

A Thesis

8

1890

on the

Clinical Observations relating to the Parasitic  
Origin of the Commoner preventable Diseases  
For the Approval of the Senatus Academicus of the  
University of Glasgow. by.

John A Jackson. M.B. C.M. & S.S. a  
candidate for the higher degree of M.D.

---



---

The Consideration of the treatment of any Disease must always commence with a study of the causes, which produce it & under which, it generally arises. In proportion as we can discover & remove the factors promoting disease, shall we be enabled to cure or at least influence it, both in course and result. Unfortunately there are numerous classes of disease, where, the cause is, at present at any rate, beyond our comprehension, and success is unlikely to attend our efforts. whilst this is so, it becomes, therefore, a matter of the greatest importance to consider, whether our opinions regarding the origin of disease is correct & will agree with the results of clinical observation. Undoubtedly great advances have been made of late, both in the discovery and prevention of many causes of suffering, though much still remains to be done. Before we can have an intelligent appreciation of the circumstances, under which, many of our most fatal maladies arise.

Murchison expresses himself thus,

" Among the greatest benefits, that medicine has conferred on the human race is the discovery of the causes of disease and of the

ProQuest Number: 13906508

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 13906508

Published by ProQuest LLC (2019). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved.

This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code  
Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

ProQuest LLC.  
789 East Eisenhower Parkway  
P.O. Box 1346  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 – 1346

"the measures by which they may be prevented."

Now far the discoveries of recent years relating to specific microbes being the only source of origin of many of ~~many~~<sup>the</sup> of our commonest & most fatal cases has tended to enlighten the general practitioner in a grave question, probably a too rigid acceptance of the views advanced has had a retarding influence.

Although my observations are similar to what has often been before this, been recorded, & though from the inherent difficulties of the subject & the time & facts at my disposal are both imperfect & insufficient, still they may prove of some little interest, as, owing to the widely scattered and thinly populated district in which I practice, it is easier to follow out my cases with fewer sources of error, than is possible in more densely populated districts.

The first two important questions arising are.

- I. How far are we to accept a parasitic theory of origin of many diseases occurring in practice?
- II Admitting the probability of such an origin, does it necessarily follow, that all cases are the result of infection with this specific germ, which in its turn arose from a previous case? in other words, are we to accept, as applicable, the doctrine of Segurie, whom, referring to Scarlet Fever, he affirmed "No other origin (is then direct infection) can now be admitted, its extension to a new locality is due all to an imported ~~organism~~ infection."

Dr Watson Cheyne sums up as follows.

"For the short-proof that the micro organism is the cause of the disease, three things are necessary"

- 1<sup>st</sup>: The same species of micro-organism must be constantly present in the affected parts, at any rate during the earlier period of the disease.
- 2<sup>nd</sup>: The organism must be cultivated apart from the body

cent Essays  
relative to  
Infectivity)

X

I think it will be well to have a sketch of  
the evidence which can be adduced in support of  
the "parasitic theory".

And thus separated from all other morbid materials  
3<sup>rd</sup> Their reintroduction in a suitable manner into the  
body of an animal capable of being attacked by the  
disease, must be followed by its production "

In cases where these three tests are complied with and  
all known sources of error eradicated, we must, in all  
fairness, acknowledge a cause to have been demonstrated.  
The microbe may however stand all these tests & prove still  
bewanting, that the cause has been discovered, or at  
any rate, I submit, that there are no proofs that the microbe  
must arise from some previous case. Reasons for this  
opinion will, I trust be forthcoming in what follows.

The Late Dr. Brachiston advanced 6 reasons for adhering to  
his original views concerning the "de novo" origin of the  
Continued fevers. Let these be considered a basis upon  
which to ground my observations regarding the  
origin of 3 of the commoner "preventable" diseases viz  
Enteric Fever, Phthisis, Scarlet Fever. They are as follows.

- I Admitting the parasitic theory of contagious diseases, does  
not exclude the possibility of their independent origin,  
for two reasons:
  - (a) The parasite may arise independently of preceding  
cases.
  - (b) Bacteria, &c., etc may arise "de novo" in  
organic fluids.
- II The parasitic theory rests solely on analogy & is unsupported  
by facts. How so?
- III If origin is always by contagia the germs must be  
omnipresent.
- IV The first case must have originated de novo
- V Erroneous conclusions have resulted from discussing all  
zymotic diseases together, the possibility of their differing greatly  
having been lost sight of.

" II Several contagious diseases we have the power to originate "de novo."

I shall first refer to a limited outbreak of enteric fever occurring in a terrace of 8 houses, situated on a slope above the river & entirely separated both in water supply and drainage from other dwellings.

On Sunday evening April 15<sup>th</sup> 1888 I was called in to No 5 to see a little girl aged 7 years, on the 19<sup>th</sup> in consultation with a Gentleman connected with the teaching staff of the Newcastle School of Medicine, the case was pronounced to be Enteric Fever.

The household consisted of the parents, 2 younger children, the patient & 4 servants. They had removed to the house on March 25<sup>th</sup> bringing 3 of their servants with them, the under nurse joining them after their arrival at their new abode.

On April 14<sup>th</sup> this under nurse consulted me; on the 20<sup>th</sup> I advised her removal home from whence the case was reported as Enteric fever by the Medical Attendant, (this case died.)

On the 23<sup>rd</sup> the waiting maid was sent home, she also having contracted the disease.

How now are these cases to be explained?

No other cases could or had been heard of in the neighbourhood.

The milk supply was common to a great number of families, in none of whom illness supervened.

The water supply was for the whole terrace, on the same system afterwards to be alluded to.

The common sewer was for the terrace alone.

I however discovered that the previous occupants of No 5 frequently suffered from "Sore Throats".

An examination of the premises resulted as follows. — The house drain not being visible at any point, I was

5.  
unable to determine its exact condition, with however a strong current from the house to the sewer, the fumes, from the smoke & paraffin test, were distinctly noticeable in the kitchen and passage, showing it to be leaky and that the occupants had been breathing foul air.

The connection between soil pipe & drain was quite open having been filled with some <sup>loose</sup> dry material.

