAVGLL
angiotensin	STOVIKMIIN	SSTEDGIKRI	<b>QDDCPKAGRH</b>	NYIFVMIPTL	YSIIFVVGIF
VIP	PGNFSDISWP	CNSSDCIVVD	TVMCPNMPNK	SVILYTLSFI	YIFIFVIGMI
neuropeptide Y	YTEEMG	SGDYDSMK	.EPCFREENA	NFNKIFLPTI	YSIIFLTGIV
bradykinin	TTOALG	SAHNGTES	EVNCPDTEW	SWLNAIOAPF	LWVLFLLAAL
N-formyl peptide	SPGADKMETN	SSLPTNISGG	TPAVSAGY	LFLDIITYLV	FAVTFVLGVL
TRH	FKPLKMENDT	VSEMNOTELO	POAAVALEYO	VVTILLVVII	CGLGIV
neurotensin	FLALSLSNGS	GNTSESDTAG	PNSDLDVNTD	IYSKVLVTAI	YLALFVVGTV
bombesin	NLDVDPFT.SC	N. DTFNOSI	SPPKMDNWFH	PGFTYVTPAV	YGLITVIGLI
endothelin	EVPKGDRTAG	SPPRTISPP.	PCOGPIEIK	ETEKYINTVV	SCLVFVLGII
NK-1	FENTSTNTS	ESNO.	FVOPT	WOTVLWAAA	YTVTVVTSVV
NK-3	GLEVASPARS	OPWANT TNO.	FVOPS	WRTATWSTA	YGUWWAWAWT.
NIC-3	CTIPA TUSDAN	TLSCLESNAT	CATTARSMOG	WOLATWATA	YT.AT.VT.VAVT
CCK	MNGSNITTDDC	FT. CLENFT	FOT DODODSK	FWOSALOTLL	VSTIFILSVI.
Concensus	_T.DA_EMET N	SS_FDL_NOC	-DFUODD-	SWI TAT TOLT	V_WIENGWI.
Consensus		22-1777-1623	-FFVQEK-	OWDIVITEDI	1-011 00001
	201				25.0
DUILT	201 MANDODDATA	DENTITY CTURY	DDODDNOGAR		NUCECT VEND
Pin	VAVPCPDIII	DINER.GEAL	RECORIGSWE		NISECLAPMI DI ACCUMUNI
secretin	VEVQUERFILL	MLONKNGOLT	RNCIQUGWSE	TPPRP	DLACGVNLINN
calcitonin	SIQPUPDIPP	DF.DPSERVT	KICDERGVWP	KHPENNRIWS	NYTECNAPTP (II DET ANCEIA
somatostatin	GNTLVIYV	ILRIAKM	KTITNIYILN	LALADELIML	GLPFLAMQVA
a-opioia	GNVLVMFG	IVRYTKL	KTAINIYIFN	LALADALATS	TLPPQSAKY.
anglotensin	GNSLVVIV	LIFIMKL	KIVASVELLN	LALADICPLL	TLPLWAVYTA
	ANSVVVWV	NIQAKTT	GYDTHCYILN	LAIADLWVVL	TIPVWVVSLV
neuropeptide Y	GNGLVLLV	MGYQKKL	RSMTDKYRLH	LSVADLLFVI	TLPFWAVDAV
bradykinin	ENIFV.LSV	FCLHKTN	CIVAEIYLGN	LAAADLILAC	GLPFWAITIA
N-formyl peptide	GNGLVIWV	AGFRMT.	HIVITISYLN	LAVADFCFTS	TLPFFMVRKA
TRH	GNIMVVLV	VMRTKHM	RTPINCYLVS	LAVADLMVLV	AAGLPNITDS
neurotensin	GNSVT.AFT	LARKKSLOSL	QSTVHYHLGS	LALSDLLILL	LAMPVELYNF
bombesin	GNITL.IKI	FCTVKSM	RNVPNLFISS	LALGDLLLLV	TCAPVDASKY
endothelin	GNSTL.LRI	IYKNKCM	RNGPNILIAS	LALGDLLHIV	IDIPINVYKL
NK-1	GNVVVIWI	ILAHKRM	RTVTNYFLVN	LAFAEACMAA	FNTVVNFTYA
NK-3	GNLIVIWI	ILAHKRM	RTVINYFLVN	LAFSDASMAA	FNTLVNFIYA
NK-2	GNATVIWI	ILAHERM	RIVINYFIIN	LALADLCMAA	FNATFNFIYA
CCK	GNTLVITV	LIRNKRM	RTVINIFLLS	LAVSDLMLCL	FCMPFNLIPN
Consensus	GNVLVPDIWV	ILRHKSGM	RTVINIFLLN	LALADLLFLL	TLPFWN-YYA
	251				300
PTH	N.ETREREVF	DRLGMIYTVG	YSMSLASLTV	AVLILAYFRR	LH
secretin	SFNERRHAYL	LKLKVMYTVG	YSSSLAMLLV	ALSILCSFRR	LH
calcitonin	. EKLKNAYV	LYYLAIVG	HSLSIFTLVI	SLGIFVFFRK	LTTIFPLNWK
somatostatin	LV.HWPFG	KAICRVVMTV	DGINQFTSIF	CLIVMSIDRY	LAVVHPIKSA
d-opioid	L. METWPFG	ELLCKAVLSI	DYYNMFTSIF	TLTMMSVDRY	IAVCHPVKAL
angiotensin	M EYRWPFG	NYLCKIASAS	VSFNLYASVF	LLTCLSIDRY	LAIVHPMKSR
VIP	Q. HNQWPMG	ELTCKVTHLI	FSINLFSGIF	FLTCMSVDRY	LSITYFINTP
neuropeptide Y	AN.WYFG	NFLCKAVHVI	YTVNLYSSVL	ILAFISLDRY	LAIVHATNSQ
bradykinin	NNFDWLFG	EVLCRVVNTM	IYMNLYSSIC	FLMLVSIDRY	LALVKTMSMG
N-formyl peptide	MGGHWPFG	WFLCKFLFTI	VDINLFGSVF	LIALIALDRC	VCVLHPVWTQ
TRH	IYGSWVYG Y	VGCLCITYL C	YLGINASSC S	SITAFTIERY 1	AICHPIKAQ
neurotensin	IWVHHPWAFG	DAGCRGYYFL	RDACTYATAL	NVASLSVERY	LAICHPFKAK
bombesin	LADRWLFG	RIGCKLIPFI	QLTSVGVSVF	TLTALSADRY	KAIVRPMDIQ
endothelin	LAEDWPFG	AEMCKLVPFI	QKASVGITVL	SLCALSIDRY	RAVASWSRIK
NK-1	VHNVWYYG	LFYCKFHNFF	PIAALFASIY	SMTAVAFDRY	MAIIHPLQPR
NK-3	LHSEWYFG	ANYCRFQNFF	PITAVFASIY	SMTAIAVDRY	MAIIDPLKPR
NK-2	SHNIWYFG	RAFCYFONLF	PITAMFVSIY	SMTAIAADRY	MAIVHPFQPR
CCK	L. LKDFIFG	SAVCKTITYF	MGTSVSVSTF	NLVAISLERY	GAICRPLQSR
Consensus	L-EH-EWPFG	EFLCKFVNFF	PNLFASIF	SLTALS-DRY	LAIVHPLKSR