There was no disconnection between house drain and sewer, so that sewer gas would find its way readily through the leaky pipes into the house.

The 4 inch soil pipe terminated above in a two inch one which ventilated flesh with the attic window.

In the yard a few ~~feet~~ feet from the house was an uncovered midden-pit about half full.

The back kitchen sink was trapped but entered drain direct. The water closet consisted of an old fashioned pan closet which was leaky & foul.

The water to this was supplied from a separate cistern the overflow pipe entering the closet trap.

A main cistern supplied the bath, boiler, & drinking taps being filled by a  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch pipe, which was calculated to fill the cistern in 24 hours.

This pipe was leaking in the yard & lying close to the house drain, so that there is a probability of it being contaminated unfortunately, being myself at that time unable to properly analyse water, this was neglected & left undone.

These cases suggest one or two points:

- 1<sup>st</sup> The impossibility of accounting for a specific origin
- 2<sup>nd</sup> The period of incubation elapsing between entrance to house & outbreak, <sup>there</sup> were more in accord with what has been noted regarding the incubation of Entom. fever, they differ in this respect in a marked manner from the next two cases to which I allude, as if the intensity or method of receiving the infection

- 9 period of incubation bore some relation to each other
- 3 Whether, the same cause as produced ulcerated sore throats in the previous family, who would probably gradually come under the influence of any poison arising from leaky Chains, could when received in full force by the new - <sup>comes</sup> ~~comes~~ produce the specific disease Enteric fever?
- 4 In none of these cases did the "Typhoid Spots" appear

J. Taylor age 18 years a cartman living with his Parents & a family of 8 children.

In October 18<sup>th</sup> 1889, this lad cleaned out an uncovered Mudding - privy which had remained undisturbed for some months, the smell was so horrible that on returning home he refused to eat as "every thing tasted like the smell & made him sick" On October 23<sup>rd</sup> he shivered & complained of headache etc. Diarrhoea commenced on Oct 26<sup>th</sup>.

On October 28<sup>th</sup> the characteristic spots appeared, being so well marked that on the 30<sup>th</sup> I took two other medical men to see the case. They confirmed my diagnosis.

No other possible source of infection could be obtained.

R Short age 41 years a collyman in service of North Eastern Railway. residing with his wife & family of 4.

On August 6<sup>th</sup> 1889 early in the morning he unloaded some animal refuse, which was to be used in the manufacturing of Tallow. The Stench was so <sup>horrible</sup> ~~different~~ that he vomited.

On August 9<sup>th</sup> he took ill

On August 12<sup>th</sup> I pronounced the case of Enteric fever & on the 13<sup>th</sup> the first "spots" were observed.

Now also the absence of any haemal specific injection to go to the case. Let us admit that the short Bacillus described by Elsrik, Koch, Meyer & others, was the cause of the disease in these cases. They have, I think, established its probable existence in all cases. Where did it come from? To prove a direct negative is

On impossibility, though my investigations were conducted so as to eliminate all sources of error as far as lay in my power. Certainly the most feasible explanation is that of Dr. Murchison that the germs may arise "de novo" in organic fluids, or at least such a soil may alter their characteristics & render them "specific".

Gaffky admits "that the possibility cannot be contested" of the entrance of the specific germs by the lungs, though Eberth asserts that anatomical investigations have afforded no evidence of this. It is not however easy to explain, at any rate, the last two of the cases recorded in any other way, unless their being inhaled they entered the stomach in the act of swallowing. I am however of opinion that an aerial source of infection is much more common than the above observations would lead one to infer. Not only is this the case, but I believe I can ~~be~~ <sup>itself</sup> able to prove, that in diarrhoea at any rate the breath <sup>itself</sup> may be infectious.

The epidemic I now report is probably one of those described by Wilson as "Cases of undifferentiated fever to be met with in all outbreaks of enteric fever, as a rule the scattered outbreak cases, which crop up in rural & small urban districts are of this description".

On Friday November 8<sup>th</sup> 1889 I was called to the R - q a house situated in its own grounds with no other dwelling nearer than 200 yards.

I found Miss M. H. aged 11 years & obtained the following history.

At 7 P.M. Thursday Nov 7<sup>th</sup> she had been suddenly attacked with violent diarrhoea, had become hot, restless & slightly inclined to delirium, passing a very bad night, the diarrhoea & tenesmus becoming worse, the stools were of a faecal character, & she was very thirsty. Early on Friday morning sickness had supervened, & was continuing, the vomited matter being

outlook  
6404

more or less of a bilious character.

At 12 noon Thursday her temperature in the mouth was  $103^{\circ}$  F. On my arrival at 3 P.M. I found her very flushed & restless. Skin dry & extremely hot, tongue slightly coated & inclined to become dry & glazed, pulse 130. Temp  $104.2^{\circ}$ . Sickness & vomiting the principal continuing. No chest symptoms were detected.

Saturday 10 AM Temp  $101^{\circ}$ . 6 P.M.  $99^{\circ}$  F.

Sunday M<sup>o</sup>  $10^{\circ}$  - - -  $99.8^{\circ}$ .

The diarrhoea continued for a week, the patient being languid & weak for some little time.

In a house of this description it becomes comparatively easy to follow up a case to its source, with fewer possible sources of error than can be done among the poorer classes. The greatest attention was paid to the prompt removal

of all effete matters, fumigated with chloroform, to the water closet which was one of Teayfords' flushed out description.

The patient was attended upon by her mother & special maid C. M. whose duty it was to attend to the above details.

On Sunday Nov 10<sup>th</sup> C. M. age 19 a delicate girl maid to Captain Princeed signs of suffering from the same malady, the symptoms were, on the whole, more severe, but in other respects identical, the following are the temperatures taken in every case in the month.

	A.M.	P.M.
Nov 10 <sup>th</sup> Sunday	$102^{\circ}$	$104^{\circ}$
" 11 Monday	$101^{\circ}$	$101^{\circ}$
" 12 Tuesday	$101^{\circ}$	$101.6^{\circ}$
" 13 Wednesday	$99.6^{\circ}$	$99^{\circ}$
" 14 Thursday	$99.6^{\circ}$	—
" 15 Friday	—	—
" 16 Saturday	$97.8^{\circ}$	—

Through out this time the diarrhoea continued.

On Tuesday Nov 12<sup>th</sup> Master T H aged 9, began to suffer from diarrhoea which continued for 4 days, he was hot & restless but not so ill as the other two.

9.  
On Friday Nov 15<sup>th</sup> Imp. & H<sup>2</sup> Aged 6 years, became sick, purged, hot & so restless, as to render it impossible to take the temperature. The Household consists of Father & Mother, the 3 children above mentioned and 6 servants.

No other person in the establishment suffered except Mrs H<sup>2</sup> who during the week under notice complained of chest trouble, which she attributed to the nursing.

Now how are these cases to be explained?

An investigation of the premises revealed all to be in excellent order and arranged in a thorough sanitary system.

The water supply, conveyed in galvanic iron pipes from a distance, was used only by the R-9 establishment. I collected a sample from the main tap in the house, the following is my analysis.

Physical examination in a 2 foot narrow white glass vessel.

Clear, transparent, no deposit, no smell, pleasant taste.

Chemical.

Total Solids not estimated (owing to insufficient chemical balance)

Hardness 9.5 grs per gallon (Platz's scale)

" Temporary 6 grs per gallon. . . .

Chlorine 1.1 grs per gallon

Ammonia (free) .0035. grs per gallon

" Chlorimide .00098 grs per gallon

Iodine existing as iodates & iodide .45 grs per gallon

Poisonous Metals, a minute of iron.

These figures show that no evidence can be obtained from the water, though as Evelyn has observed matter potent for harm may be present & yet the water be returned as organically pure.

The milk supply now received my attention

The household are entirely supplied from their own two cows, neither of which had recently calved, both were healthy, free

from all signs of eruptions, scabs etc both had been at the R-q for 3 years.

They are milked each morning at 8 AM by M. Wilson the daughter of the Cartman, who resides near, all the milk is taken at once into the R-q, in one can & from this, a large jugful is set aside & sent to the nursery, the rest is used for domestic purposes but not drunk as milk, the cans are kept at the R-q ready to call for them at milking time.

The nursery jug was for the children's lunch & for C. W., who had been ordered as much milk as possible.

Infering that somehow or other M. W. was connected with the outbreak, I made enquiries with the following result, the facts are arranged in chronological order:

On Thursday October 31<sup>st</sup> Susan W. age 4 years, returned from Acorn School, sick & puffed, she was kept at home till Monday Nov 18<sup>th</sup>.

On Sunday Nov 3<sup>rd</sup> Robert W. age 2 1/2 years, attacked similarly, but much more severely; the diarrhoea with him being bloody.

On Tuesday Nov 5<sup>th</sup> Mary W. (the milkmaid) rose in the morning feeling ill, took no breakfast and started off to milk, upon her return she became sick & puffed, but went to Mexham, a distance of one mile to shop, here she became so unwell that her friends had her to convey home, Hattie W. age 12 taking the clothes of milk that evening & continuing to do so until Monday Nov 12<sup>th</sup>.  
Mary was very severely attacked.

Now are we to consider that all the cases at the R-q arose from drinking milk infected by the breath of Mary W. two hours previous to actual symptoms setting in, or are we to infer that the following, which was the only other source of communication detected was to blame viz &

On Tuesday evening after milking H. W. assisted Mrs. H. to remove her lanterns from one outhouse to another, being in pretty close contact for about 2 hours.

I am inclined to believe & subsequent investigations confirm this that Helle W., carried about her poison infection from her Sister, Mrs. M. A. succumbing after about 48 hours incubation. C. in the maid contracting the illness, <sup>with</sup> after a similar period of incubation, in the discharge of her duties as nurse, is Helle W. to Miss M. A. Tuesday to Thursday { evening, evening}

P. Miss M. A. to C. on Thursday to Saturday. } night to night & that Master T. A. & Miss X. A. caught it from their Sister, whom they did not see till the Sunday.

In the Wilson family it is significant that 48 hours, separated Susan W. & the Baby, a similar period elapsed between the baby & Mary W., whereas Helle W. did not contract the illness till November 13<sup>th</sup> is 8 days later,

Now Susan slept by herself on a chair bed in some room as Helle, Mary & Annie who, together occupied a separate <sup>bed</sup>  
~~the only a in same room~~

The Father, Mother & Robert occupied another room, & two boys, the only other members of the family, & who were only at home at night occupied a third room.

Susan W. sometimes used the chamber & sometimes went to the privy.

Mary mainly nursed Robert during the earlier part of his illness. When Mary took ill, she changed places with Susan & occupied the chair bed, on Monday Nov 11<sup>th</sup> she resumed her place alongside Helle, on Wednesday Helle took ill.

These facts are instructive & agree with what I have noted that the malignancy of infection seems to vary very greatly in different cases of the same disease.

A common privy being used, under the latter cases less conclusive & under the same roof as the Wilsons, but with quite distinct & separate offices, dwelt a family called Stokoe, Mrs. S. suspecting an infectious character in the illness next door prohibited all intercourse, this was easy, as only herself, her mother & two

children were at home during the day.

On the 10<sup>th</sup> this rule was relaxed, Mary W spending a large part of the day with the Stokoes.

On the 12<sup>th</sup> two cases occurred

14<sup>th</sup> one

is three out of 4 at home were attacked, but all much milder later some of the other children suffered.

Mary W again appears to have spread the disease, her infective power being apparently very great.

We may perhaps be allowed to infer that the germ, if the disease is due to such cause, found in her a soil more suitable for the further development of its infectious properties.

Now, where, and how, did Susan W contract the disease?

She had returned to Acorn School on Tuesday Oct 29<sup>th</sup> after an absence of a few days, due to a slight cold, in which however there was no sign of any sickness or purging, on Thursday evening Oct 31 she returned home ill.

The school registers, which were kindly placed at my disposal, reveals a few irregularities, <sup>but</sup> on Monday October 28<sup>th</sup> Alice Scott who sits next Susan W. had returned after a weeks illness.