;	301				350
PTH	CT	RNYIHMHMFL	SFMLRAASIF	VKDAVLYS	GFTI DEAERL
secretin	CT	RNYIHMHLFV	SFILRALSNF	IKDAVLFSSD	DVTYCDAHKV
calcitonin	YRKALSLGCO	RVILHKNMFL	TYILNSMIII	IHLVEVVPNG	ELVRRDP
somatostatin	K	WRRPRTAKMI	NVAVWCVSLL	VILPIMLYAG	LRSNOW
d-opioid	D	FRTPAKAKLI	NICIWVLASG	VGVPIMVMAV	TQPRD.
angiotensin	L	RRIMLVAKVT	CIIIWLLAGL	ASLFAITHRN	V. FFIENIN
VIP	s	SRKKMVRRVV	CILVWLLAFC	VSLPDTYYLK	TVTSASNN
neuropeptide Y	R	PRKLLAEKVV	YVGVWIPALL	LTIPDFIFAN	VSEADD
bradykinin	R	MRGVRWAKLY	SLVIWSCTLL	LSSPMLVFRT	MKDYREEGHN
N-formyl peptide	N	HRTVSLAKKV	IIGPWVMALL	LTLPVIIRVT	TVPGKT
TRH	F	LCTFSRAKKI	IIFVWAFTSI	YCMLWFFLLD	LNISTY
neurotensin	T	LMSRSRTKKF	ISAIWLASAL	LAIPMLFIMG	LONRSGDGTH
bombesin	A	SHALMKICLK	AALIWIVSML	LAIPEAVFSD	LHPFHVKDIN
endothelin	G	IGVPKWIAVE	IVLIWVVSVV	LAVPEAIGFD	IITMDYKGSY
NK-1	L	SATATKVV	IFVIWVLALL	LAFPQGYYS.	TTETMP
NK-3	L	SATATKIV	IGSIWILAFL	LAFPQCLYS.	KTKVMP
NK-2	L	SAPSTKAI	IAGIWLVALA	LASPOCFYS.	TITVDE
CCK	V	WQTKSHALKV	LAATWCLSFT	IMTPYPIYSN	LVPFTKNNNQ
Consensus	CL	RRTLLKAK-V	IIIIWVLALL	LALPEL-YSD	T-TIE-TN
	351				400
PTH	TEEELHIIAQ	VPPPPAAAAV	GYAGCRVAVT	FFLYFLATNY	YWILVEGLYL
secretin	•••••		GCKLVMI	FFQYCIMANY	AWLLVEGLYL
calcitonin			VSCKILHF	FHOYMMACNY	FWMLCEGIYL
somatostatin	GRSSCTINWP	GESG	AWYTGF	IIYAFILG	FL
d-opioid	GAVVCMLOFP	SPSW	YWDTVT	KICVFLFA	FV
angiotensin	ITVC.AFHYE	SQNS	TLPIGL	GLTKNILG	FL
VIP	ETYCRSFYPE	HSIK	EWLIGM	ELVSVVLG	FA
neuropeptide Y	RYICDRFY	.PND	LWVVVF	QFQHIMVG	LI
bradykinin	VTACVIVY	.PSR	SWEVFT	NMLLNLVG	FL
N-formyl peptide	GIVACTENES	PWINDPKERI	NVAVAMLTVR	GIIRFIIG	FS
TRH	KNAVVVSCGY	KISRNY	YSPIYL	MDFGVF	YV
neurotensin	PGGLVCTP	IVDTAT	VKVVIQ	VNTFMS	FL
bombesin	QTFISCAPYP	HSNE	LHPKIH	SMASFLVF	YV
endothelin	LRICLLHPVQ	KTAFMQ	FYKTAK	DWWLFSFY	FC
NK-1	SRVVCMIEWP	EHPNRT	YEKAYH	ICVIVLIY	F.
NK-3	GRTLCFVQWP	EGPKQH	FTYH	IIVIILVY	C.
NK-2	GATKCVVAWP	NDNGGK	MLLLYH	LVVFVLIY	F.
CCK	TANMCRFLLP	SDAMQQ	SWQ	TFLLLILF	L.
Consensus	GRVCCMIYWP	EP-RQT	AG-WP-YF	IFV-FLVYNY	-W-LVEGLFL
	401				450
PTH	HSLIFMAFFS	EKKYLWGFTI	FGWGLPAVFV	AVWVGVRATL	ANTGCWDLSS
secretin	HTLLAISFFS	ERKYLQAFVL	LGWGSPAIFV	ALWAITRHFL	ENTGCWDINA
calcitonin	HTLIVVAVFT	EKQRLRWYYL	LGWGFPLVPT	TIHAITRAVY	FNDNCW.LSV
somatostatin	VPLTIICLC.	YLF	IIIKVKSSGI	RVGSSKRK	
d-opioid	VPILIITVC.	YGL	MLLRLRSVRL	LSGSKEKD	
angiotensin	FPFLIILTS.	YTL	IWKALKKAYE	IQKNKPRN	
VIP	VPFSIIAVF.	YFL	LARAISASSD	QEKHSSR	
neuropeptide Y	LPGIVILSC.	YCI	IISKLSHSKG	HQKRKA	
bradykinin	LPLSII.TF.	CTV	RIMQVLRNNE	MKKFKEVQ	
N-formyl peptide	APMSIVAVS.	YGL	IATKIHKQGL	IKSSRP	
TRH	VPMILATVL.	YGF	IARILFLNPI	PSDPKENSKM	WKNDSIHQNK
neurotensin	FPMLVISIL.	NTV	LANKLTVM	VHQAAEQGRV	CTVGTHNGLE
bombesin	IPLAIISVY.	YYF	IARNLIQSAY	NLPVEGNIHV	KK
endothelin	LPLAITAFF.	YTL	MTCEMLRKKS	GMQIALNDHL	KQ
NK-1	LPLLVIGYA.	YTV	VGITLWAS	• • • • • • • • • • •	.EIP.GDSSD
NK-3	FPLLIMGIT.	YTI	VGITLWGG	••••	.EIP.GDTCD
NK-2	LPLLVMFGA.	ysv	IGLTLWKR	• • • • • • • • • • •	.AVPRHQAHG
CCK	LPGIVMVVA.	YGL	ISLELYQGIK	FDASQKKSAK	EKKPSTGSST
Consensus	LPLLIIAVFS	EKKYLYTL	IG-TLWAS	I-KSKERDHL	K-IPCGDSSD

,

	451				500
PTH	GHK.KWIIQV	PILASVVLNF	ILFINIIRVI	ATKLREINAG	RCDIRQQYRK
secretin	NASVWWVIRG	PVILSILINF	IFFINILRIL	MRKLR.TOET	RGSETNHYKR
calcitonin	ETHLLYIIHG	PVMAALVVNF	FFILNIVRVL	VTKMRETHEA	ESHMYLK
somatostatin					KSEKK
d-opioid	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	••••	RSLRR
angiotensin			• • • • • • • • • •		DDIFK
VIP			• • • • • • • • • •		K
neuropeptide Y	• • • • • • • • • • •				LK
bradykinin			• • • • • • • • • • •		TEKKA
N-formyl peptide			• • • • • • • • • • •		
TRH	NLN		• • • • • • • • • • •	LNATNRC	FNSTVSSRKQ
neurotensin	HST			FNMTIEP	GRVQALRH
bombesin	• • • • • • • • • • •				QIESRKR
endothelin					RRE
NK-1	RYHE				QVSAKRK
NK-3	KYHE				QLKAKRK
NK-2	ANLR			• • • • • • • • • • •	HLQAKKK
CCK	RYEDSDGCYL	QKSRPPRKLE	LQQLSSGSGG	SRLNRIRSSS	SAANLIAKKR
Consensus	RYHE-WII-G	PV-AS-V-NF	-FF-NI-RVL	KLRETNE-	R-SQAK-K
	501				550
PTH	LLRSTLVLVP	LFGVHYTVF.	• • • • • • • • • • •	.MALPYTEVS	GTLWQIQMHY
secretin	LAKSTLLLIP	LFGIHYIVF.		.AFSPEDAM.	EVQLFF
calcitonin	AVKATMILVP	LLGIOFVVF.	• • • • • • • • • • •	.PWRPSNKML	GKIYDYVMH.
somatostatin	VTRMVSIVVA	VFIFCWLPFY	IFNVSSVSVA	ISP	TPALKGMFDF
d-opioid	ITRMVLVVVG	AFVVCWAPIH	IFVIVWILVD	INRR	DPLVVAALHL
angiotensin	II.MAIVL	FFFFSWIPHQ	IFTFLDVLIQ	LGIIR.DCRI	ADIVDTAMPI
VIP	II.FSYVV	VFLVCWLPYH	VAVLLDIFSI	LHYIPFTCRL	EHALFTALHV
neuropeptide Y	TT.VILIL	AFFACWLPYY	IGISIDSFIL	LEIIKQGCEF	ENTVHKWISI
bradykinin	TV.LVLAVLG	LFVLCWFPFQ	ISTFLDTLLR	LGVLS.GCWN	ERAVDIVTQI
N-formyl peptide	.LRVLSFVAA	AFFLCWSPYQ	VVALIATVRI	RELLQGMYKE	IGIAVDV
TRH	VTKMLAVVVI	LFALLWMPYR	TLVVVNSFLS	SPFQENWF	LLFCRIC
neurotensin	GVLVLRAVVI	AFVVCWLPYH	VRRLMFCYIS	DEQWITFL	FDFYHYFYML
bombesin	LAKIVLVFVG	LFAFCWLPNH	VIYLYRSYHY	SEVDTSM	LHFVTSIC
endothelin	VARTVFCLVL	VFALCWLPLH	LSRILKLTLY	NONDPNRCEL	LSFLLVLDYI
NK-1	VVKMMIVVVC	TFAICWLPFH	IFFLLPYIN.	PDLYL	KKFIQQVYLA
NK-3	VVKMMIIVVM	TFAICWLPYH	IYFILTAIY.	QQLNR	WKYIQQVYLA
NK-2	FVKAMVLVVL	TFAICWLPYH	LYFILGTFQ.	EDIYY	HKFIQQVYLA
CCK	VIRMLIVIVV	LFFLCWMPIF	SANAWRAYD.	TVSAE	KHLSGTPISF
Consensus	VVKMM-VVV-	LFAICWLP-H	IFFLLF-Y	LE-IP-DC-L	-KFIQIVYLI
	551			_	600
PTH	EMLFNSFQGF	FVAIIYCFCN	GEVQAEIRKS	WSRWTLALDF	KRKARSGSSS
secretin	ELALGSFQGL	VVAVLYCFLN	GEVQLEVQKK	WRQWHLQ.EF	PLRPVAFNNS
calcitonin	SLIHFQGF	FVATIYCFCN	NEVQTTVKRQ	WAQFKIQWNQ	RWGRRPSNRS
somatostatin	VVILTYANIC	ANPILYAFLS	DNFKKSFQNV	LCLVKVSGTE	DGERSDSKQD
a-opioia	CIALGYANSS	LNPVLYAFLD	ENFKRCFRQ.	LCRTPCGRQE	PGSLRRPRQA
anglotensin	TICIAYFNNC	LNPLFYGFLG	KKFKRYFLQL	LKYIPPK	AKSHSNL
VIP	TQCLSLVHCC	VNPVLYSFIN	RNYRYEL	MKAFIFK	YSAKTGL
neuropeptide Y	TEALAFFHCC	LNPILYAFLG	AKFKTSAQHA	LTSVSRG	SSLKILS
Dradykinin	SSIVAYSNSC	LNPLVYVIVG	KRFRKKSREV	YQAICRK	GGCMGESVQM
N-IOTMY1 peptide	TSALAFFNSC	LNPMLYVFMG	ODFRERLIHA	L	PASL
TRH	IYLNSA	INPVIYNLMS	OKFRAAFRK.	LCN	CKOKPTEKAA
neurotensin	TNALFYVSSA	INPILYNLVS	ANFROVFLST	LACLCP	GWRHRRKKRP
Dombesin	AHLLAFTNSC	VNPFALYLLS	KSFRKQFNTQ	L.LC	COPGLMNRSH
endothelln	GINMASLINSC	INPLALYLVS	KRFKNCFKSC	L.CCWCQSFE	EKQSLEEKQS
NK-1	SMWLAMSSIM	INPITYCCLN	DRFRLGFKHA	FRCCPFISAG	DYEGLEMKST
NK-3	SPWLAMSSTM	INPITYCCIN	KRFRAGFKRA	FRWCPFIKVS	SYDELELKIT
NK-2	LIWLAMSSIM	INPITYCCLN	HKFRSGFRLA	FRECEPWVTPT	EEDRLELTHT
COR	TITIES ALES ALES ALES ALES ALES ALES ALES AL	VNPILICEMN	KRIKLGIMAT	rPCCPNPGPP	G
consensus	I-WLAIPNSC	THALT-ACLIN	KKTK-GT-A	TRUCCELIPI-	UID-LELKST

	601				650
PTH	YSYGPMVSHT	SVINVGP	RAGLSLPLSP	RLPPATTNGH	SQLPGHAKPG
secretin	FSNATNGPTH	STKA	STEQSR	SIPRA	SII*
calcitonin	ARAAAAAAEA	GDIPIYICHQ	EPRNEPANNQ	GEESAEIIPL	NIIEQESSA*
somatostatin	KSRLNETTET	QRTLLNGDLQ	TSI*		
d-opioid	TTRERVTACT	PSDGPGGGAA	A*		
angiotensin	STRMSTLSYR	PSDNVSSSTK	KPAPCFEVE*		
VIP	.TKLIDAS.R	VSETEYSALE	QNAK*		
neuropeptide Y	KGKRGGHSSV	STESESSSFH	SS*		
bradykinin	ENSMGTLRTS	ISVDROIHKL	QDWAGNKQ*		
N-formyl peptide	ERALTEDSTO	TSDTATNSTL	PSAEVALQAK	*	
TRH	NYSVALNYSV	IKESDRFS	TELEDITVID	TYVSTTKVSF	DDTCLASEN*
neurotensin	TFSRKPNS	MSSNHAFS	TSATRETLY*		
bombesin	STGRSTTC	MISFKSINPS	ATFSLINRNI	CHEGYV*	
endothelin	CLKFKANDHG	YDNFRSSNKY	SSS*		
NK-1	RYLOT.OSSV	YKVSRLETTI	STVVGAHEDE	PEEGPKATPS	SLDLTSNGSS
NK-3	RFHPNROSSM	YTVTRMESMT	VVFDPNDADT	TRSSRKKRAT	PRDPSFNGCS
NK-2	PSLSRR	VNRCHTKE	TLFMTGDMTH	SEATNGOVGS	PODGEPAGPI
CCK	. VRGEVGEE	EDGRTIRALL	SRYSYSHMST	SAPPP*	
Consensus	-YKLT-OSS-	YSRS-S	SSFAT	-L-SRKPS	SODPS-NGFS
	<b>1</b> 00				
	651				700
PTH	APATETETLP	VTMAVPRDDG	FLNGSCSG.	LD. EEAS	GSARPPPLLO
secretin					-
calcitonin					
somatostatin					
d-opioid					
angiotensin					
VIP					
neuropeptide Y					
bradvkinin					
N-formvl peptide					
TRH					
neurotensin					
bombesin					
endothelin					
NK-1	RSNSKT	MTESSSFYSN	ILA*		
NK-3	RRNSKS	ASATSSFISS	PYTSV	DEYS*	
NK-2	CKAQA*				
CCK					
Consensus	RSK-	-TSSF-S			
		-			
	701				
PTH	EEWETVM*				

All sequences obtained from GenEMBL database and translated using Wisconsin

Genetics GCG program.

Database accession numbers:rat parathyroid hormone receptor (PTH) - M77184 rat secretin receptor - X59132 rat neurotensin receptor - P20789 human calcitonin receptor - L00587 mouse bombesin receptor - M61000 mouse somatostatin receptor - M91000 human endothelinB receptor - M74921 rat  $\delta$ -opioid receptor - L07271 mouse NK-1 receptor - X62934 human angiotensin receptor - M93394 human NK-3 receptor - M89473 human neuropeptide Y receptor - M84755 rat NK-2 receptor - M3183 rat bradykinin receptor - X69681 mouse thyrotropin releasing hormone receptor (TRH) -M59811 human vasoactive intestinal peptide receptor (VIP) - L13288 human N-formyl peptide receptor - M60627 rat cholecystokinin receptor (CCK) - X01032

## Alignment of full length amino acid coding sequences for the neuropeptide receptors in group *i* as assigned by peptide sequence homology in Table 2.46

50 1 PTH secretin C*QSCHLLQG AAASSLAVDL IGLG..RSSH GLSGLGGRPG SWITCRSLSW calcitonin GRTASPPDPG RAAPRLSRTF LGWRGARRPH LAQGLESPIQ EMRAGA*VPE Consensus -----G -AA--L---L -G-R--R-PH G--GL----G --R---SL--100 51 PTH LLCCPVLSS. .. AYALVDAD DVFTKEEQIF LLHRAQAQCD KLLKEVLHT. calcitonin SLEPPPANDL RFH*AVPGSP VRLLQD*QDH LQKSKMRFTL TRWCLTLFIF Consensus -L--PP-S-- ----A--G-- -----Q-- L-----R--L -LL-L-L-T-101 150 PTH AANIMESDKG WIPASTSGKP RKEKASGKFY PESKENKDVP TGSRRGRP. secretin AAHTVGVPPR LCDVRRVLLE ERAHCLOOLS KEKKGALGPE TAS.....G. calcitonin LNRPLPVLPD SADGAHTPTL EPEPFLYILG KORMLEAOHR CYDRMOKLPP Consensus AA----V-P- --D----- E-E-L--L- KE-K----- T-SR----P-200 151 PTH .....CLP EWDNIVCWPL GAPGEVVAVP CPDYIYDFNH K.GHAYRRCD .....CEG LWDNMSCWPS SAPARTVEVQ CPKFLLMLSN KNGSLFRNCT secretin calcitonin YQGEGLYCNR TWDGWSCWDD TPAGVLAEQY CPDYFPDF.D AAEKVTKYCG Consensus -----C-- -WDN-SCWP- -APG--VEV- CPDY--DF-- K-G---R-C-201 250 PTH RNGSWEVVPG HNRTWANYSE CLKFMIN.ET REREVFDRLG MIYTVGYSMS secretin QDGWSETFP. .... RPDLAC GVNINNSFNE RRHAYLLKLK VMYTVGYSSS calcitonin EDGDWYRHPE SNISWSNYTM C....NAFTP DKLQNAYILY YLAIVGHSLS Consensus -DG-WE--P- -N-W-NY-- C----N-F-- R-----L- --YTVGYS-S 251 300 PTH LASLTVAVLI LAYFRRLHCT RNYIHMHMFL SFMLRAASIF VKDAVLYS.. secretin LAMLLVALSI LCSFRRLHCT RNYIHMHLFV SFILRALSNF IKDAVLFSSD calcitonin ILTLLISLGI FMFLRSISCQ RVTLHKNMFL TYVLNSIIII VHLVVIVPNG Consensus LA-LLVAL-I L--FRRLHCT RNYIHMHMFL SF-LRA-SIF VKDAVL-S--301 350 PTH GFTLDEAERL TEEELHIIAO VPPPPAAAAV GYAGCRVAVT FFLYFLATNY Consensus -- T-- DA--- ----- ---- ---- GCKV--- FFQY----NY 351 400 PTH YWILVEGLYL HSLIFMAFFS EKKYLWGFTI FGWGLPAVFV AVWVGVRATL secretin AWLLVEGLYL HTLLAISFFS ERKYLQAFVL LGWGSPAIFV ALWAITRHFL calcitonin FWMLCEGVYL HTLIVVSVFA EGQRLWWYHV LGWGFPLIPT TAHAITRAVL Consensus -W-LVEGLYL HTLI--SFFS E-KYLW-F-- LGWG-PAIFV A-WAITRA-L 401 450 PTH ANTGCWDLSS GHK.KWIIQV PILASVVLNF ILFINIIRVL ATKLRETNAG secretin ENTGCWDINA NASVWWVIRG PVILSILINF IFFINILRIL MRKLR.TOET calcitonin FNDNCW.LSV DTNLLYIIHG PVMAALVVNF FFLLNILRVL VKKLKESQEA Consensus -NTGCWDLS- -----WII-G PV-AS-V-NF IFFINILRVL --KLRETQE-451 500 PTH RCDTRQQYRK LLRSTLVLVP LFGVHYTVFM ALPYTEVSGT LWQIQMHYEM secretin RGSETNHYKR LAKSTLLLIP LFGIHYIVFA FSPEDAM.....EVQLFFEL calcitonin ...ESHMYLK AVRATLILVP LLGVQFVVLP WRPSTPLLGK IYDYVVH... Consensus R--E---Y-K L-RSTL-LVP LFGVHY-VF- --P-T---G- ----Q-H-E-501 550 PTH LFNSFQGFFV AIIYCFCNGE VQAEIRKSWS RWTLALDFKR KARSGSSSYS secretin ALGSFQGLVV AVLYCFLNGE VQLEVQKKWR QWHLQ.EFPL RPVAFNNSFS calcitonin SLIHFQGFFV AIIYCFCNHE VQGALKRQWN QYQAQ....R WAGRRSTRAA Consensus -L-SFQGFFV AIIYCFCNGE VQ-E--K-W- QW-LQ--F-R -A---S-S-S

551 600 PTH YGPMVSHTSV TNVGPRAGLS LPLSPRLPPA TINGHSQLPG HAKPGAPATE secretin NATNGPTHST KA.....S TEQSRSIPRA .....SII*G CSK..APADE calcitonin NAAAATAAAA AALAETVEIP VYICHQEPRE EPAGEEPVVE VEGVEVIAME 601 650 PTH TETLPVTMAV PKDDGFLNGS CSGLD..EEA SGSARPPPLL QEEWETVM*L secretin GLDI...... .R*DGHLSDS HLVLDWLNRL VSRVRASSEI KQEETT.... calcitonin VLEQETSA*T *RKYSIVITE SSLPGRKTNL ASKM..ISIL PGGNISFVRI Consensus -L----- -R-DG-L--S -S-LD----L -S--R--S-L ---E--T----700 651 PTH GTRGLDCWPG HMDRWTKKPV FGWLSIGTGP GR*PKENGSG RSREEGRGFA secretin ......RSS RSRKRTHDLV F.LVLLRRAI QQLQKGQG...... calcitonin IQ*IFPS....*T*SKF YLVLLLRETV SEWSLPLQPL *TPVNSIFHP Consensus

Alignment of full length amino acid coding sequences for the neuropeptide receptors in group ii as assigned by peptide sequence homology in Table 2.46