The Epidemic at Scott's The family consists of self, wife & 4 children

- On Thursday morning Oct 17<sup>th</sup> Annie S aged 9 years, who goes to St. Mary's Catholic School, Hexham, was sent home ill, her mother described her symptoms, with such clearness as to leave no shadow of doubt as to the nature of the attack.

The diarrhoea continued till the Sunday.

On Friday night Monica S aged 7 years attacked, she was able however to return to Acorn School on Monday & sat next Annie Wilson of the R - 9 (the only one of the Wilson's who did not afterwards contract the disease.)

On Monday Oct 21<sup>st</sup> Alice Scott aged 5 years took ill, she was much worse than the others, she returned to Acorn School on Monday Oct 28<sup>th</sup> & on the 29<sup>th</sup> sat next Susan W as

already mentioned

On Tuesday Oct 22<sup>nd</sup> John Scott age 3 years ill mildly attacked,  
on Saturday 26<sup>th</sup> Mrs Scott also mild,

Sleeping Arrangements

Annie, Monica & Alice in one bed in a room  
by themselves

Mr. Mrs. & John in the Kitchen

The charcoal described as slimy, dark coloured & bad smelling  
a good deal of tenesmus.

The chamber pots were used, but after the first day Parabolic  
acid was added

The ~~few~~ chamber pots were emptied into a large pail  
standing in an adjoining loft, and afterwards buried.

I now endeavoured to trace two branches of the epidemic viz  
1<sup>st</sup> what other manifestations of the disease appeared in Acornb.  
2<sup>nd</sup> The source from which Annie Scott received the  
infection.

Briefly my conclusions are, that previous to the case at the Scotts  
no such malady had appeared in Acornb, but that after  
this time, it became very wide spread indeed, very few houses  
where children dwelt escaping, many of these I have traced  
out in detail but space will not allow of them being recorded.

The illness apparently spread mainly by "neighbouring"  
Q<sup>st</sup> Where did Annie Scott contract the disease?

St Mary's Catholic School Hexham is attended by the poorest  
section of the town, & cross examination raised fears of having  
done wrong, as at the time the town was placarded with  
bills concerning the compulsory notification of Infectious  
disease, again so much time had elapsed as to make my task still  
more difficult, the following, ~~one~~ as far as I can make out the  
landmarks in the outbreak.

On Sunday August 4<sup>th</sup> Mr. Watson Hexham was delivered of a  
healthy child, which on Friday August 16<sup>th</sup> was suddenly seized

with sickness & purging & died on August 21<sup>st</sup>, only 4 people had seen this baby, two neighbours whose history was quite clear, a person named Hope also quite free from suspicion, & a woman named Penwick the last named called on Thursday August 16<sup>th</sup> on her return from a neighbouring town, when she & her two children had been laid up for 3 weeks of what, she said, was called Typhoid Fever. She & her children nursed the baby.

On Tuesday August 20<sup>th</sup> John Watson aged 2 1/2 years took ill.

On September 6<sup>th</sup> Mary L. Watson was sent home from St. Mary's School owing to her being ill of diarrhoea on that & previous days, she returned to school on ~~August~~ September 16<sup>th</sup>

From the 9<sup>th</sup> to the 16<sup>th</sup> Annie Scott was absent attending to a sick Grandmother

During these dates several children were absent, from some I obtained a clear history of this illness, in others I could not. On Oct 9<sup>th</sup> I was consulted by a boy attending the school and, though at that time quite in ignorance of any epidemic was so suspicious of the case, that I ordered him not to attend school <sup>until</sup> ~~but~~ for the orders.

On Oct 15<sup>th</sup> Mary L. Watson appears to have had a relapse as on that date she was again ordered to leave school.

On Oct 17<sup>th</sup> Annie Scott took ill.

Such then is a brief outline of a most extensive series of cases investigated by me with every effort to prevent errors creeping in. We are entitled, I think, to infer that whatever be their exact nature, they were infectious, spreading by fomites & probably the breath, though possibly in some cases the common fomites may have had a share in their propagation. Presuming them to be due to a bacillus, then the following questions arise.

How far the infective power of the discharges, which were infectious at the moment of passing, if we are to admit that they held this power at all, might under suitable conditions of cultivation give rise to the specific microbe of Enteric fever? or might

Non-registrable

Not Taylor's case, be produced by some such similar cultivation  
~~in~~ in the middle, under certain conditions of warmth &  
moisture, which specific bacillus may under one train of  
circumstances develop true Enteric fever, but in another, take  
a retrograde step ~~backwardly~~ producing diarrhoea with  
high temperature and sickness.

These suppositions are upheld by the knowledge that nearly  
all outbreaks of Enteric fever are preceded by, accompanied by,  
& succeeded by, diarrhoea of a more or less typhoid nature.  
Though investigations have so far failed to develop these  
intermediate forms, proof is still wanting of their absence, as  
the pure cultivations adopted are quite a different matter to  
the natural cultivation in mixed fluids containing numerous  
other ~~Bacillus~~ microbes, such a method unfortunately can not yet  
be followed artificially.

Some such theory as that propounded above would fall in with  
the results of clinical observation & admit of Munchison's view  
being accepted, that the Parasitic theory does not preclude a  
'de novo' origin.'

For the further elaboration of these ideas, we will for a short space  
study the Etiology of Phthisis

Possibly; but I don't find the argument  
much advanced by these cases, as com-  
pared with Munchison's

Dr. Murchison said "The parasitic theory rests solely on analogy & is not supported by facts."

These words applied to the continued fever. As far as Intense Fever is concerned, I think, had he lived, he would have withdrawn that statement, from the conclusion of the preface to the second edition of his work in 1873, we may assume that such a tendency was at that time in his mind. The discoveries of the past few years have proved beyond much doubt that in almost all specific diseases a microbe exists, which after successive cultivations is capable of reproducing the disease, which, in fact, satisfies the three requirements of Cheyne already alluded to.

That the parasitic theory now rests on something more stable than mere analogy. Phthisis to which, I am now going to allude, is a fairly good example.

The following questions arise at the outset.

1<sup>st</sup> Is Phthisis a parasitic disease?

2<sup>nd</sup> Is it communicable from person to person?

3<sup>rd</sup> Can it arise de novo, or must each case be accounted for on the theory of direct infection?

My conclusions are.

1. Phthisis is parasitic and communicable

2. Its origin is often by far to be accounted for in other ways, than by assuming infection from some previous case.

Koch has demonstrated.

1<sup>st</sup> That Tuberculosis never exists without the Tubercle Bacillus.

2<sup>nd</sup> That these Bacilli, when a suitable soil is used, & under certain stringent conditions of temperature, can be cultivated external to the body, but that these conditions are not such as can be obtained naturally in this country at any rate.

3. That after as long a period as 12 months of cultivation through 15 generations, inoculation produced tuberculosis.

Let us then admit that Phthisis is caused by the Bacillus Tuberculosis, how are we to account for its presence in so universal a manner

As to be the cause, roughly speaking of one seventh of our death rate?

It appears that Koch seldom if ever failed in producing the desired result; a local inoculation was rapidly followed by the extension to neighbouring organs or general diffusion of the Bacillus, when artificially induced, whether by inoculation or inhalation, the effect was directly proportionate to the number of Bacilli employed, the inhalation of large doses gave rise to a very wide spread dispersion of Tubercle throughout the lungs.

If this certainly exists, how are we able to account clinically for so small a proportion of cases by contagion, that many authorities still deny its existence altogether?

To account for the cases occurring in practice, more especially in a district like this, on the theory of contagion, which really means the existence of sputum thus holding Bacillus spores, is to admit an almost universality of infection, some special circumstance or condition stepping in to under such infection active & violent from time to time, in which case we cannot deny the force of Brunton's words when he said relating to Typhus Fever

"If the poison remains passive for years so long as certain conditions are absent, but become active or violent, so soon as these conditions come into play, it seems fair to infer, that the Appreciable Conditions and not an omnipresent & indestructible poison, constitute the primary cause of the disease."

Clinically then it is difficult or impossible to account for many cases, owing to the absence of all ascertainable sources of infection.

On the other hand it becomes no easy task to explain the Absence of cases, where contagion is so rife, e.g. when we see, as we continually do, members of the same consumptive family nursing or even sleeping weekly with a sufferer advanced in the disease & yet come scathless out of the fire, how we have the Soil & the seed yet no fruit; \* Even after the Bacillus has

been captured, he appears to be a most unwilling guest; only living a short & variable time, for as Dr Andrews has ~~expressed~~ pointed out, it cannot thrive unless certain very stringent conditions are continually present! Thus in those very cases, where, it is possible to account for the existence of a constant supply of infective material, it appears almost inert so far as healthy people are concerned.

In my own practice I have only met with one case of true infection.

Edward B age 23 a Cartman, married with one child, was suffering from Chronic Phthisis.

His wife was a strong healthy woman, during her second pregnancy she began to suffer from Dyspeptic symptoms referred to that poverty, which is in such constant attendance upon the downward course of Phthisis in the poor. No trace of disease could be discovered in the lungs, her family history exceptionally good, at the date of her confinement the husband was bedridden, they occupied the same bed, she contracted Acute Phthisis & died in 8 months, surviving her husband by ~~8~~<sup>17</sup> months.

The room was clean, large, fairly dry, one story up, but so situated at the foot of a steep mound, that though facing South, the sun's rays never thoroughly penetrated to the interior of the room.

In The Hexham Urban Sanitary Authority District, an essentially agricultural little town of 6000 inhabitants, spread over about 6000 Acres, the death rate from Phthisis the last 3 years has averaged 2.1 per 1000, This, comparatively speaking, is larger than that observed in many towns more densely populated. Why should this be?

Mary W age 24 a strong healthy girl, with good family history came from the country to Hexham, to be confined of an illegitimate child, she took a room in the same row of houses as Edward B but 30 or 40 yards to the westward, the same conditions relative to sun light existing to an even worse degree.

Circumstances connected with her position produced a great effect upon her nervous system, she became low & depressed. Contracted Phthisis & died in 18 months.

Annie in age 24 daughter of a well-to-do-farmer, residing 5 miles from Heatham, the nearest town, the house situated on a level plateau at a considerable elevation; at the back runs a deep, wooded, narrow ravine; the house faces South at which aspect is the room mainly used, the girls bedroom window faces across the ravine to east. Father's family history good, Mother's fairly good, it is probable that an Aunt died of Phthisis, her own history good till at 19 she began to have a cough, the case was very intermittent exemplifying the self limited course of Phthisis described by Hahn who says

"After each crisis of the tuberculous eruption there is a temporary self limitation of the disease."

It could be found that analogy between the Clinical aspects of Phthisis and Ague described by B Andrews

This patient never left home except on a market day for Heatham, occasionally to stay with an Aunt residing far in the County on the opposite (South side) slope of the ~~Ravine~~ Valley, where she usually improved.

Now how, except on the assumption of an omnipresent germ is this case to be accounted for, no Poverty, no overcrowding, no deleterious occupation, no Heredity? See above all  
The rooms of the house were low, the windows were very small scarcely two feet by two feet including sash, & only one for parlour, the blinds rolled up & hung over the ravine behind, sunlight though present in abundance could not gain free access to the house, what early morning sun could get through the poor girl's bedroom window ~~was~~ being stopped, by the plan of using close shutters; in fact the place is, ~~a~~ typical Natural and Artificial melania ground described by Pandler (See Pandler on the Etiology of Phthisis)

926.1882

693785.  
33 1882

The patient died at the age of 24 by haemorrhage.

D<sup>r</sup> Buchanan, the well known & distinguished Medical Officer of the Local Government Board, in a report to the Privy Council on "The Distribution of Phthisis as affected by dampness of soil" has collected a mass of evidence, which is difficult to reconcile to the Sputum Dust theory, he found, as a rule, the rate of diminution of Phthisis to correspond with the drying of the subsoil, e.g. Salisbury 49 per cent. Ely 47, & Canterbury 43 per cent. A special enquiry following these observations confirmed their accuracy, D<sup>r</sup> Bowditch of Boston at the same time, but independently, discovered ~~the~~ a similar relationship.