	1				50
NK1	· • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
NK3			• • • • • • • • • •	IAVSFS	FQSYLKTPAP
NK2	AHRLGTLCTS	VIWDVP*IAL	REI*IQIRVC	HOLPECILLD	ASFL*LSAF*
Consensus					
	E1				100
NET	51				100
NK3	K*DCCD		DSINTACOUN	SCOUDINCODU	AMATT DAART
MR3	IWCEDFEDIO	DITOMOTIC	TEFFECTORD	CT NGT TOTT I	DAODOCET DE
Congongua	Incardar IQ	MINIPPALK	her er siskk	CHROTHOLIU	FRQFDOFLEL
Consensus					
	101				150
NK 1				AAT PARM.	DNVLPVDSDL
NK3	WTDGGGGVGA	DAVNITASLA	AGAATGAVET	CWILDING .	GNLSSSPSAL
NK2	L*AWPSGYTC	LLINRPSPLK	STHRRGFT.FC	GNSBBNCBAR	PCVPGPESVM
Consensus	G	NT_		GIA-	-NVS-I.
001100110110			- <b>G</b>	GD	
	151				200
NK1	.FPNTSTNTS	ESNO.	FVOPTW	OIVLWAAAYT	VIVVISVVGN
NK3	GLPVASPAPS	OPWANLTNO.	FVOPSW	RIALWSLAYG	VVVAVAVLGN
NK2	GTRAIVSDAN	ILSGLESNAT	GVTAFSMPGW	OLALWATAYL	ALVLVAVIGN
Consensus	G-PSS	SNO-	FVOP-W	OIALWA-AY-	V-V-VAV-GN
		-	-	~	
	201				250
NK1	VVVIWIILAH	KRMRTVINYF	LVNLAFAEAC	MAAFNIVVNF	TYAVHNVWYY
NK3	LIVIWIILAH	KRMRTVINYF	LVNLAFSDAS	MAAFNTLVNF	IYALHSEWYF
NK2	ATVIWIILAH	ERMRTVINYF	IINLALADLC	MAAFNATFNF	IYASHNIWYF
Consensus	VIWIILAH	KRMRTVINYF	LVNLAFADAC	MAAFNT-VNF	IYA-HN-WYF
	251				300
NK1	GLFYCKFHNF	FPIAALFASI	YSMTAVAFDR	YMAIIHPLQP	RLSATATKVV
NK3	GANYCRFONF	FPITAVFASI	YSMTAIAVDR	YMAIIDPLKP	RLSATATKIV
NK2	GRAFCYFONL	FPITAMFVSI	YSMTAIAADR	YMAIVHPFQP	RLSAPSTKAI
Consensus	GYC-FQNF	FPITA-FASI	YSMTAIA-DR	YMAIIHPLQP	RLSATATK-V
	301				350
NKl	IFVIWVLALL	LAFPQGYYST	TETMPSRVVC	MIEWPEHPNR	TYEKAYHICV
NK3	IGSIWILAFL	LAFPQCLYSK	TKVMPGRTLC	FVQWPEGPKQ	HF. TYHIIV
NK2	IAGIWLVALA	LASPQCFYST	ITVDEGATKC	VVAWPNDNGG	KMLLLYHLVV
Consensus	IIW-LALL	LAFPQC-YST	T-VMPGRT-C	-V-WPE-P	YHI-V
	351				400
NK1	TVLIYFLPLL	VIGYAYTVVG	ITLWASEIP.	GDSSDRYHEQ	VSAKRKVVKM
NK3	11LVYCFPLL	IMGITYTIVG	TTLWGGEIP.	GDTCDKYHEQ	LKAKRKVVKM
NK2	FVLIYFLPLL	VMFGAYSVIG	LTLWKRAVPR	HQAHGANLRH	LQAKKKFVKA
Consensus	-ATTALUDU	vmg-ay'fvvg	TTTMEIP-	GDD-YHEQ	L-AKRKVVKM
	401				450
2177 1	4UL MTTRAZONAL		T DUTIMON	WWDT OCT	45U
NK1	MIVVVCTFAL	CWLPFHIFFL	LPYINPDLYL	KKF IQQVYLA	SMWLAMSSIM
NK3	MILVVMIPAL	CWLPIHIIFI	LTALYQUENR	WKYLQQVYLA	SPWLAMSSIM
NKZ	MVLVVLTFAL	CWLPIHLIFI	LGTFQEDIYY	HKFIQQVYLA	LEWLAMSSIM
Consensus	MI-VV-TFAL	CMPAHIILI	PTDPI-	-KF.TOOATPY	SPWLAMSSIM
	451				EOO
1		בוזשתי זמעמת	FOCODETCEC	DVDOT DRATO	
	VNDTTVCCTN	NAL KTYLL VUR	FRUCPFISAG	DIEGREMKOL	KILVI. OSSA
LANI CANI	VNDTTVOOTN	UDEDCODDI 3	FRWCFFLKVS	SIDELISLIKI'I	RE HENKUSSM
Congenaua	VNDTTVCCTN	DED CERT	FRECEWVIPI	-VD IETZ C	PI DOCC
Consensus	INFILICULN	-AF A-GF A-A	r ruurr 1	– 10– 11511K–T	к-пкбор-
	501				EEO
אזע 1	VKUCDIFUT	STATICALEDE	DEECDENT	ST DT MENICEC	סכעכ שייי
NK 3 NVT	YTVTRMRCMM	WEDDIDAD	TDSSDERDAM	DDDDGENICCO	DDNG VC
NK2			SFATNCOUCE	DUDGEDVGDT	
Consensus	Y-V-R-ET		-EKC	P_DNC_Q	R-NSK-
		~ ~ ~ ~			

	551				600
NK1	MTESSSFYSN	ILA*AARHNM			••••
NK3	ASATSSFISS	PYTSV	DEYS*FHFLR	*KI	SVRPSWCOS.
NK2	AADGKAPT**	LVPHPILQHT	NRKNRMVLGM	POALOVSTKN	SEHRHLSOAT
Consensus	ASSF-S-				SQ

,

`

## Alignment of full length amino acid coding sequences for the neuropeptide receptors in group iii as assigned by peptide sequence homology in Table 2.46

50 1 TRH EFRRV*RNCR SED*ASAK*S SCOTDWIRFL LODWKLGPIS TSSTRETGSV ..... MHLNSSVPQG TPGEPDAQPF neurotensin Consensus -----P-- T---DA-100 51 TRH TE*RGERTTA KINRQKGEGW KDVLESPCQR SFKPLKMEND TVSEMNQTEL Consensus S-P--E---- ----G--- -F--L--SN- ---EM-S---101 150 TRH QP. QAAVAL EYQVVTILLV VIIC....GL GIVGNIMVVL VVMRTK....H neurotensin GP..NSDLDV NTDIYSKVLV TAIYLALFVV GTVGNSVTAF TLARKKSLQS neuropeptide Y DSMKEPCFRE ENANFNKIFL PTIYSIIFLT GIVGNGLVIL VMGYQK...K Consensus -P----- E-----K-LV --IY---F-- GIVGN--V-L V--R-K----151 200 TRH MRTPINCYLV SLAVADLMVL VAAGLPNITD SIYG ... SWVY GYVGCLCITY neurotensin LQSTVHYHLG SLALSDLLIL LLAMPVELYN FIWVHHPWAF GDAGCRGYYF neuropeptide Y LRSMTDKYRL HLSVADLLFV ITLPFWAVDA VA....NWYF GNFLCKAVHV Consensus LRS-T--YL- SLAVADLL-L --A------ -I-----W-F G--GC-----201 250 TRH LQYLGINASS CSITAFTIER YIAICHPIKA OFLCTFSRAK KIIIFVWAFT neurotensin LRDACTYATA LNVASLSVER YLAICHPFKA KTIMSRSRTK KFISAIWLAS opeptide Y IYTVNLYSSV LILAFISLDR YLAIVHATNS Q.....RPR KLLAEKVVYV Consensus L----YAS- L--A--S-ER YLAICHP-KA Q-L---SR-K K-I---W--neuropeptide Y 251 300 TRH SIYCMLWFFL LDL....NIS TYKNAVVVSC GYKISRNYYS PIYLMD.FGV neurotensin ALLAIPMLFT MGLQNRSGDG THPGGLV..C TPIVDTATVK VVIQVN.TFM neuropeptide Y GVWIPALLLT IPDFIFANVS EADDRYI..C DRFYPNDLWV VVFQFQHIMV Consensus ------LFT --L----N-S T-----V--C ------ VV-Q-----V 301 350 TRH FYVVPMILAT VLYGFIARIL FLNPIPSDPK ENSKMWKNDS IHONKNLNLN neurotensin SFLFPMLVIS ILNTVIANKL TV ... MVHOAA EOGRVCTVGT HNGLEHSTFN neuropeptide Y GLILPGIVIL SCYCIIISKL S..... Consensus ----PMIVI- -LY--IA-KL ----- E------ E------N 351 400 TRH ATNRCFNSTV SSRKQVTKML AVVVILFALL WMPYRTLVVV NSFLSSPF.. neurotensin MTIEP..GRV QALRHGVLVL RAVVIAFVVC WLPYHVRRLM FCYISDEQ.. neuropeptide Y .....HSKG HQKRKALKTT VILILAFFAC WLPYYIGISI DSFILLEIIK .....HSKG HQKRKALKTT VILILAFFAC WLPYYIGISI DSFILLEIIK Consensus -T----S-V ---R---K-L --VVIAF--C WLPY----- -SFIS-E---401 450 TRH QENWFLLFCR IC.....I YLNSAINPVI YNLMSQKFRA AFRK....LC neurotensin WTTFLFDFYH YFYMLTNALF YVSSAINPIL YNLVSANFRQ VFLSTLACLC neuropeptide Y QGCEFENTVH KWISITEALA FFHCCLNPIL YAFLGAKFKT SAQHALTSVS Consensus Q---F--F-H -----T-AL- Y--SAINPIL YNL-SAKFR- -F---L--LC 451 500 TRH NCKQKPTEKA ANYSVALNYS VIKESDRFST ELEDIT..... neurotensin PGWRHRRKKR PTFSRKPN.. SMSSNHAFST SATRET*... neuropeptide Y RGSSLKILSK GKRGGHSSVS TESESSSFHS S*HRCKRLFF IR*ITFF*VT Consensus -G-----K- ---S---N-S --SES--FST S--R-T---- ------501 550 ਾਸ਼ਸ ... neurotensin ••••••••••• ••••••••• neuropeptide Y HFSDIKD*PI LYSFYCLLDF CLVFL*FL*S LIDLFI*IFF VSY*CVSRQD 

## Alignment of full length amino acid coding sequences for the neuropeptide receptors in group iv as assigned by peptide sequence homology in Table 2.46

50 1 bombesin NCSORDSV*D GGRKS*DKVG LILSFSSG*V SVAC*LSECT DVLLAGGVKA CCK ..... 51 100 bombesin GTEPTSTN*A RVWNTSVCVC VCVCVCVCEF RVF*REIKRL TQISEPN*QT endothlin SGAGSSMOPP PSLCGRALVA LVLACGLSRI WGEERGFPPD RA..... CCK .... PQCLPR CSENGELKLP LGMAAKPTPG NLPLPAPPRQ VAFGRP.... Consensus -----S--P- -S-NG---V- L--A--------FLR--PR- -A----P----101 150 bombesin FSA*LKNPEV TKQHLEGAFE ERSFEMAPNN CSHLNLDVDP FLSCN..DTF endothlin .TPLLOTAEI MTPPTKTLWP KGSNASLARS LAPAEVPKGD RTAGSPPRTI CCK CDH*RRETGM SHSPARQHLV ESSRMDVVDS LLMNGSNITP PCELGLENET Consensus ----- E- ---- E-S------ S L------- P -------T-151 200 bombesin NQSLSPPKMD NWFHPGFIYV IPAVYGLIIV IGLIGNITLI KIFCTVKSMR endothlin SPP..PCQGP IEIKETFKYI NTVVSCLVFV LGIIGNSTLL RIIYKNKCMR CCK LFCLDQPQPS KEWQSALQIL ...LYSIIFL LSVLGNTLVI TVLIRNKRMR Consensus ----L-PPQ-- -E----F-Y- ----VY-LIFV LG-IGN-TLI -I---NK-MR 201 250 bombesin NVPNLFISSL ALGDLLLLVT CAPVDASKYL ADRWLFGRIG CKLIPFIQLT endothlin NGPNILIASL ALGDILHIVI DIPINVYKLL AEDWPFGAEM CKLVPFIQKA CCK TVTNIFLLSL AVSDLMLCLF CMPFNLIPNL LKDFIFGSAV CKTTTYFMGT Consensus NVPNIFI-SL ALGOLLL-V- C-P----K-L A-DW-FG--- CKL-PFIQ-T 251 300 bombesin SVGVSVFTLT ALSADRYKAI VRPMDIQASH ALMKICLKAA LIWIVSMLLA endothlin SVGITVLSLC ALSIDRYRAV ASWSRIKGIG VPKWTAVEIV LIWVVSVVLA CCK SVSVSTFNLV AISLERYGAI CRPLOSRVWO TKSHALKVIA ATWCLSFTIM Consensus SVGVSVF-L- ALS-DRY-AI -RP--I---- ----- IA LIW-VS--LA 301 350 bombesin IPEAVFSDLH PFHVKDTNQT FISCAPYPHS N..ELHPKIH SMASFLVFYV endothlin VPEAIGFDII TMDYKGSYLR ICLLHPVOKT AFMOFYKTAK DWWLFSFYFC CCK TPYPIYSNLV PFTKNNNQTA NMCRFLLPSD AMQQSWQT....FLLLILFL Consensus -PEAI-SDL- PF--K---- ----P---- A--Q----- ---LFL--F-351 400 bombesin IPLAIISVYY YFIARNLIQ. ..... endothlin LPLAITAFFY TIMTCEMLR. ..... CCK LPGIVMVVAY GLISLELYQG IKFDASQKKS AKEKKPSTGS STRYEDSDGC 401 450 bombesin ..... SAYNLPVEGN IHVKKQIESR KRLAKTVLVF endothlin ...... KKSGMQIALN DHLKQ....R REVAKTVFCL CCK YLOKSRPPRK LELOOLSSGS GGSRLNRIRS SSSAANLIAK KRVIRMLIVI Consensus -----R KRVAKTV-V-451 500 bombesin VGLFAFCWLP NHVIYLYRSY HYSEVDTSM. ....LHFVTS ICAHLLAFTN endothlin VLVFALCWLP LHLSRILKLT LYNQNDPNRC ELLSFLLVLD YIGINMASLN CCK VVLFFLCWMP IFSANAWRAY DTVSAEKHLS GTPISFILL. .....LSYTS Consensus V-LFA-CWLP -H----R-Y -Y--D---- ----VL- ----LAFTN 501 550 bombesin SCVNPFALYL LSKSFRKQFN TQLLC..... CQPGL MNRSHS..TG endothlin SCINPIALYL VSKRFKNCFK SCLCC....W CQSFEEKQSL EEKQSCLKFK CCK SCVNPIIYCF MNKRFRLGFM ATFPCCPNPG PPGVRGEVGE EEDGRTIRAL Consensus SCVNPIALYL -SKRFR--F- --L-C-----GL EE-----GL EE------

,

	551				600
bombesin	RSTTCMTSFK	STNPSATFSL	INRNICHEGY	V*TKLQPCL*	RNSWYCSTDV
endothlin	ANDHGYDNFR	SSNKYSSS*K	KNYSLYFIFF	ILDRSH*NKM	KHLPKONKKL
CCK	LSRYSYSHMS	TSAPPP*TPP	GPL		
Consensus	-SYF-	SSNP	-N		

•

•

## Alignment of full length amino acid coding sequences for the neuropeptide receptors in group v as assigned by peptide sequence homology in Table 2.46

50 angiotensin .....PA LGGT*RSARG AGLIFDKLI* bradykinin .....RRVS STVGDGVAGS R*PWTEGLPT TONRLSREKD DPHSSLS*VO n-formyl peptide Consensus -----R-- --V----- ----E--PA L----S---- ---I----I-100 51 angiotensin NGWVFI.*IT H*CHPRKSAP GVFDIVFAIN STQVIKMILN SSTEDGIKRI bradykinin MHCSWKRPVL LSVHEPMPTT ASLGIEMFNI TTQ....ALG SAHNGTFSEV 101 150 angiotensin QDDCPKAGRH NYIFVMIPTL .. YSIIFVVG IFGNSLVVIV IYFYMKLKTV bradykinin ...NCPDTEWW SWLNAIQAPF ..LWVLFLLA ALENIFVLSV FCLHKINCTV n-formyl peptide ..GGTPAVSA GYLFLDIITY LVFAVTFVLG VLGNGLVIWV AGFRMT.HTV Consensus QDDCPKAGRH SY-FVMIPTL --YS-IFV-G I-GNSLVVIV IYFYM-LKTV 151 200 angiotensin ASVFLLNLAL ADLCFLLTLP LWAVYTAMEY RWPFGNYLCK LASASVSFNL bradykinin AEIYLGNLAA ADLILACGLP FWAITIANNF DWLFGEVLCR VVNTMIYMNL n-formyl peptide TTISYLNLAV ADFCFTSTLP FFMVRKAMGG HWPFGWFLCK FLFTIVDINL Consensus AS-FLLNLAL ADLCFLLTLP -WAVYTAMEY RWPFGN-LCK IAS-SV-FNL 201 250 angiotensin YASVFLLTCL SIDRYLAIVH PMKSRLRRTM LVAKVTCIII WLLAGLASLP bradykinin YSSICFLMLV SIDRYLALVK TMSMGRMRGV RWAKLYSLVI WSCTLLLSSP n-formyl peptide FGSVFLIALI ALDRCVCVLH PVWTONHRTV SLAKKVIIGP WVMALLLTLP Consensus YASVFLLT-- SIDRYLAIVH PMKSRLRRT- LVAKVTCIII WLMA-L-SLP 251 300 angiotensin AIIHRNV..F FIENTNITVC AFHYESONST ..... LPIGLGLTKN bradykinin MLVFRTMKDY REEGHNVTAC VIVYPSRSWE ...... VFTNMLL..N n-formyl peptide VIIRVTTVP. ..GKTGTVAC TFNFSPWIND PKERINVAVA MLTVRGIIRF Consensus AIIHR-V--Y FIENTNIT-C AFHYESRNS- ----- L--GLGLTKN 301 350 angiotensin ILGFLFPFLI ILTSYTLIWK ALKKAYEIQK NKPRNDD..I FKIIMAIVLF bradykinin LVGFLLPLSI I.TFCTVRIM QVLRNNEMKK FKEVQTEKKA TVLVLAVLGL n-formyl peptide IIGFSAPMSI VAVSYGLIAT KIHKQGLIKS SRP...... LRVLSFVAAA Consensus I-GFLFPF-I ILTSYTLIWK A-KKAYEI-K NKPRNDD--I FRIIMA-VLF 351 400 angiotensin FFFSWIPHQI FTFLDVLIQL GIIRDCRIAD IVDTAMPITI CIAYFNNCLN bradykinin FVLCWFPFQI STFLDTLLRL GVLSGCWNER AVDIVTQISS YVAYSNSCLN n-formyl peptide FFLCWSPYQV VALIATVRIR ELLQG..MYK EIGIAVDVTS ALAFFNSCLN Consensus FF--W-P-QI FTFLD-LIQL GV---C-I-D IVD-AMPIT- CIAYFN-CLN 401 450 angiotensin PLFYGFLGKK FKRYFLQLLK YIPPKA.KSH SNLSTKMSTL SYRPSDN... bradykinin PLVYVIVGKR FRKKSREVYQ AICRKG.GCM GE......SVQ... n-formyl peptide PMLYVFMGQD FRERLIHALP ASLERALTED STQTSDTATN STLPSAEVAL Consensus PLFY-FLGKK F-KYFLQLLK -IPPKA-KSH S-LSTKMSTL SYRPSDN---451 500 angiotensin ..... VSSSTKKPAP CFEVE*HVRN LSIK*FCERR SKRTFLCSTS bradykinin ...... MENSMGTLRT SISVDRQIHK LQ.DWAGNKQ *TQAIGQDDC n-formyl peptide QAK*GGSWGT LSSSQLQLRL TLS*AEHRHF LLILGLPTHQ KKKKSLCVP* Consensus ----- MSSS-KK--- CF-VE--VH- L-I---C--- SK-A-LCSD-

# Alignment of full length amino acid coding sequences for the neuropeptide receptors in group vi as assigned by peptide sequence homology in Table 2.46

.