Now what would be the effect of damp on Sputum dust? We are told the bacillus cannot reproduce itself at the ordinary ~~extreme~~ temperature existing outside the body.

We must therefore conclude that spores, incapable of reproduction, contained in dry or moist sputum, are present as the "Cause in alorum".

The effect of dampness would prevent the particles or rags being circulated in the atmosphere of the room, & would cause dust & other particles to adhere & settle so as to efficiently cover up the sputum, & by favouring the development of fungous growths tend to choke the life from the spore.

On the other hand, dampness by producing a tendency to catarrhal conditions of the respiratory passages, would favour the conditions under which, the capture of the bacillus could take place.

Altitude. The most notable advance in the treatment of consumption during the present century, has without doubt been the total change from a course of rest, warm clothing, & invalidism, to an outdoor life of activity even amid extreme cold. Nothing has tended to bring about this change so much as the results obtained by residence in the High Altitude Sanatoria.

Speaking generally, Phthisis is found to decrease as the altitude increases. That this is not altogether due to rarity of population can be gathered from the fact, that there are large cities both in Mexico & the Andes, where, along with density of population, <sup>where</sup> the cleanliness or sanitary excellence can be said to be conspicuous, yet Phthisis is all but unknown.

e.g. Puebla elevation 7,500 feet population 80,000 -

Mexico elevation 7,500 feet population 320,000.

That this immunity is not simply due to rarity of air Dr. Emilie Müller has shown, for in Switzerland there is no such complete immunity of the mountaineers, <sup>whether</sup> the rate depend altogether upon the elevation of their dwellings.

Again in many mountain sanatoria, such as Davos Platz, there must be an abundance of sputum dust. A great deal of this must congregate inside the dwellings where cold or other aseptic factors cannot come into force. Artificial methods of warming are in vogue & the cleanliness is at any rate not superior to that practised in England, here then is a soil in abundance of a kind known to be satisfactory to the capsule of the bacillus, here also in abundance is the sput, yet again no fruit.

Enough at any rate has been said to throw considerable doubt on the "Pure Parasitism" of the Bacillus Tuberculosis, & give rise to a feeling that somehow or other Phthisis can arise de novo, though occasionally the circumstances are such as to allow of personal contagion.

Now is what theory or theories will all the clinical facts observed in the study of Phthisis conform?

1<sup>st</sup> The cause of Phthisis must often have a very limited local origin

2<sup>nd</sup> The one factor common to all methods of climatic treatment is apparently Sunlight.

3<sup>rd</sup> Hereditary influence plays a most important part in all

investigations of the subject;

The first is used by the Contagionists in favour of their views, the same house, inspite of change of tenant, repeats itself in producing the disease, & proximate houses also suffering, two of my cases viz Edward B & Mary W would uphold this view, but may not the house from construction & situation be to blame?

Secondly In one class of cases we prescribe a climate of dry cold in another, as the ocean voyage, in atmosphere saturated with moisture, in another warmth & so on, Apparently the only factor in common is Constant Diffuse Sunlight.

Thirdly Heredity or Hereditary influence, whichever we may choose to call it, is without question a most potent factor in Tuberculosis, in what exact proportion it is difficult to determine, various estimates have been made, in which from one to two thirds of all cases are referred to this cause, exactness is impossible, in the poorer class the tendency is to overestimate its value, in the richer to disguise any such taint, in all cases it becomes impossible to say how far heredity is to blame & that we are not dealing with a independently contracted attack.

Candler's suggestion that the old family mansion may supply the heredity, in some few cases, may be correct.

Clinically however no doubt exists, that a Hereditary History largely increases the chances of falling a victim to the disease, & influences the prognosis materially for the worse.

Considering the rarity of tuberculosis in the foetus & the comparative infrequency during the earlier years of life, & that the bacillus is known not to reach the blood till an advanced period of the disease, we may infer, that except in cases of Tuberculosis of the genital organs or general tuberculosis it is not the bacillus that is transmitted if it is not true heredity.

It is a common observation that children resemble their parents, both physically & morally, this resemblance extends far beyond the limits of the family circle & sphere of influence

After years ago it was remarked that the sister of one of our English Dukes bore a strong resemblance to her ancestor Bell Gwynne; few families possessing portraits of their members for countless generations cannot point out similar effects of heredity.

That has been used for litter in Lions or Tigers cages is totally unfit for horses, the smell torifies them, though countless equine generations have passed since their ancestors had cause to fear attack from such enemies. (Say Crook's organic laws of personal & ancestral memory) whilst these effects are well known, their cause is obscure.

Darwin summarises the matter when he says,

"We must believe that the life germ invisible to the naked eye is crowded with characters proper to both sides of the body to both sexes & to a long line of male & female ancestors."

"The germ of a defect or quality may be transmitted from generation to generation in a latent form & suddenly arise under favourable conditions."

(Darwin's variation of animal & plant life under domestication)

This last quotation supplies us with an explanation of the heredity of Phthisis.

The germ of a defect is transmitted latent, surviving under those conditions, whatever they may prove to be, which give rise to Phthisis; the bacillus, from wherever brought, finds the soil more congenial & suitable for its growth & development, exhibiting that richness of distinction, which is so remarkable in the life of micro-organisms & which was, perhaps, a cause of the failure of Koch to cultivate the one in question at natural temperature external to the body.

Briefly then, to my mind, the following theory modified from Candler would fit ~~this~~ in with our clinical experience, & yet allow us to acknowledge the undoubted peculiarity of the disease.