	1				50
somatostatin			DL*	AAWF*TE	WKAAMEM
d-opioid			SKGWV	PAPRAHGGDG	HGGAMELVPS
- VIP					MDLHLF
Consensus				-A	AMEL
	51				100
somatostatin	.SSEQLNGSQ	VWVSSPFDLN	GSLGPSNGSN	QTEPYYDM	TSNAVL
d-opioid	ARAELQSSPL	VNLSDAFPSA	FPSAGANASG	SPGARSASSL	.ALAIAI
VIP	DYAEPGNFSD	ISWPC	NSSDCI	VVDTVMCPNM	PNKSVLLYTL
Consensus	AEN-S-	VSF	SN-S-	М	AVL
	101				150
somatostatin	TFIYFVVCVV	GLCGNTLVIY	VILRYAKMKT	ITNIYILNLA	IADELFMLGL
d-opioid	TALYSAVCAV	GLLGNVLVMF	GIVRYTKLKT	ATNIYIFNLA	LADALATSTL
VIP	SFIYIFIFVI	GMIANSVVVW	VNIQAKTTGY	DTHCYILNLA	IADLWVVLTI
Consensus	TFIYVCVV	GL-GN-LV	VI-RY-K-KT	-TNIYILNLA	IAD-LLTL
	1 - 1				202
	151		DIRBORA		200
somatostatin	PFLAMQVALV	HWPFGKALC	RVVMTVDGIN	QFISIFCLIV	MSIDRILAVV
a-opioia	PPUSAKY.LM	ETWPFGELLC	KAVLSIDYYN	METSIFTLIM	MSVDRYLAVC
VIP	PVWVVSLVQH	NOWPMGELIC	KVTHLIFSIN	LFSGIFFLIC	MSVDRYLSIT
Consensus	Pr	WPRGEL-C	KVV1D-1N	-FTSIF-LT-	MSVDRILAV-
	201				250
cometostatin	HDIRGYRMDD		VALUET TVITT	DTMT VACT DC	NOWCDSSCOT
d-opioid	HP LINDALWAR	DAVANT INTC	TWAT SCUCY	PIMUIAGLES	NOWGROSCII
	VETNEDCCDV	PARARUINIC	TWATTYER		
Consensus	HD-KB-	PAK-TNT-	VWLLAPCV3L	PDIIILAIVI	SASINETICK
00110011040		1 /M. 101	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I Щ-IR-1	
	251				300
somatostatin	NWPGESGA	WYTGFIIYAF	ILGFLVPLTI	TCLCYLFTTT	KVKSSGTRVG
d-opioid	OFPSPSWY	WDTVTKICVF	LFAFVVPILI	ITVCYGLMLL	RLRSVRLLSG
VIP	SFYPEHSIKE	WLIGMELVSV	VLGFAVPFSI	LAVFYFLL	ARAISAS
Consensus	-FP-ES	W-TGIF	-LGF-VPI	ICY-L	G
	301				350
somatostatin	SSKRKKSEKK	VTRMVSIVVA	VFIFCWLPFY	IFNVSSVSVA	ISP
d-opioid	SKEKDRSLRR	ITRMVLVVVG	AFVVCWAPIH	IFVIVWTLVD	INRR
VIP	SDQEKHSSRK	IIFSYVV	VFLVCWLPYH	VAVLLDIFSI	LHYIPFTCRL
Consensus	SK-S-RK	ITRMVVV-	VF-VCWLP-H	IFVV-	IR-
	351				400
somatostatin	TPALKGMFDF	VVILTYANIC	ANPILYAFLS	DNFKKSFQNV	LCLVKVSGTE
d-opioid	DPLVVAALHL	CIALGYANSS	LNPVLYAFLD	ENFKRCFRQ.	LCRTPCGRQE
VIP	EHALFTALHV	TQCLSLVHCC	VNPVLYSFIN	RNYRYELMKA	FIFKYSAKTG
Consensus	-PALALH-	L-YAN-C	-NPVLYAFL-	-NFKF	LCTE
	401				450
somatostatin	DGERSDSKQD	KSRLNETTET	QRTLLNGDLQ	TSI*TTQERN	MHTH*PSPDS
d-opioid	PGSLRRPRQA	TTRERVTACT	PSDGPGGGAA	A*PTRPSP*T	PLPSEVIORP
VIP	LTKLIDASRV	SETEYSALEQ	NAK	• • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Consensus	-G-L-D	RET-ET	G		

## APPENDIX III

#### pT7Blue T-Vector Kit, R & D Systems

A vector specifically constructed for cloning of PCR products. Taq DNA polymerase leaves single 3' A-nucleotide overhangs on the reaction products (Clark *et al* 1988). Therefore this vector contains compatible single T-nucleotide overhangs allowing direct ligation of the PCR product, but preventing self-ligation.

The vector comes ready prepared for ligation, by digestion with EcoR1 followed by the addition of single 3' dT residues at each end. A positive control ligation fragment of 50bp with single 3' dA end residues is provided.

#### pcDNA3 Mammalian Expression Vector, Invitrogen

A 5.4kb vector designed for high-level stable and transient expression in eukaryotic hosts. It contains the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter which gives high-level expression in a wide range of mammalian cells; a multiple cloning site polylinker; the bovine growth hormone (BGH) polyadenylation signal for polyadenylation of transcribed mRNAs; the neomycin resistance marker for the selection of stable transformants in the presence of G418. The vector also contains an SV40 origin and therefore will only replicate episomally in cell lines which express the SV40 large T antigen.

The positive control for transfection and expression is pcDNA3 with the 800bp chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT) gene cloned into the HindIII site of the polylinker.

## APPENDIX IV

.

.

Codes for nucleotide sequence degeneracy (chapter 3)

<u>,</u>.:

M = A or C K = G or T N = A, C, G or T R = A or G Y = C or T W = A or T S = G or C D = A, G or TH = A, C or T

1kb Marker (Gibco BRL) (chapters 3 & 4)

abcdef g h i j k l m n opq r s

12 000 bp	k	2 000 bp
11 000 bp	1	1 600 bp
10 000 bp	m	1 000 bp
9 000 bp	n	500 bp
8 000 bp	0	400 bp
7 000 bp	р	350 bp
6 000 bp	q	300 bp
5 000 bp	r	200 bp
4 000 bp	S	100 bp
3 000 bp		
	12 000 bp 11 000 bp 10 000 bp 9 000 bp 8 000 bp 7 000 bp 6 000 bp 5 000 bp 4 000 bp 3 000 bp	12 000 bp       k         11 000 bp       l         10 000 bp       m         9 000 bp       n         8 000 bp       o         7 000 bp       p         6 000 bp       q         5 000 bp       r         4 000 bp       s         3 000 bp       y

Calculation of Standard Error of the Mean (chapter 5)

Standard Deviation = $\sum (x'-X)^2$	where
n-1	n = number of saturation assays $x'=$ individual value
Standard Error of the Mean $=$ <u>S.D.</u> (S.E.M.) n	x = mean value

## REFERENCES

,

- Ahlquist, R.R. (1948). A study of the adrenergic receptors. American Journal of Physiology, 153, 586
- Albert, P.R., Neve, K.A., Bunzow, J.R., Civelli, O. (1990). Coupling of a cloned rat dopamine-D₂ receptor to inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and prolactin secretion. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **265**, 2098-2104
- Applebury, M.L. & Hargrave, P.A. (1986). Molecular biology of the visual pigments. Vision Research, 26(12), 1881-1895
- Attwood, T.K., Eliopoulos, E.E., Findlay, J.B.C. (1991). Multiple sequence alignment of protein families showing low sequence homology - a methodological approach using database pattern matching. *Gene*, **98(2)**, 153-159
- Baldwin, J.M. (1993). The probable arrangement of the helices in G proteincoupled receptors. *EMBO Journal*, **12(4)**, 1693-1703
- Barker, R. (1991). Substance P and meurodegenerative disorders. A speculative review . *Neuropeptides*, **20(2)**, 73-78
- Benovic, J.L., Mayor, F.J., Somers, R.L., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R. (1986).
   Light-dependent phosphorylation of rhodopsin by β-adrenergic receptor kinase. *Nature*, 321, 869-872
- Birnbaumer, L., Abramowitz, J., Brown, A.M. (1990). Receptor effector coupling by G-proteins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, **1031**, 163-224
- Brandl, C.J. & Deber, C.M. (1986). Hypothesis about the fuction of membraneburied proline residues in transport proteins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 83(4), 917-921
- Brosius, L. (1991). Retroposons Seeds of evolution. Science, 65, 753
- Bunzow, J.R., Vantol, H.H.M., Grandy, D.K., Albert, P., Salon, J., Christie, M., Machida, C.A., Neve, K.A., Civelli, O. (1988). Cloning and expression of a rat D₂ dopamine receptor cDNA. *Nature*, 336(6201), 783-787
- Burbach, J.P.H. & Meijer, O.C. (1992). The structure of neuropeptide receptors. European Journal of Pharmacology -Molecular Pharmacology Section, 227(1), 1-18
- Castro, S.W. & Strange, P.G. (1993). Differences in the ligand binding properties of the short and long versions of the D₂ dopamine receptor. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, **60**, 372-375
- Castro, S.W. & Strange, P.G. (1993²). Coupling of D₂ and D₃ dopamine receptors to G-proteins. *FEBS Letters*, **315(3)**, 223-226
- Chang, C.P., Pearse, R.V., O'Connell, R., Rosenfeld, M.G. (1993). Identification of a seven transmembrane helix receptor for corticotropinreleasing factor and sauvagine in mammalian brain. *Neuron*, **11**, 1187-1195

- Cheng, Y. & Prusoff, W.H. (1973). Relationship between the inhibition constant (Ki) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50% inhibition (I50) of an enzyme reaction. Journal of Biochemical Pharmacology, 22(23), 3099-3108
- Clark, J.M. (1988). Novel non-templated nucleotide addition reactions catalyzed by prokaryotic and eukaryotic DNA polymerases. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **16**, 9677-9686
- Compton, T. (1990). Degenerate primers for DNA amplification; in *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*, 390-396. Academic Press
- Dal Toso, R., Sommer, B., Ewert, M., Herb, A., Pritchett, D.B., Bach, A., Shivers, B.D., Seeburg, P.H. (1989). The dopamine D2 receptor two molecular forms generated by alternative splicing. *EMBO Journal*, 8, 4025-4034
- Dearry, A., Gingrich, J.A., Falardeau, P., Fremeau, R.T.J., Caron, M.G. (1990). Molecular cloning and expression of the gene for a human D₁ dopamine receptor. *Nature*, **347**, 72-76
- Deber, C.M., Brandl, C.J., Deber, R.S. (1986). Amino acid composition of the membrane and aqueous domains of integral membrane proteins. *Archives of Biocemistry and Biophysics*, **251**(1), 68-76
- Dixon, R.A., Kobilka, B.K., Strader, D., Benovic, J.L., Dohlman, H.G., Frielle, T., Bolanowski, M.A., Bennett, C.D., Rands, E., Diehl, R.F., Mumford, R.A., Slater, E.E., Sigal, I.S., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R.J., Strader, C.D. (1986). Cloning of the gene for mammalian β-adrenergic receptor and homology with rhodopsin. *Nature*, 321, 75-79
- Dixon, R.A.F., Sigal, I.S., Candelore, M.R., Register, R.B., Scatterfood, W., Rands, E., Strader, C.D. (1987). Structural features required for ligand binding to the β-adrenergic receptor. *EMBO Journal*, 6, 3269-3275
- Dohlman, H.G., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R.J. (1987). A family of receptors coupled to guanine nucleotide regulatory proteins. *Biochemistry* (USA), 26(10), 2657-2664
- Dohlman, H.G., Bouvier, M., Benovic, J.L., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R.J. (1987²). The multiple membrane spanning topography of the β₂-Adrenoceptor. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 262, 14282-14288
- Dohlman, H.G., Caron, M.G., Strader, C.D., Amlaiky, N., Lefkowitz, R.J. (1988). Identification and sequence of a binding site peptide of the β₂-adrenergic receptor. *Biochemistry* (USA), 27(6), 1813-1817
- Dohlman, H.G., Thorner, J., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R.J. (1991). Model systems for the study of seven-transmembrane-segment receptors. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, **60**, 653-688
- Donnelly, D., Findlay, J.B.C., Blundell, T.L. (1994). The evolution and structure of aminergic G-protein coupled receptors. *Receptors & Channels*, 2(1), 61-78
- Eberhardt, N.L. (1992). A shell program for the design of PCR primers using Genetics Computer Group (GCG) software on VAX/VMS systems. *BioComputing*, **13(6)**, 914-917
- England, B.P., Ackerman, M.S., Barrett, R.W. (1991). a chimeric D₂ dopamine/m1 muscarinic recptor with D₂ binding specificity mobilises intracellular calcium in response to dopamine. *FEBS Letters*, **279(1)**, 87-90
- Falardeau, P. (1994). Functional distinctions of dopamine D_{2L} & D_{2S} receptors; in Dopamine Receptors and Transporters: Pharmacology, Structure and Function., (Niznik HB, ed.), Marcel Dekker, N.Y.
- Feng, D.F. & Doolittle R.F. (1990). Progressive alignment and phylogenetic tree construction of protein sequences. *Methods in Enzymology*, 183, 375-387
- Fong, T.M., Huang, R.R.C., Strader, C.D. (1992). Localization of agonist and antagonist binding domains of the human neurokinin-1 receptor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 267, 25664-25667
- Frielle, T., Collins, S., Kiefer, W.D., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R.J., Kobilka, B.K. (1987). Cloning of the cDNA for the human β1-adrenergic receptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, 84, 7920-7924
- Gilman, A.G.G. (1987). Proteins: Transducers of receptor-generated signals. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 56, 615-649
- Gingrich, J.A. & Caron, M.G. (1993). Recent advances in the molecular biology of dopamine receptors. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, **16**, 299-321
- Guiramand, J., Montmayeur, J-P., Ceraline, J., Bhatia, M., Borrelli, E. (1995). Alternative splicing of the dopamine D₂ receptor directs specificity of coupling to G-proteins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 270(13), 7354-7358
- Giros, B., Sokoloff, P., Martres, M.P., Riou, J.F., Emorine, L.J., Schwarz, J.C. (1989). Alternative splicing directs the expression of two D₂ dopamine receptor isoforms. *Nature*, **342**, 923-926
- Giros, B., Martres, M.P., Sokoloff, P., Schwarz, J.C. (1990). Gene cloning of human dopamine receptor and identification of its chromosome. *Comptes rendus de l'Academie des Sciences - Serie Iiii Sciences de la Vie*, **311(13)** 501-508
- Grandy, D.K., Marchionni, M.A., Makam, H., Stofko, R.E., Alfano, M., Frothingham, L., Fischer, J.B., Burke, H.K., Bunzow, J.R., Server, A.C., Civelli, O. (1989). Cloning of the cDNA and gene for the human D₂ dopamine receptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, **86**, 9762-9766

- Hanahan, D. (1983). Studies on transformation of *E. Coli* with plasmids. *Journal* of *Molecular Biology*, **166(4)**, 557-580
- Henderson, R., Baldwin, J.M., Ceska, T.A., Zemlin, F., Beckmann, E., Downing, K.H. (1990). Model for the structure of bacteriorhodopsin based on high-resolution electron cryo-microscopy. *Molecular Biology*, 213, 899-929
- Hibert, F.H., Trumpp-Kallmeyer, S., Bruinvels, A., Hoflack, J. (1991). Threedimensional models of neurotransmitter G-binding protein-coupled receptors. *Molecular Pharmacology*, 40, 8-15
- Hibert, F.H., Trumpp-Kallmeyer, S., Hoflack, J., Bruinvels, A. (1993). This is not a G-protein coupled receptor. *Trends in Pharmacological Science*, 14, 7-12
- Hille, B. (1992). G-protein coupled mechanisms and nervous signalling. Neuron, 9(2), 187-195
- Hoflack, J., Trumpp-Kallmeyer, S., Hibert, M. (1994). Re-evaluation of bacteriorhodopsin as a model for G protein-coupled receptors. Trends in Pharmacological Science, **15**, 7-9
- Innis, M.A., Myambo, K.B., Gelfand, D.H., Brow, M.A. (1988). DNA sequencing with Thermus aquaticus DNA polymerase and direct sequencing of PCR amplified DNA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, **85(24)**, 9436-9440
- Innis, M.A. & Gelfand, D.H. (1990). Optimisation of PCR; in *PCR Protocols:* A Guide to Methods and Applications, pp.3-45. Academic Press
- Ishihara, T., Nakamura, S., Kaziro, Y., Takahashi, K., Nagata, S. (1991). Molecular cloning and expression of a cDNA encoding the secretin receptor. *EMBO Journal*, **10(7)**, 1635-1641
- Karbe, H., Wienhard, K., Hamacher, K., Huber, M., Herholz, K., Coenen, H.H., Stockin, G., Lovenich, A., Heiss, W.D. (1991). Positron emission tomography with (F-18)methylspiperone demonstrates the D₂ dopamine receptor binding differences of clozapone and haloperidol. *Journal of Neural Transmission - General Section*, 86(3), 163-173
- Karnik, S.S. & Khorana, H.G. (1990). Assembly of functional rhodopsin requires a disulphide bridge between cysteine residues 110 & 187. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 265, 17520-24
- Kebabian, J.W. & Calne, D.B. (1979). Multiple receptors for dopamine. Nature, 277(5692), 93-96
- Kobilka, B.D., Dixon, R.A.F., Frielle, T., Dohlman, H.G., Bolanowski, M.A., Sigal, I.S., Yang-Feng, T.L., Franke, U.Y., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R.J. (1987). cDNA for the human β2-adrenergic receptor: A protein with multiple membrane spanning domains and encoded by a gene whose location is shared with that of the receptor for platelet derived growth factor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, 84, 46-50

- Kobilka, B.K., Kobilka, T.S., Daniel, K., Regan, J.W., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz,
  R.J. (1988). Chimeric α₂, β₂-adrenergic receptors: Delineation of domains involved in effector coupling and ligand binding specificity. *Science*, 240(4857), 1310-1316
- Kyte, J. & Doolittle, R.F. (1982). A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **157**, 105-132
- Lands, A.M., Arnold, A., McAuliff, J.P., Cuduena, F.P., Brown, T.G. (1967). Differentiation of receptor systems by sympthomimetic amines. *Nature*, **214**, 597-598
- Lefkowitz, R.J., Stadel, J.M., Caron, M.G. (1983). Adenylate cyclase-coupled βadrenergic receptors: Structure and mechanisms of activation and desensitisation. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, **52**, 159-186
- Lentes, K-U., Mathieu, E., Bischoff, R., Rasmussen, U.B., Pavirani, A. (1993). Hydrophobic cluster analysis of G-protein coupled receptors: A powerful tool to derive structural and functional information from 2D-representation of protein sequences. *Journal of Receptor Research*, **13(1-4)**, 179-194
- Leyson, J.E., Gommeren, W., Mertens, J., Luyten, W., Pauwels, P.J., Ewert, M., Seeburg, P. (1993). Comparison of in vitro binding properties of a series of dopamine antagonists and agonists for cloned human dopamine D_{2S} and D_{2L} receptors and for D₂ receptors in rat striatal and mesolimbic tissues, using [¹²⁵I] 2'-iodospiperone. *Psychopharmacology*, **110**, 27-36
- Libert, F., Parmentier, M., Lefort, A., Dinsert, C., Varsande, J., Machaut, C., Simons, M.J., Dumont, J.E., Vassart, G. (1989). Selective amplification and cloning of four new members of the G-protein coupled receptor family. *Science*, **244(4904)**, 569-572
- Maruyama, M. & Akitak, K. (1986). Effect of detergents on solutions of substance P binding sites from rat brain membranes. Japanese Journal of Pharmacology, **40(3)**, 250
- McPherson, M.J., Jones, K.M., Gurr, S.J. (1990). PCR with highly degenerate primers; in *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*. Academic Press
- Monsma, F.J., Mahan, L.C., McVittie, L.D., Gerfen, C.R., Sibley, D.R. (1990). Molecular cloning and expression of a D1 dopamine receptor linked to AC activation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 87, 6723-6727
- Montmayeur, J-P., Bausero, P., Amlaiky, N., Maroteaux, L., Hen, R., Borrelli, E. (1991). Differential expression of the mouse D₂ dopamine receptor isoforms. *FEBS Letters*, **278(2)**, 239-243
- Montmayeur, J-P., Guiramand, J., Borrelli, E. (1993). Preferential coupling between dopamine D₂ receptors and G-proteins. *Molecular Endocrinology*, 7, 161-170