## (Life History)

The *Bacillus Tuberculosis* is a parasitic form of fungus, which grows on matrices external to the body, this fungus, which, under certain circumstances, may grow luxuriantly, without result at length produces its characteristic fruit; this entering the air, being inhaled, may, probably, if the continuation of the infection be sufficiently prolonged, does, become lodged in the lungs & thus become parasitic, being to some extent a degenerate form of the free parent form. This, which is, of course, the *Bacillus tuberculosis*, in its turn produces spores, capable under suitable conditions of reproducing the parent form by the bacillus, but, as Dr Koch allows, this pure parasitism is of the feeblest description, self limited & tending to perish outright, unless they find their way into the blood or lymph streams, when the life cycle from bacillus to spore - spore to bacillus may proceed with the strict regularity of pure parasitism. Whether the spore, on the other hand, can, under any circumstance, reproduce the free growing parent forms, is a difficult matter to answer, Pandler suggests this as possibly occurring among the excreted products of a tubercular patient. To accept such a view will demand a most careful investigation, as to the treatment of such matters; for my own part, I am inclined to think that the spore cannot reproduce the free growing form, but that its reproduction takes place asexually in one or other of the numerous ways witnessed among the moulds.

~~This~~ It is a fact worthy of note that Koch found some of the moulds stained with the characteristic staining of the tubercle bacillus. I am not aware that the above theory contains anything inconsistent with botanical knowledge.

This Bacillary mould grows both naturally outside with ~~the~~ artificially inside houses, under certain conditions of warmth & moisture, but more especially in the absence of direct solar radiation.

The acceptance of some such theory will cover all the arguments met with in the consideration of the subject, account for & allow rational understanding of the importance of tuberculosis in cattle (a subject of much interest, which can not however be discussed here) & yet admit the correctness of Koch's observations in the main. To accept his doctrine in entirety, would, to quote the words of Isaacson "influence neither the Pharmaceutical Climatic nor Hygienic treatment of Phthisis, it might even be mainly merely nosogenic".

The broader aspect, which I have sketched, would, on the other hand, if adopted by Sanitary Authorities, tend to ameliorate the condition of the poor in many respects, & promote a most thorough system of cleanliness, though, not admitting the extreme views of <sup>the</sup> Hennessy Mechanical Doctrine in Collegium regarding isolation, would nevertheless appreciate the dangers arising from a close intimacy with a case of Phthisis, especially to one hereditarily predisposed, or one who, from the nature of his or her occupation, was either debilitated or presented few denuded surfaces in the respiratory tract, the result probably of an inhalation of solid or gaseous irritants or previous inflammatory attack.

The following is an example of conditions which I have frequently found existing in the course of my practice. Large low rooms 18 feet by 18 by 9 containing only one small window 24 inches by 18 inches, occupied as a sleeping apartment by 3 or 4 outdoor workers, no other ventilating shaft than the window (i.e. no fire place). An analysis of the air during the night revealed  $\text{CO}_2$  to exist in quantities varying from 2.5 to 4 per 1000 volume. Sunlight could never penetrate those parts of the room where the beds stood, & ventilation even with a constantly open window was impossible.

How utterly impossible it is to ventilate a room without  
other means than a window the following experiment  
which I conducted will show

A thoroughly cleaned room, nothing in it but the bare  
necessities for a bed room, window 4 feet by 4 feet & open  
all day, no impediments to the free current of air.  
Cubic space 840 feet, was occupied by one adult at  
night, the air was examined at night, & the lowest  
impurity was 1.75%  $\text{CO}_2$  per 1000 volumes.

Acting upon the ideas suggested above, I can confidently  
assert, that where my efforts have been appreciated, &  
acted up to, the results have been sufficiently satisfactory  
to stimulate me on to further research in the field.

The first case, says Murchison must have arisen ~~de novo~~  
The earlier records are of little use to us in answering this  
question, it is at a comparatively recent date in the history  
of the universe, that Small Pox was differentiated from Measles  
and Scarlet Fever; still more recent is the time when we became  
able to distinguish <sup>so</sup> ~~with~~ clearness between these <sup>two</sup> last named  
diseases, whereas we owe it to Sir William Jenner that  
Typhus & Enteric fever are not considered as one and the  
same disease. At a time when the very origin of man as  
a separate species is a subject of much bitter controversy,  
a study of the first origin of diseases would involve us in  
a deep religious & metaphysical study, ending with certainty  
in chaos & despair. But if conditions <sup>so</sup> change in the  
past ~~so~~ as to give rise to new specific diseases, & to  
cause a gradual evolution of one out of the other, then  
why should not this occur or be occurring at the  
present date? X

Again error has, according to Murchison, arisen from not  
properly estimating the enormous differences, which exist  
between those separate diseases all of which have a parasitic  
origin.

Probably this is so, I believe also, that the enormous difference existing in the same disease under different conditions, both in period of incubation, infectiveness has not been sufficiently appreciated.

I have already drawn attention to a possible connection between diphtheria & Enteric fever. If you had allowed a still closer connection could be shown between sore throat - with little or no infective power, & scarlet fever, which itself varies from a mildly & slightly infective disease to the greatest possible malignancy.

The chief interest in the subject of the etiology of Scarlet Fever rests at present, with the observations of Powers experiments of Plein, which give strong grounds for assuming, that an illness of very slight constitutional disturbance occurring especially, if not entirely, in recently calved cows, & accompanied by characteristic local lesions containing a micrococcus & which satisfied ~~all~~ all Cheyne's requirements, is one cause of Scarlet Fever, which is distributed chiefly by the agency of milk.

In the 1882 report above referred to Mr Power remarks:

"The cases of Scarlatinal outbreaks due to milk, have commonly been characterised by a small mortality, as well as by mildness of the disease induced, in many such outbreaks & notably it was so in the present instance, a considerable proportion of the sufferers have only had sore throat of little severity, & further this milk Scarlatina has on this as on other occasions been little prone to spread to other persons."

This statement contrasts strongly, with a few cases, to which I now wish to allude, which show a very different infective power.

On June 18<sup>th</sup> 1888 a case of scarlet fever occurred at Highfield a small dairy farm, for which no cause was assigned, the cows & all pertaining to the sale of milk were removed &

in reports  
C. G. B. for year  
5. 1886.

did not return till July 30<sup>th</sup>, & even now the greatest possible precautions were taken, those in the house not being allowed near the milking utensils, nor any of the milking apparatus being allowed near the house.

On Thursday evening August 2<sup>nd</sup> R. J. aged 7 years on returning from a Page public picnic sickened, growing gradually much worse and died after 36 hours illness at 6 <sup>AM</sup> on the Saturday of true malignant Scarlet Fever.

On Friday morning two other children of same family sickened, both had very severe attacks with a tendency to profound coma.

By 10 AM on the Saturday all the remaining members of this family had been removed except the eldest daughter J. J. who had returned late on the Thursday evening after a 3 month absence from home.

On Saturday Evening a child was brought back home, having sickened.

On Sunday morning ~~another, & on this date~~ J. J. broke down. On Tuesday morning another child returned ill.

These last 3 cases were all second attacks, J. J. having 8 years ago nursed 5 cases of fever without contracting it, on this occasion, along with the <sup>last</sup> ~~two~~ mentioned cases, her attack was & well developed & moderately severe ~~over~~

On Tuesday a case occurred at Highford, this child had returned home on the same date as the cows (July 30<sup>th</sup>)

on this date <sup>(Tuesday)</sup> also two cases occurred at the cottage next door to the <sup>1<sup>st</sup></sup> J. J.

Seeing that Highford supplied 96 families & these were all the cases, it is difficult to believe that the milk was to blame.

A. G. who took ill on Tuesday August 7<sup>th</sup> had on the Saturday August 4<sup>th</sup> accompanied her Father with the milk for the first time.

The J. J. case proves that she at any rate caught the infection on the Friday from the malignant ~~case~~ attack, it is therefore fair to ~~repose~~ that the following <sup>the</sup> only ~~possible~~ connection should explain the other cases. I may state that I was at very great trouble to investigate the matter as the public seized at once on the milk theory so persistently that the farmer seemed ~~as~~ likely to lose all his trade.

On Saturday morning A.G. brought in the milk & the  $\frac{1}{2}$  not being observed she had to sit for over an hour before being attended to, during this time she was known to have been in close contact with the child who took ill on the Saturday afternoon, & with other members of the family, who were moving ~~to~~ <sup>away</sup> from the death bed, articles from this bed were however ~~kept~~ close to her, to be placed in disinfecting solutions, from this house she went straight to the cottage next door, was taken <sup>in</sup> & made to relate all that had happened & was happening at their neighbours, this curiously com. Both the young girls ~~were~~ <sup>were</sup> clear, as they both succumbed on the Tuesday (the same date as A.G.) A.G. then returned home a distance of a mile ~~away~~.

A source of infection of the first 3 cases was to some extent proved, on the previous Monday Aug 30<sup>th</sup>. These 3 children had been with a little girl, who had been sent into the country because her brother was suffering from Scarlet fever at home, this child, as seen afterwards by me, was to my mind affected with slight first Scarletinal Chorea.

These cases, whatever their origin, afford a strong contrast to those due to an animal origin described by ~~Pawson~~ & prove to some extent what I mentioned ~~regarding~~ the <sup>obscure</sup> difference observed in different attacks of the same disease.

Now what produced the disease in the cows described by Power? No Cloud & infection from a previous case of Scarlet Palina might do so, but in the researches alluded to, such an origin was not discovered, and it is at any rate a question not quite decided, whether even this would produce the disease, unless the cows had recently calved, as if the parturient state were somehow necessary, so to develop the character of the microbe as to render it potent.

Unfortunately we cannot deny that Puerperal Fever can arise de novo, not only is this the case, but very dissimilar causes apparently give rise to this dread disease, which in its turn is so terribly infectious, under certain conditions, is to those who present the suitable soil for the capricious microbes. On June 20 1887 (In better day) Mrs. L a lady in good position was confined quite naturally, on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day "things went wrong" She died of Puerperal Fever — on July 2<sup>nd</sup>.

On July 24<sup>th</sup> her three children (all except the baby) were brought to me, suffering from undoubted post-Scarlet Palina hepatitis.

These facts admit of two interpretations, either Mrs. L contracted puerperal fever from an infection common to herself & children, which in the latter produced Scarlet fever, or an infection Puerperal fever having been set up, ~~produced~~, as in the nearly analogous cases of the cows, through some peculiarity of the puerperal state produced Scarlet fever.

The real explanation is I venture, to think, that a common infection produced under one series of circumstances, Puerperal fever, under other conditions Scarlet Fever.

Much remains to be said, my sketch is of necessity, both on account of the facts & time at my disposal, ~~imperfect~~  
unfinished, it may however remain unsaid if my object has been attained, viz to show that a more extended view of the parasitic origin of disease, though not by any means, necessarily on the lines I have laid down, will redound very greatly to the practical value of that theory, by a more intelligent & rational system of preventive treatment.

Finally I submit the following as reasonable deductions to be drawn from the premises laid down.

- 1<sup>st</sup> That the acceptance of a parasitic theory does not prevent the acknowledgement of a de-novo origin, because the parasites may arise independently of preexisting cases & may assume specific properties in the course of their development under suitable conditions.
- 2<sup>nd</sup> To deny some such theory will compel us in many instances to assume the omnipresence of many of the micro-organisms in question.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> That it is difficult to see why conditions, which were sufficient to evolve the disease in the past, should not, occasionally, at any rate, be in action now.
- 4<sup>th</sup> Clinical observations warrant us in believing that different diseases & different attacks of the same disease vary so greatly in their specific powers as to leave only a small hedge between specific & non-specific.
- 5<sup>th</sup> That just as artificial cultivations have succeeded in producing an attenuated virus of vastly different properties in degree to the original, so a natural & more complex cultivation may be assumed to be even more purified & extinced in this respect.

The subject I have endeavoured to lay before you  
 is one still shrouded in mystery, & is an ever increasing  
 field of interest & instruction, for those of all moments  
 which come even to the busiest of us, and so long  
 as we guard against ~~other~~ the danger of our  
 speculations & theories taking the place of well  
 established facts, will enlighten & relieve the  
 monotony of practice, making the arduous  
 duties of a General Practitioner full of interest  
 & delight.

John Archibald Jackson

M.B & P.M. Univ Glas 1887.

L.S.S<sup>E</sup> Univ Dundee 1890

Cantyre.  
Worham

Nottinghamland.

June 1890.