- Mullis, K.B. & Faloona F.A. (1987). Specific synthesis of DNA *in vitro* via a polymerase catalysed chain reaction. *Methods in Enzymology*, 155, 335-350
- Munson & Rodbard. (1980). Ligand: A versatile computerized approach for the characterization of ligand binding systems. Annals of Biochemistry, 107, 220-239
- Nathans, J. & Hogness, D.S. (1984). Isolation and nucleotide sequence of the gene encoding human rhodopsin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **81(13)**, 4851-4855
- Neve, K.A. & Wiens, B.L. (1995). Four ways of being an agonist multiple s equence determinants of efficacy at D₂ dopamine receptors. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 23(1), 112-116
- O'Carroll, M., Lolait, S.J., Konig, M., Mahan, L.C. (1992). Molecular cloning and expression of a pituitary somatostatin receptor with preferential affinity for somatostatin-28. *Journal of Pharmacology*, **42**, 939-946
- O'Dowd, B.F., Lefkowitz, R.J., Caron, M.G. (1989). Structure of the adrenergic and related receptors. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, **12**, 67-83
- O'Dowd, B.F., Hnatowich, M., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R.J., Bouvier, M. (1989²). Palmytoylation of the human  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **264**, 7564-69
- Ohno, S. (1970). Evolution by gene duplication. Springer, New York
- Ostrowski, J., Kjelsberg, M.A., Caron, M.G., Lefkowitz, R.J. (1992). Mutagenesis of the β₂-adrenergic receptor: How structure elucidates function. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, **32**, 167-185
- Ovichinnokov, Y.A., Abdulaev, N.G., Feigina, M.Y., Kiselev, A.V., Lobanov, N.A. (1979). The structural basis of the functioning of bacteriorhodopsin: An overview. *FEBS Letters*, **100**, 219-224
- Ovchinnikov, Y.A. (1982). Rhodopsin and bacteriorhodopsin: Structurefunction relationships. *FEBS Letters*, **148(2)**, 179-189
- Parma, J., Duprez, L., Van Sande, J., Cochaux, P., Gervy, C., Mockel, J., Dumont, J., Vassart, G. (1993). Somatic mutations in the thyrotropin receptor gene cause hyperfunctioning thyroid adenomas. *Nature*, 365, 649-651
- Perkins, J., Hausdorff, W., Lefkowitz, R. (1991). Mechanisms of ligand-induced desensitisation of β-adrenergic receptors; in *The β-Adrenergic Receptors*. Perkins, J.P.(ed.), Humana Press, Clifton, NJ, pp.73-124
- Probst, W.C., Snyder, L.A., Schuster, D.I., Brosius, J., Sealfon, S.C. (1992). Sequence alignment of the G-protein coupled receptor superfamily. *DNA and Cell Biology*, **11(1)**, 1-20

- Rands, R., Candelore, M.R., Cheung, A.H., Hill, W..S, Strader, C.D., Dixon,
  R.A.F. (1990). Mutational analysis of β-adrenergic receptor glycosylation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 265, 10759-10764
- Regoli, D., Dion, S., Rhaleb, N.E., Drapeau, G., Rouissi, N., Dorleansjuste, P. (1988). Receptors for neurokinins, tachykinins and bombesin: a pharmacological study. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 547, 158-173
- Robison, G.A., Butcher, R.W., Sutherland, E.W. (1967). Adenyl cyclase as an adenergic receptor. Annals of the NY Academy of Science, 139(3), 703-723
- Rodbell, M. (1980). Role of hormone receptors and GTP regulated proteins in membrane transduction. *Nature*, **284(5751)**, 17-22
- Roper, Jacoby, E., Kruger, P., Engels, M., Grotzinger, J., Wollmer, A., Strabburger, W. (1994). Modelling of G-protein coupled receptors with bacteriorhodopsin as a template. A novel approach based on interaction energy differences. *Journal of Receptor Research*, 14(3-4), 167-186
- Rosenthal, H.E. (1967). A graphic method for the determination and presentation of binding parameters in a complex system. *Analytical Biochemistry*, **20(3)**, 525-532
- Sasaki, K. & Sato, M. (1987). A single GTP binding protein regulates Kchannels coupled with dopamine, histamine and acetylcholine receptors. *Nature*, **325(6101)**, 259-262
- Savarese, T.M. & Fraser, C.M. (1992). In vitro mutagenesis and the search for structure-function relationships among G-protein coupled receptors. *Biochemical Journal*, **283**, 1-19
- Saviano, G., Temussi, P.A., Motta, A., MAggi, C.A., Rovero, P. (1992). Conformation/activity relationship of tachykinin neurokinin A and some [Xaa8] analogues. *Biochemistry*, **30(42)**, 10175-81
- Scatchard. (1949). The attractions of proteins for small molecules and ions. Annals of the N.Y. Academy of Science, 51, 660-672
- Schofield, P.R., Shivers, B.D., Seeburg, P.H. (1990). The role of receptor subtype diversity in the CNS. Trends in Neurological Sciences, 13(1), 8-11
- Schertler, G.F., Bartinuk, H.D., Michel, H., Oesterhelt, D. (1993).
  Orthorhombic crystal form of bacteriorhodopsin nucleated on benzamidine diffracting to 3.6 A resolution. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 234, 156-164
- Seeman, P., Cha-Wang, M., Tedesco, J., Wong, K. (1975). Brain receptors for antipsychotic drugs and dopamine: direct binding assays. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, 72(11), 4376-4380
- Seeman, P., Guan, H.C., Van Tol, H.H.M. (1993). Dopamine D₄ receptors elevated in schizophrenia. *Nature*, **362(6445)**, 441-445

- Seeman, P. & Van Tol, H.H.M. (1994). Dopamine receptor pharmacology. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences, 15, 264-270
- Simon, M.I., Strathmann, M.P., Gautam, N. (1991). Diversity of G-proteins in signal transduction. *Science*, 252, 802-808
- Singer, C.J., Khan, M.S., Rosner, W. (1987). Characteristics of the binding of corticosteroid binding globulin to rat-cell membranes. *Endocrinology*, **122**, 89-96
- Sokoloff, P., Giros, B., Martres, M.P., Bouthenet, M.L., Schwartz, J.C. (1990). Molecular cloning and characterisation of a novel dopamine receptor (D₃) as a target for neuroleptics. *Nature*, **347**, 146-151
- Sternweis, P.C. & Pang, I.H. (1990). The G-protein channel connection. Trends in Neuroscience, 13(4), 122-126
- Stoof, J.C. & Kebabian, J.W. (1984). Two dopamine receptors: Biochemistry, physiology and pharmacology. *Life Sciences*, **35(23)**, 2281-2296
- Strader, C.D. (1994). Structure and function of G protein linked receptors. Annual Review of Biochemistry, **63**, 101-132
- Summers, R.J. & McMartin, L.R. (1993). Adrenoceptors and their second messenger systems. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, **60(1)**, 10-23
- Sunahara, R.K., Niznik, H.B., Weiner, D.M., Stormann, T.M., Brann, M.R., Kennedy, J.L., Gelernter, J.E., Ozmahel, R., Yang, Y., Israel, Y., Seeman, P, O'Dowd, B.F. (1990). Human D₁ receptor encoded by an intronless gene on chromosome 5. Nature, 347, 80-83
- Sunahara, R.K., Guam, H.C., O'Dowd, B.F., Seeman, P., Laurier, L.G., Ng, G., George, S.R., Torchia, J., Van Tol, H.H.M., Niznik, H.B. (1991). Cloning of the gene for a human dopamine D5 receptor with higher affinity for dopamine than D1. *Nature*, **350(6319)**, 613-619.
- Sung, C.H., Davenport, C.M., Hennessey, J.C., Maumenee, I.H., Jacobson, S.G., Heckenlively, J.R., Nowakowski, R., Fishman, G., Gouras, P., Nathans, J. (1991). Rhodopsin mutation in autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 88(13), 6481-6485
- Thompson, P. & Findlay, J.B.C. (1984). Phosphorylation of bovine rhodopsin identification of phosphorylation sites. *Biochemical Journal*, **220**, 773-780
- Tiberi, M., Jarvie, K.R., Silvia, C., Falardeau, P., Gingrich, J.A., Godinot, N., Bertrand, L., Yangfeng, T.L., Fremeau, R.T., Caron, M. (1991). Cloning, molecular characterisation and chromosomal assignment of a gene encoding a second D1 dopamine receptor subtype: different expression pattern in rat brain compared with the D1A receptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 88(17), 7491-7495

- Trumpp-Kallmeyer, S., Hoflack, J., Hibert, M. (1994). Modelling of the G protein linked receptors: Application to the NK1 receptor; in *The Tachykinin Receptors*, (Buck SH, ed.), Humana Press
- Van Tol, H.H.M., Bunzow, J.R., Guan, H.C., Sunahara, R.K., Seeman, P., Niznik, H.B., Civelli, O. (1991). Cloning of the gene for a human dopamine D₄ receptor with high affnity for the antipsychotic clozapine. *Nature*, **350**, 610-614
- Van Tol, H.H.M., Wu, C.M., Guan, H.C., Ohara, K., Bunzow, J.R., Civelli, O., Kennedy, J., Seeman, P., Niznik, H.B., Jovanovic, V. (1992). Multiple dopamine D₄ receptor variants in the human population. *Nature*, 358, 149-152
- Wages, J.M. & Fowler, A.K. (1993). Amplification of low copy number sequences. *Perkin Elmer Amplifications*, **11**, 1-3
- Walker, R.J. & Holden-Dye, L. (1989). Commentary on the evolution of transmitters, receptors and ion channels in invertebrates. *Comparative Biochemical Physiology*, **93A**, 25-39
- Watson, S. & Arkinstall, S. (1994). Superfamily of heterotrimeric G-proteins; in *The G-Protein Linked Receptor Facts Book*, 304. Academic Press
- Wilkie, T.M., Chen, Y., Gilbert, D.J., Moore, K.J., Yu, L., Simon, M.I., Copeland, N.G., Jenkins, N.A. (1993) Identification, chromosomal location and genome organization of mammalian Gprotein-coupled-receptors. *Genomics*, 18, 175-184
- Woodward, R., Coley, C., Daniell, S., Naylor, L.H., Strange, P.G. (1996).
  Investigation of the role of conserved serine residues in the long form of the rat D₂ dopamine receptor using site directed mutagenesis. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 66(1), 394-402
- Yokoyama, S., Isenberg, K.E., Wright, A.F. (1989). Adaptive evolution of Gprotein coupled receptor genes. *Molecular Biology of Evolution*, 6, 342-353
- Zastawny, R.L., George, S.R., Nguyen T., Cheng, R., Tsatsos, J., Briones-Urbina, R., O'Dowd, B.F. (1994). Cloning, characterisation and distribution of a μ-opioid receptor in rat brain. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, **62**, 2099-2105
- Zhou, Q.Y., Grandy, D.K., Thambi, L., Kushner, J.A., Van Tol, H.H.M., Cone, R., Pribnow, D., Salon, J., Bunzow, J.R., Civelli, O. (1990). Cloning and expression of human and rat D₁ dopamine receptors. *Nature*, 347(6288), 76-80

